A meta-analysis of integrated multi-trophic aquaculture

Kerrigan, Daniel; Suckling, Coleen

Reviews in Aquaculture

DOI:

[10.1111/raq.12186](https://doi.org/10.1111/raq.12186)

Published: 01/08/2018

Peer reviewed version

[Cyswllt i'r cyhoeddiad / Link to publication](#)

Dyfyniad o'r fersiwn a gyhoeddwyd / Citation for published version (APA):

Kerrigan, D., & Suckling, C. (2018). A meta-analysis of integrated multi-trophic aquaculture: Extractive species growth is most successful within close proximity to open-water fish farms. *Reviews in Aquaculture*, 10(3), 560-572. <https://doi.org/10.1111/raq.12186>

Hawliau Cyffredinol / General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal ?

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

A meta-analysis of integrated multi-trophic aquaculture: Extractive species growth is most successful within close proximity to open-water fish farms

Daniel Kerrigan; Coleen C. Suckling*

School of Ocean Sciences, Bangor University, Menai Bridge, Anglesey. LL59 5AB. UK

* Corresponding author: Coleen C. Suckling; Email: coleen.suckling@bangor.ac.uk and coleen.suckling@cantab.net

Running title

Extractive species in open-water IMTA

Abstract

Fish farming in open water releases dissolved and particulate waste (inorganic and organic) into the surrounding marine environment. To reduce this environmental impact, commercial extractive species can be grown alongside to utilise and reduce this waste, a technique known as integrated multi-trophic aquaculture (IMTA). Information is lacking on whether: 1) IMTA is generally successful with respect to extractive species growth responses; 2) at what spatial scale they can be cultivated from fish cage nutrient sources. Focussing on bivalves and macroalgae as extractive species, this study uses a meta-analysis approach to summarise and conclude peer reviewed data on IMTA to address these information gaps. We show that there are clear benefits to integrating bivalves and macroalgae with fish farms. Bivalves grown within, and relatively near, fish cages (0 m and 1-60 m distance categories respectively) showed significantly higher biomass production relative to controls compared to those grown at larger spatial scales (61+ m). However, biomass production of macroalgae was significantly higher than controls only within close proximity to fish cages (0 m). This information shows increased extractive species production is generally greatest at relatively small spatial scales. It also highlights the need for more site specific information (e.g. seawater parameters, hydrodynamics, food supply, farm capacity) in future studies. The allocation of control sites and locating these at suitable distances (>1 km) from fish farm effluent sources to avoid fish farm nutrient contamination is also recommended.

Keywords: Bivalves; extractive species; fish farm; integrated multi-trophic aquaculture (IMTA); macroalgae; sustainable aquaculture.

1. Introduction

Large-scale increases in the intensive mariculture of high-value carnivorous organisms can often result in a number of environmental and sustainability problems (Naylor et al, 2000; Valiela et al, 2001; Naylor et al, 2005; Cabello, 2006; Bergqvist & Gunnarsson, 2013). Consequently, this has contributed towards a generally negative public perception of aquaculture, particularly in Western nations (Mazur & Curtis, 2008) which could restrict the potential for future growth in this much needed sector (White et al, 2004). A particular concern is the release of allochthonous nutrients into the surrounding water column from the rearing of carnivorous fish (e.g. Salmonids) in floating sea cages (open-water farming). Nutrients are released as both particulate (organic) waste (uneaten feed pellets and fish faeces) and as dissolved (inorganic) nutrients as a result of nutrient leaching from particulate waste and fish excretory products (Olsen & Olsen, 2008; Wang et al, 2012). Nutrient loading due to fish farming is considerable (Wang et al, 2012) and can negatively impact the benthic environment due to smothering and increased organic enrichment, leading to alterations in sediment chemistry with knock-on effects on benthic biodiversity (Giles, 2008; Olsen & Olsen, 2008; Hargrave, 2010). Many attempts to reduce nutrient loading surrounding fish farms have been made (e.g. improving the digestibility of fish feeds, computerized feed-management systems), however such technological improvements have not yet eliminated the problem of nutrient pollution associated with fish farming (Islam, 2005; Wang et al, 2012).

One solution to reducing the environmental impact of fish farming is the use of integrated multi-trophic aquaculture (IMTA). IMTA can be used to potentially recycle these nutrients by cultivating additional commercially relevant organisms. These ‘extractive species’ are able to intercept and assimilate aquaculture derived waste (both organic and inorganic) when cultivated alongside fed fish species (Edwards et al, 1988; Chopin et al, 2001; Neori et al, 2004; Troell et al, 2009). This IMTA approach could therefore potentially bio-mitigate the negative environmental impacts of aquaculture whilst simultaneously providing a secondary marketable product for the farmer with possible economical benefit and improved public perception (Chopin et al, 2001; Troell et al, 2003; Ridler et al, 2007). In practice IMTA can take the form of a large variety of systems particularly in Asia (e.g. temporal integration of rice and shrimp or the polyculture of shrimp, fish and crabs in

brackish ponds; Troell, 2009) however the majority of Western IMTA operations are land-based, recirculating systems successfully rearing crops of finfish, macroalgae and macroalgivores (e.g. Neori et al, 1996). The majority of general Western aquaculture activities are carried out at sea but at present, there are relatively few commercial examples of open-water IMTA systems (Barrington et al, 2009).

A variety of organisms (e.g. echinoderms or crustaceans; Cook & Kelly, 2007; Barrington et al., 2009; Nelson et al, 2012) have been included as part of open-water IMTA trials, however the most commonly cultivated extractive groups are bivalves and macroalgae. In contrast to echinoderms, bivalves and macroalgae are cultivated down current of fish cages, allowing natural water currents to move farm nutrient waste towards these suspended extractive species. The groups can be divided into organic (bivalves) and inorganic (macroalgae) extractive species based on whether the group in question utilises the organic or inorganic nutrients released from fish farms. Suspension feeding bivalves are generalist consumers, able to ingest a variety of particle types and sizes, therefore particulate fish waste could provide an additional food source for bivalves (Jones & Iwama, 1991; Troell et al, 2003). Laboratory and field studies utilizing stable isotopes and fatty acids as biomarkers have confirmed that bivalves (*Mytilus edulis*, *Mytilus galloprovincialis*, *Perna viridis*) are able to capture and assimilate fish farm derived organic waste (Lefebvre et al, 2000; Mazzola & Sara, 2001; Gao et al, 2006; Reid et al, 2010; Redmond et al, 2010; MacDonald et al, 2011). Similarly, Pacific oysters (*Crassostrea gigas*) have demonstrated high growth rates ($0.7\% \text{ day}^{-1}$) when used as biofilters in land-based IMTA systems (Shpigel, 2005). Although mathematical models have suggested that the capacity of bivalves to assimilate farm derived waste may be limited in an open-water context (Cranford et al, 2013), the high food supply environment surrounding fish farms potentially provides an opportunity for increased bivalve growth (Page & Hubbard, 1987; Brown & Hartwick, 1988).

Macroalgal species chosen for inclusion within open-water IMTA operations are typically those with value either as a foodstuff (e.g. *Saccharina latissima*), for industrial applications such as agar extraction (e.g. *Gracilaria spp*), or the cosmetics market (e.g. beauty spas and products). Most are capable of utilising ammonium cations (NH_4^+) which is the primary nitrogen species emitted by fish farms (Hanisak, 1983; Chen et al, 2003; Fernandez-Jover et al, 2007). Nitrogen availability is often a major constraint limiting macroalgal growth, particularly in temperate but also some tropical regions (Lobban & Harrison, 1996; Larned, 1998). Therefore, in areas where macroalgal growth is nitrogen limited (e.g. northern temperate regions) greater availability of inorganic nitrogen found

within the vicinity of fish farms could result in increased macroalgal growth rates (Chopin et al, 2001; Neori et al, 2004). Ammonium levels immediately surrounding fish farms have been observed to be below the saturation threshold for macroalgae such as *S. latissima* (Ahn et al, 1998) and *Gracilaria vermiculophylla* (Abreu et al, 2011a) suggesting that macroalgae are capable of fully exploiting these available nutrients (Sanderson et al, 2012; Handå et al, 2013). Such uptake has been evidenced within controlled land-based IMTA systems, with 72 % of nitrogen removed concurrent with increased macroalgal growth (e.g. Neori et al, 2000; Chopin et al, 2001; Matos et al, 2006; Abreu et al, 2011b). Based on this evidence, it can be expected that macroalgal species will show high growth rates in the vicinity of fish farm structures releasing high inorganic nutrient loads.

Land-based IMTA systems are mostly closed loop systems thus allowing control of nutrient rich waste (Chopin et al, 2001). In contrast, open-water IMTA lacks this fine control with the dilution of waste occurring by natural seawater movement (e.g. currents). These systems are however generally sheltered within fjordic systems (e.g. Scottish sea lochs) and are likely to have regular current patterns (Navas et al, 2011) leading to the general assumption that the organic and inorganic nutrients will progressively disperse as distance increases from the farm. This increased dilution with distance from farm effect may severely affect the ability of extractive species to intercept nutrient rich waste, thus raising concerns over the effectiveness of using IMTA within an open-water context (Cranford et al, 2013). There is therefore a knowledge gap on the spatial scale at which extractive species can be located in order to assimilate waste and increase profitability.

This study will focus only on extractive species growth at different spatial scales to determine whether IMTA can be regarded as worthwhile. Individual trials investigating the cultivation of macroalgae as an extractive species show some indication of positive results whereas those using bivalves as extractive species are less clear. To date this information has not been collated in an informative manner. An overview to determine the effectiveness of open-water IMTA in the context of the production of extractive species is therefore required. Such an overview could help stakeholders determine whether IMTA practices are worth adopting, as well as contributing towards Blue growth (Whitmarsh et al, 2006; DEFRA, 2015).

This study aims to summarise from the available literature whether open-water IMTA results in extractive species growth augmentation. More specifically, it will focus on the growth of bivalves and macroalgae cultivated in the vicinity of open-water fish farms. Growth responses at increasing distances from the fish cage will also be investigated to help

determine the best location to place the extractive species in relation to the farm, information which could be useful for IMTA implementation. We hypothesize that extractive species will show increased growth (relative to controls) when cultured alongside fish farms with growth augmentation declining as distance increases from the closest fish cage.

2. Methods

2.1. Data selection

A comprehensive search of peer reviewed literature was carried out during early 2015 using a keyword search of the Web of Science database. Studies were located using the terms; “bioremediation”, “bivalve”, “growth”, “IMTA”, “integrated aquaculture”, “macroalgae”, “mussel”, “polyculture”, “salmon” and “seaweed” with those studies of direct relevance to the subject matter of this study selected for use. All literature selected compared the growth rate of extractive species (bivalves or macroalgae) cultivated in the vicinity of commercial open-water fish farms with an expressly specified control. Studies which did not include a designated control were excluded from this analysis. All studies were experimental interventions except for Wallace (1980) who measured the growth of naturally occurring fouling mussels on artificial structures both in the vicinity and at a distance from fish cages. Only studies which provided all necessary data (growth parameters, standard deviation values, sample size) were included within this analysis. Data were restricted to the use of cultivated extractive species of potential commercial interest (Bivalves: *Crassostrea gigas*, *Mytilus edulis*, *Mytilus galloprovincialis*, *Mytilus planulatus*, *Ostrea edulis* and *Placopecten magellanicus*; Macroalgae: *Gracilaria chilensis*, *Palmaria palmata*, *Sargassum hemiphyllum*, *Sargassum henslowianum*, *Saccharina latissima* and *Ulva spp*). Data were further restricted to those studies which quantified shell length for bivalves and the parameters blade length (cm), biomass production (kg fresh mass m⁻¹) and specific growth rate (SGR; % day⁻¹) for macroalgae. The latter was averaged across the total length of time for each respective study. Data were extracted from graphical figures within the literature using digitizing software (PlotDigitizer; <http://plotdigitizer.sourceforge.net>).

Several of the studies included within the meta-analysis contributed more data points than other studies. For example, Navarrete-Mier et al (2010) measured the growth of two extractive species (*O. edulis* and *M. edulis*) at five different distances (0 m, 25 m, 120 m, 300 m & 600 m) from the nearest fish farm thereby contributing ten data points to the meta-

analysis. In contrast, measuring the growth of one extractive species (*C. gigas*) at one distance (e.g. Jiang et al, 2013) contributed only a single data point towards the meta-analysis. In this study the difference in growth in extractive species between experimental (IMTA) and control sites for each distance was treated as a separate data point, providing the selection criteria described above were met. Although using multiple observations from a single study can decrease the independence of these data points, it was necessary due to the limited number of studies suitable for inclusion (e.g. Kroker et al, 2010).

2.2. Data analysis

All studies used in this meta-analysis compared the growth rate of extractive species grown in the vicinity of open-water fish farms with those of a designated control, therefore standardized mean difference was used as the effect size. Effect size is used to quantify the magnitude of difference between two groups with the difference expressed in standard deviation units (Sullivan & Feinn, 2012). A positive value for effect size indicates the experimental group outperformed the control group, a negative effect size indicates underperformance. An effect size of zero indicates no difference between experimental and control groups. For each data point, standardized mean difference was expressed as Cohens d' which was calculated using Formula 1 (Gurevitch et al, 2001; Lakens, 2013) where M^E and M^C represent mean extractive species size at the end of the experimental period for the experimental and control groups respectively. The use of Cohens d' can give a biased estimate of effect size when sample sizes are small (< 20) or differ between experimental and control groups (Hedges & Olkin, 1985). This was encountered within this analysis, therefore an unbiased corrected effect size (Hedges g') was used in this analysis. Hedges g' was calculated from Cohens d' using Formula 2 where n_E & n_C represent sample size for the experimental and control groups respectively (Gurevitch et al, 2001; Lakens, 2013). Variance in effect size (V_D) can be calculated by squaring standard error in effect size (SE_D) which is calculated using Formula 3 (Gurevitch et al, 2001; Lakens, 2013), where d is effect size (Hedges g').

Formula 1:
$$\text{Cohens } d = (M^E - M^C) / SD_{\text{pooled}}$$

Formula 2:
$$\text{Hedges } g' = \text{Cohens } d' \times [1 - (3/4(n_E + n_C - 9))]$$

Formula 3:
$$SE_D = \sqrt{[(n_E + n_C) / n_E n_C] + [d^2 / 2(n_E + n_C)]}$$

The studies used in this analysis varied due to interspecific differences between species (e.g. growth rate) and variation in site-specific conditions (e.g. temperature, salinity & chlorophyll-*a* levels). Therefore, a random-effects model was used to calculate the weighted mean effect size. Random-effects models account for two sources of sampling error; within-study variance and between-study variance. Within study variance is given by V_D (see above). Between study variance (r) was calculated by subtracting the degrees of freedom ($n-1$) from total variance and then dividing by a scaling factor, using equations given by Borenstein et al, 2007. Total variance (V_D^*) for each data point was calculated by adding together V_D and r . The reciprocal of V_D^* , w_i was used to determine the weighting each data point carried within the combined effect.

For each study a weighted mean effect size (T) was calculated. All data points from each study were combined using Formula 4 (Borenstein et al, 2007) where T_i is effect size (Hedges g'). The standard error of mean effect size (SE_T) was calculated using Formula 5. The significance of weighted mean effect size was assessed by constructing 95% bootstrapped confidence intervals around weighted mean effect size using equations given by Borenstein et al (2007). If 95% confidence intervals do not cross zero, weighted mean effect size can be considered significant (Borenstein et al, 2007). Forest plots were constructed to show the results graphically (weighted mean effect size $\pm$ 95% confidence intervals). Studies which contributed a single data point (e.g. Sara et al, 2009) were presented simply as Hedges g' for graphical representation of effect size. The total weighted mean effect size (T^*) was then calculated by combining all of these weighted data points from all studies for bivalves and macroalgae (Formula's 4 & 5). All calculations were performed on Microsoft Excel 2016 (version 16.0) using the framework provided by Neyeloff et al (2012) as a guide.

Formula 4: $T = \Sigma (w_i T_i) / \Sigma w_i$

Formula 5: $SE_T = \sqrt{(1/w_i)}$

2.3 Distance subgroup analysis

To determine the effect of distance on the growth of extractive species, each data point was categorized into a subgroup. Bivalve data points were categorized into four distance categories between the bivalves and the nearest stocked fish cage; 1) 0 m, 2) 1-60 m, 3) 61-299 m and 4) 300+ m. "0 m" indicates the bivalves were located inside, suspended underneath or "immediately adjacent" to the fish cage. Previous studies have reported that 99

% of particles originating from fish farms will settle within 60 m (Coyne et al, 1994; Giles, 2008), therefore a distance category of “1-60 m” was also used in this analysis. Not all studies reported an explicit distance between bivalves and fish cages, studies which stated experimental bivalves were located “adjacent” to fish cages were presumed to be between 1 and 60 m of fish cages and thus were included within this distance category. Bivalves taken from floats supporting fish farms (Wallace, 1980) were also included within the “1-60 m” category. To maximise categorical balance, the threshold between the 3rd and 4th distance categories was set at an arbitrary value of 300 m.

Macroalgae data points were categorized into three distance categories; 1) 0 m, 2) 1-60 m and 3) 61+ m. “0 m” indicates macroalgae were cultivated “within” or “attached” to the fish farm. Major nutrient enhancement is found within 60 m of fish farms (Sanderson et al, 2008), therefore, similar to bivalves (described above) the next category was set as “1-60 m”. Not all studies reported an explicit distance between macroalgae and fish cages. Studies which stated that macroalgae were located “adjacent” to fish cages were presumed to be between 1 and 60 m of fish cages and thus were included within this distance category. As only five data points were made at distances exceeding 60 m, to maximise categorical balance the final category was therefore set as “61+ m”. A weighted mean effect size with 95% confidence intervals was then constructed for each individual study and subgroup in addition to total weighted mean effect size using the methods described above.

2.4. Sensitivity

To test the robustness of our findings, a sensitivity analysis was performed using the method employed by Kroeker et al (2010). To summarise, those studies with the largest effect size (regardless of distance) were systematically removed from the meta-analysis, which was then re-run to determine what effect removal had on the meta-analysis outcome. This step was then repeated with effect sizes of decreasing magnitude to determine how many studies needed to be removed to change the significance of the overall result. Similarly, if any study contributed five or more data points to the meta-analysis then this study was removed and the meta-analysis re-ran.

3. Results

3.1. Bivalves

Twelve studies were found which compared the growth of bivalves cultivated in the vicinity of fish farms with designated controls. From these 12 studies, 43 data points were extracted and incorporated within the meta-analysis. The analysis showed that IMTA had an overall significantly positive effect on the growth rate of bivalves, as indicated by total weighted mean effect size (T^* ; Figure. 1). However, the growth augmentation bivalves experienced in open-water ITMA systems varied according to distance from the closest fish farm. Bivalves within the 0 m and 1-60 m subgroups showed significantly higher growth than controls whereas bivalves grown at further distance points (61 – 299 m and 300+ m) grew at a similar rate to control bivalves (Figure. 2).

The stepwise removal of the fifteen largest effect sizes and the removal of the three studies which contributed five or more data points (Jones & Iwama, 1995; Navarrete-Mier et al, 2010; Lander et al, 2012) did not alter the significance of either total weighted mean effect size or weighted mean effect size for each distance category. The sensitivity analysis therefore indicates that the findings of this meta-analysis are robust.

3.2. *Macroalgae*

Eight studies were found which compared the growth of macroalgae cultivated in the vicinity of fish farms with designated controls. From these eight studies, 24 data points were extracted and incorporated within the meta-analysis. The analysis showed that IMTA had an overall significantly positive effect on the growth of macroalgae, as indicated by total weighted mean effect size (T^* ; Figure. 3). However, the growth augmentation macroalgae experienced in open-water ITMA systems varied according to distance from the closest fish farm (Figure. 4). Macroalgae within the 0 m subgroup grew significantly faster than controls whereas macroalgae grown further in distance (1-60 m and 61+ m) grew at a similar rate to control macroalgae.

A single study (Sanderson et al, 2012) contributed five or more data points to this meta-analysis. The removal of Sanderson et al (2012) did not alter the significance of total weighted mean effect size. However, the removal of the five largest effect sizes from the database used to calculate total weighted mean effect size altered the significance of total weighted mean effect size (significant to non-significant). Stepwise removal of high magnitude data points from the subgroup analysis did not alter the significance of any findings. As the removal of high magnitude data points altered the significance of total

weighted mean effect size, the findings for macroalgae are less robust than for bivalves.

4. Discussion

The growth of extractive species (bivalves and macroalgae) was significantly greater than controls when integrated with open-water fish farms. Macroalgae cultivated within fish farms (0 m) performed significantly better than those at increasing distances. Bivalves cultivated within (0 m) and near (1-60 m) fish farms showed significantly greater growth than those located at further distances (61+ m). Extractive species therefore show best growth performances when located within close proximity to fish farms. Macroalgae generated higher growth rates when integrated within the farm but bivalves showed a larger spatial scale of up to 60 m distance for highest growth performances. Overall, these results demonstrate that IMTA is effective with respect to extractive species augmentation which could help farmers generate a profit, particularly if compared to monospecific farms. Although these results lend support to the implementation of open-water IMTA systems, the meta-analyses showed high variation. Such variation can be attributed to many factors which we will now discuss.

4.1. Sources of variation

4.1.1. Seasonality and nutrient / food supply

The growth enhancement of macroalgae cultivated as part of open-water IMTA systems can be attributed to a fertilisation effect due to increased nutrient levels found within fish farms. Further evidence for the utilisation of farm derived inorganic nutrients is provided by the increased nitrogen content of macroalgae cultivated 10 m from fish cages and by the enrichment of *S. latissima* in a nitrogen isotope (δ^{15}) typical of fish effluent (Troell et al, 1997; Sanderson et al, 2012). Many factors can influence nutrient levels surrounding fish farms including feeding regime, hydrodynamics (Sanderson et al, 2008) and ambient nutrient levels. Macroalgal growth is often limited during the summer due to low ambient nutrient levels (Lobban & Harrison, 1996), it can therefore be expected that IMTA macroalgae will experience the greatest growth enhancement during summer months due to the availability of dissolved nutrients released by nearby fish farms (Chopin et al, 2001; Neori et al, 2004). Studies included within this meta-analysis support this theory with Abreu et al (2009) observing greatest growth rates of *G. chilensis* during the summer. Similarly, Handå et al

341 (2013) found the growth enhancement of IMTA macroalgae to be most pronounced in summer
342 and Wang et al (2014) observed the growth increase of *S. latissima* to occur at a time when
343 dissolved nitrogen levels were at their lowest. In contrast, Halling et al (2005) found no
344 significant difference in macroalgal biomass production between IMTA and control sites.
345 Although ambient nutrient levels were not expressively measured by Halling et al (2005), the
346 findings of this study may have been influenced by seasonality given that the study occurred
347 through the austral winter (when ambient nutrients may not limit macroalgal growth). Troell et
348 al (1997) who cultivated the same species (*G. chilensis*) at the same site but instead during
349 summer months reported a 40% increase in integrated macroalgae production when compared
350 to controls. These examples provide strong evidence that the seasonal timing of IMTA trials
351 may influence the results. It is therefore likely that macroalgae cultivated by Halling et al
352 (2005) may not have received the full benefits of integration due to their selected growth
353 period.

354 Other seasonality related factors may also influence the growth benefit macroalgae
355 experience when integrated with open-water fish farms. Nutrient emissions from open-water
356 fish farms vary, but generally increase during the course of the grow-out cycle (typically two
357 years) peaking (up to four-fold) in late summer of the second year when fish feeding levels are
358 highest (Strain & Hargrave, 2005; Reid et al, 2013a). Commercial macroalgal harvest would
359 have to occur during early to mid-summer as macroalgae begins to degenerate in late summer
360 (Lobban & Harrison, 1996), therefore peak nutrient emissions from fish farms would not be
361 available to IMTA extractive macroalgae. Consequently, for a large period of the year
362 (particularly during the first year of fish rearing) macroalgae would not be exposed to
363 substantially elevated nutrient levels. How macroalgal growth augmentation varies during the
364 typical two year grow-out cycle has yet to be assessed. Such information is required because
365 commercial IMTA ventures which integrate macroalgae will need to account for variations in
366 farm derived nutrient emissions e.g. by scaling back macroalgal cultivation during the first
367 year of fish growth.

368 Food availability for bivalves varies due to a variety of factors (e.g. light, nutrient
369 levels) that undergo regular spatial and temporal fluctuations (Page & Hubbard, 1987; Navarro
370 & Thompson, 1995; Litchman, 1998; Cranford & Hill, 1999). At mid to high latitudes
371 phytoplankton levels are at their seasonal minima throughout the winter, therefore natural
372 populations of bivalves often show minimal growth during this period of food limitation
373 (Malouf & Breese, 1977; Hilbish, 1986). Wallace (1980) suggested that the faster growth rates
374 of *M. edulis* observed at fish farms was likely due to mussels receiving a continuous supply of

375 farm derived waste throughout the winter, thus facilitating year round growth. Similar
376 conclusions were made by Lander et al (2012) who found that the growth advantage gained by
377 *M. edulis* in the vicinity of fish farms was most pronounced in the autumn and winter months.
378 The importance of seasonal timing could explain why some studies found bivalves showed no
379 significant growth enhancement through IMTA. Part of the study carried out by Cheshuk et al
380 (2003) was conducted on an empty farm (no fish present) during the austral winter period (3 ½
381 months from June to September). During this time these mussels (*M. planulatus*) were not
382 exposed to farm derived waste. Chlorophyll-*a* measurements showed that natural food
383 availability was at its lowest during this time, thus leading to the low growth rates reported.

384 When ambient particle concentration, often described as total particulate matter
385 (TPM), exceed a certain threshold (e.g. 5.0 mg TPM l⁻¹ for *M. edulis*) then a significant
386 proportion of ingested particles are not digested but instead are rejected as pseudofaeces
387 (Widdows et al, 1979). Saturation of mussel feeding due to high ambient TPM was suggested
388 by Cheshuk et al (2003) as a possible mechanism for why only modest enhancement of *M.*
389 *planulatus* growth was observed. The use of bivalves as extractive species in open-water IMTA
390 fish farms is dependent on bivalves directly consuming particulate fish waste. The validity of
391 such systems may therefore be compromised if ambient particle concentrations surrounding
392 fish farms were consistently higher than the pseudofaeces threshold. Therefore, bivalve growth
393 enhancement may likely be achieved in IMTA systems located in areas with seasonally or
394 consistently low ambient seston levels (Troell & Norberg, 1998). In oligotrophic waters, farm
395 derived nutrients could also stimulate local phytoplankton production thereby increasing the
396 food supply for secondary consumers e.g. bivalves (Sara et al, 2009). The relationship between
397 bivalve-fish IMTA and variations in local food supply is complex and will require more focus
398 in future IMTA studies. Quantification of the assimilation of farm derived waste at varying
399 particle concentrations would assist in elucidating the relationship between the outcome of
400 open-water IMTA and ambient seston levels.

401

402 4.1.2. Hydrodynamics

403

404 Models indicate that bivalves are best able to capture particulate fish waste when
405 cultivated in areas with slow (< 0.05 m s⁻¹) current speeds (Troell & Norberg, 1998; Cranford
406 et al, 2013). Studies included within this meta-analysis measuring faster current speeds of up to
407 0.11 m s⁻¹ (e.g. Navarette-Mier et al, 2010) found no evidence for bivalve growth
408 augmentation. Particle capture efficiency is dependent on the amount of time available to filter

409 particles from the surrounding water column which is dependent on current speed (fast current
410 speeds equal less time to extract food particles and *vice versa*). IMTA bivalves cultivated in
411 areas where currents do not regularly exceed 0.05 m s^{-1} can therefore be expected to show
412 greater growth enhancement when integrated with open-water fish farms (Troell & Norberg,
413 1998; Cranford et al, 2013).

414 Nutrient dispersal surrounding open-water fish farms is influenced by hydrodynamics,
415 subsurface geographical features and the structure of the fish cage (Sanderson et al, 2008).
416 Understanding dispersal patterns and how they change over time is a complex task requiring
417 extensive field work and advanced modelling (Olsen et al, 2008). An understanding of nutrient
418 emissions from open-water fish farms (also referred to as volumetric loading) would be of
419 importance for commercial IMTA ventures, because it would allow farmers to obtain the
420 maximum growth benefit for their crop through optimum placement of extractive species.
421 Optimum placement is likely to be highly site-specific therefore this meta-analysis cannot
422 provide detailed information on how to organise a commercial IMTA farm besides showing the
423 general distances at which extractive species can be cultivated.

424

425 4.1.3. *Species specific responses*

426

427 Species specific differences in fish faecal properties, extractive species optimal
428 growth, assimilation and feeding mechanisms and patterns will have contributed towards our
429 results. Bivalve growth rates differ intrinsically between species, as shown by comparative
430 studies (Epifanio, 1979; Laing et al, 1987; Cardoso et al, 2006). Intra-specific variation could
431 thus have contributed to the varying growth responses seen in IMTA bivalves as seen by
432 Rensel et al (2011). However, given the small number of studies suitable for inclusion in this
433 meta-analysis, the data were not used to determine the species specific level of contribution, of
434 farmed fish or extractive species, to the effect sizes reported in this study. More open-water
435 IMTA intervention studies on a range of farmed fish and extractive species types would help to
436 determine which species provide the biggest influence on IMTA responses. Despite this
437 variation, increased extractive species growth found during this study demonstrates that
438 increased extractive species production is generally achievable across a range of species.

439

440

441 4.1.4. *Control site selection*

442

Macroalgae have demonstrated increased production at distances as great as 800 m from fish farm effluent sources (Abreu et al, 2009). In some cases, control sites have been located within this range and demonstrate pronounced biomass production (Halling et al, 2005). It is therefore likely that these sites have been located within the dispersal range of fish farm effluents and therefore could mask potential farm specific responses. To avoid downstream nutrient contamination of controls in future studies, we recommend that control site location considers the hydrodynamics of the area and suitably high distances (e.g. > 1 km (8 km as used by Abreu et al (2009))) from fish cages. Furthermore, the selection criteria for this meta-analysis outlined that literature which did not include a designated control were excluded from the analysis, and as a consequence several studies were not used in the analysis. We therefore recommend the use of well-placed controls in future intervention experiments to help increase the body of evidence around IMTA responses.

4.1.5. Site specific information

The description of site specific conditions was variable or sometimes absent in the literature (e.g. chlorophyll-*a* concentration, mean current speed). This information is required to understand what effects (if any) site-specific conditions have on the capacity of extractive species to capture and assimilate fish waste. This information is also valuable to farmers by allowing identification of localities where commercial IMTA ventures are most likely to succeed. Based from our experience in this meta-analysis we recommend that future studies consider the following information for study areas: water temperature and salinity, mean and maximum current speeds and their direction, chlorophyll-*a* concentration, particulate organic matter and TPM concentrations. Additionally, details on fish-farm size and feeding protocols would be beneficial towards understanding the effect of distance between fish cages and extractive species.

4.2. Meta-analysis limitations

One of the limitations with meta-analysis is the reliance on publicly available data which could create a bias on the reported effect sizes. This is a possibility for the data used within this meta-analysis because the majority (80 %) of the macroalgal growth responses included in this meta-analysis demonstrated strong evidence for increased macroalgal growth. Half of the bivalve studies used in this meta-analysis reported positive growth responses

relative to controls. It is possible that data has not been made publicly available from studies which were unsuccessful in extractive augmentation within IMTA. Such information will be critical for future meta-analytical summaries of IMTA implementation as more literature is released in this field of research. It is therefore highly recommended that researchers and journals encourage publicising data which demonstrates unsuccessful extractive augmentation within IMTA to prevent possible future bias.

The initial literature search identified 14 studies which cultivated macroalgae in the vicinity of open-water fish farms. However, six of these studies were excluded from subsequent analysis due to the lack of an expressly specified control or a lack of reporting of data (e.g. sample size) required for the meta-analysis. Therefore, only eight macroalgal studies (containing 24 data points) were included within this analysis, compared to 12 bivalve studies (containing 43 data points). Given that significant bivalve growth enhancement was found within the 1-60 m distance category despite the generally rapid settling velocity of particulate fish waste (Law et al, 2014), the lack of significant macroalgal growth enhancement at distances greater than 0 m could be deemed surprising. The paucity of suitable macroalgal studies could be a potential causative factor behind the lack of significant macroalgal growth enhancement at distances greater than 0 m. This therefore emphasises the recommendation for future IMTA studies to include suitable controls within experimental designs as it is only with reference to controls that the presence (or lack of) growth enhancement can be determined.

4.3. Logistics of extractive species in IMTA

As the dilution of particulate fish waste increases with distance from fish cages (Doglioli et al, 2004), bivalves cultivated within fish cages themselves (0 m) will be exposed to higher concentrations of particulate waste (and thus increased food availability) than those at greater distances. Therefore, greater growth augmentation for bivalves and macroalgae within the 0 m subgroup is predictable. However, significantly increased bivalve growth was also observed within a larger spatial scale, in this case up to 60 m. Although significant macroalgal growth augmentation was only found for the 0 m subgroup, individual studies have found significantly increased macroalgal production at distances of up to 800 m from fish farms (Abreu et al, 2009). Such findings are encouraging as farmers are unlikely to adopt IMTA practices if the installation of extractive species interferes with the day to day operations of the fish farm (the major cash crop) e.g. restricting access to fish cages or impeding water flow

511 (thus reducing oxygen supply to fish). Therefore, extractive species must be appropriately
512 located (e.g. not inside a fish cage). The results of this meta-analysis indicate that spatial
513 constraints may not represent an impediment to widespread open-water IMTA. To maximise
514 farm waste recapture (as well as biomass production), bivalves should be cultivated close to
515 fish cages due to the rapid settling velocity of particulate fish waste (Law et al, 2014).
516 However, care should be taken in locating the macroalgal component of open-water IMTA
517 farms as excess particulate fish waste could potentially settle on macroalgal fronds thus
518 blocking light and restricting growth.

519 The evidence presented in this study shows that by adopting IMTA practices,
520 economic advantages could be gained by farmers (though increased production of extractive
521 species). By providing secondary marketable crops, IMTA farms exhibit a greater degree of
522 economic diversification compared to monoculture operations. Diversification represents a
523 form of insurance, as a marketable product will still be produced in the event of disease
524 outbreaks or infrastructure damage (e.g. net failure). Profitable markets presently exist for the
525 sale of bivalves (Lucas & Southgate, 2012) and the farmed seaweed market is likely to grow in
526 Western nations given the increasing popularity of seaweed consumption (Brownlee et al,
527 2012). Much of the infrastructure and equipment for IMTA (e.g. rope lines, boats, buoys) will
528 already be present on fish farms, therefore the start-up costs of IMTA in farms is likely to be
529 low (Lander et al, 2012). However, labour costs can be expected to increase on IMTA farms as
530 extra work hours will be required for the maintenance and harvesting of extractive species
531 (Holdt & Edwards, 2014). A model based on a Canadian farm estimates that net present value
532 (NPV) is increased by 24% when mussels and seaweed are grown alongside Atlantic salmon
533 (Ridler et al, 2007) however more transparent models containing greater detail (e.g.
534 proportions of extractive species) would be of use to farmers in determining the economies of
535 integration. Surveys have shown a positive attitude towards IMTA amongst the general public
536 indicating that consumer acceptance will not be a barrier to IMTA expansion, with 50% of
537 participants willing to pay 10% extra for IMTA labelled products (Barrington et al, 2010).
538 Therefore, a system of eco-labelling may allow IMTA farmers to charge a higher price for their
539 products and thus keep IMTA farms profitable in the face of falling fish prices (Whitmarsh et
540 al, 2006) or competition with larger firms (Ridler et al, 2007). The profitability of IMTA farms
541 may also be improved if coastal management systems legally oblige operations to pay for the
542 environmental cost of their activities via discharge taxes (“user pays” concept; Troell et al,
543 2003).

544 However, before IMTA becomes more widely implemented there are a number of

mitigation and biosecurity issues regarding commercial IMTA that need to be satisfactorily resolved. While bivalve integration has shown generally positive growth responses in this meta-analysis, the net organic loading from bivalves (released as faeces) combined with the fish farm may still have a negative impact on the underlying benthos. It has been recommended by the Fisheries and Oceans Canada (DFO) Science Advisory Schedule (DFO, 2013) to use extractive deposit feeding species (e.g. sea urchins, sea cucumbers and polychaetes) located underneath the suspended bivalve extractive species to consume these heavy organic solids (Cranford et al., 2013; DFO, 2013; Reid et al., 2013b). The implementation of adding another trophic level into IMTA will require structural considerations relating to the fish farm (e.g. oxygen supply via seawater flow and efficient connection between trophic levels; DFO, 2013).

Previous work has found that IMTA bivalves grown in water of sufficient depth are highly unlikely to act as reservoirs for fish pathogens such as infectious salmon anaemia virus (ISAV) or *Vibrio anguillarum* and may assist in the control of drug-resistant pathogens and parasites such as the sea louse *Lepeoptheirus salmonis* (Mortensen, 1993; Skar & Mortensen, 2007; Molloy et al, 2011; Pietrak et al, 2012; Molloy et al, 2014). Haya et al (2004) similarly found that extractive species (*M. edulis* and *S. latissima*) cultured in the vicinity of a *S. salar* farm did not accumulate hazardous therapeutants or contaminants (e.g. heavy metals) above background levels. To facilitate the spread of open-water IMTA further work regarding bioaccumulation within extractive species and potential disease transfer within farms requires consideration to dispel any concerns farmers or regulatory bodies may have regarding IMTA. If commercial IMTA is to become widespread, legislation governing aquaculture operations may have to be reformed so that policy recommendations (e.g. minimum distances between mussel and fish farms) do not act as barriers to commercial IMTA (Alexander, 2015).

4.4. Conclusions and future research considerations

This study demonstrates that; 1) extractive species cultivated in the vicinity of open-water fish farms experience a growth benefit due to integration and 2) close proximity of extractive species to the farm (0 m for macroalgae and 0-60 m for bivalves) can increase performance and therefore possibly profit but there appears to be some spatial flexibility around this if logistical constraints require it. Even though the extent at which nutrient extraction is carried out is still quantitatively unknown, spatially extensive locations of extractive species are known to significantly reduce organic loading around fish cages (Reid et

579 al, 2013a; Holdt & Edwards, 2014).

580 Future study recommendations include: 1) allocating control sites and locating these
581 at suitable distances (>1 km to 8km) from fish farm effluent sources to avoid fish farm nutrient
582 contamination; 2) including site details such as seawater parameters (e.g. temperature,
583 salinity), hydrodynamics (current speeds and direction), food supply (chlorophyll-*a*, particulate
584 organic matter and total particle matter concentrations), farm capacity (farm size and feeding
585 protocols); and 3) determining the extent to which spatially extensive extractive species
586 cultivation mitigates nutrient discharge from open-water fish farms (including consideration of
587 the organic loading from the bivalve component of IMTA farms).

588 Open-water IMTA is still in development and further research can be expected to
589 improve IMTA methodologies (e.g. optimum placings for extractive species and a wider range
590 of commercial extractive species) leading towards more sustainable IMTA systems. Although
591 complete (100%) nutrient sequestration is not practically feasible, future IMTA efforts should
592 be encouraged given the environmental and economic merits of integration.

593

594 **Acknowledgements**

595 This study was generated as part of the third year dissertation module through the host
596 University's BSc Marine Biology degree programme.

597

598 **References**

599 Abreu, M., Varela, D., Henriquez, L., Villarroel, A., Yarish, C., Sousa-Pinto, I. & Buschmann,
600 A. 2009. Traditional vs integrated multi-trophic aquaculture of *Gracilaria chilensis* C.J. Bird,
601 J.McLachlan & E.C. Olivera: Productivity and physiological performance. *Aquaculture*, **293**:
602 211-220.

603

604 Abreu, M., Pereira, R., Yarish, C., Buschmann, A. & Sousa-Pinto, I. 2011a. IMTA with
605 *Gracilaria vermiculophylla*: Productivity and nutrient removal performance of the macroalgae
606 in a land-based pilot scale system. *Aquaculture*, **312**: 77-87.

607

608 Abreu, M., Pereira, R., Buschmann, A., Sousa-Pinto, I. & Yarish, C. 2011b. Nitrogen uptake
609 responses of *Gracilaria vermiculophylla* (Ohmi) Papenfuss under combined and single
610 addition of nitrate and ammonium. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*. **407**:
611 190-199.

612

613 Ahn, O., Petrell, R. & Harrison, P. 1998. Ammonium and nitrate uptake by *Laminaria*
 614 *saccharina* and *Nereocystis luetkeana* originating from a salmon sea cage farm. *Journal of*
 615 *Applied Phycology*, **10**: 333-340.

616

617 Alexander, K., Potts, T., Freeman, S., Israel, D., Johansen, J., Kletou, D., Meland, M.,
 618 Pecorino, D., Rebours, C., Shorten, M. & Angel, D. 2015. The implications of aquaculture
 619 policy and regulation for the development of integrated multi-trophic aquaculture in Europe.
 620 *Aquaculture*, **443**: 16-23.

621

622 Barrington, K., Chopin, T. & Robinson, S. 2009. Integrated multi-trophic aquaculture (IMTA)
 623 in marine temperate waters. In: D. Soto (ed.). *Integrated mariculture: a global review*. FAO
 624 Fisheries and Aquaculture Technical Paper. No. 529. Rome, FAO. pp. 7–46

625

626 Barrington, K., Ridler, N., Chopin, T., Robinson, S. & Robinson, B. 2010. Social aspects of the
 627 sustainability of integrated multi-trophic aquaculture. *Aquaculture international*, **18**: 201-211.

628

629 Bergqvist, J. & Gunnarsson, S. 2013. Finfish aquaculture: Animal welfare, the environment
 630 and ethical implications. *Journal of Agricultural and Environmental Ethics*, **26**: 75-99.

631

632 Borenstein, M., Hedges, L. & Rothstein, H. 2007. Meta-analysis: Fixed effects vs. random
 633 effects. Retrieved from [https://www.meta-analysis.com/downloads/Meta-](https://www.meta-analysis.com/downloads/Meta-analysis%20fixed%20effect%20vs%20random%20effects.pdf)
 634 [analysis%20fixed%20effect%20vs%20random%20effects.pdf](https://www.meta-analysis.com/downloads/Meta-analysis%20fixed%20effect%20vs%20random%20effects.pdf) (30/05/2016).

635

636 Brown, J. & Hartwick, B. 1988. Influences of temperature, salinity and available food upon
 637 suspended culture of the pacific oyster *Crassostrea gigas* I. Absolute and allometric growth.
 638 *Aquaculture*, **70**: 231-251.

639

640 Brownlee, I., Fairclough, A., Hall, A. & Paxman, J. 2012. The potential health benefits of
 641 macroalgae and macroalgae extract. In: *Macroalgae: ecology, nutrient composition and*
 642 *medicinal uses. Marine Biology: Earth Sciences in the 21st Century*. Nova Science Publishers,
 643 Hauppauge, New York, 119-136.

644

645 Buschmann, A., Varela, D., Hernandez-Gonzalez, M. & Huovinen, P. 2008. Opportunities and

646 challenges for the development of an integrated macroalgae-based aquaculture activity in
 647 Chile: determining the physiological capabilities of *Macrocystis* and *Gracilaria* as biofilters.
 648 *Journal of Applied Phycology*, **20**: 571-577.
 649
 650 Cabello, F. 2006. Heavy use of prophylactic antibiotics in aquaculture: A growing problem for
 651 human and animal health and for the environment. *Environmental Microbiology*, **8**: 1137-1144.
 652
 653 Cardoso, J., Witte, J., & van der Veer, H. 2006. Intra- and interspecies comparison of energy
 654 flow in bivalve species in Dutch coastal waters by means of the Dynamic Energy Budget
 655 (DEB) theory. *Journal of Sea Research*, **56**: 182-197.
 656
 657 Chen, Y., Beveridge, M., Telfer, T. & Roy, W. 2003. Nutrient leaching and settling rate
 658 characteristics of the faeces of Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) and the implications for
 659 modelling of solid waste dispersion. *Journal of Applied Ichthyology*, **19**: 114-117.
 660
 661 Cheshuk, B., Purser, G. & Quintana, R. 2003. Integrated open-water mussel (*Mytilus*
 662 *planulatus*) and Atlantic Salmon (*Salmo salar*) culture in Tasmania, Australia. *Aquaculture*,
 663 **218**: 357-378.
 664
 665 Chopin, T., Buschmann, A., Halling, C., Troell, M., Kautsky, N., Neori, A., Kraemer, G.,
 666 Zertuche-Gonzalez, J., Yarish, C. & Neefus, C. 2001. Integrating macroalgae into marine
 667 aquaculture systems: A key towards sustainability. *Journal of Phycology*, **37**: 975-986.
 668
 669
 670 Cook, E. & Kelly, M. 2007. Enhanced production of the sea urchin *Paracentrotus lividus* in
 671 integrated open-water cultivation with Atlantic salmon *Salmo salar*. *Aquaculture*, **273**: 573-
 672 585.
 673
 674 Coyne, R., Hiney, M., O'Connor, B., Kerry, J., Cazabon, D. & Smith, P. 1994. Concentration
 675 and persistence of oxytetracycline in sediments under a marine salmon farm. *Aquaculture*, **123**:
 676 31-42.
 677
 678 Cranford, P. & Hill, P. 1999. Seasonal variation in food utilization by the suspension-feeding
 679 bivalve molluscs *Mytilus edulis* and *Placopecten magellanicus*. *Marine Ecology Progress*

680 *Series*, **190**: 223-239.

681

682 Cranford, P., Reid, G. & Robinson, S. 2013. Open water integrated multi-trophic aquaculture:

683 Constraints on the effectiveness of mussels as an organic extractive component. *Aquaculture*

684 *Environmental Interactions*, **4**: 163-173.

685

686 DEFRA. 2015. United Kingdom multi-annual national plan for the development of sustainable

687 aquaculture. Department for Environment Food and Rural Affairs. 39 pp.

688

689 DFO. 2013. Review of the organic extractive component of integrated multi-trophic

690 aquaculture (IMTA) in Southwest New Brunswick with emphasis on the blue mussel. Fisheries

691 and Oceans Canada (DFO) Science Advisory Schedule. Report number 2013/056.

692

693 Doglioli, A., Magaldi, M., Vezzulli, L. & Tucci, S. 2004. Development of a numerical model to

694 study the dispersion of wastes coming from a marine fish farm in the Ligurian Sea (Western

695 Mediterranean). *Aquaculture*, **231**: 215-235.

696

697 Edwards, P., Pullin, R. & Gartner, J. 1988. Research and education for the development of

698 integrated crop-livestock-fish farming systems in the tropics. ICLARM Studies and Reviews,

699 vol 16. International Centre for Living Aquatic Resources Management, Manila: 53pp.

700

701 Epifanio, C. 1979. Growth in bivalve molluscs: Nutritional effects of two or more species of

702 algae in diets fed to the American oyster *Crassostrea virginica* (Gmelin) and the hard clam

703 *Mercenaria mercenaria* (L.). *Aquaculture*, **18**: 1-12.

704

705 Fernandez-Jover, D., Sanchez-Jerez, P., Bayle-Sempere, J., Carratala, A. & Leon, V. 2007.

706 Addition of dissolved nitrogen and dissolved organic carbon from wild fish faeces and food

707 around Mediterranean fish farms: Implications for waste-dispersal models. *Journal of*

708 *Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, **340**: 160-168.

709

710 Gao, Q., Shin, P., Lin, G., Chen, S. & Cheung, S. 2006. Stable isotope and fatty acid evidence

711 for uptake of organic waste by green lipped mussels *Perna viridis* in a polyculture fish farm

712 system. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, **317**: 273-283.

713

714 Giles, H. 2008. Using Bayesian networks to examine consistent trends in fish farm benthic
 715 impact studies. *Aquaculture*, **274**: 181-195.

716

717 Gurevitch, J., Curtis, P. & Jones, M. 2001. Meta-analysis in Ecology. *Advances in Ecological*
 718 *Research*, 32: 200-247.

719

720 Halling, C., Aroca, G., Cifuentes, M., Buschmann, A. & Troell, M. 2005. Comparison of spore
 721 inoculated and vegetative propagated cultivation methods of *Gracilaria chilensis* in an
 722 integrated macroalgae and fish cage culture. *Aquaculture international*, **13**: 409-422.

723

724 Handå, A., Forbord, S., Wang, X., Broch, O., Dahle, S., Storseth, T., Reitan, K., Olsen, Y. &
 725 Skjermo, J. 2013. Seasonal- and depth- dependent growth of cultivated kelp (*Saccharina*
 726 *latissima*) in close proximity to salmon aquaculture (*Salmo salar*) in Norway. *Aquaculture*,
 727 **414**: 191-201.

728

729 Hanisak, M. 1983. The nitrogen relationships of marine macroalgae. In Carpenter, E. &
 730 Capone, D (eds). *Nitrogen in the marine environment*. New York Academic Press: 699-730.

731

732 Hargrave, B. 2010. Empirical relationships describing benthic impacts of Salmon aquaculture.
 733 *Aquaculture Environment Interactions*, **1**: 33-46.

734

735 Haya, K., Sephton, D., Martin, J. & Chopin, T. 2004. Monitoring of therapeutants and
 736 phycotoxins in Kelps and Mussels co-cultured with Atlantic salmon in an integrated multi-
 737 trophic aquaculture system. *Bulletin of the Aquaculture Association of Canada*, **104**: 29-34.

738

739 Hedges, L. & Olkin, I. 1985. Statistical methods for meta-analysis. San Diego, CA: Academic
 740 Press.

741

742 Hilbish, T. 1986. Growth trajectories of shell and soft tissue in bivalves: Seasonal variation in
 743 *Mytilus edulis* L. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, **96**: 103-113.

744

745 Holdt, S. & Edwards, M. 2014. Cost-effective IMTA: A comparison of the production
 746 efficiencies of mussels and macroalgae. *Journal of Applied Phycology*, **26**: 33-945.

747

748 Islam, S. 2005. Nitrogen and phosphorus budget in coastal and marine cage aquaculture and
 749 impacts of effluent loading on ecosystem: review and analysis towards model development.
 750 *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, **40**: 48-61.

751

752 Jiang, Z., Wang, G., Fang, J. & Mao, Y. 2013. Growth and food sources of Pacific oyster
 753 *Crassostrea gigas* integrated culture with Sea bass *Lateolabrax japonicus* in Ailian Bay, China.
 754 *Aquaculture International*, **21**: 45-52.

755

756 Jones, T. & Iwama, G. 1991. Polyculture of the Pacific oyster, *Crassostrea gigas* (Thunberg),
 757 with Chinook salmon, *Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*. *Aquaculture*, **92**: 313-322.

758

759 Kroeker, K., Kordas, R., Crim, R. & Singh, G. 2010. Meta-analysis reveals negative yet
 760 variable effects of ocean acidification on marine organisms. *Ecology Letters*, **13**: 1419-1434.

761

762 Lakens, D. 2013. Calculating and reporting effect sizes to facilitate cumulative science: a
 763 practical primer for t-tests and ANOVAs. *Frontiers in Psychology*, **4**: 863.

764

765 Laing, I., Utting, S. & Kilada, R. 1987. Interactive effect of diet and temperature on the growth
 766 of juvenile clams. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, **113**: 23-38.

767

768 Lander, T., Robinson, S., MacDonald, B. & Martin, J. 2012. Enhanced growth rates and
 769 condition index of blue mussels (*Mytilus edulis*) held at integrated multi-trophic aquaculture
 770 sites in the Bay of Fundy. *Journal of Shellfish Research*, **31**: 997-1007.

771

772 Larned, S. 1998. Nitrogen versus Phosphorus – limited growth and sources of nutrients for
 773 coral reef macroalgae. *Marine Biology*, **132**: 409-421.

774

775 Law, B., Hill, P., Maier, I., Milligan, T. & Page, F. 2014. Size, settling velocity and density of
 776 small suspended particles at an active salmon aquaculture site. *Aquaculture Environment*
 777 *Interactions*, **6**: 29-42.

778

779 Lefebvre, S., Barille, L. & Clerc, M. 2000. Pacific oyster (*Crassostrea gigas*) feeding
 780 responses to fish farm-effluent. *Aquaculture*, **187**: 185-198.

781

782 Litchman, E. 1998. Population and community responses of phytoplankton to fluctuating light.
783 *Oecologica*, **117**: 247-257.
784

785 Lobban, C. & Harrison, P. 1996. Macroalgae ecology and physiology. Cambridge University
786 Press: 376pp.
787

788 Lucas, J. & Southgate, P. 2012. Aquaculture: Farming aquatic animals and plants. Wiley-
789 Blackwell: 648pp.
790

791 MacDonald, B., Robinson, S. & Barrington, K. 2011. Feeding activity of mussels (*Mytilus*
792 *edulis*) held in the field at an integrated multi-trophic aquaculture (IMTA) site (*Salmo salar*)
793 and exposed to fish food in the laboratory. *Aquaculture*, **314**: 244-251.
794

795 Malouf, R. & Breese, W. 1977. Seasonal changes in the effects of temperature and water flow
796 rate on the growth of juvenile pacific oysters, *Crassostrea gigas* (Thunberg). *Aquaculture*, **12**:
797 1-13.
798

799 Matos, J., Costa, S., Rodrigues, A., Pereira, R. & Sousa-Pinto, I. 2006. Experimental integrated
800 aquaculture of fish and red macroalgae in Northern Portugal. *Aquaculture*, **252**: 31-42.
801

802 Mazur, N. & Curtis, A. 2008. Understanding community perceptions of aquaculture: lessons
803 from Australia. *Aquaculture International*, **16**: 601-621.
804

805 Mazzola, A. & Sara, G. 2001. The effect of fish farming organic waste on food availability for
806 bivalve molluscs (Gaeta Gulf, Central Tyrrhenian, MED): stable carbon isotope analysis.
807 *Aquaculture*, **192**: 361-379.
808

809 Molloy, S., Pietrak, M., Bouchard, D. & Bricknell, I. 2011. Ingestion of *Lepeophtheirus*
810 *salmonis* by the blue mussel *Mytilus edulis*. *Aquaculture*, **311**: 61-64.
811

812 Molloy, S., Pietrak, M., Bouchard, D. & Bricknell, I. 2014. The interaction of infectious
813 salmon anaemia virus (ISAV) with the blue mussel, *Mytilus edulis*. *Aquaculture Research*, **45**:
814 509-518.
815

816 Mortensen, S. 1993. Passage of infectious pancreatic necrosis virus (IPNV) through
817 invertebrates in an aquatic food chain. *Diseases of Aquatic Organisms*, **16**: 41-45.
818

819 Navarette-Mier, F., Sanz-Lazaro, C. & Marin, A. 2010. Does bivalve mollusc polyculture
820 reduce marine fin fish farming environmental impact? *Aquaculture*, **306**: 101-107.
821

822 Navarro, J. & Thompson, R. 1995. Seasonal fluctuations in the size spectra, biochemical
823 composition and nutritive value of the seston available to a suspension-feeding bivalve in a
824 subarctic environment. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, **125**: 95-106.
825

826 Navas, J., Telfer, T. & Ross, L. 2011. Application of 3D hydrodynamic and particle tracking
827 models for better environmental management of finfish culture. *Continental Shelf Research*,
828 **31**: 675-684.
829

830 Naylor, R., Goldburg, R., Primavera, J., Kautsky, N., Beveridge, M., Clay, J., Folke, C.,
831 Lubchenco, J., Mooney, H. & Troell, M. 2000. Effect of aquaculture on world fish supplies.
832 *Nature*, **405**: 1017-1024.
833

834 Naylor, R., Hindar, K., Fleming, I., Goldburg, R., Williams, S., Volpe, J., Whoriskey, F., Eagle,
835 J., Kelso, D. & Mangel, M. 2005. Fugitive salmon: Assessing the risks of escaped fish from
836 net-pen aquaculture. *Bioscience*, **55**: 427-437.
837

838 Nelson, E., MacDonald, B. & Robinson, S. 2012. The absorption efficiency of the suspension-
839 feeding sea cucumber, *Cucumaria frondosa*, and its potential as an extractive integrated multi-
840 trophic aquaculture (IMTA) species. *Aquaculture*, **370-71**: 19-25.
841

842 Neori, A., Krom, M., Ellner, S., Boyd, C., Popper, D., Rabinovitch, R., Davison, P., Dvir, O.,
843 Zuber, D., Ucko, M., Angel, D. & Gordin, H. 1996. Macroalgae biofilters as regulators of
844 water quality in integrated fish-macroalgae culture units. *Aquaculture*, **141**: 183-199.
845

846 Neori, A., Shpigel, M. & Ben-Ezra, D. 2000. A sustainable integrated system for the culture of
847 fish, macroalgae and abalone. *Aquaculture*, **186**: 279-291.
848

849 Neori, A., Chopin, T., Troell, M., Bushcman, A., Kraemer, G., Halling, C., Shpigel, M. &

850 Yarish, C. 2004. Integrated aquaculture: rationale, evolution and state of the art emphasizing
851 macroalgae biofiltration in modern mariculture. *Aquaculture*, **231**: 361-391.

852

853 Neyeloff, J., Fuchs, S. & Moreira, L. 2012. Meta-analyses and Forest plots using a Microsoft
854 excel spreadsheet: step-by-step guide focusing on descriptive data analysis. *BMC Research*
855 *Notes* **5**:52.

856

857 Olsen, L., Holmer, M. & Olsen, Y. 2008. Perspective of nutrient emission from fish
858 aquaculture in coastal waters: Literature review with evaluated states of knowledge. *The*
859 *Fishery and Aquaculture Industry Fund*, FHF Project no. 542014, 87pp.

860

861 Olsen, Y. & Olsen, L. 2008. Environmental impact of aquaculture on coastal planktonic
862 ecosystems. In: Tsukamoto, K., Kawamura, T., Takeuchi, T., Beard, T. & Kaiser, M. (eds).
863 *Fisheries for Global Welfare and Environment, Proceedings of the 5th World Fisheries*
864 *Congress 2008*, Terrapub, Tokyo: 181-196.

865

866 Page, H. & Hubbard, D. 1987. Temporal and spatial patterns of growth in mussels (*Mytilus*
867 *edulis*) on an offshore platform: relationships to water temperature and food availability.
868 *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, **111**: 159-179.

869

870 Peharda, M., Zupan, I., Bavcevic, L., Frankic, A. & Klanjscek, T. 2007. Growth and condition
871 index of mussel *Mytilus galloprovincialis* in experimental integrated aquaculture. *Aquaculture*
872 *Research*, **38**: 1714-1720.

873

874 Pietrak, M., Molloy, S., Bouchard, D., Singer, J. & Bricknell, I. 2012. Potential role of *Mytilus*
875 *edulis* in modulating the infectious pressure of *Vibrio anguillarum* 02β on an integrated multi-
876 trophic aquaculture farm. *Aquaculture*, **326-329**: 36-39.

877

878 Redmond, K., Magnasen, T., Hansen, P., Strand, O. & Meier, S. 2010. Stable isotopes and fatty
879 acids as tracers of the assimilation of salmon fish feed in blue mussels (*Mytilus edulis*).
880 *Aquaculture*, **298**: 202-210.

881

882 Reid, G., Liutkus, M., Bennet, A., Robinson, S., MacDonald, B. & Page, F. 2010. Absorption
883 efficiency of blue mussels (*Mytilus edulis* and *M. trossulus*) feeding on Atlantic salmon (*Salmo*

884 *salar*) feed and fecal particulates: Implications for integrated multi-trophic aquaculture.
885 *Aquaculture*, **209**: 165-169.

886

887 Reid, G., Chopin, T., Robinson, S., Azevedo, P., Quinton, M. & Belyea, E. 2013a. Weight
888 ratios of the kelps, *Alaria esculenta* and *Saccharina latissima*, required to sequester dissolved
889 inorganic nutrients and supply oxygen for Atlantic salmon, *Salmo salar*, in integrated multi-
890 trophic aquaculture systems. *Aquaculture*, **408-409**: 34-46.

891

892 Reid, G., Robinson, S., Chopin, T. & MacDonald, B. 2013b. Dietary proportion of fish culture
893 solids required by shellfish to reduce the net organic load in open-water integrated multi-
894 trophic aquaculture: A scoping exercise with cocultured Atlantic Salmon (*Salmo salar*) and
895 Blue Mussel (*Mytilus edulis*). *Journal of Shellfish Research*, **32**: 509-517.

896

897 Rensel, J., Bright, K. & Siegrist, Z. 2011. Integrated fish-shellfish mariculture in Puget sound.
898 Final Report. NOA award – NA08OAR4170860.

899

900 Ridler, N., Wowchuk, M., Robinson, B., Barrington, K., Chopin, T., Robinson, S., Page, F.,
901 Reid, G., Szemerda, M., Sewuster, J. & Boyne-Travis, S. 2007. Integrated multi-trophic
902 aquaculture (IMTA): A potential strategic choice for farmers. *Aquaculture Economics &*
903 *Management*, **11**: 99-110.

904

905 Sanderson, J., Cromey, C., Dring, M. & Kelly, M. 2008. Distribution of nutrients for
906 macroalgae cultivation around salmon cages at farm sites in north-west Scotland. *Aquaculture*,
907 **278**: 60-68.

908

909 Sanderson, J., Dring, M., Davidson, K. & Kelly, M. 2012. Culture, yield and bioremediation
910 potential of *Palmaria palmata* (Linnaeus) Weber & Mohr and *Saccharina latissima* (Linnaeus)
911 C.E. Lane, C. Mayers, Druehl & G.W. Saunders adjacent to fish farm cages in northwest
912 Scotland. *Aquaculture*, **354-355**: 128-135.

913

914 Sara, G., Zenone, A. & Tomasello, A. 2009. Growth of *Mytilus galloprovincialis* (mollusca,
915 bivalvia) close to fish farms: a case of integrated multi-trophic aquaculture within the
916 Tyrrhenian Sea. *Hydrobiologia*, **636**: 129-136.

917

918 Shpigel, M. 2005. The use of bivalves as biofilters and valuable product in land based
 919 aquaculture systems – review. In Dame, R. & Olenin, S. (Eds.), *The Comparative roles of*
 920 *suspension-feeders in ecosystems*. Kluwer Academic Pub, Dordrecht, The Netherlands: 400pp.
 921

922 Skar, C. & Mortensen, S. 2007. Fate of infectious salmon anaemia virus (ISAV) in
 923 experimentally challenged blue mussels *Mytilus edulis*. *Diseases of Aquatic Organisms*, **74**: 1-
 924 6.
 925

926 Stirling, H. & Okumus, I. 1995. Growth and production of mussels (*Mytilus edulis* L.)
 927 suspended at salmon cages and shellfish farms in two Scottish sea lochs. *Aquaculture*, **134**:
 928 193-210.
 929

930 Strain, P. & Hargrave, B. 2005. Salmon aquaculture, nutrient fluxes and ecosystem processes
 931 in Southwestern New Brunswick. *Environmental Effects of Marine Finfish Aquaculture*, **5**: 29-
 932 57.
 933

934 Sullivan, G. & Feinn, R. 2012. Using effect size – or why the *P* value is not enough. *Journal of*
 935 *Graduate Medical Education*, **4**: 279-282.
 936

937 Troell, M., Halling, C., Nilsson, A., Buschmann, A., Kautsky, N. & Kautsky, L. 1997.
 938 Integrated marine cultivation of *Gracilaria chilensis* (Gracilariales, Rhodophyta) and salmon
 939 cages for reduced environmental impact and increased economic output. *Aquaculture*, **156**: 45-
 940 61.
 941

942 Troell, M. & Norberg, J. 1998. Modelling output and retention of suspended solids in an
 943 integrated salmon-mussel culture. *Ecological Modelling*, **110**: 65-77.
 944

945 Troell, M., Halling, C., Neori, A., Chopin, T., Buschmann, A., Kautsky, N. & Yarish, C. 2003.
 946 Integrated mariculture: asking the right questions. *Aquaculture*, **226**: 69-90.
 947

948 Troell, M. 2009. Integrated marine and brackish water aquaculture in tropical regions:
 949 research, implementation and prospects. In D. Soto (ed.). *Integrated mariculture: a global*
 950 *review. FAO Fisheries and Aquaculture Technical Paper*. No. 529. Rome, FAO. pp 47-131.
 951

952 Troell, M., Joyce, A., Chopin, T., Neori, A., Buschmann, A. & Fang, J. 2009. Ecological
 953 engineering in aquaculture – Potential for integrated multi-trophic aquaculture in marine
 954 offshore systems. *Aquaculture*, **297**: 1-9.

955

956 Valiela, I., Bowen, J. & York, J. 2001. Mangrove forests: One of the worlds threatened major
 957 tropical environments. *Bioscience*, **51**: 807-815.

958

959 Wallace, J. 1980. Growth rates of different populations of the edible mussel, *Mytilus edulis*, in
 960 North Norway. *Aquaculture*, **19**: 303-311.

961

962 Wang, X., Olsen, L., Reitan, K. & Olsen, Y. 2012. Discharge of nutrient wastes from salmon
 963 farms: environmental effects, and potential for integrated multi-trophic aquaculture.
 964 *Aquaculture Environment Interactions*, **2**: 267-283.

965

966 Wang, X., Broch, O., Forbord, S., Handå, A., Skjermo, J., Reitan, K., Vadstein, O. & Olsen, Y.
 967 2014. Assimilation of inorganic nutrients from salmon (*Salmo salar*) farming by the
 968 macroalgae (*Saccharina latissima*) in an exposed coastal environment: implications for
 969 integrated multi-trophic aquaculture. *Journal of Applied Phycology*, **26**: 1869-1878.

970

971 White, K., O'Neill, B. & Tzankova, Z. 2004. At a crossroads: Will aquaculture fulfil the
 972 promise of a blue revolution? Report. Seaweb Aquaculture Clearinghouse Report, Washington
 973 DC: 17pp.

974

975 Whitmarsh, D., Cook, E. & Black, K. 2006. Searching for sustainability in aquaculture: An
 976 investigation into the economic prospects of an integrated salmon-mussel production system.
 977 *Marine Policy*, **30**: 293-298.

978

979 Widdows, J., Fieth, P. & Worrall, C. 1979. Relationships between seston, available food and
 980 feeding activity in the Common Mussel, *Mytilus edulis*. *Marine Biology*, **50**: 195-207.

981

982

983

984

985

Figure legends

Figure 1. Bivalve growth relative to controls (weighted mean effect size $\pm$ 95% confidence intervals) when integrated with open-water fish farms presented for individual studies and for all studies collated (total weighted mean effect size (T*)). Boxed numbers represent the number of data points used to calculate weighted mean effect sizes.

Figure 2. Weighted mean effect size ($\pm$ 95% confidence intervals) for bivalves cultivated at varying distances from open-water fish farms. Boxed numbers represent the number of data points used to calculate weighted mean effect sizes.

Figure 3. Macroalgal growth relative to controls (weighted mean effect size $\pm$ 95% confidence intervals) when integrated with open-water fish farms presented for individual studies and for all studies collated (total weighted mean effect size (T*)). Boxed numbers represent the number of data points used to calculate weighted mean effect sizes.

Figure 4. Weighted mean effect size ($\pm$ 95% confidence intervals) for macroalgae cultivated at varying distances from open-water fish farms. Boxed numbers represent the number of data points used to calculate weighted mean effect sizes.