



An experimental use of floating treatment wetlands (FTWs) to reduce phytoplankton growth in freshwaters

Jones, Timothy; Willis, N.; Gough, Rachel; Freeman, Christopher

Ecological Engineering

Published: 01/02/2017

Peer reviewed version

[Cyswllt i'r cyhoeddiad / Link to publication](#)

Dyfyniad o'r fersiwn a gyhoeddwyd / Citation for published version (APA):

Jones, T., Willis, N., Gough, R., & Freeman, C. (2017). An experimental use of floating treatment wetlands (FTWs) to reduce phytoplankton growth in freshwaters. *Ecological Engineering*, 99, 316-323.

Hawliau Cyffredinol / General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal ?

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

1 **An experimental use of floating treatment wetlands (FTWs) to reduce**
2 **phytoplankton growth in freshwaters**

3

4 Timothy G. Jones^{1*}, Naomi Willis², Rachel Gough¹ & Chris Freeman¹

5

6 ¹Bangor Wetlands Group, School of Biological Sciences, Deiniol Road, Bangor
7 University, Bangor, Gwynedd, LL57 2UW, UK

8 ²Dŵr Cymru Welsh Water, Pentwyn Road, Nelson, Treharris, Mid Glamorgan CF46
9 6LY, UK.

10

11 *Corresponding author. Tel.: +44 (0)1248 382546; E-mail address: t.jones@bangor.ac.uk

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29 **Keywords** – Phytoplankton, Chlorophyll, Dissolved Organic Carbon (DOC),
30 Eutrophication, Floating Treatment Wetlands (FTWs), Nitrate, Phosphate, *Phragmites*
31 *australis*

32 **Abstract**

33 Eutrophication and the formation of phytoplankton blooms in freshwaters can be
34 detrimental to water quality and biological health and produce organic matter that can be
35 difficult to remove during water treatment processes. With the frequency of
36 phytoplankton blooms increasing, remediation solutions are becoming increasingly
37 popular. This study investigated the use of a peat-based floating treatment wetland
38 (FTW) for reducing phytoplankton growth in eutrophic waters. Over a four-week period,
39 the FTWs were able to reduce chlorophyll a concentrations by 80%, through
40 sequestration of nitrate and phosphate and possibly due to the direct inhibitory properties
41 of phenolic compounds. Although there are concerns about the leaching of dissolved
42 organic carbon (DOC) from the FTWs, this may be more than offset by the beneficial
43 suppression of phytoplankton growth and the resulting reduced input of ‘untreatable’ low
44 molecular weight DOC.

45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62

63 **Introduction**

64 The eutrophication of freshwaters is currently a major global environmental issue,
65 particularly in lowland lakes and reservoirs (Smith, 2003). The major driver has been
66 widespread nutrient enrichment of freshwaters, specifically of nitrogen (N) and
67 phosphorus (P) derived from anthropogenic sources, principally fertiliser use in the
68 agricultural sector (Herath, 1997; Carpenter *et al.*, 1998; McDowell *et al.*, 2009; Withers,
69 *et al.* 2014) and the expansion of urban areas and resulting discharges of sewage (Jenny *et*
70 *al.* 2016). Environmental standards now exist for P (as orthophosphate) in freshwaters in
71 the EU under the Water Framework Directive (WFD) and it is estimated that a half to two
72 thirds of lakes in England and Wales are failing to meet good ecological status due to
73 elevated concentrations of P (Carvalho *et al.* 2005; Duethmann *et al.* 2009). Excess N (as
74 nitrate) and P (as phosphate) in freshwaters can lead to excessive growth of macrophytes
75 and phytoplankton, reduced water quality (most significantly dissolved oxygen
76 concentrations) and loss of aquatic fish life. Some phytoplankton species (cyanobacteria
77 or blue-green algae) can be harmful due to toxic effects (Osborne *et al.*, 2001; Johnk *et*
78 *al.*, 2008; Paerl & Otten, 2013). The frequency of occurrence of phytoplankton blooms in
79 freshwaters has increased over the last few decades (Van Dolah *et al.*, 2001; Moore *et al.*,
80 2008) and climate change, specifically rising temperatures, is expected to lead to elevated
81 phytoplankton growth in water bodies that currently do not experience such issues
82 (Ritson *et al.*, 2014).

83 Excess phytoplankton can be particularly problematic in reservoirs used as sources of
84 drinking water. Algogenic organic matter can cause odour and taste problems in potable
85 water sources (especially when bacteria decompose labile compounds) and increase
86 coagulant and chlorine demand, lead to membrane fouling and elevate disinfection by-
87 product concentrations during water treatment processes (Bernhardt *et al.*, 1991; Knappe
88 *et al.*, 2004; Nguyen *et al.*, 2005; Li *et al.*, 2012). As phytoplankton enter the senescence
89 phase, decomposing cells release low molecular weight organic matter which is virtually
90 untreatable by conventional water treatment processes (Cheng and Chi, 2003).

91 Eutrophication may therefore cause elevated levels of low molecular weight carbon in
92 raw and final waters and potentially lead to increased bacterial re-growth in the drinking
93 water distribution systems (Jjemba, *et al.* 2010).

94 Tackling the issue of excess nutrients leaching into freshwaters is best achieved at source
95 (Withers et al. 2014) but some studies have attempted to reduce phytoplankton blooms in
96 freshwaters by more direct means (Lurling et al. 2016). One common technique is to
97 utilise the inhibitory properties of straw and deciduous litter to directly suppress the
98 growth of phytoplankton (Welch *et al.*, 1990; Murray et al. 2010). Inhibition has been
99 linked to the release of phenolic compounds derived from the oxidation of lignin (Ridge
100 & Pillinger 1996) and these compounds have been described as xenobiotic due to their
101 effects on algae and cyanobacteria (Laue et al. 2014). Recent work has demonstrated that
102 polyphenolic compounds released from decomposing barley straw can produce hydrogen
103 peroxide in the presence of UV radiation and this can be inhibitory towards some
104 phytoplankton species (Iredale, et al. 2012). Despite the possible benefits, the use of
105 barley straw requires considerable management effort and the long term ecological safety
106 is not known (Martin and Ridge, 1999; Ball *et al.*, 2001).

107 Treatment wetlands offer a low cost green approach for minimising phytoplankton
108 growth in freshwaters, mainly by reducing concentrations of N and P within a body of
109 freshwater rather than direct effects on phytoplankton. A consequence of the high levels
110 of biological productivity within wetlands is that pollutants which enter through run off,
111 especially nitrogen-rich compounds contained in domestic and agricultural wastewater,
112 are easily broken down into substrates for the plants and microorganisms (Mitsch and
113 Gosslink, 2000). Wetlands also act as chemical sinks, storing large amounts of carbon
114 (Jenkinson *et al.*, 1991) and nutrients in the soil matrix and water (Vymazal, 2007). The
115 characteristic of carbon storage is largely attributed to waterlogging of the soil, creating
116 anaerobic conditions and inhibiting enzymic decomposition of organic matter through an
117 ‘enzymic latch mechanism’ (Freeman *et al.*, 2001; 2004). An additional benefit of
118 wetland soil is the presence of plant derived phenolic material (Wetzel, 1992) which,
119 studies have indicated, suppress algal blooms (Pillinger *et al.*, 1994; Everall and Lees,
120 1997; Ferrier, *et al.*, 2005).

121 It is therefore possible that the nutrient absorbing capabilities of wetland plants and
122 microbes in conjunction with their ability to store large amounts of soil phenolic carbon
123 may provide a unique method for controlling phytoplankton blooms. Whilst a fixed
124 constructed wetland installed within the catchment of a lake can be used to reduce point

125 sources of N and P such as from inflowing streams (Scholz et al. 2016), such systems are
126 less effective at targeting non-point (diffuse) sources of pollution. A series of small,
127 floating wetlands may be more suitable for treating this type of pollution and have shown
128 to be effective in a small number of previous studies. FTWs could be installed when
129 phytoplankton blooms are known to occur rather than all year round and removed during
130 the winter months, and the *Phragmites* harvested, to prevent potential re-release of
131 nutrients during plant senescence (Toet, et al. 2005). FTWs are also beneficial through
132 not needing to have water diverted to them from inflowing streams or the lake itself and
133 they are particularly suitable for treating event-driven discharges such as during storm
134 events (Van de Moortel, et al. 2012). Whilst a number of studies have demonstrated the
135 effectiveness of floating treatment wetlands (FTWs) in nutrient removal (e.g. Vymazal,
136 2007; De Stefani *et al.*, 2011; Keizer-Vlek *et al.*, 2014; Lynch *et al.*, 2015; Saeed, et al.
137 2016) and some have considered the role of algae either as a mechanism for nutrient
138 assimilation (Keizer-Vlek *et al.*, 2014) or as a biological indicator of water quality (Lu *et*
139 *al.*, 2015), to date, none have directly investigated the potential of FTWs for mitigating
140 against phytoplankton blooms.

141 The aim of this experiment is to examine the potential of FTWs planted with *Phragmites*
142 *australis* for controlling phytoplankton blooms in eutrophic water bodies. Phytoplankton
143 blooms were artificially generated in small pond systems and phytoplankton biomass and
144 pond water hydrochemistry compared between control and FTW treatments over a four-
145 week period.

146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155

156 **Materials and methods**

157

158 **FTW and pond designs**

159 *Phragmites australis* (Cav.) Trin. ex. Steud was the chosen plant species for both phase 1
160 and 2 due to its ability to sequester nitrate and phosphate in freshwaters and its
161 widespread use in remediation wetlands (Massacci *et al.*, 2001). The *Phragmites*
162 *australis* plants were grown to a height of 30 cm in a greenhouse prior to being planted in
163 the FTWs. The average leaf length was approximately 15 cm. The healthiest looking
164 plants were selected from the original stock and then divided out between the treatments
165 for both the phase 1 and 2 experiments.

166

167 Small FTW units were constructed for phase 2. The exterior of the FTW consisted of a
168 plastic-coated wire hanging basket (30 cm width, 15 cm height, 706 cm² surface area),
169 with the interior lined with inert netting to prevent the outward leaching of growth
170 medium. Around the rim of each basket, pipe insulation was fitted to enable the systems
171 to float. The growth medium consisted of equal quantities of peat, coya and shredded
172 heather which was added to just below the rim of the basket. This phenolic-rich substrate
173 was chosen to achieve a low decomposition rate through the enzymic latch mechanism
174 (Freeman *et al.*, 2001), thereby limiting the re-release of nutrients following uptake. Eight
175 *Phragmites australis* plants (as determined by results of Phase 1 experiment) were then
176 planted in each FTW. The FTWs were constructed two weeks prior to the experiment to
177 allow the plants to settle and washed daily with water to minimise the build-up of carbon,
178 nitrate and phosphate that could potentially leach from the FTWs once they were placed
179 in the ponds.

180

181 The experiment was performed in clear plastic boxes ('ponds') (59 cm width x 39 cm
182 depth x 42 cm height). The ponds were each filled to 70 L capacity with de-chlorinated
183 tap water. The water was then artificially altered to a eutrophic state through the addition
184 of "Long Ashton nutrient solution", with concentrations taken from Wetzel (2001) and
185 scaled up to generate an extremely eutrophic environment. A highly concentrated 20 mL
186 volume of phytoplankton was then added to the ponds following its culture from water

187 collected from the naturally eutrophic Llyn Penrhyn on the Isle of Anglesey, Wales, UK
188 (UK grid ref. SH 31382 76921). Initial nitrate, phosphate and chlorophyll *a*
189 concentrations were 12.0, 21.5 mg L⁻¹ and 9.5 µg L⁻¹ respectively. The ponds were placed
190 outside where they could receive full sun for the entire experimental period.

191

192 **Phase 1 experimental design**

193 This pilot experiment was performed to determine whether the *Phragmites australis* plants
194 were able to sequester N and P under the experimental conditions and, if so, the number
195 of *Phragmites* plants required within a single FCW unit for optimum suppression of the
196 growth of phytoplankton. This was determined by measuring how varying the number of
197 plants reduced the chlorophyll *a* concentration in the ponds. Six ponds were created as
198 described above and 0 (control – no plants), 2, 4, 6, 8 and 10 plants grown
199 hydroponically. The water in each pond was mixed manually every 3 days and topped up
200 with deionised water to replace evaporative losses. After 3 weeks, when there were
201 visible differences between treatments, a 250 ml water sample was collected from just
202 below the surface of each pond.

203

204 **Phase 2 experimental design**

205 Following the outcome of the pilot phase 1 experiment, eight *Phragmites* plants were
206 planted in each of five new FTW units. This produced a plant density equivalent to 113
207 per m². Ten new ponds were created with fresh nutrient solution and phytoplankton stock.
208 At the beginning of the experiment, a single FTW was added to five ponds randomly,
209 with the remaining five ponds left empty. The water in each pond was mixed manually
210 for 1 minute three times per week. Water samples were collected on a weekly basis for
211 four weeks starting from the day the FTWs were placed in the ponds. From each pond,
212 250 mL was extracted from below the surface and transported to the laboratory. After
213 each week's sampling, additional water was added to replace that which had evaporated
214 after sampling. Additional nutrients were added to each pond before sampling in week 3
215 to replenish those nutrients which had been utilised.

216

217 **Laboratory analyses**

218 All 250 ml water samples were filtered through GF/A filter paper (Fisher, Leicestershire,
219 UK) and again through 0.45 µm cellulose acetate filters and the solution stored at 4°C
220 until analysis.

221 The GF/A filter paper was analysed for chlorophyll a (as a proxy for phytoplankton
222 biomass) according to the method of Golterman (1978). The filters were placed in
223 individual 10 ml centrifuge tubes, 5 ml 90% acetone added and the tubes placed on a
224 shaker for 10 minutes. The tubes were then left in the dark at 4°C for 16 hours and then
225 centrifuged at 3,200 rpm for 10 minutes. Absorbance of the supernatant was measured at
226 665 and 750 nm on a Unikon 943 double beam UV-vis spectrophotometer (Kontron,
227 Chichester, UK) and chlorophyll a concentration was calculated using the following
228 formula:

229

$$230 \text{ Chlorophyll a } (\mu\text{g L}^{-1}) = 11.9 (\text{Abs}_{665} - \text{Abs}_{750}) \frac{v}{Vp}$$

231

232 Here V is the volume filtered (mL), v is the volume of extract (mL), p is the pathlength
233 (cm) and 11.9 the specific absorbance coefficient of chlorophyll a in 90% acetone.

234

235 Analyses carried out on the filtered water samples included the determination of
236 concentrations of dissolved organic carbon (DOC), phenolic compounds, nitrate and
237 phosphate and specific UV absorbance (SUVA). DOC concentration was measured using
238 a Thermalox TOC/TN analyser equipped with a non-dispersive CO₂ detector (Analytical
239 Sciences Ltd, Cambridge, UK). UV/visible absorbance measurements were made on the
240 same Unikon 943 spectrophotometer. The concentration of phenolic compounds was
241 determined using the spectrophotometric method described by Box (1984). SUVA (L mg⁻¹
242 m⁻¹) was calculated as a ratio of UV absorbance at 254 nm (m⁻¹) to DOC (mg L⁻¹); the
243 higher the value the more aromatic and higher molecular weight the DOC (Volk *et al.*,
244 2002).

245 Nitrate and phosphate were measured using a Dionex DX-120 ion chromatograph fitted
246 with conductivity detection and auto self-regenerating suppression. Separation was
247 achieved using an IonPac AS4A column (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Waltham MA,
248 USA).

249

250 **Statistical analysis**

251 The data was analysed by simply running t-tests of each time point comparison for each
252 treatment individually for each measured parameter. T-tests were also run to compare
253 between the two treatments at each time point. T-tests were not run when the
254 concentrations of a parameter were below the limit of detection and for DOC, Phenolics
255 or pH because the treatments were significantly different at week 0. The analyses were
256 run in GraphPad InStat (GraphPad Software Inc., CA, USA).

257

258

259 **Results**

260 Phase 1

261 Data from this experiment was used to decide how many plants to use in each FTW for
262 the phase 2 experiment and due to the lack of replication should only be taken as
263 informative. The experiment demonstrated the ability of *Phragmites australis* to reduce
264 chlorophyll a concentrations (by suppressing the growth of phytoplankton), with the
265 control treatment having a chlorophyll a concentration of $133 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ and the planted
266 treatments lower values after 3 weeks (Figure 1). The concentration reduced in a near
267 linear manner with increasing plant number up to 8 plants, with 10 plants showing no
268 additional benefit. The treatment with 8 plants had a final chlorophyll a concentration of
269 $35 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$, 74% less than the control treatment.

270

271 Phase 2

272 In all of the analyses undertaken, differing trends were recorded for the control and
273 planted treatments.

274 The mean concentration of phosphate (Figure 2) in both treatments declined from
275 approximately 2.6 mg L^{-1} to below the limit of detection ($<20 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$) over the 4 weeks,
276 only increasing when the nutrient was replenished at week 3. The decline in phosphate
277 was greatest for the planted ponds, falling to undetectable levels by week 2. Phosphate
278 concentrations were significantly higher in the control treatment at weeks 1 and 3
279 ($p < 0.001$).

280 The mean concentration of nitrate (Figure 3) measured in the both treatments varied
281 significantly from week to week ($p<0.001$). In the control ponds, after an initial increase
282 from week 0 to week 1, the concentration fell to 4.6 mg L^{-1} in week 2, rose to 17.2 mg L^{-1}
283 in week 3 following nutrient replenishment and fell to below the limit of detection (<20
284 $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$) in week 4. In the planted ponds, the concentration of nitrate fell from 11.6 mg L^{-1}
285 in week 0 to below $20 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ in weeks 1 and 2. It then rose to 15.0 mg L^{-1} in week 3 and
286 back to an undetectable level in week 4. The control treatment always had the higher
287 concentration and was significantly higher than the planted treatment at week 3
288 ($p<0.001$).

289 For chlorophyll a (Figure 4), the mean concentration in the control ponds increased
290 significantly, from $9.5 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ in week 0 to $128.1 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ in week 4 ($p<0.001$). In the
291 planted ponds, the mean concentration increased significantly from $9.4 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ in week 0
292 to $29.1 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ in week 1 ($p<0.001$), but then did not change significantly for the
293 remaining three weeks ($p>0.05$). After having almost identical concentrations of
294 chlorophyll a in week 0, the FTW planted ponds had approximately 80% less chlorophyll
295 a than the control ponds by week 4. The control treatment had significantly higher
296 chlorophyll a than the planted treatment at weeks 2, 3 and 4.

297 Mean DOC concentration (Figure 5) increased over the 4-week period in both the control
298 and planted ponds. The rise was greatest for the planted treatment, increasing
299 significantly from 6.5 mg L^{-1} in week 0 to 16.0 mg L^{-1} in week 4 ($p<0.001$), an average
300 rise of 2.4 mg L^{-1} per week. DOC in the control ponds increased significantly from 4.7
301 mg L^{-1} in week 0 to 10.0 mg L^{-1} in week 4 ($p<0.001$), an average rise of 1.3 mg L^{-1} per
302 week.

303 The mean concentrations of phenolic compounds (Figure 6) followed a similar trend to
304 DOC, increasing from week 0 to week 4 and at a greater rate for the planted ponds. In the
305 control ponds, the concentration rose significantly from 0.54 mg L^{-1} in week 0 to 2.13 mg
306 L^{-1} in week 4 ($p<0.001$); in the planted ponds from 0.73 mg L^{-1} in week 0 to 3.76 mg L^{-1}
307 in week 4 ($p<0.001$).

308 Values of SUVA (Figure 7) showed markedly different trends for each treatment. For the
309 control ponds, SUVA declined from 2.71 L-mg/m in week 0 to 0.42 L-mg/m in week 3
310 ($p<0.001$) and did not change significantly in week four ($p>0.05$). In the FTW ponds, the

311 SUVA did not change significantly throughout the experiment ($p>0.05$), although the
312 mean value declined slightly from 3.20 L-mg/m in week 0 to 2.37 L-mg/m in week 4.
313 The pH (Figure 8) of the pond water increased much more rapidly in the control
314 compared to the planted treatment. In the control treatment the pH increased significantly
315 from 7.53 in week 0 to 10.71 in week 4 ($p<0.001$) with the increase mostly occurring
316 between weeks 0 and 2. In the planted treatment the pH increased slightly but
317 significantly from 7.03 at week 0 to 7.552 at week 4 ($p<0.01$). The sharp rise at week 3
318 (to pH 9.08) was not sustained in week 4.

319

320 **Discussion**

321 The FTWs used in this study proved very successful at reducing the growth of
322 phytoplankton in small-scale freshwater ponds, reducing chlorophyll a (used as a proxy
323 for phytoplankton biomass) by 80% compared with the control treatment at four weeks.
324 The dominant mechanism for this was most likely nutrient uptake by the *Phragmites*
325 *australis*, effectively reducing nitrate and phosphate concentrations to levels that
326 inhibited the growth of phytoplankton. Previous studies have demonstrated the
327 effectiveness of *Phragmites australis* in reducing nutrient levels in both conventional
328 (surface/subsurface flow) (e.g. Vymazal, 2007) and floating treatment wetlands(e.g.
329 Keizer-Vlek, et al. 2014), but to our knowledge ours is the first study to demonstrate its
330 effectiveness for controlling phytoplankton in a small-scale floating system that also
331 utilises a substrate control. Although the concept is still relatively new compared to
332 conventional treatment wetlands, FTW systems have traditionally been employed with
333 rooted plants growing as a floating mat on the water's surface rather than in sediment
334 (Headley & Tanner, 2006). The FTW systems used in this study can be likened to a
335 natural floating wetland, defined in Sasser et al. (1991) as a 'free floating marsh' of
336 vegetation, detritus, peat (De Stefani, et al. 2011).

337

338 The small decrease in the chlorophyll a concentration from week 2 to week 3 for the
339 control ponds can be attributed to nutrient limitation and some algal senescence. Once
340 nutrient levels were replenished prior to sampling in week 3, chlorophyll a concentrations
341 rose sharply again in the control by week 4, but continued to be suppressed in the FTW

342 ponds. Despite evidence of nutrient uptake in the FTW ponds from week 1, our
343 chlorophyll a data also indicate a delay in the suppression of phytoplankton, which was
344 only apparent from week 2. Overall, these data offer encouragement that such systems
345 may be suitable for reducing phytoplankton blooms in nutrient-enriched freshwater lakes
346 but that the initial period of FTW establishment needs to be factored into predictions of
347 the length of time required to reduce nutrient concentrations and phytoplankton densities.
348 The water quality of the pond water with planted FTWs was much improved compared to
349 the control ponds, with much reduced Chlorophyll a, nitrate and phosphate concentrations
350 and a more neutral pH (phytoplankton blooms can lead to very alkaline water due to
351 depletion of inorganic carbon). However, our data show that the use of FTWs utilising a
352 peat/coya/heather based media may increase the concentration of DOC in the water body.
353 Comparing FTW and control data, by week 4 the growth of phytoplankton had
354 contributed approximately 5.3 mg L^{-1} of DOC in the control ponds, whilst in the FTW
355 ponds, 9.5 mg L^{-1} of DOC was produced. Therefore the FTWs contributed approximately
356 an extra 4.2 mg L^{-1} of DOC, presumably due to leaching of DOC from root exudates and
357 soil organic matter. However, the increase in DOC concentration associated with the
358 FTWs should be considered in the context of likely treatment scenario. The occurrence of
359 phytoplankton blooms in freshwater lakes or reservoirs typically occurs during the
360 summer months, when water temperatures and sunlight levels are highest (Johnk *et al.*,
361 2008). This is also a time of year when DOC concentrations in lakes tend to be low, as
362 the input of allochthonous DOC is reduced due to lower rainfall, lower availability of
363 leachable carbon and greater water usage by vegetation in the lake's catchment (Roberts,
364 1998). The increased input of DOC from the FTWs may therefore occur at a time when
365 DOC concentrations of the lake in which they are utilised are naturally low.

366

367 Our data also show that the composition of the additional DOC in the FTW ponds was
368 distinct. The DOC in the FTW ponds contained proportionally more phenolics and the
369 SUVA data suggests that the DOC was characterised by higher molecular weight, more
370 aromatic constituents (Volk *et al.*, 2002). The low molecular weight, aliphatic DOC
371 produced by algae is reported to be difficult to remove during conventional coagulation-
372 flocculation (Cheng and Chi, 2003) and in the distribution system may lead to harmful

373 bacterial growth (Volk *et al.*, 2000). Higher removal efficiencies are reported for higher
374 molecular weight, more aromatic (high SUVA) DOC (Sharp *et al.*, 2006; Gough *et al.*,
375 2014) such as that associated with the FTW treatment. Therefore, the addition of FTWs
376 may actually favour DOC removal during water treatment processes. Furthermore, it is
377 possible that the leaching of phenolics from the FTWs contributed to the suppression of
378 phytoplankton growth since these compounds have been demonstrated to have inhibitory
379 properties towards algae (Pillinger *et al.*, 1994; Ferrier *et al.*, 2005) and photo-
380 degradation of phenolics can produce hydrogen peroxide which has been linked to
381 inhibition of phytoplankton growth (Iredale, et al. 2012).

382 When assessing the results of this study it is also important to consider the scale of this
383 experiment in relation to the use of an FTW system in a real scenario and to stress the
384 need for follow-up work. This experiment was a pilot-scale feasibility study, directly
385 assessing the ability of a specific FTW design to mitigate phytoplankton blooms through
386 sequestering the key nutrients nitrate and phosphate. Although it is envisaged that the size
387 of an individual FTW would be much larger when used in a freshwater lake, the
388 FTW:water volume would certainly be much smaller than in this study, which could
389 affect the efficiency of phytoplankton bloom control. However, unlike in this study, the
390 use of FTWs in a real situation is expected to take place for many months, whereby the
391 systems can slowly and continuously take up N and P for the times of the year when
392 sunlight levels and water temperatures are sufficiently high to allow for the growth of
393 phytoplankton. Under this scenario, there would not be the demand for the FTWs to
394 rapidly reduce N and P concentrations from a high starting position and the systems could
395 keep the nutrient levels in check. If our FTW system were to be up-scaled the lower
396 FTW:water volume ratio would also likely lead to a much lower net increase in DOC
397 concentrations in the water body being treated. Nevertheless a pilot study would be
398 required to accurately assess the ability of FTWs to control phytoplankton growth at
399 larger scales. It is likely that such a system would not be suitable for large lakes where
400 bed sediment can be an important source of P (Wu, et al. 2014) and one not easily
401 controlled by FTWs. It is also suggested that the FTWs should be removed from the
402 treated water body at the end of the growing season since the senescence of the

403 *Phragmites australis* vegetation would likely input large amounts of carbon, nitrogen and
404 phosphorus into the lake (Polomski *et al.*, 2009).

405

406 **Conclusions**

407 This study demonstrated the potential of a peat-based floating treatment wetland (FTW)
408 to sequester nitrate and phosphate in a small-scale freshwater pond, thereby reducing the
409 growth of phytoplankton. After a period of four weeks, phytoplankton biomass (as
410 indicated by chlorophyll a concentration) was reduced by 80% in the FTW treatment.
411 DOC concentration in the FTW treated ponds was elevated compared with the control
412 treatment, presumably due to leaching from root exudates and soil substrate in the FTWs,
413 however the character of the DOC (more high molecular weight and aromatic) is likely to
414 facilitate effective removal during conventional water treatment compared to waters
415 dominated by phytoplankton-derived DOC. Furthermore, in a real treatment scenario this
416 DOC release is likely to coincide with low ambient DOC levels. The potential benefits in
417 terms of phytoplankton suppression are therefore likely to outweigh the additional DOC
418 release although it is suggested that further study be undertaken to assess the precise
419 impacts of FTW treatment on a larger scale.

420

421 **Acknowledgements**

422 This work received funding from a Royal Society Mercer Feasibility Award and Industry
423 Fellowship (CF), the European Social Fund, and Dwr Cymru Welsh Water. The authors
424 are grateful to ‘Reeds from Seeds’ for donating the *Phragmites australis* and to Mari
425 Whitelaw, Nina Menichino and Emma Johnstone for their assistance in the laboratory.

426

427

428

429

430

431

432

433

434 **References**

- 435 Ball, A.S., Williams, M., Vincent, D. and Robinson, J. (2001) Algal growth control by
436 barley straw extract. *Bioresource Technology* 77(2), 177-181
437
- 438 Bernhardt, H., Schell, H., Hoyer, O. and Lusse, B. (1991) Influence of allogenetic organic
439 substances on flocculation and filtration, *Water Institute of South Africa* 1, 41-57
440
- 441 Box, J.D. (1984) Investigation of the Folin- Ciocalteu Phenol reagent for the
442 determination of polyphenolic substances in natural waters. *Water Research* 17(5), 511-
443 525
444
- 445 Carpenter, S.R., Caraco, N.F., Correll, D.L., Howarth, R.W., Sharpley, A.N. and Smith,
446 V.H. (1998) Nonpoint pollution of surface waters with nitrogen and phosphorus.
447 *Ecological Applications* 8(3), 559-568
448
- 449 Carvalho L., Maberly S., May L., Reynolds C., Hughes M. and Brazier R. (2005) Risk
450 assessment methodology for determining nutrient impacts in surface freshwater bodies.
451 Environment Agency, report SCHO0605BJAW-E-P.
452
- 453 Cheng, W.P. and Chi, F.H. (2003) Influence of eutrophication on the coagulation
454 efficiency in reservoir water. *Chemosphere*, 53, 773–778
455
- 456 De Stefani, G., Tocchetto, D., Salvato, M., Borin, M. (2011) Performance of a floating
457 treatment wetland for in-stream water amelioration in NE Italy. *Hydrobiologia* 674, 157–
458 167
459
- 460 DÜthmann, D., Anthony, S., Carvalho, L. & Spears, B. (2009) A model-based assessment
461 of non-compliance of phosphorus standards for lakes in England and Wales. *International*
462 *Journal of River Basin Management*, 7, 197-207.
463

464 Overall, N.C. and Lees, D.R. (1997) The identification and significance of chemicals
465 released from decomposing barley straw during reservoir algal control. *Water Research*
466 31(3), 614-620
467

468 Ferrier, M.D., Butler Sr, B.R., Terlizzi, D. E. and Lacouture, R.V. (2005) The effects of
469 barley straw (*Hordeum vulgare*) on the growth of freshwater algae. *Bioresource*
470 *Technology* 96(16), 1788-1795
471

472 Freeman, C., Ostle, N. and Kang, H. (2001) An enzymic 'latch' on a global carbon store.
473 *Nature* 409(6817), 149
474

475 Freeman, C., Ostle, N.J., Fenner, N. and Kang, H. (2004) A regulatory role for phenol
476 oxidase during decomposition in peatlands. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 36(10), 1663-
477 1667
478

479 Golterman, H.L., Clymo, R.S. and Ohnstad, M.A.M. (1978) *Methods for the chemical*
480 *analysis of fresh water*. 2nd edition. Blackwell Scientific, UK
481

482 Gough, R., Holliman, P.J., Willis, N. and Freeman, C. (2014) Dissolved organic carbon
483 and trihalomethane precursor removal at a UK upland water treatment works 468-469,
484 228-239
485

486 Headley, T.R. & Tanner, C.C. (2006). *Applications of floating wetlands for enhanced*
487 *stormwater treatment: a review*. Auckland Regional Council, Technical Publication,
488 Auckland.
489

490 Herath, G. (1997) Freshwater algal blooms and their control: Comparison of the
491 European and Australian experience. *Journal of Environment Management* 51(2), 217-
492 227
493

494 Iredale, R.S., McDonald, A.T. & Adams, D.G. (2012) A series of experiments aimed at
495 clarifying the mode of action of barley straw in cyanobacterial growth control. *Water*
496 *Research*, 46 (18), 6095-6103.
497
498 Jenkinson, D.S., Adams, D.E. and Wild, A. (1991) Model estimates of CO₂ emissions
499 from soil in response to global warming. *Nature*, 351(6324), 304-306
500
501
502 Jenny, J-P., Normandeau, A., Francus, P., Taranu, Z.E., Gregory-Eaves, I., Lapointe, F.,
503 Jautzy, J., Ojala, A.E.K., Dorioz, J-M., Schimmelmann, A. & Zolitschka, B. (2016)
504 *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences (PNAS)*, doi:
505 10.1073/pnas.1605480113
506
507 Jjemba, P.K., Weinrich, L.A., Cheng, W., Giraldo, E. & LeChevallier, M.W. (2010)
508 *Regrowth of Potential Opportunistic Pathogens and Algae in Reclaimed-Water*
509 *Distribution Systems. Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 76 (13), 4169-4178.
510
511 Johnk, K. D., Huisman, J., Sharples, J. and Sommeijer, B. (2008) Summer heatwaves
512 promote blooms of harmful cyanobacteria. *Global Change Biology* 14(3), 495-512
513 Keizer-Vlek, H.E., Verdonschot, P.F.M., Verdonschot, R.C.M., Dekkers, D. (2014) The
514 contribution of plant uptake to nutrient removal by floating treatment wetlands.
515 *Ecological Engineering* 73, 684-690
516
517 Knappe, D., Belk, R.C., Briley, D., Gandy, S., Rastogi, N., Rike, A., Glasgow, H.,
518 Hannon, E., Frazier, W. and Pugsley, P.K.S. (2004) *Algae detection and removal*
519 *strategies for drinking water treatment plants (research report/ AWWA Research*
520 *Foundation). American Water Works Association*
521
522 Laue, P., Bährs, H., Chakrabarti, S. & Steinberg, C. (2014) Natural xenobiotics to prevent
523 cyanobacterial and algal growth in freshwater: Contrasting efficacy of tannic acid, gallic
524 acid, and gramine. *Chemosphere*, 104, 212-220.

525

526 Li, L., Gao, N., Deng, Y., Yao, J. and Zhang, K. (2012) Characterization of intracellular
527 & extracellular algae organic matters (AOM) of *Microcystis aeruginosa* and formation of
528 AOM-associated disinfection byproducts and odor & taste compounds. *Water Research*
529 46(4), 1233-1240

530

531 Lu, H., Ku, C., Chang, Y. (2015) Water quality improvement with artificial floating
532 islands. *Ecological Engineering* 74, 371–375

533

534 Lurling, M., Waajen, G. & Domis, L.N.D. (2016) Evaluation of several end-of-pipe
535 measures proposed to control cyanobacteria. *Aquatic Ecology*, 50 (3), 499-519.

536

537 Lynch, J., Fox, L.J., Owen Jr, J.S., Sample, D.J. (2015) Evaluation of commercial floating
538 treatment wetland technologies for nutrient remediation of stormwater. *Ecological*
539 *Engineering* 75, 61–69

540

541 McDowell, R.W., Larned, S.T. and Houlbrooke, D.J. (2009) Nitrogen and phosphorus in
542 New Zealand streams and rivers: Control and impact of eutrophication and the influence
543 of land management. *New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research* 43, 985-
544 995

545

546 Martin, D. and Ridge, I. (1999) The relative sensitivity of algae to decomposing barley
547 straw. *Journal of Applied Phycology* 11(3), 285-291

548

549 Massacci, A. Pietrini, F. and Iannelli, M.A. (2001) Remediation of wetlands by
550 *Phragmites australis* - the biological basis. *Minerva Biotechnologica* 13(2), 135-140

551

552 Mitsch, W.J. and Gosslink, J.G. (2000) *Wetlands*. 3rd edition. Wiley, USA

553

554 Moore, S.K., Trainer, V.L., Mantua, N.J., Parker, M.S., Laws, E.A., Backer, L.C. &
555 Fleming, L.E. (2008) Impacts of climate variability and future climate change on harmful
556 algal blooms and human health. *Environmental Health* 7(2), S4
557

558 Murray, D., Jefferson, B., Jarvis, P. & Parsons, S.A. (2010) Inhibition of three algae
559 species using chemicals released from barley straw. *Environmental Technology*, 31 (4),
560 455-466.
561

562 Nguyen, M.L., Westerhoff, P., Baker, L., Hu, Q., Esparza-Soto, M. and Sommerfeld, M.
563 (2005) Characteristics and reactivity of algae-produced dissolved organic carbon. *Journal*
564 *of Environmental Engineering* 131(11), 1574-1582
565

566 Osborne, N.J.T., Webb, P.M. and Shaw, G.R. (2001) The toxins of *Lyngbya majuscula*
567 and their human and ecological health effects. *Environment International* 27, 381–392
568

569 Paerl, H.W. & Otten, T.G. (2013) Harmful cyanobacterial blooms: Causes, consequences
570 and controls. *Microbial Ecology*, 65, 995–1010
571

572 Pillinger, J.M., Cooper, J.A. and Ridge, I. (1994) Role of phenolic-compounds in the
573 antialgal activity of barley straw. *Journal of Chemical Ecology* 20(7), 1573-1561
574

575 Polomski, R.F., Taylor, M.D., Bielenberg, D.G., Bridges, W.C., Klaine, S.J and
576 Whitwell, T. (2009) Nitrogen and phosphorus remediation by three floating aquatic
577 macrophytes in greenhouse-based laboratory-scale subsurface constructed wetlands.
578 *Water Air Soil Pollution* 197(1-4), 223-232
579

580 Ridge, I. and Pillinger, J.M. (1996) Towards understanding the nature of algal inhibitors
581 from barley straw. *Hydrobiologia* 340(1-3), 301-305
582

583 Ritson, J.P., Graham, N.J.D., Templeton, M.R., Clark, J.M., Gough, R., Freeman, C.
584 (2014) The impact of climate change on the treatability of dissolved organic matter

585 (DOM) in upland water supplies: a UK perspective. *Science of the Total Environment*
586 473, 714-730
587
588 Roberts, G. (1998) The effects of possible future climate change on evaporation losses
589 from four contrasting UK water catchment areas. *Hydrological Process* 12(5), 727-739
590
591 Saeed, T., Paul, B., Afrin, R., Al-Muyeed, A. & Sun, G. (2016) Floating constructed
592 wetland for the treatment of polluted river water: A pilot scale study on seasonal variation
593 and shock load. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 287, 62-73
594
595 Sasser, C.E., Gosselink, J.G. & Shaffer, G.P. (1991) Distribution of nitrogen and
596 phosphorus in a Louisiana freshwater floating marsh. *Aquatic Botany*, 41(4), 317-331.
597
598 Scholz, C., Jones, T.G., West, M., Ehbair, A.M.S., Dunn, C. & Freeman, C. (2016)
599 Constructed wetlands may lower inorganic nutrient inputs but enhance DOC loadings
600 into a drinking water reservoir in North Wales. *Environmental Science and Pollution*
601 *Research*, 23 (18), 18192-18199
602
603 Sharp, E.L., Jarvis, P., Parsons, S.A. Jefferson, B. (2006) Impact of fractional character
604 on the coagulation of NOM. *Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochemical and Engineering*
605 *Aspects* 286(1-3), 104-111
606
607 Smith, V.H. (2003) Eutrophication of freshwater and coastal marine ecosystems - A
608 global problem. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 10, 126-139
609
610 Toet, S. Bouwman, M., Cevaal, A. & Verhoeven J.T. (2005) Nutrient removal through
611 autumn harvest of *Phragmites australis* and *Thypha latifolia* shoots in relation to nutrient
612 loading in a wetland system used for polishing sewage treatment plant effluent. *J Environ*
613 *Sci Health A Tox Hazard Subst Environ Eng*, 40(6-7), 1133-56
614

615 Van de Moortel, A.M.K., Du Laing, G., De Pauw, N. & Tack, F.M.G. (2012) The role of
616 the litter compartment in a constructed floating wetland. *Ecological Engineering*, 39, 71-
617 80.
618

619 Van Dolah, F.M., Roelke, D. and Greene, R.M. (2001) Health and ecological impacts of
620 harmful algal blooms: Risk assessment needs. *Human and Ecological Risk Assessment*
621 7(5), 1329-1345
622

623 Volk, C., Bell, K., Ibrahim, E., Verges, D., Amy, G. and Lechevallier, M. (2000) Impact
624 of enhanced and optimised coagulation on removal of organic matter and its
625 biodegradable fraction in drinking water. *Water Research* 34(12), 3247-3257
626

627 Volk, C., Wood, L., Johnson, B., Robinson, J., Zhu, H.W. and Kaplan, L. (2002)
628 Monitoring dissolved organic carbon in surface and drinking waters. *Journal of*
629 *Environmental Monitoring* 4(1), 43-47
630

631 Vymazal, J. (2007) Removal of nutrients in various types of constructed wetlands.
632 *Science of the Total Environment* 380(1-3), 48-65
633

634 Welch, I.M., Barrett, P.R.F., Gibson, M.T. and Ridge, I. (1990) Barley straw as an
635 inhibitor of algal growth I: Studies in the Chesterfield canal. *Journal of Applied*
636 *Phycology* 2(3), 231-239
637

638 Wetzel, R.G. (1992) Gradient dominated ecosystems: sources and regulatory functions of
639 dissolved organic matter in freshwater ecosystems. *Hydrobiologica* 229(1), 181-198
640

641 Wetzel, R. G. (2001) *Limnology: Lake and river ecosystems*. 3rd Academic Press.
642

643 Withers, P.J.A., Neal, C., Jarvie, H.P. & Doody, D.G. (2014) Agriculture and
644 Eutrophication: Where Do We Go from Here? *Sustainability*, 6(9), 5853-5875.

645 Wu, Y., Wen, Y., Zhou, J. & Wu, Y. (2014) Phosphorus release from lake sediments:
646 Effects of pH, temperature and dissolved oxygen. *KSCE Journal of Civil Engineering*, 18
647 (1), 323-329.