Assessing biochar ecotoxicology for soil amendment by root phytotoxicity bioassays

Visioli, Giovanna; Conti, Federica D.; Menta, Cristina; Bandiera, Marianna; Malcevschi, Alessio; Jones, David; Vamerali, Teofilo

Environmental Monitoring and Assessment

DOI:

[10.1007/s10661-016-5173-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-016-5173-y)

Published: 01/03/2016

Peer reviewed version

[Cyswllt i'r cyhoeddiad / Link to publication](#)

Dyfyniad o'r fersiwn a gyhoeddwyd / Citation for published version (APA):

Visioli, G., Conti, F. D., Menta, C., Bandiera, M., Malcevschi, A., Jones, D., & Vamerali, T. (2016). Assessing biochar ecotoxicology for soil amendment by root phytotoxicity bioassays. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*, 188(3). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-016-5173-y>

Hawliau Cyffredinol / General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal ?

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

1 **Ecotoxicological assessment of biochar quality by using phytotoxicity bioassays**

2

3 Giovanna Visioli^{1*}, Federica D. Conti¹, Cristina Menta¹, Gerardo Palla³, Marianna Bandiera²,

4 Alessio Malcevschi¹, Teofilo Vamerali²

5

6 ¹ Department of Life Sciences, University of Parma, Italy

7 ² Department of Agronomy, Food, Natural Resources, Animals and the Environment, University of
8 Padova, Italy

9 ³ Department of Food Science, University of Parma, Italy

10

11 *** Corresponding author:**

12 Giovanna Visioli

13 Department of Life Sciences

14 University of Parma

15 Parco Area delle Scienze 11/A

16 43124 Parma, Italy

17 Tel: +39-0521-905692

18 E-mail: giovanna.visioli@unipr.it

19

20 **Abstract**

21 Soil amendment with biochar has been proposed as effective in improving agricultural land fertility
22 and carbon sequestration, although the characterisation and certification of biochar quality are still
23 crucial for agronomic acceptance. Described here are the effects of four biochars (conifer and
24 poplar wood, grape marc, wheat straw) at increasing application rates (0.5, 1, 2, 5, 10, 20, 50%
25 w/w) on both germination and root elongation of *Cucumis sativus* L., *Lepidium sativum* L. and

26 *Sorghum saccharatum* Moench. Biochars varied in chemical properties, depending on the type and
27 quality of the initial feedstock batch; PAHs were high in conifer and wheat straw, while Cd and Cu
28 exceeded maximum permitted values for amendments in poplar and grape marc, respectively. With
29 our chars, electrical conductivity and Cu altered both germination and root elongation at $\geq 5\%$ rate,
30 together with Zn at $\geq 10\%$ and elevated pH at $\geq 20\%$. Germination decreased only at very high rates
31 of grape marc and wheat straw chars, whereas root length was affected already at 0.5% of conifer
32 and poplar in cucumber and sorghum, with marked impairment in all chars at $> 5\%$. As a general
33 interpretation, it is proposed here a robust root phytotoxicity logarithmic model in sorghum, based
34 on biochar Zn content, which explains 66% of variability over the whole dosage range tested. We
35 conclude that metal contamination is a crucial quality parameter for biochar safety, and that root
36 elongation represents a stable test for assessing phytotoxicity at recommended amendment rates
37 ($< 1\text{--}2\%$).

38 **Key-words:** Biochar, Feedstock quality, Germination bioassay, Metal contamination, Root
39 phytotoxicity

40

41 **1. Introduction**

42 Biochar is a carbon-rich by-product resulting from waste plant material burned with little or
43 no oxygen at very high temperatures, usually between 300 and 1,000 °C (Jeffery et al., 2011;
44 Verheijen et al, 2010). In recent years, the importance of biochar for soil amendment has
45 substantially increased, mainly as a response to increased global carbon emissions and deterioration
46 of agricultural soil quality (Laird 2008; Lehmann, 2007). Due to its high porosity, specific surface
47 area and carbon content, biochar can decrease nutrient losses and water leaching, and enhance soil
48 cation exchange and water-retaining capacities (Chan et al., 2007; Lehman 2007). It can also adsorb
49 and immobilise persistent organic and inorganic pollutants (Beesley et al., 2010; Hale et al., 2011;
50 Oleszczuk et al., 2012; Fellet et al. 2014) and pesticides (Cao et al., 2011; Zheng et al., 2010) in

51 sediments and soils, decreasing the potentially noxious effects associated with their
52 bioaccumulation through the food chain.

53 Despite these benefits, knowledge of soil-biochar interactions is still incomplete. Hazardous effects
54 may derive from phytotoxic compounds, particularly heavy metals and polycyclic aromatic
55 hydrocarbons (PAHs). Highly dangerous PAHs originate from degradation of lignin and cellulose
56 during production (Freddo et al., 2012; Kuśmierz and Oleszczuk, 2014; Oleszczuk et al., 2013) and
57 are adsorbed onto biochar surfaces (Sharma and Hajaligol, 2003). PAHs are of great environmental
58 concern, due to their toxic, mutagenic and carcinogenic properties, and their presence may
59 introduce unacceptable environmental, agronomic and human health risks when biochar is spread
60 for soil amendment (Kuśmierz and Oleszczuk, 2014). Working parameters during burning of
61 biomass (temperature, oxygen rate, supply feedstock rate, composition) can affect its chemical and
62 physical properties (Spokas, 2010). Hence, it is essential to develop rapid and reliable procedures
63 for biochar screening, to highlight the potentially negative effects on plant growth and human health
64 before large-scale applications.

65 Within this framework, we compared the effects of four biochars obtained from a standardised
66 gasification process and various feedstock batches, i.e., conifer and poplar wood, grape marc and
67 wheat straw, on the germination and root elongation of three plant species routinely used in
68 bioassay tests, i.e., *Cucumis sativus* L., *Lepidium sativum* L. and *Sorghum saccharatum* (L.)
69 Moench. We aimed at: i) assessing the quality of different feedstocks, ii) identifying the best
70 species as indicators of potential biochar toxicity, and iii) finding a relationship between biochar
71 characteristics and phytotoxicity.

72

73 **2. Materials and methods**

74

75 **2.1 Biochar production and characterisation**

76

77 Biochars were obtained by gasification in a fixed-bed, down-draft, open-core, compact
78 gasifier (AGT Company, Italy) at 1,200 °C constant temperature. Four feedstock batches were used:
79 mixed conifer wood (CO), poplar wood (PO), grape marc (GM) and wheat straw (WS). The effects
80 of biochars were compared with a reference commercial green-waste composted amendment (CA)
81 obtained after 6-8-month maturation. pH was measured with a glass electrode on 10 g of pulverised
82 biochar diluted in 25 mL deionised water, after 1 h shaking and subsequent stabilisation.
83 Concentrations of trace elements were revealed in ~0.1-0.15 g DW homogenised samples after
84 microwave-acid digestion (Milestone ETHOS 900, Bergamo, Italy). Samples were analysed by
85 ICP-OES (SPECTRO CirOS Vision EOP, SPECTRO Analytical Instruments KG, Kleve,
86 Germany). DTPA extraction was also performed following the Lindsay and Norwell protocol
87 (1978). PAHs were quantified in 3-g samples treated with 50 mL of toluene (Sigma Aldrich, St.
88 Louis, MO) for 3 h through Soxhlet equipment; the solvent was then evaporated and weighed. The
89 residue was recovered with 1-2 mL toluene, adsorbed on a silica gel column and recovered by
90 washing with 5 mL of toluene, concentrated to 0.1-0.5 mL, spiked with 200 µg of diphenyl (Sigma
91 Aldrich) as internal standard, and injected (1 µL split mode 1/20 ratio) in a GC-MS analyser
92 (Agilent Technologies, Inc., Wilmington, DE) equipped with a 30-m capillary column (0.25-mm
93 i.d., 0.25 mcm f.t) connected with a 5-m silica pre-column (i.d. 0.53 mm). MS data were recorded at
94 70 eV scan mode (41-440 m/z).

95

96 **2.2 Phytotoxicity bioassays**

97

98 Three plant species were used for biochar tests, i.e., *Cucumis sativus* L. (cucumber),
99 *Lepidium sativum* L. (watercress) and *Sorghum saccharatum* (L.) Moench (sorghum). Seeds from
100 each species were obtained from plants not previously treated with fungicides. Seed vitality was

101 preliminarily assessed at 25 ± 1 °C in deionised water, germination rates being generally >90% for
102 all species. Seed germination and root elongation tests were performed according to OECD
103 regulations (1984). The four biochars and CA were pulverised and mixed with a standard soil (SS)
104 (70% quartz sand, 20% kaolinite, 10% finely-ground *Sphagnum* peat, pH 8.0 ± 0.2) at 7 increasing
105 w/w rates: 0.5, 1, 2, 5, 10, 20 and 50%, in comparison with SS alone, as untreated control. Tests
106 were performed directly on soil matrices because the elutriates could not always reflect the true
107 toxicity (Visioli et al., 2013; 2014). Four replicates per treatment were arranged by setting 15 g of
108 substrate in 9-cm diameter disposable Petri dishes, covered with Whatman #1 filter paper and
109 wetted with 5 mL of deionised water. Ten undamaged plump seeds were placed on the filter and the
110 dishes were incubated at 25 ± 2 °C in the dark for 72 h. Germination rate was evaluated as number of
111 complete sprouts (≥ 1 mm long) of total number of seeds; shoot (sorghum only) and root lengths
112 were also measured with a digital gauge.

113

114 **2.3 Statistical analysis**

115

116 To ascertain differences between biochars, CA and SS, “many-to-one” multiple comparisons
117 were performed with Dunnett’s test (Dunnett, 1955) as a follow-up to the one-way ANOVA
118 procedure. Both endpoints of the phytotoxicity tests (germination and root elongation) were
119 compared. When ANOVA revealed differences between CA and biochars, a multiple-comparison
120 Tukey’s HSD test was carried out. A log-logistic model from the Ritz and Streibig ‘drc’ R package
121 (2005) was applied to fit the dose response of biochars and CA and to estimate the effective
122 concentrations (Ec) responsible for reductions of 10, 30 or 50% in root length. In CO and PO
123 biochars, the log-logistic model failed, and a linear interpolation was applied within the range 0-
124 0.5% (which accounted for almost all variability). A multiple linear regression (stepwise),
125 considering additive effects, was also used to verify the effects of different chemicals in biochars on
126 the reduction in root length. To facilitate interpretation of the large dataset regarding biochar quality

127 and plant response, multivariate statistical analysis was applied at each tested biochar rate, to reduce
128 the number of variables by PCA (principal component analysis) and to identify common data
129 distribution patterns by cluster analysis. Before applying these, data were standardised by
130 subtracting the mean and dividing by standard deviation within each variable.

131 Factorial discriminant analysis (MDA, Multigroup Discriminant Analysis, with Wilks' lambda and
132 Pillai's trace tests) and PCA were applied to describe phytotoxicity and biochar quality based on
133 germination rate and root length, and chemical features of biochars (i.e., pH, EC, total PAHs and
134 metal rates: Cd, Co, Cr, Cu, Hg, Ni, Pb, Zn). Multivariate data normality was preliminarily verified
135 by the Shapiro test. Multivariate cluster analysis was used to describe the characteristics of
136 similarity among biochars and plant species. The data clustering algorithm was agglomerative
137 (bottom-up) with distance optimisation and similarity (Pearson's correlation coefficient) as
138 proximity method. The squared Euclidean distance and average link (UPGMA, Unweighted Pair
139 Group Method using Arithmetic Average) were used as cluster distance and linkage method,
140 respectively. In dendrograms, the maximum level of homogeneity within groups was calculated
141 with the method of Calinski and Harabasz (1974). All statistical analyses were performed with R
142 software (2013) and within MS Excel XLSTAT (Addinsoft, Paris, France).

143 144 **3. Results**

145 146 **3.1 Chemical characteristics of biochars**

147
148 Chemical characterisation of the four biochars revealed some differences in pH, metals and
149 PAH contents (Table 1a,b). All biochars were alkaline, pH varying from 8.6 in poplar to 10.4 in
150 grape marc. Only PO had a pH similar to that of SS and CA; the others were characterised by strong
151 alkalinity, with pH generally >10 (Table 1a). GM also showed the highest electrical conductivity
152 ($EC > 12 \text{ mS cm}^{-1}$), $\sim 70\times$ higher than that of PO and CA.

153 Metal concentrations were generally below the maximum admitted threshold recommended
154 by Italian legislation for amendments (Italian Legislative Decree 217/2006, in application of Reg.
155 CE n. 2003 13 October 2003). Metals above threshold were Cd in PO (+4%) and, in particular, Cu
156 in GM (+60%) (Table 1a).

157 Sixteen PAH species were revealed as the main pollutants, according to their potential mutagenic
158 properties (EPA, 2008). CO had the highest total PAH rate ($>30 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$), with considerably higher
159 concentrations of phenanthrene, anthracene and the carcinogenic benzo(b)fluoranthene compared
160 with the other feedstocks (Table 1b). GM had the lowest value ($\sim 5 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$), the order being
161 $\text{CO} > \text{WS} > \text{PO} > \text{GM}$.

162

163 3.2 Effects of biochars on seed germination and root elongation

164

165 Seed germination of plant species was revealed at each tested biochar and CA rate (Fig. 1).
166 *Cucumis sativus* was not influenced at low rates, germination being significantly reduced with
167 respect to controls ($P < 0.001$) at only $>10\%$ of GM and $>50\%$ of WS. *Lepidium sativum* showed an
168 increase in germination rate at all tested dilutions of CO and PO whereas, after initial enhancement,
169 GM again became severely phytotoxic at $\geq 5\%$ and WS at $\geq 50\%$ (-40% in germination). Similarly,
170 in *S. saccharatum* CO and PO did not inhibit germination, but was seriously affected above 10% of
171 GM and 50% of WS ($P < 0.001$). GM was the most phytotoxic char, leading to complete inhibition
172 of germination at $\geq 10\%$ in watercress and $\geq 20\%$ in cucumber and sorghum. CA did not negatively
173 influence germination at any rate.

174 Root growth was severely affected by biochar amendment (Fig. 2). Root length in *C. sativus*
175 was significantly reduced at all biochar and tested rates ($P < 0.001$). For this species, a marked fall in
176 root elongation ($\sim 80\%$) was already observed at 0.5% of CO and PO, followed by a stable response,
177 whereas phytotoxicity increased progressively with amendment rate in GM and WS. CA also
178 caused significant root inhibition compared with the untreated control ($P < 0.001$) at any application

179 rate. Matching the complete inhibition of germination at $\geq 20\%$ of GM, root elongation was also
 180 impeded. *L. sativum* showed no inhibition in root length in CO and PO biochars, nor in CA, roots
 181 even being stimulated at all tested rates ($P < 0.001$); conversely, GM and WS, after initial stimulation
 182 up to 1% and 2% of application, respectively, inhibited the root growth of this species; at 5% GM
 183 and 10% WS, root lengths drastically fell, with significant differences with respect to controls
 184 ($P < 0.001$) (Fig. 2). Root and shoot lengths in *S. saccharatum* decreased gradually with increasing
 185 application rates of CA, GM and WS ($P < 0.001$), whereas marked impairment was immediately
 186 observed at the lowest amendment rate (0.5%) for CO and PO ($P < 0.001$) (Fig. 2).

187 Biochar application rates causing 10%, 30% and 50% reductions in root length for each species
 188 were also estimated (Table SI1). Since estimates for PO and CO fell within the small application
 189 range of 0-0.5%, in which the greatest variations occurred, they also provided the lowest values at
 190 the three effective concentrations, i.e., ~ 0.06 -0.09% (Ec_{10}), 0.12-0.18% (Ec_{30}) and 0.30-0.44%
 191 (Ec_{50}), depending on species. Low Ec values were also found in GM in combination with sorghum.
 192 The Ec_{50} of GM was quite stable across species (1.6-3.7%), but a larger variation was observed for
 193 WS (7.1-14.7%). Matching the enhancement effect of all biochars within a 2% application rate (Fig.
 194 2), *L. sativum* generally had a higher Ec compared with those of the other species (Table SI1).

195 ANOVA detected significant differences in root elongation between CA and biochars as average of
 196 application rates. Pairwise comparisons confirmed great reductions in length with CO in *C. sativus*
 197 and with GM in *L. sativum*; generalised growth impairment was observed in *S. saccharatum* (Table
 198 SI2).

199 Stepwise forward linear multiple regression applied to the whole dataset identified only
 200 electrical conductivity as a significant char parameter negatively related to root length, but the
 201 model explained only slight variability ($R^2 = 0.15$). When analysis was broken down by species, the
 202 Zn biochar rate appeared as the most important variable in both cucumber and sorghum, the
 203 coefficients of determination being 0.36 and 0.39, respectively. A logarithm model in sorghum
 204 turned out to provide the most suitable and significant fit ($R^2 = 0.66$) to describe root length (RL, %

205 of unamended control) over Zn concentration (mg kg^{-1}) corrected by biochar rate (BC%), as
206 follows:

$$207 \quad \text{RL} = 64.162 - 11.81 \cdot \ln(\text{Zn} \cdot \text{BC}\%)$$

208

209 **3.3 Principal component analysis and cluster analysis**

210

211 PCA based on chemical characteristics of biochars and standardised seed germination rate
212 and root length of plant species identified two dummy factors which explained 100% of variability.
213 The first factor (F1) accounted for almost all variability, i.e., >89% in biochar classification and
214 >77% in species classification, depending on amendment rate, so that only F1 is shown in Figs. 3
215 and 4.

216 At low amendment rates (0.5-1%) of biochar classification, F1 was supported (loadings > |0.5|) by
217 electrical conductivity (EC), Ni and Cr, and seldom by PAH rate (Fig. 3). Although germination
218 parameters only became significant at $\geq 5\%$ amendment, germination was initially negatively
219 correlated with EC and root length with Ni, Cr and PAHs (Fig. 3). Germination was negatively
220 affected by EC and Cu at $\geq 5\%$ amendment rate, together with Zn at $\geq 10\%$ and elevated pH at
221 $\geq 20\%$, as highlighted by the opposite direction of their vectors compared with those of germination
222 rate and root length. In discriminant analysis, ellipsis overlaps and centroid positions generally
223 highlighted two different groups, GM with high EC and Cu+Zn with maximum phytotoxicity,
224 distinguished from the other biochars or commercial amendment. Only at 50% rate could a third
225 group, represented by WS, be clearly plotted separately, with high pH and relatively high EC and
226 PAH, capable of markedly reducing germination and root length.

227 With regard to species classification, root length significantly supported Factor 1 at all amendment
228 rates, together with germination rate up to 2% of application. Both sorghum and cucumber were
229 classified together as more sensitive species than watercress in highlighting phytotoxicity, with
230 substantial indifference in species choice at 50% biochar rate only (Fig. 4).

231 At all amendment rates, the hierarchical ascendant classification of biochar-species interaction was
232 a good descriptor of biochar type, regardless of choice of species (Fig. 5). According to the
233 maximum level of similarity, the first group included poplar as the safest biochar source, with low
234 EC, pH and Cu contamination, together with the commercial amendment; the second group
235 included conifer and wheat straw. Grape marc was classified by itself in the third group, with
236 critical salinity, pH and metal contamination.

237

238

239 **4. Discussion**

240

241 **4.1 Feedstock and biochar quality**

242

243 Biochar quality largely depends on the chemical and physical characteristics of the initial
244 batch waste and gasification/pyrolysis parameters. Feedstock quality includes the rate of ash,
245 together with plant nutrients and heavy metals, lignin, cellulose and hemicellulose rates, all having
246 a substantial effect on chemical reactions during the semi-anoxic conditions of combustion and thus
247 potentially leading to accumulation of undesirable and directly toxic compounds. This implies large
248 variability in biochar composition and its impact on soil and plants, making difficult its
249 certification.

250 Metal rates, together with EC and pH, are essential chemical characteristics for establishing biochar
251 safety (Mukherjee and Lal, 2014; Lehmann and Joseph, 2009). In our experiment, biochar pH was
252 rather variable but generally high, although we did establish its negative influence on plants at very
253 high application rates (>50%) and in the literature the alkalinisation effect in the open is reported to
254 be short-lasting (Lucchini et al., 2014). However, alkalinity is also related to high EC, which may
255 be unsuitable for seed germination and initial root growth within wider ranges of application (5-
256 50%). The characteristics of GM char, which was very rich in Cu as a result of common treatments

257 against fungal diseases in vineyards under both organic and conventional types of management,
258 revealed the full effects of this metal. The illegal Cu rate is expected to have a direct phytotoxic
259 effect on seedlings and to contribute to raising pH and EC. The good affinity of Cu to organic
260 matter may mitigate its toxicity, but this probably did not occur in the crystalline structure of
261 biochar, and the high Zn level also contributed towards GM phytotoxicity.

262 It is true that metal mobility is effectively reduced by high pH through stimulation of metal
263 adsorption and precipitation (Beesley et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2013), and we found moderate
264 DTPA-extractable fractions of all metals in biochars, maximum bioavailability being for Cd in WS
265 (~18% of total), followed by Cu in CO (~10%) and Zn in PO (~6%) (Table 1a). The considerable
266 effects of heavy metals in germination occur at high biochar rates, and soluble metal fractions play
267 a more important role in seedling growth than in completion of germination. Germination is
268 certainly a delicate phase, but root elongation is more sensitive to adverse external conditions, a
269 result already found in the case of high levels of soluble toxic metals in hydroponics (Vamerali et
270 al., 2014).

271 Chemical characterisation of the four biochars revealed differences in PAH concentrations,
272 conifer CO showing the highest rate (Table 1b). In soils, PAHs degrade slowly, and the high
273 sorption capacity of biochars can extend their degree of environmental hazard over time (Kuśmierz
274 and Oleszczuk, 2014; Quilliam et al., 2013), although our PCA results never indicated any
275 important role of PAHs in seed germination and initial root elongation. Total PAHs includes several
276 toxic compounds with generally low water solubility, and even the more soluble naphthalene and
277 fluorene were very low or below detection limits. Root PAH concentrations are hardly altered by
278 various types of biochars (Brennan et al., 2014), and in our case the absence of any direct
279 root/substrate contact also excludes the possibility of demonstrating the influence of this compound
280 class on seedling growth. The negative influence of wood-derived chars observed on root
281 elongation is probably due to high Ni+Cr+Zn and Cd in CO and PO, respectively, some also
282 exceeding maximum permissible levels for amendments. In markedly metal-contaminated

283 environments, biochar can also enhance root growth through metal immobilisation, indicating that
284 metal bioavailability is one of the main limiting factors in plant growth (Brennan et al., 2014).

285

286

287 **4.2 Species choice as indicator of biochar toxicity**

288

289 The main problem in biochar management of agricultural land is to identify and standardise
290 the chemical and physical indicators of quality (Lehmann and Joseph, 2009), in order to relate these
291 characteristics to the potential ecological and toxicological effects on soil-living organisms and crop
292 productivity (Lehmann et al., 2011).

293 Germination and root elongation are the most common tests in soil bioassays for checking
294 compound toxicity (Calvelo Pereira et al., 2010; Chigbo and Batty, 2013; Lin and Xing, 2007).

295 Several authors report that these tests are also effective in assessing biochar contamination
296 (Rogovska et al., 2012; Solaiman et al., 2012). As plant species may substantially differ in their
297 sensitivity to contaminants, our results show that seed germination was not greatly affected in all
298 species, whereas root elongation suffered to an extent which depended on choice of species (Figs. 1,
299 2). Although germination was reduced only at high biochar rate (e.g., 5-10% for GM), an earlier
300 response may be expected when metal contamination increases greatly, as in biochars derived from
301 wood treated with Cu-based preservatives, the Cu rate of which may be up to 60 times higher than
302 the rate we found (Lucchini et al., 2014). Instead, root length is considerably affected already at low
303 rates, as cell division/elongation in root tips is very sensitive to soil contaminants (Halušková et al.,
304 2010).

305 Feedstock quality plays an important role in biochar composition and phytotoxicity, but the
306 contrasting response among plant species to the same biochar was unexpected, e.g., the root length
307 of *C. sativus* and *S. saccharatum* was suddenly reduced by wood-based PO and CO biochars. Their
308 low effective concentration values (Ec_x) match recent finding on root elongation inhibition by

wood-based biochars (Jeffery et al., 2011). The behaviour of *L. sativum*, which shows root stimulation under wood-based biochars and CA, the latter also deriving from wood residues, and under low rates of GM and WS, is probably due to its higher tolerance to metal contamination. The *Brassicaceae* include several hyperaccumulator species, and cellular metal chelation is an accepted defence strategy (Anjum et al., 2012). This response suggests that bioassays should focus on the more stable behaviour of sorghum roots across the biochar types and CA, as evidenced by our Zn rate-based logarithm model. In *C. sativus*, Zn contamination was also the most suitable variable of this model ($R^2 = 0.47$), followed by pH ($R^2 = 0.47$), whereas the initial lag interval (up to 2-5% biochar rate) and the contrasting effects of chars in *L. sativum* caused the model to fail. For this species, electrical conductivity was the most constraining factor.

C. sativus is a sensitive and therefore key plant in phytotoxicity bioassays (Wang et al. 2001), although *S. saccharatum* seems a more informative species for biochar investigations. We were surprised to find that *L. sativum* was less sensitive, but this may partly depend on the relatively good quality of our chars and on the type of vegetal matrix, which affects contaminant mobility.

4. Conclusions

At present, there are no clear indicators for the agronomic acceptance of biochar, mainly due to uncertainty regarding its quality and difficulties in predicting interactions with plants and soil biota. Watercress, cucumber and sorghum can all reveal phytotoxicity by reducing their germination rate, albeit only at very high biochar rates. Higher sensitivity, compatible with recommended amendment rates (1-2%), can be easily retrieved from root elongation data. We demonstrate that metal contamination is the most critical constraint for plant growth, and increased metal loads in agricultural land can also exacerbate metal leaching and subsequent groundwater and food chain contamination. Although results on young seedlings must be confirmed in adult plants, these bioassays provide rapid information for char screening. Again, the lack of real long-term studies on

335 biochar effects in cultivated land, identifying contamination in the feedstock stream before
336 gasification/pyrolysis remains a crucial step before large-scale applications of biochar become
337 feasible.

338

339

340 **References**

341

342 Anjum NA, Ahmad I, Pereira ME, Duarte AC, Umar S, Khan NA. The Plant Family *Brassicaceae*:
343 Contribution Towards Phytoremediation. Springer: Dordrecht, Heidelberg, New York,
344 London, 2012.

345 Beesley L, Moreno-Jiménez E, Gomez-Eyles JL. Effects of biochar and greenwaste compost
346 amendments on mobility, bioavailability and toxicity of inorganic and organic contaminants
347 in a multi-element polluted soil. *Environ Pollut* 2010;158: 2282-2287.

348 Beesley L, Moreno-Jiménez E, Gomez-Eyles JL, Harris E, Robinson B, Sizmur T. A review of
349 biochars' potential role in the remediation, revegetation and restoration of contaminated
350 soils. *Environ. Pollut.* 2011;159: 3269-3282.

351 Brennan A, Moreno-Jiménez E, Puschenreiter M, Albuquerque JA, Switzer C. Effects of biochar
352 amendment on root traits and contaminant availability of maize plants in a copper and
353 arsenic impacted soil. *Plant Soil* 2014;379:351-360.

354 Brennan A, Moreno-Jiménez E, Albuquerque JA, Knapp CW, Switzer C. Effects of biochar and
355 activated carbon amendment on maize growth and the uptake and measured availability of
356 polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) and potentially toxic elements (PTEs). *Environ.*
357 *Pollut.* 2014;193: 79-87.

358 Calinski RB, Harabasz J. A dendrite method for cluster analysis. *Communications in Stat* 1974;3:
359 1–27.

360 Calvelo Pereira R, Monterroso C, Macías F. Phytotoxicity of hexachlorocyclohexane: effect on
361 germination and early growth of different plant species. *Chemosphere* 2010; 79: 326-333.

362 Cao X, Ma L, Liang Y, Gao B, Harris W. Simultaneous immobilization of lead and atrazine in
363 contaminated soils using dairy-manure biochar. *Environ Sci Technol* 2011;45: 4884-4889.

364 Chan K, Van Zwieten L, Meszaros I, Downie A, Joseph S. Agronomic values of greenwaste biochar
365 as soil amendment. *Soil Res* 2007;45: 629-634.

366 Chigbo C, Batty L. Effect of combined pollution of chromium and benzo(a)pyrene on seed growth
367 of *Lolium perenne*. *Chemosphere* 2013; 90:164-169.

368 Dunnet, CW. A multiple comparison procedure for comparing several treatments with a control. *J.*
369 *Amer Stat Assoc* 1955;50:1096-1121.

370 EPA (United States Environmental Protection Agency). Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons
371 (PAHs). Office of Solid Waste, Washington DC 20460, 2008.

372 Fellet G, Marmiroli M, Marchiol L. Elements uptake by metal accumulator species grown on mine
373 tailings amended with three types of biochar. *Sci Total Environ* 2014;468–469, 598–608

374 Freddo A, Cai C, Reid BJ. Environmental contextualisation of potential toxic elements and
375 polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in biochar. *Environ Pollut* 2012;171:18-24.

376 Hale SE, Hanley K, Lehmann J, Zimmerman A, Cornelissen G. Effects of chemical, biological, and
377 physical aging as well as soil addition on the sorption of pyrene to activated carbon and
378 biochar. *Environ Sci Technol* 2011;45:10445-10453.7

379 Halušková L, Valentovičová K, Huttová J, Mistrík I, Tamás L. Effect of heavy metals on root
380 growth and peroxidase activity in barley root tips. *Acta Physiol Plant* 2010;32: 59-65.

381 Jeffery S, Verheijen FGA, van der Velde M, Bastos AC. A quantitative review of the effects of
382 biochar application to soils on crop productivity using meta-analysis. *Agr Ecosyst Environ*
383 2011, 144:175-187.

384 Kuśmierz M, Oleszczuk P. Biochar production increases the polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon
385 content in surrounding soils and potential cancer risk. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res* 2014; 21:
386 3646-3652.

387 Laird DA. The charcoal vision: A win-win scenario for simultaneously producing bioenergy,
388 permanently sequestering carbon, while improving soil and water quality. *Agron J* 2008;
389 100: 178-181.

390 Lehmann J. A handful of carbon. *Nature* 2007; 447: 143-144.

391 Lehmann J, Joseph S. *Biochar for Environmental Management: Science and Technologies*.
392 Earthscan, London, UK, 2009.

393 Lehmann J, Rilling MC, Thies J, Masiello CA, Hockaday WC, Crowley D. Biochar effects on soil
394 biota - a review. *Soil Biol Biochem* 2011;43:1812-1836.

395 Lin D, Xing B. Phytotoxicity of nanoparticles: inhibition of seed germination and root growth.
396 *Environ. Poll.* 2007;150:243-250.

397 Lindsay, WL, Norwel WA. Development of a DTPA soil test for zinc, iron, manganese, and copper.
398 *Soil Sci Soc Am J* 1978;42: 421–428.

399 Lucchini P, Quilliam RS, DeLuca TH, Vamerali T, Jones DL. Increased bioavailability of metals in
400 two contrasting agricultural soils treated with waste wood-derived biochar and ash. *Environ*
401 *Sci Pollut Res* 2014;21:3230-3240.

402 Maila MP, Cloete TE. Germination of *Lepidium sativum* as a method to evaluate polycyclic
403 aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) removal from contaminated soils. *Int Bioter Biodeg*
404 2002;50:107-113.

405 Mukherjee A, Lal R. The biochar dilemma. *Soil Res* 2014;52:217-230.

406 OECD, 1984. *Terrestrial Plants: Growth Test*. OECD Guidelines for Testing of Chemicals, Paris:
407 N°208.

408 Oleszczuk P, Joško I, Kuśmierz. Biochar properties regarding contaminant content and
409 ecotoxicological assessment. *J Hazard Mater* 2013;260: 375-382.

410 Oleszczuk P, Rycaj M, Lehmann J, Cornelissen G. Influence of activated carbon and biochar on
411 phytotoxicity of air-dried sewage sludges to *Lepidium sativum*. *Ecotox Environ Safety*
412 2012;80:321-326.

413 Quilliam RS, Rangelcroft S, Emmett BA, Deluca TH, Jones DL. Is biochar a source or sink for
414 polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon (PAH) compounds in agricultural soils? GCB Bioenergy
415 2013; 5:96-103.

416 R Core Team. R: A Language and Environment of Statistical Computing. R Foundation for
417 Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria, 2013.

418 Ritz C, Streibig J C. Bioassay analysis using R. J. Statist. Software, Vol 12, Issue 5, 2005.

419 Rogovska N, Laird D, Cruse RM, Trabue S, Heaton E. Germination tests for assessing biochar
420 quality. J Environ Qual 2012; 41: 1-9.

421 Sharma RK, Hajaligol M R. Effect of pyrolysis conditions on the formation of polycyclic aromatic
422 hydrocarbons (PAHs) from polyphenolic compounds. J. Anal. Appl. Pyrolysis 2003;66:
423 123-144.

424 Solaiman ZM, Murphy DV, Abbott LK. Biochars influence seed germination and early growth of
425 seedlings. Plant Soil 2012;353: 273-287

426 Spokas KA. Review of the stability of biochar in soils: predictability of O:C molar ratios. Carbon
427 Mang 2010;1(2):289-303.

428 Sun L, Liao X, Yan X, Zhu G, Ma D. Evaluation of heavy metal and polycyclic aromatic
429 hydrocarbons accumulation in plants from typical industrial sites: potential candidate in
430 phytoremediation for co-contamination. Environ Sci Pollut Res 2014; 21: 12494-12504.

431 Vamerali T, Bandiera M, Lucchini P, Dickinson NM, Mosca G. Long-term phytomanagement of
432 metal-contaminated land with field crops: integrated remediation and biofortification. Eur J
433 Agron 2014;53:56-66.

434 Verheijen F, Jeffery S, Bastos AC, van der Velde M, Diafas . Biochar application to soils: a critical
435 review of effects on soil properties, processes and functions. EUR240099EN, 2010.

436 Visioli G, Conti FD, Gardi C, Menta C. Germination and root elongation bioassays in six different
437 plant species for testing Ni contamination in soil. Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 2014;92:
438 490-496.

439 Visioli G, Menta C, Gardi C, Conti FD. Metal toxicity and biodiversity in serpentine soils:
440 application of bioassay tests and microarthropod index. Chemosphere 2013;90:1267-1273.

441 Wang X, Sun C, Gao S, Wang L, Shuokui H. Validation of germination rate and root elongation as
442 indicator to assess phytotoxicity in *Cucumis sativus*. Chemosphere 2001;44:1711-1721.

443 Zhan XH, Ma HL, Zhou IX, Liang JR, Liang TH, Xu GH Accumulation of phenanthrene by roots
444 of intact wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) seedlings: passive or active uptake? BMC Plant Biol
445 2010;10:52-60.

446 Zhang X, Wang H, He L, Lu K, Sarmah A, Li J, Bolan NS, Pei J, Huang H. Using biochar for
447 remediation of soils contaminated with heavy metals and organic pollutants. Environ Sci
448 Pollut Res 2013;20: 8472-8483.

449 Zheng W, Guo M, Ghow T, Bennet DN, Rajagopalan N. Sorption properties of greenwaste biochar
450 for two triazine pesticides. J. Hazard Mater. 2010;181:121-126.

451
452

453

454

455

456 **Figure 1:** Percentage germination (mean value $\pm$ SE, n = 4) of tested species at different biochar
 457 rates, compared with commercial amendment (CA) and unamended control (horizontal bar).
 458 Asterisks: significant differences between amendments and control (** = $P < 0.01$; *** = $P < 0.001$).

C. sativus

S. saccharatum (r)

L. sativum

S. saccharatum (s)

459 **Figure 2:** Shoot (s) (only sorghum) and root (r) lengths (mean value $\pm$ SE, n = 4) after germination experiment in three species under increasing
460 biochar rates, compared with commercial amendment (CA). Note different scale among graphs.

Figure 3: PCA with F1 loadings (highlighted values > |0.5|) and DA for biochar classification at 3 selected amendment rates, considering chemical characteristics of biochars, germination rate (Germ %) and root length (Root L).

466 **Figure 4:** PCA and F1 loadings (highlighted values > |0.5|) for species classification at 3 selected
467 amendment rates, considering germination rate (Germ %) and root length (Root L). Loadings of
468 chemical characteristics of biochars: always nil.
469

470

471 **Figure 5:** Cluster analysis of biochar/species combinations at 0.5% amendment rate, based on
 472 chemical characteristics of biochars and germination rate and root length of 3 species. Horizontal
 473 dashed line: maximum level of homogeneity within groups. Similar classification obtained at all
 474 amendment rates.

475

476 **Table 1a:** Main chemical proprieties of biochars in comparison with standard soil (SS) and
477 commercial amendment (CA)
478

	IGV	SS	CA	CO	PO	GM	WS
pH	-	8.0	8.2	10.2	8.6	10.4	10.1
EC (mS cm ⁻¹)	-	ND	0.15	0.62	0.17	12.2	4.79
Cd (mg kg ⁻¹ DW)	1.50	<0.001	0.29	0.34 (12.7)	1.56 (14.3)	0.47 (8)	0.41 (17.8)
Co (mg kg ⁻¹ DW)	-	6.13	2.71	2.05 (<3.1)	2.74 (<3.1)	1.29 (<3.1)	2.43 (<3.1)
Cr total (mg kg ⁻¹ DW)	-	89.2	47.1	114 (1)	23.5 (<0.2)	30.3 (<0.2)	29.5 (<0.2)
Cu (mg kg ⁻¹ DW)	230	3.56	53.8	111 (10.1)	34.9 (3.3)	369 (1.8)	26.5 (5.5)
Hg (mg kg ⁻¹ DW)	1.50	<0.002	<0.002	<0.001 (-)	<0.001 (-)	<0.001 (-)	<0.001 (-)
Ni (mg kg ⁻¹ DW)	100	53.0	22.0	85.4 (0.7)	18.9 (1.6)	16.2 (0.7)	19.4 (1.8)
Pb (mg kg ⁻¹ DW)	140	7.32	27.7	6.34 (3.6)	10.7 (4.8)	5.23 (<2)	6.92 (2)
Zn (mg kg ⁻¹ DW)	500	25.0	106	272 (4.7)	180 (6.2)	282 (2.2)	183 (4.1)

479
480 Highlighted values exceed Italian Guidelines Values (IGV) for total metal rate in amendments. In
481 brackets: % of metal bioavailability (DTPA-extraction).The analysis was performed in duplicate.
482 ND = not detected
483

484 **Table 1b:** Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) rates (mg kg⁻¹) in biochars.
 485

PAHs	CO	PO	GM	WS
Naphtalene	ND	0.1	0.19	ND
Acenaphthylene	0.27	0.15	2.29	ND
Acenaphthene	0.05	ND	ND	ND
Fluorene	ND	ND	ND	0.19
Phenanthrene	5.29	2.08	ND	1.41
Anthracene	0.93	0.44	ND	0.23
Fluoranthene	3.12	1.28	0.13	4.91
Pyrene	3.80	2.14	0.37	4.95
Benz(a)anthracene	1.40	1.50	0.07	1.22
Chrysene	1.33	0.87	0.09	1.14
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	2.16	1.74	0.06	0.97
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	0.00	1.41	0.10	ND
Benzo(a)pyrene	1.20	1.62	0.10	0.45
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	ND	ND	ND	ND
Benzo(ghi)perylene	0.88	1.72	0.14	0.27
Indeno(1,2,3-C,D)pyrene	0.63	0.61	0.26	0.09
Total of 16 PAH	21.06	15.66	3.81	15.84
Other PAHs (calculated without response factors)	11.60	5.25	1.24	9.73
TOTAL PAH	32.66	20.91	5.05	25.57

486
 487 The analysis was performed in duplicate. ND = not detected
 488

489 **Table SI1:** Effective concentration (%) for biochars at 10, 30 and 50% root length reduction, i.e.,
490 Ec_{10} , Ec_{30} , Ec_{50} in three plant species.
491 n.p. = non phytotoxic
492

Biochar	Plant species	Ec_{10}	Ec_{30}	Ec_{50}
CO	<i>C. sativus</i>	0.06	0.12	0.30
	<i>L. sativum</i>	n.p.	n.p.	n.p.
	<i>S. saccharatum</i>	0.07	0.14	0.36
PO	<i>C. sativus</i>	0.07	0.15	0.37
	<i>L. sativum</i>	n.p.	n.p.	n.p.
	<i>S. saccharatum</i>	0.09	0.18	0.44
GM	<i>C. sativus</i>	0.3	1.4	3.3
	<i>L. sativum</i>	2.4	3.1	3.7
	<i>S. saccharatum</i>	0.1	0.6	1.6
WS	<i>C. sativus</i>	0.9	3.3	7.1
	<i>L. sativum</i>	3.6	5.0	6.2
	<i>S. saccharatum</i>	0.2	2.8	14.7

493
494

495 **Table SI 2:** Effects of biochar type on root elongation (main effect, i.e., mean of various
 496 amendment rates) of plant species at end experiment in comparison with the commercial
 497 amendment CA (Tuckey's HSD test; $P \leq 0.05$). Letters: statistical significant differences among
 498 chars within same species.
 499

Species	Biochar/Amendment	Root length (mm)
<i>C. sativus</i>	CA	46.4 a
	CO	19.1 c
	GM	33.7 b
	PO	33.9 b
	WS	49.7 a
<i>S. saccharatum</i>	CA	19.2 a
	CO	12.5 b
	GM	11.0 b
	PO	13.5 b
	WS	17.8 a
<i>L. sativum</i>	CA	56.1 a
	CO	56.8 a
	GM	24.9 c
	PO	64.9 a
	WS	40.9 b

500