

Regional variation in bycatches associated with king scallop (Pecten maximus L.) dredge fisheries

Szostek, Claire; Kaiser, Michel; Bell, Ewen; Murray, Lee; Lambert, Gwladys

Marine Environmental Research

DOI: 10.1016/j.marenvres.2016.11.006

Published: 01/02/2017

Peer reviewed version

Cyswllt i'r cyhoeddiad / Link to publication

Dyfyniad o'r fersiwn a gyhoeddwyd / Citation for published version (APA): Szostek, C., Kaiser, M., Bell, E., Murray, L., & Lambert, G. (2017). Regional variation in bycatches associated with king scallop (Pecten maximus L.) dredge fisheries. *Marine Environmental Research*, *123*, 1-13. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marenvres.2016.11.006

Hawliau Cyffredinol / General rights Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

• Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.

- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
 You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal ?

Take down policy If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

1 Regional variation in bycatches associated with king scallop

2 (Pecten maximus L.) dredge fisheries

3 Claire L. Szostek^{a*,} Lee G. Murray^a, Ewen Bell^b, Gwladys Lambert^a and Michel J. Kaiser^a

⁴ School of Ocean Sciences, Bangor University, Menai Bridge, Anglesey LL59 5AB, UK

5 ^bCentre for Environment, Fisheries and Aquaculture Science (CEFAS), Pakefield Road,

6 Lowestoft, Suffolk NR33 0HT, UK

7 *corresponding author: tel: +44 1248 383751; fax: +44 1248 716367; e-mail

8 c.szostek@bangor.ac.uk

9

10 Keywords

11 Pecten maximus, scallops, North-East Atlantic, bycatch, fisheries, dredging, scallop fisheries

12 Abstract

13 The biomass and composition of bycatch from king scallop dredge fisheries was assessed and 14 compared between the English Channel, Cardigan Bay in Wales and around the Isle of Man. 15 Bycatch composition varied significantly at localised, and broad, geographic scales. The mean proportion of scallop dredge bycatch biomass in the English Channel was 19% of total catch 16 17 biomass. The proportion of bycatch was lower in Cardigan Bay (15%) but notably higher 18 around the Isle of Man (53%). The proportion of individual bycatch species in dredge catches 19 were low, therefore scallop dredging is unlikely to cause a substantial increase the population 20 mortality of individual commercially fished species beyond that caused by the target fisheries 21 for those species, or bycatches of other fisheries. The amount and mortality of organisms left 22 on the seabed in the dredge path was not quantified in this study but should also be considered 23 in management of the fishery. The discard rate of finfish and shellfish of commercial value 24 from the king scallop dredge fishery in the English Channel was between 18-100%, with a 25 higher rate of discarding occurring in the eastern English Channel compared to the west. The 26 clear regional differences in bycatch composition and variation in the quantity of discards mean 27 that an area by area approach to managing bycatch species is required in relation to the king 28 scallop dredge fishery.

29 **1.** Introduction

30 Bycatch (the total catch of unwanted or non-target species) and discards (the proportion of 31 organisms from a catch returned to the sea) are two of the most prominent issues currently 32 under scrutiny in global fisheries management (Hall et al., 2000; Kelleher, 2005). Most fishing 33 gears are not completely selective for the target species. Therefore, non-target species are either 34 retained as bycatch or returned to the sea as discards. Discarding occurs for a number of reasons 35 such as lack of commercial value; high-grading (only retaining individuals of higher value *e.g.* 36 larger individuals of a species); practical reasons (e.g. lack of space or suitable facilities for 37 storage of the catch on board, or availability of processing facilities at the landing port); lack 38 of quota or the correct licence required to land the species. Individuals of a target species that 39 are below the minimum legal landing size also must be discarded. For these reasons fish that 40 are fit for human consumption are often discarded, a practice that will be prohibited in the 41 European Union by 2019 (Hall et al., 2000; Davies et al., 2009; Heath et al., 2014).

42 1.1 Bycatch in scallop dredge fisheries

43 Organisms that are returned to the sea alive following retention in fishing gear may die from 44 physical injuries obtained during the capture process, stress related symptoms or increased 45 vulnerability to predation post-release (van Beek et al., 1990; Chopin & Arimoto, 1995; 46 Jenkins et al., 2001; Veale et al., 2001; Depestele et al., 2014). Stress or physiological impacts 47 caused by emersion and sorting on deck can also be fatal (Jenkins & Brand, 2001). Such 48 impacts will vary depending on the susceptibility to capture and the survivability of bycatch 49 species, which varies with morphological and physiological traits. In the case of scallop 50 dredges, damage can occur on contact with the dredge on the seabed and when inside the dredge 51 bag due to abrasion from other organisms or debris (Jenkins *et al.*, 2001). The catch efficiency 52 of dredges for bycatch species is low and thus damaged individuals can remain on the seabed (Jenkins et al., 2001; Gaspar et al., 2003). Trophic impacts can be caused by removal of 53 54 predators such as starfish and crabs, or through the supplementation of their diet from carrion 55 left in the dredge tracks (Veale et al. 2000b). This can lead to shifts in community structure 56 (Engel and Kvitek, 1998; Collie et al. 1997). Thus, fisheries may have individual, population 57 and trophic level impacts on bycatch species (Berghahn, 1990; Ramsay et al., 1996; Collie et 58 al., 1997, 2000).

59 1.2 Scallop fisheries around the UK

60 Scallops are currently the third most valuable species in the UK with landings worth £58.2 million in 2014 (MMO, 2015). Two species occur; the king scallop, Pecten maximus L. and 61 62 the queen scallop, Aequipecten opercularis, however landings are dominated by king scallops, 63 constituting c.75% of total landings (MMO, 2015). The main king scallop fisheries around the 64 British Isles occur in the English Channel, Cardigan Bay (Wales), around the Isle of Man, off 65 the south-east coast of Ireland, around the Channel Islands, the west and east coasts of Scotland 66 and off Scarborough in the North Sea. King scallops are targeted using Newhaven or N-Viro[™] 67 dredges. Each dredge is typically 0.76 m in width with either 8 or 9 steel teeth that dig into the 68 surface of the sediment to flick the scallops into the dredge belly (Howarth & Stewart, 2014). 69 Vessels range from <10 m to >40 m Length Overall (LOA) and fish with up to 22 dredges each 70 side (Szostek, 2015a).

71 On some vessels, king scallops are the only species retained, regardless of the commercial 72 value of any bycatch species caught. However, species of high commercial value such as 73 monkfish (Lophius piscatorius), Dover sole (Solea solea) and other flatfishes are sometimes 74 retained. European Union fishery management rules currently apply to UK scallop fisheries 75 and restrict retained bycatches to a maximum of 5% of the total catch weight of scallops. All 76 retained bycatch must be counted against the relevant quota; species under the quota system 77 and for which the vessel does not have access to quota must be discarded. Total fishing 78 mortality of these commercially important species is therefore a combination of the effects of 79 the target fisheries for these species, bycatch from fisheries that do not target the species 80 (including the king scallop fishery) and unobserved mortality from contact with the gear on the 81 seabed. Quantification of bycatch is fundamental to the implementation of EBFM (Ecosystem 82 Based Fisheries Management) (Link, 2002). This approach has the goal of maintaining the 83 entire ecosystem in a healthy and productive state such that eco-system over-fishing does not 84 occur and trophic interactions are preserved (Hilborn, 2011). The European Marine Strategy 85 Framework Directive (MSFD, 2008/56/EC) requires that, when considering fishing activities, 86 the "structure and functions of ecosystems are safeguarded and benthic ecosystems, in 87 particular, are not adversely affected". To achieve this, an improved understanding is required 88 of the secondary effects of major fisheries (e.g. scallop dredging) on bycatch species.

There is also incentive for fishers in the European Union to reduce bycatch through the stagedintroduction of the landings obligation (discard ban) under the reformed Common Fisheries

Policy (CFP) that commenced in January 2015. This is intended to make fishing more sustainable through reducing the capture of low-value species and encouraging the utilisation of retained biomass that would normally be discarded (Mangi & Catchpole, 2013). This legislation will be enforced for all commercial fisheries by the end of 2019 and will extend to activities such as scallop dredging. Relatively little bycatch data exist for king scallop dredge fisheries around the UK or elsewhere in Europe and there has never been a formal assessment of bycatch on a broad geographic scale. There were three main objectives to the present study:

- a) To quantify bycatch species that occur in the English Channel king scallop (*Pecten maximus*) fishery.
- b) To assess geographic differences in bycatch species assemblages based on variation in
 environmental conditions at the scale of the English Channel.
- 102 c) To understand regional variation in king scallop dredge bycatch across ICES area VII,
 103 including king scallop fisheries across the English Channel and the Irish Sea.
- 104 *2. Methods*

105 **2.1** Sampling

106 Sampling occurred between June 2012 and June 2013. Ten sampling trips were conducted on 107 board eight commercial fishing vessels during normal commercial fishing operations. The aim 108 was to sample the bycatch composition that occurred on a range of king scallop fishing grounds 109 across the English Channel. These fishing grounds were identified from Vessel Monitoring 110 System (VMS) data and semi-structured questionnaires undertaken with 49 skippers of vessels targeting king scallops as the main retained species. Precise sampling locations were dictated 111 112 by where the skippers were fishing at the time. For example, no king scallop fishing occurs in 113 the inshore eastern English Channel between March and December. Also, weather conditions 114 (predominantly wind strength and direction) have a significant influence on the daily selection 115 of fishing grounds (Szostek, 2015a). The total number of dredges used on the vessels varied 116 between 10 and 34 (5 to 17 each side of the vessel), depending on vessel size. The following 117 information was recorded for each haul sampled: co-ordinates at the start of each tow (the 118 moment the fishing gear made contact with the seabed following deployment) taken from the 119 vessel GPS system, average speed of tow (knots), duration of tow (minutes) and co-ordinates 120 at the time of gear retrieval (when the skipper began to winch the gear from the seabed). For 121 each haul the full contents of one, or two (if the dredges were less than c. 50% full) dredges

122 were retained for sampling. A different dredge(s) was selected for sampling each time (e.g. 123 alternating between port and starboard dredges, and from bow to stern) to account for random 124 variation in dredge catching efficiency. On the largest vessel it was not possible to randomly 125 sample the dredges due to safety and logistical reasons so the crew separated the contents of 126 the first dredge (closest to the bow when on deck) for subsequent sorting. The volume of large 127 rocks and broken shell (the proportion of the volume of a five stone fish basket, measured using 128 a calibrated wooden stick) from each dredge sample was recorded. All king scallops from each 129 sample were counted and their shell width (distance from anterior to posterior shell margin) 130 measured to the nearest mm. Shell width was measured as opposed to shell height (distance 131 from umbo to ventral shell margin) as this is how the crew differentiate between scallops above 132 and below the minimum landing size (MLS). All remaining organisms from the dredge sample 133 (e.g. sea urchins, crustaceans, starfish and fish species not of commercial value) were identified 134 and the number of individuals counted. Body length was also recorded for individuals of 135 commercially fished species and some non-commercial species. All fish, molluscs and 136 crustacean species of commercial value from each haul (from all dredges, including the 137 sampled dredges) were counted and body length measured. It was also noted whether these 138 species were retained or discarded. In total, 99 hauls were sampled across the 10 sampling trips.

139 Additional data were obtained from the Centre for Environment, Fisheries and Aquaculture 140 Science (CEFAS) for king scallop observer trips that occurred in the English Channel between 141 September 2011 and October 2012. Species for which length measurements were recorded 142 during CEFAS observer trips were commercial finfish species and non-quota shellfish species 143 of commercial value such as king scallops, lobster and whelks. The sampling methods 144 employed in the present study and CEFAS surveys differed only in that during CEFAS 145 observer trips, smaller benthic species (such as sea-urchins, starfish and small crustaceans) and 146 fish species not of commercial value were combined with inert material (rock, broken shell, 147 sand etc.) from the dredge sample and a total volume recorded as 'benthos'. However, 148 'benthos' was not consistently recorded across all observer trips. Therefore, all records of 149 'benthos' were removed from the dataset and the CEFAS data were used only in the analysis 150 of bycatches of fish and shellfish species of commercial value. A limited number of hauls that included records of species with no quantification (recorded only as 'observed') were also 151 152 removed from the dataset. In total, data recorded from 308 hauls from 24 separate CEFAS 153 observer trips were retained for analysis. The locations of all samples are shown in Figure 1.

154 **2.2 Data Analysis**

155

2.21 Environmental variables

156 Szostek et al. (2015) found that the environmental variables tidal bed shear stress, depth, mean sea bed temperature (T_{mean}) and interannual temperature range (T_{range}) explain much of the 157 158 environmental variation between the major king scallop fishing grounds across the English 159 Channel. Values for these four parameters were obtained for all sample locations in the English 160 Channel (see Szostek et al. 2015b for data sources). Non-parametric multivariate analyses of 161 the environmental data were performed in PRIMER v.6 (Clarke & Gorley, 2006). A draftsman 162 plot was used to identify significant autocorrelation between each pair of environmental 163 variables. The dataset was normalised and a resemblance matrix was produced using Euclidean 164 distance. A Principal Component Analysis (PCA) was performed to establish which of the environmental variables explained the greatest variation among sites. To identify 165 166 environmentally distinct regions a CLUSTER analysis with SIMPROF testing identified 167 significant groupings of sites (all samples from the same trip were grouped as a site) based on 168 the similarity of their environmental variables, at a significance level of P = 0.05. ANOVA 169 testing was used to determine if there were significant differences in the proportion of bycatch 170 biomass between groups of sites, following testing of the assumptions of ANOVA (normal 171 distribution of residuals and homogeneity of variance). The BIOENV procedure was used to 172 investigate which environmental variables gave the highest correlation with bycatch species 173 composition. In this way we were able to determine whether environmental variation could be 174 used to provide insights into the quantity or identity of bycatch species in king scallop fisheries 175 that occur in different areas.

176 **2.22** *Present study*

177 Published data on standard length/weight relationships was used to calculate the total biomass 178 of each species for which a length measurement was taken. Tow length was calculated by 179 multiplying the duration of the tow by the average speed recorded for the tow. Area swept was 180 calculated as the total width of the dredges multiplied by tow length. The total biomass of each 181 species per tow was then calculated, by raising the biomass recorded to the total number of 182 dredges (if from a sub-sample) and all values were standardised to kg km⁻². For the species for 183 which only abundance data were collected, the mean weight of an individual was calculated 184 from data obtained during scientific surveys (Szostek et al. 2015b). Total biomass per tow was

estimated using these values and then standardised to kg km⁻². As each trip occurred in one localised area of the seabed, the mean biomass of each species retained per trip was calculated by pooling the data from all hauls per trip. These values were used to ascertain the proportion of the catch weight that was contributed by each species.

189



190

Figure 1: Location of the 34 sites sampled for king scallop dredge bycatch in the English Channel. Sites sampled by the author are indicated by green squares (spring/summer) and green circles (autumn/winter) and labelled S1-S10. Sampling took place on board commercial fishing vessels between June 2012 and June 2013. Sites from CEFAS observer trips that occurred between September 2011 and October 2012 are indicated by red triangles (spring/summer) and red circles (autumn/winter). The 6 and 12 NM limits are shown along the UK coast, as well as the boundary between UK and French territorial waters.

198

The bycatch species data were aggregated to genus level, as this may be more appropriate for detecting anthropogenic changes in community composition (Warwick et al. 1988a, b), and square-root transformed to down-weight the influence of highly abundant or rare taxa. Using PRIMER, a resemblance matrix was created and used to generate an MDS (multi-dimensional scaling) plot to visualise clusters of sample sites based on their similarity in bycatch species composition. ANOSIM tests were used to ascertain whether samples grouped by the similarity in environmental parameters, season ('winter': October to March, or 'summer': April to 206 September), or sample trip, had significantly different species composition. A SIMPER 207 analysis was used to identify typical species for the each group of sites identified from the 208 analysis of environmental variables.

209 In order to calculate size-weight (total wet weight) relationships for *P. maximus* the exponential 210 relationship of weight with shell height and shell width was determined using data on king 211 scallop size-weight relationships from a previous study (Szostek et al. 2015b). Geographic 212 differences in growth rates and allometry occur for P. maximus (Chauvaud et al., 2012; G. 213 Campbell, unpubl. data) Therefore, separate equations were determined for ICES sub-areas 214 VIId and VIIe. The relationship between P. maximus shell width and total wet weight in subarea VIIe (western English Channel) is described by the equation: $y = 0.0003 L^{2.8178} (R^2 = 0.96)$ 215 (n=411) and in sub-area VIId (eastern English Channel) by the equation $y = 0.0006 L^{2.6183}$ 216 (R²=0.88) (n=502). CEFAS data included measurements of *Pecten maximus* shell height, rather 217 than shell width. The relationship between P. maximus shell height and total wet weight in area 218 VIIe is described by the equation: $y = 0.0002 L^{2.9676}$ (R² = 0.95) (n=411) and in area VIId by 219 the equation $y = 0.0004 L^{2.7724}$ (R² = 0.89) (n=502). 220

221 2.21 CEFAS data

222 The total number of species in the CEFAS observer data was 45 (restricted to finfish and 223 commercially important shellfish species), compared to 74 species recorded in the present 224 study, in which all species were identified and recorded, regardless of commercial value. To 225 enable a comparison of CEFAS observer data with data collected in the present study, the latter 226 was constrained to the species recorded in the CEFAS dataset. The mean biomass of each species per trip (kg km⁻²) was used to compare the species composition across the sampling 227 228 data and CEFAS data. An MDS plot was used to visualise groupings of sites based on their 229 similarity in bycatch species composition and ANOSIM was used to test for significant 230 differences in the species composition of finfish and shellfish of commercial value between 231 environmentally distinct regions.

232 2.24 Comparison with other fisheries

The observed patterns in bycatch were compared with bycatches in other important king scallop fisheries in ICES area VII, in Wales and around the Isle of Man. King scallop dredge bycatch data from Cardigan Bay, Wales and the Isle of Man territorial waters were obtained from the Fisheries and Conservation Science Group, Bangor University (see Figure 2). These data were 237 gathered during surveys on the RV Prince Madog, using standard Newhaven king scallop 238 dredges. The dataset encompassed 20 survey sites within 12 nautical miles of the Isle of Man 239 (IM) coastline that were identified as king scallop fishing grounds from a high frequency of 240 VMS records (Shepperson et al., 2014). Consultation with a local fisherman (M. Roberts, FV 241 *Harmoni*, pers. comm.) identified important king scallop fishing grounds in Cardigan Bay (CB) 242 and resulted in data from 57 sample sites being included in the analysis. Data from IM were 243 collected between May 2012 and February 2013 and data from CB were collected between 244 June 2012 and August 2014. In the IM and CB datasets only one tow was conducted at each 245 site, each year, therefore a single value of biomass was used for each site, as opposed to mean 246 values that were calculated from multiple tows at sites in the English Channel. However, if 247 there were data for the same sample site from more than one year for the IM and CB datasets, 248 the mean biomass value across years was used. Information on tow length and area swept (total 249 width of the dredges used) was used to calculate biomass of king scallops and bycatch species, standardised to kg km⁻². MDS and ANOSIM statistical tests were used to investigate 250 251 differences in bycatch assemblage at different geographic scales. The number of sample sites, 252 sampling approach and analyses performed in each area are summarised in Table 1. ANOVA 253 testing was used to ascertain if bycatch biomass varied significantly between locations, after 254 the assumptions of normal distribution of residuals and homogeneity of variance in the dataset 255 were checked.



Figure 2: Broad sample locations around the British Isles, indicated with dashed lines. Isle of Man (top); Cardigan Bay (middle), English Channel (bottom). The location of the Baie de Seine is also indicated.

Table 1: Summary of the number of sites sampled, sampling approach and the data analyses performed for each area included in the study.

Location	number of sites sampled	Sampling approach	Data analyses
English Channel (present study)	10	Sub-sample of entire catch	Species diversity & composition, correlation with environmental variables, discards
English Channel (CEFAS data)	14	Sub-sample of finfish and commercial species only	Species diversity & composition, correlation with environmental variables, discards
Cardigan Bay, Wales	57	Sub-sample of entire catch	Species diversity & species composition
Isle of Man	20	Entire catch sampled	Species diversity & species composition

263

264 **3.** *Results*

265 3.1 Present study

266 3.11 Environmental variables

267 Using data for sites sampled in the present study, the first axis of the PCA analysis (PC1) 268 explained 64% of the environmental variation between sites across the English Channel and the second axis (PC2) a further 26%. PC1 was composed of a similarly weighted combination 269 of T_{range} and T_{mean} in one direction and depth in the opposite direction. PC2 was mainly 270 271 influenced by bed shear stress. A SIMPROF test revealed three environmentally distinct groups 272 of sample sites in the English Channel at the p=0.05 level. The first group (referred to as 273 'Shallow') included the four shallowest sites (two in Lyme Bay and two in the eastern English 274 Channel), the second group (referred to as 'Far west') the two most westerly sites and the third 275 group (referred to as 'West') the remaining four sites in the western English Channel (Table 2, 276 Figure 1). The BIOENV analysis indicated that mean seabed temperature and depth best 277 explained the variation in species composition between sites ($\rho=0.625$, p=0.002).

Table 2: Groups of sample sites in the English Channel based on their similarity in four environmental parameters, identified by a SIMPROF analysis. Groups are significantly different at the p=0.05 level.

Site	SIMPROF group	ICES sub- area	bed shear stress (N m ⁻²)	mean seabed temperature (°C)	mean temperature range (°C)	depth (m)
S 4	Shallow	VIIe	0.49	12.06	10.37	25
S 7	Shallow	VIIe	0.13	12.04	10.36	16
S 9	Shallow	VIId	0.92	12.30	10.76	26
S10	Shallow	VIId	0.42	11.83	11.64	29
S 2	Far west	VIIe	0.62	10.69	7.79	70
S 8	Far west	VIIe	0.80	10.69	7.79	32
S 1	West	VIIe	0.11	11.34	8.28	58
S 3	West	VIIe	0.12	11.24	8.27	60
S5	West	VIIe	0.08	11.50	8.67	45
S 6	West	VIIe	0.12	11.50	8.80	49

281

282 3.12 Catch composition

From the samples gathered in the present study, inert material (broken shells, rock, sand, gravel) dominated the weight of catches, with a mean proportion of 75-92% of the total weight. *Pecten maximus* contributed 6-20% of the total catch weight and bycatch varied from <1% to 8% of the total weight of the contents of the dredges.

Of the living biomass retained by the dredges, bycatch species contributed between 8 and 37% to the catch weight, depending on the location, with a mean of 19% across all trips. The highest proportion of bycatch at a single site occurred in the east of Lyme Bay (site S4). The data met the criteria for ANOVA testing (normal distribution of residuals, homogeneity of variance) and the proportion of bycatch between the three habitat groupings was similar (ANOVA: $F_{2,7}=0.237 p=0.80$) (Figure 3). The mean number of species retained per tow across all trips was 10.1 (±3.8) (Table 3).





Figure 3: The percentage composition (biomass) (\pm S.E.) of *P. maximus* (grey bars) and bycatch species (white bars) in king scallop dredge catches from three groups of sample sites in the English Channel (Shallow; Far west; West). The Far west group contains only two sites; therefore calculation of standard error was not possible. Numbers above the bars represent the mean total biomass of catches in each group (kg km⁻²).

- 300
- 301

302	Table 3: Mean total number of species and total biomass (of P. maximus and all bycatch species) from
303	each survey trip. Mean and standard error values (S.E.) are given.

		No. of species		Total bioma	ass (kg m ⁻²)
Group	Trip	Mean	S.E.	Mean	S.E.
Shallow	S 4	10.1	0.8	2818.4	253.1
Shallow	S 7	7.9	0.7	1828.6	194.4
Shallow	S 9	13.8	1.1	1585.2	195.4
Shallow	S10	9.2	0.8	1521.3	74.4
Far west	S 2	4.2	0.8	1973.2	221.2
Far west	S 8	12.8	0.9	3617.2	173.9
West	S 1	7.3	1.1	1255.2	92.8
West	S 3	7.3	0.8	1534.9	142.4
West	S 5	17.2	0.6	1352.0	75.0
West	S6	11.0	1.8	461.1	32.7

305 Of the 74 taxa (see S1) identified across all sampling trips, *P. maximus* accounted for on 306 average 81% of catch biomass, while a further 16 species contributed to the top 99% of the 307 mean catch biomass across sites (Table 4). The queen scallop, *Aequipecten opercularis*, had 308 the second highest mean biomass, and was the only species that constituted on average >5% of 309 the total catch weight across all sampling trips (Table 5).

Table 4: Mean biomass of the species that contributed to the top 99% of biomass caught across all sites sampled in the present study (S1-S10). Species of commercial value in the English Channel are indicated by an asterisk. Cum.% = cumulative percentage of bycatch. No. sites = number of sites at

313 which the species occurred.

Species	Common name	No. sites	Mean biomass (kg km ⁻²)	Mean% of catch	Cum.%
Pecten maximus	king scallop*	10	1476.3	81.0	81.0
Aequipecten opercularis	queen scallop*	8	130.2	6.1	87.1
Marthasterias glacialis	spiny starfish	7	83.0	3.5	90.6
Maja squinado	spiny spider crab*	8	27.0	1.4	92.0
Sepia officinalis	cuttlefish*	5	26.3	1.3	93.3
Cancer pagurus	brown crab*	10	16.0	1.1	94.4
Lophius piscatorius	monkfish*	7	15.8	1.0	95.4
Asterias rubens	common starfish	6	20.7	1.0	96.4
Luidia ciliaris	seven-armed starfish	7	13.7	0.8	97.3
Buccinum undatum	common whelk*	6	6.7	0.3	97.6
Ostrea edulis	common flat oyster*	1	5.4	0.3	97.9
Raja clavata	thornback ray*	4	5.0	0.2	98.1
Solea solea	Dover sole*	8	3.2	0.2	98.3
Scyliorhinus canicula	small spotted catshark	7	3.5	0.2	98.5
Scophthalmus maximus	turbot*	2	2.7	0.2	98.7
Pleuronectes platessa	plaice*	6	2.4	0.2	98.8
Echinus esculentus	common sea urchin	6	1.8	0.1	99.0

314

Table 5: Species that contributed >5% to the total biomass in king scallop dredge catches during at least

317	one sample trip, from a total of 10 sample trips in the eastern and western English Channel (S1-S10).
318	Numbers represent the percentage contribution to the overall catch biomass and those >5% are
319	highlighted in bold. Species of commercial value in the English Channel are indicated by an asterisk
320	(*). S.E. = standard error.

	Shallow			Far	west	West						
Common name	S4	S7	S9	S10	S2	S8	S1	S3	S5	S6	Mean	S.E.
P. maximus*	55.0	79.2	70.3	82.0	72.6	72.3	76.4	83.4	66.4	73.6	73.1	2.6
A. opercularis	28.4	0.0	2.6	1.4	0.3	0.0	3.4	2.2	19.3	2.5	6.0	3.1
M. glacialis	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.0	2.8	17.0	5.8	6.0	2.6	7.2	4.2	1.7
C. pagurus*	0.5	1.3	0.1	0.9	8.8	0.8	4.8	0.5	1.8	3.2	2.3	0.9
L. piscatorius*	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.8	0.5	2.2	2.3	0.6	4.2	1.8	0.8
S. officinalis*	0.0	0.0	0.4	3.9	0.0	7.8	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.4	1.3	0.8
A. rubens	3.0	0.7	5.2	0.9	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.0	1.0	0.5
S. maximus*	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.7	6.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.7

322 The three groups of sample sites (Shallow, Far West, West) identified in the SIMPROF analysis 323 as being environmentally distinct (ANOSIM: R=0.632, p=0.001, Table 6, Figure 4) also had 324 significantly different bycatch species composition. The MDS plot had a stress value of 0.18, 325 which indicates a good 2-dimensional representation of the differences between the three 326 groups (Clarke & Warwick, 2001). Within group similarity in bycatch species composition was 327 relatively high (67, 64 and 64% for the groups Shallow, Far West and West, respectively), 328 which suggests that the bycatch assemblages were strongly differentiated across the English 329 Channel. There was no overall significant difference in bycatch species composition between 330 season (R=0.016, p=0.38); however the lack of temporally repeated samples and the inherent 331 variability between all sites mean this result should be interpreted with caution.

332 Bycatch species contributing to the top 95% of biomass in the Shallow group were A. 333 opercularis, A. rubens, M. squinado, S. officinalis, C. fornicata and B. undatum. In the Far west 334 group, species contributing to the top 95% of biomass were M. glacialis and L. piscatorius, 335 although the Similarity/Standard Deviation (Sim/S.D.) values were low (<0.5), meaning that 336 the biomass of these species was not consistent across sites within the group. In the West group, 337 A. opercularis, M. glacialis, L. ciliaris, L. piscatorius, C. pagurus and M. squinado dominated 338 the top 95% of biomass. The Sim/SD values for all these species were <1.3 meaning that the 339 variation in biomass of the species between sites within the group was high.



342 Figure 4: Multi-dimensional scaling plots of the similarity in bycatch species biomass between sample

343 sites (S1-S10) (square-root transformed data) in king scallop dredge catches across the English Channel.

Each individual symbol represents a sampled haul from a single tow. Symbols represent the three groupsof environmentally distinct sample sites identified by SIMPROF analysis.

346

Table 6: Results from SIMPER and ANOSIM analysis for the dissimilarity in bycatch species
composition between environmentally distinct groups of sites (Shallow (S1, S3, S5, S6); Far West (S2,
S8); West (S4, S7, S9, S10)).

Groups	Dissimilarity (%)	R statistic	p-value
West, Far West	47	0.668	0.001
West, shallow	47	0.649	0.001
Far West, Shallow	45	0.452	0.001

350

351 **3.2** CEFAS data and present study combined

352 3.21 Environmental variables

When assessing the environmental variation between sample sites from the present study and the CEFAS dataset combined, the first axis of the PCA (PC1) explained 70% of the environmental variation between sample sites, and the second axis (PC2) a further 21%. A CLUSTER analysis with SIMPROF testing revealed six groupings of sites based on significant differences in their environmental parameters at a significance level of 5% (Table 7). BIOENV showed that a combination of all four environmental variables best explained the variation in species composition between sites (ρ =0.368, p=0.001).

Group	Sites	Location
FB_mid	C28, S1, S3	Falmouth Bay (mid)
FB_east	C10, C11, C12, C19, C25, C27, C7, S5, S6	Falmouth Bay (east)
SB_EC	C16, C17, C18, C20, C3, C22	Start Bay and mid-eastern English Channel
FB_west_WC	C23, C24, C4, S2, S8	Falmouth Bay (west), mid-western English Channel, Start Bay
LB_Portland	C13, C26, C14	Lyme Bay (Portland)
LB_EC	C1, C6, S10, S4, S7, S9, C2, C5	Lyme Bay and eastern English Channel

Table 7: Results from a CLUSTER analysis of the similarity in environmental parameters at all sampling sites

364 3.22 Catch composition

365 When considering only finfish and shellfish bycatch species of commercial value, using the combined survey and CEFAS datasets, the same five bycatch species of commercial value that 366 367 were identified using only the data from the present study had the highest mean biomass across 368 all sample sites (Aequipecten opercularis, Maja squinado, Lophius sp., Sepia officinalis and 369 *Cancer pagurus*). The percentage dissimilarity in species composition between groups ranged 370 from 3-48% and species composition was significantly different between six pairs of 371 environmentally distinct groups (Table 8). An MDS plot indicated that sites in the middle and 372 eastern parts of Falmouth Bay (FB_mid; FB_east) had more similar species composition than 373 other sites, and sites from Lyme Bay were clustered together (LB_Portland; LB_EC) (Figure 374 5). A stress level of 0.2 for the MDS plot indicates a useful 2-dimensional representation of the 375 similarity between samples (Clarke & Warwick, 2001). The location of these groups of sample 376 sites are indicated by matching symbols in Figure 6. .



379 Figure 5: Multi-dimensional scaling plots of relative similarity in biomass of finfish and shellfish

380 species of commercial value (square-root transformed data) in king scallop dredge catches across the

381 English Channel. Each symbol represents data pooled from one sample site. Symbols represent382 environmentally distinct groups of sample sites.



383

Figure 6: Sample sites from the present study and CEFAS that were environmentally distinct
(SIMPROF analysis). Each symbol represents a sample site and symbols represent groups: LB_EC
(green triangles); FB_east (blue triangles), LB_Portland (blue squares); SB_EC (red diamonds);
FB_west_WC (pink circles); FB_mid (grey crosses).

390 Table 8: P-values from ANOSIM testing for the dissimilarity in species composition of finfish and shellfish species of commercial value between environmentally distinct groups of sample sites.

Significant p-values are in bold text.

	FB_east	SB_EC	FB_west_WC	LB_Portland	LB_EC
FB_mid	0.264	0.202	0.821	0.100	0.055
FB_east		0.005	0.008	0.005	0.001
SB_EC			0.413	0.083	0.027
FB_west_WC				0.482	0.033
LB_Portland					0.285
LB_EC					

395 **3.3 Discards**

Based on data from the present study and CEFAS data, the mean biomass of discarded king
scallops below the minimum landing size (110 mm in sub-area VIId and 100 mm in sub-area
VIIe) ranged from 1.5 – 52.9% per trip. The mean proportion discarded was 20% in ICES subarea VIId (eastern English Channel) and 27% in ICES sub-area VIIe (western English Channel)
respectively (Figure 7a). The lowest amount of undersized king scallop discards occurred at a
site in eastern Lyme Bay.

402 In total, across all sample sites, twenty different bycatch species were retained, at the discretion 403 of the skipper (each species was not retained on every trip). Individuals of commercially fished 404 species that were below the minimum landing size for that species and all other (non-405 commercial) species were always discarded. The mean proportion of finfish and shellfish of 406 commercial value (excluding king scallops) discarded during a trip ranged from 18-100%. The 407 mean biomass of finfish and shellfish of commercial value (excluding king scallops) retained per haul across all trips was 36 kg km⁻² (Figure 7b). The mean biomass discarded per trip was 408 409 significantly higher in the eastern English Channel (sub-area VIId, 135 kg km⁻²) than the western English Channel (sub-area VIIe, 66 kg km⁻²), (t=2.05, d.f=32, p=0.048). However, 410 411 there were fewer samples from the eastern English Channel and there was a large degree of 412 variation in discarded biomass between samples in the eastern English Channel, therefore the 413 statistical significance of the latter result should be interpreted with caution. The higher discard 414 biomass in the eastern English Channel was largely attributed to the species *Pleuronectes* 415 platessa, S. officinalis and M. squinado.

416

417



Figure 7: a) Mean proportion (\pm S.E.) of *P. maximus*, b) Mean biomass (kg km⁻²) (\pm S.E.) of finfish and shellfish of commercial value (excluding king scallops) that were retained (grey bars) or discarded (white bars) in king scallop dredge catches in the eastern (ICES sub-area VIId) and western (ICES subarea VIIe) English Channel. Combined data from the present study and CEFAS sampling trips.

425

426 3.4 Large-scale geographic variation

There was no significant difference in king scallop dredge bycatch species composition from three geographically distinct areas around the Isle of Man; the south, east, and west (ANOSIM: r=0.149, p=0.054), therefore Isle of Man samples were pooled and then compared with catches from Cardigan Bay and the three groups of sample sites from the English Channel. The data met the assumptions for ANOVA (normal distribution of residuals and homogeneity of 432 variance) and the mean biomass of dredge catches was significantly different between all five 433 locations (ANOVA: F_{4.82}=11.29, p<0.001). Total catch biomass was greatest in Cardigan Bay 434 (CB) (Figure 8a), although the highest species diversity (Margalef index) occurred in catches 435 around the Isle of Man (Figure 8b). The lowest catch biomass occurred in the English Channel 436 bycatch assemblage 'West' (see Figure 3, Table 3). Bycatch species composition was 437 significantly different between all five areas (ANOSIM: R=0.58, p=0.001). All pairwise 438 comparisons of the bycatch composition from the five locations resulted in R values between 439 0.216 and 0.877 and all had a significant p-value of <0.002. A low R-value (<0.3) between the 440 English Channel group 'Far West' and CB indicates significant overlap in the bycatch species 441 composition of these two areas. Within group similarity ranged from 37% in the English 442 Channel 'West' group to 51% in CB. Dissimilarity between groups ranged from 61% (CB/IM) 443 to 88% (CB/Far West).

444 Pecten maximus contributed the highest proportion of biomass to catches in all areas. Sim/SD 445 values for *P. maximus* were >1.3 in all areas meaning that biomass was consistent between 446 samples within areas. Cardigan Bay dredge catches were characterised by a higher proportion 447 of king scallops (85% of catch biomass) than all areas of the English Channel and the Isle of 448 Man, with just three further species contributing to the top 90% of biomass. These species were 449 *M. squinado* and *Asterias rubens* that accounted on average for 4% and 3% of catch biomass 450 respectively and C. pagurus that contributed 1.5% of catch biomass. In the Isle of Man, P. 451 maximus accounted for an average of 47% of catch biomass. Five species that contributed to 452 the top 80% of bycatch biomass around the Isle of Man include A. opercularis (13%) and A. 453 rubens (11%), with Raja naevus, Echinus esculentus and Eledone cirrhosa contributing on 454 average 4%, 4%, and 3% respectively. Although a number of finfish and shellfish species of commercial value were present in both Cardigan Bay and the Isle of Man, catches were low, 455 456 with no single species contributing >2% to catch biomass. A. *rubens* contributed consistently 457 catch biomass in all areas of the Isle of Man, but not in Cardigan Bay. Eleven species were 458 responsible for the top 80% similarity within groups, across all sample areas, of which six are 459 commercially fished (Table 9). Typical species for each of the five areas, identified by the 460 SIMPER analysis, are given in S2.





- 471 Table 9: Species contributing to the top 80% within group similarity in king scallop dredge bycatch at
- 472 sites in the English Channel, Cardigan Bay and the Isle of Man. Species of commercial value are 473 indicated by an asterisk.

English Channel	Cardigan Bay	Isle of Man
Pecten maximus*	Pecten maximus*	Pecten maximus*
Cancer pagurus*	Asterias rubens	Asterias rubens
Aequipecten opercularis*		Aequipecten opercularis*
Marthasterias glacialis		Alcyonium digitatum
Maja squinado		Luidia ciliaris
Luidia ciliaris		
Solea solea*		
Lophius piscatorius*		
Microstomus kitt*		

475

476 **4. Discussion**

477 Understanding the quantity of bycatch and discards associated with a fishery is an important 478 step in assessing the sustainability of that fishery. This understanding also helps to identify 479 issues and drive initiatives that might reduce by catch, if levels are considered unsustainable 480 (e.g. Shephard et al., 2009). The results of this study provide an estimate of bycatch biomass 481 and species composition typically associated with the king scallop dredge fishery across the 482 English Channel. Using available data, we were also able to compare bycatches that occur in 483 the English Channel against other important king scallop fisheries around the British Isles, 484 which indicated that there is considerable variation in the amount of dredge bycatch in different 485 localities. The results indicate that while bycatches are relatively low (<20% of catch biomass) 486 in some areas, they are considerably higher (>50% of catch biomass) in other areas. This means 487 that observer sampling programmes designed to monitor scallop dredge bycatch should be 488 designed to capture both spatial and temporal variability at the appropriate scale. Nevertheless, 489 the analysis presented here provides a basis for defining areas with similar bycatch 490 characteristics that would inform the definition of 'bycatch sampling regions'.

491 **4.1** King scallop dredge bycatch in the English Channel

492 Overall, 19% of the wet weight of king scallop dredge catches in the English Channel was
493 comprised of bycatch. The proportion of bycatch (as a proportion of the total catch biomass)
494 was similar across all areas sampled in the English Channel. Discards of finfish and shellfish

495 of commercial value as a proportion of total bycatch were highest in the eastern English 496 Channel. This was mainly due to a high biomass of discarded cuttlefish, plaice and spider crabs 497 that were predominant in the bycatch in that location. The selectivity of the dredge gear allows 498 small benthic organisms to be riddled out of the bottom of the dredge bag, through 499 interconnecting steel rings of 7.5-9 cm diameter, therefore bycatches were dominated by larger 500 benthic species, such as starfish, brown and spider crabs and larger demersal fish species. 501 Individual bycatch species were present at consistently low levels, at <9% of total catch 502 biomass. There were three exceptions to this; at two sites queen scallops contributed 19% and 503 28% to the catch biomass, and at one site the starfish, M. glacialis, contributed 17% to the total 504 catch biomass.

505 Low catches of commercially fished species may relate to low local abundance of those species 506 at a particular site (Craven et al., 2013). However, in the present study, the boundaries of king 507 scallop and demersal beam trawl fisheries overlap, particularly in the western English Channel. 508 This overlap suggests a low catch susceptibility of demersal fish and other shellfish species of 509 commercial value to the Newhaven scallop dredge. Incorporating the additional samples from 510 the CEFAS data highlighted that in the English Channel, the biomass of bycatch in king scallop 511 dredges is dominated by commercially important, rather than non-commercial species, with the 512 exception of the spiny starfish, Marthasterias glacialis. The latter being the only species not 513 of commercial value in the top eight species contributing to overall catch biomass in the English Channel. However, this was attributable to a single area; M. glacialis was prevalent in 514 515 bycatches at sites within Falmouth Bay (western English Channel), with only one further record 516 outside of Falmouth Bay.

517 Species of commercial value that dominated king scallop dredge bycatches in the English 518 Channel (including samples from the present study and CEFAS data) were the spiny spider 519 crab, monkfish, queen scallop, brown crab and cuttlefish. Non-commercial species that were 520 also prevalent in catches were the common starfish (Asterias rubens), the seven-armed starfish 521 (Luidia ciliaris), the sea urchin (Echinus esculentus) and the small spotted catshark 522 (Scyliorhinus canicula). Other taxa that were retained by the dredge were a number of flatfish 523 and round fish species, starfish, echinoderms, small crustaceans, bivalves, hydroids and 524 bryozoans. The individual proportion of these taxa in catches was low (generally <0.5% of 525 catch biomass).

526 4.2 Broad-scale variation in king scallop dredge bycatch

527 Environmental and physical conditions at the seabed vary across a variety of spatial scales, 528 which causes variation in the related species community composition. Bycatch assemblages in 529 some fisheries are known to vary with depth, season and other abiotic factors (Probert et al., 530 1997; Bergmann et al., 2002; Rodrigues-Filho et al., 2013). There was moderate correlation 531 between the species resemblance matrix and the physical parameters of depth and mean seabed 532 temperature. From sites in the English Channel sampled in the present study, bycatch species 533 composition was significantly different between all but the two sample sites that were nearest 534 each other. In the English Channel, three distinct bycatch assemblages were identified that 535 related to the environmental parameters at the associated sample sites. At a broader geographic 536 scale, across ICES area VII, significant differences in bycatch assemblage composition were 537 found between king scallop fishing grounds in the English Channel, Cardigan Bay and around 538 the Isle of Man. This is likely to be due to habitat differences between the three locations. The 539 seabed on king scallop fishing grounds in the English Channel ranges from sand to gravelly 540 sand habitats that support broadly similar benthic communities from east to west, which are 541 dominated by species resilient to physical disturbance (Szostek et al., 2015b). Seabed habitats 542 around the Isle of Man vary to a greater degree and include biogenic habitats such as horse 543 mussel (Modiolus modiolus), Sabellaria spinulosa and maerl reefs (Hinz et al., 2010). Biogenic 544 reefs are important for nutrient cycling and benthopelagic coupling and are of international 545 conservation importance, designated as OSPAR priority habitats (www.ospar.org). They also 546 provide structurally complex habitats that can support dense and diverse communities (Rees et 547 al. 2008; Sanderson et al. 2008). Biogenic reefs are a refuge for juveniles of fish species such 548 as cod, saithe and pollock (Kamenos et al., 2004) and provide settlement habitat for shellfish 549 species including king scallop spat (Kent et al., 2016). The importance of biogenic reefs and 550 their high vulnerability to bottom-towed fishing gears (Cook et al., 2013) is an important 551 consideration for spatial management. Modiolus reefs are notably absent in the English 552 Channel with the southern-most point of the species range occurring in the Humber and Severn 553 estuaries. Around the Isle of Man, muddy substrates that support communities dominated by 554 the Norway lobster (Nephrops norvegicus) and polychaete worms (Hinz et al., 2010) also 555 occur, and contributing to the diversity of dredge bycatch around the Isle of Man are a greater 556 number of fish species (Craven et al., 2013). In Cardigan Bay, the seabed habitats on which 557 commercial scallop fishing occurs are dominated by unconsolidated sand, gravel and cobble 558 sediments that do not support a diverse epifaunal community (Lambert *et al.*, in prep). The

increased variety of habitats, supporting a wider range of species, can explain the more diverse
dredge bycatch in the waters around the Isle of Man compared to the English Channel and
Cardigan Bay.

562 The intensity of fishing disturbance itself will alter bycatch assemblages at localised 563 geographic scales over longer timescales. The intensity of long-term fishing effort negatively 564 correlates with species richness, diversity and abundance around the Isle of Man (Veale *et al.*, 565 2000a). This could be attributed to greater mortality of sensitive species, habitat homogenisation and the intermediate disturbance hypothesis (Connell, 1978). In the English 566 567 Channel, scallop fishing effort is not significantly correlated with species diversity, biomass or 568 abundance, with environmental factors being important drivers of community composition 569 (Szostek et al., 2015b). The latter may be due to the timescales over which the commercial 570 fishery has been in operation, resulting in altered communities that are dominated by species 571 resilient to the effects of fishing. Trophic impacts can be caused by carrion left in the dredge 572 tracks that can supplement the diet of predators such as starfish and crabs (Veale et al., 2000b). 573 However, this is not a reliable food supply therefore benefits may not be observed at population 574 level (Veale *et al.*, 2000b). Predators can also be removed from the system through capture as 575 bycatch, with impacts at lower trophic levels. This can lead to shifts in community structure 576 through the proliferation of opportunistic species (Engel & Kvitek, 1998), or scavenging 577 species (Collie et al., 1997). Damage from dredge fishing to essential fish habitat must also be 578 considered in fishery management plans.

579 Many of the species contributing to the majority of dissimilarity in bycatch assemblage 580 between the English Channel, Cardigan Bay and the Isle of Man, were present in all three areas 581 but were not consistently abundant between samples in each area. This indicates that there is 582 high variation in individual bycatch species relative abundances at localised scales, as well as 583 larger spatial scales across the extent of the fishery. Small scale differences in the bycatch 584 composition within Cardigan Bay are attributed to geographic variation rather than 585 management area (Lambert *et al.*, 2014).

586 4.3 Temporal and spatial variation

587 Spatial and temporal variation is inherent in bycatch data (Allen *et al.*, 2002; Borges *et al.*, 588 2004; Craven *et al.*, 2013). Therefore, even with many samples covering a broad temporal and 589 spatial scale, bias may hide patterns in the data and stratification of sampling effort cannot 590 guarantee reliable samples (Rochet & Trenkel, 2005). Bycatch from scallop fisheries can vary with location, gear configuration, season, environmental and weather conditions and tow duration. Seasonal variations in fish and invertebrate abundance and behaviour are also likely to influence the prevalence of certain species in catches (Wilberg *et al.*, 2010). Identifying hotspots or certain times of year when bycatch species are more prevalent, or more susceptible to capture, can help inform management measures that could reduce these bycatches, such as the use of temporary closed areas or particular fishing gears.

597 In the present study there was a particularly high biomass of the common cuttlefish, S. 598 officinalis in catches in the Baie de Seine (see Figure 1). Cuttlefish are a commercially valuable 599 cephalopod species in the north-east Atlantic and the main fishing grounds are in the English 600 Channel. The species is short-lived (typically no more than 2 years) and recruitment to the 601 fishery peaks in autumn when juveniles migrate to offshore wintering grounds (Royer et al., 602 2006). Sampling at the site in the Baie de Seine coincided with this time period. If the catch 603 quantity of this species was of concern, management could restrict scallop dredging to times 604 of the year when catchability is lower. Due to the lack of seasonal resolution, and the lack of 605 samples from larger vessels in the current dataset, it is not possible to raise the dataset from the 606 present study to the annual landings of the king scallop fleet in the English Channel. However, 607 the mean contribution of cuttlefish to the overall catch in the Baie de Seine was 7.8%, therefore 608 it is likely that the mean proportion of cuttlefish bycatch throughout the year would be less.

Sampling in the present study is weighted towards summer sampling in the western English Channel, with fewer samples during winter and from the eastern English Channel. This is largely due to the difference in the total number of vessels that target king scallops in each area and the seasonality of king scallop dredging in the inshore eastern English Channel, which provided few sampling opportunities (Figure 2). The data does however indicate that overall, bycatch of commercially important and sensitive species is low compared to bycatch in other fisheries (Kelleher, 2005).

616 **4.4** *Discards*

In terms of biomass, discards of bycatch were higher in the eastern English Channel. On average 73% of biomass from king scallop dredge catches in the English Channel was discarded, although non-commercial species accounted for the majority of the discarded biomass. Between 18 and 100% of bycatch species biomass of commercial value was discarded from king scallop dredge catches in the English Channel. A ban on the discarding of quota species, including pelagic (*e.g.* mackerel and herring) and demersal species (such as cod, 623 haddock and whiting) is currently being phased in under the new CFP regulations (European Commission, 2013), meaning that by 2019 all bycatch species of commercial value may have 624 625 to be landed. This will result in a significant increase in landed biomass for some fisheries 626 (Catchpole et al., 2008; Poos et al., 2010). Commercially fished species accounted for 7% of total catch biomass in the English Channel king scallop fishery. Therefore, although the 627 628 impacts of the new legislation will be less significant than for fisheries targeting quota species, 629 there are still likely to be financial implications and logistical issues associated with the 630 retention of discards in king scallop fisheries (Mangi & Catchpole, 2013).

631 The proportion of undersized king scallops is likely to be higher in areas that are fished heavily 632 and/or have recently been harvested as the majority of king scallops over MLS will have been 633 removed from the area. The present study revealed that undersized king scallops are caught 634 more frequently in the western English Channel than in the eastern English Channel. This is 635 probably largely attributable to slower growth rates observed in the western English Channel 636 resulting in a larger proportion of the population being just below the minimum landing size. 637 Fatal damage to king scallops can occur during dredging and varies between 2 and 20%, largely 638 due to spatial variation in shell thickness (Beukers-Stewart & Beukers-Stewart, 2009). 639 Intermediate damage may not be immediately fatal but could lead to an increased susceptibility 640 to predation (Caddy, 1973; Jenkins & Brand, 2001). Damage to the mantle, similar to that 641 caused during dredging, increases the likelihood of death within 30 days post-dredging 642 (Gruffyd, 1972). The majority of damage that occurs is in the form of small chips on the 643 perimeter of the shell that, although unlikely to cause immediate problems, can result in the 644 redirection of energy from reproduction to repair, leading to lower reproductive output (Kaiser 645 et al., 2007). Mortality following dredging is greater in younger king scallops as their smaller 646 size means they are more likely to be caught up in the mesh of the steel belly and they may be 647 more susceptible to the effects of stress (Gruffyd, 1972; Maguire et al., 2002). Due to the 648 greater incidence of undersized discards in the western English Channel, improving gear 649 efficiency to reduce the number of undersized individuals retained by the dredge (Lart et al., 650 2003) would provide benefits to the stock.

651 4.5 Conclusions

Due to inherent variation in bycatch assemblages, coupled with seasonal variation in the abundance of certain species (*e.g.* Veale *et al.*, 2001), accurate estimates of bycatch can only be obtained through regular sampling, covering an appropriate spatial, temporal and seasonal 655 scale. Distinct geographic areas, defined by physical and biological parameters should be 656 incorporated into sampling plans. The results of this study indicate that overall bycatch in the 657 English Channel king scallop fishery is lower than in other king scallop dredge fisheries that 658 occur elsewhere in the British Isles. Based on the by catch rates reported, scallop dredgers have 659 a limited capacity to inflict large scale mortalities on bycatch species of commercial value. 660 However, we did not assess the quantity or mortality of organisms that come into contact with 661 the dredge but remain on the seabed. Studies relating to this are limited, although Jenkins et 662 al., (2001) concluded that there was wide variation in the response of different megafaunal taxa 663 (including starfish and crabs) to damage and mortality following dredging activity. Cancer 664 pagarus demonstrated higher levels of damage when not retained by the dredge and this is an 665 important factor to account for when considering management of that species in relation to 666 scallop dredging. Fisheries management should also take spawning periods and total fishing 667 effort into account when considering dredge impacts.

The proportion of dredge bycatch in Cardigan Bay, Wales was slightly lower than that in the 668 669 English Channel. However, dredge bycatch biomass was considerably higher around the Isle 670 of Man (on average 53% of catch biomass). Scallop dredge bycatch species composition varies 671 with localised and broad spatial scales, which is attributed to differences in physical and 672 environmental conditions as well as seasonal variations in species abundances, catch 673 susceptibility or gear configuration. Management options that reduce bycatch will become 674 increasingly important in the future with the advent of the EU landing obligation. Such 675 measures may include: using improved fishing gears that reduce bycatch and impacts on 676 organisms that are not retained by the dredge; seasonal management restrictions to remove 677 fishing impacts during times when certain species are more vulnerable to capture; avoiding 678 sensitive habitats such as biogenic reefs and reducing overall fishing effort.

679

680 Acknowledgements

The authors thank CEFAS for providing scallop fishery observer data, NEODAAS for providing seabed temperature and chlorophyll-*a* data and the National Oceanography Centre for providing stratification data. The research was funded by members of the UK Scallop Association, Morrisons and the Fishmongers Company, in collaboration with CEFAS. The

- authors also thanks the numerous vessel owners and skippers who facilitated sampling trips
- and M. Roberts for providing information on king scallop fishing grounds in Cardigan Bay.

688 References

- Allen, M., Kilpatrick, D., Armstrong, M., Briggs, R., Course, G., Pérez, N. (2002). Multistage
 cluster sampling design and optimal sample sizes for estimation of fish discards from
 commercial trawlers. *Fisheries Research* 55: 11–24.
- 692 Berghahn, R. (1990). On the potential impact of shrimping on trophic relationships in the
- 693 Wadden Sea. In: Barnes M, Gibson RN (eds.). Trophic relationships in the marine system.
- 694 Aberdeen University Press, Aberdeen, p. 130–140.
- Bergmann, M., Wieczorek, S., Moore, P. & Atkinson, R. (2002). Discard composition of the
- Nephrops fishery in the clyde sea area, Scotland. *Fisheries Research* 57: 169-183.
- Beukers-Stewart, B.D. & Beukers-Stewart, J.S. (2009). *Principles for the Management of Inshore Scallop Fisheries around the United Kingdom*. Environment Department, University
 of York, pp. 57.
- Borges, L., Zuur, A.F., Rogan, E., Officer, R. (2004). Optimum sampling levels in discard
 sampling programmes. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquaculture Science* 61: 1918–
 1928.
- Caddy, J.F., (1973). Underwater observations on the tracks of dredges and trawls and some
 effects of dredging on a scallop ground. *Journal of the Fisheries Research Board of Canada*30: 173 180.
- Catchpole, T.L., van Keeken, O., Gray, T. & Piet, G. (2008). The discard problem: a
 comparative analysis of two fisheries: The English *Nephrops* fishery and the Dutch beam trawl
 fishery. *Ocean and Coastal Management* 51: 772–778.
- Campbell, G. (unpubl.) Great Scallops (*Pecten maximus*) (Mollusca: Bivalvia) exhibit
 phenotypic plasticity.
- Chauvaud, L., Patry, Y., Jolivet, A., Cam, E., Le Goff C., Strand, O., Charrier, G., Thebault,
 J., Lazure, P., Gotthard, K., Clavier, J. (2012) Variation in Size and Growth of the Great Scallop

- 713 Pecten maximus along a Latitudinal Gradient. PLoS ONE 7(5): e37717.
 714 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0037717.
- 715 Chopin F.S. & Arimoto, T. (1995). The condition of fish escaping from fishing gears—a
 716 review. *Fisheries Research* 21:315–327.
- 717 Clarke, K.R. & Gorley, R.N., 2006. *PRIMER v6: User Manual/Tutorial*. PRIMER-E,
 718 Plymouth.
- Clarke, K.R. & Warwick, R.M. (2001). *Change in marine communities: an approach to statistical analysis and interpretation*, 2nd edition. PRIMER-E: Plymouth.
- 721 Collie J.S., Escanero, G.A., Valentine, P.C. (1997). Effects of bottom fishing on the benthic
- megafauna of Georges Bank. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 155: 159–172.
- Collie, J.S., Hall, S.J., Kaiser, M.J., Poiner, I.R. (2000). A quantitative analysis of fishing
 impacts on shelf-sea benthos. *Journal of Animal Ecology* 69(5): 785-798.
- 725 Connell, J.H. (1978). Diversity in tropical rainforests and coral reefs. *Science* 199:1302-1310.
- 726 Cook, R., Farinas-Franco, J.M., Gell, F.R., Holt, R.H.F., Holt, T., et al. (2013). The Substantial
- 727 First Impact of Bottom Fishing on Rare Biodiversity Hotspots: A Dilemma for Evidence-Based
- 728 Conservation. *PLoS ONE* 8(8): e69904. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0069904.
- 729 Craven, H. R., Brand, A. R. and Stewart, B. D. (2013). Patterns and impacts of fish bycatch in
- 730a scallop dredge fishery. Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems 23: 152–
- 731 170.
- Davies, R. W. D., Cripps, S. J., Nickson, A. & Porter, G. (2009). Defining and estimating global
 marine fisheries bycatch. *Marine Policy* 33: 661–672.
- 734 Depestele, J., Desender, M., Benoît, H.P., Polet, H., Vincx, M. (2014). Short-term survival of
- 735 discarded target fish and non-target invertebrate species in the "eurocutter" beam trawl fishery
- 736 of the southern North Sea. *Fisheries Research* 154: 82-92.
- 737 Engel, J. & Kvitek, R. (1998). Effects of otter trawling on a benthic community in Monterey
- 738 Bay National Marine Sanctuary. *Conservation Biology* 12(6): 1204-1214.

- 739 Gaspar, M.B., Leitao, F., Santos, M.N., Chicharo, L. Dias, M. D. Chicharo, A. and Monteiro
- 740 C. C. (2003). A comparison of direct macrofaunal mortality using three types of clam dredges.
- 741 *ICES Journal of Marine Science* 60: 733–742.
- Gruffydd, L.D. (1972). Mortality of scallops on a Manx scallop bed due to fishing. *Journal of*
- *the Marine Biological Association of the UK* 52: 449-455.
- Hall, M.A., Alverson. D. L., Metuzals, K.I. (2000). By-catch: Problems and Solutions. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 41: 204-219.
- Heath, M.R., Cook, R.M., Cameron, A.I., Morris, D.J., Speirs, D.C. (2014). Cascading
 ecological effects of eliminating fishery discards. *Nature Communications* 5: Article number:
 3893. doi:10.1038/ncomms4893.
- Hilborn, R. (2011). Future directions in ecosystem based fisheries management: A personal
 perspective. *Fisheries Research* 108: 235-239.
- Hinz, H., Murray, L.G., Gell, F., Hanley, L., Horton, N., Whiteley, H., Kaiser, M.J. (2010). *Seabed habitats around the Isle of Man.* Fisheries & Conservation report No. 12, Bangor
 University, pp. 29.
- Howarth, L. M. & Stewart, B. D. (2014). The dredge fishery for scallops in the United Kingdom
- 755 (UK): effects on marine ecosystems and proposals for future management. Report to the
- 756 Sustainable Inshore Fisheries Trust. Marine Ecosystem Management Report no. 5, University
- 757 of York, 54 pp.
- Jenkins S. R., & Brand A. R. (2001). The effect of dredge capture on the escape response of
- 759 the great scallop, *Pecten maximus* (L.): implications for the survival of undersized discards.
- 760 *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* 266: 33–50.
- 761 Jenkins, S.R., Beukers-Stewart, B.D., Brand, A.R. (2001). Impact of scallop dredging on
- 762 benthic megafauna: a comparison of damage levels in capture and non-captured organisms.
- 763 Marine Ecology Progress Series 215: 297–301.
- Kaiser, M.J., Blyth-Skyrme, R.E., Hart, P.J.B., Edwards-Jones, G, Palmer, D. (2007). Evidence
- for greater reproductive output per unit area in areas protected from fishing. *Canadian Journal*
- 766 *of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* 64(9): 1284-1289.

- 767 Kamenos, N.A., Moore, P.G. & Hall-Spencer, J.M. (2004). Small-scale distribution of juvenile
- gadoids in shallow inshore waters; what role does maerl play? *ICES Journal of Marine Science*
- 769 61: 422 429.
- 770 Kelleher, K. (2005). Discards in the world's marine fisheries. An update. FAO Fisheries
- 771 *Technical Paper*. No. 470. Rome, FAO. 131p.
- 772 Kent, F.E., Gray, M.J., Last, K.L, Sanderson, W.G. (2016). Horse mussel reef ecosystem
- services: evidence for a whelk nursey habitat supporting a shellfishery. *International Journal*
- of Biodiversity Science, Ecosystem Services & Management, Vol.12, no.3, 172-180.
- Lambert, G.I., Murray L.G., Kaiser M.J. (2014). *Status of scallop populations in Welsh waters*.
 Bangor University, Fisheries and Conservation Report No. 41. pp 61.
- Lart, W. et al., (2003). Evaluation and improvement of shellfish dredge design and fishing
 effort in relation to technical conservation measures and environmental impact.
 [ECODREDGE FAIR CT98-4465] FINAL REPORT Volume 1: Review of Dredge Fisheries.
 27p.
- 781 Link, J.S. (2002). What Does Ecosystem-Based Fisheries Management Mean? *Fisheries*782 27(4):18-21.
- Maguire J.A., Coleman, A., Jenkins, S., Burnell, G.M. (2002). Effects of dredging on
 undersized scallops. *Fisheries Research* 56: 155–165.
- Mangi, S.C. & Catchpole, T.L. (2013). Using discards not destined for human consumption. *Environmental Conservation* 41(3): 290-301.
- MMO (2015). *UK Sea Fisheries Statistics 2014*. Marine Management Organisation, London,
 pp. 156.
- 789 Poos, J.J., Bogaards, J.A., Quirijns, F.J., Gillis, D.M. & Rijnsdorp, A.D. (2010). Individual
- quotas, fishing effort allocation and over quota discarding in mixed fisheries. *ICES Journal of*
- 791 *Marine Science* 67: 323–333.
- 792 Probert, P.K., McKnight, D. & Grove, S.L. (1997). Benthic invertebrate bycatch from a
- 793 deepwater trawl fishery, Chatham Rise, New Zealand. Aquatic Conservation: Marine and
- 794 Freshwater Ecosystems 7: 27-40.

- Ramsay, K., Kaiser M. J. and Hughes R. N. (1996). Change in hermit crab feeding patterns in
 response to trawling disturbance. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 144: 63–72.
- Rees, E.I.S., Sanderson. W.G., Mackie. A.S.Y., Holt. R.H.F. (2008). Small-scale variation
 within a *Modiolus modiolus* (Mollusca: Bivalvia) reef in the Irish Sea. III. Crevice, sediment
- infauna and epifauna from targeted cores. *Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the*
- 800 United Kingdom 88. 151–156.
- Rochet, M. J. & V. M. Trenkel, (2005). Factors for the variability of discards: assumptions and
 field evidence. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* 62: 224–235.
- 803 Rodrigues-Filho, J.L., Branco, J.O., Monteiro, H.S., Verani, J.R., Barreiros, J.P. (2013).
- 804 Seasonality of Ichthyofauna Bycatch in Shrimp Trawls from Different Depth Strata in the
- 805 Southern Brazilian Coast. *Journal of Coastal Research* 31(2): 378 389.
- 806 Royer, J., Pierce, G.J., Foucher, E., Robin, J.P. (2006). The English Channel stock of Sepia
- *officinalis*: modelling variability in abundance and impact of the fishery. *Fisheries Research*78: 96–106.
- 809 Sanderson, W.G., Holt, R.H.F., Kay, L., Ramsay, K., Perrins, J., et. al. (2008). Small-scale
- 810 variation within a *Modiolus modiolus* (Mollusca : Bivalvia) reef in the Irish Sea. II. Epifauna
- 811 recorded by divers and cameras. Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United
- 812 *Kingdom* 88: 143–149.
- Shephard, S., Goudey, C.A., Read, A., Kaiser, M.J. (2009). Hydrodredge: reducing the
 negative impacts of scallop dredging. Fisheries Research 95: 206-209.
- 815 Shepperson, J., Murray, L.G., Cook, S., Wightley, H., Kaiser, M.J. (2014). Methodological
- 816 considerations when using local knowledge to infer spatial patterns of resource exploitation in
- an Irish Sea fishery. *Biological Conservation* 180: 214-223.
- 818 Szostek, C.L. (2015a). Population characteristics and environmental interactions of the king
- 819 *scallop dredge fishery in the English Channel.* PhD Thesis, Bangor University, pp. 294.
- 820 Szostek, C.L., Murray, L.G., Bell, E., Rayner, G., Kaiser, M.J. (2015b). Natural versus fishing
- 821 disturbance: drivers of community composition on traditional king scallop, *Pecten maximus*,
- fishing grounds. *ICES Journal of Marine Science* doi: 10.1093/icesjms/fsv152.

- 823 Van Beek F.A., van Leeuwen P.I., Rijnsdorp A.D. (1990). On the survival of plaice and sole
- discards in the otter-trawl and beam-trawl fisheries in the North Sea. *Netherlands Journal of*
- 825 Sea Research 26: 151–160.
- 826 Veale, L.O., Hill, A.S., Hawkins, S.J., Brand, A.R. (2000a). Effects of long-term physical
- 827 disturbance by commercial scallop fishing on subtidal epifaunal assemblages and habitats.
- 828 *Marine Biology* 137: 325-337.
- 829 Veale, L.O., Hill, A.S., Brand, A.R. (2000b). An in situ study of predator aggregations on
- 830 scallop (Pecten maximus (L.)) dredge discards using a static time-lapse camera system. Journal
- 831 *of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* 255: 111-129.
- 832 Veale, L.O., Hill, A.S., Hawkins, S.J. and Brand, A.R. (2001). Distribution and damage to the
- 833 by-catch assemblages of the northern Irish Sea scallop dredge fisheries. *Journal of the Marine*
- 834 Biological Association of the UK 81: 85-96.
- Warwick, R.M. (1988a). Analysis of community attributes of the macrobenthos of
 Frierfjord/Langesundfjord at taxonomic levels higher than species. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 46: 167-170.
- Warwick, R.M. (1988b). The level of taxonomic discrimination required to detect pollution
 effects on marine macrobenthic communities. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 19: 221-231.
- 840 Wilberg, M.J, Thorson, J.T., Linton, B.C., Berkson, J (2010). Incorporating Time-Varying
- 841 Catchability into Population Dynamic Stock Assessment Models. *Reviews in Fisheries Science*
- 842 18(1): 7–24.

843	S1: S	pecies	recorded	during	CEFAS	dataset	and	author	samplin	g trips
015	01.0	peeres	recoraca	Guing		autubet	unu	uuunoi	Sumprin	5 uips

Species	CEFAS data	Author data	Species	CEFAS data	Author data
Aeguinecten opercularis	Y	Y	Lophius piscatorius	Y	Y
Agonus catanhractus	1	Y	Luidia ciliaris	1	Y
Alevonidium dianhanum		Y	Lutraria lutraria		Ŷ
Alcyonium digitatum		Y	Maia sauinado	Y	Y
Ammodytidae sp	v	1	Maju squinduo Marthastorias alacialis	1	v
Anseronoda placenta	1	V	Mertanoius mertanous	V	1
Anhrodita aculeate		Y Y	Microchirus variegatus	Y	v
Arnoglossus imperialis		Y Y	Microstomus kitt	Y	Y
Arnoglossus laterna		Y	Mullus surmuletus	Y	1
Asnitriola cuculus	Y	1	Mustelus asterias	Y	
Astarte sulcate	1	V	Musicius usiciius Mytilus edulis	1	v
Astorias rubons		v V	Octopus vulgaris		v
Astronactan irragularis		ı V	Octopus vuigaris		v
Atologicus rotundatus		ı V	Optiura spp.	v	v
Blannius actorusina	v	T	Pagurus spp	1	ı V
Blannius guilorugine Blannius ocallaris	ı V		i agaras spp. Palliolum tigerinum		ı V
Botryllus schlossori	1	v	Papillicardium papillosum		ı V
Doiryillus schlosseri	V	I V	Paoton manimus	V	I V
Buccinum unaaium Bucloggidium lutoum	I V	I V	Pecten maximus	I V	I
<i>Buglossiaium iuteum</i>	Y V	Ĭ	Pegusa lascaris	r	V
Callionymus tyra	Ĭ	V	Phrynornombus norvegicus		Y V
Callonymus spp.	V	I V	Pisiaia iongicornis	V	I
Cancer pagarus	Y	Y V	Pleuronectes platessa	Y V	Ŷ
Chelidonichthys cuculus	V	Y	Pollachius pollachius	Y	37
Cheliaonichthys lucerna	Y	Y V	Porania pulvillus		Y V
Ciona infestinalis		Y	Porcellana platycheles		Y
Crepidula fornicate		Y	Psammechinus miliaris	X 7	Ŷ
Crossaster papposus	*7	Ŷ	Raja brachyura	Y	
Diplecogaster bimaculata	Y	* 7	Raja clavata	Y	Y
Dromia personata		Y	Raja montagui	Y	••
Ebalia spp.		Y	Raja naevus	.	Y
Echinus esculentus		Y	Raja undulata	Y	Y
Eledone cirrhosa		Y	Sardina pilchardus	Y	
Ensis spp.		Y	Scophthalmus maximus	Y	Y
Eunicella verrucosa		Y	Scophthalmus rhombus	Y	Y
Gadus morhua	Y		Scyliorhinus canicula	Y	Y
Galathea spp.		Y	Sepia officinalis	Y	Y
Henricia sanguinolenta		Y	Sepiola atlantica		Y
Hippoglossoides platessoides		Y	Solea solea	Y	Y
Homarus gammarus	Y		Spatangus purpureus		Y
Hyperoplus lanceolatus	Y		Syngnathus acus		Y
Inachus spp.		Y	Syngnathus spp.		Y
Laevicardium crassum		Y	Tapes rhomboides		Y
Lepidorhombus whiffiagonis	Y	Y	Torpedo marmorata	Y	
Leucoraja naevus	Y		Trigloporus lastoviza	Y	Y
Limanda limanda	Y		Trisopterus luscus	Y	
Liocarcinus spp.		Y	Trisopterus minutus	Y	Y
Lipophrys pholis		Y	Zeus faber	Y	Y
Loligo vulgaris		Y			
Lophius hudeoassa	V				

	English Channel - Shallow	English Channel - Far West	English Channel - West	Cardigan Bay	Isle of Man
Ascidians					Ascidia conchilega*
Bivalves	Ostrea edulis*			Glycymeris glycymeris ^a	Arctica islandica* Anomia sp. ^a
Bryozoans				Flustra foliacea ^a	
				Bugula flabellate*	
				Chartella sp.*	
				Alcyonidium diaphanum ^a	
Cephalopods	Sepia officinalis*				Loligo vulgaris ^a
					Eledone cirrhosa ^a
Crustaceans				Maja squinado ^a	
				Necora puber*	
				Homarus gammarus ^a	
Echinoderms					Echinus esculentus ^a
					Solaster endeca*
					Crossaster papposus ^a
					Stichastrella rosea*
					Echinocardium cordatum ^a
Fish/Sharks/Rays	Arnoglossus laterna*	Lepidorhombus whiffiagonis*	Arnoglossus imperialis*	Ammodytes sp. ^a	<i>Raja</i> sp. ^{<i>a</i>}
	Scophthalmus maximus*	Leucoraja naevus*		Blennius ocellaris*	Taurulus bubalis*
	Scophthalmus rhombus*			Chelidonichthys lucerna*	
Gastropods				Capulus ungaricus*	Neptunea antiqua ^a
					Colus sp. ^a
Hydroids				Abietinaria abietina ^a	
				<i>Hydrallmania</i> sp. ^a	
Soft corals					Alcyonium digitatum ^a
Sponges				Halichondria sp.*	Haliclona sp. ^a

845 S2: Typical species found in scallop dredge bycatch in each of the five areas. Species with notably high abundance (a) or those unique to an area (*) are listed.