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Highlights

- Vertical transport and lateral transport across the continental margin were the dominant processes driving seasonal input of particulate matter
- *-alkane and sterol biomarker results combined with isotopes and trace metals, offers a multi dimensional approach for deciphering organic matter sources
- Elevated Chlorophyll-α and sterol concentrations and contemporaneous increase in the particle reactive micronutrients during the spring sampling period capture seasonal influx of relatively fresh phytodetritus.
- Connectivity to adjacent watershed facilitates offshore transport of “aged” terrestrial organic matter and nutrients
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Abstract
Submarine canyons are often hotspots of biomass due to enhanced productivity and funneling of organic matter of marine and terrestrial origin. However, most deep-sea canyons remain poorly studied in terms of their role as conduits of terrestrial and marine particles. A multi-tracer geochemical investigation of particles collected yearlong by a sediment trap in Baltimore Canyon on the US Mid-Atlantic Bight (MAB) revealed temporal variability in source, transport, and fate of particulate matter. Both organic biomarker composition (sterol and n-alkanes) and bulk characteristics (δ13C, Δ14C, Chl-a) suggest that while on average the annual contribution of terrestrial and marine organic matter sources are similar, 42% and 52% respectively, marine sources dominate. Elevated Chlorophyll-a and sterol concentrations during the spring sampling period highlight a seasonal influx of relatively fresh phytodetritus. In addition, the contemporaneous increase in the particle reactive micronutrients cadmium (Cd) and molybdenum (Mo) in the spring suggest increased scavenging, aggregation, and sinking of phytodetrital biomass in response to enhanced surface production within the nutricline. While tidally driven currents within the canyon resuspend sediment between 200 and 600 m, resulting in the formation of a nepheloid layer rich in lithogenic material, near-bed sediment remobilization in the canyon depositional zone was minimal. Instead, vertical transport and lateral transport across the...
continental margin were the dominant processes driving seasonal input of particulate matter. In turn, seasonal variability in deposited particulate organic matter is likely linked to benthic faunal composition and ecosystem scale carbon cycling.
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**1. Introduction**
Submarine canyons play a key role in modulating the flux of particulate organic and inorganic matter to the deep ocean, particularly given that continental shelves and slopes are productive and dynamic ocean margin systems. As a result, canyons are often conduits for the transport of sediments, organic matter, and contaminants from continental margins to the abyssal plain, providing effective connections between highly productive shelf waters and the food limited deep-sea (Canals et al., 2006; Palanques et al., 2006; Costa et al., 2011; Levin and Sibuet, 2012; Puig et al., 2012). Contemporary sedimentary processes within canyons include storm induced turbidity currents, advection through shelf resuspension, slope failures, internal waves, trawling, and dense shelf cascading (see review by Puig et al., 2014). Through the channeling and concentrating of organic matter via dynamic physical processes, canyon fauna can experience enhanced food supply (Vetter and Dayton, 1998; Duineveld et al., 2001; De Leo et al., 2010). Therefore, submarine canyons can potentially be hotspots of biodiversity where enhanced fluxes of organic matter and deposition sustain tremendous benthic biomass in the deep sea compared with nearby open slope habitats at similar depths (Levin et al., 2001; Garcia et al., 2007; De Leo et al., 2010). Within canyons, different physical regimes can substantially alter the organic composition of sediments and the abundance of fauna thriving on these resources. For example, local deposition centers of sediment and organics are hotspots of detritivorous bottom dwelling organisms in the Portuguese Nazaré and New Zealand’s Kaikoura canyons (De Leo et al., 2010). Furthermore, episodic events known to affect benthic biomass and biodiversity, such as sediment cascades enriched in organic matter (Canals et al., 2006), or increased seasonal productivity in surface waters due to upwelling along canyon edges (Soltwedel, 2000; Garcia et al., 2007; Howatt and Allen, 2013), can temporarily trigger increased sedimentation and/or food availability.

Submarine canyons are a major feature incising the United States Atlantic continental margin, from Cape Hatteras to Atlantic Canada. In this region, canyons act as conduits and reservoirs of shelf-sourced sediments, transporting this material from the shelf to the slope. The MAB shelf within or near
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Prouty et al., 1992; DeMaster et al., 1994; Rex and Etter, 2010). This region also contains a high diversity of
unique habitats within a relatively small area, some recognized as rich coral habitats and important
areas for the diversity of the MAB (Hecker, 1980; Hecker et al., 1983). The MAB is incised by 13
major canyons of varying size, shape, and morphological complexity (Obelcz et al., 2014). Baltimore
Canyon represents one of the best studied canyons in this region (e.g., Gardner, 1989a, b) and was the
focus of a multi-year study to better understand the unique hard bottom and soft-sediment communities
within and adjacent to the canyon (Brooke and Ross, 2014; Brooke et al., 2016). Recent results from
Baltimore Canyon have identified discrete resuspension and deposition zones in the upper canyon and
the deeper part of the canyon, respectively. Differences in benthic infaunal communities of Baltimore
Canyon appear to be linked to this zonation, as previously documented in other canyon and margin
settings where benthic community patterns vary with depth and organic matter (OM) content (e.g.,
(Carney et al., 2005; Gibson et al., 2005; Wei et al., 2010). For example, in Baltimore Canyon reduced
infaunal diversity and enhanced infaunal density observed at 900 m was coincident with a zone of
organically enriched, finer sediments, characterizing the depositional zone (840 to 1180 m) of the
lower reaches of the canyon. In addition to spatial patterns of sediment deposition and organic
composition, temporal variations in the transport of both marine and terrestrial organic matter can
impact benthic community composition and trophic status (Pusceddu et al., 2009), as well as the deep-
sea carbon cycle through changes in ingestion, assimilation, and respiration (e.g., Vetter and Dayton,
1998; Hunter et al., 2013). For example, Hunter et al. (2013) observed changes in macrofaunal feeding
activity and bacterial C uptake as a result of changes in particulate organic matter (POM) composition.
Therefore seasonal variations in organic matter flux are key factors influencing deep-sea ecosystems
(Gooday, 2002).

A major aim of this study is to better understand the provenance signature of particle (food) delivery
within a submarine canyon by analyzing a suite of geochemical tracers (e.g., stable and radio-isotopes,
lipid biomarkers, \(^{210}\)Pb, trace metals) collected during a 1-year sediment trap deployment and CTD
profiling. While an arsenal of biomarker compounds (e.g., \(n\)-alkanes, sterols, fatty alcohols, fatty acids,
lignin phenols) exist to identify biotic sources as well as yield information on decomposition and
diagenesis (e.g., Bianchi and Canuel, 2011 and references therein), ambiguities do exist when
elucidating sources of organic matter based on biomarker composition given overlapping sources (e.g.,
Volkman et al., 2008). Therefore, combining the \(n\)-alkane and sterol biomarker results with the other
tracers (i.e., stable isotopes, trace metals, radiocarbon) presented here offers a multi dimensional
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approach for deciphering organic matter sources (e.g., Wakeham and McNichol, 2014). By building on previous research demonstrating the utility of hydrocarbons as tracers of organic matter source in the aquatic ecosystem (e.g., Volkman, 1986; Meyers, 1994; Goni et al., 1997; Wakeham et al., 1997; Eglinton and Eglinton, 2008; Wakeham and McNichol, 2014), we can investigate the primary sources of organic matter within Baltimore Canyon. Taken together this detailed study highlights for the first time key observations describing the temporal variability of organic matter flux that influences the deep-sea ecosystems within Baltimore Canyon.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Study Area: Baltimore Canyon

Baltimore Canyon, a shelf-sourced canyon is located approximately 125 km southeast of the entrance to Delaware Bay, extends for a distance of 25 km until it merges onto the abyssal plain at a depth of 1500 m (Fig. 1). Near the head of the canyon, the width is 3 km and increases to 8 km at the shelf break at a depth of 100 m. Several meanders characterize the canyon, with the canyon axis curving southward at the upper reaches and then turning eastward with increasing depth until it is oriented east-west at 3000 m water depth (Obelcz et al., 2014) (Fig. 1). A series of bathymetric steps and terraces are found near the head of the canyon where the cross-sectional profile is V-shaped and transitions into a U-shaped canyon at 1000 m (Obelcz et al., 2014).

Sediment supply to Baltimore Canyon is from the pelagic zone and reworked shelf sediment (Gardner, 1989b) mainly transported via off-shelf spill in canyon heads, failure of the steep canyon walls, and resuspension by bottom currents and internal waves (Obelcz et al., 2014), as well as small-scale mass wasting events triggered by bioerosion (Valentine et al., 1980). Within the canyon, currents focused by the canyon axis in the form of tidal bores and internal waves resuspend sediment between 200 and 600 m and sometimes down to 800 m allowing these sediments to be transported down canyon along density surfaces (Gardner, 1989a, b). Resuspension occurs primarily during flood and to a lesser extent during ebb flows and is most intense and episodic when the water is poorly stratified (i.e., during late winter and early spring), though episodic events may occur at other times of the year (Gardner, 1989a, b).

2.2 Sediment Traps

Two benthic landers, each consisting of an aluminum tripod frame approximately 2 m in height equipped with twin acoustic releases and eight buoyancy spheres were deployed on September 5, 2012.
at a depth of 603 m (38° 09.024 N, 73° 50.954 W, described as the shallow lander) and at 1318 m (38°
02.543 N, 73° 44.153 W, described as the deep lander) (Fig. 1). Each lander was equipped with a
Technicap PPS 4/3 sediment trap programmed to rotate a 250 mL sample bottle at either 20 or 30-day
intervals, delivering 12 samples during the 1-year deployment. Temperature, salinity, turbidity,
dissolved oxygen, and bottom currents were measured using an Aanderaa (RCM) string logger. All
RCM probes were mounted approximately 1.5 m off bottom with the exception of the current meter,
which was approximately 2 m off the bottom. In addition, a mooring was deployed August 18, 2012 at
1082 m (38° 04.657 N, 73° 46.957 W, described as the mid-mooring) (Fig. 1). The mooring was
equipped with a Honjo Parflux sediment trap with thirteen 500 mL bottles mounted 4 m above bottom
programmed to rotate on a 30-day interval. The sampling design enabled the examination of canyon
characteristics, including the movement of particulate material up and down canyon, propagation of
internal waves, water parameter variability, and particle fluxes.

Biological activity in the sediment traps was inhibited by treating the traps with a pH buffered solution
of mercuric chloride (HgCl₂) in seawater, which has been shown to be an effective means to limit
microbial activity and subsequent alteration of organic matter (e.g., Lee et al., 1992). While not
immune to diagenesis/degradation within the water column (e.g., Wakeham et al., 1997; Tolosa et al.,
2003), the retention of a “biological heritage” of lipid extraction (e.g., n-alkanes and sterols) from
sediments is less sensitive to alteration and degradation and represents important organic geochemical
proxies that are preferentially preserved relative to other classes of biomarkers (see reviews by
demonstrated a decrease in aliphatic hydrocarbon distribution in surface sediments relative to trap
sediment. Similarly, previous work has demonstrated the preferential removal of labile components
and enrichment of residual recalcitrant matter in surface sediment relative to trap material (e.g.,
Wakeham and Canuel, 2006; Wakeham and McNichol, 2014).

The complete time-series from the shallow (603 m) and mid-depth (1082 m) trap sites were
compromised by mass flux events (in October 2012) that filled the trap funnels completely, leaving
only a few samples intact. The flux estimate may be unreliable since the elevated current speeds
surpass the settling velocity of particles (Knauer and Asper, 1989). The only sediment trap with an
almost complete sampling series was retrieved from the deepest Baltimore Canyon lander site (1318
m). The missing dates represent sediment trap bottles that were partially open upon retrieval, therefore
precluding accurate flux measurements and sample preservation. Sediment trap samples were split
into five equal splits with a rotor splitter at the Royal Netherlands Institute for Sea Research (NIOZ). Two splits were rinsed thoroughly to remove sea salt and HgCl$_2$, after which they were frozen, freeze-dried, and weighed to calculate mass fluxes and prepared for geochemical analyses. To keep samples intact for pigment analysis, another split was rinsed with filtered seawater from the deployment site after which it was freeze dried.

### 2.3 Water column properties

Vertical profiles were made of the water column properties using a CTD-rosette (SBE 911\textit{plus} CTD profiler and a rosette containing twelve 5 L Niskin bottles) deployed inside Baltimore Canyon to establish if the canyon acts as conduit for suspended and dissolved material. One CTD transect was taken down the axis of the canyon, and during these casts, the CTD array was lowered from the surface to as close to the bottom as feasible (usually about 10 m above bottom) (Fig. 1). Seawater samples were collected during the upcast of the CTD at shallow (250 m), mid (644 m), and deep (1140 m) sites within Baltimore Canyon, as well as at mid-depth shelf sites (678 m) outside the canyon for measurements of nutrient concentrations, trace metals, and POM (>0.45 \textmu m). Seawater was filtered directly from the Niskin bottles using acid-cleaned Teflon coated tubing attached to a polypropylene filter holder that was preloaded with an acid-cleaned polysulfone filter and attached to a vacuum pump. Filters were pre-cleaned by soaking in trace metal grade HCl in a 1 L low-density polyethylene bottle. Replicate water samples were collected from two 5 L Niskin bottles at each sampling depth. Water column particulate matter for trace element measurements was collected by filtering approximately 5 L of seawater on acid-cleaned 0.45 \textmu m polysulfone filters (47 mm) given low blank concentrations (Planquette and Sherrell, 2012). The filter holders with preloaded filters were double bagged in polyethylene zip-lock bags and kept frozen for transport back to the laboratory.

Seawater samples collected for dissolved nutrient analysis were stored in acid-cleaned high-density polyethylene 20 mL scintillation vials, which were triple washed with extra filtrate before saving the final sample for analysis. These samples were frozen immediately until analyzed at the Geochemical and Environmental Research Group at Texas A&M University, College Station. Nutrient samples were analyzed on an Astoria-Pacific auto-analyzer. The nitrate/nitrite/silicate methods are based on Armstrong \textit{et al.} (1967). Phosphate methods are based on Bernhardt and Wilhelms (1967). Ammonium methods are based on Harwood and Kühn, (1970). The dissolved inorganic nitrogen (DIN) concentrations were calculated as the sum of nitrite, nitrate, and ammonium concentrations. Analytical
detection limits were 0.01 µM for phosphate, 0.003 µM for nitrite, 0.05 µM for nitrate and silicate, and
0.08 µM for ammonium.

2.4 Geochemical Analyses

Sediment organic carbon and nitrogen content were measured on a Thermo Organic Elemental
Analyzer Flash 2000, and stable carbon and nitrogen isotopes were measured on a Thermo Delta V
Advantage Isotope Ratio MS at NIOZ. Prior to analysis, samples for C$_{\text{org}}$ and $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ analysis were
acidified with HCl to remove all inorganic carbon. Standards used for C were acetonilide and benzoic
acid, respectively (analytical detection limits $\delta^{13}\text{C} = 0.3‰$). Samples for %N and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ analysis were
not acidified and standards used were acetonilide and urea respectively (analytical detection limits $\delta^{15}\text{N}
= 0.1‰$). Trace element concentrations of the suspended particulate matter and sediment trap material
were determined by ICP-MS at the USGS Mass Spectrometry Facilities in Denver, Colorado. Filters
were digested following procedures outlined in Planquette and Sherrell (2012). Data presented here
were reported in µg g$^{-1}$ following blank correction, as determined from digesting procedural filter
blanks, and sample and filter weight corrections (Prouty et al., 2016). For the sediment traps, 50 to
100 mg of sediment was digested using a 4-acid procedure (HF + HCl + HNO$_3$ + HClO$_4$), taken to
dryness, and the residue dissolved in 5 to 20 mL of 5% to 13% HNO$_3$ with a dilution factor of 10$^3$ to
10$^4$ (Briggs and Meier, 2002).

Concentrations of chlorophyll $a$ and its derivatives (phaeophorbides, phaeophytines) were determined
with reverse-phase HPLC according to the method outlined by Witbaard et al., (2000). Phytopigments
were identified and quantified using a library based on pigment standards (DHI, Denmark). From the
results of the pigment analysis, intact chlorophyll-$a$ concentrations were taken as a proxy for fresh
phytodetritus biomass. The chlorophyll-$a$/phaeopigment ratio was used to indicate the freshness of the
trapped phytodetritus. The activity of $^{210}\text{Pb}$ was determined by alpha spectrometry from $^{210}\text{Po}$ using a
Canberra alpha detector, which was extracted from the sample by leaching with concentrated HCl
(Boer et al., 2006). The $^{210}\text{Pb}$ activity of sediment trap samples was used as an indicator for the relative
proportion of suspended and freshly settled material. Fresh settled material has a high $^{210}\text{Pb}$ signal,
while resuspended material shows lower values due to radioactive decay.

Sediment radiocarbon ($^{14}\text{C}$) ages were determined at the National Ocean Sciences Accelerator Mass
Spectrometry (NOSAMS) facility, Woods Hole, MA USA. Approximately 50 mg of acidified (1.2N
HCl) bulk sediment was converted to CO$_2$ and graphitized for accelerator mass spectrometry (AMS)
Prouty et al., (Vogel et al., 1987). Radiocarbon ages were calculated using the Libby half-life of 5568 years. The
D\textsuperscript{14}C values (i.e., radiocarbon values without age correction) were age corrected to account for decay
that took place between collection (or death) and the time of measurement using the following
equation: $\Delta^{14}C = (Fm*\text{age correction}) - 1) \times 1000$ where age correction is defined as $\exp((1950-\text{year of}
measurement)/8267)$, and Fm is fraction modern (Stuiver and Polach, 1977). Radiocarbon results are
reported as $\Delta^{14}C$ (‰) and conventional radiocarbon age after applying a measured $\delta^{13}C$ correction
(Stuiver and Polach, 1977).

Molecular composition of the sediment trap samples was determined by gas chromatography-mass
spectrometry (GC-MS) at the USGS Pacific Coastal Marine Science Center’s (PCMSC) Organic
Geochemistry laboratory in Santa Cruz, California as described in Prouty et al. (2016). Approximately
1-2 g of freeze-dried organic matter was extracted by pressurized solvent extraction (ASE, Dionex
Corp., CA, USA). Samples were extracted with a hexane:acetone (1:1) solvent mixture followed by a
second extraction in dichloromethane:methanol (2:1) solvent mixture. Internal standards (5-α-
androstan-3-β-ol) were added to samples prior to extraction. All glassware was
washed, solvent rinsed (methanol, hexane, and dichloromethane), and combusted at 400°C overnight.
Blanks were run for the entire procedure, including extraction, solvent concentration, and purification.
After evaporation of extracts to 5 ml volume utilizing TurboVap Evaporation Concentrator (Zymark
Corp., NC, USA), samples were loaded onto liquid chromatography columns for compound class
separation. Each column was layered with 2.5 g of 5% deactivated alumina, 2.5 g of 62 silica gel and
5.0 g of 923 silica gel, which had previously been activated at 500° C for 8 h and then, in the case of
the alumina, partially deactivated with ultrapure water (5% w/w). Three separate fractions were
collected: F1-saturate (100% hexane eluent); F2-aromatic (30% DCM : 70% hexane eluent); and, F3-
polar (50% ethyl acetate: 50% hexane) and reduced in volume to 1.0 ml. The polar fraction (F3) was
further derivatized with BSTFA (N,O-bis(trimethylsilyl) trifluoroacetamide) containing 2% TMCS
(trimethylchlorosilane) and anhydrous acetonitrile. Extracts were analyzed by splitless injection onto
an Agilent 6890 gas chromatograph interfaced to an HP 5973 mass spectrometer (GC-MS) at the
USGS PCMSC Organic Geochemistry Laboratories in Santa Cruz, CA. The gas chromatograph oven
program had an initial temperature of 90°C which was held for 4.0 min then ramped at 5°C min\textsuperscript{-1} to a
final temperature of 310°C which was held at this final temperature for 10 min. The capillary column
(DB-5MS: 30 m length, 0.25 mm id with a 25 µm phase thickness) was directly interfaced to the ion
source of the mass spectrometer. Hexane instrument blanks and procedural sample duplicates were
run and analyzed for every 10 samples. Compound identifications were made by comparison with
known analytical standards and/or published reference spectra (Fig. S1). Concentrations of individual lipids are blank corrected values. Lipid biomarkers (sterol and \( n \)-alkane) concentrations (\( \mu g g^{-1} \)) are reported normalized to organic carbon of dry sediment as measured by a coulometer at the PCMSC.

Major organic matter sources to the sterol and \( n \)-alkane molecular signatures were investigated by calculating relative proportions of marine, terrestrial, and anthropogenic/petroleum contributions. Relative contributions from natural (marine versus terrestrial) and anthropogenic organic matter \( n \)-alkane and sterol sources were calculated following a modified designation from Pisani et al. (2013). Terrestrial organic matter composition of sediments was quantified using concentrations of odd-numbered \( n \)-alkanes in the \( C_{21} \) to \( C_{31} \) range as well as the sterols campesterol, stigmasterol, \( \beta \)-sitosterol and stigmastanol (the reduced from of stigmasterol). Marine components were determined using concentrations of the sterols cholesterol, 22-dehydrocholesterol, brassicasterol, and cholestanol (reduced form of cholesterol) as well as odd- and even-numbered \( n \)-alkanes in the \( C_{15} \) to \( C_{19} \) range. The anthropogenic components were determined using the sterol composition of coprostanol, epicoprostanol, and the ketone, 5-\( \beta \)-coprostanone, in addition to the isoprenoid hydrocarbons pristane and phytane.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1 Environmental and Water Column Variability

The three benthic observatories positioned throughout the canyon recorded decreases in current speed, turbidity, and temperature with depth (Fig. 2). The shallow lander (603 m) was positioned in the most dynamic area of the canyon, with temperatures fluctuating between 4.5- 8.6 °C and a mean of 5.4 °C (standard deviation [SD] 0.47 °C). The intensity of the current also varied greatly, with peak current velocity reaching 66.2 cm s\(^{-1}\) and a mean of 13.7 cm s\(^{-1}\) (SD 9.03 cm s\(^{-1}\)). Peaks in turbidity appeared to correspond with temperature fluctuations (Spearman’s Rank Correlation on 24 hour moving average data for first deployment, \( r = 0.48, p < 0.001 \)). In contrast, the mid-mooring area (1082 m) was cooler (temperatures between 4 °C-5.1° C and a mean of 4.5 °C [SD 0.16 °C]) and had a lower current velocity (maximum current velocity: 42.3 cm s\(^{-1}\), and mean: 8.7 cm s\(^{-1}\) [SD 5.6 cm s\(^{-1}\)]). Current velocity and temperature were positively correlated at the mid-mooring location (Spearman’s Rank Correlation on 24 hour moving average data for first deployment, \( r = 0.43, p < 0.001 \)). The deeper region of Baltimore Canyon (1318 m) was cooler and had lower current velocities (temperatures between 3.8-4.74 °C with a mean of 4.2 °C [SD 0.16 °C]) relative to the shallower deployments. Maximum current velocity was 29.2 cm s\(^{-1}\), with a mean speed of 6.6 cm s\(^{-1}\) [SD 3.27 cm s\(^{-1}\)]. At the
peaks in turbidity were positively correlated with current velocity (Spearman’s Rank Correlation on 24 hour moving average data for first deployment, $r = 0.62$, $p < 0.001$), and there was a strong positive relationship between current velocity and temperature (Spearman’s Rank Correlation on 24 hour moving average data for first deployment, $r = 0.75$, $p < 0.001$), consistent with the patterns recorded by the shallow and mid-canyon instruments. All sites indicated that warmer, sediment-laden waters are transported to the deeper parts of the canyon during part of the tidal cycle. Current driven bed shear stress ($>0.1 \text{ N m}^{-2}$) was calculated from the sediment density and grain size, as well as the kinematic viscosity and density of the seawater. Based on this calculation, sediment remobilization varied throughout the canyon, with current driven bed shear stress sufficient to resuspend fine-grained material (i.e. $<34 \mu m$) 15% of the time at the shallow area of the canyon, but only 1% at the mid-canyon and less than 0.02% in the deeper area. Plots of progressive current vectors demonstrated a strong tidal flow at the shallow lander station, with a general movement towards the northeast, up canyon. However, some disruption to this pattern was observed during certain periods throughout the year when flow moved down canyon (Fig. 1). The canyon walls steered water movement within the mid-canyon station, but disruption to the general up-canyon movement was detected during September to November and January to March (Fig. 1b). During the periods of October to November 2012 and March to May 2013, turbidity events in the shallow lander site were followed by a 2 °C temperature increase, and accentuated by elevated current speeds and flow to the north (Fig. S2), suggesting a possible link to benthic storms associated with Gulf Stream meanders and rings (Gardner et al., 2017). This signal was less distinct in the deeper sites where temperature fluctuations were substantially smaller. The deep lander had the most consistent residual flow that was identical in direction to the shallow station (Fig. 1a and c). However, such transport was less tidally regulated than in the shallow station demonstrating a greater movement to the northeast.

The CTD transects conducted in Baltimore Canyon reveal a large intermediate nepheloid layer extending from the mouth of the canyon from 200 m to approximately 900 m (Fig. 3), with enhanced turbidity during both up and down canyon flow. This nepheloid layer was also observed by Gardner, (1989a, b), and likely forms a permanent feature in Baltimore Canyon in response to internal wave energy at tidal frequencies. The nepheloid layer, between 400 and 800 m, and a second, smaller patch in the surface water near the canyon wall (8 km down canyon) (Fig. 3), was characterized by increased lithogenic material, specifically aluminum (Al), neodymium (Nd), Iron, (Fe), and lanthanum (La) (Fig. 4). Particulate ($>0.45 \mu m$) element concentrations were enriched at the shallow (NF-2012-138; 261 m) and mid-depth (NF-2012-128; 644 m) CTD stations whereas trace element profiles at the deep (NF-
Prouty et al., 2012-130; 1140 m) and slope (NF-2012-149; 668 m) CTD stations did not exhibit elevated trace metal particulate concentrations at 600 m (Fig. 4). Trace element composition for the Baltimore Canyon slope site was consistently low and the deep site only showed a slight enrichment near the bottom (NF-2012-130; 1140 m). These results indicate that the nepheloid layer appears restricted to within the canyon and to a depth of 850 m.

Nutrient profiles in Baltimore Canyon displayed surface-water depletion and bottom-water enrichment in nitrate, phosphate, and dissolved silicate (Fig. 5). These results illustrate the uptake of nutrients within the nutricline due to biological processes, particularly the growth of phytoplankton in the photic zone. The interaction between phytoplankton growth and nutrient uptake is illustrated in the inverse relationship between the nutrient and dissolved oxygen (O$_2$) profiles (Fig. 5). Below the mixed layer, concentrations of nitrate, phosphate, and dissolved silicate were conservative and exhibited a homogenous distribution at depth. The nutricline in Baltimore Canyon was defined from nutrient profiles collected during the August 2012 sampling cruise. Maximum nutrient concentrations occurred at ~250–300 m, consistent with the thermocline depth in Baltimore Canyon, and agrees with those derived from Ocean Data Viewer (latitude 73° 49.36 N, longitude 38°23.24 W; Schlitzer, 2016). Given the similarity between the individual depth profiles down canyon, the nutricline appears to be homogenous along the length of the canyon with little spatial variability.

3.2 Sediment Traps

The sediment trap data at the deep site illustrate a narrow range of mass fluxes during the first seven months (4.7 to 9 g m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$) and slightly lower mass flux during the last three months (Fig. 6). There were two periods of relatively elevated mass flux, September–October 2012 and January–February 2013. The increase in mass flux in September–October 2012 at the deep trap site indicates a resuspension or mass-wasting event, potentially, linked to increase mass fluxes at the shallow and mid-depth sites, and subsequent overfilling of the funnels at these shallower depths. Similar sediment trap overfilling was observed in Nazare Canyon, in response to storm-induced turbidity currents (Martín et al., 2011). However, we did not observe overfilling at the deep sediment trap site, suggesting that sediment loading in the shallow and mid-depth regions may not necessarily be transported along the canyon thalweg to the deeper region, and that localized overspill from the canyon walls can help explain asynchronous mass fluxes within the canyon. At the deep trap site, percent C$_{org}$ and total N did not vary significantly between periods and patterns of C and N fluxes and therefore closely resembled those of the mass flux, with a small range in C:N ratios (8.8 to 10.6) (Table 1). $^{210}$Pb activity at the
deep trap site displayed an inverse temporal pattern relative to mass flux. Higher mass fluxes corresponded to low $^{210}$Pb values ($R=-0.90$), indicating trapping of resuspended material (Fig. 6a). Chlorophyll-$a$ concentrations showed more variability between successive samples. Peak Chl-$a$ flux occurred in May–June 2013, coincident with elevated $%C_{org}$ values and highest Chl- $a$ /phaeopigment ratio, indicating a supply of relatively fresh phytodetritus from the spring phytoplankton bloom (see below). A secondary peak in Chl- $a$ flux and $^{210}$Pb was observed in October–November 2012, indicating enhanced transport of phytodetritus (Fig. 6b).

There was a narrow range in stable carbon ($\delta^{13}C$) and nitrogen ($\delta^{15}N$) isotope values $-22.8\%o$ (SD 0.15) and $4.83\%o$ (SD 0.23) (Table 1), consistent with a marine signature (Meyers, 1994). The $\delta^{13}C$ range was consistent with that reported for POM in the surface and mid-water depths, and $\delta^{15}N$ values were consistent with surface POM values on the Northwest Continental Shelf (Oczkowski et al., 2016). The trap material most likely reflects a combination of freshly exported material and suspended POM. Romero-Romero et al. (2016) were able to use stable isotope signatures to distinguish organic matter sources in the Aviles submarine canyon. However, in our study it was difficult to distinguish between a mixture of marine algae plus terrestrial C3 plants given the narrow range of sediment trap bulk $\delta^{13}C$ values ($-22.8$ to $-22.0 \%o$). The enriched C:N ratios relative to the Redfield ratio (6.7; Table 1) suggests a mixture of sources of both marine phytodetritus and land-derived organic debris. As shown in Figure 7, the sediment trap samples fall along a mixing line between marine algae and C3-vascular plants according to $\delta^{13}C$ and C:N values (Goñi et al., 2003; Tesi et al., 2007).

The total concentration of $n$-alkanes for sediment trap samples from the deep lander site represents a resolved $n$-alkane range from C$_{14}$ to C$_{32}$ as well as detectible amounts of the isoprenoid hydrocarbons pristane (pr) and phytane (ph) (Table 2a). Total $n$-alkane concentrations ranged from $<1$ to $12 \mu g$ g$^{-1}$ dry weight normalized to organic carbon ($\mu g$ g$^{-1}$ C), with September/October 2012 yielding elevated $n$-alkane concentrations (Fig. 6b). Overall, the molecular composition was dominated (95%) by higher molecular weight (HMW, $>C_{21}$) $n$-alkanes, particularly $n$-C$_{29}$ and $n$-C$_{27}$, except in February 2013 when $n$-C$_{24}$ was anomalously elevated (Table 2a). The Carbon Preference Index (CPI) is often used to identify organic matter source by describing the molecular distribution of odd number $n$-alkanes relative to even number $n$-alkanes (Bray and Evans, 1961). Overall, there was a strong odd-to-even predominance, with a CPI consistently $>1.0$, particularly in September/October and June/July (Table 2a), suggesting increased OM originating from land plant material (Hedges and Parker, 1976). The dominance of terrestrial plant input relative to aquatic macrophytes is also expressed through the
Alkane Proxy (Paq) (Ficken et al., 2000). The Paq values were consistently <1, revealing the dominance of long chain-length \( n \)-alkanes. Phytane was detected in the samples from September/October of 2012, but was absent from the other months. The sediment trap sample from May 2013 contained enriched pristane relative to the other months, but overall both pristane and phytane concentrations were <1 \( \mu g \) g\(^{-1}\) C (Table 2a). Total sterol concentrations ranged from 1 to 30 \( \mu g \) g\(^{-1}\) C (Table 2b), and were dominated by cholesterol. In comparison, the smallest contribution was from the anthropogenic-sourced sterols, specifically coprostanol and epicoprostanol. Sterol concentrations were elevated in October 2012 when cholesterol contributed 30% of the total sterol concentration. A second peak in sterol concentration occurred in May 2013 and was dominated by both cholesterol and cholestanol, comprising over 60% of the total sterol composition. Both sterols have marine biological sources, such as biosynthesis of plankton organisms and zooplankton (Volkman, 1986). The sterol enrichment in the spring is tightly coupled to the peak in Chl-\( a \) concentrations (Fig. 6b), illustrating the influx of relatively fresh phytodetritus. The influx of fresh phytodetritus is also consistent with the phytoplankton blooms in the spring when net primary productivity exceeded 700 g C m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\) (Fig. 6d), as calculated per Behrenfeld and Falkowski (1997) for a 20 km\(^2\) surrounding Baltimore Canyon. In comparison, lower sterol and \( n \)-alkane concentrations during the winter months reflect a reduction in surface water primary productivity (< 300 g C m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\)) during the winter season.

The distribution of biomarkers in the sediment trap organic matter indicates that delivery to the deep area of Baltimore Canyon is a composite of sources (e.g., algal/phytoplankton/zooplankton productivity and land-plant productivity). Anthropogenic sources were minimal, with an annual average contribution of 6%, and the greatest contribution occurring in September 2012. Although high pristane concentrations in sediment can be derived from zooplankton, the pristane/phytane ratios observed in this study are used as indicators of a petrogenic, anthropogenic source (Blumer et al., 1963). While on average the contributions from marine (43%) and terrestrial (52%) organic matter sources were similar, seasonal variability in source contribution was observed in the biomarker time series (Table 3). For example, September 2012 and May 2013 were dominated by terrestrial (76%) and marine (71%) sources, respectively. Dominance by terrestrial sources in September 2012 was potentially associated with a resuspension event as captured in the increased mass flux and reduced \(^{210}\)Pb values, and potentially linked to enhanced turbidity from overspill of the canyon walls. In contrast, the peak in marine sources in May 2013 is attributed to increased primary production during the spring bloom (Fig. 6d), when freshwater transport is at a maximum during spring discharge (Choi
Prouty et al., 2007), and facilitates offshore transport of both nutrients and terrestrially derived organic matter.

A suite of trace elements was measured from the sediment trap samples collected at the deep lander site (Table 4). Iron (Fe) and aluminum (Al) dominated the trace element composition of the sediment traps, but showed little variability throughout the deployment period with average monthly Fe and Al concentrations of 56 and 32 mg g$^{-1}$, respectively. After Fe and Al, barium (Ba), phosphorous (P), strontium (Sr), and manganese (Mn) contributed to the elemental composition. Variability, evaluated as percent contribution of standard deviation to total elemental concentration, was greatest for cadmium (Cd) and molybdenum (Mo) at 4% and 3%, respectively. Peak values for the particle reactive micronutrients Cd and Mo, occurred in April and May, with a smaller enrichment in October (Fig. 6c). The spring and fall periods are also characterized by enrichment in total sterol concentration as discussed above. During the deployment period, net primary production for the months of April through June 2013 was 721, 698, and 775 g C m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$ respectively, whereas net primary production in the fall months of Sept. through Nov. 2012 was 372, 429, and 422 g C m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$ respectively (Fig. 6d).

Hence, the spring phytoplankton bloom could have fueled the increase and export of fresh organic matter (e.g., phytodetritus) in the canyon during this season. The elevated pigment fluxes correspond to increased biomarker concentrations (especially sterols), indicating greater primary production and export of marine-derived organic matter. The simultaneous increase in the phytoplankton essential micronutrients of Cd and Mo during this period suggests increased scavenging, aggregation, and sinking of biomass during seasonal blooms in response to enhanced surface production within the nutricline (Wangersky et al., 1989; Pohl et al., 2004). The synchronous timing of the surface water primary productivity signal relative to the sediment trap geochemistry time series suggests rapid export and sinking of fresh particulate organic matter to the depositional zone of Baltimore Canyon. This corresponds to a spring maximum at the shelf break/slope waters (Ryan et al., 1999; Xu et al., 2011), and an increase in biomass on the MAB shelf during the spring and summer relative to the late fall (Mouw and Yoder, 2005).

Radiocarbon ages of sediment trap material recovered from the Baltimore Canyon deep lander site ranged between 980 (SD 15) and 1280 (SD 20) $^{14}$C YBP with an average age of 1096 $^{14}$C YBP (SD 18) (Table 5). The most negative $\Delta^{14}$C value (-153.75‰) occurred in the first month of the deployment (September 2012), with little variability in $\Delta^{14}$C ($\Delta\Delta^{14}$C of 30‰) observed throughout the remaining part of the year. In comparison, fresh organic matter, as defined by coral tissue $\Delta^{14}$C values,
was 30‰, consistent with surface water dissolved organic carbon $\Delta^{14}C$ values, ranging from 21 to 47‰. Therefore, the relatively “aged” material present in the trap suggests a mixture of marine and terrestrial sources, as well as potential input from laterally advected refractory material (e.g., Druffel et al., 1986; Gordon and Goñi, 2003; Hwang and Druffel, 2003). The aged radiocarbon dates reflect organic carbon that was photosynthetically fixed thousands of years ago, such as riverine carbon exported from the Hudson River Watershed that has a $\Delta^{14}C$ signature of -350‰ (Raymond and Bauer, 2001). Fingerprinting and mixing approaches have been used in submarine canyons of the Mediterranean Sea to identify relative source contributions (Tesi et al., 2010; Pasqual et al., 2013). In our study, results of a two end-member $\Delta^{14}C$ mixing model yielded an annual average contribution from terrestrial-derived carbon of ~48%, with the remaining ~52% attributed to autochthonous organic matter produced from marine primary production. While selective degradation/preservation can alter the source $^{14}C$ signature (Hwang et al., 2010), results from the isotope mixing model are consistent with annually averaged estimates based on molecular composition (Table 3).

Distal sources of terrestrial organic matter can be delivered via aeolian transport (Conte and Weber, 2002). However, surface sediment neodymium (Nd) isotope values from Baltimore Canyon and the adjacent slope indicate that terrestrial sediment is primarily sourced from nearby riverine systems, such as the Hudson River, where surface water moves southward, advecting riverine discharge towards Baltimore Canyon and facilitating connectivity with adjacent watersheds (Ingham, 1992). Surface sediments (0-0.5 cm) in the canyon were also enriched in terrestrial-derived sources of organic matter relative to surface sediments on the slope (Supplementary Tables), demonstrating the accumulation of terrestrial organic matter in the canyons relative to the slope. Transport of organic matter from terrestrial sources is further facilitated by the presence of low-salinity, buoyant plume shelf waters on the MAB (Churchill and Berger, 1998). This connectivity helps explain the terrestrial-derived organic matter signature in the sediment trap samples and supports the hypothesis that submarine canyons serve both as a conduit and reservoir of terrestrial organic matter to the deep sea (e.g., Tesi et al., 2010).

### 3.3 Canyon Zonation

Substantial sedimentation/turbidity events prevented the collection of a complete time series for the shallow and mid-depth sediment traps, precluding a comparison amongst the three deployments. However, relative changes were detected for the period of overlap during the first two months (September – October) that each trap was deployed. At the shallow site, mass fluxes were the greatest.
and $^{210}$Pb values were the lowest among the three trap sites (Table 1b), highlighting that resuspension
dominate the shallow region. This is consistent with prior work demonstrating a zone of net
convergence where internal tides travel up and down canyon, creating a region of elevated turbidity
(Gardner, 1989a, b). Within this depth zone (~ 600 m), surface sediment samples consisted of coarse
sand, small pebbles, and shell fragments at the sediment surface, presumably the result of local
winnowing of the surface layer removing the fines. Bulk geochemical characteristics from the shallow
and mid-depth traps were within the range observed at the deep site, indicating a mixture of marine and
terrestrial derived matter throughout the canyon. This is represented by the trap material data from the
shallow and mid-depth sites that plot along a mixing line, as reported above. Higher N:C ratios from
the shallow-depth mooring site could suggest both greater proportion of marine-derived organic matter
compared to the other sites and the dominance of fine-grained material in the deposition zones (Fig.
7b).

The relative molecular composition of the $n$-alkanes and sterols from the mid and shallow sites were
similar to those reported for the deep site (Table 3). For example, $n$-C$_{27}$ dominated the $n$-alkanes
composition in the shallow and mid-depth trap sites, and cholesterol was the dominant sterol.
However, the comparison between the three sediment traps also illustrates the accumulation and
channeling of terrestrial organic matter farther down canyon with total $n$-alkane concentrations an
order of magnitude greater at the deep site relative to the shallow and mid-depth sites, particularly the
HMW $n$-alkanes (Table 2a). The hydrocarbons pristane and phytane were either below detection or at
minimal concentrations at the shallow and mid-depth sites. Total sterol concentrations during the first
two months were elevated at the shallow and mid-depth sites relative to the deep site, reflecting higher
marine-sourced sterols exported from the nutricline (e.g., cholesterol and cholestanol). While limited in
scope, the down canyon comparison captures spatial variability consistent with previously reported
canyon depth zonation patterns. In addition, relative to surface samples (0-0.5 cm) from the canyon,
surface samples from the adjacent slope yield lower terrestrial contribution, and an anthropogenic
component was absent from the slope surface sediment samples (Supplementary Table S4), supporting
the notion that canyons may serve as a conduit of terrestrial organic matter and contaminants. These
observations reflect the interplay of hydrodynamics and geomorphology, which channel and
concentrate sediment and organic matter within the canyon, leading to differences in organic matter
composition in Baltimore Canyon.

4. Summary
By examining a unique set of geochemical variables, this study demonstrated the relationship between particulate matter composition in the context of seasonal variation in surface water biological production and export through the nutricline in Baltimore Canyon. The sediment trap biomarker compositions, together with bulk characteristics, indicate that both terrestrial OM and marine derived OM are important food sources, suggesting that both vertical and lateral transport across the continental margin are important processes to the deposition zone of Baltimore Canyon. However, details in the temporal variability of the OM provenance reveal a larger contribution from marine-derived OM in the spring, which is characterized by increased scavenging, aggregation, and sinking of fresh, recently exported OM from the upper water column during a spring bloom. Connectivity to adjacent watershed also facilitates offshore transport of “aged” terrestrial organic matter and nutrients. Results presented here demonstrate how OM content and OM provenance signature can be linked to seasonal events (e.g., surface productivity blooms), episodic events (e.g., resuspension), as well as those processes occurring permanent, such as the presence of the nepheloid layer. Therefore, variability is a key feature influencing the deep-sea food web, with faunal composition and carbon cycling influenced by seasonal or episodic fluxes in particulate matter composition. Such deposition patterns in turn may be the greatest contributors to canyons exhibiting biodiversity and productivity maxima. With the majority of deep-sea canyons being poorly sampled, results presented here suggest that the submarine canyons of the MAB region are a key contributor to global estimates of benthic biomass and productivity in the deep sea by serving as conduits for transport of terrestrial and marine derived organic matter.
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Figures

**Figure 1**
Multibeam bathymetry of Baltimore Canyon showing position of benthic landers (white plus sign) and mooring (white star) at the shallow (a), mid (b) and deep (c) sites. Progressive vector plots show the...
cumulative movement of water at shallow (a), mid (b) and deep (c) sites, split into 60 day subsets (black lines indicating Sept-Nov, green Nov-Jan, grey Jan-Mar, red Mar-May). Grey line and circles (i) show the individual CTD casts that make up the transect along the axis of the canyon (two stations were used for water sampling, ii=NF-2012-036 and iii=NF-2012-040) as shown in Figure 3. Black triangles represent CTD casts used for water and trace element sampling (iv=NF-2012-138, v=NF-2012-128, vi=NF-2012-051, vii=NF-2012-130, viii=NF-2012-149, ix=NF-2012-073). Note some stations are shown with an offset line for clarity. Inset figure shows the location of Baltimore Canyon (black box) with respect to the Mid-Atlantic Bight and neighboring states of Maryland (MD), Virginia (VA) and Delaware (DE). Contour lines show depth in meters.

**Figure 2**

Oceanographic variables (y-axis) recorded by the shallow lander (603 m), mid-mooring (1082 m, note no turbidity sensor) and deep lander (1318 m) in Baltimore Canyon. Black or white lines represent a 24-hour moving average. For the shallow and deep landers all sensors recorded at 1.5 m above bottom except for currents at 2 m above bottom, which were recorded at a 15 min interval. For the mid-mooring, current data was obtained at 14 m above bottom and temperature at 9 m above bottom. Currents were recorded at a 15-minute interval. Temperature was recorded at a 5-minute interval and was resampled to a 15-minute interval to match other sensors.

**Figure 3**

Baltimore Canyon nepheloid layer distribution along the canyon axis, derived from CTD profiles with overlaid isopycnals (kg m$^{-3}$). Vertical lines show the position of CTD casts along the transect, including extreme margins in the plot (number of casts = 9). Turbidity expressed as relative Formazin turbidity units (FTU).

**Figure 4**

Trace element concentrations ($\mu$g g$^{-1}$; neodymium [Nd], lanthanum [La], aluminum [Al], and iron [Fe]) in suspended particulate matter filtered (>0.45 µm) at discrete water column depths from CTD casts in Baltimore Canyon and adjacent slope. Gray bar indicates zones of elevated turbidity derived from CTD casts.

**Figure 5**
Nutrient vertical depth profiles from Baltimore Canyon sampled in 2012 along a down-canyon transect for (a) nitrate, (b) phosphate, and (c) silicate (μmol L⁻¹) at four CTD stations, including NF12-036, NF12-040, N12-051, and NF12-073. Dissolved oxygen (black line) derived from the CTD sensor is shown for the Baltimore Canyon deep station (NF-12-040). Gray bar indicates depth of nutricline defined from nutrient profiles collected during the August 2012 sampling cruise. (d) Down canyon temperature (°C) profile derived from CTD casts.

**Figure 6**
Time-series for bulk sediment measurements and molecular biomarker composition derived from ~monthly sediment trap samples deployed from September 5, 2012 to June 23, 2013. Results are shown for (a) mass flux (g m⁻² d⁻¹) and ²¹⁰Pb (mBq g⁻¹), (b) total sterol and n-alkane concentration (μg g⁻¹ C) and chlorophyll-a (mg g⁻¹), (c) cadmium (Cd) and molybdenum (Mo) (μg g⁻¹), and (d) net primary production (g C m⁻² d⁻¹; http://www.science.oregonstate.edu/ocean.productivity/index.php).

**Figure 7**
(a) Stable isotope composition of carbon (δ¹³C; ‰) versus total nitrogen:organic carbon (N:C) ratio from the deep sediment trap (1318 m) samples. The potential sources of organic carbon (C3 vascular plants, C3 soil organic matter, heterotrophic bacteria, and marine phytoplankton) are shown to highlight mixed sources of organic matter to the deep-sea sediment samples. The N:C ratio is plotted versus δ¹³C rather than the C:N ratio because the N:C ratio behaves linearly in a mixing model (Goñi et al., 2003). (b) Results from the shallow (603 m) and mid-depth (1082) sites relative to the deep site for the months of overlap (Aug-Sept. 2012).
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Start-Date</th>
<th>Mass Flux (g m(^{-2}) d(^{-1}))</th>
<th>N (%)</th>
<th>(\delta^{15})N (‰)</th>
<th>C(_{org}) (%)</th>
<th>(\delta^{13})C (‰)</th>
<th>C:N (atomic)</th>
<th>(^{210})Pb (mBq g(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Chl-a (μg m(^{-2}) d(^{-1}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6-Sep-12</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.61</td>
<td>-22.4</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>1159</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26-Sep-12</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.05</td>
<td>-22.5</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>1141</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26-Oct-12</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>3.64</td>
<td>-22.0</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>1243</td>
<td>10.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-Nov-12</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>4.15</td>
<td>-22.0</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>1284</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-Dec-12</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.74</td>
<td>-22.2</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>1184</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24-Jan-13</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.81</td>
<td>-22.2</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>1164</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23-Feb-13</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>4.32</td>
<td>-22.3</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>1268</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24-Apr-13</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>4.36</td>
<td>-22.2</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>1514</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24-May-13</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>3.95</td>
<td>-22.8</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>1417</td>
<td>16.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23-Jun-13</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>-22.4</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>1296</td>
<td>7.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1a**
Mass fluxes and bulk geochemical measurements from monthly sediment trap samples deployed at 1318 m in Baltimore Canyon, Mid-Atlantic Bight.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Start-Date</th>
<th>Mass Flux (g m(^{-2}) d(^{-1}))</th>
<th>N (%)</th>
<th>(\delta^{15})N (‰)</th>
<th>C(_{org}) (%)</th>
<th>(\delta^{13})C (‰)</th>
<th>C:N (atomic)</th>
<th>(^{210})Pb (mBq g(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Chl-a (μg m(^{-2}) d(^{-1}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7-Sep-12</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>3.73</td>
<td>-22.6</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>890</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26-Sept-12</td>
<td>52.2</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>-22.2</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>713</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1b**
Mass fluxes and bulk geochemical measurements from sediment trap samples deployed at 603 m in Baltimore Canyon, Mid-Atlantic Bight.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Start-Date</th>
<th>Mass Flux (g m(^{-2}) d(^{-1}))</th>
<th>N (%)</th>
<th>(\delta^{15})N (‰)</th>
<th>C(_{org}) (%)</th>
<th>(\delta^{13})C (‰)</th>
<th>C:N (atomic)</th>
<th>(^{210})Pb (mBq g(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Chl-a (μg m(^{-2}) d(^{-1}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>27-Aug-12</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>3.85</td>
<td>-22.2</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>1107</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26-Sept-12</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>3.21</td>
<td>-22.1</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>1115</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1c**
Mass fluxes and bulk geochemical measurements from sediment trap samples deployed at 1082 m in Baltimore Canyon, Mid-Atlantic Bight.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Start-Date</th>
<th>$n$-C$_{14}$</th>
<th>$n$-C$_{15}$</th>
<th>$n$-C$_{16}$</th>
<th>$n$-C$_{17}$</th>
<th>pr</th>
<th>ph</th>
<th>$n$-C$_{19}$</th>
<th>$n$-C$_{20}$</th>
<th>$n$-C$_{21}$</th>
<th>$n$-C$_{22}$</th>
<th>$n$-C$_{23}$</th>
<th>$n$-C$_{24}$</th>
<th>$n$-C$_{25}$</th>
<th>$n$-C$_{26}$</th>
<th>$n$-C$_{27}$</th>
<th>$n$-C$_{28}$</th>
<th>$n$-C$_{29}$</th>
<th>$n$-C$_{30}$</th>
<th>$n$-C$_{31}$</th>
<th>$n$-C$_{32}$</th>
<th>Σ</th>
<th>CPI</th>
<th>Pr(aq)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6-Sep-12</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>4.19</td>
<td>2.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26-Sep-12</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>1.94</td>
<td>2.55</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>11.43</td>
<td>5.26</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26-Oct-12</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>1.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-Nov-12</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-Dec-12</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>2.33</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24-Jan-13</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>2.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23-Feb-13</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>3.67</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>4.41</td>
<td>1.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24-Apr-13</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>1.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24-May-13</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23-Jun-13</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>7.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7-Sep-12$^1$</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.046</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>0.166</td>
<td>0.241</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>0.255</td>
<td>0.087</td>
<td>0.167</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26-Sep-12$^1$</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>0.048</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>0.064</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>0.116</td>
<td>0.046</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27-Aug-12$^2$</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td>0.072</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26-Sept-12$^2$</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2a
Concentration of total (Σ) and select $n$-alkane concentrations normalized to organic carbon (μg g$^{-1}$ C), and parameters including Carbon Preference Index (CPI), and the Alkane Proxy (Pr(aq)) in ~monthly sediment trap samples from the deep site (1182 m). Note: n/d=below detection limit and n/a=calculation not valid due to n/d values. $Pr(aq)=\frac{(nC_{23}+nC_{25})(nC_{23}+nC_{25}+nC_{29}+nC_{31})}{(nC_{23}+nC_{25}+nC_{29}+nC_{31})}$ (Ficken et al., 2000); CPI=0.5 * $[(nC_{25}+nC_{27}+nC_{29}+nC_{31})/(nC_{24}+nC_{26}+nC_{28}+nC_{30})]+[(nC_{25}+nC_{27}+nC_{29}+nC_{31})/(nC_{26}+nC_{28}+nC_{30}+nC_{32})]$ (Bray and Evans 1961). $^1$Data from the shallow (603 m) trap site. $^2$Data from the mid-depth (1082 m) trap site. Pr = pristane; ph = phytane.
Table 2b
Concentration of total (Σ) and individual sterols normalized to organic carbon (µg g⁻¹ C) in ~monthly sediment trap samples from the deep site (1182 m). Note: n/d=below detection limit. ¹Data from the shallow (603 m) trap site. ²Data from the mid-depth (1082 m) trap site.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Start -Date</th>
<th>coprostanol</th>
<th>epicoprostanol</th>
<th>5-β-coprostanone</th>
<th>22-dehydrocholesterol</th>
<th>cholesterol</th>
<th>cholestanol</th>
<th>brassicasterol</th>
<th>campesterol</th>
<th>stigmasterol</th>
<th>β-sitosterol</th>
<th>stigmastanol</th>
<th>Σ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6-Sep-12</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>3.80</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>2.31</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>1.74</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>13.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26-Sep-12</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>3.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26-Oct-12</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>3.85</td>
<td>9.02</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>6.75</td>
<td>3.61</td>
<td>2.85</td>
<td>3.51</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>30.48</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-Nov-12</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>2.30</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>7.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-Dec-12</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>2.17</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>8.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24-Jan-13</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>6.15</td>
<td>3.01</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>2.79</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>2.90</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>19.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23-Feb-13</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>2.36</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>4.82</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24-Apr-13</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24-May-13</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>5.44</td>
<td>8.37</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>21.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23-Jun-13</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7-Sept-12¹</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>10.35</td>
<td>3.30</td>
<td>5.85</td>
<td>2.23</td>
<td>3.46</td>
<td>4.63</td>
<td>2.95</td>
<td>36.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26-Sept-12¹</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>2.83</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>1.84</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>10.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27-Aug-12²</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>3.99</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>2.87</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>15.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26-Sept-12²</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>7.64</td>
<td>3.40</td>
<td>5.72</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>2.99</td>
<td>4.74</td>
<td>2.95</td>
<td>31.73</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Major organic matter sources to the sterol and $n$-alkane molecular signatures were investigated by calculating relative proportions of marine, terrestrial higher plants, and anthropogenic/petroleum contributions. Relative contributions from natural (marine versus terrestrial) and anthropogenic organic matter $n$-alkane and sterol sources were calculated following modified designations from Pisani et al. (2013). Terrestrial organic matter composition of sediments was quantified using concentrations of odd-numbered $n$-alkanes in the C$_{21}$ to C$_{31}$ range as well as the sterols campesterol, stigmasterol, $\beta$-sitosterol and stigmastanol. Marine components were determined using concentrations of the sterols cholesterol, cholestanol, 22-dehydrocholesterol, and brassicasterol as well as odd- and even-numbered $n$-alkanes in the C$_{14}$ to C$_{19}$ range. The anthropogenic components were determined using the sterol composition of coprostanol, epicoprostanol, and 5-$\beta$-coprostanone and the isoprenoid hydrocarbons pristane and phytane.\(^1\) Data from the shallow (603 m) trap site. \(^2\) Data from the mid-depth (1082 m) trap site.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Start -Date</th>
<th>%Terrestrial</th>
<th>%Marine</th>
<th>%Anthropogenic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6-Sep-12</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26-Sep-12</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26-Oct-12</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-Nov-12</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-Dec-12</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24-Jan-13</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23-Feb-13</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24-Apr-13</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24-May-13</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23-Jun-13</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7-Sept-12(^1)</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26-Sept-12(^1)</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27-Aug-12(^2)</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26-Sept-12(^2)</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3**

By calculating relative proportions of marine, terrestrial higher plants, and anthropogenic/petroleum contributions, Table 3 provides an overview of major organic matter sources to the sterol and $n$-alkane molecular signatures, demonstrating the contributions from natural and anthropogenic sources. This analysis helps in understanding the environmental impacts and the sources of organic matter in sediments.
Table 4
Sediment trap trace element concentrations (µg g⁻¹) in ~monthly sediment trap samples from the deep site (1182 m). Al = aluminum; Ba = barium; Cd = cadmium; Cr = chromium; Cs = cesium; Cu = copper; Fe = iron; La = lanthanum; Mn = manganese; Mo = molybdenum; P = phosphorus; Pb = lead; Sr = strontium; Th = thorium; Tl = thallium; U = uranium; V = vanadium; Zn = zinc. ¹Data from the shallow (603 m) trap site. ²Data from the mid-depth (1082 m) trap site.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Start Date</th>
<th>Lab ID</th>
<th>F Modern</th>
<th>Fm Err</th>
<th>CRA (years)</th>
<th>CRA error (years)</th>
<th>$\Delta^{14}$C (‰)</th>
<th>$\Delta^{14}$C error (‰)</th>
<th>$\delta^{13}$C (‰)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6-Sep-12</td>
<td>126887</td>
<td>0.8526</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>1280</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>-153.75</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>-21.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26-Sep-12</td>
<td>126888</td>
<td>0.8719</td>
<td>0.0018</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>-134.65</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>-21.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26-Oct-12</td>
<td>126889</td>
<td>0.885</td>
<td>0.0019</td>
<td>980</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>-121.57</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>-21.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-Nov-12</td>
<td>126890</td>
<td>0.8744</td>
<td>0.0018</td>
<td>1080</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>-132.12</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>-21.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-Dec-12</td>
<td>126891</td>
<td>0.8713</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>1110</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>-135.2</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>-21.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24-Jan-13</td>
<td>126892</td>
<td>0.8805</td>
<td>0.0028</td>
<td>1020</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>-126.07</td>
<td>2.80</td>
<td>-21.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23-Feb-13</td>
<td>126893</td>
<td>0.8754</td>
<td>0.0019</td>
<td>1070</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>-131.13</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>-21.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24-Apr-13</td>
<td>126894</td>
<td>0.8703</td>
<td>0.0019</td>
<td>1120</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>-136.23</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>-21.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24-May-13</td>
<td>126895</td>
<td>0.8758</td>
<td>0.0018</td>
<td>1070</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>-130.77</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>-22.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23-Jun-13</td>
<td>126896</td>
<td>0.8689</td>
<td>0.0024</td>
<td>1130</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>-137.55</td>
<td>2.40</td>
<td>-21.76</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 5**

Radiocarbon results from sediment trap samples from the deep site (1182 m) with fraction modern (Fm) and Fm error (±), with modern defined as 1950, Conventional Radiocarbon Age (CRA) and CRA age error (years), and radiocarbon ($\Delta^{14}$C; ‰) values.
**Figure S1**
GC-MS total ion chromatogram (TIC) of sediment trap organic matter extracted and fractionated into F1 n-alkane fraction (131-2: 10.20.2012) and F3 sterol and fatty alcohols fraction (131-6: 2.17.2013) extracts. a. aliphatic hydrocarbons, internal standards: 5α androstane b. fatty alcohol/sterol, internal standards: 5α-androstan-3β-ol.

**Figure S2**
Oceanographic variability in temperature, turbidity and north current speed component for the shallow lander in Baltimore Canyon across two time periods, a. October to November 2012 and b. March to May 2013. Note the mechanism, initially a high turbidity event is followed by approximately 2°C fluctuations in temperature, these spikes are associated with high current speeds.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Station</th>
<th>Sample Type</th>
<th>Depth (m)</th>
<th>%Corg</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NF-2012-107</td>
<td>Surface sediment (0–0.5 cm) canyon</td>
<td>283</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NF-2012-114</td>
<td>Surface sediment (0–0.5 cm) canyon</td>
<td>652</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NF-2012-054</td>
<td>Surface sediment (0–0.5 cm) canyon</td>
<td>1180</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NF-2012-065</td>
<td>Surface sediment (0–0.5 cm) slope</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NF-2012-070</td>
<td>Surface sediment (0–0.5 cm) slope</td>
<td>515</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NF-2012-084</td>
<td>Surface sediment (0–0.5 cm) slope</td>
<td>990</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NF-2012-091</td>
<td>Surface sediment (0–0.5 cm) slope</td>
<td>1186</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Supplementary Table S1**
Surface (0-0.5 cm) sediment samples collected within Baltimore Canyon and adjacent slope and respective percent organic carbon (%Corg).

| Sample ID     | n-C14 | n-C15 | n-C16 | n-C17 | pr | n-C18 | ph | n-C19 | n-C20 | n-C21 | n-C22 | n-C23 | n-C24 | n-C25 | n-C26 | n-C27 | n-C28 | n-C29 | n-C30 | n-C31 | n-C32 | Σ     |
|---------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|----|-------|----|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|       |
| NF-2012-107   | 0.49  | 1.59  | 0.81  | 0.78  | 0.25| 1.2   | 0.44| 1.59  | 1.54  | 1.32  | n/d   | n/d   | 0.71  | n/d   | n/d   | n/d   | n/d   | n/d   | n/d   | 11.03 |
| NF-2012-114   | 1.96  | n/d   | 3.02  | 2.65  | 1.39| 2.43  | n/d| 6.84  | 4.99  | 4.79  | 5.62  | 13.22 | 19.32 | 38.55 | 44.79 | 68.61 | 51.06 | 71.75 | 34.55 | 49.19 | 14.37 | 437.71 |
| NF-2012-054   | 0.12  | 0.38  | 0.3   | 0.43  | 0.26| 0.38  | 0.32| 1.13  | 0.58  | 0.63  | 0.36  | 0.35  | n/d   | n/d   | 0.66  | 0.48  | 1.08  | n/d   | 0.98  | n/d   | 0.31  | n/d   | 8.19  |
| NF-2012-065   | 7.38  | 7.89  | 4.95  | 3.9   | n/d | 4.35  | n/d| 5.41  | 5.35  | n/d   | n/d   | n/d   | n/d   | 4.05  | n/d   | n/d   | n/d   | n/d   | n/d   | n/d   | 43.28 |
| NF-2012-070   | n/d   | 2.37  | 2.16  | n/d   | n/d | 2.13  | n/d| 3.59  | 3.46  | 2.67  | 1.74  | n/d   | 2.41  | 2.76  | n/d   | n/d   | n/d   | n/d   | n/d   | n/d   | 23.29 |
| NF-2012-084   | n/d   | 13.27 | n/d   | 18.81 | n/d | 32.49 | 30.48 | n/d   | n/d   | n/d   | n/d   | n/d   | n/d   | n/d   | n/d   | n/d   | n/d   | n/d   | n/d   | 95.04 |
| NF-2012-091   | 0.34  | n/d   | 1.19  | n/d   | n/d | 1.1   | n/d| 1.88  | n/d   | n/d   | n/d   | n/d   | n/d   | n/d   | n/d   | n/d   | n/d   | n/d   | n/d   | 4.51  |

**Supplementary Table S2**
Concentration of total (Σ) and select n-alkane concentrations normalized to organic carbon (µg g⁻¹ C) in surface (0-0.5 cm) sediment samples in Baltimore Canyon and adjacent slope. Note: n/d=below detection limit
### Supplementary Table S3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample ID</th>
<th>%Terrestrial</th>
<th>%Marine</th>
<th>%Anthropogenic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NF-2012-107</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NF-2012-114</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NF-2012-054</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NF-2012-065</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NF-2012-070</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NF-2012-084</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NF-2012-091</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Supplementary Table S4

Major organic matter sources to the sterol and n-alkane molecular signatures were investigated by calculating relative proportions of marine, terrestrial higher plants, and anthropogenic/petroleum contributions in surface sediment samples in Baltimore Canyon and adjacent slope. Relative contributions from natural (marine versus terrestrial) and anthropogenic organic matter n-alkane and sterol sources were calculated following modified designations from Pisani et al. (2013). Terrestrial organic matter composition of sediments was quantified using concentrations of odd-numbered n-alkanes in the C\textsubscript{21} to C\textsubscript{31} range as well as the sterols campesterol, stigmasterol, \( \beta \)-sitosterol and stigmastanol. Marine components were determined using concentrations of the sterols cholesterol, cholestanol, 22-dehydrocholesterol, and brassicasterol as well as odd- and even-numbered n-alkanes in the C\textsubscript{14} to C\textsubscript{19} range. The anthropogenic components were determined using the sterol composition of coprostanol, epicoprostanol, and 5-\( \beta \)-coprostanone and the isoprenoid hydrocarbons pristane and phytane. Note: n/a = Source contributions were not calculated due to non-detect sterol and select n-alkane concentrations (Table S2).
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Mid Atlantic Canyon
F1-alkane fraction
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b. sediment trap
Mid Atlantic Canyon
F3- fatty alcohol/sterol fraction
131-6 2.17.2013
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