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Highlights

• *Buccinum undatum* statoliths were found to be wholly aragonitic at 2µm resolution
• Differing XRD patterns indicate changes in structural complexity across statoliths, confirmed via SEM to be due to an ‘hourglass’ microstructure
• Clear cycles of Mg and Na found between statolith growth rings using SIMS, supporting an annual periodicity
• Mg and Na profiles were found to be anti-correlated in all specimens
• Each individual displayed substantial ontogenetic change in strontium concentration
Micro-scale geochemical and crystallographic analysis of *Buccinum undatum* statololiths supports an annual periodicity of growth ring deposition.

Philip R. Hollyman¹ *, Simon R. N. Chenery², EIMF³, Konstantin Ignatyev⁴, Vladimir V. Laptikhovsky⁵, Christopher A. Richardson¹.

1) School of Ocean Sciences, College of Natural Sciences, Bangor University, Menai Bridge, Anglesey, LL59 5AB, UK
2) Centre for Environmental Geochemistry, British Geological Survey (BGS), Nottingham, NG12 5GG, UK
3) Edinburgh Ion Microscope Facility (EIMF), School of Geosciences, Grant Institute, Edinburgh University, Edinburgh, EH9 3FE, UK
4) Diamond Light Source, Diamond House, Harwell Science and Innovation Campus, Fermi Ave, Didcot, OX11 0DE, UK
5) Centre for Environment, Fisheries and Aquaculture Science (CEFAS), Pakefield Road, Lowestoft, Suffolk NR33 0HT, UK

*Corresponding Author: p.hollyman@bangor.ac.uk

Abstract

The whelk *Buccinum undatum* is commercially important in the North Atlantic. However, monitoring the ontogenetic age and growth of populations has been problematic for fisheries scientists owing to the lack of a robust age determination method. We confirmed the annual periodicity of growth rings present in calcified statololiths located in the foot of field-collected and laboratory reared whelks using microscale measurements of trace element geochemistry. Using Secondary Ion Mass Spectrometry (SIMS), annual trace element profiles were quantified at 2 µm resolution in statololiths removed from whelks collected alive from three locations spanning the length of the UK; the Shetland Isles (North), the Menai Strait, North Wales (Mid) and Jersey (South). Clear cycles in the Mg/Ca ratio were apparent with minimum values corresponding with the visible dark statolith rings and comparatively higher ratios displayed in the first year of growth. Statololiths from one and two-year-old laboratory reared whelks of known age and life history contained one and two Mg/Ca cycles respectively and demonstrated that the statolith growth ring is formed during winter (February and
March). Cycles of Na/Ca were found to be anti-correlated to Mg/Ca cycles, whilst ratios of Sr/Ca were inconsistent and showed an apparent ontogenetic increase, suggesting strong physiological control. Variability in elemental data will likely limit the usefulness of these structures as environmental recorders. The results obtained using SIMS for trace element analysis of statoliths confirms the robustness of the statolith rings in estimating whelk age. μXRD at 2μm spatial resolution demonstrated the statoliths were wholly aragonitic and thus trace element variation was not the result of possible differences in CaCO₃ polymorph within the statolith. Changing XRD patterns along with SEM imaging also reveal an ‘hourglass’ microstructure within each statolith. The validation of the annual periodicity of statolith growth rings now provides a robust and novel age determination technique that will lead to improved management of B. undatum stocks.
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1. Introduction

Statoliths have previously been investigated in several invertebrate groups including squid (Arkhipkin, 2005), octopods (Lombarte et al., 2006), cuttlefish (Gillanders et al., 2013), jellyfish (Mooney & Kingsford, 2017) and gastropods (Richardson et al., 2005; Chatzinikolaou & Richardson 2007; Hollyman et al., 2017). These small calcium carbonate bodies are involved in the perception of gravity (Chase, 2002) within the nervous system of many mobile mollusc species (e.g. cephalopods and gastropods). The statoliths of gastropods can contain several types of growth increments that have been shown to represent annual rings, settlement rings in species with planktonic larvae (e.g. Nassarius reticulatus [Barroso et al., 2005; Chatzinikolau & Richardson, 2007], Polinices pulchellus [Richardson et al., 2005]); and hatching rings in direct developing species (e.g. Buccinum undatum [Hollyman et al., 2017]). These growth
rings are perturbations in the microstructure visible during observation using an optical microscope. They are thought to be a result of changing growth rates, resulting in ‘light’ and ‘dark’ areas of the structure, much like growth banding patterns in bivalve shells (see Richardson, 2001 for review). The potential of these structures as tools to determine ontogenetic age is great within the context of fisheries management. *B. undatum* is a commercially important species of neogastropod mollusc common to the waters of the North Atlantic. The global fishery for *B. undatum* has increased dramatically over the last 30 years with landings in the UK (as an example) rising from just over 8,000 t in 2003 (DEFRA, 2003) to over 20,000 t in 2015 (MMO, 2016). *B. undatum* poses a problem to fisheries scientists as they display a variable size-at-maturity, and potentially size-at-age over relatively small geographical distances (Shelmerdine *et al.*, 2007; Haig *et al.*, 2015; McIntyre *et al.*, 2015). The lack of a reliable age determination tool makes it difficult for fisheries scientists to monitor and assess *B. undatum* populations.

Recent work on the statoliths of the whelk, *B. undatum*, has highlighted a potential annual periodicity to prominent growth rings through a series of laboratory growth experiments and chemical analysis of the shells (Hollyman *et al.*, 2017); no direct chemical analysis of statoliths from this species has been undertaken. Galante-Oliveira et al. (2015) highlighted for the first time an annual periodicity to growth rings in the statoliths of the netted whelk, *N. reticulatus*, using Laser Ablation – Inductively Coupled Plasma – Mass Spectrometry (LA-ICP-MS) to identify annual cycles of strontium (Sr). Analysis of trace elements such as Sr and magnesium (Mg) in the statoliths of *B. undatum* could provide a robust method for establishing the number of seasonal cycles and the validation of the annual periodicity of growth ring deposition, as well as assessing their potential as environmental recorders.
During formation, mollusc carbonates can ‘record’ certain environmental conditions in the concentrations of incorporated trace elements (i.e. elements other than Ca, C and O). Certain trace elements can become incorporated into the calcium carbonate crystals through the substitution of Ca\(^{2+}\) for other divalent metal ions with similar atomic radii (Speer et al., 1983; Dietzel et al., 2004). The rhombohedral crystal lattice of calcite may incorporate the slightly smaller magnesium (Mg\(^{2+}\)) ion at higher concentrations than the orthorhombic structure of aragonite, which can incorporate the slightly larger strontium ion (Sr\(^{2+}\)) at higher concentrations than calcite (Speer et al., 1983; Dietzel et al., 2004; Schöne et al., 2010). For ions such as Na\(^{+}\) which has a similar size to Ca\(^{2+}\) but a different charge, it is thought that a charge balance is created by either incorporating trivalent ions such as Al\(^{3+}\) or Fe\(^{3+}\) (Billings & Ragland, 1968), or a carbonate ion (CO\(_3^{2-}\)) with a monovalent halide ion (e.g. F\(^-\), Cl\(^-\), Br\(^-\) or I\(^-\) [Yoshimura et al., 2017]). Molluscs have been shown to produce several polymorphs of CaCO\(_3\) within a single bivalve mollusc shell (Nehrke et al., 2012) and gastropod statolith (Galante-Oliveira et al., 2014). It is therefore imperative to understand the mineralogical composition of a skeletal hard part before attempting to interpret the results of trace element analyses.

Strontium and Magnesium concentrations in both calcite and aragonite have the potential to reflect ambient seawater temperature at the time of mineralisation. It has been shown that in abiogenic aragonite Sr/Ca and Mg/Ca ratios have a negative relationship with seawater temperature, i.e. with increasing temperature the concentration of Sr and Mg decreases (Kinsman & Holland, 1969; Gaetani & Cohen, 2006); the opposite of this is seen with Mg in abiogenic calcite (Katz, 1973; Mucci, 1987). In mollusc carbonates, this relationship between Sr and seawater temperature has been shown to be negative in species with aragonitic structures (e.g. Mytilus sp. [Dodd, 1965]; Arctica islandica [Schöne et al., 2011]; Tridacna gigas...
An opposite relationship was demonstrated in the aragonitic shells of the marine gastropod *Conus ermenius*, where Sr has been shown to be positively correlated with seawater temperature (Sosdian *et al.*, 2006; Gentry *et al.*, 2008). Magnesium has also been shown to vary with seawater temperature in the shells of several marine bivalves (e.g. *Mytilus* sp. [Dodd, 1965]; *Pinna noblis*, during early ontogeny [Freitas *et al.*, 2005]; *Crassostrea virginica* and *Magallana gigas* [Durham *et al.*, 2017]). The efficacy of quantifying the concentration of trace elements such as Sr and Mg, and using these as proxies for seawater temperature is controversial, with large discrepancies seen between species and within individual samples (Klein *et al.*, 1996; Purton *et al.*, 1999). There are many factors which can potentially affect trace element incorporation, influences which stem from physiological sources such as ontogeny, growth rate, and stress are often termed vital effects. Vital effects can control trace element incorporation and result in dis-equilibrium of trace elements (within the carbonate) from ambient elemental concentrations in the seawater at the time of formation (Richardson, 2001; Gillikin *et al.*, 2005; Sosidan *et al.*, 2006). This can ‘override’ the temperature control on trace element incorporation, removing (or altering) any temperature signal which may have been contained within the trace element record. Whilst trace element profiles within mollusc shells are sometimes unsuitable for accurate seawater temperature reconstructions due to factors such as vital effects, seasonal cycles in element profiles may still be evident, allowing an age to be assigned to an individual animal (e.g. Durham *et al.*, 2017).

Here we assess the potential of *B. undatum* statoliths as both life history and environmental proxy archives. This is approached by firstly determining the overall structure of the statoliths and presence and position of any CaCO₃ polymorph changes. It was hypothesized that the
statoliths would be comprised of a majority aragonite fraction. The trace element profiles of statoliths from several discrete locations were then analysed and compared to investigate their potential as environmental and life history recorders. It was hypothesized that clearly delineated cycles of Sr and Mg would be uncovered between the visible ‘annual’ growth rings, following the work of Galante-Oliveira et al. (2015).

2. Materials and Methods

Three sampling sites were chosen within the United Kingdom (U.K.). The Shetland Isles (Northern Scotland), the Menai Strait (North Wales) and southern Jersey (English Channel) represent cool, mid-range and warm sites respectively owing to their differing seawater temperature regimes (Figure 1). *Buccinum undatum* were collected during winter (February) 2015, using baited whelk pots deployed from local fishing boats and left to soak for 24 hours. The depth at each site ranged between 11 and 18 m (Shetland – 18 m, Menai Strait – 11 m, Jersey – 13 m).

Whelks from the three sites (Figure 1) were selected for trace element analysis based on the largest size in the population (to give the longest growth axis for elemental analysis) and best shell condition (e.g. undamaged, i.e. unbored by organisms and not chipped and repaired). Shell damage and repair in the form of scars on the shell surface is likely translated into more disturbance rings in the statolith (Hollyman et al., 2017), conversely less damage likely results in fewer disturbance rings in the statolith on a site by site basis. Based on their gonad maturity stage (see Haig et al., 2015) three mature male and three mature female whelks were chosen from each site. Furthermore, shells of juvenile laboratory-reared whelks sampled in 2015 that hatched from egg masses in 2013 (two-year old) and 2014 (one-year old) (see Hollyman et al., 2017) were selected for analysis. Six whelks from the 2013 cohort representing both smaller
than average sized individuals (three of ~35 mm shell length) and larger than average individuals (three of ~50 mm shell length) and a similar group of whelks from the 2014 cohort (three smaller than average ~10 mm shell length; three larger than average ~30 mm shell length) were selected for analysis.

Prior to statolith extraction all dissection tools and glassware were acid-cleaned to avoid contamination. Both left and right statoliths were extracted (see Hollyman et al. 2017), placed into an embryo dish, rinsed with ultra-pure 18.2MΩ water (www.millipore.com; hereafter referred to as Milli-Q water) and immersed in 30% (by volume) hydrogen peroxide for 30 minutes. Following cleaning, statoliths were thoroughly rinsed again in Milli-Q water and left to dry overnight in a laminar flow hood. Thirty pairs of statoliths from the field and laboratory samples were analysed to investigate the crystal- and microstructure of the statoliths as well as how the trace element profiles changed along the growth axis in relation to visible annual growth rings.

2.1. **Transmission micro X-Ray Diffraction (μXRD)**

The right statolith from each specimen were embedded in Buehler EpoThin 2™ resin on standard microscope slides and left to cure for 72 hours. Once set, the statoliths were ground by hand towards their centre to expose the nucleus using successive grades of silicon carbide grinding paper (FEPA P400, P1200 & P2500 grade). Once a plane ~15 µm from the central nucleus was reached, the resin block was carefully removed from the microscope slide with a scalpel and turned over, the ground surface was then glued onto the slide and the grinding process was repeated to produce a thin section (~25-30 µm thickness) of each statolih. These thin statolith sections were subsequently removed from the slide using acetone to dissolve the glue and cleaned in 70% (ABV) ethanol and Milli-Q water. Each thin section was then
mounted on Kapton (poly (4,4'-oxydiphenylene-pyromellitimide)) tape and suspended over ‘windows’ in custom microscope slides to allow for interference free transmission analysis. Each sample was imaged using a Meiji Techno MT8100 microscope with a Lumenera Infinity 3 microscope camera at x2.5 and x20 magnifications. Using the I-18 micro-spectroscopy beamline at the Diamond Light Source (DLS), a 2x2µm X-ray beam was used with a sample transition step size of 2µm to create a line profile across the centre of each statolith to investigate any polymorph changes in the calcium carbonate phase. An X-ray beam energy of 12 KeV was employed and a Photonics Science SCMOS camera was used to collect the diffraction images. Diffraction data were collected as 2D diffraction images and converted to intensity vs. d-spacing plots using the angle of incidence (theta, θ) and the wavelength of the X-ray beam (lambda, λ) and the following equation:

\[ n \lambda = 2d \sin(\theta), \text{ (Bragg, 1913).} \]

d-spacing values represent the distance between the atomic layers in a crystal. Crystalline substances produce unique d-spacing intensity spectra and so this method can be used to distinguish between polymorphs of crystalline calcium carbonate. Standards of silicon and lanthanum hexaboride (LaB₆) powders were used to calibrate the detector geometry to determine θ. Powdered synthetic calcite and speleothem aragonite were also analysed for direct comparison to the statolith samples (Brinza et al., 2014). A continuous analysis was taken for 15 seconds whilst rastering across the powdered calcium carbonate standards to maximise the number of crystal orientations available for comparison to the subsequent statolith samples. To confirm that no compositional changes had been caused within the statoliths during physical sample preparation, several XRD samples were taken of unmounted broken statoliths. This control analysis was undertaken to ensure that potential heat
generated during grinding did not cause a polymorph change from aragonite to calcite (Radha et al., 2010; Gong et al., 2012; Galante-Oliveira et al., 2014). To investigate potential damage to the statolith during μXRD analysis, prolonged exposure to the X-ray beam was conducted at two points on the same statolith thin section, in the centre and at the outer edge. The beam was held on a single spot for 120 seconds, taking a separate diffraction pattern every 10 seconds to look for changes in structure over time. The scatter background was minimised using a rolling ball baseline correction; all data processing was completed in Dawn 1.9.0 (Basham et al., 2015). Due to time constraints, only statoliths from 18 of the total 30 specimens were analysed using μXRD. These 18 samples were comprised of representative specimens of all sites, sexes and both laboratory reared cohorts.

2.2. **Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)**

Statolith fragments were imaged using SEM to investigate microstructure as follows. Statoliths were placed in a watch glass and broken into fragments using fine tipped forceps (0.10 x 0.06mm tip) and selected pieces (the largest segments with full, exposed internal planes) mounted on Kapton tape. The statolith fragments were imaged using an FEI QUANTA 600 environmental scanning electron microscope (SEM) operated in low vacuum mode, with an electron beam accelerating voltage of 12.5 - 15 kV, a beam probe current of ~20 nA, and a working distance of ~10 mm.

2.3. **Secondary Ion Mass Spectrometry (SIMS)**

The left statolith from each animal was embedded in Buehler EpoThin 2™ resin in 1-inch round blocks, directly onto a 1-inch round microscope slide with a single statolith per block and left to cure for 72 hours. The resin blocks were then ground by hand through P400, P1200, P2500
and P4000 (FEPA) grade silicon carbide grinding papers using Milli-Q water as lubricant. This produced a thin section exposing the central plane of each statolith (Figure 2). Following grinding, two grades of diamond gel polish (3μm & 1μm, Presi) were used in conjunction with a Memphis polishing cloth (Metprep). Each slide was then imaged using a Meiji Techno MT8100 microscope with a Lumenera Infinity 3 microscope camera at varying magnifications (x10, x20 and x40) to create maps for the SIMS analysis. Each slide was then gold coated prior to analysis to minimise charging effects.

SIMS analysis was carried out using a CAMECA IMS-4f Ion Microprobe with a primary negative ion beam ($^{16}$O$^-$) from a duoplasmatron source at the Edinburgh Ion Microprobe Facility (EIMF). Samples were pumped to a vacuum of 5x10^{-9} Torr and a pre-ablation path was cleaned via a beam current of 6 nA with a net input energy of 15KeV using a primary aperture to give a beam size of ~25 μm. The sample was moved beneath the beam in 10 μm steps to remove gold and pre-condition the statolith surface. Following this, a continuous sample track was made across the pre-conditioned section of each statolith using a primary aperture and a beam current of 0.06 nA with a net input energy of 15KeV; this gave a spot size of ~1-2 μm, a 25μm image field was used and no energy filtering was employed. The sample was moved beneath the beam in 2 μm steps to create a successive spot sample track. Five elements were quantified across the growth axis of each statolith: $^{27}$Al (to monitor contamination), $^{44}$Ca (to which all trace elements were compared to create a ratio), $^{23}$Na, $^{88}$Sr and $^{24}$Mg. Ca was assumed to be stoichiometric and constant, all other elements are presented as their ratio to $^{44}$Ca. Initially $^7$Li and $^{138}$Ba were analysed in a single statolith, but the concentrations were found to be low and showed no variation above the counting statistic errors, suggesting quantitative data would not be obtainable under these analytical conditions. Thus, these
elements were not analysed in other statoliths. Elemental ratios were calculated using working curves based on standards previously analysed by bulk techniques, which included a mixture of abiogenic and biogenic carbonates (Corals: M93 [Kasemann et al., 2009], Haxby [Sturrock et al., 2015]; OKA carbonatite [Bice et al., 2005]; Icelandic spar) and a magnesium rich dolomite standard. Although no corrections were made, at the concentrations measured the molecular or doubly charged ion species e.g. $^{48}\text{Ca}^{2+}$ overlap on $^{24}\text{Mg}$ were considered negligible from measured standard samples. Estimated interference of $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ at mass 24 was $< 0.002 \text{mmol/mol}$ and $\text{Ca}_{2}^{+}$ at mass 88 $= 0.02 \text{mmol/mol}$. Accuracy was better than 10% and the precision errors for the analysed elements are as follows: Na $\sim 1\%$ (two sigma), Mg $\sim 4.5\%$ (two sigma), Ca $\sim 1.1\%$ (two sigma) and Sr $\sim 1.4\%$ (two sigma).

Elemental data were compared between and within sites using ANOVA in R (R core team, 2017). For Mg/Ca data, peak heights were compiled from all samples at a single site and compared between sites to check for between site differences in Mg/Ca peaks, any anomalous peaks were discounted from the analysis. For Sr/Ca ratios, the outer 10 data points from each statolith from each site were compiled and compared to the compiled central 10 data points from the same specimens to check for ontogenetic changes, these data were not compared between sites. The normal distribution of the data from each dataset was confirmed using Shapiro-Wilk tests.

3. Results

3.1. Statolith crystallography and microstructure

$\mu$XRD was used to investigate micro-scale changes in statolith calcium carbonate polymorphs and differences in the crystal structure. Figure 3 shows five stacked intensity traces from sample spots taken within a single statolith (every 20th sample along the growth axis from left
to right from a total of 110) overlaid with the intensity trace for calcite and aragonite. None
of the sample peaks corresponded to the characteristic peaks for calcite; instead all five
sample spots were identified as aragonite. This was also found in the other 105 samples from
this specimen. μXRD was used to analyse mineralogical composition and crystallographic
properties (crystal structure) of each of the 18 statoliths. Regardless of sample origin or whelk
gender, no calcite was identified and all were shown to be aragonite. A 120 second exposure
to the X-ray beam at both the outer edge and centre of a single statolith caused no changes
in the diffraction peaks indicating that the X-ray beam had not damaged the samples
crystallographically during analysis (see supplementary material, SMFigure 1). μXRD traces
acquired from broken, unprocessed statoliths showed a diffraction pattern identical to that
of the processed statoliths (SMFigure 2), indicating no crystallographic change had occurred
during sample preparation.

The crystal structure of each statolith changed along the growth axes, the intensity of a range
of aragonite-specific diffraction peaks changed across the sample, this can be observed for
(a) field-collected and (b) laboratory reared (Menai Strait) whelk statoliths (Figure 4). The
number of high-intensity peaks increased with proximity to the central nucleus. However, all
peaks were present in almost all XRD patterns from every sample, but they were often
obscured by a highly intense peak towards the statolith outer edge. SEM of mounted broken
statoliths revealed a crystal orientation radiating from the central nucleus, giving a clear
hourglass-shaped appearance of the microstructure in the exposed surfaces of the broken
statolith (Figure 5).

3.2. Statolith chemistry
Trace elemental profiles of three representative statoliths from each site are shown in Figure 6, a summary table of the elemental ratios for each site can be seen in Table 1. Whilst several trace elements were analysed with SIMS, Mg/Ca ratios had the clearest cycles with minima corresponding to the dark annual growth rings (i.e. observed winter minimum in the seawater temperature cycle (Hollyman et al., 2017)). Although the overall Mg/Ca ratios were 10-15 times lower than those of Na/Ca and Sr/Ca (Table 1), this seasonal pattern was consistently observed in all sampled specimens. Sr/Ca ratios displayed some cycles with similar patterns of minima corresponding to the growth rings but these were inconsistent and in places were anti-correlated. The Sr/Ca ratios in all adult statoliths displayed an ontogenetic increase towards the outer statolith edge. This was confirmed using ANOVA, at each site a significant difference was found between the compiled outer 10 sample spots from each statolith with the compiled 10 central sample spots (Jersey - p < 0.005, F = 21.5; Menai Strait - p < 0.005, F = 17.93; Shetland - p < 0.005, F = 25.2). Cycles of Na/Ca ratios in each statolith displayed clear inverse correlations with the Mg/Ca ratio profiles, and all showed a characteristic rise towards the right hand edge of the statolith ending the profile ~4 mmol/mol higher than in the centre of the statolith. This was observed in all 18 of the adult whelk statoliths and 6 of the 12 juvenile whelk statoliths analysed. The peak of the second Mg/Ca cycle in each statolith was markedly lower than that of the first cycle peak, this was common to all samples. To investigate a likely annual periodicity in the Mg cycles, statoliths from laboratory reared animals of known age (one and two years) were analysed. Mg/Ca profiles from statoliths of juvenile whelks, two one-year-old animals of varying size (a & b) and two two-year-old animals of varying size (c & d) are shown in Figure 7. The one-year-old specimens have one single Mg/Ca ratio peak beyond the hatching ring,
irrespective of size. The two-year-old specimens have two cycles beyond the hatching ring again irrespective of size, with the amplitude of the second cycle being markedly lower than the first. A lower amplitude peak in the second Mg/Ca cycle was also observed in all the field-collected whelk statoliths, irrespective of location or gender, making this pattern identical in field-collected and laboratory reared specimens. The first Mg/Ca peak in the Menai Strait statoliths was visibly higher than those from the Shetland Isles. However, the peak in the second Mg/Ca cycle from the Menai Strait and the Shetland Isles statoliths were similar, whilst statoliths collected from Jersey had a visibly higher second Mg/Ca cycle. Average summer maximum temperatures over the study period differed between sites with Shetland as the coldest (14.1°C ±0.7), followed by the Menai Strait (17.8°C ±0.2) and Jersey (18.6°C ±0.3) which were much more similar. Significant differences in the peak heights of the Mg cycles (excluding the exaggerated first year peak) between sites were found using ANOVA ($F = 21.538$, $p < 0.001$). Post-hoc Tukey’s test revealed significantly higher Mg/Ca ratio peaks in the Jersey statoliths than either of the Menai Strait and Shetland Isles statoliths, with the Menai Strait statoliths having a significantly higher Mg/Ca ratio than the Shetland Isles. These findings, summarised in Table 1, potentially indicate temperature related site differences and control of Mg incorporation into the statoliths.

The relationships between the different Na/Ca and Mg/Ca ratios in statoliths from male and female *B. undatum* are shown in Figure 8. The element ratios in the central portion of each statolith (within the hatching ring) were not included in the correlations as the intensity in the SIMS analyses was irregular and inconsistent compared with the subsequent juvenile and adult growth (see SMFigure 3). There are clear variations in the elemental relationships in statoliths from whelks both between and within sites, e.g. Na/Ca - Mg/Ca were negatively
related and varied within sites. This variation appears to relate to the ratios of Na/Ca rather than Mg/Ca in the statoliths and is likely due to increases in Na towards the edges of each statolith. However, much weaker relationships exist between Sr/Ca - Mg/Ca and Na/Ca – Sr/Ca with both positive and negative relationships between elemental comparisons among sites and within a single site (see supplementary material in SMTable 1).

Direct comparisons of Mg/Ca profiles with seawater temperature highlighted the high degree of variability and physiological control of elemental incorporation. Figure 9 presents Mg/Ca data from a single representative statolith overlaid on the corresponding seawater temperature profile for each site. Mg/Ca data from the first year of growth was removed as this was elevated (Figures 6 & 7), making visual comparison difficult. Average Mg/Ca profiles for each site could not be calculated due to intra-site variability (visible in Figure 8), meaning only data from a single representative statolith is presented. The annual cycles in the Jersey and Menai Strait data are clearly visible (Figure 9 b & c) whereas they are reduced in the Shetland data (Figure 9a; although they are visible when the y-axis maximum is reduced). The reduction in the Mg/Ca data from Shetland (Figure 9a) is clearly disproportionate to the sites lower seawater temperature when compared to Jersey or the Menai Strait. There is also a clear decrease in amplitude in the annual cycles from the Menai Strait, meaning that the full temperature range is likely not recorded by the Mg/Ca profile. The combination of the elevated first year values, high intra-site variability and reductions in cycle amplitude with ontogeny makes the reconstruction of seawater temperature from Mg/Ca data unlikely, limiting their potential as environmental recorders.

A visual comparison of changes in diffraction patterns and elemental profiles across each statolith did not reveal any obvious relationships between the two analyses. This was
achieved by overlaying the changes in diffraction peak intensities (Figure 4) with the corresponding trace element to calcium profiles (Figures 6 & 7). The statoliths used in Figure 4a and b to show the changes in diffraction peaks were also used to present the elemental data in Figures 6b and 7a respectively, there is no visual correlation between these datasets. A figure of the compiled elemental and diffraction data can be found in SMFigure 4.

4. Discussion

The results from this study represent a novel analysis of the crystallography, microstructure and composition of *Buccinum undatum* statoliths using a combination of observations coupled with high precision, cutting-edge techniques. The data obtained from these analyses were used to geochemically validate the annual periodicity of statolith growth ring formation and begin to decipher the controlling factors behind trace element incorporation into gastropod statoliths from whelks of different gender, size and development phases. The results presented here highlight the suitability of techniques such as SIMS and μXRD for analysing these small structures.

4.1. Statolith crystallography and microstructure

Detailed structural analysis of 18 whole statoliths using μXRD at 2 μm resolution confirmed that the statoliths are wholly composed of aragonite with no trace of calcite. Galante-Oliveira *et al.* (2014) concluded that the statoliths of the netted whelk, *Nassarius reticulatus*, were composed of aragonite but they also suspected that a small fraction of calcite was present. However, this was based on a much smaller number of samples (*n* = 2). The XRD patterns presented in Figure 3 never fully resemble that of the speleothem aragonite standard presented in the same figure. This is due to the differing analysis of the two compounds, the
standard was analysed with a continuous raster of a powdered sample to maximise the number of aragonite crystal orientations, resulting in many different peaks. The statoliths were analysed with only a single 2 x 2 µm transmission spot which was taken at each point across the statolith, when ‘stacked’ together, the ~100 XRD profiles from each statolith show a much closer resemblance to the aragonite standard (SMFigure 5). Our µXRD analysis indicated that the statoliths had differing structures between the outer edge and centre of each statolith. This was later confirmed using SEM to be observable as an ‘hour glass’ microstructure. This is potentially why the statoliths are not perfectly spherical but instead are dorso-ventrally flattened. A similar observation in the microstructure was found by Galante-Oliveira et al. (2014) in N. reticulatus statoliths. The changing XRD spectra across the statoliths (Figure 4), is likely accounted for by the ‘hour glass’ structure and the thin statolith sections themselves. Figure 10 shows this in more detail, illustrating how a seemingly more complex crystal structure was found in the centre of each statolith as more crystal orientations were available closer to the centre of the sample. X-rays (green arrows in Figure 10) passing through close to the centre of the thin section would encounter more crystal orientations than those X-rays passing through the outer edge, this is likely an example of preferred orientation. This is a crystallographic phenomenon resulting in deviations of XRD spectra from known spectra due to ‘preferred’ orientation of the crystal units in a crystalline material (Hammond, 2015). This reduces the number of lattice planes represented in the spectra (as was seen in the statolith XRD analyses) when compared to the spectra obtained from a well-mixed ‘random’ powder sample. Whilst highlighting changes in structure across the statolith, these changes in peak intensity showed no apparent correlation to the elemental profiles obtained during SIMS analysis. The lack of obvious changes in peak intensity (and therefore crystallography) corresponding to the visible growth rings indicates
that the perturbations responsible for the growth rings do not change the complexity of the crystal structure, and are possibly due to some other factor such as changes in organic matter composition.

4.2. SIMS analysis

Published accounts of the chemical analyses of molluscan statoliths have frequently focussed on cephalopods (e.g. cuttlefish [Zumholz et al., 2007a; Gillanders et al., 2013] squid [Arkhipkin et al., 2004; Zumholz et al., 2007b; Arbuckle & Wormuth, 2014] and octopods [Ikeda et al., 1999]) as opposed to gastropods. However, the results presented here indicate that the chemical composition of B. undatum statoliths is comparable to these species groups. Sr/Ca in the statoliths of B. undatum (~8 - 12 mmol/mol) falls within the range of reported ratios for cephalopod statoliths (~7 – 28 mmol/mol, e.g. Ikeda et al., 1999; Ikeda et al., 2003 and Gillanders et al., 2013). However, these ratios are higher than those found in fish otoliths (~2 – 2.5 mmol/mol, Campana, 1999; ~3 – 4 mmol/mol, Sturrock et al., 2015) and aragonitic mollusc shells (~1.25 – 3.5 mmol/mol, Palacios et al., 1994; ~1 – 3 mmol/mol, Gillikin et al., 2005; ~1 – 3 mmol/mol Sosdian et al., 2006). Galante-Oliveira et al. (2015) reported periodic changes in the Sr/Ca ratio of statoliths from, the gastropod N. reticulatus with maximum concentrations coinciding with winter deposited statolith growth rings in 92% of cases (n = 20), suggesting an inverse relationship with seawater temperature. Similarly, Zacherl et al. (2003) also reported an inverse correlation between Sr and seawater temperature in the larval statoliths of the marine gastropod Kelletia kelletii. However, such relationships were not found in the pre-hatching (larval) area of the statoliths of B. undatum, and no clear periodic cycles in the Sr/Ca ratio profiles were seen. The incorporation of Sr into B. undatum statoliths is likely to be under greater physiological control than other elements analysed,
with some statoliths showing weak seasonal cycles which approximately matched the Mg
cycles and growth ring formation. However, Sr cycles were often unclear with extra cycles of
Sr apparent when compared with the number of growth rings.

One of the striking features of the Sr/Ca ratio cycles observed in all adult statoliths was a clear
ontogenetic increase towards the outer edge of the statoliths, this was similar for both male
and female whelks from all sampled sites. Laboratory reared specimens showed lower mean
values of Sr/Ca when compared to the field-collected specimens. This apparent lack of any
ontogenetic trend in Sr/Ca ratio of the laboratory reared animals can be accounted for by age,
as the laboratory reared specimens were juveniles (one and two-years-old) whereas the field-
collected specimens were 4+ years old. However, on average the two-year-old whelk
statoliths contained higher Sr/Ca values than the one-year-olds, suggesting a possible
ontogenetic increase in Sr/Ca ratios in the laboratory reared whelk statoliths as well.

Ontogenetic changes in Sr incorporation are well documented for bivalve and gastropod
shells, with several species exhibiting a similar increase with ontogeny (e.g. Mya arenaria
[Palacios et al., 1994]; Clavilithes macrospira, Venericardia planicosta [Purton et al., 1999];
Conus ermenius [Sosdian et al., 2006]). These ontogenetic trends of Sr in mollusc shells are
often ascribed to changes in growth rate with age (Palacios et al., 1994; Sosdian et al., 2006),
more specifically, changes in metabolic activity associated with age and decreasing growth
rate (Purton et al., 1999). Decreasing growth with age has been demonstrated for this species
(Shelmerdine et al., 2007; Hollyman, 2017) which may well explain the apparent ontogenetic
increase of Sr within the statoliths. Further work should therefore focus on investigating a
possible growth rate control over Sr incorporation in gastropod statoliths.
Currently only one paper has investigated the presence of Mg in gastropod statoliths. Lloyd et al. (2008) reported on the effect of temperature and egg source effects (i.e. the effect of the larval food source and the egg on trace element incorporation) in larval *K. kelletii* enclosed within their egg cases. Conversely, they found no effect of temperature on Mg incorporation although an inverse relationship between Sr and seawater temperature was found. This strong relationship between the concentrations of Mg in the egg source and the statolith suggested a tight control of magnesium incorporation from the food source in this species. In addition to this, the period of larval growth within the egg capsule demonstrated higher concentrations of Mg than during the juvenile growth period. As juvenile *B. undatum* develop directly from the egg capsule and have a similar life cycle to *K. Kelletii*, it is possible that this may be the reason behind the increased Mg/Ca ratio seen in the first annual cycle of each statolith in this study. Mg enrichment in the cores of cephalopod statoliths is also well documented (e.g. *Gonatus fabricii* - Zumholz et al., 2007b; *Doryteuthis opalescens* - Warner et al., 2009), and as such it can be used as a chemical marker to denote the position of the core itself (e.g. *Dosidicus gigas* - Arbuckle & Wormuth, 2014). Zumholz et al., (2006) also found that food source had a strong control on the incorporation of elements such as Sr into the statoliths of the cuttlefish *Sepia officinalis*. They observed elevated levels of Mg in the core of the statoliths. Whilst this is not a direct gastropod example, it does show the potential of a food source to impact upon trace element incorporation within molluscan statoliths.

The annual periodicity of statolith growth rings has already been validated for juvenile laboratory reared specimens (see Hollyman et al., 2017) which implies an annual periodicity in the cycles in the Mg/Ca profiles and in the Na/Ca profiles. This was confirmed with the elemental analysis of statoliths from laboratory reared animals of known age. The analysis of
Statoliths from one and two-year-old animals revealed 1 and 2 Mg cycles respectively, which correspond to the visible annual statolith growth rings. All analysed statolith samples of *B. undatum* revealed Mg cycles with minimum values corresponding to the visible dark statolith growth rings (Figures 6 & 7) and therefore a possible positive relationship with SST as these rings are formed in the winter (Hollyman *et al.*, 2017). This is at direct odds with thermodynamic predictions from abiogenic precipitation experiments which found a negative relationship between Mg/Ca and temperature (Gaetani & Cohen, 2006). Several previous studies have presented similar findings with element/Ca ratios at odds with precipitation experiments (e.g. Sosdian *et al.*, 2006 [Sr in the shells of *Conus ermenius*]; Purton *et al.*, 1994 [Sr in the shells of *Clavilithes macrospira* and *Venericardia planicosta*]. In itself, this fact suggests a high degree of physiological control on the incorporation of Mg into the statoliths. However, clear annual cycles are present between visible growth lines, suggesting the metabolic processes controlling Mg incorporation may be under temperature control. Visual comparison of SST (Sea Surface Temperature) records and statolith Mg/Ca data at each site (Figure 9) shows large inter and intra site variability in element incorporation. This variability along with the elevated Mg/Ca in the first year of life precluded the calculation of a Mg-seawater temperature relationship. This finding suggests that the statoliths of *B. undatum* hold little value as environmental recorders unless more reliable elemental proxies are developed or further work enables the physiological controls to be accounted for. However, seasonal cycles are still clearly evident, allowing an estimation of age from the cycles of Mg. This is similar to the findings of Durham et al. (2017), who identified seasonal cycles in Mg/Ca in the shells of *Crassostrea virginica* and *Magallana gigas*, allowing age determination of individual specimens.
Several clear patterns in Mg incorporation were evident; firstly, more Mg was incorporated into the statoliths collected from Jersey in comparison to those from the Shetlands. The mean Mg/Ca values at each site increased with decreasing latitude from the coldest site (Shetland) to the warmest (Jersey); this was not proportionate to the SST change with far clearer differences in Mg/Ca between sites than those seen in SST (Figure 9). ANOVA also showed statistical differences between the maximum peak values for Mg between sites. This supports the idea that Mg is positively correlated with temperature as the seawater temperature regimes at each of the three sites clearly differ (Figure 1a) with Shetland being the coldest and Jersey the warmest. Secondly, the maximum of the Mg/Ca ratio peak in the first annual cycle was higher than all subsequent cycles in all statoliths. Whilst this was not as pronounced in some whelks, the innermost cycle was always higher irrespective of the location of the whelks or their gender. Evident in several statoliths, the annual Mg cycles from the oldest whelks were lower in amplitude with ontogeny. This was possibly due to a constraint of sampling resolution resulting in time averaging of portions of the Mg annual cycle between the narrower older growth rings, effectively smoothing out the amplitude of the cycles. Alternatively, this may have been the result of an ontogenetic decrease in growth rate; something that *B. undatum* has been shown to display from ageing studies (Shelmerdine et al., 2007; Hollyman, 2017).

Considering the seasonal patterns in the Mg profiles (i.e. the cycles match the annual growth rings) along with the elevated incorporation of Mg in the first year of life might suggest a combination of both physiological and thermodynamic controls over Mg incorporation within the statoliths. With physiological controls likely playing a more prominent role within the first
Further work should focus on disentangling the environmental and physiological controls on trace element incorporation into statoliths.

Sodium ratios in many statoliths showed strong and moderate negative relationships with Mg. The stronger negative relationships were more often seen in the Menai Strait and Jersey whelk statoliths. Unlike Mg, no clear pattern of increased Na incorporation was seen in the first annual cycle, although, like Mg in older statoliths, the amplitude of the cycles decreased with age. The incorporation of Na into the statoliths is likely controlled by some factor with an annual cycle such as seasonal seawater temperature or growth rate (which is currently not well established for this species) as the cycles in Na/Ca corresponded with the annual growth rings. However, recent work by Yoshimura et al. (2017), suggests that biological and environmental controls of Na incorporation into biogenic carbonates are minimal.

Temperature effects on the precipitation of Na in aragonite are unknown. However, Okumura and Kitano (1986) demonstrated that Mg ions in a precipitating fluid are anti-correlated to the precipitation of Na into aragonite in laboratory precipitation experiments, this may be a fundamental control of Na incorporation in this instance. At the right-hand edge of each adult whelk statolith the Na/Ca profiles rose unexpectedly, likely as a result of an edge effect caused by the low incidence angle of the ion beam (30°). It is unclear why Na/Ca ratios were affected when Sr/Ca and Mg/Ca ratios were not.

No literature could be found relating to the incorporation of Na into gastropod statoliths, however, Zumholz et al. (2007c) found putative daily cycles of Na/Ca in the statoliths of the squid Gonatus fabricii using nanoSIMS. It was concluded that these cycles corresponded with daily growth rate changes as a result of a diurnal feeding cycle and were inversely correlated to Sr/Ca ratios. The findings from this study and those of Zumholz et al. (2007c) have
demonstrated and confirmed the suitability of high sensitivity, high resolution techniques such as SIMS and nanoSIMS for studying the geochemistry of small biogenic carbonate structures.

5. Conclusion

The growth rings within B. undatum statoliths were found to contain clear, negatively correlated cycles in Mg and Na at all three sites and within laboratory reared animals, likely controlled by a combination of environmental and physiological factors. This supports the conclusion of Hollyman et al. (2017), suggesting that the growth rings have a clear annual periodicity. The cycles in Sr also displayed an ontogenetic increase, something which has never been uncovered at sub-annual resolution in gastropod statoliths. The clear variation and possible physiological controls over element incorporation makes the prospect of environmental reconstructions from these structures unfeasible. This geochemical validation of growth line formation periodicity will allow fisheries scientists to confidently use B. undatum statoliths for age determination within a fisheries monitoring context. This adds a much-needed tool to monitoring programs for this species in areas where it is of commercial importance.
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Figure 1a) A map of the United Kingdom showing the three sampling locations (black stars). b) Daily recorded seasonal sea surface temperature (SST) records between October 2010 and February 2014 from the three main study sites. Gaps in the Shetland and Menai Strait temperature data were due to temperature logger failures. Data were obtained from Marine Scotland (Shetland), Department of the Environment (Jersey) and CEFAS (Menai Strait).
Figure 2. Diagrammatic representation of an embedded statolith used in the SIMS analysis. (a) Represents a statolith placed in the centre of a circular glass slide and (b) shows a lateral view of a cross section through the statolith centre. The statoliths have been enlarged in these diagrams for visibility, representing a diameter of roughly 2 mm as opposed to an actual size of ~0.25 mm.
Figure 3. A stacked plot of 5 diffraction patterns taken from a line profile across the centre of a single sectioned *Buccinum undatum* statolith (every 20\textsuperscript{th} profile from a total of 110, black and grey lines). The traces from the calcite standard (blue line) and aragonite standard (red line) are overlaid for comparison. Red dotted lines represent congruent peaks between the aragonite standard and the statolith sample. Y-axes are presented arbitrary units of intensity.
Figure 4. The intensity of a selection of XRD peaks across the diameter of two statoliths (a) statolith from a field-collected adult specimen and (MS13-13) and (b) a juvenile laboratory reared specimen (TB-1), overlaid on photomicrographs of the corresponding statoliths. The blue shaded areas represent the distance covered by the hatching ring. Y-axes are presented arbitrary units of intensity.
Figure 5. SEM images of a fractured statolith segment. The dorso-ventral axis is highlighted with a dashed yellow line, (a) growth rings can clearly be seen within the exposed surfaces (black arrows) and (b) a magnified image of the nucleus area highlighted by the red box in (a) showing a clear ‘hour glass’ shape in the crystal structure within this region. The blue dashed lines highlight the hourglass shape of the microstructure.
Figure 6. Photomicrographs of ground and polished statoliths from field-collected male *Buccinum undatum* from Shetland (a), the Menai Strait (b) and Jersey (c). The overlaid plots display the strontium (green lines), magnesium (blue lines) and sodium (red lines) profiles across each statolith. Blue areas represent larval growth inside the hatching ring. Vertical black lines above the statolith indicate the positions of the growth rings. All data are presented as mmol/mol ratio to Ca$^{44}$. 
Figure 7. Photomicrographs of ground and polished statoliths from laboratory reared *Buccinum undatum*, overlaid with profiles of the Mg/Ca ratios determined using SIMS. (a & b) statoliths from one-year-old whelks of two sizes (a = 31 mm shell length; b = 11 mm shell length) and (c & d) statoliths from two-year-old whelks of two sizes (c = 52 mm shell length; d = 35 mm length). Blue areas represent larval growth inside the hatching ring. All data are presented as mmol/mol ratio to Ca$^{44}$. 
Table 1. Summary data from the combined elemental profiles in statoliths from each site. Data are presented as a mmol:mol ratio to Ca.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Tank one-year-olds</th>
<th>Tank two-year-olds</th>
<th>Shetland Isles</th>
<th>Menai Strait</th>
<th>Jersey</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Na/Ca</td>
<td>Mg/Ca</td>
<td>Sr/Ca</td>
<td>Na/Ca</td>
<td>Mg/Ca</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>17.64</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>9.11</td>
<td>17.57</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max</td>
<td>24.51</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>10.87</td>
<td>21.50</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min</td>
<td>13.08</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>7.70</td>
<td>14.36</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>11.43</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>3.18</td>
<td>7.14</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 8. Scatter plots showing relationships between Mg/Ca and Na/Ca ratios in statoliths from individual whelks. The samples from Shetland are shown with blue markers for males (a) and females (f), samples from the Menai Strait are shown with brown markers for males (b) and females, samples from laboratory growth experiments are shown as purple (one-year-olds) and green (two-year olds) for males (c) and females (g) and samples from Jersey are shown with red markers for males (d) and females (h). Coloured lines represent linear relationships between datasets of the same colour.
Figure 9. Sea surface temperature profiles (grey dots) overlaid with Mg/Ca ratio data (black line, unfilled circles) from SIMS analysis of a single representative statolith from each site (a) Shetland; b) Menai Strait; c) Jersey). The higher innermost cycles of the Mg/Ca profiles have been removed for easier visual comparison. The primary and secondary axes of all three plots are set to the same ranges for comparison.
Figure 10. a) A diagrammatic representation of the ‘hourglass’ crystal structure of a *Buccinum undatum* statolith. The black circles represent the statolith growth rings and the red lines represent crystal orientations and b) a diagrammatic representation of a thin section (blue box) of the *Buccinum undatum* statolith shown in (a) indicating the crystals sampled during μXRD. The green lines represent the passage of X-rays.
Supplementary material

SMFigure 1. Stack plots of 4 successive XRD profiles taken at 30 second intervals at the same location, a total of 12 profiles were taken one every 10 seconds but only 4 are presented. a) shows data from the centre of a statolith from the Menai Strait and b) shows data from close to the edge of the same statolith. Note the differences in intensity between the edge and centre data.
SMFigure 2. Stack plot of XRD profiles taken at ≈20 μm spacing along the growth axis of a single broken (unprocessed) B. undatum statolith. The stacked sample profiles are overlaid with profiles from aragonite (light blue) and calcite standards (red).
SMFigure 3. A scatter plot of Na/Ca against Mg/Ca for a single statolith (JF4-8). Black circular dots represent data from post hatching growth. Grey triangular dots represent data from within the hatching ring (larval growth). The black dotted line shows the linear relationship between the two elements without the larval growth data. The grey dotted line is the linear relationship between the two elements including the larval growth.
Table 1. Pearson’s correlation coefficients of the relationships between elemental-to-calcium ratio profiles in statoliths from male and female *Buccinum undatum* reared in the laboratory and from the Menai Strait, Jersey and Shetland. Correlation strength is shown by colour, grey = ‘weak’, yellow = ‘moderate’ and orange = ‘strong’. Significance, ** = p < 0.001, * = p < 0.05.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Laboratory year 1 Male</th>
<th>Laboratory year 1 Female</th>
<th>Laboratory year 2 Male</th>
<th>Laboratory year 2 Female</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TB1</td>
<td>TB2</td>
<td>TS1</td>
<td>TB3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na/Ca vs. Mg/Ca</td>
<td>-0.61**</td>
<td>-0.51**</td>
<td>-0.4*</td>
<td>-0.45**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na/Ca vs. Sr/Ca</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>-0.14</td>
<td>0.33*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr/Ca vs. Mg/Ca</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>-0.09</td>
<td>-0.34</td>
<td>-0.48**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Menai Strait Male</th>
<th>Menai Strait Female</th>
<th>Jersey Male</th>
<th>Jersey Female</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MS13-3</td>
<td>MS13-13</td>
<td>MS13-33</td>
<td>MS13-22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na/Ca vs. Mg/Ca</td>
<td>-0.59**</td>
<td>-0.27*</td>
<td>-0.69**</td>
<td>-0.81**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na/Ca vs. Sr/Ca</td>
<td>0.22*</td>
<td>0.25*</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>-0.34*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr/Ca vs. Mg/Ca</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
<td>-0.16</td>
<td>-0.12</td>
<td>0.32*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Shetland Male</th>
<th>Shetland Female</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SH-19</td>
<td>SH-25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na/Ca vs. Mg/Ca</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na/Ca vs. Sr/Ca</td>
<td>-0.28*</td>
<td>-0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr/Ca vs. Mg/Ca</td>
<td>-0.78**</td>
<td>-0.19*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SMFigure 4. A combined plot of the diffraction data presented in Figure 4 (top plot) and the elemental data presented in Figure 6 (bottom three plots), overlaid on a photomicrograph of the analysed statolith. Black lines denote the annual growth rings.
SMFigure 5. Stacked XRD spectra from I) 110 successive combined analyses across the centre of a single statolith, II) the speleothem aragonite standard, III) the synthetic calcite standard. The combined statolith data shown in I) bears a clear resemblance to the aragonite standard shown in II).