

The Lifecycle of Semidiurnal Internal Tides over the Northern Mid-Atlantic Ridge

Vic, Clement; Naveira-Garabato, Alberto ; Green, Mattias; Spingys, Carl ; Forryan, Alex; Zhao, Zhongxiang; Sharples, Jonathan

Journal of Physical Oceanography

DOI: 10.1175/JPO-D-17-0121.1

Published: 01/01/2018

Peer reviewed version

Cyswllt i'r cyhoeddiad / Link to publication

Dyfyniad o'r fersiwn a gyhoeddwyd / Citation for published version (APA): Vic, C., Naveira-Garabato, A., Green, M., Spingys, C., Forryan, A., Zhao, Z., & Sharples, J. (2018). The Lifecycle of Semidiurnal Internal Tides over the Northern Mid-Atlantic Ridge. *Journal* of Physical Oceanography, 48(1), 61-80. https://doi.org/10.1175/JPO-D-17-0121.1

Hawliau Cyffredinol / General rights Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

• Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.

- · You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal ?

Take down policy If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

1	The lifecycle of semidiurnal internal tides over the northern Mid-Atlantic
2	Ridge
3	Clément Vic* and Alberto C. Naveira Garabato
4	University of Southampton, National Oceanography Centre, Southampton, United Kingdom
5	J. A. Mattias Green
6	School of Ocean Sciences, Bangor University, Menai Bridge, Anglesey, United Kingdom
7	Carl Spingys and Alexander Forryan
8	University of Southampton, National Oceanography Centre, Southampton, United Kingdom
9	Zhongxiang Zhao
10	Applied Physics Laboratory, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington
11	Jonathan Sharples
12	School of Environmental Sciences, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, United Kingdom

¹³ *Corresponding author address: Ocean and Earth Sciences, University of Southampton, National

¹⁴ Oceanography Centre, Southampton, United Kingdom

¹⁵ E-mail: c.vic@soton.ac.uk

ABSTRACT

The lifecycle of semidiurnal internal tides over the Mid-Atlantic Ridge 16 (MAR) sector south of the Azores is investigated using in situ, a high-17 resolution mooring and microstructure profiler, and satellite data, in combi-18 nation with a theoretical model of barotropic-to-baroclinic tidal energy con-19 version. The mooring analysis reveals that the internal-tide horizontal en-20 ergy flux is dominated by mode 1, and that energy density is more distributed 21 among modes 1-10. Most modes are compatible with an interpretation in 22 terms of standing internal tides, suggesting that they result from interactions 23 between waves generated over the MAR. Internal tide energy is thus concen-24 trated above the ridge and is eventually available for local diapycnal mixing, 25 as endorsed by the elevated rates of turbulent energy dissipation, ε , estimated 26 from microstructure measurements. A spring-neap modulation of energy den-27 sity on the MAR is found to originate from the remote generation and radia-28 tion of strong mode-1 internal tides from the Atlantis Meteor Seamount Com-29 plex. Similar fortnightly variability of a factor of 2 is observed in ε , but this 30 signal's origin cannot be determined unambiguously. A regional tidal energy 3 budget highlights the significance of high-mode generation, with 81% of the 32 energy lost by the barotropic tide being converted into modes > 1, and only 33 9% into mode 1. This has important implications for the fraction of local dis-34 sipation to the total energy conversion, q, which is regionally estimated to be 35 ~ 0.5 . This result is in stark contrast with the Hawaiian Ridge system, where 36 the radiation of mode-1 internal tides accounts for 30% of the regional energy 37 conversion, and q < 0.25. 38

39 1. Introduction

Understanding what sets the strength and geographical variability of oceanic diapycnal mixing 40 is a critical issue in physical oceanography, because of the central role that turbulent mixing pro-41 cesses play in the oceanic meridional overturning circulation and its impact on climate (e.g., Munk 42 and Wunsch 1998). A large fraction of the energy available for diapycnal mixing is provided by 43 the tides (Wunsch and Ferrari 2004), with satellite measurements indicating that the semidiurnal 44 M_2 barotropic tide dissipates one third of its energy in the deep ocean globally (Egbert and Ray 45 2000, 2001). This dissipation is localized to specific hotspots in which enhanced tidally-driven 46 turbulent dissipation is revealed by in situ measurements, mostly over mid-ocean ridges (Polzin 47 et al. 1997; Rudnick et al. 2003) and near isolated seamounts (Lueck and Mudge 1997). The route 48 to dissipation of the barotropic tide in the deep ocean primarily involves a conversion into baro-49 clinic tides, i.e., internal waves with tidal frequencies. Internal tides form a reservoir of turbulent 50 energy, the dissipation of which results in irreversible diapycnal mixing. The fate of this reservoir 51 mainly, where and how internal waves break – is poorly understood on a global scale, yet is of 52 key importance in setting the geography of diapycnal mixing (MacKinnon et al. 2017). Diapy-53 cnal mixing is heterogeneous and strongly impacts the distributions of tracers and water masses 54 (Armi 1979) and the intensity and structure of the overturning circulation (Mashayek et al. 2015; 55 de Lavergne et al. 2016). 56

This paper addresses the lifecycle – from generation to dissipation – of semidiurnal internal tides over the Mid-Atlantic Ridge (MAR) sector south of the Azores, by combining a theoretical model with multi-source in situ and satellite data. Our primary goals are to document the key stages of the internal tides' lifecycle, and to outline the energy budget of the internal tides in the region. The northern MAR is a relatively unexplored source of internal tides compared to the more widely studied Hawaiian Ridge system [as part of the Hawaiian Ocean Mixing Experiment (HOME, e.g.,
Rudnick et al. 2003)] and the southern MAR [under the auspices of the Brazil Basin Tracer Release
Experiment (BBTRE, Polzin et al. 1997; Ledwell et al. 2000)]. However, recent theoretical (Melet
et al. 2013; Lefauve et al. 2015) and numerical (Timko et al. 2017) modelling studies suggest that
the northern MAR is an important site for internal tide generation and dissipation and, as such,
provides an interesting point of contrast to the Hawaiian Ridge and southern MAR.

The work presented here is part of the RidgeMix project, which seeks to understand and quantify 68 the upward supply of nutrients to the upper layers of the North Atlantic subtropical gyre. As part 69 of RidgeMix, a mooring was deployed on the edge of the MAR, designed to resolve variability in 70 velocity and temperature at tidal and higher frequencies throughout the entire water column with 71 high vertical resolution. This mooring provides data with which local internal tide dynamics may 72 be described for up to 10 baroclinic modes. In addition, direct measurements of turbulent energy 73 dissipation from microstructure profilers were obtained above the MAR, to assess the rate of dis-74 sipation of internal tides. Application of a 2-D spectral model of barotropic-to-baroclinic energy 75 conversion (St. Laurent and Garrett 2002) and analyses of tidal-model estimates of barotropic tidal 76 dissipation (Egbert and Ray 2000) and satellite altimetry-derived mode-1 horizontal energy flux 77 data (Zhao et al. 2016) allow us to extend our understanding of the internal tides' lifecycle to a 78 regional scale. 79

In sections 2 and 3, we introduce the data and methods used in this study, respectively. The characteristics of semidiurnal internal tides, characterized with a combination of a theoretical model, mooring data and microstructure measurements, are presented in section 4. Our regional perspective of tidal energy conversion and dissipation is discussed in section 5. Our main conclusions are drawn in section 6.

85 2. Data

In this section, we briefly describe in-situ data collection conducted during the RidgeMix cruise (Sharples 2016). We then document the global gridded datasets that we use to compute tidal energy-related quantities on a regional scale (section 3).

⁸⁹ a. RidgeMix data

90 1) MOORING DATA

A mooring was deployed at 36.23°N,32.75°W (Fig. 1a) on 26/09/2015 and recovered on 91 04/07/2016. It was equipped with 41 RBR self-logging thermistors, two TRDI 75-kHz Long 92 Ranger Acoustic Doppler Current Profilers (ADCPs) and two Flowquest 75-kHz ADCPs. The 93 positioning of thermistors and ADCPs along the mooring line is shown in Fig. 1b. 36 thermis-94 tors monitored temperature with a sampling period of 15 s during the whole mooring deployment, 95 whereas 5 thermistors stopped recording between a few days and a month after deployment. The 96 spacing between thermistors was reduced where the stratification is maximum in order to capture 97 high (up to 10) dynamical modes (section 3 and Fig. 2). The ADCPs recorded hourly averaged 98 horizontal velocity (over 50 and 150 pings for the TRDI and Flowquest ADCPs, respectively) with 99 8-m vertical bins. Their positioning allowed sampling of the entire water column down to ~ 100 m 100 above the seafloor. 101

102 2) MICROSTRUCTURE DATA

¹⁰³ The rate of turbulent energy dissipation ε was determined directly using vertical microstructure ¹⁰⁴ profilers (VMPs). We deployed free-falling Rockland Scientific International ¹ (RSI) VMP-6000 ¹⁰⁵ instruments at stations on and off the ridge (see Fig. 1a for locations). A tethered RSI VMP-

¹http://rocklandscientific.com

¹⁰⁶ 2000 instrument was deployed continuously during 25-h stations (thus sampling two semidiurnal ¹⁰⁷ tidal cycles) in the vicinity of the mooring location during spring and neap tides (06/06/2016 ¹⁰⁸ and 28/06/2016, respectively). VMPs record velocity shear $\partial u/\partial z$ and temperature variance ¹⁰⁹ at centimeter scales. Assuming isotropy, the rate of turbulent energy dissipation is given by ¹¹⁰ $\varepsilon = 15v/2(\partial u/\partial z)^2$ [W kg⁻¹], where v is the molecular viscosity of seawater (Oakey 1982). In ¹¹¹ order to compare dissipation with model estimates of energy conversion, we compute the depth-¹¹² integrated dissipation between 50 m and the seafloor

$$\varepsilon_z = \int_{-H}^{-50 \,\mathrm{m}} \rho_0 \varepsilon \,\mathrm{d}z \,[\mathrm{W}\,\mathrm{m}^{-2}],\tag{1}$$

where *H* is the local depth and ρ_0 is the mean density of the profile. We did not include the uppermost 50 m, where mixed-layer processes are expected to dominate compared to internal tide breaking.

116 b. Global gridded datasets

117 1) SRTM30_PLUS

The Shuttle Radar Topography Mission dataset (SRTM30_PLUS, Becker et al. 2009) is a global bathymetry dataset at a 30-sec resolution. SRTM30_PLUS is based on the 1-min Smith and Sandwell (1997) bathymetry and incorporates higher resolution data from ship soundings wherever available. The MAR sector south of the Azores has been intensively surveyed (see Fig. 3 in Timko et al. 2017), and SRTM30_PLUS is significantly enriched by small-scale topographic features compared to the Smith and Sandwell (1997) dataset.

124 2) WOA13

Temperature and salinity data required to compute the buoyancy frequency are from the 1°resolution World Ocean Atlas 2013 version 2 climatology² (WOA13, Locarnini et al. 2013; Zweng et al. 2013). This climatology is computed by objective analysis of historical hydrographic profiles from many different sources.

129 3) TPXO

Barotropic-tide currents (amplitude and phase) were extracted from the 1/12°-resolution inverse tidal model for the Atlantic Ocean, the TPXO AO_ATLAS,³ a regional version of TPXO8 (Egbert and Erofeeva 2002). We hereafter refer to this dataset as TPXO.

$_{133}$ 4) Mode-1 M₂ energy fluxes and sea surface height from satellite altimetry

Mode-1 M₂ internal-tide horizontal energy flux and sea-surface height (SSH) data at a horizontal 134 resolution of $1/5^{\circ}$ from Zhao et al. (2016) were used in this study to quantify the propagation of 135 baroclinic tidal energy on a regional scale. Zhao et al. (2016) use a two-dimensional plane wave fit 136 method to extract internal tides from satellite SSH and apply a modal decomposition that allows the 137 inference of mode-1 internal tide pressure from SSH. Assuming that the energy partition between 138 potential and kinetic energy components depends only on latitude and tidal frequency, the internal 139 tide velocity is also estimated from SSH. Finally, vertically-integrated horizontal energy fluxes, 140 \mathbf{F}_{s}^{1} , are computed (Appendix A in Zhao et al. 2016). Positive divergence of the horizontal energy 141 flux, hereafter denoted $(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{F}_s^1)^+$, indicates regions of mode-1 internal tide generation. 142

²https://www.nodc.noaa.gov/OC5/woa13/

³http://volkov.oce.orst.edu/tides/AO.html

143 **3. Methods**

In this section, we outline the methodology used in this study. First, we implement a theoretical model for the generation of internal tides (section 3a). This is followed with an analysis of mooring data to characterize internal tide properties (section 3b). Finally, we estimate the barotropic tidal energy loss on a regional scale from a tidal model (section 3c).

¹⁴⁸ a. Theoretical model of barotropic-to-baroclinic energy conversion

In a stratified fluid, the interaction of a current with varying topography generates internal waves. 149 Under different sets of flow characteristics and dynamical assumptions, models and parameteri-150 zations for internal wave generation have been developed (e.g., Baines 1998; Nycander 2005). 151 When the current of interest is the barotropic tide, two dimensionless parameters mainly govern 152 the nature of internal waves (St. Laurent and Garrett 2002; Garrett and Kunze 2007): the ratio of 153 topographic slope, $s = \nabla h$, to wave characteristic slope, $\alpha = \sqrt{(\omega^2 - f^2)/(N^2 - \omega^2)}$; and the ratio 154 of tidal excursion to topographic length scale, ku_0/ω , where u_0 is the barotropic tidal velocity and 155 k is the topographic horizontal wavenumber. In the deep ocean -i.e., far from continental shelves 156 and slopes –, the major topographic features responsible for barotropic tidal dissipation are mid-157 ocean ridges (Egbert and Ray 2000, 2001). Over these ridges, topographic slopes are dominantly 158 subcritical $(s/\alpha < 1)$ and tidal excursions are smaller than topographic scales $(ku_0/\omega < 1)$, due 159 to weak barotropic tidal currents $[u_0 = O(1) \text{ cm s}^{-1}]$. Most deep-ocean barotropic-to-baroclinic 160 energy conversion models are based on these two assumptions, which permit the derivation of lin-161 ear equations (e.g., Bell 1975a,b; Jayne and St Laurent 2001; Llewellyn Smith and Young 2002; 162 St. Laurent and Garrett 2002; Nycander 2005). 163

¹⁶⁴ Among the various existing models, we chose to use a two-dimensional spectral model that ¹⁶⁵ follows St. Laurent and Garrett (2002). Although computationally more expensive, this method ¹⁶⁶ offers an extensive characterization of the vertical energy flux, providing information such as ¹⁶⁷ modal content and flux direction. The barotropic-to-baroclinic vertical energy flux E_f [see Eq. (10) ¹⁶⁸ in St. Laurent and Garrett (2002)], may be estimated as

$$E_f(K,\theta) = \frac{1}{2}\rho_0 \frac{\left[(N_b^2 - \omega^2)(\omega^2 - f^2)\right]^{1/2}}{\omega} \times \left(u_e^2 \cos^2 \theta + v_e^2 \sin^2 \theta\right) K \phi(K,\theta)$$

$$[W m^{-2} (rad m^{-1})^{-2}],$$
(2)

where N_b is the buoyancy frequency close to the bottom computed from WOA13; u_e (v_e) is the barotropic tidal velocity amplitude from TPXO, in the direction of the semimajor (semiminor) axis of the tidal ellipse [(x_e, y_e) coordinate system]; $K = (k_x^2 + k_y^2)^{1/2}$ is the total horizontal wavenumber, with k_x and k_y being the horizontal wavenumbers in the (x_e, y_e) coordinate system, and $\theta = \arctan(k_y/k_x)$. The 2-D power spectrum of topography, ϕ , is normalized to satisfy $\int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \phi(K, \theta) K \, dK \, d\theta = \overline{h^2}$, where $\overline{h^2}$ is the mean square height of topography.

¹⁷⁵ From Eq. (2), we define the azimuthally-averaged vertical energy flux as

$$E_f^a(K) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} E_f(K,\theta) \, K \, \mathrm{d}\theta \, [\mathrm{W} \, \mathrm{m}^{-2} (\mathrm{rad} \, \mathrm{m}^{-1})^{-1}], \tag{3}$$

and the radially-integrated vertical energy flux as

$$E_f^r(\theta) = \int_{K_1}^{\infty} E_f(K,\theta) \, \mathrm{d}K \, [\mathrm{W}\,\mathrm{m}^{-2}(\mathrm{rad}\,\mathrm{m}^{-1})^{-1}]. \tag{4}$$

¹⁷⁷ The total vertical energy flux is

$$E_{f}^{t} = \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{K_{1}}^{\infty} E_{f}(K,\theta) K \,\mathrm{d}K \,\mathrm{d}\theta \,\,[\mathrm{W}\,\mathrm{m}^{-2}],\tag{5}$$

where the lower boundary of integration in wavenumber space is the mode-1 equivalent wavenumber, K_1 , to take into account the finite depth of the ocean (Llewellyn Smith and Young 2002). We also define the vertical energy flux into mode *j* as

$$E_{f}^{j} = \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{K_{j}-\delta K/2}^{K_{j}+\delta K/2} E_{f}(K,\theta) K \,\mathrm{d}K \,\mathrm{d}\theta \,\,[\mathrm{W}\,\mathrm{m}^{-2}],\tag{6}$$

where $\delta K = K_2 - K_1$, and the equivalent wavenumber of mode *j* is

$$K_j = \frac{j\pi(\omega^2 - f^2)^{1/2}}{N_0 b}.$$
(7)

 N_0 and *b* are parameters of an exponential fit to the buoyancy frequency $N = N_0 \exp(z/b)$ (St. Laurent and Garrett 2002).

¹⁸⁴ b. Energy density and horizontal energy flux from mooring data

Internal-tide energy density, E, and horizontal energy flux, **F**, are estimated from mooring data following Nash et al. (2005). Here, we briefly recall the main steps of their procedure.

¹⁸⁷ The wave velocity, $\mathbf{u}'(z,t)$, is defined as

$$\mathbf{u}'(z,t) = \mathbf{u}(z,t) - \overline{\mathbf{u}}(z) - \overline{\mathbf{u}_0}(t), \tag{8}$$

where $\mathbf{u}(z,t)$ is the instantaneous velocity as recorded by the instrument, $\overline{\mathbf{u}}(z)$ is the time mean of that velocity, and $\overline{\mathbf{u}_0}(t)$ is defined by the baroclinicity condition $\frac{1}{H} \int_{-H}^{0} \mathbf{u}'(z,t) dz = 0$. Here, the time-mean velocity is defined as the 5-day running mean (as in Zhao et al. 2010) to filter out mesoto submesoscale processes (at least below the surface mixed layer). Sensitivity on the length of the time window has been tested and found to be weak as the signals are further band-passed filtered in the semidiurnal waveband.

¹⁹⁴ The wave pressure, p'(z,t), is defined as

$$p'(z,t) = p_{\rm surf}(t) + \int_{z}^{0} \rho'(\hat{z},t) g \, d\hat{z}, \tag{9}$$

where p_{surf} is the surface pressure, g is the acceleration of gravity, and ρ' is the density perturbation associated with the wave. Although p_{surf} is not measured, p'(z,t) is constrained by the baroclinicity condition, $\frac{1}{H} \int_{-H}^{0} p'(z,t) dz = 0$. Formally, the density perturbation ρ' is defined as

$$\rho'(z,t) = \rho(z,t) - \overline{\rho}(z), \qquad (10)$$

where $\rho(z,t)$ is the instantaneously measured density and $\overline{\rho}(z)$ is the time-mean vertical density profile. Since the mooring is only equipped with thermistors, we cannot derive the density directly. As an alternative, $\rho'(z,t)$ is inferred from the vertical displacement of isopycnals $\xi(z,t)$ (approximated as isotherms here) relative to their mean position:

$$\rho'(z,t) = (\overline{\rho}(z)/g)\overline{N^2}(z)\xi(z,t), \tag{11}$$

where $\overline{N^2}(z) = -(g/\rho_0)\partial_z\overline{\rho}$ is the time-mean buoyancy frequency profile computed from WOA13 temperature and salinity interpolated to the mooring position. The linear relationship between ρ' and ξ is valid due to the slowly varying profile of time-mean density with depth (Desaubies and Gregg 1981). The vertical displacement of isopycnals, $\xi(z,t)$, is given by

$$\boldsymbol{\xi}(\boldsymbol{z},t) = T'(\boldsymbol{z},t)[\partial_{\boldsymbol{z}}\overline{T}(\boldsymbol{z})]^{-1}, \tag{12}$$

where $T'(z,t) = T(z,t) - \overline{T}(z)$ is the temperature anomaly relative to a 5-day running mean, and $\partial_z \overline{T}(z)$ is the time-mean vertical gradient of temperature (e.g., Alford 2003). We checked that $\partial_z \overline{T}(z)$ close to the bottom was bounded by a lower value (9 × 10⁻⁴ °C m⁻¹) representative of a stratified environment, and that would not lead to singularities in Eq. (12).

The variables \mathbf{u}' , p' and $\boldsymbol{\xi}$ are then filtered at the M₂ frequency, $\boldsymbol{\omega}$, using a band-pass fourthorder Butterworth filter in the bandwidth { $c^{-1}\boldsymbol{\omega},c\boldsymbol{\omega}$ } with c = 1.25 (Alford 2003; Alford and Zhao 2007a; Zhao et al. 2010). We ensured that at the mooring latitude the value of c does not lead to overlapping of the waveband with the near-inertial band { $c^{-1}f,cf$ }, where f is the Coriolis frequency. However, semidiurnal frequencies M₂ and S₂ are too close to be adequately resolved by a band-pass filtering method. The filtered variables thus contain both M₂ and S₂, and hence display spring-neap variability. Variables are next projected onto baroclinic modes. The baroclinic modes for vertical displacement $\Phi_n(z)$ (n > 0) are defined as the solutions of the eigenvalue problem

$$\frac{d^2 \Phi_n}{dz^2} + \frac{N^2(z)}{c_n^2} \Phi_n(z) = 0,$$
(13)

with boundary conditions $\Phi_n(0) = \Phi_n(-H) = 0$, where *n* is the mode number and c_n is its eigenspeed (Gill 1982), defined as

$$c_n = \frac{H}{n\pi} \int_{-H}^0 N(z) \,\mathrm{d}z.$$
 (14)

²²¹ The corresponding modes for pressure and horizontal velocity, $\Pi_n(z)$, are defined as

$$\Pi_n(z) = \rho_0 c_n^2 \frac{\mathrm{d}\Phi_n}{\mathrm{d}z}.$$
(15)

²²² The buoyancy frequency N(z), computed from WOA13, and the corresponding modes $\Pi_n(z)$ ²²³ for n = 1, ..., 10 are shown in Fig. 2. The modes as observed by the array of thermistors are ²²⁴ superimposed in red. Projection of variables onto these modes – e.g., for velocity, $\mathbf{u}'(z,t) =$ ²²⁵ $\sum_{n=0}^{10} \mathbf{u}'_n(t) \Pi_n(z)$ – uses a least-square fit method (Alford 2003; Nash et al. 2005; Zhao et al. 2010). ²²⁶ Combining \mathbf{u}' , p' and $\boldsymbol{\xi}$ (indices referring to modes are omitted in the following) allows compu-²²⁷ tation of the depth-integrated baroclinic kinetic (KE) and potential (PE) energy densities:

$$KE = \left\langle \frac{1}{2} \int_{-H}^{0} \rho \left(u'^2 + v'^2 \right) dz \right\rangle [J m^{-2}], \qquad (16)$$

228

$$PE = \left\langle \frac{1}{2} \int_{-H}^{0} \rho \left(N^2 \xi^2 \right) dz \right\rangle [J m^{-2}], \qquad (17)$$

 $_{229}$ as well as the horizontal energy flux **F**:

$$\mathbf{F} = \left\langle \int_{-H}^{0} \mathbf{u}' p' \, \mathrm{d}z \right\rangle \, [\mathrm{W} \, \mathrm{m}^{-1}]. \tag{18}$$

In Eqs. (16)-(18), $\langle \cdot \rangle$ denotes an average over a wave period (M₂ here).

²³¹ c. Barotropic tide energy loss using a tidal model

Following Egbert and Ray (2000), we compute the dissipation rate of the barotropic tide D as

$$D = W - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{P} \left[W \, \mathrm{m}^{-2} \right], \tag{19}$$

where *W* is the work done by the barotropic tide and **P** is the barotropic tide energy flux. **P** is defined as

$$\mathbf{P} = \rho_0 g \langle \mathbf{U} \boldsymbol{\zeta} \rangle, \tag{20}$$

where ζ is the tidal elevation and **U** is the barotropic tide volume transport, both extracted from TPXO. *W* is defined as

$$W = \rho_0 g \left\langle \mathbf{U} \cdot \nabla (\zeta_{\text{eq}} + \zeta_{\text{sal}}) \right\rangle, \tag{21}$$

where ζ_{eq} is the equilibrium tidal elevation and ζ_{sal} is the tidal elevation induced by the tide's self-attraction and loading (Ray 1998).

4. Structure of the semidiurnal internal tide

In this section, we use a range of measurements and a theoretical model to assess the lifecycle of internal tides over the MAR at the location of the RidgeMix mooring – from generation (section 4a) to propagation (section 4b) and dissipation (section 4c) – before offering a summary of this local perspective (section 4d).

a. Theoretical estimates of internal tide generation

Figure 3 illustrates the method used to estimate barotropic-to-baroclinic energy conversion (section 3a) at the specific mooring location. First, the method interpolates the barotropic tidal ellipse from TPXO at the point of interest and extracts topography from SRTM30_PLUS around it (Fig. 3a). Second, topography is rotated along the ellipse's axes (Fig. 3b) and its two-dimensional ²⁴⁹ power spectrum ϕ is computed (Fig. 3c). Third, ϕ is directionally weighted by tidal currents and ²⁵⁰ multiplied by a factor depending on the three frequencies of the system (f, ω and N_b) to give ²⁵¹ the vertical energy flux $E_f(K, \theta)$ [Eq. (2) and Fig. 3d]. Finally, E_f is azimuthally-averaged to ²⁵² get its distribution as a function of horizontal wavenumber (Fig. 3e) – or equivalently its modal ²⁵³ distribution [Eq. (7)]. Its cumulative sum eventually gives the total energy conversion (Fig. 3f). ²⁵⁴ Alternatively, E_f can be integrated in the wavenumber direction to get its azimuthal dependence ²⁵⁵ (Fig. 3g).

The model predicts an energy conversion at the mooring site that spans a wide range of equiv-256 alent horizontal wavenumbers, noticeably exhibiting a plateau between modes 1 and 5 and then 257 gradually decreasing (Fig. 3e). Indeed, the rough topography of the MAR varies strongly on a 258 wide range of scales, down to abyssal hill scales of O(1) km (Goff 1991). As a consequence, 259 high-mode internal tides are expected to be radiated, as observed (St Laurent and Nash 2004) and 260 modeled (Zilberman et al. 2009) on the flanks of the MAR in the Brazil Basin. Superimposed on 261 the theoretical model estimates is the spectrum of mooring-derived horizontal energy flux, con-262 verted to a vertical flux by multiplying by α , the wave characteristic slope, and dividing by the 263 water depth. The energy flux is cut at mode 35, which is in theory the highest mode that can be 264 resolved with 36 independent thermistors.⁴ It shows a good agreement with the theoretical model 265 for modes higher than 5, but overestimates energy fluxes in modes 1-4 (Fig. 3e). Nonetheless, we 266 do not expect a perfect match, as the mooring detects fluxes from remote sources – most likely 267 propagating low modes - that are not taken into account in the model. The total vertical energy flux 268 is 4.5 mW m⁻² in the model, and 9.1 mW m⁻² in the mooring data. A factor-of-two discrepancy 269 is also found by St Laurent and Nash (2004). This may also relate to the model's failure to take 270

⁴This assumes that the spacing between thermistors is perfectly designed to capture the vertical structure of high modes (Fig. 2), which may not be the case for the highest modes.

²⁷¹ account of the sub-tidal circulation, which could introduce variability in internal tide generation ²⁷² (Kerry et al. 2014).

The model predicts the direction of the flux modulo 180° (Fig. 3g). The two preferential directions are almost perpendicular to the tidal ellipse's major axis ($\theta = 0$) and coincides roughly with the cross-fracture zone direction (Fig. 3a). The mooring-derived flux is mainly to the southeast and roughly fits in the prediction of the model (Fig. 3g). Again, we do not expect a perfect match since the model is local and can not take into account remote modulation of the flux.

²⁷⁸ b. Internal tide properties from high-resolution mooring data

279 1) ENERGY DENSITY AND ENERGY FLUX

Time series of energy density, E = KE + PE, and horizontal energy flux, F = ||F||, in modes 280 1-10 are shown in Figs. 4d,e. The energy density is mostly contained in mode 1, and gradually 281 decreases with increasing mode number (Fig. 4d). The horizontal energy flux is, on the other hand, 282 overwhelmed by mode 1, which is almost indistinguishable from the total energy flux (Fig. 4e). 283 This picture is consistent with open-ocean mooring estimates of energy density and energy fluxes 284 from the Internal Waves Across the Pacific experiment (IWAP, Zhao et al. 2010). Indeed, on 285 the one hand, the wave velocity \mathbf{u}' and displacement ξ' project qualitatively onto a few modes, 286 between 1 and 10 (not shown). On the other hand, the wave pressure p' results from the vertical 287 integration of ξ' , and is hence smoother, thus is dominated by low modes. As a consequence, the 288 kinetic [Eq. (16), Fig. 4b] and potential energy [Eq. (17), Fig. 4c] computed from \mathbf{u}' and $\boldsymbol{\xi}'$ have 289 some contributions from modes 1-10. In contrast, the horizontal energy flux [Eq. (18), Fig. 4e] 290 computed from \mathbf{u}' and p' is strongly dominated by mode 1. The time-mean and standard deviation 291 of E and F as a function of mode confirm this distribution (Figs. 5a,b and Table 1). The mode-1 292 energy flux accounts for 83% of the energy flux of modes 1-10. However, mode-1 energy density 293

accounts for only 45% of the energy density of modes 1-10. Our basic interpretation is that,
although the bulk of – potentially – propagating energy is in mode 1, mode 2 and above (modes
higher than 10 are partially captured by the mooring) contain at least 55% of the energy ultimately
available for local mixing.

The robustness and steadiness of mode-1 flux compared to higher modes is also demonstrated 298 by the time series of their direction (Fig. 4f). The mode-1 flux is always directed between east 299 and south directions and varies slowly, likely influenced by the surrounding mesoscale eddy field 300 (Rainville and Pinkel 2006; Dunphy et al. 2017). On the other hand, the mode-2 and -3 flux di-301 rections vary through all azimuths on daily time scales. A similar variability is found for modes 302 greater than 3 (not shown). This short time scale variability might be attributed to interferences 303 between waves arising from different sources, reflection and scattering (e.g., Zaron and Egbert 304 2014). Two-dimensional histograms of modal horizontal energy fluxes further confirm the multi-305 directional nature of fluxes for modes greater than 1 (Fig. 6). This high directional variability is 306 probably linked to the multiple sources of internal tides on the MAR around the mooring. The 307 recent comparison of mode-1 and -2 horizontal energy fluxes from a high-resolution numerical 308 model and historical moorings further demonstrates a poorer correlation and a higher variability 309 in mode-2 fluxes compared to mode-1 fluxes (Ansong et al. 2017). 310

311 2) GROUP VELOCITY

Following the method of Alford et al. (2006) and Alford and Zhao (2007b), we compute the group velocity of each mode from mooring estimates of energy density and horizontal energy flux $c_g^m = F/E$. The method exploits the strong correlation between E and F (scatter plots in Figs. 7a,c). Briefly, the mean energy and standard deviation are first estimated in each energy-flux bin (we chose 10 evenly-spaced bins between extreme flux values). The slope, i.e. c_g^m , and its 95% ³¹⁷ confidence interval are then computed by linear regression. Probability density functions (PDFs) ³¹⁸ of the populations of F/E also give an overview of the distribution (Figs. 7b,d). Estimates of c_g^m ³¹⁹ are compared to theoretical values of group velocity for freely propagating waves:

$$c_g = c_n \frac{(\omega^2 - f^2)^{1/2}}{\omega},$$
 (22)

where c_n is the mode-*n* eigenspeed [Eq. (14)]. Alford and Zhao (2007b) also developed a simple model for the perceived group velocity of a standing wave resulting from the interaction of two waves propagating in the opposite direction (see also Nash et al. 2004). This perceived group speed c_g^s is a spatial modulation of c_g ,

$$c_g^s = \frac{2\omega f \sin(2kx)}{\omega^2 - f^2 \cos(2kx)} c_g,$$
(23)

where *k* is the wavenumber in the *x* direction (see Appendix in Alford and Zhao 2007b). In the following, c_g^s refers to the mean group velocity over one wavelength.

The estimated mode-1 group velocity $(1.09 \pm 0.10 \text{ m s}^{-1})$ agrees particularly well with the group velocity of a standing wave (1.08 m s^{-1}) , Fig. 7a and Table 1). Interestingly, the peaks of the bimodal-like shape of the PDF of F/E coincide with c_g and c_g^s (Fig. 7b). This suggests that, although the mode-1 wave is most of the time consistent with a standing wave, specific events are more compatible with a propagating wave.

The mode-2 group velocity shows a different picture, being inconsistent with both propagating and standing wave velocities (Fig. 7c). Estimates of c_g^m are smaller than c_g and c_g^s by 48% and 37%, respectively. Such discrepancies in mode-2 group velocities with theoretical estimates are also reported over the MAR in Alford and Zhao (2007b). They attribute this slow apparent propagation to the multidirectional fluxes – observed for modes greater than or equal to 2 here (Figs. 6b-f) – that decohere the waves. We applied the same technique to modes 1-10 and report the estimated group velocity with their 95% confidence interval in Fig. 8. Apart from mode 4, which is more consistent with a propagating wave, all modes are either more compatible with standing waves or have even smaller group velocities than expected from a standing wave. Modes greater than 8 have very small group velocity due to vanishing fluxes, and their velocities thus gradually depart from theoretical values.

342 3) SPRING-NEAP CYCLE

The energy density and horizontal energy flux both display a remarkable spring-neap cycle, mostly dominated by mode 1 (Figs. 4d,e). This spring-neap cycle is obviously related to the astronomical forcing, as seen in barotropic kinetic energy $KE_{bt} = \int_{-H}^{0} \frac{1}{2}\rho ||\mathbf{u}||^2 dz$ (Fig. 4a). Time series of KE_{bt} from the mooring shows a good agreement with a synthetic estimate from the combination of M₂ and S₂ computed from TPXO (red line in Fig. 4a). Major peaks at the end of September and October might be associated with other long-term astronomical forcing frequencies that amplify the semidiurnal signal.

The time lag between KE_{bt} and E is estimated in lag-correlating time series, prior band-passed 350 filtered at the spring-neap cycle (Alford and Zhao 2007a). The maximum correlation is 0.69 and is 351 reached for a 3.4-day lag. We conjecture that the spring-neap variability – mostly seen in mode 1 – 352 is triggered by remotely generated mode-1 internal tides that propagate up to the mooring site. In 353 order to track down the origin of these waves, we make use of the Zhao et al. (2016) data set, which 354 decomposes the internal tide properties (SSH and horizontal energy fluxes) into their northbound 355 and southbound components (Figs. 9a,b). Notice that this data set contains only M₂ internal tides 356 whereas the mooring analysis contains all semidiurnal constituents. However, we checked that 357 the M₂ surface-tide kinetic energy dominates over other semidiurnal constituents by an order of 358 magnitude regionally (not shown), so we expect M_2 to also dominate the internal-wave field. The 359

³⁶⁰ Zhao et al. (2016) data set reveals that the Atlantis Meteor Seamount Complex [green contours in ³⁶¹ Figs. 9a,b; see also Fig. 1 in Searle (1987) for a wider geographical setting] is a regional hotspot ³⁶² for mode-1 internal tide generation. In particular, a northbound beam emanates from the Hyères ³⁶³ Seamount (31.3°N,28.9°W; green star in Fig. 9a) and points toward the mooring site, following ³⁶⁴ the orange line in Fig. 9a. SSH interpolated along this line shows a clear oscillating signal with a ³⁶⁵ decreasing amplitude along the path (Fig. 9c). The travelling time t(x), as a function of distance ³⁶⁶ from the source *x*, for this semidiurnal mode-1 internal tide is estimated as

$$t(x) = \int_{x_s}^x \frac{x'}{c_g(x')} \,\mathrm{d}x',$$
(24)

where x_s is the seamount coordinate and c_g is the mode-1 group velocity defined in Eq. (22). 367 Figure 9d shows the spatial variability of c_g – mostly depending on the bathymetry (Fig. 9c) – and 368 the travelling time throughout the propagation. In theory, the internal tide reaches the mooring 369 site in \sim 4 days, which is comparable to the 3.4-day lag between the astronomical forcing and the 370 oceanic response. As such, the internal tide generated at the Hyères Seamount is a good candidate 371 to explain the spring-neap modulation of energy density and horizontal energy flux measured at the 372 mooring site. Notice that its energy flux is roughly in the opposite direction to the flux diagnosed 373 at the mooring site. Hence, the superposition of the two waves is coherent with the diagnosed 374 standing group velocity at the mooring site. 375

376 c. Local dissipation from microstructure measurements

Two 25-h stations with continous tethered-VMP deployments were carried out in the vicinity of the mooring site during spring and neap tides (section 2a). Mean profiles of the turbulent dissipation rate, ε , and the PDF of log(ε) for both series of casts are shown in Fig. 10. There is evidence for intensified dissipation during spring tide, as highlighted by the spring-tide PDF of log(ε) be³⁸¹ ing skewed towards higher values compared to the neap-tide PDF (Fig. 10b). Vertical profiles of ³⁸² ε also reveal a higher spring-time dissipation at almost all depths with enhanced differences in the ³⁸³ bottom-most 500 m (Fig. 10a). In this depth range, ε reaches 10^{-9} W kg⁻¹, as routinely observed ³⁸⁴ over rough topography of the world's oceans (Kunze 2017). Notice that the tethered VMP could ³⁸⁵ not dive deeper than ~ 400 m above the seafloor (~ 2200 m) due to wire length limitations, and ³⁸⁶ we expect dissipation to further increase with depth in excess of 1800 m.

The depth-integrated dissipation ε_z [Eq. (1)] is 1.3 ± 1.1 mW m⁻² during spring tide, and $0.7 \pm$ 387 $0.4 \text{ mW} \text{ m}^{-2}$ during neap tide. A similar factor-of-two difference between spring- and neap-tide 388 dissipation has been observed on the Hawaiian Ridge (Klymak et al. 2006). Notice that ε_z is likely 389 to be underestimated due to undersampling of the water column. Nonetheless, these high levels of 390 dissipation may be due to the enhanced local generation of high-mode internal tides that carry most 391 of the shear variance (Fig. 5c) and are prone to rapid breaking close to their generation site (in a 392 similar fashion as on the Oregon continental slope, Nash et al. 2007). In addition, the spring-neap 393 modulation and bottom intensification of dissipation suggests that the elevated turbulence may be 394 triggered by a direct breaking of high-mode internal tides (Klymak et al. 2008). Note, however, 395 that we are unable to verify that the spring-neap component of dissipation is phase-locked with 396 astronomical forcing. 397

³⁹⁸ *d.* Summary of the local perspective

In summary, the high-resolution mooring data provide us with a detailed insight into internal tide dynamics on the northern MAR. The horizontal energy flux is highly dominated by mode 1 (0.83 kW m^{-1}) , and is rather steady in direction. Its intensity displays a strong spring-neap cycle lagging by 3.4 days from the astronomical forcing, hence pointing to a modulation by remote sources. The Hyères Seamount – a hotspot of mode-1 internal tide generation of the Atlantis Meteor Seamount Complex – is a very likely candidate as it radiates an internal-tide beam towards
 the mooring site, whose travelling time is close to the spring-neap cycle lag to the astronomical
 forcing.

The horizontal energy fluxes associated with modes 2-10 are very weak (<0.07 kW m⁻¹) and 407 vary strongly in direction, likely due to the interactions of waves generated by numerous, dis-408 tributed sources on the MAR. In turn, the energy density is more widely partitioned between 409 modes, with mode 1 accounting for a smaller fraction of the total energy density than the sum of 410 modes 2-10 (0.84 vs 0.95 kJ m⁻²). Examination of the propagation velocity revealed that most 411 of the modes are compatible with standing waves. This implies that internal-tide energy is likely 412 to remain concentrated over the MAR, and thereby become ultimately available for near-local tur-413 bulent mixing. In line with this result, microstructure measurements performed at the mooring 414 site reveal elevated and bottom-intensified turbulent energy dissipation. The energy conversion 415 model further confirms that high modes are expected to be generated. The model possibly under-416 estimates conversion into low modes – although low modes diagnosed from mooring data may 417 originate from remote sources (Figs. 9a,b) – but its agreement with mooring-derived fluxes for 418 modes greater than 3 is remarkable. 419

5. Regional perspective

In order to get a broader view of internal tide dynamics over the northern MAR, we performed a regional energy budget using different data sources. The barotropic tide energy loss and internal dissipation should be equal in the absence of energy transport by internal tides. However, lowmode internal tides play a role in redistributing energy. In addition, energy entering low modes does not dissipate locally. In the following, the barotropic tide energy loss, *D*, is estimated via a tidal model (section 3c); the tidal barotropic-to-baroclinic conversion, E_f , is estimated via a ⁴²⁷ two-dimensional spectral model (section 3a); and the conversion to mode-1 internal tide is also ⁴²⁸ estimated via satellite altimetry $(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{F}_s^1)^+$ (section 2b and Zhao et al. 2016). For this exercise, ⁴²⁹ we extended the conversion model to a regional domain spanning from 22°N (southern edge of ⁴³⁰ the RidgeMix cruise) to 42°N, slightly north of the Azores. Using global data sets described in ⁴³¹ section 2b, we computed E_f on a regular 1/4° grid.

The regional distribution of the total energy conversion, E_f^t , the mode-1 energy conversion, E_f^1 , 432 the energy conversion into modes ≥ 2 , $E_f^{2-\infty}$, the barotropic tide energy loss, D and the satellite-433 estimate of mode-1 energy conversion, $(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{F}_s^1)^+$, are shown in Figs. 11a-e. The highest levels of 434 conversion (>10 mW m⁻²) are mostly found at depths shallower than 2000 m near the Azores and 435 the Atlantis Meteor Seamount Complex. This is due to strong barotropic currents and increased 436 bottom stratification associated with shallower depths. The regions of strong barotropic tide en-437 ergy loss are collocated with these areas, although they are more spatially widespread around the 438 Atlantis Meteor Seamount Complex. Other hotspots of conversion (>5 mW m⁻²) are found on 439 the edge of the MAR. This is where topography is roughest, thus contributing to a rich energy 440 conversion through a broad range of scales as highlighted in section 4. 441

The energy conversion into mode 1 agrees well between the two independent estimates 442 (Figs. 11b,e). As shown above, the Atlantis Meteor Seamount Complex is the main source of 443 mode-1 internal tides (see Figs. 9a,b). Another hotspot is the Azores Islands, which the satel-444 lite product misses likely due to the proximity of land. Importantly, both products concur on a 445 very low mode-1 conversion at the MAR ($<1 \text{ mW m}^{-2}$). In contrast, strong generation of modes 446 \geq 2 occurs on the MAR, and accounts for most of the energy conversion (compare Figs. 11a and 447 11c). Using a different method for estimating energy conversion into normal modes, Falahat et al. 448 (2014b) demonstrate a qualitatively similar distribution (their Fig. 6). 449

All quantities are further summed over the hatch-free area in Figs. 11a-e, where the ocean depth 450 lies between 200 and 4000 m. This area isolates the MAR and does not include the Azores plateau, 451 where the assumption of small tidal excursion is likely to be violated. Two robust conclusions can 452 be drawn from this budget (Fig. 11f). First, the close agreement between D (16.4 GW) and E_f^t 453 (13.7 GW) confirms previous assumptions that most of the energy dissipated by the barotropic tide 454 in the deep ocean is converted into internal tides -84% here - and not dissipated by bottom friction 455 like on continental shelves (e.g., Egbert and Ray 2000). Second, more specific to the northern 456 MAR, energy conversion into mode 1 only accounts for 9% (1.2 GW) of the total conversion 457 (7% of the barotropic tide energy loss) and higher modes thus represent the bulk of the energy 458 conversion (12.4 GW, 81%). The satellite product confirms the modest contribution of mode 1 459 (1.7 GW). 460

As a point of comparison, the Hawaiian Ridge system dissipates 20 GW of barotropic tidal 461 energy (Egbert and Ray 2001), of which 6 GW (30%) is converted into mode 1 (Merrifield and 462 Holloway 2002). The difference between the distribution of energy stems from the different to-463 pographic shapes of the two ridge systems. The Hawaiian Ridge has abrupt flanks that generate 464 intense mode-1 tides, which may propagate far away from the ridge (Zhao et al. 2010). In contrast, 465 the MAR has a wider rift valley (in the fracture zone direction, the direction perpendicular to the 466 ridge edge) and hosts taller and steeper abyssal hills due to its slow spreading rate (Goff 1991). 467 The latter are known to generate high-mode internal tides (Melet et al. 2013; Lefauve et al. 2015; 468 Timko et al. 2017) that are prone to rapid breaking. 469

The VMP data allow us to gain some insight into the distribution in turbulent dissipation levels across and beyond the MAR (Fig. 12). The most notable feature is the strong on- vs. off-ridge contrast, with increased dissipation occuring above the rough topography of the MAR [as also evidenced in the Brazil Basin by Polzin et al. (1997) and Ledwell et al. (2000)]. Point-wise dissi⁴⁷⁴ pation rate is often $\geq 10^{-9}$ W kg⁻¹ over the ridge, and decays to $O(10^{-11} - 10^{-10})$ W kg⁻¹ off ⁴⁷⁵ the ridge (Fig. 12b). The vertical distribution of ε is beyond the scope of this study, and we focus ⁴⁷⁶ on the depth-integrated dissipation ε_z from 50 m (to exclude turbulence related to mixed-layer ⁴⁷⁷ processes) to the seafloor [Eq. (1) and Fig. 12a]. ε_z and the local energy conversion, E_f^t , exhibit ⁴⁷⁸ similar patterns, attaining maximum values on the ridge and minimum rates off the ridge. Note ⁴⁷⁹ that ε_z is smaller than E_f^t everywhere, which is expected since a fraction of energy may radiate ⁴⁸⁰ away.

The fraction of the local dissipation to the total energy conversion, $q = \varepsilon_z / E_f^t$, enters current 481 parameterizations (i.e., St Laurent et al. 2002) for diapycnal mixing - tightly coupled to internal 482 wave breaking – in general circulation models. Its value is often assumed constant and set to 0.3, 483 although there is compelling evidence for strong geographical heterogeneity (q has been reported 484 to vary from 0.05 to 0.60, see the review in MacKinnon et al. 2017). As the ocean stratification 485 and the global overturning circulation are highly sensitive to diapycnal mixing (Mashayek et al. 486 2015; de Lavergne et al. 2016), understanding the physics underpinning the regional variability in 487 q is important (MacKinnon et al. 2017). Here, a regional estimate of q on the northern MAR is 488 0.49 ± 0.35 (mean and std dev) for the 9 stations on the ridge (yellow dots in Fig. 12-inset map). 489 Notice that this estimate applies to the top of the MAR and takes into account E_f^t computed on 490 a $1/4^{\circ}$ grid, thus it is tight to a length scale of roughly 25 km. Our regional q is considerably 491 higher than the 8-25% estimated in Hawaii (Klymak et al. 2006), consistent with an enhanced 492 generation of high-mode internal tides on the MAR. However, this estimate of q must be inter-493 preted cautiously, due to the relatively modest number of dissipation measurements and the their 494 poorly constrained representativeness – namely linked to the spring-neap variability of dissipation 495 (section 4c). Additional measurements with a greater spatio-temporal coverage would be needed 496 to refine this estimate. 497

498 6. Conclusions

A multi-source analysis of the lifecycle of semidiurnal internal tides on the MAR sector south of the Azores has been conducted. The main conclusions are:

Mooring data on top of the MAR reveal that the internal tide horizontal energy flux is domi nated by mode 1, which is steady in intensity and direction (to the south-east). The mode-1
 horizontal energy flux undergoes a strong spring-neap cycle that likely stems from interaction
 with remotely generated internal tides. Energy fluxes for modes greater than 1 are extremely
 variable in intensity and direction, probably due to interactions with ubiquitous, distributed
 sources on the MAR.

Energy density is more widely distributed among the modes. Specifically, modes 2-10 contain
 more energy than mode 1 alone. High-mode generation is supported by spectral estimates of
 energy conversion.

3. Estimates of modal group velocity indicate that most modes are compatible with standing
 internal waves. Given conclusion 2, this implies that energy is concentrated above the MAR
 and ultimately dissipates locally. This is supported by the strong energy dissipation inferred
 from microstructure measurements.

4. A simplified regional energy budget outlines qualitative differences with the well-studied Hawaiian Ridge system (Merrifield and Holloway 2002; Klymak et al. 2006), which dissipates a similar amount of semidiurnal barotropic tide energy (16 GW over the MAR vs. 20 GW around Hawaii). Namely, only 9% (vs. 30% in Hawaii) of the energy is converted into mode 1, the only mode that may radiate energy away. Consistently, the fraction of energy locally dissipated is higher over the MAR, $q = 0.49 \pm 0.35$ vs. 0.08 - 0.25 in Hawaii (Klymak et al. 2006). This measure is, however, rather uncertain given the modest number of direct dissipation measurements. Note that these results are in line with differences in internal tide characteristics between the two systems highlighted in St Laurent and Nash (2004). Falahat et al. (2014a) also found a higher q in the Atlantic Ocean than in the Pacific Ocean. They attribute this difference to the extended sharp topography of the MAR as compared to the knife-edge shapes of the Hawaiian Ridge and isolated seamounts in the Pacific Ocean.

⁵²⁶ A final perspective of this work is provided by the regional validation of the spectral estimate of ⁵²⁷ energy conversion, which can be extended globally. This model is, by construction, more accurate ⁵²⁸ than parameterizations (e.g., Nycander 2005; Green and Nycander 2013, and references therein) ⁵²⁹ and gives additional information on the modal content and direction of the internal tide energy ⁵³⁰ flux.

RidgeMix is supported by the U.K. Natural Environment Research Council Acknowledgments. 531 through grant NE/L004216/1. We are grateful to the technicians, officers and crew of RRS James 532 Clark Ross for their invaluable role in data collection. ACNG further acknowledges the support 533 of the Royal Society and the Wolfson Foundation. ZZ was supported by NASA Ocean Surface 534 Topography Science Team (OSTST) award NNX17AH57G. The regional computation of energy 535 conversion uses Python's *mpi4py* message passing interface library (Dalcin et al. 2008, 2011), 536 http://pythonhosted.org/mpi4py/mpi4py.pdf. The code is available on request. Discussions with 537 Christian Buckingham and Rob Hall are greatly appreciated. We appreciate the criticism of two 538 reviewers that helped to improve the quality of the manuscript. 539

540 **References**

⁵⁴¹ Alford, M. H., 2003: Redistribution of energy available for ocean mixing by long-range propaga ⁵⁴² tion of internal waves. *Nature*, **423** (**6936**), 159–162, doi:10.1038/nature01628.

27

- Alford, M. H., M. C. Gregg, and M. A. Merrifield, 2006: Structure, propagation, and mixing of 543 energetic baroclinic tides in Mamala Bay, Oahu, Hawaii. J. Phys. Oceanogr., 36 (6), 997–1018, 544 doi:10.1175/JPO2877.1. 545
- Alford, M. H., and Z. Zhao, 2007a: Global patterns of low-mode internal-wave propagation. Part 546
- I: Energy and energy flux. J. Phys. Oceanogr., 37 (7), 1829–1848, doi:10.1175/JPO3085.1. 547
- Alford, M. H., and Z. Zhao, 2007b: Global patterns of low-mode internal-wave propagation. Part 548 II: Group velocity. J. Phys. Oceanogr., 37 (7), 1849–1858, doi:10.1175/JPO3086.1. 549
- Ansong, J. K., and Coauthors, 2017: Semidiurnal internal tide energy fluxes and their variability in 550
- a Global Ocean Model and moored observations. J. Geophys. Res. Oceans, 122 (3), 1882-1900, 551 doi:10.1002/2016JC012184. 552
- Armi, L., 1979: Effects of variations in eddy diffusivity on property distributions in the oceans. J. 553 *Mar. Res.*, **37** (**3**). 554
- Baines, P. G., 1998: Topographic effects in stratified flows. Cambridge Univ. Press, 482 pp. 555
- Becker, J., and Coauthors, 2009: Global bathymetry and elevation data at 30 arc seconds resolu-556 tion: SRTM30_PLUS. Marine Geodesy, 32 (4), 355–371, doi:10.1080/01490410903297766. 557
- Bell, T., 1975a: Lee waves in stratified flows with simple harmonic time dependence. J. Fluid 558 Mech., 67 (04), 705–722, doi:10.1017/S0022112075000560. 559
- Bell, T., 1975b: Topographically generated internal waves in the open ocean. J. Geophys. Res., 560 **80 (3)**, 320–327. 561
- Dalcin, L., R. Paz, M. Storti, and J. D'Elia, 2008: MPI for Python: Performance improvements 562 and MPI-2 extensions. Journal of Parallel and Distributed Computing, 68 (5), 655–662, doi: 563 10.1016/j.jpdc.2007.09.005.

564

- ⁵⁶⁵ Dalcin, L. D., R. R. Paz, P. A. Kler, and A. Cosimo, 2011: Parallel distributed computing using
 ⁵⁶⁶ Python. *Advances in Water Resources*, **34** (9), 1124–1139, doi:10.1016/j.advwatres.2011.04.
 ⁵⁶⁷ 013.
- de Lavergne, C., G. Madec, J. Le Sommer, A. G. Nurser, and A. C. Naveira Garabato, 2016: The
 impact of a variable mixing efficiency on the abyssal overturning. *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*, 46 (2),
 663–681, doi:10.1175/JPO-D-14-0259.1.
- ⁵⁷¹ Desaubies, Y., and M. Gregg, 1981: Reversible and irreversible finestructure. J. Phys. Oceanogr.,
 ⁵⁷² **11** (4), 541–556.
- ⁵⁷³ Dunphy, M., A. L. Ponte, P. Klein, and S. Le Gentil, 2017: Low-mode internal tide propagation in ⁵⁷⁴ a turbulent eddy field. *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*, **47** (**3**), 649–665, doi:10.1175/JPO-D-16-0099.1.
- ⁵⁷⁵ Egbert, G., and R. Ray, 2000: Significant dissipation of tidal energy in the deep ocean inferred
 ⁵⁷⁶ from satellite altimeter data. *Nature*, **405** (**6788**), 775–778, doi:10.1038/35015531.
- Egbert, G. D., and S. Y. Erofeeva, 2002: Efficient inverse modeling of barotropic ocean tides. J.
 Atmos. Ocean. Technol., 19 (2), 183–204, doi:10.1175/1520-0426(2002)019(0183:EIMOBO)
 2.0.CO;2.
- Egbert, G. D., and R. D. Ray, 2001: Estimates of M2 tidal energy dissipation from TOPEX/Poseidon altimeter data. *J. Geophys. Res.*, **106** (C10), 22475–22502, doi:10.1029/ 2000JC000699.
- Falahat, S., J. Nycander, F. Roquet, A. M. Thurnherr, and T. Hibiya, 2014a: Comparison of calculated energy flux of internal tides with microstructure measurements. *Tellus A*, 66 (1), 23 240,
 doi:10.3402/tellusa.v66.23240.

- Falahat, S., J. Nycander, F. Roquet, and M. Zarroug, 2014b: Global calculation of tidal energy
 conversion into vertical normal modes. *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*, 44 (12), 3225–3244, doi:10.1175/
 JPO-D-14-0002.1.
- Garrett, C., and E. Kunze, 2007: Internal tide generation in the deep ocean. Annu. Rev. Fluid
 Mech., 39, 57–87, doi:10.1146/annurev.fluid.39.050905.110227.
- ⁵⁹¹ Gill, A. E., 1982: *Atmosphere-ocean dynamics*. Academic Press, 662 pp.
- ⁵⁹² Goff, J. A., 1991: A global and regional stochastic analysis of near-ridge abyssal hill morphology.
 ⁵⁹³ J. Geophys. Res., 96 (B13), 21713–21737.
- Green, J. M., and J. Nycander, 2013: A comparison of tidal conversion parameterizations for tidal models. *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*, **43** (1), 104–119, doi:10.1175/JPO-D-12-023.1.
- Jayne, S. R., and L. C. St Laurent, 2001: Parameterizing tidal dissipation over rough topography. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **28** (**5**), 811–814, doi:10.1029/2000GL012044.
- Kerry, C. G., B. S. Powell, and G. S. Carter, 2014: The impact of subtidal circulation on internal tide-induced mixing in the Philippine Sea. *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*, 44 (12), 3209–3224, doi:10.
 1175/JPO-D-13-0249.1.
- Klymak, J. M., R. Pinkel, and L. Rainville, 2008: Direct breaking of the internal tide near topogra-
- ⁶⁰² phy: Kaena Ridge, Hawaii. J. Phys. Oceanogr., **38** (**2**), 380–399, doi:10.1175/2007JPO3728.1.
- Klymak, J. M., and Coauthors, 2006: An estimate of tidal energy lost to turbulence at the Hawaiian
 Ridge. J. Phys. Oceanogr., 36 (6), 1148–1164, doi:10.1175/JPO2885.1.
- Kunze, E., 2017: Internal-wave-driven mixing: Global geography and budgets. J. Phys. Oceanogr.,
 in press, doi:10.1175/JPO-D-16-0141.1.

- Ledwell, J., E. Montgomery, K. Polzin, L. St Laurent, R. Schmitt, and J. Toole, 2000: Evidence
 for enhanced mixing over rough topography in the abyssal ocean. *Nature*, 403 (6766), 179–182,
 doi:10.1038/35003164.
- ⁶¹⁰ Lefauve, A., C. Muller, and A. Melet, 2015: A three-dimensional map of tidal dissipation over ⁶¹¹ abyssal hills. *J. Geophys. Res. Oceans*, **120** (**7**), 4760–4777, doi:10.1002/2014JC010598.
- ⁶¹² Llewellyn Smith, S. G., and W. Young, 2002: Conversion of the barotropic tide. *J. Phys.* ⁶¹³ *Oceanogr.*, **32** (5), 1554–1566, doi:10.1175/1520-0485(2002)032(1554:COTBT)2.0.CO;2.
- Locarnini, R., and Coauthors, 2013: World Ocean Atlas 2013, Volume 1: Temperature. *NOAA Atlas NESDIS*, **73**, 40, URL http://www.nodc.noaa.gov/OC5/indprod.htm.
- ⁶¹⁶ Lueck, R. G., and T. D. Mudge, 1997: Topographically induced mixing around a shallow ⁶¹⁷ seamount. *Science*, **276** (**5320**), 1831–1833.
- ⁶¹⁸ MacKinnon, J. A., and Coauthors, 2017: Climate process team on internal-wave driven ocean ⁶¹⁹ mixing. *Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc.*, **in press**, doi:10.1175/BAMS-D-16-0030.1.
- Mashayek, A., R. Ferrari, M. Nikurashin, and W. Peltier, 2015: Influence of enhanced abyssal
 diapycnal mixing on stratification and the ocean overturning circulation. *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*,
 45 (10), 2580–2597, doi:10.1175/JPO-D-15-0039.1.
- Melet, A., M. Nikurashin, C. Muller, S. Falahat, J. Nycander, P. G. Timko, B. K. Arbic, and J. A.
 Goff, 2013: Internal tide generation by abyssal hills using analytical theory. *J. Geophys. Res. Oceans*, **118** (**11**), 6303–6318, doi:10.1002/2013JC009212.
- Merrifield, M. A., and P. E. Holloway, 2002: Model estimates of M2 internal tide energetics at the
- Hawaiian Ridge. J. Geophys. Res., **107** (C8), doi:10.1029/2001JC000996.

- Munk, W., and C. Wunsch, 1998: Abyssal recipes II: energetics of tidal and wind mixing. *Deep Sea Res. Part I*, **45** (**12**), 1977–2010.
- Nash, J., M. Alford, E. Kunze, K. Martini, and S. Kelly, 2007: Hotspots of deep ocean mixing on
 the Oregon continental slope. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 34 (1), doi:10.1029/2006GL028170.
- Nash, J. D., M. H. Alford, and E. Kunze, 2005: Estimating internal wave energy fluxes in the
 ocean. J. Atmos. Oceanic Technol., 22 (10), 1551–1570, doi:10.1175/JTECH1784.1.
- Nash, J. D., E. Kunze, J. M. Toole, and R. W. Schmitt, 2004: Internal tide reflection and turbulent mixing on the continental slope. *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*, **34** (**5**), 1117–1134, doi:10.1175/ 1520-0485(2004)034 \langle 1117:ITRATM \rangle 2.0.CO;2.
- ⁶³⁷ Nycander, J., 2005: Generation of internal waves in the deep ocean by tides. *J. Geophys. Res.*,
 ⁶³⁸ **110** (C10), doi:10.1029/2004JC002487.
- Oakey, N., 1982: Determination of the rate of dissipation of turbulent energy from simultaneous
 temperature and velocity shear microstructure measurements. *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*, **12 (3)**, 256–
 271.
- Polzin, K., J. Toole, J. Ledwell, and R. Schmitt, 1997: Spatial variability of turbulent mixing in
 the abyssal ocean. *Science*, 276 (5309), 93–96, doi:10.1126/science.276.5309.93.
- Rainville, L., and R. Pinkel, 2006: Propagation of low-mode internal waves through the ocean. J.
 Phys. Oceanogr., 36 (6), 1220–1236, doi:10.1175/JPO2889.1.
- Ray, R., 1998: Ocean self-attraction and loading in numerical tidal models. *Marine Geodesy*,
 21 (3), 181–192.
- Rudnick, D. L., and Coauthors, 2003: From tides to mixing along the Hawaiian Ridge. *Science*,
 301 (5631), 355–357, doi:10.1126/science.1085837.

- ⁶⁵⁰ Searle, R., 1987: Regional setting and geophysical characterization of the Great Meteor East area
 ⁶⁵¹ in the Madeira Abyssal Plain. *Geological Society, London, Special Publications*, **31** (1), 49–70.
- Sharples, J., 2016: Cruise Report RRS James Clark Ross JR15-007 (RidgeMix). Tech. rep., Uni versity of Liverpool, UK, 104 pp.
- Smith, W., and D. Sandwell, 1997: Global sea floor topography from satellite altimetry and ship
 depth soundings. *Science*, 277 (5334), 1956–1962, doi:10.1126/science.277.5334.1956.
- ⁶⁵⁶ St. Laurent, L., and C. Garrett, 2002: The role of internal tides in mixing the deep ocean. J. Phys.
- 657 Oceanogr., **32** (**10**), 2882–2899, doi:10.1175/1520-0485(2002)032(2882:TROITI)2.0.CO;2.
- St Laurent, L., and J. Nash, 2004: An examination of the radiative and dissipative properties of
 deep ocean internal tides. *Deep Sea Res. II*, **51** (**25**), 3029–3042, doi:10.1016/j.dsr2.2004.09.
 008.
- ⁶⁶¹ St Laurent, L., H. Simmons, and S. Jayne, 2002: Estimating tidally driven mixing in the deep ⁶⁶² ocean. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **29 (23)**, doi:10.1029/2002GL015633.
- Timko, P. G., B. K. Arbic, J. A. Goff, J. K. Ansong, W. H. Smith, A. Melet, and A. J. Wallcraft,
 2017: Impact of synthetic abyssal hill roughness on resolved motions in numerical global ocean
 tide models. *Ocean Modell.*, **112**, 1–16, doi:10.1016/j.ocemod.2017.02.005.
- ⁶⁶⁶ Wunsch, C., and R. Ferrari, 2004: Vertical mixing, energy, and the general circulation of the ⁶⁶⁷ oceans. *Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech.*, **36**, 281–314, doi:10.1146/annurev.fluid.36.050802.122121.
- Zaron, E. D., and G. D. Egbert, 2014: Time-variable refraction of the internal tide at the Hawaiian
- Ridge. J. Phys. Oceanogr., 44 (2), 538–557, doi:10.1175/JPO-D-12-0238.1.

- Zhao, Z., M. H. Alford, J. B. Girton, L. Rainville, and H. L. Simmons, 2016: Global observations
 of open-ocean mode-1 M₂ internal tides. *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*, 46 (6), 1657–1684, doi:10.1175/
 JPO-D-15-0105.1.
- ⁶⁷³ Zhao, Z., M. H. Alford, J. A. MacKinnon, and R. Pinkel, 2010: Long-range propagation of the
 ⁶⁷⁴ semidiurnal internal tide from the Hawaiian Ridge. *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*, **40** (4), 713–736, doi:
 ⁶⁷⁵ 10.1175/2009JPO4207.1.
- Zilberman, N., J. Becker, M. Merrifield, and G. Carter, 2009: Model estimates of M₂ internal
 tide generation over Mid-Atlantic Ridge topography. *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*, **39** (10), 2635–2651,
 doi:10.1175/2008JPO4136.1.
- Zweng, M., and Coauthors, 2013: World Ocean Atlas 2013, Volume 2: Salinity. NOAA Atlas
 NESDIS, 74, 39, URL http://www.nodc.noaa.gov/OC5/indprod.htm.

681 LIST OF TABLES

682	Table 1.	Energy density (E), horizontal energy flux (F), estimated group velocity (c_g^m)
683		and theoretical group velocity for propagating (c_g) and standing (c_g^s) waves in
684		modes 1-10. Errors are standard deviations for E and F, and 95% confidence
685		interval for c_g^m

TABLE 1. Energy density (E), horizontal energy flux (F), estimated group velocity (c_g^m) and theoretical group velocity for propagating (c_g) and standing (c_g^s) waves in modes 1-10. Errors are standard deviations for E and F, and 95% confidence interval for c_g^m .

mode	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
$E (kJ m^{-2})$	0.84±0.25	0.26±0.16	0.23±0.13	0.16±0.12	0.11±0.08	$0.07 {\pm} 0.04$	$0.05 {\pm} 0.03$	$0.05{\pm}0.04$	$0.04{\pm}0.02$	$0.05{\pm}0.03$
$F (kW m^{-1})$	0.83±0.25	0.07±0.05	0.05±0.04	0.03±0.02	$0.01 {\pm} 0.01$	$0.01 {\pm} 0.01$	$0.01 {\pm} 0.00$	_	-	-
$c_g^m (\mathrm{m \ s^{-1}})$	1.09±0.10	0.34±0.05	0.33±0.04	0.33±0.03	0.23±0.03	0.19±0.03	0.16±0.01	0.11±0.01	0.06±0.02	0.05±0.01
$c_g (\mathrm{m}\mathrm{s}^{-1})$	1.33	0.66	0.44	0.33	0.27	0.22	0.19	0.17	0.15	0.13
$c_g^s (\mathrm{m}\mathrm{s}^{-1})$	1.08	0.54	0.36	0.27	0.22	0.18	0.15	0.14	0.12	0.11

689 LIST OF FIGURES

690 691 692	Fig. 1.	(a) RidgeMix experiment location. Background shading indicates bathymetry, orange circles are VMP stations, and the red star is the mooring location. (b) Mooring sketch; gray dots are thermistors, red triangles are ADCPs, and red segments are ADCP ranges.		39
693 694 695	Fig. 2.	Mean stratification and first ten baroclinic modes Π_n for pressure and horizontal velocity. Vertical dashed lines represent $x = 0$ and x-axes are normalized. Red dots are the positions of thermistors.		40
696 697 698 699 700 701 702 703 704 705 706	Fig. 3.	Illustration of the method used in the barotropic-to-baroclinic energy conversion model at the mooring location. (a) Bathymetry in longitude-latitude coordinate and barotropic tidal ellipse; (b) bathymetry in the rotated coordinate system aligned with the major (x) and minor (y) axes of the ellipse; (c) two-dimensional power spectrum of bathymetry ϕ (k_x and k_y are the wavenumbers in the x and y directions, respectively); (d) vertical energy flux $E_f(K, \theta)$ from Eq. (2), $\theta = 0$ in the x direction and rotates anti-clockwise; (e) azimuthally-averaged vertical energy flux E_f^a from Eq. (3); (f) cumulative E_f^a and (g) radially-integrated vertical energy flux E_f^r from Eq. (4). Green lines in (e) and (f) are the equivalent mode numbers as labelled on top axis. Red plain and dashed lines in (g) are the mean direction of the mooring- derived energy flux and its standard deviation, respectively. Energy flux in panels (d)-(g) is computed for the M ₂ frequency.		41
707 708 709 710 711 712 713 714	Fig. 4.	Time series of (a) barotropic kinetic energy KE_{bt} estimated from the moored ADCPs (black line) and from the TPXO combination of M ₂ and S ₂ tidal velocities (red line); cumulated variables as a function of mode number : (b) kinetic energy KE, (c) potential energy PE, (d) E = KE + PE, (e) horizontal energy flux F and (f) Azimuth of mode-1 (thick line), mode-2 and mode-3 fluxes. Gray lines in panels (d) and (e) are total E and F, respectively – no modal decomposition is performed. Gray shading in (a)-(e) represents spring tides. Notice that the flux from the total field can be smaller than the sum of the modal contributions as fluxes in different modes are not necessarily oriented in the same directions.		42
715 716 717	Fig. 5.	Time-mean (a) energy density E, (b) horizontal energy flux F and (c) shear variance S in modes 1–10 (light gray bars) and in the sum of modes 2–10 (dark gray bars). Error bars are standard deviations from the mean.		43
718 719 720	Fig. 6.	Normalized histogram of horizontal energy flux for (a)-(f) modes 1-6. The ranges of x- and y-axis differ between (a) and (b)-(f). Red plain and dashed lines in (a) represent the mean flux direction (azimuth 127.3°) and standard deviation (21.0°), respectively.		44
721 722 723 724 725 726 727 728	Fig. 7.	Figure inspired by Fig. 1 in Alford and Zhao (2007b). (a), (c) Scatter plot of semidiurnal horizontal energy flux vs energy density (gray dots), mean and standard deviation of energy in each energy-flux bin (red bars) and linear regression to these points (red lines) for modes (a) 1 and (c) 2. Group velocity is determined by the slope of this linear regression. The dashed and plain lines represent theoretical group velocity for propagating (c_g) and standing (c_g^s) waves, respectively. (b), (d) Probability density function of each population of $c_g^m = F/E$ for modes (b) 1 and (d) 2. The binned-average group velocity and its 95% confidence interval are shown in red. c_g and c_g^s are also shown in plain and dashed lines, respectively.		45
729 730 731	Fig. 8.	Binned-average group velocity and its 95% confidence interval for modes 1-10 as determined as in Fig. 7 (red line). Theoretical group speed for propagating (c_g) and standing (c_g^s) waves are shown in dashed and plain black lines, respectively.	•	46

732	Fig. 9.	(a) Northbound and (b) southbound M_2 mode-1 internal tides from the Zhao et al. (2016)	
733		dataset, color is sea surface height (SSH) and arrows are horizontal energy fluxes (fluxes	
734		smaller than $0.2 \text{ kW} \text{ m}^{-1}$ have been masked). The masked region in gray in the northwest	
735		corner is where mesoscale activity is too strong to be properly separated from internal tides	
736		(overlap in scales). The red arrow is the time-mean mode-1 horizontal energy flux from	
737		the mooring data. Black lines are the 2000 and 4000-m bathymetry contours. Green lines	
738		southward of 35°N are the 1000-m bathymetry contours highlighting the Atlantis-Meteor	
739		Seamount complex, a chain of seamounts extending from the Great Meteor Seamount at its	
740		southern edge to the Atlantis Seamount at its northern edge (see also Fig. 1 in Searle 1987).	
741		Red and green stars are locations of the mooring and the Hyères Seamount, respectively. (c)	
742		Bathymetry (black line) and SSH (orange line) interpolated along the orange line in panel	
743		(a), stretching from the Hyères Seamount to the mooring location. (d) Theoretical group	
744		speed (light blue) and travelling time (green) of a M ₂ mode-1 internal tide propagating along	
745		the orange line in panel (a)	. 47
746	Fig. 10.	(a) Vertical profile of 50-dBar binned dissipation ε (mean and std dev) and (b) Probability	
747	U	density function (PDF) of $log(\varepsilon)$ from repeated tethered-VMP casts in the close vicinity of	
748		the mooring site during spring tide (red) and neap tide (blue).	. 48
749	Fig. 11.	Model estimate of barotropic-to-baroclinic energy conversion; (a) E_f^t from Eq. (5), (b) E_f^1	
750	0	and (c) $E_f^{2-\infty}$ from Eq. (6). (d) Energy loss from the barotropic tide D from Eq. (19). (e)	
751		Energy conversion into mode 1 estimated from satellite altimetry $(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{F}_s^1)^+$ (Zhao et al.	
752		2016). (f) Area-integrated quantities $\iint \{E_f^t, E_f^1, E_f^{1-\infty}, D, (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{F}_s^1)^+\} dxdy$ over the hatch-	
753		free region shown in panels (a)-(e). Corrugated black lines in panels (a)-(e) are the 2000 and	
754		4000-m bathymetry contours. The masked region in gray in the northwest corner of (e) is	
755		where mesoscale activity is too strong to be properly separated from internal tides (overlap	
756		in scales).	. 49
757	Fig. 12.	(a) Depth-integrated dissipation \mathcal{E}_{τ} (black line) and barotropic-to-baroclinic energy conver-	
758		sion E_c^t (red line) interpolated on the cruise track. (b) Section of 50-dBar binned dissipation	
759		from free-falling VMP stations represented by dots on the inset map and labeled on top axis.	
760		First station is at the northeastern-most point (R01) and the section follows a clockwise	
761		rotation from R01. Bottom axis shows distance from R01 following the cruise track.	. 50



FIG. 1. (a) RidgeMix experiment location. Background shading indicates bathymetry, orange circles are VMP
 stations, and the red star is the mooring location. (b) Mooring sketch; gray dots are thermistors, red triangles are
 ADCPs, and red segments are ADCP ranges.



FIG. 2. Mean stratification and first ten baroclinic modes Π_n for pressure and horizontal velocity. Vertical dashed lines represent x = 0 and x-axes are normalized. Red dots are the positions of thermistors.



FIG. 3. Illustration of the method used in the barotropic-to-baroclinic energy conversion model at the mooring 767 location. (a) Bathymetry in longitude-latitude coordinate and barotropic tidal ellipse; (b) bathymetry in the 768 rotated coordinate system aligned with the major (x) and minor (y) axes of the ellipse; (c) two-dimensional 769 power spectrum of bathymetry ϕ (k_x and k_y are the wavenumbers in the x and y directions, respectively); (d) 770 vertical energy flux $E_f(K, \theta)$ from Eq. (2), $\theta = 0$ in the *x* direction and rotates anti-clockwise; (e) azimuthally-771 averaged vertical energy flux E_f^a from Eq. (3); (f) cumulative E_f^a and (g) radially-integrated vertical energy flux 772 E_{f}^{r} from Eq. (4). Green lines in (e) and (f) are the equivalent mode numbers as labelled on top axis. Red plain 773 and dashed lines in (g) are the mean direction of the mooring-derived energy flux and its standard deviation, 774 respectively. Energy flux in panels (d)-(g) is computed for the M2 frequency. 775



FIG. 4. Time series of (a) barotropic kinetic energy KE_{bt} estimated from the moored ADCPs (black line) and from the TPXO combination of M₂ and S₂ tidal velocities (red line); cumulated variables as a function of mode number : (b) kinetic energy KE, (c) potential energy PE, (d) E = KE + PE, (e) horizontal energy flux F and (f) Azimuth of mode-1 (thick line), mode-2 and mode-3 fluxes. Gray lines in panels (d) and (e) are total E and F, respectively – no modal decomposition is performed. Gray shading in (a)-(e) represents spring tides. Notice that the flux from the total field can be smaller than the sum of the modal contributions as fluxes in different modes are not necessarily oriented in the same directions.



FIG. 5. Time-mean (a) energy density E, (b) horizontal energy flux F and (c) shear variance S in modes 1–10 (light gray bars) and in the sum of modes 2–10 (dark gray bars). Error bars are standard deviations from the mean.



FIG. 6. Normalized histogram of horizontal energy flux for (a)-(f) modes 1-6. The ranges of x- and y-axis differ between (a) and (b)-(f). Red plain and dashed lines in (a) represent the mean flux direction (azimuth 127.3°) and standard deviation (21.0°), respectively.



FIG. 7. Figure inspired by Fig. 1 in Alford and Zhao (2007b). (a), (c) Scatter plot of semidiurnal horizontal energy flux vs energy density (gray dots), mean and standard deviation of energy in each energy-flux bin (red bars) and linear regression to these points (red lines) for modes (a) 1 and (c) 2. Group velocity is determined by the slope of this linear regression. The dashed and plain lines represent theoretical group velocity for propagating (c_g) and standing (c_g^s) waves, respectively. (b), (d) Probability density function of each population of $c_g^m = F/E$ for modes (b) 1 and (d) 2. The binned-average group velocity and its 95% confidence interval are shown in red. c_g and c_g^s are also shown in plain and dashed lines, respectively.



FIG. 8. Binned-average group velocity and its 95% confidence interval for modes 1-10 as determined as in Fig. 7 (red line). Theoretical group speed for propagating (c_g) and standing (c_g^s) waves are shown in dashed and plain black lines, respectively.



FIG. 9. (a) Northbound and (b) southbound M2 mode-1 internal tides from the Zhao et al. (2016) dataset, 799 color is sea surface height (SSH) and arrows are horizontal energy fluxes (fluxes smaller than 0.2 kW m^{-1} have 800 been masked). The masked region in gray in the northwest corner is where mesoscale activity is too strong to 801 be properly separated from internal tides (overlap in scales). The red arrow is the time-mean mode-1 horizontal 802 energy flux from the mooring data. Black lines are the 2000 and 4000-m bathymetry contours. Green lines 803 southward of 35°N are the 1000-m bathymetry contours highlighting the Atlantis-Meteor Seamount complex, 804 a chain of seamounts extending from the Great Meteor Seamount at its southern edge to the Atlantis Seamount 805 at its northern edge (see also Fig. 1 in Searle 1987). Red and green stars are locations of the mooring and the 806 Hyères Seamount, respectively. (c) Bathymetry (black line) and SSH (orange line) interpolated along the orange 807 line in panel (a), stretching from the Hyères Seamount to the mooring location. (d) Theoretical group speed 808 (light blue) and travelling time (green) of a M2 mode-1 internal tide propagating along the orange line in panel 809 (a). 810



FIG. 10. (a) Vertical profile of 50-dBar binned dissipation ε (mean and std dev) and (b) Probability density function (PDF) of log(ε) from repeated tethered-VMP casts in the close vicinity of the mooring site during spring tide (red) and neap tide (blue).



FIG. 11. Model estimate of barotropic-to-baroclinic energy conversion; (a) E_f^t from Eq. (5), (b) E_f^1 and (c) $E_f^{2-\infty}$ from Eq. (6). (d) Energy loss from the barotropic tide *D* from Eq. (19). (e) Energy conversion into mode 1 estimated from satellite altimetry $(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{F}_s^1)^+$ (Zhao et al. 2016). (f) Area-integrated quantities $\int \int \{E_f^t, E_f^1, E_f^{2-\infty}, D, (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{F}_s^1)^+\} dxdy$ over the hatch-free region shown in panels (a)-(e). Corrugated black lines in panels (a)-(e) are the 2000 and 4000-m bathymetry contours. The masked region in gray in the northwest corner of (e) is where mesoscale activity is too strong to be properly separated from internal tides (overlap in scales).



FIG. 12. (a) Depth-integrated dissipation ε_z (black line) and barotropic-to-baroclinic energy conversion E_f^t (red line) interpolated on the cruise track. (b) Section of 50-dBar binned dissipation from free-falling VMP stations represented by dots on the inset map and labeled on top axis. First station is at the northeastern-most point (R01) and the section follows a clockwise rotation from R01. Bottom axis shows distance from R01 following the cruise track.