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4,5-Diazafluorene co-oligomers as electron-deficient light-emitting materials and selective fluorescence sensors for mercury(II) cations†‡

Sanjay Ghosh, Abdulaziz S. Alghunaim, Mohammed H. Al-mashhadani, Michal P. Krompiec,§ Megan Hallett, and Igor F. Perepichka*

School of Chemistry, Bangor University, Bangor, LL57 2UW, UK

Abstract. A series of 4,5-diazafluorene-based (N) conjugated co-oligomers with 9,9-dialkyfluorene (F) or electron-deficient dibenzothiophene-S,S-dioxide (S) have been synthesized by Pd-catalyzed coupling reaction (FNF, FFNFF, FNoF, SNS, NSN). Cyclic voltammetry studies reveal their improved electron affinity compared to oligofluorene. SNS and NSN co-oligomers showed a decrease of their LUMO energies by 0.37 – 0.38 eV compared to FNF co-oligomer. Absorption/emission studies showed that all oligomers, except FNoF, are blue-emitting materials (λPL ~ 400 – 450 nm) with high quantum yields of their photoluminescence (ΦPL = 84 – 100% in solution and 24 – 42% in the solid state). FNoF trimer emits in yellow region with very low ΦPL ~ 1%, but the emission efficiency is substantially increased to ΦPL = 10–17% in the solid state. FNF co-oligomer was studied as metal cation responsive colorimetric and fluorescent sensor using a series of mono- and divalent cations and showed high sensitivity and selectivity toward mercury cations. On addition of Hg2+, the blue emission of FNF (λPL = 404 nm) was quenched and a new, bathochromically shifted (to the green region, λPL = 507 nm) emission band appears, which allows to use this compound as both “ON → OFF” and “OFF → ON” fluorescent sensor.

1 Introduction

During the past two decades, fluorene-based oligomers and polymers have extensively been studied as efficient blue light-emitting materials with good thermal and electrochemical stability, high charge mobility, and easily tunable properties through chemical modifications and co-polymerization for organic light-emitting devices (OLEDs) and other optoelectronic applications.1,2,3,4,5
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‡ Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: procedures for synthesis of the oligomers and intermediates, 1H and 13C NMR spectra and MS for characterized compounds; 13C DEPT and COSY NMR spectra for selected compounds; additional data on UV-Vis and PL experiments (including metal cation effects) and DFT calculations. See DOI ######
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More recently, the topologically similar structure of dibenzothiophene-S,S-dioxide (Figure 1), which consist of an electron deficient sulfonyl (–SO2–) group as a bridge between the benzene rings (instead of –CR2– bridge in the fluorene) has attracted much attention for the construction of conjugated light-emitting oligomers6,7,8 and polymers9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16 as well as molecular OLED materials with thermally activated delayed fluorescence (TADF)17,18,19,20. An incorporation of dibenzothiophene-S,S-dioxide units into polymeric or molecular systems improved their electron affinity and electron transporting in the materials, and facilitated intramolecular charge-transfer in some specific structures.

Another electron-deficient conjugated moiety of a similar topology is 4,5-diazafluorene21, in which case two carbons (CH groups) in the benzene rings are substituted by more electronegative nitrogen atoms. According to DFT calculations, the LUMO (lowest unoccupied molecular orbital) energy levels of dibenzothiophene-S,S-dioxide (S) and 4,5-diazafluorene (N) are lower than that in fluorene (F) by 1.04 eV and 0.58 eV, respectively, while the HOMO–LUMO energy gaps (HOMO is highest occupied molecular orbital) are very close for all three units (Figure 1). As such, an incorporation of N moieties into the conjugated oligomers and polymers should substantially decrease their LUMO energy levels to afford materials with high electron affinity for using as electron transporting materials or n-type semiconductors. Some 4,5-diazafluorene derivatives are fluorescent and they have already been exploited in a few studies for design of semiconductive light-emitting materials with improved electron injection/transporting properties22,23,24, donor-acceptor conjugates25,26,27,28 and conjugated co-polymers29.

Apart from the improved electron deficiency (compared to fluorene), 4,5-diazafluorene can act as N^N ligand to form complexes with metal cations. Many 4,5-diazafluorene derivatives have
been studied in the past as chelating agents for transition (and some main group elements) metals. More recently, 4,5-diazafluorene has also attracted attention for design of cationic iridium (III) complexes for light-emitting electrochemical cells (LEECs).

For fluorescent ligands, coordination with metal cations can lead to a change of their fluorescence properties through different mechanisms, e.g. fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET), photo-induced energy transfer (PCT) or photoinduced electron transfer (PET). This strategy had been used by Wong’s group to demonstrate fluorescence sensing of bipolar donor-acceptor (D–A) spiro-bridged bis(diphenylamino)fluorene/4,5-diazafluorene molecules in complexation with metal cations. Modulation of the emission color on protonation or on complexation with metal cations was also recently shown for 4,-5-diazafluorene copolymers by Huang’s group.

In this work we report a series of novel co-oligomers with an improved electron affinity based on electron-deficient N and S moieties and electron-rich F moiety. We demonstrate how the combination of these building blocks tune the LUMO energy levels of the co-oligomers and their ability toward n-doping, as well as how these structural variations effect on the emission characteristics of the materials. We also present the results on complexation of the trimer FNF with a series of metal cations (M^+ and M^{2+}), which shows remarkable sensitivity and selectivity of its fluorescence to the toxic mercury cation, Hg^{2+}, and thus can be used as selective fluorescent sensors for mercury salts in the presence of other cations.

2 Results and discussion

2.1 Synthesis

Synthesis of co-oligomers FNF, FFNFF, FNoF, NSN, and SNS is depicted in Scheme 1 (see ESI for details). 4,5-Diazafluorenone (3) was reduced into 4,5-diazafluorene by Wolf-Kizhner reaction. Its alkylation by n-hexyliodide and t-BuOK, as a base, in tetrahydrofuran (THF) or by n-octyliodide and NaOH, as a base, in dimethylsulfoxide (in the presence of tertiary ammonium salt as catalyst), gave the monomers 1 and 5 with the yields of 49% and 53% (in two steps), respectively. Palladium-catalyzed Suzuki coupling of the monomers 1 and 3 with fluorene- or bifluorene-boronic acids (2 or 4) gave the trimers FNF and FNoF, and the pentamer FFNFF. While FNF and FNoF were obtained with conventional heating, the pentamer in these conditions gave very low yield due to substantial mono-coupling of bifluorene 4 on prolonged heating and formation of mono-substituted by-product. Therefore, the reaction was performed in a microwave-assisted conditions at 150 °C affording FFNFF with 61% yield after column purification. Borolane derivatives of dibenzothiophene-S,S-dioxide 6 and 8 were synthesized from corresponding mono-/dibromo-
dibenzothiophene-5,5-dioxides in excellent yields (~75–85%) using Miyaura coupling with bis(pinacolato)diboron, catalyzed by PdCl2(dppf) (see ESI). This procedure was found to be a much better method compared to the previously reported procedure, which gave only 26% yield of 8. Reactions of dibromo- and monobromo-diazafluorenes 5 and 7 with monoborolane 6 and diborolane 8, respectively, gave corresponding 4,5-diazafluorene/dibenzothiophene-5,5-dioxide trimers SNS and NSN. Generally, Pd-catalyzed couplings worked well to give the co-oligomers with good yields of 49–71% (except NSN, in which case the yield was lower, 26%). All synthesized oligomers are stable light-yellow compounds, with good solubility in common organic solvents.

Scheme 1 Synthesis of 4,5-diazafluorene-based co-oligomers: (i) Pd(PPh3)2Cl2, 2M K2CO3, dioxane or dioxane–EtOH, reflux, 24–32 h; (ii) Pd(PPh3)4, 2M K2CO3, toluene–EtOH, MW = 150 W, 150 °C, 4 h; (iii) NaH2×H2O, AcOH (cat.), ethylene glycol, 135–140 °C, 20 h (71%); (iv) C8H17I, t-BuOK, THF, –5…+25 °C, 32 h (69%); (v) C8H17I, 50% NaOH, [BzNEt3]Cl– (cat.), DMSO, r.t., 23 h (75%).
2.2 Absorption and emission spectra

The absorption and emission spectra of all the co-oligomers have been studied both in solution (degassed dichloromethane, DCM) and in the solid state (spin-coated films on quartz windows) at room temperature (Figure 2 and Table 1). UV-Vis spectra of all oligomers, both in solution and in films (Figures 2a,c) showed characteristic absorption bands between 300 nm to 400 nm attributed to the spin allowed $\pi-\pi^*$ transition. FNoF, apart of an absorption in the UV region, also showed additional broad low intense band in the visible region (400–500 nm, peaked at ~450 nm) attributed to spin forbidden n–$\pi^*$ transition on the carbonyl group of 4,5-diazafluorenone. All the trimers showed only minor changes in their absorption maxima (in the range of 7.5 nm and 13 nm, in DCM and in films, respectively). Compared to FNF, more electron deficient SNS and NSN demonstrated some hypsochromic shifts of their absorption spectra (by ~4–5.5 nm in DCM and ~9.5–15 nm in films), and an elongation of the conjugation in FFNFF pentamers resulted in bathochromic shifts by 7–11.5 nm. The optical energy gaps of the oligomers determined from the red absorption edge of the spin-coated films were in the range of $E_{\text{g,opt}} \approx 2.92–3.09$ eV, except of FNoF whose $E_{\text{g,opt}} = 2.44$ eV.

![Normalized UV-Vis absorption and photoluminescence spectra](image)

**Figure 2** Normalized UV-Vis absorption (a,c) and photoluminescence (b,d) spectra of 4,5-diazafluorene co-oligomers in DCM solution (a,b) and in films (c,d). For PL spectra, $\lambda_{\text{ex}}$ are shown in the Table 1.
Table 1 Absorption and photoluminescence maxima of 4,5-diazafluorene-based co-oligomers in solution and in the solid-state, their photoluminescence quantum yields and optical energy gaps.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comp.</th>
<th>$\lambda_{\text{abs}}$ (nm)$^a$</th>
<th>$\lambda_{\text{PL}}$ (nm)$^a$</th>
<th>$\Phi_{\text{PL}}$ (%)$^b$, [\lambda_{\text{ex}} (nm)]$^c$</th>
<th>$E_{\text{g}}^{\text{opt}}$ (eV)$^d$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DCM</td>
<td>Film</td>
<td>DCM</td>
<td>Film</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FNF</td>
<td>366</td>
<td>409, 430sh</td>
<td>413, 434</td>
<td>93 [350]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FFNFF</td>
<td>328, 378</td>
<td>429, 447sh</td>
<td>438, 460sh</td>
<td>84 [350]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FNoF</td>
<td>366, 440sh</td>
<td>364, 440sh</td>
<td>416, 570</td>
<td>1 [370]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>340sh, 358.5</td>
<td>345sh, 364</td>
<td>405, 425</td>
<td>89 [350]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNS</td>
<td>303, 362</td>
<td>305, 369.5</td>
<td>399, 421</td>
<td>101 [350]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>480</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ The strongest peaks are shown in bold. $^b$ Photoluminescence quantum yields (PLQYs) in solution estimated using 9,10-diphenylanthracene as a reference ($\Phi_{\text{PL}} = 0.90$ in degassed cyclohexane); $^c$ excitation wavelengths in nm are shown in brackets. $^d$ Absolute PLQYs in the solid state determined using an integrating sphere for spin coated films on quartz substrates. $^e$ Optical energy gap determined by the red edge of absorption spectra for spin coated films on quartz substrates. $^f$ Absolute PLQY, measured on an integrating sphere. $^g$ For powder sample. $^h$ For films (slight increase of PLQY was observed on thermal annealing the films at 80 – 130 °C, see Figure S5 in the ESI). $^i$sh – shoulder.

Oligomers FNF, FFNFF, NSN and SNS are highly emissive materials which fluoresce in the blue region with a typical vibronic structure of their emission spectra, characteristic for rigid-rod conjugated systems (Figures 2b,d). They showed high photoluminescence quantum yields (PLQY, $\Phi_{\text{PL}}$) of their emission of 84–100% in solution (vs 9,10-diphenylanthracene standard) and 24–42% in the solid state (absolute PLQY), the values which are similar to that commonly observed in oligofluorenes. Due to intramolecular donor-acceptor interaction, the local excited state (LE) emission in FNoF in the blue region was almost completely quenched and a new broad intramolecular charge transfer (ICT) emission band in the yellow region at 570 – 575 nm appeared while the PLQY of this emission in solution is rather low ($\Phi_{\text{PL}} \sim 1\%$ (DCM), Figures 2b, Table 1). Fluorene–fluorenone–fluorene trimer, FFoF, as an analog of FNoF showed similar spectral changes and substantial quenching the emission (in DCM: $\lambda_{\text{PL}} = 584$ nm, $\Phi_{\text{PL}} = 4\%$). Also, quenching the oligo/polyfluorene emission by “fluorenone defects” in the main chain with an appearance new band in the green region was subject of numerous studies. Often, the emission of luminescent materials in the solid state is decreased compared to the solution because the excited states of the aggregates decay via non-radiative pathways. Surprisingly, the PLQY of FNoF was drastically increased in the solid state (as powder or spin-coated films) to ca. 10 – 17% (Table 1). Thermal annealing of the films at 80 – 100 °C does not change the shape of the emission, but leads to some increase of PLQY (from 14.4 to 17.3%; Figure S5 in the ESI). This
indicates that intramolecular interactions inhibit non-radiative pathways of the excited state decay. We did not study the details of the photophysical processes responsive for stronger quenching the emission in solution compared to the solid state, but there are several examples in the literature on an improved solid-state emission. One widely studied phenomenon is an aggregation-induced emission (AIE) due to restriction of intramolecular rotations in a condensed phase. Other possibilities include e.g. conformation planarization and twisted/planarized intramolecular charge transfer (TICT/PICT). Also, tuning the triplet energy levels by solid state effect might be responsible for the difference between the solution and solid-state PLQY. Thus, rigid molecules of anthracene and perylene showed substantially higher PLQY in a single crystal state ($\Phi_{\text{PL}} = 64\%$ and $31\%$, respectively) compared to their solutions ($\Phi_{\text{PL}} = 0.28\%$ and $0.02\%$, respectively). This was explained by low-lying second triplet energy level in solutions ($E_{T2} = 3.24$ eV), which is lower than the energy level of the singlet excited state ($E_{S1} = 3.29$ eV), thus facilitating the singlet-triplet intersystem crossing and quenching the emission (in the solid state, the second triplet state energy, $E_{T2} = 3.51$ eV, is higher than the energy level of the singlet excited state $E_{S1} = 3.11$ eV).

**Solvent effect.** Solvent polarity effect on the absorption and emission spectra was carried out for the oligomer FNF (Figure 3a). In our previous studies on fluorene/dibenzothiophene-S,S-dioxide co-oligomer (FSF), we observed very little effect of the solvent polarity on the absorption spectra [$\Delta\lambda_{\text{abs}}(\text{EtOH–hexane}) = 5$ nm] but more pronounced effect on the PL spectra [$\Delta\lambda_{\text{PL}}(\text{EtOH–hexane}) = 41$ nm]. Detailed photophysical studies of FSF have shown that only weak D–A interaction occurs in FSF in its ground state, but the excited state of the molecule is highly polar, with twisted intramolecular charge transfer (TICT). Polar solvents stabilize the excited ICT state, so depending on the solvent polarity, the emission occurs from either LE (in non-polar solvents) or ICT state (in polar solvents), or from both (dual LE/ICT emission$^9$). The N moiety is somewhat weaker as an acceptor compared to S (Figure 1). On the other hand, the nitrogen atoms in N are part of the $\pi$-cloud of the main oligomer chain. So, FNF showed positive solvatochromic effect in both absorption and emission spectra, while the shift in the emission is less pronounced compared to FSF [Figure 3a: $\Delta\lambda_{\text{abs}}(\text{EtOH–hexane}) = 8.5$ nm, $\Delta\lambda_{\text{PL}}(\text{EtOH–hexane}) = 17$ nm; see also Figure S6 in the ESI]. Similar positive solvatochromism was observed for FFNFF and FNoF, in which cases the influence of the solvent polarity on the emission wavelength are even more pronounced (FFNFF: $\Delta\lambda_{\text{PL}}(\text{EtOH–hexane}) = 32.5$ nm; FNoF: $\Delta\lambda_{\text{PL}}(\text{ACN–hexane}) = 77$ nm; Figures 3b,c and Table S3 in the ESI). These spectral changes of FNoF resemble well the emission of its fluorene–fluorenone–fluorene analog (FFoF), which showed substantial solvatochromism of its emission ($\lambda_{\text{PL}} = 507$ nm (methylcyclohexane), 584 nm (CHCl$_3$), 543 nm (films)).
**Figure 3** Effect of the solvent polarity on the absorption (solid lines) and emission spectra (dotted lines; excited at the absorption maxima) of (a) FNF, (b) FFNFF and (c) FNoF oligomers.

**Response to protic acid.** The pyridine nitrogen atom of azaaromatic compounds, including 4,5-diazafluorene can be protonated, which should cause changes of their absorption and emission spectra. Titration of FNF solution [~ 0.01 mM] in THF with methanesulfonic acid (0.05 – 0.5 mM; an excess 5 – 50 times) results in some decrease of the intensity of FNF absorption at 365 nm and an appearance of a shoulder absorption at the red edge of the spectrum, with an isobestic point at 386 nm (Figure 4a). At higher concentrations of the acid (1 – 40 mM), FNF absorption band vanished and new band corresponding to the protonated form(s) of the oligomer appears at ~ 410
nm (deviation from the isobestic point at higher concentration might be due to partial protonation of both pyridine rings). Similar changes are observed in photoluminescence spectra, i.e. disappearance of vibronic emission of FNF in the blue region and an appearance of green emission of the protonated FNF at 510 nm (Figure 4b and Figure S7c in the ESI). These changes in a emission color are obvious under illumination by UV lamp (365nm) and clear seen by naked eyes (an insert in Figures S7d,e in the ESI) illustrating promising light-emitting properties of the oligomer in its protonated form.

![Figure 4](image_url)

**Figure 4** Changes in UV-Vis absorption (a) and photoluminescence (b) spectra of FNF [~10 μM] in THF at various concentrations of methanesulfonic acid (0.05 – 40 mM). For PL spectra corrected to the differences in the absorption at the excitation wavelength ($\lambda_{ex} = 365$ nm) see Figure S7c in the ESI. Inset photos show the colors of the emission under hand-held UV lamp (365 nm)

### 2.3 Cyclic voltammetry study

To evaluate the redox properties in n-doping and p-doping processes, synthesized co-oligomers were studied by cyclic voltammetry method (CV) (Figure 5). Due to the presence of electron-deficient N and S moieties, an oxidation of oligomers occurs at high potentials (onset oxidation potentials $E_{onset}^{ox} = 0.64–0.98$ V vs Fc/Fc$^+$ couple; Table 2) and is electrochemically irreversible (quasi-reversible for FNF). The reversibility of the reduction process is better (quasi-reversible or reversible for all trimers), allowing to estimate their half-wave potential ($E_{1/2}^{red}$). Thus, replacement of fluorene moiety in FNF ($E_{1/2}^{red} = –2.35$ V) by more electron deficient S moiety (SNS) results in pronounced positive shift of the reduction wave by 0.38 V to $E_{1/2}^{red} = –1.96$ V (similar potential of –1.97 V was observed for NSN oligomer). These results are in line with the computed LUMO energy levels for F, N and S (Figure 1).

Cyclic voltammetry data have been used to estimate the HOMO and LUMO energies of the oligomers and their HOMO–LUMO gaps ($E_g^{CV}$, Table 2). Qualitatively the results on the HOMO–LUMO gaps from CV experiments are in agreement with that obtained from electron absorption spectroscopy data. When considering their numerical differences, it should be taken into account
that optical and electrochemical measurements have deal with different physical processes. The use of different solvents can also contribute to the not ideal coincidence of the estimations of the energy levels by these methods.

Figure 5 Cyclic voltammograms of (a) N/S co-oligomers (b) F/N co-oligomers. Reduction and oxidation scans were performed in THF and in DCM, respectively, with 0.2 M Bu4NPF6 as supporting electrolyte at room temperature. Scan rate is 100 mV/s. Ag/Ag+ was used as the reference electrode and the CV were calibrated with Fc/Fc+ as an internal reference.

Table 2 Redox potentials of oligomers determined by cyclic voltammetry, HOMO and LUMO energy levels and the HOMO–LUMO gaps from CV data and from DFT/B3LYP/6-31G(d) calculations.a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>$E_{\text{onset}}^{\text{ox}}$ (V)a</th>
<th>$E_{1/2}^{\text{red}}$ (V)a</th>
<th>$E_{\text{HOMO}}^{\text{CV}}$ (eV)b</th>
<th>$E_{\text{LUMO}}^{\text{CV}}$ (eV)b</th>
<th>$E_g^{\text{CV}}$ (eV)</th>
<th>$E_{\text{HOMO}}^{\text{DFT}}$ (eV)</th>
<th>$E_{\text{LUMO}}^{\text{DFT}}$ (eV)</th>
<th>$E_g^{\text{DFT}}$ (eV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FNF</td>
<td>0.98c</td>
<td>-2.35</td>
<td>-5.78</td>
<td>-2.45</td>
<td>3.33</td>
<td>-5.45</td>
<td>-1.69</td>
<td>3.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FNNFF</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>-1.72</td>
<td>-5.44</td>
<td>-3.08</td>
<td>2.36</td>
<td>-5.25</td>
<td>-1.77</td>
<td>3.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FNoF</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>-1.51,</td>
<td>-5.61</td>
<td>-3.29</td>
<td>2.32</td>
<td>-5.65</td>
<td>-2.56</td>
<td>3.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-2.14d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSN</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>-1.97</td>
<td>-5.46</td>
<td>-2.83</td>
<td>2.63</td>
<td>-6.02</td>
<td>-2.26</td>
<td>3.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNS</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>-1.96</td>
<td>-5.50</td>
<td>-2.84</td>
<td>2.66</td>
<td>-6.10</td>
<td>-2.34</td>
<td>3.76</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aCV potentials are given vs Fc/Fc+ couple as an internal reference (the average $E_{1/2}$ potentials for Fc/Fc+ vs used Ag/Ag+ reference electrode were 0.20–0.22 V in DCM and 0.19 – 0.21 V in THF). Oxidation and reduction potentials were measured in DCM and THF, respectively, with 0.2 M Bu4NPF6 as supporting electrolyte at scan rate of 100 mV/s. $E_{\text{onset}}^{\text{ox}}$ and $E_{1/2}^{\text{red}}$ are onset oxidation and half-wave reduction potentials, respectively (oxidation processes are irreversible, so the onset potentials have been estimated from the CV traces). $E_{\text{HOMO}}^{\text{DFT}} = -(E_{\text{onset}}^{\text{ox}} + 4.8)$, $E_{\text{LUMO}}^{\text{DFT}} = -(E_{1/2}^{\text{red}} + 4.8)$. $E_g^{\text{CV}} = -(E_{\text{onset}}^{\text{ox}} + 4.8)$. $E_g^{\text{DFT}} = -(E_{1/2}^{\text{red}} + 4.8)$.

2.4 Computational study

To estimate the frontier energy levels and distribution of the HOMO/LUMO orbitals in the synthesized co-oligomers, we performed DFT calculations at the B3LYP/6-31G(d) level of theory.
using Gaussian09 package of programs (Figure 6 and Figures S1 – S4 in the ESI).\textsuperscript{61} Compared to FNF oligomer, oligomers SNS and NSN consisting electron-deficient S moiety, showed substantial stabilization of their LUMO orbital (by 0.65 eV and 0.57 eV, respectively), which is in good agreement with CV data ($E_{\text{LUMO}}^{\text{CV}}$ are decreased by 0.38 and 0.39 eV, respectively; Table 2). Yet, the HOMO–LUMO gaps for all three co-oligomers remain almost unchanged.

For all three co-oligomers both HOMO and LUMO are delocalized along the whole molecules. Even for FNF, no substantially increased localization of LUMO on electron-deficient central N moiety (or HOMO on the end fluorene moieties) were observed, indicating on the very weak charge transfer character in the molecule. This is in good agreement with absorption/emission measurements, which showed only weak solvatochromic effect. In contrast to that, the HOMO in FNoF is delocalized over the whole $\pi$-system of the trimer, whereas the LUMO is fully localized on the central 4,5-diazafluorenone moiety assuming the strong intramolecular charge transfer in this molecule. Indeed, its HOMO–LUMO gap is much lower than that for other oligomers and spectroscopic studies of FNoF showed substantially distinctive absorption and especially emission spectra for this trimer (Figures 2, 3 and Table S3 in the ESI). As expected, an elongated conjugation in FFNFF pentamer compared to trimer FNF led to a decrease of its LUMO and an increase of its HOMO energy levels (and as such HOMO–LUMO gap contraction), and somewhat more pronounced localization of its LUMO on the central part of the molecule.

\textbf{Figure 6} HOMO/LUMO energy levels, HOMO–LUMO gaps and localization of the frontier orbital coefficients for co-oligomers FNoF, FFNFF, FNF, SNS, and SNS by DFT B3LYP/6-31G(d) calculations in a gas phase.

Modelling the solvent effect (acetonitrile) by PCM method (polarizable continuum model) using 6-31G or 6-311G basis sets shows that the solvent polarity mainly effect on the LUMO energy levels of the co-oligomers whereas the effect on the HOMO is less pronounced (Figure S4 in the ESI). For 4,5-diazafluorene co-oligomers with electron-donating fluorene (FNF, FFNFF and
FNoF), the solvation by a polar acetonitrile leads to some contraction of the HOMO–LUMO energy gaps, $E_{g}^{\text{DFT}}$. These results are in line with a positive solvatochromism for these co-oligomers as demonstrated by spectroscopic studies (Figure 3). In the case of SNS, and SNS, however, polar acetinitrile has negligible effect on HOMO, LUMO and $E_{g}^{\text{DFT}}$ (Figure S4 in the ESI).

2.5 FNF co-oligomer as selective fluorescent sensor for mercury cations

The co-oligomer FNF has been used to study the ability of the 4,5-diazafluorene moiety to act as chelating N^N ligand to form complexes with metal cations. FNF itself showed only weak charge-transfer character (according to DFT calculations and its very weak solvatochromism). The complexation of the central N moiety with positively charged cations should increase the intramolecular charge transfer from the end fluorene group onto the central 4,5-diazafluorene moiety. This is what was actually observed in the case of FNoF with the central electron-deficient 4,5-diazafluorenone moiety, in which case pronounced ICT, accompanied by drastic changes in the emission characteristics were observed (Figures 2b,d and 3c).

For these studies we used a series of monovalent and divalent metal salts (LiClO4, NaClO4, AgClO4, Mg(ClO4)2, Ba(ClO4)2, Cu(BF4)2, Ni(NO3)2, Pb(ClO4)2 and Hg(ClO4)2) to look at the metal ion sensing properties of FNF by electron absorption and fluorescence spectroscopies. In THF solution, FNF absorbs at $\lambda_{\text{abs}} = 365$ nm and emits at $\lambda_{\text{PL}} = 404$ nm (Figures 7a,b). Initial experiments with ~1.4 µM FNF and 10-fold concentrations of metal salts (~14 µM) in THF solution showed no or only weak effect of Li^+, Na^+, Ag^+, Cu^{2+}, Mg^{2+} and Ba^{2+} cations on both absorption and emission spectra compared to the cation-free solution of FNF (Figure 7). Indeed, only small decrease in the intensities of absorption and emission bands was observed in these cases, with no spectral shifts or changes in the width of the spectra. In the case of Ni^{2+} and Pb^{2+}, the decrease of absorption intensity was larger, with some bathochromic shifts of the bands (to 371 and 378 nm, respectively) and an appearance of shoulders on the red edges of their absorption spectra (Figure 7c). However, the emission spectra did not shift or change their shape, but only a decrease of the fluorescence intensity was observed (Figure 7d). In contrast to that, in the presence of Hg^{2+}, the absorption spectrum was drastically changed, showing more pronounced bathochromic shift, with two new bands at 387 and 405 nm. The blue emission of FNF was almost completely quenched and a new greenish emission band appeared at 507 nm (Figure 7d; see also Figures S8 and S12 in the ESI for changes in the color space and the absorption/emission colors). The process of complexation of FNF with Hg^{2+} cations is reversible as has been proven by dilution of {FNF + Hg(ClO4)2} with cation-free FNF solutions of the same concentration (Figure S9 in the ESI).
Figure 7 The effect of metal cations on the absorption (a,c) and emission (b,d) spectra of co-oligomer FNF in THF solutions. Concentration of FNF is ~1.4 μM, concentration of metal salts is ~14 μM. For PL spectra, excitations are at the wavelengths close to the absorption maxima: λ_{ex} = 365 nm (cation-free, Li\(^+\), Na\(^+\), Cu\(^{2+}\), Mg\(^{2+}\), Ba\(^{2+}\)), 367 nm (Ag\(^+\)), 371 nm (Ni\(^{2+}\)), 379 nm (Pb\(^{2+}\)), 395 nm (Hg\(^{2+}\)).

Thus, the FNF oligomer showed good metal ion responsive properties towards Hg\(^{2+}\) compared to other cations. Mercury is a highly toxic metal and the development of sensitive and selective sensors for Hg\(^{2+}\), which causes environment, water pollution and living organisms intoxication is a very challenging task. A large number of different classes of materials (organic, inorganic/hybrids, nanoparticles, biomolecules) and methods (colorimetric, fluorescent, electrochemical, field-effect transistors etc) have been developed for Hg\(^{2+}\) detection. Among them, the detection based on changes of the fluorescence is one of the promising and widely used method due to its high sensitivity, good selectivity (in some cases) and simplicity of the method. Both “ON → OFF” (quenching the fluorescence) and “OFF → ON” (growing the fluorescence) modes of the detection have been exploited. In more rare cases, the detection based on the changes of PL spectra, potentially allowing dual-mode detection, was used. While visually spectral changes of the emission are obvious in this case, the problem of full separation of
the emissions of free fluorescent ligands and their complexes with Hg$^{2+}$ might be an issue for quantitative monitoring at two different wavelengths. Different approaches have been used to decrease the detection limit of Hg$^{2+}$, e.g. sensors based on Hg$^{2+}$ mediated folding of fluorophore labelled DNA (detection limit 3.2 nM), signal amplification by oligonucleotide–conjugated polymer intercalates (detection limit 0.27 nM), polymerase assisted fluorescence amplification (detection limit 40 pM), fluorescence polarization enhancement of DNA-fluorophore by gold nanoparticles (detection limit 0.2 ppb). The detection limits for simple organic molecules as chromophores/fluorophores is higher (~0.1 – 10 μM), but they have an advantage of relatively easy and cheap synthesis of materials, and simple method of analysis/detection.

As complexation of FNF with Hg$^{2+}$ leads to disappearance of the oligomer emission in blue region and an appearance of green emission of the complex (Figure 7d), we estimated the relative intensities of the emissions of FNF in the presence of different cations (versus cation-free FNF solution) by monitoring at two wavelengths, 416 and 507 nm. Figure 8a clearly demonstrates high selectivity of FNF toward Hg$^{2+}$ compared to other studied cations in both “ON → OFF” (quenching the fluorescence at 416 nm) and “OFF → ON” (growing the fluorescence at 507 nm) modes of sensing. Absorption spectra of FNF also showed some changes on addition of metal cations. For Li$^+$, Na$^+$, Mg$^{2+}$, Ca$^{2+}$ and Ba$^{2+}$, only decreasing the absorption intensities are observed, whereas Ag$^+$, Ni$^{2+}$ and Pb$^{2+}$ show some bathochromic shifts of FNF absorption and an appearance of new long wavelength band (Figures 7a,c). This shift and an intensity of a new long-wavelength band is much more pronounced in the case of Hg$^{2+}$. Comparison of the absorption intensities of FNF in the presence of various metal cations, monitored at two wavelengths, i.e. at 366 nm (FNF absorption) and at 440 nm, demonstrates that Hg$^{2+}$ can be selectively detected by a colorimetric method as well (Figure 8b).
Figure 8 (a) Relative fluorescence intensities of FNF solutions in THF in the presence of metal cations (I) versus cation-free FNF solution (I₀) monitored at $\lambda_{PL} = 416$ nm (blue bars) and 507 nm (green bars). (b) Absorption intensities of FNF solutions in THF in the presence of metal cations and for cation-free solution normalized to the absorption intensities in the presence of Hg$^{2+}$ cations, monitored at $\lambda_{abs} = 366$ nm (blue bars) and 440 nm (green bars). The values of absorption and PL intensities have been taken from Figure 7.

Complexation of FNF with Hg$^{2+}$ led to bathochromic shift of its absorption (Figure 9a), quenching the emission in the blue region and an appearance of new emission band in the green region (Figure 9b). These changes are attributed to changes in the electronic structure of FNF on complexation. As evidenced from the absorption/emission and DFT studies, donor-acceptor interaction between the fluorene and 4,5-diazafluorene units in FNF is rather weak and its emission occurs solely from the LE state. Complexation of N with Hg$^{2+}$ increases the electron acceptor character of the central moiety facilitating the ICT in the ground state and the bathochromically-shifted emission from the ICT excited state (Figure 9).
To look at the stoichiometry of this complexation, we performed titration of FNF solution (20 μM) with Hg(ClO₄)₂, monitoring their absorption and emission spectra (Figure S10 in the ESI). Job’s plots for both absorption and fluorescence intensities revealed the formation of a 2:1 complex, 2[FNF]:[Hg²⁺] (Figures S11 and S12 in the ESI). Titration of 10 μM FNF solution with Hg(ClO₄)₂ also showed the maximum fluorescence at 2:1 ratio [FNF]:[Hg²⁺]. From the linear dependence of FNF fluorescence intensity at 510 nm at low Hg²⁺ concentrations, we estimated the detection limit of Hg²⁺ in these conditions of ~0.15 μM (Figure S13 in the ESI).

Changes in absorption/emission spectra of FNF in the presence of metal cations is based on its complexation as N²N ligand, so the efficiency of the process depends on the equilibrium constants and tuning the energies of the optical transition. The process is especially efficient for Hg²⁺ cations, while it can compete with complexation of other metal cations. To look at the interference of Hg²⁺ detection by other metal cations we have studied the absorption and emission spectra of {FNF [10 μM] + Hg(ClO₄)₂ [5 μM]} solutions in THF in the presence of other metal salts (Figures S14 and S15 in the ESI). In the case of Na⁺, Cu²⁺ and Ba²⁺, no changes in the emission wavelengths or their intensities have been observed even at high concentrations (20 μM) of these cations (Figure S14 in the ESI). On the other hand, some changes in the intensities and/or wavelengths of the absorption/emission spectra have been observed in the case of Mg²⁺, Ag⁺ and Ni²⁺ cations, which might interfere at some extent in quantitative detection of Hg²⁺ in solutions (Figure S15 in the ESI). This can be partly minimized by a proper choice of the excitation wavelength (close to the isobestic points of the absorption spectra) and two-wavelengths detection (in ON → OFF and OFF → ON...
modes, at shorter and longer wavelengths, respectively). We should also mention that the changes of the emission color in the presence of Hg$^{2+}$ cations are pronounced and can be easily detected by naked eyes. Qualitatively, there is no observable interference in the emission color of \{FNF + Hg(ClO$_4$)$_2$\} solutions under hand-held UV lamp (365 nm) irradiation by other metal cations (see movies in the ESI).

**FNF** is not a unique compound with this respect as a selective sensor for Hg$^{2+}$. Other oligomers also show similar bathochromic shifts in their absorption/emission spectra and changes of the color of their emission in the presence of Hg$^{2+}$ cations. Thus, upon addition Hg(ClO$_4$)$_2$, the emission of FFNFF solution is changed from blue to yellowish-green ($\lambda_{PL}: 423, 443$ nm $\rightarrow 538$ nm) and the emission of FNoF solution is changed from yellow to orange ($\lambda_{PL}: 569$ nm $\rightarrow 617$ nm) (Figure S16 in the ESI). Other studied cations do not change the emission colors of FFNFF and FNoF solutions (see movies in the ESI).

Further optimization of the conditions (concentration of 4,5-diazfluorene conjugated ligand, solvent, two-wavelength monitoring, as well as new molecular design to separate the LE emission if a free molecule and ICT emission in the complex) can further improve the sensitivity and the selectivity of this type of fluorescent sensors toward mercury cations.

### 3 Conclusion

A series of 4,5-diazafluorene (N) co-oligomers with fluorene (F) and dibenzothiophene-S,S-dioxide (S) have been synthesized, i.e. **FNF, FFNFF, FNoF, SNS, and NSN** (**No** is 4,5-diazafluorenone). Electrochemical studies reveal that incorporation of electron-deficient N unit decreases the LUMO energy levels compared to corresponding oligofluorenes. Combination of N moiety with the other electron-deficient building block S results in the oligomers (SNS and NSN) with substantially improved electron affinities (by 0.37 – 0.38 eV from cyclic voltammetry measurements, 0.57 – 0.65 eV from DFT calculations). **FNF, FFNFF, SNS, and NSN** oligomers are strongly fluorescent materials emitting in the blue region (~400 – 450 nm) with high photoluminescence quantum yields both in solution ($\Phi_{PL} = 84 – 100\%$) and in the solid state ($\Phi_{PL} = 24 – 32\%$). **FNoF** possesses lower HOMO–LUMO gap compared to other oligomers due to its charge-transfer character and shows a shift of the emission to the green region ($\lambda_{PL} = 570 – 575$ nm) and substantial quenching the emission in solution down to $\Phi_{PL} = 1\%$. In the solid state, however, its emission is unexpectedly increased to 10–17\%.

Studies of the ion sensing properties of **FNF** in solution with a series of metal cations (Li$^+$, Na$^+$, Ag$^+$, Cu$^{2+}$, Mg$^{2+}$, Ba$^{2+}$, Ni$^{2+}$, Pb$^{2+}$ and Hg$^{2+}$) showed high sensitivity of its absorption and emission spectra toward Hg$^{2+}$ cations. In contrast to other cations, which showed no or very small effect on the absorption and fluorescence spectra of **FNF**, an addition of mercury salt strongly
quenches the blue emission ($\lambda_{PL} = 404$ nm) of FNF and results in an appearance of the other, bathochromically shifted emission band at $\lambda_{PL} = 507$ nm. Thus, monitoring the fluorescence of FNF at these two wavelengths [ON $\rightarrow$ OFF (in blue region) and OFF $\rightarrow$ ON (in green region)] allows selectively recognize Hg$^{2+}$. It has been demonstrated that an interaction of FNF with Hg$^{2+}$ leads to formation of 2:1 complex whose emission is bathochromically shifted due to an increased intramolecular charge transfer character in the molecule. As such, 4,5-diazafluorene-based conjugates represent promising class of ON $\rightarrow$ OFF // OFF $\rightarrow$ ON fluorescent sensors for selective detection of toxic mercury cations. Our work on the design of more sensitive and selective fluorescent sensors for Hg$^{2+}$ based on 4,5-diazafluorene oligomers and polymers is in progress.

Acknowledgement

We thank the LCRI (Low Carbon Research Institute) programme for funding (Welsh European Funding Office, Grant WEFO-80366). S.G. thanks to Bangor University for a 125 Anniversary Scholarship. M.H.A. thanks to The Higher Committee for Education Development in Iraq (HCED) for a Scholarship to do his PhD studies at Bangor University. M.P.K. thanks LCRI and Bangor University for a postdoctoral fellowship.

References

4,5-Diazafluorene co-oligomers combine improved electron affinity with strong fluorescence and can be used as electron transporting and light-emitting materials, as well as fluorescent sensors for Hg$^{2+}$ cations in a dual ON $\rightarrow$ OFF and OFF $\rightarrow$ ON mode.
Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI)

4,5-Diazafluorene co-oligomers as electron-deficient light-emitting materials and selective fluorescence sensors for mercury(II) cations

Sanjay Ghosh, Abdulaziz S. Alghunaim, Mohammed H. Al-mashhadani, Michal P. Krompiec, Megan Hallett, and Igor F. Perepichka*

School of Chemistry, Bangor University, Bangor, LL57 2UW, UK

*E-mail: i.perepichka@bangor.ac.uk; i_perepichka@yahoo.com; Tel: +44–(0)1248–382386

Materials and Instrumentations

All chemicals and solvents were purchased from either Aldrich, Alfa Aesar or Fischer Scientific and were used without further purification unless stated otherwise. Tetrahydrofuran (THF) was refluxed over sodium benzophenone ketyl (sodium metal + benzophenone) under argon and distilled off directly prior using the solvent for cyclic voltammetry and absorption/emission spectral measurements. Manual purification of the products was performed by column chromatography silica gel LC60 (40–60 μM). Some products were purified using Telydyne Isco automatic flash chromatograph, model Combiflash Rf 200 using Biotage disposable PTFE columns, hand-filled with silica gel LC60 (40–60 μM). For monitoring the progress of the reactions and control the products, thin layer chromatography (TLC) on pre-coated silica gel (Merck, 20 × 20 cm, Silica gel 60 F254) was used.

1H NMR, 13C NMR and DEPT-135 C NMR spectra were recorded either on a Bruker Avance 400 MHz or Bruker Avance 500 MHz in CDCl3 or DMSO-d6. Chemical shifts are reported in ppm, relative to tetramethylsilane (TMS) reference (δ = 0.00 ppm). The following abbreviations were used to assign NMR spectra: s = singlet, d = doublet, t = triplet, dd = doublet of doublet, td = doublet of triplet. Mass spectra were recorded on GC-MS 5890 (Hewlett Packard Series II) or microTOF LC Bruker Daltonics mass spectrometers. Microwave assisted reactions were performed on a CEM Discover SP microwave reactor. Spin-coated films were prepared using spin coater from Laurel Technologies, Model WS-650Mz-23NPP/LITE.

Absorption and emission spectra, and the photoluminescence quantum yields
Shimadzu UV-3600 UV-Vis-NIR spectrophotometer and Horiba Yvon Fluoromax-4 spectrofluorometer were used for recording absorption and photoluminescence spectra at room temperature. Absorption and emission spectra in solutions were measured using HPLC grade solvents in 10 mm path length quartz cells. Solid state measurements were performed for spin-coated films deposited on 12.5 mm circular quartz windows. The films were prepared by spin coating from oligomer solutions (1–3 mg per 1 mL of DCM) at 3000-4000 rpm. Photoluminescence quantum yields (PLQY, Φpl) in solutions for all oligomers were measured in HPLC grade dichloromethane (DCM) at room temperature according to the described method. The values of Φpl were calculated according to the following formula:

Φpl = Φr × (A/Ar) × (ODr/OD) × (n²/nr²)

where Φpl is a photoluminescence quantum yield, A is an integral intensity of the emission, OD is an optical density, and n is a refractive index of the used solvent. The subscript r refers to the reference fluorophore of known quantum yield [9,10-diphenylanthracene (DPA), diluted solution in cyclohexane, Φr = 90%). The solutions were deoxygenated by bubbling with argon for about 10 minutes before the measurements. Corrected emission and absorption spectrum was used to
calculate the quantum yields. Absolute $\Phi_{PL}$ in solutions and in the solid state were measured for spin-coated films on quartz substrates using calibrated integrating sphere Horiba F-3018 on Horiba Jobin Yvon Fluoromax-4 spectrofluorometer at room temperature, and calculated by Horiba Yvon quantum yield calculator software. CIE 1931 color space have been calculated using OSRAM Color Calculator v. 7.23.

**Table S1** Determination of photoluminescence quantum yields (PLQY, $\Phi_{PL}$) of oligomers in degassed DCM.

| Compound | Integral intensity of the emission $A$ ($\lambda_{ex} = 350$ nm) | Optical density OD (a.u.) | PLQY, $\Phi_{PL}$ (%)
|----------|---------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|
| 9,10-DPA$^a$ | $7.53 \times 10^7$ | 0.05 | (90)
| FNoF$^b$ | $9.75 \times 10^5$ | 0.05 | 1
| FFNFF | $1.04 \times 10^8$ | 0.07 | 84
| FNF | $1.13 \times 10^8$ | 0.07 | 93
| SNS | $1.21 \times 10^8$ | 0.07 | 101
| NSN | $8.54 \times 10^7$ | 0.05 | 89

$^a$ Diluted solution of DPA in degassed cyclohexane ($\Phi_r = 90\%$) was used as fluorophore standards.$^2$

$^b \lambda_{ex} = 370$ nm

**Experimental procedure for the metal cations sensing study of FNF.**

The metal salts used in these studies LiClO$_4$, NaClO$_4$, AgClO$_4$, Mg(ClO$_4$)$_2$, Ba(ClO$_4$)$_2$, Cu(BF$_4$)$_2$, Ni(NO$_3$)$_2$, Pb(ClO$_4$)$_2$ and Hg(ClO$_4$)$_2$ were dried under high vacuum ($10^{-3}$ mbar) for at least 24 hours prior to using them for preparation of solutions in dry THF [hygroscopic salts were preliminary dried in an oven at 170 °C for several hours]. The stoichiometry of the coordination complex with Hg$^{2+}$ was determined using Job's method.$^3,4$ The absorption and photoluminescence spectra for titration of FNF with HgClO$_4$ are shown in Figure S10. The corresponding Job's plot using both fluorescence and absorption intensity (Figure S11) reveal 2:1 (FNF:Hg$^{2+}$, mole ratio) stoichiometry for the [FNF-Hg$^{2+}$] adduct. The corresponding structure of the possible complex formation is shown on Figure S12.

Measurements of known concentraions of 2[FNF]:Hg$^{2+}$ complex emission intensity can be used to determine the unknown concentration of Hg$^{2+}$ in a given sample by plotting calibration graph of the emission intensity vs concentration. Photoluminescence titration of FNF with Hg$^{2+}$ ions showed that an intensity of the long wavelength emission band at 507 nm of FNF-Hg$^{2+}$ complex gradually increased with an increase of Hg$^{2+}$ ions up to certain limit that corresponds to the 2:1 ratio (Figure S13b). Linear increase in the fluorescence intensity with an increase of Hg$^{2+}$ concentration added to the FNF solution in THF is observed in the range of ca. 0 – 2.5 μM that allowed to estimate the detection limit to be ca. 1.5–2 × 10$^{-7}$ M.

**Cyclic voltammetry**

Cyclic voltammetry experiments were conducted in a standard three-electrode configuration, using Metrohm Autolab PGSTAT-302N potentiostat/galvanostat, with iR drop compensation. Platinum disk electrode ($d = 1.5$ or 2 mm) and platinum wire ($d = 0.2$ mm) were used as the working and counter electrodes, respectively. The reference electrode was Ag/Ag$^{+}$ (silver wire immersed in a mixture of 0.01 M AgNO$_3$ and 0.1 M Bu$_4$NPF$_6$ in acetonitrile, separated from the solution by a Vycor frit). Potentials are referenced to half-wave potential ($E_{1/2}$) of ferrocene, which was used as an internal standard. The average potentials of Fc/Fc$^+$ vs Ag/Ag$^{+}$ in our conditions were $E_{1/2} = 0.20–0.22$ V (in DCM) and 0.19–0.21 V (in THF). Oxidation scans were performed in DCM containing 0.2 M Bu$_4$NPF$_6$, reduction scans were carried out in a freshly distilled THF containing 0.2 M Bu$_4$NPF$_6$, under argon. The CV were recorded at the scan rate of 100 mV/s.
Computational studies

All the computational studies were performed with Gaussian 09 package of programs\(^5\) using the density functional theory method (DFT). The hybrid functional B3LYP, which combines Becke's exchange\(^6\) and Lee, Yang, Paar's correlation functional\(^7\) with 6-31G or 6-311G basis sets supplemented by (d) or (d,p) polarization functions were used for calculations. The calculations were performed either in a gas phase or in acetonitrile (using polarizable continuum model, PCM). The restricted Hartree-Fock formalism was used. No constraints were used and all structures were free to optimize. The force constants and vibrational frequency for stationary points have been calculated after optimizations to check that they are true minima. To decrease the computation time, all the oligomers geometries were optimized with ethyl substituents at the positions 9,9- of fluorene and 4,5-diazafluorene (instead of longer hexyl or octyl substituents in experimental work). This was shown have no effect on the HOMO/LUMO energy levels of the co-oligomers. The visualization of the orbital coefficients was performed with GaussView 5.0 software.

**Figure S1.** Optimized structures of conjugated oligomers calculated at DFT/B3LYP/6-31G(d) level. For simplicity, H atoms are omitted and tube framework used with colors blue = nitrogen, red = oxygen, and yellow = sulphur atoms using GaussView 05 software.

**Table S2.** Dipole moments of the optimized geometries of studied co-oligomers calculated by DFT/B3LYP using different basis sets in a gas phase and in ACN.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>6-31G(d) ACN</th>
<th>6-31G(d,p) gas phase</th>
<th>6-31G(d,p) ACN</th>
<th>6-311G(d,p) gas phase</th>
<th>6-311G(d,p) ACN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FNoF</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FFNFF</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>5.43</td>
<td>3.47</td>
<td>5.38</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FNF</td>
<td>3.44</td>
<td>5.06</td>
<td>3.43</td>
<td>5.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNS</td>
<td>12.54</td>
<td>17.44</td>
<td>12.76</td>
<td>18.23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSN</td>
<td>11.51</td>
<td>18.88</td>
<td>11.51</td>
<td>17.08</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure S2. HOMO and LUMO orbital coefficients of 4,5-diazafluorene co-oligomers FNoF, FFNFF, FNF, SNS, and NSN by DFT/B3LYP/6-31G(d) calculations in a gas phase (surface isovalue = 0.02).
Figure S3 Frontier orbitals energy levels of 4,5-diazafluorene co-oligomers by DFT/B3LYP/6-31G(d) calculations in a gas phase.

Figure S4 HOMO, LUMO (a) and HOMO–LUMO gaps, $E_g$ (b) of 4,5-diazafluorene co-oligomers calculated by DFT/B3LYP using different basis sets and polarization functions [6-31G(d), 6-31G(d,p) and 6-311G(d,p)] in a gas phase and in acetonitrile, ACN (by PCM model).
**Figure S5** Photoluminescence spectra of FNF in the solid state (spin-coated-films from chloroform solution) before and after thermal annealing on an air. The measurements have been performed on an integrating sphere (the shown spectra have not corrected on the sphere background).

**Table S3** Absorption and photoluminescence maxima of FNF, FFNFF and FNoF co-oligomers in different solvents.a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Solvent</th>
<th>λ&lt;sub&gt;abs&lt;/sub&gt; (nm)</th>
<th>λ&lt;sub&gt;PL&lt;/sub&gt; (nm)</th>
<th>λ&lt;sub&gt;abs&lt;/sub&gt; (nm)</th>
<th>λ&lt;sub&gt;PL&lt;/sub&gt; (nm)</th>
<th>λ&lt;sub&gt;abs&lt;/sub&gt; (nm)</th>
<th>λ&lt;sub&gt;PL&lt;/sub&gt; (nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hexane</td>
<td>358.5</td>
<td>398, 418, 445sh</td>
<td>324, 372</td>
<td>413.5, 436</td>
<td>359, 417sh</td>
<td>500sh, 529, 560sh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toluene</td>
<td>363</td>
<td>404.5, 426, 450sh</td>
<td>326, 377</td>
<td>420.5, 443</td>
<td>364.5, 422sh</td>
<td>558</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCM</td>
<td>366</td>
<td>409, 430sh</td>
<td>328, 378</td>
<td>429, 446sh</td>
<td>366, 440sh</td>
<td>575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THF</td>
<td>365</td>
<td>404, 426, 450sh</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACN</td>
<td>364</td>
<td>407, 424, 450sh</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>363, 427sh</td>
<td>606</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EtOH</td>
<td>367</td>
<td>415, 430sh</td>
<td>327, 379</td>
<td>446</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a The data are from the spectra shown on Figures 2a,b, 3a-c and 6a,b.

**Figure S6.** Solvatochromic shifts of the emission maxima ($\nu_{PL,max} = 1/\lambda_{PL,max}$) for FNF against the solvent polarity parameter [Lippert-Mataga equation, $\Delta f = (\varepsilon - 1)/(2\varepsilon + 1) - (n^2 - 1)/(n^2 + 1)$, where $\varepsilon$ is a dielectric permittivity and $n$ is a refractive index of a solvent].
Figure S7 Changes in UV-Vis absorption (a) and photoluminescence (b) spectra of FNF [~10 μM] in THF at various concentrations of methanesulfonic acid (0.05 – 40 mM).

(c) As seen in Figure S7b, the intensity of PL (at λ_{PL} = 510 nm) of the protonated FNF is lower than PL intensity of the neutral FNF (λ_{PL} ~ 410 nm). This is, partially, because the absorption of FNF at the excitation wavelength (λ_{ex} = 365 nm) is decreased on protonation (see Figure S7a).

Therefore, on the graph (c) we have corrected PL spectra to their absorption intensities at 365 nm: the intensities of PL have been multiplied by [Abs^{365}(FNF+CH_3SO_3H)/Abs^{365}(FNF)] and normalized to PL intensity of FNF.

(d,e) The bottom photographs show changes of the color of FNF solution in THF upon addition of a large excess of CH_3SO_3H: (e) under day light illumination [from colorless to light yellow] and (f) under 366 nm UV-lamp irradiation [from deep blue to green fluorescence].
Figure S8. CIE 1931 color space diagrams of the emission spectra of FNF [~1.4 μM] solutions in THF in presence of various metal cations [~14 μM]. The PL spectra have been taken from Figures 7b (left diagram) and Figure 7d (right diagram). Triangle corresponds to sRGB gamut.

For PL spectra, excitations are at the wavelengths close to the absorption maxima: $\lambda_{ex} = 365$ nm (cation-free, Li$^+$, Na$^+$, Cu$^{2+}$, Mg$^{2+}$, Ba$^{2+}$), 367 nm (Ag$^+$), 371 nm (Ni$^{2+}$), 379 nm (Pb$^{2+}$), 395 nm (Hg$^{2+}$).
Figure S9. Changes in the absorption (a,c) and photoluminescence (b,d) spectra of FNF [~10 μM] solutions in THF in presence of Hg(ClO₄)₂ to demonstrate the reversibility of complexation.

(a,b) Concentration of Hg(ClO₄)₂ was increased for 0 to 6.5 μM:
(a) an absorption of FNF at 365 nm disappear on the cost of an appearance of red-shifted absorption of the complex FNF/Hg²⁺ at 405 nm;
(b) no emission is observed for an excitation of FNF 415 nm; and addition of Hg(ClO₄)₂ results in an appearance of emission at ~515 nm (OFF → ON).

(c,d) The \{FNF [~10 μM] + Hg(ClO₄)₂ [6.5 μM]\} from experiments (a,b) was diluted with a solution of FNF [~10 μM]. This kept the concentration of FNF constant, but the concentration of Hg(ClO₄)₂ was decreased from 6.5 μM to <1 μM:
(c) long-wavelength absorption of the complex FNF/Hg²⁺ is decreased and an absorption of free FNF is growing;
(d) on decrease of the concentration of Hg(ClO₄)₂, the emission of the complex is decreased and then disappear.
Figure S10. (a) UV-Vis absorption and (b) emission spectra of mixtures of equal concentrations (20 μM each) of FNF and Hg(ClO₄)₂ in different ratios (in THF) keeping the total volume of the solution $V_{\text{FNF}} + V_{\text{Hg(ClO}_4)_2} = 10 \text{ mL}$; $\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 415$ nm.
Figure S11. Job's plots for the mixtures of FNF (20 μM) and Hg(ClO₄)₂ (20 μM) at different ratios in THF: (a) absorption intensities at 415 nm; (b) PL intensities at 510 nm (λ_ex = 415 nm). The values have been taken from the data in Figure S5a,b.

![Job's plot for FNF and Hg(ClO₄)₂](image)

Figure S12. (Top) The reaction scheme of 2[FNF]:Hg²⁺ complex formation. (Bottom) The photographs show changes of the color of FNF solution in THF upon addition of Hg(ClO₄)₂: (left) under day light illumination [from colorless to light yellow] and (right) under 365 nm UV-lamp irradiation [from deep blue to green fluorescence].

![Reactive scheme and color changes](image)
Figure S13 (a) Changes in the photoluminescence spectra of FNF (10 µM) on titration with Hg(ClO₄)₂ (0 - 54.2 µM) in THF (excited at 410 nm). (b) PL intensity measured at 510 nm versus concentration of Hg(ClO₄)₂ (0-54.25 µM), (PL max is observed at [FNF]:[Hg(ClO₄)₂] ~2:1). (c) Linear dependence of the intensity of PL measured at 510 nm versus [Hg(ClO₄)₂] at low concentrations of 0 - 2.54 µM.
Figure S14  Absorption and photoluminescence spectra of THF solutions of FNF [~10 μM] and Hg(ClO4)2 [~5 μM]} in presence of NaClO4, Cu(BF4)2 and Ba(ClO4)2 salts to show the interference of Hg2+ detection by other cations (Na+, Cu2+ and Ba2+).
Figure S15 Absorption and photoluminescence spectra of THF solutions of FNF [~10 μM] and Hg(ClO₄)₂ [~5 μM] in presence of Mg(ClO₄)₂, AgClO₄ and Ni(NO₃)₂ salts to show the interference of Hg²⁺ detection by other cations (Mg²⁺, Ag⁺ and Ni²⁺).
**Figure S16** Absorption (a,b) and photoluminescence (c,d) spectra of FFNFF (a,c) FNoF (b,d) in THF in cation-free solutions and in presence of Hg(ClO$_4$)$_2$. The photographs of FFNFF (e) and FNoF (f) solutions in THF under 365 nm UV-lamp irradiation before (left photographs) and after (right photographs) addition of Hg(ClO$_4$)$_2$. CIE 1931 color space diagrams on the top of the photographs show the changes of the emission colors (calculated from Figures S16c,d) of FFNFF and FNoF (circles) upon addition of Hg(ClO$_4$)$_2$ (squares).
Synthesis

Synthesis of intermediate compounds 1 – 8. 9,9-Dihexylfluoren-2-yl boronic acid 2, 2,7-dibromo-4,5-diazafluoren-9-one 3, compounds 4, 5, 6, and 7 were obtained as described previously. Synthesis of compound 6 and 8 was performed similar to literature procedure with slight modification described below.

2,7-Dibromo-9,9-dihexylfluorene-4,5-diazafluorene (1)

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Br} & \quad \text{Br} \\
\text{N} & \quad \text{N} \\
\text{Br} & \quad \text{Br}
\end{align*}
\]

Under nitrogen, to a stirred solution of 2,7-dibromo-4,5-diazafluorene (1a) (1.00 g, 3.06 mmol) in dry THF (20 mL), n-iodohexane (0.95 mL, 6.44 mmol) was added at -5 °C. After that, a solution of potassium tert-butoxide (720 mg, 6.44 mmol) in dry THF (15 mL) was added over a period of 50 min keeping the temperature at -5 °C. The reaction mixture was allowed to warm up slowly to room temperature and stirred at room temperature for 32 hours. The solvent was removed on rotary evaporator and the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (column size = 2 × 20 cm) eluting with isoctane:ethyl acetate (EA) = 10:1, v/v to afford the crude product (1.21 g) as a yellow solid. The crude product was further purified by recrystallization from a mixture of isopropanol:water, 5:1 (25 mL) to yield compound 1 (1.04 g, 69%) as a light yellow solid.

\[\begin{align*}
\delta (\text{ppm}) & = 8.75 (2\text{H}, \text{d}, J = 2.0 \text{ Hz}), 7.84 (2\text{H}, \text{d}, J = 2.0 \text{ Hz}), 2.06–1.87 (4\text{H}, \text{m}, \text{CH}_2\text{C}_5\text{H}_{11}), 1.22–0.97 (12\text{H}, \text{m}, \text{C}_2\text{H}_4(\text{CH}_2)_3\text{CH}_3), 0.79 (6\text{H}, \text{t}, J = 7.1 \text{ Hz}, \text{C}_5\text{H}_{10}\text{CH}_3), 0.73–0.60 (4\text{H}, \text{m}, \text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{C}_4\text{H}_9).
\end{align*}\]

\[\begin{align*}
\delta (\text{ppm}) & = 155.93, 150.93, 146.59, 133.50, 120.79, 51.56, 39.01, 31.35, 29.45, 24.01, 22.49, 13.93.
\end{align*}\]

\[\begin{align*}
\delta (\text{ppm}) & = 156.04, 150.93 (\text{CH}), 146.59, 133.50 (\text{CH}), 120.79, 51.70 (\text{C-9}), 39.01 (\text{CH}_2\text{C}_5\text{H}_{11}), 31.35 (\text{C}_2\text{H}_4(\text{CH}_2)_3\text{CH}_3), 29.45(\text{C}_5\text{H}_{10}\text{CH}_3), 24.01 (\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{C}_4\text{H}_9), 22.49 (\text{C}_4\text{H}_8\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_3), 13.93 (\text{C}_5\text{H}_{10}\text{CH}_3).
\end{align*}\]

\[\begin{align*}
\text{MS} (\text{ESI}^+) \text{m/z: } 491.97 ([\text{M+H}]^+, 50\%), 394.00 ([\text{M+H}]^+, 100\%), 79\text{Br}/81\text{Br}, 495.92 ([\text{M+H}]^+, 51\%, 81\text{Br}, 81\text{Br}). \text{Calcd. for C}_{23}\text{H}_{30}\text{Br}_2\text{N}_2: } 492.08.
\end{align*}\]

3-(4,4,5,5-Tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)dibenzothiophenes-S,S-dioxide (6)

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Br} & \quad \text{Br} \\
\text{Pd(0)} & \quad \text{Br} \\
\text{KOAc, Dioxane, 100 °C, 32 h}
\end{align*}
\]

Under argon, a two-necked flask (100 mL) was charged with 3-bromodibenzothiophene-S,S-dioxide (1b) (1.01 g, 3.39 mmol), bis(pinacolato) diboron (1.29 g, 5.08 mmol), anhydrous KOAc (1.25 g, 12.73 mmol), 1,1'-bis(diphenylphosphino)ferrocene (dppf) (70 mg, 0.13 mmol) and dry dioxane (50 mL). The mixture was degassed by bubbling with argon for 15 minutes before Pd(dppf)Cl₂·DCM (104 mg, 3 mol%) was added and the mixture was degassed for another 15 minutes. The mixture was heated at 100 °C for 32 hours under argon atmosphere. After cooling to room temperature, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was diluted with water (50 mL). The aqueous solution was then extracted with DCM (2 × 50 mL), washed with water (2 × 20 mL) and dried over anhydrous MgSO₄. The DCM solution (dark color) was then passed through a short silica gel bed eluting with DCM (100 mL) to give a clear solution which was concentrated to afford the product 6 as an off white solid (1.05 g, 91%). According to \(^1\text{H NMR, the purity of the sample is >80%}, with the main other component being the unreacted excess of bis(pinacolato) diboron. The product was used in the next reaction step without further purification.
**1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃):** δ (ppm) 8.28 (1 H, s), 8.05 (1 H, dd, J = 7.7, 0.7 Hz), 7.80 (3 H, m), 7.64 (1 H, td, J = 7.7, 1.0 Hz), 7.54 (1 H, td, J = 7.6, 0.8 Hz), 1.36 (12 H, s).

**13C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃):** δ (ppm) 140.12, 138.10, 137.13, 133.81, 133.80, 132.03, 131.54, 130.75, 128.34, 122.16, 121.93, 120.75, 84.56, 24.87.

**DEPT-135 13C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃):** δ (ppm) 140.12 (CH), 138.10, 137.13, 133.81 (CH), 131.54, 130.75 (CH), 128.35 (CH), 122.17 (CH), 121.93 (CH), 120.75 (CH), 83.50, 24.87 (CH₃).

**MS (EI) m/z:** 341.99 ([M]+, 100%). Calcd. for C₁₈H₁₉BO₄S: 342.11.

**3,7-Bis(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)dibenzo thiophene-S,S-dioxide (8)**

Under nitrogen, a two-necked flask (100 mL) was charged with 3,8-dibromodibenzo thiophene-S,S-dioxide (1c)⁸ (1.01 g, 2.70 mmol), bis(pinacolato)diboron (1.49 g, 5.88 mmol), anhydrous KOAc (1.57 g, 15.99 mmol), dppf (89 mg, 0.16 mmol) and dry dioxane (50 mL) and degassed with argon for 15 min. Pd(dppf)Cl₂·DCM (131 mg, 0.16 mmol) was added and the mixture was degassed for another 15 min. The mixture was heated at 100 °C for 32 hours under argon atmosphere. After cooling to room temperature, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was diluted with water (50 mL). The aqueous solution was extracted with DCM (2 × 50 mL), washed with water (2 × 20 mL) and dried with anhydrous MgSO₄. The DCM solution (dark color) was then passed through a short silica gel bed eluting with dichloromethane (100 mL) to give a clear solution which was concentrated to afford the product 8 as an off white solid (1.01 g, 85%).

**1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃):** δ (ppm) 8.28 (1H, s), 8.05 (1H, d, J = 8.1 Hz), 7.80 (1H, d, J = 7.7 Hz), 1.36 (12H, s).

**13C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃):** δ (ppm) 140.05 (CH), 137.50, 133.73, 132.37 (br.), 128.33 (CH), 121.09 (CH), 84.56, 24.87 (CH₃).

**DEPT-135 13C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃):** δ (ppm) 140.05 (CH), 137.50, 133.73, 128.33 (CH), 121.09 (CH), 84.56, 24.87 (CH₃).

**MS (EI) m/z:** 468.12 ([M]+, 100%). Calcd. for C₂₄H₃₀B₂O₆S: 468.19.

**Synthesis of the oligomers.** General procedure of Pd-catalyzed C–C coupling in the synthesis of conjugated oligomers: under inert atmosphere, flame heated three-neck flask fitted with argon (or nitrogen inlet) was charged with aryl boronic acid (or ester), arylbromide, base and degassed solvents. The mixture was degassed with argon for 15 minutes before adding Pd catalyst and degassed again for another 15–20 minutes. The degassed mixture was stirred under reflux for a required time. After cooling, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure on a rotary evaporator. The residue was dissolved in EA/DCM, washed with water, dried over MgSO₄, and evaporated to afford the crude product, which was then purified by flash/column chromatography on silica gel eluting with appropriate solvents.

**3,7-Bis(9,9-dihexylfluoren-2-yl)-9,9-dihexyl-4,5-diazafluorene (FNF)**
Under nitrogen, to a mixture of 2,7-dibromo-9,9-dihexyl-4,5-diazafluorene (1) (245 mg, 0.49 mmol), 9,9-dihexylfluorene-2-boronic acid (2) (382 mg, 1.01 mmol) and Pd(PPh3)2Cl2 (7 mg, 2 mol%), degassed 2M aqueous K2CO3 (4 mL, 8 mmol) and 1,4-dioxane (10 mL) were added via syringe. The mixture was stirred under nitrogen with heating at 110 °C (oil bath) for 24 hours with protection from the sunlight. The mixture was cooled down to room temperature and the resulting slurry was poured into 5% NaCl aqueous solution (50 mL). The precipitate was collected by filtration, washed with water (3 × 20 mL), dried in vacuo to afford the crude product (448 mg, 88%) as a brown solid. The crude product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel, eluting first with PE to remove byproducts and then with PE:EA mixture, with gradual increase of EA contents from 2% to 6%, to yield product FNF (353 mg, 70.5%) as a light yellow solid.

1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl3): δ (ppm) 9.01 (2H, d, J = 1.9 Hz), 7.93 (2H, d, J = 2.0 Hz), 7.83 (2H, d, J = 7.8 Hz), 7.78–7.76 (2H, m), 7.67–7.63 (4H, m), 7.40–7.34 (6H, m), 2.16–2.12 (4H, m), 2.08–2.03 (8H, m), 1.24–1.01 (36H, m), 0.89–0.82 (4H, m) 0.81–0.64 (26H, m).

13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3): δ (ppm) 151.92, 151.07, 148.38 (CH), 145.72, 141.47 (× 2), 140.37 (× 2), 136.78, 128.93 (CH), 127.45 (CH), 126.91 (CH), 126.32 (CH), 123.01 (CH), 121.53 (CH), 120.26 (CH), 119.96 (CH), 55.33, 51.63, 40.29, 39.23, 31.44, 31.35, 29.62, 29.52, 24.13, 23.77, 22.52, 22.45, 13.97, 13.93.

DEPT-135 13C NMR (100MHz, CDCl3): δ (ppm) 151.87, 151.05, 148.72 (CH), 145.42, 141.32, 140.42, 137.05, 136.52, 128.66 (CH), 127.40 (CH), 126.90 (CH), 126.32 (CH), 123.01 (CH), 121.48 (CH), 120.23 (CH), 119.94 (CH), 55.31 (C-9), 40.30, 39.29, 31.46, 31.39, 29.64, 29.56, 24.13, 23.77, 22.54, 22.48, [14.00, 13.96 (CH3)].

MS (ESI+) m/z: 1001.76 ([M + H]+, 100%). Calcd. for C73H96N2: 1000.76.

9,9-Dihexyl-2,7-bis(9,9,9',9'-tetrahexyl-9H,9'H-[2,2'-bifluoren]-7-yl)-9H-4,5-diazafluorene (FFNFF)

Under nitrogen, to a 35 mL thick-wall glass microwave reaction tube, 2,7-dibromo-9,9-dihexyl-4,5-diazfluorene (1) (10 mg, 0.02 mmol), 9,9,9',9'-tetrahexyl-2,2'-bifluoren-7-yl-boronic acid (4) (41 mg, 0.057 mmol), Pd(PPh3)4 (3 mg, 10 mol%), 10% K2CO3 aqueous solution (0.5 mL, 0.4 mmol), ethanol (0.5 mL) and toluene (3 mL) were added. The reaction mixture was degassed for 15 minutes with argon and then irradiated with microwave (150 W) keeping the temperature at 150 °C in a microwave reactor for 4 hours. The reaction mixture was cooled down to room temperature and the solvent was evaporated. The residual slurry was poured into 5% NaCl aqueous solution, the product was extracted with chloroform (2 × 15 mL), the combined organic layers were washed with water until pH = 7, dried over anhydrous MgSO4 and the solvent was evaporated to afford the crude product (45 mg) as a yellowish solid. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel, eluting first with PE, then with gradient increase to PE:EA, 4:1 to yield pure product FNF (21 mg, 61%) as a light yellow solid.

1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3): δ (ppm) 9.04 (2H, d, J = 1.8 Hz), 7.96 (2H, d, J = 1.8 Hz), 7.89–7.63 (20H, m), 7.39–7.29 (6H, m), 2.18–1.98 (20H, m), 1.22–1.02 (60H, m), 0.92–0.64 (50H, m).
13C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl3): δ 157.22, 152.20, 151.52, 151.02, 148.73, 145.55, 141.05, 141.01, 140.76, 140.45, 140.37, 139.57, 137.02, 136.55, 128.68, 127.05, 126.81, 126.44, 126.28, 126.07, 122.95, 121.61, 121.59, 121.46, 120.32, 120.20, 119.92, 119.75, 55.48, 55.19, 51.51, 40.38, 40.29, 39.32, 31.48, 31.44, 31.41, 29.70, 29.61, 29.58, 24.16, 23.85, 23.79, 22.57, 22.54, 22.50, 14.02, 13.98.

DEPT-135 13C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl3): δ 157.21, 152.20, 151.84, 151.52, 151.02, 148.73 (CH), 145.55, 141.05, 141.01, 140.76 (CH), 140.45 (CH), 140.37 (CH), 139.57, 137.02 (CH), 136.55 (CH), 128.68 (CH), 127.05 (CH), 126.81 (CH), 126.44 (CH), 126.27 (CH), 126.07 (CH), 122.95 (CH), 121.60 (CH), 121.59 (CH), 121.46 (CH), 120.32 (CH), 119.92 (CH), 119.75 (CH), 55.48, 55.19 (C-9), 51.51 (CH2), 40.38, 40.29, 39.32 (CH2), 31.48, 31.44, 31.41 (CH2), 29.70, 29.61, 29.58 (CH2), 24.16, 23.85, 23.79 (CH2), 22.57, 22.54, 22.50 (CH2), 14.02, 13.98 (CH3).

MS (MALDI TOF) m/z: 1666.81 ([M + H]+, 100%). Calcd. for C123H160N2: 1665.26.

3,7-Bis(9,9-dihexylfluoren-2-yl)-4,5-diazafluoren-9-one (FNoF)

Under nitrogen, to a three-necked flask containing 2,7-dibromo-4,5-diazafluoren-9-one (3) (201 mg, 0.581 mmol), 9,9-dihexylfluoren-2-boronic acid (2) (453 mg, 1.20 mmol) and Pd(PPh3)2Cl2 (9 mg, 2 mol%), degassed 2M aqueous K2CO3 (4 mL, 8 mmol) and 1,4-dioxane (10 mL) were added via a syringe. The reaction mixture was stirred under reflux (oil bath, 110 °C) for 24 hours under nitrogen, with protection from the sunlight. The reaction mixture was cooled down to room temperature and the resulting slurry was poured into 5% NaCl aqueous solution. The product was extracted with DCM (2 × 25 mL), the combined organic layer was washed with water until pH = 7, dried over anhydrous MgSO4, filtered off and the solvent was evaporated to afford the crude product (405 mg, 79%) as a yellow solid. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel, eluting first with PE and then with PE:DCM mixture (gradient from 1:1 to 1:4 v/v ratio) to yield pure product FNoF (252 mg, 49%) as a yellow solid.

1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3): δ (ppm) 9.12 (2H, d, J = 2.1 Hz), 8.28 (2H, d, J = 2.1 Hz, s), 7.83 (2H, d, J = 7.9 Hz), 7.77–7.75 (2H, m), 7.65 (2H, d, J = 7.8, 1.5 Hz), 7.60 (2H, d, J = 1.2 Hz), 7.40–7.36 (6H, m), 2.06–2.02 (8H, m, CH2C5H11), 1.13–1.02 (24H, m, C2H4(CH2)3 CH3), 0.77 (12H, t, J = 6.8 Hz, CH3), 0.70–0.61 (8H, m, CH2CH2C4H9).

13C NMR (100MHz, CDCl3): δ (ppm) 190.18 (CO), 161.71, 153.77 (CH), 152.08, 151.07, 142.18, 140.15, 138.50, 135.23, 130.02, 129.65 (CH), 127.72 (CH), 126.98 (CH), 125.95 (CH), 123.00 (CH), 121.27 (CH), 120.48 (CH), 120.11 (CH), 55.38 (C-9), 40.39, 31.49, 29.66, 23.79, 22.56, 13.99 (CH3).

DEPT-135 13C NMR (100MHz, CDCl3): δ (ppm) 161.73, 153.79 (CH), 152.09, 151.08, 142.18, 140.16, 138.51, 135.25, 130.03, 129.66 (CH), 127.72 (CH), 126.99 (CH), 125.95 (CH), 123.01 (CH), 121.28 (CH), 120.48 (CH), 120.11 (CH), 55.39 (C-9), 40.40 (CH2), 31.49 (CH2), 29.67 (CH2), 23.79 (CH2), 22.57 (CH2), 14.00 (CH3).

MS (ESI+) m/z: 847.55 ([M+H]+, 100%). Calcd. for C61H70N2O: 846.55.
3,7-Bis(9,9-dioctyl-4,5-diazafluoren-2-yl)dibenzothiophene-\textit{S,\textit{S}}-dioxide (NSN)

Under nitrogen, to a three-necked flask containing 2-bromo-9,9-dioctyl-4,5-diazafluorene (7) (402 mg, 0.854 mmol), 3,7-bis(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)dibenzothiophene-\textit{S,\textit{S}}-dioxide (8) (200 mg, 0.427 mmol), 2M aqueous K$_2$CO$_3$ (4 mL, 8 mmol), 1,4-dioxane (20 mL) and ethanol (2 mL) were added. The mixture was degassed with argon for 15 minutes before adding Pd(PPh$_3$)$_2$Cl$_2$ (10 mg, 0.014 mmol, 3 mol%) and then degassed for another 15 minutes. The mixture was stirred under reflux (oil bath, 115 °C) for 24 hours under nitrogen atmosphere. After cooling to room temperature, the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure on a rotavapor. The residue was dissolved in ethyl acetate (100 mL), washed with water (2 × 50 mL), dried over anhydrous MgSO$_4$, filtered off and evaporated to afford the crude product (788 mg) as a brown oil. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel eluting with PE:EA (gradient from 1:1 to 1:9) to afford pure oligomer NSN as a yellow solid (152 mg, 59%).

$^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ (ppm) 9.00 (2H, d, $J = 2.0$ Hz), 8.74 (2H, dd, $J = 4.8$, 1.2 Hz), 8.16 (2H, d, $J = 0.8$), 8.05–7.99 (4H, m), 7.95 (2H, d, $J = 2.0$ Hz), 7.76 (2H, dd, $J = 7.6$, 4.8 Hz), 2.10–2.06 (8H, m), 2.03–1.99 (40H, m), 0.81 (12H, t, $J = 7.2$ Hz), 1.25–0.98 (40H, m).

$^{13}$C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ (ppm) 159.01, 157.82, 149.91 (CH), 148.01 (CH), 145.61, 145.52, 141.19, 138.98, 133.63, 132.86 (CH), 130.76, 130.64 (CH), 128.66 (CH), 123.35 (CH), 122.51 (CH), 121.03 (CH), 51.66 (C-9), 39.35 (CH$_2$), 31.72 (CH$_2$), 29.90 (CH$_2$), 29.18 (CH$_2$), 29.14 (CH$_2$), 24.16 (CH$_2$), 22.57 (CH$_2$), 14.05 (CH$_3$).

DEPT-135 $^{13}$C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ (ppm) 159.01, 157.78, 149.88 (CH), 148.18 (CH), 145.64, 145.55, 141.17, 139.00, 133.65, 132.87 (CH), 130.79, 130.65 (CH), 128.69 (CH), 123.37 (CH), 122.52 (CH), 121.03 (CH), 51.66 (C-9), 39.35 (CH$_2$), 31.72 (CH$_2$), 29.90 (CH$_2$), 29.18 (CH$_2$), 29.14 (CH$_2$), 24.16 (CH$_2$), 22.57 (CH$_2$), 14.05 (CH$_3$).

MS (ESI$^+$) $m/z$: 1020.42 ([M+Na]$^+$, 100%). Calcd. for C$_{66}$H$_{84}$N$_4$O$_2$S: 996.63.

2,7-Bis(dibenzothiophene-\textit{S,\textit{S}}-dioxide-3-yl)-4,5-diazafluorene (SNS)

A three-necked flask (50 mL) was charged with 2,7-dibromo-9,9-dioctyl-4,5-diazafluorene (5) (150 mg, 0.272 mmol), 3-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)dibenzothiophene-\textit{S,\textit{S}}-dioxide (6) (373 mg, 1.090 mmol), 2M aqueous K$_2$CO$_3$ (4 mL, 8 mmol), dioxane (20 mL) and ethanol (4 mL) and degassed with argon for 15 minutes. Then Pd(PPh$_3$)$_2$Cl$_2$ (6 mg, 0.008 mmol) was added and the mixture was degassed for another 15 minutes. The mixture was stirred under reflux (oil bath, 115 °C) for 32 hours under nitrogen atmosphere. After cooling to room temperature, the mixture was diluted with water (15 mL) and then extracted with DCM (2 × 100 mL). The combined DCM layers
were washed with water (2 × 20 mL), dried with anhydrous MgSO₄, filtered off and evaporated on a rotavapor to afford the crude product (580 mg) as a brown oil. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel eluting with DCM:MeOH mixture (gradient ratio of 100:1 to 100:2) to afford pure oligomer SNS as an off yellow solid (60 mg, 26%).

\[\text{H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl}_3\]: } \delta (ppm) 9.03 (2H, d, J = 1.9 Hz), 8.15 (2H, d, J = 1.1 Hz), 7.89 (4H, d, J = 1.1 Hz), 7.60 (2H, t, J = 7.6 Hz), 2.16–2.12 (4H, CH₂C₇H₁₅), 1.24–0.98 (20H, m, C₂H₄(CH₂)₅CH₃), 0.79 (6H, t, J = 6.0 Hz, C₇H₁₄CH₃), 0.78–0.69 (4H, m, CH₂CH₂C₇H₁₃).

\[\text{CNMR (100MHz, CDCl}_3\]: } \delta (ppm) 158.14, 148.50 (CH), 146.13, 140.72, 138.92, 137.92, 134.16, 134.12 (CH), 132.70 (CH), 131.94, 39.39 (CH₂), 31.63 (CH₂), 29.92 (CH₂), 29.19 (CH₂), 29.17 (CH₂), 24.30 (CH₂), 22.57 (CH₂), 22.57 (CH₂), 14.04 (CH₃).

DEPT-135 \[\text{CNMR (100MHz, CDCl}_3\]: } \delta (ppm) 158.14, 148.50 (CH), 146.13, 140.73, 138.92, 137.92, 134.16, 134.12 (CH), 132.70 (CH), 131.19, 130.69 (CH), 128.79 (CH), 122.42 (CH), 122.33 (CH), 121.86 (CH), 120.92 (CH), 51.94, 39.39 (CH₂), 31.70 (CH₂), 29.17 (CH₂), 24.29 (CH₂), 22.57 (CH₂), 14.04 (CH₃).

MS (ESI⁺) \[m/z\]: 843.39 ([M+Na]⁺, 100%). Calcd. for C₅₁H₅₂N₂O₄S₂: 820.34.
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