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ABSTRACT
Nutrition usually makes a small but potentially valuable contribution to successful performance in elite athletes, and dietary supplements can make a minor contribution to this nutrition programme. Nonetheless, supplement use is widespread at all levels of sport. Products described as supplements target different issues, including (1) the management of micronutrient deficiencies, (2) supply of convenient forms of energy and macronutrients, and (3) provision of direct benefits to performance or (4) indirect benefits such as supporting intense training regimens. The appropriate use of some supplements can benefit the athlete, but others may harm the athlete’s health, performance, and/or livelihood and reputation (if an antidoping rule violation results). A complete nutritional assessment should be undertaken before decisions regarding supplement use are made. Supplements claiming to directly or indirectly enhance performance are typically the largest group of products marketed to athletes, but only a few (including caffeine, creatine, specific buffering agents and nitrate) have good evidence of benefits. However, responses are affected by the scenario of use and may vary widely between individuals because of factors that include genetics, the microbiome and habitual diet. Supplements intended to enhance performance should be thoroughly trialled in training or simulated competition before being used in competition. Inadvertent ingestion of substances prohibited under the antidoping codes that govern elite sport is a known risk of taking some supplements. Protection of the athlete’s health and awareness of the potential for harm must be paramount; expert professional opinion and assistance is strongly advised before an athlete embarks on supplement use.

INTRODUCTION
Dietary supplements are used by athletes at all levels of sport, reflecting the prevalence of their use in the wider society. About half of the adult US population uses some form of dietary supplements,1 and although there are regional, cultural and economic differences, a similar prevalence is likely in many other countries. Athletes describe a range of different reasons for their supplement choices,2 and products that fit the description of ‘supplement’ can target various roles within the athlete’s performance plan. These include the maintenance of good health by contributing to the required intake of specific nutrients, the management of micronutrient deficiencies, and the provision of energy and macronutrient needs that might be difficult to achieve through food intake alone. Other specific uses of supplements reported by athletes include direct performance enhancement or the indirect benefits that arise from the provision of support for hard training, the manipulation of physique, the alleviation of musculoskeletal pain, rapid recovery from injury and enhancement of mood.

Some sporting bodies now support the pragmatic use of supplements that have passed a risk-versus-benefit analysis of being effective, safe and permitted for use, while also being appropriate to the athlete’s age and maturation in their sport. This review summarises the issues faced by high-performance athletes and their support team (coach, trainer, nutritionist, physician) when considering the use of supplements, with the goal of providing information to assist them to make informed decisions.

WHAT IS A SUPPLEMENT?
There is no single definition, either legal or within nutritional science, of what constitutes a dietary supplement. The US Congress, for example, in framing the 1994 Dietary Supplement Health and Education Act (DSHEA; https://ods.od.nih.gov/About/DSHEA_Wording.aspx), described a dietary supplement as:

‘...a product, other than tobacco, which is used in conjunction with a healthy diet and contains one or more of the following dietary ingredients: a vitamin, mineral, herb or other botanical, an amino acid, a dietary substance for use by man to supplement the diet by increasing the total daily intake, or a concentrate, metabolite, constituent, extract, or combinations of these ingredients’.3

This definition is unsatisfactory, as it depends on whether or not a ‘healthy diet’ is consumed. For the purposes of this overview, we define a dietary supplement as the following:

A food, food component, nutrient, or non-food compound that is purposefully ingested in addition to the habitually consumed diet with the aim of achieving a specific health and/or performance benefit.
Furthermore, we recognise that dietary supplements come in many forms, including the following:

1. **functional foods**, foods enriched with additional nutrients or components outside their typical nutrient composition (eg, mineral-fortified and vitamin-fortified, as well as nutrient-enriched foods)
2. formulated foods and sports foods, products providing energy and nutrients in a more convenient form than normal foods for general nutrition support (eg, liquid meal replacements) or for targeted use around exercise (eg, sports drinks, gels, bars)
3. single nutrients and other components of foods or herbal products provided in isolated or concentrated forms
4. multi-ingredient products containing various combinations of those products described above that target similar outcomes.

**PREVALENCE OF, AND RATIONALE FOR, USE BY ATHLETES**

With such widespread use of supplements in the general population and with the specific focus of athletes on achieving peak performance, it is not surprising that a high prevalence of supplement use is reported in most surveys of athletes. Comparisons between surveys are confounded by numerous factors: these include differences in the definition of what constitutes a dietary supplement; ability to capture irregular use; inappropriate sample selection; and the use of non-validated and non-standardised survey instruments. Nevertheless, surveys generally suggest that supplement use:

1. varies across different sports and activities
2. increases with level of training/performance
3. increases with age
4. is higher in men than in women
5. is strongly influenced by perceived cultural norms (both sporting and non-sporting).

Although athletes often consume supplements to take advantage of intended/claimed effects or benefits, a range of motives underpin supplement use. For example, athletes use supplements:

1. to correct or prevent nutrient deficiencies that may impair health or performance
2. for convenient provision of energy and nutrients around an exercise session
3. to achieve a specific and direct performance benefit in competition
4. to gain a performance improvement indirectly accrued from outcomes such as allowing more effective training (ie, higher intensity, greater volume), better recovery from training sessions, optimising mass and body composition, or reducing risks of injury and illness
5. for financial gain (sponsorship) or because products are provided free of charge
6. as a ‘just in case’ insurance policy
7. because they know or believe that other athletes/competitors are using the supplement(s).

Some supplements may be used for multiple functions. Zinc, for example, may be taken with the aim of promoting wound healing and tissue repair, or reducing the severity and duration of the symptoms of an upper respiratory tract infection. Carbohydrate supplements are used to enhance performance in many events via the provision of fuel substrate, to support the immune system or to improve bioavailability of other supplements, for example, creatine. Similarly, creatine supplementation may directly enhance performance in strength and power events, and can assist in training harder, gaining lean body mass or maintaining lean mass during periods of immobilisation after injury. Decisions on supplement use therefore need to consider both the context of use and the specific protocol employed.

**ASSESSING THE EVIDENCE BASE FOR SUPPLEMENT USE**

Supplements target a range of scenarios of use, so different approaches are needed to assess their effectiveness. Supplements aimed at correcting nutrient deficiencies need to be judged on their ability to prevent or treat suboptimal nutrient status, with the benefit accruing from the removal of the associated impairment of health, training capacity or performance. The effectiveness of sports foods might be hard to isolate when they are used within the general diet to meet everyday energy needs and nutrient targets. However, benefits may be more easily detected when they are specifically consumed before, during or after an event or training session to provide nutrients that are limiting for performance (eg, to provide fuel for the muscle or brain) or to defend homeostasis (eg, by replacing water and salt losses). Performance-enhancing supplements, which are claimed to achieve direct or indirect benefits, pose a greater challenge in terms of a sound evidence base. With only a few exceptions, there is a scarcity of research, and many of the available studies are not of sufficient quality to warrant their application to elite athletes.

Substantiating the claims made about performance supplements and sports foods is difficult. To various audiences, ‘proof’ comes in different forms. Figure 1 provides a proposed hierarchical model of the relative strength of the evidence provided by different information sources. However, most of the information around supplement efficacy in sport comes from models with the lowest rigour: anecdotes/observations from athletes; and scientific or mechanistic hypotheses that explain how a supplement might target a critical/limiting factor in performance, but with little to no evidence. Systematic reviews and meta-analyses, which synthesise the outputs of many studies to yield a conclusive statement of efficacy in a broad sense, are at the top of the evidence hierarchy. While these summaries help to provide information about the general use of performance supplements, scientific trials that are properly controlled and well-conducted provide the basis for these reviews as well as an opportunity to address more specific questions about supplement applications. Thus, meta-analyses are a reflection only of the quality and quantity of the studies that are available for review, and may also be influenced by the inclusion and exclusion criteria applied to the available data.

The ‘gold standard’ for investigating the effects of supplements on sports performance is the prospective, randomised, controlled scientific trial, in which subjects are randomly allocated to receive either an experimental or placebo treatment (ideally in a double-blind manner) or crossed over to receive both treatments in counterbalanced order, under standardised conditions. Practical issues may cause some variations to ideal design, but sports scientists are encouraged, if they wish their results to be applicable to athletes in competition, to ensure that their studies include the following:

1. an adequate sample size and appropriate participant characteristics (eg, event, training status, calibre) to allow the results to have statistical power and to be applicable to high-performance athletes
2. mimicking, as far as possible, the conditions (eg, environment, nutrition preparation, event strategies) that exist in real-life competition
3. standardisation, to the extent that is possible, of variables that might influence the results (eg, pretrial exercise and diet, environmental conditions, external encouragement or distraction)—it is recognised that this conflicts to some extent with (2) above, and will limit the situations in which the results can be applied
4. use of a protocol of supplement use (eg, specific product, dose and timing of intake) that is likely to optimise any effects
5. an independent verification of the contents of the supplement under investigation to ensure that the product is truly unadulterated, both to ensure the integrity of the study and to avoid inadvertent doping positives if the subjects are athletes
6. verification that the supplement was taken and induced a biological response (eg, via muscle, blood, urine or saliva sampling)
7. a performance protocol that is valid and sufficiently reliable to detect small but potentially meaningful changes/differences in performance outcomes
8. interpretation of results in light of the limitations of the study design and the change that would be meaningful to real-life sport.

Given the specificity of the information that is required by some athletes and their support staff to assess the effectiveness of a supplement (eg, related to a targeted event and its conditions, the specific individual, the combination with other performance strategies), it is unreasonable to expect that definitive evidence will always be available. Issues that are particularly under-researched and should be considered of high priority include measurement of performance in the field or under ‘real-life’ conditions, investigation of the combined use of a number of supplements, and the repeated use of supplements as might occur in multiday competition or when heats and finals occur close together. Scenarios that fall outside the scope of the available literature or practical research design may need to be interrogated by individual or small group case studies. Recommended methodologies for these studies include repeated baseline performances before the introduction of the supplement, or an alternating series of presentation and absence of the supplement.13

For the purposes of this overview, we rely primarily on studies of healthy adults that are relevant to athletes. We recognise that data from studies of elite athletes are almost entirely absent. We also recognise that mechanistic studies on animal and cell culture models are useful in identifying mechanisms, but a mechanism is not necessary to demonstrate an effect that may be meaningful to an athlete: what we think today to be the mechanism by which enhancement of performance or health occurs might be proved wrong by later studies. It must also be recognised that an individual’s habitual diet can affect gene expression and their microbiota, and these in turn can affect response to supplementation. While the variation in the genome between individuals is less than 0.01%, the variation in microbiota is significant (80%–90%), and emerging data suggest that both these factors could affect athletic performance.16 17 The following sections present an overview of the use of supplements to address different roles in sports nutrition, first by identifying the principles of use and then by examining some of the specific products that have a good or emerging evidence base to support this situation-specific use by athletes.

Supplements used to prevent or treat nutrient deficiencies

Many micronutrients play an important role in the regulation of processes that underpin sports performance, ranging from energy production to the manufacture of new cells and proteins. A frank deficiency of one or more of these nutrients may lead to a measurable impairment of sports performance—either directly or by reducing the athlete’s ability to train effectively (eg, iron deficiency anaemia) or to stay free from illness or injury (eg, impact of vitamin D deficiency on bone health). Athletes are not immune to the inadequate eating practices or the increased nutrient loss/requirements found in some members of the general population and may even be at greater risk of deficiencies because of increased nutrient turnover or increased losses. A further challenge is the occurrence of subclinical deficiencies that may be both hard to assess (ie, they lack a clear metric or universal threshold of what is ‘adequate’) as well as being subject to debate about whether there is an ‘optimal’ level for performance that differs from the usual classification systems of nutrient status (deficiency/subclinical deficiency/normal). When suboptimal nutritional status is diagnosed, the use of a nutrient supplement to reverse
or prevent further deficiencies can contribute to the overall treatment plan.

Nutritional assessment of an athlete involves systematic protocols that obtain, verify and interpret evidence of nutrition-related problems, as well as their causes and significance. A complete assessment should ideally include a detailed medical and nutritional history, diet evaluation, anthropometry and body composition analysis, and biochemical testing. Unlike the ad hoc use of nutrient supplements taken by athletes as an insurance policy, this nutritional assessment should ensure that the athlete:

1. can address the factors that led to the nutrient deficiency, including ensuring that the athlete’s nutrition plan is adequate in energy, macronutrients and micronutrients
2. would benefit from an acute or chronic period of supplementation to correct and/or prevent a nutrient deficiency and can understand the appropriate supplementation protocol
3. is not at risk for health issues associated with supplement use, including interactions with prescription or over-the-counter medications
4. has a baseline assessment against which future measures to assess progress can be compared.

Nutrients that often need to be supplemented under these circumstances include iron, calcium and vitamin D (Table 1). Iodine (for those living in areas with low levels of iodine in foods or not using iodised salt), folate (for women who might become pregnant) and vitamin B12 (for those following a vegan or near-vegan diet) supplementation may be warranted in these populations, but these considerations do not apply specifically to athletes.

### Supplements (sports foods) used to provide a practical form of energy and nutrients

Sports nutrition guidelines provide clear recommendations for targeted intake of energy and nutrients in a variety of contexts. In some situations, it is impractical for an athlete to consume ‘everyday’ or normal foods to meet their nutrition goals due to issues around preparation or storage, ease of consuming the foods due to training schedules, gut comfort, or the challenge of meeting nutrient targets within the available energy budget.

In these cases, sports foods can provide a convenient, although usually more expensive, alternative option for meeting these nutrient goals. Table 2 provides an overview of products that fit this description and their more common evidence-based uses.

### Supplements that directly improve sports performance

A few performance-enhancing supplements might, at the present time, be considered to have an adequate level of support to suggest that marginal performance gains may be possible. These supplements include caffeine, creatine (in the form of creatine monohydrate), nitrate, sodium bicarbonate and possibly also Beta-alanine. The mechanisms of action, typical dose, potential performance benefits and known side effects of each of these supplements are summarised in Table 3. Performance-enhancing supplements should be considered only where a strong evidence base supports their use as safe, legal and effective, and ideally after adequacy of sports nutrition dietary practices is ensured. Whenever possible, supplements should be trialled thoroughly by the athlete in training that mimics the competition milieu as closely as possible before committing to use in a competition setting. Athletes should do a careful risk analysis to see if the marginal gains would outweigh the risk of inadvertent doping due to contamination.

### Supplements that improve performance indirectly

Many dietary supplements claim to enhance performance indirectly—by supporting the athlete’s health, body composition, and their ability to train hard, recover quickly, adapt optimally, avoid or recover from injury, and tolerate pain or soreness. Illness is a major problem for athletes if it interrupts training or occurs at a critical time, such as during a selection event or a major competition. Susceptibility to illness is increased in situations where athletes are involved in a high volume of training or competition, and either intentionally or unintentionally experience deficits in energy intake (eg, weight loss diets), macro-nutrient intake (eg, train-low or sleep-low-carbohydrate) and micronutrient status (eg, vitamin D insufficiency in the winter). Athletes might benefit from nutritional supplements to support
immunity in these scenarios and at other times when they are either susceptible to infection (eg, during the common cold season and after long-haul travel) or suffering from an infection. Table 4 summarises evidence for some of the commonly promoted 'immune supportive' supplements, noting that the most promising candidates to assist in the prevention or treatment of upper respiratory symptoms are vitamin D and probiotics. Vitamin C during periods of heavy exertion and zinc lozenges at the onset of symptoms may be useful, but high doses of single antioxidants, particularly vitamins C and E, may blunt exercise-induced training adaptations.20–22 Probiotic supplementation may reduce the incidence of travellers’ diarrhoea and gastrointestinal infection. Cochrane reviews have noted the low quality of many studies on nutritional supplements that are claimed to support immunity; specifically, small samples, poor controls and unclear procedures for randomisation and blinding were commonplace.23 24 Clearly, there is a pressing need for randomised controlled trials in high-level athletes with sufficient participant numbers, rigorous controls and procedures, appropriate supplementation regimens, and clinically meaningful measures of immunity.

Supplements that assist an athlete to train harder, recover more quickly and prevent injury, or accelerate return to play when injury does occur can obviously enhance the athlete’s preparation and, indirectly, their competition outcomes. Many products claim to provide benefits of this nature; table 5 summarises the evidence for some of the most popular compounds. Finally, the manipulation of body composition, including gaining lean (muscle) mass and reducing body fat levels, can contribute to performance in many events. This explains the large number of ‘weight gainers’ and ‘fat burners’ in the general and sports supplement market, although many of these are prohibited in sport. Protein is considered to be the premier ingredient in weight gain-promoting supplements, and evidence-based reviews conclude that protein is effective at promoting lean mass gain when combined with resistive exercise.23 Evidence of efficacy for ‘fat burning’ supplements is far from conclusive, however, and there is a complete absence of evidence for the effectiveness of the vast majority of supplements marketed in this category. Table 6 summarises the evidence for some of the most common ingredients or products of this type.25

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sports food</th>
<th>Form</th>
<th>Typical composition</th>
<th>Common sports-related use</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sports drink</td>
<td>Powder or ready to drink liquid</td>
<td>5%–8% CHO 10–35 mmol/L sodium 3–5 mmol/L potassium</td>
<td>Simultaneous delivery of fluid+CHO during exercise Postexercise rehydration and refuelling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy drink</td>
<td>Ready-to-drink liquid or concentrated shot</td>
<td>Carbohydrate, especially in typical ready-to-drink varieties Caffeine Note: may contain taurine, B vitamins and other ingredients with variable supporting evidence and some level of concern</td>
<td>Pre-exercise caffeine supplement Carbohydrate and caffeine intake during exercise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sports gel or sports confectionery</td>
<td>Gel: 30–40 g sachets confectionery; jelly-type confectionery (generally in pouch of ~40–50 g)</td>
<td>~25 g CHO per sachet or ~5 g CHO per confectionery piece Some contain caffeine or electrolytes</td>
<td>Carbohydrate intake during exercise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrolyte replacement supplements</td>
<td>Powder sachets or tablets</td>
<td>50–60 mmol/L sodium 10–20 mmol/L potassium Typically, low carbohydrate (2–4 g/100 mL)</td>
<td>Rapid rehydration following dehydration undertaken for weight-making Replacement of large sodium losses during ultra-endurance activities Rapid postexercise rehydration following moderate to large fluid and sodium deficits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein supplement</td>
<td>Powder (mix with water or milk) or ready-to-drink liquid Protein-rich bar, usually low in CHO</td>
<td>Provides 20–50 g protein in a single serve from high-quality types of animal (whey, casein, milk, egg) or vegetable (eg, soy) origin Note: may contain other ingredients, some of which are not evidence-based and may increase the risk of contamination</td>
<td>Postexercise recovery following key training sessions or events where adaptation requiring protein synthesis is desired Achievement of increase in lean mass during growth or response to resistance training Portable nutrition for busy schedule or travel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquid meal supplement</td>
<td>Powder (mix with water or milk) or ready-to-drink liquid</td>
<td>1–1.5 kcal/mL; 15%–20% protein and 50%–70% CHO Low to moderate fat Vitamins/minerals: 500–1000 mL supplies RDIs/RDAs</td>
<td>Supplement high-energy diet (especially during heavy training/competition or weight gain) Low-bulk meal replacement (especially pre-event meal) Postexercise recovery (CHO and protein) Portable nutrition for busy schedule or travel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sports bar</td>
<td>Bar</td>
<td>40–50 g CHO 5–10 g protein Usually low in fat and fibre Vitamins/minerals: 50%–100% of RDIs/RDAs Note: may contain other ingredients, some of which are not evidence-based and may increase the risk of contamination</td>
<td>CHO source during exercise Postexercise recovery—provides CHO, protein and micronutrients Portable nutrition for busy schedule or travel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein-enhanced food</td>
<td>Milk, yoghurt, ice cream, cereal bars and other food forms</td>
<td>Increased protein content from normal food variety achieved by adding protein sources or filtration of water from product Typically allows normal portion to provide ~20 g protein to meet sports nutrition target</td>
<td>Value-added food able to achieve protein target for postexercise use or to improve protein content of other meals and snacks in an athlete’s diet</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CHO, carbohydrate.
Table 3  Supplements with good to strong evidence of achieving benefits to performance when used in specific scenarios

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Supplement</th>
<th>Overview</th>
<th>Mechanism</th>
<th>Protocol of use</th>
<th>Performance Impact</th>
<th>Further considerations and potential side effects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Caffeine</strong></td>
<td>Caffeine is a stimulant that possesses well-established benefits for athletic performance across endurance-based situations, and short-term, supramaximal and/or repeated sprint tasks.</td>
<td>Adenosine receptor antagonism; increased endorphin release; improved neuromuscular function; improved vigilance and alertness; reduced the perception of exertion during exercise.</td>
<td>3–6 mg/kg body mass (BM), in the form of anhydrous caffeine (ie, pill or powder form), consumed ~60 min prior to exercise. Lower caffeine doses (&lt;3 mg/kg BM, ~200 mg), provided both before and during exercise; consumed with a CHO source.</td>
<td>Improved endurance capacity such as exercise time to fatigue, and endurance-based time-trial (TT) activities of varying duration (5–150 min), across numerous exercise modalities (ie, cycling, running, rowing and others). Low doses of caffeine (100–300 mg) consumed during endurance exercise (after 15–80 min of activity) may enhance cycling TT performance by 3%–7%. During short-term, supramaximal and repeated sprint tasks, 3–6 mg/kg BM of caffeine taken 50–60 min before exercise results in performance gains of &gt;3% for task completion time, mean power output and peak power output during anaerobic activities of 1–2 min in duration, and of 1%–6% for total workout output and repeat sprint performances during intermittent team game activity.</td>
<td>Larger caffeine doses (&gt;9 mg/kg BM) do not appear to increase the performance benefit, and are more likely to increase the risk of negative side effects, including nausea, anxiety, insomnia and restlessness. Lower caffeine doses, variations in the timing of intake before and/or during exercise, and the need for (or lack thereof) a caffeine withdrawal period should be trialled in training prior to competition use. Caffeine consumption during activity should be considered concurrent with carbohydrate (CHO) intake for improved efficacy. Caffeine is a diuretic promoting increased urine flow, but this effect is small at the doses that have been shown to enhance performance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Creatine</strong></td>
<td>Creatine loading can acutely enhance the performance of sports involving repeated high-intensity exercise (eg, team sports), as well as the chronic outcomes of training programmes based on these characteristics (eg, resistance or interval training), leading to greater gains in lean mass and muscular strength and power.</td>
<td>Supplementation increases muscle creatine stores, augmenting the rate of PCR resynthesis, thereby enhancing short-term, high-intensity exercise capacity, and the ability to perform repeated bouts of high-intensity effort.</td>
<td>Loading phase: ~20 g/day (divided into four equal daily doses), for 5–7 days. Maintenance phase: 3–5 g/day (single dose) for the duration of the supplementation period. Note: concurrent consumption with a mixed protein/CHO source (~50 g of protein and CHO) may enhance muscle creatine uptake via insulin stimulation.</td>
<td>Enhanced maximum isometric strength, and the acute performance of single and repeated bouts of high-intensity exercise (&lt;150 s duration); most pronounced effects evident during tasks &lt;30 s. Chronic training adaptations include lean mass gains and improvements to muscular strength and power. Less common: enhanced endurance performance resulting from increased/improved protein synthesis, glycogen storage and thermoregulation. Potential anti-inflammatory and antioxidative effects are noted.</td>
<td>No negative health effects are noted with long-term use (up to 4 years) when appropriate loading protocols are followed. A potential 1–2 kg BM increase after creatine loading (primarily as a result of water retention) may be detrimental for endurance performance or in events where the BM must be moved against gravity (eg, high jump, pole vault) or where athletes must achieve a specific BM target.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Nitrate</strong></td>
<td>Dietary nitrate (NO−3) is a popular supplement that has been commonly investigated to assess any benefits for prolonged submaximal exercise and high-intensity, intermittent, short-duration efforts.</td>
<td>Enhances nitric oxide (NO) bioavailability via the NO−3-nitrite-NO pathway, playing an important role in the modulation of skeletal muscle function. Nitrate augments exercise performance via an enhanced function of type II muscle fibres, a reduced ATP cost of muscle force production; an increased efficiency of mitochondrial respiration; an increased blood flow to the muscle; and a decrease in blood flow to VO2 heterogeneities.</td>
<td>High nitrate-containing foods include leafy green and root vegetables, including spinach, rocket salad, celery and beetroot. Acute performance benefits are generally seen within 2–3 hours following an NO−3 bolus of 5–9 mmol (310–560 mg). Prolonged periods of NO−3 intake (~3 days) also appear beneficial to performance, and may be a positive strategy for highly trained athletes, where performance gains from NO−3 supplementation appear harder to obtain.</td>
<td>Prolonged periods of NO−3 intake (~3 days) also appear beneficial to performance, and may be a positive strategy for highly trained athletes, where performance gains from NO−3 supplementation appear harder to obtain.</td>
<td>The available evidence suggests there appear to be few side effects or limitations to nitrate supplementation. There may exist the potential for GI upset in susceptible athletes, and should therefore be thoroughly trialled in training. There appears to be an upper limit to the benefits of consumption (ie, no greater benefit from 16.8 mmol (1041 mg) vs 8.4 mmol (521 mg)). Performance gains appear harder to obtain in highly trained athletes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Beta-alanine</strong></td>
<td>Beta-alanine augments intracellular buffering capacity, having potential beneficial effects on sustained high-intensity exercise performance.</td>
<td>A rate-limiting precursor to the endogenous intracellular (muscle) buffer, carnosine; the immediate defence against proton accumulation in the contracting muscle during exercise. Chronic, daily supplementation of Beta-alanine increases skeletal muscle carnosine content.</td>
<td>Daily consumption of ~65 mg/kg BM, ingested via a split-dose regimen (ie, 0.8–1.6 g every 3–4 hours) over an extended supplement time frame of 10–12 weeks.</td>
<td>The available evidence suggests there appear to be few side effects or limitations to nitrate supplementation. There may exist the potential for GI upset in susceptible athletes, and should therefore be thoroughly trialled in training. There appears to be an upper limit to the benefits of consumption (ie, no greater benefit from 16.8 mmol (1041 mg) vs 8.4 mmol (521 mg)). Performance gains appear harder to obtain in highly trained athletes.</td>
<td>The available evidence suggests there appear to be few side effects or limitations to nitrate supplementation. There may exist the potential for GI upset in susceptible athletes, and should therefore be thoroughly trialled in training. There appears to be an upper limit to the benefits of consumption (ie, no greater benefit from 16.8 mmol (1041 mg) vs 8.4 mmol (521 mg)). Performance gains appear harder to obtain in highly trained athletes.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ADVERSE EFFECTS

Adverse effects from the use of supplements may arise from a number of factors, including the safety and composition of the product per se and inappropriate patterns of use by athletes. Poor practices by athletes include the indiscriminate mixing and matching of many products without regard to total doses of some ingredients or problematic interactions between ingredients. Even commonly used products may have negative side effects, especially when used outside the optimal protocol. For example, iron supplementation in those with already adequate iron stores especially when used outside the optimal protocol. F

Further considerations and potential side effects

Overview

Sodium bicarbonate augments extracellular buffering capacity, having potential beneficial effects on sustained high-intensity exercise performance.

Mechanism

Acts as an extracellular (blood) buffer, aiding intracellular pH regulation by raising the extracellular pH, and HCO3− concentrations

Protocol of use

Single acute NaHCO3 dose of 0.2–0.4 g/kg BM, consumed 60–150 min prior to exercise. Alternative strategies include the following:

- Split doses (ie, several smaller doses giving the same total intake) taken over a time period of 30–180 min
- Serial loading with 3–4 smaller doses per day for 2–4 consecutive days prior to an event

Performance impact

Enhanced performance (~2%) of short-term, high-intensity sprints lasting ~60 s in duration, with a reduced efficacy as the effort duration exceeds 10 min

Further considerations and potential side effects

Well-established GI distress may be associated with this supplement. Strategies to minimise GI upset include the following:

- Coingestion with a small, carbohydrate-rich meal (~1.5 g/kg BM carbohydrates)
- Use of sodium citrate as an alternative
- Split dose or stacking strategies
- Given the high potential for GI distress, thorough investigation into the best individualised strategy is recommended prior to use in a competition setting.
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Acts as an extracellular (blood) buffer, aiding intracellular pH regulation by raising the extracellular pH, and HCO3− concentrations

Protocol of use

Single acute NaHCO3 dose of 0.2–0.4 g/kg BM, consumed 60–150 min prior to exercise. Alternative strategies include the following:

- Split doses (ie, several smaller doses giving the same total intake) taken over a time period of 30–180 min
- Serial loading with 3–4 smaller doses per day for 2–4 consecutive days prior to an event

Performance impact

Enhanced performance (~2%) of short-term, high-intensity sprints lasting ~60 s in duration, with a reduced efficacy as the effort duration exceeds 10 min

Further considerations and potential side effects

Well-established GI distress may be associated with this supplement. Strategies to minimise GI upset include the following:

- Coingestion with a small, carbohydrate-rich meal (~1.5 g/kg BM carbohydrates)
- Use of sodium citrate as an alternative
- Split dose or stacking strategies
- Given the high potential for GI distress, thorough investigation into the best individualised strategy is recommended prior to use in a competition setting.

ADVERSE EFFECTS

Adverse effects from the use of supplements may arise from a number of factors, including the safety and composition of the product per se and inappropriate patterns of use by athletes. Poor practices by athletes include the indiscriminate mixing and matching of many products without regard to total doses of some ingredients or problematic interactions between ingredients. Even commonly used products may have negative side effects, especially when used outside the optimal protocol. For example, iron supplementation in those with already adequate iron stores especially when used outside the optimal protocol. F
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### Table 4 Nutritional supplements for immune health in athletes: proposed mechanism of action and evidence for efficacy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Supplement</th>
<th>Proposed mechanism of action</th>
<th>Evidence for efficacy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Vitamin D**  | This is an essential fat-soluble vitamin known to influence several aspects of immunity, particularly innate immunity (e.g., expression of antimicrobial proteins), Skin exposure to sunlight accounts for 90% of the source of vitamin D. | Moderate support Evidence for deficiency in some athletes and soldiers, particularly in the winter (decreased skin sunlight exposure) Deficiency has been associated with increased URS. Recommend 1000 IU/day D3, autumn-spring to maintain sufficiency Further support required
| **Probiotics** | Probiotics are live micro-organisms that when administered orally for several weeks can increase the numbers of beneficial bacteria in the gut. These have been associated with a range of potential benefits to gut health, as well as modulation of immune function. | Moderate support in athletes with daily dose of ~10^11-live bacteria Cochrane review of 12 studies (n=3720) shows ~50% decrease in URS incidence and ~2-day shortening of URS; minor side effects. More evidence is required supporting efficacy to reduce gastrointestinal distress and infection, for example, in a travelling athlete.
| **Vitamin C**  | This is an essential water-soluble antioxidant vitamin that quenches ROS and augments immunity. It reduces interleukin-6 and cortisol responses to exercise in humans. | Moderate support for 'preventing URS' Cochrane review of 5 studies in heavy exercisers (n=598) shows ~50%-decrease in URS taking vitamin C (0.25–1.0g/day). Further support required Unclear if antioxidants blunt adaptation in well-trained athletes Relatively small effects on cortisol compared with carbohydrate; immune measures no different from placebo No support for ‘treating URS’ Cochrane reviews show no benefit of initiating vitamin C supplementation (>200mg/day) after onset of URS
| **Carbohydrate (drinks, gels)** | It maintains blood glucose during exercise, lowers stress hormones, and thus counters immune dysfunction. | Low-moderate support Ingestion of carbohydrate (30–60g/hour) attenuates stress hormone and some, but not all, immune perturbations during exercise. Very limited evidence that this modifies infection risk in athletes
| **Bovine colostrum** | First milk of the cow that contains antibodies, growth factors and cytokines Claimed to improve mucosal immunity and increase resistance to infection | Low-moderate support that bovine colostrum blunts the decrease in saliva antimicrobial proteins after heavy exercise Some evidence in small numbers of participants that bovine colostrum decreases URS Further support required
| **Polyphenols, for example, Quercetin** | These are plant flavonoids. In vitro studies show strong anti-inflammatory, antioxidant and antiapathogenic effects. Animal data indicate an increase in mitochondrial biogenesis and endurance performance. | Low-moderate support in humans Human studies show some reduction in URS during short periods of intensified training and mild stimulation of mitochondrial biogenesis and endurance performance, although in small numbers of untrained subjects. Limited influence on markers of immunity Putative antiviral effect for Quercetin Further support required
| **Zinc** | This is an essential mineral that is claimed to reduce incidence and duration of colds. Zinc is required for DNA synthesis and as an enzyme cofactor for immune cells. Zinc deficiency results in impaired immunity (e.g., lymphoid atrophy) and zinc deficiency is not uncommon in athletes. | Moderate support for ‘treating URS’ Cochrane review shows benefit of zinc acetate lozenges (75 mg) to decrease duration of URS; however, zinc must be taken <24 hours after onset of URS for duration of cold only. Side effects include bad taste and nausea.
| **Glutamine** | This is a non-essential amino acid that is an important energy substrate for immune cells, particularly lymphocytes. Circulating glutamine is lowered after prolonged exercise and very heavy training. | Limited support for ‘preventing URS’ High doses of zinc can decrease immune function and should be avoided. Moderate support for ‘treating URS’ Cochrane review shows benefit of zinc acetate lozenges (75 mg) to decrease duration of URS; however, zinc must be taken <24 hours after onset of URS for duration of cold only. Side effects include bad taste and nausea.
| **Caffeine** | This is a stimulant found in a variety of foods and drinks (e.g., coffee and sports drinks). Caffeine is an adenosine receptor antagonist and immune cells express adenosine receptors. | Limited support Evidence that caffeine supplementation activates lymphocytes and attenuates the fall in neutrophil function after exercise Efficacy for altering URS in athletes remains unknown
| **Echinacea** | This is a herbal extract claimed to enhance immunity via stimulatory effects on macrophages. There is some in vitro evidence for this. | Limited support Early human studies indicated possible beneficial effects, but more recent, larger scale and better controlled studies indicate no effect of Echinacea on infection incidence or cold symptom severity.
| **Omega-3 PUFAs** | Found in fish oil May influence immune function by acting as a fuel, in their role as membrane constituents or by regulating eicosanoid formation, for example, prostaglandin Prostaglandin is immunosuppressive Claimed to exert anti-inflammatory effects postexercise | Limited support for blunting inflammation and functional changes after muscle-damaging eccentric exercise in humans and no evidence of reducing URS in athletes
| **Vitamin E** | An essential fat-soluble antioxidant vitamin that quenches exercise-induced ROS and augments immunity | No support Immune-enhancing effects in the frail elderly but no benefit in young, healthy humans One study actually showed that vitamin E supplementation increased URS in those under heavy exertion. High doses may be pro-oxidative. |

Continued
23,000 emergency department visits annually are reported to be associated with dietary supplement use. This figure can be viewed as substantial, or it can be seen as small compared with the total number of adverse responses associated with the use of medications. However, minor problems that do not require acute medical aid may still be sufficient to interrupt training or prevent participation, so this statistic probably underestimates the risk for athletes.

The biggest concern for athletes who compete under an anti-doping code (usually the World Anti-Doping Code, as published by WADA) is that supplements can contain prohibited substances that result in an antidoping rule violation (ADRV). Athletes— and their support teams—may be at risk for an ADRV if there is evidence that they have used or attempted to use products containing ingredients on the Prohibited List (www.wada.am.org). A common problem is the recording of an adverse analytical finding (AAF) of a prohibited substance in a urine sample (‘positive drug test’) as a result of supplement use. Millions of athletes may be subject to antidoping testing, although these are mostly professional-level, national-level or international-level athletes. For these athletes in particular, even if the ingestion of the prohibited substance was unintentional, the rules of strict liability within the World Anti-Doping Code mean that an AAF will be recorded, and may mean the loss of medals won or records set, and financial sanctions as well as temporary or permanent suspension from competition. It also damages the athlete’s reputation and may lead to loss of employment and income through failed sponsorship opportunities. Where there has been deliberate cheating or benefit accrued from the use of a prohibited substance, these penalties seem entirely appropriate, but it is undoubtedly true that some ADRVs can be attributed to the innocent ingestion of prohibited substances in dietary supplements, with catastrophic results for the athlete.

One cause of an AAF arising from supplement use relates to an athlete’s failure to read product labels to recognise the presence of prohibited substances. Many athletes consider supplements to be ‘natural’ or ‘regulated’ and therefore safe. Other athletes are confused by the number of chemical names for some prohibited substances and thus fail to recognise them on the product label. However, the most worrying cause of an inadvertent AAF is the use of supplements that contain prohibited substances as an undeclared ingredient or contaminant. Since the publication of the seminal study on the presence of undeclared prohibited substances in supplements,32 there have been numerous reports of supplement contamination. Recent reviews suggest that this problem remains (http://www.informed-sport.com/news/australian-supplements-survey-highlights-need-testing). It is difficult to gain a perspective of the true prevalence of supplement contamination. Although the original study reported that ~15% of more than 600 products acquired from around the world contained undeclared prohormones,32 this and other investigations rarely include a truly random sample of the supplements and sports foods used by athletes. Some individual products or categories of products can be considered inherently more at risk of contamination due to the country of origin, the manufacturer, the type of product and the range of declared ingredients (https://www.usada.org/substances/supplement-411/). Nevertheless, it should also be recognised that common supplements, including vitamin C, multivitamins and minerals, have also been found, although rarely, to contain prohibited substances. The range of prohibited substances found as undeclared ingredients in supplements now includes products from many sections of WADA’s List of Prohibited Substances and Methods, including stimulants, anabolic agents, selective androgen receptor modulators, diuretics, anorectics and β2 agonists.

In some cases, the amount of the prohibited substance in a supplement may be high, even higher than the normal therapeutic dose. For example, Geyer et al reported the analysis of metandienone (commonly known as methandrostenebolone or Diurabol) in high amounts in a ‘body building’ supplement from England. The recommended amount of the supplement would have supplied a dose of 10–43 mg; in comparison, the typical therapeutic dose of this drug was 2.5–5 mg/day, although its medical use has been discontinued in most countries for many years. This amount would certainly have a potent anabolic effect, but would likely produce serious side effects, including psychiatric and behavioural effects, and significant damage to a range of body systems including the liver. Unlike many of the earlier cases involving steroids related to nandrolone and testosterone, this is not a trivial level of contamination and raises the possibility of deliberate adulteration of the product with the intention of producing a measurable effect on muscle strength and muscle mass. Most reports of adverse health outcomes resulting from supplement use have focused on liver problems of varying degrees of severity, but other organs are also affected. One epidemiological case–control study examined the association between use of muscle-building supplements and testicular germ cell cancer (TGCC) risk, with 356 TGCC cases and 513 controls from eastern USA. The OR for the use of muscle-building supplements in relation to risk of TGCC was elevated (OR=1.65, 95% CI 1.11 to 2.46), with significantly stronger associations for early users and longer periods of use.

Ironically, supplements that are contaminated with extremely small amounts of prohibited substances—too low to have any physiological effect—may still cause a positive doping outcome. For instance, ingestion of 19-norandrostenedione, a precursor of nandrolone, will result in the appearance in the urine of 19-norandrosterone, the diagnostic metabolite for nandrolone. If the urinary concentration of 19-norandrosterone exceeds 2 ng/mL, an AAF is recorded. The addition of as little as 2.5 µg of 19-norandrostenedione to a supplement can result in a urinary concentration of 19-norandrosterone that exceeds this threshold. These amounts are close to the limits of detection of the analytical methods currently applied to the analysis of dietary supplements, and are far below the levels of contamination deemed acceptable from a health and safety perspective.

Various efforts are being made to address the problems, including the use of third-party auditing activities to identify products that athletes may consider to be at ‘low risk’ of containing prohibited substances. There can be no absolute...
**Table 5  Supplements that may assist with training capacity, recovery, muscle soreness and injury management**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Supplement</th>
<th>Proposed mechanism of action</th>
<th>Evidence for efficacy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Creatine monohydrate</td>
<td>Creatine is a naturally occurring nutrient, consumed in the diet and synthesised in the body. Recommended supplement dose is 20 g/day for 5 days, followed by 3–5 g/day to increase and maintain elevated body creatine levels. Improved cognitive processing Decreased risk/enhanced recovery from mTBI</td>
<td>Many studies demonstrate improved training adaptations, such as increased lean mass or strength, indicating an enhanced adaptive response to exercise. Reduced symptoms of, or enhanced recovery from, muscle damaging exercise (eg, DOMS) have been reported in some, but not all studies (reviewed in ref 122). Enhanced recovery from disuse or immobilisation/extreme inactivity has been reported in some, but not all studies (reviewed in ref 122). Improved cognitive processing is reported in most studies, especially when volunteers were fatigued by sleep deprivation or mental/physical tasks (reviewed in refs 112–125). The effects in athletes have not been well-characterised, and only one group attempted to translate these effects to athletic performance, although with a positive result. Decreased damage and enhanced recovery from mTBI are supported by open-label trials in children and using animal models. These data are not conclusive and more research is warranted. However, athletes at risk for concussion, who already ingest creatine supplements for performance or muscular benefits, may receive important brain benefits as well. A small increase in body mass is common with supplementation. This may be relevant for sports with weight classes/restictions or where increased body mass may decrease performance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beta-hydroxy beta-methylbutyrate (HMB)</td>
<td>HMB is a metabolite of the amino acid leucine. Manufacturer-recommended dosage is 3 g/day. Enhanced adaptive response to exercise via decreased protein breakdown, increased protein synthesis, increased cholesterol synthesis, increased growth hormone and IGF-I mRNA, increased proliferation and differentiation of satellite cells and inhibited apoptosis (reviewed in ref 109).</td>
<td>Beneficial effects of HMB on strength and fat-free mass are small, while the effects on muscle damage are unclear. Recent reports of ‘steroid like’ gains in strength, power and fat-free mass, and reductions in muscle damage from HMB-free acid supplementation, have not been reproduced and seem unlikely. Potential use for HMB during extreme inactivity/disuse or recovery from injury, but these effects have only been described in older adults following 10 days of bed rest. Benefits of HMB supplementation could most likely be obtained from normal dietary protein or whole protein supplements, so HMB supplements may not be more effective than adhering to the current protein intake recommendations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Omega-3 fatty acids</td>
<td>About 2 g/day Improved cognitive processing Decreased risk/enhanced recovery from mTBI Increased muscle protein synthesis Reduced symptoms of, or enhanced recovery from, muscle damaging exercise (eg, DOMS)</td>
<td>Improved cognitive processing following omega-3 fatty acid supplementation shown in healthy older adults with mild or severe cognitive impairment (reviewed in ref 145). It is not known if these benefits would occur in young, healthy athletes, or how this would translate to athletic performance. Animal data show that the structural damage and cognitive decline associated with mTBI are reduced/attenuated with omega-3 fatty acid supplementation when ingested either before or after the injury (reviewed in refs 146–148). Two case studies support these findings, and large, double-blind, placebo-controlled trials are currently under way (ClinicalTrials.gov NCT101903525 and NCT01814527). In muscle, omega-3 fatty acid supplementation can increase muscle protein synthesis, but this may not occur when protein is ingested after exercise in recommended amounts. Anti-inflammatory effects of omega-3 fatty acid intake may reduce muscle damage or enhance recovery from intense, eccentric exercise (eg, decrease DOMS), but this is not a consistent finding. No indication that decreased omega-3 fatty acids in the body impair performance, and high-dose supplements can cause some adverse effects (reviewed in refs 150–151). However, the best recommendation may be to include rich sources of omega-3 fatty acids, such as fatty fish, in the diet instead of supplements. Low risk but unclear if supplementation should be pursued by athletes, in lieu of including fatty fish in the diet as a source of omega-3 fatty acids. Fish oil or omega-3 fatty acid supplement consumption could include heavy metal contaminants, or cause bleeding, digestive problems and/or increased LDL.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
guarantee that any product is entirely safe, but these schemes do help the athlete to manage the risk. Athletes contemplating the use of dietary supplements should consider very carefully whether the possible benefits outweigh the risks of a doping offence that might end their career.

Table 6  Supplements promoted to assist with physique changes: gain in lean mass and loss of body fat mass

| Supplement                                    | Proposed mechanism of action                                                                 | Evidence for efficacy 
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*<em>Gaining LBM</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein</td>
<td>Enhances lean mass gains when ingested during programmes of resistance training due to increased provision of building blocks (amino acids) and leucine as a trigger for a rise in muscle protein synthesis and suppression of muscle protein breakdown</td>
<td>Meta-analyses focusing on younger and older participants have shown positive effects enhancing gains in muscle mass,(^{161,162}) but effects are not large.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Usually comprised isolated proteins from various sources (whey and soy most common)</td>
<td>Recommended daily dose: 1.6 g protein/kg/day optimal (up to 2.2 g/kg/day with no adverse effects)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommended per-meal doses: 0.3–0.5 g protein/kg (3–4 times per day and in close temporal proximity to exercise, with postexercise being consistently shown to be effective)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leucine</td>
<td>Stimulates muscle protein synthesis and suppresses protein breakdown (possibly through insulin)</td>
<td>Short-term mechanistic data available,(^{137}) but no long-term trials showing efficacy(^{163})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>**Losing fat mass†</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein from increased dietary sources or supplemental isolated proteins</td>
<td>Enhances fat mass loss and promotes retention of lean mass</td>
<td>Meta-analyses confirm small but significant effects of greater dietary protein in weight loss to enhance fat mass loss and promote lean mass retention.(^{164,165})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyruvate</td>
<td>No data</td>
<td>Small to trivial effect(^{166})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromium</td>
<td>Potentiates biological actions of insulin</td>
<td>No effect(^{167})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green tea (polyphenol catechins and caffeine)</td>
<td>Thermogenic agent and/or lipolytic enhancing agent</td>
<td>Small to trivial effect(^{168})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-Lipoic acid</td>
<td>No clear role, but possible antioxidant</td>
<td>Small to trivial effect(^{169})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conjugated linolenic acid</td>
<td>Changes membrane fluidity favouring enhanced fat oxidation</td>
<td>Small to trivial effect(^{170})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Konjac fibre (glucomannan)</td>
<td>Water-soluble polysaccharide—dietary fibre</td>
<td>Small to trivial effect(^{171})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids</td>
<td>No clear role, but possible appetite suppression, improved blood flow and/or modulator of gene expression</td>
<td>Small to trivial effect(^{172})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chitosan</td>
<td>Lipid-binding agent to reduce lipid absorption</td>
<td>Small to trivial effect(^{173})</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*In combination with a progressive resistance exercise programmes.
†In combination with an exercise-induced and/or diet-induced energy deficit.

DOMS, delayed-onset muscle soreness; mTBI, mild traumatic brain injury (concussion).
Dietary supplements are an established part of the landscape of modern sport and are likely to remain so. Athletes who take supplements often have no clear understanding of the potential effects of supplements they are using, but supplements should be used only after a careful cost-benefit analysis has been conducted. On one side of the decision tree are the rewards, the most obvious of which are correction of nutrient deficiencies, achievement of nutritional goals, or enhancement of one or another physiological/biochemical function to directly or indirectly improve performance. On the other side lie the costs, the possibility of using an ineffective supplement, the possible risks to health and the potential for an ADRV. A flow of questions that could be posed in reaching an informed decision is shown in figures 2 and 3.

In deciding whether to use a supplement, athletes should consider all aspects of their maturation in, and preparation for, their event to ensure that the supplement under consideration provides an advantage that no other strategy can address. Whether the supplement is practical to use should also be assessed: is the product available, affordable, tolerated and compatible with the athlete’s other goals? The input of the athlete’s coaching team and medical/science support network is important. Athletes who do not have regular access to such a network should consider decisions around supplement use as an important reason to consult an independent sports nutrition expert as well as a physician. Analysis of the evidence around the effectiveness of supplements and their safety is often difficult. A complete nutritional assessment may provide an appropriate justification for the specific use of nutritional supplements and sports foods. For a small number of sports supplements, there is good evidence of a performance effect or indirect benefit for some athletes in some specific situations with little or no risk of adverse outcomes.  

![Consensus statement](image-url)

**Figure 2** Flow chart to guide informed decision making and reducing risk of antidoping rule violation during nutritional supplement use. MD, medical doctors.

**PRACTICAL IMPLICATIONS AND DECISION TREE**

ensuring that the athlete is sufficiently knowledgeable about the appropriate protocol for use of these supplements, but individual athletes may respond very differently to a given supplement, with some exhibiting a markedly beneficial effect while others experiencing no benefit or even a negative effect on performance. Furthermore, the situation in which the athlete wishes to use the supplement may differ in important ways from its substantiated use. Repeated trials may be necessary to establish whether a true effect, rather than just random variation, is seen in response to use of any novel intervention. Some trial and error may also be involved in fine-tuning the supplement protocol to suit the needs of the specific situation of use or the individual athlete.

Evidence to support the effectiveness and safety of many of the supplements targeted at athletes, however, is largely absent. There seems to be little incentive for those selling supplements to invest the substantial sums needed to undertake detailed scientific evaluation of their products. Even where some evidence does exist, it may not be relevant to the high-performance athlete because of limitations in the study design (such as the specificity of the exercise tests), the study population or the context of use. Failure to verify the composition of the supplements used may also give misleading results. It seems sensible to exercise caution when using supplements, as any compound that has the potential to enhance health or exercise performance by altering physiological function must also have the potential for adverse effects in some individuals. Athletes should see good evidence of a performance or other benefit, and should be confident that it will not be harmful to health, before accepting the financial cost and the health or performance risks associated with any supplement. Finally, the athlete should be sure, if supplements or sports foods are to be used, that they have undertaken due diligence to source products that are at low risk of containing prohibited substances.

CONCLUSION

Dietary supplements can play a small role in an athlete’s sports nutrition plan, with products that include essential micronutrients, sports foods, performance supplements and health supplements all potentially providing benefits. Some supplements, when used appropriately, may help athletes to meet sports nutrition goals, train hard, and stay healthy and injury-free. A few supplements can directly enhance competition performance. However, it takes considerable effort and expert knowledge to identify which products are appropriate, how to integrate them into the athlete’s sports nutrition plan, and how to ensure that any benefits outweigh the possible negative side effects, including the potential for an ADRV. A
strict risk-benefit analysis involving a decision tree approach to the effectiveness, safety and risks should identify the small number of products that may benefit the athlete. Such an analysis requires the input of a well-informed sports nutrition professional.
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