

Determining the influence of environmental and edaphic factors on the fate of the nitrification inhibitors DCD and DMPP in soil

Guardia, Guillermo; Marsden, Karina; Vallejo, Antonio; Jones, David; Chadwick, David

Science of the Total Environment

DOI: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.12.250

Published: 15/05/2018

Peer reviewed version

Cyswllt i'r cyhoeddiad / Link to publication

Dyfyniad o'r fersiwn a gyhoeddwyd / Citation for published version (APA): Guardia, G., Marsden, K., Vallejo, A., Jones, D., & Chadwick, D. (2018). Determining the influence of environmental and edaphic factors on the fate of the nitrification inhibitors DCD and DMPP in soil. Science of the Total Environment, 624, 1202-1212. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.12.250

Hawliau Cyffredinol / General rights Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

• Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.

- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
 You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal ?

Take down policy If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

1 Determining the influence of environmental and edaphic factors on the fate of the

2 nitrification inhibitors DCD and DMPP in soil

- 3 Guillermo Guardia¹, Karina A. Marsden², Antonio Vallejo¹, Davey L. Jones², David R.
- 4 Chadwick².
- 5 ¹ ETSI Agronómica, Alimentaria y de Biosistemas (ETSIAAB), Universidad Politécnica de Madrid
- 6 (UPM), Ciudad Universitaria, 28040 Madrid, Spain.
- ² School of Environment, Natural Resources and Geography, Bangor University, Bangor, Gwynedd LL57
 2UW, UK.
- 9 * Corresponding author. Tf. +34 913365644. e-mail: guillermo.guardia@upm.es

10 Abstract

11 Nitrification inhibitors (NIs) such as dicyandiamide (DCD) and 3,4-dimethylpyrazole phosphate (DMPP) provide an opportunity to reduce losses of reactive nitrogen (Nr) 12 from agricultural ecosystems. To understand the fate and efficacy of these two 13 inhibitors, laboratory-scale experiments were conducted with ¹⁴C-labelled DCD and 14 DMPP to determine the relative rates of mineralization, recovery in soil extracts and 15 16 sorption in two agricultural soils with contrasting pH and organic matter content. Concurrently, the net production of soil ammonium and nitrate in soil were determined. 17 Two months after NI addition to soil, significantly greater mineralization of ¹⁴C-DMPP 18 (15.3%) was observed, relative to that of ¹⁴C-DCD (10.7\%), and the mineralization of 19 both NIs increased with temperature, regardless of NI and soil type. However, the 20 mineralization of NIs did not appear to have a major influence on their inhibitory effect 21 22 (as shown by the low mineralization rates and the divergent average half-lives for mineralization and nitrification, which were 454 and 37 days, respectively). The 23 nitrification inhibition efficacy of DMPP was more dependent on soil type than that of 24

25	DCD, although the efficacy of both inhibitors was lower in the more alkaline, low-					
26	organic matter soil. Although a greater proportion of DMPP becomes unavailable,					
27	possibly due to physico-chemical sorption to soil or microbial immobilization, our					
28	results demonstrate the potential of DMPP to achieve higher inhibition rates than DCD					
29	in grassland soils. Greater consideration of the interactions between NI type, soil and					
30	temperature is required to provide robust and cost-effective advice to farmers on NI use.					
31	Keywords: Fertilizer use efficiency; Nutrient cycling; Nitrogen losses; ¹⁴ C-isotope; NI					
32	mineralization; NI sorption.					
33	Highlights:					
34	• DCD and DMPP mineralization was slow and did not affect their nitrification					
35	inhibition efficacy.					
36	• Relative to DCD, less DMPP was sorbed to the solid phase and more was					
37	mineralized.					
38	• Inhibition efficacy of DCD and particularly DMPP decreased in the calcareous soil.					
39	• Both DCD and DMPP mineralization and inhibition efficacy were strongly					
40	influenced by temperature.					
41						
42	1. Introduction					
43	Nitrification inhibitors (NIs) offer the potential to decrease reactive nitrogen					

(Nr) losses, which occur when large quantities of ammonium $[NH_4^+]$ based fertilizers or urea are applied to agricultural soils. The NH_4^+ present in the soil can be oxidized to nitrate (NO_3^-) through nitrification, a biotic process which occurs under aerobic conditions (Medinets et al., 2015). This process is one of the major contributors to nitrous oxide (N_2O) and nitric oxide emissions (NO; Ussiri and Lal, 2013), which have

severe environmental consequences (Pilegaard, 2013; IPCC, 2014), and are released due 49 to the oxidation of intermediates of the nitrification process, i.e. hydroxylamine 50 (NH₂OH) and nitrite (NO₂; Ruser and Schulz, 2015). Therefore, the inhibition of the 51 first step of nitrification (oxidation of NH₄⁺ to NH₂OH) causes a direct reduction of N 52 oxides $(N_2O + NO_x)$ emissions (Akiyama et al., 2010). In addition, the limited 53 availability of the final product of nitrification, prevents losses of NO₃ via leaching to 54 55 groundwater (Quemada et al., 2013) and N oxides emissions from denitrification (the heterotrophic reduction of NO_3^- to N_2), which has been described as the main N_2O loss 56 pathway (Skiba and Smith, 2000) and also as a source of NO (Loick et al., 2016). The 57 58 use of NIs in intensive agriculture, therefore, represents a potential management option to reduce the environmental and health costs associated with these water and 59 atmospheric pollutants (Qiao et al., 2015), providing opportunity for increased benefits 60 61 through enhancement of N use efficiency and crop yields (Abalos et al., 2014; Yang et al., 2016). 62

Many synthetic (Akiyama et al., 2010) and naturally occurring organic 63 compounds (Subbarao et al., 2015) can act as NIs, with dicyandiamide (DCD) and 3,4-64 dimethylpyrazole phosphate (DMPP) representing two of the most commonly 65 researched and used NIs in Europe (Gilsanz et al., 2016). Both NIs deactivate the 66 enzyme responsible for the first step of nitrification, i.e. the oxidation of NH_4^+ to 67 NH₂OH. The main proposed mechanisms of inhibition are: i) direct binding and 68 interaction with ammonium monooxygenase (i.e. indiscriminate binding in the case of 69 70 DMPP and blocking the electron transport in the cytochromes in the case of DCD, Benckiser et al., 2013); and ii) the removal of copper (Cu) as the co-factor of 71 ammonium monooxygenase, thus behaving as metal chelators (Ruser and Schulz, 72 73 2015). With regards to their chemical behaviour, DCD is less volatile than DMPP

(Giltrap et al., 2010), while the water solubility of DMPP (125 g l^{-1}) is higher than that 74 of DCD (73.2 g l⁻¹; Marsden et al., 2016). These authors also observed that the 75 distribution of both NIs within soil columns after a rainfall simulation was similar, 76 except for the top 1 cm (where higher retention of DCD was obtained). DCD has been 77 the main inhibitor employed in several countries such as New Zealand, mainly due to its 78 low cost, although this inhibitor has been voluntarily withdrawn from New Zealand due 79 to the traces of DCD that were found in infant milk exported to China (Pal et al., 2016). 80 On the other hand, DMPP can be added at rates about 10 times less than DCD (Zerulla 81 et al., 2001; Benckiser et al., 2013), with similar, or even higher, reported efficacies 82 83 (Weiske et al., 2001).

84 With regards to the inhibition efficiency of DCD and DMPP, published metaanalyses have reported statistically similar average performances of both NIs in 85 mitigating N₂O emissions (Gilsanz et al., 2016) and enhancing N use efficiency or crop 86 87 yields (Abalos et al., 2014). Conversely, several studies have reported that the efficiency of DMPP surpassed that of DCD in decreasing soil NO₃⁻ concentrations and/or N₂O 88 emissions, or increasing crop productivities (Pereira et al., 2010; Liu et al., 2013; Kou et 89 al., 2015). In addition to inhibition efficacy, the behaviour of these products in soil is 90 linked to other soil processes (e.g. mineralization, microbial uptake, water 91 92 extractability) and hence, to soil properties (Zhang et al., 2004; Barth et al., 2008; 93 McGeough et al., 2016). To date, few studies have evaluated these processes (Marsden 94 et al., 2016), and especially in calcareous alkaline soils. Therefore, it is important to 95 understand the key variables affecting the efficacy and fate of NIs, to underpin advice about which product is the most effective under contrasting environmental and edaphic 96 conditions. 97

4

Several physical and/or biochemical processes may influence the efficacy of 98 NIs. For example, the water solubility and leaching potential has been shown to be 99 higher for DCD than DMPP (Weiske et al., 2001; Kim et al., 2012), thus resulting in 100 spatial dislocation of soil NH_4^+ and the inhibitor, possibly affecting the duration of the 101 inhibiting effect. However, a recent study by Marsden et al. (2016) employing ¹⁴C-102 103 labelled NIs, showed that the mobility of both inhibitors were similar. Another possible factor affecting efficacy is the microbial mineralization of NIs. Some studies have found 104 105 that DCD degrades faster than DMPP in soil, using direct NI measurements by chromatography-based methods (Weiske et al., 2001) or ¹⁴C labelling of NIs and 106 subsequent measurement of rates of ¹⁴CO₂ emission after application to soil (Marsden et 107 al., 2016). The sorption of NIs to the soil matrix (i.e. clays and organic matter) and 108 109 immobilization by non-target microorganisms have also been linked to a decrease in the 110 efficacy of NIs. Previous studies demonstrated that sorption (which could reduce the concentration of the NI in soil solution, and effectiveness on nitrifying microorganisms 111 112 in the short term) is higher for DCD than DMPP (Marsden et al., 2016). A higher 113 microbial assimilation of DCD is also expected, due to the lower degradability and bioavailability of the heterocyclic DMPP compound (Chaves et al., 2006). 114

In addition, soil temperature has been shown to be a key factor affecting the 115 116 inhibition effect (Mahmood et al., 2011; Menéndez et al., 2012; McGeough et al., 117 2016), due to the influence on microbial activity, and hence mineralization and nitrification kinetics. The complex interactions between inhibitor type, soil properties 118 119 and temperature, however, remains poorly understood. Only a few experiments have reported the simultaneous mineralization of NIs and the effects of the NIs on N cycling, 120 121 with these often being limited to either measuring the effects of NIs on N cycling without considering the disappearance of the NI itself, or where both have been 122

5

measured, this has often been for only short periods of time, e.g. hours to days (e.g. 123 Marsden et al., 2015; Marsden et al., 2016). 124

In this context, a laboratory experiment was conducted to compare the amount of 125 ¹⁴C-labelled DCD and DMPP which is sorbed, mineralized and recovered in the soil 126 extractable pool, in two contrasting soils at three different temperatures. The influence 127 of these three factors on the evolution of soil NH_4^+ and NO_3^- contents were also 128 investigated under laboratory conditions, in the absence of plants. We hypothesized 129 130 that, (1) greater mineralization would occur for DCD (in comparison to DMPP) and at higher temperatures, thus decreasing the inhibition efficacy, and (2) higher sorption and 131 132 microbial assimilation would be observed for DCD and in the soil with a higher organic matter content and microbial biomass (Marsden et al., 2016; McGeough et al., 2016), 133 affecting the proportion of NIs mineralized and extracted. 134

135

2. Materials and Methods

136 2.1 Soil properties

Two contrasting soils (from Spain and from UK) were used in this study (Table 137 1). We aimed to compare two soils from different climatic areas and agricultural land 138 use, which mainly differed in pH and organic C content, factors that are known to 139 greatly influence microbial activity. The soil from Spain ('ES soil') was an arable soil 140 collected from the "El Encín" field station (40°32'N, 3°17'W) and was a calcareous 141 sandy clay loam Calcic Haploxerept (Soil Survey Staff, 1992) with vermiculite as a 142 dominant clay mineral. The soil from the UK ('UK soil') was collected from a 143 permanent grassland at the Henfaes Agricultural Research Station, Abergwyngregyn, 144 145 North Wales (53°14'N, 4°01'W), and was a sandy clay loam textured Typic Eutrudepts (Soil Survey Staff, 1992). At each site, independent replicate soil samples (n = 3; 0–10 146 cm) were collected, sieved to pass 2 mm, and stored at 4 °C in gas-permeable polythene 147

bags until the start of the experiment. Soil moisture content was determined by oven 148 drying (105 °C, 24 h) and soil organic matter content by loss-on-ignition (450 °C, 16 h; 149 Ball, 1964). Soil pH was measured using standard electrodes in 1:2.5 (w/v) soil-to-150 151 distilled water suspensions. Microbial biomass C and N were determined by CHCl₃ fumigation-extraction according to Voroney et al. (2008) using K_{EC} and K_{EN} correction 152 factors of 0.35 and 0.50, respectively. Initial NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺ contents in 1:5 (w/v) soil-153 to-0.5 M K₂SO₄ extracts were determined using the colorimetric method of Miranda et 154 155 al. (2001) and Mulvaney (1996), respectively. Total C and N in soils were determined by elemental analysis with a LECO TruMac CN analyzer[®]. Total Cu content, which 156 could affect the efficacy of NIs (Ruser and Schulz, 2015; McGeough et al., 2016) was 157 determined by atomic absorption spectrophotometry (AAnalyst 700, PerkinElmer 158 2000), after treating air-dried soil samples with HNO₃ and HF, followed by digestion in 159 160 Teflon bombs in a microwave oven.

161 2.2 DMPP and DCD mineralization within soils

To determine the mineralization rates of 5-14C-DMPP and [U]14C-DCD 162 (American Radiolabelled Chemicals, St Louis, MO, USA) in the two soils (ES and UK) 163 at contrasting soil temperatures (10, 20 and 30°C), a replicated (n = 3) factorial ¹⁴C-164 165 labelling experiment was employed. Briefly, 5 g of field-moist soil was weighed into 50 cm³ polypropylene tubes. NH₄Cl was applied to each treatment at a rate of 100 kg N ha⁻ 166 ¹ (i.e. 0.5 ml, 3 g NH₄Cl l⁻¹), together with ¹⁴C-DMPP (at a commercial rate of 1 kg ha⁻¹, 167 i.e. 0.5 ml 0.03 g l^{-1} , ca. 2 kBq m l^{-1}) or ¹⁴C-DCD (at a commercial rate of 10 kg ha⁻¹, i.e. 168 0.5 ml 0.3 g l⁻¹; ca. 2 kBq ml⁻¹). Subsequently, deionized water was added to each tube 169 170 to achieve 50% water-filled pore space (WFPS) in each soil, maintaining a suitable soil aeration status for nitrification to proceed. WFPS was calculated by dividing the 171 volumetric water content by total soil porosity. Evolved ¹⁴CO₂ was captured in 1 M 172

NaOH traps (1 ml; capture efficiency >95 %; Hill et al. 2007), which were changed 173 after 1, 3, 6, 10, 14, 18, 21, 28, 35 and 63 days. The ¹⁴C activity in the recovered NaOH 174 solution was determined using a Wallac 1404 Liquid Scintillation Counter (Wallac 175 EG&G, Milton Keynes, UK) after mixing with HiSafe 3 scintillant (PerkinElmer, 176 Llantrisant, UK). After the last ¹⁴CO₂ measurement, the remaining activity in the soils 177 was quantified by extracting with ice-cold 0.5 M K₂SO₄ (1:5 w/v). Samples were 178 shaken (150 rev min⁻¹) for 30 min and subsequently centrifuged (10 000 g, 10 min). The 179 activity in the supernatant was measured as described above. 180

181 2.3 Recovery of ^{14}C in soil extract

The amount of NI which remained extractable in soil was analysed alongside the 182 mineralization assay described above. In this case, 2 g of field-moist soil (n = 3) was 183 184 added to 20 ml polypropylene vials. Ammonium chloride and NIs were added at the same rates as in the mineralization experiment, and deionized water was added to reach 185 50% WFPS. Labelled ¹⁴C-NIs were added at 5 kBg ml⁻¹ (0.2 ml). The amount of 186 substrate (¹⁴C-DMPP or ¹⁴C-DCD) remaining in the soil (combination of the soil 187 solution pool and the exchangeable pool) was measured after 1, 3, 6, 10, 14, 18, 21 and 188 28 days, by extracting the soil with ice-cold 0.5 M K₂SO₄, and analysing the activity in 189 190 the resulting extracts by liquid scintillation counting, as described in section 2.2. The mineralization and recovery in soil extract sub experiments involved the same 191 treatments, i.e. two inhibitors (DCD and DMPP), two soils (ES and UK) and three 192 temperatures (10, 20 and 30 °C), and both were used to calculate the ¹⁴C mass balance 193 (detailed in section 2.6). 194

195 *2.4 NI sorption*

The amount of DCD and DMPP sorbed to either soil was determined as 196 described by Marsden et al. (2015). Briefly, ¹⁴C-DCD or ¹⁴C-DMPP was applied (50 µl; 197 *ca.* 1 kBq) to 1 g (n = 3) of air-dried soil, where a total of 8 concentrations of ¹⁴C-DCD 198 and ¹⁴C-DMPP were used, ranging from 0.08-10 mg NI l⁻¹. Subsequently, 5 ml of 0.01 199 M CaCl₂ was added to the soils and the soil suspensions were shaken (0.5 h; 150 rev 200 min^{-1}) on a rotary shaker. An aliquot (1.5 ml) was then centrifuged (10 000 g; 5 min) 201 and the ¹⁴C activity in the supernatant determined by liquid scintillation counting as 202 described above. Sorption isotherms were determined for ¹⁴C-DCD and ¹⁴C-DMPP in 203 the two contrasting soils and the partition coefficient (K_d) for the NIs determined via 204 Equation 1, where C_{ads} (µg g⁻¹) is the concentration adsorbed to the soil solid phase at 205 equilibrium and C_{sol} (µg l⁻¹) is the adsorbate concentration remaining in solution at 206 207 equilibrium.

208

$$K_{\rm d} = C_{\rm ads} / C_{\rm sol} \tag{1}$$

209 This sorption experiment was measured as a one-off complementary 210 measurement to previous assays (i.e. mineralization and recovery by K_2SO_4 extract) for 211 each inhibitor and soil.

212 2.5 Soil mineral N content

Alongside the ¹⁴C experiments described above, a further set of samples were established to monitor the effects of the NIs on the dynamics of soil NH_4^+ and $NO_3^$ content over time. 5 g fresh weight of each soil was weighed into 50 cm³ polypropylene tubes, as for the mineralization experiment. The NH_4Cl and the NIs (non ¹⁴C-labelled DCD and DMPP) were applied at the same rates as described in section 2.2 and 2.3.

Two additional treatments were included: NH_4Cl at 100 kg N ha⁻¹ without NIs (no NI) and a control without NH_4Cl or NIs addition (C). Deionized water was added to bring the soil in all treatments up to 50% WFPS, and then the tubes were incubated at 10, 20 and 30 °C. All the fertilizer-soil-temperature combinations were replicated three times. After 0, 1, 3, 6, 10, 14, 18, 21 and 28 days replicate samples from each treatment (n = 4) were destructively harvested and their mineral N content determined. A 28 day period was chosen based on known period of active inhibition for DMPP and DCD (Benckiser et al., 2013; Chaves et al., 2006). At each sampling date, NH₄⁺ and NO₃⁻ were extracted with 25 ml of 0.5 M K₂SO₄, and measured using the same procedure described in section 2.1.

228 2.6 Calculations and statistical analysis

Since it is not possible to determine the ¹⁴C recovery in the microbial biomass 229 with any reliability (Glanville et al., 2016), we used a mass balance approach to 230 calculate the amount of ¹⁴C present in the microbial biomass. This microbial pool was 231 calculated as the difference between the starting ¹⁴C pool (amount of ¹⁴C added to the 232 soil at t = 0 and the amount recovered as either ¹⁴CO₂ plus that recovered in the ¹⁴C-233 K₂SO₄ extractable pool (Glanville et al., 2016; Marsden et al., 2016). The 234 mineralization rates of the inhibitors and the changes in NH₄⁺ content with incubation 235 time were modelled with a first- or a zero-order reaction kinetic model, as described in 236 Zhao et al. (2007). Afterwards, the half-life was calculated as $C_0/2k$ or ln(2)/k for zero 237 and first-order reactions, respectively, where C_0 was the initial concentration of 238 substrate and k was the kinetic constant. To determine the significance of the effects of 239 NI type, soil type and temperature on mineralization, ¹⁴C recovery in soil extract, and 240 inhibition efficacy, a three-way ANOVA was conducted. The normality (Shapiro-Wilk 241 test) and homogeneity of variance assumptions (Levene's test) were assessed prior to 242 243 conducting the ANOVA. Data were arcsin or log-transformed before analysis when ANOVA assumptions were not met with the original data. Means were separated by 244 Tukey's honest significance test at P < 0.05. For non-normally distributed data, the 245

Kruskal–Wallis test was used on non-transformed data to evaluate differences at P <0.05. Linear correlations were carried out to determine relationships between mineralization and recovery in the soil extract with the average NH₄⁺ and NO₃⁻ contents, considering also the effectiveness on nitrification inhibition (comparison between NH₄⁺ and NO₃⁻ contents in NIs and –NI treatments; n = 36). All statistical analyses were carried out with Statgraphics Plus 5.1 (Statpoint Technologies, Inc., The Plains, VA).

252 **3. Results**

253 3.1 Mineralization and availability of nitrification inhibitors in soil

Overall, the NI mineralization patterns were linear and stabilized 63 days after 254 NIs and NH₄Cl addition in most treatments (Fig. 1). On average, 6.3 and 11.8% of ¹⁴C-255 DCD and ¹⁴C–DMPP, respectively, was mineralized 28 days after N addition (Table 2). 256 One month later, the cumulative ¹⁴CO₂ released was 10.7 and 15.3% for DCD and 257 DMPP, respectively. On average for both NIs, the mineralization was highest at 30 °C, 258 and was reduced by 39% (ranging from 20% for DMPP to 57% for DCD) and 49% 259 260 (ranging from 26.9% for DMPP to 70.6% for DCD) at 20 °C and 10 °C, respectively (P < 0.05). Conversely, the mineralization differences between temperatures were lower 261 for DMPP and only significant when comparing 30 °C with 20 °C and 10 °C. The 262 263 mineralization of DMPP was not affected by soil type, while more DCD was mineralized in the calcareous soil than in the non-calcareous soil (P < 0.05). The 264 soil×inhibitor interaction effect on NI mineralization for DCD was particularly marked 265 266 at 30 °C. DMPP mineralization trends were similar in both soils (Fig. 1c, d) at 30 °C. Conversely, a greater mineralization of DCD was observed in the ES than in the UK 267 268 soil at this temperature (Fig. 1a, b). The mineralization of NIs in all treatments followed a first-order kinetic relationship (Table 3). Results confirmed that the NI half-life 269 decreased with increasing temperature, and was greater for DCD (635 days) than DMPP 270

271 (273 days) across both soil types. Average half-lives for UK and ES soils were 570 and 272 338 days, respectively. The sensitivity of mineralization to temperature was evaluated 273 with the Q_{10} parameter (Table S1; Hill et al., 2015). DMPP mineralization showed a 274 significantly lower sensitivity to temperature than DCD mineralization. Regarding the 275 soil effect, the response of the mineralization to temperature was higher in the ES than 276 in the UK soil for DCD (with similar behaviour in both soils for DMPP).

277 The amount of K₂SO₄-extractable DCD and DMPP from soil decreased over time (Fig. 2). The 14 C recovered in the K₂SO₄ extracts after 63 days ranged from 11 to 278 66% of the initial amount of NI applied (Table 2). Recovery of ¹⁴C in the extractable 279 pool at both 28 and 63 days was substantially higher for ¹⁴C-DCD than for ¹⁴C-DMPP 280 (P < 0.001). This pool was also higher in the ES soil than in the UK soil. In contrast, the 281 ¹⁴C recovery in the soil extracts was not significantly (P > 0.05) affected by temperature 282 283 at 63 days. A negative correlation between NI mineralization and the amount still 284 present in the soil extractable K₂SO₄ pool was found (P < 0.001, n = 36, r = -0.63).

The quantity of ¹⁴C label which remained unrecoverable (neither mineralized nor extracted by K_2SO_4) was significantly higher for DMPP than for DCD (Table 2), and in the UK soil (with lower mineralization and ¹⁴C recovery in soil extract) than in the ES soil. As for the K_2SO_4 extractable pool, temperature had little influence on the size of the non-recoverable ¹⁴C pool (e.g. the mean values ranged from 47% to 51% for the different temperatures), and it showed less dependence on time than the other pools (data not shown).

292 3.2 Effect of nitrification inhibitors on the net production of mineral N

Ammonium concentrations for each temperature are shown in Fig. 3a, c, e and 4a, c, e. Both inhibitors resulted in significantly greater NH_4^+ concentrations, with respect to the no NI treatment, particularly from days 6 to 21. These increased NH_4^+ concentrations were particularly clear (and even more long-lasting) at 30 °C, compared with lower temperatures. In the case of DMPP, NH_4^+ concentrations were higher than that in the no NI treatment from the first day after fertilization, while no differences between DCD and no NI were observed during the first 6 days after NIs-NH₄Cl addition. After 28 days, all fertilized treatments reached the base NH_4^+ levels of the unfertilized control treatment.

Nitrate concentrations increased from 3 days after NIs-NH₄Cl addition, reaching maximum values at 17-21 days, remaining nearly constant until the end of the experiment (Fig. 3b, d, f and 4b, d, f). Both inhibitors decreased the measured and average NO₃⁻ concentrations compared to the no NI treatments, and even the nonfertilized control treatment (P < 0.05). A significant correlation between NO₃⁻ concentrations and NI mineralization was also observed (P < 0.01, n = 36, r = 0.42).

The effectiveness of nitrification inhibition (Table S2) was calculated through 308 the kinetic constant and the half-life of nitrification, as explained in section 2.6. In all 309 310 cases, nitrification was best described by a first-order kinetic model (P < 0.05), except for the DMPP-UK-10 treatment, which was best described by a zero-order kinetic 311 312 model. The lower the kinetic constant is (or the longer the half-life is), the more effective the inhibitor (the inhibition of nitrification in this case). The nitrification half-313 life, which ranged from 8 to 75 days, was lowest at 20 °C, compared to 10 °C and 30 314 °C, for both NIs. As was observed for the recovery of the NIs in the different soil pools 315 (¹⁴C-K₂SO₄, ¹⁴CO₂, ¹⁴C-microbial biomass), significant interactions were observed 316 regarding the half-life of nitrification. DMPP was more effective (longer half-life of 317 318 NH₄⁺) than DCD in UK soil, but the opposite (DCD was more effective) was observed in the ES soil (Table S2). Both NIs were more effective in inhibiting NO₃⁻ formation in 319 the UK soil compared to the ES soil, although differences between soils were higher for 320

DMPP than for DCD. In addition, Figure 5 shows the increase in the half-life of 321 nitrification due to DCD or DMPP, for each soil and temperature, in comparison to the 322 without NIs added. figure, which 323 treatments This represents the 324 inhibitor×soil×temperature interactions, shows that the lowest efficiency was in the ES soil at 30 °C, for both inhibitors (even there was no effect of DMPP under these 325 conditions, with respect to the addition of no fertilizer or inhibitor). Both soils showed a 326 327 different trend with regards to temperature: the lowest efficiency occurred at 20 °C in the UK soil, and at 30 °C in the ES soil. 328

329 *3.3* Sorption of nitrification inhibitors to the solid phase

Sorption isotherms for DCD and DMPP in the two soils are presented in Figure 330 6. In the DMPP-ES isotherm one outlier (corresponding to 5 mg DMPP l^{-1} , 4.2 mg l^{-1} in 331 equilibrium) was removed after applying Dixon's Q test. The partition coefficients (K_d , 332 from 1 to 10 mg l⁻¹ of initial NIs concentration), calculated as the slope of the sorption 333 isotherms corresponding to both soils and inhibitors, were 4.11, 1.43, 0.49 and 0.90 for 334 335 ES-DCD, UK-DCD, ES-DMPP and UK-DMPP, respectively. This coefficient was higher for DCD than DMPP, regardless of soil type. Conversely, the soil×inhibitor 336 interaction meant that in the case of DCD, K_d was greater in the ES than in the UK soil, 337 while the opposite was observed for DMPP (higher K_d in UK than in ES soil). 338

339 4. Discussion

340 *4.1 Nitrification inhibitor mineralization in soil*

341 Studying the mineralization of NIs in different soils and at different temperatures 342 is an issue of major interest. Under optimal conditions, the NIs should be mineralized at 343 a rate that provides a high level of inhibition, whilst also degrading relatively quickly so 344 as not to disturb wider soil functioning (Ruser and Schulz, 2015), minimise loss to watercourses, or enter the food chain (Marsden et al., 2015; Pal et al., 2016).
Controversy surrounds this point, however, since a residual effect could contribute to
enhanced efficiency of NIs through subsequent cropping campaigns (i.e. legacy effect;
Alonso-Ayuso et al., 2016).

Contrary to our initial hypothesis, more DMPP than DCD was mineralized at 28 349 350 and 63 days after N addition (Fig. 1, Table 2). In fact, the average half-life of DCD was 351 2.3 times higher than that of DMPP (Table 3), and was much higher than that reported by the studies of Kelliher et al. (2008; 64 days at 20 °C) or Barneze et al. (2015; 10 days 352 353 at 15 °C). Our findings are not consistent with previous studies showing that DCD 354 concentrations decline more rapidly in soil than DMPP e.g. in brown earth Fluvisols (Weiske et al., 2001) and loamy sand soils (Zerulla et al., 2001). Our results may be 355 356 caused by the different experimental conditions, since in the field study of Weiske et al. (2001), the highly soluble DCD could have been leached within the soil profile (Kim et 357 358 al., 2012), leading to a loss of DCD. However, similar transport of both inhibitors down the soil profile was observed by Marsden et al. (2016). These authors also measured the 359 mineralization (0-8 h) of both ¹⁴C-labelled NIs, also finding faster mineralization of 360 DCD than that of DMPP. They argued that the characteristics of the molecule (a 361 heterocyclic compound) cause DMPP to be more resistant to microbial attack (Chaves 362 et al., 2006). Although the authors of these previous studies hypothesized that the 363 microbial community degrade DCD faster than DMPP, this was not measured for a 364 365 period longer than 24 hours.

The effect of temperature on NI mineralization was largely independent of soil type and inhibitor: with increasing temperatures, a higher percentage of NIs was mineralized. The previous studies of Rajbanshi et al. (1992) or Kelliher et al. (2008) found that the mineralization of DCD increased with temperature, supporting our

findings. The Q_{10} values revealed that the mineralization of DMPP was much less 370 influenced by temperature than that of DCD. Similarly, Menéndez et al. (2012) also 371 found that the persistence of DMPP in soil did not greatly depend on temperature. 372 373 Kelliher et al. (2008) quantified the relationship between temperature and DCD 374 mineralization, observing that at higher temperatures (e.g. 25 °C), a 1 °C increment 375 caused a disproportional decrease in DCD half-life with respect to the same increase at 376 lower temperatures (e.g. 5 °C). Accordingly, in our experiment, the largest differences occurred between 20 °C and 30 °C, rather than between 10 °C and 20 °C (Table S1). 377

378 4.2 Recovery of ^{14}C -labelled nitrification inhibitors in soil extracts

The amount of ¹⁴C-NIs extracted by K₂SO₄ was barely influenced by 379 380 temperature, particularly in the case of DMPP (Table 2), in agreement with Menéndez 381 et al. (2012). The soil extractable pool was significantly larger for DCD than for DMPP. As K₂SO₄ removes the compound from the exchange phase, this result suggests that 382 more DCD may have been left in the soil as less remained unrecoverable (Table 2). This 383 384 result could also indicate a higher potential of DCD to move within the soil solution and therefore, to be translocated or leached down the soil profile (Kim et al., 2012). 385 386 Conversely, Marsden et al. (2016) did not find significant differences between the mobility of DCD and that of DMPP, also showing that the solubility of DMPP was 387 higher than that of DCD. With regards to the soil type effect, greater amounts of ¹⁴C 388 389 were recovered in the soil extracts in the calcareous ES soil than in the non-calcareous 390 UK soil, regardless of temperature or type of inhibitor. This suggests there is a greater potential for microbial immobilization in the non-calcareous soil (Marsden et al., 2016), 391 392 which is consistent with its higher microbial biomass.

393 *4.3 Sorption of nitrification inhibitors*

In support of our hypothesis, the sorption isotherms and K_d values revealed that 394 more DCD was sorbed to the soil matrix than DMPP, regardless of soil type. The higher 395 sorption of DCD was consistent with the significantly higher recovery of this inhibitor 396 397 in the soil K₂SO₄ extractable pool. There is not a clear explanation for these results, since DMPP is positively charged, so a higher sorption of this compound would have 398 been expected (as opposed to DCD), particularly at high soil pH (which causes 399 amphipathic DCD to be negatively charged). Conversely, these results confirmed those 400 401 of the previous laboratory experiment carried out by Marsden et al. (2016) in contrasting mineral and organic soils. As found by Zhang et al. (2004), higher sorption 402 of DCD was found in the soil with higher pH. These authors suggested that at a more 403 404 alkaline soil pH, negatively charged DCD becomes sorbed to metal oxides. However, the sorption of DMPP was higher in the UK soil, possibly indicating the key role of 405 406 negatively charged domains within organic matter in adsorption processes (Marsden et al., 2016). 407

408 *4.4 Non-recoverable nitrification inhibitor pool in soil*

On average, the amount of NIs in the non-recoverable ¹⁴C pool was much lower 409 for DCD (average 32%) than for DMPP (average 63%, being the main pool for this 410 411 inhibitor). This pool could be associated with, i) microbial uptake, as suggested by Marsden et al. (2016); or ii) strong quasi-irreversible binding of NIs into the clays or 412 organic matter matrix, preventing recovery with K₂SO₄. The hypothesis of microbial 413 assimilation is consistent with the higher amount of NIs in the non-measured ¹⁴C pool 414 which was obtained in the UK soil, with higher C and N microbial biomass (Table 1). If 415 the non-measured ¹⁴C pool is associated with microbial immobilization, our results 416 show that DMPP was more likely to be taken up by microbes than DCD. In contrast, 417 418 Marsden et al. (2016) observed a similar microbial uptake for both DCD and DMPP. As

17

opposed to DCD, the greatest proportion of DMPP remained non-measured (Table 2),
so further research is needed to determine the fate of DMPP which is not mineralized or
extracted by K₂SO₄. This is particularly important considering the possible negative or
positive effects of NIs on non-target microbiota (Kou et al., 2015; Florio et al., 2016;
Wang et al., 2017).

424 4.5 Linking NIs fate and efficacy

Our results showed that the effectiveness of DCD and DMPP in delaying 425 nitrification activity differed between both inhibitors, and was highly influenced by soil 426 type and temperature. Contrary to our initial hypothesis, mineralization did not seem to 427 428 have a major influence on the inhibitors efficacy, as shown by the average half-life of 429 inhibitors (454 days; Table 3), which was much higher than that of the substrate of nitrification (NH₄⁺; 36.7 days; Table S2). Moreover, differences in the mineralization 430 rates at 63 days between each NI-soil-temperature combination did not surpass 15% (in 431 432 absolute values).

433 The efficiency of DCD and DMPP was mainly driven by the interaction with soil type i.e. DMPP was more effective than DCD in the UK soil (Fig. 5 and Table S2), 434 while DCD was more effective than DMPP in the ES soil. The differences between both 435 soils may be explained by the effect of the contrasting physico-chemical properties in 436 both soils. One of these properties was soil pH, which was acidic in the UK soil and 437 alkaline in the ES. Several studies under acidic soil conditions also found that DMPP 438 439 efficacy was higher than that of DCD (e.g. Weiske et al., 2001; Chaves et al., 2006; Fangueiro et al., 2009; Di and Cameron, 2011). In addition, the specific composition of 440 441 organic matter and clays, which affects soil CEC, have been shown to affect DCD and DMPP efficacy (Zhang et al., 2004; Wu et al., 2007; Barth et al., 2008; McGeough et 442 al., 2016). The lower recovery rates associated with DMPP and the UK soil may suggest 443

a greater interaction with the organic fraction (Shi et al., 2016). Otherwise, the 444 445 contrasting physico-chemical properties (Table 1) as well as climatic conditions (e.g. rainfall amount and distribution), management factors (the UK is a grassland soil and 446 447 the ES is an arable soil), and plant species identity have all been shown to affect the composition of nitrifying communities (Yao et al., 2011; Carey et al., 2016). For 448 instance, ammonium oxidizing archaea (AOA) dominate nitrification activity in acidic 449 450 soils (such as UK), while ammonium oxidizing bacteria may dominate in alkaline soils 451 (such as ES). The inhibition of the growth of AOB rather than that of AOA has been proposed as the main mechanism for slowing nitrification activity from DCD and 452 453 DMPP (Ruser and Schulz, 2015, Shi et al., 2016). The specific microbial composition in each soil could explain the complex soil×inhibitor and soil×temperature interactions 454 (Fig. 5). Regardless of the type of NI, the acid soil with the lowest CEC and clay 455 content (UK) was associated with significantly higher efficacies of DCD and DMPP 456 (Fig. 5 and Table S2). Even though there are few studies on the effectiveness of DMPP 457 458 compared to DCD in the UK (Misselbrook et al., 2014), our results suggest the potential 459 of DMPP to achieve higher nitrification inhibition rates than DCD in grassland soils (acid pH and relatively low CEC) as the UK soil (Fig. 5 and Table S2). 460

461 **Conclusions**

462 Contrary to previous findings, higher mineralization of DMPP was observed for 463 both soils, in comparison to that of DCD, although the kinetics of mineralization of 464 these nitrification inhibitors was not necessarily linked with their overall effectiveness. 465 The effectiveness of both NIs was higher in the more acidic UK soil (pH 6.0). The 466 nitrification inhibition efficacy of DMPP was highly dependent on soil type (in 467 comparison to that of DCD), decreasing in the alkaline low-organic C content soil (pH 468 7.6). Comparing the behaviour of both NIs, higher amounts of ¹⁴C-DCD was sorbed to

the soil matrix and recovered in the soil extract, while the amount of NIs in the non-469 470 measured (neither mineralized nor K₂SO₄ extracted) pool was much lower for DCD than for DMPP. Temperature was a key factor influencing NIs efficacy (which was at a 471 472 minimum at 20 °C and 30 °C in the acidic UK and calcareous ES soils, respectively) and mineralization (which increased with temperature). The cost-effective use of NIs 473 requires the evaluation of the interactions between the type of NI, soil properties and 474 regional temperature fluctuations. This laboratory experiment is a starting point to 475 476 analyse the drivers of the efficacy of DMPP and DCD, and contributes to the understanding of the behaviour of both NIs in the soil in the short-term, as well as the 477 possible effects in the medium/long term, which should be confirmed and explored 478 under field conditions. 479

480 Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to the Spanish Ministry of Economy and Innovation and the Autonomous Community of Madrid for their economic support through Projects, AGL2015-64582-C3-3-R (MINECO/FEDER) and the Agrisost Project (P2013/ABI-2717). We also thank the technical support at the Environment Centre Wales (ECW) and particularly to María Jesús García for her help with the soil analyses. This work was done in the frame of the Moncloa Campus of International Excellence (UCM-UPM).

487 **References**

Abalos, D., Jeffery, S., Sanz-Cobena, A., Guardia, G., Vallejo, A., 2014. Meta-analysis
of the effect of urease and nitrification inhibitors on crop productivity and nitrogen use
efficiency. Agr. Ecosyst. Environ. 189, 136-144.

20

491	Akiyama,	Н.,	Yan,	Х.,	Yagi,	К.,	2010.	Evaluation	of	effectiveness	of
492	enhanced-e	efficie	ncy fer	tilizer	rs as mi	tigatio	on optio	ns for N ₂ O a	nd N	O emissions f	rom
493	agricultura	l soils	: meta-	analys	sis. Glob	o. Cha	nge Bio	1. 16, 1837-18	846.		

- 494 Alonso-Ayuso, M., Gabriel, J.L., Quemada, M., 2016. Nitrogen use efficiency and
- residual effect of fertilizers with nitrification inhibitors. Eur. J. Agron. 80, 1-8.
- Ball, D.F., 1964. Loss-on-ignition as an estimate of organic matter and organic carbon
 in non-calcareous soils. Eur. J. Soil Sci. 15, 84-92.
- Barneze, A.S., Minet, E.P., Cerri, C.C., Misselbrook, T., 2015. The effect of
 nitrification inhibitors on nitrous oxide emissions from cattle urine depositions to
 grassland under summer conditions in the UK. Chemosphere 119, 122-129.
- Barth, G., Von Tucher, S., Schmidhalter, U., 2008. Effectiveness of 3,4dimethylpyrazole phosphate as nitrification inhibitor in soil as influenced by inhibitor
 concentration, application form, and soil matric potential. Pedosphere 18, 378–385.
- Benckiser, G., Christ, E., Herbert, T., Weiske, A., Blome, J., Hardt, M., 2013. The
 nitrification inhibitor 3, 4-dimethylpyrazole-phosphat (DMPP)-quantification and
 effects on soil metabolism. Plant Soil 371(1-2), 257-266.
- Carey, C.J., Dove, N.C., Beman, J.M., Hart, S.C., Aronson, E.L., 2016. Meta-analysis
 reveals ammonia-oxidizing bacteria respond more strongly to nitrogen addition than
 ammonia-oxidizing archaea. Soil Biol. Biochem. 99, 158-166.
- 510 Chaves, B., Opoku, A., De Neve, S., Boeckx, P., Van Cleemput, O., Hofman, G., 2006.
- 511 Influence of DCD and DMPP on soil N dynamics after incorporation of vegetable crop
- 512 residues. Biol. Fert. Soils 43(1), 62-68.

- 513 Di, H.J., Cameron, K.C., 2011. Inhibition of ammonium oxidation by a liquid 514 formulation of 3, 4-Dimethylpyrazole phosphate (DMPP) compared with a 515 dicyandiamide (DCD) solution in six New Zealand grazed grassland soils. J. Soils 516 Sediments 11(6), 1032.
- Fangueiro, D., Fernandes, A., Coutinho, J., Moreira, N., Trindade, H., 2009. Influence
 of two nitrification inhibitors (DCD and DMPP) on annual ryegrass yield and soil
 mineral N dynamics after incorporation with cattle slurry. Comm. Soil Sci. Plant Anal.
 40(21-22), 3387-3398.
- Florio, A., Maienza, A., Dell'Abate, M.T., Stazi, S.R., Benedetti, A., 2016. Changes in
 the activity and abundance of the soil microbial community in response to the
 nitrification inhibitor 3, 4-dimethylpyrazole phosphate (DMPP). J. Soil Sediments
 16(12), 2687-2697.
- Gilsanz, C., Báez, D., Misselbrook, T.H., Dhanoa, M.S., Cárdenas, L.M., 2016.
 Development of emission factors and efficiency of two nitrification inhibitors, DCD and
 DMPP. Agr. Ecosyst. Environ. 216, 1-8.
- Giltrap, D.L., Singh, J., Saggar, S., Zaman, M., 2010. A preliminary study to model the
 effects of a nitrification inhibitor on nitrous oxide emissions from urine-amended
 pasture. Agr. Ecosyst. Environ. 136(3), 310-317.
- 531 Glanville, H.C., Hill, P.W., Schnepf, A., Oburger, E., Jones, D.L., 2016. Combined use
- of empirical data and mathematical modelling to better estimate the microbial turnover
- of isotopically labelled carbon substrates in soil. Soil Biol. Biochem. 94, 154-168.

- Hill, P.W., Garnett, M.H., Farrar, J., Jones D.L., 2015. Living roots magnify the
 response of soil organic carbon decomposition to temperature in temperate grassland.
 Glob. Change Biol. 21, 1368-1375.
- Hill, P., Kuzyakov, Y., Jones, D., Farrar, J., 2007. Response of root respiration and root
 exudation to alterations in root C supply and demand in wheat. Plant Soil 291(1-2), 131141.
- 540 IPCC, 2014. Climate Change 2014: Synthesis Report. Contribution of Working Groups
- 541 I, II and III to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate
- 542 Change [Core Writing Team, R.K. Pachauri and L.A. Meyer (eds.)]. IPCC, Geneva,
- 543 Switzerland, 151 pp.
- Kelliher, F.M., Clough, T.J., Clark, H., Rys, G., Sedcole, J.R., 2008. The temperature
 dependence of dicyandiamide (DCD) degradation in soils: a data synthesis. Soil Biol.
 Biochem. 40(7), 1878-1882.
- Kim, D.-G., Giltrap, D., Saggar, S., Palmada, T., Berben, P., Drysdale, D., 2012. Fate of
 the nitrification inhibitor dicyandiamide (DCD) sprayed on a grazed pasture: effect of
 rate and time of application. Soil Res. 50(4), 337-347.
- Kou, Y.P., Wei, K., Chen, G.X., Wang, Z.Y., Xu, H., 2015. Effects of 3, 4dimethylpyrazole phosphate and dicyandiamide on nitrous oxide emission in a
 greenhouse vegetable soil. Plant Soil Environ. 61(1), 29-35.
- Liu, C., Wang, K., Zheng, X., 2013. Effects of nitrification inhibitors (DCD and DMPP)
- 554 on nitrous oxide emission, crop yield and nitrogen uptake in a wheat-maize cropping 555 system. Biogeosciences 10(4), 2427.

- 556 Loick, N., Dixon, E.R., Abalos, D., Vallejo, A., Matthews, G.P., McGeough, K.L.,
- 557 Well, R., Watson, C.J., Laughlin, R.M., Cardenas, L.M., 2016. Denitrification as a
- source of nitric oxide emissions from incubated soil cores from a UK grassland soil.
- 559 Soil Biol. Biochem. 95, 1-7.
- Mahmood, T., Ali, R., Latif, Z., Ishaque, W., 2011. Dicyandiamide increases the fertilizer N loss from an alkaline calcareous soil treated with ¹⁵N-labelled urea under
- warm climate and under different crops. Biol. Fert. Soils 47(6), 619-631.
- 563 Marsden, K.A., Marín-Martínez, A.J., Vallejo, A., Hill, P.W., Jones, D.L., Chadwick,
- D.R., 2016. The mobility of nitrification inhibitors under simulated ruminant urine
 deposition and rainfall: a comparison between DCD and DMPP. Biol. Fert. Soils 52(4),
 491-503.
- 567 Marsden, K.A., Scowen, M., Hill, P.W., Jones, D.L., Chadwick, D.R., 2015. Plant 568 acquisition and metabolism of the synthetic nitrification inhibitor dicyandiamide and 569 naturally-occurring guanidine from agricultural soils. Plant Soil 395(1-2), 201-214.
- 570 McGeough, K.L., Watson, C.J., Müller, C., Laughlin, R.J., Chadwick, D.R., 2016.
- Evidence that the efficacy of the nitrification inhibitor dicyandiamide (DCD) is affected
 by soil properties in UK soils. Soil Biol. Biochem. 94, 222-232.
- 573 Medinets, S., Skiba, U., Rennenberg, H., Butterbach-Bahl, K., 2015. A review of soil
- NO transformation: Associated processes and possible physiological significance onorganisms. Soil Biol. Biochem. 80, 92-117.
- 576 Menéndez, S., Barrena, I., Setien, I., González-Murua, C., Estavillo, J.M., 2012.
 577 Efficiency of nitrification inhibitor DMPP to reduce nitrous oxide emissions under
 578 different temperature and moisture conditions. Soil Biol. Biochem. 53, 82-89.

- 579 Miranda K.M., Epsey, M.G., Wink, D.A., 2001. A rapid, simple, spectrophotometric
- 580 method for simultaneous detection of nitrate and nitrite. Nitric Oxide 5, 62–71.
- 581 Misselbrook, T.H., Cardenas, L.M., Camp, V., Thorman, R.E., Williams, J.R., Rollett,
- 582 A.J., Chambers, B.J., 2014. An assessment of nitrification inhibitors to reduce nitrous
- 583 oxide emissions from UK agriculture. Environ. Res. Lett. 9(11), 115006.
- Mulvaney, R.L., 1996. Nitrogen—inorganic forms. In: Sparks, D.L. (Ed.), Methods of
 soil analysis. Part 3. Soil Science Society of America Inc., Madison, WI, pp. 1123–
 1184.
- 587 Pal, P., McMillan, A.M., Saggar, S., 2016. Pathways of dicyandiamide uptake in pasture
- plants: a laboratory study. Biol. Fert. Soils 52(4), 539-546.
- Pereira, J., Fangueiro, D., Chadwick, D.R., Misselbrook, T.H., Coutinho, J., Trindade,
 H., 2010. Effect of cattle slurry pre-treatment by separation and addition of nitrification
 inhibitors on gaseous emissions and N dynamics: a laboratory study. Chemosphere
 79(6), 620-627.
- 593 Pilegaard, K., 2013. Processes regulating nitric oxide emissions from soils. Philos.
 594 Trans. Royal Soc. B. 368(1621), 20130126.
- Qiao, C., Liu, L., Hu, S., Compton, J.E., Greaver, T.L., Li, Q., 2015. How inhibiting
 nitrification affects nitrogen cycle and reduces environmental impacts of anthropogenic
 nitrogen input. Glob. Change Biol. 21, 1249-1257.
- 598 Quemada, M., Baranski, M., Nobel-de Lange, M.N.J., Vallejo, A., Cooper, J.M., 2013.
- 599 Meta-analysis of strategies to control nitrate leaching in irrigated agricultural systems
- and their effects on crop yield. Agr. Ecosyst. Environ. 174, 1-10.

- Rajbanshi, S.S., Benckiser, G., Ottow, J.C.G., 1992. Effects of concentration,
 incubation temperature, and repeated applications on degradation kinetics of
 dicyandiamide (DCD) in model experiments with a silt loam soil. Biol. Fert. Soils
 13(2), 61-64.
- Ruser, R., Schulz, R., 2015. The effect of nitrification inhibitors on the nitrous oxide
 (N₂O) release from agricultural soils—a review. J. Plant Nutr. Soil Sci. 178(2), 171188.
- 608 Shi, X., Hu, H., He, J., Chen, D., Suter, H.C., 2016. Effects of 3, 4-dimethylpyrazole
- 609 phosphate (DMPP) on nitrification and the abundance and community composition of
- soil ammonia oxidizers in three land uses. Biol. Fert. Soils 52(7), 927-939.
- Skiba, U., Smith, K.A., 2000. The control of nitrous oxide emissions from agricultural
 and natural soils. Chemosphere Glob. Chang. Sci. 2(3), 379-386.
- 613 Subbarao, G.V., Yoshihashi, T., Worthington, M., Nakahara, K., Ando, Y., Sahrawat,
- K.L., Rao, I.M., Lata, J.C., Kishii, M., Braun, H.J., 2015. Suppression of soil
 nitrification by plants. Plant Sci. 233, 155-164.
- Ussiri, D., Lal, R., 2013. Soil emission of nitrous oxide and its mitigation, Springer
 Science & Business Media, pp. 64-89.
- 618 Voroney, R.P., Brookes, P.C., Beyaert, R.P., 2008. Soil microbial biomass C, N, P and
- 619 S. In: Carter, M.R., Gregorich, E.G. (eds) Soil sampling and methods of analysis, 2nd
- edn. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, pp. 637–651.
- 621 Wang, Q., Hu, H.W., Shen, J.P., Du, S., Zhang, L.M., He, J.Z., Han, L.L., 2017. Effects
- of the nitrification inhibitor dicyandiamide (DCD) on N_2O emissions and the abundance

of nitrifiers and denitrifiers in two contrasting agricultural soils. J. Soil Sediments 17(6),1635-1643.

- Weiske, A., Benckiser, G., Ottow, J.C., 2001. Effect of the new nitrification inhibitor DMPP in comparison to DCD on nitrous oxide (N_2O) emissions and methane (CH₄) oxidation during 3 years of repeated applications in field experiments. Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosys. 60(1), 57-64.
- Wu, S.F., Wu, L.H., Shi, Q.W., Wang, Z.Q., Chen, X.Y., Li, Y.S., 2007. Effects of a
 new nitrification inhibitor 3, 4-dimethylpyrazole phosphate (DMPP) on nitrate and
 potassium leaching in two soils. J. Environ. Sci. 19(7), 841-847.
- Yang, M., Fang, Y., Sun, D., Shi, Y., 2016. Efficiency of two nitrification inhibitors
 (dicyandiamide and 3, 4-dimethypyrazole phosphate) on soil nitrogen transformations
 and plant productivity: a meta-analysis. Sci. Rep. 6, 22075.
- 635 Yao, H., Gao, Y., Nicol, G.W., Campbell, C.D., Prosser, J.I., Zhang, L., Han, W.,
- 636 Singh, B.K., 2011. Links between ammonia oxidizer community structure, abundance,
- and nitrification potential in acidic soils. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 77(13), 4618-4625.
- 638 Zerulla, W., Barth, T., Dressel, J., Erhardt, K., Horchler von Locquenghien, K., Pasda,
- 639 G., Rädle, M., Wissemeier, A., 2001. 3, 4-Dimethylpyrazole phosphate (DMPP)-a new
- nitrification inhibitor for agriculture and horticulture. Biol. Fert. Soils 34(2), 79-84.
- 641 Zhang, H.J., Wu, Z.J., Zhou, Q.X., 2004. Dicyandiamide sorption-desorption behaviour
- on soils and peat humus. Pedosphere 14 395–399.
- Zhao, W., Cai, Z.C., Xu, Z.H., 2007. Does ammonium-based N addition influence
 nitrification and acidification in humid subtropical soils of China? Plant Soil 297(1-2),
 213-221.

647 Figure captions

- **Fig. 1** Mineralization of NIs (DCD or DMPP) expressed as a percentage of the total ¹⁴Csubstrate added to two contrasting soils at the three different temperatures (10, 20 and 30 °C). The panels show DCD mineralization in **a**) UK soil and **b**) ES soil, and for DMPP in the **c**) UK soil and **d**) ES soil. Vertical bars indicate standard errors of the mean (n = 3).
- **Fig. 2** Recovery of ¹⁴C-labelled NIs (DCD or DMPP) with 0.5 M K₂SO₄ expressed as a percentage of the total ¹⁴C-substrate added to two contrasting soils at the three different temperatures (10, 20 and 30 °C). The panels show DCD mineralization in **a**) UK soil and **b**) ES soil, and for DMPP in the **c**) UK soil and **d**) ES soil. Vertical bars indicate standard errors of the mean (n = 3).
- 657
- **Fig. 3** Soil NH₄⁺ (left) and NO₃⁻ (right) contents at 10 °C (**a**, **b**), 20 °C (**c**, **d**) and 30 °C (**e**, **f**) for DCD, DMPP, NH₄Cl without nitrification inhibitors (no NI) and control (C) in the ES soil (see Table 1). Vertical bars indicate standard errors of the mean (n = 3).
- **Fig. 4** Soil NH_4^+ (left) and NO_3^- (right) contents at 10 °C (**a**, **b**), 20 °C (**c**, **d**) and 30 °C (**e**, **f**) for DCD, DMPP, NH_4Cl without nitrification inhibitors (no NI) and control (C) in the UK soil (see
- Table 1). Vertical bars indicate standard errors of the mean (n = 3).
- **Fig. 5** Increase in half-lives (days) of nitrification for the inhibitors (DCD and DMPP) in the two soils (ES and UK, see Table 1) and for the three temperatures tested (10, 20 and 30 °C) with respect to no application of nitrification inhibitors. Vertical bars indicate standard errors of the mean (n = 3).
- **Fig. 6** Sorption isotherms for ¹⁴C-DCD in the **a**) UK soil **b**) ES soil, and for ¹⁴C-DMPP in the **c**) UK soil and **d**) ES soil. Bi-directional error bars represent the standard errors of the mean for
- 670 sorption and equilibrium solution concentrations (n = 3).

646

Denometer	Soil	
Parameter	ES (Sandy clay loam)	UK (Loam)
Sand (%)	55	49
Silt (%)	17	31
Clay (%)	28	20
Bulk density (g cm^{-3})	1.4	1.1
Cation exchange capacity (meq 100 g^{-1})	25.7	14
рН	7.6	6.0
$CaCO_3 (g kg^{-1})$	13.2	< 0.1
Total organic C (%)	0.8	3.1
Extractable NO_3^- (mg N kg ⁻¹)	1.33±0.1 b	0.88±0.1 a
Extractable NH_4^+ (mg N kg ⁻¹)	0.02±0.0 a	0.24±0.0 b
Total N (%)	0.13±0.0 a	0.24±0.0 b
Total C (%)	1.46±0.0 a	2.64±0.1 b
C:N ratio	11.45 ± 0.1	10.93±0.3
$Cu (mg kg^{-1})$	15.3±0.1 a	23.3±0.3 b
Microbial C (g kg ^{-1})	0.22±0.03 a	0.28±0.03 b
Microbial N (mg kg ^{-1})	3.64±0.55 a	22.08±1.08 b

Table 1 Properties of soils (0–10 cm) used in the experiment.

Different letters within rows indicate significant differences by applying the Tukey's honest significance test at P < 0.05. Values represent means \pm standard error of the mean (when included).

Factor	Microbial min	eralization (%)	Recovery by	K_2SO_4 extract	Non-recoverable (%)		
Pactor	28 days	63 days	28 days	63 days	28 days	63 days	
Inhibitor							
DCD	6.3 a	10.7 a	67.0 b	58.7 b	26.6 a	31.9 a	
DMPP	11.8 b	15.3 b	21.6 a	17.3 a	66.6 b	67.3 b	
S.E.	0.2	0.4	0.35	1.4	0.36	1.6	
Soil							
UK	7.7 a	10.5 a	39.2 a	31.4 a	53.1 b	58.1 b	
ES	10.3 b	15.5 b	49.4 b	44.7 b	40.2 a	41.2 a	
S.E.	0.2	0.4	0.35	1.4	0.43	1.6	
Temperature (°C)							
10	6.0 a	9.4 a	46.3 c	39.4	47.7 b	51.2 b	
20	8.1 b	11.3 b	44.6 b	38.2	47.3 b	50.5 ab	
30	12.9 c	18.4 c	42.1 a	36.5	45.0 a	47.2 a	
S.E.	0.20	0.4	0.43	1.7	0.43	1.4	
Inhibitor by Soil							
DCD- UK	3.9 a	6.2 a	62.0	51.8	34.0 b	42.0	
DCD-ES	8.6 b	15.2 b	72.0	65.7	19.2 a	21.9	
DMPP-UK	11.5 c	14.9 c	16.4	11.0	72.1 d	74.1	
DMPP-ES	11.9 d	15.8 c	26.8	23.7	61.2 c	60.5	
S.E.	0.2	0.5	0.49	2.0	0.5	1.6	
Soil by Temperature							
UK-10	5.3 a	7.8 a	43.7 c	31.9	51.0 d	60.3	
UK-20	7.6 c	10.8 b	40.0 b	31.8	52.4 e	57.3	
UK- 30	10.3 e	13.0 c	33.9 a	30.4	55.8 f	56.6	
ES-10	6.8 b	11.0 b	48.9 d	47.0	44.4 c	42.0	
ES-20	8.7 d	11.7 c	49.1 d	44.6	42.2 b	43.7	
ES-30	15.5 f	23.9 d	50.0 d	42.5	34.1 a	37.8	
S.E.	0.3	0.6	0.6	2.5	0.62	2.0	
Inhibitor by Temperature							
DCD-10	3.4	5.5 a	71.1 d	62.8	25.4 a	31.7	
DCD-20	5.0	8.0 b	67.5 c	57.7	27.5 b	34.3	
DCD-30	10.4	18.7 e	62.5 b	55.7	26.9 b	29.8	
DMPP-10	8.7	13.3 c	21.5 a	16.1	69.9 f	70.6	
DMPP-20	11.2	14.6 d	21.7 a	18.7	67.0 e	66.7	
DMPP-30	15.3	18.2 e	21.7 a	17.2	63.0 d	64.6	
S.E.	0.3	0.6	0.6	2.5	0.62	2.0	

Table 2 Proportion of ¹⁴C-labelled nitrification inhibitors mineralized, recoverable by 0.5 M K_2SO_4 or unrecoverable for ES and UK soils (see Table 1) incubated at different temperatures (10, 20 and 30 °C) for either 1 or 2 months.

Different letters within columns indicate significant differences by applying the Tukey's honest significance test at P < 0.05. Standard Error (S.E.) is given for each effect (n = 3).

Treatment	Half-life (days)	\mathbb{R}^2
UK 10 DCD	1222	0.87
UK 20 DCD	786	0.95
UK 30 DCD	520	0.93
ES 10 DCD	654	0.96
ES 20 DCD	444	0.93
ES 30 DCD	186	0.98
UK 10 DMPP	360	0.90
UK 20 DMPP	273	0.82
UK 30 DMPP	260	0.68
ES 10 DMPP	245	0.96
ES 20 DMPP	288	0.79
ES 30 DMPP	211	0.75
S.E.	88	

Table 3 Half-life of each nitrification inhibitor (DCD and DMPP) in the two soils (ES and UK) at three different temperatures (10, 20 and 30 °C). The R^2 coefficient indicates the degree and significance of correlation with a first-order kinetic model (the P value was < 0.01 for all treatments). S.E. = Standard Error.









d)





b)









Supplementary material for on-line publication only Click here to download Supplementary material for on-line publication only: Table S1.docx

Supplementary material for on-line publication only Click here to download Supplementary material for on-line publication only: Table S2.docx