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Abstract

This thesis discusses the contribution made by John Wimber to the theology of evangelism, discipleship and ministry. These contributions are contextually placed within a Reformed congregation that is part of a Confessional Presbyterian Denomination. While it does not address specifics with one congregation, the principles aim to be applicable to all such congregations. It seeks to challenge the accepted attitudes toward evangelism, the integration of new converts into the Church through discipleship, and the release of these converts into some form of ministry. This thesis argues for a re-evaluation of the power of the Holy Spirit in confirming the gospel with signs accompanying, in filling each believer with his fullness and the giving of spiritual gifts, and a release of these gifts through every member ministry as a possible methodology to be implemented in Presbyterian congregations.

This thesis aims to offer a middle way between Pentecostalism and Cessationist theology through the ministry philosophy of John Wimber, himself an ordained minister of a Reformed denomination. It seeks to respect the theological and historical traditions of Presbyterianism, while not being uncritical of some of its current methodologies. It also seeks to suggest that the theology of the Reformed faith is best suited to a Charismatic methodology with the emphasis on God’s sovereignty, omnipotence and sacramental theology. It seeks to place the practice of evangelism, discipleship and ministry within the context of a local congregation as that which is best suited to minister to the needs of that local community in which it is planted, and to evangelise, disciple and train those members to meet the spiritual needs of that community. This thesis argues for an integration of the presence and power of the Holy Spirit in the context of a Bible and preaching-based congregation.
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Chapter 1:
Setting the Scene

Introduction

Adrian Plass tells the story of an alien visiting St. Wilfred’s Anglican Church.¹ If that same alien were to land a spacecraft in Ulster and travel along the roads of our cities, towns, villages and hamlets, it would no doubt be surprised at the number of very odd and strange-looking buildings that seem, in some instances, very grand indeed, but are closed for the vast majority of the week. These buildings are Churches and, in Ulster, many of these buildings are Presbyterian. Not only are there a vast number of individual Churches, but there are also a significant number of Presbyterian denominations: The Presbyterian Church in Ireland (PCI), the Reformed Presbyterian Synod of Ireland (Covenanters), the Non-Subscribing Presbyterian Church of Ireland (Unitarians), the Evangelical Presbyterian Church of Ireland, the Free Presbyterian Church of Ulster and even the Free Presbyterian Church of Scotland!² Practices in these Churches may include credobaptism and exclusive psalmody.

PCI is the largest Protestant denomination in Northern Ireland. John Dickinson notes that in 2008, PCI lost 1,994 people claiming connection, 1,223 fewer contributions to the funds of the denomination, 979 fewer people attending Communion and 731 fewer on the rolls of our Sunday Schools and

¹ Plass, An Alien at St. Wilfred’s, (Grand Rapids, MI: Zondervan Publishing House, 1992).
Bible Classes. How these trends are addressed may lead the Church, according to John McIntyre, to reconsider the work of the Holy Spirit. These statistics suggest that there may be a need for a fresh approach to the way in which Church is practised in Ulster. I want to suggest that one option may be to consider the theology of John Wimber. I chose to compare Reformed theology with an example of Charismatic theology because, as Greg Odgen notes, the Christian Church has been affected through the renewal of the Holy Spirit in recent years. This effect has happened through worship music and events, such as Spring Harvest, that have brought Charismatic theology and practice into connection with mainstream historical denominations.

Much of the writing on Pentecostalism and Charismatic theology has emphasised the Arminian, Holiness, Methodist, Revivalist and Premillennial influences, such as Cox, Dayton and Synan. Keith Warrington does not

---

3 J. Dickinson, *Presbyterian Herald*, (Belfast: Presbyterian Church in Ireland, October 2009), p.10. There has also been some reflection within the Church of Scotland (PCI's mother Church) concerning the need for re-evaluating mission and witness. See H. Reid, *Outside Verdict: An Old Kirk in a New Scotland*, (Edinburgh: St. Andrew’s Press, 2002). Brian Stanley notes that during the 1910 Edinburgh Missionary Conference there was an assumption that Western Christianity would provide for every need of the world. B. Stanley, *Twentieth Century World Christianity: A Perspective from the History of Missions*, (Cambridge: Currents in World Christianity Project, University of Cambridge, Faculty of Divinity, Position Paper Number 116,1999), p.3. If the Church today feels that there is no need for change and that everything they need is provided for, while ignoring the facts, there may be a sense in which history is repeating itself.


5 G. Odgen, *The New Reformation: Returning the Ministry to the People of God*, (Grand Rapids, MI: Zondervan Publishing House, 1990), p.13. From this point I use the capitalised form of the noun to refer to the Charismatic Renewal/Movement and the lower case to refer to charismatic experience which may not be limited to the Renewal.


suggest any Reformed influences on Pentecostalism.\textsuperscript{9} Regarding the Charismatic Movement there have been some historical studies, such as those of Andrew Walker\textsuperscript{10} and Peter Hocken\textsuperscript{11}, and some have touched on it in writing Pentecostal histories.\textsuperscript{12} Hocken, however, does not mention Reformed/Presbyterian influences on the Charismatic Movement or vice versa. There have been some polemical writings concerning the inaccuracy of contemporary Charismatic phenomena from a Reformed perspective,\textsuperscript{13} and even some attempts at harmonising the differing views on the Holy Spirit.\textsuperscript{14} There has been, however, a paucity of reflection from a Reformed position that positively considers Charismatic issues.\textsuperscript{15} Considering this, I believe there is a need to re-evaluate the Pentecostal/Charismatic influence on the Reformed Churches and the Reformed influence upon the Charismatic/Pentecostal Churches. This has already been done regarding the Christian and Missionary Alliance and may benefit from being applied to a Reformed context.\textsuperscript{16}

\begin{footnotes}
\item[9] K. Warrington, \textit{Pentecostal Theology: A Theology of Encounter}, (London: T&T Clark, 2008), pp.2-4. He cites the following: Anabaptists, Dispensationalism, Evangelicalism, Pietism, Wesleyanism, the Holiness Movement, Keswick, the Higher Life Movement, the Healing Revival Movement, the Latter Rain Movement, Premillennialism and Black Spirituality.
\end{footnotes}
This would benefit, not only Reformed scholars and congregations, but also open up a new avenue of study within the Pentecostal/Charismatic world. This will improve scholarship by highlighting a gap in theological discussion, by demonstrating that being Reformed does not necessarily mean cessationist, and that the Pentecostal/Charismatic Movement may share the same Reformation source as Reformed Churches.\textsuperscript{17} It will improve practice by allowing for a wider degree of freedom in the expression of worship in Reformed Churches by anchoring the supernatural in Word-centred Churches, and challenging the Arminian basis of much Pentecostal/Charismatic evangelism. Regarding ecclesiastical policy, this study will increase the ecumenical reach of the Pentecostal/Charismatic Movement and theology. It will aid understanding amongst Pentecostal/Charismatics and Reformed believers about why each Church has different and unique features, allowing both streams to draw on their theological resources and traditions. This will show the complementary nature of the two streams and, while their theological differences may not be resolved, something of each may be added to the other that would be absent otherwise.\textsuperscript{18}

Dickinson’s statistics may also suggest a change in people’s attitude toward Church. Timothy Keller notes that many people today consider themselves non- or anti-religious, being wary of any institution that exercises authority over individuals, particularly on confusing moral issues.\textsuperscript{19} Objective truth may also be rejected, leading to narcissism and ‘signals the death of a culture based on objective truth and civic virtue,’ as Philip Graham Ryken

\begin{itemize}
\item \textsuperscript{17} Max Turner sees the issues of cessationism and the Pentecostal doctrine of initial evidence, specifically regarding glossolalia, to be on the ‘fringes’ of New Testament research. M. Turner, ‘Early Christian Experience and the Theology of “Tongues.”’, in \textit{Speaking in Tongues: Multi-Disciplinary Perspective}, ed. M.J. Cartledge, (Milton Keynes: Paternoster, 2006), pp.2-3. Despite any potential fringe nature of this research it does represent the deeply held theological convictions of two Christian streams that cannot be treated as insignificant.
\item \textsuperscript{18} This was an aim of symphonic theology as outlined in Chapter 3. See V.S. Poythress, \textit{Symphonic Theology: The Validity of Multiple Perspectives in Theology}, (Phillipsburg, NJ: P&R Publishing, 1987), p.43 and p.90.
\end{itemize}
With numbers leaving the Church, a desire to redress this is the focus of much thinking. This desire is for the Church to be ‘a sign of the true kingdom’, not just accepting of the way things are. The Church is not simply to reflect Ulster culture, but to be a sign of the kingdom of God, and to show the spiritual reason for the Church. I want to suggest that a charismatic understanding may aid this role.

**Definition of Terms**

In dealing with the theological and practical identity of Churches, defining the terms being used is important. Practically, it may be difficult to distinguish between Pentecostals and Charismatics in terms of worship; however, some delineation is necessary to provide parameters for this study. I have followed the definition of Andrew Lord, who uses the term ‘pentecostal’ to denote those Churches that trace their origin to the Pentecostal experience at the beginning of the twentieth century, and ‘charismatic’ to denote the newer Churches that have developed since the Charismatic Renewal of the 1960s. This includes the ‘Third Wave’, and the Vineyard, but practically these distinctions may

---


become blurred. This is more general definition than may be helpful because, as shall be seen, Wimber himself may not have been happy with the designation ‘charismatic’. It does, however, allow for a degree of flexibility, specifically because the influence of Wimber went beyond the bounds of the Charismatic Renewal itself, as well as influencing many Charismatic and Pentecostal leaders and Churches.

Fyall notes that: ‘The words "Charismatic" and "Reformed" come loaded with so many associations and indeed misunderstandings, that to understand what is meant, can be difficult.’ For the purposes of this work I will use the definitions Fyall gives as a working outline of what is meant by Charismatic and Reformed. He defines ‘Charismatic’ as Church characterised by four things: body ministry, practising the gifts of the Spirit, spontaneity in services and home groups. I have chosen Fyall because he stands in the Reformed camp and offers a positive appreciation of charismatic spirituality.

Regarding Charismatic theology, he notes: their biblical method - drawing
theology from narrative New Testament texts, and which does not consider either reason or tradition to have any formative role.\textsuperscript{27} Fyall defines ‘Reformed’ as characterised by four things: expository preaching, congregational responsiveness to the preaching, corporate prayer ‘and a strong sense of the whole congregation as the fundamental unit.’\textsuperscript{28} It is important to understand that there is diversity within the Reformed Churches. Yet, underlying their theology is the conviction that the Bible gives ‘authoritative and final direction for the church concerning doctrine, discipline and worship.’\textsuperscript{29} Tradition, in the form of adherence to confessions of faith, also contributes to Reformed theology along with reason, but not experience.\textsuperscript{30} There are elements within each that are used by the other, so they are not mutually exclusive. What is common to both systems of doctrine is a high view of biblical teaching that informs their theological reflection.

The aspects that I have chosen to consider are those highlighted in the writings of John Wimber; namely, the practice of evangelism, discipleship and ministry, and applying his suggestions to a Reformed context.\textsuperscript{31} I propose to consider how two different streams, which seem the antithesis of each other, may complement each other in terms of missional activity.\textsuperscript{32}

\begin{flushright}
\begin{enumerate}
\item \textsuperscript{27} W.D. Buschart, \textit{Exploring Protestant Traditions: An Invitation to Theological Hospitality}, (Downers Grove, IL: InterVarsity Press, 2006), pp.242-245. Although this refers to Pentecostalism many of the same principles are seen in Charismatic reflection.
\item \textsuperscript{28} Fyall, \textit{Charismatic and Reformed}, p.1.
\item \textsuperscript{29} Buschart, \textit{Exploring Protestant Traditions}, pp.98-99.
\item \textsuperscript{30} Buschart, \textit{Exploring Protestant Traditions}, pp.100-101
\item \textsuperscript{31} It is worth noting that New Frontiers International has sought to combine a Reformed and Charismatic theological position. I have not chosen to use them as a focus in this dissertation because of the prohibition of women in the eldership. J. Grooves, ‘Spiritual Authority in the Church’, (October, 2007), <http://newfrontierstogether.org/Groups/101198/NewFrontiers/Resources/Articles_and_Papers/Theological_Papers/Spiritual_Authority_in_the/Spiritual_Authority_in_the.aspx>, [accessed on 13/3/2012], pp.11-12. This would be incompatible with the position of women in PCI where they are eligible for election to eldership on the same basis as men. \textit{The Code}, 31:1.
\item \textsuperscript{32} There have been other studies that demonstrate the complementary nature of two distinct streams for mutual benefit, specifically Evangelical and liberals. See R.S. Warner, \textit{New Wine in Old Wineskins: Evangelicals and Liberals in a Small-Town Church}, (Berkley and Los Angeles, CA. and London: University of California Press, 1990).
\end{enumerate}
\end{flushright}
The Position of the Confessional Reformed Churches

In considering the views of PCI, it is impossible to produce one monolithic typology that defines everyone. As a study in Practical Theology, this thesis acknowledges such diversity of opinion and makes ‘its home in the complex web of relationships and experiences.’\(^\text{33}\) PCI appears to have remained a conservative denomination, theologically and practically, partly due to adherence to the Westminster Confession of Faith (WCF).\(^\text{34}\) This theological tradition has shaped the identity of PCI as much as the culture of Northern Ireland.\(^\text{35}\) At their ordination, all Presbyterian elders, ruling and teaching, must sign a document confirming that they agree with the doctrines put forward in the WCF. They are asked: ‘Do you accept the Westminster Confession of Faith . . . to be founded on and agreeable to the Word of God; as such do you acknowledge it as the confession of your faith?’\(^\text{36}\) Unlike many Presbyterian denominations, most notably the Presbyterian Church of the USA (PCUSA), PCI has retained subscription to one confession.\(^\text{37}\) Sean Michael Lucas reminds us that Presbyterians are ‘confessional’, meaning they adhere to the WCF.\(^\text{38}\) This confessional understanding may be unique because it presents a system of theology against which all beliefs and practice are to be compared.

---


37 PCUSA accepts not only its revised form of the WCF but also The Heidelberg Catechism, The Belgic Confession, The Theological Declaration of Barmen and its own 1967 Confession of faith. See <http://oga.pcusa.org/publications/boc.pdf> [accessed on 10/10/2010].

The question then arises over whether the WCF allows for any understanding of contemporary charismata. It states that the ways in which God had spoken to the Church previously are ‘now ceased.’ This would seem to suggest that the WCF does not allow for any revelation, apart from that contained in the Bible. Charismata, therefore, would seem inconsistent with the WCF. Not everyone agrees with this assumption. O. Palmer Robertson suggests that, as the Church is always reforming, it ‘has come to a new and richer comprehension of the person and work of the Holy Spirit.’ Robertson believes that the WCF is ‘deficient’ on this matter, although this does not make him a Charismatic. The reason that there is nothing specifically stated concerning spiritual gifts is one of context. The Westminster Divines were primarily interested in reforming Church government and the doctrine of salvation, not pneumatology. It cannot, therefore, with any degree of confidence be claimed, that the WCF would be against charismatic theology.

There has also been a distance from ecumenism denominationally, with the withdrawal of PCI from the World Council of Churches in 1980. There have been some notable exceptions to this rule, such as Rev. David Armstrong of First Limavady and Magilligan congregations, whose ecumenism in the 1980s caused ripples in the local community and widerafield. There has been the ecumenical partnership between Clonard Monastery (Fr. Gerry Reynolds) and Fitzroy Presbyterian Church (Very Rev.  

---

39 WCF, 1:1.  
Dr. Ken Newell). In the main, however, a pattern of wariness on issues such as ecumenism continues. The Campaign for Concerned Witness to the Reformed Faith (CCW) exercises a lobbying function within the church against ecumenical matters. There has been a desire to provide a methodology for evangelism within PCI by the Board of Mission in Ireland. To provide a Reformed and Charismatic methodology for Irish Presbyterianism, drawing on resources beyond their own tradition, namely Wimber, is a hurdle which must be overcome, if a Reformed and Charismatic ministry model is to work, practically.

PCI dealt with the issue of Charismatic Renewal from 1983-1985, through the Doctrine Committee of the General Assembly. First, concerning divine healing (1983), then the Ad Hoc Committee on Special Fellowships (1983-1985). The Doctrine Committee report outlines the widespread practice of divine healing within Presbyterian congregations and emphasised the tension between God’s will to heal and people remaining sick, while underlining their support for the medical profession. Although this does not deal with the Charismatic Renewal specifically, it does indicate the widespread practice of divine healing in PCI, a practice that is one of the emphases of Wimber. The reports of the Ad Hoc Committee on Special Fellowships began as an investigation by the Presbytery of East Belfast into a Christian Fellowship that developed out of the Gilnahirk congregation and

---

44 D.F. Wells, *The Courage to be Protestant: Truth-lovers, Marketers and Emergents in the Post-modern World*, (Nottingham: InterVarsity Press, 2008), ‘While Presbyterians rejoice in better relationships which are now experienced between Roman Catholics and Protestants in general. . . they do not see much evidence of the radical changes which would be necessary to make a significant reconciliation between our two Churches possible, but we must always remember that with God all things are possible.’ *Agreements and Disagreements of Irish Presbyterianism and Roman Catholicism*, (Belfast: General Assembly of the Presbyterian Church in Ireland, 1991), p.17.

45 H. Boyce, *Personal Evangelism for Presbyterians*, (Belfast, Northern Ireland: Board of Mission in Ireland, Presbyterian Church in Ireland), p.6. This, he suggests, is because PCI is wary of anything coming in from the outside and effecting change.

their practice of baptism and the Lord’s Supper. The committee evaluated what was happening in other para-church organisations and concluded that ‘there is much within the Renewal movement for which we are thankful to God.’ There was a desire to look at Presbyterian structure and assess its suitability to contain Renewal. The conclusion was that the domineering leadership and other practices, such as speaking in tongues and healing, appear ‘to be incompatible with Presbyterian practice per se.’ This is significant because it is not suggesting the Renewal is incompatible with Presbyterian theology, as outlined in the WCF, but its practice may be an issue of concern.

The following year another report was received which expanded the consideration of special fellowships. The issue of music, to which younger members could relate, was raised. Theological consideration was given to the issue of subsequence in receiving the Spirit evidenced by speaking in tongues. The conclusion was that if it was a valid interpretation, then most PCI congregations would be devoid of the Spirit. This is significant because if the theology of initial evidence is factual, then the conclusion stands. While not commenting directly on Wimber’s position, there does seem to be an argument of *reductio ad absurdum*. Wimber did not teach tongues as an evidence of receiving the Spirit. There may be confusion with the teaching of classical Pentecostalism. They do conclude that tongues may be a ‘problem for some but not all within the Presbyterian family.’ This does not state that every Presbyterian would have problems with speaking in tongues. It could be inferred that there may be some who would not have any problems with the

---

47 ‘Ad Hoc Committee on Special Fellowships Report’, *Annual Reports, General Assembly of the Presbyterian Church in Ireland, 1983*, Sitting in Dublin, (Belfast: Church House, 1983), p.188. Special fellowships are the umbrella term given to the prayer groups which met other than on Sundays, when they attended their local congregation.


50 ‘Ad Hoc Committee on Special Fellowships’, (1984), p.244.
practice. It may also suggest that other spiritual gifts may also be accepted by Presbyterians.

A number of problems were raised: the nature of prophecy apart from the Bible, contemporary apostles and prophets, preoccupation with the sensational and specifically satanic issues, the health and wealth gospel, the subordination of women and the removal of baptismal discipline outside the remit of Kirk Sessions, which often led to re-baptism. These issues remain for any who embrace Renewal yet choose to remain within PCI. Many of these issues cover a wide variety of the Renewal spectrum, and not all would be held by every Charismatic. The last report was received in 1985 and dealt with the issue of those who embrace Renewal remaining within Presbyterianism. It was stated that it is difficult to keep Renewal groups within the institutional church, but leaving for new fellowships was the ‘soft option’. Seeking to redress a drift into new expressions of Church is always high on the agenda of those ruling denominations. It is one of the arguments of this thesis that staying may be a valid and important principle.

In Britain, the Church of Scotland has no position statement on Charismatic Renewal. There was a debate in 1997 surrounding the Toronto Blessing, but nothing was put forward as the Church’s position. Why the Church of Scotland has never addressed this issue is unclear, when there has been significant consideration given to rejuvenating the denomination. It

51 ‘Ad Hoc Committee on Special Fellowships’, (1984), pp.244-245.

52 ‘Ad Hoc Committee on Special Fellowships Report’, Annual Reports, General Assembly of the Presbyterian Church in Ireland, 1985, Sitting in Belfast, (Belfast: Church House, 1985), p.211.

53 Email from G. Bell, Senior Media Relations Officer, Communications Department, The Church of Scotland, 121 George Street, Edinburgh, EH2 2YN, to G. Stewart on 11/10/2010. Gervais Angel believes that the Church of Scotland was one of the first ‘historic Protestant Churches in Britain’ to embrace Charismatic Renewal. G. Angel, Delusion of Dynamite: Reflections on a Quarter-Century of Charismatic Renewal, (Eastbourne: Kingsway Publications, 1989), p.37

54 See H. Reid, Outside Verdict: An Old Kirk in a New Scotland.
may be that the Charismatic Renewal has not had an impact on the Church of Scotland. The United Reformed Church experienced some Charismatic impact, through Bob Gordon, and the establishment of the Group for Evangelism and Renewal (GEAR).

Commenting on North America, John Dart outlines the history of the interaction between American Presbyterianism and the Charismatic Renewal. This came in 1963, through Louis Evans Jnr. who was pastor of Bel Air Presbyterian Church in California, and gave birth to the 1966 ‘charismatic communion’ in Oklahoma. In 1984 the Presbyterian Reformed Ministries International (PRMI) was founded by Zeb ‘Brad’ Long. This was identified with the Third Wave of John Wimber and sought to give a Reformed theological rationale to the experience of Renewal. Vinson Synan comments on the 1970 General Assembly of the United Presbyterian Church which neither condemned nor supported the growing Charismatic Movement, but emphasised that glossolalia ought not to be seen as normative.

The Orthodox Presbyterian Church (OPC), Timothy H. Gregson tells us, established the Machen Retreat and Conference Center in McDowell, VA, because Paul Cunningham perceived an apparent spiritual vacuum in his

---

55 New Wine Scotland’s Clan Gathering represents the charismatic stream in the Church of Scotland, with a number of their ministers serving on the leadership team. It may be that this stream represents such a small dimension in the Church of Scotland that national attention is not given to it. [http://www.clangathering.org.uk/team.php] [accessed on 13/2/2012].


local area for a Reformed witness. What is significant is the concession that some believers are going to Charismatic churches to hear Bible teaching. While this does not make any comment on the authenticity of Charismatic theology, it does offer a practical ecumenism where a respect is given to their spirituality. James A. Zozzaro, writing for the OPC, argues that because of the widespread influence of 'neo-pentecostalism', which he defines as 'charismatic', the OPC has had to restate its opposition to any form of contemporary charismatic experience. In doing this, Zozzaro believes that some have become unbiblical in their emphasis, and so he seeks to draw out the two positions of the Holy Spirit as the gift, or the Holy Spirit as the giver of gifts. The first position means that, as all believers have received the gift of the Spirit at conversion, they are, by definition, charismatic. The second position needs to be explained. The OPC does not see the sign of gifts, tongues, prophecy and healing, as the main thrust of the Spirit’s work in believers. It believes that it is a mistake to identify the gifts exclusively in terms of what the New Testament outlines because this would define believers as charismatic or non-charismatic. Any gift used to build up the Church is, therefore, a charismatic gift. This argument becomes one of semantics in which the OPC means one thing, by the term Charismatic, and ‘neo-pentecostals’ mean another. Yet, the final argument is one that appears to state a biblical principle from a non-biblical foundation. The New Testament does mention certain gifts of the Spirit (1 Cor. 12:8-10; Rom. 12:6-8), yet he is not prepared to limit his argument to the validity of these gifts in the contemporary Church. In this sense, his argument appears to be self-defeating because the validity of the Charismatic claims to the biblical gifts of the Spirit has not been addressed. It may have been better to deal with the claims of the Charismatic rather than redefine the nature of spiritual gifts.

---


60 ‘In contrast to organisational union, a sign of renewal in recent years has been an ecumenism of the Spirit that transcends denominational loyalties and has nothing to do with structures. Denominational distinctions become blurred when Christians connect with each other through the Spirit.’ Odgen, The New Reformation, p.23.

The Evangelical Presbyterian Church (EPC) states that they take their pneumatology from the WCF directly. They do not take a uniformly cessationist position, believing that the gifts of the Spirit are given ‘as He sees fit’, not as an evidence of a spiritual event. The position statement addresses two specific questions of how the EPC views the gifts of the Spirit. They see them as being ‘biblically valid for today’, but exercised under the authority of the Kirk Session. Whether the EPC is Charismatic is answered. They state that they are not Pentecostal, but do not seem to like to be labelled Charismatic. The reticence to be defined as specifically Charismatic may be motivated by a desire not to exclude those who share the same evangelical beliefs but are cessationist in theology. This could be seen as a wise position that does not allow charismatic issues to become an area of division; yet, allowing those who want to express their faith in a charismatic manner the freedom to do so, without any denominational interference.

The Presbyterian Church in America (PCA) formulated a position paper on the Holy Spirit at their General Assembly in 1975. This paper states that the denomination ‘rejoices in all evidences of new life in Christ’s body’, yet raises some concerns about developing an experience-centred theology. They reaffirm that Christians receive the Spirit at conversion. The ongoing experience of the Spirit, in assuring believers of their salvation, means there is to be an ongoing experience of being filled with the Spirit. Specific attention is given to the gifts of the Spirit - which must not be used as a divisive issue amongst Christians by either denoting those who may have received a

---

62 This is a North American denomination not to be associated with the Evangelical Presbyterian Church in Ireland or the Evangelical Presbyterian Church in England and Wales.


64 <http://www.epc.org/about-the-epc/position-papers/the-holy-spirit/> [accessed on 10/10/2010].

‘baptism’ in the Spirit, or by directing believers - and to miracles which they understand in a general sense to describe the work of God in all areas of creation. These positions, essentially, restate the classic Reformed position but do so in an unspecific manner, allowing members of the PCA to have a Charismatic emphasis without compromising their traditions. The focus, therefore, appears to move away from the issues of spiritual gifts and miracles to holiness and eternal security, but may benefit more from stating definitely whether they are to be pursued at all.

**Reasons for Choosing John Wimber**

At this stage it is important to explain why I have chosen to reflect upon Wimber and his theological views as the focus for my dissertation. There are several places to look in the search for a model for the work of the Holy Spirit in the Presbyterian Church; however, I want to suggest that Wimber offers a unique perspective for a number of reasons.

Wimber himself visited Ireland in 1985 and conducted a conference in University College Dublin. While this was almost thirty years ago, it places him within the living memory of those involved in the Church in contemporary Ireland. This event marked the beginning of the first Vineyard Church in Ireland. This connection with the island, along with the relatively short time in which Vineyard has been functioning on the island, may mean that people...
still understand him primarily as a writer rather than a denominational founder.\(^{69}\) There are also a relatively few number of Vineyards in Ireland in comparison to Reformed Churches.\(^{70}\) This may mean that Reformed Churches understand Wimber as someone with a theological point to make, and not as someone who instituted a new ecclesiastical system that draws members away from their own. He may, therefore, be viewed as offering principles to apply to existing denominations.

It may be asked why I have not chosen to reflect upon Pentecostal teaching and its implications for Reformed doctrine and practice? There may be an argument that Pentecostalism does not fall into the definition of ‘conventional Protestantism’.\(^{71}\) Pentecostalism may represent a fourth stream of the Christian Church: Roman Catholic, Orthodox, Protestant and Pentecostal.\(^{72}\) It may also be worth noting that the Charismatic stream could also be viewed as distinct from Pentecostalism.\(^{73}\) While Pentecostal teaching began the consideration of spiritual gifts, miracles, signs and wonders, Cartledge believes that Wimber’s teaching ‘superseded the importance of earlier Pentecostal teaching’ regarding its wider ecclesiastical impact.\(^{74}\) Warrington also suggests that Wimber’s theology influenced Pentecostalism

\(^{69}\) [http://www.causewaycoastvineyard.com/main/who-we-are] [accessed on 17/6/2012].

\(^{70}\) [http://www.vineyardchurches.org.uk/churches/areas/ireland.html] [accessed on 17/6/2012]. Mentioned are: Belfast City Vineyard; Causeway Coast Vineyard, Portstewart; The Lakes Vineyard; Dublin Vineyard; Upper Bann Vineyard; Vineyard Church Dungannon; Carrickfergus Vineyard; and, Liffey Valley Vineyard.


\(^{72}\) Harvey Cox believes that Pentecostalism has not made up its mind on where it stands ecumenically at the moment regarding its position amongst the other traditions. Cox, *Fire from Heaven*, p.311.

\(^{73}\) R. S. Spittler notes: ‘Somewhere in the early ’70s it became clear that the classical Pentecostal churches and the Charismatic Movement are two different forces. Even though the new Charismatics drew from the traditional classical Pentecostals, for the most part they did not join them. For the classical Pentecostal, whose heritage was that of a persecuted minority, the early puzzlement soon passed to a certain aloofness. The classical Pentecostals became an establishment in their own right within seventy years.’ R. P. Spittler, ‘Preface’, in *Perspectives on the New Pentecostalism*, R. S. Spittler ed., (Grand Rapids, MI: Baker Book House, 1976), p.8

as much as Evangelicalism.\textsuperscript{75} For this reason, I have chosen to consider Wimber and not Pentecostalism. The implications of applying Pentecostal doctrine and practice to a Reformed context may be seen as akin to asking a Reformed congregation to join the Roman Catholic or Orthodox tradition.\textsuperscript{76} It may mean completely changing its doctrinal and historical position; whereas, applying the principles outlined by Wimber may enable a denominational congregation to maintain its traditional position, but move in the gifts of the Spirit. I want to suggest that any doctrinal approach which has become associated with a denominational emphasis, becomes less useful and less likely to be adopted by other denominations and streams.

Another group which might be considered here is New Frontiers International. This grouping, led by Terry Virgo, defines itself specifically as Reformed and Charismatic.\textsuperscript{77} It might thus appear that New Frontiers is exactly where this dissertation is arguing that the Presbyterians Churches ought to be heading. Yet, there is one issue that may make Presbyterians in Ireland specifically reject suggestions leading toward New Frontiers International; namely, their stance on women in leadership. Their statement reads: ‘Elders are men.’ It is further laid out that there is no biblical warrant for women in the eldership and such a practice would ‘seem incompatible’ with


\textsuperscript{76} There have been instances of individuals and congregations making such a radical change: Presbyterian to Roman Catholic - S. and K. Hahn, Rome Sweet Rome: Our Journey to Catholicism, (San Francisco, CA: Ignatus Press, 1993); Non-Denominational Charismatic to Eastern Orthodox - P.E. Gillquist, Becoming Orthodox: A Journey to the Ancient Christian Faith, (Ben Lomond, CA: Conciliar Press, 1990); a compendium of various testimonies - P.E. Gillquist ed., Coming Home: Why Protestant Clergy are Becoming Orthodox, (Ben Lomond, CA: Conciliar Press, 1995).

This was one of the issues of concern raised by PCI about the Renewal movement. It also clearly contradicts the law of the PCI. This may cause an issue of rejection from some Presbyterians who accept the egalitarian position, before the issues of the Holy Spirit are even raised. Wimber’s view on this matter appears to be more in line with that of Irish Presbyterianism. Carol Wimber notes that because of their evangelical Quaker background, Wimber held a view that there was only one ministry to which everyone was ordained, the ministry of Jesus. This included both genders. There has been some debate around Wimber’s views on women, some claiming he believed in male eldership. Rich Nathan writes: ‘But when John saw that gifts of teaching and what he called “elding” were poured out upon women, he got out of the way of the Holy Spirit.’ Wherever a Presbyterian may stand on this issue, it could become something that clouds the wider principle of the presence and power of the Holy Spirit being available for today. If the debate is to focus on pneumatology then the ordination issue may subvert that focus.

---

78 <http://newfrontierstogether.org/Groups/101198/Newfrontiers/Resources/Articles_and_Papers/Theological_Papers/Spiritual_Authority_in_the/Spiritual_Authority_in_the.aspx> [accessed on 17/6/2012], p.6. The texts mentioned are 1 Timothy 3 and Titus 1.

79 Ad Hoc Committee on Special Fellowships, (1984), pp.244-245.

80 *The Code: The Book of the Constitution and Government of the Presbyterian Church in Ireland*, (Belfast: Published by the Authority of the General Assembly, with Amendments: 2010), para. 31 (1), p.15: ‘Women shall be eligible for election on the same conditions as men.’ The Presbyterian Church in America maintains the position that women cannot be ordained to eldership or ministry and broke ties with the Christian Reformed Church because they agreed to sanction this. <http://www.pcanet.org/general/release3.htm> [accessed on 18/6/2012].

81 Carol Wimber is the widow of John Wimber.


83 R. Nathan, ‘Women in Leadership: How to Decide What the Bible Teaches?’ <http://www.joshuahouse.org/mediafiles/women-in-leadership-paper.pdf> [accessed on 17/6/2012]. Nathan writes: ‘He adopted what, in my mind, was a somewhat inconsistent view. He felt that the Holy Spirit could do whatever he wanted to do, even if it meant going beyond his view of the bounds of scripture. But he endeavored, within his empowered evangelical framework to submit to the Holy Spirit’s activity.’
Historically, it may also have been possible to reflect upon the views of Edward Irving, who was a Presbyterian minister. Irving was the minister of the National Kirk, Regent Square, London from 1822.\textsuperscript{84} Thomas A. Smail, in commenting on the Church of Scotland's 1974 Panel on Doctrine Report on the Charismatic Renewal, notes that Irving presents the experience of the Holy Spirit in a Reformed-Calvinist way, rather than in a Methodist-Holiness way. He affirms, however, that any experience of the Holy Spirit may affirm subsequence of reception. His conclusion is to keep the experience, but reject the theology.\textsuperscript{85} While this may be a pragmatic option, it does not deal with whether Reformed and Charismatic theologies are complementary or not. Gordon Strachan states that Irving’s views were very close, if not identical, to what the Assemblies of God, Elim Pentecostal Churches and other independent Pentecostal Churches teach. He further believes that the similarity is such that it could be assumed to be a direct connection, labelling Irving ‘the first Reformed-Pentecostal theologian’.\textsuperscript{86} This connection with Pentecostal beliefs meant that Irving may have been too great a leap for Presbyterians to embrace as a voice to challenge their views on the Holy Spirit, as it was noted before that Pentecostalism may represent a distinct stream of the Christian Church. To implement the views of someone whose beliefs are so closely associated with Pentecostalism may preclude acceptance. Graham McFarlane notes that there is also a theological issue. It appears Irving’s views sprang from his understanding of the incarnation rather than experiencing the gifts of the Holy Spirit. In this sense his theology sought experience rather than his experience seeking theology.\textsuperscript{87} This dissertation does not begin from a position of Christological reflection but ecclesiological, and seeks to consider how the Church may experience the Holy Spirit’s power in evangelism, discipleship and ministry. It is a discussion of the praxis of the


Church. While Irving’s theology may lend itself to this, it is not the result of direct reflection upon it. There is also the practical issue that Irving was expelled from the Church of Scotland because of what were deemed to be heretical views. In Chapter 3, I have outlined the research questions, one of which is remaining true to my own denominational, theological and historic views while implementing a charismatic praxis. Adopting a method which considers the theology of one who was expelled from the ‘mother’ church for heresy, may contradict ordination vows. Smail’s comment on setting aside the theology, but holding on to the practice, equally does not answer the theological questions that I hope this research reflects upon. For these reasons I chose not to consider the views of Irving to reflect upon as a source of possible application to a Reformed context.

There are two Reformed authors who seem to have suggested a positive appreciation for the charismatic: D. Martyn Lloyd-Jones and R.T. Kendall, who were previous ministers of Westminster Chapel, London. Lloyd-Jones argued for an experience of the Holy Spirit after conversion. The motivation for seeking this experience was a desire for the great blessing that characterised the early church. One of the reasons why I chose not to use Lloyd-Jones, as a possible companion in the conversation, was his association with separatism. When he retired, Westminster Chapel

---

88 The first trial was before the London Presbytery on 26 April, 1832, resulting in excommunication and being loosed from his charge because of heresy. The second trial was before his ordaining Presbytery of Annan on 13 March, 1833, also resulting in expulsion. A. Dallimore, The Life of Edward Irving: The Fore-Runner of the Charismatic Movement, (Edinburgh: The Banner of Truth Trust, 1983), pp.145-148.

89 ‘Do you believe the Presbyterian form of Church government to be founded on and agreeable to the Word of God; and do you promise to adhere to and to support it, and to yield submission in the Lord to the courts of this Church?’ The Code, para. 205 (4), p.65.


approached Eric Alexander to fill the pulpit.\textsuperscript{93} He declined the offer because, as a Church of Scotland minister, he did not share Lloyd-Jones’ separatist leanings.\textsuperscript{94} The issue of Church Polity is significant to Presbyterians. In the service for ordination, installation or induction, the candidate declares their acceptance of the Presbyterian form of Church government, which was noted when discussing Irving. There have been instances of Congregational Church being formed by seceding Presbyterians. In 1927, the ecumenical talks about forming a united Protestant Church in Northern Ireland failed because of the insistence that it must adopt Congregational polity.\textsuperscript{95} While Lloyd-Jones may be held in a high regard amongst Presbyterians, the local issues of relations with Congregationalism may make it difficult to apply his principles. Another issue is his focus on writing on the Holy Spirit, which we have noted, was to experience a spiritual blessing. John Piper notes that his main focus was experiencing God and biblical doctrine. Yet, he notes that Lloyd-Jones opposed the claiming of spiritual gifts.\textsuperscript{96} Lloyd-Jones was focusing on proving the theological experience of the individual believer, and while Wimber does the same, as we shall note in Chapter 5, he also deals with wider issues regarding the experience of the Holy Spirit. The last issue is a practical one. Lloyd-Jones’ books are manuscripts of his sermons, so they do not contain references or citations. It may be somewhat unfair to take a sermon and attempt to give academic evaluation, when that was not the purpose for which it was published.

\begin{flushright}
\textsuperscript{93} Alexander was the Minster of St. George’s Tron Parish Church, Glasgow from 1977 - 1997. <http://www.ericalexander.co.uk/biography.php> [accessed on 25/6/2012]. There may be some merit is seeing Lloyd-Jones’ ecclesiology as having been influenced by the unique Calvinistic Methodist of Wales, that was semi-Presbyterian in polity. J. Ross, “Aspects of Dr. Martyn Lloyd-Jones’ Legacy: Some Personal Observations”, Reformation21 (March 2010), <http://www.reformation21.org/articles/aspects-of-dr-martyn-lloydjones-legacy-some-personal-observations.php> [access on 25/6/2012].


\textsuperscript{95} M. Coles, I Will Build My Church: The Story of the Congregational Union of Ireland 1829-1979, (Privately Published: 1979), p.20 and 25.

\end{flushright}
The same criteria can be applied to some of Kendall’s writings as their genesis was as sermon material. He is also associated with the believer’s baptism position, devoting a chapter in his theology to proving the immersionist view. This position would be contrary to that of the Westminster Confession. This alone may not entirely dissuade Irish Presbyterians away from Kendall; however, it may be an issue. The bigger issue may be over his book *Once Saved, Always Saved*. This book caused a stir amongst Conservative Evangelicals, and we have noted that Irish Presbyterianism has maintained that conservative nature. Iain Murray believes that this book suggests antinomianism. This theme was further taken up by Richard Alderson, an ex-member of Westminster Chapel. Murray suggests that it was Kendall’s openness to the Spirit that damaged Westminster Chapel. None of this claims that Wimber would be any less controversial for Reformed Christians than Kendall might be. It is that the main issue of his argument may be lost because of a preoccupation with a perceived doctrinal issue, much like Irving may be preoccupied because of heresy.

It may also not be true to the principles, outlined by Wimber, to connect him with a new ecclesiastical or denominational stream. He seemed to seek for the ‘radical middle’, the road between Evangelicalism and

---


98 WCF, 28:3-4.


Pentecostalism. David Pawson notes that Wimber’s pragmatic aim, to bring Evangelicals and Charismatics together in evangelism, was because of their mutual dependence upon each other. This pragmatism dovetails with the pragmatic function of practical theology, but does not negate Wimber’s place as a theologian. Wimber’s theology does not seek to reconcile different positions on pneumatology, but offers a theological praxis of action. This may be because of his own background as a practical theologian of Church Growth. The differences between traditional and charismatic appear to be immense because of the conviction on both sides. Wimber focuses on what the Church is doing in practice, bypassing these issues. Pawson notes: ‘What all this boils down to is an assurance that Evangelicals can embrace charismatic gifts without any theological adjustment.’ The choice of Wimber, therefore, is a practical choice because it means that there may be no need to reassess or reinterpret Reformed doctrinal standards in order to implement his suggestions. His suggestions may work from any systematic or historical basis, and is truly ecumenical, without demanding a change of ecclesiastical distinctives.

Wimber may offer a system of theology and practice from a unique source. Van der Kooi suggests that the Reformed Churches have been influenced by the Charismatic Renewal and continue to be taught by it. This,

---


105 Wimber’s focus was a practical one: how to see the Church grow. However, he may meet the criteria of being called a theologian, according to J.D.G. Dunn, who defines theologians as those who ‘. . . have seen it as part of their calling to articulate their faith in writing and to instruct others in their common faith, and who have devoted a considerable portion of their lives to so doing.’ J.D.G. Dunn, *The Theology of the Apostle Paul*, (Grand Rapids, MI: Wm. B. Eerdmans Publishing Company, 2006), p.2.

106 One of the challenges to Evangelicals, which Wimber himself argues, is the need to codify what they believe about the Holy Spirit in a positive manner rather than polemical statements against Charismatic theology and practice. J. Wimber, *Kingdom of God*, (Placentia, CA: Vineyard Ministries International, 1985), p.21

he suggests, happens specifically through Wimber and the movements that began under his theological influence.\textsuperscript{108} This is not saying that the influences are positive, only that they exist and have an impact on the Reformed Churches.\textsuperscript{109} Packer draws some connections between the theology of Wimber and other Reformed thinkers; namely, Martyn Lloyd-Jones and Francis Shaeffer. Their critical challenge, when considering their Evangelical and Reformed traditions, gives a springboard for Wimber to rethink the heritage itself.\textsuperscript{110} This may suggest that connecting Wimber with a reformed context may not be impossible. It may also suggest that there already exists a theological connection between the two streams; so, the suggestions of this dissertation extrapolate the implications of those connections.

Wimber himself sees his theology as being ‘distinctly evangelical’ because it closely connects the gifts of the Spirit to evangelism.\textsuperscript{111} In this sense it may not be something that requires a theological, historical or denominational change. It may be suggested that Wimber comes from a historical position; and, while he did not remain in the historic churches, they


\textsuperscript{109} The doctrine of \textit{semper reformanda} may also apply to this discussion. The first mention of this was in 1674 when the Dutch Reformed Jodocus van Lodenstein launched a second Dutch reformation to see the Reformed doctrines more thoroughly applied and understood within the Church. Michael Horton notes that the verb is passive; that the Church is always being reformed by God. See M. Horton, ‘Semper Reformanda’, <http://www.ligonier.org/learn/articles/semper-reformanda/> [accessed on 20/10/2010]. R. Nicole sees this happening in the context of dry spirituality and God breathing new life into the church. See R. Nicole, ‘Ecclesiology: Reformed and Reforming,’ in \textit{Practical Theology and the Ministry of the Church, 1952-1984, Essays in Honour of Edmund P. Clowney}, ed. H.M. Conn, (Phillipsburg, NJ: P&R Publishing, 1990), pp.160-161


\textsuperscript{111} Wimber, \textit{Kingdom of God}, p.27.
formed his theological views. To prove this point the three contextual applications seek to discover whether his views are compatible with Reformed views. This underlines the assertion that Wimber represents a distinct strand which is neither Pentecostal/Charismatic nor cessationist Evangelical, but somewhere in between. Taking this on board, part of the focus of this thesis will be to draw out some of the Evangelical elements within Wimber’s theology for a contextual application in a Reformed setting. This position assumes that the Reformed Churches are Evangelical. While many would hold to this position, it is not uniformly an accurate description of every Reformed communion.

Wimber writes that there is a need to marry the balance of Evangelical theology with the ‘firepower’ of Pentecostalism ‘to hit the biblical target of making and nurturing disciples.’\(^{112}\) This unification of the passion of Pentecostalism, without demanding that ecclesiastical background is forsaken, may offer a practical subtext. It may be able to complement any traditional standards of belief and practice. John Vooys agrees that the contribution of Wimber is practical as his theological reflection stands within the Evangelical tradition. It is, therefore, how that theology is outworked that is unique.\(^{113}\) The emphasis that Wimber is a practical theologian, and that his discussion concerning the outworking of signs and wonders comes from the Evangelical tradition, may make him more accessible to the Reformed

---

\(^{112}\) J. Wimber, *The Way In is the Way On*, (Eastbourne: Kingsway Communications Ltd, 2006), p.188

\(^{113}\) J. Vooys, ‘Church Renewal for the 1980s?’ in *Wonders and the Word: An Examination of Issues Raised by John Wimber and the Vineyard Movement*, (Winnipeg, Canada: Kindred Press, 1989), p.66. How Wimber uniquely works this theology out becomes the main focus for this research.
tradition. The practical issues of how this may take place will be further considered through evangelism, discipleship and ministry.

Wimber also helps us to focus on the foundation of Christian ministry which is people. The focus becomes that of how people can experience God at the point of their greatest need. According to Bill Hull, this is seen in compromise and change, as sensitivity to people’s needs is demonstrated. It challenges what is assumed and imposed without focusing on demonstrating care for others. Without such sensitivity, people may think that programmes are more important than they are, because they are dispensable. This flexibility allows a compassion for those in need and for the needs that arise around us in a pastoral context, along with a commitment to act where possible. This may demonstrate itself in offering to pray for the sick, and having God’s power manifest through our witness demonstrating compassion. Wimber offers a methodology that seeks to root doctrine in practice by applying it to people’s needs. While there may be other methods that seek to do the same, for the reasons stated above I want to suggest that Wimber’s best fits the Reformed context. This reason roots Wimber in the local Church, the purpose of the reflection in this work.

The Need for a Reformed/Charismatic Ministry Model

The two streams, of Reformed and Charismatic, appear distinct yet have the possibility to complement each other, in theology and practice. As James K.A.


Smith writes, there is quite some distance between Geneva and Azusa Street. This may be a challenge to some within the Reformed tradition. However, the aims of the Deep Church Movement, through which Jim Belcher believes much can be learnt from those outside our natural theological position, may have an influence. This thesis argues for the same respect to be given to Wimber as that which is given to other influential theologies. Carnegie Samuel Calian notes that, in America, the PCUSA is suffering from the same issues with which many denominations have had to deal and has entered ‘survival mode’ due to the loss in numbers and the erosion of confessional orthodoxy. This has led to less denominational loyalty. One of the aims of practical theology is to develop healthy relationships to aid self-differentiation. A solution may be, as Carnegie Samuel Calian suggests, a new openness whereby the Church becomes a ‘laboratory of learning within a context of common values and beliefs.’ I suggest this openness could include Charismatic theology and experience.

Having adopted a constructivist method, and being influenced by social reconstruction, I aim to challenge the manner in which contemporary concerns shape the way the past is interpreted and are solved through reconstructing the past. Wimber and the Reformed streams are different.


However, I want to move the argument, not to their areas of divergence, but to those of convergence.\textsuperscript{122} What a Reformed/Charismatic model has to offer is a fully integrated ethos of evangelism that feeds into discipleship and releases into ministry. R. Nathan and K. Wilson believe that people are looking for this. They seek solid expository preaching that neither promises victory nor delivers condemnation, but produces stability rather than emotionalism. This releases people who are passionate about evangelism and ‘fueled by the power of the Spirit.’\textsuperscript{123} This is a theme taken up by Ian Stackhouse, who believes that it is a ‘marriage’ of Word and Spirit from the basis of a strong conviction in the authority and supremacy of the Bible, and works from a disciplined community.\textsuperscript{124} This is a movement beyond a critique of the theology of either the Charismatic or Reformed Churches and into a practical ecumenism that draws on the strengths of both to produce a positive ministry model for the twenty-first century Church.

Traditionally, the Reformed faith has been cessationist, seen particularly in the contribution of B.B. Warfield, Professor of Theology at Princeton Seminary (1886-1921), and his book \textit{Counterfeit Miracles} (1918).\textsuperscript{125} The teaching of this book has influenced the thinking of Reformed believers. There has been a degree of suspicion between the Reformed and Charismatic views, as Sam Storms notes. Charismatics and Reformed believers are often unwilling to worship together because Reformed Christians are uncomfortable with the apparent emotion, shallow theology and

\begin{itemize}
\item \textsuperscript{125} B.B. Warfield, \textit{Counterfeit Miracles}, (Edinburgh: Banner of Truth Trust, 1986).
\end{itemize}
anthropocentrism of Charismatic worship. Charismatics often accuse Reformed Christians of quenching the Holy Spirit and theological arrogance.\textsuperscript{126} Banister comments: ‘There is more than ignorance dividing Charismatics and Evangelicals. There is hostility.’\textsuperscript{127} This hostility was also raised by the Report of the International Dialogue between the World Alliance of Reformed Churches (WARC) and some Classical Pentecostal Churches (1996-2000).\textsuperscript{128} This attitude may be something that Wimber himself considered. He writes that different theological positions are not expressed with humility that leads to dialogue; instead, there is a ‘harsh spirit’ of judgement when both positions belong to each other.\textsuperscript{129} This practical ecumenism is one of the unique elements of Wimber’s theology which enables a wider spectrum of application than others may receive. Yet, there does exist a tradition that seeks to integrate a Charismatic perspective in the context of Reformed theology. Lord George MacLeod of the Church of Scotland was a speaker at the early Fountain Trust International


\textsuperscript{129} J. Wimber, \textit{Beyond Intolerance: Calling the Church to Love and Acceptance}, (Cape Town, South Africa: Vineyard Ministries International, 1996), p.15.
Conferences. In the United Kingdom, there is Dr. R.T. Kendall and Terry Virgo and New Frontiers International, as previously noted. Fyall also notes that Martyn Lloyd-Jones and James Packer have both spoken positively, although not uncritically, about Charismatic insights. In the United States of America Covenant Ministries International and C.J. Mahaney, as well as Sam Storms and J. Rodman Williams, sought to give an academic

---

130 H. Yan Au, 'Grassroots Unity and the Fountain Trust International Conferences: A study of Ecumenism in the Charismatic Renewal,' (Thesis Submitted to the University of Birmingham, Department of Theology, School of Philosophy, Theology and Religion, in Fulfilment of the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy: November 2008).

131 R.T. Kendall accepts both the Evangelical and Charismatic positions: 'Now with some people it has been one or the other. There are those who are well acquainted with the Scriptures. They know their Bibles. They know their doctrine. They even know church history. They can detect heresy a mile away. And there are those who are well acquainted with the raw power of God. They have experienced the infilling of the Holy Spirit. They have experienced his gifts. They have seen healing, even miracles. And they can detect dead orthodoxy a mile away. Now what is wrong with either emphasis? Nothing at all. Each is exactly right.' P. Cain and R.T. Kendall, *The Word and the Spirit*, (Eastbourne: Kingsway, 1996), p.23.

132 There may be remit to state that Kendall’s illustrious predecessor at Westminster Chapel, Dr. D. Martyn Lloyd-Jones would be open to charismatic phenomena: ‘We disagree with those who say that these things were confined to the apostolic period; we disagree equally with those who say that all these things should always be manifest in the church. We say that it is a matter for the sovereignty of the Spirit, and clearly throughout the centuries in revival in various times in the church the Spirit has manifested this sovereignty. He has given power of utterance, power of speech, power of preaching oftentimes without some of these particular gifts. However, it is vital that we should consider these things because at any time, at any moment, the Spirit in his sovereignty may decide to give these gifts again.’ Lloyd-Jones, *Joy Unspeakable*, p.248.

133 J. Buckeridge comments: ‘The mix of charismatic and reformed Calvinistic theology is perhaps what many find the most surprising and has led in recent years to them developing partnerships and sharing platforms with some reformed groups that would normally run a mile from anything that looked Pentecostal or charismatic.’ Terry Virgo, ‘We’ve Not Seen Revival and I Still Pray for It,’ Interview with John Buckeridge, *Christianity*, (July 2009), p.17. Terry Virgo writes: ‘I have occasionally been asked to fill in a questionnaire in which I am required to state whether I am a Charismatic or Reformed evangelical Christian. I don’t want to confuse people but, like the apostle Paul, I am to be both! I believe in an awesome, sovereign God and see no reason to suppose that spiritual gifts as described in the New Testament have been withdrawn from the church.’ Virgo, *The Spirit Filled Church*, p.11. Virgo calls himself a charismatic Calvinist. Virgo, *No Well-Worn Paths*, p.62.

134 Some may claim that Kendall stands in the Reformed/Charismatic stream begun by Dr. D. Martyn Lloyd-Jones. Tony Sargent recounts the story of a meeting between Lloyd-Jones and Principle George Jeffreys, founder of the Elim Pentecostal Church, on a Sunday evening in the 1960s. Lloyd-Jones had been preaching on Acts 2 and Jeffreys turned to his colleague, while in the vestry, to claim that Lloyd-Jones was a Pentecostal. Lloyd-Jones told Jeffreys that he did not teach the initial evidence of Baptism in the Holy Spirit as speaking in tongues, to which Jeffreys replied that neither did he. This may suggest an early connection between Reformed theology and Charismatic Experience. T. Sargent, *The Sacred Anointing: The Preaching of Dr. Martyn Lloyd-Jones*, (London, Sydney, Auckland: Hodder and Stoughton, 1994), p.29.

rationale for Reformed Charismaticism. Amongst conservative Presbyterians, Steve Brown of the Reformed Theological Seminary, Orlando, has commented that he sees ‘very little biblical warrant for the cessationist view.’ William W. Menzies believes that the Reformed influence is also seen in the Pentecostal Movement more evidently than the Wesleyan. Even within the ‘emerging church’, there is a Reformed/Charismatic dimension seen in Mark Driscoll. There have been instances in America and Britain of Pentecostal/Charismatic churches becoming Reformed. In this thesis I want to suggest that the Church is best served through adopting a Reformed/Charismatic methodology, affecting every aspect of the Church’s worship, work and witness. To take on board some of Wimber’s suggestions will require resolve and determination. Howard A. Synder comments that it is ‘too

---


138 W.W. Menzies believes that the Wesleyan roots of Pentecostalism were prevalent until 1910. Then, in the 1920s, the Reformed influence became significant, specifically, the Fundamentalist-Modernist debate led by J. Gresham Machen, to content for the orthodoxy of Christian doctrine, especially the person and work of Jesus. There is also similarity in the rejection of the doctrine of 'entire sanctification', and the influence of John Calvin who, while not continualist in his understanding of spiritual gifts, allowed them for extraordinary circumstances. The influence of Jonathan Edwards and the revivals that happened under his ministry profoundly influenced Pentecostalism. The ministry of Edward Irving (1792-1834) at the Caledonian Chapel, Regent Square, London where spiritual gifts were being exercised a century before Azusa and Sunderland is another possible influence. In the twentieth century the writings of the Dutch Reformed author Abraham Kuyper show that he believed that spiritual gifts were necessary for the health of the Church. W.W. Menzies, ‘The Reformed Roots of Pentecostalism,’ *PentecoStudies*, Vol. 6, No. 2 (2007), pp.80-93.


important to be abandoned because of controversy over a word: Charismatic is a good and highly biblical word.'\textsuperscript{141} When no other term has the widespread understanding that Charismatic does, then it is a term that is necessary in order to define what is meant.

Reformed theology connects the gospel with a physical means of demonstrating the gospel, specifically in communion (WCF, 27:1). This may form an understanding of signs and wonders also as illustrations of the gospel. Larry Daniel Siekawitch gives an outline of John Calvin’s understanding of the work of the Spirit in the sacraments and concludes that, while Calvin could not be defined as a Charismatic, his doctrine of the Lord’s Supper should be understood as a means for further encounter with the Holy Spirit.\textsuperscript{142} I do not try to draw connections directly between the Reformed understanding of the sacraments and Wimber’s theology of signs and wonders. I merely suggest that the possibility of a Reformed Church that accepts Wimber’s theology could be supported by the Reformed doctrine of the sacraments. Ruthven also agrees, saying that the charismata ‘express and concretise the Gospel.’\textsuperscript{143} While he does not tease out the implications of this, it is significant that the same criteria given to the sacraments are applied to signs and wonders. Keith A. Mathison writes that Calvin understood sacraments as being a visible expression of the gospel, and as the Bible is preached the relevance of that expression becomes more evident.\textsuperscript{144} Essentially, this says that what is preached about the cross is visibly displayed in the elements of the Lord’s Supper. Having seen this connection, it may be possible to understand signs and wonders as confirming and illustrating the

\textsuperscript{141} H.A. Snyder, \textit{The Community of the King}, (Downers Grove, IL: InterVarsity Press, 1978), p.66.


gospel. Gary S. Grieg comments: ‘Signs and wonders do not cheapen the gospel. They illustrate it.’\textsuperscript{145} This seems to be the same point that Mathison is making about Reformed theology. This assumption, that something clarifies, explains and demonstrates the gospel, is not an idea unfamiliar to confessional Reformed theology. To draw a line between the preaching of the gospel and the manifestations of signs and wonders, is something that appears to be comprehensible and can be understood in the Reformed context.

Grudem argues that Reformed Christians and Charismatics need each other: the former because of their understanding of doctrine and practice of doctrinal preaching, the latter because of their warmth, vitality and practice of spiritual gifts.\textsuperscript{146} This suggests that the two streams are complementary and that where one is deficient, the emphasis of the other can make up for that deficiency. Stackhouse suggests that the lack of Reformed theology within the Charismatic Movement led to extremes of the 1990s, the Toronto Blessing in particular, as churches look for the next ‘key to unlock the harvest.’\textsuperscript{147} The Charismatic stream will benefit from the Reformed. The Reformed stream will also benefit from the Charismatic, with their common emphasis on the sovereignty of God. Smith states that Charismatic worship takes this foundational principle of Reformed theology very seriously, in which ‘you might actually be surprised by God occasionally.’\textsuperscript{148} If this is applied to Reformed spirituality, then the potential outcome will be immense. It is very easy to become entrenched in our own theologies, judging all who disagree with us


\textsuperscript{147} Stackhouse, The Gospel Driven Church, p.29.

and seeking to gain proselytes to our position. It will be a challenge to emphasise the sovereignty of God in the works of Wimber because some see it as a missing element in his theology.\textsuperscript{149} The issue over the work of the Holy Spirit, however, can and must complement the views of the Reformed faith and those of the Charismatic Renewal. When one is missing, the manifestation of that becomes either cold Protestant scholasticism that lacks any love and passion, or extreme experimentalism that lacks any foundation upon which to build. When there is a focus on the principles that define Church, not simply being moved by demands placed upon it in ministry, James Emery White states, ‘renewal flows.’\textsuperscript{150} Addressing the foundations of our beliefs about the Holy Spirit, and how those beliefs apply to our actions, is the key issue of this research, and the outcome is the same as that hoped for by White: renewal.

Partial Conclusion

This chapter has set the scene of the uniqueness of the Reformed context and the way in which a Charismatic methodology may be applied to congregational life. It has defined the terms that will be used throughout the thesis as the discussion topics for the contextual applications that follow. It has outlined the current position of the Confessional Reformed Churches toward the Charismatic Renewal’s theology and practice, and suggested that it is possible to maintain a fidelity to the WCF and practise charismatic gifts. This chapter also outlined the challenge of John Wimber, specifically for the Reformed Churches, and why he is being used as a conversational partner for further discussion. Lastly, it has considered a Reformed and Charismatic ministry model, which local Reformed congregations may be able to follow, without becoming practically similar to another Christian tradition in worship style or ecclesiastical polity. It appears that Wimber is uniquely suited to


enable a consideration of the research question, and this will be further explored and a possible answer given in the next chapters.
Chapter 2:
The Theology and Writings of John Wimber

Introduction

To set the foundation for the contextual applications, I want to begin by considering the theology and writings of Wimber. This will provide an insight into his thinking, specifically on the nature of the kingdom of God and how that applies to the mission and ministry of the Church.

The Theological Review

There may be some debate surrounding whether Wimber could be understood as a theologian. *The Princeton Review* defines a theologian as someone who ‘concentrates on the rational study of religious history and modern day religious issues’. This they do to educate leaders, who in turn educate congregations. This study is diverse, ecumenical, cross-cultural and biblical.\(^{151}\) Kim Fabricius further states that a theologian is someone who is a servant of the Church, and while they may work in a university context, he or she is not ‘an academic but an ecclesiodemic’.\(^{152}\) In this sense Wimber may well fit the definition of a theologian. His theology is diverse in its sources and is focused on serving the Church practically. He seeks to take theological principles and make them applicable for congregational life. His understanding of ‘Word-workers’, who aim to apply their theological understanding to every aspect of life and practice, may also fit this definition.\(^{153}\) Wayne Grudem believes that Wimber does have a theological point to make, specifically on miraculous gifts and healing, from which the

---


wider Church can benefit.\footnote{W. Grudem, ‘Power and Truth: A Response to the Critiques of Vineyard Teaching and Practice by D.A. Carson, James Montgomery Boice, and John H. Armstrong in Power Religion’, 

\textit{Vineyard Position Paper 4}, (Anaheim, CA: The Association of Vineyard Churches, 1993), p.11.} Wimber’s views and comments on the nature and practice of Church life, therefore, have an influence. To this end he may be understood as someone with a comment to make on theological reflection.

P.D. Jensen is one of those who argues that Wimber is not a theologian and that ‘his lack of theological understanding and education’ is responsible for what they deem to be the issues with his theology.\footnote{P.D. Jensen, ‘John Wimber Changes His Mind’, in \textit{John Wimber, Friend or Foe? An Examination of the Current Teaching of the Vineyard Ministries Movement}, Reprinted from \textit{The Briefing}, April 1990, (London: St. Matthias Press, 1990), p.11.} J.I. Packer disagrees with Jensen and notes that although Wimber did not view himself as an ‘intellectual’, someone who pioneered church planting, who was a Church Growth consultant, a seminary teacher ‘of embarrassing effectiveness’, and a worldwide Christian leader, he ought to be viewed as such.\footnote{J.I. Packer, ‘The Intellectual,’ in \textit{John Wimber: His Influence and Legacy}, ed. D. Pytches, (Guilford: Eagle, 1998), p.258.} The danger of Jensen’s view is that it limits theologians to being a professional caste within the Christian Church. This is not a universally accepted principle, as Jurgen Motlmann believes that every believer is a theologian.\footnote{J. Motlmann, ‘What is a Theologian?’, in \textit{The Irish Theological Quarterly}, 64, (1999), p. 189.} It could be argued that the kind of theologian that academia reflects upon requires a degree of professional training and qualifications, which may support Jensen’s view. However, simply because Wimber did not have the same academic criteria as others does not negate the impact his theology has had on the Church. To ignore him, therefore, because he does not meet a specific standard of education, does not deal with the implications of his theology.
Wimber acknowledges the importance of theology, though he also recognises that it can be divisive. One significant point for Wimber is the interpretation of charismatic gifts.\(^{158}\) This seems to suggest that Wimber is prepared to engage in contemporary debate, using theological nuances.

Theological Context - Dispensationalism and Fundamentalism

Noting the context of Wimber’s theology is also important. Fabricius notes that all good theology is contextual: it comes from a specific context which forms and moulds what is deduced.\(^{159}\) For Wimber it appears that the North American context of Evangelicalism influenced his own thinking. This may be because he came to Christianity later on in life, not having had a religious upbringing.\(^{160}\) This may suggest that his influences came a lot quicker and lot more vehemently than those raised within Church. His theology was filtered through a conversion experience rather than theological tradition. I propose to consider the two traditions that were influential in twentieth-century North America and in the foundation of Fuller Seminary,\(^{161}\) fundamentalism and dispensationalism.

\(^{158}\) J. Wimber and K. Springer, *Power Evangelism*, (London: Hodder and Stoughton, 1992), p.246. Wimber suggests two other causes of division: fear of undermining the authority of the Bible, and a cultural barrier because of evangelicals' social standing in society. Wimber, *Power Evangelism*, pp.250-251. M. Poloma, commenting on the American Assemblies of God and the Charismatic Renewal, noted that middle- and upper-middle class social standing did bring a new enthusiasm to a ‘staid’ Pentecostalism, and legitimised acceptance of the gifts of the spirit. M. Poloma, ‘Charisma and Institution: The Assemblies of God’, in *Christian Century*, (October 17, 1990), p.933. We noted some of the concerns raised by PCI in Chapter 1: the nature of prophecy apart from the Bible, contemporary apostles and prophets, preoccupation with the sensational and specifically satanic issues, the health and wealth gospel, the subordination of women and the removal of baptismal discipline outside the remit of Kirk Sessions, which often led to re-baptism. Ad Hoc Committee on Special Fellowships, (1984), pp.244-245.

\(^{159}\) Fabricius, ‘The Propositions on being a Theologian’.


\(^{161}\) Wimber taught on the supernatural in the Church Growth Department in Fuller Seminary.
Fundamentalism takes its name from a series of essays published 1910-1915, by the Bible College of Los Angeles, edited by A.C. Dixon and R.A. Torrey. While there was a theological dynamic for fundamentalism, concerns about the perceived erosion of biblical truth considering scientific advances, it was primarily a movement about connection. G.M. Marsden and B.J. Longfield note that in defending these truths, conservative Protestants attempted to forge alliances.\(^{162}\) Kevin T. Bauder underlines this thought, and suggests that the primary focus of fundamentalism is the unity and fellowship of the Church.\(^{163}\) According to Brad Harper and Paul Louis Metzger, this unity and fellowship encompassed a wide number of churches and denominations and was a fellowship on certain theological axioms rather than a movement to reform ecclesiastical politics.\(^{164}\) Martyn Percy defines fundamentalism as ‘transdenominational and transreligious’.\(^{165}\) This could lead to tension within denominations that are perceived to be mixed. The other issue is where the line of demarcation is to be drawn. Some would draw the line higher than others, making certain theological views as normative for any kind of fellowship. The issue of theology also comes into play with fundamentalism. J.I. Packer notes that like-minded evangelicals joined together to defend their faith, giving birth to fundamentalism.\(^{166}\) George Marsden suggests that fundamentalism was a reaction to declining revivalistic evangelicalism and

---


\(^{163}\) B. Harper and P.L. Metzger, *Exploring Ecclesiology: An Evangelical and Ecumenical Introduction*, (Grand Rapids, MI: Brazos Press, 2009), p.15. While encompassing membership in different denominations and independent congregations, Harper and Metzger also suggest a degree of uniformity in which the different and distinct cultures that formed the churches are lost in fundamentalism. p.275.


liberal theology.\textsuperscript{167}\textsuperscript{168} This paints the picture of what Fuller Seminary, and wider evangelicalism, were attempting to defend in the early part of the twentieth century. In Fuller Seminary there was a tension between fundamentalism and new evangelicalism.\textsuperscript{169} The seminary became a champion of New Evangelicalism, which Marsden describes as a ‘moderate form of classic Calvinist Protestantism as opposed to some of the innovations of dispensationalist Bible teachers’.\textsuperscript{170} Wimber was a divisive figure for these two camps because the implications of his theology could not be solved by the traditional fundamentalist/progressive debate.

Within the Church at large there appears to have been a backlash against fundamentalism. As Murray notes, the war they waged on modernism and denominations was countered.\textsuperscript{171} One such counter came from Harry Emerson Fosdick who, from 1918-1925, was pastor of First Presbyterian Church in the City of New York, although a Baptist cleric. Fosdick represented the liberal stream in Christianity, denying that doctrinal matters, such as the virgin birth, were essential for orthodoxy.\textsuperscript{172} On May 21, 1922, he preached a sermon entitled ‘Shall the Fundamentalists Win?’ It is interesting that while he does not agree with where the fundamentalists draw the line on orthodoxy, it

\begin{footnotes}
\item[167] G.M. Marsden, \textit{Reforming Fundamentalism: Fuller Seminary and the New Evangelicalism}, (Grand Rapids, MI: William B. Eerdmans Publishing Company, 1987), p. 4. He notes eternal damnation, the virgin birth, the miracles of Christ, the bodily resurrection of Christ, the substitutionary atonement and the second coming as doctrines that had become downplayed.

\item[168] Percy outlines five characteristics of fundamentalism: it is backward looking to legitimise current beliefs and actions; it is opposed to perceived modernist trends; it is a tendency or habit of mind which looks for absolute authority; it is the widespread opposition to liberalism; it is noetic and concerned with informational propositions. Percy, \textit{Power and the Church}, pp.64-66.

\item[169] It is interesting that E.J. Carnell, President of Fuller Seminary, encouraged the faculty to drop the term because it had become misunderstood. Murray, \textit{Evangelicalism Divided}, p.20.

\item[170] Marsden, \textit{Reforming Fundamentalism}, p.6 and 294.

\item[171] Murray, \textit{Evangelicalism Divided}, p.17.

\end{footnotes}
is their attitudes which he takes greatest exception to. He argues that their aim is to drive out of the Evangelical Churches all men and women with liberal opinions. This he views as illiberal and intolerant, something which is not applicable to all conservatives, some of whom are distant from fundamentalism and liberal of spirit. It seems that there was a perceived lack of graciousness amongst some fundamentalists, specifically regarding those with whom they disagreed. It appears that Wimber had to deal with the same attitude from those who disagreed with him. Jensen writes: ‘He may be compassionate, loving, genuine and sincere, but so was the loaded dog!’

Equating someone to a canine because of a disagreement over theology appears to be somewhat uncharitable. Yet Wimber never reacted to those who disagreed with him in such a manner. He was careful to point out that absence of signs, wonders and miracles does not mean that a lesser gospel is being preached. He points out that his theology stands within the evangelical stream and is not something different. He also points out that his views are not there to replace existing theologies, but to complement and even improve them. It seems that fundamentalism may have influenced Wimber positively, through its own negativity. Wimber is not unsympathetic to a correct understanding of the fundamentals of the Christian faith. He argues that once people are converted, there is a need to understand what the gospel means. Yet, it is through experiencing what is believed, specifically power evangelism, which ‘is not antirational’, that is essential. A duality of action is anticipated, the provision of information and the demonstration of power. For Wimber, correct theology is important and cannot be dispensed


174 Fosdick, ‘Shall the Fundamentalists Win?’, pp.190-191.

175 Jensen, ‘John Wimber Changes His Mind’, p.11.

176 Wimber, Power Evangelism, p.79.

177 Wimber, The Kingdom of God, p.27.

178 Wimber, The Dynamics of Spiritual Growth, pp.176-177.

179 Wimber, Power Evangelism, p.108.
with for expediency. Yet, that correct theology has to have a practical application, so what is believed is put into action. He appears to have a firm commitment to fundamentalism; however, it could also be described as a commitment to fundapraxis.

Dispensationalism appears to have developed out of fundamentalism. Buschart notes that unlike many other theological traditions, dispensationalism can be located within the fundamentalist and evangelical traditions.\(^{180}\) This theology views history through various dispensations, and God relates to humanity in different ways in each dispensation. Michael J. Vlach notes that dispensationalism can trace its roots to the nineteenth century, to John Nelson Darby. Darby was a Church of Ireland minister who came to believe in a future dispensation in which all of Israel would be saved. These views were popularised by the Scofield Reference Bible, published in 1909.\(^ {181}\)\(^ {182}\) Marsden notes that this was one of the most influential anti-modernist doctrines of the twentieth century. They believed that the Church was currently in the sixth dispensation, which is characterised by apostasy from biblical doctrine.\(^ {183}\) Dispensationalism believes that every word of the Bible was perfect, and was hence connected with the King James Only


\(^{181}\) M.J. Vlach, ‘What Is Dispensationalism’, <http://www.onthewing.org/user/Dispensationalism.pdf> [accessed on 22/6/2012]. He also notes three variations of dispensationalism. In Classical Dispensationalism, which was linked with Darby and Scofield, God is understood to be operating two purposes in redemption: one for Israel on the earth, the other for the Church in heaven. In the Revised or Modified Dispensationalism, associated with C.C. Ryrie, adherents believe that Israel and the Gentiles receive the same salvation. In Progressive Dispensationalism, all the dispensations are linked and being fulfilled today


\(^{183}\) C.C. Ryrie outlines seven dispensations: I. Infancy- to the Deluge; II. Childhood- to Moses; III. Adolescence- to the prophets; IV. Youth- to the coming of Christ; V. Manhood- " some time after that"; VI. Old Age- " the time of man’ s decay" (V & VI are the church age): VII. Renovation of all things- the millennium. C.C. Ryrie, *Dispensationalism Today*, (Chicago, IL: Moody Press, 1999), pp.71-74.
Movement. Keith A. Mathison notes that dispensationalism took a very literal view of the Bible, that there was no allegory. Vern S. Poythress calls this ‘first thought meaning’. This connection with what the Bible says lead Lewis Sperry Chafer to note that denial of the dispensational system was a refusal to be influenced by precise study of Scripture. Dispensationalism, furthermore, seems to have been distinctly cessationist. Charles H. Kraft notes that enlightenment perspectives shine through dispensationalism, leading to a rejection of supernaturalism. This is underpinned by a lack of spiritual experiences of power, and so a theology to justify that lack is drawn up. Fuller Seminary appears to have sought to distance itself from fundamentalist/dispositionalism, emphasising a more intellectual emphasis for the reform and transformation of culture, rejecting the pessimism of dispensationalism. This happened through Fuller’s association with Billy Graham in the 1950s. Wimber also appears to have been influenced by dispensationalism in how he views the Bible. He states that the Bible gives an accurate record of God’s dealings with humanity. This seems to be a restatement of the literalist view of the Bible. This influence could have come through Fuller Seminary, but also through the wider American evangelicalism. Questioning the validity of the cessationist position was also raised at Fuller, through Kraft. This further opened an avenue toward codifying Wimber’s theology on power evangelism. In a sense it may have been a Fuller to Wimber current, and it may also have been a Wimber to Fuller current.

189 Marsden, Reforming Fundamentalism, p.8.
190 Wimber, The Dynamics of Spiritual Growth, p.29.
Fundamentalism and Dispensationalism sought to bring a rationale to the Christian faith by emphasising biblical doctrine and belief. This was a reaction to the apparent liberalism of those, like Fosdick, who denied that key doctrines were essential for Christianity. Yet Wimber diverges from these views, stating that the Bible is the menu and not the meal. He wants to respect biblical theology, yet he wants an experiential element also. This is why he speaks of ‘Word-workers’: those who know biblical teaching and put it into action. For Wimber, the result of theological reflection was to become an active participator in the Christian Church. It would not have been enough simply to know biblical doctrine, but doctrine must lead to action. He states that it is not enough for a Christian to gather information, even about the supernatural in Scripture, but not to have it affect action, because he is an ‘activist’. Wimber speaks of a ‘new Pharisaism’ which manifests itself in a “show-it-to-me-in-the-Word” philosophy, where correct doctrine is elevated to supremacy and practicing doctrine seen as secondary. Steve Sjogren, reflecting on Wimber’s statement, emphasises the need for action as well as correct theology. It seems that Wimber is concerned with correct theology, which effects correct praxis. He sees a close connection between what is believed and what is done. When what is believed is correct, it manifests itself in correct action. Underlying this view is Wimber’s criticism of the methods used in seminaries to train leaders. He argues that using Bible study as the key to equipping people for ministry is not enough, something more is needed. This model, which he calls ‘grammatical-historical’, emphasises, through history, linguistics and historical theology, what God was saying through the Bible to the first-century context. He believes this moves leaders

---

192 Wimber, *Witnesses for a Powerful Christ*, pp.33-34.
194 Wimber, *Everyone Gets to Play*, p.32.
away from moral and spiritual formation to an intellectual Christianity. This can be done from any faith position, including no faith, whereas proper study ought to proceed from faith, hope and love. This makes leaders reliant less on the Holy Spirit and more on study, resulting in a tendency to reject contemporary signs, wonders and miracles. While making educated leaders, it does not produce 'a people who cause demons to tremble'.\textsuperscript{197} He also suggests that it may contribute to people abdicating responsibility for studying the Bible for themselves. This happens because the experts employ academic tools that few lay members understand, and so they simply give up.\textsuperscript{198} Perhaps Wimber is seeing theological systems which emphasise what is important, correct doctrine, but do not connect that doctrine with practice. This is something he sees as central to his own theology, and effective for the Church at large. These influences are both positive and negative with Wimber, as he is a product of his own context. Yet, he also had a missionary influence, specifically through interacting with the faculty at Fuller Seminary, which also influenced his views.

**Wimber’s Context**

Fuller Seminary was founded by Charles E. Fuller on May 13, 1947.\textsuperscript{199} Wimber, having led the Charles E. Fuller Institute of Evangelism and Church Growth at Fuller School of World Missions, as well as being an adjunct


\textsuperscript{198} Wimber, *The Dynamics of Spiritual Growth*, pp.46-47.

\textsuperscript{199} Marsden, *Reforming Fundamentalism*, p.53.
instructor began, in 1982, to teach on miracles, signs and wonders. Fuller grew out of the Fundamentalist movement of the early twentieth century. As a seminary it sought to drive a middle line of progressive evangelicalism, between the ‘hypernaturalism of liberalism and the hypersupernaturalism of fundamentalism’. It was not considered a charismatic school. This led it in 1987 to issue a statement on the ministry of miraculous healing. Wagner suggests that Fuller Seminary had a formative influence on the development of the theology of the kingdom of God. This stood against classic fundamentalism, which denied the continuance of the charismata, but also sought to warn against a central emphasis on the more spectacular miracles. There was a desire for honesty, especially when celebrating the few genuine cases of divine healing, which was felt to be emphasised out of proportion. The conclusion was that Wimber’s signs and

---

200 Marsden, Reforming Fundamentalism, p.292. also <http://www.vineyardusa.org/site/about/vineyard-history> [accessed on 22/6/2012]. The School of World Missions was renamed the School of Intercultural Studies. Its mission statement reads: ‘Welcome to the School of Intercultural Studies. Our school is dedicated to equipping men and women to cross the barriers that block people from seeing, hearing, and believing the gospel. As a community of people who are engaged in the worldwide mission of God, we combine the best elements of academic and practical studies to ensure that our graduates are ready to serve God.’ <http://www.fuller.edu/academics/school-of-intercultural-studies/school-of-intercultural-studies.aspx> [accessed on 22/6/2012].

201 ‘Wimber taught in various capacities as an adjunct professor at Fuller Seminary from 1975 to 1992. He worked full time in the Fuller Evangelistic Association from 1975-77. He taught the course MC510 from 1982-85. The course was taught again from 1987-91 with C. Peter Wagner as the professor, but Wimber continued to lecture in part of the course. Up to 1992 he taught two or three day-long sessions per year as an adjunct professor in the Doctor of Ministry program.16. 1975-1992 is 17 years, longer than “a short time.”’ Grudem, ‘Power and Truth’, p.30.

202 Marsden, Reforming Fundamentalism, p.293.


204 C.P. Wagner was Professor of Church Growth at Fuller Theological Seminary’s School of World Missions until his retirement in 2001.

What is significant about Fuller’s influence on Wimber is that it changed his theological mindset. Bill Jackson asserts that when Wimber was a Quaker minister, and began teaching at Fuller, he held to a cessationist position. Through the influence of Chuck Kraft, and stories from missionary contexts, he began to come across stories of the contemporary moving of the Holy Spirit. This led him to read Donald Gee’s book Concerning Spiritual Gifts, and eventually led to an openness for the charismatic. It could be argued that Fuller created the Wimber which they then deemed to be inappropriate for their academic setting. It could also be surmised that if Wimber had not gone to Fuller that his theology may have remained static.

George Eldon Ladd

Unlike Wagner and Kraft, Ladd had not been in missionary service. His background was as a Baptist pastor, then earning a degree from Harvard University. Marsden notes that he was one of a very small number of fundamentalists who became a leader of the neo-evangelical movement. R.D. Moore and R.E. Sagers suggest that Ladd was one of the key theological voices in raising the issue of the kingdom of God. Despite this apparent influence, Derek Morphew believes that, in his time, Ladd was not a particularly well know theologian, but he was a credible enough scholar that his ‘rediscovery of the theology of the kingdom’ became influential in the

---


207 Jackson, The Quest for the Radical Middle, p.51 and 54.

208 Marsden, Reforming Fundamentalism, p.120.

Conservative Evangelical theological world.\textsuperscript{210} It is this theology of the kingdom that has specific application to Wimber’s theology, for he appears to have built much of his own understanding upon it. Wimber cites Ladd’s view of the kingdom of God as giving him the theological rationale for power evangelism.\textsuperscript{211} Ladd saw biblical theology as offering a path for evangelicals out of what he saw as dispensational errors, particularly about the kingdom of God.\textsuperscript{212} For Wimber, Ladd’s theology of the kingdom allowed him to emphasise the miraculous in the present, as the kingdom was already. It also allowed him to understand why the miraculous did not always take place, because the kingdom was not yet fully present.\textsuperscript{213} Ladd notes: ‘God is now the King, but he must also become King’\textsuperscript{214} 215 This already/not yet tension was a key thought in Wimber’s own theology of the kingdom, which allowed for miraculous events but gave a rationale as to why they may not always happen as expected.

Ladd understood the kingdom of God as being central to the Christian hope that God has a purpose to perform, and in the Old Testament that purpose was to be fulfilled through Israel.\textsuperscript{216} The kingdom of God was already spiritually present, through those who give their lives to the Holy Spirit, yet there was also a hope that it would come in its fullness as an inheritance for God’s people. This is possible because Christians are already in the

\textsuperscript{210} D. Morphew, ‘Why Is the Kingdom of God so Important?’ <http://www.vineyardchurches.org.uk/uploads/tttf/Why%20is%20the%20Kingdom%20of%20God%20so%20Important.pdf> [Accessed on 27/6/2012].

\textsuperscript{211} Wimber, \textit{Power Evangelism}, p.12.

\textsuperscript{212} Marsden, \textit{Reforming Fundamentalism}, p.150.

\textsuperscript{213} Jackson, \textit{The Quest for the Radical Middle}, p.55.


\textsuperscript{215} There may also be an ecumenical reach to this already/not yet tension, specifically for application in Baptist circles. Moore and Sagers, ‘The Kingdom of God and the Church: A Baptist Reassessment’, p.68.

The participation in the kingdom of God became a key area for Wimber and other Third Wave teachers. It is through the people of God that God elected to exercise 'his rule in a new and more decisive fashion.' Participation in the life of the kingdom was reserved not only for healing, signs and wonders, but for confronting spiritual evil and challenging Western culture, as we shall consider. Yet Ladd did not want to connect the kingdom of God to one specific people, whether Israel or the Church. It was something wider than a physical manifestation. It represented a rule and authority that was beyond people, yet included people in its activity. As Wimber reflected upon this teaching, he began to see something that was beyond a denominational or cultural manifestation of religion. It was the power of God that intersected with human lives and human needs, bringing the future into the present.

Charles H. Kraft

Charles H. Kraft served as Professor of Anthropology and Intercultural Communication at Fuller Seminary. His background was also in missions, serving in Northern Nigeria, with the Brethren Church. It was within the context of this missionary service that Kraft, after having been confronted by a Nigerian Church leader, moved from a position of intellectual acceptance of evil spirits to a deliverance ministry. Kraft states that while they were academically and theological prepared, it was not enough to enable them to deal with what the Nigerians considered most important - their relationship to

---


220 <http://www.fuller.edu/academics/faculty/charles-kraft.aspx> [accessed on 13/7/2012].

221 Kraft, *Christianity with Power*, p.3. The Church of the United Brethren in Christ defines itself as a Protestant, evangelical, orthodox and Arminian denomination. They are from a German background, which developed as a result of the eighteenth-century awakenings in Europe, through Wesley, and in the USA, through Whitefield and Edwards. <http://ub.org/about> [accessed on 13/7/2012].

the spirit world. He notes that as a missionary he brought ‘an essentially powerless message to a very power conscious people’. He attempted to answer theological problems from his theological training, and cultural problems from his anthropological training, which did not appear to work. He deduced that the solution was to combine both approaches. His conclusion was that as an evangelical missionary, his theology was ‘more like deism than like biblical Christianity’. This was the challenge for Kraft, that Christianity was to attempt to be biblical in its expectation, and powerful in its practice. That there had to be more to offer people than correct belief, there must be correct experience also. This is similar to the desire of Wimber, that people engage with the reality of God’s kingdom coming in power into their deepest needs.

While an academic teacher, he defines himself as a missionary and trainer of missionaries, from an evangelical perspective which was committed to the authority of the Bible. His interest lay in the way Christians communicate their message. He looked at how the biblical text was understood and taken from its original context into new contexts. He raised the issue of ‘monocultural’ interpretation, which believed that moving from the biblical languages and culture to ‘Euro-American’ language and culture was a movement from a less adequate to a more adequate context. This led to a range of interpretation, within an accepted range, on certain spiritual issues. Yet what Kraft does not appear to allow interpretation on is the issue of the power of the kingdom of God. He states that healings result when the kingdom comes near, leading him to ask if there are no healings does that

---

223 Kraft, Christianity with Power, pp.3-4.


225 Kraft, Christianity with Power, p.39.


228 Kraft, ‘Interpreting in Cultural Context’, p.360
mean that the kingdom is not near to many churches?²²⁹ This statement explicitly connects the kingdom of God with manifestations of power. It also roots that theology within the context of the local Church. Kraft is not saying that an absence of powerful experience necessarily infers an absence of the kingdom of God. What he does appear to be challenging is the notion that the way things are is the best scenario. This we will consider in the kingdom of God’s critique of the Western Church. Kraft rejected the primacy of systematic theology, believing it was culturally influenced by Greek thought, arguing for a more experiential than cerebral evangelicalism.²³⁰ This influence on Wimber seems to have led him to consider the issue of power encounters, healings and demonic deliverance within a Euro-American context. It could be argued that Wimber aims to demythologise the Western culture and re-establish the biblical culture as the norm for evangelism and Church life. The influence also passed from Wimber to Kraft.²³¹ He already notes that he had a desire to learn about spiritual power, so he began to attend the Wimber lectures.²³² Kraft notes that in 1982, while in Wimber’s class at Fuller, he was encouraged to ‘try it and see what happens’. The result was that when he began to act on what he believed Jesus was telling him, ‘amazing things happened’.²³³ This was part of the Third Wave movement, discussed earlier, which saw evangelicals move from an intellectual assertion in the power of Jesus, to an experiential participation in ‘the continuance of those mighty works in the present’.²³⁴ While it may be possible to see the influence that Kraft was on Wimber’s theology, it is also interesting to see that Kraft learnt from Wimber. This symbiotical relationship crossed educational bounds, so that an


²³⁰ Marsden, Reforming Fundamentalism, p.288.

²³¹ Kraft stresses their similar background as Conservative Evangelicals who were critical of many of the excesses of the Pentecostal and Charismatic Movements. Kraft, Christianity with Power, pp.7-8.

²³² Kraft, Christianity with Power, pp.1-2.

²³³ Kraft, Defeating Dark Angels, p.87.

²³⁴ Kraft, Christianity with Power, p.xi.
academic scholar learnt from a ministry practitioner, without allowing his popular credentials to negate his academic worth.

C. Peter Wagner

Wimber credits Wagner with dramatically changing his theology of evangelism to include signs and wonders. This came from Wagner’s own experience as a missionary in South America. An ordained Congregational minister, he served in Bolivia, under the South American Mission and Andes Evangelical Mission. His work was as Professor in the George Allan Theological Seminary, Cochabamba (1962-1971). It was while a missionary that Wagner’s view changed from being a dispensational evangelical, who was anti-Pentecostal and anti-spiritual gifts, to an openness for the supernatural. This happened through a personal experience of healing, through E. Stanley Jones, a Methodist Missionary to India. This led to an openness to charismatic theology and phenomena, which would have dovetailed with Wimber’s own journey. While I have attempted to note the influence that Fuller had on Wimber, Wagner cites Wimber as being used to make him a ‘participant’ in signs and wonders. Wagner’s theological convictions also changed from a pessimistic premillennialism, shaped by dispensationalism, to understanding that the kingdom ought to effect social transformation. This may suggest

235 Wimber, Power Evangelism, p.11.
238 Jackson, The Quest for the Radical Middle, p.55. He cites this as the first stage in his journey toward openness, the second being a study in Latin American Pentecostal Church Growth, and the third a period of ministry in the late 1970s with the Church of God, Cleveland, Tennessee, teaching on Church Growth. Wagner, ‘God Wasn’t Pulling my Leg’, pp.53-54.
the journey that evangelicals, and Fuller Seminary, were making toward an engagement with the needs confronting Christians in society and through personal interaction with others, rather than a passive observation and declaration that the kingdom is coming. It also reminds one of the personal application of the kingdom of God, as Wagner notes the end is not the miracles themselves. Rather, the end is reconciling people to God.\textsuperscript{242} This is why power evangelism is a very practical theology, as it engages with the deepest and most pressing needs of people, their spiritual condition. It does more than inform of a new theological doctrine, but is a theological method of praxis. It is interesting to see that later reflection on this subject has led Wagner to espouse a kingdom-based social philosophy that effects governmental politics and social policy.\textsuperscript{243} This may be a narrowing of the wider principles of Ladd and Wimber, focusing the work of the kingdom through political means and establishment. It may also be interpreted as defining one political opinion rather than a trans-political ideal that connects spiritually with individuals and societies.

In 1983 Wagner proposed a ‘third wave of the Holy Spirit’, the first being Pentecostalism, the second the Charismatic Renewal, and this third amongst evangelicals in the mainstream churches.\textsuperscript{244} This movement of the Holy Spirit is believed to have begun in the 1980s amongst evangelicals who would be unhappy being defined as either Pentecostals or Charismatics.\textsuperscript{245} He chose this label because of the feeling that the label ‘charismatic’ did not fit, and that there was no desire to become Pentecostals.\textsuperscript{246} This Third Wave

\begin{thebibliography}{9}
\bibitem{242} Wagner, \textit{The Third Wave of the Holy Spirit}, p.87.
\bibitem{243} Wagner, \textit{Dominion}, p.16.
\bibitem{246} Wagner, ‘God Wasn’t Pulling my Leg’, pp.59-60.
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became associated with people like Wimber and movements like the Vineyard.\(^{247}\) It could be argued that Wagner gave the theology of Wimber the designation which separated him from other Holy Spirit focused movements. He gave him a theological identity, and a sense of unity with others of a like mind.\(^{248}\) This designation would cover a number of new denominations as well as those that remained with the traditional denominations. It could also be argued that the ethos of the Third Wave further separated Fuller Seminary from dispensationalism, which was singled out for disagreement.\(^{249}\) Wagner’s influence on Wimber, and vice versa, marked the path of the Third Wave.\(^{250}\) Evangelicals who would naturally be disinclined away from Pentecostal and charismatic practice, come to engage with the work of the Holy Spirit. This is connected with the evangelism and mission.

**The Missionary Context**

With the missionary influences in Fuller Seminary, it could be argued that Wimber’s theology was an attempt to apply missionary principles to Western culture. This is seen through Kraft’s championing of Wimber’s course at Fuller, although personally not charismatic. He saw it as being of a non-Western emphasis.\(^ {251}\) Michael Reid comments on the North African context of Kraft, which emphasises pragmatism and saw strategic level spiritual warfare as an effective means of evangelism.\(^ {252}\) It may be that what Wimber is trying to do is

---


\(^{248}\) Wimber, ‘Introduction’, p.31. He notes ‘the absence of divisiveness’ as an encouraging, and key point, to his theology.


\(^{250}\) Wagner, ‘God Wasn’t Pulling my Leg’, p.50.

\(^{251}\) Marsden, *Reforming Fundamentalism*, p.293. Kraft sees missions as being more than a series of theoretical principles furthering knowledge and technique. He notes that this emphasis on the technique is essentially secular rather than emphasising the spiritual aspect. Kraft, *Christianity with Power*, p.45. It may be that Wimber is offering this spiritual mandate for mission much clearer than traditional missiology.

to apply missionary principles to his own Western setting. He is drawing on the experiences of his ex-missionary colleagues and implementing their consequences in the Western world. This would specifically happen as the kingdom of God challenged the spiritual forces in the world. Wimber sought to understand the power of God, as a manifestation of his kingdom, confronting the kingdom of darkness. He calls them ‘power encounters’, when demonic power is expelled. For Wimber this is not just within individual lives, but also when any system or force that encourages unbelief is confronted. This happens as God’s kingdom works through individual believers and the Church, especially in missions. It seems that Wimber’s theology has been affected by the missionary culture of some of the Fuller faculty, and he is now seeking a new application for these principles.

Wimber notes that often the power of God is needed to be seen amongst ‘primitive peoples’ so that they will believe. Rather than placing all the emphasis on other cultures, other than the Western context in which Wimber ministered, he applies power evangelism to his own situation. He suggests that it can have the same effect as in other contexts. Wimber is seeking to connect his theology with a missionary context, something which E.E. Wright believes is an essential part of missions. Mission, then, according to Wimber is a theological praxis of certain central truths about God’s power confronting demonic power. C.J.H. Wright sees the theological basis for missions as essential to a proper hermeneutic, indeed the central message of theology. While Wimber appears to be a pragmatist, it is his
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253 Wimber, Power Evangelism, p.52.

254 Wimber, Power Evangelism, p.54.

255 Wimber, Power Evangelism, p.93. He notes: ‘We have seen that it can flourish in Western societies with the same results that occurred in the first century or that are reported from Africa, South and Central America, and Asia today.’

256 E.E. Wright, A Practical Theology of Missions: Dispelling the Mystery; Recovering the Passion, (Leominster: Day One Publications, 2010), p.27.

theology that underpins his praxis. His understanding was to release people, through training them in his understanding of power evangelism, to do the work of missions in their own own context.\(^{258}\) His theology was to serve the missionary purpose of the Church as it ministers to the Western world.

Wimber roots his theology in the Western Church and seeks to carry over missionary principles from other contexts.\(^{259}\) D.J. Bosch notes that the traditional view of missionary had little doubt about the apparent depravity in many non-Western cultures.\(^{260}\) The view that the culture from which one is reflecting is superior to other cultures appears to be something that Wimber challenges. He argues for the missionary spotlight to be shone upon the Western Church itself. This is an understanding that the Western position is one of the many ‘multidirectional’ perspectives of mission, which Wright suggests influences how people understand God.\(^{261}\) Wimber notes that Western culture has been influenced by other cultures through the influx of Eastern religions.\(^{262}\) While the influences may have come from foreign missions, Wimber sees the context of foreign missions as now being established in Western culture. David Smith sees this pluralism as a key challenge to the Western world. Once Christendom claimed ownership of all truth; however, now there are other voices raised within Western culture.\(^{263}\) In this context, Wimber’s theology suggests that the power of God can confront
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\(^{258}\) ‘I can either get a tent and have a huge revival where I can do this by myself, or I can release it to the people, and equip them to play.’ C. Wimber, ‘Introduction’, J. Wimber, *Everyone Gets to Play: John Wimber’s Writings and Teachings on Life Together in Christ*, (Boise, ID: Ampelion Publishing, 2008), p.7.


\(^{261}\) Wright, *The Mission of God*, pp.38-39. He further states that the Western position itself is contextual.


the demonic kingdom, and establish the kingdom of God. This influence appears to come through the missionary context that had an impact on his own theology.

Wimber’s theology seems to have been moulded by a missionary emphasis, as I have noted. This places the spreading of the kingdom of God central to the activity of the Church, and of primary focus for Christians in the world. Ladd picks up on the connection between evangelism and the mission of the kingdom, stating that there is no room for ‘rosy optimists’ who expect the kingdom of God to conquer the world, nor for ‘despairing pessimists’ who feel the task is hopeless. Rather, he argues for a realism that accepts the opposition to the coming kingdom of God, but also understands the kingdom’s power.\textsuperscript{264} There may be an issue with the context here, in that the missionary context of Kraft in North Africa and Wagner in South America, could be said to be somewhat different from Wimber’s in Southern California. What underpins this theology is the notion that wherever the context may be, the reality of spiritual evil remains constant, but God’s power is the greater.\textsuperscript{265} It could be argued that while the individual contexts are markedly different in terms of development, socio-economic status and even academic attainment, the core issues that lie at the root of society remain the same. Rather than placing the blame on humanity itself, this missionary emphasis shifts the focus to perceived evil spirits that affect the actions and attitudes of humanity. Ladd believes that the missionary influence comes directly from Jesus, rather than any specific missionary context in the world. He notes that Jesus’ mission was to bind and destroy Satan.\textsuperscript{266} This struggle with Satan he places at the heart of Jesus’ mission, and that through Jesus the kingdom of God would conquer the kingdom of Satan.\textsuperscript{267} This emphasis represents the theological background of Ladd, rather than the missionary background of Kraft and Wagner.

\textsuperscript{264} Ladd, \textit{The Gospel of the Kingdom}, p.139.
\textsuperscript{265} Wagner, \textit{The Third Wave of the Holy Spirit}, p.45.
\textsuperscript{266} Ladd, \textit{A Theology of the New Testament}, p.64.
\textsuperscript{267} Ladd, \textit{A Theology of the New Testament}, p.192.
Wagner. He interprets what they experienced in the context of theology, giving a biblical rationale for that with which they interacted. The themes of spiritual warfare is a key thought in Wimber’s own theology of the kingdom, and the influences here appear to shine through.

Reflecting on the incarnation and Christ in relation to the kingdom of God, the focus shifts to those who practise the missionary work. Ladd connects the coming of the kingdom not with its reception by people, but clearly with the incarnation. In this sense the kingdom of God is a greater application than just the Church; it speaks of the wider salvific theology of the incarnation. It must be, however, rooted in the human as the Church receives and witnesses to the kingdom. Whether the division can be maintained is unclear, as to remove the human aspect of the kingdom may make it nothing more than esoteric ideal. The theory may be greater than the individual reception of it; however, it seems to require a rooting in personal experience. As Ladd notes, the Church’s role is to witness to the kingdom. This is why Wright sees the Church as a countercultural group that changes its culture, becoming itself a centre of cultural change. As shall be considered, this appears to be similar to Wimber’s emphasis that the Church acts as an agent of the kingdom of God, and brings that kingdom’s power into situations to effect change. It also has cross-currents with the challenge that the doctrine of the kingdom of God has upon Western culture, in particular. James Kallas seems to disagree with the division between the members of the kingdom and the theology of the kingdom itself. It is the members of the Kingdom that are to do the works of the kingdom, ‘to bring it in’. Their role is to announce the demise of Satan’s kingdom and the triumph of Christ’s. They bring in the kingdom through healing the sick, raising the dead, casting out demons.

270 Wright, A Practical Theology of Missions, p.247.
This seems to be a much closer connection between the kingdom of God and the members of that kingdom, who appear to have a fundamental role in the work of the kingdom. This also appears to side more closely with Wimber’s own view of participation in the kingdom of God. Practically, it would also offer a sense of belonging to and ownership of what is being done, that it is not some abstract idea that defines Christianity, but the members of the kingdom effecting the rule of the kingdom.

**Wimber’s View of the Kingdom**

The theology of the kingdom underpins Wimber’s theology of power evangelism. Nigel Scotland suggests that while Charismatic Christians have addressed a great degree of theological issues, the concept of the kingdom of God has been central. Relating this to Wimber, Mark Stibbe suggests that the kingdom of God is the central theme of Wimber’s theology. Wright suggests that Wimber’s understanding of the kingdom comes from two sources: George Eldon Ladd, whose influence has already been noted, and James Kallas. We have seen that there was a degree of cross-pollination through the Fuller faculty, the missionary experiences that some of the faculty had, alongside a desire to move away from the dispensationalism and fundamentalism of the early twentieth century. For Wimber these two authors provided a new application for some of these missionary experiences to be applied within the context of the Western world.

For Wimber the kingdom of God was the means by which Jesus ruled over his willing subjects and the forces of evil in the world, reflecting the absolute rule which he exercised in heaven. In this sense Wimber sees Jesus

---


as coming to earth to reclaim the earth for God.\textsuperscript{275} Here we see the beginning of the already/not yet tension in Wimber’s theology, where the spiritual forces that controlled the world were being routed by the authority of the Son of God. We also see the beginning of the connection between the kingdom of God and spiritual warfare. Jesus exercised this authority by power manifestations of his authority in healing the sick, casting out demons and raising the dead, to demonstrate that the kingdom had come.\textsuperscript{276} There seems to be a disparity in Wimber’s own thinking on this matter. While he explicitly states that the kingdom had come through the work and ministry of Jesus, he states elsewhere that the purpose of the miracles was not to show that the kingdom had come, but to point to the fact that the King had come.\textsuperscript{277} Whether this is simply semantics, or whether there is a division in Wimber’s thinking between King and kingdom, is unclear. Although it seems that the King and kingdom are closely tied to each other; nevertheless, he wants to distinguish between them. The question why needs to be asked? It could be that he wants to clearly divide the rule of Christ with any institution that may claim to be the vehicle of that rule, as we shall see in the debate concerning the connection of the Church and the kingdom. It may be that he sees a distinction between the rule of Christ and the actions of Christ, suggesting that the purpose of the actions of Christ are to integrate converts into the rule of Christ. It does, however, seem a strange distinction as without the King there would not be a kingdom; therefore, the action of the King demonstrates that the kingdom has come. Where he may be going is toward the time when the King would leave but the kingdom would continue, and it would be served by the Church. Yet, this may mitigate any continuance of miracles as the King was no longer present.


\textsuperscript{276} Wimber, \textit{Power Evangelism}, p.30; Wimber, \textit{Dynamics of Spiritual Growth}, p.171.

\textsuperscript{277} Wimber, \textit{The Way In is the Way On}, p.190.
The theology of the kingdom became one of the key defining characteristics of the new Vineyard movement. Bert Waggoner notes that the theology and practice of the kingdom is the main characteristic of the Vineyard.278 The kingdom is the tension between that which is already present in the world, and that which is yet to come when Christ returns.279 The theology of the kingdom is intimately connected to the practice of miracles, healing, exorcism as well as social justice, through the presence of the Holy Spirit.280 J.D.G. Dunn agrees with this assessment, and suggests that even during the earthly ministry of Christ the manifestation of the kingdom only happened because ‘the eschatological Spirit was present in and through him’.281 These statements seem to answer the division in Wimber’s own writings about the King and the kingdom. The fact that the King is no longer physically present could tend toward a cessationist understanding of miracles. Yet, Waggoner draws the line between the presence of the Spirit and the practice of miracles. What seems to be becoming evident is the issue of praxis, that Wimber’s theology of the kingdom is something that is to be done, not just believed. Morphew calls this ‘inaugurated eschatology’ in which the coming kingdom is received and begins to affect the present world.282 This would manifest in opposition to perceived spiritual forces that control the world, through the Church acting in spiritual warfare. While Wimber anticipated the return of the King, he did not postpone the coming of the kingdom until that event.

Wimber’s inaugurated eschatology was not something that would have been shared with other Charismatics of the time. Scotland suggests that much of Charismatic-kingdom teaching was futuristic, when Christ would return for


279 Waggoner, ‘The Theology & Practice of the Kingdom of God’

280 Waggoner, ‘The Theology & Practice of the Kingdom of God’.


282 Morphew, ‘Why is the Kingdom of God so Important?’
his Church at the end of the age. He suggests that Wimber’s position came to the fore because the Church did not seem to be responding to the Charismatic Movement as much as had been anticipated.\textsuperscript{283} Whether this is a correct assessment will be determined by one’s pre-conviction on the issue of renewal. If it is a critical position, then certainly Scotland may have a point. If, however, it is a positive assessment of Charismatic Renewal then any hint at a possible experience could be deemed to be positive. Scotland further explains this in terms of a distancing from the Pentecostal Pioneers who reflected on the work of the Spirit. He states that what fuelled Wimber’s theology was a desire to see how the power of Christ would move in people’s lives in the present age. Such signs and wonders demonstrated that rule of Christ.\textsuperscript{284} Whether Wimber’s theological reflection can be traced to a disappointment amongst Charismatics, and perhaps even Pentecostals, to the extent of their theological impact cannot be absolutely confirmed. There may be some warrant in seeing the missionary influence on Wimber, through the faculty in Fuller, manifesting itself in dissatisfaction with the current state of the Western Church. What is worth noting, however, is that the Fuller faculty did not seem to entirely endorse the connection between the kingdom of God and miracles, signs and wonders. Kraft believes that the normal principles of the kingdom are wider reaching than simply the area of spiritual power.\textsuperscript{285} More explicitly, Ladd sees the coming of the kingdom coming quietly, unobtrusively and even secretly. He states:

\begin{quote}
It can work among men and never be recognised by the crowds. In the spiritual realm, the Kingdom now offers to men the blessings of God’s rule, delivering them from the power of Satan and sin. The Kingdom of God is an offer, a gift which may be accepted or rejected. The Kingdom is now here with persuasion rather than with power.\textsuperscript{286}
\end{quote}

\begin{flushleft}
\textsuperscript{283} Scotland, ‘From the ‘not yet’ to the ‘now and not yet’’, p.275.  \\
\textsuperscript{284} Scotland, ‘From the ‘not yet’ to the ‘now and not yet’’, p.283. Scotland further suggests that Wimber believed Church had disregarded the power of God because it could not handle it. Jack Deere agrees with the assessment, but adds that the absence is because the Church is not praying for miracles out of unbelief, which leads to a powerlessness. J. Deere, ‘The Vineyard’s Response to the Briefing’, Vineyard Position Paper 2, (Anaheim, CA: The Association of Vineyard Churches, 1993), p.8.  \\
\textsuperscript{285} Kraft, Christianity with Power, p.114.  \\
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This specific connection between a quiet coming of the kingdom and the power emphasised by Wimber is an issue. Those on whom he has drawn seem to point away from the very emphasis that Wimber espouses. This understanding of the kingdom may sit better with Eugene H. Peterson’s view of subversive spirituality. It claims that success in ministry only comes because the people being ministered to do not grasp that Christ is working through the Church to transform their world through subverting its principles. Whatever the reason for Ladd’s caveat, the work of the kingdom seems constant between him and Wimber. They both look for the evidence of the kingdom coming in a significant way in the world. One looks for quiet ways, the other for dramatic evidences.

The Kingdom is Living between the Ages

Kingdom theology places Christian living and Church ministry between two events: the incarnation of Christ which inaugurated the King, and the result of Christ which consummates the kingdom. Kraft suggests that there is paradox in this theology. It suggests that the coming age is already here, in some sense, because of Christ. Wimber suggests that the Church exists between the inauguration and consummation of the kingdom of God. This time Wimber calls an ‘interim period’ in which the victory over Satan needs to be applied in people’s lives, as Christ’s authority is applied against the ongoing work of Satan. Kallas suggests that this world was ‘seized by Satan’ and God took action by sending Christ to take on this enemy. Throughout this time of warfare, between the incarnation and the coming again of Christ, humanity
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is caught in the middle. It is this time of being caught in the middle, between the beginning of God’s kingdom taking root in the world and the ending of all hostilities finally, that is living between the ages. It reflects on the fact that there may be certain promises for humanity and opportunities to experience God’s power, but there may also be times of disappointment because the power of God is not experienced as has been anticipated. Ladd sees that the kingdom of God is revealed in the world in different stages where individuals experience different degrees of its power. This seems to suggest a dispensational understanding of God’s relationship with humanity. In certain stages there are different levels of experiencing the reality of God’s kingdom. Ladd points toward a present experience, but one that is filtered through the promise of the coming kingdom and the reality of the kingdom of Satan as being present in this age.

Dunn offers a distinctly charismatic understanding of living between the times. He suggests that Jesus’ consciousness of the Holy Spirit because Christ’s proclamation was more than simply the immanence of the future kingdom, it was the presence of the kingdom which was unique. This connects the kingdom of God with the presence of the Spirit to perform works of power. It could also provide a theological rationale for associating the kingdom with power evangelism. Dunn’s view appears to dovetail with that of Wimber in emphasising the presence of the kingdom as a practical reality rather than an abstract theory. For the kingdom to be practised in any of the practical applications there is a need to demonstrate its effect in praxis. This is what a Charismatic and Reformed ministry model attempts to accomplish. G.R. Beasley-Murray also draws the association between the kingdom and experiencing elements of its power. He roots the experience in the present experience of those who heard Christ as ‘the emancipating power of God’ was at work amongst them. This also pointed toward the complete implementation
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of the kingdom in the future. This comment could suggest that experiencing the future reality of the kingdom, in the present, was linked to Christ as the King being personally present. Craig L. Blomberg believes that this connection between kingdom and reign, between power not place, is correct. It does not necessarily draw a line of connection between what Christ was said to have accomplished and a current experience of kingdom power. It would have to be further proved whether the current expression of kingdom power is to be expected without the physical presence of Christ. If Dunn’s point is taken, then that problem may be resolved as the presence of the Spirit could be said to be present in the Church today. Blomberg suggests that any current experience of signs and wonders ought to be assessed through this concept of the kingdom. He does not further elaborate on how that could be practised. It could be done positively, where any manifestation of power is associated with the kingdom’s presence. It could also be more critically applied, where any claim of kingdom power is judged according to theological criteria of what it may point toward. If this is the case, then an agreed theological statement on what constitutes a proper manifestation of the kingdom would have to be agreed.

Wimber’s view emphasises the victory of Christ on the cross, but it also recognises that there are ongoing issues that people have to deal with which may cause them to question Christ’s victory. Reflecting on the fact that sometimes the victory is more evident than other times, Wimber notes the intermittent nature of the kingdom of God. The key for the Church is to find out the will of God in a given situation and to co-operate with it. This means that


298 Kraft, *Christianity with Power*, p.109: ‘Jesus believed in two kingdoms, the kingdom of God and the kingdom of Satan. As he makes plain in Matthew 12:22-29, these kingdoms are at war with each other. Further, the Kingdom of God is now assured of victory because of Jesus’ death and resurrection.’
even if we die believing but not receiving, it contributes to God’s purposes.\textsuperscript{299} It seems that what Wimber is getting at here is that not everyone experiences the evidence of the power of the kingdom. This may lead to a rejection that the kingdom is present at all, or a view that the kingdom has not overturned the kingdom of Satan. This is why Wimber emphasises that there are two kingdoms which everyone lives under, the kingdom of Satan and the kingdom of God.\textsuperscript{300} The interplay between these two kingdoms seems to be one of battle, where the kingdom of God fights against the kingdom of Satan. The battle lines drawn are executed by followers of both kingdoms who fight over humanity in spiritual warfare. This balance of living between what Wimber calls this ‘present evil age’, with the experience of the life of the age to come, appears to be contradictory. How he gets around that contradiction is to say that the age to come is present, only partially, and will be completed only when Christ returns.\textsuperscript{301} He attributes this understanding to Ladd, and argues that it gives a rationale why physical healing is not always experienced immediately.\textsuperscript{302} Ladd comments that the age to come is a distinct contrast to the present age because, in the age to come, sin and wickedness will be destroyed.\textsuperscript{303} This tension reminds people that there may be difficulties living in this present age. However, there is a hope for complete deliverance for the age to come. The issue remains over the extent to which the experiences of the age to come can be expected to be lived in the present age.

Although arguing for a partiality in the experience of the age to come, Wimber does not allow for that partiality regarding our own identities. He argues against ‘two mes’, one which is of the present age and one which is of the age to come. Christians are entirely of the age to come, and the present

\textsuperscript{299} Wimber, \textit{The Way In is the Way On}, p.153.

\textsuperscript{300} Wimber, \textit{Kingdom Come}, p.19.

\textsuperscript{301} Wimber, \textit{The Kingdom of God}, p.33.


\textsuperscript{303} Ladd, \textit{The Gospel of the Kingdom}, p.34.
age only takes root when there is neglect of their spiritual identity. This could place the emphasis for illness, psychological problems and lack of spiritual power directly into the hands of the Church. While this may offer a solution for the present discouraging situation, which Scotland mentioned previously, it could be said to underestimate the sovereignty of the kingdom of God and the King. If, as Wimber has argued, the kingdom is primarily about the King, then the question over the power of the King is raised. Wimber seems to place this responsibility in the hands of the Church, whose role it is to demonstrate and display the kingdom of God. This raises the same issue as the extent to which the kingdom comes in this present age. It seems to place a significant pressure on the Church to perform the works of the kingdom. Yet, if the kingdom is coming only partially, as Wimber suggests, is it wrong to judge the Church for not experiencing the manifestations of the kingdom in a dynamic manner? D.A. Carson suggests that these debates can lead to errors in understanding the kingdom, when the emphasis on present or future is unclear. Whether there are errors will be determined by the theological criteria used to judge the kingdom’s claims in the present, as noted previously. It may be better to call them distinctions in emphasis, some centring on the present experience of the kingdom, others on the future. This would mean that an emphasis on the present may have to engage with why certain power claims are not experienced, and an emphasis on the future may have to engage pastorally with those who have been disappointed in their kingdom expectation.

Wimber states that if we do not experience kingdom power in every situation, it is not because there is something wrong with our faith. Whether that can be justified or not, the issue remains that if there is a promise of

---

kingdom power, but it does not materialise, who should bear the burden of that question? Ladd states that there is a requirement for ‘perfect trust’ in the present to experience the future realm of the kingdom.\textsuperscript{308} He also states that the blessings of the kingdom ‘are now available to those who embrace the Kingdom of God’.\textsuperscript{309} It seems that this issue remains unresolved within Wimber. Ladd could be taken to mean that the emphasis is placed upon the degree to which individuals interact with the kingdom. Whether, in the heat of practical need, individuals will perform a theological juggling act to try to understand why they are not experiencing the power of the kingdom, remains to be seen. Ladd seems to suggest that a focus on the coming of Christ to inaugurate the age to come may be a possible focus for individuals to reflect upon, anticipating a time when God’s rule is unchallenged.\textsuperscript{310} Until that time there would be a backlash from Satan’s kingdom aimed at the people of God; however, God would protect from the coming judgement on the kingdom of Satan.\textsuperscript{311} This may provide a possible source of comfort at a time of difficulty. However, it does not resolve the issue that people may be expecting a powerful manifestation that does not arrive. Wimber’s motives may have been pure in his desire to encourage the Church in the praxis of kingdom life, rather than offering a rationale why the manifestations of kingdom life may not be seen. Yet, the issue of blame for continuing need does not appear to be categorically resolved.

### The Relationship between the Kingdom and the Church

The next area to consider is how the Church, made up of the members of the kingdom, relates to the kingdom of God itself. Some consider the relationship to be closer than others. Augustine of Hippo offers the classic relationship

---
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between the Church and the kingdom, stating that the Church currently is the kingdom of Christ and the kingdom of heaven.\textsuperscript{312} Recent thinking seems to be moving away from this axiom, even within the Catholic tradition. \textit{The Catechism of the Catholic Church} suggests a close relationship, that when Christ’s word is welcomed the ‘seed’ of the kingdom begins in people’s lives.\textsuperscript{313} This does not make a clear connection between Church and kingdom, but does seem to suggest that there is a tentative connection. It is this tentative connection which requires consideration as it deals with how the Church is to represent the kingdom in the world, and the connection between membership of the kingdom and ministry in the Church. Harper and Metzger note that the separation of any substantive connection between the kingdom of God and the Church was championed by dispensationalism, in protest at a liberal postmillennialism that sought to improve the world through social means.\textsuperscript{314} It may be that what Wimber is working through, in the theological connection between the kingdom and the Church, is the dispensational history of American evangelicalism. He emphasises the role that the Church is to play in witnessing to the kingdom, as we shall see, through proclamation and practice. It could perhaps be suggested that Wimber’s theology represents a reformed (in the sense of changed, not the theological designation) dispensationalism that is characterised by an openness to the spiritual gifts.

Ladd reflects on this further, and explicitly states that the kingdom and the Church are not to be confused. The kingdom creates the Church and the Church participates in the proclamation of the kingdom, but it is not the kingdom.\textsuperscript{315} Ladd even goes as far as saying that it is not helpful to categorise the Church as part of the kingdom, or that in the coming age the two will be

\begin{itemize}
  \item \textsuperscript{314} Harper and Metzger, \textit{Exploring Ecclesiology}, p.55.
  \item \textsuperscript{315} Ladd, \textit{The Gospel of the Kingdom}, p.117.
\end{itemize}
It may be that what was being feared here was a connection between one specific denomination or expression of Church and the kingdom of God. This could have meant that unless one is a member of that specific expression of Church, one is not a member of the kingdom either. It may also suggest that the distinctive of that expression of Church ought to be understood as the distinctive of the kingdom also, and that there may be no room for manoeuvring. In reflecting upon the relationship between the kingdom and the Church in Wimber, we touch on what has been deemed to be a key area in the theological development of the Vineyard. John P. Schmidt argues that Wimber’s close relationship between the two in praxis represented a shift in evangelical thinking. An emphasis on doing the works of Jesus today, because the kingdom was present, was a revolutionary message. He further suggests that Wimber and Ladd agree in not connecting the Church with the kingdom, but that the kingdom gave birth to the Church; and the Church witnesses to and is an instrument of, and acts under the authority of the kingdom. This connection between the Church and the kingdom appears to be one of action, in which the Church demonstrates the power of the kingdom, as Christ had done on earth.

Wimber makes the point that the Church is not the kingdom of God. He states that the Church is the community of the kingdom, and belongs to the kingdom; but, it is not the kingdom, it is a fellowship of people. It is in this context that, Wimber argues, God exercises authority. The reason he is so

317 Wagner sees a necessity for a division between Church and kingdom because of the faults of genuine believers who battle their own sin nature, which may put people off the kingdom; and the inclusion of nominal members on Church rolls, which may make them think Church membership is related to kingdom membership. Wagner, Church Growth and the Whole Gospel, p.9.
319 Schmidt, ‘New Wine from the Vineyard’.
concerned about misunderstanding the relationship are the implications. Wimber notes that if the kingdom and Church are seen to be the same, then it might make people assume that membership of the Church is also final salvation. It could also lead to an authoritarian leadership style, where leaders assume that they are speaking and acting with the authority of God.\textsuperscript{322} These implications may be particularly relevant to a culture such as that of Northern Ireland, where there is a large Church-going percentage. Tearfund suggest that 45% of the population in Northern Ireland attend Church regularly, Scotland has 18%, England 14% and Wales 12%.\textsuperscript{323} These statistics do not refer to how many people profess a personal faith, who have entered the kingdom of God. It tells of a general social trend amongst British people. When applying these principles to Northern Ireland, a clearer line of demarcation may be needed, regarding the kingdom of God, than those who attend a local congregation. This may be why Wimber suggests that the kingdom creates the Church. It is through the witness of the kingdom that individuals come into fellowship with the Church.\textsuperscript{324} This may also be why Harper and Metzger refer to the Church as ‘the doorway to the kingdom’.\textsuperscript{325} In this sense, Wimber could be seen to be arguing for a causal relationship between the kingdom and the Church. It is through kingdom witness that people enter the kingdom, and having entered the kingdom they are committed to Church. Ladd also wants to emphasise the spiritual aspect of the Church. He argues that the kingdom of God works through the Church, which is itself a fellowship of those who have received kingdom life.\textsuperscript{326} Kraft highlights the term ‘adoption’, that through entering the kingdom individuals become adopted children of God.\textsuperscript{327} There may be a critique here of Churches where there is a mixed membership, and because of that
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membership there is an assumption of spiritual membership also. This is an issue that Wimber takes up concerning Church fellowship and the kingdom, as shall be noted. To argue for a strong divergence between the kingdom and the Church may remind the Church of its own imperfection. E. Stanley Jones defines the Church not as imperfect but as relative to the kingdom, so it points people away from itself and to Christ. This may also give an expectation of perfection yet to come when the kingdom comes in its entirety, when the kingdom is completely revealed without being blurred by any perceived human faults, and not using the Church to exercise spiritual warfare on the kingdom of Satan. That hope may sustain and strengthen the Church through opposition and even persecution.

The connection between the kingdom and the Church does manifest itself, however, in the witness of the Church. Wimber states that the Church witnesses to the kingdom through demonstrating and telling of God’s actions. This happens not only as the Church proclaims the message of the kingdom, but as it heals the sick, casts out demons and wages war on satanic strongholds. The connection Wimber suggests between the kingdom and the Church is in how the Church displays the kingdom. The Church sees to manifest the power of God as it witnesses to God. Ladd also highlights this connection to the actions of people, while maintaining that the kingdom is never subject to individuals, always remaining God’s kingdom. Yet, Ladd also connects this action with the keys of the future kingdom of heaven, which were said to be entrusted to Peter (Mt. 16:19). This is the power to open or close access to the blessings of the kingdom. There may appear to be some issue of discontinuity here. While the kingdom is said to be greater than

---


one specific denomination or individual leader, the question of how individuals can close entrance to that kingdom is not explained further. Perhaps Ladd is alluding to spiritual warfare, in which the kingdom of God confronts the kingdom of Satan. This happens when the Church moves beyond exclusive proclamation to practising the kingdom’s power.\(^{333}\) Perhaps Ladd and Wimber are both wanting to emphasise the role for the Church to play in this age. Perhaps they may also be reminding the Church of its necessity to participate in the role, ministering to individuals and confronting spiritual evil powers.

A further area in which Wimber sees a connection between the kingdom and Church is regarding fellowship. He suggests that individual congregations can be understood as ‘outposts of the kingdom’, places where spiritual training, through prayer, Bible study and spiritual disciplines prepare Christians for active service.\(^{334}\) Underpinning this notion is an understanding of conversion that connects personal faith to a committed member of the Church, generally and locally.\(^{335}\) It seems that for Wimber commitment to the kingdom was manifested in commitment to the Church. This seems also to portray Wimber’s heart for the Church, something that he appears to have loved deeply. Don Williams writes that Wimber was not a loner, he was committed to community and a passionate Churchman.\(^{336}\) Wimber is emphasising the importance of local and personal fellowship in the Church. Ladd calls this fellowship ‘a bit of heaven on earth’.\(^{337}\) The fellowship of the Church reflects the belonging to the kingdom, and ultimately the fellowship that there will be when the kingdom comes in all of its fullness.

---
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The Relationship between the Kingdom and Spiritual Warfare

The notion of direct confrontation with evil, demons and Satan is implied by Wimber’s theology. Such confrontation appears to have its foundation in the clashing of the kingdom of God and the kingdom of Satan. In this, Wimber takes a literal view of the devil and evil spirits. Wimber, rather than seeking to reinterpret the devil and evil spirits, applies the missionary theology of the kingdom of God for a praxis of confrontation. He seems to understand that the kingdom of God comes into conflict with the kingdom of Satan through the practice of deliverance ministry, miracles, signs and wonders.

Wimber sought to build on his theology of the relationship between the Church and the kingdom regarding spiritual warfare. Scotland suggests a change in Wimber’s theology of spiritual warfare, from an overemphasis in the early years, to a ‘more circumspect’ theology. The Church was the community of people that God sent to take the struggle of the kingdom against the evil in the kingdom of Satan. As such, the Church becomes the instrument of the kingdom and an emphasis of discipleship comes to the fore. So, Wimber believes that to be used to confront spiritual evil requires a deep commitment to both the kingdom and the Church. Wimber appears to be seeking to demonstrate the role that the Church has to play in relation to the kingdom of God. We have noted that he did not understand the Church and
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the kingdom to be interchangeable concepts, but he did suggest a causal relationship. The kingdom birthed the Church, and the Church initiates people into the kingdom. He now turns to consider spiritual warfare.

Wimber set the relationship between the kingdom of God and spiritual warfare within the context of the cross. He notes that at the moment of Christ’s death the sun stopped shining and the Temple curtain was torn in two. This signalled that two kingdoms were in conflict, so that with the resurrection the victory of the kingdom of God was sealed. Wimber, Power Evangelism, p.57. The connection between the cross and eschatology is a difficult issue to resolve. Geerhardus Vos suggests that it cannot be seen entirely as eschatological, something to be anticipated, it must have a present application for Christian living. G. Vos, The Pauline Eschatology, (Phillipsburg, NJ: P&R Publishing, 1979), p.58.

Beasley-Murray describes the events of the cross as an opponent besting his adversary and exploiting the resultant victory. Ladd agrees with this assessment, that the death of Christ destroyed the power of Satan. He concludes that while complete defeat is anticipated at the end of the age, the cross marked the initial defeat. This would appear to place spiritual warfare in the context of the already/not yet tension that was considered earlier. The cross marked the beginning of the end, yet there was complete destruction of the enemies of God yet to come. It is within that context that the Church acts as soldiers and agents of spiritual warfare. One issue that may be relevant here is the degree to which the cross affected the spiritual world. Keith A. Mathison states that in exorcism, Jesus destroys the kingdom of Satan. This appears to be a very strong statement in which a greater power is released upon a lesser kingdom. Wimber and Ladd, however, seem to suggest that it is a partial effect. The work of implementing the kingdom, therefore, comes through the Church which wages
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spiritual warfare. Reid notes that this places a significant pressure on individual Christians to either succeed or fail in evangelism, which he suggests, is a works-based gospel. This may be a valid comment, as the emphasis shifts from what Christ is said to have accomplished on the cross, to how the Church implements that victory. There may also be a degree of post-millennialism within this emphasis, where a gradual improvement of world conditions increase as Satan is bound. There may also be an element of amillennialism in Wimber’s theology. Kim Riddlebarger notes the amillennial expectation that forces of evil will continue to persecute the Church. It may be that Wimber is attempting to distance himself from the premillennial emphasis of dispensationalism, and entering into a newly realised eschatology. This could be deemed to be a mix of different eschatological elements, the optimism of postmillennialism in expecting the kingdom of God to change things, and the realism of amillennialism in expecting opposition to the Church.

Spiritual warfare and the kingdom of God reflects upon the cross and the actions of Jesus. Wimber suggests that Jesus’ ministry demonstrated the immanency of the kingdom, that it was not consigned to a future age. The physical demonstration took place when he confronted evil spirits, demons, healed the sick and raised the dead. Jesus was seen as the invader, who came to consign Satan to the offensive, and then pass the baton on to the Church. Mathison agrees, since the exorcisms performed by Jesus demonstrate that the kingdom of God is already present. Dunn also agrees
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with this assessment, that the exorcisms of Christ suggest that the kingdom of God has already come.\textsuperscript{353} For Wimber, all the contemporary manifestations of spiritual warfare reflect upon the example that Jesus left. Yet, rather than one individual doing battle, the role has passed on to the Church (Jn. 14:12). It is this direct confrontation and continual interaction between the kingdom of God and the kingdom of Satan that sums up Wimber’s understanding of spiritual warfare.\textsuperscript{354} Wimber’s view appears to move the Church toward active participation in the kingdom of God. This is seen specifically in a spiritual manner, through confronting the evil in the world that manifests itself in many different ways. While he does not exclude social battles, Wimber interprets them in a spiritual manner. He argues that to counteract the social evils in the world, the Church must target those who are the perpetrators of those evils, turning them around into servants of the kingdom of God in their various positions.\textsuperscript{355} This seems to be different from later reflections on spiritual warfare in which individuals were encouraged to ‘actively confront’ the greater principalities and powers that control the world.\textsuperscript{356} Scotland suggests that this was not Wimber’s theology, and in it he rejected any sort of dualistic theology.\textsuperscript{357} There may be a difference here between the theology of Wimber, which Wagner defines as ground level spiritual warfare, and the strategic level spiritual warfare that other authors suggest. Wagner comments that some critics believe that in engaging in strategic level spiritual warfare people are overstepping their authority.\textsuperscript{358} Wimber may well have been one such critic. His emphasis highlights that the spiritual battle is fought in individual lives, as
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people are won to the kingdom. It also highlights that the means to effecting
global change is through individuals. This appears to be different from the
model suggested by Kraft, who seems to propose a wider warfare model.
What appears to be significant is that he does not encourage individual
Christians to take on the spiritual forces that control or inspire the individual
acts of the kingdom of Satan. It could be argued that he considers this to have
already been accomplished by the cross. The work is now a struggle to
implement that victory personally.

In spiritual warfare the kingdom of God confronts the kingdom of Satan.
Wimber believes that it is important to understand who we are fighting.
Wimber outlines the various designations that Satan has, underlining the fact
that the kingdom of Satan was Christ’s real enemy, and the final victory is
assured.\textsuperscript{359} The role of this enemy is seen in the actions which he takes;
Kallas suggests calling him ‘the prosecuting attorney’, arguing that Satan’s
role is also to serve God by seeking out the guilty and trying them.\textsuperscript{360} This
does not seem to sit with Wimber’s teaching on spiritual warfare because why
would Jesus and the Church, in fighting for the kingdom of God, take on a
fellow servant of God? It also seems to contradict what Wimber understands
to be the primary goal of the enemy, which is the denial of final salvation.\textsuperscript{361} It
may be that Kallas is influenced by his own understanding of monotheism,
which he takes to mean one supreme God, reigning over all other lesser gods
and spiritual beings, such as angels and even demons. In ruling over these
spiritual powers, God exercises indirect authority by delegating to them
certain functions.\textsuperscript{362} Whether this is the incipient monolatry that Michael S.
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Heiser speaks of as being present in the Old Testament is unclear.\textsuperscript{363} Kallas is not specifically calling demons and evil spirits gods, but he is suggesting that their authority may be a delegated from one God. Kallas further notes that Jesus came to bind the strongman because he is stronger than Satan.\textsuperscript{364} Again, this seems hard to reconcile with the thought that Satan carries out God’s will. May it not fall into the category of a house divided against itself (Mt. 12:25-28; Mk. 3:23-25)? It may be that what Kallas is attempting to do is demonstrate the spiritual power and authority of these evil spirits, and the real work of spiritual warfare. Suggesting a divine purpose for their actions could call into question the legitimacy of spiritual warfare.

Wimber anchors spiritual warfare in the actions of the Church performing signs and wonders. He states that the extension of the kingdom of God is determined by the number of Christians practising power evangelism.\textsuperscript{365} Practitioners of power evangelism are described by Wimber as ‘self-conscious members of God’s army’.\textsuperscript{366} This army encompasses an all-of-life theology in which, Wimber notes, there are no ‘demilitarized’ zones.\textsuperscript{367} He likens this experience to the difference between a navy vessel and a cruise liner, the former is for active service, the latter is for enjoyment and recreation.\textsuperscript{368} There seems to be an emphasis away from observation, toward participation in kingdom life through the Church. This is an area that will be considered in Chapter 6. Wimber wants every member to be active and participating in spiritual warfare to some degree or another. This may be because, as Kraft states, engagement with the kingdom of Satan happens so

\begin{itemize}
  \item \textsuperscript{364} Kallas, \textit{The Real Satan}, p.13.
  \item \textsuperscript{365} Wimber, \textit{Power Evangelism}, p.201.
  \item \textsuperscript{367} Wimber, \textit{Kingdom of God}, p.23.
  \item \textsuperscript{368} Wimber, \textit{Power Evangelism}, p.38.
\end{itemize}
often that it cannot be ignored. Wimber’s emphasis on praxis seems to come through here again, so that no Christian will be caught unaware of the battle in which they are involved or by the attacks of the kingdom of Satan. Wimber wants Christians to be aware of the counter-attack of the enemy, and the fact that the Church can attack back. Wimber connects this counter-attack specifically with power evangelism, where the greater power of the kingdom of God is demonstrated against the lesser power of the kingdom of Satan. Erwin van der Meer sees the issue of spiritual warfare as the key concept in power evangelism. Wimber’s understanding that God confirms the gospel with miracles could be said, therefore, to equip the Church to serve the kingdom of God. It does raise the question of those who do not practise power evangelism, whether they will be effective in spiritual warfare at all? It may be that Wimber is emphasising this point to support the significance of his own theological position and, perhaps, even the superiority of his own theological position. If, however, there are some genuine concerns and disagreements with power evangelism, Wimber’s view could be taken to imply either a disinterest in spiritual warfare, a lack of commitment to the kingdom of God, or a desire to have an easier life than fighting in God’s army.

How the kingdom of God is to exercise spiritual warfare through the Church is also covered by Wimber. He argues for a need to be prepared and equipped to fight because there is no place for the assumption that the enemy’s power is broken. He suggests a twofold approach to preaching the gospel and then demonstrating the power of the kingdom through signs and wonders. It is this two-pronged approach that is definitive of Wimber’s theology, and something that shall be considered further in Chapter 4. The
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369 Kraft, Defeating Dark Angels, p.18.
370 Wimber, The Kingdom of God, p.20.
372 Wimber, Power Evangelism, p.58; Kraft, Defeating Dark Angels, p.22.
373 Wimber, The Way In is the Way On, p.76.
association of proclamation and presence may also fit well with the proclamation model of discipleship as outlined in Chapter 5. Ladd believes that the importance of proclamation is because the primary manifestation of the kingdom of Satan is seen in a religious context, as blindness to the gospel. This is because Satan’s main aim is to keep people away from the gospel.\textsuperscript{374} For this reason, Ladd argues that the gospel which is to be preached is the gospel of the kingdom of God, announcing the fact that the kingdom has come near, and that God has taken action in Jesus to bring deliverance.\textsuperscript{375} It is not, however, proclamation alone that is spiritual warfare; it is proclamation accompanied by demonstration of the kingdom of God’s power.\textsuperscript{376} Wimber can appear to be quite critical of the Western, materialistic and scientific mindset, as shall be considered, and this may be a manifestation of the criticism. The argument seems to be that something more than intellectual assent is needed in presenting the gospel of the kingdom, so something more than simply telling the story is required. What is needed appears to be a demonstration that the power of the kingdom is superior to all other powers. This builds on the ideas discussed previously that there is the already/not yet tension in the kingdom of God, and that there is ongoing work to implement the victory Christ wrought on the cross. Yet, it raises the question of whether the message of the cross is enough to deliver people from Satan’s kingdom? It may also be considered to be unnecessarily loaded toward the power of Satan’s kingdom and the manner in which human beings are enslaved by that kingdom. Gustaf Aulén notes that Satan has no rights to humanity, but that because of justice there is a need for him to be driven out and defeated.\textsuperscript{377} Whatever the position held on this matter, the view of Wimber suggests that there is a power in the kingdom of God.

\textsuperscript{374} Ladd, \textit{The Gospel of the Kingdom}, p.31.

\textsuperscript{375} Ladd, \textit{The Gospel of the Kingdom}, p.47.


One aspect of spiritual warfare highlighted by Wimber is the issue of a healing ministry. He argues that Jesus understood the source of sickness as being evil, coming from the kingdom of Satan, and that it manifests itself through the deepest sickness of sin, physical sickness and poverty. There is, however, no guarantee of immediate healing in the moment, but there is a hope of complete healing when Christ returns, along with all aspects of suffering. This association of sickness with evil could make some sick people feel as if the cause of their illness is sin. Yet, Wimber is careful to point out that although no one prays for sickness, there is an understanding that God works through sicknesses to accomplish a kingdom purpose. There is, however, no need to passively accept sickness; rather, there is a promise of kingdom power for healing. An apparent difficulty with this is discerning when sickness is a clear manifestation of the kingdom of Satan, and requires spiritual warfare to be exercised upon it, or when God is using it for some purpose. There appears to be no solution to this conundrum. Instead there is an emphasis on the sickness of the soul, which is understood to be a manifestation of Satan’s power, for Wimber claims every aspect of human life is under that power. Wimber’s view of healing has been criticised by some. Philip Selden has considered healing in the light of spiritual warfare. He argues that a focus upon healing is a misdirection away from the real focus which ought to be on salvation, and in doing this there will not be any disappointments for those who expected healing but were not healed. Robert Dickinson cites the example of Wimber’s friend David Watson who, although prayed for, was not healed. There could be many reasons why Wimber seems to be so positive about healing, ranging from personal enthusiasm to a deep pastoral concern for those in need. His view may be the
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‘unqualified optimism’ that Ladd believes is dangerous to have. It may also be a question of emphasis, and sickness seemed to be the most evident manifestation of the kingdom of Satan with which Wimber was confronted. It may also be an issue of perspective: those who emphasise the salvation of individual converts against those who emphasise the demonstration of miracles as signs of the kingdom.

One area in which Wimber emphasises spiritual warfare is personally, where Christians fight against their own fallen, sinful nature. He attributes this to the enemy exciting our ‘fifth column’, in opposition to the life of faith that God has given us, the power to work through and resist temptation. While not absolving human responsibility is giving into temptation, Wimber does allow for a more direct category in which demonic and satanic influence leads Christians into sin. Wagner suggests that the reason for these personal attacks is because of the rapidly advancing kingdom of God in the world. While this may dovetail with previous discussion concerning spiritual warfare, it is also dependent upon perspective. We have already noted that Scotland sensed a degree of disappointment, amongst Charismatics, at the perceived impact the kingdom of God appeared to be having. It may be that Wagner is being unnecessarily triumphalist in his understanding of spiritual warfare, for many of the possible reasons Wimber may have had about healing. There may also be an issue of how the success of the kingdom of God could be interpreted. Would it be how many healings have taken place, how many new converts, how many new churches started, or even how many Christians have been elected to political office? This is one of the reasons why I have chosen

385 Wimber, *Power Healing*, p.120; Wimber, *Power Evangelism*, p.167: ‘Satan’s methods of attack vary: people are tempted or inflicted with physical and emotional hurt, their lives are threatened or they are possessed by demons. Demons exert various degrees of influence over people. In some cases, such as demonic possession, they gain a high degree of control over the human will.’
387 Scotland, ‘From the ‘not yet’ to the ‘now and not yet’’, p.275.
to limit my research to theological reflection, precisely because these criteria are incredibly difficult to discern, and disproving them may have an effect on people’s faith. As an insider in this research, this is a serious issue because my own pastoral heart and responsibilities to my local congregation are paramount to a successful application of the principles contained in this research. Wimber’s position may raise the issue of the demonisation of Christians. He writes that Christians can be affected and controlled by evil spirits, especially if they live in unconfessed and serious sin. Christians have been delivered from the power of demons but can still be affected by them.388 Wayne Grudem suggests that the problem may be to do with the terminology, 'possession' speaking of an overwhelming of an individual; therefore, any discussion of demonisation carries with it that same status. He argues for degrees of demonisation, ranging from opposition to almost complete control; therefore, the question is one of the degree to which a Christian can be controlled by a demon?389 The issue then becomes one of where the line is set. If it is too high it could make a Christian who is coming under a severe spiritual threat feel that the cause is demonic, or that every source of opposition is demonic. This could lead to Christians being too focused on the demonic. Perhaps this is why Stephen Hunt notes that many Churches are warning caution on such issues.390 This again brings to mind the missionary context of the Fuller faculty to which Wimber was exposed. It also raises an issue of a too-focused concern with things evil and demonic. This may be why Dickinson believes Christians are not called upon to exorcise demons, but to stand against them in God’s armour.391 There is an emphasis on spiritual warfare within Wimber’s theology of the kingdom, and it may be that the concern expressed in some circles at this emphasis is because it has not been emphasised before.

388 Wimber, Power Healing, p.120.
391 Dickinson, God Does Heal Today, pp.265-266. He notes that there is only reference to the apostles driving out a demon (Ac. 16:18), and other New Testament references do not refer to demonic possession (1 Cor. 10:20; Jas. 2:19; Rev. 9:20).
The Future Coming of the Kingdom

The last aspect of Wimber’s theology of the kingdom I want to consider is the expectation that the kingdom will come, in all of its fullness, at a future time. Wimber roots this expectation in the manifestation of the Kingdom's power, as miracles, deliverance, exorcism and healing all point to the coming of the kingdom of God and an end for ever to the kingdom of Satan. Wimber seems to consistently point to the fact that what is experienced now in the kingdom of God is only a shadow of what will come, but it does not undermine the fact that the kingdom of God is currently present. This theme of expectation is also evident in Ladd’s writings. He agrees with Wimber that the kingdom is already present and, as we have seen, he also anticipates the coming return of Christ. This event, of the ‘personal, glorious, victorious Coming of Christ’, will fully realise the kingdom of God. Then there will be no more causes of sickness or illness, because human bodies will be transformed. Despite these promises, Ladd argues that considering the current spiritual battle that the kingdom of God is involved in with the kingdom of darkness, there is an eschatological hope of Christ’s return. Neither Wimber nor Ladd describe in any detail the specific events that will lead to the return of Christ. Instead they frame it in a general picture of ongoing spiritual battle, as the Church fights for the kingdom of God and the kingdom of Satan reacts.

It has been noted before that there may be elements of both amillennialism and postmillennialism in Wimber, which may suggest he is
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continuing to drift from the fundamentalist dispensationalism of the early twentieth century. It could be argued that the implications of Wimber’s theology of the kingdom of God leads to a postmillennialism, in which the Church goes through tribulation, is battered and attacked by the kingdom of Satan, but eventually triumphs. Whether he specifically intended this, or whether he came to it by the logical outworking of his theology, is unclear. There appear to be points of commonality between Wimber’s view and postmillennialism regarding the inauguration of Christ’s kingdom already having happened, and that the kingdom is not just limited to people’s hearts but has a global application. These principles seem to sum up Wimber’s understanding of the kingdom of God and the expectation of what will happen as that kingdom grows and battles the kingdom of Satan. The expectation of Wimber in the coming of Christ also appears to dovetail with postmillennialism. Loraine Boettner notes that the postmillennial expectation was that the present kingdom would be surrendered to the Trinity, and a perfected kingdom instituted. The assertion that Wimber has moved from premillennialism to postmillennialism is supported by Christopher Catherwood. Nigel Wright also tried to trace the influence of postmillennialism in the Restorationist Church in the UK, of which Wimber was one of its influences. While he does not specifically state that Wimber was a postmillenialist, he contends that Wimber’s theology sat well with those who were. J. Battle also draws the connection between the spiritual warfare practitioners and postmillennialism. Wimber’s expectation of the coming kingdom was that the kingdom, which was already here, would

continue to effect change in the world, through spiritual warfare waged by the Church, and would end in fulfilment at Christ’s return. This may be said to give an excuse why the promise of miracles is not uniformly experienced today, pointing people to a fuller experience when the kingdom comes entirely. This may be seen as both positive and negative: positive by encouraging hope, but negative in not practically alleviating present suffering. It could also positively and negatively affect the work of the Church: positively, in pointing to a moment when things will improve so their work will be complete; negatively, in reminding them that there may be things which cannot be overcome until the kingdom comes completely.

**A Critique of Western Culture and the Western Church**

We noted previously that Kraft was a supporter of Wimber’s course at Fuller because he understood it to be non-western. Kraft dealt with the issue of cultural superiority, which he believed began from the Jewish belief that their culture is right and continues through the imposition of one culture upon another. This theme of questioning the Western interpretation of Christianity appears to have fuelled Wimber in his thinking of the coming of the kingdom. Wagner points out that both he and Kraft understand the issues which preoccupy Western Christians as being, essentially, irrelevant to a missionary context. It is for this reason that their views on culture, demonology and healing are critiqued less by third-world Christians than those in the West. This is a serious challenge to the Western Church concerning how we filter new theological ideas into our ecclesiastical praxis. There has been a tendency amongst some Reformed writers to view new ideas with a degree of suspicion. Charles Hodge, commenting on theological method, notes that all

---
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doctrine must be consistent with the truth, and the truth must be authenticated by Scripture. He concludes that theology is not a revelation of new truth.\footnote{406} This has led to a suspicion of any new theological ideal that does not agree with what has already been believed. Kraft suggests that a cross-cultural witness leads to new theological invention.\footnote{407} He further explains that as the Church contextualises the gospel, a new event happens in the history of the people.\footnote{408} While this does not suggest that new theological axioms may be discovered, it does suggest that as theology is applied to different contexts, new models of praxis may result. T.E. Jenkins suggests that Hodge was motivated by a disagreement with the modernists and liberals of his time, so he concluded that new beliefs are simply old heresies repackaged.\footnote{409} The position has also been challenged by Nancey Murphy, Stanley J. Grenz, John R. Franke and Kevin J. Vanhoozer.\footnote{410} This may explain the reluctance to embrace new theological emphasises within the evangelical tradition. Whether the views of Wimber would fall into the category of modernism and liberalism would depend upon one’s own theological convictions. Whether Hodge would have considered Wimber’s position as corresponding to the same challenges he faced in his day cannot be categorically defined either. I would suggest that Hodge represents the heart of one who, while not closed to new ideas per se, is concerned when new theologies are suggested that undermine his existing theological axioms. Rather than a cold criticism, it could be understood as a heartfelt response to maintain his view of the purity of the Christian faith.

\footnote{407}{Kraft, \textit{Christianity in Culture}, p.16.}
\footnote{408}{Kraft, \textit{Christianity in Culture}, p.178.}
Tom Wright identifies the remit of this designation as ‘the West’, including North America, Europe and their colonial settlements. It also includes Australia and New Zealand, which are Eastern, but he identifies them as Western in culture. Wright juxtaposes this against the majority of the world’s Christians, who live in Africa and South East Asia. Wright comments on this from a political basis, that many Western governments do not try to implement Jesus’ teaching, yet the rest of the world associates ‘the West’ with Christianity. Kraft suggests that there has been a syncretism within the Western Church that associates their way of life with the biblical way of life. This, he argues, is similar to the more overt syncretism in non-Western cultures that bring aspects of tribal religion into Christianity. Wimber appears to have been influenced by this idea. This led him to suggest that the West has influenced the expectation of theological praxis within the Church. Wimber suggests that the Western Church has become a secular institution to such an extent that it is viewed as another social society seeking support. This may be to do with the Western Church’s worldview. Before Wimber’s critique of the Western Church is considered, I want to look at his wider critique of Western society and culture.

Wimber suggests that the Western world is built upon a high view of the individual, but a correspondingly low view of community. While there is an understanding of corporate entities, it is not the notion of community that Wimber sees suggested in the Bible, where individual converts are joined together in Church, sharing their gifts for the common benefit. This will be considered further when the contextual application of discipleship is discussed. Accompanying this individualism is a general scepticism about
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spiritual things. Wimber argues that this is in part due to the educational philosophy of the Western world where everything is questioned and categorical answers are sought to spiritual claims.\(^{415}\) Grudem suggests that what Wimber is teaching is a shift from the Western worldview to a biblical world-view, which is open to the possibility of supernaturalism.\(^{416}\) \(^{417}\) This position is supported by Kraft, who suggests that a scientific philosophy defines Western epistemology, believing it leads into absolute truth. This manifests itself in a cultural imperialism, assuming that God has placed the Western world in charge of the globe.\(^{418}\) \(^{419}\) If this is the case, then it would assume that the cultures and educational criteria of the rest of the world is inferior to the way Western people view the world. Kraft believes that this worldview, of secular materialism and naturalism, has so penetrated even Christian institutions that it has made the supernatural even further away from the Western experience. He further argues that this does not take into account that over two-thirds of humanity have a different worldview.\(^{420}\) Kraft lays the blame at Enlightenment thinking.\(^{421}\) \(^{422}\) Any attempt, therefore, to institute a means of understanding the world spiritually, from a perspective

\(^{415}\) Wimber, *Dynamics of Spiritual Growth*, p.181.

\(^{416}\) Grudem, ‘Power Religion’, p.51: ‘But he has never taught that we should shift to an Eastern world view with its “religious mysticism”, its “anti-rationalism”, and its “syncretism”.


\(^{418}\) Kraft, *Christianity with Power*, p.13.

\(^{419}\) There may be some debate concerning whether Western culture is as influential as it is perceived to be, or that there is one, homogeneous Western culture at all. S.P. Huntington, ‘The West, Not Universal’, *Foreign Affairs* 75:6 (Nov. - Dec., 1996), p.28.

\(^{420}\) Wagner, *The Third Wave of the Holy Spirit*, p.76.

\(^{421}\) Kraft, *Christianity with Power*, p.25.

\(^{422}\) Francis Schaeffer defines the enlightenment as a utopian dream about the primacy of reason, nature, happiness, progress and liberty. It was humanistic: ‘Here was man starting from himself absolutely’. Any notion of God was deistic, asserting that while he may have created the universe, he had no contact with it. F. Schaeffer, *How Then Should we Live?*, in *The Complete Works of Francis Schaeffer, A Christian Worldview: Volume 5 - A Christian View of the West*, (Westchester, IL: Crossway Books, 1982), p. 148.
which may be accepted in another culture, would need to change for what is perceived to be the more advanced culture. It would also challenge the perception of advancement, as it represents the minority position. Warner draws a line of connection between the rationalists and fundamentalists regarding religious experience. Both are sceptical of spiritual experience, although allowing for them in biblical times, but wary of claiming them today. Accordingly, liberalism and fundamentalism dogmatically: ‘are equally indisposed to the possibility of contemporary divine self-revelation and miraculous intervention.’

Again, we see a possible anti-fundamentalist dynamic at work within Wimber that argues for an experienced religion as well as a cerebral and historical religion. It is also interesting how two different views, with two different spiritual agendas, end up practically at the same conclusions. This may challenge cessationism with where it leads; namely, a secular, non-supernatural Christianity.

The question arises over whether Wimber’s theology is seeking to simplify Western understanding and encourage a less developed worldview? There may be a sense in which the Western worldview does not believe it has been influenced by any other worldview, but has developed independently. Yet, one of the key characteristics of the Western worldview, according to Kraft, is the openness to change. The issue of which perceived direction that change ought to lead determines whether that which is believed to be primitive will be accepted. Schaeffer notes that while the evidences of this world-view shift are manifest, it is the philosophy that undergirds the worldview which needs a Christian comment. The main challenge is the belief that humanity has only knowledge from itself. Ironically, Schaeffer’s view is believed to have given birth to the religious right in America and, while
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challenging a worldview, produced a new worldview in the process.\footnote{426} What this does show is that there was a degree of debate concerning the Western worldview: Wimber’s et al, from the position of spiritual experience, and Schaeffer’s from the perspective of religious freedom and truth. Wimber’s suggestion is that the Western world can learn from other cultures which may not have achieved the same level of educational, scientific or social attainment.

Wimber’s critique of the Western Church is built upon this issue of worldview. He defines a worldview as the psychological reinforcement of how society functions in human terms. This manifests itself by one society assuming that their way of life, values and cultural norms are superior to all other cultures. Through this worldview a sense of safety is engendered whereby people feel that their principles fend off foreign principles that challenge their cultural norms, and bring a strong sense of belonging to that one community. Accordingly, a worldview interprets all new information and rejects any which may be contrary to the accepted worldview. There is, therefore, an opposition to and rejection of any new worldviews.\footnote{427} Wimber does concede that worldviews are necessary for societies, and so the goal of the Church is to isolate those aspects of worldview that oppose and limit the effectiveness of the gospel, and exclude them from the Church’s worldview.\footnote{428} Worldviews cannot be uniformly removed from every context because they undergird the culture, giving society a sense of legitimacy and providing them with a means of evaluating things within society.\footnote{429} When applied to the Church, it could be argued that there are denominational,
theological and even congregational worldviews.\textsuperscript{430} What Wimber appears to be arguing against is a wider worldview than that of a given congregation, or even denomination. He is addressing the worldview of Western Christianity in general. This worldview is deemed to have influenced and shaped the theological principles and spiritual expectations of the Christian Church. Wimber appears to be arguing that the influences on the Western Church are contrary to biblical Christianity, and are from an alternative source. In this sense Wimber may be adopting a position of being a missionary to his own culture, which now appears to be at odds with his own religious assumptions.\textsuperscript{431} It could be argued that Wimber’s critique of the Western Church is an indirect form of reverse missions. Indirect, because it does not include missionaries from another culture coming to the West, but those who have gone from the West to other cultures and who have applied the principles they have learnt.

Wimber suggests that there is a reluctance amongst Western Christians to practising power evangelism, which leads to an ineffective ministry dealing with demonic oppression, illness and serious sins.\textsuperscript{432} What appears to underpin this reluctance is a mindset that omits the supernatural from evangelism and has no expectancy of God’s power being seen.\textsuperscript{433} Wimber suggests that it is not just a passive omission of the supernatural, but ‘a powerful bias against accepting supernatural phenomena as valid’. For this

\textsuperscript{430} P.D. Douglass, \textit{What is Your Church’s Personality, Discovering and Developing the Ministry Style of Your Church}, (Phillipsburg, NJ: P&R Publishing, 2008), pp.4-8. He cites the philosophy of ministry as defining the congregation, influenced by the Church’s personality, the community context and the theological convictions of the people. It is akin to a computer operating system, each different, but all useful.

\textsuperscript{431} British Missionary work is defined by Brian Stanley as propagating ‘the imagined benefits of Western society alongside the Christian message’. B. Stanley, \textit{The Bible and the Flag: Protestant Missions and British Imperialism in the Nineteenth and Twentieth Centuries}, (Leicester: Apollos, 1990), p.157. It may also be worth noting that, while Kraft in particular emphasises the African culture as an alternative to the Western, there is debate surrounding the africanising of Christian music in Africa. J.H. Nketia, ‘The Contribution of African Culture to Christian Worship’, \textit{International Review of Mission}, 47:187 (1958), pp.265-278.

\textsuperscript{432} Wimber, \textit{Power Evangelism}, p.82.

\textsuperscript{433} Wimber, \textit{Power Evangelism}, pp.103-104.
reason the Western Church is not experiencing the revival of other parts of the world.\textsuperscript{434} Again, the issue of perspective is significant here. How revival can be quantified will be different according to the different criteria laid down by each commentator. If the criterion is Church attendance, then Wimber may have a point. If the whole culture of the Church is opposed to power evangelism, then those who adhere to the minority position may feel marginalised. It may also be an issue to claim that only those who are open to the theological position are able to interpret and critique it properly.\textsuperscript{435} This may lead to questioning of Wimber’s view of the Western Church, for he represents a minority position within it, yet he claims that to reject his position is not to prioritise the best interests of the Church.

Wimber suggests that worldview determines theology. While some evangelicals claim their theology is based upon biblical exegesis, it is actually the Western worldview that formulates their doctrine. Wimber, therefore, argues that to become involved in signs and wonders a shift of worldview is needed, not just a theological shift.\textsuperscript{436} The materialistic position, which denies contemporary signs and wonders, rules out anything supernatural, or seeing them as a thrilling experience and the end in themselves. This does not see the purpose of signs and wonders as demonstrating the kingdom of God.\textsuperscript{437} Wimber suggests that this leads to a division of Christian living, some things being defined as natural and others as supernatural, and hence unrelated to everyday life. He contends that this contradicts the Bible’s view of supernatural intervention in natural life.\textsuperscript{438} While Wimber may have a point in worldview moulding theology, there may still be a need for a theological conversion before adopting power evangelism. It could also be claimed that

\begin{itemize}
  \item \textsuperscript{434} Wimber, \textit{Dynamics of Spiritual Growth}, p.181
  \item \textsuperscript{436} Wimber, \textit{Power Evangelism}, p.147.
  \item \textsuperscript{437} Wimber, \textit{Power Evangelism}, pp.151-152.
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this view downgrades the genuine theological issues that cessationists have with Wimber’s theology and practice. It may also assume that if cessationists were from an alternative culture, then they would not hold their view.

Kraft agrees and argues that the Western Church’s worldview is based upon a number of assumptions. First, that Christian influence is sufficient to thwart demonic influence; second, that demonisation is obvious; and, third, because demonisation is obvious it could only happen in other cultures.\(^439\) This has led to what Kraft calls a spiritual blindness in which many Christians do not see their need for deliverance, instead they lean upon counsellors who aid them to live with their problems.\(^440\) In the context of worship, Western Christians are asserted to listen to sermons to attain new knowledge, but experiencing a relationship with God is secondary.\(^441\) This leads to what Wimber defines as an irrationality regarding healing. This is based upon a lack of positive models and experiences in the Western Church.\(^442\) Warner suggests that, to counteract this, there is a need for distance between evangelicals and the Western worldview, begging the question of whether it is even possible?\(^443\) To do this one suggestion may be that of Wimber, to associate the Western worldview as being under the dominion of Satan, and that Jesus came to change that.\(^444\) This will lead the Western Church to re-evaluate the connection between the kingdom of God and the kingdom of Satan, along with their ongoing spiritual warfare. It is a restatement that the spiritual world is as real as the natural.\(^445\) Wagner believes that this will be the hardest issue for the Western Church to comprehend.\(^446\) Kraft sees it as

\(^{439}\) Kraft, *Defeating Dark Angels*, p.44.
\(^{441}\) Kraft, *Christianity with Power*, p.43.
\(^{442}\) Wimber, *Power Healing*, p.141.
\(^{444}\) Wimber, *The Way In is the Way On*, p.151.
addressing the issue of the disappointment of the non-Western Church with the theology that disconnects the power of God with the current Church. In this sense, he suggests that the Western worldview hinders Christianity in general.\textsuperscript{447} There seem to be elements of a persecution feeling here, where those advocating a change of the Western worldview are reacting against the worldview. It seems as if the Western Church reflects the Western worldview as the context in which it ministers. It may, therefore, be easier to attribute the issues facing the Western Church to demons than to the people’s inhumanity to one another. Wimber’s view may also excessively emphasise the spiritual causes of problems, which could abdicate the responsibility of human beings.

\textbf{Partial Theological Conclusion}

In addressing the influences on Wimber and his own doctrinal contribution, I have endeavoured to highlight his standing as a theologian. It has been concluded that Wimber may well fit the definition of what a theologian is. This seems to be particularly true of an ‘ecclesiodemic’ such as Wimber.\textsuperscript{448} The theological context of dispensationalism and fundamentalism has also been discussed with relation to Wimber. Fuller Seminary’s own position as a voice for new evangelicalism also appears to have contributed to Wimber’s developing theology.\textsuperscript{449} It also seems that while Wimber was not associated with fundamentalism, he had to cope with the same statements of judgement that fundamentalists issued against each other.\textsuperscript{450} Wimber is careful to point out the evangelical nature of his theology.\textsuperscript{451} Yet he seems to want to move


\textsuperscript{448} Fabricius, ‘Ten Propositions on being a Theologian’.

\textsuperscript{449} It is interesting that E.J. Carnell, President of Fuller Seminary, encouraged the faculty to drop the term because it had become misunderstood. Murray, Evangelicalism Divided, p.20.

\textsuperscript{450} Jensen, ‘John Wimber Changes His Mind’, p.11.

\textsuperscript{451} Wimber, The Kingdom of God, p.27; Wimber, Dynamics of Spiritual Growth, p.29.
people from knowledge to participation in theology.\textsuperscript{452} This may suggest the theological worth of Wimber, and the influences that may have helped to shape his theological views.

The influence of Fuller Seminary on Wimber (where he taught from 1982-1987) has also been discussed. It has been noted that the influence of Fuller led Wimber to reassess his views on the gifts of the Holy Spirit, and adopt a continualist position.\textsuperscript{453} This influence appears to have come through George Eldon Ladd, Charles Kraft and C. Peter Wagner. In particular it seems that Ladd’s theology of the kingdom of God gave Wimber a framework for his own theology.\textsuperscript{454} Regarding Kraft, there appears to have been a cross-pollination of theological influence. Wagner is specifically stated by Wimber as effecting a significant change in his theology of evangelism.\textsuperscript{455} It was also Wagner who designated Wimber’s theology as ‘third wave’.\textsuperscript{456} Fuller Seminary seems to be both the provider of theological influence on Wimber, as well as the receiver of his own theological influence.

One specific element of Fuller’s influence on Wimber was the missionary context of some of the faculty. This influence is seen by Kraft’s identification of Wimber’s theology as non-Western.\textsuperscript{457} It could be argued that Wimber was attempting to apply missionary innovations to his own Western context. This seems to have happened specifically through Wimber’s theology of the kingdom, which appears to be the building block for his power evangelism.\textsuperscript{458} It appears that Wimber takes the theology of kingdom power

\textsuperscript{452} Wimber, \textit{Power Evangelism}, p.108.
\textsuperscript{453} Jackson, \textit{The Quest for the Radical Middle}, p.51 and 54.
\textsuperscript{454} Jackson, \textit{The Quest for the Radical Middle}, p.55.
\textsuperscript{455} Wimber, \textit{Power Evangelism}, p.11.
\textsuperscript{457} Marsden, \textit{Reforming Fundamentalism}, p.293.
\textsuperscript{458} Scotland, ‘From the ‘not yet’ to the ‘now and not yet’, p.275.
from a missionary context, and then applies it to his own context through power evangelism. While the influences of the Fuller faculty have been noted, on this one issue Wimber and Ladd diverge, as Ladd does not associate the kingdom of God with power.\textsuperscript{459} It may, therefore, be that Wimber took elements of Fuller theology, the parts which supported his own presuppositions, and applied them to his own theology.

There were a number of aspects that Wimber’s theology of the kingdom addressed as connected. One issue was that of living between the ages, which Wimber call the ‘interim period’, where the authority and victory of Christ needs to be applied.\textsuperscript{460} It was noted that Wimber’s view reflected on the victory of the cross, but also the need for ongoing spiritual battle to deal with the enemies of God.\textsuperscript{461} This appears to offer a rationale as to why people do not experience the power of God on every occasion, without laying the source of the blame at the feet of believers’ faith. The issue of participation in the kingdom is also addressed in Wimber’s understanding of the relationship between the Church and the kingdom. Wimber appears to be very clear in not connecting the Church with the kingdom for a number of practical reasons.\textsuperscript{462} This is not to say that there is no connection, but that the Church is not the kingdom of God in the world today. Wimber sees a connection between the Church and the kingdom through fellowship, where believers grow in their faith.\textsuperscript{463} For Wimber it seems that the Church is important to the kingdom, but that the kingdom has a greater influence and application than the Church.

Wimber sees the kingdom of God as acting through spiritual warfare. This was built upon the relationship he understood between the Church and

\textsuperscript{459} Ladd, \textit{The Gospel of the Kingdom}, p.55.
\textsuperscript{460} Wimber, \textit{Power Evangelism}, pp.57-58.
\textsuperscript{461} Kraft, \textit{Christianity with Power}, p.109.
\textsuperscript{462} Wimber, \textit{The Kingdom of God}, p.14; Wimber, \textit{Power Evangelism}, p.34.
\textsuperscript{463} Wimber, \textit{Power Evangelism}, p.40.
Wimber emphasises the role that the Church is to have in serving the kingdom, and the importance for Christians to play a role in that service. Wimber connected spiritual warfare to the victory that Christ won when he died on the cross and rose again. In this context Jesus is seen as coming on the offensive, to do battle for the kingdom of God with the kingdom of Satan. His view seems to be that the battle was waged in the individual, not with the higher spiritual forces. Wimber seems to argue that spiritual warfare is exercised through believers performing signs and wonders. This happens specifically through healing. This has attempted to demonstrate that the kingdom of God is the underlying principle that defines the rest of Wimber’s theology and praxis. Wimber also points to a coming time when the kingdom of God will come in all fullness, which appears to be a mix of amillennialism and postmillennialism. There is an optimism in Wimber’s theology of the coming kingdom that seems to dovetail with elements of each eschatological position.

Wimber also seeks to challenge the Western Church with his theology, particularly on its apparent widespread theology of cessationism. Wimber sees the Western world as built on a strong individualism, but a weak sense of community. He argues that part of this comes from the educational philosophy that rules out supernaturalism. This may again support the missionary influence on Wimber’s theological development, and his seeking to apply missionary principles to the Western Church’s context.

---

464 Wimber, The Kingdom of God, p.15.  
465 Wimber, Power Evangelism, p.57.  
466 Wimber, Power Evangelism, p.43; Kallas, The Real Satan, p.60.  
467 Wagner, Spiritual Warfare Strategy, pp.22-23.  
469 Wimber, Power Healing, p.35.  
470 Hosier, The End Times, p.33.  
471 Wimber, Dynamics of Spiritual Growth, p.163.  
472 Wimber, Dynamics of Spiritual Growth, p.181.
The Literature Review

I now want to consider the salient literature concerning the presence and work of the Holy Spirit within the Church. This forms, according to Swinton and Mowat, an important part of qualitative research.\textsuperscript{473} The outline for the Literature Research follows a dedicated method in which, as Diana Ridley describes, the background of the academic debate is considered in this chapter before any further discussion.\textsuperscript{474} In this chapter I aim to consider the main texts of John Wimber, Charismatic pneumatology, Reformed pneumatology, confessional literature, Reformed and Charismatic Literature and contemporary ecclesiology. This chapter further proposes to outline a field of study that considers the interaction between Reformed and Charismatic theology, and intends to highlight the feasibility of continued research into this area, specifically from the view of John Wimber.

The Main Texts: The Writings of John Wimber

John Wimber wrote widely, for a popular audience, on the connection between the kingdom of God and the manifestation of the power of the Spirit in authenticating the gospel preached. \textit{Power Evangelism} is Wimber’s book on the work and witness of the Church to the kingdom of God.\textsuperscript{475} Wimber does not come across as being judgemental of those who are not practising power evangelism. While the argument of the book may be ecumenical in spirit, in acknowledging the validity of other positions, it is not a theological treatise. This is a general issue with his writings; namely, their narrative

\begin{footnotesize}
\textsuperscript{473} Swinton and Mowatt, \textit{Practical Theology and Qualitative Research}, p.38.


\textsuperscript{475} Wimber, \textit{Power Evangelism}, pp.33-34. The first section considers the roots of power evangelism which lives between the ascension and return of Jesus and offering foretastes of that coming kingdom. This flows into a second section on spiritual warfare, a third on active participation with the Holy Spirit, a fourth on divine appointments, a fifth on contemporary worldviews, and lastly on how Jesus operated in miracles.
\end{footnotesize}
nature. There is a tendency to develop theological points on the basis of experiences. The chapters often begin with an example and lead to theological reflection. This could question the legitimacy of his reflection as it may appear he is moulding his argument on these experiences, rather than judging them in reflection upon them. Wimber challenges the cessationist approach to the Bible which rejects supernaturalism as being influenced more by Western culture than exegesis. He issues a wider critique of the doctrine of sola scriptura. There may also be a question over whether his writings fulfil the criteria for theology. This underlines Wimber’s practical emphasis on theology, that he is looking to understand praxis. The issue is whether it is better to define the principle and then apply to praxis, or examine the praxis and critique the principle. In one sense this research considers the praxis first, examining it in the light of theological rationale, and then bringing it to Wimber.

---

476 Wimber begins the book with a reflection upon ‘Scott’ who received the Spirit in 1967 (p. 25ff), and Melinda who needed deliverance (p.51ff). Chapter 8 is also significant as he outlines the events that led to the first outpouring of the Holy Spirit in his Vineyard Fellowship, through a ‘young man’, later known to be Lonnie Frisbee (p.61ff). His own ministry on a plane from Chicago (p.75ff); ‘Kerry James’ divine appointment at a restaurant (p.101ff); and why Asians view the West in a given way (p.129ff). It is only in sections 6 and 7 that there is a reflection on theological premise.

477 Wimber and Springer, Power Evangelism, p.147, 151-152.

478 Nathan and Wilson, Empowered Evangelicals, p.259. This view was taken by the Reformers to challenge the Roman Catholic Church of their time concerning the basis for their authority. Rather than accepting the primacy of the bishops and Pope, the Reformers argued for a ‘return to the teaching of the early church. They insisted that Scripture was the sole source of revelation, that it was the final authoritative norm for doctrine and practice, that it was to be interpreted in and by the church, and that it was to be interpreted within the context of the rule of faith.’ K.A. Mathison, ‘Sola Scriptura,’ in After Darkness, Light: Essays in Honour of R.C. Sproul, ed. R.C. Sproul Jr., (Phillipsburg, NJ: P&R Publishing, 2003). p.41. He further suggests that this is built upon the ideas that the Bible is perfect, sufficient, inspired, infallible, authoritative and supremely normative. pp.41-47. R.B. Gaffin and R.F. White suggest that this doctrine means also a rejection of ‘new revelations.’ R.B. Gaffin and R.F. White, ‘Eclipsing the Canon? The Spirit, the Word, and “Revelations of the Third Kind,”’ in Whatever Happened to the Reformation, eds. G.L.W. Johnson and R.F. White, (Phillipsburg, NJ: P&R Publishing, 2001), p.133. This leads to a conviction that ‘God has spoken’ suggesting that the Bible is all that is needed. See T.L. Johnson, The Case for Traditional Protestantism: The Solas of the Reformation, (Edinburgh: The Banner of Truth Trust, 2004), p.20.

479 Charles Hodge notes that the Bible contains the truths which the theologians collect, interpret and systematise in relation to each other. It is unclear if Wimber fulfils these criteria. C. Hodge, Systematic Theology, Vol.1, (Grand Rapids, MI: Wm. B. Eerdmans Publishing Company, 1993), p1-2.
Power Healing is Wimber’s understanding of the nature of healing and the causes of ill health, coming from his own experiences from personal reflection upon his family’s health problems. Practical Healing is a workbook published on the areas outlined in Power Healing.\textsuperscript{480} His argument is that Jesus healed because of compassion and mercy, but his emphasis was on healing the whole person, bodily and spiritually.\textsuperscript{481} Wimber also considers the issue of Christians being possessed by demons.\textsuperscript{482} This would be an area of concern for Reformed Christians, depending on the understanding of the term ‘possessed’\textsuperscript{483} The anecdotal nature of this book challenges Reformed readers on the issue of the primacy of the Bible in theological formation, as Dickinson points out.\textsuperscript{484} Wimber suggests that healing may be rejected because of the error of the practitioner, or apparent new age or occult associations.\textsuperscript{485} What Wimber fails to do is to distinguish between cessationism and opposition to healing ministry. He assumes that because certain streams are cessationist this is why they are opposed to healing ministry.\textsuperscript{486} Dickinson believes that prayer for healing ought to be practised, but not publicly.\textsuperscript{487} In this sense Wimber’s theology of healing is a broad approach and does not consider the varieties of opinion on the issue. It may be that he cannot understand the opposition to his practice, so he assumes that criticism is of his doctrine rather than his praxis.


\textsuperscript{481} Wimber, Power Healing, p.76.

\textsuperscript{482} Wimber, Power Healing, p.129.

\textsuperscript{483} Grudem addresses this issue by questioning the meaning of the term ‘possessed’. He argues that there is a wide variety of demonic attack that Christians can experience, even to the point of apparent control. Grudem, Systematic Theology, pp.423-425.

\textsuperscript{484} Robert Dickinson reiterates that the position of the Reformed Churches, and particularly PCI, is to reflect upon the teaching of the Bible as the ‘only infallible rule and faith and practice’; therefore, a biblical theology of healing would be essential. Dickinson, God Does Heal Today, p.5.

\textsuperscript{485} Wimber, Power Healing, p.26.

\textsuperscript{486} Wimber, Power Healing, p.31.

\textsuperscript{487} Dickinson, Does God Heal Today?, p.92.
The Kingdom of God is a book circulated by Vineyard on Wimber’s understanding of the nature and work of the kingdom and how that manifests itself in miracles, signs and wonders.\textsuperscript{488} In this, he identifies power evangelism as ‘distinctly evangelical, unlike many of the renewal movement practices.’\textsuperscript{489} This book was not widely published outside of the Vineyard. While there are many similarities with the issues raised in Power Evangelism and Power Healing, it does not have the same narrative basis for the arguments. It has the feel of something published as sermon notes. There are few references and it appears to be primarily focused on biblical exegesis.

The purpose of The Dynamics of Spiritual Growth is twofold: to encourage believers in their discipleship, and to root them in the doctrines of historical, orthodox Christianity. This is a practical book which aims to aid people who want to become more Christlike in their daily lives.\textsuperscript{490} He maintains that Baptism in the Holy Spirit takes place at conversion, which would dovetail with the accepted Reformed teaching on the matter.\textsuperscript{491} This book follows the same pattern as Power Evangelism in beginning with an experiential reflection and then extrapolating theological principles.\textsuperscript{492} While this is a basic book of Christian belief, it seeks to deal with the main issues of the Christian faith, applying them to the daily Christian life. There is much that a Reformed believer could agree with in this work; however, it is built on the

\textsuperscript{488} Wimber, Kingdom of God.

\textsuperscript{489} Wimber, Kingdom of God, p.27.


\textsuperscript{491} Wimber, Dynamics of Spiritual Growth, pp.139-140.

\textsuperscript{492} This is particularly evident in the section on hearing God’s voice, where Wimber reflects on an experience in the Las Vegas desert and then looking for a rationale to understand that experience. Wimber, Dynamics of Spiritual Growth, pp.17-19.
assumption that there is a contemporary charismatic experience of the Holy Spirit. If this is questioned then the whole ethos of the book may be rejected.

Two books were completed as a compendium after his death: *The Way In is the Way On* and *Everyone Gets to Play*. Many of the areas covered in these books are also covered in his other writings and, specifically, his series of booklets on the nature of the kingdom of God. The first book covers areas of Christian living and ministry, but not the issues of miracles, signs and wonders. He challenges the notions of superiority in leadership, arguing for servanthood. His exposition on the nature of grace would be concurrent with that of Reformed theology also. Like much of Wimber’s writings he begins with a recollection or story, moves to discuss the theology, and then to a conclusion. In the second compilation, he deals with the lack of love in

---


495 Wimber, *The Way in is the Way On*, pp.32-34.


much contemporary Evangelicalism, a ‘show-it-to-me-in-the-Word’ philosophy which he defines as Pharisaism.\textsuperscript{498} There seems to be an anti-intellectual polemic in some of his statements, about training specifically, that seems contradictory to the position he once held as a seminary teacher.\textsuperscript{499} He seems to be making the point that all Christians ought to have the opportunity to participate in the ecclesiastical function. Wimber’s last three books outline the role of the Church in the world today and how the Church is to communicate with God through prayer, witness for Christ which will strengthen the work of the Holy Spirit, and to love and accept all peoples.\textsuperscript{500} Although small books, they contain a challenge to the manner in which the Church practises discipleship and ministry today, which will aid our discussion in applying Wimber’s principles contextually.

There have been some works written about John Wimber and the Vineyard. Jackson’s \textit{The Quest for the Radical Middle} details the history and development of Vineyard from the beginning to the early twentieth century.\textsuperscript{501} While written by someone involved in the institution, the book is not a hagiography, as it was written without any approval from the Vineyard. This book aids research by giving a survey of the Vineyard Movement and Wimber’s influence on it, demonstrating that Vineyard stands in the Evangelical tradition more than the Charismatic.

\begin{itemize}
\item \textsuperscript{498} Wimber, \textit{Everyone Gets to Play}, p.32
\item \textsuperscript{499} Wimber, \textit{Everyone Gets to Play}, pp.47-48.
\end{itemize}
There are also a number of popular books reflecting on certain individuals’ interaction with Wimber. These publications appear to be anecdotal and, therefore, have a limited academic use, apart from giving a context to the ministry of Wimber. David Pytches has edited, what could be described as a festschrift to Wimber, in which various aspects of his theology and practice are evaluated by thinkers who knew him. These may be of a greater value in that there is a theological review of Wimber as well as reminiscences of interactions with him. John Goodwin has written on Wimber and classifies him and the Vineyard as stemming from the new age and occult traditions. These connections are tenuous at most and would need to be documented with more evidence than Goodwin supplies. John Wimber: Friend or Foe? gives a brief summary of the key doctrines of Wimber from an Australian Anglican Evangelical perspective. The context is an interview between Philip Jensen and representatives from Vineyard – John Wimber, Jack Deere and Paul Cain – concerning the main axioms of their theology along with reports from the 1990 Spiritual Warfare conference in Sydney. The key issue is the validity of the reports of healing made by Wimber. Mention is made of significant medical complaints right down to normal, everyday issues. The challenges are medical, psychological and theological. While the former two are beyond the bounds of this research, the theological critique is exceptionally helpful.


Although this Anglican response to Wimber appears critical, Stephen Hunt believes the Anglican Church was reaching out to Wimber for renewal.\textsuperscript{506} Hunt’s emphasis is on English Anglicanism and does not consider the Reformed Churches in his critique, to its loss. David Gibb considers power evangelism regarding demonic deliverance and whether this ministry can be expected today. His conclusion is that Wimber represents something different from traditional Evangelicalism by not emphasising the sovereignty of God.\textsuperscript{507}

Wallace Benn and Mark Burkill, who believe that Wimber teaches a dualism of equality between God and the devil, highlight this theme.\textsuperscript{508} If this is a valid comment, then there are significant areas that need to be resolved in order to marry Wimber with Reformed doctrine, not least the sovereignty of God.\textsuperscript{509} \textit{Signs, Wonders and Evangelicals} considers the impact of John Wimber and concludes that his ethos can revitalise the contemporary Church.\textsuperscript{510} \textit{Wonders and the Word} is a theological critique of Wimber that considers not only signs and wonders, but also the wider issue of emotions in religious experience.\textsuperscript{511} Their conclusion is that while they have some theological questions about his beliefs and methods, he remains a Christian brother who is doing good.\textsuperscript{512} This demonstrates that there does not have to


\textsuperscript{508} W. Benn and M. Burkill, ‘A Theological and Pastoral Critique of the Teaching of John Wimber,’ p.102.

\textsuperscript{509} A.W. Pink defines the sovereignty of God as his ability to do whatever he desires, both in heaven and on the earth, that he possesses all power so that no one can defeat or subvert his authority in his work. Dualism would radically undermine this position. A.W. Pink, \textit{The Sovereignty of God}, (Edinburgh: The Banner of Truth Trust, 1993), pp.20-21.


\textsuperscript{512} \textit{Wonders and the Word}, p.155.
be agreement on every area of doctrine and practice, to appreciate the effect that people are having in gospel ministry.

There have also been a number of academic works concerning the theology and practice of John Wimber and the Vineyard, specifically regarding healing and power evangelism. While both of these works deal particularly with Wimber’s view of healing, they provide a wider function of grounding his work within an academic context, and they show the areas of divergence with other healing methodologies in the Charismatic tradition. With the focus of this dissertation being on congregational praxis, and following a constructivist method, these works are cited to support Wimber as a theologian rather than their comment on his theological positions.

**Charismatic Pneumatology**

Charismatic authors have considered a wide range of subjects beyond pneumatology, but it is their treatment of the doctrine of the Holy Spirit that is now being considered. I begin with David Petts’ work on the Holy Spirit, simply because, as an undergraduate theology student, I was lectured by Petts on this book. The difficulty with this book is that the author seems preoccupied with proving the validity of his denominational understanding of Baptism in the Holy Spirit, initial evidence and healing, and so it stands as a Pentecostal version of some of the Reformed works that shall be considered.

---


Keith Warrington has produced two works dealing with pneumatology; one is a general piece and the other a consideration of healing and suffering in the Christian life.\footnote{K. Warrington, The Message of the Holy Spirit, (Downers Grove IL: InterVarsity Press, 2009), K. Warrington, Healing and Suffering: Biblical and Pastoral Reflections, (Milton Keynes: Paternoster, 2005).} Warrington affirms the role of the Spirit in evangelism, stating that the Spirit was given for this express purpose and that every Christian can be used by the Spirit.\footnote{Warrington, The Message of the Holy Spirit, p.127, 187.} While affirming healing, he does not underestimate the role of suffering in spiritual formation.\footnote{Warrington, Healing and Suffering, p.196.} Warrington’s Pentecostal pneumatology has much in common with Wimber, and Evangelicalism at large, especially on reception of the Holy Spirit at salvation.\footnote{Warrington, The Message of the Holy Spirit, p.88.} Warrington also edited a compendium, entitled Pentecostal Perspectives, in which a series of Pentecostal authors consider some of the main points of their theology and further expounded in Pentecostal Theology.\footnote{Pentecostal Perspectives, ed. K. Warrington, (Carlisle: Paternoster, 1998). Areas covered are historical, doctrine of inspiration, pneumatology with reference to the spiritual gifts and the Baptism in the Holy Spirit, eschatological, exorcism, worship and sacraments. Warrington, Pentecostal Theology.} This demonstrates that the Pentecostal and Charismatic Movements have theological opinions beyond that of pneumatology. It also gives a firm foundation against which to judge other positions.


\footnote{D. Lim, Spiritual Gifts: A Fresh Look, (Springfield, MO: Gospel Publishing House, 1991).}
from a Pentecostal perspective. Michael Green’s book considers the Holy Spirit from an Anglican Charismatic tradition and gives a useful insight into how these two traditions mix. These works demonstrate the wide spectrum of reflection not only on pneumatology generally, but also the issues of spiritual gifts specifically. They complement the work of Petts and Warrington. However, there appears to be the same focus of proving the necessity of second blessing and initial evidence.

There are also a number of books on the history of Charismatic manifestation. Keith J. Hacking considers the evidences of spiritual gifts throughout the New Testament and Max Turner considers New Testament texts and church history. While both of these books exegete the relevant biblical texts, Turner, in particular, wants to draw a line of connection through history and into the present. Dealing with the historical evidence for spiritual gifts, Donald W. Dayton considers the groundwork laid down by the nineteenth-century Holiness Movement and its emphasis on Spirit baptism. Peter Hocken traces the development of the Charismatic Movement throughout Great Britain but, as shall be seen, makes no reference to Reformed involvement. Hocken offers a narrative account of the historical development of the Charismatic Movement, giving comparisons with what was happening in North America also and is an interesting companion to this thesis.

---


526 Hacking, *Signs and Wonders Then and Now*.


528 Dayton, *Theological Roots of Pentecostalism*.

529 Hocken, *Streams of Renewal*. 
Tom Smail, Andrew Walker and Nigel Wright consider the Charismatic Movement from three perspectives, beginning with their own testimonies, through theological reflection to contemporary considerations. Included are chapters on the Faith Movement and Toronto Blessing.\textsuperscript{530} There are some larger issues that are considered in this work and it is a helpful critique from those personally involved in the Renewal movement. Mark Cartledge also offers a survey of the Charismatic movement, considering the areas of worship, spirituality and history.\textsuperscript{531} This again demonstrates that Charismatic Renewal has more to offer than a theology of signs and wonders, but is a deep spiritually reflective movement.

### Reformed Pneumatology

I want to begin by considering some Reformed comments on the person and work of the Holy Spirit. Sinclair Ferguson,\textsuperscript{532} Donald G. Bloesch\textsuperscript{533} and Edwin H. Palmer\textsuperscript{534} and, in Britain, J. McIntyre, cover the main areas of pneumatology from a Reformed perspective.\textsuperscript{535} Bloesch gives one chapter specifically to Pentecostalism, considering its historical and theological development. Bloesch, while not uncritical of Pentecostalism, sees a number

\textsuperscript{530} T. Smail, A. Walker, N. Wright, Charismatic Renewal, (London: SPCK, 1995).

\textsuperscript{531} Cartledge, Encountering the Spirit.


\textsuperscript{535} McIntyre, The Shape of Pneumatology, p.217. He states that the indwelling of the Holy Spirit has produced the Protestant Ethic. Further on, he restates the rejection of speaking in tongues as an evidence of Baptism in the Holy Spirit and the ideal of a two-stage Christian experience. p.224.
of benefits it has had on the Church. As this thesis is concerned with Reformed and Charismatic theology, a positive estimation from a Reformed perspective is helpful. This openness to the Charismatic tradition continues in Brown’s book. Brown does not deviate from the traditional Reformed position but neither does he demonise those who hold a Charismatic position. In a sense these are ecumenical works that allow for a differing perspective on spiritual gifts without questioning the authenticity of those who follow a charismatic line.

There is a tendency amongst Reformed writers to approach Charismatic issues in a ‘negative’ manner, as E.H. Andrews points out, beginning with the premise that the gifts cannot continue today because some of them have fulfilled their purpose of maturing the Church. This argument assumes that the Church today has reached a certain level of maturity, and assumes that gifts such as tongues and prophecy cannot aid the Church today. Palmer considers spiritual gifts, specifically glossalalia, in the context of the Baptism in the Holy Spirit which he asserts happens at conversion. This is the main thrust of his argument, one that is held across Reformed theology and by Wimber. John D. Harvey also considers the presence of the Holy Spirit in the contemporary church and, while not completely ruling out the possibility of spiritual gifts, his emphasis is on the Spirit at work in sanctification. This seems to be a common thread in Reformed pneumatology; that one of the

536 Bloesch, The Holy Spirit, pp.197-204. He considers eight areas of concern: legalism, illuminism (prophecy of a level with Scripture), anti-intellectualism, spiritual sensualism (search for higher divine experiences), a theology of glory but not the cross, sectarianism, faulty Trinitarian doctrine, and a tendency toward secularism. In pp. 204-207, he considers eight areas of benefit: spiritual experiences after conversion, gifts of the Spirit, energising work of the Spirit, evangelism and fellowship central to ecclesiology, priesthood of all believers, reality of the devil, signs and wonders in evangelism, and the importance of conversion.

537 Brown, Follow the Wind.


contemporary works of the Spirit is to further sanctify believers. This work builds upon the argument that the presence of the Spirit, confirming the gospel with signs following, needs to be considered in a Reformed context.

The second genre of Reformed pneumatology that I want to consider is those works that deal specifically with the Pentecostal and Charismatic Movements and theology. Warfield’s *Counterfeit Miracles* is a seminal work in this area. His argument is the gifts of the Spirit ‘belonged . . . exclusively to the apostolic age’.\(^{541}\) His focus was more on Catholic mysticism, as the time of writing predated both Azusa and Sunderland. Yet the themes identified, shaped and continue to influence the dominant position amongst conservative Reformed theologians.\(^{542}\) Walter J. Chantry adopts the same overall position as Warfield, but he puts forward the notion that miracles have ceased because the apostles performed them and there are not any contemporary apostles.\(^{543}\) Richard B. Gaffin Jr. also approaches cessation through the view of the temporary nature of the apostolate and the foundational role they were to play in the Church (Eph. 2:20).\(^{544}\) David J. Engelsma specifically refers to apostles as a temporary office.\(^{545}\) The difficulty with this argument is that it is built upon a presupposition that only apostles performed miracles and that, if disproved, would undermine the argument. There may also be merit in considering different types of miracles, some of which may not have been performed by apostles and so may be able to be performed today.

---

\(^{541}\) Warfield, *Counterfeit Miracles*, p.6.

\(^{542}\) For a specific challenge to Warfield see Ruthven, ‘On the Cessation of the Charismata.’ Ruthven believes that Warfield works back from his conclusions to prove them and offers an essentially deistic view of miracles.


O. Palmer Robertson argues for the cessation of the charismata through the supremacy of Scripture and gives a number of reasons why continuing revelation cannot continue.\textsuperscript{546} Kenneth L. Gentry agrees with Robertson that once the canon was set, prophecy in particular ceased.\textsuperscript{547} R. Fowler White suggests that contemporary revelation comes only from the Bible.\textsuperscript{548} There is a consistent challenge to understanding contemporary revelation on the same level as Scripture. This may be hyperbole, as few Charismatics may suggest this. Kenneth Berding argues that there has been a misunderstanding of what spiritual gifts are; they ought to be seen as special ministries, where the Holy Spirit guides believers into what best satisfies in a given context.\textsuperscript{549} This is also taken up by Vern. S. Poythress, who places both spiritual gifts and ministry gifts as blessings to the Church.\textsuperscript{550} As shall be seen, this view is remarkably similar to the position put forward by Wimber on spiritual gifts.

The third genre of Reformed pneumatology is the polemical works that seek to criticise the Charismatic tradition. Calvin H. Chambers makes comment regarding worship reflecting upon the centrality of preaching and the sacraments within the Reformed tradition.\textsuperscript{551} This is an issue of contention from both sides of the debate, and comment upon this theme created the

\textsuperscript{546} O.P. Robertson, \textit{The Final Word}, pp.87-126.
\textsuperscript{550} V.S. Poythress, \textit{What are Spiritual Gifts: Basics of the Faith}, (Phillipsburg, NJ: P&R Publishing, 2010), pp.30-33. He even goes as far as allowing the possibility of tongue speaking in the Church, p.37.
questions of this thesis. Two popular polemical works are those of John MacArthur\textsuperscript{552} and Peter Masters with John C. Whitcomb.\textsuperscript{553} Both of these books start with the premise of disproving the validity of charismatic claims regarding healing and continuing revelation. Masters and Whitcomb believe that, while the source of charismatic phenomena is not demonic, it can become an opening for the demonic.\textsuperscript{554} Equally, Victor Budgen proposes cessationism and argues that, if anyone asserts a Charismatic position, the door is opened for demonic deception.\textsuperscript{555} This becomes a self-fulfilling argument so that, if a contrary position is offered, the root can be claimed to be demonic and thus limit debate. MacArthur believes that there is not one element of truth within the Charismatic position.\textsuperscript{556} Reading both these books, there is little evidence of grace when it comes to the opposing opinion, or appreciation of any benefits it may bring to the Church. Donald Bridge has tried to give a critique, without demonising disagreement, by presenting both sides of the opinion in an attempt to form an ecumenical synthesis.\textsuperscript{557} This is an attempt to understand that agreement on all issues is not necessary and that strength can be achieved through co-operation.

Gaffin sums up the Reformed position by highlighting the place of the Pentecostal experience in the Bible. He comments on the Charismatic Movement regarding the order of salvation (\textit{ordo salutis}) and he puts Pentecost in the history of salvation (\textit{historia salutis}), not the order of salvation. He believes that these are misunderstood in Charismatic theology so that the outline in Acts becomes normative for contemporary Christian

\textsuperscript{552} MacArthur, \textit{Charismatic Chaos}.

\textsuperscript{553} P. Masters and J.C. Whitcomb, \textit{The Charismatic Phenomena}.

\textsuperscript{554} Masters and Whitcomb, \textit{The Charismatic Phenomena}, p.110.


\textsuperscript{556} MacArthur, \textit{Charismatic Chaos}, p.355.

experience, while Pentecost was a once only event.\textsuperscript{558} Essentially, this states that Acts is a narrative book and cannot be used to assert Christian doctrine. This means that experiences in Acts cannot be considered to provide a model for ongoing Christian experience.

David S. Lim suggests that the doctrine of continual fillings of the Holy Spirit is specifically applicable to a Reformed setting because of the lack of dramatic conversion experiences amongst its members.\textsuperscript{559} Lim highlights one of the significant differences between the Pentecostal/Charismatic streams and the Reformed; namely, the nature of their faith. Reformed theology emphasises the notion of covenant and the inclusion of the believer’s children within the parent’s covenant. This could lead to the children becoming disciples without ever having a dramatic conversion experience.

**Confessional Literature**

As the background of this thesis is the PCI, it is necessary to consider how commentators understand what the WCF states concerning the Holy Spirit, as every Presbyterian minister must subscribe to that confession. While the text of the Confession does not directly consider the issue of the work of the Holy Spirit, it makes mention in connection with the Holy Scriptures which made ‘those former ways’ cease.\textsuperscript{560} This is a general statement on the work of the Holy Spirit and, as O. Palmer Robertson states, the WC is deficient for not devoting a chapter to this issue.\textsuperscript{561} Charismatic issues were not as relevant at

\begin{itemize}
\item \textsuperscript{558} R.B. Gaffin, Jr., ‘Challenges of the Charismatic Movement to the Reformed Tradition,’ *Ordained Servant*, 7:3, (July 1998), pp. 48-57.
\item \textsuperscript{560} WCF 1:1. Reference is also made to the ceasing of new revelations (1:6), that the Holy Spirit governs the elect (8:8); as well as effectually calling (10:1); quickening (10:2); saving (10:3, 14:1); justifying (11:4); indwelling (13:1); sanctifying (13:3, 19:7); enabling to do good works (16:3); keeping secure (17:1); assuring of salvation (18:2); produce faith (18:3); enable worship (21:3).
\item \textsuperscript{561} Robertson, ‘The Holy Spirit in the Westminster Confession,’ p.57.
\end{itemize}
the time of the Westminster Assembly as they might be today, which may explain the reason for the omission.\footnote{Palmer Robertson states that if the Divines were to deal with prophecy and tongues in the Confession they would need to have exercised the gift of prophecy themselves. Palmer Robertson, ‘The Holy Spirit in the Westminster Confession’, p.96.} In considering the teaching of the WCF, I want to look at the Holy Spirit and the Bible and the Holy Spirit and sanctification. Essentially, the work of the Holy Spirit can be seen in three ways, according to Wayne R. Spear: inspiring the Scriptures, persuading people to accept the Scriptures as inspired, and guiding believers and the Church to interpret the Scriptures.\footnote{W.R. Spear, ‘Word and Spirit in the Westminster Confession,’ in \textit{The Westminster Confession into the 21st Century}, Vol.1, ed. L. Duncan, (Fearn: Christian Focus Publications, 2003), p.41-2.} It is in the last area that any debate concerning contemporary Charismatic practice within the Reformed tradition rests, and it may leave open a possibility for contemporary revelation and direction.

Underpinning the WCF’s pneumatology, is a conservative view of the Bible.\footnote{This view understands the Bible as inspired by God, without error and without fault, clearly declaring God’s Word. See M.D. Thompson, \textit{A Clear and Present Word: The Clarity of Scripture}, (Leicester: InterVarsity Press, 2006).} The key paragraph to consider in this regard is WCF 1:6, and this may lead to ruling out any possibility of further or subsequent revelation. In commenting on this, PCI states that this rules out any prophetic utterance, and Erik Wait believes that it also rules out tongues.\footnote{Firm Foundations: A Faith for Today’s Church – A Study Manual on the Westminster Confession of Faith, (Belfast: Board of Evangelism and Christian Training, The Presbyterian Church in Ireland, 1994), p.17.} The contextual reason, according to Rowland S. Ward, is the placing of the Apocrypha in the Canon and Anabaptists claim of ongoing revelation.\footnote{E. Wait, ‘Tongues and the Westminster Confession of Faith,’ <http://www.erikwait.com/cgi-local/printer_friendly.cgi?story_id=59> [accessed on 21/6/2010].} There may be some argument in saying that the Charismatic Movement is the twentieth century’s manifestation of the Anabaptist tradition.

Robert Shaw also sees an anti-Catholic polemic in this statement, not of additions to the Canon of Scripture, but of the purity of the Church and her worship. He believes that because there are no definite statements concerning how the Church ought to be administered and worship conducted, to counteract the aesthetic elements of Catholic worship, a statement on the sufficiency of Scripture is needed. Robert Letham defines this as ‘a mystical view of the Christian faith’ rather than sacrament, although, the focus appears to be medieval Catholicism. A.A. Hodge refers to these developments as ‘traditions of men’ that are implemented in Catholicism. B.B. Warfield sees the anti-Catholic polemic focused on the Protestant Church of England and Archbishop Laud, whom he defines as ‘Anglo-Catholic and Arminian’, rather than Roman Catholicism. This highlights one of the distinctives of Reformed theology that is the regulative principle which shall be considered further in Chapter 3.

G.I. Williamson highlights the relationship between the knowledge of God’s will and the Bible and, so, concludes that humanity needs no knowledge beyond that which is expressly taught in or ‘deducible from Scripture’. R.C. Sproul calls this guidance ‘inscripturated divine revelation’, which means that God speaks through the Bible as it is engrafted within a believer. This emphasises the sufficiency of Scripture for all personal
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spiritual development and theological reflection on the nature and worship of the Church, which was a theological axiom for the Reformers.

Commentators on the Confession have traditionally emphasised the work of the Holy Spirit in adoption and sanctification, but not empowerment (WCF 13:3). Smith highlights the exclusive aspect of the Spirit’s work in sanctification and states that believers work with the Spirit in effecting this change. This emphasis could be because of the apparent ‘worldliness’ of the Church in the seventeenth century, and so an emphasis on the Holy Spirit transforming believers is promised. Williamson believes the WCF teaches that sanctification is effected by the Bible, sacraments, prayer and discipline, by which the Holy Spirit is made effectual to believers. Shaw further expands this notion by saying that the Holy Spirit aids the believer and that, without this aid, sanctification would not be possible. Sproul believes that, because the Spirit provides the necessary tools for spiritual development, success will be inevitable. This would link the work of the Spirit with the ministry of the Church, something that is an argument of this thesis. It could, however, be interpreted as exclusively the effort of the believer, not the dependence on the Spirit, to make spiritual progress. This connects with Wimber’s theology of spiritual development.

Garnet Howard Milne has challenged the traditional interpretation that the WCF teaches cessationism. He agrees with the other commentators that the context is the sufficiency of Scripture but argues that some of the

574 Smith, Foundations of the Faith, p.130, 135-6.
576 A.A. Hodge, The Confession of Faith, p.240. Hodge also believes that the sacraments play a sanctifying function in the spiritual development of the believer.
Westminster Divines were 'continuationists.' He contends that the WCF does not provide a definite answer on the question of whether the 'former ways' have ceased forever. He proposes two contextual areas: first, 'divinely inspired dreams'; and, second, prophecy, which he situates within the context of the Bible. Through preaching 'genuine divine prophecy' can be exercised and 'insight into the future' may be discerned for those who live by the Bible. His conclusion is that the framers of the WCF composed it so that it would not restrict believers to one view, but different viewpoints could coexist with toleration for each other. He is not alone in this assertion, as Dean R. Smith highlights how some Scottish Presbyterians and Covenanters exercised the gift of prophecy and healing. Willem Berends surveys key Reformation and Puritan figures and concludes that, while they believed in the sufficiency of Scripture, they would not rule out the possibility of prophetic revelation. Milne does allow for some extra-biblical revelation but it is tied to the Bible; namely, knowing what verse to read in a specific situation without preparation, and may not necessarily reflect contemporary Charismatic practice. It does, however, allow a confessional church to remain open to further spiritual experience.

Reformed and Charismatic Literature

Cornelius van der Kooi notes that there appears to be a ‘rapprochement’ in relationships between the Reformed, Charismatic and Pentecostal traditions regarding the teaching of the doctrine of the Holy Spirit. This begins to paint the picture of what began, historically, with Edward Irving, who bridges the divide between Reformed theology and charismatic experience, although it predates both the Pentecostal and Charismatic Movements. Irving experienced charismatic phenomena in his London congregation, the Caledonian Chapel, Hatton Garden. He has been claimed as a reformer who focused the Church upon the person of the Holy Spirit. While his influence is not as commonly known as the Pentecostal Pioneers, or those involved in Charismatic Renewal, he represents the fountainhead of Charismatic and Reformed theology.

Under the ministry of the Presbyterian Charismatic Communion, Brick Bradford wrote on the area of the power of the Holy Spirit. Bradford suggests a synthesis of the Reformed tradition, emphasising the presence of the Spirit; and the Pentecostal tradition, emphasising the power of the Spirit. This, he suggests, is a balanced view. He suggests ten areas in which
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589 B. Bradford, Releasing the Power of the Holy Spirit, (Oklahoma City, OK: Presbyterian Charismatic Communion, 1983). This is now known as Presbyterian Reformed Ministries International.

Charismatic Renewal has affected believers for the better. Bradford represents the melding of the Reformed and the Charismatic, yet retains the specific denominational distinctives that make the Reformed faith what it is.

‘Empowered Evangelicals’ is a designation used for Evangelicals who are open to the Holy Spirit but who fall short of being defined as Charismatic. The title comes from Nathan and Wilson’s book which seeks to bring together the worlds of Charismatic and Evangelical. They comment there are many non-Charismatic, Conservative Evangelicals, who are adopting Pentecostal practices such as healing, deliverance and receiving prophetic words, yet maintain that the Baptism in the Holy Spirit happens at conversion. Banister tells the story of how his Evangelical Free Church began to open itself to Charismatic Renewal through a slow personal renewal of the minister. The book argues that there is openness to charismatic manifestation from Puritanism right through the Great Awakenings of Wesley, Whitefield and Edwards to Lloyd-Jones. This dovetails with the work of Milne on the WCF and charismatic phenomena. It is possible to look at history and read our own beliefs into the theology, so care is needed not to look for justification for our positions at the expense of what is said.

There are two books that specifically deal with the integration of Charismatic and Reformed theology. The first is by Storms, who draws on the theology of Ligonier Ministries (Orlando) and Vineyard Ministries (Anaheim) and synthesises them in a Reformed and Charismatic methodology. Storms
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592 Nathan and Wilson, *Empowered Evangelicals*.

593 Nathan and Wilson, *Empowered Evangelicals*, p.11.

594 Banister, *The Word and Power Church*.

595 Storms, *Convergence*. 
claims that his theological change did not happen because of experience, but by a reflection upon the teaching of the Bible, which led to a connection with the Vineyard through his seminary friend, Jack Deere. This book provides a specifically Reformed outlook on charismatic phenomena and is a tightly argued thesis for the integration of the two. What is significant about Storm’s theory is that he emphasises the need for each, stressing how they complement each other.

The other book is written by Robert Fyall, who argues that a charismatic heart heightens the expectation from preaching and anticipates hearing God speak through expository ministry. Fyall considers the issue of spiritual gifts in evangelism, which provides an expectation that God will meet the needs with which the Church is confronted, while never being dominated by a search for miracles. What is noticeable is Fyall’s comments on how numerous Reformed leaders have spoken positively of charismatic issues, but he does not cite any examples of Charismatics extolling the Reformed tradition.

Henry Lunshof’s dissertation argues that there are certain Charismatic elements that Reformed Churches need to adopt and that Charismatic churches would benefit from a Reformed influence. Lunshof sees the Charismatic emphasis bringing new life to traditional churches which will evoke growth and relevancy. Whether it will accomplish this is unclear, but Lunshof understands that the two streams complement each other.
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596 Fyall, Charismatic and Reformed. His suggestions are for Charismatic and Reformed believers to pray together. This will lead to a greater degree of freedom in Reformed worship that draws upon the Charismatic emphasis of transformed living being married with the Reformed emphasis of sound doctrine.

Brad Long, Paul Stokes and Cindy Strickler have published a contextual book on how the Church can grow with the aid of the Spirit. This book considers examples of how churches have adopted a synergistic approach to Charismatic theology. What is of significance to this thesis is its Reformed denominational background; that they represent various streams of Presbyterian. It shows that there are elements of Charismatic practice that can be implemented in the praxis of a Reformed congregation. The emphasis on humanity’s response to the work of the Holy Spirit is significant. They suggest that this is the only way in which charismatic principles can be introduced. This represents that important theme that what we do is as important as what we believe, something we considered earlier. It also reminds Reformed Christians that there is a part to play, which may challenge Gaffin’s *ordo salutis*.

John Piper has written and preached on this subject with the aim of demonstrating that miracles, signs and wonders are for the Church today. He aims to prove that cessationism is not in sympathy with the teaching of the New Testament, out of his: ‘God-centered, Bible-based, Calvinistic commitment to the sovereignty of God and the supremacy of his revealed Word’. Piper’s theology is practical, as it is worked out in the context of his local congregation. He represents the marriage between Reformed theology and Charismatic openness for which this dissertation argues. If the criticism of Wimber’s writings stand, that it is moulded by experience, then Piper presents a Bible to experience argument.
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While not directly concerned about the connection between Reformed and Charismatic theology, Martyn Lloyd-Jones’ treatment of the Baptism and Gifts of the Holy Spirit has a significant influence on the discussion. Lloyd-Jones argued that the ‘sealing of the Spirit’ was an experience after salvation, whether separable or subsequent is unclear. Lloyd-Jones’ influence on Reformed theology and Churches is significant, but his theology is a homiletical one; therefore, his references are not cited to follow up. He does, however, remind us that a Reformed congregation and pastor were considering these issues during the Charismatic Renewal.

Coming out of these discussions are two spiritual autobiographical works from Jack Deere concerning his change from Cessationist to Charismatic. Much of the argument is based on exegesis of key biblical texts from Deere’s background as an Old Testament seminary professor. Deere states that God works miracles because he is asked to; to teach us, to bring people to salvation, to manifest the kingdom, and for his own sovereign purposes. Deere could be understood as the Vineyard theologian and he restates much of Wimber’s teaching. Yet, his books elevate experience to a point where the theology appears to be used to support it. This means that a critical evaluation of the experience is needed also.

Wayne Grudem has done research in drawing together Reformed, Evangelical and Charismatic theologies on the Holy Spirit. His first work on
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603 These sermons were preached in Westminster Chapel, Buckingham Gate, London, in 1964-1965.


the gift of prophecy outlines the difference between prophecy and preaching.\textsuperscript{606} He understands that prophecy was to give specific, localised information, not general guidance.\textsuperscript{607} This would mean that such charismatic manifestations would not guide denominations or interpret prophecy, but direct a local congregation. In his mind there would be no conflict with Reformed theology as the great theological axioms would not be challenged. Grudem also brought together a symposium to consider the issue of miraculous gifts.\textsuperscript{608} While none of the participants are recorded as having changed their theological positions because of the authenticity of the other arguments, it helped to dispel some of the myths concerning the positions of those holding an alternative view.

There has also developed a greater willingness, amongst writers from different traditions, to engage with the subject of Pnuematology, examples of which are Daniel B. Wallace and M. James Sawyer.\textsuperscript{609} While definitely Cessationist, this work tries to examine the reaction which has taken place in Cessationist theology to things Charismatic. Essays, from writers such as J.I. Packer, on how the Holy Spirit leads believers and to what end, namely, character transformation;\textsuperscript{610} Jeff Louie on how the Spirit controls the local Church, which is seen through the power of prayer;\textsuperscript{611} and Donald K. Smith on the Holy Spirit and missions that work to bring people to an understanding of


\textsuperscript{607} Grudem, \textit{The Gift of Prophecy}, p.245.

\textsuperscript{608} Grudem, \textit{Are Miraculous Gifts for Today?}, (Leicester: InterVarsity Press, 1996). The four positions are: cessationist, R.B. Gaffin Jr; open but cautious, R.L. Saucy; third wave, S. Storms; and Pentecostal/Charismatic, D.A. Oss.


the truth, are included.\textsuperscript{612} This speaks of the fact that pneumatology is not the exclusive domain of Charismatics, nor does having reservations about certain Charismatic practice mean a dismissal of any notion of the Spirit’s power. Also in the genre of offering a middle way between Charismatic and Reformed, are David Pawson\textsuperscript{613} and Don Williams.\textsuperscript{614} Both writers come from an Evangelical background and have come to espouse a Charismatic theology. Pawson considers the points of commonality and Williams considers the outworking of kingdom theology from a Presbyterian viewpoint.

Contemporary Ecclesiology

There has been considerable interest in ecclesiology in recent years. David Clark has considered the role of Church in a culture that can no longer be defined as Christendom. His argument is that community exists at the heart of Church and is expressed through a diaconal or servant ministry that is released, as leadership equips the laity to perform it.\textsuperscript{615} Part of this thesis considers the role every member has to play in a ministry model, so this book will further aid the discussion. Steve Chalke and Anthony Watkins, who consider how the Church can incarnate the love of God in today’s culture, further explore the issue of community. They suggest eleven models communicating to different groups.\textsuperscript{616} The issue of community is also considered by Steve Taylor who suggests a model of Church that reaches out beyond a simple message of loving God to loving God’s people also.\textsuperscript{617} In considering the place of community in a Reformed and Charismatic model,

\begin{footnotes}
\item[613] Pawson, \textit{Word and Spirit Together}.
\item[615] D. Clark, \textit{Breaking the Mould of Christendom: Kingdom Community, Diaconal Church and the Liberation of the Laity}, (Peterborough: Epworth, 2005).
\end{footnotes}
Taylor’s emphasis on relationships is of value. Tim Chester and Steve Timmis also consider similar themes. Their argument is that the Church needs to centre around the twin themes of gospel and community because once a response to the gospel has been elicited, then commitment to the community is implied. The argument of this thesis is that the local Church is central to the gospel ministry of proclamation, discipling and releasing into ministry, which is a similar theme to *Total Church*.

Some works specifically consider charismatic issues regarding the Church. Percy has considered the issue of power in the Charismatic churches. His emphasis appears to be how Churches, which express a strong commitment to verbal inspiration, form their ministry and theological ethos. His conclusion is that there is not one form of Charismatic church but many different expressions of that spirituality. This will help my research as it challenges whether charismatic experience is dependent upon Arminian theology, so perhaps Reformed theology can coexist with it. Stephen Hunt has written on Charismatic evangelism from a sociological perspective and, specifically, the Alpha course. While not directly related to the theological issue in the Charismatic Movement, Hunt considers how Alpha has repackaged Evangelical Christianity in a time when its popularity appears to be waning. This work gives an interesting position regarding Charismatic theology and how it continues to evangelise contemporary Britain.

There have also been a number of popular books written on the issues of how the Church has exercised its ministry, biblically and effectively. Rick Warren’s *Purpose Driven Church* has received wide attention and this thesis
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builds upon the life development process for its outline.\textsuperscript{621} Mark Dever, writing from a reformed Baptist position, has responded to the Warren type of ‘how to’ literature by highlighting nine marks of what he considers to be a healthy Church.\textsuperscript{622} In many of these marks he is arguing for a historical methodology and these proven methods for contemporary effectiveness. This is further expanded in his book with Paul Alexander, which considers how the church reaches out, worships God and disciples believers, and then releases members into leadership.\textsuperscript{623} I have also relied on this book to help with the outline of the chapters of this thesis. These provide insights into the working of large, North American churches, which may not have the same application to a British setting. Their denominational pedigree is different; however, the impact, particularly of Warren’s work has been wide.

Two books written by Michael Horton, from a specifically Reformed position, are \textit{Where in the World is the Church?} and \textit{People and Place}.\textsuperscript{624} The first argues for an integration of Christians into every area of society, and the second considers the issue of belonging to the Church on the basis of the covenant signs of baptism and Eucharist. Graham Tomlin also argues for an integration of every member’s gifts into the work of the Church, which moves conversion beyond the initial experience and into discipleship.\textsuperscript{625} These themes are significant to the argument of this thesis as they are foundational elements in the Reformed understanding of Church.


\textsuperscript{625} G. Tomlin, \textit{The Provocative Church}.  

141
One emphasis, which has had significant influence on the thinking of this dissertation, is the 'Deep Church' theology. This series of essays, edited by Andrew Walker and Luke Bretherton, give an insight into the contemporary movement. Deep Church has a bearing on this thesis because, as Walker comments, the Charismatic Renewal of the 1960s and 1970s 'captured something of the character of deep church.' These works challenge the culture of Evangelicalism today, which emphasises numerical growth at the expense of spiritual growth, and offer an alternative to narrow reformed orthodoxy and emotional charismatic experience. Stackhouse seeks to challenge what he calls the 'faddism' of the Charismatic culture in Britain, which always looks for the 'next big thing' to answer all the needs of the Church, without considering historical models of spirituality. He also challenges the Church Growth Movement which understands ecclesiastical health as quantity not quality, and worship that is anthropocentric rather than divine focused. Stackhouse challenges the Charismatic Renewal from the inside and raises issues of concern over the quality of preaching, sacramental reductionism, the morality of those who profess to be Spirit filled, the daily routine of prayerfulness, and the importance of valuing the story and needs of individual Christians. This understands the Church itself as a sacrament and the centrality of the Church for the Christian life. This book does for the Charismatic Movement what Banister and Storms seek to do for the Evangelical and Reformed Movements. Jim Belcher also writes on Deep Church issues; his aim is to provide a middle way between the Emergent
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626 This phrase was coined by C.S. Lewis in a 1952 letter to The Times which urged the Catholic and Evangelical wings of the Church of England to unite in order to stand against modernity and to rediscover the historical roots of the Church. R. Schneider, ‘C.S. Lewis, Church Unity, and the Dynamics of the Hallway’,<http://people.bridgewater.edu/~rschneid/Archive/LewisChurchUnityDynamicsOfTheHallway.pdf> [accessed on 5/7/2010].


629 Stackhouse, The Gospel Driven Church.
Churches and the traditional expressions of Church that connect with a spiritual tradition. This emphasis of taking a middle line has affected the argument of this thesis because it suggests a middle line between Charismatic and Reformed, which stresses historical spirituality and challenges the excesses of the contemporary Charismatic Movement.

**Partial Literary Conclusion**

This review of the salient literature reveals that there is academic interest in the connection between Reformed and Charismatic theology, specifically dealing with Churches that emphasise adherence to the Westminster Confession of Faith, through Milne, Berends and Smith. There does not appear to have been any connection suggested between Wimber and Reformed theology, although he has been critiqued from a Reformed perspective. It has also revealed that the dominant position of cessationism within Reformed theology appears to have a significant confessional and contemporary challenge. This chapter has raised the question of the interaction between Reformed and Charismatic belief and offers hope that there may be merit in a potential harmonisation of the two streams. Specifically, it has proposed a consideration of Wimber's contribution to the debate and a possible synthesis between his theology and Confessional Presbyterianism.
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Chapter 3: How the Study will Work

Introduction

In this chapter I want to explain the source and diversity of my data, discuss the methodology I have chosen, and clarify the type of questions this thesis can and cannot address. This work will, primarily, be a study in practical theology, relating to the mission of the local Church, within the context of a Reformed denomination in the British Isles. This research fits into the discipline of practical theology because, according to Paul Ballard and John Pritchard, it deals with the life and practice within the Church, which takes the living context seriously as the foundation for the issues this thesis hopes to discuss. It also has the practical goal of addressing the ‘witness and struggle for the Kingdom of justice and peace.’ John Swinton and Harriet Mowat also highlight the practical element of such research by defining practical theology as that which seeks to encourage the Christian community to remain faithful to the ‘performed gospel.’ This lies at the heart of this research because the subject deals with how a Reformed congregation can practise their gospel ministry in the world.

This thesis may not have as wide a context as an abstract piece of writing; however, part of practical theology is ‘faithful accurate description of the way things are.’ Robert J. Schrieter brings out the idea that theology is not abstract, but rooted in its context, so that ‘knowledge of the context is part of the theology itself.’ While the way things are, or the context, cannot necessarily be defined as the way they ought to be, they are, nonetheless,
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what forms the background of the topic under consideration. For these reasons, this thesis can be placed within the theological discipline of practical theology.

I have chosen to follow the principles of the Qualitative Constructivist/Interpretivist Format as outlined by John W. Creswell. This format asserts that human communities construct how they understand truth. This fits well with the research as I am looking at what happens in the practice of Reformed congregational life. I am considering the definition of Eduard Schweizer that the Church is something which really ‘takes place’ when people come together for worship. I am going to consider some practical issues and how they relate to the practice of the Confessional Reformed Churches and, specifically, the Presbyterian tradition. Dealing with any congregation or denomination means considering the beliefs and practices of a great number of individuals formed by many experiences; and, as such, practical theology aids this thesis by considering human experience and challenging these experiences to enable a better practice of gospel ministry. Richard Osmer notes two tasks of practical theology that could have a bearing on this research. One of these is the Normative Task, which seeks to ‘open out to forms of theological and ethical reflection.’ This thesis seeks to do this regarding Charismatic and Reformed theology. The other is the Pragmatic Task, which seeks to formulate strategies of action to influence events in a
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638 Swinton and Mowat, *Practical Theology and Qualitative Research*, pp.5-6. C. Savage and W. Presnell note that we are a product of our stories affecting our self-understanding of who we are, what we think and how we act. C. Savage and W. Presnell, *Narrative Research in Ministry: A Postmodern Research Approach for Faith Communities*, (Louisville, KY: Wayne E. Oates Institute, 2008), p.25.
I seek to do this by offering a mode of implementing Charismatic practice in a Reformed context. In this context of the gathered Church, I propose to introduce a conversation between the teachings of John Wimber and the theology of the Reformed faith on the practical areas of evangelism, discipleship and ministry operation.

This subject was raised in my mind when I read a statement by William W. Kay:

. . . it was clear that Presbyterian institutions in Northern Ireland and Scotland and high Anglicans have been least receptive to Pentecostal and Charismatic trends. Kay makes the statement within the context of worship styles, and the compassion between Anglicanism and Presbyterianism raises a number of concerns. First, rationale for the worship styles of high Anglicanism and Presbyterianism are radically different. Second, I knew from personal experience the widespread practice within Irish Presbyterianism of worship bands, ranging from those congregations who would have a Charismatic leaning to those who were cessationist. No reference was given to the Irish Presbyterian Hymnbook, published in 2004, which included a wide variety of
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639 R.R. Osmer, *Practical Theology: An Introduction*, (Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans, 2008), p. 139 and 175-176. He states that this has specific application for mainline churches that seek to rework their identity and mission beyond their time of influence and power, culturally.


641 From the Charismatic side there is West Church, Bangor and Orangefield Presbyterian Church, from the cessationist side there is Waringstown Presbyterian Church and First Portadown Presbyterian Church. David Bailie, Minister of West Church, writes of their experience: ‘And a later experience of the Holy Spirit in West Church underlined the dynamic given to people through an infilling with the Spirit, different people receiving different gifts according to the Spirit’s anointing and empowering.’ D. Baillie, ‘50 Years of Ministry,’ <http://www.westchurchbangor.org.uk/magazine/articles/davidbailie.php>, [accessed on 14/5/2010].
praise including some from the Charismatic perspective.\textsuperscript{642} The fact is that there is a wide spectrum of worship styles within congregations and the singing of historical Protestant hymns, metrical versions of the Psalms, paraphrases of the Bible and modern praise will often be experienced within congregational worship. McIntyre notes there has been a degree of ‘success’ in this area within the Church of Scotland.\textsuperscript{643} Third, Kay gives no discussion why Presbyterianism, in particular, has a strong rationale for their worship style; namely, the regulative principle. Expediency or pragmatism or even relevancy, are not issues that formulate Presbyterian worship, but how the Bible directs us to worship.\textsuperscript{644} Fourth, would a discussion regarding the impact of Pentecostal/Charismatic worship styles, which takes no account of spiritual gifts or signs and wonders, limit any discussion of their merit about Irish Presbyterianism and reduce Pentecostal and Charismatic influence to a musical preference and style? Fifth, there is no reference to the historical practice in early Irish Pentecostalism of Psalm singing.\textsuperscript{645} Cartledge believes that Charismatic influence does travel amongst denominations and, while the contextual form is different, it is, nonetheless, there.\textsuperscript{646} Sixth, there is historical evidence that the Charismatic Renewal in Ireland began within Presbyterianism when, in 1967, Rev. Tom Smail was invited to Ballysillan congregation by the minister, Rev. John Wynne, who had become aware of

\textsuperscript{642} The Irish Presbyterian Hymnbook, Trustees of the Presbyterian Church in Ireland, (Norwich: Canterbury Press, 2004).

\textsuperscript{643} McIntyre, The Shape of Pneumatology, p.vii.


\textsuperscript{646} Cartledge, Encountering the Spirit, p.56.
the developing Charismatic Renewal worldwide. Smail spoke in both Ballysillan and West Church, Bangor, laying hands on Rev. David Baillie, who would become a key voice in the Irish Renewal Movement. This gave birth to the Charismatic Fellowship including some 100 ministers. This being said, I wanted to ask whether Irish Presbyterianism is as unreceptive to Pentecostal and Charismatic practice as Kay believes, and to address whether Charismatic principles are generally incompatible with Presbyterianism, specifically through a conversation with John Wimber.

The Research Questions

The object of this study will be to assess whether the theology and practice of John Wimber can be applied to a Reformed context, specifically Presbyterianism, allowing respect for its traditions while it challenges its present mission. Studying the theology of John Wimber is itself practical theology because, as Eddie Gibbs points out, his theology did not come out of detached study but out of ‘the challenges of local Church ministry.’ This question, therefore, reaffirms this thesis’ place within practical theology, as it is addressing the practice of Reformed Churches and raises some challenges to

647 Smail writes that he appeared to be an unlikely candidate for Charismatic Renewal, in both ecclesiastical tradition and temperament. His emphasis was preaching and correct theology, rather than exuberant praise of renewal. This resulted in, what he calls, a ‘gentle but persistent wooring’ over a ten year period. T. Smail, ‘A Renewal Recalled,’ in Charismatic Renewal, ed. T. Smail, A. Walker and N. Wright, (London: SPCK, 1995), p. 8.

648 A. Gibson, The Charismatic Movement in Northern Ireland against the background of the Troubles, the Charismatic Movement World-wide and a Selection of Movements of Enthusiasm throughout the History of the Church, Dissertation submitted in partial fulfilment of the degree of Master of Theology to the Institute of Theology, Queen’s University Belfast, (Belfast: Union Theological College, 1987), pp.67-68. The group disbanded in 1969 with the advent of the Troubles and the increased difficulty in travel. The meetings were often interrupted by terrorist activity. See also T. Flynn, The Charismatic Renewal and the Irish Experience, (London: Hodder and Stoughton, 1974). This mainly covers the Catholic Renewal.

649 E. Gibbs, ‘John Wimber; A Friend who Causes me to Wonder,’ in Living in the Light of Pentecost: A Selection from Renewal Magazine, 1966-1990, (Bury St. Edmunds: Highland Books, 1990), p.137. This has been considered when I discussed the literature of Wimber in Chapter 2. It may be a misnomer to assume that theology is in any way detached from context. The discussion on the Confessional Literature in Chapter 2 defines the context which influenced the theological foci of the WCF.
this practice and how it may be improved. This harmonises with the central discussion of practical theology, according to Ray Anderson, which is the relation of theory to practice. This is something which missional thinking has been considering. It is also built upon a principle birthed from the evangelical revivals of the eighteenth century, and the missionary movements of the nineteenth century, in which Protestant missions operated in networks that crossed denominational lines. Susan Hope notes that every Christian stream takes a degree of their history and tradition with them. What is being challenged is whether the pre-packaged form of our religious traditions are what is needed for missionary activity today. Instead, she suggests there needs to be a ‘listening’ to the Holy Spirit so what is really needed is revealed. These notions underpin the scope of this thesis, which seeks to look beyond the theological package of Reformed teaching, to consider Wimber’s suggestions.

Practical theology is being used in this thesis to perform a hermeneutical task in reflecting on how the Reformed Church performs its witness and worship; considering the relationship between what it believes and what it does in a number of contextual applications, to suggest an improved future practice. The thesis has a practical focus on ‘the cultivation of ecclesiastical religious life’ as described by Gerald Birney Smith. This means that I am asking questions about how theology is put into practice within a local congregation. This is significant as Wimber himself notes that

654 Swinton and Mowat, *Practical Theology and Qualitative Research*, pp.11-12.
the Church often ‘seems to soak up the spirit of the culture.’ Essentially, in dealing with ecclesiology it is dealing with practice. This is a form of qualitative research that seeks to understand ‘the knowledge of the other’ through studying how Reformed theology and a Presbyterian congregation relates to the world. This is followed up by practical applications regarding evangelism, discipleship and ministry, and asking five further questions.

1. Can I as a Presbyterian minister honour my theology and traditions while developing a Charismatic ministry model?

2. Can the congregation of a Presbyterian Church minister to the needs of human beings in the twenty-first century by implementing the ministry suggestions of John Wimber?

3. Is there a wider challenge to Irish Presbyterianism and the Reformed Churches, generally, to discover their relationship with Charismatic theology and practice?

4. Can the PCI experience some of the popularity which the Charismatic streams appear to have seen in Ireland through re-evaluating Charismatic theology and practice?

5. Will Reformed leaders, with a positive appreciation for Charismatic practice, be better equipped to lead Reformed Churches in the twenty-first century?

These questions follow the pattern suggested by Browning, which considers how our present situation is understood; what ought to be the practice in this situation; how that practice may be defended; and what ought to be used in that practice. My discussion is, therefore, seeking to give a critical evaluation of the theology of John Wimber, for possible application to the life and witness of Presbyterian Congregations. The central praxis of this is

---


657 Swinton and Mowat, *Practical Theology and Qualitative Research*, p.33.

‘action-reflection’, as Jeanne Stevenson-Moessner writes.\(^{659}\) Essentially, this thesis is a work of critical correlation engaging in a conversation between the Reformed and Charismatic streams of the Church.\(^{660}\) As such, the thesis follows a praxis model that not only considers the actions of Reformed Churches, but also the assumptions of these Churches that guide their practice.\(^{661}\) I ask these questions, drawing on Barry W. Hamilton, about ‘ministry-in-its-setting’, the end of which is to enable readers to experience the type of ministry that I am suggesting.\(^{662}\) This is action research that seeks to promote a course of action which the research suggests those within the Reformed Churches may consider worth following. This will be a missional action, as outlined by Allan J. Roxburgh and M. Scott Boren, through experimentation and testing of the ideas of Charismatic and Reformed theology to imagine a new model of Church.\(^{663}\) This aim may be impossible to achieve, but the art of the conversation is an end in itself as the two streams begin to discover their collaborative journey on the spiritual path.

This thesis cannot answer the historical and theological differences between Charismatics and Reformed Cessationists. This dissertation does not attempt to resolve these theological issues. Much discussion has already taken place in this area, without reaching any decisive conclusion. It is beyond the scope of a dissertation that focuses on practical theology to engage in the

---

\(^{659}\) J. Stevenson-Moessner, *Prelude to Practical Theology*, p.59. Stevenson-Moessner distinguishes this from praxis which she believes only relates to issues of action in regard to injustice.

\(^{660}\) Ballard and Pritchard, *Practical Theology in Action*, p.64.

\(^{661}\) Ballard and Pritchard, *Practical Theology in Action*, p.70.


biblical or systematic debate. I will, therefore, suspend judgement on the biblical texts and their interpretation. What underpins the limitations of this thesis are the same limitations that come from following only one school of theological interpretation that, according to symphonic theology, as shall be seen later, cannot ever give a complete analysis of truth. It seeks, however, to adopt some of the principles of symphonic theology by looking to a different stream. Nor can this thesis address the miracles claimed to be experienced by those whom Wimber ministered to, as it is not in the field of either medicine or psychology. This thesis also seeks to make a contribution to the threefold aim of the Report on the Dialogue between the WARC and Classical Pentecostals which suggested that each consider the other’s theological positions, issues of commonality and disagreement, and possibilities for common witness. While not offering a definitive response to these questions, it may be possible to propose a commonality between Reformed Churches and the Vineyard, as reflection happens on the contribution of Wimber.

The nature of Wimber’s writings may be an issue of concern in that he was writing to a wider audience than academia, although he was a seminary academic. It is also worth noting that not all accept the academic nature of Wimber and his course, MC 510 ‘Signs and Wonders and Church Growth’, which was ultimately restructured by Fuller Theological Seminary School of


665 Smith, Practical Theology, p.71.

666 Poythress, Symphonic Theology, p.82.

667 ‘Word and Spirit, Church and World.’

668 Coggins and Hiebert, Wonders and the Word, p.10.
World Mission in 1987, without Wimber as a teacher. While this may be an issue, it underpins the essence of practical theology that is grounded in the practice of the Church and, as such, must engage beyond the boundaries of a purely academic audience. The research of Keith Warrington and Stephen Hunt has set the writings of John Wimber within the context of academic study and, so, this may be a moot point. Packer also comments on the academic criteria of Wimber:

He was in truth a clear headed, well focused, thrustful thinker, with his own quota of theories about evangelism, church growth and church life: so that calling him an intellectual is not really wide of the mark.

This places Wimber within an academic framework and gives academic merit to any discussion of him. It is, therefore, possible to value him as a theologian, as noted in Chapter 2. Having limited the thesis to considering how Wimber’s theology may be applied to a Reformed congregation, the question will be contextual and, if proved theologically and practically, may further discussion regarding the interaction between Reformed and Charismatic theology.

### Action and Qualitative Research Strategy

I have chosen to follow the principles of an ‘action-based’ research which, according to Louis Cohen, Lawrence Manion and Keith Morrison, is an aid to ‘understand, improve and reform practice.’ This research aims, therefore, to aid understanding of Reformed history, theology and practice, and seeks to improve it by implementing the suggestions of John Wimber and thus further reforming Reformed practice. Anderson sees this as harmonising with the

---


principles of practical theology, so I am suggesting an extension of systematic theology into the life and praxis of the Church. Cohen, Manion and Morrison further suggest a number of characteristics of action research which provide validity for my research. Action research seeks improvement and learning from the results of that change. I am seeking to improve, by internal involvement as a Presbyterian cleric in a Reformed congregation, ministry and practice. Action research is ‘self reflective’ in that those who are responsible for action seek means by which the action may be improved. In asking the research question, I am asking about the effectiveness of my own ministry. Action research has a wider application to the community on which the reflection is focused, and aims to ‘enlighten’ that community and to free them from accepted institutional methods. Throughout this research there will be some questions asked concerning the validity of current practice within a Reformed congregation and denominationally. Action research seeks to work through small changes which can effect significant change. This is why my research concerns investigation into local parish ministry: to see if these principles may be effective in a denominational context.

As the main emphasis of the method is action research, I have also chosen to use a qualitative method because elements of this study require studying the relationship of one set of facts to another, as Judith Bell notes

---

673 Anderson, *The Shape of Practical Theology*, p.23. It would appear that Anderson sees systematic theology as the discipline which considers the foundation of the Church’s beliefs, as outlined in the systematic theology texts, whereas practical theology looks at how these beliefs affect practice. Some believe that all theology must be practical, such as R. Lovin, who argues that there is a need to integrate the understanding of faith in contemporary society. He notes: ‘Contemporary practical theology is thus more than the “application” of theological concepts to one social situation or another. Theology is fundamentally transformed by the conditions under which the people of faith must live and by the choices through which they participate in shaping the future. We simply do not know what the doctrines of atonement, incarnation and redemption mean until we understand what they mean for persons shaped by this historical milieu. All theology must be practical theology.’ R. Lovin, ‘The Real Task of Practical Theology,’ The Christian Century, (February 5-12, 1992), <http://www.religion-online.org/showarticle.asp?title=52> [accessed on 13/3/2012]. Anderson may simply be arguing for good theology.

about qualitative research. In this research I seek to place Reformed doctrine and practice alongside the theology of John Wimber to see if they are compatible. This form of approach explores, as John W. Creswell points out, a means of grasping why individuals attach meaning to a given social or human issue, building from particular to general principles. This also relates to the second layer of the three layers of ecological analysis, as defined by Nancy L. Eisland and R. Stephen Warner, which studies the ‘meaning, value, and practices’ that are shared by a given church. Swinton and Mowat state that within this culture, or ‘social world’, individuals interpret their world to seek meaning. Thus, the focus of our study is the context within which an individual, namely a Reformed congregation, seeks to understand its experiences. The issue, which I am contemplating, is how ministry is currently exercised in evangelism, discipleship and ministry training.

Role of the Researcher and Qualitative Research

I propose to compare the approach, taken by Wimber, to the prevailing practice within Presbyterianism, which may not be formulated explicitly from an abstract theology but, more often than not, is a contextual response to culture, history, Evangelical expectation and tradition. Swinton and Mowat understand that such beliefs are part of the consideration of practical theology, as practices carry tradition and history, that has developed within communities over periods of time. In this light, I want to suggest an equally

---


676 Creswell, Research Design, p.4.


678 Swinton and Mowat, Practical Theology and Qualitative Research, pp.29-30.

679 Swinton and Mowat, Practical Theology and Qualitative Research, p.20.
valid pattern that is in sympathy with the aims and objectives of the Reformed faith and manifests the power of God in the world today.

To consider this question, I understand that I am personally involved in the area which I am to study. Yet, because I have chosen an action research model, I will seek to draw on my own experience within Christian ministry amongst the Reformed Churches to make an informed judgement applicable to a wider audience within the Reformed Churches.\textsuperscript{680} Further, having taken a qualitative and constructivist method, the researcher is not dispassionate, but is ‘an active participant and co-creator of the interpretative experience.’\textsuperscript{681} This will primarily use, as source material, theological and spiritual writings from the Reformed and Charismatic communities as the basis of the discussion.\textsuperscript{682} As a Presbyterian minister involved in parish ministry with an appreciation for Charismatic theology, there is a degree of personal involvement.

There may be an issue of objectivity, however, as the essence of action research means there is a need for personal involvement and investment in the outcome of the research. Schrieter believes that those involved in the context, leaders, congregation, and professionally and theologically trained clergy, are best suited to this kind of study.\textsuperscript{683} Hamilton comments, regarding

\begin{itemize}
\item \textsuperscript{680} Cohen, Manion and Morrison, \textit{Research Methods in Education}, p.231. Savage and Presnell state that any theological statements cannot be ensured through this kind of research, but only a thorough understanding of our stories, making us a voice in a ‘family of intersecting stories unfolding in the narrative study of the ministry context.’ \textit{Narrative Research in Ministry}, p.53. I am not convinced of the validity of this assertion and hope that this research will have a wider theological implication than what this statement asserts.

\item \textsuperscript{681} Swinton and Mowat, \textit{Practical Theology and Qualitative Research}, p.35. They further comment: ‘What qualitative research is as a mode of knowledge formation cannot be understood apart from the person of the researcher who carries it out.’ p.48.

\item \textsuperscript{682} Savage and Presnell note that studying stories intends a future recognition of what has happened and the possibility of what is to come and, as such, narrative is aimed at a tradition. \textit{Narrative Research in Ministry}, p.43. This thesis considers the past of Reformed tradition and suggests a future within a Charismatic context.

\item \textsuperscript{683} Schreiter, ‘Theology in the Congregation’, p.29.
\end{itemize}
action research for D.Min. projects, that the researcher is the 'window' through which the research is accomplished, as reflection is made upon his 'vocational' journey.\textsuperscript{684} This is a distinction between D.Min. and Ph.D. research; namely, the addressing of a practical issue and eliciting a practical conclusion within an academic context. In this sense the dissertation sets out to solve a practical issue; its contribution to knowledge lies in assessing the value and application of praxis, and the theology that lies behind it in one practical area (namely, Wimber's approach), for a different practical ministry context.\textsuperscript{685} This may not be exactly accurate as the discussion itself does highlight a theological issue that will enlarge knowledge. This is also why qualitative research is best suited to this study because, as Bell notes, practitioners who see the need for a change or improvement carry it out.\textsuperscript{686} It is easy for someone outside the context to provide a polemic on the need for improvement within a structure; however, reforming practice must come from those with an investment in the change.

Creswell notes that this research falls within the definition of a 'social constructivist' worldview, in which individuals seek to understand the world in which they live, with its complexity of views that are moulded by their history and culture and, as such, the researchers position themselves within the research.\textsuperscript{687} This applies to my research area in that Presbyterianism is a product of its own history and its culture defines it today, and the same forces affect my own views and ideas. The thesis, however, espouses more than a social constructivist worldview, it tends towards a social reconstruction position, in which theoretical/technical research is reflected against custom

\begin{flushleft}
\footnotesize
\textsuperscript{684} B.W. Hamilton, 'Introduction to Action Research,' <http://acc.roberts.edu/NEmployees/Hamilton_Barry/INTRODUCTION.htm> [accessed on 3.5.2010].


\textsuperscript{686} Bell, Doing Your Research Project, p.8.

\textsuperscript{687} Creswell, Research Design, p.8.
\end{flushleft}
and tradition, to offer a shared practice enabling reconstruction of tradition.\footnote{Browning, \textit{A Fundamental Practical Theology}, p.4.}

This thesis seeks to challenge certain Presbyterian traditions and to reconstruct a new method of evangelism, discipleship and ministry models.

As the research considers a number of characteristics of a given population, there will be a descriptive element to it. However, those characteristics will be subject also to critical analysis and evaluation in the light of suggested alternatives.\footnote{Vyhmeister, ‘Theology in the Congregation’, p.151.} Therefore, there is a need for wisdom, as Hamilton points out, because, as a member of the community investigating that community, my research will inevitably become public knowledge.\footnote{B.W. Hamilton, ‘Writing the “Good” Doctor of Ministry Thesis’, <http://acc.roberts.edu/NEmployees/Hamilton_Barry/GOOD.THEESIS.htm> [accessed on 3/5/2010].} While this may mean that confidentiality on insider information must be held back, it does not require an uncritical approach as the focus of the research is to improve that which is significant to the researcher.

**Outline for the Thesis**

I intend to begin with the theology of John Wimber as a springboard to discuss how, as a Presbyterian minister in the Reformed and Evangelical camp, Charismatic beliefs can be understood. I will then synthesise a methodology that respects my ecclesiological traditions and distinctives, yet challenges believers and unbelievers with more than an intellectual Christianity. This will be, as Richard E. Davies comments, a decision-oriented research project that seeks to offer a practical option, which a Reformed congregation can follow, rather than a universal discussion of the relationship between Reformed and Charismatic theology.\footnote{R.E. Davies, \textit{Handbook for Doctor of Ministry Projects: An Approach to Structured Observation in Ministry}, (Lanham, New York, London: University Press of America,1984), p.xii.}

The essential question is
what difference harmonising Reformed and Charismatic theology will make within a local Presbyterian context. This is a theme raised by Andrew Walker regarding the ‘deep church’ discussion. He suggests that what is needed is not a debate on theological method, but a contribution on a catechesis for Christian discipleship.692 This comment will influence the outline adopted by this thesis, the emphasis of which is on the practical application of Charismatic practice within a Reformed context.

To aid this, I propose to have a conversation with Wimber’s writings, and with my own context, and see if his approach would be a possible form of Evangelicalism, in a denominational setting. I have chosen Wimber because of his own background as a Quaker minister, which is within the Reformed tradition, and because his association with the Jesus Movement, according to Stephen J. Hunt, separates him from mainstream Pentecostalism.693 Tim Stafford and Jim Beverly believe both Wimber and the Vineyard harmonise Reformed and Charismatic theology and practice.694 This enables a Reformed congregation to listen as to one of their own. There is also some argument that Pentecostalism does not fall into the definition of ‘conventional Protestantism’, as found within the mainstream churches.695 There may be some value in arguing that Pentecostalism represents a fourth stream of the Christian Church, namely: Roman Catholic, Orthodox, Protestant and Pentecostal.696 Noting that the Pentecostal stream is a distinct fourth strand of Christianity means that this thesis will not argue for a denominational change,
but will consider the application of certain Charismatic practices within a Protestant stream.

To tie together the three aspects to be considered in this thesis I have begun from the premise, stated by Stevenson-Moessner, that ‘practical theology concerns itself with movement or direction.’ Connected to this Robert Banks writes:

Mission generates communities of faith and obedience that are ceaseless searching for understanding and influences the flow of understanding that shapes and renews the future of the Church.

This flow of connection is the central outline of this thesis. The outline, therefore, I have chosen to follow is that outlined by Wimber’s own publications, beginning with *Power Evangelism* (1985), which leads to *The Dynamics of Spiritual Growth* (1990), which leads to *Everyone Gets to Play* (2008). This pattern is further outlined in the opening chapter of *Everyone Gets to Play*, called ‘Laying All Down for Jesus.’ Here, Wimber outlines the connection between evangelism which leads to an obedient lifestyle of discipleship and then is released in service or ministry. A Reformed support for the outline comes from W. Tullian Tchividjian’s theology of the kingdom of God, and it is suggested as a model for the Reformed congregation of

---


These are the characteristics of the missional church which attract, worship, equip and then send. There are also similarities to ‘The Life Development Process’ outlined by Rick Warren in which outsiders become committed to maturity, then membership, then mission and lastly ministry. I have modified this, by bringing together maturity and membership under the heading of discipleship and mission and ministry, as I understand a close correlation between the two purposes. There are also similarities with the process outlined by Mark Dever and Paul Alexander, although I consider the issue of leadership as being wider than eldership. A similar process is the Gospel Growth Process that seeks to outreach, follow up, encourage spiritual growth and train for ministry. There is also a reliance upon the pastoral cycle within practical theology that looks at the present experience of what is happening, explores through analysis what is happening, reflects upon possibilities of suggesting change, and suggests a course of action developing from these discussions. The purpose of this study is to provide tools, whereby the necessary skills are discovered, to lead a Reformed congregation forward as a missional community, empowered by the Holy Spirit. This will add not only to general knowledge of the interaction between Reformed and Charismatic theology and practice, but also the practical outworking of these theologies.

---

702 ‘Randy Pope is faithful and innovative. In a very quiet and systematic manner, he has led Perimeter to become a model church in evangelism, discipleship, and leadership development - all of which are very dear to my heart.’ J.C. Maxwell, ‘Introduction,’ in R. Pope, The Intentional Church: Moving from Church Success to Community Transformation, (Chicago, IL: Moody, 2006), p.11.

703 Roxburgh and Boren, Introducing the Missional Church, p.30.

704 Warren, The Purpose Driven Church, p.130.


707 Ballard and Pritchard, Practical Theology in Action Practical Theology in Action, pp. 85-86.

Merits in the Study

I am aware that the theological reflection contained in this thesis is my own, and that neither my congregation nor denomination is bound to take on board any practical suggestions I may make. Yet, the aim of the thesis is to provide a ‘theoretical generalisation’ that goes beyond the experiences of a local congregation, in a local area, and has an impact upon wider theological reflection.\(^\text{709}\) This fulfils a criterion of practical theology, according to Robin Gill who sees the result of research in this field as having ‘implications for theology as a whole.’\(^\text{710}\) The most basic aim is to provide a cognitive change concerning the relationship between the Reformed and Charismatic streams and, as Ballard and Pritchard point out, while this contradicts the end of the pastoral cycle, it can suggest a new basis for further research that will produce more cognitive change and may ultimately end in action.\(^\text{711}\)

Much of the writing on Pentecostalism has emphasised the Arminian, Holiness, Methodist, Revivalistic and Premillennial influences,\(^\text{712}\) such as Harvey Cox,\(^\text{713}\) Donald W. Dayton\(^\text{714}\) and Vinson Synan.\(^\text{715}\) Regarding the Charismatic Movement, there have been some historical studies, such as that of Andrew Walker\(^\text{716}\) or Peter Hocken.\(^\text{717}\) As I noted earlier, Hocken does not refer to any Reformed/Presbyterian impact on the Charismatic Movement, in

\(^{709}\) Swinton and Mowat, *Practical Theology and Qualitative Research*, p.48.


\(^{711}\) Ballard and Pritchard, *Practical Theology in Action Practical Theology in Action*, p.166.

\(^{712}\) Premillennialism, in connection with mission, viewed the world as getting worse before the return of Jesus, therefore the Church had to rescue humanity. It was espoused by the Charismatic and Reformed Presbyterian Edward Irving first. See Stanley, *The Bible and the Flag*, p.76.

\(^{713}\) Cox, *Fire from Heaven*.

\(^{714}\) Dayton, *Theological Roots of Pentecostalism*.

\(^{715}\) Synan, *The Holiness Pentecostal Tradition*.

\(^{716}\) Walker, *Restoring the Kingdom*.

\(^{717}\) Hocken, *Streams of Renewal*. see Chapter 1, p.3.
any direction. There has also been some consideration of Wimber’s influence on Anglicanism by Stephen Hunt, but the area of the Presbyterian Churches remains open.\textsuperscript{718} The thesis also draws upon Vern S. Poythress’ notion of symphonic theology, as it seeks to consider a cross-pollination of the Reformed and Charismatic streams, through Wimber, to ‘reinforce, correct, or improve’ what is learnt.\textsuperscript{719} It seeks to have each stream suggest areas that are not covered in the other stream and to fill in that gap in knowledge with their own distinctive. This is another distinctive of symphonic theology: to find some grain of truth even from those with whom there is a fundamental disagreement.\textsuperscript{720} There is no desire that this thesis will proselytise either tradition to become the other, but will demonstrate that, through conversation, our knowledge and experience can be enhanced.

There have been some polemical writings concerning the inaccuracy of contemporary Charismatic phenomena from a Reformed perspective, and some attempts at harmonising the differing views on the Holy Spirit.\textsuperscript{721, 722} There has been a paucity of reflection from a Reformed position positively considering Charismatic issues.\textsuperscript{723} Considering this, I believe there is a need to re-evaluate the Pentecostal/Charismatic influence on the Reformed Churches and the Reformed influence upon the Charismatic/Pentecostal Churches. This has already been done regarding the Christian and Missionary Alliance.\textsuperscript{724} The time is right, therefore, for a consideration of this matter. This would benefit not only Reformed scholars and congregations, but also open up a new avenue of study within the Pentecostal/Charismatic world which is,

\textsuperscript{718} Hunt, ‘The Anglican Wimberites.’
\textsuperscript{719} Poythress, \textit{Symphonic Theology}, p.43.
\textsuperscript{720} Poythress, \textit{Symphonic Theology}, p.90.
\textsuperscript{721} Masters and Whitcomb, \textit{The Charismatic Phenomenon}; Robertson, \textit{The Final Word}.
\textsuperscript{722} Grudem, \textit{Are Miraculous Gifts for Today}.
\textsuperscript{723} Fyall, \textit{Charismatic and Reformed}, p.1.
\textsuperscript{724} King, \textit{Genuine Gold}.
according to Vyhmeister, an aim of theological research. This will improve scholarship by highlighting a gap in theological discussion by demonstrating that being Reformed does not necessarily mean cessationist, and that the Pentecostal/Charismatic Movement shares the same Reformation source as Reformed Churches. It will improve practice by allowing for a wider degree of freedom in the expression of worship in Reformed Churches, by anchoring the supernatural in Word-centred Churches and challenging the Arminian basis of much Pentecostal/Charismatic evangelism.

Regarding ecclesiastical policy, this study will increase the ecumenical reach of the Pentecostal/Charismatic Movement and theology into the Reformed tradition, and the principles of Reformed doctrine into the Charismatic tradition, which is something, according to Warrington, that has been missing from Pentecostal reflection. This makes a positive contribution to reconciliation, which is an aim of symphonic theology. This is


726 There has been a tendency away from ecumenism amongst Pentecostals, which David Bunday suggests comes from ‘sect theory, deprivation theory and eschatological preoccupation’ but contrary to the aims and objectives of Pentecostalism. D. Bundy, ‘The Ecumenical Quest of Pentecostalism,’ Cyberjournal for Pentecostal-Charismatic Research, <http://www.pctii.org/cyberj/cyberj5/bundy.html> [accessed 30/12/09]. This ecumenical reach is a reflection of ‘post-modern’ pentecostal scholars who take Pentecostalism as the starting point but, according to Kenneth J. Archer, are more willing to appropriate the theological insights of other traditions. K.J. Archer, *The Gospel Revisited: Toward a Pentecostal Theology of Worship and Witness*, (Eugene, OR: Pickwick Publications, 2011), p.5.

727 ‘The question of predestination is not one that concerns many Pentecostals, most believing that although God has provided the means of salvation, he has left it to individuals to decide whether or not to take advantage of it. The notion of election is one that many are uncomfortable with, if it means that some are elected to salvation and others are not.’ The doctrine of eternal security is also not widely believed and so elements of guilt and fear are used to ensure the believers keep their salvation. Warrington, *Pentecostal Theology*, pp.38-39. There is a tendency amongst evangelical Christians to refuse any cross-pollination for other streams, specifically the non-Christian cultures in which the gospel is ministered. This is particularly so amongst Reformed Christians who emphasis the propensity of humanity toward sinfulness. See B. Stanley, ‘Inculturation: Historical Background, Theological Foundations and Contemporary Questions’, *Transformations*, (Vol. 24:1, Jan. 2007), p.22. While this may be perceived to be keeping the gospel pure, it can also alienate the gospel from relevancy to a specific context. My argument is that something can be learnt from another context that may improve a theological and ecclesiastical context which appears to be fundamentally different.

one of the aims which Wimber himself sees for his theology: emphasising what is practical and action based, the ‘controversial’ elements of the Charismatic Movement will be eclipsed as the Church takes power evangelism into the ‘marketplace’, where there will not be enough time to attack each other over differences.\textsuperscript{729} This could be seen as avoiding confronting these differences, but it reinforces the practical nature of Wimber’s theology and, as I will not be considering these differences in any great detail, it demonstrates this thesis falls within the remit of practical theology. This thesis will also aid understanding amongst both Pentecostal/Charismatic and Reformed believers about why their Churches have their unique features and will allow both streams to draw on their theological resources and traditions.

Accordingly, these aims are in sympathy with six of the eight principles of practical theology, as outlined by G.B. Smith. It emphasises the value of theological truth for Christian life, aids theological preaching, moulds the preacher’s thinking, builds upon other theological disciplines, emphasises the human element in theology, and is closely related to systematics.\textsuperscript{730} This covers the areas of evangelism, presenting theological truth for the Christian life, preaching which aids discipleship, encompassing other theological disciplines, taking account of the role of individuals in the Church, and dealing with the theological traditions of charismatic and Reformed.

\textbf{Issues of Concern}

As I noted earlier, there is a need for discretion, or wisdom, because of the public nature of research and the position from which I am writing. So, when asking critical questions specifically about the theology and ministry of the PCI, there may be a need to generalise in certain areas. I am not suggesting that one model of denominational life is superior to any other. Douglass calls

\textsuperscript{729} Wimber, \textit{Kingdom of God}, p.27.
\textsuperscript{730} G.B. Smith, \textit{Practical Theology}, pp.86-87.
the local church ‘a mould’ and denominational distinctive ‘the plaster’. While there are many similarities, as the plaster remains a constant, the local mould presents the plaster in different ways in each location.\textsuperscript{731} I want to propose a model of ministry that best touches the people for whom God has given me spiritual responsibility and which integrates evangelism, discipleship and ministry in one overarching methodology. This may be different from other contexts, but it is a local mould for Reformed theology. As Michael Horton notes, the primary calling is to Christ, and then being drawn into ‘the covenant community’ where converts, who are also part of that spiritual community, begin to serve those around us.\textsuperscript{732} For too long evangelism has been separated from discipleship and spiritual development, and divorced from any concept of corporate ministry. If the Church is to adequately minister in the twenty-first century, then there is a need for something that will not only engage people but also integrate them into the local Church.

\section*{Partial Conclusion}

This chapter has covered the main areas of how this thesis will follow its path of study. It has raised a research question of whether the theology and practice of John Wimber can be applied to ministry within a confessional Presbyterian setting. A number of additional questions, for further consideration, have also been raised, concerning the main research question. The form and nature of the research has also been set out as being action based and qualitative, as well as the role that I, as the researcher and author, will execute. The outline for the work has also been considered and why the thesis will follow the outline which is set, as well as the merits that exist in researching this area. Lastly, I have considered some issues of concern with this thesis, because of the nature of its scope and potential application to a denominational setting in which I am currently ministering. The research questions that have been raised will become the focus of the ensuing work.

\textsuperscript{731} Douglass, \textit{What is Your Church’s Personality}, p.4.

\textsuperscript{732} M. Horton, \textit{People and Place}, p.90.
Chapter 4: 
Evangelism in a Reformed Church

Introduction

Evangelism lies at the heart of the Christian experience and at the heart of the Church’s mission on earth. There are two terms that will be used in this chapter, evangelism and mission, so I want to attempt a definition. Mission, first of all, I want to define as the overarching purpose of God for the world, human life, Israel and the Church, focusing on the centrality of Jesus. Mission is a focus on God’s purposes rather than our actions: the *missio dei*. I, therefore, use mission as the ethos that defines praxis. This focus on the purpose or plans of God seems to harmonise with a Reformed

---

733 C. Wright speaks of a missional hermeneutic, in which those who read the Bible have committed their own personal histories into the greater story of God’s plan for the world. ‘But does so with the even stronger conviction that such commitment should be the normal stance for the whole church, for, on this reading of Scripture, a church that is governed by the Bible cannot evade the missional thrust of the God and the gospel revealed there.’ He also notes that the churches of the majority world are the primary missionary senders. Wright, *The Mission of God*, p.43-44. A.L. Reid also comments that reaching un-churched people is central to continuing the ministry of Jesus. A.L. Reid, *Radically Unchurched: Who they are and How to Reach Them*, (Grand Rapids, MI: Kregel, 2002), p.31. He further states: ‘Evangelism is essential to the church because the church will cease to exist without evangelism. Further, God’s plan to reach the world is through local congregations.’ A. Reid, *Introduction to Evangelism*, (Nashville, TN: Broadman and Holman, 1998), p.93.

734 Defining mission is a controversial issue because it deals with the truth of Christianity in the face of other theologies that claim truth also. W. Richebacher, ‘Missio Dei: The Basis of Mission Theology or a Wrong Path?’, International Review of Mission, (Vol. 92: Issue 367), p.588.

735 Wright, *The Mission of God*, pp.67-68. Wright further defines this focus as meaning: ‘our committed participation as God’s people, at God’s invitation and command, in God’s own mission within the history of God’s world for the redemption of creation.’ p.23.

understanding of mission.\textsuperscript{737} Regarding evangelism, Walter Brueggemann refers to it as the ‘passionate preoccupation’ of the Church.\textsuperscript{738} This seems to suggest that evangelism is what the Church does in carrying out the missio dei. Harvie Conn, therefore, calls the Church a ‘news reporter for the kingdom.’\textsuperscript{739} For Evangelicals, evangelism does not just form part of their theological worldview, but is central to their practice. Pawson sees this in two forms: defensive, in which the message of the Bible is protected; and offensive, in which the message of the Bible is proclaimed.\textsuperscript{740} These two aspects encapsulate what Evangelicalism is and what it does. This means that Evangelicalism does not just mean believing certain theological axioms, but having one’s practice affected by these convictions.

If we accept the missio dei definition of evangelism, it is not just focused on winning individual converts to faith, but a commitment to bringing the whole world under the authority of God.\textsuperscript{741} Evangelism, therefore, ‘entails


\textsuperscript{739} H.M. Conn, \textit{Evangelism, Doing Justice and Preaching Grace}, (Phillipsburg, NJ: P&R Publishing, 1982), p.18. He goes further, stating that evangelism is connected to ‘kingly justice’ which God promised in the Messiah. Conn, \textit{Evangelism, Doing Justice and Preaching Grace}, p.43. It would seem as the Church reports the kingdom, it also works to implement kingdom principles of grace and justice in the world. We will consider this in the incarnational model of evangelism.

\textsuperscript{740} Pawson, \textit{Word and Spirit Together}, p.73.

an invitation to come under God’s rule, and learn its ways.\textsuperscript{742} This is a holistic missional theology that not only seeks to gain proselytes, but also to make disciples and release them into ministry. This has manifested itself in a change of thinking away from considering evangelism as separate from mission, and moving toward seeing the Church as a missional community.\textsuperscript{743} If this is accepted as the norm in our evangelistic activity, then the local Church is central to the gospel and evangelism ought not to be abdicated to external agencies.

Some may level the claim against the teachings of Wimber that it is a new form of evangelism, making all traditional forms of evangelism invalid. He writes that there is no biblical evidence for evangelism being invalid if it is not accompanied by signs and wonders, but he seeks to ‘consciously’ co-operate with the Holy Spirit in evangelism so that preaching and signs and wonders work together, ‘reinforcing each other.’\textsuperscript{744} This may raise concerns to a Reformed believer as WCF says that humanity is passive in conversion.\textsuperscript{745} 746

\textsuperscript{742} Tomlin, \textit{The Provocative Church}, p.65.

\textsuperscript{743} This change is to see the Church as a missionary agent where the church ‘is to be God’s hands and feet in accomplishing mission’ rather than a recruitment organisation for new members. Roxburgh and Boren, \textit{Introducing the Missional Church}, p.20.

\textsuperscript{744} Wimber and Springer, \textit{Power Evangelism}, p.79.

\textsuperscript{745} WCF, 10:2. WSC Q.14: sees sin as being both the nature of humanity that will not conform to God’s law as well as the actions which transgress that law. R.C. Sproul defines the Reformed position as ‘radical corruption’, that human beings are utterly depraved in God’s sight, but not utterly depraved, as acts of kindness are practised. R.C. Sproul, \textit{Essential Truths of the Christian Faith}, (Amersham on the Hill: Scripture Press, 1992), p.147.Wimber’s thinking on the nature of sin does not appear to be fully developed. He refers to his need to be ‘salvaged’, which may imply an acceptance of total depravity. Wimber, \textit{Everyone Gets to Play}, p.41. He is also critical of performance based Christianity, noting that his own experience was that nothing good he did would recommend him to God. He needed God’s grace. Wimber, \textit{The Way in is the Way on}, p. 83. He affirms the human need for salvation through penal substitution; however, his emphasis is on humanity’s response. Wimber, \textit{Power Evangelism}, pp.36-38.

\textsuperscript{746} Wimber states that while his ministry included many who would not embrace a Charismatic position, he was not successful in every context. Yet, he notes that there are a growing number of theologians, pastors, thinkers and writers who have grasped what he has said when their theological worldview may mitigate against it. Wimber, \textit{Everyone Gets to Play}, p.166. While I have noted the teaching of the WCF earlier in this research as not necessarily being against charismatic experience, I hope this work adds to this ongoing debate. Challenging the position of the WCF may not preclude his method from being useful in a Reformed context.
Passivity in salvation requires a response to certain stimuli, most notably preaching. Responding to the stimuli of signs and wonders may not be radically different from responding to preaching. Indeed, if we consider the Reformed view of the sacraments then there may be areas of commonality. The WCF does not appear to dismiss the need for any stimulus because if it did, then preaching itself would be problematic. Wimber is not suggesting that a rejection of the power evangelism model is a rejection of biblical theology; he has great respect for traditional evangelistic models. It is for this reason that I suggest the model of power evangelism may work in a traditional setting, because it does not usurp or reject any existing models.

The evidence of power evangelism is signs and wonders and one could assume that, without this evidence, the gospel is not being fully proclaimed. Wimber does not argue this, but that signs and wonders authenticate the message of evangelism. In this model, evangelism may become significantly more powerful as the message of Christ affects people’s lives practically, as well as intellectually. It is more than believing the right things and ignoring the present situation that many people face; it is applying the gospel to human needs.

For the basis of this study, I will define evangelism in the words of Wimber himself: ‘Evangelism is a complex process in which the Holy Spirit works in the hearts and minds of people.’ This is particularly poignant, when considering a context in which not every full member of a local

---

747 Although Wimber does not belittle traditional evangelistic models, it could be argued that a rejection of signs and wonders accompanying the gospel is a rejection of the biblical norm (Mk. 16:15-18).


congregation is a member of the true Church. In this instance, the message of the kingdom is of particular significance and importance. I propose to introduce a conversation between three models of evangelism from within the Reformed community, both historical and contemporary; namely, fear-based evangelism, incarnational evangelism and relational evangelism, and the theology of *Power Evangelism* specifically. This is to suggest that Wimber stands within the theological tradition of the Reformed Churches, and that his suggestions on power evangelism can be applied within a local Reformed context.

**The Fear Model**

Wimber sees an element of the fear model as implicit within a gospel presentation. He connects the love of God with the wrath of God, propitiated by Christ on the cross (Rom. 3:25; Heb. 2:17; 1 Jn. 2:2, 4:10). He writes that for us to experience salvation, Jesus had to satisfy God's justice and be punished on the cross.\textsuperscript{750} This is different, however, from the clear and definitive descriptions of the punishments in hell used to evoke a response to the gospel out of fear. Wimber connects the wrath of God clearly with his love for humanity and, in this connection, the justice of God is proclaimed as having been fulfilled when Christ died on the cross.

Historically, in the Reformed Churches, the example of the fear model has been Jonathan Edwards (1703-1758). Edwards was the pastor of the Congregational Church in Northampton, Massachusetts and, while no formal ties between Congregationalism and Presbyterianism existed at the time, because of the revival phenomena associated with his ministry (1734-1735), he became well known amongst New School Presbyterians, who offered him

\textsuperscript{750} Wimber and Springer, *Power Evangelism*, p.36.

Edwards is particularly well known regarding his preaching.

The approach of Edwards, to preaching about hell and the coming judgement, has had a profound effect upon Reformed Evangelical preaching. The law of God, defining the standards set down for humanity, is proclaimed to condemn humanity and demonstrate the inevitability of hell, as R. Scott Clarke notes.\footnote{R.S. Clarke, ‘Letter and Spirit: Law and Gospel in Reformed Tradition,’ in Covenant, Justification, and Pastoral Ministry; Essays by the Faculty of Westminster Seminary California, (Phillipsburg, NJ: P&R Publishing, 2007), p.358.} Indeed, the common terms of this style of preaching, ‘fire and brimstone’, seems to have its genesis in Edwards: ‘They will find no place, where they can remain, and rest, and take breath for one minute: for they will be tormented with fire and brimstone; and will have no rest day nor night for ever and ever.’\footnote{J. Edwards, ‘The Future Punishment of the Wicked Unavoidable and Intolerable,’ in The Works of Jonathan Edwards, Vol.2, (Edinburgh, Scotland, Carlisle, PA: The Banner of Truth Trust, 1979), p.80.} His sermon, ‘Sinners in the Hands of an Angry God’, may be understood as the fountainhead of fear-based evangelism.\footnote{J. Edwards, ‘Sinners in the Hands of an Angry God,’ Deut. 32:35, preached in Enfield, Connecticut, July 8, 1741, <http://www.jesus-is-lord.com/sinners.htm> [accessed on 19/9/2010].} His ethos was: ‘There is nothing that keeps wicked men at any one moment out of hell, but the mere pleasure of God.’\footnote{Edwards, ‘Sinners in the Hands of an Angry God.’} Throughout this sermon, Edwards uses graphic descriptions to elicit a response and make the individual avoid hell by paying attention to his words: words like ‘flames’, ‘one holds a spider, or some loathsome insect over the fire’ and ‘such exquisite and horrible torments.’\footnote{Edwards, ‘Future Punishment of the Wicked’, p.79, Edwards, ‘Sinners in the Hands of an Angry God.’}
Edwards uses a very descriptive style of communication to demonstrate the awfulness of hell for the individual sent there, and in an age without television and the internet, such language may have been as shocking as a graphic film or novel would be today.

The results of Edward’s approach are evident. Iain Murray states: ‘Wheelock reported to Trumbull how the people, whom he characterised as “thoughtless and vain”, were so changed before the sermon was ended, that they were ‘bowed down with an awful conviction of their sin and danger.” There can be no doubt that the world has changed and the Church along with it, especially regarding how the gospel is presented. In Edward’s time, literature would have been more widely read than today and vivid descriptions of hell would have been relevant. Josh Moody believes that the contemporary approach to Church is influenced by business and political campaigns of which Edwards would be critical. In Edward’s sermons, God is seen as an angry and vengeful deity who is waiting to punish those who have not accepted his Son, because all unbelievers ‘are now the objects of that very same anger and wrath of God, that is expressed in the torments of hell.’ He seems to suggest, that in hell, God is turning people over to be tormented by the devil, ‘the devil is waiting for them’; yet, that seems to contradict the New Testament teaching on the purpose of hell (Mt. 25:41) in which it was created for the devil and his angels.

It is necessary to ask whether an evangelistic model, which emphasises fear of punishment as a motivation for conversion, is relevant for

---


759 Edwards, ‘Sinners in the Hands of an Angry God.’

760 Edwards, ‘Sinners in the Hands of an Angry God.’
today. Moody suggests the answer is both yes and no. No, because the biblical literacy of Edward’s time was much higher than it is in the West today, due to the strong Puritan influences in New England. For people today the ‘predominant communication means is the video not the logos, sight not word.’ Yet, Moody suggests that there may be room for an Edwardian approach in which the text is carefully examined, making it come alive with imagery in a correct understanding of our position. It may be that the practice of using imagery in preaching engages an element in the human psyche that connects with the numinous rather than the logical. Particularly, when speaking about a subject such as hell, this imagery may be helpful and clarifying.

The Incarnational Model

Wimber understands this model of ministry as being in sympathy with the power evangelism model: ‘The manifest presence and power of the Holy Spirit in our midst is connected, inseparably, to His mercy and compassion.’ Indeed, he sees it as a practical manifestation of signs and wonders, claiming that the one who creates miracles through our hands, also uses these hands to feed the poor and ‘watch over the immigrant.’ This is not only to relieve their needs, but to aid them to become self-supporting. In this light, power evangelism and incarnational evangelism may appear to be complementary. Yet, elsewhere, he appears to be somewhat critical of this model of evangelism that connects the gospel with the meeting of specific needs. He calls it a faulty gospel that produces faulty Christians. It is a self-based gospel that is not a call to radical discipleship. It could be said that the idea behind

---

763 Wimber, The Way in is the Way On, p.63.
764 Wimber, The Way in is the Way On, p.64.
765 Wimber, The Way in is the Way On, p.65.
766 Wimber and Springer, Power Evangelism, p.36.
power evangelism itself is the meeting of a specific need. The power manifestation is, therefore, a complementary method of authenticating the gospel, not the end alone. This will affect our understanding of the relationship between the gospel and social justice, for social justice becomes the presence of God’s kingdom invading this world.\(^\text{767}\) This is where power evangelism could be seen as uncaring for the needs of people, because it is less concerned with their needs and more with demonstrations of power. Wimber’s criticism of needs-based evangelism means that Christians are not moved by the plight of people, but merely see them as an opportunity to manifest the power of God. To separate the socio-economic or political needs of people from their medical or psychological needs seems to be a false division, as they are as much a product of the fall as separation from God (Rom. 8:22-23). The ministry of social justice cannot be separated from power evangelism and from compassion for the sick, psychologically disturbed and demonised. To some degree, Wimber appears to be confused in this matter and his thinking is unclear.

There have been some who question incarnational evangelism. Keller, whom I have chosen as an example of incarnational evangelism, notes that evangelism must be declaratory and cannot be substituted through ‘loving the poor.’\(^\text{768}\) This raised a concern that an incarnational model of evangelism alone never challenges the hearers with the call to repentance. In this instance, it may be no more than a social function that betters people’s lives, without dealing with their critical need for forgiveness and reconciliation. Mercy ministry encourages people to see that they are not meant to exist alone, but that we are our brother’s keepers (Gen. 4:9). It is this ‘impulse’ of mercy that, according to Keller, ‘makes us sensitive to hurts and lacks in others and makes us desire to alleviate them.’\(^\text{769}\) What Keller seems to be

---


getting at is that substituting incarnational evangelism for proclamation evangelism, or vice versa, does not present the whole gospel. There is a need to combine the two so that, as the gospel is proclaimed, it is also demonstrated in ministries of mercy. Mercy ministry may, therefore, be a new designation that encompasses proclamation and presence in an evangelical ethos. It seeks to bring the proclamation into ministries of mercy and ministries of mercy into proclamation. Ryken comments that whatever is being done for the needy is being done for God.\textsuperscript{770} Brueggemann agrees with this connection and understands ‘social action’ as springing from God’s compassion for the world and must be aimed back at God if it is to be authentic.\textsuperscript{771} It is helpful to see the ministry to the needy as being a complementary ministry to proclamation, to relational witness and to power evangelism, which opens the hearts of the needy to the reality of Christ.

There appears to be a difficulty in conducting a conversation between Wimber and Reformed theology on this matter. This may be, in part, due to Wimber’s own apparent confusion on the issue. There may also be historical reasons why Reformed theology did not engage with incarnational evangelism, specifically in the twentieth century.\textsuperscript{772} Buschart notes the Reformed emphasis on God as ‘the Sustainer-Ruler’ of creation, in which he preserves the world.\textsuperscript{773} This may have led to a disconnection with human needs because God was deemed to be in charge of things. It may have been assumed to be part of the sovereign will that humanity is in the condition it is in. Whatever the motivations in this theology, a re-evaluation of the connection between incarnational evangelism and power evangelism is important. The

\textsuperscript{770} Ryken, \textit{City on a Hill}, p.151.

\textsuperscript{771} Brueggemann, \textit{Biblical Perspectives on Evangelism}, p.43.

\textsuperscript{772} I.H. Murray suggests that the focus of Twentieth Century Reformed Evangelicalism was preoccupied with ‘new evangelicalism’ which emphasised a pragmatism with regard to ecumenical relations. It may be concluded that the focus was more on maintaining traditional orthodox inter-church relations and critiquing evangelistic techniques than reflecting on how to practice evangelism. I.H. Murray, \textit{Evangelicalism Divided}, p.51.

practice of power evangelism seeks the change of human situations by the power of God. These situations are rooted in human suffering; therefore, the need to help practically may be a manifestation of natural power evangelism.

The Relational Model

Wimber appears to have little to comment on this matter, and that may be because the notion of home visitation was not current in North American culture. Yet, his theology of ‘powerpoints’ may harmonise with a relational approach. He likens ‘powerpoints’ to a climber on a mountain. The lead one plants pitons and passes the rope through them to those who come behind.\textsuperscript{774} It is an interpretation to centralise this evangelistic ministry within the context of an individual, especially bearing in mind Wimber’s suggestions on ministry, that will be considered, but the impact of an individual on another individual, evangelistically, cannot be underestimated. In this sense, relational evangelism is not opposed to power evangelism, but could be a means of presenting the gospel, incarnationally.

The relational model has its history in the Reformed Churches, in Richard Baxter (1615-1691), who was the pastor of the Church of England in Kidderminster, a Church organised similarly to Presbyterianism. After the restoration of Charles II he was offered the Bishopric of Hereford, which he declined, and was then given a licence to preach as a Non-Conformist, neither Presbyterian nor Independent\textsuperscript{775} Baxter emphasised pastoral ministry; not running around people’s homes to keep them content as many ministers

\begin{flushright}
\textsuperscript{774} Wimber and Springer, \textit{Powerpoints}, p.5.
\end{flushright}

\begin{flushright}
\textsuperscript{775} W. Barker, \textit{Puritan Profiles, 54 Contemporaries of the Westminster Assembly}, (Fearn, Rosshire: Mentor Imprint, Christian Focus Publications, 1999), p.293. When the Presbyterian majority in the House of Commons went to see King Charles I (1648) he agreed to a modified form of Presbyterianism that still allowed for bishops and when he recanted from this, Baxter was one who condemned him as a traitor. \textit{See} J. Adair, \textit{Puritans, Religion and Politics in Seventeenth Century England and America} (Stroud: Sutton Publishing, 1982), p.209.
\end{flushright}
do, but catechism and spiritual growth: ‘The ultimate end of our pastoral oversight must be linked with the ultimate purpose of our whole lives. This is to please and to glorify God. It is also to see the sanctification and holy obedience of the people under our charge.’ This would be evangelistic to unconverted members of the Church, and catechetic to spiritual members of the Church.

What underpinned Baxter’s approach, ‘the first and most vital part of our ministry’, was a desire for the conversion of individuals. Robert McEvoy comments: ‘For Baxter, the main purpose of pastoring, as with preaching, was evangelism.’ It is important to understand that Baxter did not pastor at the expense of preaching. Through plainness of speech, he preached for a verdict, as Edward Donnelly states, and his ‘preaching was characterized by a passionate evangelistic appeal’; but that longing for a decision, sent him into their homes to grasp what of the preaching they had understood, and whether they had accepted Christ. It could be said, therefore, that this is a complementary method to the proclamation model. This was to be approached through visiting the family, ‘when they are at leisure’, to assess the pattern of godliness in the home and, if it was absent, to ‘ask that they promise to reform their ways for the future.’ This is what he calls personal ministry, working in a complementary way with preaching ministry; it reinforces

---

778 Baxter The Reformed Pastor, p.73.
781 Baxter The Reformed Pastor, pp.79-80.
the messages proclaimed on the Sunday through personal catechism. Timothy K. Beougher notes that he devoted two days each week to personal catechism, when he spent one hour with them examining their grasp of WSC. Seen in this light, it is comprehensible why the Westminster Assembly produced a Shorter and Larger Catechism (1647) to teach the principles of the Confession of Faith. This was also a different time, when reading was much more widespread, as noted when considering Edwards’ use of imagery when preaching on hell, and this model may have been revolutionary at the time.

The Power Model

The alternative model which Wimber puts forward is evangelism as a manifestation of the power of God. It is also worth noting that Wimber does not see power evangelism as without faults, specifically subjectivism and enthusiasm. Power evangelism does not exclude other forms of evangelism and is itself based upon a traditional call to repentance. When a theological tradition has been committed to one model for a considerable time, like the Reformed Churches, anything that is claimed to make it better or more relevant may be viewed with suspicion. This may not be the result of theological incompatibility, but simply that it is different from the norm. Lord

782 Baxter The Reformed Pastor, p.106.
784 Evangelism has always had a charismatic dimension for there is the need of the Spirit’s application of the gospel. Prior, The Gospel in a Pagan Society, p.55. It also depends upon God applying the message to human hearts by the Holy Spirit. Hull, New Century Disciplemaking, p.46. John Piper believes that the theology of Martyn Lloyd-Jones addressed power evangelism long before Wimber, which may suggest that it is a Reformed concept. J. Piper, ‘A Passion for Christ-Exalting Power: Martyn Lloyd-Jones on the Need for Revival and Baptism with the Holy Spirit’, <http://www.desiringgod.org/resource-library/biographies/a-passion-for-christ-exalting-power> [accessed 9/2/2012].
785 Wimber, Kingdom of God, p.27.
786 Wimber and Springer, Power Healing, p.104
notes that Wimber stresses the work of the Spirit within the Church, whereas many other methodologies stress the work of God in history.\textsuperscript{787} This means that power evangelism is a locally practised practical theology of evangelism. While the great themes of eschatological hope are addressed by Wimber in his emphasis on the kingdom of God, it is the local effect of the Spirit that is central to power evangelism.

Power evangelism is a methodology that introduces people to the power of the Holy Spirit to transform human lives, not just regarding physical or emotional healing, but attitudes also. There is a need for the Church to be connected to what is happening in the world around them, and to be moved by the concerns and worries of the people within their parish bounds. To be moved in evangelism, within these limits, means that the Church is compassionate about people and their needs. Power evangelism makes this possible, while emphasising God as the source of having all needs met. For a power encounter to be valid it must have a practical application, not just experiential. Power evangelism, therefore, is built upon Evangelicalism and it is not a model to replace it. Wimber goes as far as saying: ‘Properly understood, power evangelism can make all the other approaches to evangelism more effective.’\textsuperscript{788} Power evangelism can slip in unnoticed and improve the other forms of evangelism without rejecting them entirely. It can be used with the other means to demonstrate the reality and the urgency of their emphasis.

Concerning the question of the completeness of the gospel without signs and wonders, Kendall notes that the gospel is complete.\textsuperscript{789} Yet, power

\textsuperscript{787} Lord, \textit{Spirit Shaped Mission}, p.18.

\textsuperscript{788} Wimber and Springer, \textit{The Dynamics of Spiritual Growth}, p.176. ‘Power evangelism does not replace evangelistic neighbourhood Bible studies or the Four Spiritual Laws: it makes them more effective. In other words, Evangelicals already have forms in which they can immediately practice power evangelism.’ Wimber, \textit{Kingdom of God}, p.27.

\textsuperscript{789} Cain and Kendall, \textit{The Word and Spirit}, p.30.
 evangelism springs from a strong conviction in the power of the sacrificial
death of Christ upon the cross, which released the greatest power in the
world. There appears to be a desire within the theology of Wimber for
individuals to experience the full extent of the power of the gospel; to have
their lives radically transformed through the Spirit’s power in applying the
gospel. Wimber states that power evangelism does not seek to add power to
the gospel in any sense. Rather, power evangelism looks to the Holy Spirit,
when believers consciously co-operate with him, as he reinforces the
preaching with demonstrations of power. He believes the gospel is
completely sufficient for salvation, but God remains committed to the
redemption of the whole person. So the gospel is telling people about Jesus
and feeding the hungry and praying for the sick. The mind of Wimber
appears to be that this is part of the gospel; it is a logical outworking of the
message, not an addition to it.

Despite this clear statement that the gospel is sufficient for conversion,
there are some, such as John Woodhouse, who seem to suggest that power
evangelism does not have a confidence in the power of the gospel at all and
is not Evangelical Christianity. It appears unclear how he has reached this
conclusion since it seems to be the emphasis of Wimber to demonstrate how
the gospel affects people’s lives and changes them completely, whether in
regard to sickness or holiness. The view seems to be underpinned by a
conviction that only the Bible is needed in evangelism, the same critique used

792 The notion of a physical and visual demonstration of the gospel is relevant to the post-
modern audience, as Gibbs and Coffey note: ‘Even more than their parents, GenXers
are influenced by television. Theirs is a post-literary culture for which sound and image
have largely replaced the printed word.’ E. Gibbs and I. Coffey, *Church Next, Quantum
794 J. Woodhouse, ‘Signs and Wonders and Evangelical Ministry,’ in *Signs and Wonders
and Evangelicals: A Response to the Teaching of John Wimber*, ed. R. Doyle,
in the definition at the start of this chapter. It is then implied that anything added to this questions the power of the Spirit to confirm the biblical message. What drove Wimber to consider power evangelism was a desire to see the people he ministered to released from the bondage by which they seemed to be controlled, even when the power of the gospel was proclaimed to them.\footnote{795}{Wimber and Springer, \textit{Power Healing}, p.47.} While some may want to theologically defend the gospel as the power to transform sinners and release them from God’s wrath, in reality a powerful model is needed to see that victory applied within their lives. This sits with the ‘spiritual marketplace’ that Hunt suggests exists in the Western world today.\footnote{796}{Hunt, \textit{The Alpha Enterprise}, pp.32-33.} Where there is competition for the spiritual devotion of people, a model of evangelism, which connects with their deepest needs and most fundamental problems, will be a powerful tool for the Christian Church.

Power evangelism begins, first, with a charismatic experience in the life of the believer, which launches them into ministry in explaining their experience.\footnote{797}{Wimber and Springer, \textit{Power Evangelism}, p.60.} While the discussion began by considering evangelism, the methodology is based upon discipleship and ministry models and, specifically, an emphasis on the empowering work of the Holy Spirit. This foundation means that only those who understand their position, regarding the Holy Spirit, will be enabled to minister in power evangelism. It is, therefore, important that models of ministry and discipleship that promote the work of the Spirit, or require the experience of ‘power encounters’, are emphasised to enable power evangelism.\footnote{798}{Lord, \textit{Spirit Shaped Mission}, p.18.} This could be seen as a circular argument in which the power of the Spirit is experienced in evangelism, but is also a prerequisite for ministering in power evangelism; the one cannot function without the other. This further underlines the outline of this thesis: that the three contextual applications feed into and support each other. There is also a sense in which, according to Warrington, as the Spirit empowers believers for
witness, believers become observers of his work in them.\(^{799}\) This would certainly remove any pressure an individual may feel about operating in the supernatural, but it could be taken to mean that believers are nothing more than vessels through which God speaks, circumventing their personality and uniqueness.

Power evangelism is mostly connected with prayer for healing. This is repeated throughout the New Testament (Mt. 9:18; Mk. 5:23; 7:32; Ac. 9:12). Quite often it can seem insensitive, and even inappropriate, to use people’s suffering for our own agendas, especially if it appears that their illness is being used for the Church’s theological ends. Ronald Allen believes that the reason Paul healed the sick was the same reason why works of mercy are practised: not to authenticate the gospel, but to demonstrate compassion to people.\(^{800}\) Gerstner believes that suffering, accidents and even sicknesses can be ‘God’s way of screaming at people who pay no attention to conscience, nor His Word.’\(^{801}\) Yet, the essence of power evangelism is that the gospel has an application, even to these deepest of needs, as Wimber notes. If pain leads us to seek divine healing, then that healing ought to lead us to the cross.\(^{802}\) It is this unwillingness to accept pain and suffering which drives human beings to seek a healing ministry so that they can see the deliverance of the gospel applied in reality to their lives. It is also significant to note that Wimber does not assert the physical healing of everyone, but he does assert that everyone can experience salvation.\(^{803}\) Wimber does not make any promises of universal healing in this life, but that the gospel may provide physical healing.

\[^{803}\] Wimber and Springer, *Power Healing*, p.35. Warrington writes: ‘The Kingdom of God is not reflected on earth in its members enjoying perfect physical health but in demonstrating the life of Christ in all circumstances, whether in health or suffering.’ Warrington, *Healing and Suffering*, p.27.
This position is not without its critics, one of which is Dickinson who notes that power evangelism is not moved by the preaching of the Word, but the preaching of the Word is the result of power evangelism.\(^{804}\) This criticism expresses the Reformed concern with anything that removes the pre-eminence of preaching from evangelistic activity. Dickinson believes that this methodology was ‘equally rejected’ by Christ, because he warned people not to tell of what he had done, and on numerous occasions he rejected calls for a sign (Mt. 12:28, 39; 16:4; Mk. 8:11, 12; Jn. 6:30), even going so far as to scold Thomas for demanding evidence of the resurrection (Jn. 20:29). He concludes: ‘The method of publicly convening a great crowd of people by the promise or expectation of seeing or benefiting from a display of his divine power to induce mass conversions or Charismatic Renewal, would appear to have been utterly rejected by the Lord.’\(^{805}\) While it may be theology influenced by context, if the power of God can transform individuals' lives and provide an opportunity to preach Christ, then it may be a methodology which is powerful. As noted before, Wimber does not claim power evangelism replaces any other model. While it may challenge a proclamation-only model, it offers a practical experience of what is being preached.

Wimber’s idea of power evangelism is based upon the idea of God’s guidance of his Church to minister to certain people at a given time - a divine appointment.\(^{806}\) These happen at significant moments in people’s lives when they need it most.\(^{807}\) In this sense power evangelism cannot be defined or predicted, as each situation provides an opportunity to share the gospel with people and have the Holy Spirit authenticate that proclamation. Power evangelism stresses the power of God over anything that humanity faces, and


over the insecurities and lack of confidence which the believer can experience when confronted with gospel opportunities. It seems unclear how Wallace Benn and Mark Burkill evaluate Wimber’s theology as lacking an appreciation of the sovereignty of God.\textsuperscript{808} The sovereignty of God is seen in power evangelism with a demonstration of God’s authority over every situation which the Church faces, whether sickness, demonic activity or simple rejection of the gospel message. This review does not take into account the teaching of Wimber in \textit{Living with Uncertainty: My Bout with Inoperable Cancer}. He specifically states that ‘God is sovereign’ and that ‘He determines whether to grant us what we ask for now – or later.’\textsuperscript{809} This review appears to come with a presupposition of its own rather than an objective consideration of Wimber’s theology. Taking on board Wimber’s statement, this would also harmonise with Reformed theology.

\textbf{Partial Conclusion}

How the gospel is presented is an important question for the Church to consider. This chapter has considered different models of evangelism within the Reformed Church and reflected upon them, considering the teaching of Wimber. It has highlighted that the power evangelism model does not negate all other models of evangelism, but it complements them and even, according to Wimber, enhances them. Whether this assumption is true has not been answered by this chapter; indeed, it could not be answered apart from a practical investigation into an evangelistic practice. The criteria for success would also need to be defined, whether it is converts won, miracles performed or people presented with the gospel.

\textsuperscript{808} W. Benn and M. Burkill, ‘Power Evangelism,’ \textless http://www.fows.org/index.php?option=com_content&view=article&id=57:power-evangelism&catid=40:orthos-archive&Itemid=59\textgreater [accessed on 21/5/10].

What this chapter has sought to answer is that there is more than one mould, and God can use the different theological emphases to the same end. Wherever evangelism begins from theology, the conclusion of the message is always Jesus, as Scot McKnight notes.\textsuperscript{810} Should the means of our presentation discourage individuals from accepting the content of our presentation, then serious questions need to be asked. To aid this, Keller uses idolatry: giving ‘ultimate concern or some ultimate allegiance’ to something other than God.\textsuperscript{811} He suggests that this is the primary explanation of sinfulness today rather than the traditional message of sin as lawbreaking, something which he sees as endemic throughout all cultures.\textsuperscript{812} This counters any relativism and makes sin personal. There needs, therefore, to be a sensitive presentation of the gospel that challenges thinking on this matter.

When the Church proclaims only the seriousness of hell, it places the gospel exclusively in the life yet to come and may make individuals wonder if there is any application to their contemporary situation. This is where power evangelism, side by side with proclamation evangelism, is the model that, I believe, is needed in the twenty-first century. Phil Moore comments on the present manifestation of the power of the gospel against the fact that everyone dies and, therefore, needs adequate preparation.\textsuperscript{813} The heart of the gospel is experiencing this reality of the world yet to come, in the present. This is why power evangelism offers such a powerful model. It brings the world to come into the present, in foretastes of healing and signs and wonders.


\textsuperscript{812} Keller, ‘Talking About Idolatry.’

Yet a word of caution is needed; the Church ‘must be open to miracles but not dominated by it.’ The possibility of miracles does not negate the importance of going through terrible situations with faith firmly anchored in God. It is important, however, not to reduce the gospel simply to what is done, even miracles, which Wimber calls ‘a gospel of performance’. There is a sense in which, when the gospel is proclaimed, the Church is doing exactly what it has been told to do. There is also a sense in which it is not putting people right regarding their true nature. Yet, as Wimber notes, there needs to be an awareness that it can come across as smug, and even aggressive at times, specifically regarding fear-based evangelism. Some may even tell others about hell with such passion and zeal that it almost seems as if they are glad they may be going there. Evangelism insists that mercy is remembered, that Christians need mercy and that others need mercy. It is only when this truth is adequately understood that evangelism becomes compassionate.

There is also the need to be aware that some aspects of culture today are often antagonistic to direct confrontation with the gospel message. Fernando comments that this is partly due to the privacy with which many people choose to live their lives. People will not want a comment made upon their most intimate issues, and those who made such statement were often guilty of terrible prejudice and social sins. The historical reasons why this mindset is prevalent in our communities today, may be valid according to the criteria which Fernando sets down. What has resulted is a challenge to how the gospel message is proclaimed today. Yet, the fact cannot be ignored that

814 Fyall, Charismatic and Reformed, p.12.
815 Wimber, Everyone Gets to Play, p.34.
816 ‘We want to introduce the world to the God of love who is the solution to each individual’s and every community’s sin, isolation and dysfunction. The trouble is, rather than sending a message of hope around these issues, we’ve often ended up preaching, or being heard to preach, a debilitating and condemnatory message of judgement.’ Chalke and Watkins, The Intelligent Church, pp.52-53.
the message of the cross causes an offence to people (Gal. 5:11). As sin is challenged directly there will be opposition and charges of narrowness. However, when the Church demonstrates that it is not setting itself up to judge people and excuse itself, but that all are on the journey together, then the power of the message is seen. Mixed with the power of the Holy Spirit to overcome such inherent sinfulness, the message is relevant and practical.

What is needed, Wimber argues, is clarity of thinking concerning the relationship between the Church and the kingdom of God. He states that the Church is an avenue to salvation, but not exclusively so; neither is it the exclusive dwelling place of God’s presence. Power evangelism reminds Christians of the calling to be witnesses to the kingdom of God, and that kingdom is greater and more inclusive than their preconceptions and understanding. The result is a change in thinking regarding the power of God, as Don Williams notes. He considers it impossible to understand the Bible apart from a supernatural worldview. The connection between the kingdom of God which is coming and the heralding of that kingdom in evangelism, which demonstrates the power of that kingdom practically, is the essence of the Word and Spirit methodology. Regarding the supernatural manifestations of the Holy Spirit’s presence, O. Palmer Robertson believes that this is why the gift of tongues accompanied the Pentecost event: to demonstrate the universal nature of the gospel. This, according to Wimber, is why Jesus performed miracles to ‘confront people with his message that the

---

818 Wimber and Springer, Power Evangelism, p. 34.
819 Williams, Signs, Wonders and the Kingdom of God, p.48.
820 Williams also believes that the kingdom is the ecumenical glue that holds the varying positions together: ‘This book comes out of a deep conviction that God is restoring an understanding of his kingdom both theologically and experientially in his church today. Moreover, I am convinced that the kingdom provides the biblical key to the Pentecostal experience, the Charismatic Renewal, and the ‘Third Wave’ awakening which have influenced Christian life so dramatically and accelerated the tempo of world evangelism. I also believe that the kingdom will hold these movements on a proper biblical course.’ Williams, Signs, Wonders and the Kingdom of God, p.xi.
821 Robertson, The Final Word, pp.52-53.
kingdom of God had come and that they had to accept or reject it.\textsuperscript{822} When this understanding of evangelism is grasped, it is understood that the gospel is an ultimatum in which individuals must come under the power of the kingdom of God and accept its rule, as Keller says.\textsuperscript{823} The challenge of the kingdom also ought to inspire the Church to reform her practices and reach out to the needy. This is what Wimber calls ‘the first-fruits presence of the kingdom’, where ‘the church acts as a counter-culture and social conscience, ‘salt’ and ‘leaven’ in the world-at-large.’\textsuperscript{824} This happens, according to Keller, in two ways: first as the ‘pilot plant’ of the kingdom, living out the standards of the kingdom in every aspect of life; and, second, as agents of the kingdom, by ‘working for the healing of persons, families, relationships, and nations, it is doing deeds of mercy and seeking justice.’\textsuperscript{825} The power of a Christian testimony in this world is incredible and it ought to inspire even the most nominal believer to follow Christ wholeheartedly. Such a signs and wonders ministry opens hearts to the gospel as it visibly demonstrates the care and compassion of the Church. It also serves an eschatological function, pointing not only to the future, ‘they point to the fact that our compassionate King is here!’\textsuperscript{826} Evangelism is the foretaste of the coming kingdom invading this dominion with power and might, not only to transform people spiritually, but also to see their situations changed, either through signs and wonders, or social ministries of mercy.

\begin{flushleft}
\textsuperscript{822} Wimber and Springer, \textit{The Dynamics of Spiritual Growth}, p.171.
\textsuperscript{823} Keller, \textit{Ministries of Mercy}, p.36.
\textsuperscript{824} Wimber, \textit{The Way In is the Way On}, p.75.
\textsuperscript{825} Keller, \textit{Ministries of Mercy}, p.54
\textsuperscript{826} Wimber, \textit{The Way In is the Way On}, p.190.
\end{flushleft}
Chapter 5:
Discipleship in a Reformed Church

Introduction

Once a person has been incorporated into the community of faith, through evangelism, the next stage in our word and power ministry model is discipleship. This connects a personal experience of Christ with an ongoing commitment to the principles of faith. Wimber argues for reproduction: when individuals are converted through power evangelism and integrated into the Church in discipleship. In one sense discipleship is not an additional element in spiritual formation apart from conversion, but should be normative of all true Christian experience. Alan and Debra Hirsch suggest that evangelism seeks to make disciples and, when it fails in this, it fails in its ‘primary mission.’ They suggest this because they understand the gospel to be more than a personal experience and must have a wider implication through the Church and into society.

---

827 R.K. Hughes suggests that this ought to mean a separation of believers from ‘the world’ which would then minister to the world. R.K. Hughes, Set Apart: Calling a Worldly Church to a Godly Life, (Wheaton, IL: Crossway Books, 2003), p.17. Piper calls this connection ‘Christian hedonism’ that states God is glorified when believers are satisfied in him, so the ongoing discipleship is the pursuit of that satisfaction. J. Piper, When I Don’t Desire God: How to Fight for Joy, (Wheaton, IL: Crossway Books, 2003), p.13. B. Chapell connects the experience of the gospel to the attitudes that Christians have, which ought to be motivated and shaped by grace. B. Chapell, Holiness by Grace: Delighting in the Joy that is our Strength, (Wheaton, IL: Crossway Books, 2001), p.35.

828 Wimber, Kingdom of God, p.15.

829 In a sense this discussion is one of terminology, and popular Evangelical culture has made a distinction between evangelism, that which is done to achieve new converts, and discipleship, that which is done with the new converts. S. Smallman defines this as a change from making ‘decisions for Jesus’ to discipleship. See S. Smallman, What is Discipleship: Basics of the Faith Series, (Phillipsburg, NJ: P&R Publishing, 2011), pp. 14- 15. Brueggemann understands this ongoing work as what evangelism actually is, and that it will never be complete. Brueggemann, Biblical Perspectives on Evangelism, pp.94- 95.

These positions are a critique of a Christianity that seems unconcerned with the wider world and whose primary focus is on individual redemption. This may be a product of an existentialist worldview that struggles to understand the world in which it lives. This may even be encouraged through religious experience. There appears to be a movement away from individual spiritual development to community formation. There may be community elements in discipleship, as in the sacramental model to be considered later, and it is an issue that has been significant in Reformed circles. This is why Charles H. Dunahoo notes that the central aspect of the Church’s mission is to make disciples and to transform these disciples into

---

831 R.C. Solomon, ‘Preface’, in Existentialism, ed. R.C. Solomon, (Columbus, OH: McGraw-Hill Higher Education, 1974), pp.1-2. ‘It is an attitude that recognises the unresolvable confusion of the human world, yet resists the all-too-human temptation to resolve the confusion by grasping toward whatever appears or can be made to appear firm or familiar - reason, God, nation, authority, history, work, tradition, or the “other-worldly,” whether of Plato, Christianity, or utopian fantasy. The existentialist attitude begins with a disoriented individual facing a confused world that he cannot accept. This disorientation and confusion is one of the by-products of the Renaissance, the Reformation, the growth of science, the decline of Church authority, the French Revolution, and the growth of mass militarism and technocracy.’

832 Defining discipleship as a movement may cause some to think of the Discipleship Movement or Shepherding movement of the 1970s and 1980s. The Shepherding Movement refers to the Fort Lauderdale Five (Don Basham, Ern Baxter, Bob Mumford, Derek Prince and Charles Simpson) who pioneered a discipleship model in which every believer was to have a ‘personal, definite, committed relationship with a shepherd’ to enable personal pastoral care and this relationship defined whether the individuals were joined to the Church. This relationship, or personal covering, referred to every aspect of the individual’s life, not just their spiritual development, including ‘etiquette, personal dress, management, budgeting, and basic home, yard and automobile care.’ See S.D. Moore, The Shepherding Movement: Controversy and Charismatic Ecclesiology, (London and New York, NY: T&T Clark International, 2003), pp.73-75. Michael Harper called this ‘the most disturbing controversy to hit the Charismatic Movement.’ He acknowledges the need to integrate believers into local Churches. He seems to suggest that the real issue was how people related to confrontation. M. Harper, Three Sisters: A Provocative Look at Evangelicals, Charismatics and Catholic Charismatics and their Relationship to One Another, (Wheaton, IL: Tyndale House Publishers, 1979), pp.91-92. Problems arose with the abuse of this relationship when a pastor disciplined a family because the wife left town without his permission. This led to Pat Robertson of CBN and the Presbyterian Charismatic Communion raising issues about the validity of this emphasis. Moore, The Shepherding Movement, p.93-96. J. Barrs believes its intentions were good: to address low commitment, poor sense of community and worldliness. Yet the outcome was dangerous: ‘Advice may be sought, community and support must be encouraged, but no single individual has a right to claim to know God’s particular will for another believer. Discipline must be applied only for doctrinal and moral disobedience to Scripture, not for questioning prophecy or ‘covering’. Spiritual immaturity rather than true discipleship results from excessive authority.’ J. Barrs, ‘Shepherding Movement,’ in New Dictionary of Theology, eds. S.B. Ferguson, D.F. Wright, (Leicester: InterVarsity Press, 1988), pp.639-640.
ministers, something that will be considered in Chapter 6.\footnote{833} He further comments that part of this change is to apply the Word of God to every area of life.\footnote{834} This is why discipleship is not an addition to evangelism, but an integral part of it.

Discipleship provides a medium for the congregation to rear, spiritually, those who have come to faith and need guidance on how they ought to live the Christian life. There are different ideas on what is meant by this ideal of spiritual growth. In this discussion, I will adopt the definition given by Wimber:

> Spiritual growth is more difficult to define and chart than physical growth. But I think it can be summed up in one statement: Spiritual growth is the process we go through here on earth of becoming more Christlike.\footnote{835}

This takes not only new converts, but those who exist on the fringe of congregational life, into a deeper relationship with Christ and a greater degree of commitment to the local congregation. It is an all of life definition.\footnote{836} Wimber compares this to the weaning and rearing of natural children – hard work.\footnote{837} Part of this rearing is encouraging and equipping them to withstand the attractions of the world, which will only be accomplished through prayer, Bible

---


\footnote{834} ‘The primary objectives of the kingdom approach of disciple making include knowing, understanding, and applying God’s Word to all of life. It also involves living lives more obedient to God’s commands. Transforming the way a person thinks and lives is a key in serving and ministering to those who are the image bearers of God both inside and outside the church community. Bringing all thoughts captive to Christ is also essential. This kingdom model produces Christians with a self conscious understanding of an all pervasive philosophy of life.’ Dunahoo, Making Kingdom Disciples, pp.11-12.

\footnote{835} Wimber and Springer, The Dynamics of Spiritual Growth, p.3.

\footnote{836} ‘The word disciple is used more frequently than Christian to refer to believers in the Bible. This repeated usage tells us that disciple is a fundamental category for Christians. We are disciples first and parents, employees, pastors, deacons, and spouses second. Disciple is an identity; everything else is a role. Our roles are temporary but our identity will last forever.’ Dodson, Gospel Centered Discipleship, p.29.

\footnote{837} Wimber, ‘Leadership and Followership’ This process of growth never ends: ‘Adults reach full height, a point beyond which they will grow no taller. But Christians never reach a point beyond which they cannot still grow.’ Wimber and Springer, The Dynamics of Spiritual Growth, p.4.
study and spiritual disciplines.\textsuperscript{838} It is encouraging the believer to pursue a relationship with Christ, beyond the ‘mediocre level of the world’, and not fall into the individualism of Evangelicalism noted earlier.\textsuperscript{839} This fellowship includes a connection with people and a commitment to spend time together growing in the understanding of their faith. Discipleship, therefore, could become adherence to the rules of the local or denominational community and how they understand the world. It may be more helpful to understand discipleship as aiding the convert to understand the implications of their conversion rather than their opposition to the world.

This chapter considers how discipleship is practised within the Christian Church and reflects upon the challenges that Wimber’s theology has to a traditional Reformed approach. There appears to be a paucity of literature seeking to integrate the Reformed and Charismatic models of discipleship.\textsuperscript{840} This chapter seeks to bridge this gap through considering three contextual examples: the behavioural approach, in which the local congregation becomes the discipleship educator; the proclamation model, in which the emphasis is placed upon listening to preaching and Bible studies; and the sacramental model, in which baptism and communion are central to the discipleship process. I have chosen the models, outlined below, for a number of reasons. Robert Warner speaks of an experiential element (behavioural), a relational element (sacramental), and a doctrinal element (proclamation).\textsuperscript{841}

\begin{flushright}
\textsuperscript{838} Wimber and Springer, \textit{Power Evangelism}, p.40: ‘Fellowship with other Christians in local Churches – outposts of the kingdom – is a primary defence against being taken in by the world. Prayer, Bible study and spiritual disciplines such as fasting are necessary not only to gain God’s power and insight but also to equip us to resist the world.’
\end{flushright}

\begin{flushright}
\end{flushright}

\begin{flushright}
\textsuperscript{840} Hull, \textit{New Century Disciplemaking}; B. Hull, \textit{The Disciplemaking Church}; Dunahoo, \textit{Making Kingdom Disciples}; L.C. Camp, \textit{Mere Discipleship: Radical Christianity in a Rebellious World}, (Grand Rapids, MI: Brazos Press, 2008). There is a wider corpus of material on the issue of discipleship from both Charismatic and Reformed positions, as is seen in this chapter. My contribution is to attempt to connect the Reformed and Charismatic models through Wimber.
\end{flushright}

\begin{flushright}
\end{flushright}
The same pattern is seen in David Watson’s theory of creating community through ‘human interactions’ of covenant love (behavioural) and aiding growth through ministry gifts (proclamation) on the basis of our fellowship, which is holy communion (sacramental).\textsuperscript{842} Kathryn Tanner speaks of discipleship as listening to the Word of God (proclamation), being inspired by the actions of others (behavioural) and experiencing Christian practices (sacramental).\textsuperscript{843} Dallas Willard speaks of conformity of lifestyle (behavioural), formal association (sacramental) and being taught (proclamation).\textsuperscript{844} These models will be compared with Wimber’s suggestions and I will be asking whether his Charismatic model is in sympathy with, and complementary to, these other models.

Discipleship is closely linked to evangelism because the Church is not just to reach ‘people with the gospel message and bring them to a decision for Christ’, but to make them disciples.\textsuperscript{845} This implies change from living in one way to living for God. As Wimber notes, this is more than making a decision and waiting for Christ’s return. Wimber argues that this experience affects every aspect of the believer’s life, including morality, relationships, decision making and even emotions.\textsuperscript{846} What he is arguing for is an experience of Christianity that is more than a hope of eternal life, but an experience of eternal life in the present. This experience consists of spiritual gifts that are


\textsuperscript{846} J. Wimber, \textit{Kingdom Living}, pp.6-7. A.W. Tozer makes some of the same comments about living for God in the world when believers think of their lives less as being at war with all that is opposed to God and more of being at play, enjoying the world around us. A.W. Tozer, ‘This World: Playground or Battleground?’ in \textit{This World: Playground or Battleground}, (Camp Hill, PA: OM Publishing, 1989), pp.4-5.
open to all believers. Wimber wants committed Christians who are eager and willing to follow Christ as disciples in every aspect of their lives. It is a critique of nominalism. Discipleship, therefore, roots the believer in the present, by their experience of salvation, and focuses them on the future, when they will go to be with Christ. This living relationship with Christ is what Dietrich Bonhoeffer understands as the essence of discipleship. This understands Christ not as an abstract truth, but as a living reality. Evangelism ought to have introduced this idea, and a conversion experience should have ratified it. Discipleship is seeking to take this to the next stage of relationship. This dovetails with Wimber’s Charismatic model in which a dynamic and living relationship with the Holy Spirit is birthed in discipleship. Yet, as Bonhoeffer notes, it is a relationship with Christ and, so, this may be one area in which Charismatic discipleship fails.

Wimber comments that people who come to Church will stay only if they have a sense of the reality of their faith, which directs them throughout their lives. Applying this to a Reformed context aids not only those on the fringes of the congregation to grow spiritually, but also for those who join the congregation to feel part of the local Church community.

---

849 There has been some debate whether conversion is to Jesus as Saviour but not as Lord, mostly from a dispensational position. C.C. Ryrie questions lordship on the basis of compulsion, suggesting that it is grace which motivates devotion and commitment, not legalism. Accordingly, separating lordship from salvation makes discipleship legal and not of grace. C.C. Ryrie, Balancing the Christian Life, New Edition, (Chicago, IL: Moody Press, 1994), p.168. L.S. Chafer comments that true Christianity allows the believer to do exactly whatever they may want because it is of grace. L.S. Chafer, Grace: The Glorious Theme, (Grand Rapids, MI: Zondervan Publishing House, 1950), p.345. Z.C. Hodges suggests that this is simply accepting Christ at his word, with no conditions. Z.C. Hodges, The Gospel Under Siege: A Study on Faith and Works, (Dallas, TX: Rendecion Viva, 1981), p.14. E.C. Reisinger responds to this view, from a Reformed perspective, by stating that without lordship Christianity is ‘bankrupt’. E.C. Reisinger, Lord and Christ: The Implications of Lordship for Faith and Life, (Phillipsburg, NJ: P&R Publishing, 1994), p.6. Lordship would state that discipleship is part of accepting Christ as the Lord of life as well as Saviour; the opposing view believes that this is legislating discipleship which is contrary to grace. See also S. McKnight, The King Jesus Gospel: The Original Good News Revisited, (Grand Rapids, MI: Zondervan Publishing House, 2011).
movement beyond any emotionalism of the initial conversion experience, to seeing quality commitments, not only to God, but to the local Church. Discipleship is not, therefore, just about the theological orthodoxy of a confession of faith, but how that confession affects a Christian’s life. Discipleship is concerned, therefore, with the application of the conversion experience to the daily life of the believer, moving from observation to participation in ministry. This is the idea of this chapter, that it bridges the evangelism and ministry models.

According to Wimber, spiritual growth develops with ‘certain crucial moments’, which he calls ‘powerpoints.’ These experiences teach commitment and devotion to Christ while, at the same time, challenging how lives are lived. They are both facilitative, as they are the access though which power is reached, and episodic, as they are the events by which power effects change. They hone the manner in which Christians relate vertically, towards God, and horizontally, towards others. Powerpoints determine the extent of spiritual growth because they challenge assumptions about the Christian faith. It is often during these times of trials and difficulty that many believers begin to question their faith and ask some difficult questions about the reality of that faith. There may be a danger of seeing these events as being purely subjective. However, Wimber asserts that they are ‘rooted in objective truth about God’, and the ‘heart of each powerpoint is biblical truth.’ This means that however the individual believer may feel, or whatever difficult questions the experiences may make them ask about God, their purpose is never to

---


852 Percy, *Power and the Church*, p.10. This sits in context with the experiences recorded in 2 Corinthians 11:24-28.

853 Wimber and Springer, *The Dynamics of Spiritual Growth*, p.5. The issue of subjectivity needs to be noted because these powerpoints are not simply unregulated personal experiences. There are criteria which, Wimber notes, define these experiences in terms of spiritual development. The results are also evident in producing a Christlikeness in the believer. The evidence is, in a sense, the justification of the authenticity of the experience.
drive them away from faith. There may be a sense in which the end is justifying the means here. As long as there are positive, spiritual outcomes in discipleship, then it could be deemed to be authentic.

The Behavioural Model

One discipleship model emphasises right behaviour as an evidence of spiritual growth. There is, within the behavioural model, an emphasis on believers being present at the Sunday worship services, to hear the Word of God and be discipled, harmonising with the proclamation model. Wimber writes that fellowship is more than socialising, but living our lives in common and demonstrating that commonality through generosity toward one another.854 This may be seen as building a dependence upon an individual or institution for spiritual security. If that individual or institution should fail, then the spiritual world of the believer will be detrimentally affected.

Worship is also greater than a service on a specific day. Wimber believes that it encompasses every aspect of our lives, giving us a sense of purpose and reason.855 This is a common theme with Tchividjian who believes that worship connects believers with their true identity, as citizens of the kingdom of God.856 When believers understand that their discipleship gives them a sense of participation in a purpose greater than their own lives, it also aids bridging the gap between evangelism and ministry. Part of growth in discipleship is an understanding that more is needed than that which can be provided for in a Sunday worship service. R. Bundschuh comments that this would produce ‘spiritual malnutrition’ because, although adequately fed on Sundays, they are not feeding themselves throughout the week.857 There

855 Wimber, The Way in is the Way On, p.131.
856 Tchividjian, The Kingdom of God, pp.3-4.
needs to develop a weekly diet of worship that practises fellowship throughout
the week, and encourages the sense of community that exists within the local
Church.

While the behavioural model alone may not be enough for spiritual
growth, there appears to be some element of truth in the fact that Christians
must be accountable for their spiritual development and must see a change in
behaviour. This is what Wimber calls ‘spiritual acumen’: not just whether
people exercise any spiritual gifts they may use, but it is the degree to which
Christians act on what they believe.\textsuperscript{858} The behavioural model tells us that
discipleship is not just what is done, but what inspires people to do it. This is
how David Jackman defines maturity: not whether Christians do specific
things, but how our beliefs interact with our lives.\textsuperscript{859} This will mean that a
passion for spiritual growth will be evident, as will a love and commitment for
the Church, a passion for missions, and a common love for the people of God.
Where these are absent it may rightly be asked if the discipleship model, in
general, has failed.

The notion of sacrifice is implicit within this model. Ryken comments
that the Christian life is one of sacrifice, from its inception to its end. This
understanding places Christianity, not as an element of a disciple’s life, but as
the defining characteristic of the disciple’s life.\textsuperscript{860} This can be positive, as
Christians make their faith the central axiom of their lives. Yet, taken to an
extreme, it can produce a performance-based discipleship model that is about
doing and not being. This tends to be the pattern of the more fundamentalist

\textsuperscript{858} Wimber, \textit{Everyone Gets to Play}, p.53. He further states: ‘Gifts are to character as
adornments are to a body . . . So it is with spiritual gifts. They are to be adornments to a
well formed character, which is the foundation for properly displaying them.’ Wimber,
\textit{Kingdom Living}, pp.9-10.

\textsuperscript{859} D. Jackman, \textit{Understanding the Church: Getting Your Congregation to Work}, (Fearn:

\textsuperscript{860} Ryken, \textit{City on a Hill}, p.112-3.
believer who relies upon their minister or pastor to give them a set of rules by which to live. Wimber states that our behaviour is never quite as good as Christ’s standards. Wimber, however, understands these works as God’s Works, which he performs through believers as they listen to him through prayer and Bible study, not as they obey a set of rules and regulations. The result of this is that the Holy Spirit strengthens the Church to do these acts. Discipleship cannot be watered down to a list of behavioural norms, because these norms would differ from culture to culture.

A historical example from the Reformed tradition is that of John Bunyan in his work *Christian Behaviour*. William P. Farley notes that Bunyan’s life was characterised by persecution and suffering because of his theological principles. There may be some sense in which the behavioural model is a product of the proclamation model. Bunyan was a self-educated man, and this may be why his emphasis was on a behavioural model, because he had to work out his theology practically rather than academically. He addressed how believers are to act, specifically new converts, so that they may live correctly for God, in every aspect of their lives, becoming flowers ‘that stand and grow.’ He outlines four principles concerning good deeds: they flow from faith; Christians ought to be careful that their works are good; they must maintain their good works; and, their good works will affirm justification by faith. Bunyan’s emphasis on good works may have sprung from his own

---

861 Wimber and Springer, *Power Evangelism*, p.42. Good works, are to be seen as the necessary outcome of salvation by grace and faith (Eph. 2:8-10).


863 W.P. Farley, ‘John Bunyan: The Faithful Tinker from Bedford,’ *Enrichment*, (Summer, 2004), p.93. He further notes that through his imprisonment he was enabled to write books and spend time in Bible study. p.94.


experience of the Bedford Independent Church where, according to Raymond Brown, he experienced Christians whose lives were an evidence of their beliefs.\textsuperscript{866} Bunyan is not suggesting that these good works are salvific, but that they are the evidence and focus of the justified life: ‘put yourself into a conscientious performance of them.’\textsuperscript{867} John Owen adds the caveat that it is not just what Christians are doing, but their intention in doing them.\textsuperscript{868} J.C. Ryle uses the example of the Christian as a soldier who never ceases fighting and, so, must not become weary in doing good.\textsuperscript{869} These examples highlight the emphasis, in many Reformed Churches, on behaviour and obedience seen in action. This may mean that the emphasis on doing becomes greater than the emphasis on being, as the spirituality of believers is determined by their activity. This can lead to personal exhaustion and a judgementalism toward others who do not appear as active.

One means of developing spiritual growth, within a congregation, is through home/fellowship groups. Gibbs and Coffey see it as evangelistic as much as it is didactic, encouraging Christians to get to know unbelievers intimately so that the gospel can be communicated relevantly and clearly.\textsuperscript{870} To develop a sense of community is a positive matter in Christian growth because it not only develops a sense of belonging, but also a sense of mutual accountability. There is potential for spiritual discipline to be exercised as the members of the groups invest time and energy in each other. Discipleship

\textsuperscript{867} Bunyan, \textit{Christian Behaviour}, p.555.
\textsuperscript{870} Gibbs and Coffey, \textit{Church Next} p.197.
may take time, as White states, but it is a worthwhile activity.\textsuperscript{871} This happens as individual members feel they matter and they can contribute to the group through, as Richard Peace suggests, the sharing of their own personal histories and stories.\textsuperscript{872} This may produce an ‘authentic Christian community’, which Ryken believes would speak clearly for God’s standards through preaching and worship, even if the world around them rejects such community.\textsuperscript{873} Such a sense of community not only aids spiritual growth and discipleship, but also provides a means for evangelism by creatively showing the world that humanity is about interpersonal relationships.

When the personal renewing of the Holy Spirit is added to the formation of small groups, then the experience is heightened and intensified, as J. Rodman Williams describes. A new enthusiasm and sense of joy invades every aspect of Church life as the Church ministers to its own needs.\textsuperscript{874} As this passion grows, what results is an increased attendance at public worship and commitment to the spiritual life of a congregation. Not only is the behavioural model helpful concerning spiritual discipline, it is also helpful concerning Christian relationships.\textsuperscript{875} Wimber writes that commitment

\textsuperscript{871} ‘While discipleship takes time, it is not merely a product of time or a by-product of mere ‘exposure’ to the Christian subculture. Churches are full of individuals who have spent years as Christians yet live lives that reflect little of the fruit of the Holy Spirit. The reason for this is simple. Life change is not a question of time as much as intentionally.’ White, \textit{Rethinking the Church}, p.72.


\textsuperscript{873} Ryken, \textit{City on a Hill}, p.32.


\textsuperscript{875} ‘When people find their niche in a church and stay there, they enter the comfort zone. Nothing challenges their fears, confronts them with their weaknesses, or asks them to expand their strength. The key word is \textit{sameness} . . . Though comfort zone Christians may dream about change, they awake to major realities that prove too tough to battle. They resist the push to expand or be creative by answering calls to fine tune the institutional machinery. People become too comfortable with their leaders, roles and mediocrity. Keeping the vision before the people breaks the back of institutionalism. Challenge them to face their fears and work on their strengths – and more important, to find and develop their strengths. Encourage them to move into their strong points, and keep the organisation in motion.’ Hull, \textit{New Century Disciple Making}, p.91.
to God is commitment to his Church, as Christians love what Christ loves (Gal. 2:2; Tit. 2:14).\textsuperscript{876} He further notes that Christ often sees the Church differently from humanity; he sees it as a bride preparing for marriage and for which he will return. If people become connected to one another in this family atmosphere, then they are more likely to stay the course because they are seeking relationship and identity that will aid them through life’s trials.\textsuperscript{877} When it is demonstrated that Christians are prepared to be committed to God through commitment to his Church, learning to ‘love the people we would not necessarily even like’\textsuperscript{878}, both ecumenically and locally, it may be not only a discipleship tool but also an evangelistic tool.

**The Proclamation Model**

One of the most widely used methods, amongst Evangelical Churches, is that of proclamation. It places the preaching of the Bible as central to all aspects of the Church’s witness.\textsuperscript{879} Wimber desires that people would know God and hear his voice through the Bible, being not only biblically ‘literate’, but also biblically ‘obedient.’\textsuperscript{880} When moving from listening to practising, discipleship is doing what it is meant to do. In this model obedience comes through adherence to the guidebook; namely, the Bible. As Christians read and digest its teaching, they aim to practise what it says. Wimber comments that it is not

---

\textsuperscript{876} Wimber, *Everyone Gets to Play*, pp.34-35.

\textsuperscript{877} Wimber, *Everyone Gets to Play*, p.45.

\textsuperscript{878} Wimber, *Everyone Gets to Play*, p.121, pp.118-119.

\textsuperscript{879} P. Adam notes that the emphasis of preaching ought to be corporate and not exclusively individual: ‘But the Bible’s main address is to the community of faith, the church . . . Preaching, in our definition, is a public presentation of the truth.’ P. Adam, *Speaking God’s Words: A Practical Theology of Preaching*, (Vancouver, BC: Regent College Publishing, 1996), pp.70-71. Old writes: ‘With the sixteenth century Reformation, biblical preaching once again took a prominent place in the regular worship of the church.’ Old, *Worship Reformed According to Scripture*, p.70. N. Lee writes: ‘Preaching is part of the Reformation heritage . . . Yet nowadays we inhabit a culture in which learning from words, whether printed or spoken, is increasingly difficult. There is a growing disillusionment with the kind of expository preaching that has been so crucial to the Reformation tradition. It is held to be less and less appropriate in a visually oriented, post-literate society.’ N. Lee, *The Trouble with Expository Preaching,* *Whitefield Briefing*, (November, 2002: 6:6), p.1.

\textsuperscript{880} Wimber, *Everyone Gets to Play*, p.17.
how much time is spent in Bible study that matters, but the impact that the Bible has on Christian’s lives which is significant.\textsuperscript{881} Without such a commitment to the Bible, therefore, a Christian would be undisciplined and could possibly slide into heresy.\textsuperscript{882} Wimber notes that if Christians are to live an obedient life to God, then that life must be true to the Bible.\textsuperscript{883} This is one of the reasons why I believe it is important to reconcile the ethos of Wimber with that of a Reformed and Evangelical congregation, because of the apparent similarities.

The proclamation model claims to provide a firm foundation upon which to build Christian experience. However, something more is required - a submission to doctrine.\textsuperscript{884} It is possible to be a Christian who is very well taught on matters of Christian doctrine, yet live in a way that appears to contradict that doctrine. Wimber, because of this, wants believers to interact with something more than information; for, if discipleship is solely that then, he believes, it leads to ‘dead orthodoxy’.\textsuperscript{885} Philip Jensen calls this the technological triumph over theology, where the text is argued away or coldly applied.\textsuperscript{886} There is also a tendency to look for a Bible verse only when things go awry in life. Wimber likens this to taking medication rather than the daily nourishment that is needed to grow and develop.\textsuperscript{887} Wimber’s assessment

\begin{flushright}
\textsuperscript{881} Wimber, \textit{The Way in is the Way On}, p.112.

\textsuperscript{882} Pawson comments that believers are considered ‘sound’ in some circles because they know certain proof texts for their beliefs, making faith an intellectual exercise, and the result is separation from all considered not ‘sound’ in doctrine: ‘This tendency to divide is sometimes extended to the point of isolating those who may be orthodox themselves but who relate with those who are not, a practice known as ‘guilt by association’. The result is that circles of fellowship become progressively smaller and more exclusive.’ Pawson, \textit{Word and Spirit Together}, p.113.

\textsuperscript{883} Wimber, \textit{The Way in is the Way On}, p.51.

\textsuperscript{884} Wimber and Springer, \textit{The Dynamics of Spiritual Growth}, p.6.

\textsuperscript{885} Wimber and Springer, \textit{The Dynamics of Spiritual Growth}, p.9.


\textsuperscript{887} Wimber, \textit{The Dynamics of Spiritual Growth}, p.45.
\end{flushright}
appears correct, not only regarding Evangelicalism, but also some elements of the Charismatic Movement in which claiming biblical promises is encouraged when life’s trials come along. While this is a challenge to the proclamation-based model, it is a wider problem when people turn to God as a last ditch attempt to find reason for their trials.

The Reformed model I have chosen to reflect upon is that of Martyn Lloyd-Jones, minister of Westminster Chapel London from 1939-1968. Lloyd-Jones professed and practised the centrality of preaching in Christian worship. He devotes a whole book to the subject of preaching and, while most of his advice is for those who are practitioners, he does outline a close connection between preaching and revival. The effect of preaching is to enliven the Christians who hear and to connect them to the power and presence of God. Martin Downes notes this when he comments that Lloyd-Jones saw preaching as ‘a transaction between the pulpit and pew . . . coupled with the expectation that God is present and is dealing with people.’ This reminds Christians of what has been recorded in the Bible as the standard for their Christian living. This connection between revival and preaching is a significant theme in Lloyd-Jones. Robert V. Rakestraw writes that, while people may claim Church to be boring, not to preach the truth in the power of the Spirit is much worse. The effect of preaching is always more important than the entertainment of the listeners because its purpose is

---


889 M. Lloyd-Jones, Preaching and Preachers, (London: Hodder and Stoughton, 1971). ‘What is it that heralds the dawn of a Reformation or of a Revival? It is renewed preaching. Not only a new interest in preaching but a new kind of preaching. A revival of preaching has always heralded these great movements in the history of the Church.’ pp. 24-25.


to produce an obedience to what the Bible says, so that discipleship may grow.

A marriage between the Word and Spirit is suggested as necessary for balanced Christian growth. Pawson notes that there must be both experience and theology for discipleship to be true and valid, otherwise it will become barren or dangerous. Experiencing God and knowing the truth requires the Bible, yet more is needed: an experience of the God of the Bible. Kendall gives a word of caution: he believes the reason more signs and wonders are not seen is because there is more interest in them than in the Bible. Understanding the Bible as the central axis of our faith may be positive, but there is more to experiencing God than just the words on the page. This happens through personal experience with the Holy Spirit who inspired the writing and makes it come alive.

Practically speaking, there may also be a need for cross-pollination between the Charismatic and Reformed streams. Fyall comments that the Charismatic practice of reducing the sermon to a homily, ‘reinforces the idea that preaching is something which interrupts worship’ when it ought to lead us into deeper worship. This blending of the ethos of the two streams may be healthy and positive. The central role of the Bible in worship and spiritual formation is emphasised by Reformed and Evangelical theology, as has been noted. Even amongst those who profess the strongest adherence to the Bible, there is an attitude that likes to keep things in their prescribed order. So,

---

892 ‘Charismatics without evangelicals become vulnerable to being ‘blown here and there by every eddy of teaching’ (Eph. 4:14). Evangelicals without Charismatics are of equal concern. Sound doctrine does not ensure spiritual dynamic. Exegesis is no substitute for experience. The church is meant to be powerful as well as pure.’ Pawson, Word and Spirit Together, p.10.


894 Fyall, Charismatic and Reformed, pp.2-3.
keeping biblical preaching central, but encouraging a Charismatic response to it, may be a positive alternative.

Fyall argues that preaching needs to be understood in a Charismatic manner – prophetically. The fundamental approach to preaching, he argues, must be expositional, and the twin errors of emphasising only those passages that reference spiritual gifts, or ‘preach the gospel only’ sermons, should be avoided. He concludes: ‘In all church the declaring of the whole of the Scriptures is a prerequisite of the power of the Spirit.’

This is the true ecumenical spirit of the Reformed/Charismatic model of ministry in which inspiration is sought from many texts of the Bible to direct an understanding of the Holy Spirit’s work.

The Sacramental Model

The sacramental model of discipleship emphasises baptism and communion as integral parts of spiritual development and a more liturgical form of worship.

Baptism is a central aspect of the theology of the Reformed Churches, and the WCF notes that ‘it is a great sin to contemn or neglect this

---

895 Fyall, Charismatic and Reformed, p.3.

896 Some Reformed theologians, in North America, have developed a salvific theology that includes baptism and communion, calling themselves the ‘Federal Vision’. Norman Shepherd writes that the ‘covenant sign and seal’ marks an individual as converted and a member of the Church, so that, from a covenantal perspective, individuals are united to Christ from the moment of baptism. N. Shepherd, The Call of Grace: How the Covenant Illuminates Salvation and Evangelism, (Phillipsburg, NJ: P&R Publishing, 2000), p.94. While not directly related to the Federal Visions his influence is seen in their theology. ‘One would also have to identify Norman Shepherd as a fountainhead of the central teachings of the Federal Vision.’ Waters, The Federal Vision and Covenant Theology, p.301 n.2. This theology sits more comfortably with paedobaptist Churches than credobaptist Churches. Paedobaptism refers to the baptism of children, see Crooks, Salvation’s Sign and Seal. Credobaptism can be defined as follows: ‘In fact, I believe that the Bible authorises the baptism of disciples alone. This position may also be called credobaptism from the Latin verb credo, meaning believe or trust. Other designations are believer’s baptism, confessor’s baptism or professor’s baptism, all synonyms describing the baptism of disciples alone.’ F. Malone, The Baptism of Disciples Alone: A Covenantal Argument for Credobaptism Versus Paedobaptism, (Cape Coral, FL: Founders Press, 2003), p.xiii.
ordinance’. According to Bradford, there is a developing theology that equates water baptism with Spirit baptism as an initiatory rite into the Christian community. If the Spirit is understood to be received at baptism, it would make the rite more spiritual than a naming ceremony. If a rite of confirmation were to be introduced then it could fulfil the place of a second blessing to some charismatics. This may be a form of baptismal regeneration, in which the spiritual reality of faith is linked to the physical act of baptism. This has not been the traditional view in Reformed Churches. For Reformed Churches the connection between baptism and Church membership is important, however. Within this model, the notion of the

---

897 WCF, 28:5. This symbolically illustrates an element of the gospel, the washing away of sin, and when publicly administered presents an object lesson of spiritual truth. While accepted into the Church in baptism, there is a further element in spiritual development when the baptised makes a personal profession of faith.

898 This associates the means of entrance into the visible Church through baptism, whether as an infant or believer, with entrance into the Invisible Church through the reception of the Holy Spirit. Bradford, Releasing the Power of the Holy Spirit, pp.12-13. In recent years this view has developed into a loosely held theological group called the Federal Vision or Auburn Avenue Theology. See G.P. Waters, The Federal Vision and Covenant Theology: A Comparative Analysis, (Phillipsburg, NJ: P&R Publishing, 2006). M. Jeschke believes that this association between baptism and membership of the Church in the Anabaptist Community has been detrimental to the doctrine of baptism itself separating it from personal faith. M. Jeschke, Believers Baptism for Children of the Church, (Eugene, OR: Wipf and Stock Publishers, 1983), pp.52-53.

899 ‘Therefore, the children of Christian parents benefit by that which baptism signifies. It signifies that, as water washes away the dirt of the body, so Christ’s blood washes away the sin of the soul . . . Although these promises are not fulfilled in infants at the moment at which baptism is ministered, the Lord Jesus will effectually work by the power of His Holy Spirit in the hearts of His chosen all that is signified in baptism, in His appointed time.’ The Reformed Book of Common Order, ed. G.M. Dale, (Edinburgh: National Church Association of the Church of Scotland, 1988), pp.18-19. The view of Presbyterians has been called ‘presumptive’ regeneration, that the children of believing parents are baptised because they are presumed to be regenerate. L.B. Schenck, The Presbyterian Doctrine of Children in the Covenant: An Historical Study of the Significance of Infant Baptism in the Presbyterian Church, (Phillipsburg, NJ: P&R Publishing, 2003), p.135. J. Murray also notes that there is no connection in Reformed theology between baptism and regeneration but there is between baptism and union with Christ. J. Murray, Christian Baptism, (Phillipsburg, NJ: P&R Publishing, 1980), pp. 4-5.

900 ‘In the New Testament, it was baptism that marked people off as being members of the visible church . . . Repentance and faith in Jesus by people who have not been previously baptised, leads to baptism, which leads to admission to the visible church. It is through baptism that we are brought within the fellowship of God’s people, the visible Church. It is important to stress this because some Christians tend to divorce baptism and admission into the fellowship of God’s people. They are prepared to baptise people without incorporating them into the visible church.’ R.M. Crooks, Salvation’s Sign and Seal: What do Paedobaptists Really Believe? (Fearn: Christian Focus Publications, 1997), pp.30-31. The Presbyterian position has emphasised the faith of the parents in baptism, not the regenerative power of the sacrament. Murray, Christian Baptism, p.77; WCF, 28:4.
community of faith is significant because that community of faith gives meaning to the individual member of it.

Wimber notes that evangelism not only focuses on individual disciples, but also building bodies of people, because human beings were created for fellowship, as has been seen in the behavioural model. The connection between the Spirit and the sacraments is supported by Ellen T. Charry who notes that the Spirit works as the agent of ‘Christianisation’ in the sacraments. This requires a higher view of the sacraments than may be common in many Evangelical Churches, but a view that appears to be in sympathy with the teaching of the Reformed Churches. In conceding that the Spirit makes water, bread and wine something spiritual, there may be merit in asserting, as has been noted previously, that miracles as a result of prayer may also be included. This places the idea of the community of faith, as an interpreter of faith, central to Christianity.

A historical example for this model is John Williamson Nevin, a nineteenth-century theologian and convert from Scot/Irish Presbyterianism to the German Reformed tradition, who eventually taught in their seminary in Mercerberg, PA. Nevin’s primary work on the sacraments was The Mystical Presence: A Vindication of the Reformed or Calvinistic Doctrine of the Holy Eucharistic. His thesis is that the nineteenth-century practice had fallen away from the sacramental doctrines of the sixteenth century, and that there was a need to return to the ‘externalisation’ of the Christian faith. He restates the doctrine of the real presence of Christ in the Eucharist, but takes it to an

---

eschatological conclusion, where its consummation is heaven. Nevin sees the celebration of the Lord’s Supper as a central aspect in the spiritual formation of the believer, and an ongoing experience of spiritual growth that leads into eternity. He also believes that this is different from the Roman Catholic position in that believers do not eat Christ, but are mutually connected to him. Although a Reformed position, it was not without its critics. Charles Hodge, coming from a scientific and realist view, who had been Nevin’s professor at Princeton Seminary, disagreed with his position because he distrusted anything speculative. He saw Christianity primarily as a system of doctrine, whereas Nevin understood it as a life to be lived. Nevin’s position may lend itself to a Charismatic approach in which the experience of spiritual life is as important as the understanding of spiritual life; that is the equality of orthodoxy and orthopraxy.

This emphasis on the sacrament, with its understanding of Roman Catholicism (WCF 25:6), may be difficult to relate to the Reformed position. Yet, as Wimber states, the Church’s goal is to be aware of our worldview and to change it so that those elements which are contrary to our faith are excluded. Anything that encourages hatred of another individual because of their culture, politics or religion is a worldview that can be challenged and,

---

905 ‘Thus, the sacramental doctrine of the primitive Reformed Church stands inseparably connected with the idea of an inward living union between believers and Christ, in virtue of which they are incorporated into his very nature, and made to subsist with him by the power of a common life. In full correspondence with the conception of the Christian salvation, as a process by which the believer is mystically inserted more and more into the person of Christ, till he becomes thus at last fully transformed into his image, it was held than-nothing less than such a real participation of his living person is involved always in the right use of the Lord’s supper.’ Williamson, The Mystical Presence, p.27.


907 J.G. Bonome, Incarnation and Sacrament: The Eucharistic Controversy between Charles Hodge and John Williamson Nevin, (Eugene, OR: Wipf and Stock, 2010), pp. 7-17. There are also areas of commonality between Nevin and Hodge including a distrust of the growing revivalism of the nineteenth century. P.J. Wallace sees a movement in Nevin away from his Scotch/Irish background toward a more continental Reformed position where he becomes more Reformed than the German Reformed Church of his day. P.J. Wallace, ‘History and Sacrament: John Williamson Nevin and Charles Hodge on the Lord’s Supper’, Mid-America Journal of Theology, (2000), pp. 175-176.

908 Wimber and Springer, Power Evangelism, p.131.
therefore, is open to the challenge of community. The community of the Church is a community of grace, as Donald G. Bloesch notes, and this grace works, not from the leadership down but from the membership upwards. Sacramentalism teaches the Church to sanctify every aspect of its individual lives and have that holiness affect all relationships of their lives.

There may be an argument that the sacraments need a greater emphasis amongst the Evangelical and Reformed Churches today than is generally given. Abraham comments that the Church needs to rethink the connection between evangelism and baptism, thus connecting salvation and initiation into the Church.

There may be an assumption that a defined format of worship, and a sacramental theology, rule out the extempore work of the Spirit. Wimber attempts to answer this criticism by emphasising the appropriate kind of order that is necessary: the kind of order that separates the living from the dead, for both are highly organised. To apply his theology to a Reformed context does not necessarily imply that form and ritual need to be purged. There may be a need to have a semblance of order, otherwise worship may descend into extreme individualism without any idea of corporate identity. It can be concluded, therefore, that sacramentalism alone is not necessarily incompatible with Wimber’s theology, but could be understood as a Charismatic model also.

---


Wimber notes the purpose of the sacraments is to point us away from ourselves and towards Christ and our salvation experience in him.\textsuperscript{912} Sacramentalism, that builds a dependence upon the sacraments themselves, is a self-defeating model. However, when explained through the power of the Spirit and biblically, what they signify becomes a promise to believers.\textsuperscript{913} A sacramental emphasis may be something very helpful; when people see the sacrament observed, and hear the explanation of what it means, they experience the grace of God illustrated by the sacrament. This will add faith to the sacrament and enable observance to become participation. In the essence of what the sacrament signifies, Peterson sees the sacrament of communion as having a threefold focus: the past, with what happened on the cross to effect salvation; the present, in which the faith of the people of God is expressed in worship; and, the future consummation at the return of Christ.\textsuperscript{914} This would link the sacramental to the proclamation model as the meaning of the sacrament is explained and the experience encouraged.

The sacramental life, specifically baptism, may have a powerful effect upon a person's life. Within the Reformed Churches the majority practice is that of infant baptism. Yet, when the message of covenantal faith is remembered, the understanding changes.\textsuperscript{915} Wimber believes baptism needs to be rethought. He suggests removing the sentimentality and nostalgia associated with it, and beginning to understand it as a matter of obedience and ‘a declaration of war.’\textsuperscript{916} Whether paedobaptist or credobaptist, both

\textsuperscript{912} Wimber and Springer, \textit{The Dynamics of Spiritual Growth}, pp.149-150.
\textsuperscript{913} WCF 27:3.
\textsuperscript{914} Peterson, \textit{Five Smooth Stones for Pastoral Work}, pp.62-63.
\textsuperscript{915} It is beyond the remit of this work to debate the rectitude of baptismal theology. The Reformed understanding of the sacrament, as held within PCI, is based upon the promise to Abraham (Gen. 17:7) and Peter’s sermon at Pentecost (Ac. 2:38-39). It is further enshrined in the WCF: ‘The efficacy of Baptism is not tied to that moment of time wherein it is administered; yet notwithstanding, by the right use of this ordinance, the grace promised is not only offered, but really exhibited and conferred, by the Holy Ghost, to such (whether of age or infants) as that grace belongeth unto, according to the counsel of God’s own will, in His appointed time.’ (WCF 28:6).
\textsuperscript{916} Wimber, \textit{The Way in is the Way On}, p.169.
views are based upon the conviction that their practice is obedient to the Bible. So there can be great power in being told that you are accepted by God, and that once faith is added to the baptismal promises, then you are ready for war.

The Charismatic Model

Wimber stands within the Charismatic model and suggests an ongoing development of discipleship through the Holy Spirit. A Charismatic model of discipleship remind us, as Hull notes, that programmes and strategies, while helpful, cannot ever replace what the Holy Spirit does in a human heart. This ongoing charismatic experience is mirrored in the ongoing battle ethos of the Christian who, according to Wimber, does not recognise that Christ’s victory is applicable to everyday life. In the Reformed context this takes theological principles and places them within the practical life of believers, producing orthopraxy as well as orthodoxy. It demonstrates that what is believed has a direct impact upon how life is lived. Unless this impact is seen, what is believed could be challenged because Christian theology ought to produce practical change. Indeed, it could be claimed that this is a spiritual work. This is similar to the principles of the Reformed tradition.

---

917 D. Allen, Theology for a Troubled Believer: An Introduction to the Christian Faith, (Louisville, KY: Westminster John Knox Press, 2010), p.175. ‘Our union with Christ is strengthened and renewed with every celebration of Jesus’ death, resurrection, and ascension in the Eucharist (‘thanksgiving’), or Holy Communion. Both baptism in the name of the Father, Son, and Holy Spirit and the celebration of Holy Communion were instituted by Jesus. Hence, they constitute the sacraments for the churches of the Reformation.’

918 Hull, New Century Disciple Making, p.44.


920 Johnson, When Grace Comes Home, p.16. He suggests that many believe Reformed doctrine are ‘irrelevant theological abstractions without any practical relevance at all.’ Yet, the focus of his book proves their practical application for Christian living.

Wimber does not see discipleship, exclusively, as being about spiritual gifts, but the spiritual fruit that spring from a ‘repentant, born-again heart’\textsuperscript{922}. This moves the debate beyond prophecy, tongues and interpretation, and looks for what is changing in the convert’s life.\textsuperscript{923} Wimber seems to be looking for a change in attitude, rather than an experience of spiritual gifts, in which ‘habits of righteousness’, like caring for others, repentance, prayer and Bible study are practised.\textsuperscript{924} If a cessationist position is taken then Wimber’s ethos would be readily accepted. It does not deal with believers’ experiencing spiritual gifts, or even if they ought to experience them. Rather, he suggests a change of attitude through the Holy Spirit’s action. The issue of spiritual gifts remains to be dealt with.

Not only is this a common foundation, but Wimber criticises those who place their experience on the same level as, or above, the Bible as a subjective truth, because personal revelation must always conform to biblical accuracy and values.\textsuperscript{925} Wimber’s Charismatic model desires a Bible-based Christian, thinking and acting in a biblical manner. This roots the believer in the things of God and places firm foundations within the Christian life, through a foundational knowledge of justification and how the gospel is to be ministered.\textsuperscript{926} This happens as Christians listen to the Bible, study and apply it, both personally and corporately. Wimber does not exclude alternative means of God communicating to people apart from the Bible. He sees the Old and New Testaments as ‘our final court of appeal’, but God continues to speak through creation, conscience and continuing providence. In the New Testament he speaks through supernatural means such as dreams,

\textsuperscript{922} Wimber and Springer, \textit{The Dynamics of Spiritual Growth}, p.10.

\textsuperscript{923} Generally speaking, any conversation on spiritual gifts centres around tongues, prophecy and healing, as Pawson notes: ‘While all the ‘gifts’ are believed to have been available to the whole church for the whole of her history on earth, some are more prominent, or at least more frequent, in Charismatic circles today. Prophecy, tongues and healing tend to dominate the scene.’ Pawson, \textit{Word and Spirit Together}, p.34.

\textsuperscript{924} Wimber and Springer, \textit{The Dynamics of Spiritual Growth}, p.11.

\textsuperscript{925} Wimber and Springer, \textit{The Dynamics of Spiritual Growth}, pp.43-44.

\textsuperscript{926} Wimber, \textit{The Way in is the Way On}, p.49.
prophecies and visions.\textsuperscript{927} This is the emphasis that Wimber brings to discipleship: spiritual gifts that add a new dimension to discipleship. This may have a powerful effect upon those who are involved in traditional models of discipleship, bringing an abstract theology about spiritual gifts into the reality of their Christian lives.

Underpinning the methodology proposed by Wimber is the notion of ‘kingdom conversions.’ Believers undergo a radical change when they come to faith in Christ and become citizens of the kingdom of God. This is also present in Reformed theology where the emphasis is placed upon the change that happens in the believer’s life.\textsuperscript{928} This brings an understanding that both supernatural experiences and ministry are available, as they rely on Christ to confirm the gospel with miraculous signs (Mk. 16:20).\textsuperscript{929} For Wimber, the idea of conversion better expresses the notion of a Christian experience, perhaps, than an emotional response to evangelistic activity. Graham Tomlin comments that the Church, which lives under the kingdom of God, cannot but provoke questions from others, which gives opportunity for evangelism.\textsuperscript{930} The kingdom of God is, therefore, a dominant theme and, according to Cartledge, could be said ‘to encapsulate the others.’ This contains all the emphasis on signs and wonders, miracles, healing and the like that are connected to Wimber’s theology.\textsuperscript{931} In reflection upon the Great Commission (Mt. 28:18ff), discipleship will only work when evangelism promotes the becoming of disciples, emphasising the corresponding character changes necessary for

\begin{itemize}
  \item \textsuperscript{927} Wimber and Springer, The \textit{Dynamics of Spiritual Growth}, p.55.
  \item \textsuperscript{928} ‘My desire is to show the connection between the kingdom of God and the Christian life so that Christians will once again see themselves first and foremost as citizens of God’s kingdom, allowing the transforming presence of that kingdom to mould and shape them into the image of its King.’ Tchividjian, \textit{The Kingdom of God}, p.6. He further notes the change in operation within the Christian life to new ‘standards’ which reflect the ‘different goals, desires, motivations, and perspective on life and humanity altogether.’ This makes Christians ‘resident aliens’ in this world. Tchividjian, \textit{The Kingdom of God}, p.16.
  \item \textsuperscript{929} Wimber and Springer, \textit{Power Evangelism}, p.87.
  \item \textsuperscript{930} Tomlin, \textit{The Provocative Church}, p.14.
  \item \textsuperscript{931} Cartledge, \textit{Encountering the Spirit}, pp.28-29.
\end{itemize}
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conversion to be genuine (Eph. 2:8-10). Kingdom conversions, as Devenish notes, mean a commitment to a way of life that is 'dynamic not static'; a way of life in which the moving of the Spirit in the world is witnessed as the extending work of God’s kingdom. If the sick are healed, the demonised delivered, good news brought to the poor and justice triumphs over injustice, then the kingdom of God is extending. Commitment to Christ means a commitment to the advance of this kingdom; not a passive observance of what is happening, but an active participation in the work.

As the name suggests, there is an emphasis upon the role of the Holy Spirit within the Charismatic model of discipleship: specifically, that of experiencing a ‘baptism’ in the Holy Spirit. This can be taken to define a two-tier Christianity of those who have the Spirit and those who have not. This can be difficult, in a traditional Reformed congregation, because phrases like ‘Baptism in the Holy Spirit’ are often beyond the frame of reference of the people. It can also be offensive to tell such people that they do not have the Holy Spirit. It has also been theologically questioned as a valid means of defining what Charismatics claim to have experienced. It is in this area that the Charismatic Movement may be more acceptable, pastorally, to Reformed Churches than to the Pentecostal. This is a commonality, which Colin Craston points out, that argues for an openness to the Holy Spirit and his gifts, rather

---

932 L.C. Camp suggests that the Kingdom of God ought to be the primary identity for all the people of God, however, it is often the world in which we live that forms and moulds Christian discipleship. *Mere Discipleship*, p.48.

933 Devenish, *What on Earth is the Church For?*, p.80.

934 ‘Now this Pentecostal viewpoint often comes as a shock when the evangelical first encounters it . . . Accordingly, the Pentecostal position on Spirit-baptism often rouses the antagonism of the evangelical.’ J. Rodman Williams, 'A Neo Pentecostal Viewpoint', in *Perspectives on the New Pentecostalism*, ed. R.S. Spittler, (Grand Rapids, MI: Baker Book House, 1976), pp.78-79. Lucas states that the doctrine of the Baptism in the Holy Spirit came into American Presbyterianism through the influence of D.L. Moody and, specifically, through Charles G. Trumball and A.T. Pierson. It was not a Pentecostal understanding of the doctrine, but a holiness one. see Lucas, *On Being Presbyterian*, pp.208-209.

935 Brown, *Follow the Wind*, p.177. He states that while he does not doubt the validity of the charismatic experience he questions the rectitude of defining it as Baptism in the Holy Spirit and suggests that words such as filling, experience or demonstration may be more appropriate.
than a theological modus operandi, as in some Pentecostal traditions. Whatever terms may, therefore, be used to describe the work of the Holy Spirit, it is helpful to remember, as Bloesch points out, that ‘the Holy Spirit is not uniform but multiform’, moving in many different ways, giving many different gifts, and being consistently surprising and unexpected. This need not, therefore, become bound by terminology, as long as the experience is there. Often the terms themselves, as has been seen concerning the communication the gospel exclusively through a fear-based model of evangelism, can be off-putting.

Wimber sees salvation as the ‘one Lord, one faith, one baptism’ model (Eph. 4:5), yet continues to ask how Christians experience Baptism in the Holy Spirit. He stands in sympathy with the Reformed tradition, arguing that conversion and Baptism in the Holy Spirit are the same: ‘The born-again experience is the consummate charismatic experience.’ This view dovetails with that of Bradford who states that, for Reformed Christians, being baptised in the Holy Spirit is the same as being born of the Holy Spirit. For Bradford, conversion would also be the consummate charismatic experience. In this context Wimber appears to be within the Evangelical camp, theologically, and this means that, when explained to a Reformed congregation, his views are much more accessible than those of Pentecostalism. Pawson agrees that Charismatics need to stop thinking of a two-stage Christianity, because this

---

936 C. Craston, ‘Pastoral Implications of the Charismatic Movement,’ Modern Churchman, (27:2, 1985), p.31. ‘When one becomes a believer, one receives Christ and also the Spirit. Conversion is about a change in direction, a transformation, a judicial declaration including the forgiveness of sins. However, it is also about a commencement of a relationship with the Spirit.’ Warrington, The Message of the Holy Spirit, p.88 (emphasis mine).


938 Wimber and Springer, The Dynamics of Spiritual Growth, pp.139-140. Wimber cites 1 Corinthians 12:3 ‘Therefore I want you to understand that no one speaking in the Spirit of God ever says ‘Jesus is accursed!’ and no one can say ‘Jesus is Lord’ except in the Holy Spirit’ and Romans 8:9 ‘You, however, are not in the flesh but in the Spirit, if in fact the Spirit of God dwells in you. Anyone who does not have the Spirit of Christ does not belong to him’ as justification of his position.

has made Baptism in the Holy Spirit an optional extra for Christians and irrelevant to citizenship in heaven.\textsuperscript{940} I would argue further that it creates a two-tier Christianity between those who have experienced the Baptism in the Holy Spirit, who would of necessity be more spiritual, and those who have not. This would defeat any notion of all Christians being able to contribute to the work of ministry and being released into evangelism. While this will be a challenge to traditional Pentecostal and Charismatic theology, it is a revelation that aids the inclusion of traditional Church believers. Whether it is accepted or not, a two-stage initiation process can make some believers feel useless unless they experience Spirit baptism.\textsuperscript{941} I would suggest that it matters little how the experience is defined, but that the experience itself is the most important thing. If it can be made more accessible to more believers then ought that not to be so?

Wimber further comments that the experience of salvation, and being born-again, produces significant changes in human lives and forms the basis for all spiritual healing.\textsuperscript{942} This seems to suggest that salvation initiates cataclysmic change in believers, so that the moral struggle to live the Christian life is lessened in the degree to which the power of the Holy Spirit is experienced.\textsuperscript{943} This may be a challenge to those who see the Reformed faith as a theological assent to certain doctrines, and not engaging with the heart. The life that Wimber outlines will stand out as different to this notion. It will also challenge a legalistic understanding of Christianity, one that the proclamation model may lean to, which sees the faith as what is done or not done, something that Wimber calls ‘over-scrupulosity’. A Charismatic model of discipleship offers a clear alternative.\textsuperscript{944} This is a model that engages the

\textsuperscript{940} Pawson, \textit{Word and Spirit Together}, pp.143-144.
\textsuperscript{941} Pawson, \textit{Word and Spirit Together}, p.150.
\textsuperscript{942} Wimber and Springer, \textit{Power Healing}, p.87.
\textsuperscript{943} Wimber and Springer, \textit{Power Healing}, p.90.
heart as much as it engages the head, and encourages a correlation between the heart and the head in lifestyle.

One criticism of the Charismatic model of discipleship is linked to the emphasis on prayer for healing in evangelism. The initial purpose of these miracles, it is proposed by Edmund Clowney, was to seal ‘the final revelation given in Christ, preserved in the New Testament Scripture.’ This could mean that there is no need for such miracles today. It reminds us, however, that prayer for healing is still connected with the gospel, and may be understood as still authenticating the gospel message. It is claimed that this devalues sickness and suffering in the Christian life as performing a spiritual function in discipleship. There are examples in the New Testament of believers struggling with illness: Trophimus (2 Tim. 4:20), Timothy (1 Tim. 5:23) and even Paul himself (Gal. 4:15). Wimber does not understand sickness as a hindrance to spiritual development, but he concedes that it can be a great aid. Wimber believes that suffering and sickness can play an important role in spiritual development, as believers trust and rely on God exclusively. It is on this matter that Wimber’s theology appears more accessible to a traditional congregation than the apparent triumphalism of traditional Pentecostalism which asserts that Jesus died for our sickness; therefore, divine healing has been provided for in the atonement. From this understanding, it may be possible to develop a faith-based methodology that asserts the principles of divine healing, yet allows for the formative role of sickness and suffering in Christian discipleship.

---


946 There is some confusion as to exactly what Wimber believes on this area as other references from his works seem to suggest that he sees no benefit in sickness: ‘Their attitude indicates to us what Christian ministry is supposed to be like. Jesus never saw benefits in illness for sick people; he healed people wherever he went. If he is our model of faith and practice, we cannot ignore his healing ministry.’ Wimber and Springer, *Power Healing*, p.58.

947 Wimber and Springer, *Power Healing*, p.34.

James 4:13-16 encourages Christians to depend upon the Lord’s will for their plans, which sits very comfortably with the Reformed understanding of the sovereignty of God. Also, an emphasis on healing springs from a corporate element in worship because, as Wimber suggests, it can be an opportunity for healing. This happens because, in worship, the sacrament and preaching open the door for the manifestation of God’s power. This corporate element reminds disciples of their place within the local community, that their spiritual growth and development is grounded within that local community and, out of that corporate experience, something spiritual arises. This will produce a sense of anticipation for divine worship that transcends and replaces the notion of routine that pervades many minds in a traditional setting.

Partial Conclusion

Discipleship appears to be the goal of evangelism. At Pentecost the converts were added to the Church (Ac. 2:47b). Discipleship is not exclusively the preserve of individual converts: it has a broader function also with the body politic, as Wimber notes. Evangelism produces right relationships between individuals, which is part of God’s plan for our lives. This requires a change of focus from individual conversion to membership of a social grouping. To the Reformed Church, this would evoke stories of the Reformation in which God reformed doctrine and practice. Discipleship seeks to encourage and inspire spiritual passion. Mittelberg believes that the key is to keep spiritually alive and responsive to God, and to express that through the way others are served, making the Church contagious. Discipleship ought to encourage believers to develop a deep and consuming passion for God and for the

---

950 Wimber, *Everyone Gets to Play*, p.131.
951 Mittelberg, *Becoming a Contagious Church*, p.33.
people of God, which will in turn draw people in an engaging manner to the Church and to the Saviour.

This chapter has sought to outline three models of discipleship within the Reformed tradition and to evaluate them, considering the Charismatic model of Wimber. There has been a connection between all three, as the behavioural model listens to the proclamation model, which encourages experience through the sacramental model. None of these clearly contradicts a Charismatic model. What is being emphasised in all of them is the need for converts to change in how they relate to each other.\textsuperscript{952} Discipleship can offer a new identity for ‘those who have made a decision for Jesus Christ which may not be accepted or approved of by their relatives, friends or neighbours.’\textsuperscript{953} This may mean that the designations of Reformed or Charismatic become defunct because of a new relationship to each other.

Discipleship suggests a submission to God’s call to change attitudes. Wimber notes that discipleship means that God has the right to ask Christians to make any changes and sacrifices. This works out in the economy of the kingdom that every step forward a Christian takes, it costs them everything they have, even ‘reputation and security.’ Essentially, it is a heart that is a...
constant learner. It is a challenge to leave everything and become a follower of Jesus (Mk. 8:34-47). A gospel of belief only, where assent to a doctrinal position on fear of hell, as considered in Chapter 1, appears to be an incomplete gospel, and the challenge of allowing God complete control can remain an unanswered message. This is not a synergistic theology of Christian discipleship, but an emphasis on the part being played in growing spiritually through the empowerment of the Holy Spirit, and our co-operation as our minds, wills and emotions are engaged. A criticism of Reformed theology has been that it places little emphasis upon personal responsibility and, instead, is passive in allowing God to work sovereignly. Yet, true Reformed theology is not passive, and there is convergence with Wimber, as the Holy Spirit works upon humanity to transform us spiritually.

There is also a sense with discipleship that perfection will never be reached. Discipleship is to take place within the local Church where the struggle with worldliness and sinfulness is confronted. In the New Testament this battle continued, and the disciples did not appear to understand (Jn. 14:9). Wimber comments: ‘They so thoroughly and so consistently failed to grasp what He meant that the spectacle is almost comical.’ This reminds us that discipleship is a long-term aim that cannot be accomplished without significant investment in the believers’ lives. It is important to ‘encourage the fainthearted’ (1 Thess. 5:14) so that the bumps along the way do not discourage the goal, but encourage reflection on the Bible and assurance of success. The local Church needs to build discipleship upon an encouragement to change, through listening to the Bible, participating in the sacraments, and experiencing the reality of the Holy Spirit.

---

954 Wimber and Springer, The Dynamics of Spiritual Growth, pp.124-125, see also Wimber, Everyone Gets to Play, p.42.

955 Wimber and Springer, The Dynamics of Spiritual Growth, p.144.

956 Wimber, The Way in is the Way On, p.23.

957 Wimber, The Way in is the Way On, p.50.
Chapter 6: Ministry in a Reformed Church.

Introduction

Having experienced the gospel in the Church’s evangelism, and been disciplined to understand the power of the Holy Spirit in the believer’s life through discipleship, the third stage in the word and power methodology is releasing members into ministry. Underlying our discussion of this methodology is the assumption that, as Williams points out, the main purpose that the Holy Spirit was given was that the Church might witness to Jesus and the gospel be demonstrated in word and deed. Wimber’s theology of ministry was developed through his teaching at Fuller Theological Seminary. Bill Randles notes that the seminary was part of the wider Evangelical tradition and not specifically Charismatic or Pentecostal. I have argued earlier that Wimber occupies ‘the radical middle’, somewhere between Evangelicalism and Pentecostalism, as well as wanting to combine elements of the two. Should Wimber have come from a distinctly Pentecostal institution it may make his comments appear to be from a distinct tradition that may not be readily accessed by Reformed Churches. This chapter seeks to explore the question of whether Wimber’s model of releasing for ministry is limited to

---

958 ‘A disciple of Jesus is someone who learns the gospel, relates in the gospel, and communicates the gospel. This definition of disciple shows us that the gospel both makes and matures disciples.’ Dodson, Gospel Centered Discipleship, p.38.


960 B. Randles, ‘John Wimber and the Vineyard,’ <http://www.ondoctrine.com/1wimbe01.htm, 1997> [accessed on 3/3/2010]. ‘Fuller Theological Seminary, embracing the School of Theology, School of Psychology, and School of Intercultural Studies, is an evangelical, multid denominational, international, and multiethnic community dedicated to the equipping of men and women for the manifold ministries of Christ and his Church. Under the authority of Scripture we seek to fulfill our commitment to ministry through graduate education, professional development, and spiritual formation. In all of our activities, including instruction, nurture, worship, service, research, and publication, Fuller Theological Seminary strives for excellence in the service of Jesus Christ, under the guidance and power of the Holy Spirit, to the glory of the Father.’ <http://www.fuller.edu/about-fuller/mission-and-history/mission-beyond-the-mission.aspx> [accessed on 13/3.2012].

961 It is difficult to say with any certainty that Wimber’s theology would be any less compatible with the Reformed Churches had he come from one of the traditional Pentecostal seminaries.
one traditional setting, or whether it can be applied more widely, including a Reformed context.

Building on the previous two contextual applications, I want to ask whether Wimber’s suggestions about ministry training, or formation, would be applicable within a Reformed Church. Specifically, this will look at whether the historical and contemporary methods used within a Reformed denomination would be compatible with a Charismatic model of ministry. In doing this, I will consider a training or college/seminary-based model, an apprenticeship model and an in-house model, before reflecting on Wimber’s own teaching. These three models are chosen as representing the elements within the ministry training of the PCI. Those who wish to train as ordained ministers start theological training in a college, then moving to an unordained student assistantship and, subsequently, an apprenticeship as assistant to a parish minister.\(^{962}\) There is also further in-house training in which Licentiate ministers undertake a written project on their congregational involvement which performs an in-house training element for ministry.\(^{963}\) These three elements will be considered and reflected upon in the light of Wimber’s theology, and a possible alternative suggested that brings the three together.

Wimber believed his teaching applied to everyone irrespective of age, gender or position within the Church.\(^{964}\) Wimber is arguing what Reformed Churches have believed about the priesthood of all believers (1 Pe. 2:9).\(^ {965}\) Fyall notes that there appears to be a ‘minister-centred’ approach in the

\(^{962}\) The Code, Chapter XVI, paras. 214-218.

\(^{963}\) The Code, Chapter XVI, para. 219.

\(^{964}\) Wimber and Springer, The Dynamics of Spiritual Growth, p.137.

\(^{965}\) The priesthood of all believers reflects the ‘church’s witness of praise’ linking it to training for evangelism. See Clowney, The Church, p.163. This is an inclusive theology: ‘In the wider context of Scripture the keys of the kingdom are in the hands of all Christians, since we are all priests and kings in Christ . . . and we are all assigned an evangelical mandate to preach the gospel to all nations.’ Bloesch, The Church, p.91.
Reformed Churches. This implies any meaningful body ministry is defunct ‘and the minister too easily becomes a convenient scapegoat or the object of uncritical adulation.’ I do not assume that this is practice within Reformed congregations. As Pope says, what is needed is a new reformation, building on the first which put the Bible in the hands of the people. Now the work of God needs to go back into the hands of the people. In this sense, I am arguing that the Reformed Church needs to embrace both the theology of Wimber and its own theology. Wimber appears to be reminding the Reformed Churches, perhaps unconsciously, of their own tradition, or perhaps he has been influenced himself by the Reformed view. This may suggest a cross-pollination. In the context of a traditional Reformed congregation, where there is a clear distinction between the minister and the members of the congregation, a model that releases every member into ministry may be a necessity to adequately fulfil the need of ministry today. With the central role that a minister plays, it enables moulding of the congregation into a Charismatic model. Percy states that Charismatic churches are driven by personality. This may be a criticism; however, it is also an opportunity, even a ‘powerpoint’, because it uses the present condition of things to effect change. It can be used in a minister-centred Church to bring renewal from the top down.

Wimber wants to deflate a superior understanding of ministry. He sees believers as being servants of God, who has much work for them to do

---

966 Fyall, Charismatic and Reformed, p.9.
968 This is needed for adequate pastoral care also because if a one-person ministry remains the norm within PCI, those in need will consequently fall by the wayside, as Goligher states: ‘In every congregation there is a need for better and more personal pastoral oversight. The fact that no one can adequately pastor more than ten people raises a question about the traditional role of the minister. The gift of pastoring must obviously be more widespread than individual ministers; the responsibility for pastoring falls on us all. Episcope, or oversight, is not the job of only a few; it is entrusted to all of us.’ Goligher, The Fellowship of the King, pp.83-84.
969 Percy, Power and the Church, p.11.
throughout the world. This also affects how ministry is viewed, for Wimber believes it is wider than a professional class.\textsuperscript{970} Charismatic theology speaks of this theme and seeks to ‘democratise spiritual power’ amongst all the members of the Church.\textsuperscript{971} In this sense a minister-centred approach is seeking to erode its own centrality. A word of caution is needed: if this theology of ministry is to become the norm in the Church, there may be some who do not feel able to speak out in evangelistic activity. It is a presupposition that ministry is evangelistic, yet not everyone may feel able or equipped for this activity. Kenneth Prior comments that, if evangelism is ‘new ground and directly confronting non-Christians with the gospel’, then it may not be a gift shared by everyone.\textsuperscript{972} To some this may be interpreted as an excuse for not evangelising; yet the Charismatic model of ministry takes the pressure off individuals and unto service in the Spirit’s power. My argument is that the three models flow into each other so that the believer is charismatically equipped to evangelise, to incorporate the convert into the Church, through discipleship, and see the convert trained in ministry.

Wimber calls the Church ‘Christ’s co-belligerents’,\textsuperscript{973} those who are aware that our conversion is to the kingdom of God, and because of that: ‘we are now members of a new kingdom that is opposed to virtually everything the world around us says is important’.\textsuperscript{974} This theme, of opposition to the kingdoms of this world, is similar to the subversive ministry philosophy espoused by Peterson. It sees the work of the Church as ‘subversive’ to the

\textsuperscript{970} Wimber, \textit{The Way in is the Way On}, pp.30-31.
\textsuperscript{971} Cartledge, \textit{Encountering the Spirit}, p.59.
\textsuperscript{972} K. Prior, \textit{The Gospel in a Pagan Society}, (Fearn: Christian Focus Publications, 1995),pp. 50-51. Dever suggests that one of the characteristics of a healthy Church is a passion for evangelism amongst the membership: ‘Christians often leave evangelism to ‘the professionals’ out of a sense of inadequacy, apathy, ignorance, fear, or simply feeling that it is inappropriate for them to do it. Perhaps they’re not sure of what evangelism entails and how it should be done. And this situation is tragic. I’m convinced that one of the distinguishing marks of a healthy church is a biblical understanding and practice of evangelism.’ Dever, \textit{Nine Marks of a Healthy Church}, p.120.
\textsuperscript{973} Wimber and Springer, \textit{Power Evangelism}, p.43.
\textsuperscript{974} Wimber and Springer, \textit{The Dynamics of Spiritual Growth}, p.182.
culture in which people live. It encourages Christians to work for the secret kingdom of God that is now beginning to replace the kingdoms of this world.\textsuperscript{975} John Christopher Thomas notes that this will be accomplished as the Church understands the kingdom is God’s. It is his power to consummate that kingdom. This reminds the Church of what has been considered in the discussion of evangelism: that it is God’s power and the Church is the vessel.\textsuperscript{976} Although the Church is bringing stability to society, when it challenges the social institutions which matter to people, the Church then becomes a prophetic voice.\textsuperscript{977} The Church speaks with a relevancy that people can understand, but with a challenge to what is seen around them, so the world is transformed through individuals following the gospel.

Wimber points out that the decision making on appointments to leadership need to be challenged in terms of spiritual value, along with the role of leadership.\textsuperscript{978} Ignoring the charismatic dimension in ministry, any understanding of the roles in which members may operate may be limited because of the clergy/laity divide. There may be a lack of understanding of how the Holy Spirit empowers believers in their ministries.\textsuperscript{979} In this dissertation, I would contend that a marriage of Reformed theology and Charismatic experience equips the Church to be effective ministers and releases individuals to pursue their calling. This emphasises a deep theological understanding of the sovereignty of God, coupled with a contemporary dependence upon the Spirit’s unction.

\textsuperscript{975} Peterson, \textit{The Contemplative Pastor}, pp.27-28.


\textsuperscript{977} ‘Only the church can minister to the whole person. Only the gospel understands that sin has ruined us both individually and socially. We cannot be viewed individualistically (as the capitalists do) or collectivistically (as the Communists do) but as related to God. Only Christians, armed with the Word and Spirit, planning and working to spread the kingdom and righteousness of Christ, can transform a nation as well as a neighbourhood as well as a broken heart.’ Keller, \textit{Ministries of Mercy}, p.26.

\textsuperscript{978} Wimber and Springer, \textit{Power Evangelism}, p.58.

\textsuperscript{979} Wimber, \textit{The Way in is the Way On}, p.175.
The Training Model

Most denominations have some model of training in which individuals absent themselves from their local Churches, becoming part of an academic community, to study theology, in preparation for ministry. In historic traditions this theological model has developed over many years. Within PCI, for instance, ministry students must undertake at least one year of study at Union Theological College before becoming an assistant to a minister. The college system, therefore, is working alongside local congregations. Gibbs and Coffey suggest that it is the task of a theological college to equip students with the necessary missionary tools for their changing context. This is a challenge to students because the congregation needs relevance,

---

980 Tozer notes that this model does not mean that the trainee is even a believer: ‘It is altogether possible to be instructed in the rudiments of the faith and still have no real understanding of the whole thing. And it is possible to go on to become expert in Bible doctrine and not have spiritual illumination, with the result that a veil remains over the mind, preventing it from apprehending the truth in its spiritual essence.’ ‘Bible Taught or Spirit Taught’, p.36.

981 Banks notes a distinction between seminaries, whose aim it is to train the professional clergy through divinity degrees, and Bible colleges who aim to train lay people for mission or education through offering a baccalaureate degree. Banks, Reenvisioning Theological Education, pp.4-8. This distinction appears to relate to the North American context more than the British context. In Ireland the designation ‘seminary’ would specify a training institution of the Roman Catholic tradition e.g. Seminary, St. Patrick’s College, Maynooth.

982 McLaren places his ministry philosophy within an already organised Church: ‘The renewed church is an old church that, after having lost touch with its own people, goes through a process of change in order to relate to them and better meet their needs.’ McLaren, The Church on the Other Side, p.22. This is the process that I am attempting to reflect upon. He further comments: ‘The new church can be on any age, any denomination. It goes through a process of peripheral change similar to the renewed and restored churches, a process of radical self-assessment, of going back to roots, sources, and first things. But the new church does not try to draft a new blueprint. Instead, it comes up with a new philosophy of ministry that prepares to meet whatever unforeseen changes are to come.’ McLaren, The Church on the Other Side, p.24.

983 All ministry candidates under 40 years old must have a primary degree in another discipline, and then study for the theology degree (which includes both Hebrew and Greek) at Union Theological College for at least two years. Code, pp.117-121. This is what separates ministry in PCI from other denominations in Ireland. It may have its source in the training required for Scottish Advocates, in which two years of academic study were required before admission to the Faculty of Advocates, unlike the English Barrister system which is entirely apprenticeship based. See A. Herman, The Scottish Enlightenment: The Scot’s Invention of the Modern World, (London, New York, Toronto and Sydney: Harper Perennial, 2001), p.86.
whereas, the college emphasises theological accuracy and evaluation. The training is often conducted by professional theologians who are highly trained and academically qualified. It could be claimed that this training has moulded the ministry of the Reformed Churches and places theology at the centre of ministerial training.

Wimber notes that he assumed that being equipped to preach the Bible was a key to the spiritual empowerment necessary to work for God. He defines Christians who minister this way as ‘Word-workers’: those who seek to apply the Bible to every aspect of their lives and theology. He does not belittle the importance of such work, but believes there is something more. He came to understand that there were also miracles. As an Evangelical he saw faith in two ways: doctrinal faith and faithfulness. There was also the charismatic dimension. The relationship of Wimber’s beliefs to the Bible has been criticised by some. The training model of ministry emphasises doctrinal truth and orthodox theology as being of paramount importance to ministry. Yet, practical needs for ministering to people are not necessarily

---

984 Gibbs and Coffey, *Church Next*, p.94.
985 Emphasising the place of people in the educational and training process of the Christian ministry is something that is practised in many different denominations. Yet a word of caution needs to be raised: ‘The desire to be taught by someone who knows what he or she is talking about is deeply ingrained in humans. But that authority needs to be given to the only one who can teach us truthfully – Jesus Christ. So the first step is that we must become less enamoured with people. We must become less dependant upon being told what to believe or to do and become determined to follow directly the teachings of the Bible.’ Bundschuh, *The Church*, p.99.
implied in this educational model. This is something that Wimber was accused of: not marrying his Conservative Evangelical doctrine with orthodox practice. There may be a notion here that Wimber was more focused on the practice than the doctrine that formed the practice. We have discussed the apparent divergence between doctrine and practice, earlier. It may appear that Wimber's focus was more pragmatic than intentional; he looked for simply what worked. There may also be the issue of context, that different manifestations of the same theological conviction work out differently in each locale. It also allows for different applications of the same theological truths because Wimber does not apply his method exclusively to one context. This highlights how his theology can be applied to a Reformed context, because the doctrine appears to fit with that of the WCF. It maintains a confessional doctrinal position, but a fluid practice within a local context.


991 In the Reformed tradition this is seen in the debate over exclusive Psalmody or the use of other sources for public worship. This has also been popularised by the 2010 decision of the Free Church of Scotland to permit the singing of hymns and use of music in their public worship for the first time since 1843. <http://www.christiantoday.com/article/free.church.of.scotland.allows.singing/27114.htm> [accessed on 13/3/2012].

The Reformed model I have chosen to reflect upon is that of J. Gresham Machen and, particularly, the establishment of Westminster Theological Seminary. Machen was initially a faculty member of Princeton
Theological Seminary (1915-1929). When the Presbyterian Church of the USA decided to restructure the seminary, appointing a President rather than allowing the faculty to control affairs, Machen withdrew. He opposed not only an appointed President, but also a more practical educational method, favouring courses in systematics and languages over practical theology. The result was the foundation of a new seminary, following the path of old Princeton, with a dual commitment to scholarship and the Church. One of the key issues was that the faculty would control the new institution and elect a chairperson, so no denomination could control the seminary through appointment of a President. Machen’s desire was theological education that fused solid biblical scholarship with spiritual piety. He believed that to be equipped for ministry it was important to know the basics of theology. This emphasis on the biblical languages and systematic theology forms part of the Reformed understanding of ministerial education. It roots the practitioner in the scholastic tradition, but does not necessarily emphasise how ministry is to be practised congregationally.

---


993 S.J. Nichols, *J. Gresham Machen: A Guided Tour of his Life and Thought*, (Phillipsburg, NJ: P&R Publishing, 2004), pp.53-59. Nichols further writes: ‘What Machen was searching for was neither an intellectualism devoid of faith nor a faith devoid of intellectual merit. Nor was he after either a rigorous scholarship without piety or a vital piety without roots in scholarship. He longed for piety and intellect fused into one, an intellectually informed and compelling faith.’ p.33.


995 Nichols, *J. Gresham Machen*, pp.175-176. Hart notes that while many fundamentalist colleges reduced the educational standards of their training in reaction to the perceived liberalism of professional theologians, Machen maintained high academic standards and the prerequisite of a liberal arts education previously. Hart, *Defending the Faith*, p.134.


997 PCI requires all ministers to study Hebrew. The practical element is elocution. *The Code*, Para. 27, 1.b.ii., 2.b.
In this context, as Ryken outlines, ministers are trained to be systematic expositors and that ministry is to guide and form every aspect of the Church’s witness. A Reformed approach to theological education may exclude a specifically practical element, in the sense of non-academic disciplines. An unwillingness to submit to theological training, therefore, ought to exclude someone from ministry. This is a view criticised by Banks who believes that the spiritual formation of the minister is paramount over the educational training. Yet, if many Reformed ministers are truthful, it is often a challenge to keep such ministry engaging and, while the power of the Scriptures are professed, many lives are not as liberated as they could be. This may be because of the abstract nature of the training, which often produces theologically competent preachers but not competent practitioners, as David Haywood notes. This may lead to discouragement in ministry, and a frustration that the effects of the theological truths learnt in college are not being personally appropriated by the members of the congregation.

This model can also prove difficult if those who are non-academic, yet want to apply for ministry, as the academic rigours may discourage them. Such an academic emphasis may fail to recognise the work and effort that many non-academics put into their professional qualifications. Nor is there any guarantee that right theology will make someone a good pastor or

---

998 Ryken, City on a Hill, pp.25-26. MacArthur comments regarding Christian testimony: ‘A Christian’s testimony should never be independent of God’s Word. It should only be an echo of God’s truth. An echo always repeats what is originally spoken. God has put His voice in you – the Holy Spirit. He doesn’t want you to create your own words; He wants you to echo His truth.’ MacArthur, The Master’s Plan for the Church, p.99.

999 ‘If a student is not interested in learning, if a student has no genuine intellectual interest, if a student is not willing to read, learn, dig, and research then he will almost certainly be a mediocre preacher and minister.’ R. Scott Clark, ‘Who Should go to Seminary? Part 2’, posted on 13/8/2008, <http://heidelblog.wordpress.com/2008/08/13/who-should-got-to-seminary-s/> [accessed on 10/4/2011].

1000 Banks, Reenvisioning Theological Education, p.25.

Such an emphasis on supernatural ministry may seem to undermine any need for theological training at all. For a stream that is deeply connected to its theological statements, like the WCF, this could be a liability to its acceptance.

Power evangelism may be a challenge to this model. Wimber writes that power evangelism is not anti-rational because there is a deep need for a firm theological and doctrinal foundation. While telling people about their spiritual needs will no more effect conversion than signs and wonders, it will demonstrate the power of the gospel and support the message. Power evangelism still rests upon a proclamation of the gospel and, to be able to do that properly, an understanding of what is believed is important. The training of ministers does not preclude any emphasis on power evangelism, but can and ought to complement it.

The model of training often used in colleges to prepare people for ministry in Reformed Church relies on one emphasis of study. Wimber calls this the ‘scientific Bible study’ method, in which attention is given to the original languages and the original context of the texts; yet, it does not teach how the Church can apply relevantly that which is preached. Wimber levels three criticisms of this model of ministry preparation. First, it creates an intellectualisation of the faith that is different from the small group, ‘learn while doing’, methodology of Jesus and the disciples. While it is important to

---

1002 E.J. Merrette writes that German managers in industry are better qualified than their British counterparts. Many of them having doctorates (fifty to one) which makes their industry more stable than their British counterparts, but not as easily transferred to entrepreneurial skills. Edwin J. Merrette, ‘Company ‘Doctors’: Do higher academic qualifications make for ‘better’ managers?’ (Thesis Submitted to the University of Birmingham, Institute for German Studies, Faculty of Arts and Social Sciences, in Fulfilment of the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy: January 2004).


understand what is believed and why it is believed, simple knowledge is not enough. There must be something internal and inward also. This could possibly lead to highly educated, yet unconverted clergy, whereby all that is understood to be important is education. Yet, without the rigours of this understanding, leadership can make simple yet heretical mistakes in Bible study.

Second, Wimber challenges this method as the exclusive means of presenting Christianity because it can lead to an intellectualisation of the faith. Perhaps what Wimber is pointing to here is a Christianity that is theologically accurate, but lacks passion and vitality; or one that is completely orthodox in theology, but cold of heart towards people. Hull comments that, while Christians may know correct doctrines and methods, it is only when people see the depth of our care for them that they will respond to the gospel. This is what Mittelberg appears to be suggesting: that believers must ‘Let the love of God and your love for people motivate you.’ This will become an educational and inspirational tool as others are shown how to minister with compassion. On this matter, I would concede that Wimber makes a valid challenge.

Third, Wimber notes that this method of communication relies upon the intellectual rigour of the study itself rather than the leading of the Holy Spirit. This is different from Christ’s emphasis which sought to teach the disciples how to hear God’s voice and obey the Spirit’s leading. This criticism seems more polemical than reflective. The Bible seems to encourage rigorous biblical study (2 Tim. 2:15). If it is understood that the Holy Spirit works through intellect and directs studies, then the deeper the understanding of the

1008 Mittelberg, *Becoming a Contagious Church*, p.44.
teaching of the Bible, the more understanding of God will result. Wimber notes
that the Bible enlightens us so that the Church may pass on our blessing to
others, and share with them. This is the circular argument of this work: the
gospel is proclaimed to challenge individuals to become disciples, and in
becoming disciples they become active in ministry, bringing us back to where
the gospel is proclaimed. Bible knowledge and doctrine is given to pass on.

Wimber issues a word of caution. Bible and theological knowledge are
important, yet it needs to be spoken in a spirit of love. The absence of love, he
believes, is the ‘new Pharisaism’ that expresses itself in ‘heartless’ ministry.
When this is put into practice, especially when challenging sin, needy people
are injured. It can appear that the Church is ‘attacking the sinner rather than
the sin.’ This could be taken to mean that theological training may not aid
ministerial practice. I do not think that Wimber is saying this. Rather, he is
saying that divorcing belief from practice is a misnomer. This theme of belief
informing practice, either causally (theology to practice) or indirectly (practice
to theology), is one that both Wimber and the Reformed tradition emphasise
in one way or another. John Piper writes that the Church cannot invest its life
in others, with all their faults and shortcomings, if it is unforgiving. This will
lead to a preoccupation with people’s failures and offences, and not their joy
in the gospel. Chappell notes that the gospel must be connected to the
individual's brokenness whose hurt can only be healed by God. This will
happen only as the root problem is dealt with: that, ‘We are all sinners in need
of the Saviour.’ The use of the gifts that God has given us, as Eric Wright

1011 Wimber, Everyone Gets to Play, p.32.
1013 Chappell, The Wonder of it All, p.30. To this end there may be some merit in the
suggestion that we make our services more accessible to the unconverted: 'We want our
life together to be gospel-saturated. We want to live and talk the gospel as part of our
shared life. At the same time we try to make our meetings less strange to unbelievers.
We work hard to ensure that everything we do is explained. We want unbelievers to feel
comfortable.' Chester and Timmis, Total Church, p.62.
1014 Chappell, The Wonder of it All, p.38.
suggests, makes us more Christlike and has the same end in others also.\textsuperscript{1015} Ministry trains the Church to have compassion and love for others and, if that is lacking, then it ought to seek to ignite such a passion within us. Calian notes that this vision for service needs to be implanted within those in training by theological educators, thus enabling a visionary leadership within the local congregation.\textsuperscript{1016} Without such compassion, ministry will never accomplish what it ought to. It will have good theological knowledge but limited understanding of how to put that knowledge into practice.

The Apprenticeship Model

One suggestion is that ministry development could be organised in a similar way to that of an apprenticeship: when individuals learn by spending time with and assisting experienced ministers to learn how to be a minister. Learning by doing can be a valuable educational tool, particularly in a profession in which the emphasis is as much upon what is believed as what is done. The one difficulty is the rather high damage rate when working with people. Practitioners learn from their mistakes; however, pastoral mistakes may have a deep effect upon people. Releasing the ministry apprentice, therefore, to make these mistakes, may not come easily to the supervising leader. Within PCI, ministers serve between 18 and 30 months working as an assistant to an ordained minister, before seeking a call of their own. This is to provide the practical experience that theological training may not cover.\textsuperscript{1017} While there appears to be little reflection upon this model historically, perhaps due to the long-standing seminary/college tradition, there has been some contemporary reflection upon it within the Reformed tradition.


\textsuperscript{1016} Calian, ‘Building a Visionary Church,’ p.486.

\textsuperscript{1017} Theological training within PCI covers five core areas: Old Testament, New Testament, Church History, Systematic Theology and Practical Theology. The purpose of this is to ensure that ministers are ‘spiritually mature and pastorally sensitive, as well as being intellectually competent.’ Union Theological College Management Committee, \textit{Annual Reports, General Assembly of the Presbyterian Church in Ireland, 2011, Sitting in Belfast}, (Belfast: Church House, 2011), p.204.
Wimber believes that this is based on the model of Jesus who trained the disciples to do signs and wonders through apprenticeship.\footnote{Wimber and Springer, Power Evangelism, p.194. Wimber calls disciples followers, acolytes, students or apprentices and this manifests itself in a willingness to become a learner over and over: 'If there is anything that characterizes Christian maturity, it is the willingness to become a beginner again for Jesus Christ. It is the willingness to put our hand in His hand and say, 'I’m scared to death, but I’ll go with you. You’re the Pearl of great price.’” Wimber, Everyone Gets to Play, pp.42-43.} He sees it as an ongoing model in which the first disciples were trained over three years and, in turn, they were sent on to train others, and so on.\footnote{Wimber and Springer, Power Evangelism, p.196.} Apprenticeship training means that the apprentice learns by doing and, in turn, becomes a mentor from whom new apprentices can learn. MacArthur believes that this is a valid apprenticeship model whereby people find someone to teach who knows less than them, and someone more knowledgeable than them to be their personal teacher.\footnote{MacArthur, The Master’s Plan for the Church, p.63.} The weakness with this model is the emphasis it places upon individuals teaching each other. If there is a personality clash with the teacher, then the apprentice can suffer as a result of that. Yet, accountability to someone for ministry is important, and it may be appropriate that a calling be judged by the Church to see if it is valid.

Difficulties might arise if the trainer does not quickly release the opportunities to practise the skill, or does not accept that there is a place for anything beyond their own practice. Wimber addresses this and suggests that an integral part in this model is that of releasing the apprentice to do the job themselves.\footnote{Wimber and Springer, Power Healing, p.183.} Despite the negatives, an opportunity to see how ministry functions will prove far more valuable, when dealing with the complications of
people, than abstract knowledge of how things ought to function.\textsuperscript{1022} Theological education paints the broad picture of what Christian ministry believes and aims to achieve, and the practical experience offers opportunity to put that knowledge into practice.

To apply the apprenticeship model to power evangelism may be difficult because of a lack of people able to train in this area. Wimber suggests that the reading of Christian books, attending conferences and learning from those effective in power evangelism, coupled with a connection to Christ, are the key means of training.\textsuperscript{1023} This is one of the recurring dangers amongst some Charismatic ministries – the ‘lone ranger’ approach. Richard J. Krejcir defines accountability as a check and balance system, protecting us from harming ourselves and others. This develops an openness to our thoughts and actions, enabling encouragement and reproof.\textsuperscript{1024} Scott Thomas suggests five areas for accountability in leadership that encompass all of the practitioners life.\textsuperscript{1025} Such transparency, as Scott notes, would be difficult in a traditional hierarchal leadership system, as honesty may cost someone their job. In a wider relationship, however, it may be very worthwhile, providing confidentiality can be secured. This may be one of the most important roles that existing leaders can be involved in: training the next generation of leaders to do the work of the gospel.

\textsuperscript{1022} In my own experience, I was ordained to a pastorate without serving an assistantship and when I transferred to PCI had, after five years of ministry, to serve that assistantship. During the period of apprenticeship I saw that how we deal with people was equally as important as what our theology is and the mistakes I made when I did not grasp this fact.

\textsuperscript{1023} Wimber and Springer, Power Evangelism, p.213.

\textsuperscript{1024} He suggests that accountability can help prevent burnout and stress specifically. R.J. Krejcir, 'Understanding and Developing Christian Accountability,' <http://www.churchleadership.org/apps/articles/default.asp?articleid=42506&columnid=4542> [accessed on 17/10.2011].

\textsuperscript{1025} S. Thomas, 'Five Basics for Accountability,' <http://www.churchleaders.com/outreach-missions/outreach-missions-blogs/149625-accountability_is_not_the_silver_bullet_but_it_is_a_bullet.html> [accessed on 17/10/2011]. He notes that it begins with the grace of God, openness with men who know our lives intimately, interacting with those of the same gender who are not employed in the same congregation, insisting on absolute openness and even prove their commitment, and dealing with sins in the head by asking questions of motivation.
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Reflection on this area comes from Australia, as the Ministry Training Strategy (MTS), and has been tried throughout the world. It emphasises seven areas before entering theological education.\textsuperscript{1026} The MTS emphasises the personal development character of apprenticeship training, which is ‘not a barren educational exercise’ and more than a transfer of information or skills; rather, it is an impartation of relational ministry. It seeks to provide conviction in the Bible, character which ministers in accordance with the Bible, and competency to proclaim the Bible.\textsuperscript{1027} What separates this from the on-the-job training of the third model in this chapter, is that it takes place before formal theological education. This is not an alternative to theological education, but seeks to complement it. For denominations that stress the college/seminary aspect of ministry training, this may be suitable. Unless it is done as a part-time model, however, it would dramatically increase the time required to study. It may be better to marry the two together and include the apprenticeship element within the formal theological education.

This may be based upon a different understanding of ministry than that which is perceived to be a traditional Reformed cleric.\textsuperscript{1028} A model in which leadership gifts are given to equip members to be ministers is implied (Eph. 4:12). Bill and Lynne Hybels note that leaders involve people ‘in the thrill of doing ministry’ and, to accomplish this, they ‘discover, develop and deploy’

\textsuperscript{1026} Marshall and Payne, \textit{The Trellis and the Vine}, pp.143-146. They note the following areas: 1. Apprentices learn to integrate word, life and ministry practice; 2. Apprentices are tested in character; 3. Apprentices learn that ministry is about people not programs; 4. Apprentices are well-prepared for formal theological study; 5. Apprentices learn ministry in the real world; 6. Apprentices learn to be trainers of others so that ministry is multiplied; 7. Apprentices learn evangelism and entrepreneurial ministry. Marshall has also produced a handbook for apprenticeship: C. Marshall, \textit{Passing the Baton: A Handbook for Ministry Apprenticeship}, (Kingsford, NSW: Matthias Media, 2007).

\textsuperscript{1027} Marshall and Payne, \textit{The Trellis and the Vine}, pp.71-72, 78.

\textsuperscript{1028} ‘The special calling of the minister is the ministry of the Word, in public and private, the conduct of public worship, the administration of the Sacraments, the instruction of the young and the pastoral care of souls.’ \textit{The Code}, p.36.
their gifts. The notion of widening the focus of ministry, from accurate communication of biblical and theological truth, to releasing people into the work of ministry itself may require a shift in the Church’s thinking. Wimber agrees that training and equipping future leaders for ministry is one of the key jobs of existing ministry. Not only does this perpetuate the ministry of power evangelism, it also develops discipleship and moves people from observation to active participation in the work of ministry in the local congregation.

It is reasonable to deem mentoring is successful, not only if the individual emulates the successes of the mentor, but also learns from and avoids their failures. If the aim of mentoring is to produce effective ministers, then that will produce a maturity that is not dependent upon popularity or success. As faith develops, a dependency upon people to define and strengthen lessens. This may happen, particularly, when people are disappointed with their leaders. When the mantle of leadership is taken, an understanding of how people will be disappointed develops. Mentoring, therefore, breeds self-awareness and spiritual maturity. This may be a benefit for a congregation as the effect will be quality as well as quantity of growth. It will be a relevant evangelistic community of faith that produces healthy and integrated disciples.

The In-House Model

This model asserts that training ought to be done within the context of a local Church. In this model, if someone wanted theological education to degree level, it would be done in a college/seminary setting. If they wanted to be trained for ministry, then it would be done within a local Church. This could be considered a complementary method to the training model. This is also

1029 Hybels and Hybels, Rediscovering Church, p.150.
1030 Wimber and Springer, Power Healing, pp.189-190.
significant, when considering Wimber’s theology, because the Vineyard Churches have established their own training centres. This may signal a move away from an informal style of ministry to a more denominational ethos within the Vineyard Churches.

Wimber uses the term ‘homesteaders’ to describe such a model: one that invests in the future generations by educating them at home. This is similar to a home-schooling methodology. Pastors train up their successors and hand over the reins to them, personally, in context. This may be more applicable to a North American context, in which home schooling is more widely used, than in the current British context where about 17% of children are educated like this. This does invest in the local context, where the next generation of leaders are trained in their own congregations. Yet, that training may not be transferable to a different context, which may limit where that individual could serve. To apply this model within PCI would be impossible as the rules state that, when a minister leaves and a vacancy is declared,

---

1031 The Vineyard College of New Zealand which began offering night classes in 1996 and now is a full-time in-house college. See [http://www.vineyardcollege.org.nz/index.php?option=com_content&task=view&id=12&Itemid=2] [accessed on 6/9/2011]. There is also the Vineyard Leadership Institute in the USA, which offers courses to ‘integrate hands-on training, ministry experience, spiritual formation, and academic understanding with an uncompromising commitment to excellence in biblical-theological, ministerial and spiritual formation training.’ See [http://www.vli.org/pages/about.asp] [accessed on 6/9/2011].

1032 ‘The Association of Vineyard Churches, for better or worse- is a denomination. We see this primarily in the area of relational structure, that provides accountability, cohesion, and encouragement.’ Although Wimber acknowledges this he claims that it was never his goal to begin a new denomination, but with 99% of the Churches using the title Vineyard it is a reality. The movement toward a denomination received some criticism in 1992, mainly over the issue of control, but Wimber argues that because the Church is involved in spiritual warfare control is necessary. Whether Wimber would have been happy with a training institution is unclear. He writes: ‘If twenty years from now, the leaders of the Vineyard turn inward, and become self-serving, shame on them. They need to take risks and continue to grow in the same way we took risks and continued to grow. If they don’t God will hopefully raise up some other Renewal Movement, and they will be seen as irresponsible radicals, in much the same way some parts of the institutional church regard the Vineyard today.’ Perhaps the college is a means to taking a risk or admitting that they need to serve themselves. See J. Wimber, ‘To Be or Not to Be a Denomination/institution?’ [http://www.yorbalindavineyard.com/article/to-be-or-not-to-be- a-denominationinstitution] [accessed on 21/10/2011].

1033 Wimber, Everyone Gets to Play, p.25.

anyone wishing to be considered for that vacancy cannot minister in the congregation.\textsuperscript{1035} It does, however, offer a degree of continuity from which other Presbyterian denominations may have benefited.\textsuperscript{1036} There may also be a difficulty if the outgoing pastor does not vacate the leadership role, easily.

One model that has emphasised in-house training has been Bild International, whose aim is to train and release Church-planters.\textsuperscript{1037} Jeff Reed, their CEO and Founder, has suggested a Church-based form of theological education. This model seeks to work in conjunction with colleges/seminaries and local congregations, to create a new paradigm. As with the apprenticeship model, Reed is keen to state that this model will not replace the training model; rather, it will complement it.\textsuperscript{1038} This change, he asserts, has been heralded by the Theological Education by Extension Movement and satellite colleges, including Doctor of Ministry programmes, and offers ‘various non-formal theological education programmes.’\textsuperscript{1039} This model is also followed by the Porterbrook Network in the UK. It offers two models: either local congregations or regional gatherings, which are used to follow a study programme.\textsuperscript{1040} For someone whose commitments may keep them from being able to leave home and become part of an academic community for a given amount of time, this may offer a possible training model. How the course would be taught is an issue. If it were to be communicated through the internet or DVDs, then there would be no difference from the ‘undisciplined,
unaccountable study and poor mentoring’ of existing extension theological education.\textsuperscript{1041} If it is taught personally, as in a college setting, it would require appropriately qualified local clergy who could teach the courses and have sufficient time to devote from other pastoral duties.

Chester and Timmis note that the majority of gospel ministry is people living their own lives, honouring God and seeking to share that gospel with others. They call this ‘gospel intentionality.’ It is this preoccupation with the gospel that separates such lifestyles from social reform.\textsuperscript{1042} The nature of this model is that it allows people to keep consistent connections with those around them, their colleagues, neighbours and friends, and trains believers to minister the gospel, in this context. A shift is needed in the understanding of a minister as a professional, who is trained in one location and ministers in a different location, to local believers ministering locally for Christ. This model dovetails with the behavioural discipleship model in that it emphasises the importance of the local Christian community. They continue and connect the proclamation of the gospel with the Christian community. That community is created and nourished by the gospel and, in turn, becomes the prophetic voice to the world for the gospel.\textsuperscript{1043} Those trained in these methods are able to proclaim the message of the gospel, effectually and practically, in their local communities. This may reduce the amount of time that new ministers take, when they are installed, to learn about the needs of a local congregation.

\textsuperscript{1041} Reed, ‘Church Based Theological Education,’ p.1.

\textsuperscript{1042} Chester and Timmis, \textit{Total Church}, p.61.

\textsuperscript{1043} Chester and Timmis, \textit{Total Church}, pp.53-54.
The Need Model

The need model seeks to encapsulate the methodology of Wimber, in which individual members are equipped to satisfy the needs that they experience in the world in which they live. His primary concern was to see what God could do with people who would obey him and who were equipped to minister for him. Through this model, believers are encouraged to believe that they can make a contribution to the work of ministry; when they are confronted with situations in their own lives, expecting that God will demonstrate his love through his Church, as Bennett says. They, therefore, satisfy the needs of the people around them, whether spiritual or practical, through the Holy Spirit’s gifts. The work of the Holy Spirit is there to move the Church forward in this evangelistic task. Lloyd-Jones sees this as a key to understanding why the Holy Spirit was given. It was not for spiritual experiences or sensations, but to move the Church forward in mission. It is the circle connection of evangelism through discipleship, and into ministry, and back to evangelism, that this work suggests. It also connects any notion of a charismatic experience with a clear objective; namely, world evangelisation.

Connecting the work of the Holy Spirit to evangelism means that any gifts that may be given come to all the congregation, and not just professional clergy. This is a new reformation, worked by the Holy Spirit, in which, as Bruce Bugbee states: ‘God is placing ministry back into the hands of every believer

---

1044 I have taken the name for this ministry model from Lloyd-Jones’ comment on the need for spiritual life within the Church: ‘With the church as she is and the world as it is, the greatest need today is the power of God through his Spirit in the church that we may testify not only to the power of the Spirit, but to the glory and the praise of the one and only Saviour, Jesus Christ our Lord, Son of God, Son of Man.’ Lloyd-Jones, Joy Unspeakable, p.160.

1045 Wimber and Springer, Power Healing, pp.63-64.


1047 Lloyd-Jones, Joy Unspeakable, p.257.
across denominational and geographical boundaries.'

This would mean that ministry training is not exclusively for those who are becoming pastors or ministers, as a specific office. There may be issues in transferring this ministry to traditional training models because it interprets ministry as being wider than clergy, to include church members. It may prove very beneficial for encouraging participation in local aspects of congregational ministry, such as visiting, lay preaching, evangelism or youth ministry.

The challenge is to deal with the issue of spiritual gifts. As noted in Chapter 2, there has been a strong consensus, within the Reformed tradition, that these gifts have ceased. Watson says: 'It was through the power and gifts of the Spirit that this promise was fulfilled.' Spiritual gifts come, primarily, from the list in the New Testament (1 Cor. 12:4-5). When an individual is blessed with a specific spiritual gift, it may lead to superiority and arrogance when they meet someone who seems to have no specific spiritual gift.

In one sense, spiritual gifts can be intimidating, especially if someone feels that they do not have a spiritual gift at all. It is, therefore, important to demythologise these gifts. Bugbee sees that these gifts are often easy to grasp because they connect to what is cared about the most, how

---

1048 B. Bugbee, What You Do Best in the Body of Christ: Discover Your Spiritual Gifts, Personal Style and God Given Passion, Revised and Expanded (Grand Rapids, MI: Zondervan Publishing House, 2005), p.33. This is similar to the point made by Pope earlier in the chapter, p.166, n.10.

1049 The traditional position of minister is often predicated by the title Reverend or Pastor. This is not used by other members of the congregation.

1050 Watson, Discipleship, p.107.

1051 ‘One obvious by-product of this idea that the possession of spiritual gifts confers some kind of superiority is that it divides people into haves and have nots. As a result, divisions increase between parts of the body of Christ. People are divided into the Spirit-filled and those who are not Spirit-filled, or the gifted and the ungifted . . . It is a tragic irony whenever the gifts of the Spirit, which are given for the common good and for serving others, are used to delineate points of division in this way, I believe that it shows a deep misunderstanding of the nature and meaning of the gifts.’ Wimber and Springer, The Dynamics of Spiritual Growth, p.158.

1052 Keller notes two extreme positions that demonstrate the potential danger of spiritual gifts, one says that they do not have a specific gift and so evades ministry the other feels totally condemned, because they do not have that gift. Keller, Ministries of Mercy, p.75.
personalities function and what style of ministry sits best with these two.\textsuperscript{1053} Part of the demythologising of spiritual gifts is to see them, not only as ministry focused, but also as complementary to our personalities and passions. To claim a spiritual gift, but to have no passion or love for people, appears inferior. Spiritual gifts are not all that is needed, for they cannot make us into something which is different from the personality that God has given us (1 Cor. 13:1-3, 13).

An ongoing work of the Holy Spirit, through the release of spiritual gifts, is the challenge of this model. According to Kooi, this underlines the Spirit’s wider role in the world today, in creation and providence. This suggests an ongoing work in the Church that opens the hearts and lives of the congregation to receive these gifts.\textsuperscript{1054} This would require the Church to understand a continual, rather than static, work of the Holy Spirit. This could then lead to an openness to receive whatever spiritual gifts God sovereignly chooses to give to his people. It may lead a Reformed congregation away from cessationism and into a more Charismatic theology. Any sense of exclusion, because a specific gift may not be present in an individual life, is removed by emphasising the sovereignty of God.

Wimber’s argument is that spiritual gifts need to be understood, taking into account the corporate body rather than focusing on the individual possession. This would counter any notion of superiority. The purpose of the gifts is that they are given for the blessing of the Church and for a specific situation.\textsuperscript{1055} Wimber brings the emphasis right back to the local congregation. These spiritual gifts, he argues, are not talents or personality traits, as Bugbee has argued, but ‘supernatural manifestations of the Spirit of God, given momentarily, so that God’s love, charity, kindness and grace may be shed

\textsuperscript{1053} Bugbee, \textit{What You Do Best in the Body of Christ}, p.15.

\textsuperscript{1054} Van der Kooi, ‘The Wonders of God,’ pp.43-44.

\textsuperscript{1055} Wimber and Springer, \textit{Power Healing}, p.201.
Spiritual gifts are given to individuals, for a specific time, so that the work of the Church may continue. Understood in this manner, it encourages believers to believe that God will give them the gifts that they need, at the time they need them. Yet, it reminds them that they are not indispensable, and that their gifts are not their own, but transitory. Even in a context where many Church offices are held long term, as may be the case in Kirk Sessions, such an understanding of spiritual gifts may aid the Church’s overall ministry.

How to understand what these gifts are, also requires effort. Keller helps by offering a threefold formula: desire to act, ability to do and opportunity to perform. When all three come together, it constitutes a call. Some may not want to lead from the front in evangelism, but have a clear gift of mercy to help others in their time of need. Wimber does not believe it appropriate to speak of owning a spiritual gift. Rather, it is the gift that God has given him, to give away. This is because he believes that spiritual gifts can be momentary. They are not permanently held manifestations of the Spirit’s presence, but ‘can come and go in milliseconds’; something which, he accepts, challenges a Church in which the members are passive


1057 ‘The Spirit also equips us with the peculiar gifts needed for the accomplishment of the church’s mission. Each member of Christ’s body has received from the Spirit of God a particular gift, and with it an obligation to use that gift in the service of others within the body (Rom. 12:3-8; 1 Cor. 12). As each member uses his gift, the church matures and grows up into Christ (Eph. 4:1-16), living in righteousness and holiness and fulfilling the mission that God has given His people in the world.’ P.J. Leithart, *The Kingdom and the Power, Rediscovering the Centrality of the Church*, (Phillipsburg, NJ: P&R Publishing, 1993), p.243, n.10

1058 Bugbee comments that unity springs from this understanding of spiritual gifts when we see that we all have something to contribute: ‘There is more unity and harmony in churches that teach and develop gift-based ministries. Confusion and disharmony exist in church where there is a lack of appreciation for the unique contributions of individuals. We often observe the differences in others as obstacles. But God views them as opportunities to serve one another in a variety of ways, meeting diverse needs in the church and in the world.’ Bugbee, *What You Do Best in the Body of Christ*, p.40.


observers.\textsuperscript{1061} Such an emphasis moves the focus away from the individual and onto the people. It encourages every Christian to believe that they all have a gift to share in the work of ministry, and challenges those who ‘are just too dull and lethargic about our Christian witness and responsibility’.\textsuperscript{1062} They may receive a gift for the use of the congregation, which will move them from observation to participation.\textsuperscript{1063} This is helpful if the local Presbyterian congregation is to move from observation of ministry to participation in ministry.\textsuperscript{1064} The culture, which reacts against strong individual ministries, will be challenged to find their place of contribution to congregational life by a charismatic, need- motivated ministry model.

Focusing on spiritual gifts, rather than on character, ‘bypasses a fundamental principle of the Christian life: gifts and abilities, no matter how magnificent, are either limited or enhanced by character.’\textsuperscript{1065} To aid this, Wimber suggests that Christians seek the fruit of the Spirit, before the gifts of the Spirit, because fruit is a product of time and maturity. Although converted, there is still the need to go through a process of maturing and formation of character to become the people who can operate in spiritual gifts, without our lives compromising our ministry.\textsuperscript{1066} What this shows is that spiritual gifts are

\begin{itemize}
\item \textsuperscript{1061} Wimber and Springer, \textit{The Dynamics of Spiritual Growth}, pp.151-152.
\item \textsuperscript{1062} Wimber, \textit{The Way in is the Way On}, p.252.
\item \textsuperscript{1063} When speaking to a PCI congregation concerning spiritual gifts we often are using a language few of them have heard before. Wimber gives some examples which may help to contextualise what we mean, and de-mythologise charismatic phenomena: To be suddenly able to apply Scripture to the private life of someone we are talking with, walking into a room and suddenly know secret facts about people in the room, that knowledge is a spiritual gift. He further comments: ‘We may not have names for all the gifts, but we can see them functioning.’ Wimber, \textit{The Way in is the Way On}, p.253.
\item \textsuperscript{1064} There may also be a need for caution when ministering spiritual gifts. If it is accepted that God is revealing information about individuals to other individuals, such information is to be treated sensitively. Wimber believes this kind of prophecy must be personally delivered, not publicly, and ought to be overseen by the congregational leadership to avoid individuals controlling other individuals. Also, major decisions ought not to be made from such prophetic utterances. Wimber and Springer, \textit{The Dynamics of Spiritual Growth}, p.57.
\item \textsuperscript{1065} Wimber, \textit{Everyone Gets to Play}, p.51.
\item \textsuperscript{1066} Wimber, \textit{Everyone Gets to Play}, p.51.
\end{itemize}
not a substitute for Christian character. Rejection of charismatic experience may be because of the inconsistency seen in the lives of charismatic believers and ministries. To emphasise the matters of character, may limit this drawback.

Partial Conclusion

In this new model for ministry, the Church is moving beyond individual and tribal history and into a new history that draws identity, not from what separates, but from what unites. The paradigm of theological education needs to become more practical, with even a degree of experimentation in how leaders are trained within a college setting. Perhaps the Charismatic model of Wimber may provide such an alternative. Chalke believes that the Church must reach out indiscriminately to any whom it may meet. This will be an inclusive Church that welcomes all people, at all levels of faith, with all the social issues that the contemporary world faces and lives with. This would be the ministry that the Charismatic model seeks to emphasise, in which the Baptism in the Holy Spirit begins to attract people to the Christian community through empowering the Church to be witnesses. It also implies an openness to the communities in which the congregations are ministering, even when that may challenge the accepted cultural norms of that congregation.

---

1069 One example of this was the Calvary Chapel of Costa Mesa, CA, who under Chuck Smith effectively reached out to the hippie youth culture of the 1970s. Smith speaks of those in the Church, ‘who represented mainline America’, being challenged to accept the youth from a different culture and the result was that both elements grew in the Church. He writes: ‘Our challenge was to overcome what most churches had not: namely, their insistence on respectability, conformity, and a judgmental attitude toward anything that departed from the norm.’ This happened when hippies came into the building barefooted and a sign was placed upon a new piece of carpet resulting in a board meeting in which the carpet was removed so that the young people could come into Church. Thus Calvary Chapel reached outside of its natural frame of reference. See C. Smith with T. Brooke, *Harvest: The Phenomenal Growth of a Church that Showed Love Where No-One Else Wanted to Know*, (Eastbourne: Kingsway Publications, 1987), p.23, 46 and 49-50.
Wimber offers a synthesis of the different models that have been considered, taking them on board and adding his own specific emphasis for their benefit. He writes that Jesus’ method of training was to encourage the disciples to become practitioners who pass on the training to others. This was equipping, rather than education, and while this does not dismiss the need of theory, theory by itself cannot replace it. Often, the prestige of ministry and the position may take over. Having studied for a number of years and gained academic achievements, then having assisted ministers of larger congregations, PCI ministers may have a sense of superiority, of having arrived. Yet, the evidence of good ministry preparation is the ministry to which the students are called and released. Theology must inspire us to do the works of Christ in this world; to have the confidence to believe that the Church can make a difference through serving God and see people’s lives changed. If not, it is setting us up for failure and despondence. There can be no sense of arrogance when it is known that the Church is the product of the gifts which the Holy Spirit has distributed to it. Charismatic Christians are what the Church needs, but if they act like this, they will not be able to give the Church what is needed because egos may shadow the light of Christ. Wimber’s teaching on spiritual gifts reminds us that any gift received from God is not a personal possession, but is there according to the will of God and it can be taken away if misused or ignored.

---

Conclusion

Introduction

I will now return to the visiting alien, whom we met in the Introduction, walking the streets of Northern Ireland. Seeing the wide proliferation of Presbyterian Churches may mean that he comes across something that is different. This would not necessarily be a new denomination but a new practice within the existing structures. It would be a marriage of Reformed and Charismatic theology through the suggestions made by Wimber. The unique element would be seen in the way evangelism, discipleship and ministry are being exercised. There would be a new form of evangelism that demonstrates the power of the gospel in signs and wonders. There would be a new form of discipleship that emphasises the power of the Holy Spirit in Christian development and growth. There would be a new form of ministry preparation in which every believer is actively encouraged to serve God, and to meet every spiritual and practical need with which they are confronted.

This is the balanced view between Word and Spirit, between intellect and experiential, and between presence and power that Bradford claims is necessary for the Church today. Historically, there may have been some animosity between those who suggested a continuation of the gifts of the Holy Spirit and those who emphasised Reformed theology; although, there has been some debate whether this was the case within the framers of the Westminster Confession, the Puritans and the Reformers. Nevertheless, as Fyall states, it is also my contention that the Church needs to endeavour to grasp the compatibility of these two streams, for its own best interest. This view is also echoed by Roger S. Greenway who suggests that the witness of the Church, through words and actions, is enabled through a continuing work.

---

1072 Fyall, *Charismatic and Reformed*, p.2.
of the Holy Spirit.\textsuperscript{1073} While he does not explicitly connect a charismatic element to such witness, it raises the issue of the need for an ongoing experience of the Holy Spirit within the Reformed tradition that goes beyond salvation.

Fyall also suggests that the means of emphasising the need for the Holy Spirit will come through the proclamation of the Word of God, which is particularly relevant to Reformed congregations. It is only in this context that he claims ‘the gifts of the Spirit can be seen in their true context.’\textsuperscript{1074} Williams agrees that it is through understanding that which the Bible teaches on charismatic manifestations that the Church will be able to grasp how to minister, effectively, for Christ in the world today. This is because, in his view, the means by which Christ has elected to take ministry forward is through individuals’ experience of the power of the Holy Spirit.\textsuperscript{1075} It is this practical aim that I have sought to address through this thesis. I suggest that a charismatic experience may not be as incompatible with Reformed theology as sometimes assumed, and that it is necessary for evangelism, discipleship and ministry in a Reformed congregation.

\textbf{Theological Reflections}

In drawing this dissertation to a conclusion, I want to return to the theological issues, outlined in Chapter 2, and address the issue of their implementation considering wider theological contexts and the specific context of the Reformed Churches and Northern Ireland, in particular.


The Implications of Implementing Wimber’s Theology in PCI

Having already considered the issue of the cessation of the charismata, regarding the WCF, it may be appropriate now to consider the implications for PCI of applying kingdom theology.  

PCI has been, historically, an evangelical denomination, influenced by revivalistic preaching and evangelical awakening. David W. Miller sees the influence of John Wesley, particularly on eighteenth-century Irish Presbyterianism. This may suggest a willingness to learn from traditions other than those that agree, theologically, with the WCF. Andrew Holmes specifically states that Irish Presbyterianism has its own roots and development within the revivalist tradition, especially the Six Mile Water and 1859 revivals. These were accompanied by a large number of conversions, the establishment of new churches, and even ‘unusual phenomena and emotional behaviour’. This revivalistic tradition, right at the beginning of Irish Presbyterianism, may suggest an openness to spiritual gifts and charismatic phenomena in practice. Six Mile Water appears to have been a significant event in the history of Irish Presbyterianism. The preacher, Rev. Glendenning, had a powerful effect on his listeners, producing feelings of extreme ‘anxiety’ about their spiritual condition. David Carnduff understands his methods to be somewhat unorthodox, although he does not

---

1076 The PCI is an all-island denomination, with churches and presbyteries in what is now the Irish Republic. Fergus O’Ferrall notes that the main location of Irish Presbyterians were historically in four of the nine counties of Ulster, Antrim, Down, Armagh and Derry. F. O’Ferrall, ‘Daniel O’Connell and Henry Cooke: The Conflict of Civil and Religious Liberty in Modern Ireland’, *The Irish Review*, 1 (1986), p.20. Seán O’Riordan notes that today Irish Presbyterianism is strongest in South Antrim and North Down. S. O’Riordan, ‘Protestantism in Ireland’, *The Furrow*, 9:2 (February, 1958), p.90. For the purpose of this reflection I will limit my focus to Northern Ireland, which is the extent of my experience. It may even come through in some of my reflection.


1079 D. Stewart, *A Short History of the Presbyterian Church in Ireland*, (Belfast: The Sabbath School Society for Ireland, 1936), p.44.
elaborate. Taking this on board, therefore, a more emotional brand of Christianity that has been influenced by missionary contexts, which may appear to be less developed than the Western culture, may not be beyond the remit of acceptance by PCI.

A further issue regarding Wimber’s theology of the kingdom may be the evangelical position prevalent within PCI, which has been associated with cessationism, as outlined in Chapter 2. Holmes and Dunlop note the strong evangelical influence on early Presbyterianism, and how a commitment to Bible Societies, in particular, brought the Synod of Ulster of the Church of Scotland and the Secession Synod together in 1840. The evangelical aspect of Wimber’s theology could, therefore, be emphasised, something which he is keen to do himself. While this may not alleviate the concerns of some regarding elements of Wimber’s doctrine and practice, it may point to a possible source of convergence between the two streams, coming from a historical perspective.

Having noted the evangelical disposition of PCI, I want to consider how a generally evangelical ethos could relate to kingdom theology. To critique evangelicalism, it is first necessary to define evangelicalism. Miller suggests, specifically within PCI, that this is a connection to the Wesleyan practice of evangelical mission and conversionist preaching. David Bebbington notes five characteristics: the Bible reveals God to humanity in an accessible manner; Christ was incarnate to reveal God to humanity; the cross is the centre of theology, offering hope to humanity; there must be a response to the

---


1082 Wimber, *The Kingdom of God*, p.27.

1083 Miller, ‘Presbyterianism and ‘Modernization’ in Ulster’, p.66.
gospel in conversion; and, the gospel must be demonstrated in action. Christopher Catherwood adds: the unity of the Godhead as Triune; the sovereignty of God in everything; universal sinfulness; the bodily resurrection of Jesus; the work of the Holy Spirit in regeneration; justification by grace and faith alone; the indwelling of the Holy Spirit; the unity of the Church; and, the return of Christ. The text of the Apostles and Nicene Creeds could also be interpreted as foundational for evangelicalism. Within PCI, the kind of evangelicalism that exists is a confessional type.

R. Albert Molher, Jr. applies the idea of the bounded and centred set, which we will consider later regarding culture, toward evangelicalism. The centred set seems to sit more with liberal evangelicalism, and the bounded with a more conservative understanding. He goes on to outline three levels of doctrine: the first is the fundamental issue, which we have already stated; the second is that of the denomination and theological distinctives, which interpret the first set; and the third relates to the issues of conviction and conscience. Where kingdom theology is placed will determine the degree of its acceptance within PCI. If it is seen as a first level issue, then it may take considerable effort to implement its principles. If it is second level, then it may have to deal with challenging doctrinal subordinate standards, such as the WCF. It may be that kingdom theology is a third-level theological issue. David Hilborn suggests that some of these third-level issues are the view of creationism, and the place of Israel in eschatology. Catherwood includes views on spiritual gifts, eschatological positions and the place of women.  

---


This may provide a framework for the acceptance of kingdom theology, if it is carefully presented as neither changing fundamental doctrine, nor contradicting the subordinate standards. In this context it may be applicable as a third-level, non-fundamental issue of theology. The issue of boundary may also be important. Patrick Mitchel notes that, traditionally, evangelicalism has been confined to a small Protestant minority in Ireland. He argues, however, that this is too narrow an approach because the boundaries have shifted to include some Roman Catholic groups, as well as new fellowships developing out of that ecclesiastical background, that are not comfortable with the designation, Protestant.\(^{1089}\) Kingdom theology may provide an emphasis that is neither Protestant nor Catholic, neither Irish nor British, but a third voice, being moulded and formed by missionary experience. To point out this aspect may well provide an alternative focus for the Christian Church, and a point of commonality around which the different aspects of the Church can unite.

Irish Presbyterianism is strongest in the north of the island of Ireland, amongst Scottish settlers. Yet, there was a historical commitment to other cultural traditions on the island. At the Union of Synods in 1840, the General Assembly of the Presbyterian Church in Ireland adopted a policy that all ministry students ought to study the Irish language.\(^{1090}\) While this practice may have fallen in recent years, it seems to suggest a historical pattern of seeking to apply the Christian message to the culture in which it is being ministered. Wagner speaks of the cultural mandate of the Church, which considers those to whom they are ministering, and seeks to become a 'channel for the supernatural working of the Holy Spirit' as the gospel is

---


In applying this to PCI, it is not taking the principles of a non-Western culture and applying them to contemporary Ireland, but it may suggest an openness to cultural relevancy. This may, in turn, support an openness to the perceived non-Western basis of kingdom theology that is being applied in contemporary Ireland by PCI. Thus, an argument from history, which may demonstrate a drifting from a previous practice, could provide a precedent for a current change of theology. Theologically, Lucas points out that such an understanding may find its support from the Presbyterian idea that the Messiah came to set up a realm as much as to effect a rule. Understanding that the Messiah came to set up a realm may dovetail with the discussion of Wimber’s view of the kingdom in Chapter 2. This realm aspect may also seek to present kingdom theology as having a wider function within the Church than that of personal charismatic experience. This focus on the work of the Holy Spirit, to empower the Church to witness and proclaim the gospel, is the ongoing connection of kingdom theology to Presbyterian practice. While this may open PCI to the possibility of kingdom theology, and perhaps even the benefits of kingdom theology, it does not necessarily imply that kingdom theology will be drawn upon. There may still be the need to overcome the cultural barrier of adopting a theology influenced by non-Western cultures.

An ecclesiological issue may be that of the natural inclination of Irish Presbyterians toward conservatism, which A.T.Q. Stewart notes, especially when, as a denomination, they are placed under pressure. Chapter 1 noted the apparent decline in PCI. When placed under such pressure, Irish Presbyterians may react in certain ways. This may mean that, while

---

1091 Wagner, Church Growth and the Whole Gospel, p.21.
1095 Dickinson, Presbyterian Herald, p.10.
concerned about the apparent decline, and even financial pressures, PCI will swing towards a theological conservatism that may not understand the place of kingdom theology. It may be recognised that traditional theological doctrines are out of step with that which society sees as important. The question arises, however, over whether doctrine ought to be changed for the sake of culture, or culture for the sake of doctrine. The Willowbank Report of the Lausanne Committee for World Evangelisation emphasises the need to reconcile the gospel with the cultures in which it is proclaimed.\footnote{1096} The challenge to PCI is the extent to which this is applied. To engage with the changing nature of Ulster culture, there may be a need to revisit some issues that were once significant, yet now may have become a historical hangover. The degree to which this may be needed is a further issue of debate. John Shelby Spong argues that, before new theological questions are raised, the ‘bankruptcy’ of old solutions must be accepted.\footnote{1097} Hamilton disagrees with Spong, stating that when the Church revises its doctrine without any appreciation for past theological insights, it ignores axioms that are necessary for ecclesiastical identity.\footnote{1098} What I am suggesting is not a departure from what has always been believed and done, but that Wimber’s theology improves the existing models, a claim he asserts himself.\footnote{1099} I am suggesting that what is needed may be an appreciation of a different form of Christian theology, one that has been influenced from a missionary context, and a consideration that the culture in which PCI now exists is changing to reflect different cultural and theological traditions.

A key factor in this discussion is the role played by leaders within Presbyterianism. G.D. Henderson notes that a minister is not a delegate of a


\footnote{1098} Hamilton, *The Erosion of Calvinist Orthodoxy*, p.10.

\footnote{1099} Wimber, *The Kingdom of God*, p.27.
Bishop, but is the proclaimers of the Word, celebrant of the sacraments and
director of public worship. This authority requires a ‘consecrated personality’
which, though formed through an educational process, also requires
dependence on the Holy Spirit.\textsuperscript{1100} This could allow for the implementation of
kingdom theology from the pulpit down, if a minister is so inclined to adopt
such doctrine. However, it might also mean that if a minister is theologically
opposed to kingdom theology, then it is within his remit of authority to limit its
implementation.

The views of a minister need also to be balanced against a long-term
eldership, who Henderson notes, are often ‘maintainers of the status quo’.\textsuperscript{1101}
Lawrence R. Eyres understands their role as guarding entrance into the
visible church.\textsuperscript{1102} This maintenance of a perceived status quo is further
explained by Samuel Miller, who emphasises that the elders, along with the
teaching elder (minister), form a ‘judicial assembly’ that superintends all the
spiritual interests of the congregation.\textsuperscript{1103} This will be especially true if the
ruling eldership is the constant, whereas the teaching elders are transitory.
John M. Barkley notes, therefore, that the influence of the Kirk Session is felt
throughout Irish Presbyterian Churches, and that theological debate is implied
in their oversight.\textsuperscript{1104} \textit{The Code} states the role of elders is to work with the
minister in the oversight of the congregation, in ‘holy concord’; this does not
necessarily mean agreement with all ministerial emphases.\textsuperscript{1105} A minister may
take a stand for his theological convictions, which could be positive. However,

\begin{itemize}
  \item[\textsuperscript{1101}] Henderson, \textit{Presbyterianism}, p.167.
  \item[\textsuperscript{1102}] L.C. Eyres, \textit{The Elders of the Church}, (Phillipsburg, NJ: Presbyterian and Reformed
  \item[\textsuperscript{1103}] S. Miller, An Essay on the Warrant, Nature and Duties of the Ruling Elder, in the
  \item[\textsuperscript{1104}] J.M. Barkley, \textit{The Eldership in Irish Presbyterianism}, (Belfast: Privately Published,
  \item[\textsuperscript{1105}] \textit{The Code}, Para. 30 (1), p.14. David Dickson speaks of ‘warmhearted sympathy’
            between elders and ministers. D. Dickson, \textit{The Elder and his Work}, (Phillipsburg, NJ:
\end{itemize}
it may also mean discord with the Kirk Session. If kingdom theology is to be implemented within a local Presbyterian congregation, it may stand or fall on the support of the eldership. If it is not supported by the Kirk Session, and the minister remains persuaded of the need to implement it within congregational life, then it may mean an effort to challenge and change the attitudes of the Kirk Session.

The issue of the Westminster Confession is also to be considered if kingdom theology is to be implemented within PCI. Barkley notes that the notion of orthodoxy is adherence to the WCF. Commenting on the theological foundation of Presbyterianism, Barkley notes two characteristics. First, Reformed theology is ‘totalitarian’, meaning that every aspect is related to every other aspect of doctrine. Second, its religion is also ‘totalitarian’, meaning that every aspect of the Reformed Christian’s life is related to God, entirely. This implies that if kingdom theology is understood to contradict one aspect of wider Reformed theology, then it may be incompatible with Presbyterianism. This dissertation has attempted to reconcile Wimber and Reformed theology by looking at evangelism, discipleship and ministry. If, however, the rectitude of such a reconciliation is rejected, according to the WCF, then applying kingdom theology to a Presbyterian context may be impossible. This may mean that not only kingdom theology is to be judged, but also the character of kingdom theologians. It may put both on trial for the validity of the doctrine. Chapter 2 attempted to show that there is a developing theological position that can see Charismatic theology and Reformed theology as complementary. It also indicated that there are also those who see the two positions as irreconcilable. This may mean that any large-scale adoption of kingdom theology may be impossible within Presbyterianism. It may only work amongst those who can hold some aspects in tension with each other.

---


If the issue of the WCF is connected with the evangelicalism within PCI, a further issue may arise. Kraft notes the evangelical tendency that attempts to preserve doctrinal orthodoxy by opposing anything perceived to be liberal. Kraft modified his methodology by opening himself to new avenues of practice that neither his home congregations nor the seminary had ever imagined, and became an 'open evangelical'.

In part, this change may have been due to fundamentalist influences, where a strong division between evangelical and liberal is perceived. This defines new ideas as leaning either toward evangelicalism or liberalism. The implementation of kingdom theology within Presbyterianism, therefore, depends on the definition of the evangelicalism that is adopted.

Another issue is the extent to which PCI subscribes to the WCF. The ordination and installation ceremony accepts the WCF as subordinate standards to the Bible. How PCI, and individual elders, understand their relationship to the WCF will determine whether it is adopted as a systematic theology, to be accepted entirely, or a system of beliefs that provide room for different interpretations and emphasises. It is also worth noting that PCI reserves the right to ‘interpret and explain her standards under the guidance of the Spirit of God.’ The denomination did this in 1988 when it concluded that the Bible did not teach that the Pope was the antichrist, yet the WCF did state this. Hamilton argues that this may be to do with the theological understanding of the Bible as norma normans, and the WCF as norma normata. He suggests that the conclusion of this may mean that the

---

1108 Kraft, *Christianity in Culture*, p.8.
1109 Kraft, *Christianity in Culture*, p.39.
1111 *The Code*, 14, p.11.
1113 This means that the Bible is the standard against which all other theological standards are judged, and no human creed is of the same standard as Scripture.
Church is able to redefine and reshape its confessions according to the inspiration of the Holy Spirit.\textsuperscript{1114} It may not, therefore, be beyond the realms of possibility to allow for an acceptance of kingdom theology, yet to remain true to the WCF. It was noted earlier that Charismatic Renewal and PCI’s theology are not, necessarily, mutually exclusive.\textsuperscript{1115} This may also be reinforced by the fact that PCI ministers promise not to ‘refuse light from any quarter’.\textsuperscript{1116} This seems to demonstrate a desire to reconsider traditional views about Roman Catholicism. Whether the same desire could be applied to kingdom theology is not as clearly stated. It might be that the theological challenge PCI is to face is how to deal with the issue of Charismatic Renewal, and allow for a general acceptance of its principles beyond the emphasis within local congregational life.

A further issue may be that of ecumenical relations, as kingdom theology requires a degree of interaction with those of a different theological and ecclesiastical understanding.\textsuperscript{1117} This may provide a way into kingdom theology because of the ecumenical movement within PCI. Finlay Holmes notes the contribution that PCI has made to the ecumenical movement in Ulster. Revs. Ray Davey and John Morrow, chaplains at the Queen’s University of Belfast, were involved in reconciliation ministries, as well as Protestant and Catholic Encounter (PACE). While PCI withdrew from the World Council of Churches in 1980, primarily because of its opposition to liberation theology, it nonetheless retained its membership of the Irish Council of Churches, the British Council of Churches and the World Alliance of

\textsuperscript{1114} Hamilton, \textit{The Erosion of Calvinist Orthodoxy}, p.10.

\textsuperscript{1115} Ad Hoc Committee on Special Fellowships, (1983), pp.188-189.

\textsuperscript{1116} \textit{The Code}, 11, p.10.

\textsuperscript{1117} The position of PCI to the Roman Catholic Church specifically has been explained in \textit{Agreements and Disagreements of Irish Presbyterians and Roman Catholicism}, (Belfast: The General Assembly of the Presbyterian Church in Ireland, 1990). Key are the issues of authority and the Bible (p.2), ‘exclusivist and unacceptable claims’ for Catholic structures (p.5), and the belief that Presbyterians do not have the whole understanding of truth (p.12). Dunlop comments that ecumenism which seeks to ignore these divergent principles does the Church no benefit. J. Dunlop, \textit{A Precarious Belonging, Presbyterians and the Conflict in Ireland}, (Belfast: The Blackstaff Press, 1995) p.36.
Reformed Churches.¹¹¹⁸ Chapter 1 pointed out the high-profile ecumenical activities of some Irish Presbyterians. David Armstrong, in Limivady, outlines his own journey toward a more ecumenical praxis, which would ultimately cause him to join the Church of Ireland.¹¹¹⁹ The ecumenical work of Very Rev. Prof. J.M. Barkley, throughout the 1970s and 1980s, is also noteworthy. It included experiences such as addressing the Fourth Congress of Jesuit Ecumenists (1972), and his continued friendship with Cardinal Tomás Ó Fiaich, reaching its zenith on 29 September 1979, when he met Pope John Paul II in Dublin.¹¹²⁰ Very Rev. Dr. Ken Newell cites the Charismatic Renewal as reinvigorating him in the work of ecumenism, his own background being a missionary in Indonesia.¹¹²¹ This seems to link the Renewal movement with a wider appreciation for different Christian traditions and expressions.¹¹²² This connection between Charismatic Renewal and ecumenism, according to Harper, has been championed specifically by Ireland.¹¹²³ John Dunlop, a former missionary in Jamaica, notes the improving relationships between Presbyterians and Roman Catholics, even to the extent of Irish Mission workers being welcomed into local primary schools.¹¹²⁴ While this has been ongoing, it must also be held in tension with the apparent conservatism which

¹¹²¹ R.A. Wells, Friendship Towards Peace: The Journey of Ken Newell and Gerry Reynolds, (Dublin: Columba Press, 2005) p.23, 30-31. Newell is stated as believing Calvinism is a good counter measure to the extremes of the Charismatic Renewal. Smith notes that missionary thinking accepts that different Churches from different cultures have much to contribute to theological reflection. Smith, Mission After Christendom, p.110.
¹¹²² It is not a necessary connection that an openness to the Holy Spirit and ecumenical relations go together. The traditional Pentecostals Churches may be an example. Flynn notes that Roman Catholic businessperson, Frank Forte, received the Baptism in the Holy Spirit through an Elim Pastor. Flynn, The Charismatic Renewal and the Irish Experience, p.52. Murray W. Dempster notes that some Pentecostals found a greater acceptance for their understanding of Baptism in the Holy Spirit amongst Roman Catholic and Easter Orthodox believers. M.W. Dempster, ‘The Search for Pentecostal Identity’, Pneuma, 15:1, (1993), p.3. Robinson notes, however, that the traditional position of Elim has been for the mass conversion of Roman Catholics, thus taking a non-ecumenical position. Robinson, Pentecostal Origins, p.126, 307.
¹¹²³ Harper, Three Sisters, p.35.
this dissertation has noted in Chapter 1, as well as the predisposition toward conservatism noted earlier. Both directions were moving at the same time within the denomination. The connection between ecumenism and the acceptance of kingdom theology is tenuous at best, apart from the reference to Newell’s experience. What it does seem to show is that there is a stream of openness to different cultural traditions within PCI that may allow an engagement with kingdom theology. The context of these statements, as having come from ex-missionaries, may suggest that the missionary influence on Wimber’s theology may be more acceptable to those who have missionary experience in other cultures.

The Challenge of Calvinism

Holmes places John Calvin as the founding father of Presbyterianism.\textsuperscript{1125} What is interesting is that the WCF seems to have a greater influence on the theology of PCI than Calvin.\textsuperscript{1126} Holmes notes that the real influence of Calvin on PCI has been ecclesiological: the rejection of bishops in favour of colleges of elders arranged in geographical areas.\textsuperscript{1127} While the theological influence of Calvin appears to be present, the WCF has a great influence on PCI. If, however, areas of compatibility between kingdom theology and Calvin are possible, it may provide a way in for Wimber’s practices within PCI. Calvin engages with the concept of the kingdom of God in Book 3 Chapter 10 of the\textit{ Institutes of the Christian Religion}. He states:

\begin{quote}
God reigns when men, in denial of themselves, and contempt of the world and this earthly life, devote themselves to righteousness and aspire to heaven.\textsuperscript{1128}
\end{quote}

\begin{flushright}
\textsuperscript{1125} Holmes, \textit{Our Irish Presbyterian Heritage}, p.1.
\textsuperscript{1126} Barkley, \textit{Presbyterianism}, pp.11-15. Barkley notes the influence of the WCF and the Nicene Creed but not Calvin.
\textsuperscript{1127} Holmes, \textit{Our Presbyterian Heritage}, pp.2-3.
\end{flushright}
This concept of personal spiritual development, in opposition to the attractions of the world, seems to be in sympathy with Wimber’s theology which emphasises personal spiritual warfare.\textsuperscript{1129} For Calvin, the coming of the kingdom happens when the desires and demands of fallen human nature are corrected, and when our thoughts are brought into captivity to God.\textsuperscript{1130} This seems to agree with Wimber’s concept of living between the times. Bosch sees this at work in Calvin, placing the kingdom between the ascension and parousia.\textsuperscript{1131} The kingdom is seen as authoritative, overwhelming any opposition. For Williams, this meant that he felt he could control life, the Bible, the Church and people, but this was changed because of kingdom theology.\textsuperscript{1132} The issue of control may be misunderstood here; it may be more to do with human depravity than anything else. Calvin sees the kingdom as humbling the world by taming sinfulness.\textsuperscript{1133} The role of the kingdom of God seems to function in bringing people under the authority of the King. Wimber seems to agree with Calvin, that to combat spiritual evil on the part of the kingdom of God, there is a required commitment to God.\textsuperscript{1134} Combining elements of Wimber’s theology with the Calvinistic tradition, therefore, may not be radically different from the understanding of Calvin. Both appear to emphasise the pietistic element of faith, the need for personal accountability for spiritual development, and the role of the individual in waging warfare against the kingdom of Satan.\textsuperscript{1135} David B. Calhoun agrees, seeing Calvin’s theology of the kingdom as arguing for a destruction of all God’s enemies.\textsuperscript{1136} Wimber also sees this ongoing spiritual battle between the two kingdoms, and

\begin{itemize}
  \item \textsuperscript{1129} Wimber, \textit{Power Evangelism}, p.39.
  \item \textsuperscript{1131} Bosch, \textit{Transforming Mission}, p.515.
  \item \textsuperscript{1132} Williams, \textit{Signs, Wonders and the Kingdom of God}, p.8.
  \item \textsuperscript{1133} Calvin, \textit{Institutes of the Christian Religion}, p.189.
  \item \textsuperscript{1134} Wimber, \textit{The Kingdom of God}, p.15.
  \item \textsuperscript{1135} Calvin, \textit{Institutes of the Christian Religion}, p.190.
\end{itemize}
emphasises the final authority of Christ over the kingdom of Satan. To implement this theological principle, a precise line of demarcation between the two kingdoms may be important. For the Calvinistic Church to understand the focus of their spiritual battle, it may require an activist mentality that seeks to engage the enemy and impose the rule of the kingdom of God. Whether Calvin would have agreed with this interpretation is difficult to determine. Chapter 2 considered the WCF and the Reformers position on the Holy Spirit, noting that it was not within their remit of discussion. To argue that Calvin would support a kingdom theology, which seeks to confront the kingdom of Satan by demonstrating the power of Christ through signs and wonders, seems unlikely. It seems more likely that Calvin would point to the example of a surrendered and obedient Christian lifestyle as a symbol of Christ’s kingdom’s power. If kingdom theology, therefore, is to be reconciled and implemented with the theological tradition of Calvinism, this needs to be recognised as a problem.

Implementing Kingdom-Based Theology in Northern Ireland

How the principles of kingdom theology can be applied to Northern Ireland is a further issue of reflection. There appears to be a trend toward identifying the culture of Ireland as something that has been imposed from the outside. Declan Kiberd suggests that it may have been the English who created modern Ireland, and that the history of Irish rebellion was a backlash against that. If this were the case, then any review of the Irish culture must

---


1138 I have identified culture as that which is specific to Northern Ireland, the unique tapestry of religious and political views that form how the world is interpreted. This is different from H. Richard Niebuhr’s definition which does not apply culture to one specific, but applies it to a wider culture, that of civilisation. H.R. Niebuhr, *Christ and Culture*, (New York, NY: Harper and Row, 1951), pp.30-32.

1139 Smith, *Mission After Christendom*, pp.121-122. Smith notes that missionary activity in the first instance produced the ecclesiastical structures that now represent the cultural guardians. Mission produced the culture.

include the English imposition of that culture upon an existing culture. It may also raise the question of what the indigenous Irish culture might look like. What is important is a respect for the Irish culture and various subcultures, and not an assumption that simply following the pattern of another culture within the British Isles is the answer. This can often be perceived as coming from the English influence in Ireland. There seems to be some suggestion of an English superiority syndrome, in which a specific worldview is deemed to be better than all other worldviews.\textsuperscript{1141} This can be seen as a degree of colonialism, in which the culture of one society is imposed upon that of another society; therefore, an inherent respect for the culture of Northern Ireland may be a prerequisite to applying kingdom theology.

Wimber does seem to want to challenge any notion of cultural superiority in any context, arguing that the Western world ought to be viewed as a potential mission field, as non-Western countries have been.\textsuperscript{1142} It was noted that Wimber understood the power of God to be necessary to ‘primitive peoples’, so that they will believe.\textsuperscript{1143} To take, therefore, a theology underpinned by such an ethos, and apply it to Northern Ireland, may require a direct confrontation between certain cultural traditions and the kingdom of God. It may also challenge the concept of what is culturally and religiously acceptable. Kraft also challenges the notion of cultural superiority by addressing how God revealed himself within culture. He notes five aspects of revelation: God only reveals certain aspects; humanity has a finite understanding of revelation; human sinfulness obscures revelation; we are culturally conditioned to accept certain things and reject others; and we are individually conditioned through culture to accept certain things and reject others.\textsuperscript{1144} Kingdom theology, therefore, in drawing from missionary contexts


\textsuperscript{1142} Wright, The Mission of God, pp.38-39. He further states that the Western position itself is contextual.

\textsuperscript{1143} Wimber, Power Evangelism, p.54.

\textsuperscript{1144} Kraft, Christianity in Culture, p.129.
and cultures that appear to be radically different from those of Northern Ireland, challenges any notion that Ulster culture is the only correct culture. It reminds the Church of its own humanity, and it challenges theological fundamentalism of the extent to which its doctrines are formed by culture rather than by exegesis.

Stanley connects the missionary activity of the nineteenth and twentieth centuries with this kind of colonialism. He argues that this applies to British missionaries as a whole, specifically those working in British colonies, who promoted Britishness and the benefits of Western society alongside the Christian message.\textsuperscript{1145} This may be of relevance to applying kingdom theology in Northern Ireland as the issue of political identity has become one of division within the province. This can be seen through institutions like the Loyal Orange Order, which connects Britishness with Protestantism. While, historically, the institution may have begun as a religious one, political identity soon took over. The challenge of kingdom theology may be a separation between Protestantism and politics, allowing for an ecumenism of interpretation in which alternative models of ministry, from different cultures, are valued. It may also require a commitment beyond that of a political ideal, to working for the kingdom of God, and to that which is beyond political kingdoms.

It is important not to homogenise PCI as one definitive position, nor Ulster society at large. Willowbank notes that there will be a number of subcultures within a given culture, and these may have different emphasises and understandings. This may then even lead to a counterculture that will attempt to destroy the prevailing culture.\textsuperscript{1146} What needs to be grasped in the role of culture in God’s dealings with humanity? Kraft suggests that God uses

\begin{itemize}
\item \textsuperscript{1145} Stanley, \textit{The Bible and the Flag}, p.157.
\item \textsuperscript{1146} ‘The Willowbank Report’.
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culture to communicate with people, and limits himself to that culture so that people may understand him.\textsuperscript{1147} The challenge is about the way in which the Church relates to any given culture, especially the culture from which is springs; whether there is acceptance, or rejection, or imposition of a subculture upon the greater culture. Kraft argues that the Church’s role is to transform culture.\textsuperscript{1148} This seems similar to the position argued by Keller as the rationale for Redeemer Presbyterian Church, New York City. Keller argues that the purpose of redemption was not just so that individuals would escape the world, but so that the Church could seek to influence and change the societies in which they live. This happens through Christians forming a counterculture in which they express the values of God in their living.\textsuperscript{1149} The challenge is when a specific religious expression is identified with a specific culture to such an extent that they are perceived to be the same. Julia Neuberger believes that the answer may be identification with the wider European culture of Northern Ireland. She argues that an official recognition within Europe may ensure that religious issues are taken seriously, and that what defines people most is respected. This could further be explored as different traditions interact with each other through dialogue, so bringing a revival of the best aspects of religious life.\textsuperscript{1150} The political aspect of Neuberger’s statement is beyond the remit of this discussion; suffice to say that interaction between different strands, which respect differences and emphasise issues of commonality, seems to connect with a wider acceptance of kingdom theology. The beginning of this suggestion may be in ecumenical partnership. Simon Lee suggests that local Churches ought never to do alone what can be done together. This suggestion reflects upon the apparent necessity for ecumenical dialogue and partnership in missionary contexts, where division over denominational differences cannot be allowed to divide in

\textsuperscript{1147} Kraft, \textit{Christianity in Culture}, p.115.

\textsuperscript{1148} Kraft, \textit{Christianity in Culture}, p.349.


the face of an opposing culture.1151 This would not only emphasise the necessity for engagement as the Northern Irish Church moves to consider the culture as a mission field, but also the need to engage with different perspectives in mission.

Drawing on the benefits from those with experience in missionary work, such as Kraft, Wagner, Ladd and their protégé, Wimber, may offer a practical solution. This may require a movement into the future without depending on what has already been accomplished or experienced. This is a ‘progressive interpretative method’ that looks at the past in a different way from the traditional way.1152 This also links in the proposed need to see Northern Ireland as a missionary field, rather than the Church as being a chaplain to a specific culture. It could imply an acceptance that the past does not provide all the criteria needed for the present, and that a different perspective may be positive and effectual. Changing to consider Northern Ireland as a missionary context may mean that the Church is not identified with a specific aspect of that culture. This may necessitate the distinguishing of biblical absolutes from those beliefs that are culturally conditioned.1153 There may be some attitudes toward the missionary influences on kingdom theology that appear to be contradictory to perceived Northern Irish understanding. The issue of demonology and possession may be a possible example of this. Moving beyond a natural reaction to such views may require not only revisiting perceived theological axioms, but cultural ones also.

There may also be warrant in considering how kingdom theology will be culturally received. Mitchel notes that many Roman Catholics view

---


1153 Smith, Mission After Christendom, p.75.
evangelicalism as irrelevant to their culture because it is viewed as British. This has meant that converts are unlikely to join Protestant Churches that are financed and staffed by Unionists. To aid this, he suggests a focus upon the biblical basis for evangelicalism, rather than the cultural basis.\textsuperscript{1154} Kingdom theology may be able to do this because its formulation appears to have come from a different culture than that of Irish or British. This may divorce the Church from any political or cultural identity, thereby emphasising a kingdom identity that is more inclusive than that of culture. Morrow suggests that in every Church there are doctrinal vestiga, even amongst those who would be unsympathetic to the Reformed position.\textsuperscript{1155} This may also connect with the rapidly growing number of ethnic Churches throughout the island.\textsuperscript{1156} This is seen with the implantation of Russian Orthodoxy, in Co. Laois,\textsuperscript{1157} and Antiochian Orthodoxy in Belfast.\textsuperscript{1158} If there is to be a common acceptance of different traditions, then understanding a cultural difference in a theological basis may support kingdom theology. Evangelistically, it may also provide for a more acceptable form of witness to those from different traditions because it has separated religion from one specific culture.

\section*{Answering the Research Questions}

The object of this study was to assess whether Wimber’s theology and practice could be related to a Presbyterian congregation without ignoring its unique traditions; and, whether it would challenge its mission in the world. Having considered the three contextual applications - evangelism, discipleship

\begin{flushright}


\textsuperscript{1156} Mitchel, ‘Living with Difference’, p.149.

\textsuperscript{1157} The Church of Saint Colman of Oughaval, <http://www.rocor.org.uk/directory.html> [accessed on 26/7/2012].

\textsuperscript{1158} The Antiochian Orthodox Church of St. Ignatius, Congregations have also been established in Cork, Ballydehob, Dublin and Armagh. <http://www.belfast.antiochireland.org/> [accessed on 26/7/2012].

\end{flushright}
and ministry training - it is my view that such a relationship may be possible. The different models that have been considered may present challenges to interpretation in a charismatic dimension. Indeed, should the underlying premise that the gifts of the Holy Spirit are contemporary be rejected, then none of this work’s suggestions will be feasible. There are still issues of style to overcome between the two streams: Wimber represents a more relaxed approach; whereas, as we have seen with the discussion of the regulative principle, the Reformed Churches follow a more rigid understanding of worship. Perhaps this research highlights a perceived issue with Reformed theology: an apparent lack of connection between doctrine and practice.\textsuperscript{1159}

What I have sought to do is to connect the doctrine and practice of the Reformed Churches, through Wimber, while reflecting on Reformed models and the challenge or complement that his theology makes to them.

Regarding evangelism, Wimber’s theology may work alongside existing models and may complement them. This appears to be the uniqueness of his contribution: that it is not an either/or equation, but a both/and principle. Wimber expressly states that his method of evangelism is not a new form that would replace and invalidate all other forms. He remains respectful of traditional methods. He sees the fear model as implicit in the gospel.\textsuperscript{1160} He has a critical sympathy with the ‘incarnational model’\textsuperscript{1161} Although Wimber seems to have little sympathy for ‘the relational model’, this could fit well with

\begin{footnotes}
\item[1159] A. Carter notes: ‘I’m convinced the best Reformed teaching is experiential, that it engages not only the mind, but the heart. It’s not just theoretical, but practical. Reformed teaching has understood that theology is essentially practical. But it’s gotten a bad rap that it’s solely theoretical. But that’s not historically been the case, and need not be the case.’ He further relates the story telling emphasis of, particularly African American preaching, which could improve the doctrinal preaching of the Reformed Churches. M. Morgan, ‘An Interview with Anthony Carter’, \textit{By Faith Online: The Web Magazine of the Presbyterian Church in America}, (20: June 2008), \url{http://byfaithonline.com/page/in-the-church/bringing-the-reformation-to-the-african-american-church-an-interview-with-anthony-carter} [accessed on 13/3/2012].
\item[1161] Wimber, \textit{The Way in is the Way On}, p.63, \textit{Power Evangelism}, p.36. It has been noted that there may be some confusion in Wimber’s theology on this issue. Or it may be a theme that is underdeveloped, or one in which his opinion changed over the years.
\end{footnotes}
his theology of powerpoints.\textsuperscript{1162} Power evangelism rests upon God’s direction to specific situations, to minister with his power, which is something that would resonate with Reformed theology.\textsuperscript{1163} His approach could, therefore, find a home in the Reformed tradition without demanding too great a theological shift.

Regarding discipleship, Wimber looks for the integration of those converted through power evangelism into the local church.\textsuperscript{1164} He further notes that commitment to Church will develop only when people have a sense of their faith directing their lives.\textsuperscript{1165} In reflecting upon the behavioural model, Wimber emphasises that fellowship is significant to spiritual growth and belonging.\textsuperscript{1166} The proclamation model is also harmonious with Wimber’s theology which desires all Christians to be under the dominance of the Bible.\textsuperscript{1167} The sacramental model, too, may be reconciled to Wimber’s theology because he sees baptism and communion as integral to spiritual development, and views both as pointing toward salvation.\textsuperscript{1168} His own emphasis on spiritual fruit, as having a priority over spiritual gifts, is significant to the Reformed Churches which place a strong emphasis on Christian character and the outworking of personal faith in action.\textsuperscript{1169} His criticism of those who emphasise experience, over the Bible, is equally applicable to Reformed thought.\textsuperscript{1170} The element of the Baptism in the Holy Spirit taking
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place at conversion is the same as that of the Reformed position.\textsuperscript{1171} Considering this, there appears to be little that would make Wimber’s position on discipleship incompatible with that of Reformed theology.

Commenting on ministry training, Wimber believes that everyone has a position to fill in the Church; so, training for leadership or other forms of ministry was for everyone. This does change the notion of leadership in Reformed Churches that sets a minister apart from the congregation through a unique title or unique clothing.\textsuperscript{1172} The training model was useful for Wimber as it produced those who were equipped to use the Bible in ministry.\textsuperscript{1173} He also sees that power evangelism needs this theological foundation for it to work correctly.\textsuperscript{1174} He is critical of those who were trained in the Bible, in an abstract manner, with no emphasis on the practicalities of ministry.\textsuperscript{1175} Wimber’s theology is, therefore, applicable in this model, to a limited degree, and may be understood as foundational to operating in power evangelism. Wimber is sympathetic to the apprenticeship model of training.\textsuperscript{1176} This model may also be applicable to a Reformed position. If it is all that is meant by ministry training then it would be out of line with the current practice, but coupled with a college education it already is a pattern in many denominations. Wimber does seem to like the idea of leaders being trained at home, within their local congregations.\textsuperscript{1177} This model would not be applicable to many Reformed Churches at present because few leaders move to leadership in their home congregations. It may, however, be a useful form of lay preaching or eldership training. His theology of spiritual gifts is significant.
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here because it speaks of a corporate ownership.\textsuperscript{1178} This would harmonise well with the Presbytery system of many Reformed Churches. What seems to be becoming clear is that elements of each system are applicable to the Reformed ethos, as are elements of Wimber’s own theology. It would appear that this is the least developed aspect of his views, and that may be because of the emphasis of the Wimber that was power evangelism.

Viewing the follow-up questions, it is possible to be a Presbyterian minister and develop a Charismatic practice. There would be few theological hindrances to a Presbyterian congregation implementing the Wimber model. As noted in the introduction to this dissertation, there have been some Irish Presbyterian congregations that have developed a relationship with Charismatic theology and practice. There is always a challenge to see what can be learnt from any method, and the benefit is ecumenical relations with those from another tradition. This was something pursued by the World Alliance of Reformed Churches between 1996-2000.\textsuperscript{1179} Whether Irish Presbyterianism could attain the popularity of the Charismatic streams would also need further study. If Reformed leaders, who positively view the Charismatic models, implement these principles then it would also be worth further study in the future.

\textbf{The Harmony of the Dissertation}

I want to suggest that the harmony of this dissertation offers a method for the integration, consolidation and training of new converts into ministry. The thesis is linked by the common thread of Wimber’s theology, and the contextual applications flow also from Wimber’s own writings. The thesis outlined flows from Wimber’s own writing; it begins with Power Evangelism (1985), leading to \textit{The Dynamics of Spiritual Growth} (1990), and ending in \textit{Everyone Gets to}\textsuperscript{274}

\begin{flushleft}
\textsuperscript{1178} Wimber and Springer, \textit{Power Healing}, p.201.
\end{flushleft}

\begin{flushleft}
\textsuperscript{1179} <http://www.warc.ch/dt/erl1/20.html> [accessed on 20/10/2011].
\end{flushleft}
Play (2008). Also included is the pattern from ‘Laying All Down for Jesus’ which connected evangelism, discipleship and ministry.\textsuperscript{1180} The rhythm of these three models and how they interact with each other may, therefore, be viewed as a self-repeating methodology.

This work further challenges the assumptions on which the Reformed faith builds its understanding of pneumatology. Pawson suggests that Cessationist theology has its foundation in theological and historical tradition rather than biblical theology.\textsuperscript{1181} This tradition has left little space for the exercise or experience of charismatic manifestations. B.A. Gerish writes: ‘The usual approach to discussing the Reformed tradition is to catalogue some distinctive beliefs or doctrines.’\textsuperscript{1182} While Reformed theology claims to be biblical theology, there is still the emphasis on history and tradition. Lucas calls these ‘Presbyterian stories’. They reflect on the history of what happened in the Reformation, and even upon what Europe may have been like without the Reformation.\textsuperscript{1183} Part of the method of this thesis was the social constructivist view that sees the world through the way in which the individuals in the world interpret that world. So Reformed tradition is a mix of theology, history and the way things have always been done and understood. I have sought to question if cessationism is a valid understanding in the light of theology and history. From a review of the literature on the subject, I have concluded that there may have been more of an openness to charismatic manifestation, historically and theologically, than previously thought.

\begin{flushright}
\footnotesize
\textsuperscript{1180} Wimber, \textit{Everyone Gets to Play}, pp.15-22.
\textsuperscript{1181} Pawson, \textit{Word and Spirit Together}, p.76.
\end{flushright}
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In this dissertation I have also sought to challenge Reformed theology with the implications of the doctrine of *semper reformata*. One way in which this doctrine can be applied is to question the place of Charismatic theology and experience in the light of constant reforming. Horton notes that it has been the desire of the Reformed Churches to have every aspect of worship and life determined by the Bible, and not human whim or creativity. This is why the historical challenges to the Church were raised in the Reformation. The Church is the active part in this constant, reforming as it considers ‘its own doctrine, worship, and discipline in the light of ever-changing cultural contexts.’ Considering the different culture that exists today from that of the sixteenth century, it is my contention that a reconsideration of charismatic experience may be a valid application of this doctrine. MacArthur understands the doctrine as referring to the imperfection of Christians and the need to constantly change to reflect Christ better. As such, in his view this does not mean that doctrine needs to be re-evaluated to attempt to be relevant to our culture. This statement, however, does appear to allow for the possibility of charismatic experience. This would not be the Church considering how to be relevant to the culture, but the Holy Spirit working through the Church, proving in miracles, signs and wonders that the Church is relevant.

It may seem that some of the challenges that have been suggested in this work would completely transform a Church that is rich in history and tradition. The debate of what is the kernel and what is the husk of our theology and tradition is not a new one. Whether this thesis is adopted will depend upon how the husk is understood. This does not have to be the case.

---

1184 It may be argued that Irving began this call to another reformation. Vreeland, ‘Edward Irving: Preacher, Prophet and Charismatic Theologian’, p.1.

1185 Horton, ‘Semper Reformanda.’ The roots of this doctrine seem to have come from Jodocus van Lodenstein in 1674 which argued that the Church is always in need of reforming.


Taylor notes that the richness of Christian heritage can be offered alongside new and dynamic expressions of Church which have the power of the Spirit within them. This thesis contends that, when the power of the Holy Spirit is combined with a sense of history and belonging, a powerful contemporary witness is released. The issues with which the thesis is concerned; namely, the salvation, integration and release of believers into ministry, are too significant to ignore. If change is necessary, then strength is needed to take the difficult next step.

The Application of the Dissertation

One of the themes of this work has been how to inspire, effectively, and train Christians to make disciples. To do this, a connection has been made between evangelism that makes converts, discipleship that moulds converts, and ministry that trains converts to do evangelism. In one sense, there are elements of Driscoll’s understanding of ‘reformission.’ This suggests that people ought to be brought close to the Church to witness how the Church satisfies needs. According to Wimber, the theology of how the Church is to operate in this Charismatic way was to be found within Evangelical theology. This harmonises with Greg Laurie who suggests that the purpose of charismatic experience is the goal of ministry and gospel transformation. Taking these comments on board, the suggestions in this thesis could be applied to a local Reformed congregation in their work of evangelism, discipleship and ministry training to aid their effectiveness. It could also be applied to denominational structures as they seek to aid and resource their local congregations to minister effectively to their contexts.

1188 Taylor, The Out of Bounds Church, p.27.
1189 Driscoll, The Radical Reformission, p.69.
The application of Wimber’s perspective is needed for a freshness within traditional Presbyterianism. Some Presbyterian Churches are losing a significant number of members.\textsuperscript{1192} There may be many reasons for this decline, ranging from demographic changes in the parish areas to a general dissatisfaction with the ethos of Presbyterianism. To attempt to address this, there needs to be a consideration of the way in which things get done within Presbyterianism. A significant influence on the Reformed Churches has been John Calvin, whose theology comes from his own training to be a lawyer. This, W. Robert Godfrey believes, was to become ‘useful to him in later life.’\textsuperscript{1193} Further, according to David W. Hall, Calvin’s understanding of the nature of Church government was essentially ‘republican’, in which a collegial body of local ministers govern the affairs of the local Churches. This decentralised authority, away from a ruling elite, so that the council could be free ‘to monitor the faith and practice of the church.’\textsuperscript{1194} These influences have led to a specific type of government within the Presbyterian Churches, specifically where theology and practice are judged through an almost legal approach and graded Church courts.\textsuperscript{1195} John H. Leith writes: ‘all the attributes and prerogatives of the Church arise from the indwelling of the Spirit, and consequently, where He dwells, there are those attributes and prerogatives.’\textsuperscript{1196} It is to those indwelling of the Spirit that the theology of Wimber emphasises and seeks to influence the Church’s practice of evangelism, discipleship and ministry. In this sense, it could be argued that Wimber simply emphasises the spirituality of the Reformation by emphasising the work of the Spirit in moulding and influencing Church practice.

\footnotesize{\textsuperscript{1192} Dickinson, \textit{Presbyterian Herald}.}


\footnotesize{\textsuperscript{1195} In America the Presbyterian system grew from the congregation upward through Presbyteries, Synods to the General Assembly. In Scotland the pattern was reversed. J.H. Leith, \textit{Introduction to the Reformed Tradition}, (Edinburgh: St. Andrew Press, 1977), p.147.}

\footnotesize{\textsuperscript{1196} Leith, \textit{Introduction to the Reformed Tradition}, p.147.}
This emphasis on the Holy Spirit may have an impact on the Reformed Churches regarding ministry, specifically. Mack and Swavely note that the work of the Spirit will inspire and motivate the Church to help others in need. This\textsuperscript{1197} begins when the Holy Spirit places a love within their hearts for those to whom the Church is ministering, which is a manifestation of charismatic experience (1 Cor. 13:1-3). When people feel valued and their contribution is important, they will give, sacrificially, to see their congregation’s ministry succeed. This will mean that the impact of Reformed congregations will be increased, if not by the direct effect of the Holy Spirit, then indirectly as the Spirit inspires individual members to evangelise, integrate the converts in discipleship and release into ministry.

There may also be a spiritual result of applying this thesis. Newbigin comments that, as the Church takes the gospel into every culture, so the Spirit demonstrates that every culture belongs to God; therefore, things are seen through the cosmic nature of the gospel.\textsuperscript{1198} The circular element that exists, when congregations emphasise evangelism that turns people into disciples and then trains and releases them into ministry, was the beginning of this discussion. There is also a circular element, spiritually, in that the gospel proclaims the glory of God and, as that is proclaimed, the glory of God shines brightly in the world and illuminates more of our own witness. This will encourage engagement with our communities, irrespective of whether there is any acceptance. There will be a confidence in the gospel that it will be confirmed with power.

\textsuperscript{1197} Mack and Swavely, \textit{Life in the Father’s House}, p.67.

The New Picture of the Dissertation

I have attempted to paint a picture in which Reformed and Charismatic theologies complement each other in a way that aids the practical work of the Church. This will encourage the Reformed Church to look beyond its own traditions for help and inspiration in its work and witness. There has been a tendency toward secession within Presbyterianism, particularly when an issue of disagreement arises.\textsuperscript{1199} Wimber notes that contemporary Christianity is more divided than ever before; yet, part of the Holy Spirit’s work in him was to give him an ecumenical spirit that sees fellowship founded on common faith in Christ, not theological agreement.\textsuperscript{1200} If the Reformed Churches can begin by accepting that they may not have all the answers to their denominational needs - Churches closing, drifting members, nominal membership – then they might turn to the Charismatic model for aid.

I also suggest that this paper connects Reformed Christians with the spirituality of their theological roots. This is not to say that Wimber was, in essence, Reformed, or that the roots of Reformed theology would look like Wimber. What it suggests is a recapturing of Reformation spirituality, which looks at what needs to be changed in the present Church, and seeks to do so. Alister McGrath believes that this spirituality ‘represented ideas with a future, possessing a high coefficient of relevance’ to the sixteenth century. So the Reformation was an attempt to relate their understanding of Christianity to that era. Accordingly, this spirituality, which mingle the old and new, the transitory and the stable, is well suited for our own time.\textsuperscript{1201} Building upon the literature on the area of Charismatic and Reformed theology, the picture I have tried to paint suggests that an influence could be that of Wimber who

\textsuperscript{1199} The proliferation of Presbyterian denominations in Ireland particularly was noted in the Introduction.

\textsuperscript{1200} Wimber, \textit{Everyone Gets to Play}, p.27.

\textsuperscript{1201} A. McGrath, \textit{Roots that Refresh: A Celebration of Reformation Spirituality}, (London: Hodder and Stoughton, 1991), p.17. McGrath believes that this requires further study, something that I have endeavored to do in this thesis.
uniquely stands in a position between Evangelical and Charismatic; namely, the radical middle. To implement these suggestions may not only be pragmatically useful for the contemporary mission of Reformed Churches, but may also rediscover something of the passionate spirituality of the Reformation tradition.

On a wider Church scale, this dissertation paints a picture of a situation whereby the historic antagonism between Charismatics and Reformed believers gives way to détente. An example of this is the 'Together for the Gospel' Movement in America where C.J. Mahaney (Charismatic), Mark Dever (SBC), Ligon Duncan (PCA), Al Molher (SBC), John MacArthur (Baptist), John Piper (ABC) and R.C. Sproul (PCA) have covenanted together to learn from each other and resource each other. Banister believes this effect will be like two rivers coming together, bringing the best of the Evangelical and the Charismatic together to create Churches ‘anchored in the Word and alive in the Spirit.’ The joining of these two rivers, which I would prefer to call tributaries, into one mighty flood accepts the premise that neither side has all that is needed, and what is lacking is made up from those to whom, traditionally, they would be antagonistic. Fyall notes some of the same issues: that a Church to be biblical must be strong in both doctrine and transformed living; reverence and celebration in worship; intellect and emotion; and, theology and practice. This moves beyond labelling a Church as Reformed and Charismatic, but addressing the fundamental identity as a biblical people. A ministry philosophy of Word and Power, as that defined by Wimber, may be the answer to the needs of the Church in the twenty-first century.

---


1203 Banister, *Word and Power Church*, p.28. Banister says that this will address the hyper-emotionalism and the moral failures of many tele-evangelists that often put off postmoderns. Banister, p.39.

The Future of Reformed and Charismatic Theological Reflection

In comparing the theology of Wimber with that of the Reformed tradition, as outlined in this thesis, I believe that there are a number of issues, surrounding Wimber, that may benefit from further consideration. These are: a comparison of Wimber’s theology to Quaker theology; a comparison between power evangelism, as authenticating the gospel, with the Reformed doctrine of the sacraments as explaining the gospel; Wimber’s theology of the kingdom and Peterson’s theology of subversion; Wimber’s theology of the kingdom and Gustavo Gutierrez’s liberation theology; a comparison between Wimber’s theology of the Baptism in the Holy Spirit and that of traditional Pentecostalism; a comparison between Western Reformed theology and Black Reformed theology on charismatic experience; the Charismatic style of worship and the regulative principle; and a comparison between the theology of the Vineyard and that of New Frontiers International and Covenant Ministries International. Historically, there are also a number of areas: the Reformed roots of the Pentecostal Movement in the United Kingdom; the Charismaticisation of mainstream Evangelicalism (has Wimber won the Church over?); the influence of Anglicanism on the Vineyard UK, and vice versa. Statistically, it would also be interesting to see any numerical increase in Reformed Churches that have embraced the Charismatic Renewal over those who have rejected it.

Some of these areas are statistical, some are theological, and some are biblical. However, significant further study is required into conversation between Reformed theology and Pentecostal/Charismatic theology. There may also be merit in asking the same question again in a number of years’ time to assess whether, at that stage, Charismatic theology can interact with Reformed theology in any meaningful way. This reflects, as Hamiliton states, 282
the fluid nature of human relationships that form a ‘dynamically-moving web.’ It may be that, in the future, some theological statement of grass roots movement will develop that completely refutes or supports the relationship between the Charismatic and the Reformed.

---
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