

Bangor University

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

The genetics and evolution of differences between closely adjacent plant populations, with special reference to heavy metal tolerance

Antonovics, Janis

Award date: 1966

Awarding institution: Bangor University

[Link to publication](https://research.bangor.ac.uk/portal/en/theses/the-genetics-and-evolution-of-differences-between-closely-adjacent-plant-populations-with-special-reference-to-heavy-metal-tolerance(a98b8b27-8068-4c2b-8c3d-692ff93f1a36).html)

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain • You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal ?

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

THE GENETICS AND EVOLUTION OF DIFFERENCES BETWEEN CLOSELY ADJACKNY PLANT POPULATIONS WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO HEAVY METAL TOLERANCE

A thesis presented for the degree of Philosophiae Doctor in the University of Wales

JAMIS ANTONOVICS, B.A. Department of Agricultural Botany, University College of North Wales, Bangor

p_z

THE GENETICS AND EVOLUTION OF DIFFERENCES BETWEEN CLOSELY ADJACENT PLANT POPULATIONS WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO HEAVY METAL TOLERANCE

K.

BEST COPY

AVAILABLE $\langle \hat{V} \rangle$

Variable print quality

and the state of the second control of the state of the state of the state of **Frontispiece**

THE CLOSELY ADJACENT POPULATIONS

To the left of the wall is uncontaminated soil on which grows the nom-tolerant population.

To the right of the wall is mime soil which is contaminated with sinc and on which grows the tolerant population.

Trelogan Mine, Flintshire.

ACKXXVILEDGEPTERTS

This work was carried out while I was Demonstrator in the Department of Agricultural Botany, University College of North Wales. Bancor. During this time I received halp and encouragement from many sources, including staff, technisians, research students, and students. I wish to thank all of them not only for their direct assistance but also for the friendly and helpful atmosphere. In particular I am indebted to my superviser Dr. A. D. Bradshaw for his advice, encouragement, and enthusiasm. I am also very grateful to Professor J. L. Harper for providing the facilities. to Dr. T. S. McNeilly and Dr. R. P. G. Gregory for introducing me to the many aspects of matal tolerance at the start of this work, to Mr. D. Machin for his advice on statistical matters, and to the staff of the Computing Laboratory, University College of North Wales, for their help and patiencs. Finally I would like to thank Miss K. Williams for typing much

of this theais, and Mr. P. Brindley for the photography.

ABSTRACT

The evolution of differences between adjacent populations is studied using populations of Agrostis termis and Anthoranthum odoratum at the boundary of conteminated mine soil and normal pasture. The populations on the mine are tolerant whereas those on the pasture are non-tolerant to high concentrations of heavy metals. This is considered as a situation that can throw light on the

process of primary evolution. The tolerant and non-tolerant

populations are in sufficient proximity for them to exchange genes freely. Selection will be operating to eliminate unadapted types formed as a result of gene transfer. The production of unadapted genotypes will produce pressures for factors limiting gene flow. And since genotypes from one habitat are entering the other habitat the genetic consequences of colonisation can be studied. Studies on natural populations are combined with studies using computer models. The main conclusions to emerge from these studies are as follows:

- (a) The situation in nature
- Highly tolerant individuals can be selected from non-tolerant (1)

populations in one generation.

(2) Selection for tolerance occurs in the early seedling stage. Selection is strong and has directional and stabilising components. (3) There is considerable population turnower on mine soils. Tolerant populations are more vegetative than non-tolerant populations when grown as spaced plants.

(4) Tolerant and non-tolerant populations differ in many morphological characters. These characters form different clinal patterns across the mina/non-mine boundary.

- (5) Tolerant and non-tolerant plants are cross compatible.
- (6) Tolerant and non-tolerant plants are partially isolated by a

difference in their flowering time. The difference is a result of selection for adaptation to local ecological conditions and selection

for mechanisms reducing the harmful effects of gene flow. (7) Tolerant plants have a greater self-fertility than non-tolerant. Those is a megative relation between selfing and distance of the tolerant population from the non-tolerant population: selfing may be acting as an isolating mechanism. (8) Metal tolerance is inherited.

The theoretical situation (b)

 \mathbf{v}_a

(1) The consequences of seed flow (sigration - selection - mating) are different from those of pollen flow (migration - mating selection). The latter is considered in detail. (2) Pollen flow maintains a gene in a population even if there is strong selection against that gene. It increases the heterozygosity of the population.

(3) Pollen flow imposes a genetic load on the population.

(4) A gene for selfing spreads through a population of its own acoord and also assists the fixation of a favoured gene.

(5) Selfing counteracts pollen flow and reduces the genetic load on the population.

(6) The evolution of dominance and overdominance is possible under

conditions of pollen flow and solection.

CONTENTS

Page

 $\sim 10^{-1}$

 \sim

 \pm

 $\sim 10^6$

 \mathbf{T}

INTRODUCTION es se se \blacksquare Chapter I. $\bullet\bullet\qquad\bullet\bullet\qquad\bullet\bullet$

Chapter II. TECHNIQUES AND APPROACHES

 \bullet

Chapter IV. THE PROCESS OF ISOLATION

$1.$ Introduction 38

Page

THE PROCESS OF EVOLUTION : A COMPUTER MODEL Chapter V. 82 1_o The basic models... 82 (a) Single gene model \bullet \bullet

 h_{\bullet}

(b) With selection 97 (e) Changing pollen flow 98

Page

 \bullet .

Chapter VI. THE GENETICS OF HEAVY METAL TOLERANCE

APPENDIX

 \mathcal{A}

Appendix I.

Plants growing on areas of heavy
metal contamination : a review \bullet

 \sim

Contract State

Chapter I

 $\langle \pmb{\epsilon} \rangle$

INTRODUCTION

Introduction

The unit of evolution is the population and it is within the population that we must look for the mechanism of evolution. Comparative morphology, palaeontology or even experimental taxonomy can provide only limited information about the factors which affect genetic change in populations and hence in species. These disciplines while documenting the course of evolution and the conditions under which it occurred, only provide problems for the population geneticist interested in the mechanisms of evolution.

 $\mathbf{1}$

The investigation of differences within species is the first stage in population studies. It is a valuable approach that has led to the recognition that species are differentiated into numerous distinct populations and that therefore natural selection is an ubiquitous and important factor. However, even though critical population comparisons distinguish the effects of the environment and the genotype, they are little more than an extension of the method of comparative morphology. They go little beyond Darwin in helping us to understand the units of evolution and their detailed behaviour.

A more rewarding study is to look at the process of genetic

change within populations over time. This has been fruitful in helping to recognise different types of selection and their different consequences. A detailed study of such changes. the comparison of the young populations before selection with the parent populations after selection, and a study of genetic polymorphism, has led to the realisation that the selection pressures which must be operating in natural populations may often be very severe. The importance of breeding systems in plants, the reaction of an organism to its environment, gene expression, and many other phenomona have been brought into relation with natural selection through the study of changes within populations. Work on natural populations is however

often slow and difficult, but considerable help has been obtained by

using experimental populations and mathematical and computer models.

Introduction

As a result of these studies natural selection is no longer a poorly documented phenomenon.

Dut there is a third angle of attack on evolution in natural populations which has received remarkably little attention: this is population differentiation over short distances. This is the subject of the thesis. Although it way seem a rather obscure and arbitrary topic for study it is important for two reasons. Until a few years ago population differentiation over short distances was thought to be impossible, and when first noticed was quite unexpected. It had been thought that populations only a short distance apart could not remain distinct because they would interbreed and thereby annul the differentiating effects of natural selection. If such populations can be different then the initial premises about interbreeding and selection must be false. This alone would justify the investigation.

But there is more to the problem than this. Here is a situation which can throw light on the whole process of primary evolution. We can consider that there are two cenetically distinct populations which are in sufficient proximity for them to exchange genes more or less freely. Selection must be operating to eliminate the unadapted types that are continually being formed as a result of gene The effect of gene transfer will nevertheless be to transfer. chance the cenetical arr'itecture of the populations, and maintain their variability. The production of unadapted genotypes will produce pressures for factors limiting gene flow and promoting speciation.

In adjacent populations genotypes from one habitat are continually entering the other habitat and the genetic consequences of colonization can be studied.

The study of changes within populations provides information about the process of directional evolution, whereas situations at the boundary of two populations throw light on branching and expansive

evolution. Recently man's activities have disturbed natural habitats enormously: this suggests that expansive evolution may be a

widespread process at the present time, and therefore of practical

3

consequence. Since the origin of major taxa has been quickly followed by expansive evolution in newly available adaptive zones, it is a very isportant process from a more general standpoLnt. For such a study it is necessary to have populations that are very distinct yet which are still in sufficient proximity to exchange genes. Such populations are to be expected wherever there is a sharp habitat ch; nge, such as from cliff to cliff-top pasture, from grazed to ungrazed pasture, between soils of different pH, between water and land, and so on. The discrete habitats chosen for this study were soils conteminated with large quantities of heavy metals as a result of tipping from old mine workings. The boundary between the contaminated wine soil and the normal pasture is often very sharp, the intermediate zone being usually only a few feet vice. Several species which grow on sine soil also occur in the adjacent pasture. Many mine soil plants have been shown to be tolerant to high levels of metal, whereas pasture plants are intolerant and die if planted into toxic soil. Because this character sensed reasonably clear cut, it wax chosen for particular study. Metal tolerance is an important character which has received much attention. But the aim of this study has been to elucidate

evolutionary mechanisms using motal tolerance as a model, so little attention has been paid to tolerance per se. To set the background a general review of work on metal tolerance has been included in Appendix 1.

practical study has been concentrated on two contrasting mines with two species, the grasses Agrostis termis and Anthoxanthum odoratum.

Because closely adjacent populations have rarely been studied in great detail. many of the problems associated with such populations remain undefined. This thesis is a survey of the types of problem that might be expected, rather than a detailed investigation into any one particular feature. The situation in nature is complex, and therefore practical investigations have been carried out in conjunction with theoretical studies using computer models. The

The results from one example may be an accident or peculiar to the opocios or the nine. But two examples. if they show the same thing, point more clearly to general evolutionary situations. The co. puter study has used models developed largely with the results of the practical investigation in mind: it is hoped to show how the study of natural populations points to new situations amenable to mathematical and computer treatment. Until recently ecological gonotics has bee the handmaiden of taxonomy, and population genetics has been the executive of mathematical theories. But

these approaches together can show that evolution as a phenomenon

of natural populations can be a discipline in its own right.

Chapter II

TECHNIQUES AND APPROACHES

Techniques : mines

 \mathcal{A}^{max} and \mathcal{A}^{max}

THE MINES \mathbf{h}

The two mines chosen for the main part of this study differ in mumerous respects and are contrasted in tabular form (Table 1). However they have the following in common: (a) A sharp houndary between contaminated and non-contaminated areas with a small intermediate some only about three feet wide. (b) A difference in the tolerance of the populations that closely follows the pattern of contamination (Putwain, 1963; McNeilly, 1965). (c) The possibility of gene flow between the populations.

The other mines used in this study are lised in Appendix 2. The species studied on Trelogan mine was Anthoxanthum odoratum L., Sweet Vernal Grass. Plants were collected from eight positions on a transect across the boundary of the mine (see Figs. 1, 2a and Frontispiece). Each site was sampled from an area approximately five yards wide and two yards long. The sites on the mine were on an area of loose grindings which showed evidence of having been re-worked: the soil therefore probably came from the period of re-working, 1873-1898 (see Table 1). The sites off the mine came from a rough, lightly and sporadically grazed pasture. The species studied at Drws-y-Coed mine was Agreatis temuis Sibth..

Common Bent Grass. Plants were collected again from eight positions across the boundary of the mine (see Fig. 2b). The transect used was the seme as that of McNeilly (1965) except that an extra position at both extremities was included. The plants on the mine come from a silty area of grindings with a very high water table. The plants from the pasture oces from a rocky hillside with a very thin soil layer that carries an upland pasture.

The individual sites along the transacts could be termed separate populations but the term population will be restricted to the tolerant and non-tolerant types cithor side of the main boundary. When the two populations are being compared as a whole, the boundary site (mumber 5 at Trelogan and number 6 at Drws-y-Coed) is not included

in the comparison.

Table 1. Comparison of general features of the

Trelogan and Drws-y-Coed mines.

Rainfall p11 of soil Metal content Zn Pb Cu Vegetation

Mining history

returns

(from Smith, 1921) (from Dewey and Eastwood, 1925)

 $8,696$ tons of Cu ore

westerly. 30-35 ins 80-100 ins \mathbf{p} 7.6 4.2 $24,000-80,000$ 280
2.600-3.600 100 $2,600-3,600$ 100
100-500 2,600 100-500 Anthoxanthum odoratum* Agrostis tenuis**
Festuca ovina
Festuca ovina Festuca ovina
Agrostis tenuis^{*} Rumex acetosa** Agrostis tenuis*
Agrostis stolonifera* Galium hercynicum Agrostis stolonifera* Galium hercynicum
Agrostis tenuis \times (**Have shown to be Agrostis tenuis \times stolonifera* Rumex acetosa* Minuartia vorne and towards edge of mine and areas of

lesser contamination

sea, area of open aided west facing valley.

pastures. Winds Winds blow up the valley. Winds blow up the valley.

tolerant to copper).

Euphrasia sp. Plantago lanceolata" Viola lutea Cerastium sp.
(* Have shown to be tolerant to zinc). First published 1848 1855 Periods of working 1859-1873
 $2,594$ tons Pb ore
 $8,696$ to 7,542 tons Zn ore 1873-1898 Sporadic reworking of old waste heaps 1898-1909

> 2,400 tons Pb ore 15,346 tons Zn ore

Committee

Fig. 1b. Photograph of the mine-pasture boundary at Trelogan.

Fig. 2a. The Trelogan transect (Anthoxanthum).

mine contaminated pasture pasture

Fig. 2b. The Drws-y-Coed transect (Agrostis).

contaminated region

* distances given are those between the centre of sites.

Techniques : tolerance-testing

THE TESTING OF TOLERANCE 2.

The techniques used in the testing of tolerance have followed closely those of Jonatt (1959), Gregory (1965) and McNeilly (1965) and involve a comparison of root growth in water (or simple nutrient solution) with the root growth in metal solution. Whereas both tolerant and non-tolerant individuals root readily in water, the metal solution inhibits the rooting of the non-tolerant, but not that of telerant (Fig. 3).

\mathbf{a} Adults

Three plants of the conctypes to be tested were put as single

tillers or small plants into boxms of John Innes No. 1 potting compost and allewed to grow for 6-8 weeks in a warm greenhouse with supplementary lights to provide a 16 hr day. This paried of preculture was commutial both to standardise material and also to get mumerous uniform healthy tillers. The plants were then split up into individual tillers from which the roots were rumoved, and the tillers were placed in the appropriate solutions. Calcium nitrate (0.5 gms/litre) was added to both metal selution and water treatments to ensure better recting.

The tillers were supported in the solutions by glass tubing 3.5 ins. long and with an internal diameter of 4 mm, suspended from

cobex (rigid vinyl) sheets. Small amounts of material ware tested in polystyreme beakers: twenty tubes per beaker were used. Large amounts of material were tested in square polytheme bowls or taxks: tubes were suspended using larger cobex shoots stiffened with 0.25 ins square strips of polystyrume. This emphied 360 tillers to be tested in one tank, and saved the considerable labour involved in changing solutions. In both systems, solutions were changed every two days, and tem days were allowed for each test. Twenty tillers of each genetype were placed in water and the same mumber in metal solution. The index of tolerance was calculated as -

Index of tolerance _ Length of longest root in metal solution Length of length's root in water

x 100

The levels of motal used ware those chosen by McNeilly (1965) and Gregory (1965) on the basis of rooting tests over a range of

toleranco-testing Techniques

concentrations - copper (as sulphate) 0.5 ppm., sinc (as sulphate) 15 ppm., lead (as nitrate) 12 ppm.

(b) Seedlings

. Although seedling tests have not yet been used extensively in this investigation, attempts were made to develop a seedling test still simpler than that devised by McNeilly (1965) in order to facilitate the screening of non-tolerant populations for tolerant individuals and to test large munbers of seedlings resulting from

CIOSSOS.

The method used by McNeilly involved 'sowing' seed on fine mylon meah touching the surface of a solution contained in a plastic beaker. The seed was allowed to germinate and then growth rate of the root in water and prowth rate in metal solution measured on a single seedling. The ratio of the two rates gave an index of tolerance: this correlated well with the tolerance of the same genotype tested as an adult.

However this technique involved the necessity of

(a) identifying and labelling individual seedlings

(b) making several measurements on each seedling to get an index

(c) handling the seedlings while they were still growing. To eliminate these difficulties the absolute root growth of mine

and nom-mine seedlings in different metal molutions was examined. Seedlings were sown on mylon mesh and length of the longest root measured after three weeks.

The method distinguished clearly between tolerant and nen-telerant populations (Fig.. 4) but when the length distribution is plotted (Fig. 4b) it is seen that a very large proportion of seedlings, although they germinate and produce a shoot, do not show any appreciable root growth, even when copper is not present. To investigate this further, non-tolerant seedlings were grown

 $\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\lambda_i} \frac{1}{\lambda_i}$

under the following conditions -

(a) Cold vater (20 $^{\circ}$ C instead of 25 $^{\circ}$ C)

 (b) Auration

Fig. 4a. Response of tolerant and non-tolerant seedlings to copper.

Fig. 4b. Root length distributions of tolerant and non-tolerant seedlings.

 \mathcal{M}

Techniques : tolerance-testing

- (c) Full matriant solution
- (d) Sand culture

Giving a full matrient solution, growing at 20°C, and growing the seedlings on sand increased the proportion of reeting. This technique was used to try and select tolerant seedlings from mon-tolerant populations (see Chapter III.1.b). For this purpose a modification was developed to test a large mumber of Nylem memb was stretched over leng rectangular holders seedlings. made of 1/4" x 1/4" polystyrune strips. These were then floated by mean of polystyrune foam strips in plastic tanks containing the selution. The foam strips were adjusted in size so that the weight of the holders made the mylon just touch the water and be held there by murface temaion. This method had the added advantage that air bubbles did not form between the mylon mesh and the solution. and there was no denour of the nylon mesh heaving the solution as a result of svaporation.

8

Tochaicuse : constant aurirement chamber the control of the control of the state

THE COMETANT ENVIRONMENT CHAMBER 3_o

So that tests at different times could be compared with confidence a special comstant environment chember was constructed. All the tests of adults and seedlings were carried out in this chamber.

A diagram of the chamber showing relevant details is seen in Fig. 5. The temperature of the room in which the chamber was housed was kept constant at 20°C by means of a fan and heater working alternatively via a relay muitch. The air cooling the lights was

therefore at a constant temperature. The tanks and beakers used for tolerance testing ware placed in the water bath which was kept at 25°C. The constant room temperature, the uniform cooling of the lights (with het air ducted to the sutside), and thermostated water bath ansured that the temperature in which the plants were grown did not fluctuate mere than one or two degrees. The water bath and lights were completely employed, so that all the lighting was artificial and therefore was not affected by diurnal and seasonal fluctuations. The lights were separated from the water hath by glass plates. This complete enclosure of the water bath also ensured a high humidity favourable for rooting

of the tillers.

The light intensity at plant level was 1,500 foot candles and the plants were kept under continuous illumination.

Fig. 5. The constant enviroment chamber.


```
i 
'fan 
                      water-bath 
                   'glass 
                control units for lights 
            : lights
       'heating element 
   cold water drip feed 
                                 supports for lights' 
                                              overflow 
                                                 hot air outlet
```


Chapter III

THE PROCESS OF SELECTION

$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{F}}(x) = \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{F}})$

Since mine populations are tolerant whereas normal pasture populations are not, we must presume that natural selection has occurred to cause this difference. McNeilly (1965) has produced etidence for very powerful selection pressures maintaining tolerance in mine populations. Indeed if nur-tolerant seed is sown on mine soils it dies - selection pressures must be very high. Nevertheless certain aspects of the process of selection on mines remain unanswered. The following aspects were therefore investigated. 1. Spped of selection: is the evolution of highly tolerant types gradual or can it occur very rapidly? 2. Time and intensity of selection: at what stage in the life cycle does selection for tolerance occur and what is the intensity of selection? J. Lungevity: does the longevity of plants influence the pattern of genetic change, what is the population turnover, and do the tolerant and non-tolerant populations differ in this respect? 4. Changes in associated characters: are mine plants different from normal plants in characters other than tolerance?

1. SPEED OF SELECTION

Although evolution has taken place over millions of years. selection can produce rapid changes in populations. This has been repeatedly shown in many organisms. The potential variability

available for selection that resides in an outbreeding grass population has been shown by Cooper (1959, 1961), where melection for date of ear amergence in <u>Lolium</u> produced types well cutaide the range of the original varieties in less than three generations. It therefore seemed relevant to investigate the speed with which metal telerance could be evalved. It is known that natural outcrops of metal ore produce marked effects on natural vegetation (Nicolls at al. 1965). Even on some mines in the North Wales area there is evidence that they were worked directly from the surface (e.g. Cam Bychan Mine, Mr. Beddgelert, Camrnarvonshire, Grid Ref. 2H 475603), suggesting that high metal concentrations occur naturally in many

Apart from natural outcrops, metal working can be traced areas. back to prehistoric times (Clark, 1952, pp. 183-99), and there is

Selection : speed 11 evidence that the Romans mined for copper at Parys Mountain, Anglesey, Cenes for telerance could therefore have been established for a long time in natural populations. The main flush of mining activity was in the early and middle part of the nineteenth century, and although the populations growing on conteminated spoil heaps are mostly less than a hundred years old, the genes responsible for the adaptation may well have been melected earlier.

However, it is difficult to see that this would be the case in the Swammea Valley area. The contaminated tips in this area are

made of ere which has been sterilised during smalting, and there is no evidence of ore deposits or metal mining in this area. The tips are very toxic to normal plant prowth (Sparke and Carr. 1962) and yet populations of Acrostis stolenifers can be found growing on them which have been shown to have a higher sinc tolerance than normal pasture populations (Gregory and Bradshaw, 1965). The meelting of metal ores in the Swansea area first began in 1717 and extensive spoil heaps must already have been present by the end of the century since in 1814 the Nant-Nhyd-y-Vilais works were built to extract copper and iron from slag left by previous works (Sparke and Carr, 1962). It therefore seems that metal tolerance in this area has

developed within the last 190 years.

Even more rapid evolution is suggested by the work of Snaydon (1965) who showed that pepulations of Fastuca oving and Agrostia canina directly under galvanised fencing have a significantly higher zing tolerance than those in the surrounding pasture. The fenoes were first erected in 1936 in the course of an ecological study and therefore the tolerance had developed within the last **30 years.**

In spite of these long term estimates it seems quite likely that tolerance might be evolved much more rapidly, indeed in one generation. Several systems were therefore designed to look

(a) Artificial mines

In order to look at the process of selection, plots of copper

contaminated and non-ocutaminated soil were set up at the experimental station, Dangor. These artificial mines had overal dimensions of 6 ft x 3 ft and consisted of polythene lined pits 1 ft 6 ins deep in which the soil types were put. The conteminated soil came from Parys Mountain, Anglessy, and the non-contaminated soil was a fertile loss. Both soils were stees sterilised to make sure that no seeds murvived.

In September, 1964, ten grams of Agrostis seed (equivalent to about 170,000 meeds) were scattered evenly on mech mine. The

tolerant seeds came from Drws-y-Coad Mine and the non-tolerant seeds were commercial Acrostis (New Zealand Drown Top). The following types of mine were constructed Mine 1. This consisted of equal patches of mine soil and loam soil; tolerant seeds were sown on both. This was to confirm that tolerant seeds grew on the mine soil and also to study selection against tolerance on the normal moil. Mine 2. This consisted of equal patches of mine soil and loam soil; nom-tolerant seeds were sown on both. This was to study the evolution of tolerance in the presence of an adjacunt population of nom-tolerant plants.

Hine 3. This consisted of mine soil only: it was some with nontolerant seeds. This was to study the evolution of tolerance in the absence of pana flow from adjacent non-tolerant types. Nine 4. This consisted of three equal patches: a patch of mine soil, and a patch of losm soil, separated by a patch of mine and losm soil mixed in 50 : 50 proportiens by volume. All three patches were sown with non-tolerant sueds. This was to study the effect of an 'intermediate nume' on the evolution of a tolerant population. The state of the mines in July, 1966 (Fig. 6) shows that when tolerant seeds are mown outo mine noil, many plants survive (Fig. 6a). When non-tolerant seeds are sown on mine soil, although nearly all

of the seed parainates, most of the seedlings are killed and very

few adults survive (Figs. 6b, 6c and 6d). Ten individuals survived on Mine 2, one individual on Mine 3, but no individuals grew on the

Fig. 6. Artificial mine plots.

 (a) Mine 1

Fig. 6. (cont.) Artificial mine plots.

(c) Mine 3

nure copper soil of Nine 4. The reasons for the differences in survival of non-tolerant seeds on different patches of copper contaminated soil are not clear. Presumably the general conditions at Mine 3 and 4 were not as favourable to seed establishment as the conditions at Hine 2: this latter mine was at the bottom of a aloping field and considerably wetter than the others. In July 1966 plants were taken from these mines and tested for Unfortunately the material from Hine 1 was lost and tolerance. could not be tested in time. The results are shown in Table 2. The plants growing on the copper contaminated soil of Mine 2 are not more tolerant than those growing on normal soil. This seems anomalous but can be explained firstly by the wetter nature of this site and secondly by the fact that most of the plants from this mine were taken from the edge of the plot where there was considerable humus carichment by vegetation falling from the surrounds. On the Mines 3 and 4 however the plants growing on the undiluted mine soil, and on mine soil diluted with loss, show a greater tolerance than the non-tolerant controls. Although the tolerance is not as great as in the tolerant material, these results illustrate the possibility of salecting plants from normal populations which show an enhanced

tolerance. The evolution of tolerance is therefore not necessarily a long term process and can occur in one or two generations.

Selection : speed

14

Table 2. Tolerance of Agrestis plants taken from artificial mines, some with non-tolerant commercial seed

(b) Selection in polution

Seeds were sown on mylon mosh floated (see Chapter II. 2) on solutions containing various copper levels. A preliminary experiment showed that at 0.1 ppm many tolerant genotypes showed root growth but no roots were produced by the non-tolerant type. This level was therefore chosen for screening a non-tolerant population for tolerant individuals. One thousand seeds, of a commercial momple. were sown on nylon memb and allowed to germinate and grow for three

Nearly all the seed germinated and produced a shoot but vonks. caly two individuals of the non-tolerant populations produced plants with roots (6 mm and 20mm). Out of 82 seeds that germinated from a tolerant population 32 individuals produced roots longer than 5 mm. The two selected individuals were then grown to adults and their tolerance tested. The results obtained were as follows:

Tolerance <u>Plants</u> (mean pop. value 8.9) Control pasture 3.9 ± 0.2 $9.8 + 1.4$ **Celected A** 18.3 ± 0.5 Selected B Control mine 62.5 ± 1.4 (mean pop. value 40.5)

It is seen that one of the selected types shows a slightly greater tolerance than the control, yet its inlex of tolerance by no means approaches that of the fully tolerant sine type. Again we have here the possibility of selecting material that shows a alightly embanced tolerance by simple screening of normal material. (c) Selection on roil

Abbott and Misir (1966) showed that if non-tolerant seeds were sowa en copper soil (again from Parys Mountain, Site 2) diluted with different amounts of John Innes No. 1 compost, then the individuals showed a marked differential survival with the numbers

surviving increasing in relation to the acount of dilution

 $(Table 3)$.

Selection : speed

Survival of non-tolerant commercial <u>Agreetim</u> on mine Table 3. soil diluted with normal soil.

 $\bf{16}$

Dilution Survival from Copper soil : Normal soil c. 7,000 meds $48 - 1$ $\mathbf 0$ $24 - 1$ 学

The murvivors from this experiment were examined further. The fresh weight of the surviving matorial was taken, and the plants were grown to admits and tested for tolerance. Plants that grow better (visually and by fresh weight estimates) not only showed a higher index of tolerance (Fig. 7), but the mean tolerance of the survivors was above that of the base population. When the copper soil was only alightly diluted with normal soi, one type with an index tolorance of over 50 was melected. Horoover out of

22 plants teated, only one had an indox of tolerance below 10. Selection can therefore be extremely effective on contaminated soil. and more effective than in solution.

(d) Discussion

Selection for tolerance can be a very rapid process and individuals highly tolerant to copper can be salected from a normal population by screening mumerous seedlings. There is every reason to believe that these individuals will produce tolerant offspring. The presence of extreme variants in normal populations has important implications. Many species, although often present in the vicinity of metal mines, seem to be incapable of evolving

tolerance. In general terms one could say that these species do

not have the available tolerant variants, but this is really a

Fig. 7. Relationship between index of tolerance and fresh weight of healthy plants growing on copper soil diluted with normal soil and sown with non-tolerant seed of Agrostis.

> $60₇$ dilution copper : normal $24:1$ $50₁$

Selection : speed

re-statement of the facts. The physiological and ecological properties of a species, such as <u>Acrostis tenuis</u> that emable it to evolve tolerance have been little examined and it is all the more interesting therefore that tolerance appears already to be present in normal populations.

What are these tolerant individuals doing in normal populations? What is their erigin and the reason for their maintenance? **These** questions cannot yet be answered but have great bearing on the problem

of pre-adaptation. This subject has been dealt with from a greaser evelutionary standpoint by Simpson (1953, pp. 188-98) who distinguishes nine types of situation to which the term pre-adsptation has been applied.

The more relevant are the following (Simpson's numbering is used): "4. A specific adaptation in one ecological relationship may, without change, be requisite in another."

Are these tolerant individuals adapted to a certain niche in normal habitats?

"J. A mpecific adaptation in one situation may by intensification become adaptive to another situation more rigorously selective in

the same direction."

Are the tolerant individuals relies of ancient metal outcrops? Does the frequency of tolerant types in non-tolerant populations increase in the visinity of deposits? "6. An adaptive (structural) modification may without essential

change serve a different function in a different adaptive ralationship." Metal telerance may be vary similar physiclogically to adaptation to

low fertility and be maintained in a normal population because of

this. However MoMeilly (1965) lecking for increased telerance in

mormal pepulations growing on poor soils found no evidence for this.

A character non-adaptive or inadaptive in an ancestral group ₩g.

may be adaptive in a descendant group."

Selection : speed

Netal tolerance may have no role to play in normal populations and may in fact be inadextive and not detectable in adult populations. In other words it could be an unsented secreciate Pre-adaptation and the various phesumana associated with this has attracted very far population geneticists. Clhem and Thoday (1962) traced back the gener responsible for the response to disruptive selection for chasta number in <u>Dromechila</u> to the original population and showed that this population was "eryptically pelymorphic for chaste-mumber genes". Beamett (1960) uning sib-selection (where the selected types were never exposed to DDT) frund that reals. tance to this chemical still increased, showing that "a single population can contain the constit factors for either sensitivity or resistance to an emvironmental agent and that those factors can be selected and concentrated without cantact with the agent". The existence of tolerant types in nermal populations opens up a field which nome of the above warkars considered, namely, Simpson's various hypotheses to coplain promotoptation. The results of the seroening outlined above allow enother important conclusion. If a single matest type of standard tolerance was responmible for telerance. then the master of telerant individuals appearing would be independent of the concentration. But this is not so. In the experiments of Abbott and Misir, the master of genutypes succeeding varied with the compentration. It is not an all or nothing effect. And in the selection in seletion experiment only slight tolerance was achieved manneting different lev talerance panes are involved. As MoMeilly (1965) showed, similar continuous variation in tolerance is found in established populations. The character must be determined **by a minor of game.** As a field of study, the origin of tolerance <u>on nove</u> is likely to prove remarding. Not only should it three light on the population gemetics of pre-adaptation, but by using artificial salection any

Selection : time and intensity

TIME AND INTENSITY OF SELECTION 2.

The experiments outlined in the previous section show that selection occurs in the early seedling stages. An opportunity to investigate the process of selection further arose when a group of Holcus lanatus plants growing on lead mine soil at Hafna Mine. Llammet, ware found surrounded by a mass of seedlings. The group of plants covered about a square metre and no other Holcus plants were in the immediate vicinity (50 yds).

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\,d\mu\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\,d\mu\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\,d\mu$

Samples of the seedlings were taken in February and May 1964. In the later sample seedlings showing very poor growth, with several mmall dead needle like leaves, were kept separate from healthier, larger and greener, seedlings. The adults and the seeds they produced ware sampled in the late summer of 1964. The material was grown up to maturity and tested for lead tolerance in 1965.

(a) Time of selection

The results (Fig. 8) show that most of the selection for tolerance does indeed occur at the early seedling stages, since the seed population shows a significantly (P < 0.1%) lower mean tolerance than the seedlings, whereas the seedlings collected in February and May do not differ significantly in tolerance. Although the "poor" seedlings of the later sample show a slightly lower tolerance than the "good" seedlings, this difference is not significant. Selection is occurring at this stage for factors other than tolerance per se (resistance to exposure, drought, low mutrient levels, etc) especially since the difference between "poor" and "good" seedlings is very marked. The evidence therefore is that the process of selection involves an initial selection for tolerant plants followed by selection for other factors important in survival in the seedling stage.

(b) Intensity of selection

Using the techniques described by Van Valen (1965) the selection

pressure (or intensity) acting on the Holcus population described

above was calculated. The results are shown in Table 4.

Fig. 8. The process of selection in a small population of Holcus growing on a lead mine.

Table 4. Intensities of selection in a tolerant Holcus population

20

Mote: Directional towards greater tolerance $\begin{array}{c} \bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet \end{array}$ Directional towards lesser tolerance $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$ Stabilising tewards less variance $\begin{array}{ccccccccccccc} \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \end{array}$ Stabilising towards greater wariance **BBC 400** (disruptive selection)

The selection pressure for tolerance in the early seedling stage is very strong, whereas at later stages there is little selection. The variance of the sample in May is significantly $(P < 3\%)$ greater than that in Manuh: this is difficult to understand and may be a sempling effect as a result of picking out extreme **Mpoor"** and Monod" types.

To examine the situation further, selection pressures were calculated from the data of McMeilly, 1965 (Table 5). It is seen that here again high selection intensities are involved. Several features of interest emerge:

(a) Selection acainst over-tolerance is a regular feature at Parys Mountain, and in the pasture populations of Dres-y-Coed, Transect 2. (b) Stabilising selection is equally common, providing ovidence of selection against over-tolerance and selection for characters

other than tolerance.

(c) The level of variation for tolerance found in normal populations can be used to calculate the smount of selection needed to get from

1. Footnote: probability levels throughout this thesis as follows:

$P < 5\%$, $P < 1\%$, $P < 0.1\%$

Table 5. Intensities of selection in normal and Copper tolerant populations of Agrostis based on adult/seed comparisons. (data from McNeilly, 1965)

STORES

Mine

(Figures in brackets are numbering of sites as used in this thesis)

Note: Directional towards greater tolerance = $+$ Directional towards lesser tolerance - Stabilising towards less variance = $+$

-

Selection : time and intensity

a fully non-telerant to telerant psymistics. This is 99.9%, i.e., 1 in 1,000 seedlings, ammuning a high heritability. This is ef the same order as detected in the sereening/seltecties experiments. (c) Diamonion

Stress selection presences are atting to maintain the status aus or the sine papulations and of the surrounding pasture populations. This selection is not only powerful, but it is also complex. On the mine there is directional selection for telerance. On the pasture there is directional selection against tolerance: tolerance is have disadvantageous, premanably because the adjustment meded to achieve tolerance levers the fitness of the plants (see Chapter IV. L.d.). As well as the forces of directional selection. there are these of stabilining melection: these too can be very strong. The apparent stabilising selection in pasture populations is probably a remit of the directional melection against a far tolerant segregants. In aine papulations there is clear evidence that the stabilising selection remits not only from selection against mon-tolerant megregants hat also from malection against ever-tolerance. Directional selection against sver-telerance is a regular feature at Parys Monskain: even on conteninated soils too much tolerance can be a disadvantage. Attributes other than talerance per se must therefore be important for murrival on mine sail. This is confirmed by the studies on Holmm which show that seedlings showing great differences in vigeur an mine seil de net show corresponding differences in telerator. Differences between telerant and nem-telerant plants in cany characters other than telerance are discussed in later suctions of this thesis and the evidence presented here suggest that mak differences could well came about by selection that is independent of selection for talerment.

Selection : longerity

LONGEVITY, POPULATION TURNOVER AND GENERATION TIME 3.

(a) Theoretical considerations

The longevity of the members of a population can have a considerable effect on its evolution. It is important from two points of view: it will affect both the rate of colonisation and the rate of genetic change. These two processes will obviously interact since if the colonisation requires specific adaptation to the new environment, then the rate of genetic change will affect the

rate of colonisation.

Nevertheless, these two phenomena are to some extent distinct and will be first considered separately.

The effect on colonisation can be understood if we assume that two organisms are similar in every other respect (e.g., time to reach maturity, fartility, etc.) but can is long lived and the other short lived. Then the long lived one will colonise an area more rapidly since each year will include not only individuals established in that year but also all those established in previous years.

The effect on genetic change can best be examined using a computer The model is described more fully in Chapter V.1.a: model.

essentially the model is of an idealised population (no random effects) of infinite size. The results of the computer simulation are similar to what might be expected intuitively (Fig. 9). Persunial populations change more slowly than the annual but the final equilibrium frequencies are the same in both annual and perunnial.

The slower genetic response of a persunial population can have interesting compagnences. Firstly, since adaptation is alower it implies that the genetic load in a persunial population is greater than in an annual under circumstances where the population is approaching an equilibrium (Fig. 9a). Secondly, the lag of the personial population behind the annual is reflected in the genotype frequency.

Fig. 9c. shows the change in musher of heterosygotes in a population with 0.2 pollen flow and complete selection against non-tolerant.

 $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{y}) = \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{y}) = \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{y}) = \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{y}) = \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{y})$

22

frequencies = 0.5 and 0.9)

Fig. 9c. Effect of perenniality on heterozygosity. (population and gene flow as above, initial

Selection : longerity

It is seen that if at the beginning the mumber of heteroxygotes is below the equilibrium frequency, then the mumber increases more slowly in the percruial. The converse is true if we start with a high initial frequency: here the perennial population is more heterosygons than the annual.

If colomisation involves genetic change a greater longevity will have two opposite effects: it will increase the genetic load on a population (and thereby remult in greater mortality) because of its slower adagtation, yet at the same time there will be more colonisation (and fuour deaths par year benezes of the ineresed persentality). The halampe between these two fernos may have internating commequences, for commole in studies on the evolution of the sammal or persunial habit. The evelution of differences ever short distances. such as are being considered in this thesis involve avolution and colomisation in the presence of a summying effect by fereign pollem. If the monoping effect in merious than a persuaiel habit will obviously be favoured since ence a mature individual is established it will live for a leng time and vill in subsequent generations provide an extra searce of genes cenferring the adaptation. Colemisation is therefore likely to be mere rapid in a persenial than in an annual if the population is

suffering from a serious migrational or generalise load. This is confirmed by a computer simulation. If the first caleniser is a heteronygote (i.e., the game fraquency = 0.5), then frequency of the favoured game will be less depressed by an initially high game flow load if the plant is a paramulal (see Fig. to and Chapter V.2. for a fuller diseaseien). The game flow load is therefore likely to be less me colonisation more rapid in a personial. (b) The situation in manne Very few studies have been made on the lengevity of plants in matural populations. Tamm (1948) and Sagar (1960) have mapped individual plants of a rumps of species and followed their progress

Selection : longevity

followed by long periods during which only a few individuals died. The more intensive studies of Sagar, on Plantago lenggelata growing in permanent grassland gave a population turnover of 17.5% per year, with only about 30% of the individuals living for more than two years. No data is available for perennial grasses but the work of Harberd (1961) showed that Festuca rubra in natural grassland has very little population turnover: the populations commist imstead of a few dominant individuals which spread by vegetat**ive propagation.** In view of the importance of bngevity and the pamcity of data on this subject, it seemed very relevant to investigate the situation in mine populations. The techniques used by Harberd (1961) and Sagar (1960) were both very tedious, the former involving extensive genecological trials and sampling, and the latter, detailed pamtegraph mapping. Simpler, but less accurate, method was therefore used to estimate the population flux in mine populations. The investigation was carried out on Anthoxanthum education at Trelogan mine. This plant has a tufted habit and cocurs on the mine as scattered individuals, which can be easily distinguished. The plants were mapped by marking their position on line transsocts: a plant was recorded if it touched or came directly below a tape measure.

The deficiencies of this method were mainly two-fold. Firstly, since the tape measure was often stretched over uneven greund, parallax errors could occur. Secondly, since a line transect was used plant death could not be distinguished from plant novement off the transpet. However the results show that little movement along the transset eccurs. and although it cannot be excluded, especially simpe considerable 'frost-erosion' is seen during the winter, it is likely to be fairly negligible. The transects were all about ten yards from normal pasture, all radiated from one point and were on a patch where there were munerous Anthononthum plants.

The results are shown in Figs. 10, 11 and Tables 6 and 7. **The**

salient points to emerge are as follows:

-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
- - -
		-
	- -
- - -
-
-
- -
- -
	-
- -
- -
	-
-
-
-
- -
	-
- -
	-
- - -
	-
	-
	-
	- -
- -
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
- -
-
-
- -
-
-

 $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{z}}$

-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
- - - - - -

全身

Fig. 10. Longevity of individuals of Anthoxanthum at Trelogan

plant record

continuous record

discontinuous record

Mapping transect 1

Fig. 10. (cond.) Longevity of individuals of Anthoxanthum at Trelogan

۰

Fig. 10. (cond.) Longevity of individuals of Anthoxanthum at Trelogan

×

CONTRACTOR

Fig. 11. Change in number of mapped individuals of Anthoxanthum in mine population at Trelogan.

Fig. 12. Photographs showing dead or dying individuals in mine population at Trelogan.

longevity Selection :

Survival of individuals of Anthoxanthum at six Table G. transects on Trelogan mine over a period of two years.

mumber of individuals

1. Individuals recorded on only one date.

 (1) There is considerable turnover of individuals: the percentage turnover of individuals present in both 1964 and early 1965 is 19%. but many of the plants which survive for less than twelve months flower and produce seed, so the turnover of these more temporary

plants (= 100% per year) is also important from the standpoint of evolutionary change.

(ii) The degree of population turnover is less on transects (1) and (2). These transects cross a such lower, wetter part of the area investigated and this suggests that drought either directly, or by raising the concentrations of ions in soil solution, is important in sarvival of mine plants.

(iii) The population size shows an overall increase from 1965 to 1966 (Fig. 11), but since no increase is observed in 1965 over 1964, no definite trand can be established. The population numbers could well be fluctuating about an equilibrium.

(iv) Larger plants on the whole show better survival, suggesting that longevity is associated with increase in size of the individual.

Table 7. Population parameters for the mine

population of Anthoxanthum at Tre1ojan

Parameter **Value**

Percentage mortality per year of all individuals observed

52.4

Age distribution of plants that died or arrived during period of observation

Selection: longevity

remults are supported by general observation. On most mines there is evidence of dead and dying vegetation. The photographs (Fig. 12) show that large individuals, and not just seedlings. do die and have therefore a limited life span. In view of this population turnover, there must be dynamic forces

maintaining the mine populations. Selection must be continuously operating, and penetic change is possible in many characters other than tolerance. Whather this turnowar is paculiar to Anthoxanthum on Trelogan mine or whether it is general to other grasses in

different habitats was not established. Since the longevity of the plants at Trelogan varied and from site to site. it is likely that longevity is specific for given populations under given conditions.

(c) Experimental gyidunce

To investigate the problem of longevity further, and to make comparisons between tolerant and non-tolerant populations, moasurements we e made on Anthonyethum plants growing in a field trial in the experimental garden. The experiment was primarily designed to investigate morphological differences between tolerant and non-tolerant types and is fully described in Chapter III.4.

During the course of this experiment two features were noted. (1) Survival

The individuals were planted as spaced plants in August 1965 and any that failed to establish were replaced in early October. The mumber of individuals that died were noted (Table 8). No obvious differences between the populations are seen, even though the mortality is to some degree close specific. The distribution of mortality deviates significantly, $\Gamma < 1\%$, from the Poisson.

 $Solvedion$: longevity

Table 8. Number of deaths in single plant trial

of Anthonomihum.

(Drackets denote a clone, and munbers inside brackets show mumber of individuals dying out of a total of 8 in that close)

Number of deaths

During After Transpot Before joth May 1966 Total flowering flowering sites

 $\boldsymbol{6}$

 $\boldsymbol{0}$

 \boldsymbol{z}

5

 \mathbf{B}

8

 $\boldsymbol{6}$

$(1) (1) (1) (1)$

- (1) (2) (5) 2
- $\left(1 \right)$ (1) $\mathbf 3$
- $\ddot{\bullet}$ $\{1\}$ $(2) (1) (1)$
- (1) Ω 5
- 6 $(1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)$
- $\left(1\right)$ 7
- $\boldsymbol{8}$ (1) (1) (4)

(11) Vegetativemes.

The plants were assessed for degree of vegetativenese by the ratio

of the mumber of vegetative tillers to mumber of reproductive tillers. Since in general the vegetative tillers carry the plants over to the next season, whereas the reproductive tillers die after flowering, this was considered also to give seme measure of perenniality. Where very low ratios ware obtained, with none or a few vegetative tillers, the plants had all the appearance of annuals and some in fact died after a strong burst of flowering. The ratio has been plotted in Fig. 18 (see p. 32) en a log transformation since plutting log a/b (= log a - log b) removes the skew distribution. Essentially similar remults, but with wider scatter of the points, were obtained using untransformed data. It is seen that the tolerant population is on the whole (expept a

fow plants at Site 2) more vegetative than the non-tolerant (the

difference is highly significant, see Table 9), suggesting that the mine be tions are more perennial than the pasture populations. Dyidunce has already been presented from a theoretical analysis which memorts that persumiality is likely $\pm\alpha$ be favoured unler conditions of gene-flow load such as occur the mime habitats. **However** the data can be interpreted in other ways.

Firstly, growing the plants as spaced individuals in an experimental garden may not reflect their behaviour in the field. secondly, differences in vegetativeness and longevity can come

about by direct adaptation to local conditions (Bradshaw. 1959. Bradshaw, unpublished data on Anthoranthum, and Cook, 1962). Thirdly, the whole preble of persumiality is coupled (for full review, see Cole, 1954) and interrelated with many other aspects of life-cycle strategies.

There are therefore many reasons why populations should differ in their perceniality. There is evidence from arop plants that the longevity of a plant is easily changed by selection (Schwanits, 1957. p. 38, Halback, 1959, Kihara, 1959, Hutchinson, 1962, and Khush. 1962). Differences between tolerant and mometolerant populations with respect to this character are nevertheless interesting since rarely have such differences been noted between populations of wild species.

Although the data presented hare is inadequate to draw firm conclusions about the causes of the difference in perentality. it does emphasise the possibility of studying such life cycle characteristics at a population level.

Selection : associated characters

CHANGES IN ASSOCIATED CHARACTERS $\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{a}}$

(a) Introduction

Previous work on metal tolerant plants has shown that they differ from mormal plants in several features other than to! rance. Differences in calcium and phosphate response are recorded by Jowett (1959) and McNeilly (1965), and Turner (1966) has shown differences in sulphur response. Schwanitz and Hahn (1954a, 1954b) graw the tolerant and non-tolerant populations of a range of species under standard conditions and showed that in general tolerant plants had

sauller flowers, maaller loaves and thirmer stems. These differences were reflected in the sime of the opidermal calls of the leaves. but using other nom-tolerant variants they showed that mmall cell size did not go hand in hand with tolorance. They comeluded that there must be independent select. for morphology as well as tolerance. This conclusion was confirmed in Silema inflata by Broker (19%) : in $F2$ progesy of tolerant \times non-telerant crosses, tolerance was not associated with morphology. Similar but less startling differences have been detected in Agrestis temuis on lead mines (Bradshaw, 1959. Jowett, 1964) and again the data suggested a complex adaptation of the populations to local factors rather than an effect of tolerance

on overall size and yield. Only slight differences in morning ony between copper tolerant and non-tolorant plants were detected by NcNeilly (1965).

The situation with regard to morphological characters was reinvastigated using Anthorantham from Trelogan with four main points in view.

(1) It has not yet been established if tolerant Anthoxanthum differs morphologically from non-text wit.

(ii) The intensity of selection is likely to be different for different characters, and although the boundary between mine and non-mine is just as clear out in factors like exposure, dryness, and associated vegetation as it is in tolerance, the different selection

pressures should lead to clines of a different steepness (Jain and

(iii) The stroms selection for telerance in mine populations is analogous to the strong selection pressure used in many artificial selection experiments. It therefore seemed worthwhile to look for changes in "secondary characters" such as are characteristic of artificial selection programmes. Work on Drosophila has frequently shown that strong selection for one character leads to correlated reponses in other characters. Such experiments often show an increase in the variance of these other characters (Nather and Harrison, 1949. Clayton, et al., 1957). Similar changes have been investigated in

Lolium perenne (Cooper, 1960) where correlated responses occurred in various floral (morphological) characters as a result of selection for date of ear emergence.

 (iv) The evolution of tolerance is permissive in the sense that it allows colonisation of an open habitat, and an increase in the numbers of plants in that habitat. Ford $(1962, p. 11)$ has argued on the basis of earlier investigations (Ford and Ford, 1930) that in an expanding population selection is relaxed, and that this therefore permits the survival of namerous variants. In other words stabilising selection is relaxed. However on theoretical grounds, changes in variability will only be concomitant with changes in population size

if the controlling factor is the same for both: selection controls the variability but it is unlikely that the controlling factor of population size is selection against extreme variants. The situation on metal mines is an obvious model to test these two alternative hypotheses.

(b) Method

Ten plants from each of the eight sites along the Trelogan transect were grown for two months in John Innes No. 1 compost. Bunches of two large or three maaller tillers with the planted at nine inch spacing in the experimental garden in a single plant trial according to the fullering design. There were four blocks and within each block the design was hierarchical. Two plants

per genotype were grown at each point. The design was therefore:

2 populations (mi.me and pasture) 8 sites (four per population) 80 genotypes (ten per site) 160 plants (two per genotype)

4 replicates

This dasign enabled comparisons to be made between populations. sites, and genotypes, as well as comparisons of the within sites variation.

The experiment was set up in August 1965 and any plants that

did not establish were replaced a month later. The following characters were measured in the spring and sussex of 1966.

- Flowering time (see Chapter IV. 3.b. for full discussion) (1)
- Plant height (on $25/6/66$) (11)
- Mumber of vegetative tillers (on 1/8/66) (111)
- Number of reproductive tillers (= inflorescences) (on $1/7/66$) $(1v)$
- Width of flag leaf (mean of eight leaves) (or $\frac{1}{5}$ /6/66) (v)
- Length of flag leaf (mean of eight leaves) (on 1/6/66) (v_1)

From these measurements the following further parameters were calculated

(vii) Total number of tillers

(viii) Ratio of vegetative to reproductive tillers (vegetativeness) (See Chapter II.3. for full discussion)

Coefficient of within plant variation in flag leaf length. $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})$ The general layout of the experiment is shown in Fig. 13 which also illustrates the obvious size difference between tolerant and non-

tolerant plants.

(c) Regults

 \mathbf{I} Differemons between populations, transect sites, and genotypes (Table 9). Differences are found between tolerant and non-tolerant populations in several characters. Considerable differences are also found between sites within populations, suggesting considerable

local heterogeneity. Thus within the tolerant population, site 2

behaves anomalously for several characters, whereas in the non-

Fig. 13. Photograph illustrating the general size difference between tolerant and non-tolerant Authoranthum in a spaced plant trial. (non-tolerant material indicated by white surrounds)

Table 9. Differences between tolerant and

non-tolerant plants of Anthoxanthum.

Significance of various comparisons

Differences between individual genotypes all significant (*** level).

Selection: associated characters

tolerant population site 8 behaves rather like the tolerant population with respect to plant hoight, length of flag leaf and flowering time (see Figs. 14-22). Mowever, the index of tolerance of the plants from aite 8 is no higher than in the other pasture sites (Fig. 14), but the region here is alightly raised above the rest of the pasture and is prebably slightly drier.

SS

These points emphasise the possibility of very local differentiation and are warnings against commidaring either telerant or num-telerant areas as ecologically uniform: this is particularly important vhem commidering population variability.

(11) The climal pattern \sim means

The climes obtained for the different characters are shown in Pigs. 14-22. It is seen that for some characters no climal pattern emerges (e.g. flag leaf vidth, Fig. 21, vithin plant varietien, Fig. 22) whereas with other characters climas of different shape are obtained (o.g. plant hoight, Fig. 15, verwan fiag leaf length, Fig. 20). The existence of such different climal patterns across the same coological benndary can have anywral explanations. Firstly, characters sould be subjected to selection by different cavironmental parameters each of which changes gradually in a specified way which matches the distribution of the character acress the boundary. Kimura (1958) found that the distance over which the selective advantage changes determines the steepmes of the clime. Secondly, the selective pressures acress a boundary may not match the distribution: (the character but may change sharply at this beamdary. The different selection presentes on the various characters lead to climas of different stempenes. The shape of the clime will also deposed on the gumm flow (Jain and Bradenaw, 1966). but in a given conlogical situation and for a given species, gene flow is at a certain level for all characters. There are therefore two alternative hypotheses for explaining the different climal patterns. The latter explanation is the most

probable in the case of the clines at Tralogan since it is difficult

to see what selective forces could change gradually over such a

Fig. 14. Zinc tolerance along Trelogan transect. (in this and subsequent set of figures, the points indicate individual genotypes)

Fig. 15. Plant height along Trelogan transect.

Fig. 16. Number of vegetative tillers along Trelogan transect.

Fig. 17. Number of reproductive tillers along Trelogan transect.

 $\frac{1}{2}$

Fig. 19. Total number of tillers along Trelogan transect.

 $240.$

O

 \bullet

Salaction : assesiated characters

alear ent and distinct beundary.

If we accept that the selective forces change abruptly acress the mine boundary, steepness of the climas can be used to estimate selection preserves (Naldame, 1948) according to the formula

$$
x = x^2 / x x^2
$$

where. I - selection presente

m = mann distance of came dispersal

d = intervasiie distance (i.e. between where the

frequencies of ene phenotype are 25% and 75%).

For mine pepulations the following values cam be regarded as reasonable estimates.

Maan dispersel distance for wind pollination:

(a) 8.2 feet (calculated from Griffiths, 1952, with <u>Lelian persona</u>)

(b) 7-11 feet (MeMeilly, 1965, uning Pine and <u>Lyonpedium</u> pollen)

(c) 11.3-14.2 feet (personal data using Pine and <u>kromodium</u> pollon)

This gives a mean square distance of approximately 100 feet.

Intergeartile distances can be remably estimated for some of the more

alear aut alinns desuribed.

Plant haight (Fig. 15) gives an interquartile distance of approx.

30 Iuni.

Flag leaf length (Fig. 20) gives an interguartile distance of appress. 100 feet.

The selective presences for these two characters are then

5.郑 Plant hadget Fine lesf length 0.5%

The value for plant hoight might be much higher, since the steepness of the clime may be determined by the intensity with which the mampling along it is carried ont. In the present study the sites on either side af the beandary site are 45 feet apart, and even though the character may change vury abruptly at the houndary (as may be the case for plant haight) the intervanartile distance will appear much larger. Intensive

mampling would be casential to much a study, since in the case of

plant height, if the interquartile distance was 10 feet, the selection

Selection : associated characters

preseure would be 50%.

A full scale imvestigation of clinal patterns across mine boundaries could previde a powerful technique for studying selection pressures on different characters. (111) The climal pattern - variances Amother important feature of the elines is the between plant variability (Fig. 2)). For the merphological characters the confficient of variation has been used to estimate the variability since it eliminates

the effects of differences in sheelwie sisc. A similar estimate has been used for telerance, since this is based on a greath phenomenon. Flowering time has been plotted as the variance since it is taken from an arbitrarily finad date. The selfing is plotted as the variance over mean since at each pesition it has a highly skew distribution the variance is proportional to the membe In many of the elimes it is seen that the between plant variability ingreened at the boundary (Figs. 23a). Similar remults have been obtained by MeMeilly (1965) and Smaydon (see Jain and Bradshess, 1966). There are two pessible reasons for this. Firstly, there is much evidence that the selective processes

along a elime will lead to an immunesed population variability, at a beundary. Melgate (1964) gives a fermula for the variance of gene frequencies in a local sub-population (site) on a cline, from which one can comelude that the variance will be greater the more equal the geme frequencies, and the greater the selection. We should therefore expect the variance to be greater at the boundary since here the gene frequencies are mere equal. Morever greater selection presentes will be asting when the clines are steeper so the variance at the beundary should also be greater if the clime is steeper. Secondity, in a boundary meas there will be the maximum amount of game emthange and therefore selection will be disruptive rather than

(for explanation, see text)

Fig. 23b. Variation between genotypes, within sites, along Trelogan transect for a range of characters.

Selection: associated characters

bi-directional. especially if the boundary is itself a heterocemecus habitat. The importance of disruptive salection in maintaining variability has frequently been demonstrated (Thoday, 1959. Gibson and Thoday, 1964, and Clarks and Shappard, 1962). Disruptive selection is more effective again if the selection pressures are stronger.

No firm complusions can be drawn as to the relative roles played by disruptive melection and general boundary effects in

clines. in increasing the variability at the boundary. Undrubtedly both are important. However several features emerge. The consistency of the effect is remarkable: it requrs in many different characters for which there is specific selection. Moreover, if the climas are classified in order of decreasing steapness (they have been arranged and musbered in this order in Fig. 23). it is seen that the variance at the boundary is greater when the cline is steeper, i.e. when selection is more istense. This supports the complusion that variability at the boundary is greater when selection is more intense.

Since the mine boundary can be regarded as a model for a

heterogeneous environment (two environments scanned by one population), the maintenance of variability is remarkable and emphasises the importance of disruptive selection in natural populations.

(iv) Correlated response.

The differences between the two populations in various morphological characters could either have come about by independent selection or by correlated response. The evidence presented here and in the previous section, suggests that strong independent selection is coourting for these characters.

Hewever, since there is considerable variation in tolerance on

Selection : associated characters

 $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$

 $\frac{1}{2}$

the mine we should expect, if correlated responses exist, significant relationships between tolerance and the characters measured. This could result either from pleiotropy or liskage. Quadratic regressions ware therefore fitted to test for correlations between some of the relevant asseciated characters and tolerance. but no significant relationship was found (Table 10).

Table 10. Relationship between tolerance and

other characters in Anthoxanthum.

Tolernmes therefore appears to be a physiclogical character that does mot produce associated changes in morphalogy. (v) Population veriability.

The two papulations do not differ greatly in variability (Table 11) and no trunds can be astablished. Mercover thare is no difference in the within plant variability (Fig. 22). Selection for talerance under natural circumstames even though it is vary severe has therefore for of the characteristics of artificial enlootien: it lands maither to an increased variance of associated characters (ef. Nather and Marrison, 1949, Clayton at al., 1957) nor to an increase of the within-

Table 11. Differences in variation of characters of tolerant and non-tolerant plants of Anthoxanthum in the single plant trial.

18.4 Within plant var." $17.0 \leq$

'coefficient of variation.

variance (cf. Thoday, 1958). Nor can any evidence be obtained for Ford's hypothesis (Ford and Ford, 1930) that new variants will be permitted in a population that is increasing in size. Selection in nature is a precise process which does not allow the survival of variants which deviate widely from the norm.

Chapter IV.

THE PROCESS OF ISOLATION

TRODUCTION $1.$

38

The laportance of isolation in promoting population divergence and speciation has long been recognised and fully documented (e.g., Mayr, 1942 , Bobzhansky, 1941 , and Baker, 1959). Isolation was convidered a prerequisite for population divergence until Thoday (1950) showed that disruptive selection could effect such divergence in the absence of isolation. Recently, the occurrence of divergence in nature by disruptive salection has been shown in Papilio Cardenus (Clarke and Sheppard, 1902) in Magasola jurting (Creed et al., 1959) and in various grass species (Jain and Bradshaw, 1906 , Aston and Bradshaw, 1966). the sistemed of population differences in the face of gene flow illustrate, the power of satural selection in keeping populations distinct. Gowever gene flow is not without effect. Generally it slows down population divergence (but see Hillicent and Thoday, 1961, and Streams and Finentel, 1961) and produces unadapted genotypes from the crossing of two adapted types. In such situations we might expect the evolution of barriers to gene exchange (gene flow). Evidence for the development of breeding barriers when two previously separate species meet has been discussed by Robzhanmky, 1941. Hybrid zones between Corvus corone and C. corvix, two species of crow, are narrowest where the two types have been together longest. Similarly breeding barriers between species are often greatost where two types meet: evidence for this has been found by Ehrman (1965) and others in The process has also been demonstrated experi-Drosophila paulistorum. mentally (knight et al., 1956) and theoretically (Crosby, 1964). However Bigolow (1965) states that "the evolution of mechanisms to inhibit interbreeding appears to have taken place as a direct result of selection in a narrow zone of contact rather infrequently" and considers that since the perfection of such mechanimus presumes the production of "poorly adapted genotypes" by hybridisation, there must have been prior divergence and hence isolation.

Nevertheless, Thoday and Gibson (1962) have shown that not only

can divergence occur without prior isolation but also that evolution

Isolation : introduction

of crossing barriers can take place under disruptive selection in Drosophila. Disruptive selection, by definition, creates "poorly adapted genotypes".

Nechanisms reducing the harmful effects of game flow were investigated in closely adjacent populations as occur at mine boundaries since this is a situation in which barriers to gene flow might be expected. Several possible barriers to gene exchange will be considered in turn.

INCORD ATTELLITY BARRIERS \mathbf{L}

There would be evidence of an incompatibility barrier (as opposed to, for example, flowering time or geographical barrier) between the two populations if crosses between mine and pasture plants were loss successful than crosses within the populations. However evidence from previous work suggests that if such barriers are present they are not very powerful. Evidence for gene-flow (McNeilly, $195, 5$) is itself evidence for the absence of breeding barriers. Moreover Wilkins (1900) and Broker (1903) found no dif-

 $40[°]$

ficulty in crossing tolerant and non-tolerant races of Festuca ovina

and Sileme inflata respectively. To investigate this more thoroughly. the success of crosses made ouring a genetical analysis of metal tolerance was measured.

 (a) hethod

Crosses were made by enclosing inflorescences of the plants to be crossed in glassine bags. The plants were matched for flowering time, and the crosses were made in an unnoated greenhouse. Compatibility in Anthoxanthum was measured as the number of seeds per In Agrostia the seed is small and difficult to count inflorescence. so that seed set was indicated by the success" (setting of at least ten seed or "failure" (setting less than ten seed of a cross. No differences in seed viability were found between different crosses and the viability was generally high.

(b) Results

The results (Tabes, a red 12b) have been pooled for the separate

sites along the transects (the intersediate site is methodod)

to give four types of crosses.

- tolerant x tolerant $1.$
- tolerant (funale) x non-tolerant (male) 2_{\bullet}
- non-tolerant (female) x tolerant (male) 3.
- non-tolerant x non-tolerant. 4.1

In Agrostis in both 1964 and 1965 and in Athoxanthus in 1964

there is no significant difference between the success of crosses

within populations and crosses between populations, thus giving no

Success of crosses between and within Table 12a. tolerant and non-tolerant populations of Agrestia.

CONTINGENT χ 2

1964 = 0.298 n.s. Within populations/between pr ulations $1965 = 0.468$ n.e.

 $1964 = 0.266$ n.s. Within tolerant/within non-tolerant

Reciprocals

Reciprocals

 $1964 = 0.132$ n.s. 19.5×0.068 n.s.

incompatibility $\frac{1}{2}$ Isolation

Table 12b. Seed set in crosses between and within tolerant and non-tolerant populations of Anthoxanthus

 42

CONTINGENCY X^2 on classes 0-5 and 5-100

 $1964 = 0.294$ n.s. Within populations/between populations $1965 = 4.375$ Within non-tolerant/between population

Within non-tolerant/tolerant x non-tolerant $1965 = 0.005$ n.s. 1964 = 0.533 n.s. Within tolorant/within non-tolerant Reciprocals 1964 $= 6.753$ ** Reciprocals 1965 $= 16.453$

evidence of the evolution of breeding barriers. However, in 1965 in Anthoxanthum the between population crosses yields significantly less than the within non-tolerant population crosses. This difference can be completely accounted for by the difference in the success of the reciprocal crosses which is observed both in 1964 and 1965. There the difference was startling and the cross of tolerant x non-tolerant

yields far fewer seed when the non-tolerant was used as the female parent.

Apart from the startling differences in the reciprocal crosses of Anthoxanthum, there is no evidence of clear cut incompatability barriers

between the two populations. However these crosses were made in imolation and the remults might be different if. for example. a tolerant parent was offered simultaneously pollen from both tolerant and non-tolerant plants. Compulition in the style between two types of pollen may be important (Darlington and Mather, 1949, p. 253). This has not been investigated. The origin of incompatibility barriers between species of Gilia growing in the same area, but not between species widely separated on islands, has been recently shown by

43

Grant (1966) .

Differences in the success of reciprocal crosses are well known in plants and may indicate the beginnings of an incompatibility barrier. This phononement is seen. for example, between the two sub-species of rice (Chandraratna, 1964, p. 20). However, the least successful cross in the present study is non-tolerant female × tolerant male. i.e. caly pane flow off the mims cato the pasture appears to be hindered. This seems surprising because selection pressure against tolerance on the pasture is likely to be less than selection for tolerance on the mine and it will be shown later (Section V.2.c) that gene flow load is more serious when melection intensities are high.

Tolerant plants have been shown to have a markedly greater solffertility than non-tolerant plants (see Section IV.4.b). The difference in self-fortility between tolerant and non-tolerant Anthoxanthum is itself too small to account for the difference in seed set of the reciprocals (Table 13). However, it is known that crosses between a self-incompatible species and self-compatible species are less successful if the self-compatible species is used as the female parent. Grun and Radiow (1961) considered this to be the result of the daleterious effect of self-compatibility genes entering the selfincompatible species and causing inbreeding depression in the population. In Solange such a reciprecal barrier is only present whore selfincompatible and solf-compatible species come into contact.

Another possible reason for the reciprocal difference is that the mine plants are generally shorter (Chapter III.4.c) than non-mine

lsolation : incompatibility

Table 13. Comparison of differences between seed set by reciprocal cresses and by selfing in Anthoraethum

0.492 $1965: 0.576 0.084 5.059 2.735$ 2.324

plants: they would therefore tend to come lower in the pollination bag and receive falling pollen. The non-tolerant however would receive very little pollen, and then only when the bag was agitated. However when crosses were made inflorescences were matched for height as well as flowering time so this is not likely to be a serious error.

The evidence for the occurrence of isolating barriers in Anthoxanthum in the direction of preventing the spread of tolerant

gemes into non-tolerant populations is therefore considerable.

However because it is rather unexpected further work is necessary

to confirm whather it is a real phenomenon under field conditions.

FLANERING TIME $3_•$

One of the simplest methods of reducing gene flow between populations is for them to differ in their flowering time. Such seasonal isolation is common between related species. and it therefore segmed obvicus to investigate differences in flowering time of mine

45

and non-mine populations.

(a) Floresing in the field

Cheervations on the flowering time of the populations of Agreatis

and Anthoxanting we's made in the field in 1964 and 1965.

(1) Agreatis

Flowering time was assumed from the ramber of infloremences at different stages of development found in 50 cm. quadrats at a given time. The quadrats were thrown randomly on the sites alomn the Drws-y-Coad transact already described (Chapter II.1). The flowering stages were numbered and scored as follows: Stage 1. Infloremence anclosed within the sheath, flag leaf

commpicuous, tiller shaller.

- Inflorescence head showing but culm not visible. $2.$
- Culm bemaath inflerescence visible. panicle not expanded. 3.
- Paniele open and spread widely. A_{bo}
- Anthers and/or stigmes exposed on part or whole of 5. infloremente.
- 6. Flowers closed, glumes brown.

The results (Fig. 24a) show that plants on the mine flower earlier than those on the adjacent pasture, and that the isolation is more prememped on the boundary. The difference in stages of flowering can be used to estimate theoguivalent isolation in terms of days if estimates are made on two dates. The time taken to pass through a certain mumber of stages at a given site can be related to the differement between sites. Independent estimates of the duration of the stages are obtained from different sites. The values estimated

in this way gave the following:

7 days = $0.511 + 0.085$ stages

This gave the mumber of days isolation as:

Fig. 24a. Flowering time in field, along Drws-y-Coed transect.

Sito muler Flowering sourc converted to days 3.73 4.86 8.49 7.85 0 2.79 $(earline size = 0)$

Similar data on flowering stages was obtained by McMeilly (1965) studying the same transect in 1964 and in terms of days isolation his reaults were:

 46

 7 days \pm 0.563 \pm 0.024 stages

\bullet \bullet $6 \bullet$ \bullet **Site mader**

Flowering sourc 6.09 5.96 12.17 7.95 0 3.23 courseted to days $(const.1$ and $s.t. a. 0)$

The regults of MaMeilly (1965) agree well with the regults of the present study, showing that the isolation is consistent over years. Although a detailed invastigation of the duration of the flowering period was not undertaken, regular cheervations at Drws-y-Coad show that meet of the plants are in flower for a pariod of 3-5 weeks. In effect therefore, the flemering time difference means that a quarter of the tolerant population flowers before the non-tolerant. The situation at the end of the flewering paried has not been examined to

see if the mon-telerant continue flowering after the telerant have stopped. Cheervations on plants in the greenhouse and experimental garden suggest that they do. There is therefore isolation between populations at both ends of the flowering pariod. (11) Anthonortham

Simme mingle individuals of <u>Anthonomikum</u> could be distinguished. the plants in a given area were soored individually for the following stages of flowering.

Stage 1. Inflarescence head shorting but culm not visible.

2. Inflarements fully exposed.

Stigmes entruded: Anthonorthum is markedly protogynous. 3_o \mathbf{A}_{\bullet}

Preliminary remitts ebtained in 1964 were from a transect about

twenty yards many from the main transport studied in 1965. The regulis (Fig. 25a) show that plants on the mines flower earlier than those on the pasture and that the plants from the positions nearer the mine boundary are the earliest to flower. The differences between the sites are highly significant in both years $(P < 0.1\%)$. The Isolation in terms of days was calculated using the method cutlined above for Acrostiz. The results of the estimates are as follows:

7 days = $0.761 \div 0.099$ stages

Site mumber $1 \t 2 \t 3 \t 4 \t 5 \t 6 \t 7 \t 8$

Days isolation 1964 4.60 7.17 2.94 \bullet (cerliest 1965 2.21 5.79 5.70 3.13 1.56 2.21 0 0.74 $site = 0$

To calculate the flowering time difference in terms of days more accurately a modification of the above method was used in the case of Anthornathum. Hare the duration of the stages was measured on 30 infloremeenees of 4 plasts taken from the mine in one foot square booms (with as little disturbement of the soil as possible), and brought back to the garden. Enouing the duration of each stage and the master of each stage at the transmet sites a presise estimate of the differences between the sites could be made.

The results from estimating lengths of individual stages are

Stage 1 = 11.59 \pm **0.20 days** $2 = 2.22 \div 0.12$ days $3 - 7.78 \div 0.25$ days $4 = 9.19 \pm 0.45$ days

These estimates give the following values for flowering time in terms of days.

Site municipality of $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccc} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 \end{array}$ Flowering stage 4.14 6.55 3.23 0 1964 converted to days
(certicat site = $0)^{1.97}$ 5.03 4.96 2.70 1.38 2.01 0 0.62 1965

The estimates using this method are alightly lower than these obtained

above, but the general pattern is the same.

The duration of the flowering period in Anthoxanthum is about 3-4 weeks, and therefore the isolation is of the same order as at Drws-y-Coed.

These figures of the degree of isolation between the two populations do not take into account losses of pollen due to the effects of distance and the effect of plant density. The effect of distance is discussed by Jain and Bradshaw (1966) and need not be elaborated

here: distance has a powerful isolating effect.

The effect of density is that a higher density at the source of polien will give a greater amount of gene flow into another population. In the early stages of colonisation of contaminated soils, plant density on the mime would have been much less than in the surrounding areas and the early colonisers would have been subject to considerable gene flow. At such a stage isolation caused by any means would have been of great importance, and selection pressures to produce differences in flowering time would consequently have been higher than later on. At the present time the density of plants on the mine is considerably greater than off the mine (since the species studied are dominant members of the

mine communities) and isolation through flowering time is reinforced

to some degree by "ksolation through density".

(b) Monering time in cultivation

The differences seen in flowering time in the field could either be environmentally induced, or genetically determined and therefore brought about by evolutionary processes. To test this plants were grown under standard conditions in the experimental garden and differences in flowering time studied.

 (i) Agrestis

The following material was scored for flowering in 1965. (a) Plants growing outside in 7" pots in John Innes compost. Collected

in Autumn 1963.

(b) Material the same as above, but cloned in December 1964 and planted in 4" pots in John Inmes compost, and kept in an unheated greenhouse.

It is seen (Fig. 24b) that the pattern of flowering parallels that found in the field. Horsover the regression of genotypes in mall pots against the same genotypes in large pots is significant (Fig. 26a), showing that the genetic component is not obscured by environmental effects. Similar data were obtained by McNeilly (1965) on plants growing in water culture. (11) Anthoxanthum

The flowering time of plants along the Trelogan transect was recorded during the course of a spaced plant experiment described more fully in Chapter III.4.

The results (Fig. 2'b) indicate that the pattern of flowering is here again similar to that in mature. The differences between tolerant and non-tolerant populations are of the same order as in the field. Site 8 is anomalous, since here the plants are considerably earlier than in the field. The reason for this is not clear.

In the previous year, the date of ear emergence had been recorded on the same genotypes, but which were growing unreplicated and unrandomised as normal stock material. There is a good correlation between date of flowering in 1965 and 1966 (Fig. 26b). The difference in flowering is therefore consistent over years. In both Agrostis and Anthexanthum therefore, the evidence shows that the differences recorded in the field are genetically determined. Although extensive results are available only for these two contrasting mines. Jowett (1964) noted that lead mine populations of Agrestis in cultivation flower on average about four days earlier than pasture populations, and Bradshaw (1959) found that wile lead mine population again of Acrostis flowered a week carlier than the pasture population adjacent to it. Broker (1963) reported that the prostrate tolerant ecotype of Silene inflate

flowers several weeks earlier in water culture than the normal form.

It can therefore be concluded that selection has produced

differences in flowering time that are not only important as an

Fig. 26b. Relationship between flowering time of Anthoxanthum in 1964 and 1965. • tolerant population

×

 \boldsymbol{x}

X non-tolerant population

 $20 -$

isolating mechanism, but surprising in view of the short distances over which they occur.

(c) The origin of the flowering time differences

The differences in flowering time recorded in the previous soction may either be the result of adaptation to local ecological conditions, nothing to do with gene flow, or they may have evolved as a consequence of gene flow, i.e., specifically as an isolating Although the fact of isolation is indisputable. it mechanism.

is important to keep these causes distinct.

(i) Adaptation to local conditions.

The mine environment differs from the pasture in many factors. Apart from the higher metal concentration, it usually has a lower fartility, higher pH, coarser soil texture, and generally there is less competition from other plants. Changes in several associated characters have already been discussed (Chapter III.4) and it is possible that flowering time is another example of adaptation to local conditions. Mine populations are ungrazed: Dactylis glomerata from ungrazed pastures flowers earlier than that from heavily grazed pasture (Stapledon, 1926). Mine soils

are sometimes similar in texture to sand dunes: sand dune populations of Agrostia flower considerably earlier than pasture populations. Moreover, Lewontin (1965) has argued that in a species colonising a relatively bare area and expanding rapidly. there will be a premium on rapid development and earlier flowering. Two environmental factors possibly selecting for early flowering time were investigated in the field: water content and temperature of the soil. The water content of the soil was estimated from the difference between wet weight and air-dry weight of soil samples from both Drws-y-Coed and Trelogan. **Soil** temperature was measured using maximum and minimum thermometers

placed five centimetres below the soil surface. Readings were taken at weekly intervals for two months prior to flowering. This

was done only for the Drws-y-Coed transect.

The regults (Fig. 24c and 25c) show that there are distinct correlations between flowering time and soil dryness. as well as between flowering time and soil temperature. These correlations are in the expected direction; warmer drier soils have the earlier flowering types. However there are some interesting empeptions to this pattern. At Drws-y-Coed, although on the mine earlier flowering is seen at warmer, drier sites, site 7 (off the mine) has much later flowering than site 5 (on the mine) in spite of mimilar ecclogical comditions. Again at Trelogan mites 1 and 8 are exceptional in that they do not correlate with the ecological pattern.

51

The esclopical factors that have been measured could therefore to some extent determine the flowering time pattern. Other ecological factors could also be involved, but to identify these in any detail would require further study. There is another way in which flowering time may be altered by selection: it may be limiced (physiologically or genetically) to some other physiological or morphological character being selected on their own account: flowering time will then itself change indirectly. Clauses

and Hiemey (1958) have shown that in Potentilla flowering time is liziond to many morphological characters and day length is known to affect flowering as well as morphology (e.g. White, 1960, p. 176). However no significant relationship between flowering time and tolerampe was found within the telerant population (Table 11). showing the characters to be unlimited in any way.

(ii) Restriction of game flow

Bridemee that differences in flowering time can arise as a regult of selection for a mechanism restricting game flow has been demonstrated in very faw instances. Partial but pronounced temporal isolation occurs between neighbouring races of pines in Europe

Table 14. Differences in flowering time of various ecotypes

Pinus attenuata inland later*
radiata coastal earlier

Ixeris denticulata asp. typica spring
sen. sonchifolia autumn ssp. sonchifolia autumn
ssp. elegans summer

Lamium amplexicaule normal form summer*
vernal race spring

Viola tricolor normal form earlier
coastal sand dune later coastal sand dune later Clausen (1926)

Silene cucubalis normal earlier
maritima prostrate coastal later prostrate coastal later Marsden-Jones

Geranium robertianum normal earlier
shingle beaches later

Mimulus guttatus coastal late
mountain latest mountain latest
valley and foothills early valley and foothills early Vickery (1953)

radiata coastal earlier Stebbins (1950) shingle beaches later Bocher (1947)

canadensis summer Whittaker (1944)

Stebbins (1950)

Bernstrom (1952)

 (1928)

Succisa pratensis northern race earlier
Ranunculus acer alpine earlier Ranunculus acer alpine
Solidago virgaurea alpine and coastal earlier Rumex acetosa alpine earlier
Leontodon autumnale coastal earlier earlier

Clarkia xantiana normal race later'

Salvia mellifera early spring
apiana apiana late spring

Silene vulgaris normal form earlier
calamine form later calamine form later Broker (1963)

Geum urbane normal late rivale wet habitats earlier Clausen (1958)

alpine and coastal earlier
alpine earlier

Agrostis canina var. fascicularis fifth June*
var. arida sixth June var. arida

Self compatible race earlier Moore (1965)

tenuis
stolonifera
fifteenth. stolonifera fifteenth June
gigantea tenth June

Turesson (1925)

Davies (1953)

Grant (1964)

(Aettstein and Ono, 1968); a similar situation occurs in related apecies of pines on the California coast (Stebbins, 1950). And it is perhaps relevant that ecotype formation very often goes hand in hand with differences in flowering time (Table 14), but this can equally be interpreted in terms of adaptation to local conditions. Although natural selection for adaptation to local conditions can explain in part the differences in flowering time reported here, this cannot be the complete explanation. The earlier flowering

towards the boundary in the mine populations of both Agrestis and $\frac{1}{2}$ Anthoxanthum is present on mines that are ecologically very different and it can only partly be explained on the basis of local adaptation \mathbf{r}_i to water content and soil temperature. This suggests that boundary populatis flower earlier possibly as a result of a selection for a mechanism to prevent gene flow; the evidence from this data is however by no means clear. It can be visualised that gene flow will reinforce local adaptation in flowering time, since the plants that do flower earlier will be pollinated by similar earlier flowering adapted types and therefore not more of the appropriate type of seed. In this way germ flow will assist in the build up

of earlier flowering types it such types are an advantage in terms of local ecological adaptation.

To investigate the matter further a series of populations were collected in 1963 fron lead mines in Cardiganshira (Appendix and see also Chapter IV.4.6). The area of the mines and the distance of the populations from the edge of the minas was recorded when the plants were collected. These were taken as measures of the prsimity of the tolerant populations to the non-tolerant, The populations were planted in normal potting compost,, and scared for flowering time in the summer of 1966. The results (Fig. 27) show

that the flowering time is significantly earlier the emaller the area

of the mine but not significantly so in relation to the distance

from the edge of the mines. The same trend is nevertheless present

Fig. 27. Relationship between flowering stage of tolerant Agrostis populations from Cardiganshire and distance from nearest non-tolerant plants.

in both data.

This data therefore provides evidence for the evalution of earlier flewering as a means of preventing gene flow. However because of the low significance of the fitted regression and because the curve is a positive limes. megative quadratic (poller distribution with distance follows a mogative linear, positive exadratic curve) this conslusion cannot be regarded as definite: certainly other factors influence the time of flowering.

Amether interesting feature (Fig. 28) to emerge from the detailed mapping of mime individuals (Chapter III.3.b) was that larger individuals have an earlier flewering time than the smaller cress. This and the fast that the larger individuals generally live langer confirms the adaptive value of carlier flewering in a startling way.

(d) <u>Dismesien</u>

The temporal isolation cheerved is genetically controlled and therefore the result of matural selection. But it is difficult to determine whether it is the result of selection for reduced game flow, or for adaptation to lead! conditions. There is evidence for

both theme precesses. Come flow will tend to reinferee adaptation of flowering time to local conditions, so that a general study involving energiations of flowering time with eavironmental factors (o.g. Bradaham, 1959) many underestimate the significance of flowering time in restricting game flow. The importance of flowering time in population differentiation requires further study: it seems an effective way of achieving a certain degree of isalation, and seems a flexible system which will reduce the drastic affects of game flow. There is evidence that self-fortility and deminates of comes can also be isolating mechanisms (Chapters IV.t., V.t., and VI.2). Vo can then ask if the isolating mechanisms observed in mine

populations are related to speciation: are we seeing the beginnings of this precess? The formation of breeding barriers in this instance assists selection in promoting divergence, but is not an integral part of the process. The evolution of breeding barriers is here therefore very different from the process of 'catastrophic selection' described by Lewis (1963). Raven (1964) , in discussing edaphic endemics and species which at the edges of their ranges have poculiar habitats, considers these species to be the products

of 'catastrophic selection': i.e. selection of a few specially adapted. 'automatically' (chromosomally or through inbroading) isolated types, rather than the products of a gradual process. The present work shows that the processes leading to reproductive barriers and morphological or physiological differences are independent; the reproductive barriers can therefore begin gradually and become quite major, as can the morphological and physiological differences. The result is progressive speciation which can be seen at any stage depending on the particular circumstances. The following stages of speciation might be expected:

- (a) continuous uniformity.
- (b) continuous population (no breading barriers), but differgence
- of two or more sections.
- (c) discontinuous popertion (breeding barriers), with divergence
- (d) very discontinuous, with increasing divergence.

Several workers (Schwickerath, 1931 and Auguier, 1964) have in fact regarded mine populations as distinct taxa which are relicts of a formerly widely distributed species, or as endomics (and therefore isolated) to peculiar soil types. Serpentine species have also been viewed in this light (Kruckerberg, 1957). There is here a problem of terminology. Many of the so-called separate taxa found on special soils should most probably not be regarded as true

"biological species" (Grant, 1957) and may not have reached the

ancre advanced stages of speciation ((c) and (d) above).

Colonisation of mine habitats not only requires the evolution of tolerance but can include changes in morphological and other physiological characters. Distinct types may therefore he the products of recent evolution: they may also not be reproductively isolated from the parental types. Parallel independent evolution of similar morphological ecotypes (cf. Turosson, 1922) may give a pattern that would erroneously be interpreted as a formerly widespread 'species' with a present-day disjunct distribution.

It also seems unlikely that populations adapted to peculiar conditions at the edges of a species range c_{θ} . andy occur when the populations of this species are widely separated with little gene flow between them (Raven, 1964, after 1.a)r, 1959). These and the results of Thoday (1958) show that sympatric (or parapatric) divergence in a reality and can lead to reproductive isolation.

Selection for reproductive barriers is largely secondary to selection for directly adaptive characters, but the potential for permanent isolation is there. The present work suggests that the processes of divergence, directional change, colonisation.

and speciation are inextricably linked and that forces promoting speciation are common in adjacent natural populations, even f these populations never reach the stage where we can definitely say that they are two species. Evolution begins at the population level and we need not look for the processes causing speciation only in taws which are already highly distinctive.

SELF-FERTILITY $\mathbf{I}_{\bullet \bullet}$

(a) Introduction

The role of breading systems in plant evolution has been frequently discussed. The problem has commidered mainly the function of inbreading: on the basis of simple theory, inbreading should load to homomygosity and loss of variability, whereas outbreading should commerve this variability. The problem is that of inbreading as a "blind alley of evolution": inbreeding is generally regarded as a retrogressive step which eventually leads to extinction. Stebbins (1957) states that since for large genera of angiosperms consist entirely of self-fertilising species, and selffertilisers are invariably derived from cross-fertilisers. "one can safely make the assumption, therefore, that self-fertilisation slows down evolutionary progress in flowering plants" but qualifies this statement with the rumark, "the adoption of predominant selffertilisation is by no means the prelude to evolutionary extinction. since some self-fertilising groups appear to have existed for several geological epochs, and may even have cutlasted many of their cross-fertilising relatives".

Recently it has been shown that the smount of variability present

in inbred populations has generally been underestimated (for discussion see Imam and Allard, 1965, and Allard, 1965). Variability is preserved because heterosygctes are often superior to homosygctes in fitness and is released to a surprising degree by only occasional outcrossing.

The evolution of broading systems has been generally commidered to occur by a process of selection between populations with different strategies rather than direct selection of individuals with certain attributes within populations. The process has been called "interdeme" melection, and seems a slower process than selection of a more direct kind. In view of the breakdown of the distinction

between the value of different breeding systems and in the absence of any direct evidence for interdeme selection, it is pertinent to look

Isolation x self-fertility

for the direct adaptive value of inbreeding rather than inquire why it has developed "faut de mieux".

Several possible reasons have been discussed by, among others, Stebbins (1957) and Baker (1959) . They may be listed as follows: (i) The certainty of fertilisation.

Several species self-pollinate under conditions unfavourable to cross-pollination. Good examples, apart from those quoted by Stebbins (1957), come from crop plants where the transport of a crop

During the colonisation of a new and fairly uniform habitat, a high selective advantage is given to a genetic type which can quickly build up a large population of well adapted individuals from the progeny of a few initial colonizers. It is advantageous therefore that the descendants of an initial coloniser should resemble that coloniser as closely as possible. There are two main

away Trani its source of pollinators has often led to the evolution of self-fertility. In California, the tomato is largely selfed since little outcrossing is possible because of the absence of the insect vectors native to Peru, the original home of the tomato (Rick, 1950). (ii) Establishment after lang distance dispersal. Baker (1955) has given evidence that species at the margins of the range of a gerass (or isolated on islands) show monomorphic and not dimorphic or dioeeious flowers. Stebbins (1957) gave similar instances for Bromus, Hordeum and Secale. Bannister (1965) working on Pinus radiata showed that "colonisation is likely to be accompanied at first by an increase in the degree of Inbreeding,, but outbreeding will tend to be restored as the population density increases". The absence of other plants, after establishment following long distance dispersal, is given by all these workers as the reason for the greater selffertility of marginal and colonising populations.

(iii) Pravention of gene flow.

aspects to this. Firstly, it is advantage ous for the original coloniser to be homozy sus. Stebbins (16.5) quotes the case of several self-incompatible annuals who may keep their genetic constancy through having reduced chromosome numbers and/or chiasma frequencies. Secondly, crossing with extransous pollen would lead to a dilution of the new adaptive character by the parent character (Baker, 1959). It has been suggested (Jain and Bradshaw, $19(4)$) that selection pressures acting on perennials are considerably higher

than those on annuals, since the selection pressures acting on a perennial population must be summed over many years. Therefore one might expect gene flow to have less drastic effects on perennials than on annuals, as annuals in particular would then have to evolve some mechanism of avoiding the deleterious effects of gene flow. The relative high frequency of selfing annuals as opposed to perennials (Stebbins, 1950) is therefore interesting from this standpoint. (iv) Exposure of recessives.

luring the colonisation of a new habitat, new genes may be required. If these are in a recessive condition they will more easily be urmasked by inbroeding. Hoore and Lewis (1965) describe

a derived self-fertile pop lation of Clarkia, which has white petals (a recessive character) whereas most of the species has lavender-pink flowers.

 (v) Larliness of flowering.

Individuals of a cross-pollinating species that flower first may produce no seeds because there are few other plants to pollinate them. Self-pollination in plants that flower early would therefore be of selective advantage. This is one of the main reasons advocated by Momme and Lawis (1964) for the self-fertility of populations of Here there is a premium on earliness of flowering because Clarkia. the growing seasons is often truncated by drought; the degree of

selfing and flowaring time are also correlated. The two would reinforce each other to prevent gene flow and indeed little evidence for the occurrence of gene exchange can be found in these populations.

Canlation : acli-fortility

(vi) Density of plants.

Figures arouting in a dense stand have more chance of being pollinated than those growing far apart and widely scattered. This factor has been considered indirectly by Baker (1953) who showed that in tropical forests. where the climx vegetation is a mixture of many species. there are far more lemaphrodite species than in temperate forests which are more or I ss dense stands of one or a few species. This is related to factors (i) and (ii).

There is therefore evidence for a wide range of factors which might be important in putting self-fertilisation at a premium. However, the processes of selection whereby these factors produce solf-fertilisation have been little examined. Nost of the evidence is circumstantial and comes from comparisons between species. Baker (1953) remarks that "despite the glative case with which outbreeding may give way to inbreeding, it seems that the natural existence of self-incompatible and self-compatible races within the same species is father unusual". Work by Julen (1948) . Beddows (1931) and Jenkin (1931) on herbage plants has shown that there is considerable natural variability in the level of self-compatibility in legumes and grasses. In some instances, the bighly salf-fertile plants also have highly self-fertile progenies (Jenkin, 1931, and Thomas, 7 755). Although in general, progeny solfed over several generations show evidence of inbroeding depressions, in some species, e.g., Fhleum pratense and Festuca rubra, occasional lines show apparently normal vitality (Julen, 1948). More direct ovidence for the inheritance of selffertility comes from a diallel analysis of this character in Vicia (Rowlands, 1960); the genes responsible show dominance and nonadditivity of action. Selection for self-fertility in this plant is reasonably effective (Rowlands, 1961).

Selection for self-compatibility therefore seems quite possible and there seems very little reason why it should not occur under the right conditions in a tural populations.

In provious sections of this thesis situations were described where there was sharp differentiation between populations anly a few metros apart. These situations seemed ideal for exerining the evolution of breading systems: fairly wide soed dispersal is often necessary to colonise the sine soil, there is considerable gene flow between the populations, the mine populations flower earlier, and there is a wide range of plant density on different minos.

The study was divided into four sections: the establishment of differences in self-compatibility of plants from adjacent populations, an examination of differences between various tolerant populations, an investigation into the "fects of self-fertility on vigour and a computer simulation of the process. The computer simulation is presented in Chapter V.

Self-fertility of adjacent populations (\mathbf{b}) $\mathbf{(\mathbf{i})}$ Nethod

The self-fertility of Agrostis and Anthoxanthum plants collected from the transects described in Chapter II.1. was estimated by

enclosing about five inflorescences from one genotype inside a glassine bag, and counting the seed set. Ilants were collected as one or a few tillers, to make sure that only a single genotype was sampled. The seed of Aprostis was counted using an illuminated background and viability confirmed by germination tests on selected samples.

 (ii) wauits

The results were startling (Figs. 29, 30): the self-fertility of tolerant populations was far in excess of the self-fartility of the adjacent non-tolerant populations. Not only were the mean differences considerable, but the pattern of distribution of self-fertility within the populations (Fig. 29) showed that while all the individuals in the non-tolerant population had a low self-fartility, the tolerant population contained some individuals with a very high self-fertility.

Fig. 30a. Self-fertility along Trelogan transect.

Fig. 30b. Self-fertility along Drws-y-Coed transect.

The mean volues therefore tand to underemphasise the differences ietween the populations.

Equally startling was the sharp difference at the population boundary (Fig. 30); it is another example of population differentlation over short distances. Even for two species and two contranting mines, the pattern was vary similar.

The reality of the differences was confirmed by the following: The degree of self-fertility is characteristic of the genotype. (a)

61

In 1965, replicate selfs (separato plasts and/or bags) were made in Anthoxanthum. From the analysis of variance (Table 15a) it was possible to calculate the relative genetic contribution to the overall variance in the character of selfing ("broad-sense eritability"). It gave a value of 55.3% . Similar results (Table 15b) from a series of mines in Cardiganshire (see next section, Chapter IV.4.c) and where the replicate selfs were separate bags. Gave the value 59.1... Table 15. Analysis of variance on decree of selffertility in different tolerant

genotypes

(Trelogan) (a) Anthoranthum

 (b) **Agrestia** (Cardiganshire)

Invistion : self-fortility

There is therefore a strong genetic component for this character. This is further reflected in Anthoxanthum by the fact that the degree of fertility is correlated between genetypes over years (Fig. 31a) within the tolerant population. (Not anough data was available for non-tolerant plants to reach any firm conclusion).

In Agrostis there was a significant correlation between the self-fertility of different genotypes grown under a range of

preculture conditions (Fig. 29a and 31c). The preculture conditions were: plants cloned from stock material in December 1964 and growing in the greenhouse in small pots: plants collected early in 1964, growing in the greenhouse in small 4" pots and seriously pot-bound: and mtock material collected in Autumn 1963. growing outside in large 7" pots.

(b) The degree of self-fertility is inherited.

Seed produced by selfing was grown up and the self-fertility of the mature plants tested. This was done for the tolerant Anthoxanthum population and various plants of Agrostis from the Cardigenshire mine populations. In Authoranthum a significant parent-offspring regression was obtained (Fig. 31b). This regression is not a true heritability since the offspring are products of selfing and not crossing:

$$
\begin{array}{rcl}\n\text{regræssion coefficient} & = & \frac{\text{covariance (s}_n + s_{n+1})}{\text{variance (s}_n)} & \text{where 3 } = & n'tth \\
\hline\n\text{variance (s)} & & \text{generation of } \\
& & \frac{1}{2} \text{per}(s) \text{per}(s) \\
\hline\n\text{reling} & & \frac{1}{2} \text{per}(s) \text{per}(s) \\
\hline\n\text{rel} & & \frac{1}{2} \text{per
$$

The results for Agreetis were less clear cut because many of the plants (43 plants, 14 families) failed to set any seed on salfing.

Only plants from one mine (Cwm Rheidol) set seed at all successfully (10 plants, 5 families). Plants from this mine showed a significant parent offspring regression (Fig. 91d) when an extreme

Fig. 31b. Relationship between self-fertility of parents and offspring (produced by selfing) in tolerant Anthoxanthum. (seed set per inflorescence)

Fig. 31d. Relationship between self-fertility of parents and offspring (produced by selfing) in a population of lead tolerant Agrostis from Cardiganshire. (seed set per inflorescence)

Isolation t self-fertility

individual which produced sixty seeds per inflorescence and which came from t"e highest selfing parent is removed from the calculation. Even with \qquad this extreme individual the regression has a slope greater than unity suggesting that the progeny produced by selfing have an improved ability to self.

It is not clear why none of the other plants of Agrostis produced seed. It could be a direct consequence of inbreeding depression, but equally it could have been due to the fact that

the plants were planted as seedlings rather late in the season (January) and therefore did not have the full photoperiode They did in fact flower rather poorly.

(c) Sensitivity to crossing conditions is the same in both populations.

The difference between tolerant and non-tolerant in seed set on selfing might perhaps be the result of a differential sensitivity to bagging. However there is no difference in seed set in crosses of tolerant and non-tolerant plants. In Section IV. 2, on incompatibility barriers, it was noted that there were no significant differences between tolerant and non-tolerant plants when crosses

were made between genotypes within each population. Moreover the mean seed net (Table 16) shows that in 1964 the non-tolerant set more seed, whereas in 1965 the tolerant did so. No trend therefore emorges and it can be concluded that the two populations do not differ to any detectable extent in their sensitivity to bagging.

(iii) Discussion

The greater self-fertility of tolerant populations is interesting in view of the rarity with which differences in brooding systems have been demonstrated within a species. The work of Moore and Lewis (1963) is the only other clear cut case described.

Although one can speculate about the possible reasons for the

greater self-fertility in the tolerant populations at Trelogan and

Drws-y-Coed, the data does not provide any clear cut answers.

lsolation: self-fertility

Table 16. Seed set data for crossing and selfing in Anthoxanthum and Agrostis (expressed as seed set per inflorescence, unless otherwise stated)

Aurostis

 17.48 bagging non-tolerant (1965) 0.24 5.00

(after Bodd arg_1) 6.22 open isolation $\frac{1}{2}$ (after Daviss, 2.97 100 bagging $30₂$ 1953) tolerant $(1965, 0xw^2)$ 0.54 17.48 24 bagging $y = Cood$) $(1965,$ 37.6 bagging 1.17 Cardiganshire

Isolation : self-fertility

The transacts do not show any marked "inverse cline" with regard to selfing: plants mearer the boundary de not clearly show more selfing even though at both mines there are trends in this direction (Fig. 30).

The earlier flowering of the mine populations (Chapter IV.2) suggests another reason for the greater self-fertility of mine populations; perhaps the earlier genotypes tend to self more because they have fewer other plants with which to cross pollinate. The time of flowering was therefore plotted against the degree of selfing for

plants from the mime populations.

The results of the regression analyses are as follows: Anthornathum: selfing 1965 / date of stigma emergence 1966. $y = 2.24 - 0.012x + 0.000069x^2 + 0.006$ selfing 1965 / stage of flowering 1965. Acrossizut y = 1.11 - 0.28x P > 10% (a non-linear regression was not calculated)

Neither of these regressions approaches significance, showing that flowering time is not important in promoting selfing in mine populations. Another reason for the greater self-fertility of tolerant populations may be that the tolerance mechanism has such far reaching

effects on the plants metabolism, that the self-incimpatibility mechanism is upset. The tolerance of plants of Anthoxanthum on the mine at Trelogan was therefore plotted against their self-fertility (Fig. 32). A highly suggestive relationship is obtained. However apart from 'physiclesical upset' two other reasons might lead us to expect a relationship between tolerance and selfing. Firstly, selfing could be a method of avoiding gene flow and a means of helping selection to fix the tolerant gene. Then the more an individual is selfed the more tolerant it should be, since it will probably be the progeny of a selfed parent.

Secondly, if selfing does promote the evolution of tolorance,

then it will be more effective if it is linked to a gene for tolerance.

Simple correlations are inadequate in distinguishing between

Fig. 32. Relationship between tolerance and self-fertility of tolerant population of Anthoxanthum from Trelogan

self-fertility (seed set per inflorescence)

66

Isolation : self-fertility

these possibilities. They are therefore explored theoretically in Chapter V.4.

Since the results presented hore establish a clear difference in self-fertility between the two populations. but provide little information about the possible causes of such a difference, a further investigation was undertaken.

Self-fertility in a ranue of telerant populations. (c)

The relationship between self-fertility and other population characteristics were studied on a range of populations quite unrelated to the previous.

(1) Method.

Populations (10 plants each) of Agrestis temula ware collected in April 1965 from 29 lead contaminated areas in Cardiganahire. The mines from which the populations came are listed in Appendix 2. The following population characteristics were recorded: (a) . Shortest distance between the population and the edge of the mine: this was estimated visually and gave a measure of the premimity of the tolerant populations to non-telerant pasture populations.

(b) Area of the mime from which the population came: this was also estimated visually and gave an estimate for the proximity of the mine populations to pasture populations. Area is in some ways a better estimate than distance, since if for example the wind direction over the distance maasured is off the mine then the population is from a gene flow standpoint 'far' from this edge. (c) Plant demaity: the demaity of the individuals in the area of collection was estimated on the following scale:

- isalated 1_o
- widely seattered 2.
- 3. aparsaly distributed

Isolation : self-fertility

frequent 4.1

 $c10$ 5.

individuals not easily distinguished and forming a sward $6.$ Age of the mine: this was obtained from Jones (1922) (d) (e) Flowering time: the flowering stage of the individuals was noted in July, $1966.$ This has already been discussed (Chapter IV.3). The plants were grown in standard greenhouse conditions for several months and tested for self-fertility. In as many cases as possible two replicate selfs were made and there is

```
good agreement between degree of selfing in replicates, as
already discussed (Table 15b). Ten plants from each population
were tested and the analysis of variance (Table 17) shows
significant (P = approx. 1\%) differences between populations.
```
Table 17. Analysis of variance on degree of selffertility in different mine populations of Agrostis from Cardiganshire

A log transformation has been used hecause there was a marked skewness within populations in the direction of low selfing. (11) Results

The multiple non-linear regression of selfing on four features, namely distance, area, density and age is not significant and selective regression techniques fail to pick out any particular relationship as significant even on a log transformation. (Flowering time, which only became available later, could not be

included in this analysis). This probably lay in the fact that many of the variables were themselves correlated. This has the

Isolation : melf-fertility

effect of reducing the contribution to the regression since a negative covariance term is present in the recreasion constants. Individual regressies on the separate variables (Figs. 33-33 and Table 18) confirmed that they were correlated.

(a) Distance and area.

Apart from the main collection of populations from Cardiganahire in 1965, plants were also collected from a few mines in 1964. These mines were different from those investigated in 1965, and only their area could be estimated (from 2.5 inch: 1 mile maps) since when these plants were collected the higher self-fertility of mine pepulations was not known.

The degree of sei fing has been plotted against the distance of the population from the edge of the mine, and against the square root of the area of the mine (Fig. 33). The square root of the area has been used so that the sise of the mime can be equaldered in terms of "distance" i.e. on a limaar seale. The graphs are difficult to interpret. It is seen that selfing is generally greater where there is greatest gene flow, i.e. tewards the edge of the mine or on smaller mines. Such trunds are seem clearly in the case of selfing/area in both 1964 and All the regressions are mersover positive quadratic and 1965.

negative limaar: the appreximate pattern of pollem distribution from a sounter. If four consertional populations are not considered in the calemiations (shows as points above the detted line in Figs. 33 and 34) them the regressions of selfing on distance and selfing on square root ef area (1965) are significant (Table 18b).

The emilusion of four populations from the calculation is obriously essetiemable. However several reasons suggest that this may not be whally immalid:

- 1. The same trands are seem even if the four points are included in the caleniation.
- The four populations are the populations with the highest degree 2.1

of self-fewtility: they may have become 'adapted' to inbroading and genes for self-fertility are spreading through the population

Fig. 33. Relationship between self-fertility and distance from non-tolerant plants in tolerant populations of Agrostis from Cardiganshire. (in this and subsequent set of figures: the points indicate individual populations, points above horizontal line not included in fitted regressions)

 \bullet

 67

 $5 -$

 $4-$

 $3 -$

Fig. 34a. Relationship between self-fertility and plant density in tolerant populations of Agrostis from Cardiganshire.

Fig. 34b. Relationship between self-fertility and age of mine in tolerant populations of Agrostis from Cardiganshire.

Isolation: self-fertility

Table $18a$. A relationship between self-fertility and various population features of Agrostis wine populations from Cardiganshire.

sgression parameters and their Significance of regression significance Constant Coefficient coefficient $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}$ of \mathbf{x} of $\mathbf{x}^2 \times 10^4$

untransformed data

$$
\frac{F_{2,26}}{F*5} \cdot 37
$$
 at

 69

<u>log transformed data</u>

of their own accord (see final discussion and Chapter V.3).

3. One of the populations was recorded as coming from a large but extremely patchy area of contamination, i.e. pockets of tolerant and non-tolerant plants were probably intermingled.

Table 18b. A relationship between self-fertility and various population features of Agrostis mine populations from Cardiganshire.

wession parameters and their Simulicance of significance regression Coefficient Coefficient
of x of x^2 x 10⁴ Constant \boldsymbol{r}

70

 $F_{1,22}$ =).44 at $F=5$.

minus four highest

selfing points

untransformed data

<u>log transformed data</u>

General or brence

71

Isolation: salf-fertility

(b) Density.

The regression of selfing on plant density is significant (Table 18 and Fig. 34a). However the negative relationship between the two is unaxpected; whereas low density could well lead to an increase in selection for self-fertility, it is difficult to see that a high density would do so.

However plant density is related to distance (Table 18 and Fig. 35b) and some of the most dense populations over from the smallest

mines $(Y_{10}, 35c)$. In other words, the relationship between selfing and density might be a consequence of the relation between selfing and area and distance. From the available data it is impossible to decide if this is the case.

The relationship between selfing and area is itself interesting. and suggests either that the ecological conditions at the edge of the mines are mere favourable for colonisation (i.e. less toxic) or that there are towards the edge of the mines more seeds available for colonisation.

 (c) λ go.

No clear relationship between selfing and age emerges (Fig. 34b

and Table 18). A significant regression is obtained only on a log transformation and when the four exceptional populations are not commidered: selfing is greater if the mine is younger. (d) Flowering time.

Flowering time does not appear to be related to selfing. **No** significant relationship was obtained, and the trends do not show any particular features.

$$
y = 2.95 \div 0.000014x - 13 \times 10^{-3} x^2 = 10\%
$$

 (111) Dimeussion.

This investigation illustrates the inadequacy of general regression techniques in picking out causative factors of population

differences. A more intensive study on fower mines (measuring degree of metal contamination, wind direction, density of plants in adjoining pasture etc.) might have been more effective.

lsolation : self-fertility

onpecially since the results presented here show that selfing must be determined by a range of factors, and no one population characteristic emerges as important.

The possible reasons for an increase in the self-fertility of a nopulation discussed carlier, will be considered in turn in the light of the above work on metal aines.

(a) Certainty of fertilisation.

This is an unlikely reason since pollination on the sine

both populations of should not be any harder than on the pasture. both species are wind-pollinated and their anthers shed readily in the wild.

(b) listablishment after long distance disperal. The mine populations are normally surrended by members of the same species, but plants are often found near the centre of large areas of contamination and here establishment may present problems. However, the relationship between selfing and distance is. if anything, negative, although some of the highest selfing points ("exceptional populations") are among the furthest from the edge

of the mine.

Prevention of gene flow. (c)

Distinct trends are seen that suggest selfing is to some extent related to gene flow, being more where there is likely to be more gene flow.

Exposure of recessives. $\mathbf{(d)}$

The genetic analysis of tolerance (Chapter V1) indicates that this character is dominant. Selfing is therefore not likely to have been important in preadapting populations by revealing tolerant resossives.

 (o) Larliness of flowering.

No relationship between selfing and flowering time is

detectable. Presumably flowering time is so well regulated that

it is unusual for individuals to be as precoclous as to remain

unpollinated.

Isolation : solf-fertility

Density of plants. (f)

The relationship between selfing and plant density is in the opposite direction to that expected. Possible reasons for this have already been discussed.

(d) Self-fortility and vincur.

Studios here were all done on Anthoranthum. Normal populations of this plant are strongly outbred (see above and Borrill. 1963) and the plants show marked protogyny. It might therefore be expected

that the greater self-fertility of the mine populations leads to inbreeding depression. This was investigated by measuring the morphology and self-fertility of different genotypes and by a competition experiment.

(1) Morphology of selfed plants.

Since the self-fertility of Anthoxanthum was measured (see Chapter IV.4.5) on the same genotypes that were used in the spaced plant trial (Chapter II.4), the relationship between morphology and selfing within the tolerant population was investigated (Table 19) using regression methods. There is no evidence that plants that self more are in any way less 'fit' than plants that self less. This

is perhaps not surprising because

(a) they were grown as spaced plants

(b) they were already the result of selection in the mine population: any non-vigorous individuals would have been eliminated.

Because no effect of selfing on fitness could be detected in the spaced plant trial the performance of individuals grown from seed produced by selfing was studied in dense pure stands and in competition with seed produced by crossing.

(ii) Competitive performance of selfed material.

(a) Method.

In order to assess the competitive performance of selfed material,

the following types were prown in 50 : 50 mixture and pure stands,

after the techniques of De Wit (1960).

Tolerant crosses / Non-tolerant crosses

Tolerant crosses / Tolerant selfs

Isolation : solf-fortility

Talle 19. Glationship batween self-fertility and orphological characters in the tolerand population of Anthoxanthura

t t oagt tim ô $M = 1$ tillera

Vegetativeness $1.93¹$ -0.0027 -0.0000000 $2 - 20.1$ (1.99)

within plant $63.4 -0.014 - 40.00005$ $>10.$ **Variation**

a such as wellity

/ Tolerant x Non-tolerant crosses. folerant crosses Non-tolerant crosses/ Tolerant x Non-tolerant crosses. We first exhinition was used as a control to look at the , meral competitive performance of tolerant material; the second was to look for any inbreading depression in competitive performance and the last two to look for any hybrid vigour between the populations.

Pure stands at half-density were also included so that the

percentage reduction by a competitor ("selection pressure" due to the other type) could be assessed (Seaton and Antonovics, 1906). beed from selfs and from artificial crosses was used in this experiment. This eliminated any effects of gene flow (c.f. natural wed) and also meant that seed could be chosen from crosses where the parents were known not to self. However because the amount of seed was limited the experiment was on a mmall scale (two repliest) The plants were sown as seedlings (previously germinated in damp filter paper in petri-dishes) at two inch spacing in a grid pattern in wooden tomato boxes, filled with sterilised loam. Loam is proferable to John Innes in showing competitive relations (Molliy, 1965). In the competition "plots" the types were arranged alternately. Wenty-four plants were put in each hox as well as a pard row of the appropriate types. The material was sown in October 1965 and assessed in April and August 1968. The parent source of the seed produced by selfing was noted so that a comparison of the performance of seed produced by genotypes with different amounts of selfing could also be made. (b) Results.

Because of seed shortage there was inadequate replication and the results cannot be considered as conclusive. They are presented in Tables 20 and 21 and as Replacement Series Graphs (De Wit, 1900) in Fig. 36. Because the material was required for further

experimentation, only tiller number was measured.

Behaviour as pure stands $(\gamma_{P}, \rho_{P}, \gamma_{P})$:

It can be seen that progeny proshiced by selfing of tolerant

Isolation : self-fertility

τ_A is ∞ . Yields (tiller maaber) of pure stands and mixtures of types of Anthoxanthum.

- Represe cross $\sim \mathbb{R}$
- tolerant cross $\frac{1}{2}$
- non-tolerant self
- tolerant self **骨 等**
- tolerant x non-tolerant cross $\frac{1}{2}$

\mathcal{F} is a small form of \mathcal{F} in \mathcal{F} in

Figures in brackets refer to yield of selfs as pof $\langle 1 \rangle$ yield of cross.

Fig. 36a. Yield (tiller number) of progeny of tolerant crosses and non-tolerant crosses in mixtures and pure stands.

Fig. 36b. Yield (tiller number) of progeny of tolerant selfs and tolerant crosses in mixtures and pure stands.

 $date$ date $1/8/66$ $28/4/66$ -300 $300 -$

Fig. 36c. Yield (tiller number) of progeny of tolerant crosses and tolerant x non-tolerant crosses in mixtures and pure stands.

Fig. 36d. Yield (tiller number) of progeny of non-tolerant crosses and tolerant x non-tolerant crosses in mixtures and pure stands.

date date $1/8/66$ $28/\frac{1}{4}/66$ 300 -300 ant O

plante do not do much worse than those produced by crosses when pure stands are considered. Thus at full density the agreement between replicates in reasonable and in April 1966 the selfs yielded 91% as much as the crosses. In August they yielded more than the crosses, 1076. The data from half density showed great variation between replicatest in one replicate no great difference was seen, while in the other the self did far worse. When non-tolerant selfs are considered (unfortunately only

The tolerant crosses produced fewer tillers than the nontolerant crosses, thus confirming the field experiment data (Chapter II1.4 and Pias. 16,17).

The results show that non-tolerant plants contribute more to the yield of a mixture than tolerant, and that tolerant crosses yield more In a mixture than tolerant selfs. These results are confirmed if we look at the percentage reduction from pure stands at half density (Table 21). The selection pressures are strongest on the tolerant type in the tolerant/non-tolerant mixture, and on the selfed type in the self/cross mixture.

This is confirmation that tolerant types are competitively inferior to non-tolerant (McNeilly, 1965, Putwain, personal communication). It also suggests that inbreeding depression does occur in mine plants as a result of self ing, but not to a very marked degree.

The results of competing tolerant x non-tolerant crosses against crosses within populations are also interesting since they sham the between population crosses to be rather better in competition. This is particularly so when the non-tolerant type

is involved. "Hybrid vigour" therefore seems to occur when the two types are crossed. If this effect is real then it has quite

one replicate was possible) they did considerably Worse than the tolerant selfs if the yield of wolfs is considered a percentage of the yield of the crosses.

Behaviour in mixtures (fig. 36) s

Isolation : self-fertility

Table 21. Percentage reduction in yield (tiller number) due to the other component in the mixture (yield at half density compared with yield in 50: 50 mixture).

important commequences in considering the effects of gene flow. (c) Behaviour of plants produced by genotypes with different amounts

of selfing(Fig. 37).

Since the individual genotypes used in this experiment were noted, it was possible to investigate the relationship between degree of melfing and performance in pure stand under high density and in competition with the crosses. The results both show the same pattern, and the relationship is highly significant in the case of genotypes from competition with material from crosses: the better yielders (tiller mumber) are both low selfers and very high selfers, with intermediate types yielding the worst.

(iii) Discussion.

The results of this small experiment are extremely interesting.

Not only is the poorer competitive performance of tolerant types

(cf. non-tolerant) confirmed but inbreeding depression effects

Fig. 37b. Yield (tiller number) of progeny from selfs of parents with different degrees of self-fertility, in mixtures with progeny from crosses.

lsolation : self-fertility

become apparent in mixtures of selfed and crossed material. However, the inbreeding depression is only seen clearly in competition and the depression seams less than in the non-tolerant population. This suggests that the tolerant population has become adapted to inbreading, a hypothesis which is confirmed by the individual performance of genotypes in competition: the high selfing types yield as much as the low selfing types, while the intermediate selfors The high selfing types are likely to have had a history do vorst.

of inbreeding and therefore could have become adapted to it. In the intermediate selfers inbreading may be more recent or may have been eliminated largely by outcrossing and they are therefore not adapted to inbreading.

(o) General discussion.

The existence of papulations with different degrees of selffertility when up the possibility of investigating the adaptive significance of different breeding systems. A preliminary investigation presented here has shown that no one selective factor can be considered to have determined the breeding system, but that a fairly consistent relationship exists between the degree of

selfing of a population and its nearness to the edge of the mine. This implies that selfing is at a premium where there is a considerable amount of game flow.

No evidence is available as to the effectiveness of selffertility as an isolating mechanism: no marker genes are available and melf-fertility in the presence of other genotypes carrot be tested. The gain in the musber of tolerant seeds that result from selfing may seem small from the data presented here, but two factors must be remembered.

Firstly, although the mann amount of selfing is low, tolerant populations do contain individuals with a very high self-fertility, often at values approaching normal cross fertility (Table 16).

Isolation : sali-fortility

These injividuals are likely to be more successful than the rest of the population, when gene flow load is serious. Secondly, the amount of selfing is measured at Drws-y-Coed and Trelogan at a stage when evolution has already progressed for some time. In the earlier stages of colonisation, the donsity of plants on the mine was probably far lower than the density on the pasture: in other words the effects of gene flow were more serious then than they are now (see Chapter V.l.a for

theoretical analysis). We expect a similar situation to that described by Bannistar (1965), naraly an initial increase in the amount of solfing followed by a decline. It is therefore interesting that the relationship of (log) selfing on the age of the mine from which the population came gave a small but significant regression: the later the date of the mine (the younger the mine). the greater the amount of selfing.

Another factor which could promote selfing on mines is inbreedin, amongst a few founder individuals. In the face of gene flow, the successful plants under these conditions are most likely to be 'sib-matings' between the founders. This would lead

to an initial readjustment of the gene complexes to preadapt them to the more violent effects of inbreading depression that would otherwise result from selfing. Such a system is suggested by Wexelsen (1952) and Rolands (1961) for achieving successful selffertile lines in legumes. Breese (1956) in an experimental and theoretical analysis of assortative mating (mating of like with like) has said that such mating "is the initial and most important step towards the establishment of facultative inbreeding in hitherto obligate outbreeding species". It is also possibly one of the reasons why selfing does not

occur off the mine populations: these non-mine habitats have been long colonised and the populations have never suffered from

serious inbreading. Another reason for the absence of selfing in non-mine populations (which also suffer from gene flow) is the

fact that gene flow load is greater, the higher the selection pressure (Chanter V.2.c): it is unlikely that the selection pressure in the pasture is anywhore mear the same order as that on the mine (McNoilly, 1965).

Tentative evidence for the mine populations having become 'adapted' to salfing (and presumably inbreading) is presented, and this way be a very important element in understanding the change to inbreading. This is particularly so in relation to the results of the computer simulation (Chapter V.3) which shows that a seme for self-fertility will spread through the population of its own accord. if there is no selection against it. However the efficiency of gene flow in maintaining heterosygosity (Chapter V.2.4) suggests again that inbreeding depression may not be serious in mine populations: heavy selection for tolerance can retain this character in the population despite gene flow from non-tolerant plants, but this gene flow will also cause other characters to be highly heterozygous. The roles of selfing in mine populations are discussed later, after a presentation of the results of the computer simulation (Chapter V).

The conclusions arrived at here are applicable to any

colonising species moving into new ground by evolutionary advance: and the higher self-fertility of mine populations may show the beginnings of the processes that have made so many colonising \mathcal{L}

Chapter V

THE PROCESS OF EVOLUTION

A CONPUTER NODEL

THE BASIC MODELS $1.$

Before considering the results of the computer simulation a fairly detailed account of the model that has been used is necessary. aince the conclusions to be draw from such an investigation frequently depend on the premises on which it is based.

(a) Single gene model

The model used here starts with a single random broeding population, consisting of the paratypes AA, AB and 'B. The fate of the population over subsequent generations is obtained as follows:

AB B_B AA I $Gone$

Genotron frequencies \mathbf{u} **V** \mathbf{v}

Assuring mating to be at random the frequencies of the different matings are

knowing the frequency of each type of mating we can calculate the genotype frequancies in the next generation, since on the basis of simple Hendelian law... ... products of these matings are known. The equations giving the genotype frequencies in the following generation are known as recurrence equations since if the frequency in any n'th generation is known, then the genotype frequencies in the $(n + 1)$ 'th generation can be calculated. The gene frequencies over a series of generations can thus be calculated to find rates of change and equilibrium positions (when no more change is apparent). Here the recurrence equations were worked out by the computer, and

by inserting a loop in the programme, the progress of the genes

and genotypes over generations was calculated.

Evolution t models

The model therefore is of an idealised population. infinitely large. with no random effects. Various influences were then put on this population to investigate their effects.

(i) selection

At each generation the genotypes are subjected to melection pressures as follows:

Genotype AA AB EB Selection a_1 a_2 a_3 Fitness $(1-s_1)$ $(1-s_2)$ $(1-s_3)$ which can be noted as s_{μ} , s_{ν} , s_u respectively. in suba quart generations the genotype 'frequencies' become $u^* = u \times v_u$ $, \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{m}$ frequency in the following generation. \mathbf{v} , so \mathbf{v} v wi aw w

but since $u^* + v^* + w^*$ are now not equal to one, they are converted

to true frequencies by dividing by T, where $T = u^0 + v^1 + w^1$. (ii) Gans Flaw.

Gane flow is imposed on the population by the addition of BB genotypes. If a certain proportion of these genotypes, g, enters the population, the other genotypes are reduced to a frequency of $1 - 0$, i.e. gene flow is measured as the frequency of incoming whotypes. Two types of gene flow are studied and for the sake of convenience they will be termed pollen flow and seed flow. (a) Pollen flow.

Selection occurs after the incoming genotypes have mated with the remainder of the population.

The model here is as follows:

Evolution : models incoming genotype Genotype frequencies males AA I AB. **BB** BB. $(1-q)u$ $(1-q)v$ $(1-q)w$ \mathbf{Q} Genotype frequencies females \mathbf{u} Y W

Matings take place in the following frequency:

Genotype frequencies in the next generation are calculated as before and selection is imposed on these.

 (b) Seed flow

Selection occurs both on the pre-existing population and on the incoming genotypes, before they mate. Here the extra genotypes do not enter the mating scheme till the genotype frequencies for the next generation (and before selection) are calculated. Then:

followed by selection on these genotypes.

(iii) Changing gane flow

It has been stressed previously that in the early stages of colonisation, the density of individuals in an area will probably be low and therefore the gene flow from outside high. As colonisation proceeds, the density increases and therefore the gene flow To investigate the genetic changes that are likely to decreases. occur during early colonisation, a model of changing gene-flow was

The formula for population increase under "limited resources"

Evolution : models

is as follows

$$
N = \frac{Y}{1 - e^{n-rt}}
$$

where $N =$ number at given time

K = number at end

n = constant to define number at beginning, given by

 $N =$

r = constant to determine rate of population increase with time t = time.

85

This gives the well known signoid curve, where an initial exponential phase is followed by a slowing down to reach a constant number when resources become limiting.

It seemed reasonable to assume that the decrease of pollen flow due to increase in population members in a given area (density) would follow a similar pattern. The equation developed for this was as

$$
N = (1 - q)(1 - \frac{1}{1 + e^{n-rt}}) + q
$$

where

- N = gene flow at a given generation
- $g = final$ gene flow
- n = constant to determine initial amount of gene flow
- r = constant to determine rate of decrease of pollen flow with generation
- t = generation.

Using this formula the amount of pollen flow at each generation could

be defined from an initially high to a final low value.

Evolution : models

 (iv) Self-fertility

Different degrees of self-fertility are imposed on the genotypes AA and AB, in the following way.

86

Genotype AA AB)
Self-fertility a_1 a_2) females

If, say, the genotype AA selfs to a degree a_{11} then the proportion a, of AA females produce offspring without the involvement of males. The remainder of the females (in a frequency $1 - a$, breed at random.

The frequencies of the different matings are then

Recurrence equations are calculated as before. In this model the genotype BB, is not given any self-fertility. This is important.

The reason for this is that the model for selfing was developed in conjunction with a model for gene flow where it was desired that the incoming genes BB should not self. To fix the selfing of any genotype also subjected to selection implies that the gene for selfing is linked to the gene that is selected, i.e. the model here is of a gene for salfing completely linked to AA and dominant or recessive according to the value of a_2 . An unlinked two gene model is described later.

(v) Perenniality

This feature was imposed on the population by including the genotypes of the previous generation in those of the present one

Evolution : nodels

AB. AA. Thus **RB** Frequency in generation n $\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{n}}$ \mathbf{v}_{n} W Frequency in generation $n + 1$ after mating, melection, geneflow $\begin{array}{ccc} & & u_{n+1}^* \\ & & \end{array}$ v_{n+1}

Then 'frequency' in new generation

$$
= u_n + u_{n+1}^{\prime} \qquad v_n + v_{n+1}^{\prime} \qquad v_n + v_{n+1}^{\prime}
$$

87

$\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{n+1}}$ u_{n+1} $M_{\rm rad}$

after correction for change in total frequency.

In other words we are dealing here not with gene frequencies at

each generation separately, but with the <u>cumulative</u> gene frequency. $(y1)$ Summary

The whole model can be summarised in terms of the situation existing at the boundary of metal contaminated areas. The tolerant population carries a gene for tolerance, A, whereas the pasture population carries. B. There is selection for tolerance on the mine, and non-tolerant genes are continually entering and tending to dilute the tolerance. The tolerant genotypes AA, and the heteroxygote.

can self to varying degrees, and can be annual or perennial. Selection and gene-flow can also vary, the latter between populations or over generations as colonisation proceeds. The model therefore assumes no two way flow across a boundary. The model is also quite general for any habitat where colonisation and selection from a neighbouring source is occurring.

(b) Two game model

This was developed to study conclusions from the one gene model Essentially it did not differ from the one gene model, except further. that the 'extra' pene was used to impose dominance (see Chapter VI) and selfing on the population.

Instead of regarding selfing as an 'automatic attribute' of certain

genotypes, a gene determining this character was introduced into this

The gene for this character was unlinked to the other gene, model.
as

Recurrence equations for the one yene and two gene models, when

there is pollen flow, and selfing are given in Appendix 3.

an which selection and gatte-flow were' iaposed in a siailar war to that described for the one gene model. The gene for selfing was given the property of "incomplete penstrance": when present in a homosygeus state with a genotype of the other gane, a certain proportion a_i of those genotypes selfed. When present in the heterosygous state, a proportion a_2 selfed. By varying a_2 in relation to a_1 the gene for selfing could be given different degrees of dominance. (c) The recurrence equations and programmes

The program are also given in this Appendix together with a brief description of the method of presenting the data for the programmes and a description of the form of the print out. Only a few selected programmes are included. Modifications are made using the methods described above.

Evolution : game flow

THE EFFECTS OF GENE FLOW 2.1

Pollan flow (a)

If pollen flow is the only influence on the population, then the incoming type, EB, rapidly spreads through the population. However if there is selection against EB, the result will depend on the intensity of selection and the amount of pollen flow: with low selection pressures and a high degree of pollen flow, the incoming type wins, otherwise an equilibrium is set up with both genes in the The result also depends on the degree of dominance of population.

89

the favoured gene.

Several important features emerge from the data. (i) Pollen flow is remarkably effective in maintaining a gene in a population in spite of selection against that gene (Fig. 38). For example, when dominance is present, only 0.1 pollen flow will reduce the frequency of the favoured game to 0.68, when the selection against the incoming gene is O.4. (ii) Pollen flow is very effective in maintaining heterosygosity (Fig. 39). When there is dominance of the favoured gene, an incoming recessive gene will be sheltered in the heterosygous state, and this leads to an excess of heteroxygotes over random expectation. This may give the impression, from looking at genotype frequencies, that there is selection in favour of the heteroxygote. Moreover there is also an excess of heterosygotes when no dominance is present: here the selection against the heteroxygote, AB is half that against BB. When the favoured gene is recensive, the frequency of heteroxygotes is slightly below random expectation, but not very much so in spite of strong selection against heteromygotes.

The relative musher of heterosygotes is also greater, the greater the selection pressure against BB. In other words, on a metal mine where selection pressures are high the musber of heterosygotes in the population will be large. The amount of segregation observed in seedlings from mine populations (McNeilly, 1965) supports this. (iii) The mumber of generations required to reach equilibrium shows

some interesting features. In this model, the equilibrium position

favoured of

m.

Fig. 39. Effect of selection and pollen flow on heterozygosity at equilibrium. (selection coefficients indicated on graphs, degrees of dominance are of favoured gene)

Evolution : gene Aow

was chosen when the gene frequencies did not change by more than 0.000001 between generatiens. Three general features emerge.

90

Table 22. Wumber of generations to equilibrium with different initial geme frequencies and different amounts of pollen flow. (selection conflicient = 0.4, coninance of favoured gene)

Dalla

 \sim 33

1.0

gan
Anggal n

 $\label{eq:2} \frac{1}{4} \begin{array}{c} \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^2 \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^2 \\ \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^2 \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^2 \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^2 \end{array}$

 $\mathbb{R}^{\frac{1}{2}}$

Firstly, the rate to equilibrium does not depend greatly on the initial frequency (m.g. Table AA). Secondly, the rate also depends on the degree of personiality. In a permental equilibrium is resched more slowly. (See Chapter III.3). Thirdly, when dominance is present pollen flow considerably hastene the aggreed to egallikrium, particularly so when there is a mmall musics of police flow and strong selection (e.g. Table 22). Mine papulations are in fact guite likely to be in equilibrium, since with desinance and complete selection against the incesing type,

58

equilibrium is resolued after 24 generations with only 0.1 pollen flow.

Evolution : gene flow

Equilibrium was also reached very rapidly in the models of Jain and Bradshaw (1966). Evolution on mines is theoretically (as well as in practice) a rapid process.

91

(iv) The pattern of progress to equilibrium was quite straightforward. with a ranid approach in the initial stages, followed by a slowing down as equilibrium is approached (Fig. 40). Because of the extreme choice of the equilibrium definition, the effective equilibrium values are actually approached in much fewer generations than is suggested

 $\bullet \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$

above.

(b) Changing pollen flow

In the provious section, the affects of a high degree of pollen flow were shown to be startling. It therefore seemed relevant to investigate the effects of changing from a high degree of pollen flow in the early generations, as would happen at the beginning of colonisation, to a low degree of game flow, as would be the case in the later stages of colonisation when population density had increased. Only the situation when the favoured gene was dominant has been izrestigated.

The results confirm that this initial phase of intense pollen flow can have serious comsequences for the population. Evolution in a

colonising species is a dynamic process. The high initial gene flow lowers the frequency of the favourable gene commiderably and the degree to which this happens depends on several features. (1) The speed of colonisation $(PIg, 41)$: if colonisation is slower and the amount of game flow decreases more slowly then the effects of pollen flow are more serious. The rate of colonisation will of course depend on the rate of evolution but this particular "feed-back" model has not been considered. (11) The selection presence against the incoming type $(\mathbf{F1q.} 42)$: if the selection pressure is great, then the effects of this pene flow will met be so serious as when the pressures are of a lower order.

Here the incoming genes can swamp the favoured gene completely in this

(111) The peremniality of the colonising species (Fig. 42): a perennial suffers a far lower reduction in the frequency of the favoured gene in this initial stage. It is also slower to recover from this initial reduction but by this time the situation is less serious. (iv) The initial game frequency (Fig. 43): a low initial game frequency does place the population at a greater disadvantage but again the effect is not very serious. If selection is very high then the initial game frequency does not affect the population at

92

Evolution : gene flow

The results also confirm that this high initial pollen flow means a very high degree of heterosygoaity (Fig. 44). With very strong selection pressures the initial colonisers are likely to be prectically all beterenygetes.

(c) Pollen flow load-

It has been repeatedly stressed in previous sections that gene flow can have deleterious consequences for a population striving to achieve adaptation: the game and gametype frequencies of the adapted types are lowered. The situation is analogous to a load resulting from harmful mutations, where again favourable genes are substituted by deleterious emes. A genetic and gene-flow load has the effect of producing greater martality amongst members of a population. This is a factor which is particularly important in human populations. It is also important for any population where the limiting factor to population size is the mumber of adapted individuals it can produce, such as will be the case in a colonising species where the limiting factor is rate of adaptation to a peculiar habitat. Norvover apart from this effect on population size. genetic changes will coour in the direction of reducing the grae-flow load.

It is therefore important to calculate the degree of mortality that a population suffers from the introduction of unadapted types

by gene flow. This is difficult to assess intuitively: thus if we

have a dominant gene the population will have many incoming harmful

Fig. 42. Effect of changing pollen flow on gene frequency with different amounts of selection. (selection coefficients indicated on graphs, favoured gene dominant)

change in pollen flow $1.0 - -$

٠

Fig. 43. Effect of changing pollen flow on gene frequency with different initial gene frequencies. (selection coefficients indicated on graphs, favoured gene dominant, initial gene frequencies = 0.1 , 0.5 , and 0.9)

 \mathcal{R}_c

THE R

Fig. 44. Effect of changing pollen flow on frequency of heterozygotes. (selection coefficients indicated on graphs, favoured gene dominant, initial gene frequency = 0.5)

change in pollen flow

 $1.0 - - -$

Evolution : gene flow

nemes present and sheltered in the heterosypous state. but these heteresygotes have a fitzase equal to the hamosygotes: however the presence of these games could be serious. The essatic lead on a population under selection is given by

the formula

 $X = o(20 \cdot (1 - h)AB)$

where I - concite lead

s » selection confileient

h - degree et deutname

A - *<i>Euremand* guite

B = taxiavoured game

The genetic lead due to melection is equal to the selection intensity (Yan Valen, 1965).

Newway, guantie loads cannot be calculated directly from the remits of the programme wood above, since in these programmes the genetype frequencies are the frequencies <u>after</u> selection. A programme was therefore developed to calculate the genetic lead under: distarant game Sraganneion diffurent ammine of pallen flor distarant aniontian promote

different degrees of selfing (this will be considered later). The general equations and programs are given in Appendix 3. The results generally support our intuitive expectations (Fig. 45). **The guardis land is less wider the full estim conditions:** (1) the higher the frequency of the forested game, (11) the greater the degree of designed of the favoured game. **Excessive (111)** the lawer the extention presence, (tv) the lewer the pollen flor. Any factor therefore which desreases the game flow or increases the deminance of the farmured game will be solected. A high pollen flow will however be mare serious if the gene frequency of the favoured

game is high and if the selection is high; both of these conditions

occur in mine populations.

- -
-
- -
- - -
		-
		-
-
-
-
-
-
- -
	-
-
- -
-
-
- - -
	-
	-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-

93

ĝti ja k

Fig. 45. Genetic load with different amounts of selection and pollen flow, at various gene frequencies. (selection coefficients indicated on graphs, gene frequencies, indicated by 'p', are of favoured gene)

pollen flow

Evolution : gene flow

(d) Seed flow

The effects of soud flow were investigated only briefly since seed flow did not seem as important a factor as pollen flow in mine situations. Seed probably does not travel as far, and under very high melection intensities. such as occur in mines. the harmful effects of seed flow were less than those of pollen flow (Fig. 46). Under high selection intensition parly all the incoming genotypes are killed before they mate.

Under lower selection intensities the opposite is true. *Flare*

the incoming sood is only partly selected against and the remainder are left to mate with the *other* members of the population. The frequency of both the favoured types (AA and AB) is therefore reduced by the extra MB genotypes. (Under pollen flow the favoured genotypes mate with incoming pollem before melection and the favoured genes are not so drastically reduced in mumber because the reduction that occurs in AA is offset by the increase in AB through AA x BB matings.) Because seed flow involves the addition of extra genotypes before mating, it has no effect on heterosygote frequency: all the members mate at rendom. (Under pellen flow mating is non-random in that the impoming genetypes do not mate with themselves but only with the (female)

genotype present already.)

 $\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{C}}$

Fig. 46. Effect of selection and seed flow on gene frequency at equilibrium. (selection coefficients indicated on graphs, degrees of dominance are of favoured gene)

3. THE EFFECTS OF SELFING

Selfing has a comsiderable effect on the spread of games through populations. A gene for selfing will itself spread through a population if there is nothing to oppose it. Crosby (1949) showed that the homomtyle self-fertile type of the Primrose will spread through the population and reach fixation if no viability effects oppose it. This is further illustrated in Fig. 47, in the instances where malection - O.

Selfing will also aid the process of selection. Thus if there is selection against a recessive gene, selfing will assist in the elimination

of this game, because the games that are sheltered in the heterosygous state will be exposed. This is also true if there is no dominance, but here selfing will have a less important part to play in fixation of the favoured geme since there is already selection against the heteromygote (Fig. 47). This is on the one gene model and assumes pleiotropic effects or limkage of the favoured gene to a gene for selfing. However, even if there is no listage selfing does speed the rate to fixation. Table 23 gives the number of generations to fixation when there is complete selection against the recessive, and when the favoured gene has an initial frequency of O.1. Although in the absence of limings the melfing is not so effective, it still considerably

hastems the rate at which fixation is reached.

Fig. 47. Change in gene frequency under the influence of selfing, selection, and selfing plus selection, in the absence of gene flow. (selection coefficients indicated by 's', self-fertility indicated by 'a', selfing gene no dominance, selfing gene completely linked to favoured gene)

96

Evolution : selfing

 $\mathbf{H}^{\mathrm{max}}$

 \mathbf{r}

Table 23. Effect of linkage of game for selfing to favoured gene on rate of fixation of the favoured gene in the population.

(selection coefficient = 1.0, dominance of favoured gene, no dominance of salfing game, initial frequency of both games = 0.1).

Selfing

 $Q_0 = Q_0 = Q_0 = Q_0 = Q_0$ $O_•2$ \bullet

JL 26 50 Lizkage **B9** >500 30 $2500 261 136$ No listage 93 56 70

 $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$

and the state of th
The state of the st

melutien : selfing plus gene flow

THE EFFECTS OF SELFING FLUS GENE FLOW \mathbf{A}_{\bullet}

(a) No malection

In the previous sections it has been shown that game flow acts against selection while selfing acts in the direction of selection. To understand how all these three fastures interact, the effects of gene flow and selfing with no selection will be considered first. The results, presented in a simplified form, (Fig. 48), show that gene flow is a very potent force that is not easily counteracted by selfing. The impering constype wine unless there is a high degree of selfing. Pellen flow is less potent than seed flow and can be counteracted more easily. If the gene for selfing is dominant (i.e. the heteromygote also selfs), them it is much more effective in counteracting game flow.

97

A gene for ealtimo. if it imposes sufficient self-fertility. will therefore spread through a population in the face of gene flow opposing 1_o

- (b) With malestian
- (1) One game model.

This and subsequent models were only investigated in the case of pollen flow.

(a) Effect of selfing on gene frequencies.

It can be seen (Fig. 49) that selfing considerably increases the frequency of the selected game and thereby alleviates some of the effects of pollen flow. The effectiveness of selfing increases disproportionately with the increase in selfing, with the decrease in selection pressure, and with decrease in pollen flow. The degree of dominance of the game for selfing or of the favoured gene does not greatly affect the result. Under high amounts of pollen flow dominance of selfing leads to better resistance to pollen flow. whereas under lower pollen flow there is little difference evident. (b) Effect of selfing on heteroxygote frequencies. selfing, as expected, reduces the frequency of heteroxygotes in the

population (Fig. 50). However, when dominance is present, and under

strong selection pressures against the incoming gene, even a very high

Fig. 48. Effect of gene flow and selfing in the absence of selection.

selfing gene recessive

Sec.

selfing gene no dominance

selfing gene dominant

Fig. 49. Effect of selfing on gene frequency at equilibrium with different amounts of selection and pollen flow. (self-fertility indicated on graphs, selfing gene no dominance, favoured gene dominant, selfing gene completely linked to favoured gene)

 $selection = 1.0$ $------------1.0$ 1.07 ------------0.8 -----------

Fig. 50. Effect of selfing on heterozygosity at equilibrium with different amounts of selection and pollen flow. (self-fertility indicated on graphs, selfing gene no dominance, selfing gene completely linked to favoured gene)

selection = 0.4 , favoured gene dominant $40 - 1$

95

Evolution : salfing plus gene flow

degree of solfing does not reduce the frequency of heterosygotes below the random breading expectation: pollen flow maintains heterosygosity to a remarkable degree, even in the face of salfing.

(c) Mumber of generations to equilibrium.

Selfing acts in the same direction as selection in opposing pollen flow and therefore the pattern of the time taken to reach equilibrium is the same as with selection and pollem flow. Thus with a low degree of pollen flow, selfing hastens the rate at which equilibrium is reached.

(11) Two anno model.

In the ahemnee of listage of the game for selfing to the gene that is being selected, selfing is less effective at counteracting pollen flow (Fig. 51). Under high pollon flow the game for melfing is swamped by the immulng genes. Otherwise the effects of selfing are similar to these in the one game medal. If the game for selfing is linked to the gene for teleranne, then it is much more effective in counteracting pollen fl aw.

(c) Chamaine mellen flew

े⊉

 $\frac{1}{2}$ (1) Case grass and all.

Selfing considerably alleviates the haraful effects of an initially

high degree of pollan flow (Fig. 52), and has tens the time needed to reach equilibrium. If persuminity is introduced into the model (Fig. 52), then the game frequency of the favoured type is depressed even less, but the time taken to recover from the initial depression is longer. (11) Two game model. In the two asse model (where selfing is not linked to the favourable geme), the result is different (Fig. 53). If we start with a low initial frequency (0.01) of the malfing game, then it has no appreciable effect on the game fragmenty in the initial stages of colonisation. and the game for selfing is still depressed further in its frequency

through the population after about 50 penerations, long after the

population has overcess the high degree of pollen flow in the initial

Fig. 51. Effect of selfing on gene frequency at equilibrium with different amounts of selection and pollen flow, when selfing gene not linked to favoured gene. (self-fertility indicated on graphs, selfing gene no dominance, favoured gene dominant)

 1.0 1.0

 $\text{selection} = 1.0$

Fig. 52. Effect of selfing on gene frequency under conditions of changing pollen flow. (selection coefficients indicated by 's', self-fertility indicated by 'a', selfing gene no dominance, favoured gene dominant)

change in pollen flow

 $1.0 -$

Fig. 53. Change in frequency of selfing gene and favoured gene under conditions of changing pollen flow, and with selfing gene not linked to favoured gene. (selection coefficients indicated by 's', self-fertility indicated by 'a', initial frequencies of selfing gene indicated by 'u', selfing gene no dominance, favoured gene dominant, change in pollen flow as before)

 $8=1.0$
a=0.8
u=0.5 / $4=0.5$ $8 = 1.0$ $rac{2}{5}$ 0.5] $a = 0.8$ $/u = 0.01$

Evolution : selfing plus gene flow

stages.

With a higher initial frequency (0.5) the selfing gene is affective in reducing the effects of gene flow and itself spreads through the population more rapidly. However the effect is not so marked as in the model where there is complete linkage of selfing cette to favoured geme.

(d) Selfing and pollen flow load.

In order to assess the precise effect on a population suffering

from the effects of pollem flow, the pollem flow load with different degrees of selfing was calculated using the formulae discussed in Chapter V.2.c. The results proved very revealing.

If the selfing game is recessive, i.e. the homosygote only is salfed, them it has no effect on the pollen flow load if the favoured cone is dominant. This can be some from the equation for cometic load (Appendix 3): with full dominance the heteromygote term vanishes and the cemetic load depends on the frequency of the unfavoured homosygote. The value for the frequency of this homonygote contains the selfing term and is mero when selfing is recessive. Mounter, the effect of a recessive gene for selfing, then the

favoured gene is not dominant, is to reduce the pollen flow load in a manner similar to that for a non-dominant or deminant selfing gene, but to a lesser extent.

A nem deminant or dominant game for selfing generally reduces the gemetic load still further. Fig. 54 shows the reducties in pollen flow load by complete selfing of AA with different amounts of gene flow, at varieus levels of dominance of both genes, and at various levels of salection. The sheelute values before selfing are as in Fig. 45. Selfing is meaw effective in reducing load under the following condit ions:

(1) if the favoured sens shows no dominance (or is recessive) rather

than if it is not dominant. In other words self-fertility is likely

to spread through a tolerant population if the tolerance is non-dominant

or recessive. However, the absolute pollen flow load is reduced if

Fig. 54. Decrease in genetic load due to complete selfing (self-fertility = 1.0 , as against 0) with different amounts of selection and pollen flow, and at various gene frequencies. (selection coefficients indicated on graphs, gene frequencies, indicated by 'p', are of favoured gene, selfing gene completely linked to favoured gene)

> $---$ selfing gene no dominance selfing gene dominant

favoured gene 0.4
 $p = 0.2$
 0.2

favoured gene dominant

 $\bigg] p = 0.2$

Evolution : selfing plus gene flow

tolerance is dominant (Fig. 45). There are therefore two processes acting.

 (i) if the game for selfing is itself dominant.

(iii) if the pollen flow is greater. In the absence of pollen-flow

and at a high frequency of a dominant favoured gene. selfing does in

fact increase the load on the population. This is presumably because

it unmasks deleterious genes which otherwise would be hidden in the

heterozygous state.

(iv) if the selection pressure is greater.

Again here the intuitive expectations are borne out. Selfing on the whole reduces the gene flow load on a population. However, other interesting features emerge which lead us to expect selffertility to follow a definite pattern in the field: greater if the selection is greater, greater if pollen flow is greater, greater if the favoured character is non-dominant and also the gene for selfing should be dominant. These hypotheses are smenable to practical investigation.

$\left(\bullet \right)$ Spread of a game for selfing through the population,

It has been shown that selfing confers two types of advantage to a population evolving in the presence of pollen flow. Pirstly it hastens the process of selection and secondly it alleviates the gene flow load on the population.

We would therefore expect a gene for selfing that is unlinked to the favoured gene (and on which no direct selection is acting) to spread through the population under conditions of selection and gene flow. However it has also been shown (this Chapter, section 4 a) that such a gene would also spread through the population of its own accord, in the total absence of selection, and even in the presence of gene flow against it. Does selection on another unlimited

gene (e.g. for tolerance) hasten this progess? Although the effect of an unlimited game for selfing on pollen flow load was not calculated, the spread of such a gene through

Evolution : selfing plus gene flow

the population was investigated on a two gene model under different selection pressures on the favoured gene.

The results are interesting (Fig. 55). If the gene for selfing is not dominant, then selection for the other gene only slightly increases its final frequency compared with the frequency when there is no selection. However it also hastens the rate at which equilibrium is reached. In this case therefore the spread of a selfing gene through a population is largely because of its

inherent tendency to do so, as well as because of pollen flow plus selection (pollen flow load).

When the selfing gene is dominant, then it spreads through the population less because of its automatic spread and more because of its favourable effect on pollen flow load. If the game for selfing imposes a high fertility then its spread is considerably more when there is selection. This spread is even greater, if the favoured eane shows no dominance.

These results therefore show three forces which may be very determinant in the spread of a gene for selfing. Firstly, given the population is adapted to inbreading, i.e. suffers no inbreeding

depression, the selfing gene will increase in frequency in the population automatically.

Secondly, if there is selection, but no gene flow, then the rate at which the selfing game spreads is increased. Thirdly, if there is gene flow this will tend to oppose the spread of the selfing game if there is no selection. But if there is selection the selfing gene will increase more rapidly than under any other circumstance.

Fig. 55. The frequency of the selfing gene at equilibrium, with different amounts of selection on the favoured gene, and with various degrees of self-fertility and pollen flow. (selfing gene unlinked to favoured gene)

5. DISCUSSION

One of the most remarkable features to emerge from this computer simulation is the effectivemess of geme flow as a force determining the genetic structure of populations. This effectiveness is a raflection of the former belief that differentiation over short distances (sympatric differentiation) is impossible (Mayr, 1947). Gene flow can, if it is sufficiently powerful and if selection is weak, completely obliterate the favoured pene. Even where selection is very strong and genotype frequencies are not greatly affected. it can impose a serious gene flow load on the population. This came flow load is the inverse of population fitness since load measures the frequency of mortality in the population. Gene flow load can have serious commequences from two points of view. Firstly, it may affect the rate of colonisation if this is dependent on having a sufficient supply of adapted variants. The supply of an adequate number of variants adapted to the particular habitat may not seem a great problem in view of the large amounts of seed that can be produced by, for example, one individual of Agrostis. However selection pressures are multiplicative, and returning to the example of mine populations. wen if numerous tolerant

individuals are produced, they must also carry genes adapting them physiologically and morphologically to other features of the mine habitats. The rate of genetic adaptation to a complex of factors could well be important in determining the rate of colonisation. Secondly, even if the population size is at a maximum or there is some other factor determining the rate of increase, gene flow load will still have genetic consequences; there will be constant selection for mechanisms to reduce gene flow and increase population fitness.

Gene flow is important also as a means of preserving heterosygosity. Even with very little pollen flow the proportion of beteroxygotes in the

population is above random expectations, particularly so when the

incoming genes are recessive. Strong selection against them increases

the proportion and therefore even with such selection incoming genes are maintained in the population. The pattern of pollen distribution is leptokurtic which implies that while a considerable amount of pollen lands a short distance from the source, an appreciable amount travels very long distances. This "background rain" of pollen is probably a very important factor in dispersing ones over a wide distance since even if there is selection against such genes they will be maintained in the population.

The extreme heterosygosity has probably another important consequence. It might well be a factor permitting the initial spread of genes for self-fertility or it may swamp some of the otherwise harmful commequences of in-breeding among a few founder members of the population in its early stages. Whereas the population may tend to become hawoaygous for the favoured (tolerant) gene because of selection and selfing, the other genes will effectively still resemble the outside population, since many of them will tend to be homomygous because of selfing alone; and it jtara kanalang di sebagai kana
Kanalang di sebagai kanalang d has been shown that geme flow counteracts this. There is a balanc here between several processes.

The problem of self-fertility is in general not a simple one;

and this is most powerfully illustrated in the above computer simulation. The spread of genes for self-fertility may be expected for several reasons: they may spread of their own accord, they may favour the process of seleciiong or they may act as an isolating mechanism. The latter has been investigated in some detail and the results suggest that whereas a gene for selfing will reduce the gene-flow load on a population, the selection for such genes (in the face of genes for cross fertility entering the population) is not very effective. Homover, the linkage of genes for self-fertility and the favoured gene is an effective way of assisting the spread of self-fertility with its consequent "beneficial" effects.

Although the computer results do not support any one theory about selfing unequivocally, certain predictions amenable to

experimental examination can be made. Linkage of the gene for

Evolution : discussion

selfing to the gene for tolerance, greater selfing where the tolerance is not dominant and other such features are discussed carlier.

The models discussed in this chapter share, above all, the complexity of small scale evolution and illu trate the interrelationships of the munerous factors i fluencing this evolution.

Chapter VI

THE GENETICS OF HEAVY NETAL TOLERANCE

REVIEW $1.$

Thore has in fact been very little work done on the genetics of heavy metal tolerance. Wilkins (1960) working on lead tolerance in Festuca cvina states that "in spite of the amount of effort devoted to refining the measurement of tolerance, the nature of the genetic mechanism controlling it has not been established with certainty". He nevertheless found that tolerance (whether high or medium rance) was dominant and that a major gene with just two

alleles was an inadequate model to explain the results. whether these several alleles were at one locus or at more than one. was not established.

Broker (1963) again found dominant inheritance of minc tolerance in Sileme inflata. However, the F2 data (from selfing F1's) allowed one to conclude very little since only ten plants per family were tested: it was therefore again not dacided whether segregation was continuous or discontinuous.

That many genes are involved in the determination of tolerance is supported by Jowett (1959) and McNeilly (1965), and by the present work which shows tolerance to be not an all or nothing effect but continuously variable in natural populations.

Nore specific studies of Jowatt (1959) on the genetics of tolerance thowed indications that lead tolerance in Agrostis tended to be partly recessive but Jowett suggests that this could be an ertefact of pre-culture conditions which were different in parents and progeny. Nevertheless his data provide evidence for continuous variation in the character and also considerable segregation, suggesting quite marked heteromygosity of the parents.

McNeilly (1965) compared the copper tolerance of seed and adults of different populations, and found a high correlation ($r = 0.983$) between the two. This suggests that the character of tolerance has a high heritability.

Further evidence of the genetic control of metal tolerance

comes from a study of the phenomenon in yeasts. Seno (1962)

found that when yeast strains were grown in high copper concentrations
Gemetics : review

they produced two levels of resistance. Both levels were controlled by dominant genes which were very closely linked. Similar results have been obtained by Antoine (1965): here four alleles at one locus ware considered to be responsible for copper resistance in yeast. Again the alleles for copper resistance were dominant to the non-resistance allele. It is interesting that evidence was also presented for a remarkable effectiveness of copper ions in mutating the game for copper resistance to alleles of higher resistance : in this instance matation appears to be directed. General mutagenic activity of copper ions has been demonstrated by Von Rosen (1964) and may therefore be important in the evolution of metal tolerance itself. However there is no evidence for this in higher plants. There is therefore considerable evidence that metal tolerance is genetically determined, but apart from indications that it has a high hearitability and is often dominant, vary faw details of its inheritance in higher plants are available.

INVESTIGATIONS 2.

In view of the scarcity of information on the imberitance of metal tolerance in plants, a genetic investigation involving several hundred crosses was undertaken with , wiew to elucid ing the following:

- what is the deminance of the character?
- In it determined by ome or many genes ?
- Is it determined differently on different mines?
-

What is the relationship between tolerances to different metals? What is the degree of heterosygosity of the genes for tolerance in the populations?

Although the crosses have been made (seed set data has already been discussed in Chapter), most of the material has not yet been assessed for tolerance. However, some preliminary data for copper tolerance are available (Fig. 56). The character is clearly inherited. But there is a possibility that the nemetics of tolerance varies from mine to mine. Thus in crosses where plants from Drwm-y-Coed are involved, copper tolerance meams to show no deminance, whereas at Parys Mountain it shows dominance.

The dominance of copper tolerance on Parys Mountain is confirmed in the study reported in Chapter II.2.b. and Fig. ia (the cross involved telerant plants from this region). The analysis of further crosses will confirm or disprove these findings.

Fig. 56. Genetic analysis of copper tolerance in Agrostis. (parents and F1 progeny of preliminary crosses)

parents |

offspring

note: ? estimated value

Genetics : dominance evolution

EVOLUTION OF DOMINANCE 3.

It is usually impossible to predict without genetic analysis whather a character will be dominant, recessive or show no dominance. On biochamical grounds a matant resulting in an ensyme deficiency will tend to be recessive (or show no dominance) whereas one conferring a new ensyme will tend to be dominant (or show no deminance). In this sense we might well expect tolerance to be dominant or to show no dominance. rather than be

recessive.

However, in spite of recent controversy (see Crosby, 1963, and Sheppard and Ford, 1966), it is generally accepted that the degree of dominance of a character is not an inflexible property but can be altered by natural selection. The situation occurring at the boundary of closely adjacent populations is such that we might well expect the evolution of dominance. Thus the computer simulation outlined in Chapter V showed firstly that the gene flow load on a population is less if the favoured game is dominant, and secondly that under conditions of pollen flow and high selection there was a very large propertien of heterosygotes in the population. One of Cresby's (1963) main objections to the idea that dominance cannot be evolved is the low frequency of heterogygotes in natural populations. Polymorphism also maintains heteromygotes in a population and dominance modification is well known here (Shappard and Ford, 1966). There are therefore two reasons why we should expect evolution of dominance in a population suffering from gene flow load.

Unfortunately this idea could not be tested in the field except that the indications are that tolerance is frequently though perhaps by no means invariably dominant; this latter suggests that dominance may not be an inherent property of tolerance genes.

A computer model was therefore developed to investigate the

The model was a modification of the two game case described

earlier (Chapter V.1.b). One gene was considered a dominance modifier of the other unlinked gene which determines the tolerance. The dominance relations of the gene tolerance were changed according to which modifier panotype was present. An example is given in the table and shows the fitnesses of the different penotypes. when the initial come has no dominance and one homogygote a fitness of zero.

Genotypes subjected to direct selection

AA. **Aa** 8A

The results show that the dominance modifier does not spread through the population to any appreciable extent in the absence of pollen flow.

In the presence of pollan flow however the outcome (Table 24) depends on several factors. The spread, not only of dominance modifiers, but also of modifiers producing overdominance is possible. The model is one of the modifier being not linked to the pene

for tolerance. With linkage, the spread of the modifier will chricusly be more effective.

Commidering the general mituation, the modifier reaches a higher gene frequency.

 (1) if the selection is greater.

The spread of the modifier is greatest with very high selection pressures as would occur on a mine.

 (i) if the pollen flow is less.

The effect of pollen flow is complex. Although a greater final equilibrium is reached if the pollen flow is less, the time taken to reach equilibrium is longer. Presumably, with no pollen flow the

time taken to equilibrium is so long and the approach so slow that it regir are in the model as the <u>defined</u> equilibrium value (in this

geme frequency change is less than 0.0001). CASO

Table 24a. Equilibrium frequency (i) of a dominance modifier in a population subjected to selection and pollen flow

(Figures in brackets refer to number of generations to equilibrium. initial frequency of modifier gene $x = 1$ % initial frequency of favoured gene = 90%).

Modifier dominant

Table $24b$. Equilibrium frequency $(*)$ of an over-dominance modifier in a population subjected to selection and pollen flow.

(Figures in brackets refer to musber of generation to equilibrium,

initial frequency of modifier gene = 1% initial frequency of favoured gene = 90% selection coefficient = l.O).

Degree of over-dominance

pollen flow

Modifier dominant

 0.8 65 1.3 56 $\mathbf{1}$ \bullet $45 41$ 50 (11) (97) (65) (53) (45) (41)

Genetics : dominance evolution

(iii) if there is greater dominance of the modifier. With no dominance the modifier only spreads under high solection. $(i\mathbf{v})$ if the dominance modification is greater. Genes for over-dominance reach a higher frequency than genes for dominarxe.

 (c) Discussion

An obvious corollary to these results is the expectation that a gene will show different directions of dominance at the opposite

ends of a cline (assuming the selection is sufficiently strong at both ends). There is very little evidence for this in the literature. but it is a very real possibility that has yet to be examined. Some evidence comes from the work of O'Domald and Davis (1959) who provide evidence for the dark-phase in the colouration of the Arctic Sume being more dominant where it is more frequent; the light phase is conversely less recessive where it is more frequent. O'Donald and Davis however consider that such evolution of dominance "can only occur once the population has become isolated from the migration taking place within the cline: the introduction of alien gene complexes must continually break down the modifier balance".

The present data suggest that such isolation may not only be unmecessary, but positively detrimental to the evolution of dominance vithin a cline.

Chapter VII

GENERAL CONCLUSIONS

GEMERAL CONCLUSIONS

The evolution of differences between closely adjacent

and self-fertility. The exact causes of these various differences between the populations have only been briefly examined: but it seems clear that while many are direct adaptations to the local conditions of the habitats, others such as flowering time and self-fartility appear to be adaptations to the particular genetic conditions in adjacent diverging populations. This has significant bearing on the general problem of expansive evolution. The evolution of a species may be necessitated by changing conditions; but in other cases a species extends its range and enters a new habitat by the evolution of genotypes adamed to this new environment. This is so in the case of species entering

populations in a complex process and reflects the complexity of genetic adaptation in natural habitats.

in the particular case which has been the subject of these investigations, the differences that have been found are very extensive. Tolerant and non-tolerant populations differ in their response to nutrients, morphology, longevity, flowering time,

The essential prerequisite for this is that the basic population or species should carry variants that confer adaptation to the new habitat. This adaptation may be gradual and involve the occupation of intermediate zones, or,, as has been shown to be a possibility in Agrostis, it may be immediate because of the presence in the base population of extreme variants confering adaptation in one generation. Unce the primary requirements of the new habitat have been fulfilled in this way, the adaptation can become precise by more specific selection.

The factors determining such evolutionary change are complex.

but the general principles have been frequently discussed.

it is generally accepted and can be shown on theoretical grounds that

complete inbreeding leads to homozygosity and loss of variability,

metal contaminated regions.

Conclusions

a completely pansictic population is probably not the most effective in promoting the origin of infraspecific novelties. Wright (1931) first proposed that a complex of semi-isolated populations is the most effective system for evolutionary change. and this is reflected in the idea that selfing with occasional outcrossing is a very effective breeding system. It is also analogous to a population, such as Anthoxanthum on Trelogan mine, consisting of a few dominant individuals around which there is a

high turnover of mmaller individuals which must be a source of. occasionally highly adapted, segregants. In all these systems there is room for favourable gene complexes to become established and not be destroyed by random interbreeding. In the case of metal-tolerance the precise source of the adaptation is not known: tolerant plants in normal populations either have some alternative adaptive role or are unwanted segregants. the a^{y-1} able variation is to hand the populations will tend to enter a lew adaptive peak. This in itself will create problems since any large scale interbreeding of the locally differentiated population with the base population tends to be Previous work on metal mines has shown that natural deleterious. seed produced by tolerant plants has a lower tolerance than seed produced by the same plants in isolation: there is intercrossing with the non-tolerant populations in the natural habitats (McNeilly, 1965) and this dilutes the tolerance. On such metal mines the forces of disruptive selection seem in themselves sufficient to effect divergence: gene flow is not an impossible burden. But there are nevertheless pressures to evolve breeding barriers and so reduce the gene flow load on the population. The breeding barriers may be thems ives very subtle. **The**

carlier flowering time and greater sel: -fertility of the tolerant

populations are by no means a simple re ponse to selection for

mechanisms to reduce the gene flow. They are related to

environmental factors of the mine habitat and general genetic strategies of colonising species (in the absence of gene flow). Other changes such as greater longevity are similarly of wide implication. But whatever their causes. they have a marked effect on gene flow.

Another 'barrier' to gene flow is the evolution of dominance which has been shown in this study to be a theoretical possibility. An increased dominance of the genes conferring adaptation in the now habitat reduces the gene flow load and shelters the incoming genes in a recessive form. At the same time as the effects of gane flow are minimised, there is increased heterozygosity and increased variability of the new population.

Gene flow in mmall (doses can have directly beneficial effects: its deleterious effects can be easily held in check by natural selection. Firstly, the colonising species may suffer from inbreading amongst a few initial colonisers: crossing with the parent population may offset some of the serious effects. Secondly, the old population may continue to provide useful variants for the new population, helping it to adapt to local conditions: this is the principle of recurrent back-crossing in plant breeding. Greater divergence under disruptive selection with a limited gene flow than under bi-directional selection (no gene flow) has been found by experimental selection in Dromophila (Millicent and Thoday, 1961). The precise result of gene flow under a given set of conditions can only be defined by experimentation; this definition would take the form of a relationship between the basic variability of a given character, the selection pressure, and the amount of gene flow needed to annul progress to selection. There is a third beneficial offect of gene flow. A species which occupies a number of contrasting habitats becomes broken up into several differently adapted populations by disruptive selection.

Each population, subject as it is to its own directional and

stabilising selection, is not very variable. But if gene flow is

imposed on such a system of populations, each population shares

the variability of all others. This problem has been considered on a theoretical level by Levins (1965) who suggests that the optimm level for gene flow increases if there is a variation of the environment with time, but is decreased if there is stability of the environmental differences. This stability will be less if the environment is patchy and the organism has little opportunity of escaping from where it first establishes: it then lands in different conditions in different generations. Where the organism has the ability to choose its own uniform

hiches in a hetergeneous environment, then it is effectively in a stable situation and the optimum gene flow is much lower. The work of Levinsshows therefore that in any given environmental pattern, there is a gene flow strategy which is the optimum for any species. The balance between variability and genetic load demands an optimum gene flow.

In view of those considerations, the tentative nature of the isolating mechanisms reported here may not be surprising. One alget expect those mechanisms to proceed only to a certain degree but there is as yet insufficient knowledge about the genetic architecture of tolerant and non-tolerant populations to decide

whether such an optimur has been approached.

Whatever the procise balance in mine populations. It is clear that forces leading to isolation are prosent and that this isolation has already proceeded to some degree. The evolution of breeding harriers can therefore occur within a unit that is more or less panmictic. The former belief that allopatric divergence is an ussential prerequisite to the evolution of breeding barriers (Mayr, 1947) is therefore brought into question. The adjacent populations because they are in different areas are perhaps by definit on not sympatric, and therefore it could be said that this example does not show the beginnings of sympatric

(The term 'parapatric' is a useful alternative speciation.

since these situations are clearly distinct from gross allepatry).

Whatever definition is given to this process it illustrates

Conclusions

two features about speciation. Firstly, isolation is not a prerequisite to divergence: adjacent populations can be as different from each other as populations hundreds of miles Secondly, expansive evolution inevitably leads to apart. geographical isolation. At the beginnings of this process specific isolating mechanisms may have arisen between adjacent populations which have subsequently become separated. The study of two already separated groups may lead to the hypothesis

that the divergence and isolating machanisms have developed peacify in isolation, when in fact they might have arisen before geographical separation. After all it is at the initial stages of divergence that isolating mechanisms would be the most useful.

Closely adjacent populations therefore provide a powerful tool for the study of evolution and this thesis has indicated the several ways in which such populations can help us understand the processes of selection, celenisation, and speciation. It also has repercussions on subjects as diverse as evolution of gene expression and of life cycle strategies. General studies of alreedy distinctive races or closely related species have been waluable in indicating the types of evolutionary change that might be expected at the population level. However, they have diverted attention from the primary factors leading to population change, divergence and expansion. Adjacent populations show. above all, that evolution has a beginning.

REFERENCES

NOTE

Abbreviations are from:

```
world list of beientific Periodicals
Brown, Pe_{\bullet} and Stratton, G_{\bullet}B_{\bullet}(1964) 4th Edition.
```
except

Abbott, R., and Misir, N. (1966) The Evolution of Copper Tolerance in One Generation. B.Sc. Dissertation, University College of North Wales, Bangor. Allard, R.W. (1965) Genetic systems associated with colonising ability in predominantly self-pollinated species. In Baker, H.G., and Stebbins, G.L.(4ds)The Genetics of Colonising Species. Academic Press. 49-76. Antoine, A. (1965) La resistance de la levure aux ions cuivriques

III. Saccharomyces cerevisiae, Yeast foam, nature de deux formes resistantes. Expl Cell Res. 40 570-584. Antonovics, $J_{\bullet\bullet}$ Lovett, J_{\bullet} and Bradshaw, $A_{\bullet}D_{\bullet}$ (1966) The evolution of adaptation to nutritional factors in populations of herbage Symposium on the use of Isotopes in Plant Nutrition plants. and Physiology Studies. Joint F.A.O./T.A.E.A., Vienna (In 1 ress).

Aston, J.L., and Bradshaw, A.D. (1966) Evolution in closely adjacent populations. II. Agrostis stolonifera in maritime habitats. Herotity (In Fress).

Auguier. P. (1964) Les Festuca des terrains calaminaires de la

Wallonie Septrentrionale. Bull. Soc. r. Bot. Belg. 97 $99 - 130.$ Babcock, L.B., and Hall, H.M. (1924) Hemizonia congesta, a genetic, ecologic. and taxonomic study of the hay-field tarweeds. Univ. Calif. Publs Bot. $11 - 15 - 100$. Baker, H.G. (1953) Race formation and reproductive method in flowering plants. Symp. Soc. exp. Biol. 7 114-143. Baker, H.G. (1955) Self-compatibility and establishment after long-distance dispersal. Evolution. 9 347-348. Baker, H.G. (1959) Reproductive methods as factors in speciation in flowering plants. Cold Spring Marb. Symp. quant. Biol.

Bannister, M.H. (1965) Variation in the breeding system of

Genetics of colonising Species. Academic Press. 353-374.

Beddows, A.R. (1931) Seed setting and flowering in various grasses. Rep. Welsh Pl. Breed. Stn Series H. seasons $1921 - 1930$. $125 - 99$. Donnett, J. (1960) A comparison of selective methods and a test of the pre-adaptation hypothesis. Nerodity, 15 65-77. Bernstrom. F. (1952) Cytogenetic intraspecific studies in Lomium. I. Hereditas. $38\ 163 - 220$. Bigelow, R.S. (1965) Hybrid zones and reproductive isolation.

Evolution. 19 449-458. Bocher. T.W. (1947) Cytogenetic and biological studies in Geranium robertianum L. K. danske Vidensk. Selsk. Skr. Biol. Medd. 20 (8) 1-29. Borrill, M. (1963) Experimental studies of evolution in Anthoxanthum. Genetica. 34 183-210. Bradshaw, A.D. (1959) Population differentiation in Agrostis temuis Sibth. I. Morphological differentiation. New Mytol. 58 $200 - 227$. Bradshaw, $A \cdot D \cdot e$ McNeilly, T.S., and Gregory, $R \cdot P \cdot G \cdot (1965)$

Industrialisation, evolution and the development of heavy metal

tolerance in plants. In Goodman, G.T., Edwards, R.W., and Lambert. J.M. (Eds.) Ecology and the Industrial Society. Blackwell. $3.17-343$. Breese, S.L., (1956) The genetical consequences of assortative mating. Heredity. $10.33-343$. Broker, W. (1963) Genetisch-physiologische Untersuchungen uber die Zinkvertraglichkeit von Silene inflata Sm. Flora, Jena. 151 122-156. Chandraratha, M.F. (1964) Genetics and Breeding of Rice. Longuans, London. Clark, C.A., and Sheppard, P.N. (1962) Disruptive selection and

its effect on a metrical character in the butterfly Papilio

Clark, J.G.D. (1952) Prehistoric Europe. The Economic Basis. Methuen. Clausen, J. (1926) Genetical and cytological investigations on Viola tricolor l_{\bullet} and V_{\bullet} arvensis Murr. Hereditas. 3 $3 - 156$. Clausen, J. (1931) Stages in the Evolution of Flant Species. Cornell University Fress, Ithaca. Clausen. J., and Niessy, W.M. (1958) Experimental Studies on the Nature of Spacian. IV. Genetic Structure of Ecological

Races. Carnegie Institution of Washington Publication. No. 615. Washington. Clayton, G.A., Knight, G.R., Morris, J.A., and Robertson, A. (1957) 1II. Correlated responses. J. Genet. 55 171-180. Cole, $1 \cdot C$. (1954) The population consequences of life history phenomena. Q. Rev. Biol. 29 10:-137. Cook, L_{\bullet} (1962) Genetic system, variation and adaptation in Escholzia californica. Evolution. 16 273-299. Cooper, J.I. (1959) Selection and population structure in Lolium. III. clection for date of ear emergence. Heredity. 13 $I_{k}(1 - I)^{2}$.

Cooper, J.M. (1960) Selection and population structure in Lolium. IV. Correlated response to selection. Heredity. 14 $329 - 246$

- Cooper, J.P. (1951) salection and population structure in Lolium. V. Continual response and associated changes in fertility and vigour. Heredity. 16 435-453. Creed, S.R., Bowdeswell, W.H., Ford, E.E., and McWhirter, K.G. (1952) Yolutionary studies on Maniola jurtina: the Anglish $mand$ 1936-57. Heredity. 13 363-01. Crosby, J.L. (1949) Selection of an unfavourable gene-complex. $Evolutian = 2 2-130.$
-

Crosby, J.L. (1963) The evolution and nature of dominance.

Journal of Theoretical Biology. 5 35-51.

```
Crosby, J.L. (1964) Computers and the origin of species. Tenth
     International Botanical Congress. Abstracts.
     Edinburgh. p. 130.
Harlington, C.D., and Mather, K. (1949) The Elements of Genetics.
     Allen and Unwin, London.
Davies, W.E. (1953) The breeding affinities of some British species
     of Agrostis. Br. agric. Bull. 2 313-315.
Deway, H., and Eastwood, T. (1925) Copper ores of the Midlands,
```
Wales and Lake District and the Isle of Man. Mem. Geol. Surv. spec. Rep. Min. Resourc. Gt. Br. 30. De Wit, C.T. (1966) (ha competition. Versl. Landbound. Onderz. Ned. $66(7)$ 1-82. Dobzhansky... (1941) Genetics and the Origin of Species. Columbia University Press, New York. 2nd Ed. Ehrman, L. (1965) Direct observation of sexual isolation between allopatric and between sympatric strains of different Drosophila paulistorum races. Evolution. 19 459-464. Ford, A.D., and Ford, E.B. (1930) Fluctuation in numbers and its influence on variation in Melitaen aurinia. Trans. R.

ent. Soc. Lond. 78 345-357. Ford, S.B. (1964) Ecological Genetics. Methuem. Gibson, J.B., and Thoday, J.M. (1962) Effects of disruptive selection. VI. A second chromosome polymorphism. Heredity. $17 \t1 - 26.$ Gibson, J.B., and Thoday, J.M. (1964) Effects of Disruptive Selection. IX. Low selection intensity. Heredity. 19 125-130. Grant. V. (1952) Cenetic and taxonomic studies in Gilia. II. Gilia capitata abrotanifolia. Aliso. 2 361-373. Grant, V. (1957) The plant species in theory and practice. In the Species Problem, Publ. No. 50, Amer. Assoc. Advanc.

 $Set. 39 - 80.$

Grant. V. (1966) The selective origin of incompatibility barriers

in the plant genus Gilia, Am. Nat. 100 99-118.

Grant, K. A., and Grant, V. (1964) Mechanical isolation of Salvia apiers and Salvia mellifors (Labiatae). Evolution. $18.196 - 212.$ Gregory, R.P.G. (1965) Heavy metal tolerance in grasses. Ph.D. Thesis. University of Wales. Gregory, R.P.G., and Bradshaw, A.D. (1965) Heavy metal tolerance in population of Agrestis tenuis Sibth. and other grasses. **New Phytol.** 64 131-143.

Griffiths, D.J. (1952) The liability of seed crops of perennial

ryegrass (Lolium perenne) to contemination by wind-borne pollen. J. agric. Sci., Camb. 40 19-38. Grun, P., and Radlow, A. (1961) Evolution of barriers to creasing of self-incompatible with melf-compatible species of Solaram. Heredity. 16 137-143. Haldane. $J.R.S.$ (1948) The theory of a cline. $J.$ Genet. ◢ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ Harberd, D.J. (1961) Observations on population structure and longevity of Festuca rubra 1. New Phytol. 60 184-206. Helback, H. (1959) Notes on the crolution and history of Linns. Kuul. 1959. 103-1". Holgate, P. (1964) Genotype frequencies in a section of a cline. Heredity. 19 907-509. Hutchinson, J. (1962) The history and relationships of the world's cottons. Endeavour. $21 (R1)$ 5-15. Imam, A.G., and Allard, R.W. (1965) Population studies in predominantly self-pollinated species. VI. Genetic variability between and within matural populations of wild oats, Avena fatua L., from differing habitats in California. Genetics. $21 - 49 - 62$ Jain, S.K., and Bradshaw, A.D. (1966). Dvolutionary divergence smong adjacent plant populations. I. The evidence and its

theoretical analysis. Heredity. (In Press).

Jenkin, T.J. (1931) Self-fertility in perennial ryegrass (Lolium perenne, L.). Rep. Welsh Pl. Breed. Stn. Series H. Seasons 1921-1930. 12 100-125. Jones. 0.T. (1922) Lead and zinc. The mining district of North Cardiganshire and West Nontgomeryshire. Mem. Geol. Surv. spec. Rep. Min. Resourc. Gt. Br. 20. Jowett, D. (1959) Genecology of heavy metal tolerance in Agrostis. Ih.D. Thesis, University of Wales. Jowett, D. (1959) Adaptation of a lead-tolerant population of Agrostis tenuis to low soil fertility. Nature. 184 43. Jowett, D. (1964) Population studies on lead-tolerant Agrostis termis. Evolution.18 70-80. Julen, G. (1948) Inbreeding in Herbage Plants. In Akerman, A., Tedin, G., and Froier, K., (Eds.) Svalof 1886-1946. History and present problems. 211-236. Khush, G.u. (1962) Cytogenetic and evolutionary studies in Secale. 11. Interrelationships of the wild species. Evolution. 16 $1.21 - 456$ Kihara, H. (1959) Considerations on the origin of cultivated rice.

Seiken Atho.10 68-83.

Timura, N. (1958) A gene-frequency cline determined by selection and migration. Rep. nath. Inst. Genet., Misima. 9 84-36. Knight, G.R., Mobertson, A., and Waddington, C.H. (1956) Selection for semual isolation within a spocies. Evolution. 10 14-22. Kruckerberg, A.R. (1957) Variation in fertility of hybrids between isolated populations of the serpentine species, Streptanthus glandulosus Hook. Wolution. 11 185-211. Levins, λ_0 (1965) The theory of fitness in a heterogeneous environment. IV. The adaptive significance of gene flow. Evolution. $18635 - 638$.

Lewis, H. (1962) Catastrophic selection as a factor in speciation

A volution. $16.257-371$.

lewontin, R.C. (1965) Selection for colonizing ability. In Baker, H.G., and Stebbins, G.L. (Eds.) Genetics of Colonising Species. Academic Press. 77-94. liarsden-Jones, E.M., and Turrill, W.B., (1923) Researches on bilene maritima and S. vulgaris. I. Kew Bull. 1 lel7. l'iller. K., and Harrison, B.J. (1949) The manifold effects of clection. Heredity. $1 - 52$ and $131 - 162$.

ayr. 8. (1942) Systematics and the Origin of Species. Columbia

University Press, Now York.

 $A(Y_1, t_2, (1)/47)$ icological factors in speciation. Dvolution. 1 263-238.

Mayr, E. (1959) Where are we? Cold Spring Harb. Symp. quant. Biol. $241 - 140$

McNeilly, T. (1965) The Evolution of Copper Tolerance in Agrostis ternis (Sibth.). Ph.D. Thesis, University o. Wales. Millicent, E., and Thoday, J.M. (1961) Effects of dsruptive selection. IV. Divergence and gene flow. Heredity. 16 199-217. Moore, D.M., and Lewis, H. (1965) The evolution of self-pollination in Clarkia xantiana. Evolution. 19 104-114.

Nicolls, O.W., Frovan, D.M.J., Cole, N.M., and Tooms, J.S. (1965) Goobotany and geochemistry in mineral exploration in the Mugald Kiver area, Clomourry District, Australia. Trans. Instn. Min. Metall. 74 095-799. O'Donald, $P_{\bullet\bullet}$ and Davis, $P_{\bullet}E_{\bullet}$ (1959) The genetics of the colour phases of the Artic Saua. Heredity. 11 481-486. Putwain, P.D. (1963) Ainc tolerance in Anthoxanthum odoratum. D. Sc. Dissertation, University College of North Wales. Raven, F.H. (1964) Catastrophic selection and edaphic endenism. *wolution.* 18 336-338. Rick, C.M. (1950) Pollination relations of Lycopersicon esculentum

in native and foreign regions. Evolution. $\frac{1}{2}$ 110-122. Rowlands, D.G. (1960) Fertility studies in the field bean (Vicia faba

L.). I. Cross and solf-fartility. Heredity. 15 161-173.

Rowlands, D.G. (1961) Fertility studies in the field bean (vicia faba L.). II. Inbreeding. Heredity. $16\,497 - 508$. Sagar, G.R. (1960) The Biology of some Sympatric Species of Grassland. D. Phil. Thesis, University of Oxford. Schwanitz, F. (1957) Die Entstehung der Kulturpflanzen. Springer. Berlin.

Schwanitz. F., and Hahn. (1954a) Genetisch-entwicklungsphysiologische Untersuchungen an Galmeipflanzen. I. Pflanzengrosse und

Resistenz gegen Zinksulfat bei Viola lutea Hudson, Alsine verna L., und Silene inflata Sm. Z. Bot. 42 179-190. Schwanitz. F., and Hahn, H. (1954b) Genetisch-entwicklungsphysiologische Untersuchungen an Galmeipflanzen. II. Uber Galmoibiotypen bei Linum catharticum L., Campanula rotundifolia L., Plantago lanceolata L., und Rumex acetosa L. L. Bot. $42 - 459 - 471.$ Schwickerath, N. (1931) Das Violetum calaminariae der Zinkboden in der Ungebung Aachens. Eine pflanzensoziologische Studie. Beitr. NatDenkmPflege. 14 463-503. Seaton, $A \cdot P \cdot C \cdot$, and Antonovics, J. (1966) Population interrelationships.

- I. Evolution in mixtures of Drosophila mutants. Heredity $(In Vress).$
- Seno, T. (1962) Genetical studies on copper resistance of

Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Jap. J. Genet. 37 207-217. Sheppard, P.M. and Ford, E.B. (1966) Natural selection and the evolution of dominance. Heredity. 21 139-147. Simpson, G.G. (1953) The Major Features of Evolution. Columbia University Press.

Smith, B. (1921) Lead and zinc ores in the Carboniferous rocks of North Wales. Mem. geol. Surv. spec. Rep. Miner. Resour. Gt. Br. $19.$

Snaydon, R.W. (1965) Quoted in Bradshaw, McNeilly, and Gregory (1965).

Sparke. A., and Carr. M. (1962) Plant Growth on Contaminated Soils

from the Swansea Valley Region. B.Sc. Dissertation, University

the evolution of populations. Am. Nat. 95 201-210. Tamm, C.O. (1948) Chservation on reproduction and survival of some perennial herbs. Bot. Notiser. 101 305-321. Thoday, J.M. (1958) Homeostasis in a selection experiment. Heredity. 12 401-41 5. Thoday, J.N. (1958) Effects of disruptive selection: the experimental production of a polymor; iic population. Nature. 181 $1124-1125$. Thoday, J.M. (1959) Effects of disruptive selection. I. Genetic flexibility. Heredity. 13 187-203. Thoday, J.M., and Gibson, J.B. (1962) Isolation by disruptive selection. Nature. 193 1164-1166.

Thomas, H.L. (1955) Inbreeding and selection of Self-fertilised lines of Red Clover, Trifolium pratense. Agron. J. 47 487-439. Turesson, G. (1922) The species and the variety as ecological units. Hereditas. <u>1</u> 100-11 .
.
. Turesson, G. (1925) The plant species in relation to habitat and climate. Hereditas. $6\quad 147-236$. Turner, R.G. (1966) Quoted in Antonovics et.al. (1966). Van Valen, L. (1965) Selection in natural populations. III. Measurement and estimation. Evolution. 19 \$14-528. Vickery, R.K. (1953) An experimental study of the races of the

of Wales, Swansea. Stapledon, R.G. (1926) Cockafoot grass (Dactylis glomerata L.) ecotypes in relation to the biotic factor. J. Ecol. 16 71. Stebbins, G.L. (1950) Variation and Evolution in Plants. Columbia University Press, New York. Stebbins, G.L. (1957) Self-fertilisation and population variability in the higher plants. Am. Nat. 91 337-354. Streams, F.A., and Pimentel, D. (1961) Effects of immigration on

References

Stockholm, 1950, Almqvist and Wicksells, Stockholm.b. ???.

 $\frac{1}{2}$

Von Rosen, G. (1964) Mutations induced by the action of metal ions in Pisum. II. Further investigations on the mutagenic action of metal ions and comparison with the activity of ionising radiation. Hereditas. 51 89-134. Wettstein, O., and Onno, M. (1948) Bluten biologische Beobachtungen an Koniferen und bei Tilia. Ost. bot. Z. 95 475-478. Wexelsen, H. (1952) The use of inbreeding in forage crops. 6th Int. Grass. Congr. Vol. I. 299-305. Whitaker, T.W. (1944) The inheritance of certain characters in a cross of two American species of Lactuca. Bull. Torrey bot. Club. 71 $347 - 355$. White, R.O. (1960) Crop Production and Environment. Faber and Faber, London. Wilkins, D.A. (1960) The measurement and genetical analysis of lead tolerance in Festuca ovina. Rep. Scott. Pl. Breed. Stn. $85 - 98.$ Wright, S. (1931). Evolution in Mendelian populations. Constics. 15, 97-199.

APPENDIX

the contract of the contract of the con-

Appendix 1

PLANTS GROWING ON AREAS OF HEAVY METAL CONTAMINATION: A REVIEW

CONTENTS

Page PCCLOGICAL INVESTIGATIONS 111 $1.$ (a) Species present on contaminated soils 111 (b) Community studies on contaminated soils V (c) Factors determining plant distribution on contgainated soils Yi

$6.$ **CONCLUSIONS**

ASAW I

DIELIOGRAPHY $7.$

XXY

xxvi

This review deals mainly with higher plants but does not contain a detailed reappraisal of the work of Jowett (1959), McNeilly (1965) and Grenory (1965) carried out in the Department of Agricultural Botany, University College of North Wales, Bangor.

Nor does it consider the ecological, physiological and evolutionary problems associated with serpentine soils and with

aluminium and manganese toxicity in plants.

BCOLOGICAL INVESTIGATIONS

(a) Species present on contaminated soil

The occurrence of plants on metal contaminated soil has been long recognised. The earliest record is probably that of Thalius (quoted by mat, 1965) who noted Minuartia verna as an indicator of metals, in 1588. Even before 1900 there was considerable work in this field: for example Baumann (1885) and Jensch (1894) quote lists of species which are found on

sinc contaminated moils. And early works on mining (e.g. Foster. 1894) also frequently mention indicator plants.

An attempt to classify these plants into various types was made by Lembinon and Auguier (1964) , who recognised the following: Metallouhytes - taxa uniquely found on metal contaminated soil. Absolute metallophytes - found only on metal contaminated soil over all their distribution e.g. Viola calaminaria, Wilaspi alpestre ssp. calaminare.

Local metallophytes - only occur on contmainated soil in a given region, e.g. Armeria maritima, Stereocaulon nanodes (lichen). Pseudometallophytes - taxa occurring also on normal soil. Elective pseudometallophytes - abundant and often more vigorous on contaninat : soil e.g. Comparala rotundifolia, Polygala vulgaris, Thymus pulegioi kem, Agrostis temuis, Weisia controversa (moss). Indifferent seudometallophytes - live on contaminated soil but neither show abundance nor particular vitality e.g. Plantage la nosolata, Aveua pubescens, Genista tinctoria, Cladonia spp. $(11$ chens).

Aucidental pseudometallophytes - usually weeds and ruderals appearing sporadically and showing reduced vigour on contaminated soils.

A similar but less extensive study was made by Schwickerath (1931) . He showed very sharp changes in species composition across the boundary between contaminated and normal pasture soil:

within a transect length of 13 metes. Il species were confined

to the mine, 13 were more or less common to both habitats, and 6

were confined to the pasture and did not appear on the mine. Micolls et. al. (1965) term such sharp vegetation changes. "cut-outs" and discuss their use in biochomical prospecting. Species of mosses restricted to copper contaminated areas are well known and .cme (often belonging to the genera Mercoya and Meliochhogeria) have been given the name of "copper momsos" (Fersson, 1948, 1956, Schatz, 1955, Noguchi, 1956, and Noguchi and Furuta, 1956).

1v

Those classifications are a reflection of the fact that the species found on metal contaminated soils are often very characteristic either in that they are largely restricted to such soils or in that only a few species (out of a whole range of species in the background vegetation) can colonise them. The species found on the mine soils are various and obviously differ according to the geographical area and local ecological conditions. However no very clear cut taxonomic pattern emerges. If we look at the list given by Schwickerath, of plants growing on more or less contesinated zinc soil in Gosmany, the following fomilies are represented:

```
Crauinne 5 species
                          \mathbf{H}\frac{1}{2} apilionaceae \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{H}Compositae 3
                            \mathbb{R}Caryophyllaceae 1
                             \ddot{\phantom{1}}2 \simROSACCAC
```
and 16 other families are singly represented. Fow other comprehensive lists are available from other areas.

Nost of the species found on mine soils are herbs (with a fow low shrubs such as Calluna vulgaris) and the majority are perennials. This may be a reflection of the fact that such mines are normally surrounded by pasture communities, or may in

some wther way be related to the ecological comlitions.

Although space does not permit the inclusion of lists of

species growing on contaminated soils, examples of the commonest

recur frequently in this review. Other records (not mentioned subsequently) of plants growing on contaminated soils are frequent. Thus Andras (1882) quoted by Schultz (1912) went ions Arabis halleri as an indicator of zinc; Bailey (1898) dealt with "copper plants"; Stutzer (1907), Simon (1909) and Batemann and Wells (1917) considered several species found on copper contaminated soils; Linstow (1929) and Uorn (1937) gave further examples of indicator plants; Nemec et al. (1936) quoted

Equisetue arronse as a gold accussletor; Robinson et al. (1947) considered several species growing an zinc soil; Rune (1953) listed plants found on naturally occurring toxic soils in Weden; and more recently lead from exhaust fumes and orchard sprays has been shown to have profound effects on vegetation by Warren and Delavautt (1960).

Plants growing on metal contaminated soil are therefore well known; the phenomenon is by no means rare. The colonisation of toxic areas is a regular feature, and whereas the colonisation of some is sporadic, other areas carry regular comunitiea.

(b) Community studies on contaminated soils

Several workers mostly in Germany, have examined in detail the plant communities found on metal contaminated soil. Schwickerath (1931) studied the association on twenty lead and zinc mine tips and found that they could be subdivided into vegetation types representing different phases of col onisat ion. The early colonisers and species characteristic of all the vegetation types were Viola lutea, Armeria elongata, Minuartia verna, Thlaspi alpestre. Koch (1932) also gave a brief account of plant communities on zinc soil, in particular the Alsine verna - Thlaspi alpestre

association.

The most detailed ecological investigation on mine populations

as yet carried out is that by Ernst (1965). He reconsidered the

classifications of the mine plant caamunities by other workers

(Schwickerath, 1931, Heimans, 1936, Koch, 1932, and Schubert, 1952. on lead, and Tixen, 1937 and Lebrun et al., 1949, on cooper) and regarded the communities growing on lead and zinc soils in mid-Europe as all belonging to one association which he termed the Violetea calaminariae, being characterised by Viola caleminaria, Silene cucubalis var. humulis and Minuartia

Yi

verna ssp. hercynica. Within this general class he recognised three groups: the Armerion halleri in drier habitats in mid-Germany. the Thlaspeion calaminariae of mid-Europe and the Galio-

Minu wiion vernae of the alpine regions.

This work confirms that mine communities carry characteristic species and can be identified as forming distinct associations. Although extensive classifications of mine communities have been rarely carried out elsewhere other than in Germany, it is clearly evident that they are very different from those of the surrounding vegetation and that communities on different contaminated areas are similar. This fact is used in biogeochemical prospecting $(e.g.$ Nicolls et al. $1965)$ and is therefore important.

Species and communities characteristic of contaminated areas are therefore well known. Several workers have considered the

factors which influence the distribution of species in the mine communities.

(c) Factors determining plant distribution on contaminated soil (1) Metal concentration and type.

The overriding characteristic of these contaminated soils. whether they are natural outcrops or waste tips, is the high metal concentrations in the soil. However although the area of contamination is frequently recognisable by a flora different from that in the surrounding areas, very few workers have studied precisely to what extent metal concentration and type of metal are important in determining the distribution of mine plants.

Jensch (1894) showed that soil taken from around the roots

of calamine plants, had a slightly lower concentration of zinc

than soil taken from bare areas (no estimate of significance can

be made from the data), suggesting that the plants colonised areas of lower concentration and therefore presumably lower toxicity.

Schwickerath (1931) found that his vegetation types. representing increasing colonisation, were correlated with both lead and zinc concentration of the soil: increasing colonisation was correlated with lower concentrations of the metals. Whether the colonisation ameliorated the soil or whether the soil concentration determined the colonisation was not examined in

However the evidence was that colonisation depended detail. on the presence of other species (therefore on some ameliorative effect) and not just on soil type: five detailed quadrats showed that, with increasing colonisation, new species were distinctly associated with the Festuca sward. Ernst (1965) recognised three initial phases in the colonisation of sinc contaminated areas: and the last of these phases, which contained several species, had on the whole a lower concentration than the first.

Both of these studies are open to the criticism that neither of them followed a particular community over a period of time.

The different, apparently sequential, phases may simply reflect a decreasing metal concentration in the original soil. Certainly other factors are involved but whether a marked successional process occurs on mines cannot be regarded as finally established. The study of Nicolls et al. (1965) emphasised in a subtle way, the controlling effect of metals on the vegetation. As expected, sharp changes in the vegetation coincided with changes in total contamination. However, changes in the relative amounts of lead, copper and zinc were also important. Of the various species commidered, Eriachne mucronata seemed to tolerate high concentrations of all three metals, Bulbostylis barbata and

was lower. In general, changes in lead and copper were more

determinant than changes in zinc.

These various studies re-emphasise the obvious importance of metal contamination and show that it can have a precise effect on species distribution. However other factors are also important. (11) Factors other than metal contemination. The importance of factors other than metal concentration in determining the vegetation prowing on mine soil is often very clear cut: mines on acid soils differ markedly from those with a higher pH, having generally fewer species and being typified by Adrostis tenuis as the dominant component. However there is evidence from other sources that additional factors can be critical. Schwickerath (1931) first noted that elements in the soil. other than metals, may be important for survival of plants in contaminated areas. Thus his vegetation types, mentioned earlier. although they correlated with total lead and zinc in the soil seemed to correlate better with the calcium/minc ratio. Since calcium alleviates the uptake and toxic effects of zinc. and more so of lead. this ratio is probably a good measure of zinc availability. Lead was perhaps completely unavailable to plants

in this instance.

The importance of other soil factors was further pointed out by Ernst (1965). He studied the ecological conditions on mines characterised by the three initial stages of colonisation, nomaly the Silene cucubalis var. humilis stage, the Minuartia verna ss > hercynicium stage and the Euphrasia spp. stage. The Silene and Minuartia stages grew on soils differing mostly in their water capacity. This was a reflection of the soil texture. $S11$ and grew on soils with a coarse texture, low water capacity, and (because of the high conductivity of large soil particles) low surface temperature. Minuartia on the other hand grew on soils with finer texture, high water capacity, but (because of a low

conductivity of heat from surface because of numerous small air

spaces) high surface temperature. The habits of these plants,
deep rooting Silene with narrower leaves than the normal forms. and the shallow rooting but tufted habit and needle like leaves of Minuartia adapted them to their respective habitats. The Euphrapia phase was considered to be a succession from the above two phases, but also characteristic of soils of even higher water capecity and, as mentioned already, lower metal content. Ernst therefore provides very clear cut evidence for the importance of factors other than metal content to plants growing on metal

 $\mathbf{1} \mathbf{x}$

contaminated soils.

Nicolls et al. (1965) found that while calcium and phosphorus level in the soil exerted an important effect on the composition of the vegetation on metal outcrops, physical factors such as relief, drainage and soil texture, seemed relatively unimportant. These studies on the factors determining plant distribution in contaminated areas have been inadequate from several standpoints. Firstly, they have rarely been done in conjunction with physiological studies to determine the tolerance of the various species to different metal concentrations. As a consequence, it is not know whether the mine plants under consideration are tolerant or not to different levels of metal in the soil, or whether other

factors must be controlling their distribution.

Secondly, evidence will be presented in the following section which shows that the ability to colonise mine areas, requires the ability to evolve tolerance. The evolutionary factor in plant distribution on metal contaminated areas is important. The classification of metal soil plants into metallophytes and pseudometallophytes (Lambinon and Auquier, 1964) outlined earlier may reflect not simply an ability to tolerate high contamination but an ability to evolve such tolerance.

EVOLUTIONARY INVESTIGATIONS \mathbf{a}_{\bullet}

The existence of plants on metal contaminated soils immediately raises the question of whether these plants belong to species which are for some reason inherently tolerant to metals. or whether they are plants that have evolved a special tolerance not possessed by the remainder of the species.

 $\mathbf x$

(a) Widence for tolerant races

The first comparative study of mine and non-mine populations

comes from Prat (1934). He found Melandrium silvestre prowing on soil containing $0.84 - 1.82$ copper by dry weight. Seed from Melandrium silvestre on the mine, and seed of the same species from a botanical garden were compared for growth on garden soil with varying quantities of copper carbonate. Plants from the contaminated soil grow far better at the higher concentrations of copper than those from the uncontaminated and at the highest concentrations the plants from uncontaminated areas died in the seedlings stage. On normal soil the plants from the mine were quite healthy and therefore had no absolute need for copper. Frat attributed the increased resistance of plants growing on

copper mines to the action of natural selection.

This investigation was the only one of its kind, till the 1950's when studies of mine and non-mine populations were resumed. independently, in Great Britain and in Cermany. Bradshaw (1952) reported populations of Agrostis tenuis tolerant to mine moil; plants of the same species from a meighbouring pasture did not grow on the mine soil. Following this Wilkins (1957, 1960) developed a rooting technique which showed Festuca ovina to be tolerant of lead, and demonstrated a correlation between tolerance and awount of extractable lead in the soil. Using this technique subsequent workers showed munerous

other species to be tolerant, often to several metals (depending

on the type of metal contamination). These results are

summarised in the following table.

xi

Metal tolerance : evolution

reports that Minuartia from normal soils is not tolerant to metals. Work in Germany has also produced an impressive list of plants showing tolerant races. Thus Schwanitz and Hahn (1954a, 1954b) recording death (or not) in water culture with different amounts of zinc, showed the following to be tolerant if taken from contaminated soil: Viola lutea, Alsine verna, Bilene inflata, Limm catharticum, Camparaila rotundifolia, Plantago lanceolata, Rumex acetosa. They also showed that Silene inflata produced a copper tolerant race. Further work by Baumeister (1954), Baumeister and Burghardt (1956)& Wachsmann (1961) recording rate of photosynthesis and general growth and Broker (1962) recording dry wt in water culture, has confirmed

that Sileme inflata forms races specifically tolerant to zinc and to

Repp (1963) measured the cellular resistance to metals by finding

the concentration that produced death of epidermal calls (as recognised by failure of the cell to plasmolyse in strong sucar solution). This technique showed that Taraxacum officinale as well as Tussilago farfara could evolve races more tolerant to copper than the normal ones.

Url (1956) using a similar technique showed that species of mosses from copper contaminated regions had a far higher resistance to copper than species from normal soils. This work was however

not extended to the intra specific letel.

From all these findings we can say that the evolution of metal tolerance is a very general phenomenon and characteristic of a wide range of species. Is there any evidence that some species are inherently tolerant to metals (even when not growing on metal contaminated soil) and are thereby able to colonise contaminated areas?

(b) Evidence for general tolerance of the species The only work which suggests that inherent tolerance may be important in colonising metal mines, comes from the work of Repp (1963). She showed that the cellular resistance of Silene inflate from normal soil was just as high as the resistance of Silene from

mine. soils. This finding is however in contrast to the whole plant investigations of Wachsmann (1961) and Schwanitz and Hahn (1954a). It implies either that the technique of epp was too insensitive to pick up a difference, or that copper tolerant Silene has some exclusion mechanism which prevents the copper reaching the cells.

(c) The 'need' for metals by tolerant plants

Several workers in the literature on plants growing on metal contaminated soils have suggested that these plants have a positive need for the metal and are for this reason restricted to such areas (e.g. Schatz, 1955). The findings on tolerant races suggest that

this is not the case: tolerant plants (and plants from contaminated

areas generally) grow well in normal soil. However there is some

evidence that tolerant plants are stimulated in their growth by levels of metal commiderably above the normal micro-rattrient (trace) levels.

The first evidence for this was produced by Banmeister (1954). and Basselster and Burghardt (1956), who grew plants of Silene inflata in different levels of zinc and measured dry-mass production as well as the rate of assimilation. In a preliminary experiment where zinc was added to pots of soil, it was shown to be stimulating in its effect and resulted in a greater fresh weight and a greater rate of

carbon dioxide assimilation of the tolerant type.

The results were extended to sand culture experiments. Plants from the mine showed a slight stimulation in their rate of carbon dication assimilation by the addition of 10 mg and 100 mg mins sulphate per litre of culture molution whereas control plants at 100 mg showed a lower rate of carbon dioxide assimilation. Repeat experiments in water culture, where 10 mg and 100 mg mine sulphate par litre ware added to the culture solution, gave a much more clear cut effect of the sinc: and the higher concentration increased the rate of carbon dicaide assimilation of tolerant plants but led to the death of the non-talerant.

These results were confirmed by a more extensive investigation

(Baumeister and Burghardt, 1956). The stimulating effect of the mine on the rate of carbon dismide assimilation was parallelled by similar effects on the chlorophyll contents of the leaves. Further evidence comes from Jowett (1964) on Aurestia tenuis with lead, Putwain (1963) on Anthoxanthum with zinc, and Barker (1966, personal communication) on Agrestis temuia with lead, copper and sine, and McNeilly (1965) on <u>Agrestia tenuis</u> with copper. All these authors found indices of tolerance greater than 100% i.e. there was more root grewth in metal than in water. Again Jewkins and Winfield (1964) found a very clear out stimulatory effect of minc on Holous. In none of these cases did the control plants show

 $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}$

stimulated growth with increasing metal concentration.

Although Ernst (1965b) did not use normal control populations. 5 out of 6 species from zinc soils produced a greater percentage germination and rate of germination in 50 ppm zinc than in 1 ppm. Epilobium angustifolium, a species from a normal area did not show this effect.

There is therefore considerable evidence that tolerant plants are stimulated in their growth by small amounts of metal. This could be interpreted as a definite meed for metal in these plants

but, a fairer interpretation is probably that, because of the efficiency of the tolerance mechanism in inactivating the metals. the normal trace element requirement is rather higher. Auain it has been shown in this thesis and elsewhere (McNeilly, 1965, Putwain, 1963, personal communication) that metal tolerant plants are generally competitively inferior to normal plants when grown on normal soil. This again may be a reflection of a 'noed' for metal by tolerant plants. Or again it may indicate that the normal metabolism is in some way upset by the tolerance mechanism.

GEOGRAPHICAL INVESTIGATIONS

Metal contaminated areas, either naturally occurring or man-made, are very widely distributed. They also, as has been previously shown, carry a very distinct type of vegetation which often a minins species largely or wholly restricted to such The geographical distribution of these species is areaz. therefore highly disjunct and this has attracted the attention of several workers.

Schultz (1912) looked at plants growing on metal mines from

a geographical standpoint and came to some interesting comclusions which however cannot go unchallenged. He argued that since both Viola lutea and Minuartia verna, two plants commonly found on contaminated soil, have their main distribution in the alpine regions of Europe (and Minuartia also in the arctic regions and Asiatic mountains) and show elsewhere in Europe a highly disjunct distribution (being largely limited to metal contaminated areas). they must have been widely distributed throughout Europe at one time when conditions were favourable. These conditions would $\frac{1}{2}$ have been cool summers which allowed little forestation and may have been "countered, he suggests, in the fourth ice age. Since then conditions have been much varmer and he argues that their distribution has been limited to mine tips where they do not suffer from the additional effects of competition. He a' considered some other famous heavy metal plants: Thlaspi alpestre and Arabis halleri he suggested are also of a formerly wide distribution but Armeria halleri and Silene vulgaris do not show a clear cut picture. Similar arguments to those developed by Schultz (1912) have been used in connection with a study of Festuca spp. growing on contaminated areas in and around Belghum (Auguier, 1964). Auguier came to the following conclusions. The Fescues belong to several taxa but nome of these taxa are completely restricted to

calamine soils, their relatives being often found in upland areas. However, in Belgium itself these plants are strictly confined to

calasine (zinc contaminated) soils, and seem to be absent from neighbouring normal soils. Auguier infers from this that these taxa represent remains of plants which once had a wide distribution during the arctic-alpine climate of the last ice **age.**

However several of the species mentioned by Schutz (1912) and the Festuca app. have been shown (previous section) to evolve tolerant races. It is probe's y that the other species have also

Moreover there is no reason to believe that this done so. process takes a particularly long time (see this thesis). This leads to several explanations of the disjunct distributions. Firstly. these so-called species are not distinct and reproductivity isolated from their relatives, but are products of parallel evolution on different mines from these relatives in the neighbouring pastures. This may be the case with Auguier (1964) where the taxa are by no means very distinct. Secondly, these species are distinct (do not have any near relativos in the vicinity) and have in the past evolved tolerance which now emables them to escape competition from normal plants. This is a modification of the explanation of Schultz and Auguier. Thirdly, contaminated soils are commonly associated with nan's activities, and may simply indicate the efficiency of dispersal through human agency. Many of the mines are in mountainous regions and dispersal of alpine mombers such as Viola lutea, Minuartia verma and Thlaspi alpestre from upland to lowland mining areas could casily have occurred. Fourthly, many metal mines are recent and in no way correlated with natural metal outcrops. Arguments about plant distribution on 'lem, cannot involve factors present more than a few hundred years ago.

There are therefore several explanations for the disjunct

distribution of mine species and no-one has yet considered these

possibilities fully.

4.1 TAXONOMIC INVESTIGATIONS

The difference in morphology of plants growing on metal contaminated areas. from plants in normal areas was noted by Baumann (1885) and Jensch (1894). Numerous workers have since then remarked on this feature of tolerant populations.

It is therefore not surprising that taxonomists have attempted to classify these plants as separate taxa. Floras and the literature are strewn with subspecific and varietal epithets (e.g. see Ernst, 1965) referring to mine taxa.

÷.

Two cenera have received considerable taxonomic attention. Heimans (1960) considered taxonomic problems associated with the type Viola calaminaria. Chromosome counts on this type from the zinc contaminated areas of the Aachen district cave the chromosome number of 2n = 52. whereas counts on its relative. Viola lutea from normal regions gave 2n = $48.$ On this basis Heimans removed Viola calaminaria (sometimes previously termed. Viola lutea var. calaminaria) from the Viola lutea group of the genus and re-established it as a distinct species. This work is interesting in that it suggests that perhaps some of the mine races may have been formed by a process similar to catastrophic selection (involving drastic chromosomal adjustments of a specially adapted type. leading to isolation) described by Lewis (1962). However no attempt to cross these species have been reported. Auguier (1964a) investigated the genus Festuca, growing on metal contaminated soils in and around Belgium and classified the perans into two main species, one of which they divide further into subspecies, varieties and forms. This work can however be criticised from several standpoints. Firstly, material used in this study was collected directly from the field: no attempt was made to grow it under standard conditions. The taxa include the fine delimitations of variety, sub-variety and form; these could

easily be environmental modifications. Secundly, even if the

differences between the taxa are inherited they could easily have

Metal tolerance : taxomomy

been acheived by parallel evolution on different mines since the characters that were measured (length of floral parts, anatomy of tillers, hairiness, vein number, leaf dismeter) could easily have been affected by melection for local adaptation.

The taxomente investigations on mine plants are either too cursory or unreliable. Types adapted to extreme conditions must be classified with the help of experimental taxonomy if any reliable

picture is to emerge, and no experimental taxonomy has so far been dome.

5. PHYSIOLOGICAL INVESTIGATIONS

Physiclogical investigations on plants growing on contaminated soil have been largely limited to measuring the levels of the various notals in the plants.

 (a) Zinc

Baumann (1885) quotes the several early works in this field. Risse found the following values for plants growing on zinc contaminated soil.

 $%$ \angle \sim in ash $%$ \angle \sim \angle \sim \angle \sim \angle \sim

 1.32 $21 - 30$ **TTM I a see & a I make the state**

He also quotos Braun as finding considerable quantities in Viola tricolor, and Krauch who found unhealthy grasses growing near a zinc tip to contain 0.242% ZnO (of dry wt.) and unhealthy rye in the same area, 0.076% ZnO. Jensch (1894) showed that plants growing on heavily contaminated zinc soil (percentage by dry wt.) of $2\pi C_3 = 14.25 - 17.75$, and of $2\pi 0$. 510_2 . $2H_2O = 0.81 - 3.73$) contained fairly large quantities of zinc, but that the quantities

varied according to the plant organ investigated.

Tusther studies on calamine plants are quoted by Konig (1899). Emmerling and Kolkwitz (1914), Bertrand and Audreitcheva (1933). Javillier (1908), Laband (1901) and Macquinay et al. (1961) on lichens.

Ernst (1965) confirmed that zinc was taken into plants growing on contaminated soil and extended these studies further. Most of the zinc westernd in the roots and leaves (five species investigated).

less in the stems, and least of all in the flowers. Moreover the

quantity of zime in the plants increased (by 113.3% in Cardaminopsis

halleri) during the growing season (June-October). Different plants were also shown to accumulate different amounts of sinc. the average values for the more common members of calamine soils being:

 \mathbf{x}

Mi

Thlaspi alpestre should perhaps be considered as an accumulator plant since in several instances it contained a higher concentration than the concentration of available zinc in the soil. Although this may to some extent reflect the quantity of zinc in the soil on which these plants were living, this order was consistently maintained even if the plants were taken from the same contaminated region. Ernst quotes the work on plants growing on normal soil and here the minc values range from 4-104 ppm.

Ernst studied the relation between available zinc (Scharren and Munk, 1956) and the zinc in the plant and found that in gemeral the higher the zinc in the soil, the higher the quantity in the plant. Although the absolute amount taken up varied from region to region. the correlation was always goed within any one area and for all the species studied. This was in contrast with the results of Macquinay and Ramaut (1960) who, measuring the total zinc content, only found a good relation with Sileme cucubalis var. humilis.

Nicolls et al. (1965) studied the metal content of plants and soil at r .ural metal outcrops. All the species studied took up

zinc when growing on rocks with a high zinc content, and again

as with the results of Ernst the species showed differing zinc

Metal tolerance : physiclogy

accumulation, and moreover some organs accumulated more than The precise pattern of zinc accumulation within the **thers.** tant varied with the species, some species having a higher accumulation in the flower heads (Bulbostylis barbata), some nhowing no definite differences (Tephrosia sp. nov.) while in others the pattern depended on the metal concentration in the soil (Polycarpace glabra). Again there was a marked difference in the uptake of zinc by Trioidea pungens growing on normal and contaminated soil. In all the species examined there was a clear cut linear relation between zinc concentration in the plant and that in the soil.

Tther investigations on the uptake of zinc include those Tooms and Jay (1964), Vogt et al. (1943) and Robinson et al. (1947) .

Ainc thorefore is readily taken in by plants growing on zinc contaminated soil and nowhere in the literature is there any evidence of these plants having an exclusion mechanism. enabling "non to survive on contaminated soils. The tolerance mochani a of zinc must be internal.

 (b) Copper

Studies on corpor uptake have been fewer than those on zinc uptake.

The earliest reference is probably that of Bataman and Wells (1917) who found appret sails quantities of copper in plants (both living and dead) on copper contaminated soils. Figures of 2.000 - 6.000 ppm were obtained for Plantage. A gropyron and Dasiophora, while lower values were found in Manicago, Equisetum and Trifolium. Dead vegetation on the whole contained more copper than living plants. Frat and Komarek (1934) found that plants of Agrostis alba (stolonifera) and Melandrium silvestre growing on soils rich in copper $(1\% - 39\%$ Cu) contained $0.3\% - 3.25\%$

copper in the ash. Persson (1956) again found that "copper

mosses" do in fact take up this element. Similar results have

been obtained by Tooms and Jay (1964). Clarke (1953) and Duwignoaud and Denasyer-de Smet (1963).

The only detailed investigation (Nicolls et al. 1965) has produced some very interesting results. Here a very peculiar pattern was seen for all species: copper uptake stayed low and constant with increasing soil copper till a certain level was reached at which this 'resistance' to uptake seemed to break Above this level the quantity in the plant increased down.

abruptly and at higher levels in the soil, no plants were found. Species differed soth in the copper content at low soil levels and in the level at which the sudden increase in copper is seen. These differing reactions to copper in the soil suggest that the copper does not just become available to the plant at a given total soil level but that a genuine exclusion mechanism is in action. This is supported by the finding that values of copper even a little above the level at which there is greatly increasing uptake are lethal. Moreover the species found in the most toxic areas, Polycarpaea glabra, takes up very little copper (a maximum of 20 ppm in the leaves) on soils containing 10.000

ppm.

There is therefore evidence that the mechanism of copper uptake is different from the uptake of zinc. This is further supported by Vogt et al. (1943): analyses of plants growing over an exposure of copper are showed that they did not differ in copper content from those growing off the ore. However there were marked differences in zinc content. These results are supported by investigations on copper and zinc in plants growing on normal soil. NeHargue and Roy (1932) found that there was little variation in copper content of tree leaves over the growing season, but that zinc showed considerable variations.

Holmes (1944) noted that whereas copper in plants rarely varies

more than 5-15 ppm. the zinc content can vary from 20 ppm -

Metal tolerance : physiology

However the interpretation of studies relating soil content to plant content is difficult, since wren high soil copper Contents may qo hand in hand with low copper availability (Dykaman and DeSousa, 1966). Clear interpretation is only possible if such ecological investigations are coupled with experiments using culture solutions of known composition. The ability to restrict copper uptake must also be peculiar to a far species since the absence of high copper in plants is

Studies on the uptako of lead have been very few. Jensch (1894) showed that whereas <u>Tussilage</u> and Polygomus on contaminat $\ddot{}$ soils contained sine, no lead was detectablöl even though the soil contained 0.72-.06% of this element. These results are similar to those found by Nobbe, Bressler and will (quoted in Schwickerath, 1931, but no reference given): if equal quantities of lead and zinc are given to a plant, then a smaller quantity of lead than mine is taken up.

Micolls et al. (1965) also deal with lead uptake. The

pattern here resembles that of copper rather than zinc. in that the uptake is constant with increasing levels of soil lead. till a certain point is reached when uptake becomes unrestricted, and rises abruptly. The species also are rarely present when the soil lead value is above $1,000$ ppm - the level at which there is a sudden increase in lead uptak.. Again Polycarpaea glabra seems to have a higher level than the other species: this again suggests affinities with copper. The levels of load in the plants are always much below the levels of copper or zinc. Further studies are needed on the uptake of lead by plants growing on lead contaminated soil.

by no means universal.

(c) Load

There have been no attempts to investigate the mechanism of

heavy metal tolerance in higher plants (apart from the recent

work of Gregory, 1965). It is a subject that has generally been overlooked, but is likely to prove physiologically and biochemically revealing.

CONCLUSIONS $6.$

Plants growing on metal contaminated soil have generally become adapted to high metal levels by nati.... selection: normal populations cannot colonise the mine habitats. This is confirmed by the physiological studies: plants on mine habitats generally take up the metal and high metal concentrations are well known to be toxic to normal cells. The evolution of tolerance is therefore a critical factor in considering

distribution on metal contaminated areas. This has repercussions in other areas of the subject. Classifications of species according to their frequency on contaminated soil is a reflection of their ability to evolve tolerance. The structure of the various communities may be determined in a similar way. Adaptation to local or ditions suggests that related factors other than tolerance are involved: these lead to changes in morphology and associated c nfusions in geographical and taxonomic interpretations.

Evolution is an important process and has repercussions on other botanical aspects of mine plants. Similar problems are

undoubtedly present in other species adapted to extreme environments. but they may not have come to light. Plants growing on mine habitats are conspicuous and have been studied extensively.

BIBLIOGRAPHY $7.$ Archer. J. (1964) Zinc tolerance in Agrostis tenuis, Agrostis stole: fera, and their hybrids. B.Sc. Dissertation. University College of North Wales. Auguier, P. (1964) Les Festuca des terrains caleminaires de la Wallonie Septrentrionale. Bull. Soc. r. Bot. Belg. 97 $99 - 130.$ Bailey, F.M. (1898) The copper plant. Bot. Zbl. 76 104.

Bateman, W.G., and Wells, L.S. (1917) Copper in the flora of a copper ta ing region. J. Am. cham. Soc. 19 811-819. Baumann, A. (1885) Das Verhalten von Zinksalzen gegen Pflanzen und im Boden. Landwn VersStnen 11 1-53. Baumeister, W. (1954) Uber den Einfluss des Zinks bei Silene inflata Smith. I. Ber. dt. bot. Ges. 67 205-213. Baumeister, W., and Burghardt. H. (1956) Uber den Einfluss des Zinks bei Silene inflate. Smith. II. CO₂ Assimilation und Pigmentgehalt. Ber. dt. bot. Ges. $69161 - 168$ Hertrand, G., and Andre tcheva, M. (1933) Sur la teneur comparee

en zinc des feuilles vertes et das feuilles etiolees. C.r. hebd. Cad. Sci., aris. 197 1374-1376. Bradshaw, A.D. (1952) Populations of Agrostis tenuis resistant to lead and sinc poisoning. Nature.169 1098. Broker, W. (1963) Genetisch-physiologische Untersuchungen uber die Zinkvertraglichkeit von Silene inflata Sm. Flora, Jena. 151 $122 - 156$ Clarke, O.M., Jr. (1953) Geochemical prospecting for copper at Ray, Arizona. Econ. Gool. 48 39-45. Coaciday, A., and Dawson, M. (1966) Cross tolerance in Russex acetosa. B.Sc. Dissertation, University College of North

Wales.

Dorn. Vous. (1937) Pflanzen als Anzeichnen fur Erzlagerstatten.

Der Biologie, Munich. 6 11-13.

 \mathfrak{L}

Duvigneeud, P., and Denasyer-de Smet, S. (1963) Cuivre et vegetation au Katanga. Bull. Soc. r. Bot. Belg. 96 $93 - 231 -$ Dykeman, W.R., and De Sousa, A.S. (1966) Natural mechanisms of copper tolerance in a copper swamp forest. Can. J. Bot. $44871 - 878.$ Emmerling, O., and Kolkwitz, R. (1914) Chemische und biologische Untersuchungen uber die Innersto. Mitt. K. Landesanst.

Wassilyg. $18.$ Ernst. W. (1965a) Okologisch-Soziologische Untersuchungen der Schwermetall-Pflanzengesellschaften Mitteleuropas unter Einschluss der Alpen. Abh. Landesmus. Naturk. Munster. $27(1)$ 54 pp. Ernst, W. (1965b) (er den Einfleiten is Zinks auf die Keisung von Schwermetallpflanzen und auf die Entwicklung der Schwermetallpflanmeng sellschaft. Ber. dt. bot. Ges. 78 205-212. Foster, C. Le N. (1894) Ore and Stone Mining. Griffin, London. Gregory, R.P.G. (1965) Heavy Metal Tolerance in Grasses. Ph.D.

Thesis, University of Wales.

Gregory, R.P.G., and Bradshaw, A.D. (1965) Heavy metal tolerance in populations of Agrestis temuis Sibth. and other grasses. Now Phytol. 64 $131-143$. Heimans, J. (1936) De Merkomst van de Zinkflora aan de Geul. Med. Kruidk. Arch. 46 878-897. Heimans. J. (1960) Taxonomic, phytogeographical and ecological problems round Viola caleninaria Lej. Publties atuurh. Genoot. Limburg. 12 55-71. Helmes, R.S. (1964) The effect of liming on the availability to plants of sinc and copper. Research Report No. 23.

Division of Soil and Fertiliser Investigations, Bureau of

Flant Industry, Soils and Agricult Cal Engineering, U.S. Dept.

Agriculture, Beltsville.

Metal tolerance : bibliography

Humphreys, D.W., and Farnworth, J. (1964) An investigation of the growth of Minuartia verna (a zinc tolerant species) on various soil types. B.Sc. Dissertat.on, Universaty College of North Wales. Javillier, M. (1906) Le minc chez les plantes. Annis Inst. l'asteur, l'aris. 22 720-727. Jestins, A.E., and Winfield, R.J. (1964) Linc tolerance in Holcus lanatus. B. Sc. Dissertation, University College of North Wales.

Jensch, E. (1894) Beitrage zur Galmeiflora von Gberschlesien. Z. angew. Cham. $2 \t14-15$. Jowett, D. (1958) Forulations of Acrostis spp. tolerant of heavy metals. Nature. 162 S16-817. Jowett, D. (1959) Genecology of Heavy Metal Tolerance in Agrostis. M.D. Thesis. Ur versity of Ware. Jowett, D. (1964) : opulation studies on lead tolerant Agrostis tenuis. Evolution. $10.70-80$. Koch, K. (1932) Die Vegetationsvarhaltnisse das Silberbarges im Hungelgebiet bei Canabrass. Wissenschaftliche Arbeiten des Desirkskomitees fur Naturdenkwalpflege und Heimatschutz in

Ganabruck. 1 9-15.

honig. J. (1899) Die Verunreimung dar Gewasser, deren schadliche Folgen, sowie Reinigung von Trink- und Schmutzwasser, Vol. 2., Berlin.

Laband. L. (1901) Aur Verbreitung des Zinks im Pflanzenreiche. Zeitschrift fur Nahr- und Genu saittel. 4 489-492. Lambinon, J., and Auguier, P. (1964) La vegetation des terrains calaminaires de la Wallonie Septrentrichten de la Rhenanie Aixoise. Types chorologiques et groupes ecologiques. Matura momana. $16(4)$. Lewis, H. (1962) Catastrophic selection as a factor in speciation.

Lebrun, J., Noirfalise, A., Heinemann, ., and Van den Berghen, C.

(1949) Les Associations vegetales de Belgique. Bull. Soc. r.

```
Bot. Belg. 82 105-307.
Linstow, O. v. (1929) Bodenanzeigende Pflanzen. Abh. preuss.
     geol. Landesanst. 114.
Macquinay, A., Lamp, I.M., Lambinon, J., and Ramaut, J.L. (1961)
     Dosage du zinc chez un lichen calaminaire belge: Stereocaulon
     nanodes f. tyroléense. Physiologia Pl. 14.
Macquinay, A., and Ramaut, J.I. (1960) La teneur en zinc des
     plantes du Violetum calaminariae. Naturalistes Belg. 41
```
 $265 - 273$

McHargue, J.S., and Roy, W.R. (1932) Mineral and nitrogen content of leaves of some forest trees at different times of the growing season. Bot. Gaz. $94.381 - 393.$ McNeilly, T.S. (1965) The evolution of copper tolerance in Agrostis temuis (Sibth). Ph.D. Thesis, University of Wales. Nemec, B., Babicka, J., and Oborsky, A. (1936) Uber das Vorkommen von Gold in den Schachtelhalmen. Bulletin of the International Academy of Sciences of Bohemia. 1936, 1-7. Micolls. O.W., Provan, D.M.J., Cole, M.M., and Tooms, J.S. (1965) Geobotany and geochemistry in mineral exploration in the

Dunnld River Area, Cloncurry District, Australia. Trans. Instn. Min. Metall. 74 (95-799. Noguchi, A. (1956) On some mosses of Merceni, with special reference to the variation and ecology. Kuamoto J. Sci. Ser. B. $2.239-257$. Noguchi, A., and Furuta, H. (1956) Germination of spores and regeneration of leaves of Merceya ligulata and M. gedeana. J. Hattori bot. Lab. 17 $32-44$. Persson, H. (1948) On the discovery of Merceya ligulata in the Azores with a discussion of the so-called "copper mosses". Revue bryel. 11chen. 17 75-78. Persson, H. (1956) Studies in "copper mosses". J. Hattori bot.

Lab. $17 1 - 18$.

Prat. S. (1934) Die Erblichkeit der Resistenz gegen Kupfer.

Ber. dt. bot. Ges. $52.65 - 67.$

Prat, S., and Komarek, K. (1934) Vegetace u medanych dolu. Zvlastní otisk ze sbornicku map. VII. 8 1-16. Putwain, P.D. (1963) Zinc tolerance in Anthoxanthum odoratum. B.Sc. Dissertation, University College of North Wales. Repp. G. (1962) Die Kupferresistenz des Protoplasmas hoherer Pflanzen auf Kupfererzboden. Protoplasma. 57 643-659. Riddell, J.E. (1952) Anomalous copper and zinc values in trees in Holland Township, Gaspe Peninsula, North County. Prelim. Rep. Dep. Mines, Queb. 269 1-15. Robinson, $W_0 \cup_{\sigma_0} Lalkin$, H.W., and Reichen, L.L. (1947) The zinc content of plants on the Friedensville sinc slime ponds in relation to biochemical prospecting. Econ. Geol. 42 572-583. Rune, O. (1953) Plant life on serpentinos and related rocks in the North of Sweden. Acta phytogeogr. susc. 31 le139. Scharrer, K., and Munk, H. (1956) Die quantitative Bestismang kleinster Mangen Zink in Boden, Pflanzen und tierischen Substanzen sowie Dungemitteln. Z. Pfl-Ernahr. Dung. Bodenk. $742...$ Schatz, A. (1955) Speculations on the ecology and photosynthesis

of 'copper mosses". Bryologist. 58 113-120. Schubert, R. (1952) Die Pflanzengesellschaften der Bottendorfer Hohen. Wiss. L_0 Martin-Luther-Univ. Halle-Wittenb. $499-120$. Schultz, A. (1912) Uber die auf schwermetallhaltigen Boden wachsenden Phanerogamen Deutschlands. Jber. wastf. ProvVer. Wiss. Kunst. $\underline{40}$ 200-227. Schwanitz, F., and Hahn. (1954a) Genetisch-entwicklungsphysiologische Untersuchungen an Galmei flanzen. I. Fflanzengrosse und Resistenz gegen Ainksulf: t bei Viola lutea Hudson, Alsine verna L., und Silene inflata Sm. 4. Bot. 42 179-190. Schwanitz, F., and Hahn, H. (1954b) Genetisch-entwicklungsphysiologische Untersuchungen an Galmeipflanzen. II. Uber

Galmeibiotypen bei Linum catharticum L., Campanula rotundifolia L., Plantago lanceolata L., und Rumex acetosa L. L. Bot.

Schwickerath, M. (1931 Das Violetum calaminariae der Zinkboden in der Umgehung Aachens. Eine pflanzensoziologische Studie. Beitr. Natierland flege. $14.463 - 503$. Simon, J. (1909) Uber die Einwirkung eines verschiedenen Kupfergehaltes im Boden auf das Wachstum der Pflanze. Landm VersStner. 71 417-429. Spilling, C. and Thomas, D. (1964) Zinc tolerance in Rumex acetosa. D.Sc. Dissertation, University College of North Wales. Stutzer, A. (1907) Vegetationsversuche in kupferhaltigen Boden. Landam VersStnam. $65.285-834.$ Tooms, J.S., and Jay, J.R. (1964) The role of the biochemical cycle in the development of copper/cobalt anomalies in the freely drained soils of the Northern Rhodesian Copperbelt. Econ. Gool. $22.834.$ Tumen, R. (1937) Die Pflanzongesellschaften Nordwestdeutschlands. Mitt. flor.-soz. ArbGemein. 3. Url. W_0 (1956) Uber Schwermetall-, zumal Kupferresistenz einiger Moose. Protoplassa 51 768-793. Vout, T., Braadlie, D., and Bergh, N. (1943) Geokjemisk on geobotanisk malmleting. IX. Bestemmelse Cu. Zn. Pb. Mn. og

Fe in planter fra Rorosfeltet. K. normke Vidensk. Selsk. $3kr$. 26 55-58. Wachemann, C. (1961) Wasserkulturversuche zur Wirkung von Blei. Kupfer und Zink auf die Gartenform und Schwermetallbiotypen von Silene inflate Sm. Dissertation Abstracts, University of t hunster. $19 - 45 - 46$. Warren, H.V. and Delavaut, R.E. (1960) secretions on the biogeochemistry of lead in Canada. Trans. R. Soc. Can. 54 $11 - 20.$ Wilkins, D.A. (1960) A technique for the measurement of lead tolerance in plants. Nature. 180 37-38.

Wilkins, D.A. (1960) The measurement and genetic analysis of lead

tolerance in Festuca ovina. Rep. Scott. Fl. Breed. Stn.

 $1960 85 - 98$.

Metal tolerance : bibliography

Williams, D. and Morgan, E. (1964) Zinc tolerance in Plantago lanceolata. B.Sc. Dissertation, University College of North Wales.

the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of

Appendix 2

LIST OF MINES

(a) Morth Wales Mines

Parys Mountain, Anglemey. Grid reference SH 438907. Mined for copper. Hafna Mine, Llamwst, Caernarvonshire. Grid referance SH 784603. \cdots or lead and zinc.

(b) Cardiganshire and Montgomeryshire Mines

An account of these mines is given by Jones (1922). This account includes a map showing the location of the mines, and gives details of the mining history of the different mines. These details are therefore not included below. The mumbering used is that of Jones, p. 187. Montgomeryshire mines are indicated by (M) .

(i) Hines from which collections were made in 1964.

- 1. Abbey Consols. 31. Cwnsynlog North.
- 3. Aberystwyth Brichgryn.
- 43. Great West Van.

- $18.$ Caegynon.
- 22. Castell.
- 25. Combrwyno.
- 30. Cumsynlog West.
- 47. Frongoch.
- 70. Llywernog.
- 87. Rheidol United.

(ii) Mines from which collections were made in 1965.

- 4. Alltycrib.
- 9. Bronfloyd.
- 10. Brynarian.
- 15. Dwlch.

Mines

- 16. Bulchglas.
- 23. Cefngwyn.
- 27. Comerfin.
- 35. Darmn.
- 36. Daren East.
- 37. Daren South.
- 41. El gar.
- 76. Mynyddgarddu.
- 80. Pengraigddu.
- 81. Penpontbren.
- 83. Penycefn.
- 85. Ivil Roman.
- 95. Yatrad Einion.
- $l_{1.}$ (M) Cae Conroy.
- $16.$ (M) Gorn.
- 17. (M) Llanerchyraur.
- 23. (M) Penyclun.
- $26.$ (M) Rhoswydol.

(111) Other mines visited but which show : no clearly contaminated arsa.

- 11. Euyndyfi.
- 63. Llancynfel yn.
- 66. Llechareddhen.
- 72. Lovedon.
- 74. Noel glomen.
- $94.$ Ynys.
- 3. (M) Brynyfedwen.
- $6.$ (M) Ceulan.
- 30. (M) Van East.

Appendix 3

RECURRENCE EQUATIONS AND COMPUTER FROGRAMMES

CONTENTS

(a) Two gene pollen flow selfing : equilibrium positiens iviii (b) Two gene changing pollen flow selfing in a peremial : progress to equilibrium 1x111 (c) Two gene pollen flow evolution of

lxix

dominance : equilibrium positions

One gene model : notation

XXXV

1. ONE GENE MODEL: NOTATION

gene (pollen or seed) flow of BB

 \boldsymbol{g}

2. CNE GENE MODEL: EQUATIONS

(a) Recurrence equations for a population subjected to pollen flow and selfing.

notation:

frequency of AA in the next generation AA[.]

$$
\mathbf{AB}^{\dagger} \qquad \qquad \mathbf{M} \qquad \mathbf{M} \qquad \mathbf{A}\mathbf{B} \qquad \mathbf{N} \qquad \mathbf{M} \qquad \mathbf{M} \qquad \mathbf{M}
$$

BB

$$
AA' = a_1u + (p-a_1u) \quad 1-(pq+q) \quad + \quad \frac{1}{4}a_2v \quad (q-p) \quad -2qp
$$

$$
AB^{\dagger} = a + (p-a_1u) - q + q
$$

$$
BD' = q (pq + q) - \frac{1}{4}a_2r (q-p) + 2qp
$$

(b) Equation for penetic load **Notation:**

> AB', BB' refer to recurrence equations in previous section s selection coefficient

h degree of dominance of favoured gene (= 1 for full dominance)

Genetic load (I) = $s = BB' - (1-h) AB'$

3. CAVE GENE MODEL: PROGRAMMES

Not it adjustable parameters which have been built into the programmes are underlined on the programme sheets.

(a) Pollen flow selfing: equilibrium positions

Imput data as series of mumbers:

SHOP CONTINUES Х,

 x^2

 \mathbf{x}_3

 \mathbf{x}_t

 x_{5}

 \mathbf{x}_{6}

 x_{7}

 x_{8}

 x_{10}

takes the value **v** in stems of **v** until \sim \blacksquare

$$
a_1
$$
 takes the value x_1 in steps of x_2 until x_3 .\n\n
$$
a_2 = a_1 \cdot x_4
$$
.\n\n
$$
a_3 = a_1 \cdot x_4
$$
.\n\n65. The value x_5 in steps of x_6 until x_7 .\n

\n66. The value x_5 in steps of x_6 until x_7 .

\n67. The value x_5 is a function of x_6 until x_7 .

\n68. The value x_5 is a function of x_6 until x_7 .

\n69. The value x_5 is a function of x_6 until x_7 .

\n60. The value x_5 is a function of x_6 until x_7 .

\n61. The value x_5 is a function of x_6 until x_7 .

\n62. The value x_5 is a function of x_6 until x_7 .

\n63. The value x_5 is a function of x_6 until x_7 .

M M $B2$ \mathbf{x}_{11}

Output data as series of numbers in columns:

Column 1. number of generations to equilibrium

2.
$$
a_1
$$

\n3. a_2
\n4. g
\n5. u
\n6. v
\n7. w
\n8. n

Programme adjustable for:

definition of equilibrium point maximum number of generations

 $0.06 \times \mathbb{R}$ = E1 STEP 11 UNTIL F1 DO


```
F and C: = EZ STEP 12 UNTIL F2 00
 31.54111\mathcal{L}(x) : \mathbf{z} = \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{u}\therefore * A \cdot 130f' 1 t = F(t^1)A(A1N)CO: 200 + 1f': = 1^f\mathbf{r} : \mathbf{m} of \mathbf{m} \mathbf{r}\mathcal{L}_i: \mathbf{H}^i \mathbf{H}^i\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}} \in \mathbb{R}^m \left( \mathcal{A}^{\otimes m} \right)^{\otimes m}11.7 - 2 + 1C: \mathbf{m}_{1,1} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2} and \frac{1}{2} and \frac{1}{2}... = 0.25*12.3 m2 + (4)<sup>1</sup>
    \left[\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{1
```
 $\mathbf{r}_1 = \mathbf{r}_2 + \mathbf{r}_3$ \therefore m() + $Q³$ **以上無限要把!** \mathbf{W} : \mathbf{w} : \mathbf{W} \mathbf{u} : \mathbf{u} \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v} $\mathbf{r} \div \mathbf{m} \left[\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 2 \end{array} \right] \mathbf{m} \left[\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 2 \end{array} \right]$ "说:"那么'两'。" $M: \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{m} \left(\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v} \right)$ $V : \bullet V + Q^T$ $($; = 1 -; $'$ $\bigcup \mathbf{y} = \bigcup \mathbf{w} \qquad \mathbf{I}$ \cdot : \approx U + T⁺ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ \cup : $\blacksquare \cup + U: \equiv U \bullet SU^1$

LLSL HEGHI P1: PP2' OTO AGAIN $\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \end{array}$ $\mathcal{A} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{I} & \mathbf{I} \\ \mathbf{I} & \mathbf{I} \end{pmatrix}$

xl

(b) Seed flow selfing: equilibrium positions.

Injut, output and adjustable parameters same as in (a) Pollen flow selfing: equilibrium positions. Parameter defining pollen flow now defines seed flow.
```
ALTONOVICS SEED FLOW SELFING - SFS (1).'
BEGIN SWITCH S: AGAIN'
nEAL n, B, BO, C, U, V, W, UO, VO, WO, SU, SV, SW,
 T, P, P1, P0,
 F2, Q, R, U, E1, E3, 11, 13, F1, F3'
IHTEGER \rightarrow 0
P_{M}/T = M/127COUTAA AB LAM
                            SANGE STATE
                                       VV
                                                WW
                                                        \mathbf{P}\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{a})1.1.22<sup>t</sup>
RCAD 1.1, 11, F1, BO, L3, 13, F3, PO, SU,
```
 ζ

```
SV_2 SW<sup>+</sup>
FOR \ldots = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix} STEP 11 UNTIL F1 DO
.98 \times 100 STLP 13 UNTIL F3 DO
i i j ki: = A \cdot G\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}} , and \mathcal{O}^{(1)}F1: P0'H(A, A)C \cap z \equiv C \cap +1<sup>1</sup>
P: \blacksquare P1<sup>t</sup>
 \cdots = 1 - P^1U: \mathbb{R} P \bullet P^{\dagger}V: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{Q}V: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}T: \blacksquare \wedge \blacksquare \cup \blacksquare \bigcup \blacksquareR: = 0.25 * 6 * 8 * 6 * 6 * 6L: = U + T + RV: = V - TW: = W - K^T\therefore =1 - \circ<sup>1</sup>
  \Gamma: = \bigcup + \bigcup + \bigcup_{\omega}i : 7 / TU: \bullet T \bullet Tk: = k * T * DW: \blacksquare_{Y} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}U: = U * UUV: = V \bullet SVW: = W \bullet S W^{-1}T: = \bigcup + \bigcup + \bigcup_{i=1}^{n}T: M \rightarrow T
```


IF (ABS(P1-P2) LESS U.000001 OR CO GR 500) THEN PRINT COL??, DIGITS(3), CO, PREFIX(ES1??), FREEPOINT (3) A, B, C, FREEPOINT(4), U, V, W, P2, Q GOTO AGAIN $c1.0$ $\begin{array}{c} \Box \Omega \\ \Box \Omega \end{array}$

 $U: = U \cdot T$
 $2: = U \cdot 5 = V + U$
 $Q: = U \cdot 5 = V +$

One geno model : programmes

 $x1111$

Contractor

(c) Pollen flow selfings progress to equilibrium.

Input data as series of musbers:

\mathbf{x}_g $\mathbf{a}^{}_1$ \mathbf{A}_2 x_6 \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{x}_7

Output data as series of numbers in columns:

Column 1. generation

- $2. u$
- 3.4
- 4.4_W
- $5 p$
- 6.9

and when equilibrium is reached, also, as a series of numbers

 a_1, a_2, a_3

Programme adjustable for:

definition of equilibrium point maximum mumber of generations

ANTONOVICS RATE TO EQUILIBRIUM POLLEM FLOW SELFING $-$ PFS(2)¹

```
EGII. SWITCH S: MAGAIN'
REAL A, B, C, U, V, W, SU, SW, SV, T, P, P1, P2, Q,
D, U0,(1, 1) it, J, L'ITEGER CO'PRINT E.27
COURT UU VY WW P
                                     Q7RLAB f \cup f, J \cup f, SV, SW, A, B, C<sup>t</sup>
```

```
C(3:MC(3+1))P: \mathbf{w} P \mathbf{1}() : \mathbb{R} \uparrow \neg \upharpoonright \neg'\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}\left\{ \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}\left[\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}\right] \right\} =\mathbb{P}^{2}\left\{ \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}\right\}
```

```
AGA III:
PRINT LELP?, DIGITS(3), CO, PREFIX(EES1??), FREEPOINT
(4).
U, V, V_0, P1, Q^T
```

```
\sqrt{3} : \approx 0<sup>+</sup>
P1; P0'Q: = 1 - i \in \mathbb{I}: \blacksquare \blacksquare \blacksquare \blacksquare \blacksquare \blacksquare \blacksquareV: = 20140\mathbf{y}_i ; \mathbf{m} ( \mathbf{e} \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{f}
```
 $L: \blacksquare C \spadesuit P^1$ $113 = 201$ $C: \mathbf{m}_{11} + C_1$ $\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{1}}\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{2}}\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{3}}\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{4}}\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{5}}\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{6}}\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{7}}\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{8}}\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{9}}\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{1}}\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{1}}\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{1}}\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{1}}\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{1}}\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{1}}\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{1}}\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{1}}\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{1}}\mathbf{$ $L: 40.25$ $: = 2 + F * Q'$ $0: \bullet P^1$ We see the Q^{th} $L: \mathbf{m} \cup \mathbf{m} \setminus V$ $J: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ $D: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ $T: M \wedge^{\bullet} U$ $P: \mathbb{F}^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ $W: \mathbf{w} \cup \mathbf{w}$ $W: = W - J$ $V : \mathbb{R}P - Q^t$ V: WV * D¹

xivi

 $U:$ $\approx U *$ SU [?] $V: = V * SY$ W: mwesu! $T: = U + V + W$ ^t $T: = 1/T$ $U: = U * T$ $Y: \bullet V \bullet T$ ¹ WIEWAT^I $P: = 0.5$ *Y' $PZ: = U + P$ $Q: =w + P^{-1}$

```
IF CABSCP1-P2) LESS 0.000001 OR CO GR 500)<br>THEN PRINT EEL?7, DIGITS(3), CO, PREFIX(EES1??), FREEPOINT
(4),
U, V, W, P2, QLEL77, FREEPOINT(3), A, B, C
```

```
GOTO AGAIN
```

```
1.6D
```

```
AG^{\dagger}
```


 ϵ

(d) Pollen flow selfing in a perennial: progress to equilibrium.

Imut, output and adjustable parameters exactly as in (c) Pollen flow selfing: progress to equilibrium.

 \mathcal{L}_{max} and \mathbf{V}_{max} and \mathcal{L}_{max}

ALTONOVICS RATE TO EQUILIBRIUM POLLEN FLOW SELFING **FERENNIAL**

 $-$ PFSP(2)[']

```
BEGIN SWITCH S: = AGAIN'
with A_1, U_2, U_3, V_5, W_7, SW_7, V_7, P_7, P_7, P_8, Q_9D_{1} PO_{2}4.4 H, J, L, Q1, Q2, U1, U2, V1, V2, W1, W2<sup>1</sup>
I^{\text{th}} TEGER C()1 Kl \ldots 1 \ldots 2 2VV.
                              – WW
                                                    Q? "C: \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} T_i \cup U\mathbf{P}
```
 P , SU_2 , SU_2 , A_2 , B_1 , C_1 READ.

 $C_{\mathbb{C}}:=(\bullet, \bullet)$ $P1$; $M1$ $Q1: = 1 - P1$ $1: P1 \bullet P1$ $V1: R2 + P1 + Q1$

 $A \sqrt{A}$ \mathbb{R} : FRINT ELP?, DIGITS(3), CO, PREFIX(EES1??), FREEPOINT (4) \cup 1, V1, M1, P1, Q1'

 $C \rightarrow : = C \cdot + 1$ $P: = r 1$


```
\mathbf{r} and \mathbf{r} + \mathbf{r} + \mathbf{r}\frac{1}{2} m, +1.1<sup>t</sup>
T: = \cup +1 + 1T: = 1/T<sup>s</sup>
UL'ENUOT<sup>1</sup>
Y \angle Y = Y \cdot T\frac{1}{2} 3 m y^{2} + \frac{1}{2}<sup>1</sup>
PZ: UZ + U. 50V2'
业之:■1→22
```

```
IF CABSCP1-P2) LESS 0.000001 OR CO GR 500)
GIL PRINT LLL??, DIGITS(3), CO, PREFIXCEES1??), FREEPOINT
A, B, C, FREEPOINT(4), U2, V2, W2, P2, Q2
ELSE DEGIN P1: 8P2'
Q_1 : \mathbb{R} Q_2 = 1V1:W2<sup>t</sup>
```
 $W1:'''12$ $U1:102$ HIADA OTO CL^{\bullet} $L \upharpoonright U$ $1.1.17$

(e) Changing pollen flow selfing: prograss to equilibrium.

In nit data as series of numbers:

 \mathbf{z}

final pollen flow, g

munber determining rate of change of pollen flow defined as r in the basic equation (Chapter V.1) e.g. when r = 1, and initial pollen flow = 0.999. then final pollen flow reached in approx. 15 generations; when r = 0.5, final pollen flow reached in approx. 30 generations

Output data as series of numbers in columns:

Column 1. generation

z.

 x_6

 \mathbf{x}_7

 \mathbf{z}_3

⋗

2. pollen flow at a given generation

 $3. u$ k_{\bullet} v 5. V $6.~p$ 7.9

and when equilibrium is reached, also, as a series of members $\bullet_1 \bullet \bullet_2 \bullet \quad 0$

Programme adjustable for:

```
maximum number of generations
```
definition of filitial pollen flow by n, where,

$$
intial flow = (1 - g)(1 - \frac{1}{2}g) + g
$$

(note: e = exponential)

```
ANTONOVICS RATE TO EQUILIBRIUN CHANGINGPOLLEN FLOW
 JEEF/G = CFFSC2
```

```
L[G] : SWITCH S: MAGH'R.AL A, B, C, U, V, W, SU, SW, SV, T, P, P, P, P, P, PD_{\bullet} PO_{\bullet}H_{\bullet} H_{\bullet} J_{\bullet} L_{\bullet} R_{\bullet} LA^{\bullet}ITEGLR CO
P \cup \Gamma \cup \cup 2?
COUNT US VV WW
RLAD = P \ddot{\otimes}_{P} SU_{P} SV_{P} SW_{P} A_{P} B_{P} LA_{P} R^{T}
```
AGAIN: ELL??, DIGITS(3), CO, PREFIX(EES1??), FREEPOINT PRINT (3) , C_{ℓ} $\begin{bmatrix} U_1 & V_1 & W_2 & P^1_1 & Q^1_1 \end{bmatrix}$

```
C(); \approx Q<sup>9</sup>
P1: P1Q: \mathbf{m1} \rightarrow 1U: \mathbb{R}P1 \bullet P1V: = 2 \cdot P 1 \cdot Q^1\mathcal{A} : \mathbf{m} \mathcal{A} \mathbf{m} \mathcal{A}C: = 1 + EXPC_0 - 9078 - R*CO<sup>1</sup>
C: = 1 - 1 / CC:=(1-L)2U; M<sub>C</sub>+LA<sup>T</sup>
```

```
C \cap : \pi C O + 1C: M1 + EXPC6 - 9078 - R*COC: = 1 - 1/C<sup>1</sup>
C:=(1-1)2^{\circ}C^{1}C \mathbf{1} = C + \mathbf{1} AP: \mathbb{R}^{p \times q}\gamma ; and what
 4: 2 \times 10^{-1}D: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\pi: \pi2\bullet \cup<sup>1</sup>
 J: = . + C_1\left[\frac{1}{2}i\right] = \left(\frac{1}{2} - i\right)Li = 0.25 * 11Y: = 2 + 1.4U: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}
```


1111

 $v: = 1 - v$ \cup : ∞ \cup \in P 1 $U: = U + T$ (1.4×1.4) $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbf{M} \subset \mathbf{M}_{\mathcal{A}} \subset \mathbf{M}_{\mathcal{A}}$ $0: M \times M$ V: WYOSV! $W: \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ $\mathbf{1} \in \mathbf{m}(\mathbf{1} + \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{y})$ $i : 1$ / $T¹$ $\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{Z}^{\mathsf{max}} \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} \mathsf{d}_i \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} \mathsf{d}_i$ $V: M \times V \times T$ $\mathcal{L}_1 = \mathcal{L}_2 = \mathcal{L}_1 + \mathcal{L}_2 = \mathcal{L}_1 + \mathcal{L}_2 = \mathcal{L}_2 + \mathcal{L}_3 = \mathcal{L}_3 + \mathcal{L}_4 = \mathcal{L}_5 + \mathcal{L}_6 = \mathcal{L}_7 + \mathcal{L}_8 = \mathcal{L}_9 + \mathcal{L}_1 = \mathcal{L}_1 + \mathcal{L}_2 = \mathcal{L}_3 + \mathcal{L}_4 = \mathcal{L}_1 + \mathcal{L}_2 = \mathcal{L}_3 + \mathcal{L}_4 = \mathcal{L}_5 + \mathcal{L}_6 = \mathcal{L}_7 + \mathcal{$ $P: = 0.5 * YY$ f^2Z ; $mU+P^+$ **创造需要中国** H^* CO GR 100

```
THEM PRIMITEEL??, DIGITS(3), CO, PREFIX(EES1??),
TREEPUINT(3),
 U, , W, FZ, Q,
LL27, FREEPOINT(3), A, B, C
LLSE - (SCG1): P1: P2GOTO.
      AGAIN
\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}}L_{\perp}^{\dagger} L^{\dagger}
```


(f) Genetic load.

 \mathbf{x}_{1}

 \mathbf{x}_2

 $x₃$

 \mathbf{x}_k

Input data as series of numbers:

p takes the value
$$
x_1
$$
 in steps of x_2 until x_3

selection coefficient takes the value x_h in steps of x_g until x_g

 $1 - h_0$ where h is degree of dominance of favoured

```
x_{14}gene
```
Output data as series of numbers in columns:

```
Column 1. p
       2. selection coefficient
       3.94.15. genetic load
```

```
A. TOI OVICS GENETIC LOAD PFS -6L'1.1.41RIAL A, AA, BIN C, P, Q, I, S, LA, AB, ABO, H, E1,
  11. F1. E2.1., F2, E3, F3, 13, E4, 14, F4'fu.Ab 1, 11, F1, E2, 12, F2, E3, 13, F3, E4, 14, F4,
  \left[7.130\right] H^1WHERE STEP IT UNTIL FT DO
 WHES: = E2 STEP 12 UNTIL F2 DO
T is L a: \bullet l.) STEP 13 UNTIL F3 10
 FOR ALIEN4 STEP 14 UNTIL F3 DO
 \mathcal{L}A.3: \bullet A \bullet A A O<sup>1</sup>
 \rightarrow \mathbf{m} \rightarrow \rightarrow \rightarrow \rightarrowA: \mathbf{a} \cdot A \bullet P\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}) = \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}) + \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})]A: M^2 A^+\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{H} \mathbf1: P \times (Q - C C + A A * P * P) * B1: = 1 - 0.5 \wedge \omega \rightarrow \omega \rightarrow (C+A)^T\frac{1}{2} : \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}: \approx \frac{1}{3} \approx \frac{1}{2}PRINT ECL77, PREFIXCEES172), FREEPOINTC30, P. S. LA.
    \forall A, I\cup \mathcal{W}L_{\text{max}}
```
 \mathbf{y}

Two gene model : notation

747

4. TWO GENE MODEL: NOTATION

$1 - q$ \boldsymbol{p}

frequency of A \mathbf{p}

Two game model : equations

5. TVO GENE MODEL: EQUATIONS

Recurrence equations for a population subjected to pollen flow and with selfing determined by an unlinked gene.

notation:

frequency of AABB in next generation **AABB'** \mathbf{H} \mathbf{M} \mathbf{M} \mathbf{M} \mathbf{M} \mathbf{M} \bullet AANO!

etc. otc.

$$
ABB' = hp^{2}u^{2}(b_{1}p + b_{2}q) + pu(u + 0.5v)(a_{1}p + 0.5a_{2}q)
$$
\n
$$
ABb' = 2tp^{2}uv(b_{1}p + b_{2}q) + puv(a_{1}p + 0.5a_{2}q)
$$
\n
$$
A A B b' = hp^{2}v^{2}(b_{1}p + b_{2}q) + pv(v + 0.2u)(a_{1}p + 0.5a_{2}q)
$$
\n
$$
A a B b' = hpqu^{2}(b_{1}p + b_{2} + q) + pqu(u + 0.5v) a_{2}
$$
\n
$$
A a B b' = 2hpquv(b_{1}p + b_{2} + q) + pquva_{2} + puq(b_{1}p + b_{2}q)
$$
\n
$$
A a b b' = h p q v^{2}(b_{1}p + b_{2} + q) + p q v (v + 0.5u) a_{2} + p v q (b_{1}p + b_{2}q)
$$
\n
$$
a B B' = h q^{2}u^{2}(b_{2}p + q) + 0.5 pqu(u + 0.5v) a_{2}
$$

$$
\color{red}1 \textbf{v11}
$$

6. TWO GENER HODEL: PROGRAMMENTS

(a) Two pene pollen flow selfings equilibrium positions.

Input data as series of manbers:

 \mathbf{x}_1

 \bullet

$$
a_1
$$
 takes the value x_1 in steps of x_2 until x_3

 x_{c} degree of dominance of selfing gene, i.e. $a_2 = a_1 \cdot x_4$ x_{i} g takes the value x_{r_j} in steps of x_{r_j} until x_{r_j} x_{5} x_6 \mathbf{x}_7 initial frequency of selfing gene p x_{8} n favoured ⁿ u \mathbf{H} \bullet \mathbf{x}_9 fitness of AABB x_{10} **H** AALID \mathbf{H} x_{11} \bullet

Output data as series of munbers in columns on two lines: Line 1. Column 1. munber of generations to equilibrium

2.
$$
a_1
$$

\n3. a_2
\n4. 0
\n5. p
\n6. q

 $7. u$ $B_•$ v

 $11x$

Line 2. Column 1. frequency of AABB

Programme adjustable for:

definition of equilibrium point

whether overall equilibrium, or equilibrium of p, or equilibrium of u

maximum number of generations

۰

```
ANTONOVICS TWO GENE POLLEN FLOW SELFING - TPFS(1)'
      SWITCH St= AGAIN'
BEGIN
KEAL A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H, I, J, K, L, M, N, O, R,
 P_{\ell}Q_{\bullet} U, V, AA, AB, BA, BB, GA, LA, RR, SS, TT, MM, NN, WW,
 XX, YY,
.2, E1, E3, 11, 13, F1, F3, PO, U0,S1, S2, S3, S4, S5, S6, S7, S8, S9, P1, P2, U1, U2, T, Q2,
 V<sub>2</sub>A<sub>15</sub>O
          - C(\cdot<sup>+</sup>
1. TEGER
```

```
FRIET LEL477,
                           LAH PLCOU_T. T AA AB
                                                 ୍ତ
                                                            \bigcupY?.
                SS
                             TT
ELL? \hat{R}MP.
                                                      NH
                                                                 WW
                                                                            X\lambdaYY
                \mathbf{Z} \mathbf{Z}\mathcal{L}_{\bullet}2.51.72<sup>t</sup>
READ E1, 11, F1, ABO, E3, 13, F3, PO, UO,<br>51, S2, 33, 84, S5, S6, S7, S8, S9<sup>1</sup>
FOR AA: =E1
                  STEP
                          I1 UNTIL
                                            F1 DO
FOR LA:m(j) STEP 13 UNTIL F3 DO
BEGIF.
A B: A A A A BCO: \bullet \bullet \bullet
```
 $P1: P0'$ $U1:$ $U0¹$

 $A G A I M$ $C\cup$: $\equiv C\cup +1$ $P: P1$ ^t $Q: = 1 - P$ U ; mU 1 $V: = 1 - U$ $G\Lambda:$ α 1 \sim Λ ¹ $BA: = 1 - AA'$ $68: -1 - A6$ $A: \mathbf{m} \mathbf{P} \bullet \mathbf{P}$ $B: \mathbf{mPoQ}$ $C: \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{R}^d$ $D: M \cup M$ $k: \mathbb{Z} \cup \mathbb{Z}$

lxi

 \bullet

 $F: M \rightarrow V$ $G: = G A \cdot D'$ $ri: = GAP 2 \cdot E$ $I: \mathbf{P} \cup A \bullet F$ $U: #BA*P+BB*Q*$ $k:$ =BA $P + B + C$ $L: \mathbf{H} \cup \mathbf{B} + \mathbf{Q}$ **HIMBAB NIMAGAA+0.50N'** $0: 20+0.5$. $m:$ =F+0.5*E¹ $U: \mathbf{w} \rightarrow L A \bullet U'$ \wedge : \cong $\beta \circ k$. $F_i N$: $M G^*$, $H_i \oplus U^*$ **INFL: WHOK+MOE+U+D'** W _V; = 1 • K+ W + R+V • D⁺ $J: M \wedge \bullet J$ $RR1 = G + N + O$ $SS: m_{F1} \bullet J + N \bullet E$ $TT: = I^*U^*N^*R^*$ V: mÖ+LV+L₊ $L: \mathfrak{m}(\mathfrak{b})$ $M: $0.50$$ $XXI = G + L + i + O$ YYI^m $|P L+ -C+U+A²|$ $ZZ3 = 1 + L + M + R + V + A$

 $RR: **RR S1**$

RR: "KR"T $SS:$ $SS+T'$ $TT: TTT+T$ $\{f_i\}_i$: \blacksquare

$1:MR+SSTTT+MM+NN+WW+XX+YY+ZZ$ $T: \mathbb{R}$ /T¹

 \spadesuit

 SSI =SS =S2¹ $TT: = TT * S3$ Hii = $H\bullet S4'$ NIS = MPS5' $V W I = W W = S6$ $XX3$ $M X$ S ¹ $YY: MYY*SS$ [?] $24: 220$

NEIZ MNNOT $M: M \cup M$ $\lambda X = X X^* T$ $YY: \mathbf{m} YY \bullet T$ ¹ ZZ : $RZ+1$

 $P2: = FR + S S + TT + 0.5$ * CMM+NN+WW)' $U2: = R R + M + XX + 0.5$ $5.5 + M + YY$ $\&2:$ =1-P2⁺ $yz: = 1 - 12$

```
IF CABSCP1-P23+ABSCU1-U2) LESS 0.00001 OR CO GR 500)
THEN
PRINT EEL??, DIGITS(3), CO, PREFIX(EES1??), FREEPOINT
(3)AA, AB, LA, FREEPOINT(4), P2, %(2, U2, V2, ££L77, PREFIX
CEES122,FREEPOINT(4),
                    RR, SS, TT, MM, NN, WW, XX,
  YY<sub>2</sub> ZELSE.
                   BEGIN
P1: P2<sup>1</sup>U1:WU2<sup>t</sup>
       AGAIN
COTO
END<sup>1</sup>
END<sup>1</sup>
EMD<sup>'</sup>
```
and the state of the state of

(b) Two gene changing pollen flow selfing in a peremual: progress to enuilibrium.

Input data as serias of numbers:

Output data as series of numbers in columns on two lines:

Line 1. Column 1. generation

 $2 \cdot 9$

- $3. p$
- 4.9_g

Line 2. Column 1. frequency of AABB

and when equilibrium is reached, also, as series of numbers

 a_1 , a_2

Programme adjustable for:

```
maximum munber of generations
definition of initial pollen flow by n, where,
          initial flow = (1 - g)(1 - \frac{1}{e^{n}}) + g
```
(note: e = exponential)

Two pears model : programmes


```
ANTONOVICS TWO GENE RATE TO EQUILIBRIUM CHANGING POLLEN
 FLOW
SELFING - TCPFS(2)'
BEGIN SWITCH SI" AGAIN'
'EAL A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H, I, J, K, L, M, N, O, R, P,
RO, LAF,
Q. U. Y. AA. AB. BA. BB. GA. LA. RR. SS. TT. MM. NN. WW.
X_{\cdot\cdot}, YY_{\bullet}\therefore \angle, \qquad \wedge \therefore \angle \qquadkRG. SSO. TTO. MMO. NNO. WWO. XXO. YYO. ZZO.
```

```
51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 91, 92, 01, 02, TQ<sub>o</sub> YZ<sub>o</sub>Q1, 71
```
INTEGER \circ CO \circ

```
EEL477.
PRINT
                                       \mathbf{U}\mathbf{Q}CCOUNT
         Example 1. All a
                     \mathbf{P}V?,
                    SS
                             TT
                                       MN
EL.7Example 18 R
                                                 NN
                                                          WW
                                                                    XX
       YY
                Z\mathcal{L}?,
E/L ??
RED</math> AA, AB, LAF, RO, PO, UO,31, S2, S3, S4, S5, S6, S7, S8, S9,RO, SSO, TTO, MMO, INO, WWO, XXO, YYO, ZZO'
CO: \bullet \bullet 0
```
LA: $-1 + EXPC6 - 9078 - RO * CO$

```
LA: = 1 - 1/LALA:=(1-LAF)-LALA: = LA+LAF'P1: M10'U11U0<sup>1</sup>Q1: = 1 - P1V1: M1-U1'Q23 = 1 - P1V\ddot{c} x = 1 - U1RR:MRO<sup>1</sup>SS: #SSO!
TT: *TTO'
MM: "HMO'
IN: PINO!
```
FREEPOINT(4), RR, SS, TT, MM, NN, WW, XX, YY, ZZ'

AGAIN: PRINT EEL??, DIGITS(3), CO, PREFIX(EES1??), FREEPOINT (3) , LA, FREEPOINT(4), P1, Q2, U1, V2, EEL??, PREFIX(EES1??),

UW: = WWO' λ X \approx XXO^t $YYI = YY0'$ LZ : ZZ

Two gene model : programmes

1xvi

```
:0: =CO+1'
LA: = 1 + EXPC6.9078 - RO * COLA: m1 - 1/LATLA: ^{\bullet}C1 -LAF) *LA<sup>1</sup>
.A: = LA+LAF
```
 $P: P1¹$ $Q: m1 - P$ U ; $=$ U 1¹ $V: = 1-U$

 $(AA : M1 - LA)$ $BA: M \rightarrow AA$ $BDB = 1 - AB$ ^t $A: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ $B: \mathbb{R}^p \times \mathbb{Q}^1$ $C: \blacksquare Q \blacklozenge Q$

```
D: M \cup MEXAMULA A
F : = V \bullet VG_i = G_A \bullet D'3^m4A^m2^mE^TI : \bullet G \Lambda \bullet FJ: ^{m}BA*P+BB*Q'1.3 =BA * P+BB+Q<sup>1</sup>
  \therefore abbeyon
J.: 無已●AB 『
NIWA®AA+0.5.
0: MO + 0.50E1.3 \times 10^{2} + 0.50D; mP . As J'K3 =B+K<sup>+</sup>
M_{\rm H}: \approx G \cdot K + M \cdot O
```
$1x + 11$

```
NN: "HOKTNOETUOL'
M: M \rightarrow K + H \oplus K + H \oplus DJ:RA^{\bullet} J^{\bullet}R: \mathbf{m}_{12} \bullet A + \bullet O551 = 0.7 + 0.0TT ; m ] \bullet , + \bullet .
A: \mathbb{R} \{0,1\} \cup \mathbb{R}\mathcal{L}:\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^+M = 50.50\ldots X: M, M, MYY : ER : OR HERE IS A P
```
 L' L' : $=$ $| \bullet \cdot \cdot + \cdot \bullet |$ $| + \sqrt{ \bullet A }$

 $RR:=(R \bullet 51$ -531 m -50.52 $IT:$ T T \bullet S ¹ $M1:$ = $1M*54$ NN: "IN® S5" **UNISH WWO GLA** $XX:$ = XX = $G7$ $\gamma \gamma$: $\gamma \gamma \gamma \gamma \gamma$ $22:2220:91$

 $T:MR+SS+TT+MM+WW+XX+YY+ZZ$ $T: = 1 / i$

 $RR: MMRPT$ USS = SS = T ¹

 $TT: TTT+T$ MMI "IMOT" N: "! NOT! WW: WWW T ' λX : \bullet , $X \bullet T$ ^T $YY: YY \bullet T$ $.2:$ $-.2 \cdot 7$ ¹

:'2:" RR +SS+TT+0.5"CM+NN+WWD' U2: "RR+I.M+XX+0.5.CSS+I.N+YY)' $\sqrt{2}$: $M - P2$ ¹ $163 = 1 - 02$

 123 ap2+p1¹ $Q2 : 22 + Q1$ U_{c} : U_{c} + 1

$YZ3 = 72 + 11$

$T: P2+Q2$

Two gene model : programmes

$1x + 11$


```
IF CO GR 50THEN
PRIET LEL??, DIGITS(3), CO, PREFIX(EES1??), FREEPOINT
(3)AA, AB, LA, FREEPOINT(4), P2, Q2, U2, V2, LCL 77, PREFIX
CLE.122.TREEPOHITC4), RR, SS, TT, MM, NN, WW, XX,
  YY<sub>2</sub> T<sub>4</sub>
```

```
ELSE BEGIN
P1: P2<sup>1</sup>
v1:12!13 = 2^tV1: m \ge 1GOTO AGAIN
.110<sup>'</sup>
```


Two gene model i programmes

 \mathbf{x}_1

 \mathbf{x}_2

$$
1x1x
$$

(c) Two gone pollon flow evolution of dominance: equilibrium positions.

Input data as series of mmbers:

0 tables the value
$$
x_1
$$
 in steps of x_2 until x_3

Output data as series of numbers in columns on two lines:

Line 1. Column 1. mumber of generations to equilibrium

 2.9 $3 \cdot p$ 4.9 5. u $6. v$ Line 2. Column 1. frequency of AABB AADb $\boldsymbol{\mathfrak{n}}$ $\pmb{\mathfrak{N}}$ $2.$ \bullet \bullet \bullet $\mathbf{0}_{\bullet}$ $\pmb{\mathfrak{m}}$ \blacktriangledown **AAJU** $9_•$

 $\sim 10^{-11}$

Programme adjustable for:

```
definition of equilibrium point
whether overall equilibrium, or equilibrium of p_0 or equilibrium
of u
```
maximum rumber of generations

Contractor

```
ANTONOVICS TWO GENE POLLEN FLOW SELFING - TPFS(1)'
BEGIN SWITCH S: AGAIN'
REAL A, B, C, D, E, F_{\epsilon} G, H, I, P,
Q, U, Y, GA, LA, RR, SS, TT, MM, NN, WW, XX, YY,
22, E3, 13, F3, PO, U0,S_1, S_2, S_3, S_4, S_5, S_6, S_7, S_8, S_9, P_1, P_2, U_1,
 U2, T, Q2, Y2,
ABO
INTEGER CO'
```
PRINT ELL477,

```
Y?,
                                            \mathbf ULAM
                                 \mathbf QECOUNT
                       P
                                                                       XX
                                                             WW
                                                   NN
                               TT
                                         MM
                     SS
EEL?
           FR
       YY
                 222<sub>1</sub>EEL??'
          E3, 13, F3, PO, UO,
READ
51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59'FOR LA:\approxE3 STEP 13 UNTIL F3
                                                D<sub>O</sub>
BEC(IE)C(): = 0'
P1: P0'U1: = U0<sup>1</sup>
 AGAIII:
 C_0: =C_0+1<sup>t</sup>
 P: P1
```
 $Q: = 1 - P¹$ $U: \mathbb{R} \cup \mathbb{1}$ $V: = 1 - U$ $GA: 41 - LA$ $A: \mathbb{R} P \bullet P$ $Bz = 2 \cdot P \cdot Q$ $C: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ U : U \sim U $E: = U \bullet V$ $E: M \bullet V$ $G:=(A \bullet D)$ $H = \pi Q + 2 \bullet E$ $\frac{1}{2}$: $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}$ $RRI = G \bullet A \bullet S1$ $SS: M 4 A * S 2$

```
TT: = I \cdot A \cdot S111.396960034\mathbb{R} : \mathbf{w}P\bullet \mathsf{LA}<sup>1</sup>
\mathcal{L} : \mathbf{w}_1 + \mathcal{L} + \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{U} +
W W: M \rightarrow H + A + V\therefore \approx \frac{1}{4} \approx \frac{1}{5}\mathbf{A}: \mathbf{A} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}YY:'''H*C+B*U'L_{\omega}: = [ \bullet C+8\bullet V \bullet\frac{1}{2}: 20 11 \frac{1}{2} 55<sup>1</sup>
  W W \mathbf{x} = W W \oplus SU^{-1}
```
Two gene model : programmes

 $\mathcal{F}=\mathcal{F}$

 $YY: MYY*S.$ Z_{4} : Z_{4} (9)

T: WRR+SS+TT+ MM+MN+WW+XX+YY+ZZ' $T: = 1/T$

 $RR : MRR + T$ 0.51 =SS*T¹ $TT: = TT \bullet T$ 1 ili: wi he $T³$ $\left\{ \left\{ \left\{ \left\{ \cdot,\cdot\right\} \right\} \right\} \right\}$, $\left\{ \left\{ \cdot\right\} \right\}$, $\left\{ \cdot\right\}$, $\left\{ \cdot\right\}$ $V = W = W + T$ $XX: = XX * T$ $YY: \blacksquare YY \spadesuit T$ \triangle \angle \cong \angle \angle \triangle \top \parallel

 $P2: = RR + SSTTT+0.5*CMM+WW$

```
U2: *RR+MM+XX+0. 5* (SS+NNYY)'
 Q2: = 1 - P2V c : 111121
```
IF $(AIBSP1-P2)+ABSU1-U2$ LESS 0.0001 OR CO GR 5000) THEN PRINT EEL??, DIGITS(4), CO, PREFIX(EES1??), FREEP HIT (3) A , FREEPOINT(4), P2, Q2, U2, V2, EEL??, PREFIX(££51??),

FREEPOINT(4), RR, SS, TT, MM, NN, WW, XX, YY, CZ

ELSE BEGIE $P1: P2'$ $U1:$ $U2'$

