

Bangor University

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

Biodiversity of the invertebrate communities associated with intertidal mussel beds on rocky shores.

Smith, Kate

Award date: 2001

Awarding institution: Bangor University

[Link to publication](https://research.bangor.ac.uk/portal/en/theses/biodiversity-of-the-invertebrate-communities-associated-with-intertidal-mussel-beds-on-rocky-shores(48485c79-2e4c-48d7-93c9-60b67d78e30f).html)

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain • You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal ?

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Biodiversity of the invertebrate communities associated with intertidal mussel beds on rocky shores

 \rightarrow

A thesis presented to the University of Wales for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

Kate Smith BSc (Wales)

April 2001

 \blacktriangledown

School of Ocean Sciences, University of Wales, Bangor, Menai Bridge, Anglesey, North Wales, LL59 5EY.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to thank my supervisors Professor Ray Seed and Dr Chris Richardson for their help and encouragement and for the good times we had in Chile. In addition, I am very grateful to Dr Bob Clarke at Plymouth Marine Laboratory for his patience and advice regarding data analysis. Thanks also to staff and colleagues in the School of Ocean Sciences for all their advice and ideas, along with Peter
Kingsley-Smith and James Bussell for providing the entertainment while ploughing through endless samples. I am also very grateful to my family and friends, especially Pete Bridson, who provided the escape from my work when I needed it.

This project was funded by a NERC studentship.

the control of the control of the contract of the contract of

SUMMARY

Significant small-scale spatial variations in community structure were observed at two locations in Wales, while significant large-scale spatial variations in community structure were observed within mussel beds both in the British Isles and Irish Republic and in Chile. Additionally, the communities associated with M. edulis and P. purpuratus differed significantly, when compared at the same taxonomic levels. The structure of the invertebrate communities associated with M. edulis populations showed significant intra-annual variation, while those associated with M. edulis and P. purpuratus displayed significant inter-annual variation.

The invertebrate communities associated with intertidal mytilid mussel species were investigated on a variety of wave-exposed rocky shores around the coasts of the British Isles, Irish Republic (Mytilus edulis) and Chile, South America (Perumytilus purpuratus). A total of 192 different taxa were identified in Mytilus edulis beds at various rocky shore sites in the British Isles and Irish Republic, while 35 taxa were identified to higher taxonomic levels in Perumytilus purpuratus beds in Chile, South America.

It is concluded that the structure of the invertebrate communities associated but with intertidal mussel on rocky shores are highly variable, both spatially and temporally. However, a small subset of taxa often display the same multivariate patterns as the entire data set; a phenomenon which could be utilised in any monitoring or impact studies involving mussel communities.

The spatial and temporal variation observed in the structure of the invertebrate communities associated with intertidal mussel beds were deemed to be the result of a variety of natural processes, along with stochastic events. Variation in measured environmental factors in the mussel beds did not fully account for the observed variation in community structure, although some factors, such as mussel density were shown to have some structuring effect.

LIST OF TABLES AND FIGURES

- Map of the British Isles and Irish Republic, showing location 12 **Figure 1** of sample sites.
- Map of Chile, showing location of sample sites. 13 **Figure 2**
- Photographs of sample sites in the British Isles. 14-16 **Figure 3**

PAGE

 \bullet

 \bullet

 ζ = .

Chapter 2

- Mussel densities, volume and mass at the sample sites in the sample of 40 the British Isles and Irish Republic in July 1999.
- **Figure 2** Temporal variation in the size distribution of *Mytilus edulis* 42 at Point Lynas in 1999.
- Temporal variation in size distribution of Mytilus edulis at 43 **Figure 3** White Beach throughout 1998.
- **Table 2** Temporal variation in mussel densities, volume and mass 44 at Point Lynas, White Beach and Criccieth during 1998 and 1999.
- **Figure 4** Temporal variation in size distribution of Mytilus edulis at 45 Criccieth during 1998 and 1999.
- Annual variation in the size distribution of Mytilus edulis 46 **Figure 5**

Size structure of Perumytilus purpuratus at sample sites in 47 **Figure 6** Chile.

Mean mussel density at the sample sites in Chile. 48 **Figure 7**

between 1998 and 1999.

Table 4 Results of GLM regression analysis performed on growth 54

rent in the control

Table 1 Environmental parameters measured in mussel beds at 75 Point Lynas and White Beach.

- Table 2 Results of ANOVA analysis performed between univariate 76 measures of community diversity in mussel beds at Point Lynas and White Beach.
- **Figure 1** Univariate measures of community diversity in mussel beds 77 at Point Lynas.
- **Figure 2** Univariate measures of diversity in mussel beds at White 79 Beach.

 $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{$

Figure 3 Cluster analysis of square-root transformed mussel bed 80

Figure 4 Cluster analysis of presence/absence transformed mussel 81 bed community data at Point Lynas.

Chapter 4

community data at Point Lynas.

 $\label{eq:2} \mathcal{F}^{(p,\mathbf{k})} = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{i=1}^p \mathcal{F}^{(p)}_{i} \mathcal{F}^{(p)}_{i}.$

- Figure 5 Cluster analysis of square-root transformed mussel bed 62 community data at White Beach. **Figure 6** Cluster analysis of presence/absence transformed mussel 63 bed community data at White Beach. Figure 7 Multidimensional scaling of square-root transformed mussel 84 bed community data at Point Lynas. Table 3 Results of ANOSIM analysis performed on mussel bed 85 community data at Point Lynas and White Beach.
- Table 4 SIMPER analysis of square-root transformed mussel bed 87-89 community data at Point Lynas. Table 5 SIMPER analysis of presence/absence transformed mussel 90-92 bed community data at Point Lynas. Table 6 SIMPER analysis of square-root transformed mussel bed 93-94 community data at White Beach. Table 7 SIMPER analysis of presence/absence transformed mussel 95-96 bed community data at White Beach. Table 8 Correlation between environmental parameters and Fig. 1888 Correlation between environmental parameters and univariate measures of diversity in mussel beds at Point Lynas and White Beach.
- Table 9 Correlation between environmental parameters in mussel 98 beds at Point Lynas and White Beach.
- Table 10 BIOENV analysis performed on mussel community data at Table 10 Point Lynas and White Beach.
- Figure 8 Principal component analysis performed on environmental 100 data in mussel beds at Point Lynas and White Beach.

Table 1 Results of ANOVA analysis performed between univariate 112 measures of community diversity in mussel beds at sample sites in the British Isles and Irish Republic.

Figure 1 Univariate measures of community diversity in mussel beds 113 at sample sites in the British Isles and Irish Republic.

Figure 2 Cluster analysis of square-root transformed mussel bed al bed 114 community data at sample sites in the British Isles and Irish Republic.

Chapter 5

Figure 3 Cluster analysis of presence/absence transformed mussel 115 bed community data at sample sites in the British Isles and Irish Republic.

- **Figure 4** Multidimensional scaling of square-root transformed mussel 116 bed community data at sample sites in the British Isles and Irish Republic.
- Table 2 Table 2 Results of ANOSIM analysis performed on mussel bed 118 community data at sample sites in the British Isles and Irish Republic.
- Figure 5 Multidimensional scaling of presence/absence transformed 119 mussel bed community data at sample sites in the British Isles and Irish Republic.
- Table 3 SIMPER analysis of square-root transformed mussel bed 120-124 community data at sample sites in the British Isles and Irish Republic.
- Table 4 SIMPER analysis of presence/absence transformed mussel 126-130 bed community data at sample sites in the British Isles and Irish Republic.
- Figure 6 Environmental parameters measured in mussel beds at 131 sample sites in the British Isles and Irish Republic.
- Table 5 Correlation between environmental parameters and 132

- Table 6 Correlation between environmental parameters and 133-134 univariate measures of diversity in mussel beds at sample sites in the British Isles and Irish Republic.
- Figure 7 Frincipal component analysis performed on environmental 136 data in mussel beds at sample sites in the British Isles and Irish Republic.
- Table 7 Correlation between environmental parameters in mussel 137 beds at sample sites in the British Isles and Irish Republic.
- Table 8 Results of BIOENV analysis performed on mussel **138** community data at sample sites in the British Isles and Irish Republic.

selected taxa in mussel beds at sample sites in the British Isles and Irish Republic.

Figure 8 Multidimensional scaling of square-root transformed mussel 139 bed community data at sample sites in the British Isles and
Irich Dopublic with wave experime available , Irish Republic with wave-exposure overlaid.

- **Figure 9** Univariate measures of community diversity in mussel beds 141 at sample sites in the Chile.
- Figure 10 Cluster analysis of square-root transformed mussel bed 142 community data at sample sites in Chile.
- Figure 11 Cluster analysis of presence/absence transformed mussel 143 bed community data at sample sites in Chile.
- Figure 12 Multidimensional scaling of square-root transformed mussel 144 bed community data at sample sites in Chile.

Table 9 Results of ANOSIM analysis performed between mussel 145 bed community data at sample sites in Chile.

Figure 13 Multidimensional scaling of presence/absence transformed 146 mussel bed community data at sample sites in Chile.

Table 12 Results of t-tests performed between univariate measures 160 of community diversity in mussel beds at sample sites in

Table 10 SIMPER analysis of square-root transformed mussel bed 148-153 community data at sample sites in the British Isles and Irish Republic.

Table 11 SIMPER analysis of presence/absence transformed mussel 154-159 bed community data at sample sites in the British Isles and Irish Republic.

- the British Isles and Irish Republic and in Chile.
- **Figure 14** K-dominance plots for mussel communities at sample sites 162 in the British Isles and Irish Republic and in Chile.
- Figure 15 Multidimensional scaling of square-root and 163 presence/absence transformed mussel bed community data at sample sites in the British Isles and Irish Republic and in Chile.
- Table 13 Results of ANOSIM analysis performed on mussel bed 164 communities at sample sites in the British Isles and Irish Republic and in Chile.
- Table 14 SIMPER analysis of square-root transformed mussel bed 165 community data at sample sites in the British Isles and Irish
-

Republic and in Chile.

Figure 16 Multidimensional scaling of square-root transformed mussel 167
bed community data at sample sites in the British Isles and Irish Republic and in Chile with mussel density overlaid.

Chapter 6

- **Figure 1** Temporal variation in univariate measures of community 185 diversity in mussel beds at Point. Lynas.
- **Figure 2** Cluster analysis of square-root and presence/absence 186 transformed mussel community data at Point Lynas during 1999.
- Figure 3 Multidimensional scaling of square-root and 187 presence/absence transformed mussel community data at Point Lynas during 1999.

 $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{p})$

- Table 1 Results of ANOSIM analysis performed between mussel 188 bed community data at Point Lynas, throughout 1999.
- Table 2 SIMPER analysis of square-root transformed mussel bed 190 community data at Point Lynas, throughout 1999.
- Table 3 SIMPER analysis of presence/absence transformed mussel 191 bed community data at Point Lynas, throughout 1999.
- Figure 4 Abundance of selected taxa (identified by BVStep analysis) 192 in mussel beds at Point Lynas throughout 1999.
- Table 4 Correlation between environmental parameters and 194 univariate measures of diversity in mussel beds at Point Lynas throughout 1999.

 \bullet

communities at White Beach, throughout 1999.

 $\mathbf{r} \triangleq \mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}$ Table 6

'M SIMPER analysis of square-root transformed mussel bed 202 community data at White Beach, throughout 1999.

- Table 7 SIMPER analysis of square-root transformed mussel bed community data at White Beach, throughout 1999. 203
- Figure 9 Abundance of selected taxa (identified by BVStep analysis) in mussel beds at White Beach throughout 1999. 204

- Table 8 Correlation between environmental parameters and univariate measures of diversity in mussel beds at White Beach throughout 1999.
- Figure 10 Principal component 1 plotted against univariate measures of community diversity for mussel beds at White Beach, throughout 1999.
- Figure 11 Temporal variation in univariate measures of community diversity in mussel beds at Criccieth.
- Figure 12 Cluster analysis of square-root and presence/absence transformed mussel community data at Criccieth during 1999. 210
- Figure 13 Multidimensional scaling of square-root and presence/absence transformed mussel community data at Criccieth during 1999.
- **Table 9** Results of ANOSIM analysis performed between mussel bed community data at Criccieth, throughout 1999.
- Table 10 SIMPER analysis of square-root transformed mussel bed community data at Criccieth, throughout 1999. Table 11 SIMPER analysis of square-root transformed mussel bed community data at Criccieth, throughout 1999. Figure 14 Abundance of selected taxa (identified by BVStep analysis) in mussel beds at Criccieth throughout 1999. Table 12 Correlation between environmental parameters and univariate measures of diversity in mussel beds at Criccieth throughout 1999. Figure 15 Principal component 1 plotted against univariate measures of community diversity for mussel beds at Criccieth, throughout 1999. 213 215 216 217 219

Figure 16 Multidimensional scaling of square-root and presence/absence transformed mussel community data at Point Lynas, White Beach and Criccieth during 1999 and 2000.

205

207

209

211

212

Table 13 Results of ANOSIM analysis performed between mussel 221 bed community data at Point Lynas, White Beach and Criccieth during 1999 and 2000.

Table 14 Results of ANOVA analysis performed between univariate 223 measures of community diversity in mussel beds at four sites in Chile, between 1997-2000.

Figure 17 Temporal variation in univariate measures of community 224 diversity in mussel beds at four sites in Chile, between 1997-2000.

- Figure 18 Multidimensional scaling of square-root transformed mussel 225 community data at four sites in Chile from 1997-2000.
- Figure 19 Multidimensional scaling of presence/absence transformed 226 mussel community data at four sites in Chile from 1997- 2000.
- Table 15 Results of ANOSIM analysis performed between mussel 227 bed community data at four sites in Chile from 1997-2000.
- Table 16 SIMPER analysis of square-root transformed mussel bed 228 community data at four sites in Chile from 1997-2000.
- Table 17 SIMPER analysis of presence/absence transformed mussel 229 bed community data at four sites in Chile from 1997-2000.

Figure 2 Correlation between environmental parameters and 249 univariate measures of diversity in mussel beds of manipulated density.

Chapter 7

- Figure 1 Multidimensional scaling performed on square-root and 244 presence/absence transformed biotic data and PCA performed environmental data in mussel beds of manipulated density. Table 1 SIMPER analysis of square-root transformed mussel bed 246 community data in mussel beds of manipulated density. Table 2 SIMPER analysis of presence/absence transformed mussel 247 bed community data in mussel beds of manipulated density. Table 3 T-tests performed between environmental parameters in 248
museel hade of manipulated depoitu , mussel beds of manipulated density.
	-

Table 4 Correlation between environmental parameters in mussel 251 beds of manipulated density.

Chapter 8

- Table 1 Lists of taxa responsible for spatial and temporal 261 multivariate patterns in square-root transformed biotic data.
- Table 2 Lists of taxa responsible for spatial and temporal 262 multivariate patterns in presence/absence transformed biotic data.

 \bullet

the contract of $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbf{X})$. The $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbf{X})$ the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of

the control of the control of the \bullet .

CONTENTS

References

Appendix

Chapter 4 Small-scale spatial variations in the structure of the invertebrate communities associated with Mytilus edulis.

Chapter 8 General Discussion.

- Chapter 5 Large-scale spatial variation in the structure of the Invertebrate communities associated with Mytilus edulis and Perumytilus purpuratus. 107-179
- Chapter 6 Temporal variation in the structure of the invertebrate communities associated with Mytilus edulis and Perumytilus purpuratus. 180-238
- Chapter 7 Investigations into the effect of substratum heterogeneity on the invertebrate communities associated with Mytilus edulis. 239-255

70-106

 \bullet

265-285

296-301

All Contract Contracts

 \sim

 \bullet

Chapter I

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$

Contractor

 \mathcal{S}_c

 $\langle \rangle$

General Introduction

the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of

Contractor ~ 100 km $^{-1}$

 $\sim 10^{-1}$

GENERAL INTRODUCTION

Mussel biology and distribution

Mytilid mussels, especially those belonging to the genus Mytilus, are amongst the most intensively researched marine organisms with entire books devoted solely to their study (e.g. Bayne, 1976; Stefano, 1990; Gosling, 1992). There are many reasons for such scientific interest; e. g. these mussels are widely distributed and exceedingly abundant throughout the world's oceans; they are important ecologically as dominant space-occupying organisms, particularly in coastal and estuarine waters

(e.g. Seed and Suchanek, 1992); they are important economically as food and fouling organisms (e.g. Hickman, 1992). In addition, from as early as 1976, when the Mussel Watch Monitoring Program was initiated, mussels have been widely used as sentinels or biomonitors of coastal water quality (e. g. Goldberg, 1986; Widdows and Donkin, 1992). Some species, such as the common blue mussel (Mytilus edulis Linnaeus), have also proved to be a model organisms in studies of physiology, biochemistry and population genetics (see relevant chapters in Gosling, 1992). Mussels of the genus *Mytilus* are widely distributed throughout the cooler waters of the northern and southern hemispheres, where they occur in a variety of shore habitats, ranging from sediment shores of unprotected bays to gravel or pebble shores in semi-exposed conditions, true rocky shores exposed to considerable wave action and sublittorally in natural sediment or attached to pier pilings etc. (Bayne, 1976). Their success is most pronounced in exposed or moderately wave-exposed locations in temperate seas, particularly on horizontal or gently shelving rocky substrata, where they commonly dominate the communities of littoral and sub-littoral shores as the primary space occupier (Seed and Suchanek, 1992), often forming long-lived beds with discrete boundaries (Geesteranus, 1942; Kuenen, 1942; Verwey, 1952; Seed, 1976). In tropical and sub-tropical latitudes, this genus is replaced by other dominant zone-forming genera such as Perna and Septifer, while dense populations of highly specialised species have also been reported from coldseep areas and sites of hydrothermal vent activity in the deep sea (e.g. Hessler et al, 1988; Jahnke et a/, 1995; Van Dover and Trask, 2000). Of the many factors that are responsible for the success of mytilid mussels, the evolution of the heteromyarian form associated with the neotenous retention of the byssus is of particular significance (Seed, 1983; Morton, 1992). The secretion of byssus threads by a special gland at the base of the foot provided an effective means of attachment onto hard surfaces and enabled various bivalve classes, including mussels, to become independent of the soft sediments inhabited by their ancestors. The broadly triangular heteromyarian form of the 'typical' mussel coupled with secure byssal attachment throughout life enabled bivalves like epibyssate mussels to live in high population densities on hard or moderately consolidated substrata. Other factors contribute to the success of mytilid mussels, including their supreme efficiency as filter-feeding organisms (e.g. Shumway, 1989) and high fecundity, producing large numbers of planktotrophic larvae which ensure rapid and widespread dispersal (further facilitated by bysso-pelagic drifting of juveniles) (e. g. Seed and Suchanek, 1992).

Several factors affect local distribution patterns of mussels, including

It is clear that in the absence of adequate levels of disturbance, mussels as superior spatial competitors, can effectively monopolise large areas of the rocky

predation and competition, along with physical factors such as exposure, substratum type and angle and tidal elevation (Sanders, 1968,1969). In general the upper distributional limits for Mytilus spp. are constant over long periods of time and set by physiological intolerance to extremes of temperature and desiccation (Suchanek, 1985; Almada-Villela et a/, 1982; Tsuchiya, 1983; Seed and Suchanek, 1992). The lower distributional limits are under strong influence from biological factors such as competition with other sessile organisms (e. g. Suchanek, 1981) and predation, for example by predatory starfish and gastropods (e.g. Seed, 1969a; Kitching and Ebling, 1967; Menge, 1983; Paine, 1974; Paine, 1971; Paine et a/, 1985). Once established, mussels, by virtue of their competitive superiority, quickly become the dominant space-occupying members of the community, with the potential to completely eliminate most other sessile species. After larval settlement on the rock, a monolayer mussel bed is formed in the early stages of patch growth (Tsuchiya and Nishihira, 1986). With growth, mussels require more space for attachment, and some individuals on the periphery of the patch are pushed outward while some inside the patch are shifted upward, resulting in the formation of a double or multi-layered mussel bed. Mussel bed populations are among the most dense of all suspensionfeeding bivalves, reaching densities of 21000 individuals.m⁻² (Nixon et al, 1971) and can range from a complete cover of mussels to a mosaic of patches or islands of different size (Seed, 1996). Seed (1958) stated that the highest densities of mussels tend to be associated with:

1. Shores receiving moderate to severe wave action - probably a direct influence

of the amount of water (and larval stages) passing over them;

2. The lower levels of the shore;

3. Slow draining, horizontal platforms, especially where surfaces are roughened or broken by discontinuities.

intertidal zone by denying access to the weaker more opportunistic species. Such loss of species richness, however, applies only to those species that compete for the primary resource $-$ attachment space $-$ on the rock surface. Aggregations of mussels can drastically modify the local environment through enhanced water retention, biodeposition of faecal and pseudofaecal material (= 'mussel mud'), and the provision of additional attachment surface and shelter by the mussel themselves (e.g. Bayne, 1976) – features which serve to encourage species enrichment in habitats wherever mussels are present in abundance. Mussels are thus keystone species within the rocky intertidal (Seed, 1996) and effective 'ecosystem engineers',

a term used by Lawton and Jones (1995) to describe species that either directly or indirectly modulate the availability of resources to other species by causing physical state changes in biotic or abiotic materials, thereby modifying, maintaining and creating habitats. Levin and Paine (1974) suggested that it is appropriate to view communities of sessile biota, such as mussels, as being composed of a mosaic of small patches with differing species compositions and developmental histories. In addition, since such mussel patches are isolated from similar patches, they can be treated as islands for associated communities (Tsuchiya and Nishihira, 1985,1986).

Invertebrate communities associated with mussel beds

Mussel bed communities are extremely well delineated, bounded by the

physical limits of the mussels, which, in the intertidal, are in turn restricted by physical and biological factors to a well definable zone (Suchanek, 1980). As structurally and functionally complex entities mussel patches provide refuge and suitable habitat for a broad suite of associated organisms, while the majority of taxa occurring in mussel beds cannot exist without the protection provided by the mussels, especially on wave-exposed shores (Tokeshi and Romero, 1995). Many authors have stated that the associates of mussel communities show a marked pattern of distribution throughout the matrix, derived from the microhabitat differences encountered by associated fauna (e.g. Tsuchiya and Bellan-Santini, 1989; Ong Che and Morton, 1992; Lintas and Seed, 1994). The biota associated with mytilid beds can thus be divided into three major functional categories, with some of these organisms living attached to the mussel shells (epibiota), others typically living amongst the rich sediments and shell fragments which accumulate at the base of the bed (infauna) and mobile organisms roving freely through the complex matrix of shells and interconnecting byssus threads (Suchanek, 1985). Several authors have documented the communities associated with Mytilus edulis from a variety of habitats. For example, up to 96 taxa have been identified from Mytilus edulis beds

on rocky substrata at a variety of intertidal locations (e.g. Briggs, 1982; Tsuchiya and Nishihira, 1985,1986; Lintas and Seed, 1994; Svane and Setyobudiandi, 1996). In addition, similar numbers of taxa have been identified from Mytilus edulis beds on soft substrata at a variety of locations (e. g. Asmus, 1987; Commito, 1987; Dittman, 1990). Other authors have investigated the communities associated with other mussel species; Suchanek (1980) identified 303 taxa from Mytilus cafifomianus beds on the Pacific coast of North America, up to 171 taxa have been identified from subtidal Modiolus modiolus beds in the UK (Brown and Seed, 1977; Witman, 1980), up to 52 from intertidal Septifer virgatus beds in Hong Kong (Ong Che and Morton,

1992; Seed and Brotohadikus, 1994), 56 from intertidal *Brachidontes rostratus* beds in south-eastern Australia (Peake and Quinn, 1993) and 28 from deep-sea Bathymodiolus spp. at hydrothermal vents.

species (e.g. Seed, 1996). For example, Ong Che and Morton (1992) demonstrated that Septifer virgatus beds in Hong Kong were dominated by three species, accounting for 75% of the total numbers. In the same mussel beds, more than 90% of the associated molluscan fauna was comprised of three bivalve species (Hormomya mutabilis, 37%; Isognomon legumen, 30%; Lasaea nipponica, - 27%) (Seed and Brotohadikusumo, 1994). Suchanek (1978,1980) found that in studies of Mytilus califomianus beds in western North America, in some cases, a single species dominated the sample by more than 50%. Many taxa associated with mussel beds often occur only occasionally and may be considered to be accidental or transient, rather than permanent members of the community (e. g. Seed and Brotohadikusumo, 1994).

Biodiversity

A striking feature of many of these studies is that similar taxa, often from the same genus, regularly recur within these communities worldwide. Moreover, with the exception of Mytilus californianus $-$ a much larger bodied mussel, which forms thick multi-layered beds the number of associated taxa is broadly comparable between species, with representatives from most of the main phyla. Such observations suggest that the pattern of parallel communities on rocky shores may be replicated on a much finer scale within mussel patches (Seed, 1996). Despite these high levels of diversity, mussel communities are typically dominated by a few very abundant

Biodiversity has recently become an important issue, both in scientific (Wilson, 1988; Ehrlich and Wilson, 1991) and political (United Nations Environment Programme, 1992; World Resources Institute, 1993; Heywood and Watson, 1995) terms. Most scientists agree that biodiversity is of inestimable value and that its loss

or enforced change could invoke currently inestimable changes upon many aspects of the globe. In addition, sustained biodiversity plays a key role in ecosystem function and species that have co-evolved depend on functions of the ecosystem in which they live. Each species depends upon other species for its continued existence so that the removal of one or many species in an ecosystem may remove a vital ecological pathway that permanently alters ecosystem function and structure (Norton, 1986).

There are generally considered to be three main components of biodiversity; genetic, specific or taxonomic and ecological or habitat diversity, along with a wide

disturbance. Any complete theory of species numbers must explain how the number of species in a particular area is regulated; how the species are formed; where they come from and how interactions between species set an upper limit to their number (Ricklefs, 1980).

variety of definitions of the term, although in its most basic form, biodiversity refers to the numbers of species in different places (Ricklefs and Schulter, 1993). Wilson (1992) defined biodiversity as the variety of organisms considered at all levels, from genetic variants belonging to the same species through arrays of species, genera, families and still higher taxonomic levels, including the variety of ecosystems, which comprise both the communities of organisms within particular habitats and the physical conditions under which they live. This definition allows biodiversity to be considered at all levels from global (gamma diversity) to local (alpha diversity) and take into account species turnover between habitats (beta diversity) (Gaston, 1996). Many relationships have been investigated between biodiversity and latitude, climate, biological productivity, habitat heterogeneity, habitat complexity and

Aims of the current study

Due to the small size and immobility of sessile invertebrate species of marine epifaunal communities, such, as mussels, these habitats are ideal for studying patterns of change in species composition and diversity (Osman, 1977). Since mussels, particularly of the genus Mytilus, occur throughout the cooler waters of the northern and southern hemispheres, in a variety of shore habitats (Bayne, 1976), these communities might prove to be ideal for investigating the effects of anthropogenic activities in coastal seas. However, it is clear from many recent empirical studies, that a complete understanding of the structure of any community is possible only when temporal variation is encompassed (Morris, 1990), Many authors have pointed out the difficulty, in studies of intertidal benthic communities, in

discriminating between community changes due to anthropogenic activities and those caused by natural variation (e.g. DelValls et al, 1998).

This thesis aims to provide a detailed description of the invertebrate communities associated with two intertidal mytilid mussel species on rocky shores; Mytilus edulis in the British Isles and Irish Republic and Perumytilus purpuratus in Chile, South America. Initially, it was necessary to. establish a suitable sampling protocol, including an appropriate sample size and methodology with which to investigate accurately the structure of these communities (Chapter 2). Habitat structure and heterogeneity are known to affect the structure of such communities, so

Chapter 3 provides information on the size structure of all the mussel populations studied, along with information on the age structure and growth rates of Mytilus edulis and Perumytilus purpuratus. This information is used in later chapters, in an attempt to relate structure of the associated communities to the physical parameters of the mussel matrices themselves. Chapter 3 also provides a basic description of the communities associated with the two mussel species, at the different sites in this study. Chapter 4 will deal with the small-scale (intra-site) variation in the structure of communities associated with Mytilus edulis on two rocky shores on the coast of Anglesey, North Wales. The effects of tidal elevation, position within a mussel patch, angle of substratum and epifloral covering, on the structure of the associated invertebrate communities are investigated. Chapter 5 will deal with larger-scale spatial variations in the structure of the communities associated with Mytilus edulis and Perumytilus purpuratus on rocky shores at a variety of sites throughout the British Isles and Irish Republic and Chile, South America respectively. Inter-site variations in community structure are investigated for each mussel species, while larger, geographical comparisons are made between the communities associated with Mytilus edulis and Perumytilus purpuratus. Chapter 6 deals with the temporal (seasonal and annual) variation in the structure of the invertebrate community associated with Mytilus edulis on three rocky shores on the coast of North Wales. Chapter 7 involves an experimental investigation using panels of manipulated mussel density, to investigate the effect of mussel density and habitat heterogeneity on the structure of the invertebrate associates of Mytilus edulis on a rocky shore on Anglesey, North Wales, where the mussel species is already present in established beds. Attempts are made in each of the above investigations, to relate spatial and temporal variations in community structure to measured abiotic parameters of the mussel matrices. Finally, I discuss the results and implications of this work, with particular reference to the potential use of the invertebrate communities associated with intertidal mussel beds in monitoring the effect of anthropogenic activities in

coastal areas, In writing this thesis my intention was that each chapter should stand on its own, whilst attempting to avoid excessive repetition.

 $\langle \bullet \rangle$

 ϵ

 $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{$ the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of

the contract of the contract of the contract of $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$, where $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$

the contract of the contract of the conthe control of the control of 8

Chapter 2

 \sim ϵ

General Methodology

the contract of the contract of the

 $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{$ the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of

 $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$ **Contract Contract** 9

INTRODUCTION

Many investigations have found that a prerequisite to precise estimates of the abundance of organisms in any habitat is a prior knowledge of the sampling variability (e.g. Elliot, 1977; Green, 1979; Vezina, 1988). Since most ecological communities are too large to be studied in their entirety, for practical and conservation purposes, representative samples must be taken (Weinberg, 1978). Various authors have pointed out the importance of both the size of the area sampled (quadrat) in any ecological study and the overall sample size (number of quadrats) (e. g. Greig-Smith, 1952; Wiegert, 1962). The optimal sample size should be large

enough to include most or all of the species in the community, but as small as possible to reduce the time required for laboratory sorting (Hawkins and Hartnoll, 1980). There are three basic formats for data collection in such species/area studies (Goodall, 1952), these are to collect:

- 1. Random quadrats of different sizes within the community under study, and to determine the number of species within each quadrat. However, using this technique, the provision of satisfactory confidence limits of the organisms within each area would involve considerable time and labour.
- 2. The smallest quadrat at random and then to add to it increasing contiguous areas in order to provide data from larger quadrats. The data gained might be quite atypical, and an assumption with this approach is that there is homogeneity in the

- community.
- 3. A large number of small quadrats taken at random within the community, and combine the information from them in order to derive the species content of larger areas.
- The third approach was chosen in the present study because of the, practical and

theoretical considerations, listed below:

- 1. It is the most economical in the use of field and laboratory time.
- 2. It permits an accurate description of the change of species number with sample size.
- 3. It is practicable on very irregular terrain, and in areas of mixed communities whose boundaries are not evident, both of which are often typical of mussel beds.
- 4. The data obtained in this way are also valuable for the determination of species abundance and confidence limits and species distribution patterns, much more so than data obtained by the other two sampling approaches mentioned.
- 5. It is the least intrusive sampling method on the habitat, since only small samples are taken.

This chapter details the calculation of a suitable sample size, along with field and laboratory procedures undertaken in order to describe the temporal and small and large-scale spatial variation in the structure of invertebrate infaunal assemblages associated with intertidal mussel populations. Descriptions and photographs where available, are provided of the study sites within the British Isles, Irish Republic and Chile, South America, from which field samples were collected during the course of the study. Details are provided on the main statistical techniques and procedures utilised to describe both the biotic and environmental data in the present study.

GENERAL METHODOLOGY

Site Details

During this study a number of rocky intertidal shores with extensive mussel communities, both in the British Isles and Irish Republic (Figure 1) and in Chile, South America (Figure 2), were investigated in order to study the temporal, spatial and small and large-scale geographical variation associated with mussel bed communities. The selection criteria for the shores were that they were subject to similar conditions of wave-exposure and that Mytilus edulis and Perumytilus purpuratus formed continuous beds on rocky substrata in the mid-shore area. Communities of M. edulis in the British Isles and Irish Republic and P. purpuratus in Chile, were chosen because of the mussels similar overall morphology and the fact

that they formed similar multi-layered beds on each shore, such that, at each site the mussels and their associated communities could be compared using similar sampling techniques. A description of each site follows.

Point Lynas. Anglesey (Wales) Position: 53'25.13'N 04"17.20'W

A north-easterly facing headland on the north coast of Anglesey, exposed to moderate wave action. M. edulis occurs as tightly packed beds at this site, both on the sloping rocks of the headland and in crevices and gullies. For much of the year, the mussel shells themselves are covered by thick growths of ephemeral green algae, such as Ulva lactuca. The waters immediately surrounding this site were often observed to contain a large amount of suspended sediment, which during low tide settled out of suspension and covered parts of the shore. The mean tidal range at Amlwch, 3km to the west, is 6.3m during spring tides and 3.2m at neaps (Admiralty Tide Tables, 1999).

Figure 1 Map of the British Isles and Irish Republic showing the location of sample sites. 1 = Point Lynas, 2 = White Beach, 3 = Criccieth, 4 = Arisaig, 5 = Kilkee, 6 = Doonbeg, $7 = \text{Robin Hood's Bay}, 8 = \text{Filey Brigg.}$

Figure 2 Map of Chile, South America showing the location of sample sites. Geographical range of sites is indicated on large-scale map of South America, while distribution of sample sites are shown in maps of Chile and Concepcion area. $1 =$ Las Cruces, $2 =$ Cocholgue, $3 =$ Desembocadura exposed site 1, $4 =$ Desembocadura exposed site 2, $5 =$ Desembocadura sheltered site 1, 6 = Desembocadura sheltered site 2, $7 =$ Maule, $8 =$ Coronel, $9 =$ Valdivia.

and the company

 $\epsilon_{\rm s}$

 $\bar{\mathbf{v}}$

 $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}$

 $\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^{1/2}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^{1/2}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^{1/2}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^{1/2}.$

 $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{$ and the state of the state

Contractor

the contract of the state of the contract of

Figure 3 Photographs of sample sites in the British Isles used in the present study. a) Point Lynas, Wales, b) White Beach, Wales, c) Criccieth, Wales, d) Robin Hood's Bay, England, e) Filey Brigg, England. (Note that photographs of sample sites in Scotland and the Irish Republic are not available).

> $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{A}^{(1, N)})$ $\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}$.

У.

c) Criccieth, Wales

d) Robin Hood's Bay, England

e) Filey Brigg, England

the contract of the **Contract Administration** \mathcal{L}_{eff}

Figure 4 Photographs of sample sites in Chile, South America used in the present study. a) Las Cruces, b) Cocholgue, ci) Desembocadura sheltered site, cii) Desembocadura exposed site, d) Maule, e) Coronel. (Note that photographs of sample sites in Scotland and the Irish Republic are not available).

 $\Delta \sim 10^6$

 $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{A}}$

 \sim $$ and the contract of

 \sim $$ the contract of the the contract of the

b) Cocholgue, VIII Region

a) Las Cruces, VI Region

ci) Las Desembocadura, VIII Region

18

cii) Las Desembocadura, VIII Region

d) Maule, Vill Region

e) Coronel, Vill Region

White Beach, Anglesey (Wales) Position: 53°19.05'N 04°05.35'W

A. northerly facing shore at the north-eastern corner of Anglesey, only exposed to moderate wave action. M. edulis forms solid, tightly packed beds, which extensively cover the gently sloping rock platforms present at this site. Mussels in the mid-shore are covered with epifloral species such as Ulva lactuca to varying degrees throughout the year, although to a lesser extent during the summer when bleaching occurs. This site is popular with local fishermen and it is possible that the mussels and their associated communities are subject to disturbance through trampling. The mean tidal range at Trwyn Dinmor, 2.5km to the east, is 6.6m during

 \bullet .

spring tides and 3.4m at neaps (Admiralty Tide Tables, 1999).

Criccieth, Gwvnedd (Wales) Position: 53'54.58'N 04"14.00'W

A south-westerly facing shore at the southern base of the Llyn Peninsula, in the far north-eastern corner of Porthmadog Bay. This site is subject to a considerable amount of fetch from Porthmadog Bay and is thus exposed to moderate to high wave action. M. edulis forms solid beds, coverings the large boulders, which are strewn across the middle and lower levels of the shore. Mussels also form patches higher on the shore on the vertical rocky cliffs, which border the shore. The mean tidal range at Criccieth, is 4.6m during spring tides and 1.6m at neaps (Admiralty Tide Tables, 1999).

Arisaig, Ardnamurchan Peninsula (Scotland) Position: 56°49.89'N 05°46.51'W

An exposed site on the north coast of the Ardnamurchan Peninsula, on the West Coast of Scotland. Here mussels form tightly packed beds on the gently sloping rock platform, which descends into deep water. Despite being sheltered to a small extent, by offshore islands such as Eigg, the site is exposed to a high degree of wave action. The mean tidal range at Loch Moidart, 10km to the east, is 4.3m during spring tides and 1.9m at neaps (Admiralty Tide Tables, 1999).

Kilkee, Co. Clare (Irish Republic) Position: 52°41.01'N 09°39.29'W

A large, westerly facing bay on the West Coast of Ireland. Mussels form

extensive beds covering the horizontal rock platforms, which surround the bay. The site is exposed to the full fetch of the Atlantic and thus a high degree of wave action. Samples were collected from a wave exposed location on the north-west end of the platform on the southern side of the bay. The mean tidal range at Liscanor, 20km to the north, is 4.1m during spring tides and 1.6m at neaps (Admiralty Tide Tables, 1999).
Doonbeg, Co. Clare (Irish Republic) Position: 52°44.47'N 09°31.57'W

A westerly facing 2km long sandy beach on the West Coast of Ireland. Mussels form thick, extensive beds on a large rocky platform at the far northern end of the Bay. The site is exposed to the full fetch of the Atlantic and thus a high degree of wave action. Mussel samples were collected from one of the many horizontal rock platforms, which surround the bay. The mean tidal range at Liscanor, 15km to the north, is 4.1m during spring tides and 1.6m at neaps (Admiralty Tide Tables, 1999).

Robin Hoods Bay, Yorkshire (England) Position: 54°27.11'N 00°31.01'W

A predominantly north-easterly facing shore, on the East Coast of England. Robin Hoods Bay extends from Old Peak, or South Cheek, about 6km to Ness Point, or North Cheek. Mussel samples and their associated infauna were collected from the far north end of the Bay, where they form solid, tightly packed beds, which extensively cover the horizontal wave cut shale platforms. The sampling area was beyond the main protected part of the Bay and thus was subject to a high degree of wave-action. The mean tidal range at Whitby, 7km to the north-west, is 4.8m during spring tides and 2.4m at neaps (Admiralty Tide Tables, 1999).

Filey Brigg, Yorkshire (England) Position: 54°12.46'N 00°15.02'W

 $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}}$

A northerly facing promontory, around 1krn long, at the far northern end of

Filey Bay, on the east coast of England. The site is exposed to a high degree of wave action. Mussels form thick extensive beds over the entire lower levels of the stepped rocky platform on the exposed north side of the Brigg. This site is very popular with local fishermen and it is possible that the mussels and their associated communities might be subject to disturbance through trampling. The mean tidal range at Filey Bay, is 4.8m for springs and 2.5m for neaps (Admiralty Tide Tables, 1999).

Las Cruces, VI Region, Chile Position: 33°29.30'S 71°39.20'W

A highly wave exposed westerly facing shore due west of Santiago. P. purpuratus forms thick beds on the sloping rock platforms and boulders of the middle

and lower shore areas, where there is considerable surge and wave action.

Cocholgue, VIII Region, Chile Position: 36°35.15'S 72°58.30'W

An enclosed, westerly facing fishing bay 20km north of Concepcion. P. purpuratus forms extensive beds on the horizontal rock platforms, which form the

substantial part of the middle to lower intertidal area. The rock surfaces are highly eroded and interspersed with many pools of varying sizes, along with surge gullies. The site is sheltered to some extent from the full force of the Pacific waves, since it lies within a protected bay. However, the mussels are still exposed to a high degree of wave action at this site.

Las Desembocadura, VIII Region, Chile Position: 36°48.20'S 73°10.05'W

A large circular sandy bay situated to the west of Concepcion, near the mouth of the River Bio Bio. The bay is interspersed with large rocky outcrops and

lined by rock platforms to the north and south. P. purpuratus forms consolidated beds attaching to rocks in the mid and low shore levels. The large size of the bay and range of exposure conditions it encompasses, enabled mussel samples to be collected from a variety of habitats, ranging from highly wave-exposed rock platforms at the far north and south ends of the bay (Desembocadura exposed sites 1 and 2, respectively), to more sheltered locations within the bay itself, to the north (Desembocadura sheltered site 1) and south (Desembocadura sheltered site 2).

Maule, VIII Region, Chile Position: 37°01.25'S 73°10.05'W

A small enclosed, westerly facing bay, close to the site at Coronel (below). P. purpuratus forms extensive beds on the horizontal rock platforms that occur

throughout the intertidal area of the shore. The site is sheltered to some extent from the full force of the Pacific waves, since it lies within a protected bay. However, the mussels are still exposed to a high degree of wave action at this site.

Coronel, VIII Region, Chile Position: 37°03.38'S 73°09.50'W

A large westerly facing 2km long sandy bay, 20km South of Concepcion. P. purpuratus forms beds on the gently sloping rocky platforms, extending into the lower shore area at the far northern end of the bay. The site is subject to a high degree of wave action. This site is located in the heart of the main coal producing area of Chile and coal dust and fragments are mixed in with the sand of the bay. In addition, the bay is a popular beach, lined with many bars and cafes and can be very crowded,

particularly during the summer.

Valdivia, X Region, Chile Position: 39°51.00'S 73°24.00'W

A long, west facing 2km long sandy bay, lined by rock platforms to the north and south. Mussel samples were collected from the highly wave exposed rock

platforms at the south end of the bay, where P. purpuratus formed thick, tightly packed multilayered beds on the middle and lower shore areas.

Field collection and processing of samples

The selection criteria for all the sites in the British Isles, Irish Republic and Chile, from which samples were collected, were that M. edulis and P. purpuratus of similar overall size and shape, formed continuous beds on rocky substrata in the midshore area, such that the habitat provided by each mussel population for colonisation by infaunal invertebrates was broadly similar. Sites were selected such that as far as

possible, conditions of wave-exposure were similar between all locations. More detailed descriptions of sampling procedures are provided, where necessary, in relevant chapters. On each occasion, eight replicate areas of 25cm² were scraped from the rock surfaces using a paint scraper and placed in labelled containers. With the exception of the investigation of small-scale spatial variations in community structure, the quadrats were taken randomly from horizontal surfaces within the central areas of the mussel beds at mid shore level, thus ensuring that any spatial variation effects, due to differing topographies within each shore were minimised. On collection, samples were placed immediately in a cool box and returned to the laboratory. Where this was not possible (samples from England, Scotland and Ireland), samples were placed immediately in 7% formalin. Each sample of mussels and its associated macro- and meiofauna from the sites in the British Isles and Irish Republic, were sieved through a 63um mesh, with 63pm filtered seawater. All material passing through the sieve was retained and placed in containers to settle for 48 hours, after which the seawater was decanted and any remaining material (classed as fine sediment) dried to constant weight at 60° C for 48 hours. The mussels and their associated fauna retained on the sieve were then fixed in 7% formalin, placed in labelled containers, and stored in the laboratory to await sorting. Samples were placed in 7% formalin, in order that the organisms retained their true colour, which facilitated identification. Prior to sorting, samples were removed from their labelled containers and washed through a 63um sieve with copious quantities of freshwater, in order to remove all traces of formalin. The material retained on the sieve was washed into a Bogorov tray and sorted under a dissecting microscope, while larger material, including mussels was sorted in Petri
dishes. Samples from Chile and those taken in Wales as nart of the study of Samples from Chile and those taken in Wales as part of the study of temporal variation associated with the mussel communities, were not sieved or preserved in formalin, but were sorted immediately after collection by dividing into

smaller sub-samples in Petri dishes containing 63µm filtered seawater and examining under a dissecting microscope.

All organisms, including small mussels (<5mm) present in the samples from the British Isles, Irish Republic and Chile were removed, identified to the lowest taxonomic category possible and counted. Where species did not conform to any available description or identification was not possible, the specimens were scale drawn, notes taken and each assigned to a morpho-species. Keys to most of the species in Chile were not available, while many of the species present in the samples had not been previously described. In addition, time was the limiting factor during this study, so to reduce sorting times and enable a greater volume of material to be processed; identification of organisms was generally only made to higher taxonomic levels. Polychaetes were identified to family level, while most other organisms were identified to class level. Others, such as nematodes and nemerteans were identified to phylum level. To reduce sorting time again, a measurement scale was assigned for the abundance of infauna. Taxa present in quadrats in numbers <25 were counted individually, while greater abundance were placed in various categories, as follows;

- approximately 25-50 individuals per quadrat $= 25$
- approximately 50-100 individuals per quadrat $= 50$
- greater than 100 individuals per quadrat $= 100$

Once all organisms had been removed from each of the samples from the British Isles and Irish Republic, all remaining material (small stones, shell fragments and byssal threads, classed as coarse sediment) was dried to constant weight at 60°C for 48 hours. This material was not collected from Chilean mussel samples. The sediment content of mussel samples collected in the investigation of small-scale variation in the structure of the communities was further subdivided into the following fractions, using graded sieves, and weighed; <63 μ m, 63-125 μ m, 125-250 μ m, 250-

$500\mu m$ and $>500\mu m$.

Where samples were collected at sites in the British Isles and Irish Republic to provide a comparison with samples collected in Chile, species identification and enumeration was conducted in the same way, in order to standardise methodologies as much as possible, for comparative purposes.

The length (anterior-posterior axis) of each mussel >5mm, was measured with vernier callipers, to the nearest 0.1mm and length-frequency distributions constructed. The total volume of the mussels from each quadrat was measured by calculating their displacement in a 200ml measuring cylinder. Total biomass of

mussels in British samples only, was obtained by drying the mussels in an oven at 60^oC for 48 hours and then weighing. Small mussels <5mm were not included in the size distributions, since these individuals are scaled to the same size as the rest of the associated fauna and thus play an interactive, rather than a structural, role at this stage in their life.

Age structure of mussel populations

In order to investigate the growth history of populations of M. edulis in the British Isles and P. purpuratus in Chile, samples of the largest individuals were

collected from the mid shore zones of mussel beds at several locations in each country. Mussels were collected from Point Lynas, White Beach and Criccieth in the British Isles, during July 1998 and Desembocadura exposed sites 1 and 2, Desembocadura sheltered site 2 and Coronel in Chile, during January 1997. Only shells with an intact periostracum were selected, since the analysis of external growth rings and internal growth bands is often difficult and frequently impossible in severely abraded shells or those damaged by the action of shell-boring algae. Since determination of the age of individual mussels by counting the number of surface growth rings was often difficult or impossible, it was necessary to study the internal microgrowth bands present in the prismatic layer of the shells. Mussels were boiled to remove all the flesh, whilst the external surfaces were scraped and scrubbed clean. One shell valve was then selected for embedding in Metaset resin (Buehler UK Ltd). All embedded shells were sectioned through the umbone, along the anterior-posterior axis, using a diamond saw. The cut surface of one section from each mussel was then ground smooth on increasingly finer grades of wet and dry paper, using a rotating table. The ground surface of each section was polished using household Brasso and washed in mild liquid detergent, before being placed in 1% Decal for 30 minutes, in order to etch the exposed surface of the mussel shell. Acetate peel replicas of the dry and etched shell sections were then prepared, by immersing replication material (Agar Scientific Ltd, UK) in. ethyl acetate solvent for a few seconds, before applying it to the etched surface of the shell section. Dry acetate peels were removed, trimmed and mounted onto glass microscope slides and viewed using a light microscope. Twenty M. edulis shells were prepared in this manner from each site in the British Isles, whilst only ten P. purpuratus shells were prepared from each site in Chile, since these shells were highly eroded and numbers of shells with an intact periostracum were limited. The annual growth and longevity of individual mussels was established by observing changes in the tidal microgrowth band patterns present in the middle

prismatic layer of each shell. Annual checks within each shell were identified by the narrow banding deposited during the winter (November to April in the British Isles, May to September in Chile). Each winter growth cessation was marked directly onto the acetate peel replica. The age of each mussel was noted and the distance between each successive check and the umbo measured to the nearest O. 1mrn using vernier callipers. Von Bertalariffy Growth (VBG) constants in the VBG equation $L_t = L_{max}(1 - e^{-kt})$ were determined from the measurements of size at age using the software package Fishparm, and fitted growth curves were subsequently generated and plotted for all mussel populations. (L_t = length at time (t); L_{max} = asymptotic

growth maximum; $k =$ the VBG constant and $t_0 =$ the estimated date of settlement when length is zero).

Species data analyses

Species data were analysed using the PRIMER 5 (Plymouth Routines in Multivariate Ecological Research) package for multivariate community data analysis. Various procedures within this package were utilised to describe both the biotic and environmental data.

have been stated by many authors (e.g. Green, 1979); however their use in the present study is justified as a method to facilitate the comparison of equally sized samples between sites. Univariate measures calculated were: number of taxa, the total number of individuals and the following diversity indices: Shannon-Weiner diversity (H), Margalef's species richness (d) and Pielou's evenness (J). In order to compare infaunal communities over various temporal, spatial and geographical scales, each univariate measure was calculated for all eight replicate quadrats, comprising one complete sample. A one-way ANOVA/Mood's median test was used to test the significance of spatial or temporal differences in univariate measures of diversity. Where applicable, diversity indices were also calculated from pooled data across sample replicates.

Univariate measures of mussel community structure

The limitations of single-figure diversity indices for describing ecological data

Inter-relationships between the measured environmental variables from each of the sample replicates and diversity indices were examined using Pearson product moment correlation coefficients. All environmental variables used in the analysis were first log transformed to reduce the characteristically skewed nature of such environmental data.

Multivariate measures of mussel community structure

The two-stage approach advocated by Field et al (1982) for analysing multivariate data has been followed. This involves exploring the sample and species associations and then comparing the findings with the environmental information in order to search for an environmental interpretation of the community patterns.

A range of non-parametric multivariate techniques included in the PRIMER V5 package was applied to the species/sample matrices in order to summarise the faunal community data at each of the sites in the British Isles, Irish Republic and Chile. This involved initially constructing a triangular similarity matrix from the biotic

data matrix using the Bray-Curtis similarity coefficient. Species abundance in the data matrix was first subject to either a square-root transformation, where emphasis in the analysis is placed on abundant species, or a presence/absence transformation, which allows the rarer species to influence the analyses (Elliot, 1977). Similarity coefficients were then grouped using group average linkage cluster analysis, a hierarchical classification technique (Lance and Williams, 1967), and the results displayed as dendrograms. The Bray-Curtis similarity matrix was further employed to produce non-metric multidimensional scaling (MDS) ordinations of intersample relationships (Kruskal and Wish, 1978). Such ordination methods serve to summarise community data by producing a "map" or configuration in a twodimensional space in which similar samples are close together and dissimilar samples are further apart. The non-metric solution seeks for an ordination in as few dimensions as possible (preferably two) which minimises the stress value. A large stress value (>0.2) indicates that the configuration or "map" for a particular dimension poorly represents the sample dissimilarities. As such, stress values can be regarded as a measure of the goodness of fit of an ordination diagram in representing the similarity values (Clarke and Green, 1988). Ordinations produced using non-metric MDS at each site were tested, where applicable, against ordinations showing cyclic patterns of seasonal change, seriation patterns indicating underlying gradients in the data, or against other independent similarity matrices. This was achieved by defining them in only one dimension, so that the correlation of their ranks can be used as a measure of their agreement. The RELATE routine achieves this by calculating

Spearman rank correlation between each of the corresponding similarity matrices, the significance of which is then ascertained through a permutation procedure (Clarke and Ainsworth, 1993).

The triangular similarity matrices were further utilised in the ANOSIM
procedure to test for significant differences in community structure between samples. ANOSIM generates a value of R, which is scaled to lie between -1 and $+1$, a value of zero representing the null hypothesis, such that there is no significant difference in community structure between samples. A value of +1 indicates significant differences, while a value of -1 indicates greater dissimilarity among replicate units within samples than occurs between samples (Chapman and Underwood, 1999). Similarity percentage analyses (SIMPER) were performed on the species/sample matrices to elucidate characteristic faunal groupings and to determine which species contribute to the Bray-Curtis dissimilarity between samples (Clarke, 1993). However, where a holistic approach was required to identify species contributing to sample differences, such as when community changes are occurring

in a continuum, the BVSTEP procedure in PRIMER was used. This procedure undertakes a stepwise selection of species from the main biotic data matrix, in an attempt to search for a species subset which, when subject to ordination techniques, displays the same pattern as the entire data set.

Analysis of environmental parameters in the mussel bed

To search for initial relationships between environmental variables measured in the mussel beds and the infaunal communities, Pearson product moment correlation coefficients were calculated between all environmental variables and univariate measures of diversity.

The second stage in analysing the multivariate data was to test whether the

community patterns found at each site could be related to environmental gradients. Correlation-based principal components analysis (PCA) was used to ordinate the environmental variables, the data having previously undergone a log transformation. PC1 was then plotted against each of the univariate measures of community diversity at each of the three locations and Pearson product moment correlation coefficients calculated to search for any relationships. Triangular similarity matrices based on normalised Euclidean distance were constructed from the environmental data obtained from each of the mussel bed samples. These ordinations derived from environmental data and the averaged biotic data from each sample were then compared using the RELATE routine.

Relationships between environmental measures and biological variation were

further explored using the BIOENV procedure in PRIMER (Clarke and Ainsworth, 1993). This approach detects patterns of variation in the species data, which can be "best", explained by the observed environmental variables, using rank correlation coefficients. Prior to inclusion in the BIOENV procedure, Pearson product moment correlation coefficients were calculated between all log-transformed environmental parameters at each site to establish whether any of them were co-linear. If any colinear relationships were seen to exist (r>0.95), a single variable was used as a representative of the correlated variables in the analysis.

Sample size calculation

A quadrat size of 25cm² (5x5cm) was selected, since it has been shown previously that in communities, such as those associated with mussel beds, where species are patchily distributed, the combination of separate small areas will give a higher species count than a contiguous area of the same size (Pringle, 1984).

There are various methods by which the data in the form of the species count

of a number of random quadrats of equal size can be used to determine the species content of areas of larger size. The simplest of these is to order the samples randomly and then construct a straightforward cumulative species/area curve (Ursin, 1960). However, these curves are irregular, and their shape is dependent on the position in the sequence of those quadrats containing numbers of the rarer species; a re-randomisation of the quadrats can totally change the curve. It was thus suggested by Ursin (1960) that totally independent samples at each size enabled improved statistical analysis. However, the number of samples required to construct such curves is very high, being 210 for one replicate for each point on the curve from one to twenty quadrats. In addition, irregular curves will still result for the same reasons described earlier. In an attempt to reduce the irregularities of the curve, Ursin (1960) suggested the construction of 'semi-cumulative' curves, where the mean number of species is determined for all mutually independent sets of quadrats taken 1,2,3,4 n ... at a time from the pool of n quadrats. However, this method can still lead to irregularities in the curve for sets of >n/2 quadrats, where only one mutually independent set can be drawn from the pool. Various methods using probability theory have been used in the derivation of species/ area curves, most of which are lengthy, cannot be extrapolated beyond the original sample size and make no compensation for species with zero counts in the original sample. Hawkins and Hartnoll (1980) suggested a method using the frequency of occurrence in random quadrats, which permits indefinite extrapolation beyond the original sample size and permits a partial compensation for zero counts. This method was used to calculate

sample size in this study and is summarised as follows.

Assume that N quadrats contain a total of S species, and that the ith species occurs in n_i quadrats. The probability of the ith species occurring in a quadrat is n_i/N , and the probability of it not appearing is $1-(n_i/N)$. The probability of it not occurring in q quadrats is [1-(n_i/N)]", and of it not occurring 1-[1-(n_i/N)]". Inus the number of species expected in q quadrats will be:

 $\langle \cdot \rangle$

 ϵ

This formula may be used to calculate the expected species number in any number of quadrats, either smaller or larger than N and would be expected to provide an acceptable prediction if the sample of N quadrats contained information on the frequency of all the species in the community. Some species will have a zero frequency in the sample, but have a finite probability of appearing in a sample of that size. The number of species with low probability of occurrence in a quadrat (i. e. of 1/N or 2/N) will be underestimated. This will substantially affect the predicted species

number at sample sizes up to N quadrats. The number of species with even lower probabilities, of less than 1/N, will not be indicated by the sample. Thus a correction factor must be applied to the formula to allow for rarer species within the community. The correction factor is as follows and is only necessary for those frequencies where;

 $[1-(n/N)]^N > 0.1$

Table 1 details the number of taxa encountered in increasing numbers of 25cm² quadrats at White Beach and Criccieth, while Table 2 provides information on

No correction can be made with regard to species with a frequency of less than 1/N on the basis of information in the sample, although the effects of not doing so will be minimal for areas up to N quadrats.

Thus for each value of. q an increment must be made to the predicted number of

species in respect of each of the frequency classes where it is required. This is the probability of occurrence of one species of that frequency in q quadrats multiplied by the number of un-represented species as calculated above, namely:

$$
\left(1-\left[1-\frac{ni}{N}\right]^q\right)x\left[\left[\frac{x}{1-\left[1-\left(ni/N\right)\right]^N}\right]-x\right]
$$

In order to use this probability theory method to calculate the predicted species/area relationship for mussel bed communities, fifteen replicate 25cm² quadrats were collected from mussel patches at both Criccieth and White Beach and

the resulting species abundance data entered into the model.

RESULTS

Table 1 Observed taxa/area relationship of infaunal communities associated with mussel beds at a) White Beach, Anglesey and b) Criccieth, Gwynedd.

a) White Beach

 \bullet

b) Criccieth

 \mathcal{A}

COLLEGE

31

Criccieth (q pue Anglesey of occurrence in quadrats, of each infaunal taxon found in mussel beds at a) White Beach, Anglesey
ked * are found in only 1 or 2 quadrats, so correction factors must be applied to these taxa in the model.

Taxa ma

Gwynedd.

 $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{C}}$

 \bullet

 \mathbf{u}

 $\mathbf{r}^{(2)}$

 $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$

 \mathbb{L}

 \sim

 \sim

 $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{A}}$

 χ

 Δ

 \mathcal{S}_β

 \mathbf{v}

 $\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{$

 Δ^2

 \mathbf{F}

 $\hat{\mathbf{v}}$

 $\left\vert -\right\vert$

 \mathcal{A}^{\pm}

 $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{A}}$

 $\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{i} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{1}{j} \sum_{j=1}^{n$

 \sim \sim

 $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{Z}^{\times}}$

 \mathbb{R}^2

 \blacksquare

 32

does

taxon

taxon

 \bullet

 \bullet

calculated values of the probability of occurrence of each of these taxa in a quadrat. These data were then entered into the probability theory model described earlier, and resulting taxa/area curves plotted for each location (Figure 3). At both sites, the model predicts that eight to nine 25cm² quadrats should be taken in order to sample adequately the communities associated with the mussel populations. As such, in studies of the temporal, spatial and geographical variation in the structure of invertebrate communities associated with M. edulis in the British Isles and Irish Republic and P. purpuratus in Chile, eight replicate 25cm² quadrats were taken from mussel beds.

Contract Contract Contract Contract and the first product of

33

in the number of taxa encountered using probability theory. predicted

 \bullet

 \bullet

 54

w bebeiacises associated Beach, White at a) beds rves for infaunal mussel Ξ size

 \mathbf{g}

sample Taxa/area **burse** increa 5 Figure with

<u>ริ</u>

Chapter 3

Size structure and growth rate of Mytilus edulis and Perumytilus purpuratus populations together with a brief note on their associated invertebrate communities

 \bullet

 \bullet

 \mathbf{r}

 \bullet .

ABSTRACT

The size structure and growth rates of Mytilus edulis and Perumytilus purpuratus were investigated at a variety of locations throughout the British Isles, Irish Republic and Chile, South America. Investigations showed inter-site differences in the size structure of M. edulis populations throughout the British Isles and Irish Republic during the summer of 1999, although smaller (<10mm) mussels dominated most of the mussel populations. The maximum length attained was 33mm, at Criccieth and White Beach, North Wales and Filey Brigg, NE England while few individuals larger than 25mm were found at any of the other sites. Mussel density varied between 55 and 176 individuals per 25cm², while mussel volume and biomass also showed significant inter-site variations. P. purpuratus populations in Chile showed considerable inter-site variations in size structure. The maximum size attained was 37mm at Desembacadura exposed site 1, although mussels greater than 20mm were common at all sites. These mussel populations contained fewer small individuals than their British and Irish counterparts. Mussel density did not vary significantly between sites, with around 20-30 individuals per $25cm²$ at all sites. The size structure of mussel populations in North Wales, along with mussel density, volume and biomass showed some seasonal variation, while M. edulis and P. purpuratus populations both showed annual variations in size structure. M. edulis showed significantly different growth rates at three different sites in North Wales, while the

growth rate of P. purpuratus also showed significant inter-site variation. M. edulis displayed a significantly faster growth rate than Perumytilus purpuratus, although P. purpuratus attained a greater maximum age. A total of 182 taxa were identified from the M. edulis communities in the British Isles and Ireland, while substantially fewer were identified to higher taxonomic levels, from the P. purpuratus beds in Chile.

36

INTRODUCTION

 \sim 1

Structural complexity of the environment has often been considered an important determinant of biotic diversity and biologists have long held the view that structurally complex habitats support more species than structurally simple ones (Smith, 1972; Kohn and Leviten, 1976). The notion that the number of species able to survive in any one habitat is directly proportional to the number of microhabitats has been quantified for a variety of communities including rocky intertidal shores (Archambault and Bourget, 1996), subtidal reefs (Pimm, 1994), groups of birds (MacArthur, 1972), chydorid cladocerans (Whiteside and Harmsworth, 1967),

gastropods of the genus Conus (Kohn, 1967; Kohn and Leviten, 1976) and lizards (Pianka, 1966). Harner and Harper (1976) illustrated in a study of plant species diversity that environmental heterogeneity was strongly correlated with species diversity and accounted for 84% of the variation in species numbers. Thus, in this study of mussel community structure, any variation in the density and size distributions of the mussels might result in changes in the diversity of the associated invertebrate communities.

Size-frequency distributions within some bivalve populations are characteristically polymodal, each mode representing an individual year class (Richardson et a/, 1995). In many mussel populations however, size classes may overlap to such an extent that while size-frequency distributions provide information

on the heterogeneity of the habitat provided by the mussels, they are of limited value for estimating population growth rate (e.g. Kautsky, 1982; Richardson et al, 1990). Growth in Mytilus has been extensively documented. The growth history of each mussel is permanently recorded in the shell, either as a series of annual checks in the periostracum (Seed, 1969a; Davenport et a/, 1984) and/or inner nacreous layers (Lutz, 1976; Kautsky, 1982), or as microgrowth bands present in the middle prismatic layer (Richardson, 1989; Richardson et al, 1990). Surface growth rings produced during periods of suspended shell growth have previously been used to determine the age of individual M. edulis (Seed and Richardson, 1990). However, periods of suspended shell growth may also be associated with seasonal changes in temperature or food availability, prolonged stormy weather, or even with the annual

reproductive cycle and cannot therefore be assumed to be of annual origin (Seed, 1976).

Several authors have demonstrated that mussel growth rates and population structure vary according to environmental conditions, such as temperature (Richardson et a/, 1990; Sukhotin and Kulakowski, 1992), food supply (Incze et a/, 1980; Page and Ricard, 1990) and aerial exposure (Rodhouse et a/, 1984; Sukhotin and Maximovich, 1994). Longevity of mussels in areas of rapid growth has been shown to be considerably less than in those areas where they display slow growth (Seed, 1968). Sanders (1968) concluded that, with increasing habitat age and prolonged habitat stability, diversity increases and the community evolves into a set of species that are biologically accommodated. In contrast, young communities, which are controlled primarily by physical factors, have eurytopic species, wide population fluctuations and many opportunistic species. Thus, the age structure of the mussel populations might also affect community diversity and as such, has been determined in this study for some of the mussel populations from the British Isles and

Irish Republic and Chile.

Details of the size distribution of mussel populations at all of the sample sites in both the British Isles, Irish Republic and in Chile, South America are provided in this chapter. Growth rates of M. edulis and P. purpuratus have been determined, by examination of the tidal microgrowth bands present in the prismatic layer of the shells. A brief summary of the suite of species associated with these mussel beds is also provided.

METHODOLOGY

Details of the methodology for this work are provided in Chapter 2.

RESULTS

Size structure of mussel populations

Sites in the British Isles and Irish Republic

The mussel populations from eight study sites in the British Isles and Irish Republic did not exhibit polymodal size distributions (Figure 1). The populations at Arisaig, Kilkee, Filey Brigg and Robin Hood's Bay were dominated by smaller mussels with a shell length of between 5mm and 10mm and had relatively few large mussels. Populations at Point Lynas, Criccieth and Doonbeg were dominated by medium sized mussels (12mm to 20mm) with few small mussels. The population at White Beach, however, was exceptional in having a relatively uniform distribution of mussel sizes. The maximum size observed was 33mm, at White Beach, Criccieth and Filey Brigg. Mussel density (per 25cm²) was highly variable between each location, with highest densities at Filey Brigg and lowest densities at White Beach (Table 1). Mussel volume and biomass per unit area were highest at White Beach and Filey Brigg and lowest at Point Lynas and Doonbeg. Little seasonal variation in size structure of the mussel populations at Point Lynas and White Beach was apparent between January 1998 and November 1998

 \blacklozenge

Point Lynas, Wales

White Beach, Wales

 \Box

 \mathbf{I}

Criccieth, Wales

Arisaig, Scotland

Doonbeg, Irish Republic

Figure 1 Size distributions of Mytilus edulis from eight sites in the British Isles and Irish Republic, in July 1999. Distributions are based on a mussel bed sample area of 200cm².

Table 1 Mean density, volume and biomass of mussels per 25cm² area of mussel bed at eight sites in the British Isles and Irish Republic. 95% confidence intervals are marked, such that significant differences (p<0.05) are represented by nonoverlapping intervals.

 \mathbf{f}

 $\left\langle \bullet \right\rangle$

 ~ 100

 \mathcal{A} .

 \sim

Contract Contract Contract and the control and the state of the

40

Contract Contract

the contract of the contract of the contract of the

(Figure 2 and 3), although slight variations were observed in the frequency of mussels at the smaller end of the size range (5 to 10mm). The mean density of mussels at the two locations, particularly White Beach, showed little seasonal variation, while mussel volume and biomass per unit area displayed slight monthly fluctuations, although with little consistency between sites or seasons (Table 2). By contrast, however, the mussel population at Criccieth showed considerable seasonal variation in size structure between January 1998 and November 1998, notably with an absence of large mussels (>24mm) in July and small mussels (<16mm) in September (Figure 4). The modal size increased gradually throughout the year, from 12mm in January to 30mm in November. Mussel density also showed considerable seasonal fluctuations, with higher values during the summer months (May and July) than in the winter months (November and January) (Table 2). By contrast, mussel volume and biomass were greater during the winter months than the summer. These seasonal patterns in mussel density, volume and biomass were similar between 1998 and 1999. The size structure of the populations at Point Lynas and White Beach remained relatively stable between 1998 and 1999 (Figure 5). However, the population at Criccieth fluctuated in density, particularly between summer and winter, while there was an overall trend towards increasing maximum size between July 1998 and January 1999.

Sites in Chile

exposed site 1. The size structure of the mussel populations at the three sites at Desembocadura and Coronel showed slight annual differences between 1997 and 2000 (Figure 8), whilst mean mussel density did not vary significantly (Figure 9). The size structure of the mussel populations in the UK and Chile did not differ greatly. However, mussel beds in the British Isles and Irish Republic generally had more small, juvenile mussels, while the density of P. purpuratus in the Chilean

Mussel populations from the Chilean sites did not display any apparent polymodal size distributions (Figure 6). The populations at Las Cruces, Desembocadura sheltered site 1, Cocholgue and Valdivia were generally dominated by large mussels (16mm to 27m), with few small mussels. Populations at Desembocadura (exposed sites), along with Maule and Coronel had much more uniform size distributions. The largest mussels occurred at the Desembocadura exposed sites, where they reached a maximum length of 37mm.

Mussel density did not vary greatly between the nine sites (Figure 7). However, there was a great deal of within site variation in the density of mussels within mussel beds at each of the locations, with the exception of Desembocadura

41

durii collected at bimonthly intervals
bed sample area of 200cm². sample bed nglesey, mussel $\boldsymbol{\varpi}$ SO mas, are based Point **Distributions** edulis from Mytilus 1998. ð and November Size distribut 998 $\boldsymbol{\mathsf{N}}$ January Figure

period twelve-month σ during / intervals
200cm². $\overline{\sigma}$ bimonthly on a mussel bed sample area \vec{a} collected Anglesey, based \mathbf{r} Beach are White **Distributions** edulis from .1998. Mytilus and November $\overleftarrow{\mathbf{O}}$ distributions 1998

January

between

 $rac{6}{5}$

 $\mathbf{\Omega}$

Figure

 \blacksquare

1998

Table 2 Mean density, volume and biomass of mussels per 25cm² in mussel beds from Point Lynas, White Beach and Criccieth, Wales at intervals between January 1998 and July 1999. 95% confidence intervals are marked, such that significant differences (p<0.05) are represented by non-overlapping intervals.

 $1 - 44$

כד

 $- --$

remper tions

Size distributions of *Mytilus edulis* from three sites in North Wales; Point Lynas and White Beach, Anglesey and Criccieth, Gwynedd,
January 1998, b) July 1998, c) January 1999 and d) July 1999. Distributions are based on Figure 5 Size distributions of Mytilus edulis from
during a) January 1998, b) July 1998, c) January

 \mathcal{L}

 \sim

 \sim

 \mathcal{A}

 $\mathcal{R}=\mathcal{F}$

 \mathcal{L}

 \sim 8.

 \sim

 \uparrow ,

 $\sim 10^{-5}$

 $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

 $\begin{aligned} \frac{d\mathbf{r}}{dt} &= \frac{d\mathbf{r}}{dt} \end{aligned}$

 \sim

 2%

 \sim

 \sim

 \sim

 \mathcal{A}

 \blacktriangleleft

 $\langle \mathbf{x} \rangle$

 \mathbf{u}

 \sim

 \bullet

 \sim

 \prec

 \mathcal{S}_c

 \bullet

 Δ

 $\langle \star \rangle$

 \mathbf{r}

 $\frac{4}{\sqrt{3}}$

 $\frac{1}{2}$

 $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$

 \mathbf{r}

 \bullet

 $\sim 10^7$

Las Cruces, VI Region

Desembocadura Exposed site 1, VIII Region

Desembocadura Sheltered site 2, VIII Region

Coronel, VIII Region

Maule, VIII Region

Valdivia, X Region

Desembocadura Sheltered site 1, VIII Region

Figure 6 Size distributions of Perumytilus purpuratus from nine sites in Chile, South America. All mussels were collected in January 1999, with the exception of Valdivia, where mussels were collected in January 1998. Distributions are based on a mussel bed sample area of 200cm².

 $\frac{a}{a}$. The state $\frac{a}{a}$ is the state $\frac{a}{a}$ do&& 68 10 12 14 16 18 20 22 24 26 28 30 32 34 36 Shell Length, mm

Cocholgue, Vill Region

Desembocadura Exposed site 2, Vill Region

 $14₇$

14.

sites in Chile, South America (LC = Las Cruces, VI
DE2 = Desembocadura exposed site 2, VIII Region;
site 2, VIII Region; C = Coronel, VIII Region; M =
that significant differences (p<0.05) are represented

 $\frac{8}{4}$

đ Cocholgue, inter Desembocadura V Mean density
CH = Cocholgu П VIII Region; by non-overlapping $\overline{ }$ $\begin{array}{c}\n\text{Region:} \\
\text{DSS} \\
\text{I} \\
\text{I}\n\end{array}$ Figure Maule,

င m $\overline{}$ No mussels.25cm²

 49

 \bullet

Figure 1, De

 \mathbf{u}

are site $(p < 0.05)$ sheltered differences \sim and sites icant signifi exposed that Desembocadura such marked, are \vec{p} bed als mussel inter **DO** O confider area $25cm²$ 95% Perumytilus purpuratus, per
Iry 1997, 1999 and 2000. als. inter turing January 1 \overline{u} ō density during Mean λq \blacksquare Coronel represented თ Figure and

mussel beds was substantially less than that of M. edulis in the British Isles and Irish Republic.

Age structure of the mussel populations

When viewed under the light microscope, acetate peel replicas of polished and etched *M. edulis* and *P. purpuratus* shells revealed three shell layers; 1) a thin outer periostracum, 2) a middle prismatic layer and 3) an inner nacreous layer. A series of growth increments separated by distinct narrow growth bands were observed in the prismatic layer (Figure 10). The width of the bands varied seasonally with wider increments deposited during the summer (May to September in the British Isles and October to April in Chile) and narrow bands during the winter.. Table 3 contains size at age data for M. edulis from three sites in the British Isles and P. purpuratus from four sites in Chile.

The mussels from Desembocadura exposed site 1 reached a maximum age of 8 years and a shell length of 25.2±0.8mm, while mussels at Desembocadura exposed site 2 attained a greater maximum age of 10 years at a length of 27.1±0.3mm (Table 3). Mussels at both Desembocadura sheltered site 1 and Coronel reached a maximum age of 9 years at lengths of 24.3±0. Omm and 22.3±0. Omm, respectively. Regression analysis performed using the General Linear Model, after log gamma transformation, revealed that the growth rates of mussels at Desembocadura exposed 1 site were growing at a significantly faster rate than at each of the other locations (Table 4). Mussels at Desembocadura sheltered site 1 and Coronel had a significantly slower growth rate than mussels at the other two

Sites in the British Isles

The mussels from Point Lynas reached a maximum age of 3 years and a shell length of 19.5±0.2mm (Table 3), whilst mussels at White Beach reached a maximum age of 6 years at a length of 24.6±0.5mm and those from Criccieth reached a maximum age of 5 years and a length of 24.0±0. Omm. Regression analysis performed using the general linear model, after log gamma transformation, revealed that the growth rates of mussels at each of the three locations differed significantly (Table 4). Growth rate was fastest in mussels at Point Lynas and slowest at White Beach, while the growth rate of mussels at Criccieth was intermediate between the two.

Sites in Chile

 ω

 $\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{a}$, and $\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{a}$, and $\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{a}$ the control of the control of the contract of the contract of the contract of \bullet $\sim 10^{-11}$

Figure 10 a), b) Photographs of Mytilus edulis and Perumytilus purpuratus shells, c) Photomicrograph of an acetate peel replica of M. edulis and P. purpuratus shell sections, e) Schematic diagram of a mussel shell section to illustrate the layers of the shell: p, periostracum; pl, prismatic layer, n, nacreous layer, u, umbo, f) position of a winter growth check (arrow) in the shell of M. edulis.

the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of

 \mathcal{L}

 \bullet

a) Mytilus edulis shell

e) Schematic diagram

52

b) Perumytilus purpuratus shell

c) Mytilus edulis section d) Perumytilus purpuratus section

f) Position of winter growth check

 \vec{m}

 \sim

 \mathcal{A}

 \bullet

 $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{A})$

 $\sim 10^{-1}$

 \sim

 $\langle \sigma \rangle$

es for the gr
Point Lynas
sheltered s

 \blacklozenge

sites, while the growth rate of mussels at Desembocadura exposed site 2 was intermediate between the other sites. Regression analysis performed using the general linear model, revealed that growth rates of M. edulis at locations in the UK were significantly greater than for P. purpuratus at locations in Chile (Table 5).

Species composition of communities associated with mussel populations Sites in the British Isles and Irish Republic

Between January 1998 and July 1999, a total of 87 taxa were identified from mussel beds at Point Lynas, with 94 at White Beach and 94 at Criccieth (Table 6). At

Point Lynas, the most numerically abundant taxa were nematodes, nemerteans, mites, Clunio sp. larvae, bivalves (predominantly juvenile mussels) and various small species of gastropods. At White Beach the dominant taxa were nematodes, Clunio sp. larvae, bivalves (predominantly small mussels) and various crustaceans including ostracods, barnacles, isopods, amphipods, tanaids and crabs. The community at Criccieth was characterised by nematodes, Clunio sp. larvae, isopods, barnacles, bivalves (predominantly small mussels) and various polychaete species. A more detailed analysis of the invertebrate communities associated with each of the mussel populations is provided in later chapters.

Identification of infaunal associates of P. purpuratus was only carried out to relatively broad taxonomic categories, since taxonomic keys to most of the species were not available, and many of the species present in the samples had not been previously described. In addition, time was a limiting factor during this study, so to reduce sorting times and enable a greater volume of material to be processed;

During the summer of 1999 (June and July), 29 taxa were associated with the mussel population at Arisaig, with 43 at Kilkee, 35 at Doonbeg, 52 at Robin Hood's

Bay and 46 at Filey Brigg (Table 6). During the summer of 1999 at the three main study sites in Wales, 36 taxa were identified at Point Lynas, 28 at White Beach and 39 at Criccieth during July 1999. The invertebrate communities associated with mussel populations at the different locations in the UK were dominated to varying degrees, by taxa including nematodes, bivalves, gastropods, insects (mainly Clunio sp. larvae) and mites (Figure 11). Bivalves (mainly juvenile mussels) dominated mussel communities from all sites, while many of the dominant taxa varied in abundance and identity between sites (e. g. ostracods, isopods, and insects). Other taxa, such as nemerteans, gastropods and mites were found in consistently high numbers at all or most of the sites.

Sites in Chile

 \bullet

 \bullet

Table 5 a) ANOVA performed between regression slopes for the growth rates of Mytilus edulis at 3 sites in the British Isles (Point Lynas, White Beach and Criccieth) and Perumytilus purpuratus at four sites in Chile (Desembocadura exposed site 1, Desembocadura exposed site 2, Desembocadura sheltered site 1 and Coronel), using the general linear model; b) the departure of single regression slopes, representing growth rates, from the average slope.

a)

b)

the control of the control of the

56

Table 6 List of taxa identified from mussel beds at each of the study sites in the British Isles and Irish Republic. throughout the entire study period (PL, Point Lynas; WB, White Beach; C, Criccieth; A, Arisaig, K, Kilkee; D, Doonbeg; RHB, Robin Hood's Bay; FB, Filey Brigg). Taxa are listed alphabetically within main taxonomic groupings. Taxa at Point Lynas, White Beach and Criccieth marked *, were present in samples collected during July 1999, as part of the study of large-scale spatial variation in the structure of mussel communities.

 -1

 α

Nepthys hombergii ۰ Nepthys sp. Odontosyllis sp. ۰ ٠ Ophelia bicomis ۰ ۰ ۰ Ophelia rathkei ۰ ٠ Paralaeospira malardi Perinereis cultrifera ۰ Pherusa plumosa \bullet ۰ Pholoe inoculata ۰ Pholoe inomata ٠ Phyllodocid sp. ۰ Polydora ciliata ٠ Polychaete indet. Polychaete larvae indet. ٠ Pomatoceros triqueter \bullet Scolelepis squamata \bullet . \bullet ۰ $\bar{\mathcal{R}}$ Scoloplos armiger \bullet Spirorbis spirorbis \bullet . Syllid sp. 1 ۰ Syllid sp. 2 ٠ ٠ Typosyllis prolifera ۰ ۰ Typosyllis sp. \bullet OLIGOCHAETES Oligochaeta indet. \bullet . \bullet . \bullet . ۰ SIPHUNCULIDS Golfingia vulgaris \bullet OSTRACODS

Heterocythere albomaculata

 \bullet .

٠

 $\bullet \quad \circ$

 \bullet .

 \bullet .

 \bullet

Leptocythere pellucida

Semicytherura nigrescens

Ostracod sp. 4

 \bullet

 \bullet .

 \bullet .

۰

۰

 \bullet

 \bullet

۰

Idotea emarginata æ Idotea granulosa o service de la constitución de la constitución de la constitución de la constitución de la c ۰ Idotea linearis ۰ Idotea neglecta ۰ Idotea pelagica o o personal distribution of the contract of t \bullet . $^{\circ}$ ۰ Jaera aibifrons \bullet \bullet . \bullet " " " " Jaera marina ۰ Jaera nordmanni $^{\circ}$ Janira maculosa ۰ Janiropsis breviremis ۰ AMPHIPODS Abludomelita obtusata \bullet Atylus swammerdami Calliopius laeviusculus Echinogammarus marinus \bullet . ۰ Ericthonius punctatus ۰ ۰ Hyale prevostii \bullet . \circledast ٠ . S S lphimedia minuta lphimedia obesa Jassa falcata \bullet Orchestia gammarellus \bullet ۰ Parajassa pelagica \bullet Pontocrates altamarinus ۰ Stenothoe monoculoides ۰ Sunamphitoe pelagica \bullet 25 DECAPODS Cancer pagurus on the cancer of \sim

Mite sp. 9 Mite sp. 10 \bullet Mite sp. 11 \bullet Mite sp. 12 INSECTS Clunio sp. larvae indet. \bullet . \bullet . \bullet . \bullet . \bullet . \bullet Dipteran larvae indet. \bullet \bullet . $\qquad \qquad \bullet$ ۰ ۰ Anurida maritima $\bullet \quad .$ Petrobius marinus \bullet CHITONS Acanthochitona crinata \bullet Lepidochitona asellus \bullet . Lepidochitona cinerea \bullet Acanthocardia echinata

٠ Venerupis senegalensis ۰ GASTROPODS Alvania beam \bullet Alvania punctura ۰ Barleeia unifasciata ۰ Brachystomia scalaris **0** \bullet . \bullet ۵ \bullet

Hydrobia ulvae \bullet ۰ Juvenile gastropods indet. \bullet . \bullet ۰ ۰ ۰ \bullet ۰ Lacuna pallidula ۰ ۰ Lacuna parva ۰ Lacuna vincta \bullet \bullet Limapontia depressa \bullet . ٠ ۰ Littorina littorea \bullet ۰ Littorina mariae \bullet . ۰ Littorina neglecta \bullet . Littorina obtusata $\qquad \qquad \bullet$ Littorina rudis \bullet . \bullet ۰ Manzonia crassa $\qquad \qquad \bullet$ Nucella lapillus \bullet ۰ Obtusella intersecta Ocinebrina edwardsi ٠ Omalogyra atomus Ondina diaphina Onoba semicostata \bullet \bullet . ۰ ۰ Δt Patella ulyssiponensis ۰ Patella vulgata $\qquad \qquad \bullet$ ۰ cht. Propilidium exiguum \bullet Rissoa membranacea ۰ Rissoa parva \bullet . . Rissoa violacea ۰ Rissoella opalina \bullet . ۰ ∂t \bullet Skenea serpuloides \bullet . ● 当

Contract \sim $-$

Figure 11 Abundance of main taxa in the mussel beds at eight sites in the British Isles and Irish Republic during the summer of 1999. Taxa are restricted to those contributing to >5% of individuals present in the community at at least one of the eight sites.

the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of

the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the

图1-10-10-20 Control (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000
2000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000

 \sim **Contract Contract Contract Contract** and the control of the con-

Taxa Key Nematodes Nm Nemerteans
P Polychaetes P Polychaetes
O Oligochaetes **Oligochaetes** Os Ostracods
B Barnacles **Barnacles**

 $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}}$

I Isopods
A Amphipo A Amphipods
M Mites M Mites
In Insect In Insects
G Gastrop G Gastropods
Bi Bivalves **Bivalves**

 10

Arisaiq, Scotland

10

X

identification of organisms was generally only made to higher taxonomic levels. A total of 21 taxa were identified from the mussel beds at Las Cruces, with 24 at Maule, between 19 and 29 at the four sites at Desembocadura, 22 at Coronel, 20 at Cocholgue and 21 at Valdivia (Table 7). Invertebrate communities associated with the mussel populations at the different locations in Chile were dominated to varying degrees, by a variety of taxa, including nematodes, nemerteans, various polychaete families, oligochaetes, barnacles, isopods, amphipods, crabs, gastropods and juvenile mussels (Figure 12). The dominant taxonomic groups varied greatly between sites; gastropods were the most abundant taxonomic group (excluding

juvenile mussels) in mussel populations at Las Cruces, Desembocadura exposed site 1 and sheltered site 2, while polychaetes were the most abundant group at Maule and Desembocadura sheltered site 1. Nematodes dominated the mussel community at Desembocadura exposed site 2, while barnacles dominated numerically at Coronel, Cocholgue and Valdivia.

In summary, intersite differences were observed in the size structure and growth rate of both M. edulis in the British Isles and Irish Republic and P. purpuratus in Chile. M. edulis beds contained a higher proportion of smaller mussels than P. purpuratus beds, which were dominated by larger individuals. Chilean mussels attained a greater maximum length and age than British and Irish mussels, while M. edulis had a faster growth rate. Both mussel beds supported diverse infaunal

communities.

DISCUSSION

Size structure of mussel populations

The mussel populations from study sites in the British Isles and Irish Republic and Chile did not show clear polymodal size distributions, but were either dominated by small or larger mussels or had a fairly even representation of all size classes. Such distributions presumably reflect the extended period of recruitment and/or variable individual growth rates of mussels, which lead to the merging or overlap of successive year classes (Seed, 1976; Kautsky, 1982). In addition, in localities where the life expectancy of mussels is increased due to the absence of predators, a high incidence of old individuals occurs, whereas in populations in which the mussel turnover is more rapid there is a preponderance of relatively young mussels (Seed,
1968). Despite some intersite differences, the size-frequency distributions of M. Despite some intersite differences, the size-frequency distributions of M. edulis and P. purpuratus were broadly similar across all sites within the British Isles and Irish Republic and in Chile, respectively. It would thus appear that, in terms of size structure alone, mussel populations within the British Isles and Irish Republic

Table 7 List of taxa identified from mussel beds at each of the study sites in Chile throughout the entire study period (LC, Las Cruces; M, Maule; DE1, Desembocadura Exposed site 1; DE2, Desembocadura Exposed site; DS1, Desembocadura Sheltered site 1; DS2, Desembocadura Sheltered site 2; C, Coronel; CH, Cocholgue; V, Valdivia).

 \bullet

۰

 \bullet

۰

 C irratulidae polychaetes \bullet Eunicidae polychaetes • ۰ ۰ Hesionidae polychaetes \bullet ٠ Nepthydae polychaetes \bullet Nereidae polychaetes • \bullet ۰ Ophelidae polychaetes \bullet Orbinidae polychaetes Phyllodocidae polychaetes Spionidae polychaetes ۰ Syllidae polychaetes \bullet ٠ Terebellidae polychaetes \bullet Spirorbidae polychaetes Oligochaeta indet. Ostracoda indet.

Juvenile mussels

 $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{A}$

Figure 12 Abundance of main taxa in the mussel beds at nine sites Chile during the Austral summer of 1999. Thaxa are restricted to those contributing to >5% of Australian and the community of at least and of the pipe either individuals present in the community at at least one of the nine sites.

 \sim

 \bullet .

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\mathbb{Z}^d}\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\mathbb{Z}^d}\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\mathbb{Z}^d}\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\mathbb{Z}^d}\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\mathbb{Z}^d}\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\mathbb{Z}^d}\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\mathbb{Z}^d}\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{$

Contract Contract $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{$ $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{$

 $\sim 10^{-11}$ ~ 100 km s $^{-1}$ \sim σ $_{\odot}$

Desembocadura Exposed site 1, VIII Region

Desembocadura Exposed site 2, VIII Region

Desembocadura Sheltered site 1, VIII Region

Valdivia, X Region

1138

Taxa Key An Anemones Insects N Nematodes Amphipods \forall Nm Nemerteans In Insects Polychaetes G P Gastropods Oligochaetes Bi \circ **Bivalves** B **Barnacles**

and Chile, provide a habitat similar in structural complexity for species colonisation at all sites.

P. purpuratus occurred in lower population densities at study sites in Chile than M. edulis at sites in the British Isles and Irish Republic. Mussel populations in Chile contained a higher proportion of larger mussels (>20mm) than those in the British Isles and Irish Republic, with very few smaller mussels, despite large interstitial spaces. McQuaid and Lindsay (2000) found in studies of populations of Perna perna around the South African coastline, that wave-exposed shores supported larger mussels and had higher mortality rates than sheltered shores.

Seed (1968) noted that the highest densities of mussels tended to be associated with shores receiving moderate to severe wave action, probably as a direct influence of the amount of water (and larval stages) passing over them and slow draining, horizontal platforms. However, effort was made during the selection of study sites, to standardise conditions of wave-exposure. It is unlikely that population density differences between mussels in the British Isles and Irish Republic, and Chile were due to differences in wave-exposure. The different size-frequency distributions of mussels from the British Isles and Irish Republic, and Chile might be reflected in the diversity of the associated infaunal communities, since structural complexity of the environment is an important determinant of biotic diversity (e.g. Kohn and Leviten, 1976; Pimm, 1994; Archambault and Bourget, 1996). This hypothesis will be tested

in relation to communities associated with mussel beds in greater detail in subsequent chapters.

Age structure of mussel populations

Examination of the prismatic layer of the shells of both M. edulis and P. purpuratus revealed a series of microgrowth bands and increments. Various factors, including human disturbance and attacks by predators, as well as spawning breaks and algal blooms are known to be recorded as growth checks within the shell structure of bivalves (Richardson *et al*, 1990; Richardson, 1993). It might however, be expected that such factors would produce random, irregular checks in the shells; the observed checks in the mussel shells in this study occurred in regular patterns.

These checks correspond to the slowing of growth during winter seasons, due to reduced temperatures and food supply and were used to estimate the age of these mussels.

At the three sites in the British Isles, shell growth rates were fastest in mussels from Point Lynas and slowest in White Beach mussels, while the growth rate of mussels at Criccieth was intermediate. In Chile, mussels at Desembocadura.

(sheltered site 1) and Coronel had a significantly slower growth rate than mussels at the other two sites, while the growth rate of mussels at Desembocadura (exposed site 2) was intermediate between the other sites. Overall the mussels in Chile had significantly slower growth rates than those from the British Isles. Seed (1976) pointed out that mussel growth rate varies, not only between localities but also within similar size and age groups in the same population; even mussels grown under apparently identical conditions can exhibit widely different rates. M. edulis can reach lengths of 60-70mm in a twelve to eighteen month period (Mason, 1969,1972), while other authors have measured much slower growth rates in less favourable

conditions, of only 20-30mm in 15 to 20 years (e.g. Pike, 1971).

Various factors are important in affecting growth rates in bivalves, and are such that, in temperate waters, growth is rapid during the spring and summer and slight or absent during the colder winter months (Dare, 1969, Mason, 1969). Food supply is thought to be the single most important factor influencing growth in mussels (Wallace, 1980; Loo and Rosenberg, 1983; Rodhouse et al, 1984; Page and Ricard, 1990). Although other factors are also known to be important in controlling growth rate in mussels, including temperature (Sukhotin *et al*, 1992; Tomalin, 1995; Babarro et al, 2000) and light (Seed, 1969b), it is unlikely that any of these factors varied between the 3 Welsh sites on a large enough scale to produce the observed differences in growth rate. Similarly in Chile, the four sites were all located within 10- 15 miles of each other. It is possible that differences in food supply, temperature or light might contribute to the faster growth rates of M. edulis in the British Isles and P. purpuratus in Chile. Various authors have shown that tidal height and waveexposure can influence the growth rate of mussels (e.g. van Erkom Schurink and Griffiths, 1993; Richardson et al, 1995; Gray et al, 1997; McQuaid and Lindsay, 2000). However, conditions of wave-exposure and tidal height from which samples were collected were standardised across all study sites, these factors are unlikely to be the cause of the observed growth rate differences.

Longevity of mussels was greater in Chile than in the British Isles as well as growth rate being slower. Similarly, Gray et al (1997) found, in populations of M. edulis chilensis in the Falkland Islands, that the longer living individuals also

exhibited the lowest growth rates. It has been suggested that faster growing mussels may be shorter-lived because they will attain the size limit imposed by the environment much more rapidly than those living in habitats where growth rates are much slower (Seed and Suchanek, 1992). Seed (1969b) found that in populations of M. edulis from the east coast of England, the absence of major predators in the upper shore resulted in enhanced survival and therefore the occurrence of relatively old individuals. P. purpuratus populations in Chile might be subject to lower levels of predation than M. edulis in the British Isles and Irish Republic, although the communities associated with the sampled mussel populations in Chile were diverse and contained several species, including crabs, which might be predatory on mussels (see later chapters).

The difference in growth rate between P. purpuratus in Chile and M. edulis in the British Isles is the result of a combination of various physical, biological or environmental factors. Without studying the growth of the two mussel species in identical physical and environmental conditions, it would be impossible to deduce

exact causes for the observed differences in growth rate between P. purpuratus and M. edulis. Mussels in Chile are slower growing and longer lived than their British counterparts, thus, it might be expected that the Chilean mussel beds would support a more diverse associated fauna, since Sanders (1968) concluded that, with increasing age and prolonged habitat stability; diversity increases.

Species composition of communities associated with mussel populations Mussel populations at all sites, both in the British Isles and Irish Republic and in Chile supported diverse invertebrate assemblages. Numerous studies have described the diverse infaunal assemblages associated with intertidal mussel beds (e.g. Suchanek, 1979; Tsuchiya and Nishihira, 1985, 1986; Lintas and Seed, 1994;

Seed, 1996). In the present study, 182 different invertebrate taxa, were identified over the course of several months from Mytilus edulis beds at various locations in the British Isles and Irish Republic, while in Perumytilus purpuratus beds in Chile, around 35 different taxa were identified, although identification was to higher taxonomic levels and did not cover as wide a temporal period as the studies of M. edulis. Over a twelve-month period, a total of 79 taxa were identified from mussel beds at Point Lynas, with 92 at White Beach and 85 at Criccieth. These figures exceed those of previous studies of the communities associated with M. edulis beds on rocky shores. For example, Briggs (1982) identified 34 taxa associated with mussel beds in Loch Foyle, Northern Irish Republic, while Lintas and Seed (1994) found representatives from 59 taxa in mussel beds on a wave-exposed rocky shore on Anglesey. Tsuchiya and Nishihira (1985,1986) identified 69 species from 8 phyla in mussel beds in Japan. Similar results have been obtained in studies of the communities associated with populations of different mussel species. For example, Ong Che and Morton (1992) found 52 macro-invertebrate species in Septifer Virgatus beds in Hong Kong, while Seed and Brotohadikusumo (1994) identified 29 molluscan taxa from the same mussel beds. Peake and Quinn (1993) identified 56 macroinvertebrate species, from

8 phyla in *Brachidontes rostratus* beds in south-eastern Australia. In addition, similar numbers of taxa have been reported to be associated with M. edulis on soft sediments in the Wadden Sea (Dittman, 1990) and subtidal Modiolus modiolus beds (Brown and Seed, 1976). Thus, more taxa, were associated with mussel beds on rocky substrata in this study than have been previously reported, with the exception of M. californianus, a much larger-bodied mussel which forms particularly thick multilayered beds which have been shown to support around 270 invertebrate taxa. (Suchanek, 1979).

 \bullet .

Contract Contract Contract \bullet .

<u>᠉ᡍᠾᡃᢛᠾᡃᡙ᠆ᡎ᠉ᡁ᠗ᡙ᠗ᡏ᠅ᠾᢪ᠆ᡀᡵᡏᡏ᠗ᡵ᠅ᡁ᠉ᡍ᠅ᡗᠷ᠉ᡛᡘᡏᡌ᠗ᢓᢋ᠓᠗ᡦᢋᡯᠾ᠗ᡁᠾᠾᠾᡏ</u>

 ϵ^*

 \bullet

Chapter 4

Small-scale spatial variations in the structure of the invertebrate communities associated with Mytilus edulis

the contract of the contract of the $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{A})$. $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{$

 $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})$, and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})$ $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A} \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{A$ the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of

 $\langle \bullet \rangle$

ABSTRACT

Small-scale spatial, or intra-site variation in the structure of the invertebrate
pity associated with *Mutilus adulis* hads was investigated on two rocky shores. community associated with Mytilus edulis beds was investigated on two rocky shores on the coast of Anglesey, North Wales. Communities associated with M. edulis showed significant small-scale spatial variation at the two study sites. Intra-site variations were more pronounced when data were analysed at the multivariate level, although many of the univariate measures of diversity did differ significantly between habitats. Multivariate differences in mussel bed community structure at both sites were the result of differences in abundance of around 16 of the more common members of the communities. The identity of many of these taxa were the same at each site, for example, juvenile *M. edulis*, mites, ostracods and oligochaetes, while others were restricted to one site or the other. When emphasis was removed from taxa abundance, clear intra-site differences still emerged between mussel communities, with many more taxa contributing to the dissimilarities between habitats. Some taxa appeared ubiquitous to all habitats at both sites, while others were site or habitat specific in their occurrence and abundance. Environmental parameters measured in the mussel bed showed some significant small-scale spatial variation within each site, although differences in individual parameters between habitats were not always consistent at the two sites. Multivariate analysis of the abiotic data showed a clear separation of mussel beds from each of the habitats at

the two sites. However, the abiotic data did not show a high degree of correlation with the biotic data at either site. It was concluded that most of the intra-site variations in the structure of the M. edulis communities were the complex result of many different processes, together with stochastic events.

71

INTRODUCTION

Several studies have described the diverse infaunal assemblages associated with intertidal mussel beds (e.g. Suchanek, 1979; Tsuchiya and Nishihira, 1985, 1986; Lintas and Seed, 1994; Seed, 1996). Mussel beds represent an extremely well delineated community, bounded by the physical limits of the mussels, which in the intertidal, are in turn restricted by physical and biological factors to a clearly definable zone (Suchanek, 1980). In addition, due to the small size and immobility of sessile invertebrate species of marine epifaunal communities, these habitats are ideal for studying patterns of change in species composition and diversity (Osman, 1977).

Many workers have described the natural variability in patterns in intertidal communities (e.g. Lewis, 1964; Stephenson and Stephenson, 1972; Paine, 1974; Underwood and Chapman, 1996, 1998). Many of these studies have been very general, while patterns of structure of specific intertidal assemblages have usually been described from one sample, in a very restricted spatial scale of sampling (e. g. Foster, 1990; Warwick and Clarke, 1993). A complete understanding of the structure of any community can only be possible, when small-scale spatial variation is encompassed (Morris, 1990). In addition, Underwood and Chapman (1998) stated that understanding processes in complex assemblages depends on a clear understanding of spatial and temporal patterns of structure at various spatial scales. Other studies have stressed the importance of documenting spatial patterns in

species abundance, in order to understand scales at which organisms interact with one another or with their environment, and the relative importance of different ecological processes which may determine these patterns (e.g. Underwood, 1991a; Underwood, 1992; Underwood and Chapman, 1996).

Spatial variation in species distributions can operate over a wide range of scales, from small-scale patchiness on shores to pan-continental variation and as such, multi-scale investigations should be carried out in community studies since different scales may influence community characteristics differently (Levin, 1992). Several investigations have been carried out into spatial variations, on a variety of scales, in the structure of marine assemblages. For example, Menge (1983) studied small-scale spatial patterns'in tropical intertidal assemblages, while Underwood and Chapman (1998) studied small-scale spatial variation in sheltered rocky shore assemblages in south-eastern Australia. Archambault and Bourget (1986), Bergeron and Bourget (1986) and Bourget et al (1994) undertook several studies of coastal heterogeneity and benthic intertidal species richness, diversity and abundance in the intertidal zone on a variety of spatial scales. Hopner and Wonneberger (1985) have investigated patchiness in the epi-phytobenthos on intertidal flats, while Morrisey et al

(1992) studied spatial variation in soft-sediment benthos in Australia and Hixon and Beets (1993) studied small-scale spatial variability in coral reef assemblages. Small-scale spatial variations in the structure of communities associated with intertidal mussel beds have been studied in some detail in the past. Many studies have investigated the effect of various factors, which show small-scale, or intra-site spatial variations, on the structure of invertebrate assemblages associated with intertidal mussel populations. The factors investigated have included mussel patch size (Suchanek, 1979; Tsuchiya and Nishihira, 1985,1986; Peake and Ouinn, 1993), mussel patch thickness (Tsuchiya and Nishihira, 1985,1986; Svane and Ompi, 1993), position within the mussel patch (Okamura, 1986; Tsuchiya and Nishihira, 1986; Dittman, 1990); Lintas and Seed, 1994), inclination of the rock surface (Lintas and Seed, 1994), elevation on the shore (Suchanek, 1979, 1980; Tsuchiya and Nishihira, 1985; Jacobi, 1987a; Tsuchiya and Bellan-Santini, 1989, Untas and Seed, 1994; Seed and Brotohadikusumo, 1994). However, most of these studies have considered only univariate techniques in the analysis of the effects of such sources of spatial variation on the structure of mussel bed communities. Efficient description of overall sample patterns require multivariate analyses of the community as a whole, rather than simple, univariate studies, which can often fail to identify, or oversimplify, patterns in data sets (Clarke, 1999).

Samples, each comprising of eight replicate 25cm² quadrats, were collected from M. edulis beds at Point Lynas and White Beach during two consecutive spring tides in July 1999, from each of the following habitats;

In this chapter a range of univariate and multivariate techniques are used to

investigate the small-scale spatial variations, or intra-shore variations, amongst the fauna associated with Mytilus edulis from several physically contrasting habitats at two different sites on Anglesey, North Wales. Taxa are investigated for evidence of positive or negative associations. Hypotheses for possible causes of spatial variations in community structure are suggested.

METHODS

1) Low shore area within the mussel zone, flat surface, middle of the mussel patch,

- 2) Mid shore area within the mussel zone, flat surface, middle of the mussel patch,
- 2) High shore area within the mussel zone, flat surface, middle of the mussel patch,
- 4) Mid shore area within the mussel zone, flat surface, edge of the mussel patch,
- 5) Mid shore area within the mussel zone, vertical surface, middle of the mussel

patch,

6) Mid shore, flat surface, middle of the mussel patch, covered with epiflora (Point Lynas only, since epifloral covering did not occur on mussel beds at White Beach). Samples were processed and sorted according to the methodologies described in Chapter 2.

RESULTS

The mussel populations and environmental factors

A total of 8908 mussels were collected and measured from the shores at Point Lynas and White Beach during this investigation. The relative abundance, size,

volume and biomass of mussels from each of these habitats, together with the weight of each of the sediment fractions associated with these mussels are presented for both sites in Table 1. At Point Lynas, the population density, volume, biomass and mean length of M. edulis showed little variation with habitat, although values in the high-shore were slightly, although not always significantly, reduced. The two finest sediment fractions were significantly reduced in mussel beds on the low-shore and on vertical surfaces, compared to elsewhere, while the larger sediment fractions were similar in mussel beds from all habitats, except for those covered with epiflora, where quantities were elevated. The amounts of very coarse sediment and shell fragments were similar in mussel beds from all habitats. At White Beach, mussel population density decreased significantly with increasing tidal elevation, while mean mussel

length, by contrast, increased with tidal elevation. The volume and biomass of mussels did not differ significantly across habitats. The weight of sediment fractions were generally elevated in mussel beds on vertical surfaces and the middle of patches on the mid shore and lower elsewhere. The weight of shell fragments and very coarse sediment was greatest in the high-shore mussel beds.

The Associated Fauna

A total of 47459 individual organisms were collected and identified from the mussel beds at Point Lynas, while 19708 specimens were collected from those at White Beach. At Point Lynas, each of the univariate measures of community diversity showed some significant. variation in mussel beds across habitats (Table 2a). The total number of taxa, along with Margalef's richness were significantly lower in the middle of the mussel beds in the mid shore than elsewhere (Figure 1). Values of Shannon's diversity and Pielou's evenness were significantly elevated in mussel beds on vertical surfaces compared to other habitats. At White Beach, the total number of taxa, individuals and Margalef's richness showed significant variation in mussel beds from different habitats, while other univariate measures of community

pitats on the shore at Point Lynas,
s are marked, such that significant

 $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and

 \blacksquare

 $\langle \cdot \rangle$

 $\langle \bullet \rangle$

 $14.0 + 0.8$

69±5

Vertical surface

 $\langle \mathbf{F} \rangle$

$\mathbf{D}^{\mathbf{e}}$ 167 Den $\frac{4}{3}$ $14¹$ $10^ε$ 126 $10₀$ \mathbf{S} \mathcal{S} $39₁$ မိ Anglesey and b) five
differences (p<0.05) a Table 1 Mean value Epifloral covered Vertical surface Edge of patch Edge of patch High shore Low shore Mid shore Low shore High shore Mid shore Habitat Hábitat $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ $\widehat{\mathbf{d}}$

Table 2 One-way ANOVA/Moods median tests between univariate measures of diversity for mussel beds from six different habitats at Point Lynas, Anglesey and five different habitats at White Beach, Anglesey. Significant differences are indicated by * $(p<0.05)$.

 $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{$ the contract of the the contract of the 76

 ~ 100 km s $^{-1}$

Contractor

 $\sim 10^{-1}$

 $\langle\bullet\rangle$

and the control of the con- $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$. The set of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$

 \mathcal{L}_{max} and \mathcal{L}_{max} . As in the \mathcal{L}_{max}

 $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{r})$

 $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{N})\log\log N)$

and the contract of the contract

$E = Edge$ of patch, mid mid patch;

Vertical

 \mathbf{ii}

shore;

shore

77

diversity did not vary significantly (Table 2). The total number of taxa were significantly lower in the middle and on the edge of mid shore mussel patches than in other habitats (Figure 2), while the total number of individuals was reduced on the edge of mid shore patches. Margalef's index of richness was greatest in mussel beds on the low shore. However, the majority of the univariate measures of community diversity did show significant intra-site variation in mussel beds at either Point Lynas or White Beach.

Correlation coefficients calculated between abundance values for taxa at both sites, from all habitats, showed high levels of a positive correlation between some taxa, for example between the limpet Tectura testudinalis and the crab Hyas areneas and between the polychaete Drilonereis filum and Cancer pagurus (Appendix I). Such correlation did not necessarily suggest a relationship between the two species, but was probably a reflection of the fact that many of the members of the mussel community were rare, or transient in nature. Other more common taxa, such as turbellarians and the polychaete Brania pusilla and the polychaetes Tharyx marioni and Lagisca extenuata showed more intermediate levels of significantly positive correlation.

Cluster analysis of square-root transformed infaunal data from mussel beds at Point Lynas revealed a clear separation of samples from each of the six different habitats (Figure 3). The same analysis performed with a presence/absence transformation revealed a less clear separation of samples (Figure 4). The separation of samples from each of the five habitats at White Beach was relatively clear with both transformations, when cluster analysis was performed (Figure 5 and 6). MIDS ordination of square-root transformed infaunal abundance at Point Lynas illustrated a clear separation of mussel bed samples from each of the six habitats (Figure 7ai). A one-way ANOSIM test and pairwise comparisons performed on the data confirmed that the community structure of mussel beds in each of the' six habitats were significantly different (R=0.641, p<0.05) (Table 3ai). MDS ordination of presence/absence transformed infaunal abundance revealed a less distinct separation, with samples from each of the six habitats, except for those from the middle of mussel patches on the mid shore, showing a high degree of overlap (Figure 7aii). However, a one-way ANOSIM test and pairwise comparisons performed on the data showed that the community structure of mussel beds in each of the six locations did differ significantly (R=0.580, p<0.05) (Table 3aii). MIDS ordination of square-root transformed infaunal abundance at White Beach illustrated a separation of mussel bed samples from the five habitats (Figure 7bi). A one-way ANOSIM test and pairwise comparisons performed on the data confirmed that the structure of the

79

shore) mid eog

0

ΪĒ

 \bullet

 \mathcal{A}

the

 \bullet

 \bullet

square-root transformed $=$ Mid shor mid patch, Low shore, mid patch; M

a = Epifloral covering mid $\overline{5}$ similarities based \mathbf{q} $\overline{\mathbf{u}}$ $\dot{\boldsymbol{\omega}}$ <u>e.it</u>

80

sho Anglesey. clustering of Bray-Curt mid surface, Point Lynas, Vertical average \overrightarrow{a} \mathbf{II} shore \geq mid shore; **Qroup** on the

tis similarities based on presence/absence $=$ Low shore, mid patch; $M =$ Mid covering, mid patch, Epifloral $\begin{matrix} \textbf{1} \end{matrix}$ Anglesey. (L = 1
mid shore; Ep: Anglesey. average clustering of Bray-Curt surface, at Point Lynas, Vertical $\boldsymbol{\mathsf{II}}$ t habitats on the shore \geq shore; patch, mid for group \subset σ

 $\sim 10^{-1}$

Dendrogran six different Edge \mathbf{ii} \mathbf{u} patch; beds from \blacktriangleleft **Figure** mid

average and consideration of the $\alpha\in\mathbb{R}^n$

Bray-Curtis mid shore surface, White Beach, clustering of Vertical average \overline{a} \mathbf{I} shore \geq mid shore; dronb the $\overline{5}$ for patch,

 \bullet

transformed biotic data for mussel $H = High$ shore, mid patch; shore,

of Bray-Curl at White Beach, surface, Vertical clustering on the shore \mathbf{II} \geq average shore; mid for group habitats patch,

 \ddot{i}

the same of the same of the same of the $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}))$ $\sim 10^{-1}$ **Contract** $\sim 10^{-1}$

 \mathfrak{g}^{\pm}

a) Point Lynas i) Stress $= 0.20$

ii) Stress $= 0.20$

b) white Beach
"Culture" $i)$ Stress = 0.20

 \blacksquare

Figure 7 MDS ordination of Bray-Curtis similarity matrix of i) square-root and ii) presence/absence transformed taxa abundance data from mussel beds from a) six different habitats on the shore at Point Lynas, Anglesey and b) five different habitats on the shore at White Beach, Anglesey. (L = Low shore, mid patch; M = Mid shore, mid patch; H = High shore, mid patch; $E = E$ dge of patch, mid shore; V = Vertical surface, mid shore; $Ep = Epifloral covering$, mid patch, mid shore).

84

Table 3 Global R-values for ANOSIM pairwise comparisons between samples of mussel bed communities from a) six different habitats Point Lynas, Anglesey and b) five different habitats at White Beach, Anglesey, based on i) square-root transformations and ii) presence/absence transformations of taxa abundance. Unless stated otherwise, samples were collected from the mid shore, from the middle of mussel patches and from horizontal rock surfaces. Significant differences in community structure are indicated by bold type.

a)

b)

om general

mussel communities differed significantly between all habitats, except for in the middle and on the edge of mussel patches on the mid shore (R=0.570, p<0.05) (Table 3bi). The same pattern was revealed when MIDS ordination was performed on presence/absence transformed infaunal abundance (Figure 7bii), along with a oneway ANOSIM test and pairwise comparisons (R=0.491, p<0.05) (Table 3bii). Similarity percentage analysis (SIMPER), performed with a square-root

transformation, revealed that a small number of taxa, namely small mussels, nematodes, mites, ostracods, oligochaetes, and chironomid larvae accounted for a large degree of the dissimilarity between habitats at the two shores (Table 4 and 5).

The abundance of other taxa appeared to consistently characterise certain habitats. For example, the tanaid, Tanais dulongii and the ostracod, Heterocythereis albomaculata were present in elevated numbers in mussel beds in the high shore at the two shores, while Fabricia stellaris was abundant in the low shore at both sites and Idotea pelagica was consistently found in mid shore mussel patches. SIMPER analysis performed on data from the two shores, with a presence/absence transformation revealed many more taxa were responsible for habitat differences in community composition at both sites, when abundance was not taken into account (Table 6 and 7). Differences in taxa composition between habitats was much less clear, as the majority of taxa were present in all habitats.

Biotic and environmental relationships

Univariate measures of the diversity of the mussel bed community at the two shores failed to show any high degree of correlation with any of the measured environmental factors in the mussel beds, although some of the relationships were significant (Table 8).

Determination of correlation coefficients between environmental variables measured in mussel beds at Point Lynas and White Beach failed to reveal any strong relationships (r>0.95) , although there was some significant correlation (Table 9). As , such, all environmental factors measured in the mussel beds were entered into the BIOENV procedure. This analysis revealed that square-root and presence/absence transformed biotic data at Point Lynas showed little correlation with environmental variables in the mussel matrix (Table 10a). Biotic .
\{ data at White Beach showed a higher degree of correlation with a combination of increasing values of mussel density, length and coarse sediment content of the mussel matrix (Table 10b). Ordination by PCA of the environmental data from mussel samples showed that samples from each of the different habitats did not separate out as clearly as the biotic data from Point Lynas and White Beach (Figure 8). RELATE analysis between

(9) formed on square-root transformed abundance of infaunal taxa in mussel bed infaunal taxa Table 4 Results of similarity percentage analysis (SIMPER) performed on square-root transformed abundance of infaunal tax
communities from six different habitats on the shore at Point Lynas, Anglesey, showing a breakdown o

 \blacksquare

 \blacklozenge

and a series

 \mathbf{v}

 $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{eff}}$

 $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$

or to

 \blacksquare

 \bullet

 \blacktriangleright

 \rightarrow

 \bullet

 $\bar{\mathbf{v}}$

 $\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{2}$

 $\ddot{\bullet}$

 $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$

 \mathbf{u}

 \sim $\,$ $\,$ $\,$

 ϵ

 $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$

 ζ . As

 $\ddot{}$

 $\sigma_{\rm c}$

 $\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 2 \end{array}$

 $\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{y} \\ \mathbf{y} \end{array}$

 \bullet

 \bullet

 $\bar{\mathbf{y}}$

 \bullet

 $\Delta_{\rm{eff}}$

∙

 $\epsilon \in \mathcal{I}$

 $\boldsymbol{\beta}$

 \sim

 \sim

一つない

 \bullet

 \mathbf{r}

 ϵ

 $\sqrt{8}$

 α

88

Ξ . e 5 Results of similarity percentage analysis (SIMPER) performed on presence/absence transformed abundance of infaunal taxa in
sel bed communities from six different habitats on the shore at Point Lynas, Anglesey, showing mussel bed commur Table taxa

 $\langle \bullet \rangle$

 \bullet

 $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$

 \propto

 $\boldsymbol{\beta}$

 σ

 $\boldsymbol{\infty}$

 \sim

 $\overline{1}$

 $\hat{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}$

 $\hat{\mathbf{v}}$

 \mathbf{u}

 \mathbf{x}^{α}

 $\boldsymbol{\beta}$

 \mathbf{A}^{\pm}

 $\frac{1}{2}$

 σ

 $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$

 \mathbb{Z}^2

 $\hat{\mathcal{S}}$

 $\hat{\mathbf{r}}$

 $\hat{}$

 $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}$

 ω

 γ

 \mathbb{Z}_2

 \mathcal{F}_c

Z

.o.

F.

.

92

.

$($ % similarity percentage analysis (SIMPER) performed on square-root transformed abundance of infaunal taxa in mussel bed communities from five different habitats on the shore at White Beach, Anglesey, showing a breakdown of % contributions of infaunal taxa
Cont.) to average dissimilarity between habitats. Taxa highlighted in grey indicate hi Table 6 Results of

 $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$

 \bullet

计标志 医心脏 医细胞性细胞 医白细胞

 \mathbf{v}

 $\mathbf{g}^{(1)}$

 \mathbf{J}

 $\alpha_{\rm{max}}$

 $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$

 $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$

 $\hat{\mathcal{L}}$

 $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$

 $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$

 $\Delta_{\rm{c}}$

 \star

 $\sigma_{\rm c}$

 \mathbf{u}

 $\langle \cdot \rangle$

 $\hat{\mathbf{r}}$

 \mathcal{S}_c

 $\hat{\Delta}$

 $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{G}}$

 \mathcal{L}

 $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^{N}$

 \mathcal{A}

 \mathbf{x}

 $\bar{\mathcal{L}}$

 $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$

 $\ddot{}$

 $\langle \sigma \rangle$

 ℓ , s

 \sim

 $\epsilon_{\rm c}$

 $\Delta_{\rm{eff}}$

 $\vert\epsilon\vert$

 \bullet

 \mathcal{F}

 \mathcal{A} .

 $\langle \mathbf{F} \rangle$ is

 \mathbf{z}

- 30

 \downarrow

 \mathbf{J}_{c}

 \sim $-$

 \mathcal{A}

 \sim 8.

 \mathbf{a}_c

 $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{C}}$

 $\overline{}$

 \mathbf{I}_c

 ϵ

 $\ddot{}$

 $\overline{}$

 $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$, where $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$

 $\langle \cdot \rangle$

 \mathbf{k}

 Δ

93

CONTRACTOR

 \mathbf{u}

 \circ

the contract of the state of the con-

42.84%

 $\mathbf{1}\mathbf{1}$

habitats

dissimilarity between

Average

Ξ . \overline{a} Taxa highlighted in grey indicate higher abundance in the first listed habitat performed on presence/absence transformed abundance of infaunal taxa
nore at White Beach, Anglesey, showing a breakdown of % contributions shore at White Beach, Anglesey, showing nities from five different habitats on the shit.) to average dissimilarity between habitats. similarity percentage analysis (SIMPER) $(%Corr)$ Results of bed commu N mussel Table

 $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{A}}$

्रे

 \mathcal{A} .

 $\,$,

 Δ

计编码字符 医骨盆

 $\langle \cdot \rangle$

 $\langle \bullet \rangle$

ನನ್ನು ಸಾಧ್ಯವಾಗಿದೆ.

 $\boldsymbol{\beta}$

 \blacktriangle

 \bullet

 \bullet

 $\hat{\mathbf{v}}$

 \mathcal{A}^{\pm}

 \sim 8 \sim

 \mathbf{v}

 $\langle \cdot \rangle$

 \sim

 \bullet

 $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{G}}$

 \mathbf{v}

 $\mathcal{R}_{\rm{c}}$

 \sim \times

 $\left\langle \right\rangle$

 \mathbb{S}_+

 \mathbf{F}

 $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{n}))$

 \mathcal{F}

 α , α , ω

 $\mathcal{P}=\mathcal{Q}$

 \mathbf{x}_i

 \mathcal{S}_c

 $\langle \pmb{e} \rangle$

 $\ddot{}$

 $\sum_{\mathbf{k}_i}$

 \mathcal{L}

 $\ddot{}$

 \sim

 $\lambda_{\rm{max}}$

 $\tau_{\rm e}$

 \sim

 \sim

 ζ

 \sim 8

 \mathbf{u} .

 $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F})$

 $\bar{\mathcal{A}}$

 \sim

i and anders
Silva anders

infaunal taxa

 \bullet

 \bullet

re estas

 $\sim 10^{-10}$

 56

the control of the con-

96

the contract of the contract of the contract of

Table 8 Correlation coefficients between environmental variables and univariate measures of diversity in mussel beds from a) six different habitats on the shore at Point Lynas, Anglesey and b) five different habitats on the shore at White Beach, Anglesey. NT number of taxa, NI number of individuals, d Margalef's species richness, H['] Shannon-Weiner diversity, J Pielou's evenness. Values in bold indicate significant correlation.

 \bullet

Mass $250-500 \mu m$ sediment 0.372 0.368 0.284 0.070 -0.216 Mass >500 μ m sediment μ 0.250 0.392 0.129 0.307 0.190 \mathbf{L} $\sigma_{\rm A}$ Δ ϵ^{μ} 97

 \bullet

a shore

 $\sim 10^{-1}$

 $\langle \cdot \rangle$

 \bullet

Contract Contract

 \bullet

le shore at White Beach, Anglesey. 'Best' variable combinations are based on
/absence transformed biotic data and environmental parameters are given for "Best' variable combinations are based on I variables with biotic data in mussel beds from a) six different habitats on the

 \mathbf{r}

e transformation ($r_s = 0.129$)

nussels

sediment

sediment

e transformation ($r_s = 0.456$)

nussels

sels

ment

sediment

sediment

 \bullet

shore at Point Lynas, Spearman rank corre Square-root transformat Total number of mussel Mass 125-250µm sedim Square-root transformati Total number of mussels Mass 250-500um sedime Results of Mass >500um sediment Mean size of mussels Mass of mussels Table 10 each site. \mathbf{r} $\widehat{\mathbf{a}}$ Ω \mathcal{A}

a)

b)

Figure 8 Ordination by PCA, using normalised Euclidean distance, of environmental variables measured in mussel beds from a) six different habitats on the shore at Point Lynas, Anglesey and b) five different habitats on the shore at White Beach, Anglesey. $(L = Low$ shore, mid patch; $M = Mid$ shore, mid patch; $H = High$ shore, mid patch; $E = E$ dge of patch, mid shore; $V = V$ ertical surface, mid shore; $Ep = Epifloral$ covering, mid patch, mid shore).

 \mathbf{r}

the Point Lynas environmental data similarity matrix, produced using normalised Euclidean distance and the biotic data similarity matrix, produced using Bray-Curtis similarity with a square-root transformation showed the two to be significantly different (R=0.253, p<0.05). The same analysis performed between the environmental data matrix and the biotic data matrix produced using a presence/ absence transformation revealed that the two were significantly correlated (R=0.037, p>0.05). RELATE analysis between the White Beach environmental data similarity matrix, produced using normalised Euclidean distance and the biotic data similarity matrices, produced using Bray-Curtis similarity with a square-root and

presence/absence transformation showed significant differences (R=0.344, p<0.05; $R=0.431, p<0.05$).

In summary, communities associated with M. edulis showed significant smallscale spatial variation at two sites on Anglesey, both at the univariate and multivariate level. Differences in the abundance of around 16 of the more common members of the community were responsible for intra-site variation at both Point Lynas and White Beach. When data were analysed at the presence/absence level, clear intra-site differences still emerged. Environmental factors measured in the mussel beds did not fully account for the observed variations in community structure.

DISCUSSION

Small-scale spatial variations amongst the fauna associated with M. edulis on a wave-exposed rocky shore in North Wales, similar to those described in this study have been described elsewhere. For example, Lintas and Seed (1994) observed marked intersite variations both in the abundance and distribution of the mussel and its associated fauna; the highest diversity was found in mussel patches on the low shore. Similarly, Tsuchiya and Nishihira (1985,1986) reported significant small-scale variations in the structure of invertebrate communities associated with M. edulis on rocky shores in Japan whilst Jacobi (1987a) noted small-scale variations in the distribution of amphipods in intertidal Pema pema beds in Brazil. Ong Che and Morton (1992) found that a large degree of local patchiness occurred in the communities associated with Septifer virgatus on wave-exposed rocky shores in Hong Kong. Seed and Brotohadikusumo (1994) found marked small-scale spatial variations in the abundance and distribution of the molluscan faunal associates of the same mussel populations. Tokeshi (1995), found significant spatial variations in the polychaete assemblages associated with Perumytilus purpuratus beds on a Pacific South American shore.

Mussel communities at Point Lynas and White Beach were diverse, but were dominated by a, small number of taxa, some of which were common to the two locations, for example, small mussels, nematodes, mites, ostracods, oligochaetes and chironomid larvae. Other were specific to one shore or the other, for example, barnacles were much more prevalent at Point Lynas than White beach, which in turn supported a greater number of isopods and amphipods. These same taxa were .
با those primarily responsible for small-scale variations in community structure, both between different habitats on the shores and between replicates within habitats. Similarly, Underwood and Chapman (1998) found, in a study of the spatial variation in rocky intertidal assemblages in south-eastern Australia, that few species characterised the assemblages, while even fewer discriminated between different levels on a shore. Although, Peake and Quinn (1993) found a total of 56 macroinvertebrates species associated with clumps of Brachidontes rostratus in south-eastern Australia, they found that the mussel community was dominated by a few every abundant species, namely the bivalves, Lasaea australis and Xenostrobus pulex, the barnacle Chthamalus antennatus, and the gastropod Nodolittorina unifasciata. Ong Che and Morton (1992) recorded at least 52 macroinvertebrate species associated with the mussel Septifer virgatus at a wave-exposed site in Hong Kong. They found that 75% of the individuals present comprised of three species, namely, Lasaea nipponica, Chthamalus sinensis and Hyale sp. The same pattern

was found in communities associated with deep-sea hydrothermal vent mussels, with large numbers of individuals from a small number of species (Van Dover and Trask,. 2000).

Since the data are of an observational nature, it is not possible to explain the spatial variations in the structure of the assemblages associated with mussels at Point Lynas and White Beach, in terms of specific ecological mechanisms. However, it is possible to hypothesise about some potential causes. Bowers and Brown (1982) stated that local communities are non-random assemblies of those available and patterns of local assembly are predictable in the context of microhabitat variation. In addition, Tokeshi (1995) stated that spatial dispersion patterns are considered to reflect the behavioural response of organisms to a range of biotic/abiotic environmental factors, often mediated by some stochasticity in dispersal patterns. Mussel patches are isolated from similar patches on rocky shores and as such can be treated as islands for their associated communities, so that many of the species-area theories developed using island ecosystems can be applied to mussel bed communities (Tsuchiya and Nishihira, 1985). It is generally accepted that larger islands support more species (MacArthur and Wilson, 1967; MacArthur, 1972).

Tsuchiya and Nishihira (1985) demonstrated that increasing mussel patch size significantly increased the diversity of the community associated with M. edulis and concluded that this effect was probably due to the increased environmental heterogeneity and favourable conditions in larger patches. However, in the present study, samples were collected from mussel patches of a similar size in order to reduce such effects of patch size. It is unlikely therefore that the observed smallscale variations in mussel community structure were due to different patch sizes. In addition, during their study of patch size effects on mussel bed diversity, Tsuchiya and Nishihira (1985) did not consider the effects of tidal level on community structure.

Svane and Setyobudiandi (1996) concluded that species diversity, abundance and distribution of the organisms associated with M. edulis in Danish fjords were not correlated with patch size.

Environmental heterogeneity has often been suggested as a possible cause for spatial variations in community structure and it has been shown to be the case for a variety of marine communities, including those of subtidal reefs (Pimm, 1994), and temperate rocky intertidal shores (Bergeron and Bourget, 1986; Bourget et a/, 1994; Archambault and Bourget, 1996; Thompson et a/, 1996). In addition, studies have demonstrated that the rocky substratum can influence the structure of assemblages (e. g. McGuiness, 1988,1990; Underwood and Chapman, 1998). However, in the present study, geological differences cannot be used as an explanation for the differences in assemblage structure, since the two shores were both characterised by. the same igneous rock-type. However, small-scale topographical heterogeneity provided by interstices and crevices underlying the mussel matrix might in part account for the observed spatial variations in community structure, since other studies have demonstrated the positive effects of such features on diversity of , communities in the intertidal environment (Emson and Faller-Fritsch, 1976; Raffaelli and Hughes, 1978; Menge, 1983, Archambault and Bourget, 1996). Some significant spatial variation was observed in the measured environmental variables in the present study, such as sediment fractions and mussel density, biomass and volume, which appeared to account to some extent, for variations in community structure in the mussel assemblages. Correlation's calculated between the environmental

variables measured and the biotic data in the BIOENV procedure were not exceptionally high, although there did appear to be Some correlation between increasing mussel density and length and sediment content and the structure of the associated community. Similarly, Svane and Ompi (1993) reported differential size distributions of mussels related to position within a patch, which might account for variations in the associated community. In addition, Svane and Setyobudiandi (1996) concluded that in mussel beds in Danish fjords, the dominant taxa were associated with the organically enriched sediment (faeces or pseudofaeces) found between the mussels. Jacobi (1987a) concluded that spatial differences in the amphipods associated with Perna perna in Brazil were due mainly to differences in the sediment content of the mussel beds. The author concluded that a certain amount of fine sediment appeared to enhance diversity while an excess had the opposite effect, by hampering the settlement of some species and clogging respiratory or feeding structures. In the present study, fine sediments in the mussel bed probably result in increased abundance of infaunal taxa such as nemerteans and nematodes.

Tsuchiya and Nishihira (1985) demonstrated that the position in the mussel patch had a significant effect on the diversity of the associated community. The authors found that species richness and equitability were greater in central portions of the mussel bed than around the periphery. This, they concluded was a reflection of the increased amounts of sediment, shell fragments and byssal threads in the central, older, more stable portion of the bed. In the present study, peripheral areas of the mussel beds had lower coarse sediment contents than other areas, and this might have accounted for the observed reduced values of univariate measures of community diversity. In addition, lower diversity in the peripheral areas of the mussel beds probably reflected a reduction in protection from extremes of light, temperature and wave action provided on the perimeter of patches compared to the central

portion (Suchanek, 1980; Seed and Brotohadikusumo, 1994).

Many patch dynamic models describe processes occurring within patches and successional mechanisms of species replacement, including stochastic processes (Connell, 1978; Connell and Keough, 1985; Sousa, 1984). Svane and Setyobudiandi (1996) concluded that the observed differences in the numbers and abundance of species in mussel beds in Danish fjords could largely be explained by differences in food and seston dynamics throughout the mussel bed. However, in mussel beds the food resource for the associated fauna is complex and competitive abilities of the individual species are difficult to quantify and thus difficult to link to assemblage structure. Underwood and Chapman (1998) suggested that differences in the recruitment of various conspicuous species might be the cause of spatial variations in the structure of intertidal communities at different sites on a sheltered rocky shore in south-eastern Australia. These investigations concluded that some sites had received more recruits of a particular species than at other sites on the same shore. Such variations in larval recruitment are a possible cause for the observed spatial differences in mussel community structure in the present study and would require further investigation. Behavioural differences in the species present in

104

 \bullet

the mussel beds might account in part for the observed spatial differences in community structure. Such a phenomenon was observed on rocky shores in southeastern Australia, where the gastropods Nerita atramentosa and Morula marginalba were crevice bound during inclement weather, but foraged when they could, creating patchiness in the surrounding fauna (Fairweather, 1988; Underwood and Chapman, 1998). In mussel beds in the present study, foraging behaviours of many of the mobile taxa such as Nucella lapillus, Hyale prevostii, Idotea pelagica and nemerteans for example, probably account for some of the observed spatial distributions. Many workers have documented positive and negative species associations

within intertidal assemblages. Hacker and Gaines (1997) stated that they are generally accepted as important processes in communities and are most common in environments with relatively high levels of physical disturbance, stress or predation. In a study of spatial variations in the structure of intertidal assemblages in southeastern Australia, Underwood and Chapman (1998) found that many of the species showed large interactions between sites. The authors went on to conclude that given these species were those ones contributing most to differences among assemblages, the whole assemblages were also likely to be interacting. The community structure in any place was largely an outcome of the interactions among components of an assemblage that happen to be present or numerous in different places. Hacker and Gaines (1997) demonstrated a number of species interactions, in an experimental study of species interactions in a salt marsh habitat in New England, North America. Several interactions were observed between taxa in the present study, the strongest of which were all positive associations, for example, between the two polychaete species Tharyx marioni and Lagisca extenuata and turbellarians and the polychaete Brania pusilla. Since data were all of an observational nature, it is not possible to conclude whether the presence of one taxa encouraged the presence of another, or if both were simply present due to mutually favourable environmental conditions. The present study demonstrates the importance of using a small-scale resolution to measure biodiversity in assemblages such as those associated with mussel beds. The results demonstrate the great natural variability in patterns of intertidal assemblages. Although there was a subset of taxa that tended to characterise and/or differentiate mussel communities in habitats within each of the shores, the results generally support the view that assemblages on rocky shores are spatially dynamic in composition. Despite a few general features, most of the taxa' are present or absent independently of the construction of the assemblage. This is consistent with the findings of many other studies of rocky shore communities, for example, in California (Foster, 1990), New South Wales, Australia (Kennelly and Underwood, 1992; Underwood and Chapman, 1998) and Canada (Bourget et a/, 1994; Archambault and Bourget, 1996).

 \sim

 λ

 \mathbf{r}

 \sim \sim

 $\langle \bullet \rangle$

 \bullet

 \bullet

ු විට ප්රාමාණ කරන කරන්නේ. ඇතින් විට ප්රාව විට පාලන පාලනය ගේ ක්රම්පාලි ප්රේ. බ්රියෝක්රියෝගේ ක්රමණ ක්රමණය කරන්න

ا مه

 $\ddot{}$

 \sim

Chapter 5

 \mathcal{A} .

Large-scale spatial variation in the structure of the

invertebrate communities associated with Mytilus edulis and Perumytilus purpuratus

 \mathcal{A} .

 \mathcal{A}

ABSTRACT

Communities associated with Mytilus edulis and Perumytilus purpuratus. purpuratus showed significant inter-site variation, when analysed using both univariate and multivariate techniques. Inter-site differences in community structure were partially the result of differences in the suite of taxa present and partially the result of differences in the abundance of taxa common to all sites. The mussel communities at different sites were often characterised by quite different assemblages, although some taxa such as nematodes, nemerteans, larvae of the insect genus Clunio, mites, juvenile mussels and the isopod Jaera albifrons in M.

edulis beds and nematodes, juvenile mussels, nereid polychaetes and anemones in P. purpuratus beds, were consistently present, often in similar numbers, at all or most sites. Other taxa were present in mussel beds only at certain sites. Some of the measured environmental factors of the mussel beds also showed significant inter-site variations, although analysis failed to reveal any strong relationships between the abiotic and biotic parameters of the mussel beds. When analysed at higher taxonomic levels, the structure of the communities associated with M. edulis and P. purpuratus showed significant differences. Univariate measures of community structure diversity were greater in M. edulis beds, although dominance curves for the two species were remarkably similar. Multivariate analysis revealed significant differences in community structure and showed that M. edulis beds were characterised by an abundance of nematodes, nemerteans, juvenile mussels, insect larvae, mites, isopods and gastropods, while P. purpuratus beds were characterised by nematodes, oligochaetes, juvenile mussels, barnacles, amphipods and syllid and nereid polychaetes. Environmental factors measured in the mussel beds failed to account for all of the observed large-scale spatial variation in the structure of these mussel communities. It is thus likely that in the present study, the geographic differences in community structure at each of the sites in the British Isles, Irish Republic and Chile are due to many different processes, together with stochastic events.

INTRODUCTION

Mussels, particularly of the genus *Mytilus*, occur throughout the cooler waters of the northern and southern hemispheres, along a variety of shore habitats (Bayne, 1976). Several studies have described the diverse infaunal assemblages associated with such intertidal mussel beds (e.g. Suchanek, 1979; Tsuchiya and Nishihira, 1985, 1986; Lintas and Seed, 1994; Seed, 1996). Due to the small size and immobility of sessile invertebrate species of marine epifaunal communities, such as those associated with mussel beds, these habitats are ideal for studying patterns of change in species composition and diversity (Osman, 1977). In addition, intertidal mussels

form very similar matrices on wave-exposed rocky shores throughout the world, providing a similar habitat for colonisation by a suite of species (Seed and Suchanek, 1992; Seed et a/, 2000). Thus, the infaunal communities associated with intertidal mussel beds offer an ideal opportunity to study variations in community structure across a wide geographical range.

The analysis of spatial patterns in species abundance is an essential basis for understanding scales at which organisms interact with one another or with their environment, and the relative importance of different ecological processes which may determine these patterns (Underwood and Chapman, 1996). Thus a complete understanding of the structure of any community can only be possible when geographical variation is encompassed (Morris, 1990). However in the past, small-

scale patterns of community structure have been erroneously extrapolated to landscape and geographic scales (Murdoch and Aronson, 1999), although geographical variation in the structure of communities has been noted in a wide variety of habitats, including ant communities in Costa Rica (Longino, 1989); amphibian communities in Bomeo forests (Inger and Voris, 1993) and freshwater fish in the Wet Tropics of Queensland, Australia (Pusey and Kennard, 1996). This phenomenon has also been documented for various intertidal marine habitats, such as temperate and tropical intertidal flats in Australia (Dittman, 1990; 1995), rocky shore communities in New England (Menge, 1991a), intertidal sediments in the northern Wadden Sea (Reise et al, 1994), intertidal rocky shore communities in New South Wales, (Underwood and Chapman; 1996) and brackish water fauna (Cognetti

and Maltagliati, 2000).

Information regarding the geographical variation in the diverse invertebrate communities associated with intertidal mussel beds is somewhat lacking, although a number of studies describing these infaunal assemblages have been undertaken in various geographical locations for example, in North America (Suchanek, 1979), Japan (Tsuchiya and Nishihira, 1985,1986) and the UK (Lintas and Seed, 1994).

Kanter (1980) did conduct a study of patterns in the structure of communities associated with Mytilus califomianus from the southern Californian Bight. However, none of these studies of mussel bed communities investigated patterns of change across a range of locations, thus encompassing a variety of environmental conditions. In addition, very different sampling protocols were employed for each of the studies. Many authors have highlighted the importance of standardised sampling procedures in comparative ecological studies. For example, Underwood (1992) pointed out, that in studies of community structure, an appropriate combination of replicated sampling, at appropriate scales is absolutely mandatory before any attempt to determine potential impact is likely to succeed. Similarly, other authors have stated that simple decisions about sampling approach in ecological field studies can influence species abundance estimates significantly (e. g. Green, 1979; Hurlbert, 1984; Andrew and Mapstone, 1987; Eberhardt and Thomas, 1991; Miller and Ambrose, 2000). In addition, the infaunal communities associated with mussel beds have been shown to vary temporally. Briggs (1982) reported significant seasonal variation in the fauna associated with M. edulis in Loch Foyle, Ireland, while Tsuchiya and Nishihira (1985) found similar patterns in associates of the same mussel species in Japan. Peake and Quinn (1993) have reported seasonal variations in the infaunal associates of Brachidontes rostratus in Australia, while Jacobi (1987a) found seasonal differences in the abundance of amphipod associates of mussel beds from the Bay of Santos, Brazil. Similarly, Tokeshi (1995) reported significant differences in the abundance of polychaetes associated with mussel beds on South American shores. Thus, comparisons of the structure of the infaunal communities associated with mussel beds, based on the results of individual studies in different locations, should be undertaken with caution, since temporal variation or differences due to inconsistent sampling or sorting protocols might confound any geographical variation in community structure. It is clear that any investigation of the geographical variation associated with the structure of mussel bed communities must eliminate such possible sources of variation.

In this chapter the variation in the invertebrate communities associated with Mytilus edulis and Perumytilus purpuratus on eight rocky shores around the coast of

the British Isles (England, Wales and Scotland) and Irish Republic and nine along the coastline of Chile, South America, is investigated, using both a univariate and a multivariate approach. Comparisons are made between sites in each country, to investigate geographical patterns of variation in community structure, on a similar temporal scale. The extent to which various environmental parameters of the mussel beds influence community structure, such as mussel density and the volume and

 \blacktriangleleft

mass of sediment fractions, together with conditions of wave-exposure, is also investigated in the UK. In addition, inter-continental variation in mussel community structure is investigated, by comparing mussel communities in the UK and Chile.

METHODS

Samples were collected on spring tides from M. edulis beds at eight sites in the British Isles and Ireland during June and July 1999 and from P. purpuratus beds at nine sites in Chile, South America during January 1999, with the exception of samples at Valdivia, which were collected during January 1998. Details of each of

these sites are provided in Chapter 2. Samples were processed and sorted

according to the methodology described in Chapter 2.

RESULTS

Large-scale spatial variation in the community associated with M. edulis

A total of 45339 infaunal organisms representing 168 different taxa were collected and identified from mussel beds at the eight locations within the UK. All of the univariate measures of community diversity, i.e., total number of taxa, total number of individuals, Margalef's Index of richness, Shannon's Index of diversity and Pielou's evenness showed significant variation between the eight sites (Table 1). Significantly more taxa were present in mussel beds at sites in England, Ireland and Criccieth than at Point Lynas, White Beach and Arisaig in Scotland (Figure 1). A similar pattern was observed for the total number of individuals present in the mussel beds, with significantly fewer individuals at Point Lynas and White Beach than at all the other sites. Margalef's index of richness was greatest for mussel bed communities from the Irish sites and Robin Hood's Bay and lowest at Point Lynas, White Beach and Arisaig. Similarly, Shannon's index of diversity was greatest at the Irish sites and Robin Hood's Bay and lowest at Point Lynas, White Beach and Filey Brigg. Pielou's Eveness was similar at all sites, except for a particularly low value at Filey Brigg.

Cluster analysis of square-root transformed infauna abundance showed clear differences in community structure between the eight locations, in terms of the more dominant taxa in the community (Figure 2). Similar clear differences in community structure emerged between the eight locations when cluster analysis was performed with a presence/absence transformation, thus removing emphasis from taxa abundance (Figure 3). MDS ordination of square-root transformed infaunal abundance illustrated a clear separation of mussel bed communities at each of the eight locations, in terms of the more dominant taxa in the community (Figure 4a).

 \bullet . \bullet

Table 1 One-way ANOVA/Mood median tests between univariate measures of diversity calculated for mussel bed communities at eight locations in the British Isles and Irish Republic. Significant differences are indicated by * (p<0.05).

 $\qquad \qquad \blacksquare$

 $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{A}}$

Shannon's diversity - 29.00*

Pielou's evenness 9.89*

transfor Nales; Filey > \mathbf{II} n syw.
White Beach, square-root England; \cdot S Bay $\mathbf{H}% =\mathbf{H}^{T}\mathbf{v}^{T}\mathbf{v}^{T}\mathbf{v}^{T}+\mathbf{H}^{T}\mathbf{v}^{T}\mathbf{v}^{T}$ similarities based Wales; W Robin Hood's Lynas, \mathbf{ii} α **ainn** \pm Poin Republic; Bray- \overline{a} Irish $\mathbf{\tilde{o}}$ Republic clustering Doonbeg, Irish $\underline{\underline{\omega}}$ averag and \mathbf{II} \Box Isles Republic; dronb British for ish in the

느

Kilkee,

 $\boldsymbol{\mathsf{II}}$

 $\overline{\textbf{X}}$

at eight lo
Scotland:

Dendrogram

 $\boldsymbol{\mathsf{N}}$

Figure

cations

 $\overline{\mathbf{C}}$

 \sim 0.0

 \sim \sim

 \blacksquare

 \blacktriangleleft

 \mathcal{A}

 $\langle \rangle$

 Δ

115

Arisaig, \vec{a} Figure beds

a) All Locations

b) Point Lynas and White Beach

Stress $= 0.09$

c) Criccieth, Robin Hood's Bay and Filey Brigg

d) Arisaig, Kilkee and Doonbeg

Stress $= 0.1$

Figure 4 Ordination by MDS based on Bray-Curtis similarity, of square-root transformed taxa abundance data from mussel beds at a) eight different locations in the British Isles and' Irish Republic (50 most important taxa), b) Point Lynas and White Beach (all taxa), c) Criccieth, Robin Hood's Bay and Filey Brigg (all taxa) and d) Arisaig, Kilkee and Doonbeg (all taxa). $(P = Point Lynas, Wales; W = White$ Beach, Wales; $C =$ Criccieth, Wales; A = Arisaig, Scotland; $K =$ Kilkee, Irish Republic; D = Doonbeg, Irish Republic; R = Robin Hood's Bay, England; F = Filey Brigg, England).

The high stress value of the MDS plot (0.21) reflected the difficulty of condensing such a large data set into two dimensions, despite only the 50 most important taxa (in terms of contribution to site differences) in the community being used in the analysis. Different sites were grouped according to clustering patterns in the original plot and re-plotted using the complete data set, for increased accuracy of interpretation (Figure 4b-d). A one-way ANOSIM test performed on the data confirmed that there were significant inter-site differences in community structure (R=0.951, p<0.05). ANOSIM pairwise comparisons revealed that the structure of the mussel community differed significantly between all of the eight sites (Table 2a). However, RELATE

analysis showed that there was no significant pattern of seriation, or gradient underlying the MDS ordination of data (R=0.382, p<0.05).

Similarity Percentage analysis (SIMPER), performed with a square-root transformation indicated that mussel bed communities at each of the eight sites in the UK were characterised by a quite different assemblage of numerically dominant taxa. However, some taxa were consistently dominant members of the infaunal communities at most or all sites, namely, nematodes, juvenile Mytilus edulis, Mite sp. 1, Clunio sp. larvae, nemerteans, and the isopod Jaera albifrons. Table 3 provides

MDS ordination performed with a presence/absence transformation on the 50 most important taxa abundance indicated similar patterns (Figure 5a), with replicates from each of the eight locations clustering together. Again, the plot had a high associated stress value (0.23), so sites were grouped together and re-plotted using the complete taxa data set (Figure 5b-d). A one-way ANOSIM test performed on the data confirmed that there were significant differences in community structure between some of the sites (R=0.893, p<0.05). Pairwise comparisons revealed that the structure of the mussel community was significantly different at all of the eight sites (Table 2b). RELATE analysis showed that there was no significant pattern of

seriation, or gradient underlying the MIDS ordination of data (R=0.487, p<0.05).

MIDS ordination performed on square-root transformed biotic data did, however, show that sites generally clustered out into groups supplied by the same surface water currents (for surface water current details, see MAFF, 1981). Mussel communities at the sites on the west coast of the Irish Republic and Arisaig in Scotland cluster together, while Point Lynas and White Beach on Anglesey and Robin Hood's Bay and Filey Brigg on the NE coast of England form two groups; Criccieth remains quite distinct. Such pattems correspond closely to patterns of surface water movement around the coast of the British Isles. MDS ordination performed on presence/absence transformed biotic data does not correlate so closely with surface water patterns (Figure 5).

t eight locations throughout the
ations of species abundance.
int).

The Bay

 \mathbf{v}_c

AA

 \mathcal{A}

 $\frac{1}{2}$ Bay

 \bullet

 \bullet .
a) All sites

 $\mathcal{A}=\mathcal{A}$

c) Point Lynas, Kilkee and Doonbeg

b) White Beach and Criccieth

Stress = 0.16

 $Stress = 0.16$

Figure 5 Ordination by MDS based on Bray-Curtis similarity, of presence/absence transformed taxa abundance data from mussel beds at a) eight different locations in the British Isles and Irish Republic (50 most important taxa), b) White Beach and Criccieth (all taxa), c) Point Lynas, Kilkee and Doonbeg (all taxa) and d) Arisaig, Robin Hood's Bay and Filey Brigg (all taxa). (P = Point Lynas, Wales; W = White Beach, Wales; C = Criccieth, Wales; A= Arisaig, Scotland; K= Kilkee, Irish Republic; D= Doonbeg, Irish Republic; $R =$ Robin Hood's Bay, England; $F =$ Filey Brigg, England).

d) Arisaig, Robin Hood's Bay and Filey Brigg

\mathbf{Q} Table 3 Results of similarity percentage analysis (SIMPER) performed on square-root transformed abundance of infaunal taxa present in mussel beds at eight locations in the British Isles and Irish Republic, showing a breakdown of % contributions of infaunal taxa (% Cont.)
average dissimilarity between locations. Taxa highlighted in grey indicate higher ab average dissimilarity

 \bullet

 $\lambda_{\rm c}$

 \mathbf{r}

 $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$

 \mathcal{A}

 \bullet

 \mathbf{r}

 $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{A}}$

19 P.A

 $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{S}}$

 $\langle \star \rangle$

 \mathcal{A}

 \pm

 $\bar{\mathcal{A}}$

 $\left\vert \mathbf{v}\right\rangle$

 $\Delta \phi$

 $\vert \mathbf{4} \vert$

 $\bar{\pmb{\cdot}}$

 \mathbf{E}_{c}

 η

 \bullet

 \bullet

 $\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{r}}\mathbf{r}_{\perp}$

 $\ddot{}$

 $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{L}))$

 $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$

 \mathbf{t}_\parallel

 \mathcal{A}

 \mathcal{A}

 $\chi_{\rm{max}}$, $\chi_{\rm{max}}$

 Δ

 \sim

 \sim

 \mathbf{x}

 $\langle\mathcal{L}\rangle$

 \sim

 Δ

 \sim

 \propto

 $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}}$

 \blacksquare

 \mathbf{r}_c

 \mathcal{R}^{\pm}

 $\mathcal{S}^{\mathcal{S}}$

 \mathbf{I}_\perp

 χ

 \blacksquare

 \mathcal{A}

 $\langle \sigma \rangle$

 \bullet

 \bullet

10 P.O

 \sim 100 \pm

 \mathbf{r}

 \bullet

 \mathcal{A} .

 σ

 \sim

Average dissimilarity
between sites = 63.67%

123

een sites = $54.62%$

 $\lvert \rvert$

between sites = 65.42%

details of the taxa, contributing to differences in mussel bed community composition between sites. Similarity Percentage analysis (SIMPER), performed with a presence/absence transformation indicated that the mussel bed communities at each of the eight sites in the UK were characterised by quite different sets of taxa. However, some taxa were consistently present in mussel bed communities at most or all sites, namely, juvenile M. edulis, Clunio sp. larvae, Mite sp. 1, nemerteans, nematodes, the isopod Jaera albifrons and the amphipod Hyale prevostii. Table 4 provides details of the taxa contributing to differences in mussel bed community composition between sites. Mussel beds at the more sheltered locations (Point

Lynas, White Beach and Criccieth) were dominated by meiofaunal taxa associated with the sediment portion of the mussel matrix (nematodes, nemerteans, Clunio sp. larvae and various mites). At the other, more wave-exposed locations, macrofaunal and mobile taxa such as Jaera albifrons, Lasaea adansoni, Hyale prevostii and Omalgyra atomus were much more abundant with meiofaunal taxa proportionally less abundant.

Many of the environmental variables measured in the mussel beds showed significant variation both within and across the eight sites (Figure 6). Mussel density was greater at Robin Hood's Bay, Filey Brigg and Arisaig than at all other sites. The mass and volume of mussels, per unit area of mussel bed was lower at Point Lynas than sites on the NE coast of England and White Beach, while between other sites,

these variables did not differ significantly. The mean length of mussels at each site was similar at all sites, except at White Beach where mussels were slightly larger. The fine sediment content of the mussel beds was generally greater in mussel beds at the more sheltered locations. A subset of taxa, randomly selected, such that epibiotic, mobile and infaunal organisms were represented, were tested for relationships between their abundance and various environmental parameters of the mussel beds. Various relationships were found, of which the most obvious was the finding that the abundance of all the taxa tested was negatively correlated with the mean size of mussels in the patch (Table 5). However, although significant, none of these relationships was highly correlated.

Univariate measures of the diversity of mussel bed communities did not show a high degree of correlation with many of the environmental variables measured within the mussel beds (Table 6b-i) when data at each site were tested separately. However, when data from all sites were pooled, \overline{a} coarse sediment content was shown to be an important factor influencing univariate measures of community diversity (Table 6a), while other factors were seen to have varying effects.

abundance of infaunal taxa present % contributions of infaunal taxa (% Table 4 Results of similarity percentage analysis (SIMPER) performed on presence/absence transformed abundance or l
in mussel beds at eight locations throughout the British Isles and Irish Republic, showing a breakdown of Cont.) to average dissimilarity between locations.

 $\langle \cdot \rangle$

 $\hat{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}$

 $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{A}}$

 $\langle \cdot \rangle$

 \bullet

 $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}}$

 ϵ

 $\lambda = \infty$

 ϵ

 ϵ

 $\label{eq:1} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{2} \right) \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{2} \right)$

 $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{S})$

J,

 $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$

 \mathbf{v}_i

 \mathbb{R}^3

 Δ

 $\tilde{\gamma}$

 γ

 \sim

 \mathbb{Z}^{d-2}

 ϵ

 $\boldsymbol{\beta}$

 $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$

 ∞

 \bullet

 $\boldsymbol{\beta}$

 $\frac{1}{2}$

 \blacktriangleright

 $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$

 $\boldsymbol{\beta}$

٥ \cup

between sites = 58.18%

between sites = 58.36%

 \sim

127

Average unssituting
between sites = 56.26%

 $between$ sites = 57.34%

Average uissimiliamy
between sites = 39.32%

The Contract

,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,

COLLEGE

130

are mark Kilkee intervals $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}$ Arisaig $\overset{\textcircled{\textup{}}}{\Omega}$

$rac{1}{1}$ Robin significant \bullet $\widehat{\mathbf{\Theta}}$ Doonbeg
such that $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ Figure

Table 5 Correlation coefficients calculated between the abundance of selected a) infaunal, b) mobile and c) epibiotic taxa and environmental variables deemed relevant to their lifestyle, in mussel beds at eight locations in the British Isles and Irish Republic. Significant correlation is indicated by bold type.

a, IIII dundi taxa		
	Mass $<$ 63 μ m	$Mass > 63 \mu m$
	sediment	sediment
Platyhelminthe indet.	0.197	0.231
Nematoda indet.	0.235	0.386
Nemertea indet.	0.081	0.061
Oligochaeta indet.	0.101	0.169
Brania pusilla	0.037	-0.254
Heterocythere albomaculata	0.113	0.123
Pholoe inornata	0.008	0.427
Clunio sp. larvae	0.220	0.223
Mite sp. 1	0.155	0.034
Juvenile gastropoda indet.	0.080	-0.026
Foraminifera indet.	0.039	-0.064

a) Infaunal taxa

b) Mobile taxa

c) Epibiotic taxa

 \bullet

132

Service Contractor $\sim 10^{-10}$ M $_\odot$

 \bullet

 λ

Table 6 Correlation coefficients calculated between environmental variables and univariate measures of diversity in mussel beds at a) Point Lynas, b) White Beach, c) Criccieth, d) Arisaig, e) Kilkee, f) Doonbeg, g) Robin Hood's Bay and h) Filey Brigg. (NT=Number of taxa, NI=Number of individuals, d=Margalef's index, H'=Shannon's diyersity, J=Pielou's evenness). Values in bold indicate significant correlation $(p < 0.05)$.

 \bullet

 \bullet

 $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$. The set of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$ $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$, where $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{N}_{\text{max}}}$ $\mathbf{S}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ and $\mathbf{S}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$

 \bullet

	NT	NI.	d	H'	J
Σ mussels	-0.642	0.297	-0.648	-0.365	-0.097
Mussel mass	0.383	0.308	0.132	0.694	0.583
Mussel volume	0.481	0.199	0.263	0.713	0.558
Mean mussel size	0.610	-0.358	0.618	0.541	0.309
Mean size* Σ mussels	-0.629	0.185	-0.603	-0.272	0.002
Mass fine sediment	0.568	0.166	0.444	-0.261	-0.574
Mass coarse sediment	0.275	-0.428	0.483	-0.433	-0.615

d) Arisaig, Scotland

b) White Beach. Wales

	NT	ΝI	d	H'	\bm{J}
5 mussels	-0.187	0.502	-0.334	0.183	0.199
Mussel mass	-0.505	0.650	-0.739	-0.324	-0.070
Mussel volume	-0.387	0.758	-0.644	-0.333	-0.137
Mean mussel size	-0.538	0.198	-0.586	-0.540	-0.216
Mean size* Σ mussels	-0.381	0.616	-0.557	0.007	0.135
Mass fine sediment	-0.399	-0.125	-0.259	0.704	0.801
Mass coarse sediment	0.009	-0.684	0.290	0.422	0.398

c) Criccieth, Wales

 \bullet

 \bullet

 \sim $-$

e) Kilkee, Irish Republic					
	NT	ΝI	d	H^{\prime}	
\sum mussels	-0.501	-0.617	-0.437	-0.332	-0.171
Mussel mass	-0.246	-0.005	-0.329	-0.171	-0.087
Mussel volume	-0.157	-0.025	-0.201	-0.160	-0.124
Mean mussel size	0.651	0.808	0.556	0.391	0.157
Mean size \sum mussels	-0.467	-0.494	-0.442	-0.280	-0.120
Mass fine sediment	-0.056	-0.222	0.010	0.114	0.178
Mass coarse sediment	0.910	0.682	0.936	0.588	0.239

f) Doonbeg, Irish Republic

g) Robin Hood's Bay, England

h) Filey Brigg, England

 \mathcal{A}

Ordination by PCA of the environmental data from the mussel samples (Figure 7) showed that replicate samples from each of the eight sites did not cluster out as distinctly as ordinations using biotic data (Figures 4 and 5). This may be due in part to the high degree of intra-site variation in measurements of each of these variables (Figure 6). Over 90% of the geographical variability in the environmental data was accounted for by the first three Principal Components, while the first two Principal Components accounted for 72% of the variability. PC1 was seen to be a linear combination of the environmental parameters, with increasing values of all variables, except for mean mussel size.

Determination of correlation coefficients for all environmental variables measured in the mussel beds at each of the eight sites failed to reveal any high levels of correlation between any of the variables (r>0.95), although some correlation's were significant (Table 7). As such, all the measured environmental variables were entered into BIOENV analysis, to identify the most appropriate combinations of variables explaining the square-root and presence/absence transformed biotic data. BIOENV analysis failed to identify any high levels of correlation between any environmental parameters and square-root or presence/absence transformed biotic data (Table 8). The BIOENV procedure was repeated, using only the important site-characterising taxa identified under each transformation by SIMPER, and with taxa present at greater than 50% of sites, since

sites in the present study, which were then overlaid onto the averaged MDS ordination of square-root and presence/absence transformed infauna abundance, which revealed clear patterns in the data (Figure 8). In addition, the univariate measures of diversity were generally greater at the more exposed sites (Figure 1).

the original data set was so large that any patterns in the data might be masked. However, this procedure did not increase the correlation between environmental and either square-root or presence/absence transformed biotic data.

RELATE analysis between the environmental data similarity matrix, produced using normalised Euclidean distance and the biotic data similarity matrices, produced using Bray-Curtis similarity with a square-root and a presence/absence transformation showed significant differences (R=0.296, p<0.05; R=0.321, p<0.05). Thus, the physical and environmental factors measured in mussel beds at each of the eight locations in this study were not solely responsible for producing the observed differences in community structure between locations.

An arbitrary, ranked scale of wave-exposure was applied to each of the eight

भारतसम्बन्धनानुबन्धनानुबन्धः असम्बद्धाः ५२ ९०

Figure 7 Ordination by PCA, using normalised Euclidean distance, of environmental variables measured in mussel beds at each of the eight locations in the British Isles and Irish Republic. (P = Point Lynas, Wales; W = White Beach, Wales; C = Criccieth, Wales; A = Arisaig, Scotland; K = Kilkee, Irish Republic; D = Doonbeg, Irish Republic; $R =$ Robin Hood's Bay, England; $F =$ Filey Brigg, England).

136

the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of

Table 7 Correlation coefficients calculated between various environmental variables measured in mussel beds at eight locations in the British Isles and Irish Republic. Significant correlation (p<0.05) is indicated by bold type.

 \mathbf{L}

Table 8 Results of BIOENV analysis, comparing environmental variables with biotic data in mussel beds at the eight locations in the British Isles and Irish Republic. 'Best' variable combinations are based on Spearman rank correlation's between a) square-root and b) presence/absence transformed biotic data and environmental parameters are given. For each transformation, the entire biotic data set is used in the analysis, along with data sets comprising of taxa identified by SIMPER analysis as important in characterising the communities and taxa present at >50% locations.

Mass course sediment

Taxa present at >50% locations only Total number of mussels 0.358 Mussel volume Mean size of mussels Mean size*total number of mussels Mass fine sediment

Biotic data set **Biotic data set** Best variable combination **Correlation**

the complete service in the complete

Full data set Total number of mussels 0.341 Mussel volume Mean size*total number of mussels Mass fine sediment

Mean size*total number of mussels
Masse onlines andimark

SIMPER taxa only Total number of mussels 0.309 Mussel volume Mean size of mussels Mean size*total number of mussels Mass fine sediment

138

a) Stress $= 0.11$

b) Stress $= 0.13$

Figure 8 Ordination by MDS based on Bray-Curtis similarity, of a) square-root and b) presence absence transformed average taxa abundance data from the mussel

beds at eight locations in the British Isles and Irish Republic. (P = Point Lynas, Wales; W = White Beach, Wales; C = Criccieth, Wales; A = Arisaig, Scotland; K = Kilkee, Irish Republic; D = Doonbeg, Irish Republic; R = Robin Hood's Bay, England; F= Filey Brigg, England). Superimposed circles are scaled in size to represent the relative values of degree of wave exposure at each of the locations.

Large-scale spatial variation in the community associated with P. purpuratus

All of the univariate measures of community diversity, i. e., total number of taxa, total number of individuals, Margalef's index of richness, Shannon's index of diversity and Pielou's evenness showed significant variation between the nine sample sites. With few exceptions, univariate measures of diversity were greater at Valdivia, Maule and the exposed locations at Desembocadura and lower at the sheltered Desembocadura locations (Figure 9). Values at the other sites were intermediate.

Cluster analysis of square-root transformed infauna abundance illustrated

clear differences in community structure between the nine different sites, in terms of the more dominant taxa in the community (Figure 10). Similar clear differences in community structure emerged between the nine sites when cluster analysis was performed with a presence/absence transformation, thus removing emphasis from taxa abundance (Figure 11). MDS ordination of square-root transformed infaunal abundance illustrated a clear separation of mussel communities at each of the nine locations, in terms of the more dominant taxa in the community (Figure 12a). The high stress value of the original MDS plot (0.22) reflects the difficulty in condensing a large data set into two dimensions, so sites were re-grouped according to general cluster patterns and re-plotted to increase the accuracy of interpretation (Figure 12be). A one-way ANOSIM performed on the data confirmed that there were significant differences in community structure between some of the sites (R=0.742, p<0.05). ANOSIM pairwise comparisons revealed that the structure of the community associated with P. purpuratus was significantly different between each of the nine sites (Table 9a). RELATE analysis showed that there was no significant pattern of seriation, or gradient, underlying the MIDS ordination of data (R=0.349, p<0.05). MDS ordination performed with a presence/absence transformation illustrated a less clear separation of communities at each of the nine sites (Figure 13a). The plot had a high stress value (0.23), so sites were grouped together and re-plotted to facilitate the accuracy of interpretation. In general, the communities associated with P. purpuratus at each of the nine locations appeared less dissimilar when emphasis was removed from abundance of taxa (Figure 13b-e). However, a one-way ANOSIM

test revealed that community structure was significantly different between at least some of the sites (R=0.531, p<0.05). Pairwise comparisons showed that the structure of the mussel community was significantly different at all of the nine sites, although R-values were generally lower than those obtained using a square-root transformation, indicating less pronounced differences (Table 9b). RELATE analysis

141

 \bullet

med biotic data from mussel beds Desembocadura (sivible) \geq \mathbf{H} $\mathbf{\mathbf{H}}$ \geq site 1; DE2 Coronel; pes $\mathbf{11}$

Maule; Desembocadura expo square-root transfo $\begin{array}{c} \rule{0pt}{2ex} \rule{0pt}{$ z $\dot{\mathbf{N}}$ site sheltered $\overline{5}$ **based** Cocholgue; DE1 = esembocadura similarities \ddot{t} \mathbf{H}

5 \Box $\bm{\mathsf{C}}$ Bray ces; Ω <u>_</u> Ę, $\mathbf{\tilde{o}}$ ┯ site clustering Sp-أصبرو Desembocadura sheitered $\overline{\mathbf{H}}$ $C₀$ average America. dronb South $\overline{101}$ $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ E

۔
ا

Iocations in

at nine

 $\mathbf{\mathbf{H}}$

 $\sqrt{2}$

SQ

site 2;

exposed

Dendrogra

 \bullet

 \blacktriangledown

Figure

 \blacksquare

 \bullet

site 2; Desemi presence/absen Desembocadura sheltered \mathbf{H} DE1 Cocholgue; \mathbf{I} $UCES$; $C = 1$
1; $DS2 = 1$ \bullet \bullet ပ် site <u>ო</u> sheltered $\begin{array}{c} \textbf{1} \end{array}$ \mathcal{Q} $\ddot{\sigma}$ \Rightarrow Desembocadura America. South Chile, \mathbf{H} DS1 dronb $\Xi.$ $\dot{\mathbf{N}}$ at nine locations site

Desembocadura exposed beds Valdivia). mussel Figur

 $\overline{}$

Figure 12 Ordination by MDS based on Bray-Curtis similarity, of square-root transformed taxa abundance data from mussel beds at a) nine locations in Chile, South America and b), c), d), e) at selected locations for ease of interpretation. (LC = Las Cruces; $CH = Cochologue$; $DE1 = Desembocadura exposed site 1$; $DE2 =$ Desembocadura exposed site 2; DS1 = Desembocadura sheltered site 1; DS2 = Desembocadura sheltered site 2; M = Maule; C = Coronel; V = Valdivia).

b) Stress = 0.15

 \bullet

 \mathcal{A}

c) Stress = 0.13

 \bullet

 \mathcal{A}

d) Stress = 0.12

 \bullet

 \mathcal{A}

 \bullet

e) Stress = 0.16

 \mathbf{A} .

144

iken in January 1999 at nine
ce/absence transformations.

 $\langle \bullet \rangle$

the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the

 α , and α , and α

Contract Contract

 σ .

 \sim $-$

 $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{M})$

Figure 13 Ordination by MDS based on Bray-Curtis similarity, of presence/absence transformed taxa abundance data from mussel beds at a) nine locations in Chile, South America and b), c), d), e) at selected locations for ease of interpretation. (LC = Las Cruces; CH = Cocholgue; DE1 = Desembocadura exposed site 1; DE2 = Desembocadura exposed site 2; DS1 = Desembocadura sheltered site 1; DS2 = Desembocadura sheltered site 2; $M =$ Maule; $C =$ Coronel; $V =$ Valdivia).

 \bullet

b) Stress = 0.14

 \mathcal{A}

 \bullet

 \mathbf{A}

 $\sigma_{\rm{max}}$

e) Stress = 0.15

 \mathcal{A} .

showed that there was no significant pattern of seriation, or gradient, underlying the MDS ordination of data $(R=0.244, p<0.05)$.

Similarity Percentage analysis (SIMPER), performed with a square-root transformation indicated that many of the taxa characterising the mussel bed communities were the same across each of the nine sites in Chile, such as nematodes, juvenile mussels, nereid polychaetes and anemones. Other dominant taxa were site-specific, such as sea spiders, isopods and various polychaete families. Table 10 provides details of the taxa contributing to differences in mussel bed community composition across sites. SIMPER analysis performed with a

presence/absence transformation indicated that the mussel bed communities at each of the nine sites throughout Chile were characterised by quite different taxa, with few taxa consistently present in mussel beds at all sites (Table 11). Given the highly variable structure of the communities, it was difficult to ascertain any pattern in the data. However in general, most taxa were found in greater abundance at the more wave-exposed sites (e.g. Valdivia and Desembocadura exposed sites), than the sheltered (Desembocadura sheltered sites and at Las Cruces), with the exception of a few selected taxa, including anemones, isopods and nereid and hesionid polychaetes. Such mobile taxa might be excluded from the more exposed locations, as a result of 'flushing' by severe wave action, while anemones might not be able to feed effectively in such conditions.

Comparison of the communities associated with M. edulis and P. purpuratus Values of all the univariate 'measures of community diversity, with the exception of Shannon's index, showed significant differences between mussel -beds in the British Isles and Irish Republic and Chile, when data were pooled for each of the two geographical areas and compared at the same taxonomic level (Table 12). The total number of taxa and individuals present in the mussel beds, along with Margalef's index of richness were all higher in British and Irish mussel beds than their counterparts in Chile. In contrast, Pielou's evenness was greater in P. purpuratus beds (refer to Figures 1& 9).

The population density of mussels in the beds has been shown not to vary significantly between each of the nine Chilean sites (see ANOVA, Chapter 3). It was thus unlikely, statistically, that the observed differences in community diversity between each of the nine sites were a reflection of mussel density and no further analysis was performed on the data.

Infaunal abundance data from P. purpuratus and M. edulis communities were plotted as k-dominance plots, based on the ranking of taxa in decreasing order of

Ξ infaunal taxa (% Cont.) to average abundance of infaunal taxa present showing a breakdown of % contributions of Table 10 Results of similarity percentage analysis (SIMPER) performed on square-root transformed and ables at
mussel beds at nine locations throughout Chile, South America, showing a breakdown of % contributions
dissimilar

 \bullet

 \bullet

्षेत्र हो हो स्वयं प्राप्त

 \mathbf{r}

 $\frac{3}{\pi}$

 $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{S}}$

 $\frac{\lambda_{\rm{max}}}{2}$

 \hat{r}

 $\ddot{}$

 \mathbf{v}

 \mathbb{R}^{∞}

 $\alpha\in\mathcal{P}$

 $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{A}}$

 $\frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial \mathbf{v}}_{\mathbf{v}}$

 \mathcal{N}_c

 \mathbf{u}

 Δ

 \mathcal{R}_c

 $\langle \tau \rangle$

 σ .

 \blacksquare

 \bullet

 $\chi^2_{\rm{max}}$

 \sim $\ddot{}$

 \bullet

 \propto

Contract Contract Contract Contract Contract

 ∞

Presented

 $\overline{49}$

 \circ 功

 $E = 15$

150

and the company

the control of the property of the

 $\alpha_{\rm B}$.

 $\sim 10^{-1}$

 $\sim 10^{-11}$

53

rformed on presence/absence transformed abundance of infaunal taxa present average of infaunal taxa (% Cont.) to in mussel beds at nine locations in Chile, South America, showing a breakdown of % contributions of
dissimilarity between locations. Taxa highlighted in grey indicate higher abundance at the first listed site. similarity percentage analysis (SIMPER) per Results of Table 11

 \mathcal{A}

 \bullet

 $\langle \bullet \rangle$

 \mathcal{L}

 \sim

 \mathcal{Z}

 $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{F}}$

 $\frac{1}{2}$. $\frac{1}{2}$

 $\boldsymbol{\beta}$

 \mathbf{u}

 $\frac{\mathcal{S}}{\mathcal{S}}$

 $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{A}}$

 $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}}$.

 $\sim 10^{-10}$

 \sim

 \sim

 $\hat{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}$

 \sim

 \mathcal{A}

 $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$

 $\mathbf{4}$

 ϵ

 \rightarrow

 $\boldsymbol{\beta}$

 \mathbf{J}_{c}

 $\sim 10^{-10}$

 \boldsymbol{r}

 \mathcal{E}_γ

 \mathbf{u}

 $\hat{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}$

 $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}'}$

 \mathbf{r}

 \mathcal{S}_c

 $\sigma_{\rm c}$

 \sim

 \blacksquare

 \blacktriangle

 Δ

Average dissimilarity
between sites = 37.21%

Average dissimilarity
between sites = 41.80%

Average dissimilarity
between sites = 44.34%

Average dissimilarity
between sites = 48.11%

154

Average dissimilarity
between sites = 44.16%

Average dissimilarity
between sites = 46.82%

Average dissimilarity
between sites = 39.61%

 \mathcal{L}

Average dissimilarity
between sites = 46.14%

-

 $\frac{100}{200} = 30.61\%$

46.44% between sites =

 $\mathbf{1}$

sites

een

 \mathbf{r}

 $M = 249.01\%$
between sites = 49.01%

43.43% = between sites

Average dissimilarity
between sites = 25.31%

157

Average dissimilarity
ween sites = 43.75% between sites

Average dissimilarity
between sites = 39.26%

Average dissimilarity
ween sites = 25.54%

between

the control of the control of

159

the property of the property of

 $\mathbf{1}$

the contract of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{$

Table 12 T-tests performed between univariate measures of diversity calculated for pooled data from mussel bed communities at eight sites in the British Isles and Irish Republic and eight in Chile, South America. Mean values of each univariate
measure not 25 and guadratic aircre Cinnificant differences are marked * (n 40.05) measure per 25cm⁻ quadrat are given. Significant differences are marked * (p<0.05).

 Δ

Contract Manager

the control of the control of the

 \mathcal{P}

and the contract of the

their importance in terms of biomass. The k -dominance plot for mussel communities in both Chile and the British Isles and Irish Republic were very similar, such that, although the specific taxa present in each of the mussel communities may be very different, the patterns of dominance in each were remarkably similar (Figure 14). MIDS ordination of square-root transformed infaunal taxa abundance illustrated a clear separation of mussel communities from Chile and the British Isles and Irish Republic, in terms of the more dominant taxa in the community (Figure 15a). A one-way ANOSIM test performed between the pooled data for each geographical area confirmed that the structure of the infaunal community associated with P. purpuratus was significantly different from the M. edulis community (R = 0.680, p<0.05). Additionally, when sites in Chile were tested individually against sites in the British Isles and Irish Republic, a one-way ANOSIM test confirmed that there were significant inter-site differences in community structure ($R = 0.847$, p<0.05). ANOSIM pairwise comparisons revealed that the structure of the mussel community was significantly different between all the sites in Chile and those in the British Isles and Irish Republic (Table 13a). MDS ordination performed with a presence/absence transformation on the same data set showed a slightly less clear, but still distinct, separation of sites from Chile and the British Isles and Irish Republic (Figure 15b). A one-way ANOSIM test performed between the pooled data for each of the two geographical areas confirmed that the structure of the infaunal community associated

with P. purpuratus was significantly different from the M. edulis community (R = 0.723, p<0.05). Additionally, when sites in Chile were tested individually against sites in the British Isles and Irish Republic, a one-way ANOSIM test confirmed that there were significant differences in community structure between some of the sites ($R =$ 0.783, p<0.05). ANOSIM pairwise comparisons revealed that the structure of the mussel community was significantly different between all the sites in Chile and those in the British Isles and Irish Republic (Table 13b).

Prior to Similarity Percentage analysis (SIMPER), samples from each geographical area were spilt so that data from only 50% of the replicate samples from each site were used in the analysis, so that unbiased statistical tests could be performed on the remaining 50% of the data. SIMPER analysis performed with a square-root transformation indicated that communities associated with M. edulis were characterised predominantly by juvenile mussels and other bivalves, nematodes, nemerteans, chironomids, mites, isopods and various gastropod taxa. Communities associated with P. purpuratus were characterised mainly by juvenile mussels, nematodes, barnacles, limpets, amphipods, oligochaetes and syllid and nereid polychaetes. Table 14 indicates those taxa contributing to differences between

the contract of the contract of

Taxa Rank

 $\mathbf{H}^{\mathrm{max}}$

Figure 14 *k*-dominance curves for taxa abundance in Mytilus edulis beds in the British Isles and Irish Republic (-) and Perumytilus purpuratus beds in Chile, South America (....).

 $\langle \mathbf{v} \rangle$

 \bullet

 \mathbf{S}_{c}

a) Square-root transformation

b) Stress = 0.11

b) Presence/absence transformation

 $Stress = 0.15$

Figure 15 Ordination by MDS based on Bray-Curtis similarity, of averaged abundance of infauna from mussel beds at eight locations in the British Isles and Irish Republic; Point Lynas (P), White Beach (W), Criccieth (CR), Arisaig (A), Kilkee (K), Doonbeg (D) Robin Hood's Bay (R) and Filey (F) and eight locations in Chile, South America; Las Cruces (LC), Cocholgue (CH), Desembocadura exposed site 1 (DE1), Desembocadura exposed site 2 (DE2), Desembocadura sheltered site 1 (DSI), Maule (M), Coronel (C) and Valdivia (V), using a) square-root transformation and b) presence/absence transformation.

 \mathcal{A} .

164

 \sim

Table 14 Taxa identified by similarity percentage analysis (SIMPER) performed on square-root and presence/absence transformed abundance as those contributing to differences in community structure between mussel beds in the British Isles and Irish Republic and Chile, South America. SIMPER analysis has been performed on only 50% of samples from each location. The remaining 50% of samples have been entered into statistical analyses to test for abundance differences between locations. Significant differences in abundance (p<0.05) are indicated by * next to taxa.

165

 λ

 \bullet .

 \mathbf{A}

communities associated with P. purpuratus and M. edulis, as identified by SIMPER analysis using both a square-root and presence/absence transformation. Data from the remaining 50% of replicate samples from each of the sites in both the British Isles and Irish Republic and Chile were pooled and the abundance of each of the taxa identified by SIMPER analysis tested for significant differences between countries. Results of these analyses are indicated in Table 14.

The density of mussels in the P. purpuratus beds was significantly lower than the density of mussels in the *M. edulis* beds (t-test; $t = 16.22$, p<0.05) (for details of mussel densities, see Chapter 3). The total number of taxa within mussel beds in the

British Isles and Chile was significantly correlated with mussel density ($r = 0.503$), although none of the other univariate measures of community diversity showed significant correlation with this variable. Mean values of mussel density at each of the sites in Chile and the British Isles and Irish Republic were overlaid onto the MDS plot obtained from square-root transformed biotic data analysis to illustrate the influence of mussel density on the multivariate data set (Figure 16). BIOENV analysis revealed low levels of correlation between mussel density and the squareroot and presence/absence transformed data derived from the mussel bed infauna (0.374,0.384, respectively).

In summary, communities associated with both M. edulis and P. purpuratus showed significant intersite, large-scale spatial variation. Such intersite differences

within the British Isles and Irish Republic and in Chile were observed when data were analysed at a presence/absence level and when species abundance was taken into account, although different species accounted for these differences in each case. When analysed at the same high taxonomic level, the communities associated with M. edulis and P. purpuratus displayed significant differences; M. edulis beds supported a greater number of meiofaunal species, while P. purpuratus beds were characterised by macrofaunal species. Although some of the environmental parameters of the mussel beds did correlate with the biotic data, they failed to fully account for the observed large-scale spatial variation.

DISCUSSION

 \bullet

M. edulis and P. purpuratus both supported diverse invertebrate communities at a variety of locations in the British Isles and Irish Republic and Chile, South America. The communities were characterised by a diversity of macrofaunal and meiofaunal taxa such as nematodes, nemerteans, Polychaetes, gastropods, anemones and bivalves. Such communities compare with those described for M.

 $Stress = 0.11$

Figure 16 Ordination by MDS based on Bray-Curtis similarity, of averaged abundance of infauna from mussel beds at eight locations in the British Isles and Irish Republic Chile and eight locations in South America, using a square root transformation. Superimposed circles are scaled in size to represent the density of mussels in beds at each of the sites (i.e., the larger the circle diameter, the higher the mussel density per unit area). (LC = Las Cruces; CH = Cocholgue; DE1 =
Desembocadura exposed site 1: DE2 – Desembocadura exposed site 2: DS1 – Desembocadura exposed site 1; DE2 = Desembocadura exposed site 2; DS1 = Desembocadura sheltered site 1; M = Maule; C = Coronel; V = Valdivia; P = Point Lynas; W = White Beach; $CR =$ Criccieth; A = Arisaig; K = Kilkee; D = Doonbeg; R = Robin Hood's Bay; $F =$ Filey Brigg).

 \bullet

167

edulis, by other authors (e.g. Lintas and Seed, 1994; Tsuchiya and Nishihira, 1985, 1986) and P. purpuratus (e.g. Amesti, 1994; Tokeshi, 1995).

Communities associated with the mussel beds in the British Isles and Irish Republic showed significant large-scale spatial variation between the eight locations in the present study. In addition, the invertebrate community associated with P. purpuratus showed significant large-scale spatial variation, at a number of sites along the coastline of Chile. Kanter (1980) similarly reported significant differences in the structure of the invertebrate community associated with Mytilus califomianus across various sites in the southern Californian Bight. In addition, various studies have reported large-scale spatial variation in the structure of a variety of marine intertidal communities, such as intertidal flats in Australia (Dittman, 1990; 1995); rocky shore communities in New England (Menge, 1991a) and rocky shore communities in New South Wales, Australia (Underwood and Chapman, 1996). Mussel beds at all locations in the British Isles and Irish Republic and Chile in the present study were dominated by a few very abundant taxa. In the British Isles and Irish Republic, these taxa included nematodes, nemerteans, juvenile mussels, Clunio sp. larvae, the isopod Jaera albifrons and the opisthobranch Brachystomia scalaris, while in Chile, polychaetes, gastropods, bivalves and anemones were dominant. Such dominance of mussel communities by a few very abundant taxa is

well documented in the literature and many authors have observed similar

dominance patterns in mussel beds at various geographical locations. For example, Ong Che and Morton (1992) demonstrated that Septifer virgatus beds in Hong Kong were dominated by three infaunal species, accounting for 75% of the total numbers. In studies of the same mussel beds, Seed and Brotohadikusumo (1994) found that more than 90% of the molluscan fauna associated with the mussels was comprised of three bivalve species (Hormomya mutabilis, Isognomon legumen and Lasaea nipponica). Suchanek (1979,1980) found that in Mytilus califomianus beds on the north-west coast of America, a single species dominated the sample by more than 50%, in some cases, although the identity of this species did vary with location. Mussel beds at hydrothermal vents have been seen to share this attribute of very uneven distributions, with a large number of individuals reported from a small number of species (Van Dover and Trask, 2000). Similar phenomena have been reported in other marine benthic communities. For example, Reise et al (1994) reported that the most common species in subtidal sandy habitats, in Konigshafen in the northern Wadden Sea were also the most common species elsewhere in the Wadden Sea. Kanter (1980) reported the presence of several ubiquitous species in mussel beds throughout the southern California Bight.

Analysis showed that variations in numbers of many of the most abundant taxa already listed above, characterised mussel bed communities at each of the sites in the British Isles and Irish Republic and Chile. Kanter (1980) found similar results from analysis of communities associated with Mytilus califomianus at sites throughout the southern Californian Bight. Similarly, Reise et al (1994) reported significant regional differences in the structure of benthic communities in the Wadden Sea, in terms of the more abundant species; the numerical abundance of Hydrobia ulvae at northern sites was an order of magnitude higher than at western sites, while Arenicola marina abundance was particularly high in the north.

Many taxa encountered in the present study occurred only at some sites and in the past, such taxa have been considered to be either accidental or transient, rather than permanent members of the community (e. g. Seed and Brotohadikusumo, 1994). However, multivariate analyses, particularly when performed with a presence/absence transformation, indicate that these transient taxa may be important in defining large-scale spatial differences in the structure of such communities, along with the more numerically abundant taxa. For example, certain taxa were only encountered in mussel beds at selected locations, probably as a result of their limited geographical range (e. g. in the British Isles and Irish Republic, the gastropod Tricolia pullus, Hayward and Ryland, 1990).

It is well known that biological substrata, such as mussel beds are affected by both biotic and abiotic factors, and that this will modify the composition of the faunal associates (Hagermann, 1966; Crooks, 1998; Crooks and Khim, 1999). Several authors have attempted to explain such large-scale spatial variations in community structure, and relate them to various biological and environmental factors; such factors include:

Larval recruitment and dispersal

Explanations forlarge-scale spatial variation

Dispersal of planktonic larvae by currents and water masses is a hypothesis often put forth to explain biogeographic patterns of species distribution (MacArthur and Wilson, 1967; Johnson, 1975). Many authors have suggested that the supply of

larval recruits to benthic marine habitats are subject to considerable variation in time and space and have a major influence on the structure and dynamics of local populations (e.g. Roughgarden et al, 1985; Underwood and Fairweather, 1989; Caley et a/, 1996; Hughes et a/, 2000). Most mussel community members reproduce either by releasing gametes into the surrounding waters where external fertilisation and larval development occurs, or by releasing larvae after partial development in an egg

 \bullet

capsule or within the adults (Kanter, 1980). These planktonic larvae drift with prevailing currents and water masses. Passive drifting ends when the larva has matured sufficiently to seek out an appropriate substratum. Settlement and metamorphosis, however, can only occur when this substratum is within the behavioural and physiological tolerances of the larva. Larvae may drift a considerable distance (up to hundreds of kilometres) from source areas prior to settlement (Scheltema, 1974). Kanter (1980) stated that mussel community similarities at various sites in the southern Californian might have been the result of receiving larval recruits from similar source waters (source parental stock). Such species distribution patterns corresponded in part to known patterns of current flow. Analysis of data in the present study, particularly in the British Isles and Irish Republic, suggests that the structure of the mussel bed communities, in terms of the more abundant, dominant taxa, was determined by the supply of larval recruits. Mussel beds receiving larval recruits from the same source waters had more similar community structures than those sites with different source waters. Main surface currents and source waters appear to dictate. the presence or absence of the rarer, more transient members of the communities to a lesser extent, while other biotic and abiotic factors, or stochastic events were also important.

variations in abundance from one shore to another i.e. at a scale of kilometres (Dayton, 1971; Underwood and Denley, 1984; Menge et a/; 1985). Spatial and temporal variations in the densities of populations of marine invertebrates can be expected to be large in those species which produce dispersing larvae (Underwood, 1979). Menge (1991b) postulated that recruitment limitation has been advocated as a major cause of community structure on rocky shores and that, particularly when low, recruitment density is a very important factor in influencing the structure of these communities. Similarly, Underwood and Fairweather (1989) and Raimondi (1990) noted that the stochastic nature of settlement and mortality that often typifies many marine species should be fully recognised when considering global patterns of marine biodiversity. A wide variety of stimuli may be involved in the selection of a

1) completely random spatial settlement over the entire area of the shore, with subsequent mortality in, or migration from, unfavourable areas;

For most intertidal species, which disperse via a planktonic larval stage but which have limited adult mobility, variations in recruitment and mortality will lead to

suitable substratum on which to settle. The spatial patterns of settlement shown by larvae of intertidal marine invertebrates follows the scheme outlined by Underwood (1976):

2) responses to physical, chemical or biochemical cues which attract larvae to a particular habitat; these cues cause spatial variations in the number of larvae settling;

٠

- 3) responses to physical, chemical or biochemical cues from adults of their own or of closely related species, which result in larvae settling in areas occupied, or previously occupied, by adults;
- 4) species, which have no pelagic dispersal larvae and reproduce by direct development in benthic egg capsules, or by viviparity usually produce juveniles within the normal habitat of the adults.

Underwood and Chapman (1996) similarly, concluded that variations in the structure of rocky shore communities at various sites in New South Wales, Australia were due to differences in recruitment and/or mortality, which, in turn were determined by processes that vary between sites.

Species Interactions

Many authors have emphasised the importance of post-juvenile factors such as predation and competition, as causes of variation in survival of post-settled benthic, as well as adult stages, thus having the potential to structure rocky shore communities (Underwood and Denley, 1984; Watanabe, 1984; Caffey, 1985; Gaines and Roughgarden, 1985; Sutherland and Ortega, 1986; Sutherland, 1990). In

addition, in a study of the geographical variation in the structure of rocky shore communities on the coast of New England, Menge (1991a) suggested that between site variation was due to site differences in the relative importance of predation and competition, along with physical disturbance. It is likely that such factors play a structuring role, to a certain extent, in the mussel communities in the present study, but are not the sole cause of the large-scale spatial variation.

Habitat heterogeneity

Habitat structure has long been considered an important determinant of the number, identity and abundance of species present in many biological communities (e. g. MacArthur and Wilson, 1967; Abele, 1974; Menge and Sutherland, 1976; McGuiness and Underwood, 1986; Archambault and Bourget, 1996). Suchanek (1979,1980) stated that the structural complexity and heterogeneity of a mussel bed is the most important factor promoting biodiversity and depends on two factors; the size of mussels and the number of mussels within the matrix. These in turn, are a function of tidal elevation, age of the mussel bed and the relative degree of wave exposure. As the structural complexity of mussel beds increases, the number of

microhabitats under, between and around the mussels also increases, producing structurally complex entities capable of harbouring a diverse assemblage of associated fauna (Newcombe, 1935; Kanter, 1980).

The abundance of some of the taxa inhabiting mussel beds in the present study showed significant correlation with various environmental parameters of the mussel matrix. However, data analysis, using a multivariate approach, failed to identify any strong relationships between the heterogeneity of the mussel beds at each of the sites within the British Isles and Irish Republic and the structure of the infaunal communities. However, positive and negative correlation, did occur between

various environmental and biotic components of the mussel beds in the British Isles and Irish Republic and Chile. The various measurements of substratum heterogeneity varied between sites within each of the two geographical locations, although differences were often not significant. As such, mussels at each of the sites within the British Isles and Irish Republic and within Chile were generally of similar size, shape and packing densities and provided a similar habitat with substratum heterogeneity for colonisation by a suite of taxa. These taxa were generally similar in mussel beds within the British Isles and Irish Republic and Chile, but probably varied according to local physical and biological factors acting on the mussel bed. Behavioural responses to habitat can account for community variations on a small spatial scale (tens of metres), but other processes probably account for larger-scale

variations (Underwood, 1976; Underwood and Denley, 1984; Chapman and Underwood, 1994; Underwood and Chapman, 1996).

Patch size

Patch size has been suggested as an important factor affecting biodiversity in mussel beds. Tsuchiya and Nishihira (1985) demonstrated that the number of species per unit area in M. edulis beds in Japan decreased with patch size, possibly because species endemic to larger patches might suppress other animals via predation or competition, or create unsuitable physico-chemical environments for other species. In another study of the same mussel beds, Tsuchiya and Nishihira (1986) found that species richness was greatest in larger beds. Similarly, Lintas and

Seed (1994) found species diversity and richness to be greater in larger, more extensive (and presumably more spatially complex) areas of M. edulis beds on Anglesey, North Wales. Positive species-area relationships have also been reported for the macro-invertebrate fauna within clumps of Brachidontes rostratus in Australia (Peake and Quinn, 1993). However, since mussel patches were of similar size on

shores at each of the sites in the present study, it is unlikely that the observed differences in community structure were due to differences in patch size.

 \bullet

Wave exposure

Suchanek (1979) demonstrated that the diversity of communities associated with *M. californianus* increased with wave exposure, despite wave forces being greatly diminished inside the mussel bed due to the wave-buffering role played by the matrix itself. In extremely wave-exposed habitats, mussel beds become perturbed at a more frequent rate and thus may not reach full diversity potential (Suchanek,

1980). Many other authors have stressed the important structuring role that water motion produced by breaking waves plays in structuring intertidal communities (e. g. Lewis, 1964; Dayton, 1971; Levin and Paine, 1974; Lubchenco and Menge, 1978; Paine and Levin, 1981; Sousa, 1985, Gaylord, 1999). In intertidal regions, species assemblages and the rates of turnover of both plants and animals may be influenced as much by the level of wave exposure typical of a site as by biological factors such as predation or competition (Lewis, 1964; Paine, 1979; McQuaid and Branch, 1985). Alternatively, wave action may interact critically with biological features to affect the persistence of organisms; for example by limiting the activity or distribution of important grazers and predators (Lubchenco and Menge, 1978; Menge, 1978; Underwood and Jemakoff, 1984). Attempts were made to standardise the conditions of wave-exposure at the sites in the present study. However, some sites were subject to slightly different degrees of wave-exposure, and univariate and multivariate analyses of community structure suggested this was an important factor in structuring these communities in the British Isles and Irish Republic. Certain species, such as Hyale prevostii, juvenile Mytilus edulis, Lasaea adansoni and Omalgyra atomus, were present in elevated abundance at the more exposed locations, such as sites on the west coast of Ireland, while the abundance of most taxa varied with exposure. Similarly, Jacobi (1987a) stated that in mussel beds in the Bay of Santos, Brazil, certain amphipod species only occurred at more wave-exposed sites. The author went on to state that at mussel beds in sheltered locations, large quantities of sediment filled interstitial cavities and produced a tight arrangement, while exposed

mussel beds showed a loose multi-layered structure with large, abundant interstices and little sediment. Suchanek (1979, 1980) suggested that since the amount of sediment, which accumulates on a mussel bed, is dependent on the degree of wave exposure, differences in mussel bed community structure between exposed and sheltered locations might be in part, a result of sediments. . Hagermann (1966) \overline{a} showed that the number of nematodes associated with seaweed fronds increased with increased sedimentation, while the number of creeping and clinging animals decreased. The amount of sedimentation was in turn affected by the amount of water movement, or wave action. Various authors have highlighted the importance of fine sediments on the abundance and diversity of benthic community structure in estuaries (Chester et a/, 1983; Flint and Kalke, 1985; Mannino and Montagna, 1997). Similarly, Boesch (1973) found that sediment composition was responsible for species distribution and diversity in Chesapeake Bay. Mussel beds at the more wave-exposed locations in the British Isles and Irish Republic, such as those on the east coast of England and the west coast of Ireland, in the present study had lower

Various authors have proposed that climatic differences might explain variations in diversity in different parts of the world (e.g. Fischer, 1960; Pianka,

fine and coarse sediment contents than the more sheltered locations, such as those on Anglesey. However, univariate and multivariate analyses failed to identify strong relationships between sediment content of the mussel beds and the associated communities. Thus, differences in community structure due to wave-exposure are not simply due to differences in sediment content, although the exact mechanisms behind the structuring role that wave exposure plays on mussel bed communities in the present study is unclear.

Latitudinal gradients in diversity were unlikely to contribute to the observed large-scale spatial differences in mussel community structure at sites within the British Isles and Irish Republic. However, such factors may affect the structure of the P. purpuratus community, since Chile is 4329 km long, extending from 18°S to 56°S

Climatic differences

1966). Underwood (1979) stated that the temperature regime of an area can affect distributions of species, since it can affect gametogenesis, spawning and larval or embryonic survival, such that species have geographical boundaries. It is unlikely that such a factor would cause the observed differences in diversity of mussel bed communities at each of the eight sites in the British Isles and Irish Republic or between the nine sites in Chile, since the locations did not cover a sufficiently wide geographical range to encounter significant differences in climatic conditions or stability. Few of the taxa encountered in mussel beds were present only at some sites and absent at others, probably as a result of limited geographical range, with the possible exception of the gastropod, Tricolia pullus, which has a distribution limited to the west coasts of Scotland and Ireland (Hayward and Ryland, 1990).

Latitudinal differences

and the present study encompassed sites from Region VI in the north, to Region X in the south of Chile, ranging from 33°S to 39°S, a distance of approximately 1000km. Latitudinal gradients in biodiversity are well documented and are commonly associated with environmental gradients related to solar energy and temperature (e.g. Gee and Warwick, 1996; Lambshead et al, 2000). In nearly all groups of organisms, the number of species within a given area increases markedly towards the equator, reaching a maximum in tropical latitudes and decreasing both northward and southward towards the poles (e.g. Fischer, 1960; Stehli, 1968; Ricklefs, 1993; Blackburn and Gaston, 1996). This phenomenon has been demonstrated in many

taxa, for example, polychaetes (Sanders, 1968), bivalves (Stehli et al, 1967) and according to Thorson (1957) is most pronounced in epifaunal communities. As such, geographical differences in mussel community structure in the present study might be a reflection of latitudinal gradients in diversity. Lancellotti and Vasquez (2000) noted, in a study of the zoogeography of benthic macroinvertebrates of the Chilean coast that biodiversity showed a mild but progressive increase along the latitudinal gradient from north to south. Within the limits of the study, univariate measures of diversity were greater at southern Chilean sites than those further north, so latitudinal gradients in diversity appeared to exist in the data, but these appear to contradict the more usual trend of increased diversity towards the equator (e.g. Blackburn and Gaston, 1996).

demographic stochasticity. Hughes and Jackson (1992) concluded that geographical differences in reef fish species along the Caribbean coast of Panama were unlikely to be the result of high sensitivity to local conditions, but were more likely to have a .
ir stochastic and historical basis. - Other authors have emphasised the importance of unpredictable events for the structure of benthic communities (e.g. Lewis, 1964; Eagle, 1974; Gutt, 2000). **The same of the set of the set** $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{D}}=\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{D}}\left(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{D}}\right)$ is the function of $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{D}}$, we can also the function of $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{D}}$

Stochastic factors and unpredictable events

 \bullet

While many of the aforementioned biotic and abiotic factors are probably important in structuring the communities associated with mussel beds in the British Isles and Irish Republic and Chile, it is likely that much of the large-scale spatial variation is not accounted for by such predictable processes. A large amount of the intersite variation is probably due to stochastic factors and unpredictable events, such as random variation in the order of larval arrival or predation pressure. Kiorboe (1979) concluded that although the structure of subtidal benthic communities on mixed sediment substrata in Holbæk Fjord, Denmark was influenced by both biotic and abiotic conditions, it was not exactly predictable, due to environmental and

175

Comparison of the communities associated with mussel beds in the British Isles and Irish Republic and in Chile

General predictions of species distribution patterns in the sea are relatively easy, in comparison to terrestrial patterns (MacArthur and Connell, 1966). The general circulation in the oceans carries heat away from the equator in the western parts of the oceans (along the eastern shores of the continents), so that tropical marine organisms are found up to about 30°N and S latitude. In contrast, the colder waters from high latitude flows towards the equator along the western shores of the

continents, and tropical organisms, such as coral reefs, extend to only 10 $^{\circ}$ or 15 $^{\circ}$ N and S latitude. In the present study, mussel beds in the British Isles and Irish Republic and Chile all occurred on rocky shores at temperate latitudes. In addition, although the size, age and packing densities of P. purpuratus and M. edulis did show slight differences, the habitat provided for colonisation by infaunal taxa by the two mussels are very similar in nature, in terms of habitat structure and environmental conditions. Thus, it might be expected that the invertebrate communities associated with P. purpuratus and M. edulis would be very similar, particularly at higher taxonomic levels. This phenomenon of 'parallel communities', due to convergent evolution, has been observed in a variety of habitats, for example, crab fauna of mangrove areas in Florida and Australia (McIvor and Smith, 1995), coral assemblages in the Atlantic and Indo-Pacific (Karlson and Cornell, 1998, 1999), Atlantic asteroids (Price et al, 1999), deep-sea nematodes across the North Atlantic (Lambshead et a/, 2000) and brackish water fauna (Cognetti and Maltagliati, 2000). At the species level, the faunal associates of P. purpuratus and M. edulis are quite distinct, as might be expected, given that the assemblages occurred on different continents. Myers (1994) stated that the proximate cause of pan-continental scale patterns of species richness and diversity is origination through speciation, balanced by global extinction and TECO (tectonic, eustatic, climatic and oceanographic) events and is thus largely caused by processes operating through historical time. High species richness is promoted by high rates of origination, either through in situ speciation or through colonisation, or alternatively by low rates of extinction or a combination of both. In contrast, small-scale spatial differences are defined by recent ecological processes such as competition and predation (e.g. Underwood and Denley, 1984; Gaines and Roughgarden, 1985; Sutherland, 1990). When plotted graphically, the' patterns of dominance in mussel bed communities in the British Isles and Irish Republic and Chile are remarkably similar; both are dominated by a few common taxa. Such a phenomenon has previously been documented in communities associated with intertidal mussel species (e. g. Lintas and Seed, 1992; Ong Che and Morton, 1992). Furthermore, Seed and Brotohadikusumo (1994) noted that in the case of Septifer virgatus in Hong Kong, this dominance pattern applied not only to the total fauna associated with this mussel, but also the molluscan fauna when this was considered separately. Seed (1996) noted that while data from previous studies of communities associated with different intertidal mussel species around the world (e. g. Tsuchiya and Nishihira, 1985,1986; Peake and Quinn, 1993; Lintas and Seed, 1994) could not be scaled for sampling effort, it appeared that the number of associated taxa is broadly comparable between mussel species. Moreover, Seed (1996) went on to note that functionally similar taxa, often within the same genus (e.g. Lasaea, Hyale, and Typosyllis) regularly recurred in mytilid communities worldwide. Similar biogeographic observations have been made in other communities, such as decapod crustacean fauna in the Southeast Atlantic (MacPherson, 1991), asteroid taxa across the Atlantic (Price et al, 1999) and the fauna of brackish water habitats (Cognetti and Maltagliati, 2000). The results of the present study agree, to some extent, with these findings, although significant differences were observed between communities associated with the two mussel species. When analyses were carried out at higher taxonomic levels, clear differences were observed in the structure of the invertebrate communities associated with P. purpuratus in Chile and M. edulis in the British Isles

and Irish Republic, both at a univariate and multivariate level. British and Irish mussel beds tended to be dominated by many meiofaunal taxa, such as nematodes, nemerteans, mites and chironomids, probably as a result of the increased sediment content, while Chilean mussel beds had a much greater proportion of macrofaunal taxa, particularly anemones, polychaetes, barnacles and limpets. However, many of the differences in community composition between the two mussel species were due to variability in the proportional abundance of mutually occurring taxa. Thus, to a certain extent, the invertebrate assemblages associated with P. purpuratus and M. edulis populations appeared to be examples of 'parallel communities', showing similar patterns of dominance. However, in many cases, the identity of dominant taxa was very different from one mussel species to the other or even from one

location to another, within populations of the same mussel species. Differences in the structure of communities associated with P. purpuratus and M. edulis might have been, in part, due to different size, age and packing densities of the mussels. Many studies, have highlighted the relationship between mussel packing density and the diversity of the associated infaunal communities. Various studies have shown that the abundance of associated fauna in mussel beds is often

positively correlated with density of mussels (Commito, 1987; Seed and Suchanek, 1992). Commito and Boncavage (1989) demonstrated that oligochaete abundance in M. edulis beds in New England was positively correlated with mussel density. However, in studies of mussel beds in the northern Wadden Sea, Asmus (1987) failed to find any correlation between M. edulis density and the biomass of associated invertebrates. In addition, Seed and Brotohadikusumo (1994) found no significant correlation between the density of mussels and that of the associated fauna in Septifer virgatus beds in Hong Kong. P. purpuratus occurred in lower densities and achieved a generally greater size and age than M. edulis; P.

purpuratus beds also contained a lower proportion of juvenile mussels than beds of M. edulis. The present study found that univariate measures of diversity were greater in British and Irish mussel beds than those in Chile, when compared at the same taxonomic level. The small interstitial spaces provided by M. edulis beds would favour greater numbers of meiofaunal taxa, which were observed here in significantly greater numbers. In addition, the increased packing density and greater proportion of smaller mussel in British and Irish mussel beds might help to reduce water flow and favour the retention of sediments within the mussel bed (Theisen, 1968; Dittman, 1987). Mussels in Chilean beds were more loosely packed, such that water movement would prevent any sediment retention and as such, sediment content was negligible. This factor might account for the lower abundance of meiofaunal and deposit feeding taxa such as nematodes, nemerteans and mites, which were abundant in British and Irish mussel beds. The greater interstitial space in P. purpuratus beds, given the larger size of mussels and lower packing densities, would favour the presence of larger bodied and mobile taxa, such as nereid polychaetes, limpets, barnacles and anemones, which were observed in much higher abundance here. The lack of small mussels in P. purpuratus beds might be due to a high degree of predation pressure, since Navarette and Castilla (1988) documented a high predation pressure on small P. purpuratus (3-6mm shell length) by the carnivorous intertidal crabs Acanthocyclus gayi (Milne-Edwards et Lucas) and A. hassleri (Rathbun). In addition, Mendez and Cancino (1990) and Dye (1991) reported a strong selection for small P. purpuratus, <10mm, by juveniles of the muricid gastropod Concholepas concholepas, another key intertidal predator on Chilean rocky shores (Castilla et a/, 1979; Guisado and Castilla, 1983; Moreno et a/, 1986; Alvarado and Castilla, 1996). Alternatively, the lack of juvenile mussels could simply reflect the timing of settlement periods. Differences in packing densities and sediment content of mussel beds in Chile and the British Isles and Irish Republic might be a function of wave exposure in

the two geographical locations. Attempts were made to select sites in each location with similar wave exposure and the majority of sites, with the possible exception of those on Anglesey were deemed to conform to this requirement. Previous studies have shown that the highest densities of mussels tend to be associated with shores receiving moderate to severe wave action, probably a direct influence of the amount of water (and larval stages) passing over them and slow draining, horizontal platforms, especially where surfaces are roughened or broken by discontinuities (Seed, 1968). Jacobi (1987a, 1987b) demonstrated that mussel beds protected from wave action, on the coast of Brazil retained large quantities of sediment which

obliterated cavities and produced a tight arrangement, while those in more exposed conditions showed a loose multilayered structure with large, abundant interstices and little sediment.

In conclusion, while the invertebrate communities associated with P. purpuratus in Chile and M. edulis in the British Isles and Irish Republic show similar general patterns of dominance by a few common taxa, the identity of many of these more dominant taxa differs between the British Isles and Irish Republic and Chile. Other taxa are commonly found in mussel beds in each of the two main geographical locations. Chilean mussel beds are dominated by mobile, macrofaunal taxa, while British and Irish mussel beds have a higher abundance of meiofaunal taxa. Such differences might be attributed in part, to the very different packing densities and

sediment content of the two mussel species, which in turn, may be a function of the degree of wave exposure. However, a full understanding of the dynamics of mussel communities and their biogeographical variation, requires the integration of local ecological perspective with large-scale phenomena.

179

Chapter 6

 \sim

the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of

 \bullet

 \mathcal{L}

া। এই বিশ্ব বাবে এক সময়তে আৰু প্ৰতিবৰ্তনাত কৰিব পৰা সৃষ্টিত হয়। সম্পৰ্ক কৰি আৰু সম্পৰ্ক অপৰত সম্পৰ্ক আৰু সম্পৰ্ক অ
বিশ্ব বাবে এক সম্পৰ্ক কৰি পৰা পৰা পৰা সৃষ্টিত হয়। সম্পৰ্ক শৰাক সম্পৰ্ক আৰু সম্পৰ্ক সম্পৰ্ক সম্পৰ্ক আৰু

Temporal variation in the structure of the invertebrate communities associated with

Mytilus edulis and Perumytilus purpuratus

 \bullet

 \mathbf{F} .

 \bullet

 \bullet

ABSTRACT

The invertebrate communities associated with Mytilus edulis at all three locations in Wales showed significant temporal variation, which was much more pronounced when data were analysed using multivariate techniques, than when using a univariate approach; the latter often failing to show any significant differences. The structure of the mussel communities at each of the sites showed significant intra-annual multivariate changes, although these differences were not always of a cyclic, progressional nature. The mussel bed communities at each site also showed significant inter-annual variation, such that community structures

differed both between different months in a yearly cycle and between the same month from year to year. The invertebrate communities associated with Perumytilus purpuratus in Chile also showed significant inter-annual variations at the four study sites. Temporal differences in the structure of the invertebrate communities associated with M. edulis and P. purpuratus were generally the result of differences in the abundance of less than 10 common taxa, when emphasis was placed on taxa abundance in the analysis. The identity of many of these, numerically dominant taxa was the same at each site, for example, in the Welsh sites, juvenile M. edulis, nematodes, mites, larvae of the insect genus *Clunio* and various nemertean families and in the Chilean sites, juvenile P. purpuratus, nematodes, barnacles and nemerteans. Clear differences in community structure wete also seen, when data were analysed with a presence/absence transformation, although many more taxa contributed to such temporal differences. Some taxa were temporally ubiquitous, while others appeared to be only transient members of the communities. Many of the measured environmental factors of the mussel beds at each of the study sites showed significant temporal variation, but did not show a high degree of correlation with the biotic data, either on a univariate or multivariate level. It is concluded that the intra- and inter-annual variation in the structure of the mussel communities both in Wales and Chile, are the result of complex interactions between many different processes, together with stochastic events.

181

INTRODUCTION

Many studies have demonstrated temporal variation, both seasonal and annual, in various benthic marine communities. Beukema (1974) reported considerable seasonal variation in the abundance of macrobenthos on a tidal flat area in the Dutch Wadden Sea, while Persson (1983) showed significant within year differences in the structure of coastal macrobenthic assemblages in the southern Baltic. During a twelve-month study, Nickell and Sayer (1998) found significant seasonal variation in the occurrence of mobile macrofauna on a sublittoral reef on the West Coast of Scotland. A significantly higher abundance of macro-crustacean

species was recorded on algal plants in the tropics between wet and dry seasons (Stoner, 1985). In a study of the fauna associated with a Brazilian intertidal mangrove forest, Barletta et al (2000) found that although total fish densities did not differ significantly with time, total biomass did show significant seasonal variation. Maurer et al (1979) reported significant seasonal differences in coastal benthic assemblages on the East Coast of America, which were found to be so marked, that the authors suggested these seasonal differences should be taken into account in environmental impact studies of such communities. In studies of tropical rocky intertidal communities in the Bay of Panama, Lubchenco et a/ (1984) found that seasonal changes in community structure were small or lacking, whilst annual changes were larger, but still small in comparison to those communities in temperate regions. By contrast, Buchanan et al (1978) demonstrated that benthic macrofaunal communities off the south Northumberland coast remained essentially stable for more than a decade, although seasonal variations within years were observed. Estacio et a/ (1999) detected significant temporal changes in species abundance, diversity and evenness in estuarine benthic communities in southern Spain, whilst Keough and Butler (1983) demonstrated that the number of epifaunal species on individual Pinna bicolor shells in South Australia showed large temporal fluctuations. Temporal changes in the structure of communities can result in the occurrence of taxonomically related species at different times, such that seasonal or annual variations only become apparent at more refined taxonomic levels. For example, Jarvis and Seed (1996) demonstrated that the abundance of meiofaunal

species on epiphytes of the intertidal macroalga, Ascophyllum nodosum, displayed ' little temporal variation at high taxonomic levels, whereas seasonal changes were apparent at the species level. Thus, it is important in any studies of temporal (and spatial) variation in invertebrate communities to identify organisms in the community to the lowest possible taxonomic category.

Temporal variation in mussel bed communities is somewhat less extensively covered in the literature. Briggs (1982) observed significant seasonal variation in the fauna associated with M. edulis in Loch Foyle, while Tsuchiya and Nishihira (1985) found similar patterns in associates of this mussel species in Japan. Peake and Quinn (1993) have reported seasonal variations in the infaunal associates of Brachidontes rostratus in Australia, while Jacobi (1987a) found seasonal differences in the abundance of amphipod associates of mussel beds from the Bay of Santos, Brazil. Similarly, Tokeshi (1995) reported significant differences in abundance of polychaetes associated with mussel beds on South American shores, while Ong Che

and Morton (1992), reported significant seasonal and annual variation in the invertebrate communities associated with the mussel Septifer virgatus on the Hong Kong coast.

In this chapter the seasonal and annual variation associated with mussel bed communities at three contrasting rocky shore sites on the coast of North Wales and four sites in Chile, South America is investigated, using both a univariate and a multivariate approach. Comparisons are made within and between sites to investigate patterns of seasonal and annual variation in community structure. The extent to which various environmental parameters of the mussel bed, such as mussel density and volume and mass of sediment fractions affect community structure over the course of the study is also investigated.

METHODS

Samples of M. edulis and their associated fauna were collected during spring tides between January and November 1998 at bimonthly intervals from the mussel beds at each of three study sites in North Wales. Each site was visited on consecutive days within a three-day period, in order to reduce the possibility of smallscale temporal variations between sites. Such variations might occur due to factors such as strong onshore winds, or invasions by predators such as Asterias rubens or Nucella lapillus. Further samples were collected from each of the three sites during January and July 1999 to allow inter-annual comparisons to be made. Samples of P. purpuratus were collected each January between 1997 and 2000, from four sites in

Chile. Samples were processed and sorted according to the methodology described in Chapter 2.

-
-
-
- - - 183
RESULTS

Temporal variation in communities associated with Mytilus edulis

For ease of interpretation of the data and statistical analysis, each site in the UK is dealt with separately in this section, while at the end of the section there is an overall analysis of the data.

Point Lynas

Over the twelve-month sampling period a total of 14210 infaunal organisms representing 79 different taxa were collected and identified from mussel beds at Point

Lynas. These included representatives from 10 phyla, 16 classes, 31 orders and 54 different families. None of the univariate measures of diversity, i.e. number of taxa (NT), number of individuals (NI), Margalef's richness (d), Shannon's diversity (H') and Pielou's evenness (J) showed any significant variation over the twelve-month sampling period. However, the variability associated with total taxa and richness was greater among replicates during the winter months (November and January) than the rest of the year. The variability associated with Shannon's diversity and Pielou's evenness was similar among replicates at all times of the year (Figure 1). Cluster analysis of square-root transformed infaunal data revealed three major groups, with March and May clustered together, July, September and November as another group and January as a distinct group on its own (Figure 2a). When cluster analysis was performed on presence/absence transformed infaunal data, the bimonthly samples clustered as two main groups, representing spring/summer (March to July) samples and autumn/winter (September to January) samples (Figure 2b). MDS ordination of square-root transformed infauna abundance illustrated a change in community structure over the twelve-month period, in terms of the more dominant taxa in the community (Figure 3a). A one-way ANOSIM test performed on the data confirmed that significant differences in community structure did exist between some of the bimonthly samples (R=0.315, p<0.05). Pairwise comparisons revealed that the structure of the mussel community was significantly different between all months, with the exception of January/November and July/September (Table 1a). When tested using the RELATE procedure this seasonal

progression in community structure was seen to be of a cyclic nature (R=0.454, p>0.05). MIDS ordination and an ANOSIM performed with a presence/absence transformation on taxa abundance indicated there were also significant seasonal differences in the mussel bed community, with regard to the rarer members of the community (ANOSIM, R=0.246, p<0.05) (Figure 3b). Pairwise comparisons of monthly samples showed that differences were less pronounced than when analysis

184

are January and $(p < 0.05)$ ignificant differences bimonthly intervals between

185

structure community stru replicates mussel bed
1 on 25cm² rel intervals. based on $\overline{6}$ measures are

 $\langle \bullet \rangle$

sxsT .oV

 $\sim 10^{-1}$

 $\sim 10^{11}$, $\sim 10^{11}$

 $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{A})$, $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{A})$, $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{A})$, $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{A})$

a) Square-root transformation

 $\epsilon = \frac{1}{2}$

计加工 整形

 \mathcal{L}_{max} and \mathcal{L}_{max}

Figure 2 Dendrograms for group average clustering of Bray-Curtis similarities based on a) square-root transformed and b) presence/absence transformed biotic data from mussel beds at Point Lynas, Anglesey at bimonthly intervals between January and November 1998. \bullet

b) Presence/absence transformation

50-

 \sim

 $\frac{1}{1}$

 \mathbf{I}

 $\frac{1}{1}$

 \mathbf{A}

 \mathcal{F}

 \sim \sim

 \bullet

Figure 3 Ordination by MDS based on Bray-Curtis similarity, of averaged abundance of infauna from
Anglesey at bimonthly intervals between January and November 1998, using a) square-root trans
transformation and c) ordinati

 \blacksquare

Table 1 Global R-values for ANOSIM pairwise comparisons between bimonthly samples of the mussel bed communities at Point Lynas, Anglesey based on a)
square-root transformation of species abundance and b) presence/absence transformation of species abundance. Significant differences in community structure (p<0.05) are indicated by bold type.

a)

b)

Contract Contract State $\mathcal{A} = \{ \mathcal{A} \mid \mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{A} \}$. The contract of $\mathcal{A} = \{ \mathcal{A} \mid \mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{A} \}$ $\label{eq:3.1} \mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{F} \times \mathfrak{$ and the contract of the state of $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ **Contract Contract** $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and the contract of $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}^{(1)}(x) = \mathcal{L}^{(1)}(x) = \mathcal{L}^{(1)}(x) = \mathcal{L}^{(1)}(x) = \mathcal{L}^{(1)}(x) = \mathcal{L}^{(1)}(x)$ 189 \bullet

and the state of the state

places emphasis on the more numerically abundant members of the community (Table 1b). RELATE analysis showed that there was also a significant pattern of cyclic seasonal progression in the less common constituents of the mussel community($R = 0.745$, p > 0.05).

Similarity percentage analysis (SIMPER), performed with a square-root transformation (Table 2), highlighted the more abundant taxa principally responsible for structuring each of the bimonthly sample groupings illustrated in Figure 3a. It can be seen that similarities within replicates for each bimonthly sample were primarily caused by changes in the abundance of 7 dominant taxa throughout the year,

namely small mussels, mite sp. 1, nematodes, Clunio sp. larvae, nemertean families, the ostracod Heterocythereis albomaculata and the bivalve Lasaea adansoni. Many of these taxa were the most numerically abundant over the twelve-month study period. SIMPER analysis performed on presence/absence transformed data illustrated that many more taxa (up to 15) contributed to similarities within replicates for each of the bimonthly samples when emphasis was removed from taxa abundance (Table 3). Some of the taxa contributing to these similarities were the same as those identified using the square-root transformation, while many of the less numerically abundant taxa such as the crab, Pilumnus hirtellus, and the gastropods, Onoba semicostata, Rissoa parva and Coriandria fulgida, also contributed. Since the pattern in the biotic data was of a cyclic, seasonal nature, it can be

assumed that the community changes in structure were occurring in a continuum. Thus a holistic approach was required to identify taxa contributing to these differences, rather than pairwise comparisons between sample groups. BVSTEP analysis was performed on the data using a square-root transformation to identify which predominant taxa contributed to the observed cyclic seasonal progression in community structure (Figure 3a). Analysis revealed a subset of 15 taxa; small mussels, platyhelminthes, nematodes, tubulanid nemerteans, lineid nemerteans, tetrastemmatid nemerteans, Cirratulus cirratus, Drilonereis filum, Heterocythereis albomaculata, mite sp. 1, Clunio sp. larvae, Cingula trifasciata, Coriandria fulgida, Nucella lapillus and Brachystomia scalaris. Changes in abundance of this subset of taxa over the twelve-month study period are illustrated in Figure 4. Second-stage BVSTEP analysis performed on the data set, with these 15 taxa excluded failed to identify any further taxa subsets, which explained the seasonal pattern, observed in community structure. Thus, there was a great deal of structural redundancy within the data patterns of the mussel infaunal community; many of the taxa present did not react to any, seasonal gradients. When BVSTEP analysis was repeated with a presence/absence transformation, to search for patterns in the rarer members'of the

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\$

 $\left\langle \bullet \right\rangle$

 $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}))$

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{d\mu}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left(\frac{d\mu}{\mu}\right)^2\frac{d\mu}{\mu}\left(\frac{d\mu}{\mu}\right)^2\frac{d\mu}{\mu}\left(\frac{d\mu}{\mu}\right)^2.$

 $\ddot{\bullet}$

$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}$

 \blacksquare \mathbf{u}

1000

September 1998

November 1998

Key

- Juvenile Mytilus edulis
- Platyhelminthes indet. 2
- Nematoda indet. 3
- Tubulanidae indet. 4
- Heterocythere albomaculata
- 10 Mite sp. 1
	- Clunio sp. larvae
- Cingula trifasciata 12 Coriandria fulgida 13 Nucella lapillus 14 Brachystomia scalaris 15
- Lineidae indet. 5
- Tetrastemmatidae indet. 6
- Cirratulus cirratus $\overline{7}$
- Drilonereis filum 8

Figure 4 Bimonthly log mean abundance per 25cm² quadrat, of taxa identified by BVSTEP analysis as those contributing to the seasonal patterns of diversity in the mussel beds at Point Lynas, Anglesey.

9

 11

mussel community, a subset of 35 taxa from the 79 present was identified. The seasonal pattern observed in Figure 3b cannot be attributed to a small subset of taxa, but was the result of changes in the presence or absence of many taxa throughout the course of the year. Many of the taxa identified by this analysis were also identified as important taxa when emphasis is placed on numerical abundance.

It can be concluded that at this particular site, the physical and environmental factors measured in the mussel bed did not have a significant structuring effect on

The total number of infaunal organisms within the mussel bed was positively correlated with mussel density (Table 4). Fine $($ <63 μ m) and coarse (>63 μ m) sediment content of the mussel bed were also important factors affecting certain univariate measures of diversity. Both environmental parameters were positively correlated with the total number of infaunal taxa and individuals. Coarse sediment content of the mussel bed was positively correlated with Margalef's richness (d) and Shannon's diversity (H). RELATE analysis of the similarity matrix produced using normalised Euclidean distance indicated that the environmental factors measured in the mussel bed show a cyclic seasonal pattern $(R = -0.11, p > 0.05)$. RELATE analysis showed that this ordination pattern for environmental parameters was the same as the ordination described for both square-root $(R = 0.089, p > 0.05)$ and presence/absence $(R = -0.084, p > 0.05)$ transformed biotic data (Figure 3). Ordination by PCA of the environmental data from seasonal samples, as illustrated in Figure 3c showed that 83% of the seasonal variability in the data was accounted for by the first three Principal Components, with PC1 accounting for 37% of the total. PC1 was seen to be a linear combination of the environmental parameters, with increasing values of all parameters, except mean mussel size. When PC1 was plotted against each of the univariate measures of community diversity the calculated correlation coefficients revealed no significant relationships (Figure 5). Determination of correlation coefficients for all environmental variables measured bimonthly in the mussel bed during the twelve-month period failed to reveal any high levels of correlation between any of the variables (r>0.95). As such, all the measured environmental variables were entered into BIOENV analysis, to identify the most appropriate combinations of variables explaining the square-root and presence/absence transformed biotic data. The BIOENV procedure revealed that the highest correlation of square-root transformed infauna data was only 0.178,

with the mass of coarse sediment. A similarly low correlation of 0.199 was obtained for presence/absence transformed infauna data, with a combination of the mass of coarse and fine sediment.

িক প্ৰায় কৰিছিল। তেওঁ কৰি বিভিন্ন পৰা বিভিন্ন কৰি বিভিন্ন কৰি বিভিন্ন কৰি আৰু পোৱা হৈছিল পৰা তেওঁক প্ৰতি কৰি ব
বিভিন্ন কৰি আৰু পৰা তেওঁক পৰা বিভিন্ন কৰি পৰা বিভিন্ন কৰি বিভিন্ন কৰি বিভিন্ন কৰি বিভিন্ন পৰা বিভিন্ন কৰি বি

 \bullet .

Table 4 Correlation coefficients calculated between environmental variables and univariate measures of diversity in the mussel beds at Point Lynas, Anglesey. Values in bold indicate significant correlation (p<0.05).

 \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{A}

Number of taxa **NT**

 \bullet

 NI Number of individuals

 $\langle \bullet \rangle$

- Margalef's index \boldsymbol{d}
- H Shannon's diversity
- Pielou's evenness \overline{J}

194

Figure 5 Principal Component 1 (PC1) plotted against each of the univariate measures of mussel bed community structure at Point Lynas, Anglesey. Correlation
eaeficients (c) and cianificance lovels between the variables are shown. coefficients (r) and significance levels between the variables are shown.

 \overline{a}

 $\sim 10^{-10}$ km s $^{-1}$ \sim $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$. The first particle is

 $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{A}}$

 $r = -0.503$
 $p > 0.05$

 1.5

 $\mathbf{1}$

 0.5

 \mathbf{u} .

 \bullet

195

the multivariate characteristics of the associated community. Although some of the environmental variables measured did significantly affect the structure and diversity of the mussel bed community at Point Lynas, their main effects were masked by the stronger influencing factor of seasonal variation, which underlies the data.

White Beach

Over the twelve month sampling period a total of 16169 infaunal organisms from 93 different taxa were collected and identified from mussel beds at White Beach. These taxa included representatives from 10 phyla, 15 classes, 30 orders

and 59 different families. The univariate measures of diversity i.e., number of taxa (NT), number of individuals (NI) and Shannon's diversity (H') showed no significant variation over the twelve month study period. Margalef's richness (d) was significantly greater in July than in March, while Pielou's evenness (J) was significantly lower in November than in either March or September (Figure 6). The variability associated with all univariate measures of community diversity varied greatly amongst replicate quadrats throughout the year.

Cluster analysis of square-root transformed infaunal data revealed only one major grouping, between autumn/winter (September to January) samples (Figure 7a). The other bimonthly samples remained separate. Almost identical results were obtained when cluster analysis was performed on presence/absence transformed

infaunal data (Figure 7b). MDS ordination of square-root transformed infaunal abundance illustrated, in terms of the more dominant taxa in the community, a change in community structure during the twelve-month period (Figure 8a). A oneway ANOSIM test performed on the data confirmed that significant differences existed in community structure between some of the bimonthly samples (R=0.611, p<0.05), whilst pairwise comparisons revealed that the structure of the mussel community was significantly different between all months (Table 5a). When tested using the RELATE procedure no seasonality was apparent in community structure, (R=0.363, p<0.05). MIDS ordination and ANOSIM performed with a presence/absence transformation on taxa abundance indicated there were also significant seasonal differences in the mussel bed community, with regard to the less dominant members of the community (ANOSIM, R=0.523, p<0.05) (Figure 8b). Pairwise comparisons of the bimonthly samples revealed that the community structure was significantly different between all months when emphasis was placed on the less common members of the community (Table 5b). However, RELATE analysis showed that there was no significant seasonality in the less common constituents of the mussel community $(R = 0.476, p < 0.05)$.

 \mathcal{A}

197

Figure repi $\frac{1}{2}$

a) Square-root transformation

 $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbf{z})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbf{z})$. In the $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbf{z})$

 σ .

b) Presence/absence transformation

50

Figure 7 Dendrograms for group average clustering of Bray-Curtis similarities based on a) square-root transformed and b) presence/absence transformed biotic data from
White Basely, Anglesey of bimenthly intensels between, lanuary and Nevember 1998. White Beach, Anglesey at bimonthly intervals between January and November 1998.

presence/absence beds at White Beach, $\widehat{\mathbf{a}}$ the mussel
nsformation,

 \bullet

 \bullet

г.

Table 5 Global R-values for- ANOSIM pairwise comparisons between bimonthly samples of the mussel bed communities at White Beach based on a) square-root transformation of species abundance and b) presence/absence transformation of species abundance. Significant differences in community structure (p<0.05) are indicated by bold type (all differences are significant).

 \bullet

a)

Similarity percentage analysis (SIMPER), performed with a square-root transformation (Table 6), highlighted the more abundant taxa principally responsible for structuring each of the bimonthly sample groupings illustrated in Figure 8a. Changes in the abundance of 4 dominant taxa throughout the year; small mussels, mite sp. 1, nematodes and Clunio sp. larvae were primarily responsible for similarities within replicates of each bimonthly sample. Other taxa including Jaera albifrons, Orchestia gammarellus, the ostracod Heterocythereis albomaculata and nemertean families were also important to varying extents throughout the year. Many of these taxa were the most numerically abundant at all times of the year.

with the control of the control of the control of the control of

SIMPER analysis performed on presence/absence transformed data illustrated that many more taxa (up to 15) contributed to similarities within replicates for each of the bimonthly samples when emphasis was removed from overall abundance of taxa, (Table 7). Some of the taxa contributing to these similarities were the same as those identified using a square-root transformation. However, many of the less numerically abundant taxa such as the ostracod Leptocythere pellucida, mite sp. 3 and various polychaete taxa also contributed to differences between the bimonthly samples. BVSTEP analysis using a square-root transformation revealed a subset of 14 taxa explaining differences in community structure throughout the year; small mussels, platyhelminthes, nematodes, Cephalothricidae nemerteans, oligochaetes, Clunio sp. larvae, and small arthropods, e.g. Heterocythereis albomaculata, Leptocythere pellucida, Elminius modestus, Idotea pelagica, Stenothoe monoculoides, Carcinus maenas, mite sp. 1 and mite sp. 3. Changes in the abundance of this taxa subset throughout the year are illustrated in Figure 9. Second stage BVSTEP analysis performed on the data set with these 14 taxa excluded failed to identify any further taxa subsets, which might have explained the seasonal pattern observed in the community structure. BVSTEP analysis performed on the same data, with a presence/absence transformation, to search for patterns in the rarer members of the community identified a subset of 37 taxa from the 93 present. Second stage BVSTEP analysis failed to identify any further subsets of taxa explaining the seasonal pattern in biotic data. The seasonal pattern observed in Figure 8b cannot be attributed to a small subset of taxa, but was the result of

changes in the presence or absence of many different taxa throughout the year. Many of the taxa identified by this analysis were also identified as important taxa when emphasis was placed on numerical abundance.

The total number of infaunal taxa and individuals within the mussel bed were negatively correlated with the mean size of mussels, while the total number of individuals was also positively correlated with mussel density (Table 8). None of the

 $\Delta \tau$

$\begin{array}{c}\n\mathbf{S} \cdot \mathbf{S} \\
\mathbf{S} \cdot \mathbf{S} \\
\mathbf{S} \cdot \mathbf{S} \\
\mathbf{S} \cdot \mathbf{S}\n\end{array}$

 $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n}$

the contract of the contract of

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2}d\mu_{\text{max}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right).$

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$

September 1998

Key

- Juvenile Mytilus edulis
- Platyhelminthes indet.
- 3 Nematoda indet.
- 4 Cephalothricidae indet.

Figure 9 Bimonthly log mean abundance per 25cm² quadrat, of taxa identified by BVSTEP analysis as those contributing to seasonal patterns of diversity in the mussel beds at White Beach, Anglesey.

8

9

11

November 1998

- Elminius modestus
- Idotea pelagica
- Stenothoe monoculoides 10
	- Carcinus maenas

- Oligochaeta indet. 5
- 6 Heterocythere albomaculata
7 Leptocythere pellucida
- Leptocythere pellucida
- 12 Mite sp. 1 13 Mite sp. 3
14 Clunio sp. Clunio sp. larvae

Table 8 Correlation coefficients calculated between environmental variables and

univariate measures of diversity in the mussel beds at White Beach, Anglesey. Values in bold indicate significant correlation (p<0.05).

the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of

the contract of the contract of the contract of

NT Number of taxa

NI Number of indivi

 \searrow

- *NI* Number of individuals
 d Margalef's index
- d Margalef's index

H' Shannon's divers
- H' Shannon's diversity
 J Pielou's evenness
- Pielou's evenness

the contract of the contract of

$\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A})=\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A})=\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A})=\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A})=\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A})=\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A})=\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A})=\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A})=\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A})=\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A})=\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A})=\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(\$

the contract of the contract of the contract of

205

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left| \frac{d\mathbf{r}}{d\mathbf{r}} \right| \, d\mathbf{r} \, d\mathbf$

 $\langle \bullet \rangle$.

the contract of the contract of the state of the contract of

other environmental variables measured had a significant effect on any of the univariate measures of community diversity. RELATE analysis on the similarity matrix produced using normalised Euclidean distance indicated that the environmental factors measured in the mussel bed showed a cyclic seasonal progression $(R = 0.209, p > 0.05)$. RELATE analysis showed that the ordination pattern for the environmental parameters was the same as the ordination described for both square-root ($R = -0.493$, $p > 0.05$) and presence/absence ($R = -0.046$, $p > 0.05$) transformed biotic data (Figure 8). Ordination by PCA of the environmental data from seasonal samples, as illustrated in Figure 8c, showed that 82% of this seasonal

variability in the data could be accounted for by the first three Principal Components, with PC1 accounting for 42% of the total. PCI was seen to be a linear combination of the environmental parameters, with increasing values of all parameters, except mean mussel size. When PC1 was plotted against each of the univariate measures of community diversity the correlation coefficients revealed no significant relationships (Figure 10).

Determination of correlation coefficients for all environmental variables measured bimonthly in the mussel bed during the twelve-month period failed to reveal any high levels of correlation between any of the variables (r>0.95). As such, all the measured environmental variables were entered into BIOENV analysis, to identify the most appropriate combinations of variables explaining the square-root

and presence/absence transformed biotic data. The BIOENV procedure revealed that the highest correlation of square-root transformed data was only 0.287, with the mass of fine and coarse sediments. A similarly low correlation of 0.117 was obtained between the presence/absence transformed infauna data and the mass of fine and coarse sediments.

Thus, it appears that, like the mussel beds at Point Lynas, the strongest influencing force on the structure of the infaunal communities at White Beach was season. Although there. was no significant seasonality in the data, there was a clear difference in the structure of the communities throughout the year. Some of the environmental variables measured correlated with certain univariate measures of community diversity, although any influencing effect they might have had on the

multivariate data was probably being masked by the strong seasonal effect underlying the data.

Over the twelve-month sampling period a total of 9576 infaunal organisms from 85 different taxa were collected and identified from mussel beds at Criccieth.

Figure 10 Principal Component 1 (PC1) plotted against each of the univariate measures of community structure at White Beach, Anglesey. Correlation coefficients
Googleignificance lovels between the variables are shown. (r) and significance levels between the variables are shown.

 Δ

 \mathcal{A}

and the state of the state $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{S},\mathcal{S})$. The set of $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{S})$ the contract of the contract of the the contract of

0.68

 \mathcal{A}^{\pm}

500 $\left| \right|$

These taxa included representatives from 10 phyla, 16 classes, 30 orders and 51 different families. Values of Shannon's diversity index (H') showed no significant variation during the twelve-month study period. However, all other univariate measures of diversity; number of taxa (NT), number of individuals (NI), Margalef's richness (d) and Pielou's evenness (J) did show significant variation over the twelvemonth period. Values of these indices showed an oscillation throughout the year, with peaks in March and November and troughs in May/July (Figure 11).

Cluster analysis of square-root transformed infaunal data revealed three

major groups, representing spring (January and March), summer (May and July) and autumn/winter (September and November) samples (Figure 12a). At a slightly lower level of similarity (55%), the autumn/winter and spring groups merged such that two main groups remained. A similar pattern was revealed when cluster analysis was performed on the same data, with a presence/absence transformation, in that the same three main groups of samples separated out (Figure 12b). However, at a lower similarity level (55%), the spring and summer groups merged, leaving a distinct autumn/winter group.

MIDS ordination of square-root transformed infaunal abundance illustrated a change in community structure over the twelve-month period, in terms of the more dominant members of the community (Figure 13a) and the clear separation of three

main groups, as identified by cluster analysis. A one-way ANOSIM test performed on the data confirmed that during the twelve-months, there were significant differences in community structure ($R=0.372$, $p<0.05$). Pairwise comparisons revealed that the structure of the mussel community was significantly different between all months, with the exception of January/March, January/July and May/July (Table 9a). When tested using the RELATE procedure this seasonal progression in community structure was seen to be cyclic (R=0.18, p>0.05). MDS ordination and ANOSIM performed with a presence/absence transformation indicated that the seasonal differences in community structure were also apparent at Criccieth, when emphasis was removed from abundant taxa (ANOSIM, R=0.437, p<0.05) (Figure 13b). Pairwise comparisons revealed that differences between monthly samples were

more pronounced than when abundance was taken into account, with all but May/July showing significant differences (Table 9b). RELATE analysis showed that there was a significant pattern of cyclic seasonal progression in community structure throughout the year.

Similarity percentage analysis (SIMPER) performed with a 'square-root transformation (Table 10) highlighted the dominant taxa principally responsible for

 \cdot

 \cdots \cdots

 $\sim 10^{-10}$ mass $^{-1}$

 $\mathcal{O}(2\pi\log n)$, where $\mathcal{O}(2\pi\log n)$

 $\overline{}$

 $\overline{}$

 \sim $-$

209

intervals dapping

 \mathcal{A}

 $\mathcal{F}=\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{F}_\infty)$ the field $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{F})=\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{F}_\infty)$, where $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{F}_\infty)$, we have the field of t

b) Presence/absence transformation

Figure 12 Dendrograms for group average clustering of Bray-Curtis similarities based on a) square-root transformed and b) presence/absence transformed biotic
data from mussel beds at Criccieth, Gwynedd at bimonthly intervals between January and November 1998.

 \rightarrow

 \bullet

 $\boldsymbol{\star}$

 \mathbf{r}

Table 9 Global R-values for ANOSIM pairwise comparisons between bimonthly samples of the mussel bed communities at Criccieth, Gwynedd, based on a) squareroot transformation of species abundance and b) presence/absence transformation of species abundance. Significant differences in community structure (p<0.05) are indicated by bold type. Δ

a)

b)

 Δ .

 \mathbf{r}

 τ

은 준

 $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{A}}$

 ~ 100 km s $^{-1}$

 $\mathcal{A}=\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{x})$. If $\mathcal{A}=\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{A})$

structuring each of the bimonthly sample groupings illustrated in Figure 13a. Similarities within replicates for each bimonthly sample were primarily caused by changes in abundance of 4 dominant taxa throughout the year; small mussels, nematodes, the barnacle Elminius modestus and the chironomid Clunio sp. larvae, with further contributions from less abundant taxa. When the same analysis was repeated with a presence/absence transformation, thus removing the emphasis from abundance similar taxa were seen to contribute to group similarities (Table11). BVSTEP analyses was performed on the data to identify which members of the community were predominantly contributing to the cyclic progression of community structure over the year. When emphasis was placed on taxa abundance with a square-root transformation, a subset of 14 taxa; small mussels, platyhelminthes, nematodes, lineid nemerteans, emplectonematid nemerteans, the polychaetes, Lepidontus clava, Harmothoe sp., Capitella capitata, Cirratulus cirratus, Scolelepis squamata, the arthropods Heterocythereis albomaculata, Elminius modestus, Clunio sp. larvae and the gastropod, Brachystomia scalaris. Changes in the abundance of this taxa subset throughout the year are illustrated in Figure 14.. Second stage BVSTEP analysis performed on the same data set, with the exclusion of these 14 taxa, failed to identify any further taxa subsets, which adequately explained the seasonal variation in community structure. Thus, many members of the mussel community at Criccieth did not respond to any seasonal gradients.

BVSTEP analysis performed with presence/absence transformation identified a subset of 32 taxa from the 85 present, explaining the seasonal variation observed (Figure 13b). Thus the community patterns observed are the result in changes in the presence or absence of many taxa in the mussel bed.

The total number of taxa present in the mussel bed was positively correlated with both the mass and volume of mussels. (Table 12). Margalef's (d) and Shannon's (H) indices were also positively correlated with the total volume of mussels. The total number of individual organisms present is negatively correlated with the mean size of mussels*mussel density. RELATE analysis of the similarity matrix produced using normalised Euclidean distance indicated that the environmental factors measured in the mussel bed did not show any seasonality

(R=0.498, p<0.05). RELATE analysis showed that this ordination pattern for environmental parameters was significantly different to the ordination described for both square-root $(R = 0.568, p<0.05)$ and presence/absence $(R = 0.756, p<0.05)$ transformed biotic data (Figure 13). Ordination by PCA of the environmental data, as illustrated in Figure 13c showed that 83% of the seasonal variability in the data was accounted for by the first three Principal Components, with PCI accounting for 38%

ဥ္ဘာဇ္က

 $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}$

 ~ 100 km s $^{-1}$

 $\mathcal{L}_{\rm{max}}$, where $\mathcal{L}_{\rm{max}}$

September 1998

November 1998

Key

- 1 Juvenile Mytilus edulis
2 Platyhelminthes indet. 9 Girratulus cirratus
- 2 Platyhelminthes indet. 9
3 Nematoda indet. 10
-
-
-
-
- 3 Nematoda indet.

4 Lineidae nemerteans

11 Heterocythere albom
- 4 Lineidae nemerteans
5 Emplectonematidae nemerteans 12 Elminius modestus
- 5 Emplectonematidae nemerteans 12
6 Lepidontus clava 13
-
-
- 6 Lepidontus clava 13 Clunio sp. larvae
7 Harmothoe sp. 14 Brachystomia sca Brachystomia scalaris

Figure 14 Bimonthly log mean abundance per 25cm² quadrat, of taxa identified by BVSTEP analysis as those contributing to the seasonal patterns of diversity in the mussel beds at Criccieth, Gwynedd.

the control of the control of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of and the contract of the contract of the contract of the control of the control of the

Table 12 Correlation coefficients calculated between environmental variables and univariate measures of diversity in the mussel beds at Criccieth, Gwynedd. Values in bold indicate significant correlation (p<0.05).

- NT Number of taxa

NI Number of indiv
- *NI* Number of individuals
 d Margalef's index
- d Margalef's index

H' Shannon's divers
- H' Shannon's diversity
 J Pielou's evenness
- Pielou's evenness

 $\ddot{}$
\bullet

of the total. PC1 was seen to be a linear combination of the environmental factors, with increasing values of all parameters. When PC1 was plotted against each of the univariate measures of community diversity the correlation coefficients revealed no significant relationships (Figure 15).

Determination of correlation coefficients for all environmental variables measured bimonthly in the mussel bed during the twelve-month period failed to reveal any high levels of correlation between any of the variables (r>0.95). As such, all the measured environmental variables were entered into BIOENV analysis, to identify the most appropriate combinations of variables explaining the square-root

and presence/absence transformed biotic data. The BIOENV procedure revealed that the highest correlation of square-root transformed data was 0.232, with a combination of mussel density, mass and mean size and the mass of fine sediments. A correlation of 0.334 was obtained between the presence/absence transformed biotic data and the mean size of mussels and the mass of fine sediments.

Thus, the physical and environmental parameters measured in the mussel bed appear to have some effect on the structure of the mussel bed community. Although some of the environmental variables measured do significantly affect the structure and diversity of the mussel bed community at Criccieth, as indicated by comparisons with univariate measures of diversity, they are not wholly responsible for producing the seasonal progression in multivariate community structure observed.

MIDS ordination of the data collected from the mussel beds at Point Lynas during the summer and winter in two consecutive years failed to illustrate any clear annual patterns in community structure, either with a square-root or a presence/absence transformation (Figure 16a). This was also the case for similar data collected at White Beach (Figure 16b) and Criccieth (Figure 16c). ANOSIM tests performed on the data confirmed differences between the summer and winter of consecutive years' samples were significant at all locations (Table 13). Thus, whilst there was an annual cyclic progression in community structure during 1998 and 1999, the structure of the communities at each of the locations were quite different from one year to the next.

SIMPER analysis, performed with a square-root transformation revealed that

the taxa responsible for the annual differences in community structure at all three sites were the same taxa characterising the structure of the mussel bed throughout the year (see Table 2&3,6 &7 and 10 & 11). Thus, annual changes at the three sites were not caused by the appearance of new taxa, but changes in the abundance of those that were already common. Similar results were found when SIMPER analysis was performed with a presence/absence transformation, although

 \sim

Contractor

 $\mathcal{F}=\mathbf{v}$

 \bullet .

 \mathbf{A}

Figure 15 Principal Component 1 (PC1) plotted against each of the univariate measures of mussel bed community structure at Criccieth, Gwynedd. Correlation coefficients (r) and significance levels between the variables are shown.

 \bullet

 \mathbf{A}

 \bullet

 $0.8 -$

 \bullet

Figure 16 Ordination by MDS of averaged abundance of infauna from the mussel beds during the summer and winter in two consecutive years (1998 and 1999) at a) Point Lynas, Anglesey, b) White Beach, Anglesey and c) Criccieth, Gwynedd, based on square-root and presence/absence transformations. Stress values for all plots = 0.0

 \blacksquare

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}}{\partial \mathbf{y}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1$

CALL COV

 $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S})$

a) Point Lynas
Causse reef fr Square-root transformed data

Presence/absence transformation

 \rightarrow

b) White Beach Square-root transformed data Presence/absence transformation

c) Criccieth
Square-root transformation

Presence/absence transformation

220

Table 13 ANOSIM pairwise comparisons between the mussel bed communities during the summer and winter in two consecutive years (1998 and 1999) at Point Lynas and White Beach, Anglesey and Criccieth, Gwynedd, based on square-root and presence/absence (italicised values in brackets) transformations. Significant differences in community structure (p<0.05) are indicated by bold type (all differences are significant).

221

 $\sim 40\%$

 $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{u}$, where $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{u}$

 $\mathbf{E}^{(1)}$

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$

and the contract of the

 \bullet

differences here were also due in part, to the appearance of new taxa in the mussel bed, since emphasis was removed from abundance in this analysis. Foraminifera, ostracods, oligochaetes, Brania pusilla and Modiolula phaseolina were common at all sites in the 1999 samples at all sites, but virtually absent from the 1998 samples.

Temporal variation in communities associated with P. purpuratus Several of the univariate measures of community diversity showed significant annual variation at each of the four sites (Table 14). At Coronel and Desembocadura sheltered site 1, univariate measures were generally lower during 1999 than other

MDS ordination of the data collected from P. purpuratus beds at the four sites illustrated a clear separation of samples taken in 1997, 1999 and 2000, when performed with both a square-root and a presence/absence transformation (Figure 18 & 19). A one-way ANOSIM test confirmed that at each of the sites, there was significant annual variation in community structure, both in terms of the more common and rarer members of the community (Table 15). ANOSIM pairwise comparisons revealed that annual variation was significant at all sites, between all years, with both transformations.

years (Figure 17). At the two exposed sites at Desembocadura, for many of the measures, this pattern was reversed. At each of the sites, measures for 1999 were often quite different to values during 1997 and 2000.

Similarity percentage analysis (SIMPER), performed with a square-root transformation on inter-annual data from each site, indicated that the dominant taxa characterising the mussel bed communities at the sites were the same from year to year. The mussel community at Coronel was mainly characterised by an abundance of anemones, nematodes, barnacles, small mussels and other bivalves. The mussel community at Desembocadura exposed site 1 was characterised predominantly by nematodes, small mussels and barnacles, while Desembocadura exposed site 2 was characterised by these taxa along with anemones and various polychaete families. The mussel community at Desembocadura sheltered site 1 was characterised mainly by small mussels, barnacles, spionid polychaetes and nematodes. Inter-annual differences in community structure at each of the sites were due mainly to variations

in the abundance of these main dominant taxa (Table 16). Similar results were obtained when SIMPER analysis was performed on the same data, with a presence/absence transformation, to remove the emphasis from numerical abundance of taxa (Table 17). Thus, the same taxa were seen to characterise each site whether emphasis was placed on numerical abundance or simply just presence.

and the state of the state of the and the State State and

Table 14 One-way ANOVA tests between univariate measures of diversity calculated for mussel bed communities in Chile during January 1997, 1999 and 2000 at a) Coronel, b) Desembocadura exposed site 1, c) Desembocadura exposed site 2 and Desembocadura sheltered site 1. Significant differences are marked * (p<0.05).

the contract of the contract of the contract of

Contract Contract Contract

site 1, c) Desembocadura
on 25cm² replicates. 95% Figure 17 Univariate measures of mussel bed community diversity at a) Coronel, b) Desembocadura exposed
exposed site 2 and d) Desembocadura sheltered site 1 (Chile) in January 1997, 1999 and 2000. Values are based
confiden

 \bullet

 \bullet

 \mathbf{v}

 \bullet

 \bullet

 \bullet

 $\,$ $\,$

 \bullet

 \sim_{L}

 \bullet

and the second control of the

a) Stress = 0.14

b) Stress = 0.16

c) Stress = 0.16

d) Stress = 0.11

Figure 18 Ordination by MDS based on Bray-Curtis similarity, of square-root transformed abundance of taxa from the mussel beds at a) Coronel, b) Desembocadura exposed site 1, c) Desembocadura exposed site 2 and d) Desembocadura sheltered site 1, in January 1997, 1999 and 2000.

b) Stress $= 0.15$

c) Stress = 0.20

d) Stress $= 0.15$

Figure 19 Ordination by MDS based on Bray-Curtis similarity, of presence/absence transformed abundance of taxa from the mussel beds at a) Coronel, b) Desembocadura exposed site 1, c) Desembocadura exposed site 2 and d) Desembocadura sheltered site 1, in January 1997, 1999 and 2000.

Table 15 ' Global R-values for ANOSIM tests and pairwise comparisons between mussel bed communities in January 1997, 1999 and 2000 at Coronel, Desembocadura exposed site 1, Desembocadura exposed site 2 and Desembocadura sheltered site 1, based on a) square-root and b) presence/absence transformations of taxa abundance. Significant differences in community structure pairwise comparisons are indicated by bold type (all differences are significant).

a*)*
∆ll <u>AII SITES ANUSIM</u> b)

ANOSIM Pairwise Comparisons Coronel

Desembocadura exposed site 1

.

Desembocadura exposed site 2

Desembocadura sheltered site 1

Taxa 7, 1999 a indicate ance of infaunal taxa, showing
nities during January 1997, 199 $\stackrel{\cdot}{\leftarrow}$ hose highlighted in grey bocadura sheltered site abundance ∓ commul Desem and dissimilarity) transformed 2 and d) sults of similarity percentage analysis (SIMPER) performed on square-root transformed % contributions of infaunal taxa (% Cont.) to average dissimilarity between mussel bed (Coronel, b) Desembocadura exposed site 1, c) Des average 70% (cut-off at ng contribution to the average dissimilarity higher abundance in the first listed year. are ordered in decreasi Results $\widehat{\mathfrak{a}}$ breakdown of $\overline{\sigma}$ and 2000 Table 16

$\frac{1}{4}$

 \sim ito nco

taxa, \equiv and d) Desembocadura sheltered bed communities during January dissimilarity) and those highlighted of infaunal abundance $\overline{\Theta}$ transform $\frac{1}{2}$ musse \mathcal{C} site average exposed between presence/absence 70% a dissimilarity embocadur \vec{a} HOcut- $\overline{}$ Des S dissimilarity average performed \circ $\overline{}$. \overline{C} site $\overline{}$ average \equiv exposed **Cor** $(SIMPEER)$ $\sqrt{6}$ the infaunal taxa Desembocadura \overline{C} analysis year. decreasing contribution listed percentage $\overline{\mathrm{o}}$ first contributions $\widehat{\Omega}$ in the Coronel, similarity undance \equiv $\frac{9}{6}$ $\widehat{\sigma}$ $\overline{\mathrm{o}}$

 229

Taxa are ordered \overline{a} $\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i = a_i$ $1 - U$ VJ. \cup \cup higher abu $\overline{\mathrm{o}}$ breakdown and 2000 Cont. 11.22
 11.00 14.70 9.16 7.16 8.74 5.82 10.09 Average dissimilarity between years = 29.24% 8.05
8.01 6.48 4.66 % Cont. 5.82
5.77
5.27 4.50 4.37 5.04 Average dissimilarity
between years = 28.60% 4.47 Desembocadura Results $\frac{5}{6}$ indicate 1999 Coronel σ Bivalves indet. $\overline{1}$ Turbellarians Oligochaetes Nemerteans showing Cirratulidae Nemerteans gastropods Sea spiders gastropods Cirratulidae Nematodes Anemones gastropods Amphipods Predatory Spionidae $\overbrace{}^{\quad \ *}$ Table $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{I}}$ Isopods Syllidae Chitons Tanaids 1997 grey Crabs Other site 1999 1997 Other 1999 1997 $\widehat{\sigma}$ $\widehat{\circ}$

The density of mussel populations did not vary significantly at each of the four sites in Chile, between 1997 and 2000 (see Chapter 2). Thus, it was statistically unlikely that the observed annual differences in community diversity between each of the sites were a reflection of mussel density and no further analysis was performed on the data.

Overall, these data show that the invertebrate communities associated with M. edulis display significant intra- and inter-annual variations, while those associated with P. purpuratus also display significant inter-annual variation. Temporal differences in community structure of both mussel species were generally the result

At each of the sites around the coast of North Wales and Chile, M. edulis and P. purpuratus remained the dominant primary space occupiers during this study. Thus, in terms of the main space occupiers, these rocky shore communities exhibit a strong temporal consistency. Many earlier studies documenting the major components of rocky shore communities have found similar temporal patterns. For

of changes in the abundance of no more than 10 of the most common taxa, while many more taxa contributed to temporal differences when data were analysed using a presence/absence transformation. Such temporal differences did not correlate with differences in the measured environmental parameters of the mussel beds.

DISCUSSION

example, Berlow and Navarrete (1997) found in studies of the main primary space occupiers in rocky intertidal communities on the west coast of America, that patterns of community structure remained virtually unchanged from early 1990 through the early spring of 1993. Other authors, including Dayton (1971) and Paine (1974) have obtained similar results in studies of rocky shore communities. However, when these mussel bed communities were studied in greater detail, by examining associations between infaunal and epifaunal taxa and the primary space occupiers, seasonal differences in community structure did emerge.

Mussel beds at each of the study sites in this investigation, both in the Wales and Chile were observed to support diverse infaunal communities, with representatives from most of the main animal phyla. Seasonal and annual

differences in the abundance of many of the associated taxa, resulted in temporal patterns of community structure in each of the mussel beds. Seasonal differences in the structure of the infaunal communities associated with the M. edulis and P. purpuratus beds were much more evident when analysed using multivariate, rather than univariate techniques. In general, the total number of taxa and individuals present in the beds remained relatively constant with time, although the actual species composition changed. In contrast to these findings, using graphical techniques Peake and Quinn (1993) to study of the invertebrate communities inhabiting clumps of the intertidal mussel, Brachidontes rostratus in Australia, found fewer species for a given area in autumn and summer compared with winter and spring. The equilibrium theory of MacArthur and Wilson (1967) states that the number of species in a patch of habitat should remain approximately constant, at its equilibrium value, although the identities of species should change with time. This phenomenon, referred to as species turnover, appears to apply to the mussel bed communities at each of the sites in the present study. It should be noted, however,

 \mathcal{A}^{\pm}

that the application of general ecological models to new habitats is difficult, since ecological processes vary from one habitat to another (Crowe and Underwood, 1999). It is likely that the total number of taxa and individuals present within the mussel patches, are more or less fixed by the availability of resources such as food and space.

Several authors have attempted to explain the development and change of marine epifaunal communities over time, and relate them to various biological and environmental factors, as detailed below.

When the taxa composition of the mussel bed infaunal communities were analysed using multivariate techniques, a clear temporal variation was demonstrated at all sites. The structure of the communities at Point Lynas and Criccieth changed throughout the year in a cyclic progression, while the community at White Beach showed significant seasonal differences, although the progression of community change over the year did not appear to be cyclic. Significant annual variation was

observed in the mussel communities in the UK and Chile. Such temporal variations at each of the sites were due mainly to fluctuations in the presence and abundance of taxa such as nematodes, nemerteans, platyhelminthes, juvenile mussels and various polychaete, gastropod and crustacean taxa. Other studies of mussel beds, based on a multivariate approach have demonstrated similar seasonal variations in community structure. For example, Jacobi (1987a) found amphipods in Brazilian mussel beds to be more abundant in summer, while Tokeshi (1995) found a general tendency towards reduced polychaete population densities in mussel beds on Pacific South American rocky shores in the austral winter. In addition, Ong Che and Morton (1992) found large temporal macro-invertebrate population fluctuations in Septifer virgatus beds in Hong Kong.

Reproduction and Larval Recruitment

The seasonality of the climate has a marked effect on the reproduction and growth of infaunal and epifaunal species, such that most species produce larvae over a limited period of time (Osman, 1977). Underwood and Fairweather (1989) have pointed out the stochastic nature of marine communities, emphasising the considerable temporal variation in recruitment of species with planktonic larval stages as a major cause. Many studies, have demonstrated that this seasonality in reproduction and immigration (settlement of larvae) causes a cycle in the equilibrium number of species present on or in a substratum (e. g. Osman, 1978). Schoener and

Schoener (1981) concluded, in a study of the marine fouling communities on artificial panels, that settling species were somewhat dependent upon season. Osman (1977) concluded that seasonal fluctuations in larval abundance was an important factor in the development of a marine epifaunal community, since colonisation was directly dependent on the abundance of settling larvae, which in turn was a function of seasonality and selectivity and as such would be highly variable. Osman (1977) found that the peak abundance of larval production and settlement in rocky shore epifaunal communities on the East Coast of America occurred during the late summer. Following this, both the number of species reproducing and the number of larvae produced per species declined to a minimum level in the late winter. This resulted in a fluctuation in the number of species between a high point at the end of

the summer and a low point at the end of the winter. Stoner (1985) suggested that priority of arrival as recruits might determine the species composition of crustaceans associated with calcareous green algae in Puerto Rico, in a similar manner to the lottery system proposed by Sale (1978) for reef fishes.

Various intertidal marine benthic invertebrates have been shown to display seasonal patterns of reproduction, for example, gastropods (Underwood, 1979; Parry, 1982) and barnacles (Caffey, 1985; Raimondi, 1990). In addition, Hull (1997) demonstrated that several intertidal ostracod species (similar to taxa found in mussel beds in this study) reproduced in early spring. The reproductive cycle of M. edulis itself, exhibits marked seasonal patterns (Seed and Suchanek, 1992), which might explain the seasonal variation in juvenile mussel densities in each of the mussel

beds. Spawning normally occurs in early spring and if environmental conditions are favourable, periods of redevelopment and spawning may occur throughout the summer (Dix and Ferguson, 1984; Gray et al, 1997). Jarvis and Seed (1996) suggested that the seasonal pattern displayed by meiofaunal taxa, such as ostracods and nematodes, inhabiting epiphytic algae on Ascophyllum nodosum could be attributed to annual life cycles and reproductive activity. Colman (1940) and later Kito (1982) drew similar conclusions in studies of the fauna inhabiting. intertidal seaweeds.

Hughes et al (2000) have pointed out the importance of variable larval input in determining the size of local adult populations in marine benthic communities, although for most marine organisms, the spatial scale at which adult stocks and recruitment are coupled is unknown. In addition, Renaud et al (1999) suggested that post-settlement processes, such as predation, are also important in structuring marine benthic communities. Woodin (1976) predicted that successful larval recruitment might be rare in the presence of densely packed suspension-feeding

bivalves, since the probability of successful larval settlement is reduced either due to ingestion or burial in faeces and pseudofaeces. Persson (1983) and Dittman (1990) agreed that adult populations of suspension- and deposit-feeders might consume or disturb any settling larvae, while Mileikovsky (1974) additionally stated that larvae that pass through the mussels alive, subsequently have little chance of survival in the mussel deposits. Thus, the arrival of settling pelagic larvae is probably not an important structuring factor in mussel bed communities.

and abundance of macroinvertebrates associated with mussel patches probably reflect prolonged reproductive cycles, along with the stochastic nature of settlement and mortality that often typifies many marine species with planktonic larvae (Underwood and Fairweather (1989). Dittman (1990) noted that only infauna with a benthic larval development, rather than pelagic development successfully recruited and established populations in mussel beds in the Wadden Sea., For example, oligochaetes form cocoons from which juveniles emerge (Hunter and Arthur, 1978); some oligochaetes and polychaetes fragment asexually (Levinton and Stewart, 1982; Oliver, 1984); some polychaetes, bivalves and crustaceans brood their young (Pechenik, 1979); and many taxa disperse as larvae, juveniles and adults (Commito, 1982; Ambrose, 1986). Best (1978) found that most brooding species were not

Various researchers have identified methods of reproduction adopted by infaunal taxa, which may affect their presence and abundance within mussel bed communities and produce seasonal patterns in community structure. Tokeshi (1995) and Tokeshi and Romero (1995) have suggested that temporal variations in diversity

adversely affected by densely packed populations of the clam, Mercenaria mercenaria. Amphipods, which brood their young and nematodes, which encapsulate their developing embryos, are abundant in mussel beds from several localities (Radziejewska, 1986; Tsuchiya and Nishihira 1986; Jacobi, 1987a). Commito (1987) suggested that the oligochaete Tubificoides benedeni was abundant in M. edulis beds because it produced cocoons, which liberate juvenile worms

 $\overline{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathcal{F}}$

directly into the community. Dean (1981) stated that gregarious settling behaviour might partially account for species abundance patterns on mussel beds. Such behaviour is generally mediated by chemical signals (Crisp, 1979) and responsible for aggregation of barnacles, serpulid polychaetes, hydroids, and a host of other sessile invertebrates (Buss, 1979).

It has been suggested that the eventual competitive outcome and development of a marine epifaunal community will depend on which species have immigrated into the community (Osman, 1977). Similarly, Schoener and Schoener (1981) concluded that immigration-related processes were probably responsible over the short-term for initiating a relatively stable species-area relationship in marine fouling communities. Individual species may alter actual species counts. Thus, different functional groups of species might be replaced by others through time, yet stability in the species-area slope is maintained. Stoner (1985) stated that changes in species composition over time on the calcareous green alga, Penicillus capitatus, were indicative of some non-random effects, such as differential immigration and/or emigration rates, while Beukema (1974) concluded that the observed spring and summer increases in macrobenthos biomass on a tidal flat in the Dutch Wadden Sea were due mainly to species immigration. Keough and Butler (1983) noted that species of sessile invertebrates on the shells of the fan mussel, Pinna pinna in Australia were never gained and lost simultaneously and sometimes successive immigrations occurred by chance before corresponding extinction's occurred and vice versa. Persson (1983) concluded that the observed seasonal variations, repeated from year to year, in macrobenthic community structure in the Southern Baltic, might result from biological events such as reproduction and migration. In addition, Jarvis and Seed (1997) suggested that seasonal patterns in ostracod abundance on epiphytes of Ascophyllum nodosum in North Wales might be attributed

It is likely, therefore, that the main taxa contributing to seasonal differences in the mussel communities in the present study are those that reproduce by non-pelagic methods.

Species Immigration and Emigration

to seasonal migrations into the sublittoral zone.

The suggestion that seasonal changes in the mussel community at the three sites were due to species immigration and emigration would imply that the taxa present in the mussel beds were also present on other areas of the shore. However, several studies have shown that the presence of mussel beds on rocky shores serves to encourage species enrichment through provision of a structurally complex

habitat (e.g. Bayne, 1976; Suchanek, 1979; Seed, 1996). Mussel beds thus represent an extremely well delineated community bounded by the physical limits of the mussels, which in the intertidal are in turn restricted to a clearly definable zone by physical and biological factors (Suchanek, 1985). It is unlikely that many of the taxa present in the mussel matrix could -survive elsewhere on the shore, without the protection provided by the mussels (Tokeshi and Romero, 1995). As such, immigration of taxa into the mussel beds in this study from elsewhere on the three rocky shores is highly unlikely to be causing the observed seasonal variations in community structure.

Species Interactions

The biological interactions within a species and between species may be important to the developing species composition, structure and the diversity of marine benthic communities (Osman, 1977). Haines and Maurer (1980) established that seasonal changes in faunal associates of the serpulid worm Hydroides dianthus were due to interactions of associates and predators. Similarly, Stoner (1985) concluded that changes in species composition over time of Penicillus capitatus may be due to species interactions, including displacement of certain taxa, positive or negative associations and differential susceptibility to predation. Various authors have suggested that seasonal decreases in abundance in various intertidal marine

habitats might be the result of predation and spatial competition (Maurer et al, 1979). Keough and Butler (1983) demonstrated that the actions of a few species of resident organisms and predators could be shown to influence fluctuations in the number of species residing on the shells of the bivalve Pinna bicolor, but were not sufficient to account for the observed seasonal fluctuations. They decided it was more likely that, rather than the interactions between adult organisms, the important sources of variation were those processes that involved the dispersive stages of these species. Little is known of species interactions within mussel beds, although many of the species show very close relationships. For example, on *M. edulis* beds in the transition of the stream in Broadkill Estuary, Delaware, the nudibranchs Doridella obscura and Cratena sp. were found almost exclusively on the bryozoan Membranipora tenuis and the hydroid Tubularia crocea, respectively (Dean, 1981). In the same study, the predatory flatworm, Stylochus ellipticus was always associated with barnacles. Dean (1981) found a positive correlation between mobile species richness and sessile species richness on M. edulis beds, while a negative relationship was noted between mobile species richness and sessile species dominance. Such dominance of the community by certain sessile species may be the result of sessile adults inhibiting settlement of other species (both mobile and sessile) by engulfing potential larval propagules (Sutherland and Karlson, 1977).

Environmental Factors

 \mathcal{N}_c

Physical disturbance of marine epibenthic communities, with the resultant local extinction of their fauna is an important factor, which might result in seasonal changes in community structure (Osman, 1977). Other authors such as Shelford (1930) and Kawahara (1965) have emphasised the importance of seasonal and annual changes in the physical environment, in causing change in species

composition.

Buchanan et a/ (1978) found that benthic macrofaunal assemblages off the Northumberland coast showed significant seasonal and annual variation only when subject to an unstable temperature regime. Warmer winter water temperatures resulted in the replacement of larger bodied species (e.g. the polychaete, Chaetozone setosa) with species of a smaller body size (e.g. the polychaete, Paraonis gracilis). They concluded that different suites of species enjoyed competitive advantage at different points of the temporal range of temperature variability, which in turn allowed. the community to maximise its performance throughout a broad range of environmental variability. Similarly, Peake and Quinn (1993) concluded that the seasonal differences observed in clumps of the mussel,

between 5° to 13°C cooler than at the surface. Thus, although M. edulis and P. purpuratus beds in this study would not provide the same protection from temperature fluctuations as the multi-layered M. califomianus beds in Suchanek's study, it is still unlikely that the observed temperature changes (7.5°C to 17.0°C) would result in any major species extinctions. In addition, none of the environmental

Brachidontes rostratus, in Australia were probably due to physical stress on shores in summer and autumn, when high temperatures often coincide with low tides in the middle of the day, thus resulting in reduced species numbers.

Surface water temperatures for the North Wales coast over the temporal scale of this study ranged from 8.0°C at the beginning of the study, in March 1998 to 17.0°C in August 1998 and back to 7.5°C in January 1999. Temperatures in the following twelve months, covering the period of the annual study were virtually identical to these. Within a mussel bed, physical conditions can be very different to those outside the matrix, with less extreme temperatures and humidity (Seed and Suchanek, 1992; Lintas and Seed, 1994). - Suchanek (1980) found that on a sunny day, the temperature at the base of a 25cm thick Mytilus califomianus bed was

 $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{A}}$

parameters measured over the course of the study were seen to affect community structure patterns at any of the three sites in the UK.

A possible cause of the observed temporal and spatial variation in the structure of the community associated with P. purpuratus was the El Niño-Southern Oscillation (ENSO), which occurs every four to seven years, with varying intensity (Philander, 1990). This phenomenon is characterised by a reduction in the amount of upwelling of nutrient-rich, cold waters along the eastern Pacific, caused by warmer, nutrient-poor surface waters flowing from the western Pacific. This results in a drastic decline in primary productivity, which adversely affects all levels of the food

chain (NOAA, 2000). It is widely accepted that pelagic systems are physically driven by large-scale, low frequency events, such as El Niho and more recently, the importance of such large-scale processes in structuring benthic communities has been recognised (e.g. Gomez-Gutierrez et al, 1995; Hernandez-Trujillo, 1999; Davis, 2000). Many authors have shown that temporal and spatial patterns of benthos at all latitudes are determined by primary productivity (e.g. Alongi, 1990), while it is suggested that coastal upwelling promotes enrichment of littoral communities due to increased nutrient supply (Camus and Andrade, 1999). El Niho has been observed to affect significantly larval recruitment, and thus the structure of kelp forest communities in California (Tegner et a/, 1997; Dayton et a/, 1999), rocky intertidal communities in California (Sagarin et a/, 1999), tide-pool fish assemblages in

Southern California (Davis, 2000) and coral communities in the tropical eastern Pacific (Fong and Glynn, 2000). Since the El Nifio event in 1997-1998 was particularly strong (NOAA, 2000), the phenomenon would probably affect the structure of mussel communities over the course of the present study, by influencing the reproduction and recruitment of constituent taxa.

In summary, the multivariate analysis of the structure of the infaunal communities associated with M. edulis beds at three sites on the North Wales coast and P. purpuratus at four sites on the coast of Chile, showed significant intra- and inter-annual variations. Such variations in community structure are clearly the result of complex biological interactions and processes within the mussel matrix. However, it is most likely that such variations are predominantly due to seasonal patterns of

reproduction of infaunal species, leading to fluctuations in larval abundance and recruitment into the mussel bed. It is also probable that many of the taxa responsible for seasonal changes in community structure do not utilise pelagic larvae as a means of reproduction. Other influencing processes such as species immigration and emigration, species interactions or extinctions due to temperature fluctuations probably play minor roles in structuring the communities. Peake and Quinn (1993), similarly, concluded that seasonal variation in the structure of infaunal communities associated with B. rostratus were the result of a combination of unpredictable seasonal recruitment patterns and mortality patterns.

the contract of the contract of

the contract of the contract of the \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{A} are all the set of the the contract of the

 \bullet

 \mathbf{r}

,我们也不会有什么?""我们,我们也不会有什么?""我们,我们也不会有什么?""我们,我们也不会有什么?""我们,我们也不会有什么?""我们,我们也不会有什么?

 \mathcal{A} .

 \mathbf{v}

 \mathbf{J}

 ~ 0.1

Chapter 7

 ~ 100

Investigations into the effect of

substratum heterogeneity on the invertebrate communities associated with Mytilus edulis

 \bullet

 \bullet .

 \sim μ

Abstract

Artificial mussel patches of manipulated low and high mussel density were placed, in the middle of the mussel zone at a site already colonised by naturally occurring Mytilus edulis beds. During a three-month period on the shore, manipulated mussel patches were colonised by 46 invertebrate taxa. The communities associated with mussel patches of low and high density differed, although not significantly, at either a univariate or multivariate level. The community structure of low density mussel patches showed more variability than high density patches. Nine dominant taxa characterised the structure of the community associated with the artificial mussel patches; nematodes, nemerteans, juvenile M. edulis, Modiolula phaseolina, chironomid larvae, Carcinus maenas, Hyale prevostii, Idotea pelagica and Jaera albifrons. Mussel density and mussel mass were the only environmental variables measured, to show significant differences between low and high density patches. The total number of organisms present in patches showed significant correlation with mussel density, while the total number of taxa showed significant correlation with the mass of coarse sediment. Multivariate analysis suggested a high degree of correlation between the environmental characteristics of the mussel patches and the structure of the associated community. It was concluded that habitat heterogeneity did have a structuring effect on the community associated with mussel patches of manipulated low and high mussel density at a multivariate level. It is unlikely that the communities associated with the artificial mussel patches were at the final point of colonisation, since they were less diverse than mussel communities previously sampled from the shore at the same site, in terms of species richness. The structure of the communities was probably the result of those taxa who reproducing during the time of the experiment, along with mobile taxa who recruited as adults from neighbouring natural mussel beds.

INTRODUCTION

Several studies have demonstrated the pervasive effects of habitat structure on community composition and diversity. Biologists have long held the view that physically complex habitats often contain more species than physically simple ones. This notion has been found to be true and quantified for a variety of marine habitats and communities, including intertidal boulder communities (McGuinness and Underwood, 1986), rocky intertidal shores (Bergeron and Bourget, 1986; Bourget et al, 1994; Archambault and Bourget, 1996; Thompson et al, 1996), subtidal reefs (Pimm, 1994), tropical intertidal habitats (Kohn and Leviten, 1976), the epifaunal associates of intertidal algae (Gee and Warwick, 1994; Jarvis and Seed, 1996) and intertidal rockpools (Willis and Roberts, 1996). In addition, several studies have highlighted the effect of substratum heterogeneity on the colonisation of various marine habitats following either natural or artificial defaunation. For example Beukema et al (1999) and Ford et a/ (1999) investigated such processes in the infaunal associates of sandy intertidal habitats, while Kim and DeWreede (1996) conducted studies of recolonisation in rocky intertidal habitats. Similar studies have been conducted into recolonisation of subtidal reefs (Airoldi, 2000), littoral rockpools (Benedetti-Cecchi and Cinelli, 1996; Benedetti-Cecchi, 2000a), intertidal oyster reefs in the south-eastern United States (Meyer and Townsend, 2000) and estuarine fouling assemblages in Botany Bay, Australia (Anderson and Underwood, 1994).

The mechanisms driving recolonisation processes are poorly understood, but a complicating problem is that different sources of habitat structure can be confounded in both surveys and experiments (Berlow, 1997). Ecologists appreciate that many factors concurrently influence the distribution and abundance of organisms and that single factor analyses or experiments are insufficient to understand how populations, groups of species or communities are structured (Rotenberry* and Wiens, 1985). As such, field experiments have proven to be powerful tools used by ecologists to elucidate causal processes of community organisation (Paine, 1977; Hairston, 1989; Lubchenco and Real, 1991). However, field experiments can be difficult to interpret if the effects of spatial and temporal variation are unknown. The most effective experiments are therefore those designed and interpreted in the context of a well-known system (Polis et al, 1997). Field experiments must deal with both chance chaotic changes and with large random or directional variation in factors and forces over scales from hours to decades (Rotenberry and Wiens, 1985). Various authors have demonstrated experimentally, the importance of substratum heterogeneity as a controlling factor in community diversity, through the colonisation of objects in marine areas (e. g. Anderson and Underwood, 1994; Jacobi

and Langevin, 1996; Pugh, 1996). A variety of artificially created substrata have been used to investigate the effects of substratum heterogeneity on colonisation and diversity, including artificial crevices (Kensler and Crisp, 1965), concrete blocks (Kawahara, 1965) and panels of various types (e.g. Turner et al, 1969; Haderlie, 1971), while Petraitis (1990) demonstrated that surface rugosity (i.e., surface heterogeneity) played an important-role in enhancing mussel recruitment on rocky shores in Maine, USA.

In this chapter, the influence of substratum heterogeneity on the establishment and diversity of the invertebrate communities associated with M. edulis

is investigated experimentally, using panels to which are attached mussel patches of manipulated density. A univariate and multivariate approach is taken to compare the communities associated with mussel patches of low and high mussel density. The extent to which various environmental parameters of the mussel matrix such as mussel volume and the mass of fine and coarse sediment fractions, affect community structure, which themselves, might be a reflection of differences in mussel density are also investigated.

METHODS

Fourteen cages, of dimensions \approx 100x100x20mm were constructed using 'Twiweld' 10mm² PVC-covered wire mesh. These cages were designed to enclose a

100x1OOmm slate slotted into the base, thus creating a completely enclosed mesh box. Slate was chosen as it provided a substratum similar to the rock surface on the shore at Point Lynas. Slightly larger Perspex panels were constructed, such that the entire mesh cage and slate unit could be attached using screws and held in place on the panels. A month prior to the commencement of the experiment, during spring tides in May 2000, small patches of intertidal substratum at Point Lynas were cleared using a paint scraper of all biota, and washed with freshwater, Patches were cleared such that they were equally interspersed throughout the mid-shore area, on substratum already extensively colonised by beds of Mytilus edulis. The Perspex panels without mesh cages were cemented to the shore, using quick-setting cement and left for one month, in order to test their strength of attachment.

Mussels (shell lengths >5mm) were collected from the shore at Point Lynas and cleaned and sorted into Imm-size categories in the laboratory. Each of the fourteen mesh cages were then filled with these mussels, such that seven of the cages were packed with mussels (shell length 25-35mm), providing a low mussel density of restricted size. The remaining seven cages were filled with mussels from the available size range (shell length 5-35mm) and were thus packed at higher densities, thereby providing greater structural complexity and substratum heterogeneity. Once the mesh cages had been filled with mussels, the slate base was slotted into place in the base of the mesh cage and the units placed in running seawater in the laboratory, to allow the mussels to lay down byssal attachments. During this time, the mussels were fed daily on a mixture of Tetraselmis suecica and Rhinomonas reticulata. After one month, the fourteen artificial mussel patches were placed in buckets of seawater, transported to the shore at Point Lynas and screwed onto the pre-cemented Perspex panels. These manipulated mussel patch densities were randomly allocated to the Perspex panels. The panels were then left,

In the laboratory, the contents of each mesh cage, including the mussels and associated macro- and meiofauna were sieved through a 63pm mesh, with 63pm filtered seawater. All material passing through the sieve was retained, placed in containers and allowed to settle for 48 hours, after which time the seawater was decanted and any remaining material dried in at oven at 60° C for 48 hours, to calculate the"dry weight. The mussels and their associated fauna were then fixed in 7% formalin, placed in labelled containers, and stored in the laboratory to await sorting. Samples were then washed and sorted and data analysed according to

undisturbed for a period of three months, in order to allow colonisation to take place. After this time, each, containing its mussels and all associated organisms were removed from the panels and immediately returned to the laboratory for sorting.

methods previously described.

RESULTS

A total of 6216 infaunal organisms representing 46 different taxa had colonised the artificially created mussel patches at Point Lynas, during a period of three months. None of the univariate measures of community diversity (total number of taxa, total number of individuals, Margalef's index of richness, Shannon's index of diversity and Pielou's evenness) was significantly different between the artificially created patches with manipulated low and high mussel densities.

MIDS ordination of square-root transformed infaunal abundance indicated that there was a small degree of separation between the mussel bed communities from the artificially created patches of high and low packing densities (Figure 1a). The

communities associated with the high density mussel patches were, in general, more similar to each other than those communities associated with the low density patches, implying a greater variability in community structure at low mussel packing densities. A one-way ANOSIM test performed on the data, however, revealed that there were no significant differences in community structure between the mussel patches with high and low packing densities (R=-0.032, p>0.05). The negative R-

 $\mathcal{A}_\mathbf{t}$

 \mathbf{X}

 \blacksquare

Figure 1 MDS

 \mathbf{F}

 \sim

 \mathcal{L}

value indicates a high degree of variation in community structure between panels with the same packing density, as suggested by the pattern of ordination in Figure Ia. MIDS ordination performed using a presence/absence transformation on infaunal abundance failed to demonstrate any clear separation of the mussel bed
And the mussel of the contract communities amongst the artificially created patches at high and low packing densities (Figure 1b). This was confirmed by a one-way ANOSIM performed on the data, which showed there were no significant differences between mussel patches at high and low packing densities (R=-0.148, p>0.05). As was the case for square-root transformed data, a negative R-value indicated a high degree of variation in

community structure between panels of the same packing density, as suggested by the pattern of ordination in Figure 1b.

environmental variables showed significant variation at the two packing densities. Univariate measures of community diversity did not show a high degree of correlation with environmental factors measured in the mussel beds; many showed relatively constant values, regardless of changing environmental conditions (Figure 2). However, the total number of infaunal organisms. in the mussel beds did show significant positive correlation with the total number of mussels ($r = 0.644$). In

Similarity percentages analysis (SIMPER), performed with a square-root transformation indicated that the communities associated with the mussel patches of different density were characterised, to varying degrees, by the same nine dominant taxa (nematodes, nemerteans, juvenile M. edulis, Modiolula phaseolina, chironomid larvae, Carcinus maenas, Hyale prevostii, Idotea pelagica and Jaera albifrons). Variations in the abundance of these taxa between the mussel patches and their contribution to differences in community structure are shown in Table 1. A similar result was obtained when SIMPER analysis was performed using a presence/absence transformation, although more taxa characterised the mussel communities of each packing density. While some taxa were consistently present in mussel patches of high and low packing density, others appeared to have more restricted distributions. In particular, epifaunal organisms such as barnacles and anemones were present mainly in mussel beds at low packing density, while many infaunal organisms, such as oligochaetes, benthic Foraminifera and Lasaea adansoni were found at greater abundance in the high packing density mussel beds. A breakdown of the contributions of various taxa to differences in community structure between mussel beds of high and low packing densities is given in Table 2. The total number of mussels per unit area of mussel bed was significantly greater in mussel beds where there was a high packing density, while the mass of mussels was greater in the low density beds (Table 3). None of the other

bundance of taxa present in the
6 contribution (% Cont.) of taxa to
on to the average dissimilarity (cut-

 \sim

 $\langle \bullet \rangle$

abundance of taxa present in the
contribution (% Cont.) of taxa to
n to the average dissimilarity (cut-

 $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$

 $\langle \bullet \rangle$

 \bullet

 $\langle \bullet \rangle$

 \sim $-$

 $\overline{}$ الآسارية المتحدة

w and high packing densities at Point Lynas,

 \bullet .

 \bullet

 \bullet

 \bullet

 \bullet

 \bullet

 $\mathcal{L}_{\rm{eff}}$

univariate measures of diversity.

Figure 2 Environmental variables measured in mussel beds (per 100cm² mussel bed) plotted against various
Correlation coefficients are shown in italics. Values in bold type and larger font indicate significant correlation

 $\langle \bullet \rangle$

 $\mathcal{A}=\mathcal{A}$

 $\ddot{}$

 \sim

 \bullet

 $\langle \rangle$

 \mathcal{N}

249

 $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{M})$, and $\mathcal{R}^{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{M})$, and 医最大的 医心包的 医内侧的 医乳头病
addition, the total number of taxa was significantly correlated with the mass of coarse sediment ($r = 0.669$).

Ordination by PCA of the environmental data from the mussel samples (Figure 1c) showed that replicate samples from mussel beds of manipulated low packing density clustered more distinctly than those of manipulated high density. The first two Principal Components accounted for 78% of the variability in the environmental data. PC1 was a linear combination of the environmental parameters, with increasing values of mussel density and sediment content and decreasing

 \bullet

 \mathbf{N}

values of mussel mass and volume.

Determination of correlation coefficients between environmental variables measured in the mussel beds of manipulated packing density failed to reveal any high levels of correlation (r>0.95), although there was some significant correlation between variables (Table 4). Subsequently, all environmental variables measured in the mussel beds were entered into the BIOENV procedure. However, this analysis failed to identify any high levels of correlation between environmental parameters and square-root or presence/absence transformed biotic data. The mass of coarse sediment was the most important factor -influencing the square-root transformed biotic data set $(r_s = 0.307)$, while the mass of mussels and the mass of coarse sediment gave the highest correlation with the presence/absence transformed data

set $(r_s = 0.032)$.

RELATE analysis performed between the environmental data similarity matrix, produced using normalised Euclidean distance and the biotic data similarity matrices, produced using Bray-Curtis similarity, with a square-root and a presence/absence transformation failed to reveal significant differences (R=0.006, p>0.05; R=-0.356, p>0.05). Thus, there is a high degree of correlation between the combined physical and environmental characteristics of the mussel beds and the multivariate structure of the associated communities.

These results indicate that although the communities, which had colonised panels of manipulated low and high density, did differ, such differences were not significant. The community associated with low density patches showed greater variability than high density patches. Multivariate analysis suggested a high degree

of correlation between the environmental characteristics of the mussel patches and the structure of the associated community.

DISCUSSION

During the three-month period that the manipulated mussel patches of low and high density were present on the rocky shore at Point Lynas, both were

the contract of the contract of

Table 4 Correlation coefficients calculated between environmental variables measured in mussel patches of manipulated low and high mussel density at Point Lynas, Anglesey. Figures in bold indicate significant correlation (p<0.05).

Committee Committee

 \bullet

251

 \bullet

 \mathbf{u}

 \propto

 \sim 4 \sim

successfully colonised by a wide variety of invertebrate taxa. Since intertidal environments are often dominated by physiologically 'robust' organisms, they might be expected to have relatively rapid rates of colonisation (Olsgard, 1999) and this has been demonstrated by a number of workers, in a variety of intertidal habitats. For example, in a study of faunal utilisation of artificially created intertidal oyster reefs in the south-eastern United States, Meyer and Townsend (2000) found that the reefs could quickly acquire functional ecological attributes of their natural counterparts and were rapidly colonised by infaunal species. Similarly, in a study of the recolonisation

of a sandy, intertidal habitat in Florida, following a massive outbreak of red tide, Simon and Dauer (1994) found that the fauna made a rapid recovery in terms of species numbers and composition. They found that polychaetes were the most rapid colonists both in terms of the number of species and individuals, while other groups, such as molluscs and amphipods were slower to appear since they were significantly affected by seasonal patterns of reproduction, and thus dispersal. Within a mussel bed however, physical conditions can be very different to those outside the matrix, with reduced wave action, less extreme temperatures and an increase in sedimentation and humidity (Lintas and Seed, 1994). As such, the species occupying the mussel beds might be less robust and may not be capable of such rapid rates of recolonisation. Several workers have shown that the recovery of mussel beds from disturbance is a long-term process and varies from one assemblage to another. For example, when Mytilus califomianus were removed from the rocky intertidal, complete recolonisation took around 60-80 months, although this figure refers to recolonisation by the mussels themselves, along with the associated community (Suchanek, 1979). Paine and Levin (1981) however, found that very small patches created in M. califomianus beds were colonised almost immediately due to a leaning response of the border mussels, in which surrounding mussels encroach into the space. However, both these studies relate to complete recovery of mussel communities from cleared bare rock, rather than recovery from defaunated intact mussel patches. In a study of the colonisation of artificial mussel patches, Suchanek (1979) demonstrated that within one year, an associated community equivalent to real mussel beds of comparable structural complexity had become

established. It is likely therefore, that the communities in the present study were not at the final point of recolonisation, since they were less diverse than mussel communities previously sampled from the shore at Point Lynas, in terms of species richness (see Chapters 2-5).

Analyses of the mussel bed communities, which had developed on the experimental panels, revealed that patches of low and high mussel density were characterised by the same subset of around ten taxa. Such observations might simply reflect the availability of those taxa reproducing during the term of the experiment. Many authors have demonstrated that the nature of the recolonisation process in marine benthic communities, following disturbance or defaunation is dependent on the species present around the time of cessation of the disturbance. For example, Suchanek (1979) stated that when Mytilus califomianus populations were artificially or naturally disrupted, season was an important factor in determining the identity and timing of colonising fauna and flora that occupied the recovering

disturbance gaps. In addition, Dean (1981) showed that species settling into a recovering Mytilus edulis community in the Broadkill Estuary, Delaware, displayed highly seasonal settlement patterns that determined much of the development sequence. Similarly, Kaehler and Williams (1998) and Willis and Roberts (1996) found that in colonisation studies on rocky shores in Hong Kong and New Zealand, respectively, the timing of liberation of rock space was important for the development of floral and faunal assemblages. Settlement and metamorphosis of pelagic larvae are generally considered the most critical phases in the development of marine benthic assemblages (Olsgard, 1999). Variation in the recruitment of benthic marine invertebrates is often attributed to the interaction between the supply of new individuals to a habitat and the availability of space for colonisation when they arrive (Minchinton, 1997). Also important in determining variation in recruitment is the response of the larvae to the characteristics of the habitat, since larvae of many benthic marine invertebrates show a high degree of specificity of requirements when selecting a habitat (e.g. Benedetti-Cecchi, 2000a; Downes et al, 2000).

 Γ

higher colonisation rates than the gallery-building polychaete, Phragmatopoma moerchi, the latter species was competitively superior. Similarly, in a study of colonisation patterns on intertidal sand-flats, Thrush et al (1992) highlighted the importance of facilitatory interactions in macrofaunal recolonisation, with the presence of certain taxa affecting the abundance of others. Similar interactions have been observed on rocky shores in South Africa (Vanzyl and Robertson, 1991) and

Previous studies of patterns of recolonisation have demonstrated that variability in species recruitment at early stages of colonisation can dictate much of the subsequent dynamics in the system (e.g. Dayton, 1971; Menge, 1976; Osman, 1977; Lubchenco and Menge, 1978; Benedetti-Cecchi 2000b). In a study of the dynamics of space occupancy on a mussel-dominated shore in subtropical South America, Tokeshi and Romero (1995) demonstrated a clear trade-off between colonisation ability and competitive ability amongst many taxa, which affected community structure. For example, while the barnacle Jehlius cirratus showed much

Oregon, North America, where Farrell (1991) noted that both direct and indirect interactions between species determined community structure.

It is highly probable in the present study, that some or all of these common taxa did not colonise the mussel patches as juveniles, but migrated as adults from the surrounding mussel beds. Peterson *et al* (1986) stated, that in mussel beds, only those species which can migrate to recently opened patches will be common in the community, whether they migrate from older patches or from areas that are not dominated by mussels. Similarly, Reed *et al* (1997) pointed out the importance of

adult dispersal in the recovery of populations that have been locally disturbed and that many sessile species, which have limited dispersal potential, often rapidly colonise areas that have been recently disturbed. In the present study, many of the more common taxa, such as nemerteans, isopods, amphipods and gastropods probably recruited to the mussel patches in this manner, rather than as juveniles, since panels were placed on the shore in areas already colonised by mussels. The present study, conducted for only three months, was unable to identify any significant differences in community structure between the mussel patches of manipulated low and high density, with the same abundant taxa dominating both. Community recovery from pollution events, such as oil spills has been shown to proceed through a series of severe fluctuations of a few dominant interacting species, before diversity and equilibrium are restored (Southward, 1982). Thus, as previously suggested, it is possible that the mussel patches in the present study were at an early stage of community development and any differences in community structure due to substratum heterogeneity were masked by this factor. Therefore, had it been possible to leave the panels on the shore for a longer period of time, differences in community structure might have emerged. Analyses of the data did however, suggest that there was some link between environmental parameters and the associated infaunal community. Many studies have demonstrated the important effects of substratum heterogeneity on the structure of a variety of benthic assemblages. For example, in studies of the diversity of colonising mobile epifauna on artificial panels, Jacobi and Langevin (1996) found that the effect of the original substratum complexity seemed to be restricted to the early stages of colonisation, since after this initial period, the substratum became modified by organisms themselves. Jones and Boulding (1999) demonstrated both with the use of natural and artificial substrata, that significantly more intertidal littorinid snails recruited to topographically complex microhabitats than less complex ones. In a study of the effect of artificial macrophyte beds of varying structure on fish assemblages in southern Australia, Jenkins and Sutherland (1997) concluded that the physical structure of the habitat influenced fish assemblages beyond the simple presence or absence of structure. In addition, several workers have demonstrated the important effect of substratum heterogeneity on the communities associated with mussel beds. Suchanek (1980) concluded that the physical structure of the mussel matrix was the single most important factor in promoting biological diversity. In both Mytilus edulis and M. califomianus beds, species richness and diversity were shown to be positively correlated with age and structural complexity of the mussel matrix (Suchanek, 1979, 1985; Tsuchiya and Nishihira, 1985,1986; Lintas and Seed, 1994). Possible

mechanisms for this apparent positive effect of habitat heterogeneity on benthic community species diversity have been suggested and include; the provision of spatial refuges from predators or physical stress (Kohn and Leviten, 1976; Lubchenco and Menge, 1981) and reduction of competitive interactions, through the provision of a variety of substrata for feeding and shelter (Abele, 1974; Ricklefs, 1980). In addition, Ricklefs (1980) stated that it is probable that the heterogeneity created by the presence of many species provides further opportunity for the diversification of life, i. e. that diversity tends to breed more diversity.

In the present study, the mass of mussels, along with the mass of coarse sediment in the mussel patches were seen to be the two most important factors in structuring the community, with mussel density being a secondary, less important

factor. Several workers have documented the positive effects of coarse sediments on diversity of rocky intertidal assemblages as a result of increased habitat diversity (e. g. Hicks, 1980; Gibbons, 1988). Using artificially constructed byssal mats, Crooks (1998) concluded that the presence of physical structure alone can produce several of the patterns observed in naturally occurring mussel beds and thus the presence of the mussels themselves was not an important factor. Similarly, Tsuchiya and Nishihira (1986) demonstrated that the observed lower species richness in monolayered M. edulis patches in Japan compared with multi-layered patches, was probably due to an increase in sediments and shell fragment contained within the latter. Thus, it would appear from these studies that the substratum provided by the sediments, byssal threads and shell fragments trapped within the mussel beds is

more important than the structure provided by the mussels themselves, although

these components are themselves dependent on the presence of mussels.

Chapter 8

 \star .

 Δ

General Discussion

 $\sim 10^{-1}$

the contract of the contract of

 $\langle \bullet \rangle$.

 \bullet

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$

 $\langle \cdot \rangle$

GENERAL DISCUSSION

The preceding chapters clearly demonstrate that a wide variety of invertebrates inhabit intertidal mussel patches on rocky shores. The two mussel species in the present study; Mytilus edulis and Perumytilus purpuratus both formed similar, solid beds in the rocky intertidal zone in the British Isles and Irish Republic and Chile, respectively. Significant differences were, however, observed in the size and age structure of populations of the two mussel species (Chapter 3). M. edulis beds contained a higher overall density of mussels, with a greater number of small individuals, while P. purpuratus beds were more loosely packed and contained fewer small individuals. M. edulis had a faster growth rate than P. purpuratus, although P. purpuratus attained a greater maximum age. A total of 182 different invertebrate taxa were identified in intertidal M. edulis beds from various locations around the coasts of the British Isles and Irish Republic, while 61 different taxa were identified, to higher taxonomic levels, from the intertidal P. purpuratus beds in Chile, South America. The invertebrate communities associated with the two mussel species showed a high degree of variation over different spatial scales (Chapters 4 and 5), along with temporal variation (Chapter 6). This variation in the structure of the communities is the result both of changes in the abundance of the commoner taxa, along with more fundamental differences in the identify of taxa present. Since mussel bed communities occur in similar habitats, throughout the world, they might prove useful in monitoring studies. However, if they are to prove useful tools for monitoring the effects of anthropogenic activities in the marine environment, establishing the extent of natural variation in community structure is essential, encompassing both spatial and temporal scales.

Aggregations of mussels drastically modify the local environment through enhanced water retention, biodeposition of faecal and pseudofaecal material ('mussel mud'), and the provision of additional attachment surface and shelter by the mussels themselves features which serve to encourage species enrichment in habitats wherever mussels are present in abundance, such that mussels are effective 'ecosystem engineers' (Lawton and Jones, 1995; Seed et a/, 2000). Some of the organisms associated with intertidal mussel beds live attached to the mussel shells

(epibiota), others typically live amongst the rich sediments and shell fragments which accumulate at the base of the bed (infauna), whilst mobile organisms rove freely through the complex matrix of shells and interconnecting byssus threads (Seed, 1996). The relationship between the mussels and each of the associated species may, be negative, neutral or positive, while in general, infauna seem to be of negligible consequence to the health and well-being of the mussel beds, although competitive abilities of the individual species are difficult to quantify (Svane and Setyobudiandi, 1996). Suchanek (1980) stated that some species are attracted to mussel beds by food resources, while others utilise the space as a refuge from physically imposed stresses (such as wave action or desiccation) or biological stresses (such as predation). As predators and scavengers locate these initial colonisers and other competitors enter the system, trophic links become more complex, thus increasing community stability (MacArthur, 1955; Paine, 1966). Various authors have stated that the increased species diversity on mussel beds

produces a more complex food web, which in turn results in enhanced stability of the whole community (Brown and Seed, 1976; Dean and Hurd, 1980).

Mussel patches thus undoubtedly increase biodiversity on rocky shores, through a variety of mechanisms and several studies have described the diverse infaunal assemblages associated with intertidal mussel beds (e. g. Suchanek, 1980; Tsuchiya and Nishihira, 1985,1986; Jacobi, 1987; Tsuchiya and Bellan-Santini, 1989; Ong Che and Morton, 1992; Peake and Quinn, 1993; Lintas and Seed, 1994; Seed, 1996). The present study generally found intertidal mussel beds on rocky substrata to support a greater number of invertebrate taxa than has previously been described, with the possible exception of Mytilus califomianus on the west coast of North America (a larger bodied-mussel which forms particularly thick multi-layered beds). This might at least in part, be due to the fact that few of these previous studies have investigated spatial and temporal variability of these communities and have merely provided a spatially or temporally static description of the communities. Many interacting and complex factors are probably responsible for the observed variation in the structure of the communities associated with intertidal mussel beds in the present study. Amongst them, mussel density is probably an important structuring factor (Chapter 7). However, understanding the dynamics of communities such as those associated with mussels requires integrating the local ecological perspective and traditional niche-based , notions, such as resource partitioning, with large-scale phenomena (Myers, 1994) and evolutionary history (Hugueny et al, 1997, Karlson and Cornell, 1998, 1999). Such integration will necessarily encompass multiple spatial and temporal scales (Karlson and Cornell,
1999). Sagarin et al (1999) concluded that in studies of change in intertidal Sagarin et al (1999) concluded that in studies of change in intertidal community structure, climatic warming drove the observed range-related community shifts. The authors concluded that habitat changes anthropogenic effects, indirect biological interactions, El Nifio-Southern Oscillation (ENSO) events and upwelling are considered to be less important than climate change.

Mussel beds in the present study were observed to support generally similar overall numbers of taxa, when studied on similar temporal scales. This phenomena is reflected in the literature, in studies of communities associated with mussel beds at different locations and even between intertidal beds of different mussel species (see Seed, 1996; Seed et a/, 2000 for reviews). Seed (1996) observed that in mussel beds of similar structural complexity and size, in different parts of the world, the total number of associated species and individuals are remarkably similar. To some extent the results of this work support this statement; the macroinvertebrate

communities associated with rocky intertidal mussel patches particularly when these patches experience similar physical conditions and are of similar size and structural complexity, often exhibit a remarkable degree of conformity when compared using simple univariate statistics. Such observations suggest that when the associated communities are at, or near, equilibrium, mussel beds are occupied by taxonomically and functionally similar species. It seems therefore, that the pattern of parallel communities on rocky shores may be replicated to a certain extent, on a much finer scale within mussel patches (Seed, 1996). However, such univariate techniques, which collapse the full set of species counts into a single coefficient, tend to grossly oversimplify complex systems and reveal little about community organisation (Ricklefs and Schulter, 1993). Thus, although in many cases, the communities associated with intertidal mussel patches in the present study show little temporal or spatial variation in terms of their species richness, diversity and evenness, significant differences do emerge when multivariate techniques are applied to these same communities. The present study thus illustrates the importance of using a variety of resolutions to measure biodiversity.

Sites in the present study have assumed their distinctive character by virtue of sufficient and consistent differences in emphasis between taxa. Those taxa contributing to spatial and temporal differences when emphasis was placed on abundance, were rarely the same as those contributing to differences based on presence alone, such that diagnostic taxa may or may not be dominant. Whether such differences reflect increasingly optimal conditions for each taxa, or whether what is perceived is a spatial mosaic built up and maintained by differential fecundity

and dispersion tendencies of taxa and subject to flux is not clear. For the purposes of this summary of the data presented in the preceding chapters, it was appropriate to take a holistic approach to analysis, to search for influential subsets of taxa, whose among-sample relationships capture nearly the same multivariate pattern as the full taxa set. BVSTEP analysis performed on the data for this purpose (as described in Chapter 2) revealed that there is a great deal of structural redundancy in the communities associated with intertidal mussel beds (Table 1 and 2). This phenomenon was particularly apparent in small-scale spatial, or intra-shore variations in the structure of mussel communities, where a very small subset of taxa captured the same multivariate pattern as the full taxa set. It is commonly held that samples closer together in space should be more similar to each other than those further apart because of responses of organisms to patchy habitats or other organisms (e.g., Brown, 1984; Palmer, 1988, 1992; Carlile et al, 1989, Underwood and Chapman, 1996). In contrast, BVSTEP failed to identify any small subsets of

Đ,

 \bullet

taxa capturing the temporal variation in the communities. This reflects the fact that these data sets encompass fluctuations in presence and abundance due to reproductive cycles, in all taxa present. It is clear that temporal differences at sites do occur, as a result of reproductive patterns of the taxa present and as such, any investigations of patterns in community structure should take both seasonal and annual variation into account.

Analysis revealed a degree of consistency in the presence of certain taxa, such that intertidal M. edulis beds support a 'baseline' community characterised by a set of taxa, ubiquitous across spatial and temporal scales, namely nematodes, nemerteans, oligochaetes, cirratulid polychaetes and Fabricia stellaris, Clunio sp. larvae, mite sp. 1, the ostracods Heterocythereis albomaculata and Leptocythere pellucida, the isopods Idotea pelagica and Jaera albifrons, the amphipod Hyale nilssoni, the tanaid Tanais dulongii, the decapod Carcinus maenas, the gastropods Rissoa parva, Skenea serpuloides and Brachystomia scalaris and the bivalve Lasaea adansoni. Similar observations have been made in other marine assemblages, for example, Moore (1986) identified a set of amphipod species found in characteristic low or high abundance in kelp holdfast communities at northern British sites. Such ubiquitous taxa were often the most important in discriminating among assemblages across spatial and temporal scales. The same taxa were also important for measuring small-scale patchiness within each assemblage (i. e. between replicates on a shore). Similar results have been observed in other rocky shore habitats (e. g. Underwood and Chapman, 1998). Thus, investigations of this small subset of species, rather than the entire community structure, might prove to be a useful tool

for future monitoring of these communities. In addition, since this study has shown that intertidal mussel beds of similar structure in different geographical locations support similar overall numbers of taxa and individuals, their overall diversity at comparable taxonomic levels might be monitored to reflect the quality of the environment.

가 바르는 사르는 아이들이 아이들이 아이들이 아이들이 아이들이 아무리 아무리 아이들이 아무리 아무리 아무리 아무리 아무리

 $\overline{}$

 $\overline{}$

Mussels have been used extensively as biomonitors of environmental water quality throughout the world (e.g. Phillips, 1980; Bourgoin, 1990). Populations of intertidal mussels possess a variety of attributes, which facilitate their use for such monitoring studies (Widdows and Donkin, 1992). They have a wide geographical distribution and are commonly the dominant primary space occupiers on 'rocky shores. In addition, mussel populations are relatively stable and can be sufficiently large for repeated sampling, thus providing data on short and long-term community changes- arising from anthropogenic activity. Since mussel populations are

sedentary, their associated assemblages are therefore better than completely mobile species as integrators of anthropogenic activity in a given area. Mussels themselves are relatively tolerant of a wide - range of environmental conditions, including moderately high levels of many types of contaminants, so given that they are suspension-feeders that pump large volumes of water and concentrate many chemicals in their tissues, the measurement of contaminants in mussel tissues provides an assessment of biological availability. Additionally mussels have a low level of activity of those enzyme systems capable of metabolising organic contaminants and thus measurements of such compounds in mussel tissues accurately reflects the magnitude of environmental contamination.

With the gradual acquisition of biological data on individual species along with

communities, it becomes possible to understand patterns of distribution and to interpret community organisation. From such an understanding flows a capacity for prediction which is central to any baseline application (Lewis, 1980). Gross changes in the relative abundance of 'standard or indicator' species, or incursions by foreign species would suggest causes beyond those encompassed by such natural spatial or temporal variation, such as described here. Thus, although on initial investigation, the fauna inhabiting intertidal mussel beds might be considered intrinsically too complicated to play a useful role in surveillance and monitoring contexts, this study does suggest that a 'baseline' community occurs in relatively non-impacted mussel beds, many of which can be identified at higher taxonomic levels. In order to investigate whether this baseline community remains unaltered in mussel beds impacted from human activity, further investigations would be necessary. Thus, there appears to be a great deal of structural redundancy in the communities, such that when analyses are performed on the data, this small subset of the species data give a similar result to that of the full species analysis. Other authors have documented similar findings in a variety of marine benthic habitats (e.g. Gray et al, 1988; Warwick, 1993; Clarke and Warwick, 1998). Furthermore, many of these studies, concentrating on the effect of anthropogenic activities on the structure of these communities have highlighted the fact that clear differences in community structure can be seen at relatively high taxonomic levels, thus removing he need to identify all organisms to the species level. It is the author's opinion that some of these baseline members of the community might occur in reduced population density in such an impacted site and as indicator species would serve as a useful monitoring tool. The statement that there is always a need for experimental verification of field hypotheses (Underwood, 1986) would apply here. As biodiversity typically reflects the quality of habitats and communities, mussel patches could prove to be valuable

bioindicators of coastal and estuarine water quality. These data provide a rationale for utilising the communities associated with intertidal mussel beds in future monitoring studies, for example in investigations into the effects of anthropogenic activities on coastal areas. They highlight the need to recognise the high degree of temporal and spatial variation in the structure of these communities in such studies.

and the company of the

References

 \mathcal{F}

 $\sim 10^7$

the contract of the the contract of $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{y}$ and $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{y}$ and $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{y}$ and $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{y}$

 \bullet .

 $\langle \rangle$

 \mathcal{A}

Abele L.G. 1974. Numbers of plant, insect and land bird species on nineteen remote islands in the southern hemisphere. Biological Journal of the Linnaean Society 6: 143-152.

Admiralty Tide Tables 1999. Volume 1, United Kingdom and Ireland, including European channel ports. Great Britain Hydrographic Department.

Almada-Villela P.C., Davenport J.C. and Gruffydd L.L.D. (1982). The effects of temperature on the shell growth of young Mytilus edulis L. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 59: 275-288.

Alongi D. M. 1990. Abundance of benthic microfauna in relation to outwelling of mangrove detritus in a tropical coastal region. Marine Ecology Progress Series 63(1): 53-63.

Airoldi L. 2000. Effects of disturbance, life histories and overgrowth on coexistence of algal crusts and turfs. Ecology 81(3): 798-814.

Alvarado J.L. and Castilla J.C. 1996. Tridimensional matrices of mussels Perumytilus purpuratus on intertidal platforms with varying wave forces in central Chile. Marine Ecology Progress Series 133: 135-141.

Ambrose W. G. 1986. Experimental analysis of density dependent emigration of the amphipod Rhepoxynius abronius. Marine Behaviour and Physiology 12(3): 209-216.

Andrew N.L. and Mapstone B.D. 1987. Sampling and the description of spatial pattern in marine ecology. Oceanography and Marine Biology 25: 39-90.

Barletta M., Saint-Paul U., Barletta-Bergen A., Ekau W. and Schories D. 2000. Spatial and temporal distribution of Myrophis punctatus (Ophichthidae) and associated fish fauna in a northern Brazilian intertidal mangrove forest. Hydrobiologia 426(1-3): 65-74.

Bayne B.L. 1976 (ed.). Marine mussels: Their Ecology and Physiology. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.

Amnesti P. de 1994. importance of Perumytilus purpuratus beds as a temporal habitat for mobile macroinvertebrates that use it during their initial life stages. Degree Thesis, University of Santiago, Chile.

Archambault P. and Bourget E. 1996. Scales of coastal heterogeneity and benthic-intertidal species richness, diversity and abundance. Marine Ecology Progress Series 136: 111-
121 121.

Asmus H. 1987. Secondary production of an intertidal mussel bed community related to its storage and turnover compartments. Marine Ecology Progress Series 39: 251-266.

Baker R.A. 1982. Intertidal mites found in association with Semibalanus balanoides (L.) and Mytilus edulis (L.) at Robin Hood's Bay, Yorkshire. Naturalist 107: 29-31.

Babarro J.M.F., Fernandez-Reiriz M.J. and Labarta U. 2000. Growth of seed mussel (Mytilus galloprovincialis L.): Effects of environmental parameters and seed origin. Journal of Shellfish Research 19(1): 187-193.

Benedetti-Cecchi L. 2000a. Priority effects, taxonomic resolution, and the prediction of variable patterns of colonisation of algae in littoral rock pools. Oecologia 123(2): 265- 274.

Benedetti-Cecchi L. 2000b. Predicting direct and indirect interactions during succession in a mid-littoral rocky shore assemblage. Ecological Monographs 70(1): 45-72.

Benedefti-Cecchi L. and Cinelli F. 1996. Patterns of disturbance and recovery in littoral rock pools: nonhierarchical competition and spatial variability in secondary succession. Marine Ecology Progress Series 135(1-3): 145-161.

Berlow E.L. 1997. From canalization to contingency: historical effects in a successional rocky intertidal community. Ecological Monographs 67(4): 435-460.

Bergeron P. and Bourget E. (1986). Sessile epibenthos in an ice-disturbed environment. Marine Ecology Progress Series 28: 129-145.

Berlow E.L. and Navarette S.A. 1997. Spatial and temporal variation in rocky intertidal community organization: lessons from repeating experiments. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 214(1-2): 195-229.

Best B.A. 1978. The effects of suspension feeding by the bivalve Mercenaria mercenaria, on community structure. MSc. Thesis, University of Florida, pp38.

Beukema J.J. 1974. Seasonal changes in the biomass of the macro-benthos of a tidal flat area in the Dutch Wadden Sea. Netherlands Journal of Sea Research 8(1): 94-107.

Beukema J.J., Flasch E.C., Dekker R. and Starink M. 1999. A long-term study of the recovery of the macrozoobenthos on large defaunated plots on a tidal flat in the Wadden Sea. Netherlands Journal of Sea Research 42(3): 235-254.

Blackburn T.M. and Gaston K.J. 1996. Spatial patterns in the species richness of birds in the New-World. Ecography 19(4): 369-376.

Boesch D.F. 1973. Classification and community structure of macrobenthos in the Hampton
Roads area, Virginnia. Marine Biology 21: 226-244.

Bourget E., DeGruise J and Daigle G. 1994. Scales of substratum heterogeneity, structural complexity, and the early establishment of a marine epibenthic community. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 181: 31

Bourgoin B.P. 1990. Mytilus edulis shell as a bioindicator of lead pollution: considerations on bioavailability and variability. Marine Ecology Progress Series 61: 253-262.

Bowers M.A. and Brown J.H. 1982. Body size and coexistence in desert rodents - chance or community structure. Ecology 63(2): 391-400.

Briggs R.P. 1982. The community structure and growth of Mytilus edulis in Loch Foyle.
Proceedings of the Royal Irish Academy 82: 245-259.

Brown B.J. 1983. Marine benthic communities of the Sound of Jura. Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh B 83: 185-194.

Brown R.A. and Seed R. 1976. Modiolus modiolus (L.) - an autoecological study. In: Keegan B.F., O'Ceidigh, P. and Boaden, P.J.S. (eds.) Biology of Benthic Organisms - 11th
European Symposium on Marine Biology. Pergamon P

Buchanan J.B., Sheader M. and Kingston P.F. 1978. Sources of variability in the benthic macrofauna off the south Northumberland coast, 1971-1976. Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the U. K. 58: 191-210.

Buss L.W. 1979. Habitat selection, directional growth and spatial refuges: why colonial animals have more hiding places. In: Keegan, B.F., O'Ceidigh P. and Boaden P.J.S. (eds.) *Biology of Benthic Organisms – 11''' European Symposium on Marine Biology*,
Pergamonn Press, Oxford, pp459-497.

267

Caffey H.M. 1985. Spatial and temporal variation in settlement and recruitment of intertidal barnacles. Ecological Monographs 55(3): 313-332.

- Caley M.J., Carr M.H., Hixon M.A., Hughes T.P, Jones G.P. and Menge B.A. 1996. Recruitment and the local dynamics of open marine populations. Annual Review of Ecological Systems 27: 477-500.
	- Camus P.A. and Andrade Y.N. 1999. Diversity of rocky intertidal communities in northern Chile and the potential effect of coastal upwelling. Revista Chilena de Historia Natural 72(3): 389-410.

Castilla J.C., Guisado Ch. And Cancino J. 1979. Aspectos ecologicos y conductuales relacionados con la alimentacion de Concholepas concholepas (Mollusca: Gastropoda:

Chapman M.G. and Underwood A.J. 1994. Dispersal of the intertidal snail, Nodolittorina pyramidalis, in response to topographic complexity of the substratum. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 179: 145-169.

Chapman M.G. and Underwood A.J. 1999. Ecological patterns in multivariate assemblages:
information and interpretation of negative values in ANOSIM tests. Marine Ecology Progress Series 180: 257-265.

Chester A.J., Ferguson R.L. and Thayer G.W. 1983. Environmental gradients and benthic macroinvertebrates of the Chilean coast: Contribution for marine conservation. Bulletin of Marine Science 33(2): 282-295.

Muricidae). Biologia Pesquedos 12: 99-114.

Clarke K.R. 1993. Non-parametric multivariate analyses of changes in community structure. Australian Journal of Ecology 18: 117-143.

Clarke K.R. 1999. Nonmetric multivariate analysis in community-level ecotoxicology.
Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry 18: 118-127.

Clarke K.R. and Ainsworth M. 1993. A method of linking multivariate community structure to environmental variables. Marine Ecology Progress Series 92: 205-219.

Clarke K.R. and Warwick R.M. 1998. Quantifying structural redundancy in ecological communities. Oecologia 113: 278-289.

Cognetti G. and Maltagliati F. 2000. Biodiversity and adaptive mechanisms in brackish water fauna. Marine Pollution Bulletin 40(1): 7-14.

Commito J.A. 1987. Adult-larval interactions: predictions, mussels and cocoons. Estuarine and Coastal Shelf Science 25: 599-606.

Commito J.A. and Boncavage E.M. 1989. Suspension-feeders and coexisting infauna - an — an
Noov enhancement counter-example. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology
125(1): 33-42.

Connell J.H. 1978. Diversity in tropical rain forests and coral reefs. Science 199: 1302-1309.

Connell J.H. and Keough M.J. 1985. Disturbance and patch dynamics of subtidal marine animals on hard substrata. In: Pickett S.T.A. and White P.S. (eds.) Natural Disturbance: the patch dynamic perspective. Academic Press, N

Colman J. 1940. On the faunas inhabiting intertidal seaweeds. Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the U. K. 24: 129-183.

Commito J.A. 1982. Importance of predation by infaunal polychaetes in controlling the structure of a soft bottom community in Maine, USA. Marine Biology 68(1): 77-81.

Crisp D.J. 1979. Dispersal and reaggregation in sessile marine invertebrates, particularly barnacles. In: Larwood, G. and Rosen B.R. (eds.) Biology and Systematics of Colonial Organisms. Academic Press, New York, pp459-497.

المحمد المسافر المستقل المستقل

Crooks J.A. 1998. Habitat alteration and community-level effects of an exotic mussel, Musculista senhousia. Marine Ecology Progress Series 162: 137-152.

Crooks J. A. and Khim H. S. 1999. Architectural vs. biological effects of a habitat-altering, exotic mussel, Musculista senhousia. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 240(1): 53-75.

Crowe T.P. and Underwood A.J. 1999. Differences in dispersal of an intertidal gastropod in two habitats: the need for and design of repeated experimental transplantation. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 237(1): 31-60.

Davis J.L.D. 2000. Changes in a tidepool fish assemblage on two scales of environmental variation: seasonal and El Niño Southern Oscillation. Limnology and Oceanography 45(6): 1368-1379.

Dayton P.K. 1971. Competition, disturbance, and community organisation: the provision and subsequent utilisation of space in a rocky intertidal community. Ecological Monographs 41: 351-389.

Dayton P.K., Tegner M.J., Edwards P.B. and Riser K.L. 1999. Temporal and spatial scales of kelp demography: the role of oceanographic climate. Ecological Monographs 69(2): 219-250.

Davenport J., Davenport J. and Davies G. 1984. A preliminary assessment of growth rates of mussels from the Falkland Islands (Mytilus chilensis HupJ and Aulacomya ater (Molina)). Journal of Conservation 41: 158.

- Dean T.A. 1981. Structural aspects of sessile invertebrates as organising forces in an estuarine fouling community. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 53: 163-180.
- Dean T.A. and Hurd L.E. 1980. Development in an estuarine fouling community: the influence of early colonists on later arrivals. Oecologia 45: 295-301.
- DelValls T. A., Conradi M., GarciaAdiego E., Forja J. M. and GomezParra A. 1998. Analysis of macrobenthic community structure in relation to different environmental sources of contamination in two littoral ecosystems from the Gulf of Cadiz (SW Spain). Hydrobiologia 385: 59-70.
- Dittman S. 1987. Die Bedeutung der Biodeposite fhr die Benthosgemeinschaft der Wattsedimente Unter besonderer Berhcksichtigung der Miesmuscel Mytilus edulis L. Ph.D. Thesis, Univ. G'ttingen.
- Dittman S. 1990. Mussel beds amensalism or amelioration for intertidal fauna?
HelgelanderMeeresuptersuchungen 44: 335-352 HeIgol@nder Meeresuntersuchungen 44: 335-352.

Dittman S. 1995. Benthos structure on tropical tidal flats of Australia. Helgolander Meeresuntersuchungen 49(1-4). 539-551.

Dix T.G. and Ferguson A. 1984. Cycles of reproduction and condition in Tasmanian blue mussels, Mytilus edulis plantulus. Australian Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research 35(3): 307-313.

 $\sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{$

Downes B.J., Lake P.S. and Schreiber E.S.G. and Glaister A. 2000. Habitat structure, resources and diversity: the separate effects of surface roughness and macroalgae on stream invertebrates. Oecologia 123(4): 569-581.

- Dye A.H. 1991. Feed preferences of Nucella crassilabrum and juvenile Concholepas concholepas (Gastropoda, Muricidae) from a rocky shore in southern Chile. Journal of Molluscan Studies-57: 301-307.
- Eagle R.A. 1974. Benthic studies around the North Wirral long sea sewage outfall. PhD Thesis, University College of North Wales, Bangor.

Eberhardt L.L. and Thomas J.M. 1991. Designing environmental field studies. Ecological Monographs $61(1)$: 53-73.

Ehrlich P.R. and Wilson E.O. (1991). Biodiversity studies - science and policy. Science 253: 758-762.

Elliot J.M. 1977. Some methods for the statistical analysis of samples of benthic invertebrates. Freshwater Biological Association, Publication No. 25,160pp.

Fairweather P.G. 1988. Predation creates haloes of bare space among prey on rocky shores in New South Wales. Australian Journal of Ecology 13: 401-409.

- Farrell T.M. 1991. Models and mechanisms of succession an example from a rocky intertidal community. Ecological Monographs 61(1): 95-113.
- Field J.G., Clarke K.R. and Warwick R.M. 1982. A practical strategy for analysing multispecies distribution patterns. Marine Ecology Progress Series 8: 37-52.

Fischer A.G. 1960. Latitudinal variation in organic diversity. Evolution 14: 64-81.

Emson R. H. and Faller-Fritsch R. J. 1976. An experimental investigation into the effect of crevice availability on abundance and size-structure in a population of Littorina rudis (Maton): Gastropoda: Prosobranchia. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 23: 285-297.

Estacio F.J., Garcia-Adiego E.M, Carballo J.L., Sanchez-Moyano J.E., Izquierdo J.J. and Garcia-Gomez J.C. 1999. Interpreting temporal disturbance in an estuarine benthic community under combined anthropogenic and climatic effects. Journal of Coastal Research 15(1): 155-167.

- Flint R.W and Kalke R.D. 1986. Niche characterization of dominant estuarine benthic species. Estuarine and Coastal Shelf Science 22(6): 657-674.
- Fong P. and Glynn P.W. 2000. A regional model to predict coral population dynamics in response to El Niho-Southern Oscillation. Ecological Applications 10(3): 842-854.
- Ford M.A., Cahoon D.R. and Lynch J.C. 1999. Restoring marsh elevation in a rapidly subsiding salt marsh by thin-layer deposition of dredged material. Ecology in England 12(3-4): 189-205.

Foster M.S. 1990. Organization of macroalgal assemblages in the Northeast Pacific: the assumption of homogeneity and the illusion of generality. Hydrobiologia, 192: 21-34.

Gaines S. and Roughgarden J. 1985. Larval settlement rate - a leading determinant of structure in an ecological community of the marine intertidal zone. Proceedings of the National Academy of Science USA 82 (11): 3707-3711.

Gaston K.J. 1996. Biodiversity: a biology of numbers and difference. Blackwell Science, Oxford.

- Gaylord B. 1999. Detailing agents of physical disturbance: wave-induces velocities and accelerations on a rocky shore. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 239(l): 85-124.
- Gee J.M. and Warwick R.M. 1994. Metazoan community structure in relation to the fractal dimensions of marine macroalgae. Marine Ecology Progress Series 103(1-2): 141- 150.
- Gee J.M. and Warwick R.M. 1996. A study of global biodiversity patterns in the marine motile fauna of hard substrata. Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the U.K. 76(1): 177-184.

Geesteranus M.R.A. 1942. On the formation of banks by Mytilus edulis L. Arch. Nuerland. Zool. 6: 283-326.

Gibbons M.J. 1988. The impact of sediment accumulations, relative habitat complexity and elevation on rocky shore meiofauna. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 122: 225-241.

Goldberg, E.D. 1986. The mussel watch concept. Environmental Monitoring and Assessment 7: 91-103.

Goodall D.W. 1952. Quantitative aspects of plant distribution. Biological Reviews 27: 194-245.

Gosling, E.M. (ed.) 1992. The Mussel Mytilus: Ecology, Physiology, Genetics and Culture. Elsevier Scientific Press, Amsterdam.

Gray J.S., Aschan M, Carr M.R., Clarke K.R., Green R.H., Pearson T.H., Rosenberg R and Warwick R.M. 1988. Analysis of community attributes of the benthic macrofauna of Frierfjord/Langesundfjord and in mesocosm experiment. Marine Ecology Progress Series 66: 285-299.

Gray A.P., Seed R. and Richardson C.A. 1997. Reproduction and growth of Mytilus edulis chilensis from the Falkland Islands. Sciencia Marina 61: 39-48.

Green R.H. 1979. Sampling design and statistical methods for environmental biologists. John Wiley and Sons, New York.

Gomez-Gutierrez J, Palomares-Garcia R. and Gendron D. 1995. Community structure of the euphausiid populations along the west-coast of Baja-California, Mexico, during the weak ENSO 1986-1987. Marine Ecology Progress Series 120(1-3): 41-51.

Hacker S.D. and Gaines S.D. 1987. Some implications of direct positive interactions for community species diversity. Ecology 78(7): 1990-2003.

Haderlie E.C. 1971. Marine fouling and boring organisms at 100 feet depth in open water of Monteray Bay, California. Veliger 13: 249-260.

Greig-Smith P. 1952. The use of random and contiguous quadrats in the study of the structure of plant communities. Annals of Botany 16(62): 293-319.

Guisado Ch. And Cancino J.C. 1983. Aspects of the ecology and growth of an intertidal juvenile population of *Concholepas concholepas* (Mollusca: Gastropoda: Muricidae) at Las Cruces, Chile. Marine Biology 78: 99-103.

Gutt J. 2000. Some "driving forces" structuring communities of the sublifforal Antarctic macrobenthos. Antarctic Science 12(3): 297-313.

Hagermann L. 1966. The macro- and microfauna associated with *Fucus serratus* L., with some ecological remarks. Ophelia 3: 1-43.

Haines J.L. and Maurer D. 1980. Quantitative faunal associates of the serpulid polychaete Hydroides dianthus. Marine Biology 56: 43-47.

Hairston N.G. 1989. Hard choices in ecological experimentation. Herpetologica 45(1): 119-122.

Harner R.F. and Harper K.T. 1976. The role of area, heterogeneity and favourability in plant species diversity of pinyon-juniper ecosystems. Ecology 57: 1254-1263.

Hawkins S.J. and Hartnoll R.G. 1980. A study of the small-scale relationship between

Hayward P.J. and Ryland J.S. 1995. Handbook of the Marine Fauna of North-West Europe. Oxford University Press, Oxford.

Hernandez-Trujillo S. 1999. Variability in community structure of copepoda related to El Niño 1982-83 and 1987-88 along the west coast of Baja California Peninsula, Mexico. Fisheries Oceanography 8(4): 284-295.

Hessler, R.R., Smithey, W.M., Boudrias, M.A., Keller, C.H., Lutz, R.A. and Childress, J.J.
1988. Temporal changes in megafauna at the Rose Garden hydrothermal vent Temporal changes in megafauna at the Rose Garden hydrothermal vent (Galapagos Rift; eastern tropical Pacific). Deep Sea Research 35: 1681-1709.

 \bullet

species number and area on a rocky shore. Estuarine and Coastal Marine Science 10: 201-214.

Heywood V.H. and Watson R.T. 1995. Global biodiversity assessment. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.

Hickman, R.W. 4992. Mussel Cultivation. In: Gosling E.M. (ed.) The mussel Mytilus: ecology, physiology, genetics and culture,. Elsevier Press, Amsterdam, pp465-510.

Hicks G.R.F. 1980. Structure of phytal harpacticoid copepod assemblages and the influence of habitat complexity and turbidity. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 44: 15-192.

Hixon M.A. and Beets J.P. 1993. Predation, prey refuges, and the structure of coral-reef fish assemblages. Ecological Monographs 63(1): 77-101.

Hughes D.J. and Jackson J.B.C. 1992. Distribution and abundance of cheliostome bryozoans on the Caribbean coral reefs of Central Panama. Bulletin of Marine Science 51(3): 443-465.

Hughes T.P., Baird A.H., Dinsdale E.A., Moltschaniwskyj N.A., Pratchett M.S., Tanner J.E. and Willis B.L. 2000. Supply-side ecology works both ways: the links between benthic adults, fecundity, and larval recruits. Ecology 81 (8): 2241-2249.

- Hugueny B., deMorais L.T. and Merigoux S. 1997. The relationship between local and regional species richness: comparing biotas with evolutionary histories. Oikos 80(3): 583-587.
- Hull S. L. 1997. Seasonal changes in diversity and abundance of ostracods on four species of intertidal algae with differing structural complexity. Marine Ecology Progress Series 161: 71-82.

Hopner T. and Wonneberger K. 1985. Examination of the connection between the patchiness of benthic nutrient efflux and epiphytobenthos patchiness on intertidal flats. Netherlands Journal of Sea Research 19(3-4): 277-285.

 \blacktriangleleft

Hunter J. and Arthur D.R. 1978. Some aspects of the ecology of Peloscolex benedeni Udekem (Oligochaeta: Tubificidae) in the Thames estuary. Estuarine and Coastal Marine Science 6: 197-208.

Hurlbert S.H. 1984. Pseudo-replication and the design of ecological field experiments. Ecological Monographs 54(2): 187-211.

Incze L.S., Lutz R.A. and Watling L. 1980. Relationships between effects of environmental temperature and seston on growth and mortality of Mytilus edulis in a temperate northern estuary. Marine Biology 57: 147-156.

Inger R.F. and Voris H.K. 1993. A comparison of amphibian communities through time and from place to place in Bornean forests. Journal of Tropical Ecology 9(4): 409-433.

Iwasaki K. 1995. Comparison of mussel bed community between two intertidal mytilids Septifer virgatus and Hormomya mutabilis. Marine Biology 123: 109-119.

Jahnke, L.L., Summons, R.E., Dowling, L.M. and Zahiralis, K.D. 1995. Identification of methanotrophic lipid biomarkers in cold-seep mussel gills: chemical and isotopic analysis. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 61: 576-582.

Jarvis S.C. and Seed R. 1996. The meiofauna of Ascophyllum nodosum (L.) Le Jolis: characterization of the assemblages associated with two common epiphytes. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 199: 249-267.

Jenkins G.P. and Sutherland C.R. 1997. The influence of habitat structure on nearshore fish assemblages in a southern Australian embayment: colonisation and turnover rate of fishes associated with artificial macrophyte beds of varying physical structure. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 218(1): 103-125.

Jacobi C. M. 1987a. Spatial and temporal distribution of Arnphipoda associated with mussel beds from the Bay of Santos (Brazil). Marine Ecology Progress Series 3S: 51-58.

Jacobi C. M. 1987b. The invertebrate fauna associated with intertidal beds of the brown mussel Perna perna (L.) from Santos, (Brazil). Studies on the Neotropical Fauna and Environment 22: 57-72.

Johnson S.E. 1975. Microclimate and energy flow in the marine rocky intertidal. In: Gates D.M and Schmerl R.B. (eds.) Perspectives of Biophysical Ecology. Springer Verlag, Berlin, pp559-587.

Jones K.M.M. and Boulding E.G. 1999. State-dependent habitat selection by an intertidal snail: the costs of selecting a physically stressful habitat. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 242(2): 149-177.

Jacobi C. M. and Langevin R. 1996. Using artificial substrata: effects on arrival and settlement of mobile epifauna. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 206(1-2): 39- 54.

Kaehler S. and Williams G.A. 1998. Early development of algal assemblages under different regimes of physical and biotic factors on a seasonal tropical rocky shore. Marine

Kanter R.G. 1980. Biogeographic patterns in mussel community distribution from the southern California Bight. In: Power D.M. (ed.) The California Islands, Proceedings of a Multidisciplinary Symposium. Santa Barbara Museum of Natural History, Santa Barbara, California, pp341-355.

Karlson R.H. and Cornell H.V. 1998. Scale-dependent variation in local vs. regional effects on coral species richness. Ecological Monographs 68(2): 259-274.

Ecology Progress Series 172: 61-71.

 \mathbb{R}^n .

Karlson R.H. and Cornell H.V. 1999. Integration of local and regional perspectives on the species richness of coral assemblages. American Zoologist 39(1): 104-112.

Kautsky N. 1982. Quantitative studies on the gonad cycle, fecundity, reproductive output and recruitment in a Baltic Mytilus edulis population. Marine Biology 68: 143-160.

Kennelly S.J. and Underwood A.J 1992. Fluctuations in the distributions and abundance of species in sublittoral kelp forests in New South Wales. Australian Journal of Ecology 17: 367-382.

Kensler C.B. and Crisp D.J. 1965. The colonization of artificial crevices by marine invertebrates. Journal of Animal Ecology 34: 507-516.

Kawahara T. 1965. Studies on the marine fouling communities. Ill. Seasonal changes in the initial development of test block communities. Report of the Faculty of Fisheries, Prefect University Mie 5: 319-364.

Keough M.J. and Butler 1983. Temporal changes in species number in an assemblage of sessile marine invertebrates. Journal of Biogeography 10(4): 317-330.

Kim J.H. and DeWreede R.E. 1996. Effects of size and season of disturbance on algal patch recovery in a rocky intertidal community. Marine Ecology Progress Series 133(1-3): 217-228.

Kiorboe T. 1979. The distribution of benthic invertebrates in Holaek Fjord (Denmark) in relation to environmental factors. Ophelia 18(1): 61-81.

Kitching J.A. and Ebling F.J. 1967. Ecological studies at Lough Ine. Advances in Ecological Research 4: 198-291.

Kito K. 1982. Phytal marine nematode assemblage on Sargassum muticum Agardh. With reference to the structure and seasonal fluctuations. Journal of the Faculty of Science Hokkaido University, Series VI (Zoology) 23: 143-161.

Kohn A.J. 1967. Environmental complexity and species diversity in the gastropod genus Conus on Indo-West Pacific reef platforms. American Naturalist 101: 251-259.

Kohn A.J. and Leviten P.J. (1976). Effect of habitat complexity on population density and species richness in tropical intertidal predatory gastropod assemblages. Oecologia 25: 199-210.

Kruskal J.B. and Wish M. 1978. Multidimensional Scaling. Sage Publications, Beverly Hills, California.

Kuenen D.J. 1942. On the distribution of mussels on the intertidal sand flats near Den Helder. Arch. Netherland. Zool. 6: 117-130.

Lambshead P.J.D., Tietjen J., Ferrero T. and Jensen P. 2000. Latitudinal diversity in the deep sea with special reference to North Atlantic nematodes. Marine Ecology Progress Series 194: 159-167.

Lance G.N. and Williams W.T. 1967. A general theory of classificatory sorting strategies: 1 Hierarchical Systems. Computational Journal 9: 373-380.

Lancellotti D.A. and Vasquez J.A. 2000. Zoogeography of benthic macro-invertebrates of the
Chilean coast: Contribution for marine conservation. Revista Chilena de Historia Natural 73(3): 565.

Lawton, J.H. and Jones, C.G. 1995. Linking species and ecosystems: organisms as ecosystem engineers. In: Lawton, J.H. and Jones, C.G (eds.) Linking species and ecosystems. Chapman and Hall, New York pp141-150.

Levin, S.A. 1992. The problem of pattern and scale in ecology. Ecology 73(6): 1943-1967.

Levin S.A. and Paine R.T. (1974). Disturbance, patch formation, and community_structure. Proceedings of the Natural Academy of Science USA 71(7): 2744-2747.

Levinton J.S. and Stewart S. 1982. Marine succession – the effects of two deposit feeding
Constrance concies, on the population growth of *Paranais litoralis* Muller, 1784 gastropod species on the population growth of Paranais litoralis Muller 1784 (Oligochaeta). Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 59(2-3): 231-241.

Lewis J.R. 1964. The Ecology of Rocky Shores. English Universities Press, London.

Lewis J.R. 1980. Options and problems in environmental management and evaluation. Helgolander Meeresunters 33: 452-466.

Lintas C. and Seed R. (1994). Spatial variation in the fauna associated with Mytilus edulis on a wave-exposed rocky shore. Journal of Molluscan Studies 60: 165-174.

Loo L.O. and Rosenberg R. 1983. Mytilus edulis culture, growth and production in western Sweden. Aquaculture 35: 137-150.

Longino J.T. 1989. Geographic variation and community structure in an ant plant mutualism $-$ Azteca and Cecropia in Costa Rica. Biotropica 21(2): 126-132.

Lubchenco J. and Menge B.A. 1978. Community development and persistence in a low rocky intertidal zone, Ecological Monographs 48.67-94.

Lubchenco J., Menge B.A., Garrity S.D., Lubchenco P.J., Ashkenas L.R., Gaines S.D., Emlet R., Lucas J. and Strauss S. 1984. Structure, persistence, and role of consumers in a tropical intertidal community (Taboguilla Island, Bay of Panama). Journal of

Lubchenco J., Olson A.M., Brubaker L.B., Carpenter S.R., Holland M.M., Hubbel S.B., Levin S.A., Macmahon J.A., Matson P.A., Melillo J.M., Mooney H.A., Peterson C.H., Pulliam H.R., Real L.A., Regal P.J. and Risser P.G. 1991. The sustainable biosphere initiative - an ecological research agenda. Revista Chilena de Historia Natural 64(l): 175-226.

Lutz R.A. 1976. Annual growth layers in the shell of *Mytilus edulis*. Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the U. K. 56: 723-731.

MacArthur R.H. 1955. Fluctuations of animal populations and a measure of community stability. Ecology 36: 533-536.

MacArthur R.H. 1972. Geographical Ecology: Patterns in the Distribution of Species. Harper and Row, New York.

MacArthur R.H. and Wilson E.O. 1967. The Theory of Island Biogeography. Princetown University Press, U.S.A..

Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 78: 23-73.

Macpherson E. 1991. Biogeography and community structure of the decapod crustacean fauna off Namibia (southeast Atlantic). Journal of Crustacean Biology 11 (3): 401-415. '

Mannino A. and Montagna P.A. 1997. Small-scale spatial variation of macrobenthic community structure. Estuaries 20(1): 159-173.

Mason J. 1969. Mussel raft trials succeed in Scotland. World Fishing 18: 22-24.

Mason J. 1972. The cultivation of the European mussel, Mytilus edulis Linnaeus. Oceanography and Marine Biology Annual Review 10: 437-460.

Maurer D.W, Leathem and Kinner P. 1979. Seasonal changes in feeding types of estuarine benthic invertebrates from Delaware Bay. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 36: 125-155.

McIvor C.C. and Smith T.J. 1995. Differences in the crab fauna of mangrove areas at a southwest Florida and a northeast Australia location – implications for leaf litter
processing Estuaries.18(4): 591-597 processing. Estuaries 18(4): 591-597.

McGuinness K.A. 1988. Explaining patterns in abundance of organisms on boulders: the failure of 'natural experiments'. Marine Ecology Progress Series 48: 199-204.

McGuiness K.A. 1990. Physical variability, diversity gradients and the ecology of temperate and tropical reefs. Australian Journal of Ecology 15: 465-476.

McGuiness K.A. and Underwood A.J. 1986. Habitat structure and the nature of the communities on intertidal boulders. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 104: 97-123.

McQuaid C.D. and Branch G.M. 1985. Trophic structure of rocky intertidal communities $$ response to wave action and implications for energy flow. Marine Ecology Progress Series 22(2): 153-161.

McQuaid C.D. and Lindsay T.L. 2000. Effect of wave exposure on growth and mortality rates of the mussel *Perna perna*: bottom-up regulation of intertidal populations. Marine Ecology Progress Series 206: 147-154.

Menge B.A. 1976. Organization of the New England rocky intertidal community: role of predation, competition and environmental heterogeneity. Ecological Monographs 46: 355-393.

Menge B.A. 1978. Predation intensity in a rocky intertidal community. Relation between predator foraging activity and environmental harshness. Oecologia 34: 17-35.

- Menge B.A. 1983. Components of predation intensity in the low zone of the New England rocky intertidal region. Oecologia 58: 141-155.
- Menge B.A. 1991a. Generalizing from experiments is predation strong or weak in the New
England rocky intertidal. Oecologia 88(1): 1-8 England rocky intertidal. Oecologia 88(1): 1-8.
- Menge B.A. 1991b. Relative importance of recruitment and other causes of variation in rocky
intertidal community structure. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 146 (1): 69-100.
- Menge B.A., Lubchenco J. and Ashkenas L.R. 1985. Diversity, heterogeneity and consumer pressure in a tropical rocky intertidal community. Oecologia 65: 394-405.
- Menge B.A. and Sutherland J.P. 1976. Species diversity gradients: synthesis of the roles of predation, competition and spatial heterogeneity. American Naturalist 110: 351-369.

Meyer D.L. and Townsend E.C. 2000. Faunal utilization of created intertidal eastern oyster (Crassostrea virginica) reefs in the southeastern United States. Estuaries 23(1): 34-45.

Mileikovsky S.A. 1974. On predation of pelagic larvae and early juveniles of marine bottom invertebrates by adult benthic invertebrates and their passing alive through their predators. Marine Biology 26: 303-311.

Miller A.W. and Ambrose R.F. 2000. Sampling patchy distributions: comparison of sampling designs in rocky intertidal habitats. Marine Ecology Progress Series 196: 1-14.

Minchinton T.E. 1997. Life on the edge: conspecific attraction and recruitment of populations to disturbed habitats. Oecologia 111 (1): 45-52.

Moore P.G. 1986. Levels of heterogeneity and the amphipod fauna of kelp holdfasts. In: Moore P.G. and Seed R. (eds.) The ecology of rocky coasts. Columbia University Press, New York, pp274-290.

Moreno C.A., Lunecke K.M. and Lupez M.I. 1986. The response of an intertidal gastropod Concholepas concholepas (Gastropoda) population to protection from Man in southern Chile and the effects on benthic sessile assemblages. Oikos 46: 359-364.

Morris D.W. 1990. Temporal variation, habitat selection and community structure. Oikos 59(3): 303-312.

Morrisey D.J., Howitt L., Underwood A.J. and Startk J.S. 1992. Spatial variation in softsediment benthos. Marine Ecology Progress Series 81: 197-204.

Morton B. 1992. The evolution and success of the heteromyarian form in the Mytiloida. In: Gosling E.M. (ed.) The mussel Mytilus: ecology, physiology, genetics and culture. Elsevier Press, Amsterdam, pp21-52.

Murdoch T.J.T. and Aronson R.B. 1999. Scale dependent spatial variability of coral assemblages along the Florida Reef Tract. Coral Reefs 18(4): 341-351.

Myers N. 1994. Protected areas – protected from a greater what. Biodiversity and Conservation 3(5): 411-418.

Navarette S.A. and Castilla J.C. 1988. Foraging activities of Chilean intertidal crabs Acanthocyclus gayi Milne-Edwards et Lucas and A. hassleri Rathbun. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 118: 115-136.

Newcombe C.L. 1935. A study of the community relationships of the sea mussel, Mytilus edulis L. Ecology 16: 234-243.

Nickell L.A. and Sayer M.D.J. 1998. Occurrence and activity of mobile macrofauna on a
sublittoral reef: Diel and seasonal variation. Journal of the Marine Biological sublittoral reef: Diel and seasonal variation. Association of the U.K. 78(4): 1061-1082.

Nixon S.W., Oviatt C.A., Rogers C. and Taylor K. 1971. Mass and metabolism of a mussel bed. Oecologia 8: 21-31.

Norton B. 1986. Commodity, amenity, and morality: the limits of quantification in valuing
biodiversity. In: Wilson, E. O. (ed.) *Biodiversity*. National Academic Press, - Press, Washington, pp200-205.

Oliver J.S. 1984. Selection for asexual reproduction in an Antarctic polychaete worm. Marine Ecology Progress Series 19: 33-38.

Olsgard F. 1999. Effects of copper contamination on recolonisation of subtidal marine soft sediments - an experimental field study. Marine Pollution Bulletin 38(6): 448-462.

NOAA (2000). National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration website: www. noaa. gov.

Okamura B. 1986. Group living and the effects of spatial position in aggregations of Mytilus

edulis. Oecologia 69: 341-347.

Ong Che R.G. and Morton B. 1992. Structure and seasonal variations in abundance of the macroinvertebrate community associated with Septifer virgatus (Bivalvia: Mytilidae) at Cape d'Aguilar, Hong Kong. Asian Marine Biology 9: 217-233.

Osman R.W. 1977. The establishment and development of a marine epifaunal community. Ecological Monographs 47: 37-63.

Osman R.W. 1978. The influence of seasonality and stability on the species equilibrium.
Ecology 59(2): 383-399.

Page H.M. and Ricard Y.O. 1990. Food availability as a limiting factor to mussel Mytilus edulis growth in California coastal waters. Fisheries Bulletin 88: 677-686.

 \overline{a} Paine R.T. 1966. Food web complexity and species diversity. American Naturalist 100: 65-
Tr

Paine R.T. 1971. A short-term experimental investigation of resource partitioning in a New Zealand rocky intertidal habitat. Ecology 52: 1096-1106.

Paine R.T. 1974. Intertidal community structure: experimental studies on the relationship between a dominant competitor and its principal predator. Oecologia 15: 93-120.

Paine R.T. 1977. Controlled manipulations in the marine intertidal zone and their contributions to ecological theory. In: Goulden C.E. (ed.) The Changing Scenes in Natural Sciences, 1776-1976. National Academy of Science, USA, Special Publication No. 12, pp245-270.

Paine R.T. 1979. Disaster, catastrophe and local persistence of the sea palm Postelsia palmaeformis. Science 205: 685-687.

75.

 $\mathbf{1}$.

Paine R.T., Castilla J.C. and Cancino J. 1985. Perturbation and recovery patterns of starfish dominated intertidal assemblages in Chile, New Zealand and Washington State. American Naturalist 125: 679-691.

Paine R.T. and Levin S.A. 1981. Intertidal landscapes: disturbance and the dynamics of pattern. Ecological Monographs 51: 145-178.

Palmer M.W. 1988. Fractal geometry $-$ a tool for describing spatial patterns of plant communities. Vegetatio 75(1-2): 91-102.

Palmer M.W. 1992. The coexistence of species in fractal landscapes. American Naturalist 139(2): 375-397.

Parry G.D. 1982. Reproductive effort in four species of intertidal limpets. Marine Biology 67(3): 267-282.

Peake J. and Quinn G.P. 1993. Temporal variation in species-area curves for invertebrates in clumps of an intertidal mussel. Ecography 16: 269-277.

Pechenik J.A. 1979. Role of encapsulation in invertebrate life histories. American Naturalist 114: 859-870.

Persson L.E. 1983. Temporal and spatial variation in coastal macrobenthic community structure, Hano Bay (Southern Baltic). Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 68(3): 277-293.

Petersen J.A., Sutherland J.P. and Ortega S. 1986. Patch dynamics of mussel beds near
Sao Sebastiao (Sao Paulo), Brazil. Marine Biology 93(3): 389-393.

Petraitis P.S. 1990. Direct and indirect effects of predation, herbivory and surface rugosity on mussel recruitment. Oecologia 83: 405-413.

Pianka E.R. 1966. Latitudinal gradients in species diversity: a review of concepts. J. American Naturalist 100: 33-46.

Pike R.B. 1971. Report on mussel farming and mussel biology for the fishing industry board. New Zealand Fisheries Industry Board Technical Report 71: 1-7.

Philander S.G.H. 1990. El Niño, La Niña and the Southern Oscillation. Academic Press, San Diego, 289pp.

Phillips D.J.H. (ed.) 1980. Quantitative Aquatic Biological Indicators: Their Use to Monitor Trace Metal and Organochlorine Pollution. Applied Science Publishers, London.

Pimm S.L. 1994. Biodiversity and the Balance of Nature. In: Schulze E.D. and Mooney H.A (eds.) Biodiversity and Ecosystem Function. Springer-Verlag, New York, pp347-359.

- Polis G.A., Hurd S.D. and Jackson C.T. and Pinero F.S. 1997. El Niño effects on the dynamics and control of an island ecosystem in the Gulf of California. Ecology 78(6): 1884-1897.
- Price A.R.G., Keeling M.J. and O'Callaghan C.J. 1999. Ocean-scale patterns of 'biodiversity' of Atlantic asteroids determined from taxonomic distinctness and other measures. Biological Journal of the Linnaean Society 66(2): 187-203.
- Pringle J. D. 1984. Efficiency estimates for various quadrat sizes used in benthic sampling. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquaculture Science 41: 1485-1489.
- Pugh P. J. A. 1996. Using artificial substrata to monitor how cryptofaunal Acari colonize littoral algae on subantarctic South Georgia. Acarologia 37(3): 189-200.

Pusey B.J. and Kennard M.J. 1996. Species richness and geographical variation in

Radziejewska T. 1986. On the role of Mytilus edulis aggregations in enhancing meiofauna communities off the southern Baltic coast. Ophelia 4: 211-218.

Raffaelli D.G. and Hughes R.N. 1978. The effects of crevice size and availability on populations of Littorina neritoides. Journal Animal Ecology 47: 71-83.

Raimondi P.T. 1990. Patterns, mechanisms, consequences of variability in settlement and recruitment of an intertidal barnacle. Ecological Monographs 60(3): 283-309.

Reed D.C., Anderson T.W., Ebeling A.W. and Anghera M. 1997. The role of reproductive synchrony in the colonization potential of kelp. Ecology 78(8): 2443-2457.

Renaud P.E., Syster D.A. and Ambrose W.G. 1999. Recruitment patterns of continental shelf benthos off North Carolina, USA: effects of sediment enrichment and impact on community structure. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 237(1): 89-106.

Ricklefs R.E. 1993. The Economy of Nature: A Textbook in Basic Ecology. W.H. Freeman, New York.

assemblage structure of the freshwater fish fauna of the Wet Tropics region of northern Queensland. Marine and Freshwater Ecology 47(3): 563-573.

Reise K., Herre E. and Sturm M. 1994. Biomass and abundance of macrofauna in intertidal sediments of Konigshafen in the northern Wadden Sea. Helgolander Meeresun 48(2- 3): 69-100.

Richardson C.A. 1989. An analysis of the growth bands in the shell of the common mussel Mytilus edulis. Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the U.K. 69: 477-491.

Richardson C. A. 1993. Bivalve shells: chronometers of environmental change. In Morton B. (ed.) The marine biology of the South China Sea. Proceedings of the First International Conference on the Marine Biology of Hong Kong and the South China Sea. Hong King University Press, Hong Kong, pp419-434.

Richardson C.A., Seed R. and Naylor E. 1990. Use of internal growth bands for measuring individual and population growth rates in Mytilus edulis from offshore production platforms. Marine Ecology Progress Series 66: 259-265.

Richardson C.A., Seed R., Brotohadikusumo, N.A. and Owen R. 1995. Age, growth and allometric relationships in Septifer virgatus (Bivalvia: Mytilidae). Asian Marine Biology 12: 39-52.

Ricklefs R.E. 1980. Ecology. Thomas Nelson and Sons Ltd., Middlesex, pp966.

Ricklefs R.E. and Schulter D. 1993. Species Diversity: an introduction to the problem. University of Chicago Press, Chicago.

Rodhouse P.G., Roden C.M., Burnell G.M., Hensey M.P., McMahon T., Ottway B. and Ryan T.H. 1984. Food resource, gametogenesis and growth of Mytilus edulis on the shore and in suspended culture: Killary Harbour, Ireland. Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the U.K., 64: 513-529.

Rotenberry J.T. and Wiens J.A. 1985. Statistical power analysis and community-wide patterns. American Naturalist 125(1): 164-168.

Sagarin R.D., Barry J.P., Gilman S.E. and Baxter C.H. 1999. Climate-related change in intertidal community over short and long time scales. Ecological Monographs 69(4): 465-490.

Sale P.F. 1978. Coexistence of coral reef fishes - a lottery for living space. Environmental Biology and Fisheries 3: 85-102.

Sanders H.L. 1968. Marine benthic diversity: A comparative study. American Naturalist 102: 243-282.

 \bullet

Sanders H.L. 1969. Benthic marine diversity and the stability-time hypothesis. Brookhaven Symposium of Biology 22: 71-81.

Scheltema R.S. 1974. Biological interactions determining larval settlement of marine invertebrates. Thalassia Jugoslavia 10: 263-296.

Schoener A. and Schoener T.W. 1981. The dynamics of the species-area relation in marine fouling systems. 1. Biological correlates of changes in the species-area slope. American Naturalist 118: 339-360.

Seed R. 1968. Factors influencing shell shape in the mussel Mytilus edulis. Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the U. K. 48: 561-584.

Seed R. 1969a. The ecology of Mytilus edulis L. (Lamellibranchiata) on exposed rocky shores 1. Growth and mortality. Oecologia 3: 317-350.

Seed R. 1969b. The ecology of Mytilus edulis L. (Lamellibranchiata) on exposed rocky shores 1. Breeding and settlement. Oecologia 3: 277-316.

Roughgarden J. Iwasa Y. and Baxter C. 1985. Demographic-theory for an open marine \bullet population with space-limited recruitment. Ecology 66: 54-67.

- Seed H. 1976. <u>Ecology</u>. In: Bayne, B. L. (ed.) *Marine Mussels: their ecology and physiology.*
Combides University Dreas, Combides ant& SE rs
. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, ppl3-65.
- Seed, R. 1983. Structural organisation, adaptive radiation and classification of molluscs. In: Hockachka, P. W. (ed.) The Mollusca 1. Metabolic Biochemistry and Molecular Biomechanics. Academic Press, pp1-54.
- Seed R. 1996. Patterns of biodiversity in the macro- invertebrate fauna associated with mussel patches on rocky shores. Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the U.K. 76: 203-210.
- Seed R. and Brotohadikusumo N.A. (1994). Spatial variation in the molluscan fauna associated with Septifer virgatus (Bivalvia: Mytilidae) at Cape d'Aguilar, Hong Kong. In:

Morton, B. (ed.) Proceedings of the Third International Workshop on the Malacofauna of Hong Kong and Southern China. Hong Kong Univ. Press, Hong Kong.

Seed R. and Richardson C.A. 1990. Mytilus growth and its environmental responsiveness.
In: Stefano G.B. (ed.) The Neurobiology of Mytilus edulis. Manchester University Press, Manchester, ppl-37.

- Seed R., Richardson C.A. and Smith K. 2000. Marine mussels, their evolutionary success, ecological significance and use as chronometers of environmental change. In: Harper E.M., Taylor J.D. and Crame J.A. (eds.) The Evolutionary Biology of the Bivalvia. Geological Society, London, Special Publications 177: 465-478.
- Seed R. and Suchanek T.H. 1992. Population and community ecology of Mytilus. In: Gosling E.M. (ed.) The mussel Mytilus: ecology, physiology, genetics and culture. Elsevier Press, Amsterdam, pp87-169.

Simon and Dauer 1977. Reestablishment of a benthic community following natural defaunation. In Coull B.C. (ed.) Ecology of Marine Benthos. University of South Carolina Press, pp139-154.

Smith F.E. 1972. Spatial heterogeneity, stability, and diversity in ecosystems. Transactions of the Academy of Arts and Science 44: 307-336.

Sousa W.P. 1984. Intertidal mosaics. patch size, propagule availability, and spatially variable patterns of succession. Ecology 65: 1918-1935.

Stefano, G.B. (ed.) 1990. The Neurobiology of Mytilus edulis. Manchester University Press, Manchester.

Stehli F.G. 1968. Taxonomic gradients in pole location: the recent model. In: Drake E.T. (ed.) Evolution and Environment. Yale University Press, New Haven.

Stehli F.G., McAlester A.L. and Helsley C.E. 1967. Taxonomic diversity of recent bivalves and some implications for geology. Geological Society of America Bulletin 78: 455- 466.

Stephenson T.A. and Stephenson A. 1972. Life Between Tidemarks on Rocky Shores. W.H. Freeman and Co., San Francisco.

Shelford V. E. 1930. Geographic extension and succession in Pacific North America intertidal (Balanus) communities. Publication of the Puget Sound Biological Station 7: 217-223.

Shumway S.E. 1989. A serious threat to shellfish aquaculture. World Aquaculture 20: 65-74.

Stoner A.W. 1985. Penicillus capitatus: an algal island for macrocrustaceans. Marine Ecology Progress Series 26: 279-287.

Southward A.J. 1982. An ecologists view of the implications of the observed physiological and biochemical effects of petroleum compounds on marine organisms and ecosystems. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of Biology 297: 241-255.

Suchanek T.H. 1978. The ecology of *Mytilus edulis* L. in exposed rocky intertidal communities. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 31: 105-120.

Suchanek T.H. 1979. The *Mytilus californianus* community: studies on the composition, structure, organisation and dynamics of a mussel bed. Ph.D. Thesis, University of Washington, U.S.A.

Suchanek T.H. 1980. Diversity in artificial and natural mussel bed communities of Mytilus califomianus. American Zoologist 20: 807.

Suchanek T.H. 1981. The role of disturbance in the evolution of life history strategies in the intertidal mussels Mytilus edulis and Mytilus califomianus. Oecologia 50: 143-152.

Suchanek T.H. 1985. Mussels and their role in structuring rocky shore communities. In: Moore, P.G. and Seed R. (eds.) The Ecology of Rocky Coasts. Hodder and Stoughton, Sevenoaks, pp70-96.

Sukhotin A.A. and Kulakowski E.E. 1992. Growth and population dynamics in mussels (Mytilus edulis L.) cultured in the White Sea. Aquaculture 101: 59-73.

Sukhotin A.A.; Kulakowski E.E. and Maximovich N.V. 1992. Linear growth of White-Sea mussels with a change in habitat conditions. Soviet Journal of Ecology 23(5): 332-338 Maximovich N.V.

Sukhotin A.A. and Maximovich N.V. 1994. Variability of growth rate in Mytilus edulis L. from

Sutherland J.P. 1990. Recruitment regulates demographic variation in a tropical intertidal barnacle. Ecology 71(3): 955-972.

Sutherland J.P. and Karlson R.H. 1977. Development and stability of the fouling community at Beaufort, North Carolina. Ecological Monographs 47: 425-446.

Sutherland J.P. and Ortega S. 1986. Competition conditional on recruitment and temporary escape from predators on a tropical rocky shore. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 95(2): 155-166.

Svane 1. and Setyobudiandi 1.1996. Diversity of associated fauna in beds of the blue mussel Mytilus edulis L.: Effects of location, patch size, and position within a patch. Ophelia

Tegner M.J., Dayton P.K., Edwards P.B and Riser K.L. 1997. Large-scale, low frequency oceanographic effects on kelp forest succession: a tale of two cohorts. Marine Ecology Progress Series 146(1-3): 117-134.

Theisen B.F. 1968. Growth and mortality of culture mussels in the Danish Wadden Sea. Meddr. Danm. Fisk.og Havunders, N.S. 6(3): 47-78.

the Chupa Inlet (the White Sea). Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 176: 15-26.

Svane 1. and Ompi M. 1993. Patch dynamics in beds of the blue mussel Mytilus edulis L.: Effects of site, patch size, and position within a patch. Ophelia 37: 187-202.

45(l): 39-53.

Thompson R.C., Wilson B.J., Tobin M.L., Hill A.S. and Hawkins S.J. 1996. Biologically generated habitat provision of rocky shore organisms at a hierarchy of spatial scales. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 202: 73-84.

- Thorson G. 1957. Bottom communities (sublittoral or shallow shelf). In: Hedgpeth J.W. (ed.) Treatise on Marine Ecology and Paleoecology Vol. I. Ecological and Geographical Society of America Memorandum 67: 461-534.
- Thrush S.F., Pridmore R.D., Hewitt J.E. and Cummings V.J. 1992. Adult infauna as facilitators of colonization on intertidal sandflats. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 159(2): 253-265.
- Tokeshi M. 1995. Polychaete abundance and dispersal patterns in mussel beds: a non-trivial 'infaunal' assemblage on a Pacific South American rocky shore. Marine Ecology

Tomalin B.J. 1995. Growth and mortality rates of brown mussels Perna perna (Linnaeus) in Kwazulu-Natal: A comparison between sites and methods using non-parametrio lengthbased analysis. South African Journal of Marine Science 16: 241-254.

Tsuchiya M. 1983. Mass mortality in a population of the mussel Mytilus edulis L. caused by high temperature on rocky shores. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology66: 101-111.

Tsuchiya M. and Bellan-Santini D. 1989. Vertical distribution of shallow rocky shore organisms and community structure of mussel beds (Mytilus galloprovincialis) along the coast of Marseille, France. Mesogee 49: 91-110.

Progress Series 125: 137-147.

The basis for the company of the contraction of the contract of the company of the com

Tokeshi M. and Romero L. 1995. Filling a gap: dynamics of space occupancy on a mussel dominated subtropical rocky shore. Marine Ecology Progress Series 119: 167-176.

Turner C.H., Ebert E.E. and Given R.R. 1969. Man-made reef ecology. Fisheries Bulletin 146: 1-221.

Underwood A.J. 1976. Food competition between age-classes in the intertidal neitacean Nerita atramentosa Reeve (Gastropoda: Prosobranchia). Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 23: 145-154.

Underwood A.J. 1979. The ecology of intertidal gastropods. Advances in Marine Biology 16: 111-210.

Underwood A.J. 1986. The analysis of competition by field experiments. In: Kikkawa J. and
Anderson D.J. (eds.) Community Ecology: Pattern and Process. Blackwells, Anderson D.J. (eds.) Community Ecology: Pattern and Process.

Underwood A.J. 1991a. The logic of ecological experiments – a case-history from studies of the distribution of macroalgae on rocky intertidal shores. Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the U. K. 71(4): 841-866.

Tsuchiya M. and Nishihira M. 1985. Islands of Mytilus edulis as a habitat for small intertidal animals: effect of island size on community structure. Marine Ecology Progress Series 25: 71-81.

Tsuchiya M. and Nishihira M. 1986. Islands of Mytilus edulis as a habitat for small intertidal animals: effect of age structure on the species composition of the associated fauna and community organisation. Marine Ecology Progress Series 31: 171-178.

Melbourne, pp 240-268.

Underwood A. J. 1992. Beyond BACI: the detection of environmental impact on populations in the real, but variable, world. Journal Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 161: 145-178.

Underwood A. J. and Anderson M. J. 1994. Seasonal and temporal aspects of recruitment and succession in an intertidal estuarine fouling assemblage. Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the U. K. 74(3): 563-584.

 $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{A}}$

Underwood A.J. and Chapman M.G. 1996. Scales of spatial patterns of distribution of intertidal snails. Oecologia 107: 212-224.

Underwood A.J. and Chapman M.G. 1998. Spatial analyses of intertidal assemblages on sheltered rocky shores. Australian Journal of Ecology 23: 138-157.

Underwood A.J. and Denley E.J. 1984. Paradigms, explanations and generalizations in models for the structure of intertidal communities on rocky shores. In: Strong D.R., Simberloff D., Abele L.G. and Thistle A. (eds.) Ecological Communities: Conceptual Issues and the Evidence. Princeton University Press, New Jersey, pp151-180.

Underwood A.J. and Fairweather 1989. Supply-side ecology and benthic marine assemblages. Trends in Ecology and Evolution 4: 16-19.

Underwood A.J. and Jernakoff P. 1984. The effects of tidal height, wave-exposure, seasonality and rock-pools on grazing and the distribution of intertidal macroalgae in New South Wales. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 75(1): 71-96.

Van Dover, C.L. and Trask, J.L. 2000. Diversity at deep-sea hydrothermal vent and intertidal mussel beds. Marine Ecology Progress Series 195: 169-178.

Vanzyl P and Roberston B.L. 1991. Seasonal and species-environmental succession on an eastern Cape rocky shore. South African Journal of Marine Science 87(7): 327-330.

United Nations Environment Programme 1992. Convention on biological diversity, June 1992. United Nations Environment Programme, Nairobi.

Warwick R.M. and Clarke K.R. 1993. Comparing the severity of disturbance: a meta-analysis of marine macrobenthic community data. Marine Ecology Progress Series 92: 221-231.

Watanabe J.M 1984. The influence of recruitment, competition, and benthic predation on spatial distributions of three species of kelp forest gastropods (Trochidae, Tegula). Ecology 65(3): 920-936.

Weigert R.G. 1962. The selection of an optimum quadrat size for sampling the standing crop of grasses and forbs. Ecology 43: 125-129.

Ursin E. 1960. A quantitative investigation of the echinoderm fauna of the central North Sea. Meddelelsen fra Danmarks Fiskeri- og Havundersrlgelser 2(24), 204pp.

- Verwey J. 1952. On the ecology and distribution of cockle and mussel in the Dutch Wadden Sea, their role in sedimentation and the source of their food supply. Archs. Neerl. Zool. 10: 171-239.
- Vezina A.F. 1988. Sampling variance and the design of quantitative surveys of the marine benthos. Marine Biology 97: 151-155.
- Wallace S. 1980. Spawning patterns in populations of cultured and wild mussels (Mytilus edulis L.) at Bantry Bay, south-west Ireland. Ph.D. Thesis, National University of Ireland, Cork.
- Warwick R.M. 1993. Environmental impact studies on marine communities: pragmatical considerations. Australian Journal of Ecology 18: 63-80.

الا المسافر المستقل المسافر المسافر المسافر المسافر المستقل المستقل المستقل المستقل المستقل المستقل المستقل ال
والمستقل المستقل المست

Weinberg S. 1978. The minimal area problem in invertebrate communities of Mediterranean rocky substrata. Marine Biology 49: 33-40.

Whiteside M.C. and Harmsworth R.V. 1967. Species Diversity in chydorid (Cladocera) communities. Ecology48: 664-667.

Wiegart R.G. 1962. The selection of an optimum quadrat size for sampling the standing crop of grasses and forbs. Ecology 43: 125-129.

- Willis T.J. and Roberts C.D. 1996. Recolonisation and recruitment of fishes to intertidal rockpools at Wellington, New Zealand. Environmental Biology and Fisheries 47(4): 329-343.
- Wilson J.H. 1988. Distribution of oyster Ostrea edulis, mussel Mytilus edulis and anomiid larvae in Bertraghboy Bay, Co. Galway. Irish Fisheries Investigations Series B (Mar.) 31: 1-11.
- Wilson E.O. 1992. The Diversity of Life. The Penguin Press, London.
- Witman J.D. 1980. Community structure of subtidal Modiolus modiolus (L.) beds. American Zoologist 20(4): 807.
- Woodin S.A. 1976. The importance of structural heterogeneity in a marine infaunal system.
In: Costlow J.D. (ed.) The Ecology of Fouling Communities. Duke University Marine Laboratory, Beaufort, pp207-208.
- World Resources Institute 1993. Biodiversity prospecting: using genetic resources for sustainable development. World Resources Institute, New York, pp341.

Widdows, J. and Donkin, P. 1992. Mussels and environmental contaminants: bioaccumulation and physiological aspects. In: Gosling, E. M. (ed.) The mussel Mytilus: ecology, physiology, genetics and culture. Elsevier Press, Amsterdam, pp383- 424.

Appendix 1: Pearson's correlation coefficients (r), calculated between the abundance's of the118 taxa (number in italics) present in the mussel beds at Point Lynas and White Beach, during the study of small-scale spatial variation in community structure (see Chapter 4). The number code for taxa is given in the table following. Significant correlation's are marked in bold; * indicates one individual present only in samples.

 $\boldsymbol{8}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ 2 3 4 5 2 -0.03
2 0.04 3 0.062 -0.017 4 0.039 -0.050 0.029

the contract of the contract of the contract of

 $\sim 3\%$.

 $\sim 3-1$

 \mathbf{u}

 $\sigma_{\rm{eff}}$

 $\sigma_{\rm{max}}=0.01$

 \sim $-$

 $\overline{}$

 $\langle\bullet\rangle$

 $\sim 10^{-11}$

 $\Delta \mathbf{E}$ and \mathbf{E}

 $\label{eq:Ricci} \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{A} \\ \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{A} & \$

 \mathbf{v}

 \mathbf{H}^{\prime}

しょうしょう しゅうしゅ サーバー アクセス・プログラム

 $\Delta \phi = -\Delta \phi$, where $\phi = -\Delta \phi$

 \mathbf{r}

 \blacksquare

 $\Delta \vec{r}$

 $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$

 \mathcal{A}

 $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{A}}$

 Δ

 $\sim 10^{-1}$

 $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbf{X})$. The $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbf{X})$

,一个人都是一个人的人,他们的人都是一个人的人,他们的人都是一个人的人,他们的人都是一个人的人,他们的人都是一个人的人,他们的人都是一个人的人,他们的人都是一个
第159章 我们的人,他们的人都是一个人的人,他们的人都是一个人的人,他们的人都是一个人的人,他们的人都是一个人的人,他们的人都是一个人的人,他们的人都是一个人

 ϵ

 \mathbf{r}

 $\langle \mathbf{v}_\perp \rangle$

 \sim $-$

 $\frac{1}{2}$.

 \star .

Report Follows

 \sim \sim

 $\ddot{\cdot}$

Ç

 \sim

 $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{S}^n}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{S}^n}$

 \mathbf{I}

 $\langle \sigma \rangle$

 $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{F})$ and $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{F})$

 \mathcal{A}^{max} and \mathcal{A}^{max}

 $\ddot{}$

the contract of the contract of

 \bullet

 \mathbf{R}

 \leftarrow

 \bullet

Contract Contract

 $\sim 10^{-11}$

 \sim \sim $-$

 \mathcal{L}_{max} and \mathcal{L}_{max} . The \mathcal{L}_{max}

 \bullet

 $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$

 \bullet

 ϵ .

 \bullet

 \mathcal{A} .

 ~ 0.1

 \mathcal{A}

 $\sim 10^{-1}$

이 사이에 대한 사이에 대한 사이에 대한 사이를 하는 것이 아니다. 이 사이에 대한 사이

 $\omega_{\rm{max}}=0.0000$

 $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbf{q})$. $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbf{q})$

Contract Contract

. . . .

293

 \bullet .

 ~ 0.5

 \mathcal{A}

Allen

 \mathbf{A}

 \rightarrow

 $\sim 10^{-11}$

 $\sim 10^{-11}$

 $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{F})$. The set of $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{F})$

 $\label{eq:1} \mathbf{w}^{(i)} = \mathbf{w}^{(i)} \mathbf{w}^{(i)}$ and $\mathbf{w}^{(i)}$

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$

 \mathcal{A}

 \sim

 \sim

 Δ

 $\ddot{}$

 $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{A})$ and $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{A})$ and $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{A})$

 $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{O}(\log n))$

 $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{u}} = \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{u}} \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{u}} + \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{u}} \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{u$

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$

 \bullet .

 \mathcal{L}_max and the state of the stat

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{2}{\sigma^2} \left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2} \right)^2 \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2} \right)^2$

295

 $\mathcal{N}_{\mathrm{eff}}$

 $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{A}}$.

 ~ 0.4

 $\sim 10^{11}$ km s $^{-1}$

 \mathcal{A}

 \bullet

Contract Contract

 $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}}$

1940년 - 대한민국의 대한민국의
대한민국의 대한민국의 대한

 $\ddot{}$

 $\mathcal{L}_{\rm{eff}}$

 $\begin{array}{cc} \mathcal{A} & \mathcal{A} \\ \mathcal{A} & \mathcal{A} \end{array}$

 $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}}$

	57	58	59	60	61	62	63	64
72	0.033	-0.015	-0.015	-0.019	-0.049	-0.077	-0.015	-0.021
73	-0.261	0.002	-0.082	0.067	-0.096	0.059	-0.005	0.266
74	-0.053	-0.017	-0.016	-0.021	-0.037	-0.086	-0.016	-0.032
75	0.721	-0.030	-0.027	-0.065	-0.211	-0.170	-0.030	-0.055
		-0.026	-0.025	-0.032	-0.108	-0.045	-0.025	-0.008
76	0.423							
77	-0.030	0.070	-0.052	-0.027	-0.039	0.060	-0.052	-0.072
78	0.092	-0.033	-0.032	-0.040	0.016	0.042	-0.032	-0.049
79	\star	\bigstar			\bigstar	₩		\bigstar
80	0.719	0.007	-0.030	-0.039	-0.149	-0.065	-0.030	-0.024
81	-0.038	-0.012	-0.011	-0.015	-0.006	-0.061	-0.011	0.001
82	0.173	-0.018	-0.077	-0.091	-0.143	0.053	-0.035	-0.063
83	0.602	-0.064	-0.092	-0.077	-0.276	-0.111	-0.054	-0.053
			-0.014	-0.018				
84	0.382	-0.015			-0.071	-0.074	-0.014	-0.027
85	-0.112	-0.033	-0.038	-0.048	-0.103	-0.129	-0.038	-0.054
86	-0.038	-0.012	-0.011	-0.015	0.152	0.026	-0.011	-0.022
87	-0.077	-0.024	-0.023	-0.030	0.129	0.009	-0.023	-0.046
88	0.008	-0.017	-0.016	-0.021	-0.074	0.022	-0.016	-0.007
89	-0.041	-0.046	-0.008	-0.056	0.019	0.145	-0.044	-0.044
90	-0.038	-0.012	-0.011	-0.015	-0.059	-0.039	-0.011	-0.011
91	-0.034	-0.012	-0.011	-0.015	-0.059	0.091	-0.011	0.012
92	0.148	-0.031	-0.030	-0.038	-0.089	-0.059	-0.030	-0.032
93	0.343	-0.034	-0.033	-0.042	-0.151	-0.120	-0.033	-0.049
94	0.011	-0.012	-0.011					
				-0.015	-0.032	0.069	-0.011	0.001
95	-0.098	-0.032	-0.031	0.359	-0.120	-0.100	-0.031	-0.053
96	-0.038	-0.012	-0.011	-0.015	-0.059	0.069	-0.011	-0.022
97	-0.053	-0.017	-0.016	-0.021	-0.065	-0.025	-0.016	-0.032
98	-0.038	-0.012	-0.011	-0.015	-0.059	-0.039	-0.011	-0.022
99	-0.033	0.397	-0.019	-0.024	-0.064	-0.046	-0.019	-0.018
100	-0.051	-0.017	-0.016	-0.021	-0.046	0.114	-0.016	-0.015
101	-0.104	0.010	0.354	0.016	0.331	0.226	0.013	-0.068
102	-0.011	0.161	-0.053	0.269	-0.138	0.005	-0.053	-0.019
103	-0.125	-0.046	0.325	0.011	0.088	-0.042	-0.044	-0.072
104	0.015	0.058	-0.041	-0.052	-0.123	-0.109	-0.041	-0.049
105	-0.050	-0.016	-0.015	-0.020	-0.055	-0.032		
106	0.069	-0.100	-0.056	-0.057			-0.015	-0.030
					-0.065	0.176	-0.095	-0.032
107	-0.001	-0.003	-0.076	0.164	-0.180	-0.147	0.068	-0.037
108	-0.020	-0.012	-0.011	-0.015	-0.006	-0.061	-0.011	-0.011
109	0.299	0.317	-0.029	-0.022	-0.104	-0.138	-0.033	-0.056
110	-0.038	-0.012	-0.011	-0.015	-0.046	0.091	-0.011	-0.022
111	-0.038	-0.012	-0.011	-0.015	0.086	0.113	-0.011	-0.022
112	-0.053	0.956	-0.016	-0.020	0.083	0.091	-0.016	-0.029
113	-0.038	-0.012	-0.011	-0.015	-0.046	-0.061	-0.011	-0.022
114	-0.038	-0.012	-0.011	-0.015	0.086	0.113	-0.011	-0.022
115	-0.038	-0.012	-0.011	-0.015	-0.046	-0.017	-0.011	-0.022
116	-0.148	-0.060	0.078	-0.044	0.076	0.247	-0.057	-0.029
117	-0.067	-0.058	0.054	-0.048	-0.012	0.209	-0.056	-0.005
	65	66	67	68				
66					69	70	71	72
	-0.026							$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$, $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$
67	-0.011	0.034						
68	-0.011	-0.026	-0.011					
69	-0.011	-0.026	-0.011	-0.011				
70	-0.036	-0.034	-0.036	-0.036	-0.036			
71	-0.011	0.152	-0.011	-0.011	-0.011	-0.036		
72	-0.015	-0.032	-0.015	-0.015	-0.015	-0.046	-0.015	
73	0.072	0.160	0.034	-0.082	-0.043	0.328	-0.043	-0.104
74	0.703	-0.036						
			-0.016	-0.016	-0.016	-0.051	-0.016	-0.021
75	-0.014	-0.125	-0.049	-0.060	0.020	-0.093	-0.061	0.054
76	-0.025	-0.056	-0.025	-0.025	-0.025	-0.079	-0.025	-0.032
77	0.196	-0.058	-0.052	-0.052	0.072	0.096	-0.052	-0.066
78	-0.032	-0.071	-0.032	-0.032	-0.032	-0.100	-0.032	0.493
79	Service Controller Service							

297

 \mathcal{A}_{∞}

 \sim

 \mathbb{Z}^n

 \sim \sim \sim \sim

 \cdot $-$

.

 \sim $-$

100mm - Carolina - Horner State - Carolina -

 α , α , α , α , α , α , α

 $-$

 $\sigma_{\rm{max}}$ and $\sigma_{\rm{max}}$

 $\sim 10^{-11}$

 $\sim 10^{\circ}$

 \bullet

 $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{$

 $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{r}$, where $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{r}$, where $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{r}$, where $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{r}$

 $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ $\frac{4}{\beta}$

 $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{A}}$

 $\sim 10^{11}$

 \mathcal{L}_{max} , \mathcal{L}_{max}

 α , λ

- White anemone indet.
- 2 Sagartia ornata
- 3 Podocoryne carnea
4 Turbellaria indet.
- 4 Turbellaria indet.
- 5 Polycladida indet.
6 Nemertea indet.
- Nemertea indet.
- 7 Nematoda indet.
8 Lagisca extenual
- Lagisca extenuata
- 9 Lepidontus clava
- 10 Lepidontus squamata
- 11 Harmothoe impar
12 Harmothoe spp.
- 12 Harmothoe spp.
13 Pholoe inornata
- Pholoe inornata
- 31 Eulalia viridis 32 Polydora ciliata
33 Scolelepis folios 33 Scolelepis foliosa Scolelepis
squamata 35 Brania pusilla
36 Odontosyllis Odontosyllis
ctenostoma Cienosionia
Odenteenille 37 Odontosyllis gibba 38 Pionosyllis divaricata 39 Sphaerosyllis bulbosa 40 Typosyllis prolifera 41 Fabricia stellaris 42 Fabriciola berkeleyi
43 Oriopsis armandi Oriopsis armandi 44 Pomatoceros triqueter 45 Paralaeospira malardi 46 Oligochaeta indet. 47 Heterocythereis albomaculata 48 Ostracod sp. 2 49 Ostracod sp. 3
50 Ostracod sp. 4 50 Ostracod sp. 4
51 Copepoda inde Copepoda indet. 52 Juvenile barnacles indet. 53 Barnacle nauplii 54 Balanus balanus
55 Semibalanus , 55 Semibalanus balanoides marinus 67 Gammarus locusta 72 Cancer pagurus
73 Carcinus maena 74 Pinnotheres pisum 75 Mite sp. 1 76 Mite sp. 2 77 Mite sp. 3 78 Mite sp. 5 79 Mite sp. 6
80 Anurida m 80 Anurida maritima Petrobius maritimu 82 Dipteran pupae
indet.
83 Chironomid larv Chironomid larvae indet. 84 Ant
85 Juve 85 Juvenile gastropod
indet.
86 Tectura tessulata

14 Capitella Capitata 15 Chaetozone setosa 16 Cirratulus cirratus 17 Cirratulus filiformis 18 Cirriformia tentaculata 19 Tharyx marioni
20 Driloneris filum 20 Driloneris filum **Marphysa** sanguinea 22 Hesionidae indet. 23 Kefersteinia cirrata 24 Nereimya punctata 25 MaIdanidae indet.

> 56 Elminius modestus 57 Tanais dulongii Idotea baltica

27 Ophelia bicornis
28 Orbiniidae indet. Orbiniidae indet.

29 Scoloplos armiger
30 Eteone picta Eteone picta

- 92 Littorina mariae
93 Littorina rudis 93 Littorina rudis
94 Cingula trifasc Cingula trifasciata 95 Onoba semicostata 96 Alvania semistriata 97 Rissoa parva Barleeia unifasciata
- 99 Coriandria fulgida
- 100 Skeneopsis
planorbis planoruis
Dieceolla
- 101 Hissoella opalina
300 Milandin Japillus
- 102 Nucella lapillus
103 Brachystomis
	- **Brachystomis** scalaris

116 Benthic foraminifera indet. \mathbb{R}^2

59 Idotea granulosa 60 Idotea neglecta

Tectura tessulata

61 Idotea pelagica

- 62 Jaera albifrons
63 Stenothoe spp.
- 64 Hyale prevostii
- 65 Hyale pontica **Chaetogammarus**
-
- Sunamphitoe
pelagica
- 69 Caprella linearis
- 70 Crab larvae indet.
- 71 Hyas araneus
	- Carcinus maenas

87 Patella vulgata 88 Patella

ulyssiponensis

89 Skenea serpuloides 90 Lacuna vincta

91 Littorina obtusata

117 Foraminifera indet.