

**Bangor University**

## **DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY**

### **Transformation of peptides and amino acids in soil and plants**

Magthab, Ebtsam

*Award date:*  
2014

*Awarding institution:*  
Bangor University

[Link to publication](#)

#### **General rights**

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal ?

#### **Take down policy**

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

# Transformation of peptides and amino acids in soil and plants



A thesis submitted to Bangor University by

Ebtsam Abaas Magthab

In candidature for the degree

Philosophiae Doctor

Supervisors: D.L. Jones, P. Roberts, D.V. Murphy (Univ Western Australia)

School of Environment, Natural Resources and Geography

Bangor University

Bangor Gwynedd

LL57 2UW

UK

## **Declaration and Consent**

### **Details of the Work**

I hereby agree to deposit the following item in the digital repository maintained by Bangor University and/or in any other repository authorized for use by Bangor University.

**Author Name:** .....

**Title:** .....

**Supervisor/Department:** .....

**Funding body (if any):** .....

**Qualification/Degree obtained:** .....

This item is a product of my own research endeavours and is covered by the agreement below in which the item is referred to as “the Work”. It is identical in content to that deposited in the Library, subject to point 4 below.

### **Non-exclusive Rights**

Rights granted to the digital repository through this agreement are entirely non-exclusive. I am free to publish the Work in its present version or future versions elsewhere.

I agree that Bangor University may electronically store, copy or translate the Work to any approved medium or format for the purpose of future preservation and accessibility. Bangor University is not under any obligation to reproduce or display the Work in the same formats or resolutions in which it was originally deposited.

### **Bangor University Digital Repository**

I understand that work deposited in the digital repository will be accessible to a wide variety of people and institutions, including automated agents and search engines via the World Wide Web.

I understand that once the Work is deposited, the item and its metadata may be incorporated into public access catalogues or services, national databases of electronic theses and dissertations such as the British Library’s EThOS or any service provided by the National Library of Wales.

I understand that the Work may be made available via the National Library of Wales Online Electronic Theses Service under the declared terms and conditions of use (<http://www.llgc.org.uk/index.php?id=4676>). I agree that as part of this service the National Library of Wales may electronically store, copy or convert the Work to any approved medium or format for the purpose of future preservation and accessibility. The National Library of Wales is not under any obligation to reproduce or display the Work in the same formats or resolutions in which it was originally deposited.

**Statement 1:**

This work has not previously been accepted in substance for any degree and is not being concurrently submitted in candidature for any degree unless as agreed by the University for approved dual awards.

Signed ..... (candidate)

Date .....

**Statement 2:**

This thesis is the result of my own investigations, except where otherwise stated. Where correction services have been used, the extent and nature of the correction is clearly marked in a footnote(s).

All other sources are acknowledged by footnotes and/or a bibliography.

Signed ..... (candidate)

Date .....

**Statement 3:**

I hereby give consent for my thesis, if accepted, to be available for photocopying, for inter-library loan and for electronic storage (subject to any constraints as defined in statement 4), and for the title and summary to be made available to outside organisations.

Signed ..... (candidate)

Date .....

**NB:** Candidates on whose behalf a bar on access has been approved by the Academic Registry should use the following version of **Statement 3:**

**Statement 4:**

Choose **one** of the following options

a) I agree to deposit an electronic copy of my thesis (the Work) in the Bangor University (BU) Institutional Digital Repository, the British Library ETHOS system, and/or in any other repository authorized for use by Bangor University and where necessary have gained the required permissions for the use of third party material.	
b) I agree to deposit an electronic copy of my thesis (the Work) in the Bangor University (BU) Institutional Digital Repository, the British Library ETHOS system, and/or in any other repository authorized for use by Bangor University when the approved <b>bar on access</b> has been lifted.	
c) I agree to submit my thesis (the Work) electronically via Bangor University's e-submission system, however I <b>opt-out</b> of the electronic deposit to the Bangor University (BU) Institutional Digital Repository, the British Library ETHOS system, and/or in any other repository authorized for use by Bangor University, due to lack of permissions for use of third party material.	

*Options B should only be used if a bar on access has been approved by the University.*

**In addition to the above I also agree to the following:**

1. That I am the author or have the authority of the author(s) to make this agreement and do hereby give Bangor University the right to make available the Work in the way described above.
2. That the electronic copy of the Work deposited in the digital repository and covered by this agreement, is identical in content to the paper copy of the Work deposited in the Bangor University Library, subject to point 4 below.
3. That I have exercised reasonable care to ensure that the Work is original and, to the best of my knowledge, does not breach any laws – including those relating to defamation, libel and copyright.
4. That I have, in instances where the intellectual property of other authors or copyright holders is included in the Work, and where appropriate, gained explicit permission for the inclusion of that material in the Work, and in the electronic form of the Work as accessed through the open access digital repository, *or* that I have identified and removed that material for which adequate and appropriate permission has not been obtained and which will be inaccessible via the digital repository.
5. That Bangor University does not hold any obligation to take legal action on behalf of the Depositor, or other rights holders, in the event of a breach of intellectual property rights, or any other right, in the material deposited.
6. That I will indemnify and keep indemnified Bangor University and the National Library of Wales from and against any loss, liability, claim or damage, including without limitation any related legal fees and court costs (on a full indemnity bases), related to any breach by myself of any term of this agreement.

Signature: ..... Date : .....

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to thank the people who have left this life but are still in my heart, my uncles (Mr Ahmed, Mr Ali, Mr Hassin, Mr Hussen and his 9 sons and his mother Mrs Fatama).

Thanks to my supervisors Prof. David L. Jones, Dr Paula Roberts and Prof. Daniel V. Murphy (Univ. Western Australia), for their advice, support, expertise and guidance, without which, I would not have completed this thesis. I owe many thanks to Dr. Paul W. Hill for helpful, practical guidance and technical assistance in the setting up and monitoring of the experiments.

I acknowledge all the ECW staff Gordon, Jonathan, Helen Simpson and Sarah who were very helpful. I also acknowledge Jenny, Anima, Nina, Barry Grail, Tim and Delphine for their help.

Thanks to my parents who were always pray to me. Thanks to my sisters Bushria, Yasara, Zainab, Raw, and brothers Fathel, Akeel, Ayad and Hani for their moral support. Thanks to my husband Ali for his help and love and thanks to my daughter Zainab. Thanks for Mr Muhadi Kashkul.

Thanks to Iraqi Government for funding my PhD study and all the staff of Iraqi Embassy in London who support the students.

Thanks to the wonderful Anna Foster who helped me to adapt to life in UK, advised me when I needed, dried my eyes when I cried and supported me, she was my sister and colleague.

Lastly I acknowledge my many colleagues in the SENRGY group for their companionship.

## Abbreviations

AMPs	Antimicrobial peptides
ANOVA	Analysis of variance
AOA	Ammonia oxidising archaea
AOB	Ammonia oxidising bacteria
ATP	Adenosine triphosphate
C	Carbon
CaCl <sub>2</sub>	Calcium chloride
CO <sub>2</sub>	Carbon dioxide
d	Day(s)
DIC	Dissolved inorganic carbon
DIN	Dissolved inorganic nitrogen
DNA	Deoxyribonucleic acid
DOC	Dissolved organic carbon
DON	Dissolved organic nitrogen
EC	Electrical conductivity
EON	Extractable organic nitrogen
<i>g</i>	Gravitational acceleration
H <sub>2</sub> O	Water
ha <sup>-1</sup>	Per hectares(s)
HCl	Hydrochloric acid
HMW	High molecular weight

KBq	Kilobecquerel
KCl	Potassium chloride
KNO <sub>3</sub>	Potassium nitrate
L	Litre(s)
LMW	Low molecular weight
LSD	Least significant differences
M	Molar
mg	Milligrams
ml	Millilitre(s)
mM	Millimolar
mm	Millimetre(s)
μL	Microliter
μM	Micromolar
μmol	Micromole
mRNA	Messenger ribonucleic acid
MPa	Matric potential values
N	Nitrogen
N <sub>2</sub> O	Nitrous oxide
NaOH	Sodium hydroxide
NH <sub>2</sub> OH	Hydroxyl amine
NH <sub>3</sub>	Ammonia
NH <sub>4</sub> <sup>+</sup>	Ammonium



NH <sub>4</sub> Cl	Ammonium chloride
NO	Nitric Oxide
NO <sub>2</sub>	Nitrogen dioxide
NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup>	Nitrate
NRPSs	Non-ribosomal peptide synthesises
O <sub>2</sub>	Oxygen
OH	Hydroxide
OPT	Oligopeptide transporter
P	Phosphorous
PAR	Photosynthetically active radiation
PMF	Proton motive force
PTR	Peptide transporter
RLD	Root length density
RNA	Ribonucleic acid
S	Sulphur
SEM	Standard error of the mean
SOM	Soil organic matter
SON	Soil organic nitrogen
TDN	Total dissolved nitrogen
TFAA	Total free amino acids
Tg N/yr	Teragram of nitrogen per year
TOC	Total organic carbon

TON	Total organic nitrogen
v/v	Volume to volume
w/v	Weight to volume
WFPS	Water filled pore space

### Abstract

Nitrogen (N) is an essential nutrient for plant growth and therefore a detailed mechanistic understanding of soil N cycling and plant N uptake is necessary to ensure sustainable food production. In addition, N availability can have significant effects on vegetation community structure and therefore climate-change induced shifts in N availability could affect ecosystem functioning and climate change feedbacks. The potential importance of organic N for plant nutrition has only recently been realised, and knowledge of its cycling and the competition for this resource in plant-soil systems is lacking.

The first aim of this PhD thesis was to investigate the potential for plants to compete with soil microbes for N at an early stage in protein breakdown, rather than having to rely on the breakdown of proteins to amino acids and subsequent mineralization to  $\text{NH}_4^+$ / $\text{NO}_3^-$ . The second aim of this thesis was to study N cycling processes in subsoil environments and their potential importance in plant N acquisition. The final aim was to examine how increased N inputs affected C and N cycling in Arctic tundra ecosystems, in order to gain a better understanding of potential climate change impacts on this vulnerable area.

Experimentation with isotopically labelled N forms revealed differential mobility of the different N forms in soil. Firstly,  $\text{NO}_3^-$  proved extremely mobile in comparison to  $\text{NH}_4^+$ , which was retained both on the exchange phase and rapidly captured by soil microorganisms. High molecular weight (HMW) dissolved organic nitrogen (DON) showed greater potential for leaching than its low molecular weight (LMW) counterpart, which was also rapidly assimilated by soil microbes. Soil microbes showed a preference for peptide-N over other N forms, whilst wheat plants showed a greater affinity for  $\text{NH}_4^+$  when grown under sterile conditions. When in competition with microbes, wheat mainly took up  $\text{NO}_3^-$ , with the capture of alanine and peptides being comparatively low. The presence of peptide-N decreased the ability of wheat to use  $\text{NH}_4^+$ , whilst the presence of other N forms depressed wheat's use of peptide-N. Studies of arable topsoil and subsoil revealed that C limitation was limiting microbial processes at depth, which was likely caused in part by low root length density and low rates of C input. This caused slower C and N mineralization processes at depth. Deep soils therefore appear unlikely to be a major N source for plants, but this could be stimulated by a labile C input. Surface moisture limitation appeared unlikely to cause a reliance on subsoil N, as there was no significant difference in the change in soil water content with depth during drought conditions. Increased organic N inputs to the Arctic significantly affected plant composition and increased plant litter degradation rates. This has implications for the Arctic's ability to store C and suggests a positive feedback to climate change.

In conclusion, this thesis has shown that DON inputs are highly important for plant and microbial nutrition, and that DON can influence C degradation in the Arctic. Subsoil could potentially contribute to plant nutrition, but low inputs of organic material limits microbial activity, so wheat's main N supply appears to come from surface horizons where N cycling is greatest. Plants can readily uptake peptides, although the results suggest that agricultural plants may struggle to compete with microbes for this resource. Furthermore, when added in combination with other reduced forms of N, the uptake of both peptide and the other N forms decreases.

## Table of Contents

<b>Chapter 1</b> .....	<b>1</b>
<b>1.1. General introduction</b> .....	<b>1</b>
<b>1.2. Aims and objectives</b> .....	<b>4</b>
<b>1.3. Thesis plan</b> .....	<b>4</b>
<b>Chapter 2</b> .....	<b>7</b>
<b>2.1. Nitrogen</b> .....	<b>7</b>
2.1.1. N fixation.....	11
2.1.2. N mineralization in soil .....	12
2.1.3. N immobilization.....	16
2.1.4. Controls on mineralization/immobilization.....	18
2.1.5. Nitrification .....	19
2.1.6. Denitrification.....	24
<b>2.1.7. Recent amendments to the N cycle</b> .....	<b>24</b>
<b>2.2. Dissolved organic N</b> .....	<b>26</b>
<b>2.3. Introduction to peptides</b> .....	<b>29</b>
2.3.1. Amino acids.....	29
2.3.2. Peptides.....	30
2.3.3. Peptide structure and function .....	32
2.3.4. The interaction of N forms with soil.....	35
2.3.5. Peptide transport.....	36
2.3.6. Amino acid and peptide exudation from cells .....	48
2.3.7. Methods of quantifying peptides in soil .....	48
2.3.8. Peptide sorption.....	49
<b>References</b> .....	<b>50</b>
<b>Chapter 3</b> .....	<b>79</b>
<b>Microbial activity differentially regulates the vertical mobility of nitrogen compounds in soil</b> .....	<b>79</b>
<b>ABSTRACT</b> .....	<b>80</b>
<b>Introduction, methods, result and discussion</b> .....	<b>81</b>
<b>References:</b> .....	<b>88</b>
<b>Chapter 4</b> .....	<b>92</b>
<b>Nutritional competition between plants and soil microorganisms for different nitrogen forms as influenced by peptides and vice versa</b> .....	<b>92</b>

<b>Abstract .....</b>	<b>92</b>
<b>4.1. Introduction.....</b>	<b>93</b>
<b>4.2. Materials and methods .....</b>	<b>95</b>
4.2.1. Plant uptake of peptides of different chain length .....	95
4.2.2. Phosphor image .....	96
4.2.3. Uptake of nitrogen from solution by sterile grown plants .....	96
4.2.4. Uptake of nitrogen by plants growing in soil .....	97
4.2.5. Nitrogen uptake by soil microbes.....	99
4.2.6. Soil solution characteristics under wheat.....	100
4.2.7. Plant Respiration.....	100
4.2.8. Statistical and data analysis .....	101
<b>4.3. Results.....</b>	<b>101</b>
4.3.1. Available forms of nitrogen in soil solution under wheat .....	101
4.3.2. Phosphor imaging of amino acid and peptide uptake by plants .....	101
4.3.3. Uptake of peptides of different chain lengths.....	104
4.3.4. Nitrogen uptake by plants grown in sterile culture.....	104
4.3.5. Nitrogen uptake by plants grown in soil.....	105
4.3.6. Nitrogen uptake by soil microbes.....	107
4.3.7. Respiration of organic forms of nitrogen.....	109
<b>4.4. Discussion .....</b>	<b>110</b>
4.4.1. Phosphor imaging of plant peptide and amino acid acquisition .....	110
4.4.2. Uptake of different nitrogen forms by sterile plants.....	110
4.4.3. Uptake of peptides by the soil microbial community .....	111
4.4.4. The uptake of nitrogen by plants grown in soil .....	112
<b>4.5. Conclusions.....</b>	<b>113</b>
<b>References.....</b>	<b>114</b>
<b>Chapter 5.....</b>	<b>119</b>
<b>Ability of plants to directly acquire protein and potential competition with microorganisms.....</b>	<b>119</b>
<b>5.1. Introduction .....</b>	<b>119</b>
<b>5.2. Material and methods.....</b>	<b>120</b>
5.2.1. Seed sterilization.....	120
5.2.2. Plant growth media.....	120
<b>5.3. Results.....</b>	<b>121</b>

References.....	123
<b>Chapter 6.....</b>	<b>119</b>
<b>Nitrogen availability down the soil profile in a wheat cropping system: Relationships with root density, soil N reserves and microbial activity .....</b>	<b>125</b>
<b>6.1. Introduction.....</b>	<b>126</b>
<b>6.2. Materials and methods .....</b>	<b>129</b>
6.2.1. Site characteristics .....	129
6.2.2. Quantification of root biomass .....	130
6.2.3. Water content.....	130
6.2.4. Soil solution extraction and soil chemical analysis .....	131
6.2.5. Net nitrification.....	131
6.2.6. Net N mineralization .....	132
6.2.7. Amino acid, peptide and glucose turnover .....	132
6.2.8. Mineralization of plant-derived Carbon .....	133
6.2.9. Molecular analysis of microbial communities.....	134
6.2.10. Statistical and data analysis .....	134
<b>6.3. Results.....</b>	<b>134</b>
6.3.1. Site characteristics .....	134
6.3.2. Soil water content .....	138
6.3.3. Soil net ammonification.....	138
6.3.4. Soil net nitrification .....	139
6.3.5. Low MW carbon substrate mineralization .....	140
6.3.6. <sup>14</sup> C-arginine mineralization.....	140
6.3.7. Plant residue mineralization.....	142
6.3.8. Root length density .....	144
6.3.9. DNA concentration.....	144
<b>6.4. Discussion .....</b>	<b>146</b>
6.4.1 Changes in microbial activity with depth .....	146
6.4.2 Variations in the soil nitrogen cycling with depth.....	147
6.4.3 Implications of N cycle variations with depth for root uptake .....	148
6.4.4 Study limitations and suggestions for future work.....	150
<b>6.4.5. Conclusions.....</b>	<b>150</b>
<b>References.....</b>	<b>151</b>
<b>Chapter 7.....</b>	<b>159</b>

<b>Long-term nutrient enrichment drives soil and vegetation community development in Arctic habitats.....</b>	<b>159</b>
<b>7.1. Introduction.....</b>	<b>160</b>
<b>7.2. Materials and methods .....</b>	<b>162</b>
7.2.1. Site characteristics .....	162
7.2.2. Site sampling .....	165
7.2.3. Soil analysis.....	165
7.2.4. <sup>14</sup> C mineralization of low and high molecular weight organic matter.....	166
7.2.5. Statistical analysis.....	168
<b>7.3. Results.....</b>	<b>169</b>
7.3.1. <sup>14</sup> C-labelled substrate mineralization.....	169
7.3.2. N mineralization .....	173
7.3.3. Soil respiration.....	173
<b>7.4. Discussion .....</b>	<b>176</b>
<b>7.5 Conclusion .....</b>	<b>178</b>
<b>References.....</b>	<b>179</b>
<b>Chapter 8.....</b>	<b>186</b>
<b>General discussion .....</b>	<b>186</b>
8.1. Microbial activity differentially regulates the vertical mobility of nitrogen compounds in soil .....	187
8.2. Nutritional competition between plants and soil microorganisms for inorganic nitrogen as influenced by peptides, and vice versa.....	188
8.3. Evaluation of soil nitrogen turnover in relationship to root growth in a wheat cropping system.....	193
8.4. Long-term nutrient enrichment drives soil and vegetation community development in Arctic habitats.....	195
<b>8.5. Conclusions.....</b>	<b>196</b>
<b>8.6. Suggestions for future work.....</b>	<b>198</b>
<b>Appendix 1.....</b>	<b>205</b>
<b>Long-term nutrient enrichment drives soil and vegetation community development in Arctic habitats.....</b>	<b>205</b>
<b>1. Introduction .....</b>	<b>205</b>
<b>2. Materials and methods.....</b>	<b>208</b>
2. 1. Site characteristics .....	208
2.2. Site sampling.....	209
2.3. <i>Soil analysis</i> .....	210

2.4. Isotopic analysis.....	211
2.5. <sup>14</sup> C mineralization of low and high molecular weight organic matter .....	211
2.6. Microbial community analysis.....	213
2.7. Statistical analysis.....	215
<b>3. Results.....</b>	<b>215</b>
3.1. Plant characteristics .....	215
3.2. Major soil properties.....	215
3.3. Plant available N.....	216
3.4. Natural abundance stable isotope ratios.....	217
3.5. <sup>14</sup> C-labelled substrate mineralization.....	218
3.6. Abundance of N cycling genes in the microbial community, fungal and bacterial growth and fungal biomass.....	218
<b>4. Discussion .....</b>	<b>220</b>
<b>Acknowledgements .....</b>	<b>220</b>
<b>References .....</b>	<b>220</b>



## List of figures

<b>Fig. 2.1.</b> Schematic representation of the terrestrial N cycle	10
<b>Fig. 2.2.</b> Soil N flow showing mineralization, immobilization and degradation	17
<b>Fig. 2.3.</b> Schematic representation of plant root uptake of inorganic and organic sources of N with the interactions of soil microbes and soil particles	27
<b>Fig. 2.4.</b> Peptide transport	39
<b>Fig. 2.5.</b> Function of amino acid and peptide transporters in <i>A. thaliana</i> .	48
<b>Fig. 3.1.</b> Vertical leaching of <sup>15</sup> N-labeled forms of inorganic (NH <sub>4</sub> <sup>+</sup> , NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> ) or organic N (alanine, trialanine) under sterile or non-sterile soil conditions in response to a simulated rainfall event	88
<b>Fig. 4.1.</b> Visualization of the uptake of <sup>14</sup> C-alanine and <sup>14</sup> C-trialanine by sterile wheat plants. Panels b & d are phosphor images whilst panels a & c are photos taken with a normal camera of the dry wheat plant.	104
<b>Fig. 4.2.</b> (a &b) Graphs showing the uptake of peptides in sterile root wheat plants	106
<b>Fig. 4.3</b> (a &b) Graphs showing the uptake of peptides in root wheat plants grown in soil	108
<b>Fig. 4.4.</b> N uptake by microbial after addition of organic N or inorganic N to soil	110
<b>Fig.4.5</b> Respiration of organic N forms by sterile plants, C respired after addition of <sup>14</sup> C-alanine or <sup>14</sup> C-trialanine. Values are means ± SEM, (n=3)	111
<b>Fig. 5.1.</b> Mass spectrometer peak for unhydrolysed Casein protein showing no low amino acids and peptides present.	123
<b>Fig. 5.2.</b> Mass spectrometer peak for unhydrolysed Casein protein showing the low MW amino acids and peptides present in the < 1 kDa fraction.	124
<b>Fig. 6.1.</b> Basal soil respiration at different depths in an agricultural wheat cropping soil	138
<b>Fig. 6.2.</b> Crop biomass and stem extension over the wheat cropping cycle from planting to harvest	138
<b>Fig. 6.3.</b> Soil water content during the wheat cropping cycle from planting to harvest in the topsoil (0-30 cm) and subsoil (30-60 cm) layers	140
<b>Fig. 6.4.</b> Net mineralization and nitrification after incubation for 7 days under	140

anaerobic conditions and net mineralization in aerobic conditions after incubation for 30 days at different soil depths in an agricultural wheat cropping soil	
<b>Fig. 6.5.</b> Mineralization of <sup>14</sup> C-glucose, <sup>14</sup> C-amino acids and <sup>14</sup> C-peptides at different soil depths in an agricultural wheat cropping soil	142
<b>Fig. 6.6.</b> Cumulative percentage of <sup>14</sup> C- arginine mineralization at different soil depths in an agricultural wheat cropping soil	142
<b>Fig. 6.7.</b> Cumulative percentage of <sup>14</sup> C-lolium shoots mineralization after addition to soil at field capacity	144
<b>Fig. 6.8.</b> Cumulative percentage <sup>14</sup> C -lolium shoot mineralization after incubation in a dry soil from different depths within a wheat cropping field	144
<b>Fig. 6.9.</b> Density of primary (first order) and lateral (second and third order) roots at different soil depths in an agricultural wheat cropping soil	145
<b>Fig. 6.10.</b> DNA concentration at different soil depths. Bands represent individual replicate core	146
<b>Fig. 7.1.</b> Seabirds nesting at the study site. The flow of guano down the cliff face is evident from the white streaks	165
<b>Fig. 7.2.</b> Photograph of the study showing the bird and non-bird cliff sites. The three sampling transects at the site are shown with red arrows	165
<b>Fig. 7.3.</b> Mineralization kinetics of <sup>14</sup> C-labelled plant material and root exudates in soil as a function of increasing distance from cliffs with (orthinogenic) and without (control) bird-derived nutrient inputs	171
<b>Fig 7.4.</b> Mineralization kinetics of <sup>14</sup> C-labelled amino acid and peptide in soil as a function of increasing distance from cliffs with (orthinogenic) and without (control) bird-derived nutrient inputs	172
<b>Fig. 7.5.</b> Net mineralization of orthinogenic and control site	176
<b>Fig. 7.6.</b> Soil respiration in orthinogenic and control site	
<b>Fig. 8.1.</b> Conclusion graphs showing the ability of direct uptake for microbes and plant to for organic and inorganic N grow in soil	199

## List of tables

<b>Table 2.1.</b> Distribution of the N in representative surface of the world	11
<b>Table 2.2.</b> Common amino acids found in proteins	31
<b>Table 2.3.</b> Amino acid and peptide transporters found in plants	41
<b>Table 3.1.</b> Background soil characteristics	83
<b>Table 4.1.</b> Soil solution characteristics	103
<b>Table 6.1.</b> Soil properties at different soil depths in an agricultural wheat cropping soil	137
<b>Table 6.2.</b> Cumulative amount of $^{14}\text{CO}_2$ released from soil by the mineralization of $^{14}\text{C}$ -labelled arginine as a function of soil depth in an agricultural wheat cropping soil	143
<b>Table 6.3.</b> Net amount of $\text{NH}_4^+$ and $\text{NO}_3^-$ released as a function of soil depth after the addition of arginine and incubation for 48 h	143
<b>Table 6.4.</b> DNA concentration at different soil depths	146
<b>Table 7.1.</b> Half-life of the different substrates in the nutrient enriched (bird cliff) or nutrient limited (control) soil environments	175
<b>Table 7.2.</b> $k_1$ and $k_2$ of the different substrates in the nutrient enriched (bird cliff) or nutrient limited (control) soil environments	175

## List of Papers

---

Microbial activity differentially regulates the vertical mobility of nitrogen compounds in soil. Published in Soil Biology and Biochemistry

Nutritional competition between plants and soil microorganisms for different nitrogen forms as influenced by peptides and vice versa. Unpublished

Nitrogen availability down the soil profile in a wheat cropping system: Relationships with root density, soil N reserves and microbial activity. Unpublished

Long-term nutrient enrichment drives soil and vegetation community development in Arctic habitats. Unpublished

# Chapter 1

---

## Overview of thesis

### 1.1. General introduction

In biological terms nitrogen (N) occupies a unique position among the periodic table. It represents an essential element for plant growth and is required in large amounts by most agricultural crops. It is also a primary regulator of plant productivity in most terrestrial ecosystems (Paul and Clark, 1989). Due to the economic importance of food production, N cycling in agricultural ecosystems has been extensively studied over the last century. In recent years, understanding the impacts of anthropogenically-mediated pollution (e.g. acid rain) and climate change has led to a renewed interest in understanding N cycling in natural and semi-natural ecosystems. For example, climate-induced changes in growing season length, temperature and CO<sub>2</sub> have led to changes in soil N availability, and in some ecosystems are thought to be responsible for shifts in vegetation community structure and productivity leading to changes in ecosystem functioning (Hill et al., 2011a). Despite the vast number of research papers on N in the plant-soil system, the cycling and competition for N resources in terrestrial ecosystem remains poorly understood. This is exemplified by the progressive shift in the N paradigm over the last 20 years from a focus solely on inorganic N (i.e. NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>) uptake to one which now includes various forms of dissolved organic nitrogen (DON) (Schimel and Bennett, 2004).

The soil N cycle is considered to be an integral part of the overall terrestrial N cycle. Therefore the subject of soil N is broad and deals not only with the distribution and transformation of organic and inorganic forms of N in soil but also the

interrelationships which exist between the atmosphere, hydrosphere and soil. N can enter soil from wet and dry deposition (i.e.  $\text{NH}_4^+$  and  $\text{NO}_3^-$ ), fertilisers (i.e. DON,  $\text{NH}_4^+$  and  $\text{NO}_3^-$ ), animal residues (dissolved and solid N), through N-fixation (i.e.  $\text{N}_2$ ), with losses mainly occurring via crop removal, leaching (mainly DON and  $\text{NO}_3^-$ ), and volatilization (i.e.  $\text{NH}_3$ ). The initial conversion to  $\text{NH}_4^+$  is referred to as ammonification whilst the subsequent oxidation of this compound to  $\text{NO}_3^-$  is termed nitrification. The utilization of  $\text{NH}_4^+$  and  $\text{NO}_3^-$  by plants and microorganisms constitutes assimilation and immobilization, respectively. Combined N is ultimately returned to the atmosphere through biological denitrification (i.e.  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ ,  $\text{NO}$ ,  $\text{N}_2$ ) or via  $\text{NH}_3$  volatilization, thereby completing the cycle.

With respect to insoluble organic N in soil (SON), vast reserves exist in soil organic matter (SOM) and associated with mineral material, often exceeding 4,000 kg  $\text{ha}^{-1}$  within the surface (0-30 cm) horizons (Tan, 1998). Typically, 95% of the N held in the surface layer of soil is organically combined. The importance of this organic N reservoir in supplying  $\text{NO}_3^-$  and  $\text{NH}_4^+$  from the standpoint of soil fertility has long been recognized, and our knowledge concerning the nature and chemical composition of organic N is extensive (Jones et al., 2004). Recent evidence, however, has suggested that plants may be able to acquire low molecular weight (MW) organic N directly from soil in the form of amino acids circumnavigating the need to wait for mineralization to  $\text{NH}_4^+$  and  $\text{NO}_3^-$  (Chapin et al., 1993; Jones et al., 2005). Furthermore, it has been shown that different plant species within a community may have contrasting preferences for organic and inorganic N suggesting that the relative abundance of DON and dissolved inorganic N (DIN) may be important in shaping plant communities (Näsholm et al., 2000; McKane et al., 2002). The recognition that plants can take up DON is not new

(McKee, 1962) but its ecological significance has only just been realised recently (Bardgett et al., 2003). From a soil perspective we can assume that root turnover and shoot residue incorporation represents the major source of organic matter entering soil and start of the N breakdown pathway. Therefore the dominant form of N entering soil is protein and peptides and to a lesser extent free amino acids (the latter typically being 100-fold lower in abundance). While the multiple routes involved in protein breakdown are well known from a biochemical perspective, they have been surprisingly few mechanistic studies on protein, and particularly peptide, behaviour in soil (Paul and Clark, 1989; Jones et al., 2005a). The overarching aim of this PhD is to challenge the conventional paradigm of N cycling which views amino acids and DIN as the main forms of N available for plant and microbial assimilation. Specifically, I wish to test the assertion that competition between plants and microbes primarily occurs at a higher point in the N cycle (i.e. at the peptide level). For this reason a large proportion of the literature review will be dedicated to peptide form and functioning in biological systems.

## **1.2. Aims and objectives**

The thesis objectives are:

- 1- To test the the influence of microbial activity and chemical form on the potential for organic and inorganic N to move vertically in soil.
- 2- To characterize rates of microbial uptake and mineralization of amino acids and peptides in soils in relation to rates of inorganic N cycling.
- 3- To determine the influence of peptide addition on the uptake of inorganic forms of N and vice versa.
- 4- To determine how much N can be taken up as peptides by plants grown in soil
- 5- To determine what forms of N microorganisms prefer.
- 6- To determine the utilization of protein-N by plants in comparison to other N forms.
- 7- To investigate microbial N cycling in relationship to root growth in wheat cropping soils.

## **1.3. Plan of the thesis**

### **Chapter 1: Introduction**

This will be an introduction to the subject area and will detail the Aims and Objectives of the thesis.

### **Chapter 2: Literature review**

This is a critical review of the current evidence surrounding N cycling in soil. In addition it will evaluate the different N uptake mechanisms by microorganisms.



### **Chapter 3: Microbial activity differentially regulates the vertical mobility of N compounds in soil**

The aim of this laboratory study was to focus on the influence of microbial activity and N form on the potential for organic and inorganic N to be vertically transported down the soil profile.

### **Chapter 4: Nutritional competition between plants and soil microorganisms for different forms of N as influenced by peptides and vice versa**

Information on the interactive effects of peptides, amino acids and inorganic N on plant and microbial competition for N the rhizosphere is not available. To understand the preference for available forms of N, it is imperative to study the interactions of various N forms. To follow the dynamics of N uptake, I used stable- ( $^{15}\text{N}$ ,  $^{13}\text{C}$ ) and radioisotope ( $^{14}\text{C}$ ) labelled peptides. To eliminate the influence of microorganisms, sterile plants were used for part of the study. This study aimed to answer the following questions? 1. Can peptides be taken up intact by plants growing under sterile conditions? 2. How much N can be taken up as peptides by plants grown in soil in comparison to those grown under sterile conditions? 3. What forms of N do microorganisms prefer? 4. What is the effect of the availability of different N forms on peptide uptake, and similarly the effect of peptides on the uptake of alternative N forms?

### **Chapter 5: The ability of sterile plant to take up protein**

The aim of this study was to confirm whether plant could use forms of N above peptides in the soil N cycle.

## **Chapter 6: Evaluation of soil N turnover in relationship to root growth in a wheat cropping system**

Most work on plant N uptake is performed on seedlings. This chapter aimed to look at the distribution of roots down the soil profile in a mature wheat crop and to link this to the forms and turnover of N forms down the soil profile.

## **Chapter 7: Long-term nutrient enrichment drives soil and vegetation community development in Arctic habitats**

This chapter presents laboratory experiments investigating plant and microbial N availability/use along a long-term N deposition gradient in the Arctic. It will use radiotracers as well as a range of analytical techniques and will focus on soils collected from Svalbard. The aim of this study was to investigate the potential feedbacks between soil development, plant community nutrient content and herbivore grazing along two contrasting nutrient enrichment gradients in the high Arctic.

## **Chapter 8: Discussion, conclusions and future work**

This represents a general discussion of all the results presented in the experimental chapters, highlighting the key conclusions and identifying areas for further work.

## Chapter 2

---

### 2.1. Nitrogen

The Earth's atmosphere contains 78.1% N<sub>2</sub> by volume, but although it is present in large amounts, it is biologically inert unless combined with other elements (e.g. C, H, or O). N is fundamental to life, as it represents the building blocks of nucleic acids (e.g. DNA, RNA), cell structural elements (e.g. tubulin, actin) and all enzymes. In most cells, N is present in amino acids, peptides and proteins, and is probably the most important biochemical component of cells (by weight) after carbohydrate moieties (Brady, 1984; Paul & Clark, 1989).

In soil, N is predominantly present in four forms:

- Dissolved organic N (DON) and NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>, which are fixed or held on exchange surfaces (e.g. clays);
- DON, NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, which are free in solution;
- Organic N held in the necromass (i.e. dead organic matter); and
- Organic N held in the microbial biomass (i.e. living organic matter).

Typically, exchangeable N accounts for 5% of the total N in soil, while dissolved N accounts for 1–2%, microbial N for 1–5% and necromass N for 90–95% of the total. Usually, the importance of N adsorbed to mineral surfaces, in terms of pool size, increases with depth in the soil profile as soil organic matter (SOM) decreases. Amino acids in a free or combined state (i.e. peptides and protein) usually account for half of the organic N, with the remainder largely present as amino sugars and humic substances (Brady, 1984). Table 2.1 summarises the different forms of N and their percentage in soil in different environments. In addition to solid forms, soil N also occurs in soluble

form in soil solution (e.g.  $\text{NO}_3^-$ ,  $\text{NH}_4^+$ , amino acids, peptides, urea, etc.). Varying fractions of these N compound pools are available for plants to take up.

N is an essential nutrient for plants (Rentsch et al., 2007), as it is a component of proteins, nucleic acids, chlorophyll, diverse secondary compounds and many cellular structures. In plants, N is the fourth most abundant element and plays an important role in all plant growth and development processes such as cell division, transport and all biochemical reactions requiring enzymes. The plant availability of N is therefore closely linked to plant productivity in both natural and agricultural ecosystems (Vitousek et al., 1997; Galloway et al., 2008).

The many forms of N interact differently with the soil environment due to their varying physical and chemical properties (Näsholm et al., 2009). This can influence the competition between microorganisms and plants for N. Symbiosis with mycorrhizae and other adaptations improve a plant's ability to take up the N it requires (Rentsch et al., 2007; Näsholm et al., 2009). In addition, recent discoveries have confirmed that certain plants are able to compete with soil microbes for organic N. Increased knowledge of plant N uptake could result in the production of more efficient plants in agronomic and forestry production systems. This knowledge could be important for reducing the economic losses and environmental pollution from inorganic fertiliser whilst sustaining crop yield (Jan et al., 2009; Ge et al., 2011). Knowledge of plant and microbial competition for N would also be useful for an improved understanding of the breakdown of SOM, which has ramifications for both plant nutrition and climate change (Gärdenäs et al., 2011). A schematic of the plant-soil N cycle is shown in Fig 2.1, which highlights the role of DON in the N cycle.




Fig. 2.1. Schematic representation of the terrestrial N cycle.

**Table 2.1.**Distribution of the N in representative surface of the world (Stevenson, 1982).

Table of Distribution of the N in representative surface soils of the world (from Stevenson,1982)							
Form of N,% Of total soil N							
Location	Acid insoluble	NH <sub>3</sub>	Amino acid	Amino sugar	HUN	Amino acid N/Amino sugar N ratio	Reference
Africa							
Sierra Leone(8)	19.8-24.5	9.3-20.3	22.8-33.3	4.1-13.8	17.4-40.2	2.4-7.0	Amara & Stevenson+ Singh et al (1978)
Tanzania(4)	14.4-30.7	10.8-21.4	18.6-31.2	5.2-11.5	24.1-36.0	1.9-4.1	
Argentina							
Misc. group(4)	22.2-32.7	15.1-21.3	13.3-20.2	2.0-10.9	22.2-32.7	1.2-10.0	Rosell et al (1978)
Canada							
Representative soils							
From nine soil orders							
Organic, LFH(6)	15.3±7.3	14.9±2.6	51.0±7.5	7.0±2.7	11.5avg.	7.3avg.	Sowden(1977)
Organic, TC(2)	17.3±1.6	14.4±5.7	52.0±2.3	6.4±0.5	9.2avg.	8.1avg.	Sowden(1977)
Mineral, Ah(14)	18.3±7.8	18.6±3.4	40.8±8.0	6.7±1.8	15.6avg.	6.1avg.	Sowden(1977)
Mineral, Ap (13)	13.4±4.1	21.6±3.2	37.6±4.8	6.7±1.3	20.7avg.	5.6avg.	Sowden(1977)
Mineral, Ae(5)	12.5±5.4	23.8±7.6	41.2±9.6	5.9±1.5	16.6avg.	7.0avg.	Sowden(1977)
Alberta Gray Wooded (12)	18.4-26.4	13.7-19.0	2.68-33.0	8.8-11.9	17.1-25.0	2.8-3.3	Khan & Sowden (1971)
Alberta Solonetzic (2)	24.8-25.4	20.7-21.2	34.6-35.8	4.8-5.2	12.9-13.9	6.7-7.5	Khan & Sowden (1971)
Quebec cultivated soils (20)	16.2-33.6	15.7-28.2	23.4-37.6	4.8-9.2	6.9-22.5	2.9-7.3	Kadrigamathaiyah&MacKenzie (1970)
Germany Misc. soils	15.3-18.3	26.4-32.2	22.1-31.2	3.8-5.0	20.3-29.1	4.9-8.2	Fleige & Baeumer (1969)
Japan							
Upland soils	9.0-28.0	12.0-24.0	28.0-38.0	5.0-11.0	19.0-29.0	--	Miki et al(1966b)
Misc. group(3)	21.7-32.6	15.3-24.2	16.9-25.2	1,2-3.1	19.4-26.6	7.9-14.1	Kyuma et al(1969)
Paddy soils(3)	11.4-16.8	22.3-22.8	38.8-43.3	7.5-8.8	20.3-23.4	4.4-5.7	Hayashi & Harada (1969)
United Kingdom							
Arable and Pasture(14)							
Forest(8)	14.0-34.0	16.0-29.0	20.0-39.0	4.0-12.0	13.0-34.0	5.0avg	Greenfield(1972)
	14.0-17.0	21.0-37.0	35.0-41.0	4.0-8.0	15.0-19.0	7.6avg	Greenfield(1972)
United States							
Illinois(4)	20.6-32.6	15.1-24.4	31.9-40.3	8.7-13.2	2.4-20.0	2.3-3.8	Amara & Stevenson Keeney & Bremner (1964)
Iowa(20)	18.4-36.7	18.6-29.0	17.8-34.3	3.3-7.1	17.9-28.9	2.9-6.3	
Nebraska(8)	18.0-22.0	18.0-28.0	31.0-46.0	5.0-9.0	6.0-17.0	4.2-8.0	Meints & Peterson (1977)
West Indies							
Volcanic(6)	7.6-14.0	19.5-34.3	37.2-49.6	5.6-7.6	7.6-15.3	5.9-7.0	Sowden et al.(1976)
Volcanic(4)	11.5-41.3	11.6-17.4	25.4-45.7	0.8-3.0	4.2-35.0	11.6-46.4	Dalal(1978a)
Nonvolcanic (3)	6.9-22.7	21.5-32.1	20.4-49.8	3.6-7.9	6.5-20.7	3.9-13.8	Dalal(1978a)

### 2.1.1. N fixation

Higher plants cannot directly use atmospheric  $N_2$ , however, they can harness the power of specific prokaryotic microorganisms which have the capacity to convert  $N_2$  into compounds that can be assimilated either directly or indirectly by plants (LaRaue & Patterson, 1981). Essentially, inert N in the atmosphere may become usable to higher organisms by either of the two following processes:

- Spontaneous chemical reactions, such as those caused by lightning, forest fires, photochemical reactions, and volcanic activity. The N fixed in this way comprises about 10% of the total (Sprent et al., 1987); and
- Biological processes undertaken by microorganisms. These may be carried out non-symbiotically by free living bacteria or blue-green algae, or symbiotically by microorganisms in either a loose or structured relationship with a plant.

When N-fixing microorganisms have a close symbiotic relationship with a plant, the relationship is closely linked to photosynthesis, as this process supplies the C to drive fixation via the enzyme nitrogenase. The amount of N fixed in the soil by biological processes can be substantial, although it varies greatly according to plant and microbial species and can range from 0.1 to 100 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> y<sup>-1</sup>. Usually, more N is fixed by symbiotic than by non-symbiotic organisms and the fixation rate tends to be repressed when N inputs from fertiliser or acid deposition are high (Lea & Azevedo, 2006; Tan, 2009). Soil N-fixing microorganisms are the main natural source of reactive N (Vitousek et al., 2013). Human activities currently contribute to half of N fixation (Fowler et al., 2013). N fixation and N deposition combined have increased since 1900 (155 Tg N/yr to 345 Tg N/yr in 2000), and according to a global distributed flow-path

model, are expected to increase still further (408–510 Tg N/yr) by 2050 (Bouwman et al., 2013).


### **2.1.2. N mineralization in soil**

N mineralization is a process that continually replenishes the soluble N pool in soil and therefore directly supports plants. It represents a vitally important stage of the N cycle (Vitousek & Howarth, 1991). The term N mineralization pertains to the conversion of residues of organic matter derived from plants, animals or microorganisms to inorganic forms (i.e.  $\text{NH}_4^+$  and  $\text{NO}_3^-$ ), as shown in Fig 2.2. In soil, the process is largely driven by microbial activity with the aid of mesofauna which help to physically break up organic matter, thereby making it more available to the microbial community (Dessureault et al., 2010).

Heterotrophic microorganisms under aerobic conditions mostly control ammonification. Essentially, this process is driven by the microbes using the C in nitrogenous organic substances as an energy source, as most microbes in soil are C limited (Whitehead, 1995). When microorganisms consume substrates with a low C: N ratio, they often excrete the unwanted N into the soil as  $\text{NH}_4^+$  (Clarholm, 1985). Organic N-containing materials (e.g. amino acids, proteins, amino sugars and urea) can also be converted outside the cell to  $\text{NH}_4^+$  by enzymatic reactions (e.g. deaminases, urease). Various enzymes are involved in this because each N compound requires a special enzyme for its decomposition (Ladd & Jackson, 1982; Stevenson, 1982). For example, in proteins, the peptide bond is broken by proteases, but the cleavage of amino groups can involve transaminases and oxidases (Stevenson, 1982; Brady & Weil, 1996; Miller & Gardiner, 1998). Release of the amine group ( $\text{R-NH}_3$ ) results in the release of



NH<sub>3</sub>. This ammonia gas is in equilibrium with NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>, as expressed in the following equation:



The direction of this reaction is highly pH dependent, with volatilisation of NH<sub>3</sub> occurring when the soil pH rises above neutrality, as occurs in calcareous soils. In most acid soils, the reaction is largely driven in the direction of NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> formation (Vitousek & Howarth., 1991).

Plant N uptake from soil is directly affected by mineralization and nitrification (Yang et al, 2008), and therefore the intrinsic rate of N mineralization plays an important role in the environmental and economic utility of agricultural soils (Zebarth et al., 2009). Further, the mineralization process is also a major factor determining fertiliser N application rates and emissions of N<sub>2</sub>O and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> leaching from soil (Jacinth et al., 2010). Recent increases in the price of inorganic fertilisers have made alternate N sources such as manure more appealing. Various authors have suggested that more work is required to study N mineralization rates in such N sources (Jones et al., 2005; Torbett & Watts, 2012).


A wide range of environmental and soil factors control the rate of organic N turnover in soil (Benbi & Richter, 2002). These include the C:N ratio of the soil (Dilly et al, 2003), soil temperature and moisture (Gilliam et al., 2001), aeration status and vegetation cover (Ross et al, 1996; Cote et al, 2000; Ross et al, 2004), agronomic regime and fertilisation (Gilliam et al., 2001). Tannins originating from leaf litter have also been suggested to decrease the rate of N mineralization via the inhibition of both the microbial community and extracellular enzymes (Torbett & Watts, 2012). Many studies from a diverse range of ecosystems have also provided evidence of temporal and

seasonal differences in mineralization (Pastor et al., 1984; Vitousek & Maston, 1985; Neil et al, 1997; Steltzer & Bowman, 1998). There also appear to be differences in mineralization between the growing season and the non-growing season, suggesting that the rhizosphere may exert a strong influence on SOM turnover (Van Wijnen et al., 1999; Groffman et al., 2001; Schimel et al., 2004; Zhu & Carreire, 2004). These studies also attribute increased net mineralization to the higher moisture and greater temperature during the growing season (Mladenoff, 1987; Uri et al., 2003). A few studies, however, have shown the opposite effect, with lower mineralization during the growing season than in the winter (Nadelhoffer et al., 1991; Schmidt et al, 1999). Very recently, Zhao (2010) demonstrated that N mineralization in grasslands plays a major role during the non-growing season, providing an N reservoir that will stimulate rapid plant growth in the upcoming spring.

Land use change can have an enormous effect on the net rate of N mineralization by altering both the biotic and a biotic propriety of a soil (Rhoades & Coleman, 1999; Mendham et al., 2004). For example, a recent study has shown that land use can affect the soil's total N content, pH and C: N ratio (Yang et al., 2008). Mineralization is also strongly influenced by precipitation, temperature and moisture (Zhang et al., 2008). Experimental warming across five contrasting ecosystems (tundra, grassland, forest, shrubland and cropland) has shown increased N mineralization by an average of 52.2% (Bai et al, 2013). This effect is suspected to be more pronounced in forests than grasslands, where it is thought that moisture limitation may be more important (Bai et al., 2013).

Some studies have indicated that in arctic regions, N mineralization in soil undergoes distinct seasonal patterns. Net N mineralization in arctic soils can be high,

low or even negative during the growing season depending upon the prevailing conditions (Giblin et al., 1991; Nadelhoffer et al., 1991; Jonasson et al., 1993; Hobbie & Chapin, 1996). When net mineralization is negative, this indicates the possibility of a strong microbial and plant sink for N (Schmidt et al., 1999). Another study in the arctic biome has shown that snow cover and freeze-thaw processes can greatly affect N mineralization (Schimel et al., 2004). At the start of snowfall in winter, together with low temperature, N mineralization is limited by microbial activity. However, when the snow is deep, this can increase soil temperature, thereby stimulating soil microbial activity and mineralization in the subnivean zone. The results of another study suggest that deeper snow affects microbial activity by increasing the nutrient pool and modifying the physiological characteristics of the microbe community during late winter (Buckeridge & Grogan, 2008). Such effects of cold on N mineralization have also been demonstrated in laboratory studies of different ecosystem (Schmidt et al., 1999; Cookson et al., 2002; Sulkava & Huhta, 2003).



**Fig.2.2.** Soil N flow showing mineralization, immobilization and degradation. Adapted from Paul & Clark (1989)

### 2.1.3. N immobilization

N immobilization is the conversion of inorganic N into organic N by plants and microorganisms. Thus, the net balance between immobilization and mineralization largely governs the availability of soluble N in soil (Alexander & Wiley, 1961; Brady, 1984; Paul & Clark, 1989; Whitehead, 1995). Immobilization is essential in allowing mineralized N to be retained in an ecosystem, preventing its loss to fresh waters and back to the atmosphere. The effect of immobilization is not to increase or decrease the N content of soils, but rather to change its chemical form (Tan, 2009).

Conventionally, it is considered that immobilization directly and indirectly influences mineralization. Immobilization typically involves the incorporation of  $\text{NH}_4^+$

into amino acids which are subsequently converted to other N forms within the organism. The rate of organism growth typically determines the rate of N immobilization, although some storage of N can occur in organisms even when they are not growing (e.g. to wait for a time when conditions become more favourable for growth, such as when C availability increases). Paul and Clark (1989) summarised the many possible fates of  $\text{NH}_4^+$  in soil as follows:

- Plants can take up  $\text{NH}_4^+$  from solution; however, the positive charge of  $\text{NH}_4^+$  often leads to adsorption to the soil's solid phase and therefore reduced plant uptake. For this reason, plants take up  $\text{NO}_3^-$  and then reduce it to  $\text{NH}_4^+$  within the plant (as  $\text{NO}_3^-$  is not sorbed to the soil's exchange phase and is therefore more plant available);
- $\text{NH}_4^+$  can be used by microbes for microbial growth. Much research has demonstrated that, in the reduced state,  $\text{NH}_4^+$  is preferred over  $\text{NO}_3^-$  for incorporation into microbial metabolic pathways. Moreover, low levels of  $\text{NH}_4^+$  can repress enzymes used in  $\text{NO}_3^-$  reduction;
- $\text{NH}_4^+$  can be held on cation exchange sites on clay particles and organic matter;
- As the  $\text{NH}_4^+$  ion is roughly the same size as  $\text{K}^+$ , it can enter and become trapped in the interlayer of clays. The collapse of these layers, by drying, for instance, fixes the  $\text{NH}_4^+$ , making its removal difficult;
- The  $\text{NH}_4^+$  can abiotically form R-NH<sub>2</sub> complexes by reacting with soil organic material (e.g. with humic substances);

- As  $\text{NH}_4^+$  has a high partial pressure, when it is present and not adsorbed (e.g. in decomposing vegetation and manure), it may volatilise. Surface manure used as fertiliser can lose 50% of its N through volatilisation; and
- The  $\text{NH}_4^+$  can be used as a source of energy by certain autotrophic organisms.

#### **2.1.4. Controls on mineralization/immobilization**




Both mineralization and immobilization are sensitive to changes in temperature and humidity, generally becoming slower in dry and/or cold conditions (Mikha et al., 2005). The balance between mineralization and immobilization can vary widely from soil to soil and from one time to another. The balance depends upon the availability, quality and quantity of decomposing organic matter (Wood & Hattey, 1995; Bruun et al., 2006). Mineralization and immobilization are highly correlated because each is related to microbial activity within the soil. A rise in the amount of inorganic N over time indicates net mineralization (Jones et al., 2004). However, a decrease in inorganic N does not necessarily indicate net immobilization. A decrease in inorganic N can be caused by plant uptake, denitrification, and volatilisation of ammonia and/or leaching. Similarly, a constant amount of inorganic N does not necessarily imply an absence of microbial activity. It could be that strong mineralization is balanced by immobilization by plants (Whitehead, 1995).

When temperature and moisture are high, there can be high rates of mineralization and immobilization. Here, one can distinguish between net and gross mineralization and immobilization. Gross N mineralization is the whole amount of soluble N created or produced by microorganisms, while gross N immobilization is the

amount of soluble N consumed. Net mineralization is the difference between gross mineralization and gross immobilization. The net balance between mineralization and immobilization is affected by the C: N ratio of the substrate and the organism. To promote net mineralization, it is important for the C: N ratio of the substrate to be lower than 30 and that the N is in a relatively labile form. When the C: N ratio is high (> 50:1), microbes can often easily obtain the C to derive energy, but there is insufficient N to support growth (Bruun et al., 2006). In such an event, there will be net immobilization (Sequi, 1989). Mineralization is predicted to increase with global temperatures, but immobilization is predicted to remain the same due to C limitation (Bai et al, 2013).

#### 2.1.5. Nitrification

Nitrification converts  $\text{NH}_4^+$  to  $\text{NO}_3^-$  via a two-step process undertaken by ammonia-oxidising bacteria (AOB). In the first step,  $\text{NH}_4^+$  is oxidised to  $\text{NO}_2^-$  by the bacterium *Nitrosomonas*, whilst the second step sesoxidises  $\text{NO}_2^-$  to  $\text{NO}_3^-$  via the bacterium *Nitrobacter* as follows:



Ammonium-oxidising archaea (AOA), as well as bacteria, have also been shown to be important to nitrification in a range of environments. They appearing to contribute largely to nitrification in arctic soils, where the rate of nitrification appears to depend on the clade of AOA present (Alves et al., 2013).

Nitrification can subsequently lead to the production of gaseous NO, N<sub>2</sub>O and N<sub>2</sub> via denitrification. Several environmental factors can affect nitrification rates in soil. Nitrification is particularly sensitive to pH with highly acidic (pH < 4.5) or alkaline (pH > 8) soils producing negligible quantities of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> (De Boer & Kowalchuk, 2001). The optimum pH for nitrification varies according to the soil, but is generally in the range of 6–8 (Strous et al., 1999; Dapena-Mora et al., 2007). It was originally thought that all organisms involved in nitrification depended upon the presence of O<sub>2</sub>, which in turn depended on such factors as moisture content, temperature and soil texture. However, anaerobic ammonium oxidisers were discovered in 1999 (Strous et al., 1999). Nitrification is possible only if NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> is present; however, high levels of NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> can also repress nitrification (Brady, 1984).

The C: N ratio of the substrate is important, as high C: N ratios can lead to a lack of an available NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> substrate for nitrification to take place (Brady, 1984). Autotrophic nitrifying bacteria have been found which can fix dissolved inorganic C (DIC). However, this process has been shown to only produce low bacterial growth relative to the amount of nitrate produced (Veugar et al., 2012). Nitrification is slow at temperatures of 5°C and below and at temperatures of 40°C and above (Hoyle et al., 2006). Temperatures in the range of 25–35°C are generally considered to be optimal (Paul & Clark, 1989).

Nitrification in temperate ecosystems has been shown to increase by 32.2 % due to increased temperature (Bai et al., 2013). It is this temperature sensitivity of nitrification that leads to seasonal changes in N availability. In temperate areas, spring nitrification rates tend to be high and winter rates low (Dessureault et al., 2010). Soil moisture can indirectly affect NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> production, as it affects soil aeration; however, it



also regulates  $\text{NH}_4^+$  availability by controlling its diffusion rate in soil (Gleeson et al., 2010). Overall, water logging depresses nitrification, whilst low moisture retards the proliferation of bacteria. The optimum moisture level for nitrification differs according to the soil, but usually rests between matric potential levels of -0.1 to -1 MPa.


#### ***2.1.5.1. Different environmental effects on nitrification and mineralization***

Environmental factors such as temperature (Hoyle et al., 2006), pH (De Boer & Kowalchuk, 2001) and water (Gleeson et al., 2010) generally affect nitrification activity more than N mineralization. This is because mineralization is carried out by many types of bacteria, and hence has a large degree of functional redundancy. Nitrification, by contrast, involves just two types of organisms – archaea and bacteria nitrifiers. It had been indicated that the gross N flux, community organisation and abundance of AOA and AOB are affected by the water-filled pore space (WFPS) in semi-arid soils (Gleeson et al., 2010). Gross nitrification rates at 75–95 % WFPS were intrinsically low, however, due to the lack of  $\text{NH}_4^+$  availability.


#### ***2.1.5.2. Heterotrophic nitrification***

Many actinomycetes and heterotrophic bacteria are capable of creating traces of  $\text{NO}_2^-$  when grown in a culture media containing  $\text{NH}_4^+$ . Heterotrophic nitrification can be defined as the oxidation of reduced N compounds to generate  $\text{NO}_2^-$  and  $\text{NO}_3^-$ . Heterotrophic nitrification, unlike autotrophic nitrification, is not linked to cellular growth. Heterotrophic organisms employ C substrates as energy sources and their presence in soil has been known about for over 50 years. In a classic study, Eylar and Schmidt (1959) isolated 978 cultures of heterotrophic organisms from soil that could actively nitrify. Similarly, Remacle (1977 a, b) and Johnsrud (1978) identified many

fungi from coniferous forest soils that could nitrify, exemplifying the widespread nature of the trait. Various pathways have been postulated for nitrification, including the following one, which utilises inorganic  $\text{NH}_2\text{OH}$  (Aleem, 1965; Prosser, 1989):



A second possible pathway of heterotrophic nitrification uses organic N as a substrate; however, this appears to be limited to fungi. Organic pathways include oxidation of an amine or amide instead of hydroxylamine, with subsequent oxidation to a nitroso and then to a nitro-compound, as follows (Doxtader, 1965):






The amount of heterotrophic creation of  $\text{NO}_2^-$  or  $\text{NO}_3^-$  by nitrification is in general much lower than that of autotrophic nitrification (Prosser, 1989; Jetten et al., 1997).


#### **2.1.5.3. Autotrophic nitrification**

Autotrophic bacteria can synthesise carbohydrates, fats, proteins and other organic molecules from inorganic molecules. Autotrophs are of two sorts – those that use energy from light (photosynthesis) and those that use inorganic chemical reactions (chemosynthesis). The chemoautotrophic oxidation of  $\text{NH}_4^+$  to  $\text{NO}_3^-$  is undertaken by Gram-negative bacteria (Bock et al., 1992; Schmidt & Belser, 1994). The sole energy source for these chemoautotrophic bacteria groups involves the oxidation of  $\text{NH}_4^+$  to  $\text{NO}_2^-$  and then to  $\text{NO}_3^-$  (Wood, 1986). With the exception of some strains of *Nitrobacter*, nitrifying bacteria use  $\text{CO}_2$  as their main C source (Bock, 1978; Matin, 1978). Some strains have the ability to grow mixotrophically, although the growth rate is relatively limited; this type of growth involves absorbing organic compounds (Matin, 1978; Krummel & Harms, 1982).




There have been no documented studies of bacteria directly converting  $\text{NH}_3$  to  $\text{NO}_2^-$  (Hooper et al, 1997). Rather, the alteration of N in nitrification by autotrophic bacteria involves two steps (Haynes, 1986). The first comprises the conversion of  $\text{NH}_4^+$  to  $\text{NO}_2^-$  with  $\text{NH}_2\text{OH}$  as an intermediary. The oxidation of  $\text{NH}_4^+$  to  $\text{NO}_2^-$  is achieved through a number of reactions. The first is the conversion of  $\text{NH}_4^+$  to  $\text{NH}_2\text{OH}$ , which involves  $\text{NH}_4^+$ ,  $\text{O}_2$  and reductant, as follows (Hollocker et al, 1981):



The second step is to convert  $\text{NH}_2\text{OH}$  to  $\text{NO}_2^-$ . In this reaction, water contributes its oxygen atom to the  $\text{NO}_2^-$ , as follows (Andersson & Hooper, 1983):



Nitrite is generally produced in soils by oxidisers of ammonia, but does not accumulate, as it is oxidised rapidly to nitrate by nitrite-oxidising bacteria. *Nitrobacter* is the dominant organism involved in this process (Laanbroek & Woldendorp, 1995), which uses intermediates, and again, the extra atom of oxygen is derived from water as follows (Aleem et al., 1964, Kumar et al., 1983):



### **2.1.6. Denitrification**


Denitrification refers to the microbial reduction of  $\text{NO}_3^-$  to  $\text{NO}_2^-$  and then to gaseous  $\text{NO}$ ,  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  and  $\text{N}_2$ . Under field conditions, not all of the intermediate gaseous products are always converted to  $\text{N}_2$  by one of the specific reductase enzymes involved, as portions of them escape to the atmosphere. Important determinants of the rate of denitrification in soil are the availability of  $\text{NO}_3^-$ , the supply of metabolizable C, soil moisture, soil aeration, soil pH and temperature (Brady, 1984). Most denitrification is accomplished by heterotrophic bacteria, and therefore the process is strongly dependent on C availability. Denitrification increased between 1900 and 2000 and is expected to increase again by 2050. Globally, the emissions of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  have increased correspondingly from 10 Tg N/yr in 1900 to 12 Tg N/yr in 2000 and it is predicted that they will reach 16 Tg N/yr by 2050 (Bouwman et al, 2013).

### **2.1.7. Recent amendments to the N cycle**

Over the last century, our understanding of the N cycle has vastly improved, especially where it concerns plant nutrition. Traditionally, it was believed that higher plants used mostly dissolved inorganic N (DIN; Hill et al, 2011c), possibly because crops required large amounts of inorganic N (Näsholm et al., 2009). Furthermore, the high microbial use of organic N led to the belief that plants would be poor competitors for this substrate (Lipson & Näsholm, 2001). Therefore, it was thought that plants were limited by the microbial mineralization and oxidation of DON to ammonium and nitrate in systems with low N conditions (Chapin, 1995; Hill et al., 2011b). However, in some studies, the inorganic N supplied to plants was insufficient to meet the N requirement of the plants (Jones et al, 2005b; Näsholm et al., 2009). One explanation for this shortfall

could be that calculated rates of N mineralization from laboratory experiments are lower than what occurs in situ. Alternatively, plants could take up the organic N fraction (Jones et al., 2005b). The latter possibility was explored in the early 1900s (e.g. Hutchinson & Miller, 1912), and more recently, Hill et al (2011) demonstrated plants' ability to take up amino acids and peptides. Inselsbacher and Näsholm (2012) found that amino acids could make up a large proportion of plant available N in soil solution in flux terms. Thus, instead of mineralization to ammonium being the limiting step in the N supply to the plant, the rate of protein cleavage into peptides and amino acids appears to be more important (Hill et al, 2011b). Evidence that microbes also take up peptides directly indicates that plants and microbes could be competing for this N resource (Farrell et al, 2011; Hill et al., 2012; Farrell et al., 2013). The direct uptake of microbes by plant roots does not appear to be a very important process in the plant acquisition of N, despite reports involving sterile cultures which have suggested the opposite (Hill et al., 2013). The uptake of inorganic and organic N sources is shown schematically in Fig 2.3.

The importance of DON for plant nutrition is still uncertain. It has been argued that it is only important due to the presence of mycorrhizal symbionts, or for plants in low temperature or low pH environments (Gårdenäs et al., 2011). However, it has been shown that DON uptake also can occur in non-mycorrhizal plants and in a number of ecosystems; whether this is important in comparison with inorganic N uptake requires further investigation (Jones et al., 2005; Näsholm et al., 2009; Gårdenäs et al., 2011; Kuzyakov & Xu, 2013).



**Fig. 2.3.** Schematic representation of plant root uptake of inorganic and organic sources of with the interactions of soil microbes and soil particles. Source: Hill et al. (2011a).

## 2.2. Dissolved organic N

N often represents the main nutrient regulating plant productivity in terrestrial ecosystems (Lambers et al., 1998). As shown above, our understanding of the inorganic N cycle in soil is good (Jarvis et al., 1996; Murphy et al., 2003). In contrast, however, the processes preceding  $\text{NH}_4^+$  production within the N cycle remain poorly understood.  $\text{NH}_4^+$  is produced from DON, which is defined as the soluble fraction of a soil's organic N. In most soils and freshwater, DON represents a major pool of soluble N, it has also been hypothesised to play an important role in determining vegetation development, especially in pristine ecosystems (Chapin et al., 1993; Raab et al., 1996). DON can also be defined as organic N held in the exchangeable phase of soil, and this fraction is

typically termed extractable organic N (Murphy et al., 2000). Experimentally, this is normally measured in concentrated KCl and K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> extracts of soil and quantification of the total amount of soluble organic N released. DON can contain many hundreds of different organic compounds which contain N. Of these, low-molecular weight (LMW) DON is thought to represent a significant source of N for microorganisms and some plants (Jones et al., 2005b).

With respect to controls on DON cycling, Jones et al (2004) argued that the conversion of insoluble organic N to LMW DON, but not of LMW DON to NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> or of NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> to NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, constitutes the main constraint on the supply of N to microbes in soil. The limiting step therefore appears to be the breakdown of protein into peptides and amino acids, which represent the main DON substrates for microbial uptake. Jones et al (2004) also showed that NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> accumulates rapidly in grassland soils, while the concentrations of NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>, LMW DON and free amino acid are maintained at low levels due to rapid microbial consumption. It follows that these neither determine nor control the rate of N mineralization in soil.

LMW DON represents a small portion of the overall DON in soil and it is the most available fraction for plant and microbial use (Jones, 1999; Jones et al., 2005b). The turnover rate of the LMW DON pool is rapid, whilst high-MW DON is less labile (Jones et al., 2004). This appears to be due to size rather than aromaticity, as it has been shown that the phenolic proportion of high-MW DON is not dissimilar to that of LMW DON (Jones et al., 2012). DON concentrations vary throughout the soil with areas of high concentration caused by the lysis of cells, high microbial activity or the addition of organic material from plants or animals (Jones et al., 2005b; Farrell et al., 2011). Plant roots can exploit these areas of high DON concentration.

DON and dissolved organic carbon (DOC) play important roles in many nutrient cycles within the soil and in pedogenic processes. DOC and DON derive from several sources, including wet and dry deposition, vegetation throughfall, root and microbial exudation, litter fall, urine and faeces, turnover of roots and microorganisms and organic fertiliser additions to soil (Kalbitz et al., 2000). Of these, plants provide the primary input of DON and DOC to the soil via root turnover and exudation (Jones & Darrah, 1994). Thus, plants can exert a substantial impact on the quantity and quality of DON and DOC cycling in soil; however, there seems to be little difference in the effects of individual grass species on total DON concentrations in soil (Khalid et al., 2007). DON comprises a wide range of different compounds ranging from LMW compounds such as amino sugars, amino acids, urea, and purines, to high-MW complexes such as proteins, DNA and chlorophyll (Antia et al., 1991; Jones et al., 2004). DON may also be created by abiotic fusions of chemicals in soil. Such secondary sources can generate a variety of high-MW recalcitrant polyphenolic materials (Stevenson, 1982). Although the ecosystem type can have a strong influence on DON concentrations in soil, studies have shown little effect of agricultural management style on DON fluxes (e.g. addition of fertiliser, drainage, crop type on turnover of amino acid; Jones et al., 2005b).

The size of the DON pool is simultaneously regulated both by adsorption-desorption processes and also by replenishment from external inputs and SOM breakdown (Kalbitz et al., 2000; Qualls, 2000; Gjettermann et al., 2008). Generally, DON is thought to be more susceptible to microbial attack if it is free in solution than if it is held on the soil's exchange phase due to the latter being both physically and chemically protected (Zsolnay, 2003; Jegajeevagen et al., 2013). From this perspective,



Ros et al (2009) argued that the EON and DON pools are neither analogous in size nor controlled by similar factors.

## **2.3. Introduction to peptides**

### **2.3.1. Amino acids**

A peptide can be defined as a polymer of amino acids linked by amide bonds between the carboxyl group and amino group of the next amino acid. Amino acids are molecules which comprise an amine group, a carboxylic acid group and a side chain. The side chain differs according to the type of amino acid. All amino acids contain H, C, O and N, but they may also contain other elements such as S. Twenty-two amino acids are readily incorporated into proteins (Creighton, 1993). These are termed standard amino acids; 20 of these are shown in Table 2.2. Amino acids may also be used to derive energy in times of C limitation (Sakami & Harrington, 1963). In addition to the 22 standard amino acids, there are numerous nonstandard amino acids. These are manufactured in organisms, and often have highly specific metabolic functions. Certain plants and microorganisms manufacture 3-aminopropanoic acid, for instance, which they then use to synthesise pantothenic acid (vitamin B<sub>5</sub>; Coxon et al., 2005).

**Table 2.2.** Common amino acids found in proteins (Taiz and Zeiger 2006).

<b>Amino acid</b>	<b>Charge / Polarity</b>	<b>Chemical formula</b>
Glycine	Neutral / Non-polar	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>3</sub> NO
Serine	Neutral / Polar	C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>5</sub> NO <sub>2</sub>
Cysteine	Neutral / Slightly polar	C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>5</sub> NOS
Tyrosine	Neutral / Polar	C <sub>9</sub> H <sub>9</sub> NO <sub>2</sub>
Phenylalanine	Neutral / Non-polar	C <sub>9</sub> H <sub>9</sub> NO
Tryptophan	Neutral / Slightly polar	C <sub>11</sub> H <sub>10</sub> N <sub>2</sub> O
Alanine	Neutral / Non-polar	C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>5</sub> NO
Leucine	Neutral / Non-polar	C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>11</sub> NO
Valine	Neutral / Non-polar	C <sub>5</sub> H <sub>9</sub> NO
Asparagine	Neutral / Polar	C <sub>4</sub> H <sub>6</sub> N <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub>
Aspartic acid	Acidic / Polar	C <sub>4</sub> H <sub>5</sub> NO <sub>3</sub>
Lysine	Basic / Polar	C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>12</sub> N <sub>2</sub> O
Methionine	Neutral / Non-polar	C <sub>5</sub> H <sub>9</sub> NOS
Threonine	Neutral / Polar	C <sub>4</sub> H <sub>7</sub> NO <sub>2</sub>
Isoleucine	Neutral / Non-polar	C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>11</sub> NO
Proline	Neutral / Non-polar	C <sub>5</sub> H <sub>7</sub> NO
Glutamic acid	Acidic / Polar	C <sub>5</sub> H <sub>7</sub> NO <sub>3</sub>
Glutamine	Neutral / Polar	C <sub>5</sub> H <sub>8</sub> N <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub>
Arginine	Basic / Polar	C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>12</sub> N <sub>4</sub> O
Histidine	Basic / Polar	C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>7</sub> N <sub>3</sub> O

### 2.3.2. Peptides

Peptides are essentially short-chain proteins. Proteins may be defined as polypeptides comprising a chain of 50 or more amino acids, and peptides may be defined as comprising a chain of less than 50 amino acids. Nevertheless, the distinction between peptides and proteins is blurred: Short proteins (e.g. insulin) can be considered peptides, and long peptides (e.g. amyloid beta peptide) can be considered proteins. In any event, peptides may be sub-classified according to their length: Dipeptides contain two amino acids, tripeptides contain three, tetrapeptides contain four and so on up to decapeptides, which contain 10. In addition, oligopeptides are said to contain fewer than 30 amino acids (Anfinsen et al, 1972).

Peptides involve peptide bonds. A peptide bond links the carboxylic acid group of one amino acid to the amine group of its neighbour. Thus, peptides always comprise alternating sequences of carboxylic acid and amine groups. The bond itself uses oxygen, and in the process of its formation, the two amino acids shed one molecule of water. This is termed dehydration synthesis.

There are five broad classes of peptide depending on how the peptides are manufactured. So-called milk peptides are those that derive from the digestion or fermentation of milk. In the case of digestion, milk peptides arise from the enzyme breakdown of lacto-proteins. In the case of fermentation, they arise from the proteinases (enzymes) of the bacteria responsible for the fermentation.

Ribosomal peptides are synthesised by the translation of mRNA. In higher organisms, they operate as hormones or other signalling molecules. They are also implicated in the synthesis of venom and toxins. Plants and yeasts may also synthesise ribosomal peptides as antibiotics (Duquesne et al., 2007). In some cases, ribosomal peptides may be modified by mRNA translation. In general, like proteins, ribosomal peptides are linear; however, a few have a nonlinear (e.g. lariat) structure.

Non-ribosomal peptides are synthesised by specific enzymes (Shabanpoor et al., 2009). One common example is glutathione, which is important in aerobic organisms in the protection against oxidative stress. Many microorganisms use modular enzyme complexes to synthesise non-ribosomal peptides. Such complexes can be very sophisticated and can produce peptides of highly complex (i.e. nonlinear) structure.

Peptones are made from meat or milk digested by proteolytic digestion. Proteolytic digestion is digestion within the cell and this uses proteinases. In general, peptones are small. In addition, proteolytic digestion often gives rise to diverse by-

products, including fats, minerals, vitamins and salts. For this reason, peptones are often used as a nutrient medium for bacterial cultures.

So-called peptide fragments are fragments of protein degraded by enzymes. They can be used for the purposes of identifying source proteins during forensic analysis. They are sometimes produced in laboratories, but may also occur naturally (Tong et al., 2008).

The above discussion illustrates that peptides represent a diverse family of chemicals that are vital for life, perform many essential but diverse biological functions and may be produced in a variety of ways. Because of this, they have attracted a great deal of research attention. However, only recently has their role in soil chemistry and biochemistry become a focus of study. Their possible role from this perspective is discussed below.

### **2.3.3. Peptide structure and function**

Peptides play a significant role in the life of humans and other organisms, including enzyme inhibitor/substrates, hormones, growth promoters, immunomodulating agents, antibiotics and neurotransmitters. Peptides are produced from 22 different  $\alpha$ -amino acids; however, the inclusion of non-proteinaceous amino acids (e.g. selenocysteine, pyrrolysine) in peptides is common. This inclusion gives rise to heteromeric configurations (Sewald & Jakkubke, 2009). In soil, peptides can be formed from D- or L-isomeric amino acids, or mixtures of the two. Ribosomes mediate the production of peptides involving L-amino acids, but not peptides involving D-amino acids, cyclic peptides or other non-proteinaceous building blocks. Over 300 such peptides are known to occur; the latter are referred to as non-ribosomal peptide

synthetases (NRPSs). NRPSs are commonly found in marine and soil bacteria, or in lower-order eukaryotes such as filamentous fungi and marine sponges. Actinobacteria, ascomycetes, bacillus, cyanobacteria and myxobacteria produce the majority of non-ribosomal peptides (Howl & Jones, 2009).

A number of non-ribosomal peptide-producing microbes are parasites or epiphytes of plants (Bender et al., 1999; Audenaert et al., 2002). NRPSs occur particularly in Gram-positive bacteria. Because of the presence of a variety of proteins and proteases in soil, millions of peptides might be present in solution. The peptides may extend from 2–10 amino acids short-chain oligopeptides to 10–100 amino acid residues. The length of the peptide chain is limited by non-ribosomal protein template synthesis (Zuber et al., 1993). The peptide backbone can be a combination of linear, cyclic and cyclic branches, and can be turned over by acylation, glycosylation or heterocyclic ring information. Many short linear chains contain turns; these are defined by whether 3, 4, 5 or 6 amino acids are involved in making the turn. Large peptides contain a helix-and-sheet conformation; this is controlled by the different side chains of the amino acid component. The linking of the amino acid in peptides to or peptide bonds gives rise to different isomers and conformational shapes.

Peptides perform vital functions in almost all processes in the living cell. Cell concentrations of peptides can range from  $10^{-9}$  to  $10^{-3}$  M. Because of their complex structures and ability to specifically bind to receptors, many are involved in the complex network of chemical signalling required for cellular coordination. In human systems, several peptides have been documented (e.g. insulin, melanotropin). However, we know relatively little about the function of peptides in the plant-soil system. Of those that have been well documented, tripeptide glutathione, a biological redox agent, occurs in most

cells of plants and microorganisms. It is involved in metabolism, transport and cellular protection against oxidative stress. Senwo and Tabatabai (1998) showed that the peptide amino acid composition of the corresponding size fraction often differs between cultivated and uncultivated soils of the same series; they also showed that long-term cropping systems or cultivation may alter the quantitative and qualitative nature of the amino acid fraction in soils. Cropping systems involving legumes have been shown to increase the N content of the organic matter in soil.

Over 500 microbial produced toxic peptides have been identified (e.g. from *Bacillus* sp., *Actinomyces* sp. and phallotoxins and amatoxins produced by *Amanita* fungi; Wieland, 1986) and are used for defence purposes or in the struggle for limited resources. Many have been shown to be biologically active at nM concentrations. Fungi and bacteria produce many peptide antibiotics to kill or inhibit the growth of other microorganisms (e.g., bacitracins, polymyxins; Kleinkauf & Von Dohren, 1990; Jack et al., 1998). Antimicrobial defence peptides (27 to 84 amino acid residues in length) have also been documented in plants that are toxic to Gram-positive and negative bacteria, yeast and fungi (e.g. thionin; Montesinos, 2007). However, fewer plant defence-related peptides have been identified than are produced by microorganisms.

Many peptide antibiotics contain D-amino acids and non-proteinaceous amino acids, making them more resistant to proteolysis. In addition, many are cyclic and branched-cyclic peptidolactones and depsipeptides, structures not found in animal cells, again making them less prone to enzymatic cleavage and deactivation. Some are simple dipeptides (e.g. bacilysin and alaphosphin), while other peptides contain up to 20 amino acid residues (e.g. thiostrepton, alamethicin). The antibiotic peptides target various aspects of metabolism; these include nucleic acid and protein biosynthesis (e.g.

streptotogramins), lipid stability (e.g. thiostrepton, alamethicins), energy production (e.g. gramicidins), cell wall biosynthesis (e.g. bacteriocins, bacitracin A) and nutrient uptake (e.g. gramicidins; James et al., 1992). Gramicidin S is a cyclic decapeptide antibiotic which acts on Gram-positive bacteria. It comprises two repeating pentapeptide sequences.

In higher organisms, antimicrobial peptides (AMPs) are proteins of low MW. They exhibit broad spectrum antimicrobial activity against bacteria, viruses, and fungi. Most AMPs are positively charged and have both a hydrophobic and a hydrophilic side. This enables the molecule to be soluble in an aqueous environment, yet also enter lipid-rich membranes (Montesinos, 2007). Once in a target microbial membrane, the peptide uses a variety of mechanisms to kill target cells. Cathelicidins and defensins are major groups of epidermal cell targeting AMPs.

#### **2.3.4. The interaction of N forms with soil**

The interaction of amino acids and peptides with soil particles is more complicated than it is for inorganic N. The sorption of  $\text{NH}_4^+$  to soil is strong, whilst that of  $\text{NO}_3^-$  is weak; this leads to  $\text{NO}_3^-$  being more available than  $\text{NH}_4^+$ , as well as more prone to leaching. The adsorption of amino acids to the soil particles depends on the charge on the compound. Positively charged amino acids bind more strongly than neutral amino acids, which in turn are adsorbed more strongly than negatively charged ones (Jones & Hodge, 1999). The charge on amino acids and peptides depends on the pH of the soil solution, as they are amphoteric. They are neutral when the soil solution pH nears 7, whereas in acidic soils they become positively charged, as they are protonated; in basic soils, they are negatively charged (Tan, 2010). Adsorption can

occur due to Van der Waal's forces, dipole-dipole attraction, hydrogen bonds and covalent bonds (White, 2006); evidence for the latter was observed by Hsu and Hatcher (2005) between a pentapeptide and humic acids. A higher concentration of amino acid leads to greater sorption (Jones & Hodge, 1999). High-MW amino acids and peptides are more strongly adsorbed (Dashman & Stotzky, 1982, 1984). Although an increase in the chain length of peptides has not been shown to consistently increase sorption, a peptide with more functional groups has been shown to have higher sorption (Dashman & Stotzky, 1984). The clay content and composition of the soil is also an important factor determining adsorption potential (Dashman & Stotzky, 1982). The greater the MW of a compound, the more slowly it passes through the plasma membrane in plant roots (Kielland, 1994). The more strongly organic N binds to soil particles, the less available it will be to microbes and plants. Thus availability of amino acids and peptides depends on their concentration, structure and soil type (Kielland, 1994).

### **2.3.5. Peptide transport**


#### ***2.3.5.1. Amino acid and peptide transporter***

Peptide transport systems are varied and ubiquitous in nature and occur in prokaryotic bacteria, eukaryotic microorganisms, animals and plants. As peptides represent an important nutrient source, transport systems are required to move them into the cell where they can enter the metabolism. In certain cases, their importance in nutrition surpasses that of amino acids (Payne, 1980). Payne and Smith (1994) pointed out that researchers interested in the N cycle have largely ignored the role of microorganisms in the transportation of peptides. Most computer models describing terrestrial N cycling, for instance, do not consider the possibility of uptake of larger-MW N-containing compounds. It is therefore both timely and necessary to understand



the role of peptides in soil N cycling (Payne, 1980). Although many researchers have characterised amino acid transport systems in microorganisms, comparatively little work exists on peptide transport. However, as early as 1980, peptide transport systems were known to occur, not just in prokaryotic and eukaryotic microorganisms, but also in higher plant and animal cells. Current research suggests extensive conservation of structural and functional features within and between peptide transport systems and related transporters and channels (Payne & Smith, 1994).

The uptake of amino acids can occur in two ways. The first involves the direct uptake of individual amino acids via membrane transporters; the second comprises extracellular deamination followed by separate uptake of the amine and acid group (Barraclough, 1997). As occurs for amino acid utilisation, simple peptides are utilised by microorganisms, and again there are two ways in which the uptake can occur. In the first, the peptide is transported into the cell and subsequently cleaved into individual amino acid units. On the other hand, in the second, the peptides are split by extracellular enzymes (e.g. peptidases) and transported into the cell as free amino acids (Payne, 1980; see Fig 4). Peptide transport across membranes is energy dependent and is largely limited to small peptides of 2–6 residues long. Peptide transport systems can also transport a range of peptide derivatives. The molecular weight of peptides depend on the number of their amino acid and in our study the LMW was less than 1 KDa and the HMW more 1KDa.



**Fig. 2.4.** Peptide transport (A) direct transport of peptides into the cell and (B) uptake with transporter after extracellular enzymatic cleavage to amino acid monomer units. (D.L. Jones, unpublished).

The transport system can be divided into two groups according to their energy source (Stacey et al., 2002) as follows:

- The ATP-binding cassette family ABC-type. This group uses ATP hydrolysis to drive uptake.
- The PTR peptide transporter and oligopeptide transporter (OPT) families. This group uses the proton motive force (PMF) to drive transport.

The ABC superfamily is the biggest family which has been identified. It is found in eukaryotes, prokaryotes and archaea. The ABC substrates are as various as the organisms in which they have been observed. The substrates range from small ions to large macromolecules, including peptides. Classification into the ABC family depends

on the presence of one or two ATP-binding domains (Stacey et al., 2002, 2006). In plants, many ABC transporters have been found; however, their roles are not well understood (Lu et al., 1998; Sanchez-Fernandez et al., 2001). PTR peptide transport, like ABC transport, is found in eukaryotes and prokaryotes and involves the transport a wide range of N-containing substrates, including peptides, amino acids and nitrate (Williams & Miller, 2001). Peptide transport by PTR is limited to dipeptides and tripeptides (Waterworth & Bray, 2006; Komarova et al., 2008). OPT transporters differ from PTR transporters in that the former recognise tetra- and pentapeptides, whereas the latter recognise only di- and tripeptides (Stacey et al., 2006).

Genes have been found in the plant *Arabidopsis thaliana* for the transportation of amino acids and peptides (Fischer et al., 1998; Arabidopsis Genome Initiative, 2000). The amino acid transporters are quite general (Senwo & Tabatabai, 1997). There are two types – one takes up neutral and acidic amino acids, whilst the other transports basic amino acids (Gärdenäs et al., 2011). Current research on the genome of *Arabidopsis* has shown peptide transporters that can transport peptides up to five amino acids in length using energy-dependent carriers (Steiner et al., 1994). PTR genes expressed in the peripheral cells of plant roots show the potential for peptide uptake and transport around plants (Waterworth & Bray 2006). A list of amino acid and peptide transporters is given in Table 2.3.

**Table 2.3.** Amino acid and peptide transporters found in plants (Tegeger and Rentsch 2010).

<b>Family</b>	<b>Substrate</b>
<b><i>Amino acid transporter family</i></b>	
LHT (lysine histidine transporter)	Neutral and acidic amino acids, lysine and histidine
ProT (proline transporter)	Proline, quaternary ammonium compounds
ANT1 (aromatic-neutral amino acid transporter)	Neutral and aromatic amino acids
GAT (aminobutyric acid transporter)	GABA and related compounds
<b><i>APC amino acid – polyamine – choline</i></b>	
CAT (cationic amino acid transporter)	Neutral and cationic amino acids
BAT (bidirectional amino acid transporter)	Alanine, arginine, glutamate and lysine
<b><i>PRAT preprotein and amino acid transport</i></b>	
OEP (plastid outer envelope protein)	Amino acids, amines, charged amino acids
<b><i>MCF mitochondrial carrier family</i></b>	
BAC (basic amino acid carrier)	Arginine, lysine, ornithine, histidine
<b><i>DASS divalent anion: Na<sup>+</sup> symporter</i></b>	
DiT (dicarboxylate transport)	Exchange glutamate/malate
<b><i>PTR/NRT1 peptide transporter</i></b>	
PTR1 (peptide transporter)	di- and tripeptides, histidine
<b><i>OPT oligopeptide transporter</i></b>	
OPT (oligopeptide transporter)	Tetra- and penta peptides

Peptides are potentially the first stage in the breakdown of protein, where plants and microbes can take up N. Therefore, this stage could be the most important in the competition between plants and microorganisms for this resource (Hill et al., 2011b). This is supported by the existence of different transporter groups. It has been shown that *Hakea actites* and *A. thaliana* can take up intact proteins (Paungfoo-Lonhienne et al., 2008). However, it has been suggested that this occurs too slowly to support plant growth. It has also been shown that roots can take up intact microbes, but again this does not seem to be a large enough N source to be relevant for plant growth (Hill et al., 2013). This has been proposed to be due to either root-derived proteases or root endocytosis rather than transporters. The 20 types of amino acid can produce 8000 different tripeptides, over 150,000 tetrapeptides and in excess of three million

pentapeptides. Thus, many possible substrates are available to be taken up by peptide transporters (Waterworth & Bray, 2006).

#### ***2.3.5.2. Peptide transport in bacteria***

As indicated, peptides are important for all organisms. However, research suggests that in microorganisms, peptide transport is more direct and extensive than in other organisms. The research suggests that microorganisms show a growth response to a range of peptides; this helps to explain different aspects of peptide transport and utilisation by microorganisms (Sussman and Glivarge, 1971; Crampton, 1972; Barak & Gilvarge, 1975a; Meredith & Boyed, 2000). Peptide transport can occur independently from amino acid uptake (Cohen & Rickenburg, 1956). Gram-negative bacteria cell walls comprise a peptidoglycan layer and an outer membrane. The wall is a bilayer. The membrane helps to protect the cell, yet simultaneously allows passage of nutrient and waste products through it (Lugtenberg & Van Alphen, 1983; Nikaido & Vaara, 1985; Nakae, 1986). The region called the periplasm is located between the cytoplasm membrane and the outer membrane. Within the periplasm, there is a variety of proteins. These are important for many transport systems, including peptide permeases (Braun, 1975).

The Gram-positive bacteria cell wall is simpler than the Gram-negative bacteria cell wall. It comprises a cytoplasmic membrane overlaid by polymers. The polymers comprise peptidoglycan and teichuronic acid. There is no periplasm layer in Gram-positive bacteria. The transport system in microbes involves the uptake of exogenous peptides and other nutrients found within the cytoplasmic membrane (Payne & Smith, 1994).

Research on peptide size suggests that the overall length of a peptide determines whether it can be utilised. This appears to be true of *Escherichia coli* (Smith et al., 1970), *Pseudomonas putida* (Cascieri & Mallette, 1976a), *Streptococcus lactis* (Rice et al., 1978) and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (Naidler et al., 1974; Marder et al., 1977). These studies suggest that the transport of small peptides is widespread in Gram-positive and negative bacteria, yeasts and fungi (Hagting et al., 1994; Saier, 2000). Research by Gilvarg and Levin (1972) on the toxic peptide triornithine has identified two distinct transport mechanisms for dipeptides and oligopeptides; the researchers also suggested that the carbonyl group is an important structural feature in dipeptide transport. It has been shown that trialanine can have faster uptake per unit N than dialanine (Farrell et al., 2013).

The peptide's structure is also important in determining its nutritional value of with the incorporation of amino acid analogues, or the presence of D-amino acids diminishing or even destroying its nutritional quality (Gilvarg & Katchalski, 1965; Losik & Gilvarg, 1966). Peptide utilisation for nutrition after the peptide has entered the cell is contingent on intracellular peptide activity. Peptidases, however, appear unessential for uptake (Kessel & Lubin, 1963).

Glivarge et al (1972) studied *E. coli* exposed to homologous oligopeptides compared to requisite amino acid using heteropeptides, and measured the subsequent bacterial growth response. Their result suggests that the transport is mediated by systems that exhibit properties such as competition and structural specificity. These appear similar to those involved in the transport of LMW molecules such as amino acids and sugars. There appear to be four main ways by which peptides can traverse the cytoplasm membrane of microorganisms:

1. *Passive diffusion*: The molecules move across the cell membrane by random motion. They do not bind to any membrane component. Passive diffusion does not allow net movement against the gradient, but does allow net movement down a gradient. In general, passive diffusion plays a very minor to insignificant role in peptide uptake, although small, neutral peptides may use it.
2. *Facilitated diffusion*: This is achieved by specific carriers binding with the molecules to make the movement easier during the passage across the membrane. Diverse models have been suggested for the carriers, including pores lined with ligands to achieve substrate specificity. Facilitated diffusion has no direct requirement for energy. It does not allow for net movement against a gradient;
3. *Group translocation*: This comprises catalysis of the modification of a substrate that crosses the membrane. Group translocation allows net movement against a gradient. An example of group translocation is the accumulation of phosphorylated sugar by certain bacteria by means of a phosphoenolpyruvate for particular sugars (Roseman, 1972). Group translocation, however, requires energy. There have been no reported cases of peptides being transported by group translocation in microorganisms.
4. *Active transport*: This is similar to facilitated diffusion, except that it is coupled with metabolic energy. It increases the concentration of a substrate against an electrochemical gradient. The present study indicates that peptides are taken up by bacteria by active transport.

Recent studies have shown that peptides are rapidly taken up from soil solution and that this process is largely biotic (Ge et al., 2013). L-peptides have been shown to

have a half-life in soil solution of less than 1 min (Hill et al., 2012). D-peptides are more slowly removed than L-peptides, but their uptake is still rapid, between 10 and 36 min (Hill et al., 2013). Half-life measured using mineralization are slower than this due to a delay between uptake and mineralization. Such half-lives have been found to be around 1 h for trialanine in soils from around the world, whilst glutamate-phenylalanine has a half-life of  $6.7 \pm 1.5$  h and valine-proline-proline has a half-life of  $9.4 \pm 2.2$  h in Chinese cultivated soils (Farrell et al., 2011; Ge et al., 2013; Farrell et al., 2013). Other types of peptides may have different half-lives.

Whether soil microbe's uptake peptide in preference to amino acids is unclear. Farrell et al (2011) found no effect of increased peptide concentrations on the alanine mineralization rate constant, whilst Hill et al (2012) found decreased alanine uptake at high peptide levels. Peptide mineralization to  $\text{NH}_4^+$  was found to occur at a similar rate to that of amino acids (half-life =  $30.3 \pm 4.2$  days compared to  $28.9 \pm 5$  days) and this was faster than for proteins (Jones & Kielland, 2012). The uptake rate of N appears to be greater for longer peptide chain lengths (Farrell et al., 2011; Hill et al., 2012). It has been suggested that the uptake of peptides may be partly controlled by soil organic carbon (Farrell et al., 2013). More research is needed to get a clearer picture of the fluxes of the many potential soil peptides and what soil characteristics affect them.

#### ***2.3.5.3. Plant uptake of amino acids***

More research has been undertaken on amino acid uptake than peptide uptake. Plants from many ecosystems, including the arctic tundra and salt marshes, have been examined for amino acid uptake (Kielland, 1994; Schimel & Chapin, 1996; Henry & Jefferies, 2003). It was found that 31 boreal plants had the ability to take up amino acids (Persson & Näsholm, 2001). Glycine, a commonly occurring amino acid in soil, can be




taken up by temperate grasses (Streeter et al., 2000). Moreover, glycine uptake from hydroponic solution has been shown for *Lupinus albus* and *Leucadendron lauratum* which have adapted to soils with the low N content in the Fynbos biome (Hawkins et al., 2005). Although most studies on amino acid uptake have focussed on plants from low N soils, agricultural and temperate grassland plants have also been shown to take up amino acids.

Examples of agricultural species include a plant-soil microcosm study by Ge et al (2011) which showed that a tomato cultivar was able to take up 21% of N in the form of dual-labelled ( $^{13}\text{C}$ ,  $^{15}\text{N}$ ) glycine from a 100  $\mu\text{M}$  solution. Wheat was found to capture 6% of a 100  $\mu\text{M}$  solution of lysine, glycine and glutamate, while the rest was captured by the microbial community in the microcosms (Owen & Jones, 2001). There is simple evidence to suggest that amino acid uptake is a widespread ability among plants and can occur with or without mycorrhizal associations. How important amino acid uptake is for plant nutrition remains a debated issue; one important aspect is whether or not there is significant flux through the amino acid pool. Jones et al (2005b) hypothesised that amino acid uptake by plants may mainly occur for the recapture of root exudation; competition by microbes, which are intensely active in the rhizosphere, may also result in lower rates of amino acid uptake by plants in the field. Variation in the demand for individual amino acids by microbes exists within the soil. For example, Lipson et al (1999) demonstrated that glycine is less demanded by the microbial community, which relates to increased availability and consequent uptake, for the alpine sedge *Kobresia myosuroides*. Plant roots are more long lived than microbes, which may convey an advantage over longer timescales for the capture of amino acids.

#### ***2.3.5.4. Peptide transport by plants***

Peptide transport in plants involves the translocation of peptides 2–6 residues in length across the cellular membrane and is an energy-dependent process. Although not well studied until recently, one of the first demonstrations of peptide transport in plants was by Higgins and Payne (1981), who established the presence of a millimolar concentration of small peptides in the endosperm of germinating cereal grain and their ability to be taken up into cells. More recent research has also indicated that the peptides are important in the control of plant cell differentiation and organogenesis, where they appear to be important nutrients (Stacey et al., 2002).

As indicated in the above discussion on peptide transport in microorganisms, research suggests the existence of two families of peptide transporter (OPTs and PTRs) in plant growth and development (Waterworth & Bray, 2006). Higgins (1992) also added to these two families the ATP-binding ABC superfamily. The ABC family of peptide transporters includes the recently identified *Arabidopsis* GSH s-conjugate transporters, AtMRP1 to AtMRP4 (Lu et al., 1997, 1998; Sanchez-Fernandez et al., 1998). Higgins and Payne (1982) argued that transporting peptides is more efficient for N distribution than transporting individual amino acids. Higgins and Payne (1982) also argued that this is especially true of long-distance transportation during the bulk movement of protein-degradation products (e.g. during leaf senescence, seed germination, etc.). Because they contain N, peptides might also protect amino acids from catabolism by enzymes in the phloem during transport within the plant (Higgins & Payne, 1980; see Figure 2.5).



**Fig. 2.5.** Function of amino acid and peptide transporters in *A. thaliana* (Tegeder and Rentsch, 2010) (A) Root uptake. (B) Xylem–phloem transfer. (C) Import into mesophyll cells (mc). (D) Seed loading. (E) Function of transporters in phloem.

Research confirms that peptides have a role in within-plant signalling. They are important in cell differentiation, plant development and plant defence (Schaller, 1999; Pearce et al., 2001; Matabyashi et al., 2002; Karim et al., 2007; Gray et al., 2008; Haruta et al., 2008). This is in addition to the role peptides play in providing plants with N. Research also suggests the possibility that communication between bacteroids and plants in the root nodules of legumes occurs via peptides; this last possibility, however, has not been confirmed (Mergaert et al., 2003).

Carnivorous plants can utilise organic compounds as a source of N. It was demonstrated by Darwin in 1875 that *Utricularia* and *Drosera* exhibit enhanced growth and reproductive capacity when supplied with animal proteins. The peptide transportation generated from insect digestion is implicated in the N nutrition in

carnivorous plants such as *Nepenthes* (Schulze et al., 1999), and carnivorous behaviour is an important means of obtaining N (Lloyd, 1942). However, a digested insect may also supply P, S and other elements to the growing plant (Chandler & Anderson, 1976a).

In *Arabidopsis* seedlings, root growth is inhibited by toxic dipeptides due to their uptake by root PTRs (Steiner et al., 1994). There are many recent reports of PTRs in roots, and a mutant *Arabidopsis* not expressing PTRs has been found to have reduced growth and N content relative to controls when grown on dipeptides as a sole N source (Komarova et al., 2008; Paungfoo-Lonhienne et al., 2009).

#### **2.3.6. Amino acid and peptide exudation from cells**

If material, including peptides and amino acids, can enter cells by passive diffusion, then in theory at least, it can also leave it by passive diffusion. Indeed, early work on the subject suggested that at least amino acids may leave the cell in this manner (e.g. Payne, 1980). How significant amino acid and peptide efflux is in soil, and particularly from microorganisms, is uncertain, and currently no research has demonstrated its occurrence in soils. Nevertheless, this remains a possibility. Thus, it should be noted that it is the net influx (i.e. the balance between influx and efflux) which should be considered when investigating transport processes.

#### **2.3.7. Methods of quantifying peptides in soil**

The isolation and quantification of peptides from soil samples is fraught with difficulty, and there are no accepted standards for performing these tasks. Peptides can easily and quickly be destroyed by enzymes such as proteases, peptidases and deaminases, which are co-extracted with the peptides. Consequently, heat-treated or

untreated biological samples are often extracted with cold HCl (ca. 0.1 to 0.5 M) to minimise this degradation. The peptides are then purified and a range of techniques is applied to determine their MW and amino acid sequence. Peptides can also be hydrolysed to determine the amount of each amino acid present (Stevenson, 1982). Another approach is to use ultrafiltration equipped with specific MW cut-off membranes. This assumes that oligopeptides have a maximum size of ca. 1000 MW and polypeptides have a maximum size of 10,000 MW.

### **2.3.8. Peptide sorption**

Research has shown that some peptides and proteins can become associated with mineral surfaces in soil and with polyphenols. These bound N forms may persist in soil, such that they are subject neither to uptake nor decomposition (Knicker et al., 2000; Kleber et al., 2007). Information about the sorption behaviour of peptides in soils, however, is sparse. In contrast, much research has been carried out on the adsorption of  $\text{NH}_4^+$  and amino acids by clay minerals. The results of such research suggest that the degree of adsorption is a function of the peptides' basicity and MW, with basicity being more important. The type of clay is also relevant. Montmorillonite adsorbs higher levels of peptide than does kaolinite or illite (Greenland et al., 1961).

Recent research on poly-amino acid indicates that polymers of basic, positively charged amino acids (e.g. poly-lysine) bind more strongly to negative charged minerals such as montmorillonite. Conversely, polymers of acidic, negatively charged amino acids (e.g. poly-asparagine) interact more strongly with minerals with positively charged surfaces such as goethite (Ding & Henrichs, 2002). Thus, peptide sorption in soil appears to be a function of both the mineral surface and the peptide functional

groups available for binding. Some studies have reported that peptide size influences adsorption, with greater size being associated with greater adsorption. These researchers also found little difference between mineral and organic soils (Greenland et al., 1961, 1965b). However, Dashman and Stotzky (1984) found a negative correlation between peptide size and adsorption, where small peptides were adsorbed more readily. Similarly, Bujdak et al (2006) suggest a negative correlation between peptide adsorption in soil, but only of small peptides of the same isomer (e.g. L-Ala-L-Ala compared to L-Ala-D-Ala) onto clay particles in suspension. Research on this last point is lacking, however, and it is clearly an area for further investigation.

## **References:**

- Abuzinadah, R.A., Read, D.J., 1986. The role of proteins in the nitrogen nutrition of ectomycorrhizal plants. I. Utilization of peptides and proteins by ectomycorrhizal fungi. *New Phytologist* 103, 481-493.
- Aleem, M.I.H., Lees, H., Lyric, R., 1964. Ammonium oxidation by cell free extract of *Aspergillus wentii* *Canadian Journal of Biochemistry* 42, 989-998.
- Alexander, M., Wiley, J., 1961. *Introduction to soil microbiology*. Wiley. New York., pp. 248-272.
- Alves, R.J., Wanek, W., Zappe, A., Richter, A., Svenning, M.M., Schleper, C., Urich, T., 2013. Nitrification rates in Arctic soils are associated with functionally distinct populations of ammonia-oxidizing archaea. *Microbial Ecology* 8, 1620-31.
- Ames, G.F.L., Joshi, A.K., 1990. Energy coupling in bacterial periplasmic permeases. *Bacteriol* 172, 4133-4137.

- Andersson, K.K., Hooper, A.B., 1983. O<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O are each the source of one O in HNO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> produced from NH<sub>3</sub> by *Nitrosomonas*: <sup>15</sup>N-NMR evidence. FEBS Letters 164, 236-240.
- Anita, N.J., Harrison, P.J., Oliverira, L., 1991. The role of dissolved organic nitrogen in phytoplankton nutrition. Cell Biology and Ecology 30, 1-89.
- Anfinsen, C.B., Edsall, J.T., Richards, F.M., 1972. Advances in protein chemistry. New York: Academic Press.
- Audenaert, K., Pattery, T., Cornelis, P., Hofte, M., 2002. Induction of systemic resistance to *Botrytis cinerea* in tomato by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* 7NSK2: role of salicylic acid pyochelin and pyocyanin, Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions 15, 1147-1156.
- Bai, E., Li, S., Xu, W., Li, W., Dai, W., Jiang, P., 2013. A meta-analysis of experimental warming effects on terrestrial nitrogen pools and dynamics. New Phytologist 199, 441-451.
- Barak, Z., Gilvarg, C., 1975. Peptide transport. In: Eisenberg, H., Katchalski-Katzir, E., Manson, L.A. (Eds), Biomembranes, Plenum, New York., pp.167-218.
- Bardgett, R.D., Streeter, T.C., Bol, R., 2003. Soil microbes compete effectively with plants for organic-nitrogen inputs to temperate grasslands. Ecology 84, 1277-1287.
- Barraclough, D., 1997. The direct or MIT route for nitrogen immobilization: a <sup>15</sup>N mirror image study with leucine and glycine. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 29, 101-108.

- Becker, J.M., Naider, F., Katchalski, E., 1973. Peptide utilization in yeast studies on methionine and lysine auxotrophs of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta* 291, 388-397.
- Becker, J.M., Naider, F., 1977. Uptake of radioactive trimethionine in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Archives of Biochemistry and Biophysics* 178, 245-255.
- Becker, J.M., Naider, F., 1980. Transport and utilization of peptides by yeast. *Microorganisms and Nitrogen Sources*. Payne, J.W (Ed.), New York: John Wiley and Sons, Inc., pp. 257-279.
- Benbi, D.K., Richter, J., 2002. A critical review of some approaches to modelling nitrogen mineralization. *Biology and Fertility of Soils* 35, 168-183.
- Bender, C.L., Alarcon-chavez, F., Gross, D.C., 1999. *Pseudomonas syringae* phytotoxins: Mode of action, regulation and biosynthesis by peptide and polypeptide syntheses. *Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews*. 63, 266-292.
- Benjdia, S., Tegeder, M.A.M., Rikirsch, E., Muller, T., Morel, M., Corratage, C., Zimmermann, N.D., Frommer, W.B., 2003. An expression cDNA library for suppression cloning in yeast mutants, complementation of a yeast *his4* mutant and EST analysis from the symbiotic basidiomycete *Hebeloma cylindrosporum*. *Genome* 46, 177-181.
- Bock, E., 1978. Lithotrophic and chemoorganotrophic growth of nitrifying bacteria. In: *Microbiology*. American Society of Microbiology, Schlessinger, D. (Ed.), Washington, D.C. 310-314.
- Bock, E., Koops, H.P., Ahler, B., Harms, H., 1992. Oxidation of inorganic nitrogen compounds as energy source. In: Balows, A., Truper, H.G., Dworkin, M., Harder, W., Schleifer, K.H. (Eds). *The prokaryotes. A handbook on the biology of*



- bacteria: Ecophysiology, isolation, identification, applications. Springer Verlag, New York, 2nd ed., 414-430.
- Bouwman, A.F., 2013. Global trends and uncertainties in terrestrial denitrification and N<sub>2</sub>O emissions. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society. B* 368, 20130112.
- Brady, N.G., 1984. The nature and properties of soils. Macmillan. London., pp. 285-300.
- Brady, N. G., Weil, R. R., 1996. The nature and properties of soils. 11th ed., Prentice-Hall, Upper Saddle River, N. J., pp. 322-340
- Braun, V., 1975. Covalent lipoprotein from the outer membrane of *Escherichia coli*. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta* 415, 335-377.
- Broadbent, F.E., 1984. Plant use of soil nitrogen. In: Hauk, R.D. (Ed.), Nitrogen in crop production. American Society of Agronomy, Madison, Wis., pp. 171-182.
- Bruun, S., Luxhoi, J., Magid, J., Neergaard, A., Jensen, L.S., 2006. Nitrogen mineralisation model based on relationships for gross mineralisation and immobilization. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 38, 2712-2721.
- Buckeridge, K.M., Grogan, P. 2008. Deepened snow alters soil microbial nutrient limitations in arctic birch hummock tundra. *Applied Soil Ecology* 39, 210-222.
- Bujdak, J., Remko, M., Rode, B.M., 2006. Selective adsorption and reactivity of dipeptide stereoisomers in clay mineral suspension. *Colloid and Interface Science* 294, 304-308.
- Cascieri, T., Mallette, M.F., 1976. Peptide utilization by *Pseudomonas putida* and *Pseudomonas maltophilia*. *Journal of General Microbiology* 92, 283-295.

- Chalot, M., Frommer, W.B., Wipf, D., 2006. Peptide uptake in the ectomycorrhizal fungus *Hebeloma cylindrosporum*: characterisation of two di- and tripeptide transporters (HcPTR2A and B). *New Phytologist* 170, 401-410.
- Chandler, G. E., Anderson, J.W., 1976. Uptake and metabolism of insect metabolites by leaves and tentacles of *Drosera* sp. *New Phytologist* 76, 129-141.
- Chapin, F.S., Moilanen, L., Kielland, K., 1993. Preferential use of organic nitrogen for growth by non-mycorrhizal arctic sedge. *Nature* 361, 1550-1553.
- Chapin, F.S. 1995. New cog in the nitrogen cycle. *Nature*, 377, 199-200.
- Clarholm, M., 1985. Interactions of bacteria, protozoa and plants leading to mineralization of soil-nitrogen. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 17, 181-187.
- Cohen, R. 1956. Peptide transport in bacteria and the mammalian gut. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta* 3, 625-634.
- Cookson, W.R., Cornforth, I.S., Rowarth, J.S., 2002. Winter soil temperature (2-15 degree C) effects on nitrogen transformation in clover green manure amended or unamended soils; a laboratory and field study. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 34, 1401-1415.
- Cote, L., Brown, S., Pare, D., Fyles, J., Bauhus, J., 2000. Dynamics of carbon and nitrogen mineralization in relation to stand type stand age and soil texture in the boreal mixed wood. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 32, 1079-1090.
- Coxon, K.M., Chakauya, E., Ottenhof, H. H., Whitney, H.M., Blundell, T, L., Abell, C., 2005. Pantothenate biosynthesis in higher plants. *Biochemical Society Transactions* 33, 743-746.
- Crampton R.F., 1972. Symposium on peptide transport in bacteria and the mammalian gut. *Assoc. Scientific Publishers Amsterdam*, pp. 1-7.

- Creighton, T.H. 1993. *Proteins: Structures and Molecular Properties*. San Francisco: W. H. Freeman & Co., New York, pp. 147-148.
- Dashman, T., Stotzky, G., 1982. Adsorption and binding of amino acids on homoionic montmorillonite and kaolinite. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 14, 447-456.
- Dashman, T., Stotzky, G., 1984. Adsorption and binding of peptides on homoionic montmorillonite and kaolinite. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 16, 51-55.
- Dapena-Mora, A., Fernández, I., Campos, J.L., Mosquera-Corral, A., Méndez, R., Jetten, M.S.M., 2007. Evaluation of activity and inhibition effects on anammox process by batch tests based on the nitrogen gas production. *Enzyme and Microbial Technology* 40, 859–865.
- Davies, M.B., 1980. Peptide uptake in *Candida albicans* . *General Microbiology* 121, 181.
- De Boer, W., Kowatchuk, G.A., 2001. Nitrification in acid: microorganisms and mechanisms. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 33, 853-866.
- Dessureault-Romppe, J., Zebarth, B.J., Georgallas, A., Buton, D.L., Grant, C.A., Drury, C.F., 2010. Temperature dependence of soil nitrogen mineralization rate : Comparison of mathematical models, reference temperatures and origin of the soils. *Geoderma* 157, 79-108.
- Dilly, O., Blume, H.P., Sehy, U., Jimenez, M., Munch, J.C., 2003. Variation of stabilized, microbial and biologically active carbon and nitrogen in soil under contrasting land use and agriculture management practices. *Chemosphere* 52, 557-569.
- Ding, X., Henrichs, S.M., 2002. Adsorption and desorption of proteins and palomino acids by clay minerals and marine sediments. *Marine Chemistry* 77, 225-237.

- Doxtader, K.G., 1965. Nitrification by heterotrophic microorganisms. PhD thesis, Cornell University, Ithaca, N Y, USA.
- Drury, C.F., 2010. Temperature dependence of soil nitrogen mineralization rate: Comparison of mathematical models, reference temperatures and origin of the soil. *Geoderma* 157, 97-108.
- Duquesne, S., Destoumieux-Garzón, D., Peduzzi, J., Rebuffat, S., 2007. Microcins, gene-encoded antibacterial peptides from enterobacteria. *Natural Product Reports* 24, 708–34.
- Erismann, J.W., Bleeker, A., Galloway, J., Sutton, M.S., 2007. Reduced nitrogen in ecology and the environment. *Environmental Pollution* 150, 140-149.
- Eyler, O.R., Schmidt, E.L., 1959. A survey of heterotrophic microorganism from soil for ability to form nitrite and nitrate. *Journal of General Microbiology* 20, 473-481.
- Farrell, M., Hill, P.W., Wanniarachchi, S.D., Farrar, J., Bardgett, R.D., Jones, D.L., 2011. Rapid peptide metabolism: a major component of soil nitrogen cycling? *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, GB3014.
- Farrell, M., Hill, P.W., Farrar, J., DeLuca, T.H., Robert, P., Kielland, K., Dahlgren, R., Murphy, D.V, Hobbs, P.J., Bardgett, R.D., Jones, D.L., 2013. Oligopeptides represent a preferred source of organic N uptake: A global phenomenon? *Ecosystems* 16, 113-145.
- Fischer, W-F., Andre, B., Rentsch, D., Krolkiewicz, S., Tegeder, M., Breikreutz, K., Frommer, W.B., 1998. Amino acid transport in plants. *Trends in Plant Science* 3, 188–195.
- Fowler, D., Coyle, M., Skiba, U., Sutton, M.A., Cape, J.N., Reis, S., Sheppard, L.J., Jenkins, A., Grizzetti, B., Galloway, J.N., Vitousek, P., Leach, A., Bouwman,

- A.F., Butterbach-Bahl, K., Dentener, F., Stevenson, D., Amann, M., Voss, M., 2013. The global nitrogen cycle in the twenty-first century. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B* 368.
- Galloway, J.N., Townsend, A.R., Erismann, J.W., Bekunde, M., Cai, Z., Freney, J.R., Martinelli, L.A., Seitzinger, S.P., Sutton, M.A., 2008. Transformation of the nitrogen cycle: recent trends, questions, and potential solutions. *Science* 320, 889–892.
- Gårdenäs, A.I., Ågren, G.I., Bird, J.A., Clarholm, M., Hallin, S., Ineson, P., Kätterer, T., Knicker, H., Nilsson, S.I., Näsholm, T., Ogle, S., Paustian, K., Persson, T., Stendahl, J., 2011. Knowledge gaps in soil carbon and nitrogen interactions – from molecular to global scale. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 43, 702-717.
- Ge, T., Yuan, H., Roberts, P., Jones, D.L., Qin, H., Tong, C. Huang, D., 2011. Amino acid and peptide dynamics under conventional and organic management strategies. *Soils and Sediments* 12, 323-333.
- Giblin, A.E., Nadelhoffer, K.J., Shaver, G.R., Laundre, J.A., McKerrow, A.J., 1991. Biogeochemical diversity along a riverside toposequence in arctic Alaska. *Ecological Monographs* 61, 415-435.
- Gilliam, F. S., Yurish, B.M., Adams, M.B., 2001. Temporal and spatial variation of nitrogen transformation in nitrogen –saturated soils of a central Appalachian hardwood forest. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* 31, 1768-1785.
- Gilvarg, C., 1972. Peptide transport in bacteria. Symposium on peptide transport in bacteria and the mammalian gut. Assoc. Scientific publishers Amsterdam, pp.11-16.

- Gilvarg, C., Katchalski, E., 1965. Peptide utilization by *Escherichia coli* to ornithyl peptides. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 240, 3093-3098.
- Gjettermann, B., Styczen, M., Hansen, H.C.B., Vinther, F.P., Hansen, S., 2008. Challenges in modelling dissolved organic matter dynamics in agricultural soil using DAISY. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 40, 1506-1518.
- Glass, A.D.M., 2003. Nitrogen use efficiency of crop plants: physiological constraints upon nitrogen absorption. *Critical Reviews of Plant Sciences* 22, 453-470.
- Gleeson, D.B., Herrmann, A.M., Murphy, D.V., 2008. Influence of water potential on nitrification and structure of nitrifying bacterial communities in semiarid soils. *Applied Soil Ecology* 40, 189-194.
- Gleeson, D.B., Muller, C., Samiran, B., Ma, W., Siciliano, S.D., Daniel, M.V., 2010. Response of ammonia oxidizing archaea and bacteria to changing water filled pore space. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 42, 1888-1891.
- Glivarg, C., Levin, Y., 1972. Response of *Escherichia coli* to ornithyl peptides. *Biological Chemistry* 247, 543-549.
- Gray, J.E., Casson, S., Hunt, L., 2008. Intercellular peptide signals regulate plant meristematic cell fate decisions. *Science Signalling* 1, 53.
- Greenland, D.J., Laby, R.H., Quirk, J.P., 1961. Adsorption of glycine and its di-, tri-, and tetra-peptides by montmorillonite. *Transaction of the Faraday Society* 58, 829-841.
- Greenland, D.J., Laby, R.H., Quirk, J.P., 1965. Adsorption of amino-acids and peptides by montmorillonite and illite. Part 2 Physical adsorption. *Transaction of the Faraday Society* 61, 2024-2035.

- Groffman, P.M., Driscoll, C.T., Fahey, T.J., Hardy, J.P., Fitzhugh, R.D., Tierney, G.L., 2001. Effects of mild winter freezing on soil nitrogen and carbon dynamics in a northern hardwood forest. *Biogeochemistry* 56, 191-213.
- Hagting, A., Kunji, E.R.S., Leenhouts, K.J., Poolman, B., Koning, W.N., 1994. The di and tri-peptide transport protein of *Lactococcus lactis*. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 269, 11391-11299.
- Haruta, M., Monshausen, G., Gilroy, S., Sussman, M.R., 2008. A cytoplasmic Ca<sup>2+</sup> functional assay for identifying and purifying endogenous cell signaling peptides in *Arabidopsis* seedlings: identification of AtRALF1 peptide. *Biochemistry* 47, 6311-6321.
- Haynes, R.J., 1986. Nitrification, In: Haynes, R.J., Orlando, F.L. (Eds.), *Mineral nitrogen in the plant-soil system*. Academic Press, New York, pp. 127-165.
- Hawkins, H-J., Wolf, G., Stock, W. D., 2005. Cluster roots of *Leucadendron laureolum* (Proteaceae) and *Lupinus albus* (Fabaceae) take up glycine intact: an adaptive strategy to low mineral nitrogen soils? *Annals of Botany* 96, 1275-1282.
- Henry, H.A.L., Jefferies, R.L., 2003. Plant amino acid uptake, soluble N turnover and microbial N capture in soils of a grazed Arctic salt marsh. *Ecology* 91, 627-636.
- Higgins, C.F., Payne, J. W., 1980. Transport and utilization of amino acids and peptides by higher plants, In: Payne, J.W. (Eds.), *Microorganisms and Nitrogen Source*. John Wiley & Sons Ltd., New York, pp. 609-637.
- Higgins, C.F., Payne, J.W., 1981. The peptide pools of germinating barely grains: relation to hydrolysis and transport of storage proteins. *Plant Physiology* 67, 785-792.

- Higgins, C.F., Payne, J.W., 1982. Plant peptides, In: Boulder, D., Parthier, B. (Eds.), Encyclopedia of plant physiology. Springer verlag, New York, pp. 438-458.
- Higgins, C.F., 1992. ABC transporters: from microorganisms to man. Annual Review of Cell Biology 8, 67-113.
- Hill, P.W., Farrar, J., Roberts, P., Farrell, M., Grant, H., Newsham, K.K., Hopkins, D.W., Bardgett, R.D., Jones, D.L., 2011a. Vascular plant success in a warming antarctic may be due to efficient nitrogen acquisition. Nature Climate Change 1, 50-53.
- Hill, P.W., Quilliam, R.S., DeLuca, T.H., Farrar, J., Farrell, M., Roberts, P., Newsham, K.K., Hopkins, D.W., Bardgett, R.D., Jones, D.L., 2011b. Acquisition and assimilation of nitrogen as peptide-bound and D-enantiomers of amino acids by wheat. PLoS One 6: e19220. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0019220.
- Hill, P.W., Farrell, M., Jones, D.L., 2012. Bigger may be better in soil N cycling: Does rapid acquisition of small L-peptides by soil microbes dominate fluxes of protein-derived N in soil? Soil Biology and Biochemistry 48, 106-112.
- Hill, P.W., Marsden, K.A., Jones, D.L., 2013. How significant to plant N nutrition is the direct consumption of soil microbes by roots? New Phytologist 199, 948-955.
- Hobbie, S.E., Chapin III, F.S., 1996. Winter regulation of tundra litter carbon and nitrogen dynamics. Biogeochemistry 35, 327-338.
- Hollocher, T.C., Tate, M.E., Nicholas, D.J.D., 1981. Oxidation of ammonia by *Nitrosomonas europaea*. Journal of Biology and Chemistry 256, 10834-10836.
- Hopper, A.B., Vanneli, T., Bergman, D.J., Arciero, D.M., 1997. Enzymology of the oxidation of ammonia to nitrite by bacteria. Antonine van Leeuwenhoek 61, 59-67.



- Hoyle, F.C., Murphy, D.V., Fillery, I.R.P., 2006. Temperature and stubble management influence microbial CO<sub>2</sub>-C evolution and gross N transformation rates. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 38, 71-80.
- Hsu, P-H., Hatcher, P.G., 2005. New evidence for covalent coupling of peptides to humic acids using 2D NMR spectroscopy: a means for preservation. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 69, 4521-4533.
- Hutchinson, H.B., Miller, N.H.J., 1912. The direct assimilation of inorganic and organic forms of nitrogen by higher plants. *Agricultural Science* 4, 282-302.
- Inselsbacher, E., Näsholm, T., 2012. The below-ground perspective of forest plants: soil provides mainly organic nitrogen for plants and mycorrhizal fungi. *New Phytologist* 195, 329-334.
- Jan, M.T., Roberts, P., Tonheim, S.K., Jones, D.L., 2009. Protein breakdown represents a major bottleneck in nitrogen cycling in grassland soils. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 41, 2272-2282.
- Jack, R.W., Bierbaum, G., Sahl, H.G., 1998. *Antibiotics and related compounds*. Springer, New York.
- Jacynthe, R. D., Zebbarth, B.J., Georgallas, A., Burton, D.L., Grant, C.A., Drury, C.F., 2010. Temperature dependence of soil nitrogen mineralization rate: Comparison of mathematical models, reference temperatures and origin of the soils. *Geoderma* 157, 97-108.
- James, R., Lazdunsky, C., Pattus, F., 1992. *Bacteriocins, microcins and antibiotics*. NATO ASI, Series. New York, Springer-Verlag, pp. 519.

- Jarvis, S.C., Stockdale, E.A., Shepherd, M.A., Powlson, D.S. 1996. Nitrogen mineralisation in temperate agricultural soil: processes and measurement. *Advances in Agronomy* 57, 187-235.
- Jegajeevagan, K., Sleutel, S., Ameloot, N., Kader, M. A., De Neve, S., 2013. Organic matter fractions and N mineralization in vegetable-cropped sandy soils. *Soil Use and Management* 29, 333-343.
- Jetten, M.S.M., Logemann, S., Muyzer, G., Robertson, L.A., de Vries, S., Van Loosdrecht, M.C.M., Kuenen, J.H., 1997. Novel principles in microbial conversion of nitrogen compounds. *Antonie van Leeuwenhoek*. 71, 75-93.
- Johnsrud, S.C., 1978. Heterotrophic nitrification in acid forest soils. *Holartic Ecology* 1, 27-30.
- Jonasson, S., Havstrom, M., Jensen, M., Callaghan, T.V., 1993. In situ mineralization of nitrogen and phosphorus of arctic soils after perturbation simulating climate change. *Oecologia* 95, 179-186.
- Jones, D.L., Darrah, P.R., 1994. Amino- acid influx at the soil-root interface of *Zea mays* L. and its implications in the rhizosphere. *Plant and Soil* 163, 1-12.
- Jones, D.L. Hodge, A. 1999. Biodegradation kinetics and sorption reactions of three differently charged amino acids in soil and their effects on plant organic nitrogen availability. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 3, 1331-1342.
- Jones, D.L., Shannon, D., Murphy, D.V., Farrar, J., 2004. Role of dissolved organic nitrogen (DON) in soil N cycling in grassland soil. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 36, 749-756.

- Jones, D.L., Kemmitt, S.J., Wright, D., Cuttle, S.P., Bol, R., Edward, A.C., 2005a. Rapid intrinsic rates of amino acid biodegradation in soil are unaffected by agricultural management strategy. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 37, 1267-1275.
- Jones, D.L., Healey, J.R., Willett, V.B., Farrarr, J.F., Hodge, A., 2005b. Dissolved organic nitrogen uptake by plants – an important N uptake pathway? *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 37, 413-423.
- Jones, D.L., Willett, V.B., Stockdale, E.A., Macdonald, A.J., Murphy, D.V., 2012. Molecular weight of dissolved organic carbon, nitrogen, and phenolics in grassland soils. *Soil Science Society of America Journal* 76, 142-150.
- Karim, S., Holmstrom, K.O., Mandal, A., Dahl, P., Hohmann, S., Brader, G., Palva, E.T., Pirhonen, M., 2007. AtPTR3, a wound-induced peptide transport needed for defence against virulent bacterial pathogens in *Arabidopsis*. *Planta* 225, 1431-1445.
- Kalbitz, K., Solinger, S., Park, J.H., Michalzik, B., Matzner, E., 2000. Controls on the dynamics of dissolved organic matter in soils: A review. *Soil Science* 165, 277-304.
- Kessel, D., Lubin, M., 1963. On the distinction between peptidase activity and peptide transport. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta* 71, 656-663.
- Khalid, M., Soleman, N., Jones, D.L., 2007. Grassland plants affect dissolved organic carbon and nitrogen dynamics in soil. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 39, 378-381.
- Kielland, K., 1994. Amino acid absorption by Arctic plants: implications for plant nutrition and nitrogen cycling. *Ecology* 75, 372-2383.

- Kleber, M., Sollins, P., Sutton, R., 2007. A conceptual model of organo-mineral interactions in soils, self-assembly of organic molecular fragments into zonal structures on mineral surfaces. *Biogeochemistry* 85, 9-24.
- Kleinkauf, H., Von Dohren, H., 1990. *Biochemistry of Peptide Antibiotics*. De Gruyter, Berlin, pp 522.
- Knicker, H., Schmidt, M. W.I., Kogel-Knabner, I., 2000. Nature of organic nitrogen in fine particle size separates of sandy soils of highly industrialized areas as revealed by NMR spectroscopy. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 32, 241-252.
- Knicker, H., 2004. Stabilization of N-compounds in soil and organic-matter-rich sediments-what is the difference? *Marine Chemistry* 92, 167-195.
- Knicker, H., 2011. Soil organic N – an under-rated player for carbon sequestration in soils? *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 43, 1118-1129.
- Komarova, N.Y., Thor, K., Gubler, A., Meier, S., Dietrich, D., Weichert, A., Suter-Grotemeyer, M., Tegeder, M., Rentsch, D., 2008. AtPTR1 and AtPTR5 transport dipeptides in planta. *Plant Physiology* 148, 856-869.
- Krummel, A., Harms, H., 1982. Effect of organic matter on growth and cell yield of ammonia oxidizing bacteria. *Archives of Microbiology* 133, 50-54.
- Kumar, S., Nicholas, D.J.D., Williams, E.H., 1983. Definitive <sup>15</sup>N MR evidence that water serves as a source of O during nitrite oxidation by *Nitrobacter algilis*. *FEBS Letters* 152, 71-74.
- Kuzyakov, Y., Xu. X., 2013. Competition between roots and microorganisms for nitrogen: mechanisms and ecological relevance. *New Phytologist* 198, 656–669.

- Ladd, J.N., Jackson, R.B., 1982. Biochemistry of ammonification. In: Stevenson, F. J. (Ed.), Nitrogen in Agricultural soil. American Society of Agronomy, Madison, WI, pp. 173-227.
- Lambers, H., Chapin, S.F., Pons, T., 1998. Plant physiology ecology. Springer, New York. pp. 240-260.
- Laanbroek, H.J., Woldendorp, J.W., 1995. Activity of chemolithotrophic nitrifying bacteria under stress in natural soils. *Advances in Microbial Ecology* 14, 275 - 304.
- LaRue, T.A., Patterson, T.G., 1981. How much nitrogen do legumes fix? *Advances in Agronomy* 34, 15-38.
- Lea, P. J., Azevedo, R. A., 2006. Nitrogen use efficiency. 1. Uptake of nitrogen from the soil. *Annals of Applied Biology* 149, 243-247.
- Lloyd, F.E., 1942. The Carnivorous plants. Chronica Botanica Co., Waltham, Mass, pp. 367.
- Lloyd, J., Taylor, J.A., 1994. On the temperature dependence of soil respiration. *Functional Ecology* 8, 315-323.
- Lipson, D., Näsholm, T., 2001. The unexpected versatility of plants: organic nitrogen use and availability in terrestrial ecosystems. *Oecologia* 128, 305-316.
- Lipson, D.A., Raab, T.K., Schmidt, S.K. Monson, R.K., 1999. Variation in competitive abilities of plants and microbes for specific amino acids. *Biology and Fertility of Soils* 29, 257-261.
- Logan, D. A., Becker, J.M., Naider, F., 1979. Peptide transport in *Candida albicans*. *Journal of General Microbiology* 114, 179-186.

- Losik, R., Gilvarg, C., 1966. Effect of  $\alpha$ -acetylation on utilization of lysine oligopeptides in *Escherichia coli*. *Biological Chemistry* 241, 2340 -2346.
- Lu Y-P., Li Z-S., Rea, P.A., 1997. At MRP1, gene of Arabidopsis encodes a glutathione s-conjugate pump: isolation and function definition of a plant ATP-binding cassette transport gene. *Proceeding of the National Academy of Sciences USA* 94, 8248.
- Lu Y-P, Li Z-S, Drozdowicz, Y. M., Hortensteiner, S., Martinoia, E., Rea, P.A., 1998. At MRP2, an Arabidopsis ATP binding cassette transporter able to transport glutathione s-conjugates and chlorophyll catabolites: function comparisons with At MRP1. *Plant Cell* 10, 267-282.
- Lugtenberg, B., Van Alphen, L., 1983. Molecular architecture and functioning of the outer membrane of *Escherichia coli* and other gram negative bacteria. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta* 737, 51-115.
- Marder, R., Becker, J.M., Naider, F., 1977. Peptide transport in yeast. Utilization of leucine- and lysine-containing peptides by *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Journal of Bacteriology* 131, 906-916.
- Matabyashi, Y., Ogawa, M., Morita, A., Sakagami, Y., 2002. An LRR receptor kinase involved in perception of a peptide plant hormone, phyto-sulfokine. *Science* 296, 1470-1472.
- Mateen, A., 1978. Organic nutrition of chemolithotrophic bacteria. *Annual Review of Microbiology* 32, 433-468.
- Mathews, D.M., Payne, J.W., 1980. Trans membrane transport of small peptide. *Current Topics in Membranes and Transport* 14, 331-425.

- McKane, R.B., Johnson, L.C., Shaver, G.R., Nadelhoffer, K.J., Rastetter, E.B., Fry, B., Giblin, A.E., Kielland, K., Kwiatkowski, B.L., Laundre, J.A., Murray, G., 2002. Resource-based niches provide a basis for plant species diversity and dominance in arctic tundra. *Nature* 415, 68-71.
- McCarthy, P.J., Newman, D.J., Nisbet, L.J., Kingsbury, W.D., 1985. Relative rates of transport of peptidyl drugs by *Candida albicans*. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy* 28, 494-499.
- McKee, H.S., 1962. *Nitrogen Metabolism in Plants*. Clarendon Press, Oxford, pp.728.
- Mekha, M.M., Rice, C.W., Milliken, G. A., 2005. Carbon and nitrogen mineralization as affected by drying and wetting cycles. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 37, 339-347.
- Mendham, D.S., Heagney, E.C., Corbeels, M., O'Connell, A.M., Grove, T.S., MCMurtrie, R.E., 2004. Soil Particulate organic matter effects on nitrogen availability after afforestation with *Eucalyptus globulus*. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 36, 1067-1074.
- Meredith, D., Boyed, C.A., 2000. Structure and function of eukaryotic peptide transporters. *Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences* 57, 754-758.
- Mergaert, P., Nikovics, K., Kelemen, Z., Maunoury, N., Vaubert, D., Kondorosi, A., Kondorosi, E., 2003. A novel family in *Medicago truncatula* consisting of more than 300 nodule-specific genes coding for small secreted polypeptides with conserved cysteine motifs. *Plant Physiology* 132, 161-173.
- Milewski, S., Andruszkiewicz, R., Borowski, E., 1988. Substrate specificity of peptide permeases in *Candida albicans*. *FEMS Microbiology Letters* 50, 73-78.

- Miller, R.M., Gardiner, D.T., 1998. Soils in our Environment. 8<sup>th</sup> edition. Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle River, NJ.
- Mladenoff, D.J., 1987. Dynamics of nitrogen mineralization and nitrification in hemlock and hardwood treefall gaps. *Ecology* 68, 1171-1180.
- Montesinos, E., 2007. Antimicrobial peptides and plant disease control. *FEMS Microbiology Letters* 270, 1-11.
- Murphy, D.V., Macdonald, A.J., Stockdale, E.A., Goulding, K.W.T., Fortune, S., Gaunt, J.L., Poulton, P.R., Wakefield, J.A., Webster, C.P., Wilmer, W.S. 2000. Soluble organic nitrogen in agriculture soils. *Biology and Fertility of Soils* 30, 374-387.
- Murphy, D.V., Macdonald, A.J., Stockdale, E.A., Fillery, I.R.P., Jensen, L.S., Hatch, D.J., Goulding, K.W.T., 2003. Gross nitrogen Fluxes in soil: theory measurement and application of <sup>15</sup>N pool dilution techniques. *Advances in Agronomy* 79, 69-118.
- Nadelhoffer, K.J., Giblin, A.E., Shaver, G.R., Laundre, J.A., 1991. Effects of temperature and substrate quality on element mineralization in six arctic soils. *Ecology* 72, 242-253.
- Naider, F., Becker, J. M., Katzir-Katchalski, E., 1974. Utilization of methionine-containing peptides and their derivatives by a methionine - requiring auxotroph of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Journal of Biology and Chemistry* 249, 9-20.
- Naider, F., Becker, J.M., 1987. In *Current Topics in Medical Mycology* 2. McGinnis, M.M., editor, Springer, Berlin, 170-198.
- Nakae, T., 1986. Outer membrane permeability of bacteria. *Critical Reviews in Microbiology* 13, 1-62.



- Näsholm, T., Ekblad, A., Nordin, A., Giesler, R., Högberg, M., Högberg, P., 1998. Boreal forest plants take up organic nitrogen. *Nature* 392, 914-916.
- Näsholm, T., Danell, K.H., Hogberg, P., 2000. Uptake of organic nitrogen in the field by four agriculturally important plant species. *Ecology* 81, 1155-1161.
- Näsholm, T., Kielland, K., Ganeteg, U., 2009. Uptake of organic nitrogen by plants. *New Phytologist* 182, 31-48.
- Neil, C., Piccolo, M.C., Cerri, C.C., Steudler, P.A., Melillo, J.M., Brito, M., 1997. Net nitrogen mineralization and net nitrification rates in soils following deforestation for pasture across the south western Brazilian Amazon Basin landscape. *Oecologia* 110, 243-252.
- Nikaido, H., Vaara, M., 1985. Molecular basis of bacterial outer membrane permeability. *Microbiological Reviews* 49, 1-32.
- Nordin, A., Högberg, P. Näsholm, T., 2001. Soil nitrogen form and plant nitrogen uptake along a boreal forest productivity gradient. *Oecologia* 129, 125-132.
- Okamoto, M., Vidmar, J.J., Glass, A.D.M., 2003. Regulation of NRT1 and NRT2 gene families of *Arabidopsis thaliana*: responses to nitrate provision. *Plant and Cell Physiology* 44, 304-317.
- Owen, A.G. Jones, D.L., 2001. Competition for amino acids between wheat roots and rhizosphere microorganisms and the role of amino acids in plant N acquisition. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 33, 651-657.
- Parker, D. D., Naider, F., Becker, J.M., 1980. Separation of peptide transport and hydrolysis in methionine uptake by *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Journal of Bacteriology* 143, 1066-1069.

- Pastor, J., Aber, J.D., McClaugherty, C.A., Melillo, J.M., 1984. Aboveground production and N and P cycling along a nitrogen mineralization gradient on Blackhawk Island, Wisconsin. *Ecology* 65, 256-268.
- Paul, E.A., Clark, F.E., 1989. *Soil Microbiology and Biochemistry*. Academic press. Inc., San Diego. California, pp. 131-144.
- Paungfoo-Lonhienne, C., Lonhienne, T.G.A., Rentsch, D., Robinson, N., Christie, M., Webb, R.I., Gamage, H.K., Carroll, B.J., Schenk, P.M., Schmidt, S., 2008. Plants can use protein as a nitrogen source without assistance from other organisms. *Proceeding of the National Academy Sciences USA* 105, 4524-4529.
- Paungfoo-Lonhienne, C., Schenk, P.M., Lonhienne, T.G.A., Brackin R., Meier, S., Rentsch, D., Schmidt, S., 2009. Nitrogen affects cluster root formation and expression of putative peptide transporters. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 10, 1-12.
- Payne, J.W., 1980. Transport and Utilization of Peptides by Bacteria, In: Payne, J.W. (Ed.), *Microorganisms and nitrogen sources*, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, Brisbane, Toronto, pp. 12-248.
- Payne, J.W., Shallow, D.A., 1985. Studies on drug targeting in the pathogenic fungus *candida albicans* peptide transport mutants resistant to polyoxin and nikkomyclins and bacilysin. *FEMS Microbiology Letters* 28, 55-60.
- Payne, J.W., Smith, M.W., 1994. Peptide transport by microorganisms. *Advances in Microbial Physiology* 36, 52-69.
- Pearce, G., Moura, D.S., Stratmann, J., Ryan, C.A., 2001. RALF, a 5kDa ubiquitous polypeptide in plants, arrests root growth and development. *Proceeding of the National Academy Sciences USA* 98, 12843-12847.

- Persson, J., Näsholm, T., 2001. Amino acid uptake a widespread ability among boreal forest plants. *Ecology Letters* 4, 434-438.
- Prosser, J. I., 1989. Autotrophic nitrification in bacteria. *Advances in Microbial Physiology* 30, 125-181.
- Qualls, R.G., 2000. Comparison of the behaviour of soluble organic and inorganic nutrients in forest soils. *Forest Ecology and Management* 138, 29-50.
- Raab, T.K., Lipson, D.A., Monson, R.K., 1996. Non-Mycorrhizal uptake of amino acids by roots of the alpine sedge *Kobresia myosuroides*: implication for the alpine nitrogen cycle. *Oecologia* 108, 488-494
- Remacle, J., 1977a. The role of heterotrophic nitrification in acid forest soils- preliminary results. *Ecology Bulletin* 25,560-561.
- Remacle, J., 1977b. Microbial transformation of nitrogen in forests. *Oecologia Planatarium* 7, 69-78.
- Rentsch, D., Schmidt, S., Tegeder, M., 2007. Transporters for uptake and allocation of organic nitrogen compounds in plants. *FEBS Letters* 581, 2281-2289.
- Rhoades, C.C., Coleman, D.C., 1999. Nitrogen mineralization and nitrification following land conversion in montane Ecuador. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 31, 1347-1354.
- Rice, G. H., Stewart, F.H.C., Hillier, A.J., Jago, G.R., 1978. The uptake of amino acids and peptides by *Streptococcus lactis*. *Journal of Dairy Research* 45, 93-107.
- Rothstein, D.E., 2010. Effects of amino-acid chemistry and soil properties on the behaviour of free amino acids in acidic forest soil. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 42, 1743-1750.

- Roseman, S., 1972. The Molecular Basis of Biological Transport. In: Woessner, J.F., Huijing, F. (Eds.), Miami Winter Symposia 3, Academic Press, New York and London, pp. 181-219.
- Ros, G.H., Hoffland, E., Kessel, C.V., Temminghoff, E.J.M., 2009. Extractable and dissolved soil organic nitrogen –A quantitative assessment. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 41, 1029-1039.
- Ross, D.J., Tate, K.R., Feltham, C.W., 1996. Microbial biomass and C and N mineralization, in litter and mineral soil of adjacent montane ecosystem in a southern beech *Nothofagus* forest and Tussock grassland. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 28, 923-932.
- Ross, D.S., Lawrence, G.B. Fredriksen, G., 2004. Mineralization and nitrification patterns at eight northeastern USA forested research sites. *Forest Ecology and Management* 188, 317-335.
- Saier, M.H.J., 2000. Families of transmembrane transporters selective for amino acid and their derivatives. *Microbiology* 146, 1775-1795.
- Sakami, W., Harrington, H., 1963. Amino acid metabolism. *Annual Review of Biochemistry* 32, 355–98
- Sanchez- Fernandez, R., Ardiles-Diaz, W., Van Montagu, M., Inze, D, May, M.J., 1998. Cloning and expression analyses of At MRP4, a novel MRP-like gene from *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Molecular and General Genetic* 258, 655-662.
- Sanchez-Fernandez, R., Davies, T.G.E., Coleman, J.O.D., Rea, P.A., 2001. The *Arabidopsis thaliana* ABC protein superfamily, a complete inventory. *Biological Chemistry* 276, 3023-30244.

- Schaller, A., 1999. Oligopeptide signaling and the action of systemin. *Plant Molecular Biology* 40, 763-769.
- Schimel, J.P., Bennett, J., 2004. Nitrogen mineralisation challenges of a changing paradigm. *Ecology* 85, 591-602.
- Schimel, J.P., Bilbrough, C., Welker, J.M., 2004. Increased snow depth affects microbial activity and nitrogen mineralization in two Arctic tundra communities. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 36, 217-227.
- Schmidt, E.L., Belser, L.W., 1994. Autotrophic nitrifying bacteria, In: Weaver, R.W., Angel, J.S., Bottomley, D.S. *Methods of Soil Analysis. Part2. Microbiological and Biochemical*, Soil Science Society America, Madison, WI, pp. 159-177
- Schimel, J.P. Chapin, F.S., 1996. Tundra plant uptake of amino acid and  $\text{NH}_4^+$  nitrogen in situ: plants compete well for amino acid N. *Ecology* 77, 2142-2147.
- Schmidt, I.K., Jonasson, S., Michelsen, A., 1999. Mineralization and microbial immobilization of N and P in arctic soil in relation to season, temperature and nutrient amendment. *Applied Soil Ecology* 11, 147-160.
- Schulze, W., Frommer, W.B., Ward, J.M., 1999. Transporters for ammonium, amino acids and peptides are expressed in pitchers of the carnivorous plant *Nepenthes*. *Plant Journal* 17, 637-646.
- Senwo, Z.N., Tabatabai, M.A., 1997. Amino acid composition of soil organic matter. *Biology and Fertility of Soils*, 26, 235-242.
- Sewald, N., Jakubke, H, D., 2009. *Peptides: Chemistry and Biology*. Wiley-Vch Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim.

- Shallow, A., Barrett-Beke, J., Payne, W., 1991. Evaluation of the dipeptide and oligopeptide permeases of *Candida albicans* as uptake routes for synthetic anticandidal agents. *FEMS Microbiology Letters* 79, 9-14.
- Shabanpoor, F., Hughes, R.A., Separovic, F., Wade, J.D., 2009. The chemistry and biology of insulin-like peptide 3, a novel member of the insulin superfamily in *Bioactive Peptides*, eds. J. Howl ., S. Jones, Taylor and Francis Inc., Abingdon UK, pp159-168.
- Smith, R.L., Archer, E.G., Dunn, F.W., 1970. Uptake of ( $C^{14}$ ) labelled tri-tetra-, and pentapeptides of phenylalanine and glycine by *Escherichia coli*. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 245, 2967.
- Streeter, T. C., Bol, R., Bardgett, R.D., 2000. Amino acids as a nitrogen source in temperate upland grasslands: the use of dual labelled (( $^{13}C$ ), ( $^{15}N$ )) glycine to test for direct uptake by dominant grasses. *Rapid Communications in Mass Spectrometry* 14, 1351-1355.
- Strous, M., Kuenen, J.G., Jetten, M.S.M., 1999. Key physiology of anaerobic ammonium oxidation. *Applied Environmental Microbiology* 65, 3248–3250.
- Sowden, F.J., 1958. The forms of nitrogen in the organic matter of different horizons of soil profiles. *Canadian Journal of Soil Science* 38, 147-154.
- Sprent, J.I., 1987. *The Ecology of the Nitrogen Cycle*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Stacey, G., Koh, S., Granger, C., Becker, J.M., 2002. Peptide transport in plants. *Trends in Plant Science* 7, 257-263.

- Stacey, M.G., Osawa, H., Patel, P., Gassman, W., Stacey, G., 2006. Expression analyses of *Arabidopsis* oligopeptide transporters during seed germination, vegetative growth and reproduction. *Planta* 223, 291-305.
- Steiner, H-Y., Song, W., Zhang, L., Naider, F., Becker, J.M. Stacey, G., 1994. An *Arabidopsis* peptide transporter is a member of a new class of membrane transport proteins. *The Plant Cell* 6, 1289-1299.
- Steltzer, H., Bowman, W.D., 1998. Differential influence of plant species on soil nitrogen transformations within moist meadow Alpine tundra. *Ecosystems* 1, 464-474.
- Stevenson, F.J., 1982. Nitrogen in agricultural soils. *American Society of Agronomy* 22, 940.
- Sulkava, P., Hutha, V. 2003. Effects of hard frost and freeze-thaw cycles on decomposer communities and N mineralization in boreal forest soil. *Applied Soil Ecology* 22, 225-239.
- Sussman, A.J., Gilvarg, C., 1971. Peptide transport and metabolism in bacteria. *Annual Review of Biochemistry* 40, 397-408.
- Tan, K.H., 1998. *Principles of Soil Chemistry*. 3rd edition Marcel Dekker, New York.
- Tan, K.H., 2009. *Environmental Soil Science*. Taylor & Francis. London.
- Tan, K.H., 2010. *Principles of Soil Chemistry Fourth Edition*, CRC Press, New York, USA.
- Taylor, M.D., Ahidon, G.L., 1995. Peptide- Based Drying. Design-American Chemical Society, Washington, DC, pp369-384.
- Taiz, L., Zeiger, E., 2006. *Plant physiology*. 4th edition, W. H. Freeman, New York.

- Tegeder, M., Rentsch, D. 2010. Uptake and partitioning of amino acids and peptides. *Molecular Plant* 3, 997-1011.
- Tong, J., Liu, S., Zhou, P., Wu, B., Li, Z., 2008. A novel descriptor of amino acid and its application in peptide QSAR. *Theoretical Biology* 253, 90-97.
- Uri, V., Lohmus, K., Tullus, H., 2003. Annual net nitrogen mineralization in a grey alder *Alnus incana* L.moench plantation on abandoned agricultural land. *Forest Ecology and Management* 184, 167-176.
- Van Wijnen, H.J., van der Wal, R., Bakker, J.P., 1999. The impact of herbivores on nitrogen mineralization rate: consequences for salt-march succession. *Oecologia* 118, 225-231.
- Veuger, B., Pitcher, A., Schouten, S., Sinninghe Damsté, J. S., Middelburg, J. J., 2012. Nitrification and growth of autotrophic nitrifying bacteria and Thaumarchaeota in the coastal North Sea. *Biogeosciences Discuss* 9, 16877-16906.
- Vitousek, P.M., Maston, P.A., 1985. Disturbance, nitrogen availability, and nitrogen losses in an intensively managed loblolly pine plantation. *Ecology* 66, 1360-1376.
- Vitousek, P. M., Howarth, R.W., 1991. Nitrogen Limitation on land and in the sea: How can it occur? *Biogeochemistry* 13, 87-115.
- Vitousek, P.M., John, D., Aber, R.W., Howarth, G.E., Likens, P.A., Matson, D.W., Schindler, W.H., Schlesinger, D. Tilman, 1997. Human alteration of the global nitrogen cycle: sources and consequences. *Ecological Applications* 7, 737-750.
- Vitousek, P.M., Menge, D.N.L., Reed, S.C. Cleveland, C.C., 2013. Biological nitrogen fixation: rates, patterns and ecological controls in terrestrial ecosystems. *Royal Society. B* 368, 20130119.



- Warman, P.R., Isnor, R.A., 1991. Amino acid composition of peptides present in organic matter fractions of sandy loam soil. *Soil Science* 152, 7-13.
- Waterworth, W., Bary, C., 2006. Enigma variation for peptides and their transporters in higher plants. *Annals of Botany* 98, 1-8.
- Whitehead, D.C., 1995. *Grassland Nitrogen*. CAB, International, UK. pp108-122.
- White, R.E., 2006. *Principles and Practice of Soil Science: the Soil as a Natural Resource*, Blackwell publishing, Oxford, UK.
- Wieland, T., 1986. *Peptides of Poisonous Amanita Mushrooms*, Springer, New York.
- Williams, L.E., Miller, A.J., 2001. Transporters responsible for uptake and partitioning of nitrogenous-solutes. *Annual Review of Plant Physiology and Plant Molecular Biology* 52, 659-688.
- Wood, C.W., Hattey, J.A., 1995. Impacts of long term manure applications on soil chemical, microbiological and physical properties, In: Steel K (Ed.), *Animal waste and the land-water interface*. Lewis Publishers, Boca Raton, FL, pp. 334-352.
- Wood, P.M., 1986. Nitrification as an energy source. In: Prosser, J.I. (Ed.), *Nitrification*, Society for General Microbiology, Oxford, pp.39 -62.
- Yang, L.L., Zhang, F.S., Mao, R.Z., Ju, X.T., Cai, X.B., Lu, Y.H., 2008. Conversion of natural ecosystems to cropland increases the soil net nitrogen mineralization and Nitrification in Tibet. *Pedosphere* 186, 699-706.
- Young, V. R., 1994. Adult amino acid requirements: The case for a major revision in current recommendations. *The Journal of Nutrition* 124, 1517S–1523S

- Zebarth, B.J., Drury, C.F., Tremblay, N., Cambouris, A.N., 2009. Opportunities for improved fertilizer nitrogen management in production of arable crops in eastern Canada: a review. *Canadian Journal of Soil Science* 89, 113-132.
- Zhang, X.L., Wang, Q.B., Li, I.H., Han, X.G., 2008. Seasonal variations in nitrogen mineralization under three land use types in a grassland landscape. *Acta Oecologica. International Journal of Ecology* 34, 322-330.
- Zhao, H., Zhang, X., Xu, S., Zhao, X., Xie, Z., Wang, Q., 2010. Effect of freezing on soil nitrogen mineralization under different plant communities in a semi-arid area during a non-growing season. *Applied Soil Ecology* 45, 187-192.
- Zhu, W.X., Carreiro, M.M., 2004. Temporal and spatial variations in nitrogen transformations in deciduous forest ecosystems along an urban-rural gradient. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 36, 267-278.
- Zsolnay, A., 2003. Dissolved organic matter: artefacts, definitions and functions. *Geoderma* 113, 187-209.
- Zuber, P., Nakano, M., Marahiel, M.A., 1993. Peptide antibiotics in *Bacillus subtilis* and other Gram-positive bacteria, in: Sonenshein, A.L., Hoch, J.A., Losick, R. (Eds.), *Biochemistry, Physiology and Molecular Biology*, American Society for Microbiology, Washington DC, pp. 897-916.

## Chapter 3

---

### **Microbial activity differentially regulates the vertical mobility of nitrogen compounds in soil**

E. Abaas<sup>a</sup>, P.W. Hill<sup>a</sup>, P. Roberts<sup>a</sup>, D.V. Murphy<sup>b</sup>, D.L. Jones<sup>a,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> *School of the Environment, Natural Resources & Geography, Bangor University, Gwynedd, LL57 2UW, UK*

<sup>b</sup> *Soil Biology Group, School of Earth and Environment (M087), UWA Institute of Agriculture, Faculty of Natural and Agricultural Sciences, The University of Western Australia, 35 Stirling Highway, Crawley, WA 6009, Australia*

This Chapter has been published as a short communication in *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 53(2012), 120-123



## ABSTRACT

Alongside nitrate, dissolved organic nitrogen (DON) represents a significant N loss pathway in many agroecosystem. To better understand the factors controlling DON leaching in soil we followed the vertical movement of  $^{15}\text{N}$ -labeled  $\text{NO}_3^-$ ,  $\text{NH}_4^+$ , alanine and tri-alanine in soil columns in response to a simulated rainfall event. We show that in sterile soil where sorption is assumed to be the dominant regulating factor, leaching followed the series  $\text{NO}_3^- > \text{tri-alanine} > \text{alanine} > \text{NH}_4^+$ . In the non-sterile soil columns, the rapid rate of  $\text{NO}_3^-$  leaching was unaffected whilst the movement of the amino acid, peptide and  $\text{NH}_4^+$  was almost completely prevented due to microbial immobilization. Our results support the view that (1) DON loss from agricultural soils occurs mainly in the form of high molecular weight (MW) recalcitrant compounds rather than in the form of labile low MW DON, and (2) that although nitrate was bioavailable, it was not a preferred N form for the C-limited microbial biomass.

*Keywords:* Dissolved organic carbon, Grassland, Hydrological pathway, Mass flow

## **Introduction, methods, result and discussion**

The enhancement of nitrogen (N) use efficiency and a reduction in N leaching are central goals in the development of sustainable agricultural systems. To achieve these goals requires that we have a very good understanding of N processes and cycling rates in plant-soil-water systems. While our knowledge of the factors regulating inorganic N cycling are well understood (i.e.  $\text{NO}_3^-$ ,  $\text{NH}_4^+$ ,  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ ), the behaviour of dissolved organic N (DON) remains poorly characterised (Gardenas et al., 2011). To a large extent this has arisen due to the difficulties in the chemical characterisation of DON alongside the general view that strategies to optimize N management are largely targeted towards inorganic N fertilizers (Jones et al., 2005). Recent evidence, however, has suggested that DON also (1) constitutes a major loss pathway from agroecosystem (via leaching; Heathwaite and Johnes, 1996; Willett et al., 2004; van Kessel et al., 2009), (2) plays a crucial role in soil C sequestration (Knicker, 2012), and (3) represents an important direct source of N for crop plants (Hill et al., 2011ab; Soper et al., 2011).

While key putative roles of DON in ecosystem functioning have been identified, our ability to properly quantify these roles has been hampered by the inherent complexity of DON behaviour in soil. For example, amino acids and peptides can undergo a range of fates in soil including: (1) sorption to the soil's solid phase (Dashman and Stotzky, 1982), (2) uptake by soil microorganisms (Fischer et al., 2010; Hill et al., 20012), (3) mineralization or stabilization by soil minerals (Manton et al., 2008), (4) abiotic fusion and precipitation with soil organic matter (Knicker, 2012), (5) uptake by plant roots (Hill et al., 2011ab), (6) cleavage by extracellular enzymes (e.g. deaminases, peptidases; DeBusk and Ogilvie, 1984), (7) complexation with metals in solution (Jones et al., 2004), and (8) movement via mass flow and diffusion. To

improve N cycling models requires that we understand the relative importance of these processes. The aim of this study was therefore to focus on the influence of microbial activity and chemical form on the potential for organic and inorganic N vertical transport in soil.

**Table 3.1.** Background soil characteristics.

Parameter	
Water content (%)	29.1 ± 1.0
Total soil C (g kg <sup>-1</sup> )	34 ± 3.0
Total soil N (g kg <sup>-1</sup> )	3.4 ± 0.3
Microbial C (g kg <sup>-1</sup> )	3.9 ± 0.5
Microbial N (g kg <sup>-1</sup> )	0.54 ± 0.08
Dissolved organic C (mg C l <sup>-1</sup> )	57.9 ± 3.2
Dissolved organic N (mg N l <sup>-1</sup> )	2.41 ± 0.77
NH <sub>4</sub> <sup>+</sup> (mg N l <sup>-1</sup> )	1.65 ± 0.32
NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> (mg N l <sup>-1</sup> )	6.07 ± 1.04
Soil respiration (mg C kg <sup>-1</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> )	1.02 ± 0.06

Values represent means ± SEM, *n* = 3.

Replicate samples of an aerobic sandy clay loam textured soil (Eutric Cambisol; *n* = 3) were collected from a *Lolium perenne* L. dominated agricultural sheep-grazed grassland located at Abergwyngregyn, Gwynedd, UK (53°14'N, 4°01'W). Prior to use, the field-moist soil was sieved to pass 2 mm to remove roots and stones. Characteristics of the crumb structured soil are shown in Table 3.1. Microbial C and N content were determined by CHCl<sub>3</sub> fumigation-extraction according to Joergensen (1996) and soluble C and N determined with a TCN-V analyzer (Shimadzu Corp., Kyoto, Japan). Soil moisture content was determined by drying at 105 °C overnight. Soil solution was extracted by the centrifugal drainage procedure of Giesler and Lundström (1993) and dissolved organic C and total dissolved N determined using a TCN-V analyzer. Soil solution NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, and NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> were determined using the colorimetric methods of Mulvaney

(1996) and Miranda et al. (2001) whilst free amino acids were determined fluorometrically according to Jones et al. (2002). Soil respiration was determined in the laboratory at 20 °C using an SR1 automated soil respirometer (PP Systems Ltd, Hitchin, UK). Total C and N were determined with a CHN2000 analyzer (LecoCorp., St Joseph, MI).

To conduct the leaching experiments, plastic tubes (9 mm diameter, 30 cm long) were packed with soil to a bulk density of 1 g cm<sup>-3</sup>. Different <sup>15</sup>N-labeled N forms (50 µl; 1 mM, 98 atom %) were then added to the soil surface. Immediately, rainfall (locally-collected rain water) was added to the soil surface via a peristaltic pump at rate of 20 µl min<sup>-1</sup> to simulate a heavy rainfall event and to facilitate downward movement of <sup>15</sup>N. Prior to rainfall application the packed soil columns were deemed aerobic (soil moisture  $\frac{1}{4}$  291 g kg<sup>-1</sup> soil dry weight). At the end of the leaching period the soil moisture had increased to 484 ± 6 g kg<sup>-1</sup> which represented 74.4 ± 1% of the soil's water holding capacity. Although we did not measure denitrification losses of <sup>15</sup>N, based on previous results from this free draining soil, the aerobic nature of the soil and the timescales involved, we hypothesize that this is a very minor loss pathway (Jones et al., 2012).

The different N forms added to the soil were <sup>15</sup>NH<sub>4</sub>Cl, K<sup>15</sup>NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, <sup>15</sup>N-L-alanine and <sup>15</sup>N-L-trialanine was chosen as it represents a dominant free amino acid in this soil (Jones et al., 2005b) whilst trialanine represents a major component of peptidoglycan (Komagata and Suzuki, 1987). Alanine and trialanine possess no net charge. After a leaching time of 30 min the leaching front had penetrated to 10 cm depth. At this point the plastic tubes were sectioned into 10 × 1 cm pieces, dried (80°C, 24 h), weighed and ground for <sup>15</sup>N analysis. The leaching experiments were performed on either sterile

(autoclaved for 30 min, 121°C immediately prior to use) or non-sterile soil. Sterilization was predicted to both inhibit both microbial and extracellular enzyme (e.g. peptidase) activity and has been shown to be an effective sterilant in this soil previously (Hill et al., 2008). Isotopic analysis was undertaken at the UC Davis Stable Isotope Facility using a PDZ Europa ANCA-GSL elemental analyzer interfaced to a PDZ Europa 20-20 isotope ratio mass spectrometer (Sercon Ltd., Cheshire, UK). Across all treatments, the amount of  $^{15}\text{N}$  recovered from the soil columns at the end of the experiment was  $90 \pm 3\%$  of the total  $^{15}\text{N}$  added at the start. Small losses of  $^{15}\text{N}$  may have occurred during sample processing (e.g. subsampling) or if a small amount of leachate passed below 10 cm. Significant differences between treatments were identified by ANOVA with Tukey post hoc test (SPSS v14; SPSS Inc., Chicago IL.).

The vertical transport of the different forms of N down the soil columns is shown in (Figure. 3.1). Overall, both sterility and chemical form had a significant impact on the redistribution of  $^{15}\text{N}$  in the soil ( $P < 0.001$ ). Under sterile conditions the rate of movement followed the series  $\text{NO}_3^- > \text{tri-alanine} > \text{alanine} > \text{NH}_4^+$ . With the exception of  $\text{NO}_3^-$ , which was transported almost in entirety to the base of the soil columns, the other three N forms could be recovered in significant quantities throughout the soil columns. In contrast, under non-sterile conditions the movement of  $\text{NH}_4^+$ , alanine and tri-alanine was significantly repressed presumably due to microbial uptake, with most  $^{15}\text{N}$  recovered in the top 1 cm of the soil columns ( $P < 0.001$ ). Overall, the amount of transport followed a similar series to that of the sterile columns:  $\text{NO}_3^- > \text{tri-alanine} > \text{alanine} = \text{NH}_4^+$ .


Our results clearly demonstrate the strong influence of microbial activity and electrostatic interactions on the movement of different N forms in soil. As expected,



$\text{NO}_3^-$  was readily leached in this soil and was not a preferred form of N for microorganisms. This is consistent with the high nitrification potential of this soil, the fact that the microbial biomass is more C than N limited, and that energetically the metabolism of  $\text{NO}_3^-$  is not favoured over  $\text{NH}_4^+$  (Jones et al., 2004; Hill et al., 2011a). In contrast, the  $^{15}\text{N}$ -labeled  $\text{NH}_4^+$ , amino acid and peptide were all rapidly assimilated by the soil microbial biomass limiting their potential to be transported by mass flow. This finding is consistent with other reports showing rapid immobilization of low MW DON. These previous studies, however, have tended to focus on the C contained within DON from which we know that typically 30-40% of the C is used directly in respiration and that excess N generated during catabolism induces  $\text{NH}_4^+$  excretion back into the soil (Roberts et al., 2009). While this could partially explain the greater movement of DON relative to  $\text{NH}_4^+$ , it is more likely that  $\text{NH}_4^+$  sorption to cation exchange sites co-limited its movement relative to the net neutrally charged peptide and amino acid. This is supported by the calculated solution-to-solid phase partition coefficient ( $K_d$ ) values for the N concentration employed here ( $K_d = 29$  for  $\text{NH}_4^+$  and 0.35 for neutral amino acids; Jones and Hodge, 1999; Jones et al., 2012). In conclusion, our experiments provide direct evidence that microbial immobilization rather than sorption is the key factor limiting the movement of neutrally charged amino acids and peptides in soil. It also supports the view that DON loss from agricultural soils occurs mainly in the form of high MW recalcitrant compounds rather than labile low MW DON. One caveat to this is in soils where preferential flow pathways (i.e. large cracks not present in our packed columns) may facilitate rapid bypass flow of water down the soil profile. If plants had been included in our packed soil columns we predict that root uptake of soluble N would further repress the downward movement of all N forms. Recent studies, however,

suggest that similar to microorganisms, crop plants often also prefer peptides, amino acids and  $\text{NH}_4^+$  over  $\text{NO}_3^-$  (Hill et al., 2011b).

Although we only used alanine and trialanine as model substrates, we feel that numerous previous studies on this soil with a range of amino acids and peptides (including alanine and trialanine) suggest that that our model compounds broadly reflect the use of other amino acids and oligopeptides. Exceptions to this may include charged peptides (e.g. containing multiple repeats of charged amino acids such as Lys, His, Glu, Asp, Arg) where sorption may predominantly limit leaching rather than microbial consumption. We also acknowledge that this study was performed in only one soil at one initial moisture content. However, based on the amino acid and peptide mineralization profiles from soil types across the world (Jones et al., 2009; McFarland et al., 2010; Hill et al., 2012), we believe that the findings reported are likely to be directly relevant to many other ecosystems.



**Fig. 3.1.** Vertical leaching of <sup>15</sup>N-labeled forms of inorganic (NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>, NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>) or organic N (alanine, trialanine) under sterile or non-sterile soil conditions in response to a simulated rainfall event. Values represent mean ± SEM (*n* = 3). The legend is the same for all panels.

## References:

- Dashman, T., Stotzky, G., 1982. Adsorption and binding of amino-acids on homoionic montmorillonite and kaolinite. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* 14, 447-456.
- DeBusk, R.M., Ogilvie, S., 1984. Participation of an extracellular deaminase in amino acid utilization by *Neurospora crassa*. *Journal of Bacteriology* 159, 583e589.
- Fischer, H., Ingwersen, J., Kuzyakov, Y., 2010. Microbial uptake of low-molecular-weight organic substances out-competes sorption in soil. *European Journal of Soil Science* 61, 504-513.
- Gardenas, A.I., Agren, G.I., Bird, J.A., Clarholm, M., Hallin, S., Ineson, P., Katterer, T., Knicker, H., Nilsson, S.I., Nashölm, T., Ogle, S., Paustian, K., Persson, T., Stendahl, J., 2011. Knowledge gaps in soil carbon and nitrogen interactions - From molecular to global scale. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* 43, 702-717.
- Giesler, R., Lundström, U., 1993. Soil solution chemistry e effects of bulking soil samples. *Soil Science Society of America Journal* 57, 1283-1288.
- Heathwaite, A.L., Johnes, P.J., 1996. Contribution of nitrogen species and phosphorus fractions to stream water quality in agricultural catchments. *Hydrological Processes* 10, 971-983.
- Hill, P.W., Farrar, J.F., Jones, D.L., 2008. Decoupling of microbial glucose uptake and mineralization in soil. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* 40, 616-624.
- Hill, P.W., Farrar, J., Roberts P., Farrell, M., Grant, H., Newsham, K.K., Hopkins, D.W., Bardgett, R.D., Jones, D.L., 2011a. Vascular plant success in a warming Antarctic may be due to efficient acquisition. *Nature Climate Change* 1, 50-53.
- Hill, P.W., Quilliam, R.S., DeLuca, T.H., Farrar, J., Farrell, M., Roberts, P., Newsham, K.K., Hopkins, D.W., Bardgett, R.D., Jones, D.L., 2011b. Acquisition and

- assimilation of nitrogen as peptide-bound and D-enantiomers of amino acids by wheat. *PLoS One* 6, e19220
- Hill, P.W., Farrell, M., Jones, D.L., 2012. Bigger may be better in soil N cycling: Does rapid acquisition of small L-peptides by soil microbes dominate fluxes of protein-derived N in soil? *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* 48, 106-112.
- Jones, D.L., Hodge, A., 1999. Biodegradation kinetics and sorption reactions of three differently charged amino acid in soil and their effects on plant organic nitrogen availability. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* 31, 1331-1342.
- Jones, D.L., Shannon, D., 1999. Mineralization of amino acids applied to soils: impact of soil sieving, storage, and inorganic nitrogen additions. *Soil Science Society of America Journal* 63, 1199-1206.
- Jones, D.L., Owen, A.G., Farrar, J.F., 2002. Simple method to enable the high resolution determination of total free amino acids in soil solutions and soil extracts. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* 34, 1893-1902.
- Jones, D.L., Shannon, D., Murphy, D.V., Farrar, J.F., 2004. Role of dissolved organic nitrogen (DON) in soil N cycling in grassland soils. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* 36, 749-756.
- Jones, D.L., Healey, J.R., Willett, V.B., Farrar, J.F., 2005a. Dissolved organic nitrogen uptake by plants - an important N uptake pathway? *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* 37, 413-423.
- Jones, D.L., Shannon, D., Junvee-Fortune, T., Farrar, J.F., 2005b. Plant capture of free amino acids is maximized under high soil amino acid concentrations. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* 37, 179-181

- Jones, D.L., Kielland, K., Sinclair, F.L., Dahlgren, R.A., Newsham, K.K., Farrar, J.F., Murphy, D.V., 2009. Soil organic nitrogen mineralization across a global latitudinal gradient. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles* 23, GB1016.
- Jones, D.L., Rousk, J., Edwards-Jones, G., DeLuca, T.H., Murphy, D.V., 2012. Biochar-mediated changes in soil quality and plant growth in a three year field trial. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* 45, 113-124.
- Knicker, H., 2011. Soil organic N - An under-rated player for C sequestration in soils? *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* 43, 1118-1129.
- Komagata, K., Suzuki, K., 1987. Lipid and cell wall analysis in bacterial systematics. *Methods in Microbiology* 19, 105-160.
- Manton, A., Gozzo, F., Schmitt, B., Stern, W.B., Gerber, Y., Robin, A.Y., Fromm, K.M., Painsi, M., Taubert, A., 2008. Amino acids in iron oxide mineralization: (Incomplete) crystal phase selection is achieved even with single amino acids. *Journal of Physical Chemistry C* 112, 12104-12110.
- McFarland, J.W., Ruess, R.W., Kielland, K., Pregitzer, K., Hendrick, R., 2010. Glycine mineralization in situ closely correlates with soil carbon availability across six North American forest ecosystems. *Biogeochemistry* 99, 175-191.
- Miranda, K.M., Espey, M.G., Wink, D.A., 2001. A rapid, simple spectrophotometric method for simultaneous detection of nitrate and nitrite. *Nitric Oxide Biology and Chemistry* 5, 62-71.
- Mulvaney, R.L., 1996. Nitrogen e inorganic forms. In: Sparks, D.L. (Ed.), *Methods of Soil Analysis*. Soil Science Society of America. American Society of Agronomy Inc, Madison, WI, pp. 1123-1184.

- Roberts, P., Jones, D.L., 2012. Microbial and plant uptake of free amino sugars in grassland soils. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* 49, 139-149.
- Roberts, P., Stockdale, R., Khalid, M., Iqbal, Z., Jones, D.L., 2009. Carbon-to-nitrogen ratio is a poor predictor of low molecular weight organic nitrogen mineralization in soil. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* 41, 1750-1752.
- Soper, F.M., Paungfoo-Lonhienne, C., Brackin, R., Rentsch, D., Schmidt, S., Robinson, N., 2011. *Arabidopsis* and *Lobelia anceps* access small peptides as a nitrogen source for growth. *Functional Plant Biology* 38, 788-796.
- van Kessel, C., Clough, T., van Groenigen, J.W., 2009. Dissolved organic nitrogen: an overlooked pathway of nitrogen loss from agricultural systems? *Journal of Environmental Quality* 38, 393-401.
- Willett, V.B., Reynolds, B.A., Stevens, P.A., Ormerod, S.J., Jones, D.L., 2004, Dissolved organic nitrogen regulation in freshwaters. *Journal of Environmental Quality* 33, 201-209.

## Chapter 4

---

### **Nutritional competition between plants and soil microorganisms for different nitrogen forms as influenced by peptides and vice versa**

#### **Abstract**

Nitrogen (N) is a key element that regulates ecosystem functioning, providing the basic building blocks for both plants and soil microorganisms. Recent studies have shown that both plants and soil microbes can directly utilize short-chain peptides, without the need for prior cleavage by extracellular peptidases. In addition, the availability of amino acids and peptides in soil has gained considerable attention as a potential source of organic N. Our knowledge of the interaction and potential competition between organic and inorganic N forms with respect to plant root N acquisition, however, remains unclear under both sterile and non-sterile growth conditions. Similarly, the microbial preference for different N forms in soil is not well characterized. The results presented here clearly show that soil microbes prefer organic N forms to inorganic N forms, probably due to the community being C-limited rather than N-limited. Wheat plants grown under sterile conditions demonstrated the ability to rapidly take up peptides, yet  $\text{NH}_4^+$  showed the greatest rate of uptake. In sterile culture, the availability of the different N forms was reduced by the presence of an alternative (competing) N form, with the exception of  $\text{NO}_3^-$ , which was not affected by trialanine. This effect was less apparent when the plants were grown in soil and in competition with a rhizosphere microbial community. These results support the theory that plants have the potential to acquire peptides from soil under a wide range of N conditions, but that they are poor competitors for organic N in comparison to soil microorganisms.



## 4.1. Introduction

Nitrogen (N) provides a basic building block for both plant and soil microbial growth and its availability is a key factor in regulating primary productivity in many ecosystems (Vitousek and Howarth, 1991). In managed agroecosystems, the addition of inorganic fertilizers and the mineralization of soil organic matter (SOM) generally provide sufficient inorganic N to microbial populations and plants, with excess N readily leached and lost from the system (Ryden et al., 1984). In this scenario, growth of the soil microbial community is typically limited by the availability of carbon (C) and therefore little competition for soil N may occur between microbes and plants. When fertilizer is not applied, either due to environmental concerns or for socioeconomic reasons, there is typically insufficient N to meet plant demand. Under these conditions, it has been shown that plants may use a wide range of organic N forms to satisfy their N shortfall (e.g. peptides, amino acids, and urea) (Hill et al., 2011a, c; Warren, 2013). As these organic N compounds are rich in both C and N, plant roots are in direct competition with microorganisms for this limited resource (Lipson and Monson, 1998; Owen and Jones, 2001). However, the competitive balance between plants and microorganisms depends on myriad abiotic and biotic factors (e.g. plant and microbial community structure, presence of mycorrhizas, prevailing climate, type of N form, plant ontology, soil physicochemical properties, etc.) and the key regulators remain poorly understood (Vinolas et al., 2001; Bardgett et al., 2003; Bajwa and Read, 1985; Benjdia et al., 2006; Schimel and Bennett, 2004).

In flux terms, proteins represent the dominant organic N pool in soil, and their breakdown by proteases, peptidases and deaminases leads to the release of peptides, amino acids and  $\text{NH}_4^+$  into solution. As most protein in soil is either insoluble or held

electrostatically on the soil's solid phase, the release of these soluble products is critical for movement towards and uptake by roots and microbes. As peptides represent the primary cleavage product, it has been hypothesised that peptides may be more important than amino acids and  $\text{NH}_4^+$  as a source of N for microbes (Matthews and Payne, 1980; Hill et al., 2012). This is supported by recent studies showing that short peptides constitute a higher proportion of the soil dissolved organic Nitrogen (DON) pool compared to free amino acids (Farrell et al., 2011a; Hill et al., 2011ab). The definition of short peptides varies, in this study we consider them to be of a size that can be directly taken up by microbes i.e. less than 650 Da which corresponds to around 6 amino acids in length. These short peptides are thought to be utilised by both plants and soil microorganisms without further cleavage by extracellular peptidases (Komarova et al., 2008; Farrell et al., 2011b; Hill et al., 2011c). Energetically, it is also more favourable to take up oligopeptides in comparison to free amino acids (Payne, 1980; Kirchman and Hodson, 1984; Carlsson, 1997). Together these findings indicate that the most intense inter- plant and plant-microbial competition in N-limiting ecosystems will be for peptides (Hill et al., 2011c).

Despite abundant evidence suggesting that organic N is important for plant growth, the quantitative significance of this flux remains very uncertain. This is mainly due to difficulties in translating hydroponic experiments to field conditions, the interpretation of  $^{15}\text{N}$  tracer studies, numerous N feedback loops and poor methods for quantifying soil N (Inselsbacher and Näsholm, 2012; Jones et al., 2005, 2013).

Soil solution contains a cocktail of  $\text{NH}_4^+$ ,  $\text{NO}_3^-$  and thousands of different organic N compounds; however, most experiments have focussed on the uptake and utilisation of single forms of inorganic and organic N. Based on the interaction between

$\text{NO}_3^-$  and  $\text{NH}_4^+$  uptake in plants (Kong et al., 2013), we hypothesise that interactive effects may occur between amino acids, peptides and inorganic N in terms of root transport activity. This study therefore aims to: 1) Determine whether peptides are taken up intact by plants growing under sterile conditions; 2) Evaluate how much N can be taken up as peptides by plants grown in soil; 3) Assess which forms of N soil microorganisms prefer; and (4) Determine the effect of inorganic N and amino acid availability on peptide uptake, and the effect of peptides on the uptake of these other N forms.

## **4.2. Materials and methods**

### **4.2.1. Plant uptake of peptides of different chain length**

Seeds of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L. var Claire) were sterilised in *ca.* 14% sodium hypochlorite with 1 drop of Tween for 20 min, followed by placement in 90% ethanol for 2 min. Subsequently, the seeds were washed thoroughly 3 times in sterile distilled water. Sterilised seeds were placed on the surface of 10% Murashige and Skoog agar and sealed in Phytatrays® (Sigma Aldrich, Gillingham, UK). Trays were placed in a climate-controlled cabinet with light intensity of  $500 \mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$  PAR, temperature of 25 °C and relative humidity of 70%. At the third leaf stage, the plants were removed from the agar and placed in KCl (0.5 mM) over night to remove adhering agar and nutrients. Intact root systems of individual plants ( $n = 3$ ) were then placed in 15 ml vials containing 12 ml of a sterile (0.2  $\mu\text{m}$ -filtered) solution of either  $^{13}\text{C}$ - $^{15}\text{N}$ -labelled L-dialanine, L-trialanine or L-tetraalanine (all 50  $\mu\text{M}$ , 98 atom %). After 5 h, plants were removed from solutions and washed in deionised water (for 1.5 min), followed by  $\text{CaCl}_2$  (100 mM) for 1.5 min, followed by a final rinse with deionised

water. This washing was designed to remove any N present in the apoplast and charged solutes adhering to the roots.

After washing, the plants were placed in paper bags and oven dried (80 °C, 24 h) after which, root and shoot biomass were recorded. The roots and shoots of the plants were ground together; the ground material was weighed into tin foil cups and prepared for analysis by mass spectrometry. Background plants for each treatment were prepared following the same procedure, except they were not exposed to  $^{15}\text{N}$  or  $^{13}\text{C}$ . Total C and N in the root and shoot was determined using a TruSpec<sup>®</sup> CN analyzer (Leco Corp., St Joseph, MI). The samples were sent to UC Davis Stable Isotope Facility, California, for  $^{15}\text{N}$  and  $^{13}\text{C}$  analysis.

#### **4.2.2. Phosphor image**

Replicate sterile wheat plants were removed from agar and placed in KCl (0.5 mM) over night to remove adhering agar and nutrients. The plants were then placed in 4 ml of either 10  $\mu\text{M}$   $^{14}\text{C}$ -trialanine or 10  $\mu\text{M}$   $^{14}\text{C}$ -alanine solution (2 kBq ml<sup>-1</sup>) for 30 min. Plants were then removed from the solution, washed in deionised water (1.5 min), and the roots and leaves were subsequently oven dried (24 h, 80 °C). To confirm amino acid and peptide uptake, plants were placed flat on phosphor imaging plates and scanned by Cyclone plus storage phosphor system (Perkin Elmer, Waltham, USA) after exposure to the plates for 48 h.

#### **4.2.3. Uptake of nitrogen from solution by sterile grown plants**

Wheat plants were grown in sterile culture on 10% Murashige and Skoog agar as described above. When the plants were at the third leaf stage, they were removed from the agar and placed in KCl (0.5 mM) overnight. To determine how peptide uptake was

affected by the availability of other N forms, roots of single plants ( $n = 3$ ) were placed for 5 h in 15 ml of a sterile (0.2  $\mu\text{m}$ -filtered) solution of dual-labelled  $^{13}\text{C}$ - $^{15}\text{N}$  L-trialanine (50  $\mu\text{M}$ ) alongside other forms of unlabelled N (either  $\text{NO}_3^-$ ,  $\text{NH}_4^+$  or L-alanine). Next,  $\text{KNO}_3$  and L-alanine were added at either 0  $\mu\text{M}$  (control), 150  $\mu\text{M}$ , (same amount of N as trialanine), 750  $\mu\text{M}$  (5 times as much N as trialanine) and 7.5 mM (50 times as much N as trialanine). Then,  $\text{NH}_4\text{Cl}$  was added at 0, 150  $\mu\text{M}$ , 750  $\mu\text{M}$  and 1.5 mM to avoid toxic effects of high concentrations of exogenous  $\text{NH}_4^+$ .

In the converse experiment, the uptake of  $\text{NO}_3^-$ ,  $\text{NH}_4^+$  and L-alanine were measured in the presence of increasing concentrations of peptide. Individual plants were placed in a sterile solution (15 ml) of either  $\text{K}^{15}\text{NO}_3$ ,  $^{15}\text{NH}_4\text{Cl}$  or  $^{13}\text{C}$ - $^{15}\text{N}$ -alanine (50  $\mu\text{M}$ ), with unlabelled trialanine added at either 0  $\mu\text{M}$  (control), 16.7  $\mu\text{M}$  (same amount of N as  $\text{NO}_3^-$ ,  $\text{NH}_4^+$  or alanine), 83.5  $\mu\text{M}$  (5 times as much N as  $\text{NO}_3^-$ ,  $\text{NH}_4^+$  or alanine), 835  $\mu\text{M}$  (50 times as much N as  $\text{NO}_3^-$ ,  $\text{NH}_4^+$  or alanine). After 5 h, plants were removed from solutions, washed and prepared for mass spectrometry as described previously.

#### **4.2.4. Uptake of nitrogen by plants growing in soil**

Soil samples were collected from agricultural soil at Bangor University, Henfaes Research Station Abergwyngregyn, Gwynedd, UK ( $53^\circ 14' 24''\text{N}$ ,  $04^\circ 00' 58''\text{W}$ ). The soil is classified as a Eutric Cambisol. The mean annual soil temperature at 10 cm is 11  $^\circ\text{C}$  and the mean annual rainfall is 1250 mm. The soil had a total C content of 34 g  $\text{kg}^{-1}$  DW, a total N content of 3.4 g  $\text{kg}^{-1}$  DW, a microbial biomass C of 3.9 g  $\text{kg}^{-1}$  and a microbial biomass N of 0.54 g  $\text{kg}^{-1}$ . The soil was collected from the top 0–10 cm Ahp horizon and stored in  $\text{CO}_2$ -permeable polythene bags. Upon return to the laboratory, the soil was sieved to pass 2 mm, removing any vegetation, stones and earthworms.

Rhizotubes (15 cm long, 0.5 cm diameter; Jones et al., 2009) were filled with 12.5 g FW of sieved soil. Pre-germinated wheat seeds were planted in the top of these tubes and then the rhizotubes were placed in a climate-controlled cabinet as described above. The plants were watered daily to maintain the soil close to field capacity.

To measure how peptide uptake was affected by the availability of other N forms,  $^{15}\text{N}$ - $^{13}\text{C}$  L-trialanine (100  $\mu\text{M}$ ; 98 atom %) was mixed with different forms of unlabelled N. At the third leaf stage, rhizotubes containing a single plant ( $n = 3$ ) were injected with 100  $\mu\text{M}$   $^{15}\text{N}$ - $^{13}\text{C}$  L-trialanine in the presence of either 0, 300, 1500  $\mu\text{M}$ , and 15 mM unlabelled L-alanine or  $\text{KNO}_3$ , or 0, 300, 1500  $\mu\text{M}$ , and 3 mM unlabelled  $\text{NH}_4\text{Cl}$ . Then, 1 ml of solution was injected in four 0.25 ml portions at 2 cm intervals down the rhizotubes to ensure even spread of the substrate through the soil. After 5 h, the roots were removed from the rhizotubes and washed as described above. The plants were then dried, weighed and ground before preparation for mass spectrometer analysis. Roots and shoots were ground and analysed separately.

To determine how the uptake of  $\text{NO}_3^-$ ,  $\text{NH}_4^+$  and L-alanine was affected by the availability of peptide, we added 100  $\mu\text{M}$  of either  $\text{K}^{15}\text{NO}_3$ ,  $^{15}\text{NH}_4\text{Cl}$  or  $^{13}\text{C}$ - $^{15}\text{N}$ -L-alanine with either 0, 33.4, 167 or 1670  $\mu\text{M}$  of unlabelled L-trialanine. The plants were then labelled and harvested as described above for the labelled trialanine experiment.

The presence of arbuscular mycorrhizas (AM) within the soil-grown plant roots was determined following the method of Ruzin (1999). Briefly, washed roots were fixed in 50% ethanol (24 h), rinsed 3 times in deionised water, cleared in 10% KOH (20 °C, 16 h), rinsed 3 times in deionised water, acidified in 2% HCl (2 min), stained (0.05% w/v Trypan blue), destained (50% glycerin, 24 h), mounted on glass slides in 50%

glycerin and examined under the microscope. The presence of diagnostic AM features (vesicles, hyphae, and arbuscules) was then recorded.

#### **4.2.5. Nitrogen uptake by soil microbes**

Peptide and amino acid uptake by the soil microbial community was determined by the snap-elution method of Hill et al. (2008). Briefly, microcentrifuge tubes with a hole pierced in the bottom were each filled with 1.2 g FW of sieved soil (soil properties as described in Hill et al., 2008). A solution of uniformly  $^{14}\text{C}$ -labelled L-alanine or L-trialanine (0.3 ml, 50  $\mu\text{M}$ , 4 kBq  $\text{ml}^{-1}$ ) or  $^{15}\text{NH}_4\text{Cl}$  or  $\text{K}^{15}\text{NO}_3$  (0.3 ml, 50  $\mu\text{M}$ , 98 atom %) was added to the surface of soil in each tube. Each tube was then placed into another intact microcentrifuge tube and the pair was centrifuged (4000 g, 1 min, 20 °C) after 1, 5, 10, 20, 40 or 60 min from the addition of the solution. During centrifugation, the soil solution passed into the lower tube, leaving the soil in the upper tube. Activity of  $^{14}\text{C}$  in the collected solution was determined by liquid scintillation counting in a Wallac 1404 scintillation counter (Perkin Elmer Life Sciences, Boston, MA) after mixing with Scintisafe3 scintillation cocktail (Fisher Scientific, Loughborough, UK). Microbial uptake of inorganic N was determined according to Hill et al. (2011a), where the residual soil was oven dried (80 °C, 24 h), weighed and ground for mass spectrometer  $^{15}\text{N}$  analysis as above.

To distinguish N loss from solution due to microbial uptake from that associated with abiotic processes (e.g. sorption to the solid phase), the experiments were run either on field-moist soil or on soil that had been autoclaved (121 °C, 30 min) prior to use.

#### 4.2.6. Soil solution characteristics under wheat

At the same site where the soil was collected for the rhizotube experiments, soil solution was collected in situ using Rhizon® soil water samplers (Rhizosphere Research Products, Wageningen, Netherlands). At the time of collection, the soil supported a crop of winter wheat (*Triticum aestivum* var Granary) at growth stage Z50 (Zadoks et al., 1974). Total amino acid-N in soil solution was determined fluorometrically according to Jones et al. (2002). The  $\text{NO}_3^-$  and  $\text{NH}_4^+$  were analysed colorimetrically using the vanadate method of Miranda et al. (2001) and the salicylic acid procedure of Mulvaney (1996), respectively. To isolate the oligopeptide fraction, soil solution was also passed through a 1 kDa ultrafiltration membrane using an Amicon Stirred Cell pressurised under  $\text{N}_2$  (Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA). The concentration of oligopeptides in the < 1 kDa fraction was subsequently determined by measuring the total free amino acid concentration before and after hydrolysis (6 M HCl, 16 h, 105 °C under  $\text{N}_2$ ) (Amelung et al., 2006).

#### 4.2.7. Plant respiration

Six sterile plants were removed from agar and placed in KCl (0.5 mM) overnight to remove adhering agar and nutrients. The plants were then placed in 5 ml polypropylene vials containing (50  $\mu\text{M}$ , 1.9 kBq  $\text{ml}^{-1}$ , 2.3 kBq  $\text{ml}^{-1}$ )  $^{14}\text{C}$ -alanine or  $^{14}\text{C}$ -trialanine. Each vial was put into an airtight container through which air was pumped at a rate of *ca.* 600  $\text{ml min}^{-1}$ . After passing through the chamber housing plants, air was bubbled through Oxosol scintillant (National Diagnostics, Atlanta, GA, USA) to capture respired  $^{14}\text{CO}_2$ . Oxosol traps were changed after 5, 10, 20, 40 and 60 min. Captured  $^{14}\text{C}$  was measured by liquid scintillation counting. There were 3 replicates for both alanine and trialanine.



#### 4.2.8. Statistical and data analysis

Statistical analysis of the results was carried out by ANOVA, followed by LSD post hoc test using SPSS v14 (IBM UK Ltd., Hampshire, UK) with  $P < 0.05$  used as the cut-off for statistical significance.

A first order exponential decay function was used to describe N uptake by soil microbes after addition of  $^{14}\text{C}$ -labelled L-alanine or L-trialanine or  $^{15}\text{NH}_4\text{Cl}$  or  $\text{K}^{15}\text{NO}_3$  to soil:

$$Y = (a_1 \times \exp^{-k_1 t}) \quad [\text{Eq. 1}]$$

where  $Y$  represents the amount of  $^{14}\text{C}$  remaining in the soil,  $a$  describes the size of the pool,  $k$  is the rate constant describing the rate of turnover of pools,  $a_1$  and  $t$  are time after substrate addition (Boddy et al., 2008; Jones et al., 2009). The half-life  $t_{1/2}$  of the substrate pool ( $a_1$ ) was calculated as follows:

$$t_{1/2} = \ln(2) / k_1 \quad [\text{Eq. 2}]$$

### 4.3. Results

#### 4.3.1. Available forms of nitrogen in soil solution under wheat

The pool of soil solution N under the wheat crop was dominated by  $\text{NO}_3^-$  (Table 4.1). The pool of  $\text{NH}_4^+$ , amino acids and short chain ( $< 1$  kDa) peptides were considerably smaller, at  $< 3\%$  and  $< 0.2\%$  that of  $\text{NO}_3^-$ , respectively. Concentrations of amino acid and peptide N were not significantly different from each other.


#### 4.3.2. Phosphor imaging of amino acid and peptide uptake by plants

The phosphor image of sterile plant roots after the addition of  $^{14}\text{C}$ -labelled alanine and trialanine showed the plant's ability to take up and translocate the amino

acids and peptides (Fig. 4.1). Dark areas within the phosphor image of the sterile roots show incorporation of  $^{14}\text{C}$ -labelled alanine and trialanine into the roots. It can be seen that all parts of the roots are labelled, suggesting that the transporters are present throughout the root system.

**Table 4.1.** Soil solution characteristics. Value are mean  $\pm$  SEM ( $n=3$ ).

Parameter	Concentration
$\text{NH}_4^+$ -N ( $\text{mg N l}^{-1}$ )	$5.8 \pm 1.1$
$\text{NO}_3^-$ -N ( $\text{mg N l}^{-1}$ )	$195.0 \pm 1.4$
DON ( $\text{mg N l}^{-1}$ )	$32.2 \pm 1.0$
DON $<1$ kDa ( $\text{mg N l}^{-1}$ )	$30.2 \pm 8.3$
Amino acid-N	$0.38 \pm 0.06$
Peptide $<1$ kDa	$0.37 \pm 0.1$
TOC ( $\text{mg C l}^{-1}$ )	$15.99 \pm 2.07$
TOC $<1$ kDa ( $\text{mg C l}^{-1}$ )	$8.28 \pm 0.94$



**Fig. 4.1.** Visualisation of the uptake of  $^{14}\text{C}$ -alanine and  $^{14}\text{C}$ -trialanine by sterile wheat plants. Panels b & d are phosphor images whilst panels a & c are photos taken with a normal camera of the dry wheat plant.


#### 4.3.3. Uptake of peptides of different chain lengths

The plants showed the ability to take up the oligopeptides of all the chain lengths tested. Five hours after addition to sterile plants, the total uptake of  $^{15}\text{N}$  supplied as dialanine was significantly lower ( $105 \pm 20$  nmol N DW plant  $\text{g}^{-1} \text{h}^{-1}$ ) than that of  $^{15}\text{N}$  delivered as both trialanine and tetraalanine ( $P < 0.02$ ). Trialanine uptake was not significantly different from that of tetraalanine ( $156 \pm 36.5$ ;  $142 \pm 12.7$  nmol N DW plant  $\text{g}^{-1} \text{h}^{-1}$ ) ( $P > 0.05$ ).

#### 4.3.4. Nitrogen uptake by plants grown in sterile culture

The uptake rate of trialanine by wheat plants grown in sterile culture was  $0.65 \pm 0.04$   $\mu\text{mol N g}^{-1} \text{DW root h}^{-1}$ . However, the rate of trialanine uptake decreased significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) when it was added in the presence of other N forms ( $0.32 \pm 0.03$ ,  $0.25 \pm 0.02$ ,  $0.20 \pm 0.03$   $\mu\text{mol N g}^{-1} \text{DW root h}^{-1}$ , when added with  $150 \mu\text{M N NO}_3^-$ ,  $\text{NH}_4^+$  and alanine, respectively; Fig. 4.2). Increasing the concentration of the other N forms did not cause further change, nor was there a significant difference due to the type of N form added. The N uptake rate for other N forms alone was  $0.033 \pm 0.01$ ,  $5.60 \pm 0.69$ ,  $0.88 \pm 0.06$   $\mu\text{mol N g}^{-1} \text{DW root h}^{-1}$  for  $\text{NO}_3^-$ ,  $\text{NH}_4^+$  and alanine, respectively. This suggests that sterile plants show a preference for  $\text{NH}_4^+$  over alanine and trialanine, whilst the uptake of  $\text{NO}_3^-$  was the lowest. Simultaneous addition of trialanine significantly decreased the uptake rate of  $\text{NH}_4^+$  and alanine, whilst the rate of  $\text{NO}_3^-$  was unaffected. The concentration of trialanine was not a significant factor (Fig. 4.2).

The ratio of  $^{13}\text{C}$  recovery to  $^{15}\text{N}$  recovery in plants did not differ between alanine ( $0.64 \pm 0.03$ ) and trialanine ( $0.65 \pm 0.08$ ).



**Fig. 4.2.** Graphs showing the uptake of peptides by sterile roots wheat plants (a) explaining how the peptide uptake was affected by availability of other forms of nitrogen  $^{15}\text{N}^{13}\text{C}$  L-trialanine with unlabelled L-alanine or  $\text{KNO}_3$  and  $\text{NH}_4\text{Cl}$ , (b) showing how uptake of other forms of N ( $\text{K}^{15}\text{NO}_3$ ,  $^{15}\text{NH}_4\text{Cl}$  or  $^{13}\text{C}-^{15}\text{N}$ -L-alanine) was affected by availability of unlabelled L-trialanine. Values are means  $\pm$  SEM,  $n=3$

#### 4.3.5. Nitrogen uptake by plants grown in soil


The rate of trialanine uptake by the plants was  $67.5 \pm 8.6 \text{ nmol N g}^{-1}\text{DW root h}^{-1}$  when grown in soil. The uptake rate of trialanine decreased significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) when it was added alongside high concentrations of the other N forms ( $44.1 \pm 5.4$ ;  $36.1 \pm 4.1$ ;  $46.3 \pm 0.8 \text{ nmol N g}^{-1}\text{DW root h}^{-1}$ ; 15 mM of  $\text{NO}_3^-$  and alanine, 3 mM of  $\text{NH}_4^+$ , respectively). The addition of alanine at 1.5 mM also significantly decreased the uptake rate of trialanine to  $44.7 \pm 5.1 \text{ nmol N g}^{-1}\text{DW root h}^{-1}$  ( $P < 0.05$ ). The uptake rates for  $\text{NO}_3^-$ ,  $\text{NH}_4^+$  and alanine were  $145.0 \pm 13.6$ ;  $102.6 \pm 0.35$ ;  $88.2 \pm 10.3 \text{ nmol N g}^{-1}\text{DW root h}^{-1}$ , respectively, when they were added individually, with the uptake of  $\text{NO}_3^-$  being significantly greater ( $P < 0.05$ ) than all the others, and the uptake of trialanine being significantly lower ( $P < 0.05$ ) than uptake of the other N forms. The uptake of

alanine and  $\text{NO}_3^-$  were not significantly affected by adding trialanine at any concentration. The uptake of ammonium was significantly reduced by addition of the two highest trialanine concentrations  $27.9 \pm 9.1$ ;  $44.1 \pm 5.4 \text{ nmol N g}^{-1} \text{ DW root h}^{-1}$ , 167  $\mu\text{M}$ , 1670  $\mu\text{M}$ , respectively (Fig. 4.3).

The root allocation of  $^{15}\text{N}$  added as nitrate, ammonium, alanine and trialanine was higher than that allocated to the shoots. The ratio of  $^{15}\text{N}$  recovery in the roots to  $^{15}\text{N}$  recovery in the shoots was significantly greater for trialanine ( $38.5 \pm 10.9$ ) than for  $\text{NO}_3^-$  ( $5.73 \pm 1.96$ ),  $\text{NH}_4^+$  ( $2.61 \pm 0.72$ ) or alanine ( $3.12 \pm 0.87$ ). The addition of alanine with trialanine significantly decreased the  $^{15}\text{N}$  root/shoot for trialanine ( $P < 0.05$ ), but there was no effect with increased concentration ( $10.3 \pm 1.2$ ,  $9.3 \pm 2.8$  and  $12.0 \pm 1.1$  for 0.3 mM, 1.5 mM and 15 mM alanine, respectively). The two lowest  $\text{NO}_3^-$  concentrations also significantly lowered the  $^{15}\text{N}$  root/shoot of labelled trialanine to  $10.4 \pm 1.2$  and  $12.6 \pm 2.4$  for 0.3 mM, 1.5 mM  $\text{NO}_3^-$  respectively. The highest  $\text{NO}_3^-$  concentration did not produce a  $^{15}\text{N}$  root/shoot ( $28.07 \pm 3.86$ ) that was significantly different from trialanine only, but it was significantly higher than that of the lower  $\text{NO}_3^-$  concentrations. When  $\text{NH}_4^+$  was added with  $^{15}\text{N}$  trialanine, only the 1.5 mM caused a significant change in the  $^{15}\text{N}$  root/shoot, decreasing it to  $11.6 \pm 2.4$ . The root/shoot  $^{15}\text{N}$  for  $\text{NO}_3^-$  and alanine was not significantly changed by addition with any concentration of trialanine. When  $\text{NH}_4^+$  was added with 33.4  $\mu\text{M}$  and 1670  $\mu\text{M}$  trialanine the root/shoot  $^{15}\text{N}$  ratio increased significantly to  $9.5 \pm 2.0$  and  $8.0 \pm 2.1$ , respectively, whilst 167  $\mu\text{M}$  trialanine caused no significant difference ( $3.3 \pm 1.6$ ). Testing for the presence of arbuscular mycorrhizas within the roots revealed no signs of colonisation or infection.

The  $^{13}\text{C}/^{15}\text{N}$  recovery ratios for plants grown in soil were very variable. In the whole plant after the addition of dual-labelled trialanine,  $^{13}\text{C}/^{15}\text{N}$  was  $0.36 \pm 0.39$ . The

mean value of the  $^{13}\text{C}/^{15}\text{N}$  ratio was negative due to some samples having slightly higher  $^{13}\text{C}$  content than backgrounds. We attribute these results to the addition of amino acid and peptide  $^{13}\text{C}$  to a pool of plant  $\text{C} > 10,000$  times larger, with a variable  $^{13}\text{C}$  content. These data should consequently be interpreted with caution.



**Fig.4.3.** Graphs showing the uptake of peptides by roots of wheat plants growing in soil (a) explaining how the peptide uptake was affected by availability of other forms of nitrogen  $^{15}\text{N}$ - $^{13}\text{C}$  L-trialanine with unlabelled L-alanine or  $\text{KNO}_3$  and  $\text{NH}_4\text{Cl}$ , (b) showing how uptake of other forms of N ( $\text{K}^{15}\text{NO}_3$ ,  $^{15}\text{NH}_4\text{Cl}$  or  $^{13}\text{C}$ - $^{15}\text{N}$ -L-alanine) was affected by availability of unlabelled L-trialanine. Values are means  $\pm$  SEM,  $n=3$


#### 4.3.6. Nitrogen uptake by soil microbes

Sterilisation of soil was expected to inhibit both microbial and extracellular enzyme (e.g. peptidase) activity. Therefore, the concentration of the N forms in soil solution is controlled by mixing and sorption to soil particles. Under sterile conditions, the N retained in the first minute was  $18.7 \pm 0.8$  (nmol N g<sup>-1</sup> DW) in the soil which had  $\text{NH}_4^+$  added, and  $20.6 \pm 1.15$  (nmol N g<sup>-1</sup> DW) at 60 min. For the soil that had  $\text{NO}_3^-$

added, the N retention for the first minute was  $8.3 \pm 1$  (nmol N g<sup>-1</sup> DW) and after 60 min, it was  $14.4 \pm 2$  (nmol N g<sup>-1</sup> DW). The retention rates for trialanine and alanine in the first minute were  $20.8 \pm 0.5$ ,  $20.0 \pm 0.2$  (nmol N g<sup>-1</sup> DW), respectively, and after 60 min they were  $21.1 \pm 0.3$  and  $21.7 \pm 0.2$  (nmol N g<sup>-1</sup> DW), respectively. Assuming that NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> was not bound to soil particles and remained mostly in solution, it can be estimated that around 10% of the labelled substrate added remains in the soil due to incomplete extraction of soil solution.

In non-sterile soils, the half-life ( $t_{1/2}$ ) for microbial removal of alanine from soil solution ( $0.68 \pm 0.12$  min) was lower than that of trialanine ( $1.76 \pm 0.46$  min), but this was only significant at the  $P < 0.1$  level. The rate of uptake of N by soil microbes was significantly greater for the organic N forms ( $17.7 \pm 5.9$  and  $13.7 \pm 2.8$  nmol g<sup>-1</sup> FW min<sup>-1</sup> for trialanine and alanine, respectively), whilst the rate of NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> uptake ( $3.2 \pm 0.87$  nmol g<sup>-1</sup> FW min<sup>-1</sup>) was faster than for NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> ( $0.75 \pm 0.26$  nmol g<sup>-1</sup> FW min<sup>-1</sup>). This suggests that soil microbes take up more amino acids and peptides than NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>. Microbes did not take up NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> to any great extent (Fig. 4.4).






**Fig. 4.4.** N uptake by microbial after addition of  $^{14}\text{C}$ -labelled L-alanine or L-trialanine or  $^{15}\text{NH}_4\text{Cl}$  or  $\text{K}^{15}\text{NO}_3$ . The lines are fits of first -order exponential model. Values are means  $\pm$  SEM,  $n=3$ .

#### 4.3.7. Respiration of organic forms of nitrogen

Sterile wheat plants rapidly released  $^{14}\text{CO}_2$  when they were supplied with either  $^{14}\text{C}$ -labelled alanine or trialanine, showing that both these forms of N were metabolised in the plant (Fig. 4.5). Almost twice as much C was respired from plants supplied with trialanine ( $P < 0.04$ ). However, when normalised by the C content of alanine and trialanine, the proportion of available C respired was not significantly different between the two organic forms of N.



**Fig.4.5** Respiration of organic N forms by sterile plants, C respired after addition of <sup>14</sup>C-alanine or <sup>14</sup>C-trialanine. Values are means ± SEM, (n=3).

## 4.4. Discussion

### 4.4.1. Phosphor imaging of plant peptide and amino acid acquisition

Phosphor images of plants supplied with <sup>14</sup>C L-alanine and L-trialanine clearly show that both the amino acid monomer and its tripeptide were taken up by wheat roots. Although it is not known whether translocation of intact molecules took place, it is clear that <sup>14</sup>C delivered to roots as both alanine and trialanine was translocated from roots to shoots.

### 4.4.2. Uptake of different nitrogen forms by sterile plants

Plants with sterile roots showed an apparent preference for NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>, and the uptake of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> was significantly slower than the other N forms. The same results were found by Hill et al. (2011a), and they found similar root uptake for both of the L- forms of alanine and trialanine. They also showed that peptides were taken up rapidly even in the presence of other N forms. Here, this was further investigated.

The availability of different N forms ( $\text{NO}_3^-$ ,  $\text{NH}_4^+$  and alanine) decreased peptide uptake, relative to trialanine only. The availability of peptide had no significant effect on  $\text{NO}_3^-$  uptake. Ammonium uptake was significantly lower when trialanine was added, and alanine uptake was also significantly lower when trialanine was applied. It appears that, with the exception of trialanine on  $\text{NO}_3^-$ , an increase in N, regardless of form, decreased the uptake of the labelled form. This suggests that plants were getting more N than they required at the higher N concentrations. It is not clear why  $\text{NO}_3^-$  uptake was not down-regulated in the same way as other N forms. This may relate in some way to the fact that  $\text{NO}_3^-$  uptake was always lower than that of other forms of N, even after their down regulation.

It is clear from the capture of  $^{14}\text{CO}_2$  in respiration from sterile plants that both the amino acid and the monomer were rapidly metabolised in the plant. The ratio of  $^{15}\text{N}$  to  $^{13}\text{C}$  recovered in plants suggests that around 35 % of the alanine and trialanine C taken up was lost in respiration during the experiment.

#### **4.4.3. Uptake of peptides by the soil microbial community**

In sterile soil, the biotic retention of  $\text{NH}_4^+$ , trialanine and alanine were not significantly different and were significantly higher than that of  $\text{NO}_3^-$ . Whilst there is no net charge for trialanine and alanine at the pH of the experimental soil (pH 6), these results still suggests that they were adsorbed to particle surfaces as  $\text{NH}_4^+$  was adsorbed to cation exchange sites.

In non-sterile soil, the microbes rapidly took up the alanine and trialanine, and the rate of uptake of N by soil microbes was significantly greater for the organic N forms, supporting the findings of Hill et al. (2011a). This implies that the amino acids

and peptides were all rapidly assimilated by the soil microbial community, which is consistent with past reports showing rapid microbial immobilization of amino acids and peptides. Microbial uptake of  $\text{NH}_4^+$  was low, and microbes did not uptake  $\text{NO}_3^-$ . This probably relates to the fact that, energetically, the metabolism of  $\text{NH}_4^+$  is favoured over  $\text{NO}_3^-$  (Jones et al., 2004; Hill et al., 2011a).

#### **4.4.4. The uptake of nitrogen by plants grown in soil**

The N uptake by sterile plants was much higher than by those grown in soil. This was in complete contrast to the results for sterile roots, where  $\text{NO}_3^-$  was taken up by plants more than the other forms of N. Some previous studies have suggested that microbes frequently compete better for organic N than plants (Jones et al., 2005; Kuzyakov and Xingliang, 2013). Our results appear to support this conclusion. Also, sorption to soil particles can reduce N availability to plants and microbes. As our results for both plant uptake and retention in sterile soil indicate, this is especially true of cationic forms of N, such as  $\text{NH}_4^+$ . In contrast,  $\text{NO}_3^-$  is more mobile in soil and is also less attractive to soil microbes (Abaas et al., 2012). The lower microbial uptake of  $\text{NO}_3^-$  relative to amino acids and peptides means that  $^{15}\text{NO}_3^-$  remains in the soil solution pool much longer than amino acid forms of  $^{15}\text{N}$ . In contrast, removal of amino acids and peptides from the soil solution occurred within minutes. Consequently, it may be that even relatively short pulse-chase periods of a few hours lead to higher apparent uptake of  $\text{NO}_3^-$  than occurs when there is a constant release of amino acid forms of N into the soil solution. Thus, although it is clear that, relative to amino acids and peptides,  $\text{NO}_3^-$  uptake is much greater in soil than it is in sterile solutions, some caution must be

exercised when interpreting the results of pulse-chase experiments using forms of N with different residence times in soil solution.

It took greater concentrations of other N forms to decrease the uptake rate of peptides in soil than it did in sterile solutions. This could be due to greater N demand because of uptake by microbes in relation to alanine, and perhaps  $\text{NH}_4^+$ , but seems unlikely in the case of  $\text{NO}_3^-$ . Higher peptide concentrations decreased  $\text{NH}_4^+$  uptake, but not alanine uptake as it did in sterile solution. Again, this may relate to rapid removal of the peptide from the soil solution by soil microbes. The inconclusive  $^{13}\text{C}/^{15}\text{N}$  ratio in plants grown in soil may also suggest that alanine and trialanine were mineralized prior to plant uptake and that their influence on uptake of other forms of N was not necessarily due only to their organic form.

#### **4.5. Conclusions**

We found that the microbes prefer organic N forms more than inorganic forms. Sterile plants can uptake intact peptides without first requiring extracellular cleavage and metabolize them. This finding shows that plants have the ability to compete with microbes for organic N sources, like peptides, at an early stage in protein decomposition. The uptake of trialanine by plants was affected by the availability of other N forms, and peptide availability also reduced plant uptake of all forms of N other than  $\text{NO}_3^-$ . However, in soil this did not appear to take place at realistic soil solution concentrations of any forms of N. The uptake of trialanine N by plants in soil was less than the inorganic forms (53.5 % less than  $\text{NO}_3^-$ ), but it was still not inconsequential. However, whether it was taken up intact and is ultimately of ecological significance remains unclear.

## References:

- Abaas, E., Hill, P.W., Murphy, D.V., Jones, D.L., 2012. Microbial activity differentially regulates the vertical mobility of nitrogen compounds in soil. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 53, 120-123.
- Bajwa, R., Read, D.J., 1985. The biology of mycorrhizal in the Ericaceae. IX. Peptides as nitrogen sources for the ericoid endophyte and for mycorrhizal and nonmycorrhizal plants. *New Phytologist* 101, 459-467.
- Bardgett, R.D., Streeter, T.C., Bol, R., 2003. Soil microbes compete effectively with plants for organic-nitrogen inputs to temperate grasslands. *Ecology* 84, 1277-1287.
- Benjdia, M., E. Rikirsch, T. Müller, M. Morel, C. Corratagé, S. Zimmermann, M. Chalot, W.B. Frommer, and D. Wipf., 2006. Peptide uptake in the ectomycorrhizal fungus *Hebeloma cylindrosporum*: Characterization of two di- and tripeptide transporters (HcPTR2A and B). *New Phytologist* 170, 401-410.
- Boddy, E.L., Roberts, P., Hill, P. W., Farrar, J. F., Jones, D. L., 2008. Turnover of low molecular weight dissolved organic C (DOC) and microbial C exhibit different temperature sensitivities in Arctic tundra soils. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 40, 1557–1566.
- Carlsson, J., 1997. Bacterial metabolism in dental biofilms. *Advances in Dental* 11, 75-80.
- Farrell, M., Hill, P.W., Farrar, J., Deluca, T.H., Roberts, P., Kielland, K., Dahlgren, R., Murphy, D. V., Hobbs, P.J., Jones, D.L., 2012. Oligopeptides represent a preferred source of organic N uptake: a global phenomenon? *Ecosystems* doi: 10.1007/s10021-012-9601-8.

- Farrell, M., Hill, P.W., Farrar, J.F., Bardgett, R.D., Jones, D.L., 2011a. Seasonal variation in soluble soil carbon and nitrogen across a grassland productivity gradient. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 43, 835-844.
- Farrell, M., Hill, P.W., Wanniarachchi, S.D., Farrar, J.F., Bardgett, R.D., Jones, D.L., 2011b. Rapid peptide metabolism: a major component of soil nitrogen cycling? *Global Biogeochemical Cycles* 25, GB3014.
- Hill, P.W., Farrar, J.F., Jones, D.L., 2008. Decoupling of microbial glucose uptake and mineralization in soil. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 40, 616-624.
- Hill, P.W., Farrar, J., Roberts, P., Farrell, M., Grant, H., Newsham, K.K., Hopkins, D.W., Bardgett, R.D., Jones, D.L., 2011a. Vascular plant success in a warming Antarctic may be due to efficient nitrogen acquisition. *Nature climate change* 1, 50-53.
- Hill, P.W., Farrell, M., Roberts, P., Farrar, J., Grant, H., Newsham, K.K., Hopkins, D.W., Bardgett, R.D., Jones, D.L., 2011b. Soil- and enantiomer-specific metabolism of amino acids and their peptides by Antarctic soil microorganisms. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 43, 2410-2416.
- Hill, P.W., Quilliam, R.S., DeLuca, T.H., Farrar, J.F., Farrell, M., Roberts, P., Newsham, K.K., Hopkins, D.W., Bardgett, R.D., Jones, D.L., 2011c. Acquisition and assimilation of nitrogen as peptide-bound and D-enantiomers of amino acids by wheat. *PLoS ONE* DOI 10, 1371.
- Hill, P.W., Farrell, M., Jones, D.L., 2012. Bigger may be better in soil N cycling: does rapid acquisition of small L-peptides by soil microbes dominate fluxes of protein-derived N in soil? *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 48, 106-112.

- Hodge, A., Stewart, J., Robinson, D., Griffiths, B.S., Fitter, A.H., 2000. Plant N capture and microfaunal dynamics from decomposing grass and earthworm residues in soil. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 32, 1763–1772.
- Inselsbacher, E., Näsholm, T., 2012. The below-ground perspective of forest plants: soil provides mainly organic nitrogen for plants and mycorrhizal fungi. *New Phytologist* 195, 329-334.
- Jones, D.L., Kielland, K., 2002. Soil amino acid turnover dominates the nitrogen flux in permafrost-dominated taiga forest soils. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 34, 209-219.
- Jones, D.L., Shannon, D., Junvee-Fortune, T., Farrar, J.F., 2005. Plant capture of free amino acids is maximized under high soil amino acid concentrations. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 37, 179-181.
- Jones, D. L., K. Kielland, F. L. Sinclair, R. A. Dahlgren, K. K. Newsham, J. F. Farrar., D. V. Murphy., 2009. Soil organic nitrogen mineralization across a global latitudinal gradient, *Global Biogeochem. Cycles*, 23, GB1016, doi:10.1029/2008GB003250.
- Kaye, J.P., Hart, S.C., 1997. Competition for nitrogen between plants and soil microorganisms. *Trends Ecology & Evolution* 12, 139–143.
- Kirchman, D., Hodson, R., 1984. Inhibition of amino acid uptake by bacterial populations in natural waters: implications for the regulation of amino acid transport and incorporation. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 47, 624-631.
- Komagata, K., Suzuki, K., 1987. Lipid and cell wall analysis in bacterial systematics. *Methods in Microbiology* 19, 105-160.



- Komarova, N.Y., Thor, K., Gubler, A., Meier, S., Dietrich, D., Weichert, A., Suter-Grotemeyer, M., Tegeder, M., Rentsch, D., 2008. AtPTR1 and AtPTR5 transport dipeptides in planta. *Plant Physiology* 148, 856-869.
- Kong, Q., Liang, S., Zhang, J., Xie, H., Miao., Tian, L., 2013. N<sub>2</sub>O emission in a partial nitrification system: dynamic emission characteristics and the ammonium-oxidizing bacteria community. *Bioresource Technology* 127, 400-6.
- Kuzyakov, Y., XU, X., 2013. Competition between roots and microorganisms for nitrogen: mechanisms and ecological relevance. *New Phytologist* 198, 656-669.
- Lipson, D.A., Monson, R.K., 1998. Plant-microbe competition for soil amino acids in the alpine tundra: effects of freeze-thaw and dry-rewet events. *Oecologia* 113, 406-414.
- Matthews, D.M., Payne, J.W., 1980. Transmembrane transport of small peptides. *Current Topics in Membranes and Transport* 14, 331-425.
- Miranda K.M., Espey, M.G., Wink, D.A., 2001. A rapid simple spectrophotometric method for simultaneous detection of nitrate and nitrite. *Biology and Chemistry* 5, 62-71.
- Mulvaney, R.L., 1996. Nitrogen- Inorganic forms. In: Sparks, D.L (Ed), *Methods of Soil Analysis. Part3*. Soil Society of America Inc., Madison, WI, pp. 1123-1184.
- Näsholm, T., Huss-Danell, K., Högberg, P., 2000. Uptake of organic nitrogen in the field by four agriculturally important plant species. *Ecology* 81, 1155–1161.
- Owen, A.G., Jones, D.L., 2001. Competition for amino acids between wheat roots and rhizosphere microorganisms and the role of amino acids in plant N acquisition. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 33, 651–657.

- Payne, J.W., 1980. Transport and utilization of peptides by bacteria. In: Payne, J.W (ED), *Microorganisms and Nitrogen Sources*. John Wiley, Chichester, U.K. pp.211-256.
- Ruzin, S.E., 1999. *Plant Microtechnique and Microscopy*. Oxford University Press. New York, Oxford.
- Ryden, J.C., Ball, P.R., Garwood, E.A., 1984. Nitrate leaching from grassland. *Nature* 311, 50-53.
- Schimel, J.P., Bennett, J., 2004. Nitrogen mineralization: challenges of a changing paradigm. *Ecology* 85, 591-602.
- Vinolas, L.C., Healey, J.R., Jones, D.L., 2001. Kinetics of soil microbial uptake of free amino acids. *Biology and Fertility of Soils* 33, 67-74.
- Vitousek, P. M., Howarth, R. W., 1991. Nitrogen limitation on land and in the sea: How can it occur? *Biogeochemistry* 13, 87-115.
- Warren, C.R., 2013. Quaternary ammonium compounds can be abundant in some soils and are taken up as intact molecules by plants. *New Phytologist*, doi: 10.1111/nph.12171
- Weintraub, M.N., Schimel, J.P., 2005. Seasonal protein dynamics in Alaskan Arctic tundra soils. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 37, 1469-1475.
- Zadoks, J.C., Chang, T.T., Konzak, C.F., 1974. A decimal code for the growth stages of Cereals. *Weed Research* 14, 415-421.

## Chapter 5

---

### **Ability of plants to directly acquire protein and potential competition with microorganisms**

#### **5.1. Introduction**

Nitrogen (N) is an essential element for plant growth and is acquired directly from soil or via symbiosis with N<sub>2</sub>-fixing microorganisms. There are a number of chemical forms of N that can be taken up by plants. For example, it has been shown that plants can take up NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>, urea and amino acids (Read, 1991; Lipson & Näsholm, 2001; Näsholm & Persson, 2001; Neff et al., 2003; Schimel & Bennett, 2004; Rentsch et al., 2007). In chapter 2 of this thesis it was confirmed that plants have the ability to directly take up intact peptides from outside the root. This evidence shows that plants at least have the ability to compete with microbes for N at the early stages of protein decomposition. However, exactly at which stage of protein decomposition plants can take up N is still unclear. Protein is the main form of N in plants, comprising about 2-20% of a plant's dry weight, making it one of the main inputs of N to the soil upon plant tissue senescence (Jones et al., 2005; Schulten and Schnitzer, 1998). If a plant had the ability to take up protein directly it would provide a competitive advantage when in competition with soil microbes many of which are incapable of taking up molecules of this size (Kuzyakov and Xingliang, 2013). However, there has been only limited study the potential for the direct uptake of proteins by plant roots, very few of which have been undertaken in soil (Paungfoo-Lonhienne et al., 2008).

The aim of this study was to find out whether plants can directly acquire proteins intact from both soil and sterile culture. This outcome of this work is to provide evidence for the ability of plants to compete with microorganisms at the earliest possible stage in the soil N cycle.

## 5.2. Material and methods

### 5.2.1. Seed sterilization

Seeds of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L. cv Atlas) were sterilized in ca.14% sodium hypochlorite with 1 drop of Tween for 20 min followed by 2 min in 90% ethanol. Seeds were washed thoroughly in sterile water 3 times. Sterilized seeds were placed on the surface of 10% Murashige and Skoog (MS) agar (Murashige and Skoog, 1962) and sealed in Phytatrays® (Sigma Aldrich, Gillingham, UK). After adding the seeds, the Phytatrays were placed in a growth cabinet with light intensity of 500  $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$  PAR, temperature of 25°C and relative humidity of 70%.

### 5.2.2. Plant growth media

Four types of plant growth media were prepared based on that produced by Murashige and Skoog (1962), but amended with N in the following forms:

1. MS media without N (negative control)
2. MS media with inorganic N (positive control; 10 mM)
3. MS media with hydrolysed casein protein (10 mM)
4. MS media with unhydrolysed casein protein (10 mM)

The total volume for each media was 320 ml. Each protein was filtered before addition using a sterile 0.2  $\mu\text{m}$  syringe filter. The concentration of the protein stock solution was 50 mM. Unhydrolysed casein protein was passed through a 1 kDa ultrafiltration membrane (Millipore, Billerica, Massachusetts, USA), to take out any impurities. After filtering greater than half of the solution, the fraction which  $> 1$  kDa was used for the plant growth experiment. In addition, this protein fraction was mixed with  $\alpha$ -Cyano-4-hydroxy cinnamic acid (4 h at 20 °C) and analysed by matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization-time of flight mass spectrometer (Bruker Dattonics, Billerica,

MA, USA) after mixing with TiO<sub>2</sub> and a protein internal standard to account for differing ionization efficiency.

At the 5 leaf stage, plants were removed from agar and washed in deionized water (1.5 min), followed by CaCl<sub>2</sub> (100 mM) for 1.5 min, followed by rinsing with deionized water. All the plants were put in paper bags and dried for 24 hours at 80 °C, followed by weighing and recording the dry weight of the root and shoot biomass.

### **5.3. Results**


The results for this experiment were poor and are therefore not presented. The following results or problems were encountered:

1. The mass spectrometer peak for the > 1 kDa fraction of unhydrolysed casein protein solution showed that there was no low MW contamination presents (Fig. 5.1).
2. There were many traces of microbial contamination from different types of bacteria and fungi, especially in the hydrolysis and unhydrolysed protein treatments.
3. Some seeds did not grow very well. That was in all the treatments.


### **5.4. Potential Contamination Sources**

Whilst the incomplete sterilisation of seeds may have been a potential cause of contamination, previous use of this method has produced sterile plants. Therefore, it seems unlikely that this step introduced contamination. All the equipment was sterilised prior to syringe filtering the protein and this process was conducted in a laminar flow cabinet. However, there is a possibility that during the process some contamination may have occurred. The ultrafiltration process was not conducted in a laminar flow

cabinet so this process could be the cause of contamination. Conducting this process in strictly sterile conditions may improve the method and eliminate contamination.



**Fig. 5.1.** Mass spectrometer peak for unhydrolysed Casein protein showing no low MW amino acids and peptides present.



**Fig. 5.2.** Mass spectrometer peak for unhydrolysed Casein protein showing the low MW amino acids and peptides present in the < 1 kDa fraction.

## References

- Jones, D.L., Healey, J.R., Willett, V.B., Farrar, J.F., Hodge, A., 2005. Dissolved organic nitrogen uptake by plants- an important N uptake pathway? *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 37, 413-423.
- Kuzakov, Y., Xingliang, X., 2013. Competition between roots and microorganisms for nitrogen: mechanisms and ecological relevance. *New Phytologist* 198, 656-669.
- Lipson, D., Näsholm, T., 2001. The unexpected versatility of plants: Organic nitrogen use and availability in terrestrial ecosystems. *Oecologia* 128, 305–316.
- Murashige, T., Skoog, F., 1962. A revised medium for rapid growth and bioassays with tobacco tissue cultures. *Physiologia Plantarum* 15, 473-497.

- Näsholm, T., Persson, J., 2001. Organic nitrogen acquisition by boreal forest plants. *Physiologia Plantarum* 111, 419–426.
- Neff, J.C, Chapin, F.S., Vitousek, P.M., 2003. Breaks in the cycle: dissolved organic nitrogen in terrestrial ecosystems. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment* 1, 205–211.
- Paungfoo-Lonhienne C, Lonhienne, T.G.A., Rentsch, D., Robinson, N., Christie, M., Webb, R.I., Gamage, H.K., Carroll., Schenk, K.M., Schmidts, S., 2008. Plants can use protein as a nitrogen source without assistance from other organisms. *Proceeding of the National Academy of Sciences of United States of America* 105, 4524–4529.
- Read, D.J., 1991. Mycorrhizas in ecosystems. *Experientia* 47, 376–391.
- Rentsch, D., Schmidt, S., Tegeder, M., 2007. Transporters for uptake and allocation of organic nitrogen compounds in plants. *FEBS Letters* 581, 2281–2289.
- Schimel, J.P., Bennett, J., 2004. Nitrogen mineralization: challenges of a changing paradigm. *Ecology* 85, 591–602.
- Schulten, H.R., Schnitzer, M., 1998. The chemistry of soil organic nitrogen: a review. *Biology and Fertility of Soils* 26, 1-15



## Chapter 6

---

### **Nitrogen availability down the soil profile in a wheat cropping system: Relationships with root density, soil N reserves and microbial activity**

E.A. Magthab<sup>a</sup>, D.B. Gleeson<sup>b</sup>, P.W. Hill<sup>a</sup>, D. Lallias<sup>a</sup>, P. Roberts<sup>a</sup>, D.V. Murphy<sup>b</sup>, D.L. Jones<sup>a,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> *Environment Centre Wales, Bangor University, Gwynedd, LL57 2UW, UK*

<sup>b</sup> *Soil Biology Group, School of Earth and Environment, The University of Western Australia, 35 Stirling Highway, Crawley, WA 6009, Australia*

*Keywords:* Ammonium; Dissolved organic nitrogen; Nitrate; Plant-microbial competition; Rhizosphere

#### **Abstract**

Most studies on plant nutrition tend to focus on the topsoil (plough layer) and frequently neglect subsoil processes. However, plant roots can potentially acquire nutrients such as organic and inorganic nitrogen (N) from deep in the soil profile. Greater knowledge of N cycling and water availability in subsoil is therefore important to capitalise on the deep rooting ability of a number of cereals. This study aimed to evaluate the relationship between root distribution, organic and inorganic N availability and potential N supply in a sandy textured Eutric Cambisol at the critical growth period during the wheat cropping cycle. Significant microbial potential activity was evident in the subsoil. The rate of plant residue turnover and the mineralization of low molecular weight compounds (e.g. glucose, amino acids and peptides) declined with increasing

soil depth; however, these rates were not correlated with basal soil respiration. This suggests that the microbial population in subsoil is highly C limited but that its activity can be readily stimulated upon addition of a C substrate. Significant N turnover can also occur at depth, although N mineralization again appears to be substrate limited. Root density declined in an exponentially pattern down the soil profile, with few roots present past 50 cm, suggesting that this is the major factor limiting C recharge of soil organic matter and microbial activity in subsoil. Vertical soil moisture profiles suggest that these deep roots are proportionally more important in water uptake than are those at the soil surface. Our hypothesis that subsoil provides an additional N reservoir when topsoil dry out was not supported. Greater root proliferation at depth could allow greater recapture of N lost by leaching; however, our results suggest that plant-microbial competition for N will be as intense in subsoil as it is in topsoil.

## **6.1. Introduction**

Nitrogen (N) is typically the primary regulator of agroecosystem productivity, so that food security depends on maintaining an adequate supply of N over successive cropping cycles (Jones, 2009). However, N cycling is highly dynamic, with the relative abundance of N known to vary both spatially and temporally within the soil profile in response to a myriad of factors (Aber and Driscoll, 1997; Butterbach-Bahl et al., 2011). Agricultural systems therefore need to carefully balance the supply of plant N while minimising N losses to the wider environment. While this goal is simple in concept, achieving it has proved difficult, despite many decades of research (Raun and Johnson, 1999; Cassman et al., 2002).

In high input agricultural systems, N availability is largely controlled by fertiliser events and the subsequent transformation and redistribution of N within the soil (Van Egmond et al., 2002). Many countries, however, are aiming to reduce the reliance on mineral fertilisers and to use added and intrinsic soil N reserves more efficiently. Ultimately, the goal is to reduce economic costs as well as simultaneously lower the losses due to leaching ( $\text{NO}_3^-$ ), denitrification ( $\text{N}_2/\text{N}_2\text{O}$ ) and volatilisation ( $\text{NH}_3$ ). Increases in N efficiency can potentially be achieved using a range of plant-based strategies (e.g. changes in root architecture combined with deeper rooting, release of nitrification inhibitors, use of N-fixers; Di et al., 2008; Zehang and Wang, 2013) as well as changes in agronomic practices (e.g. improvements in fertiliser timing, formulation, placement; Sartain and Obrezai, 2010). Some of these scenarios suggest that plants will likely have to take up and utilise a wider range of organic and inorganic N forms (e.g. amino acids, peptides and polyamines). Further, we predict that increased competition with the soil microbial community will occur to both mineralise N contained in SOM (via the direct release of root proteases or stimulation of SOM priming) and the capture of any N released (Bardgett et al., 2003; Farrell et al., 2012).

Soils frequently become progressively drier during the growing season, resulting in a reduced root capture of water and nutrients from the topsoil and the growth of roots to depths in excess of 1.5 m. This suggests that subsoil may play a significant role in N supply later in the season, especially under reduced fertiliser input regimes. Plant and microbial N cycling, however, have received much less attention in deeper soil horizons than in surface soils. If we are to capitalize on the deep rooting phenomenon of most cereals and our recent potential to manipulate root architecture, it is important that we understand water and nutrient availability in

deeper soil layers as well as the microbial processes that control them. The capability of plants to utilise different forms of N is also unclear, a situation that needs to be addressed (Cardona et al., 2013).

Agronomic estimates of N supply to plants are typically predicted from the amount of inorganic N released during the laboratory incubation of soils collected from within the plough layer (0-30 cm). These mineralization rates are unlikely to be representative of deeper soil layers, so that ways of integrating potential N supply from subsoil are therefore needed. The amount and turnover of N in subsoil will largely depend on the subsoil's exchange capacity, structure, organic material availability and microbial activity. Significant microbial activity is well established to occur at depth (Doran, 1987; Hadas et al., 1989; Soudi et al., 1990), albeit at much lower levels and with a different community structure than occurs in topsoil (Federle et al., 1986; Qualls and Haines, 1992). Physical parameters such as water availability, temperature and oxygen availability are also important factors that differ in subsoil and that can readily affect microbial activity. The quantity and quality of organic inputs to subsoil may also differ from those of the soil surface due to lower rates of root and microbial turnover and the lack of leaf litter and crop residue inputs. Subsoil C has also been suggested to be older and more recalcitrant than C held in subsoil (Schrumpf et al., 2013). While this may favour C sequestration, it may conversely limit N supply to the plant.

Root length density (RLD) has been used as a proxy to predict water and nutrient uptake by plants (Taylor and Klepper, 1975; Herkelrath et al., 1977). This relationship can work well when soil moisture is adequate; however, it lacks precision when surface soils become dry. The root systems of mature wheat plants typically extend to depths greater than 120 cm by the end of the growing season. However, the

time at which maximal crop N demand and subsoil exploitation coincide earlier in the season (i.e. GS31-71; HGCA, 2010). This study therefore aimed to evaluate the relationship between root distribution, organic and inorganic N availability and potential N supply at this critical period during the wheat growing cycle.

## **6.2. Materials and methods**

### **6.2.1. Site characteristics**

Soil was obtained from a replicated wheat field trial site located in Abergwyngregyn, Gwynedd, North Wales (53°14'29"N, 4°01'15"W). The soil is classified as a Eutric Cambisol, with a sandy loam texture and a single grain structure. The climate at the site is classed as temperate-oceanic with a mean annual soil temperature of 11°C at 10 cm depth and a mean annual rainfall of 1250 mm yr<sup>-1</sup>. The field trial consisted of six replicated plots (12.5 × 3 m), which were ploughed (0-30 cm) and planted with spring wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L. cv. Granary) in May 2013. Fertiliser was added after crop emergence (60 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> as ammonium nitrate, 80 kg K ha<sup>-1</sup>, 28 kg P ha<sup>-1</sup>) and dicot herbicides applied following standard agronomic practices.

Soil samples were collected from the plots in July, 2013, when the plants had reached late stem extension (Feekes growth stage 9, Zadoks growth stage 39; Large, 1954; Zadoks et al., 1974). Root density was estimated from intact soil cores taken to a maximum depth of 80 cm using a Cobra-TT percussion hammer corer (Eijkelkamp Agrisearch Equipment, 6987 EM Giesbeek, The Netherlands). After removal from the soil, the intact cores were split into 10 cm sections, the samples transferred to plastic bags and placed at 4°C to await root recovery. Few roots were present in the 60-80 cm layer, so soils were only sampled to 60 cm for the microbial N cycling and N pool size

estimates. Briefly, samples were collected at 10 cm intervals to a depth of 60 cm and the samples were stored in CO<sub>2</sub> permeable polythene bags. Upon return to the laboratory, the soils were immediately sieved to pass 2 mm, removing any vegetation, stones and earthworms.

Crop height and biomass were determined weekly by destructive sampling throughout the growing season. Briefly, in six replicate plots, all the crop biomass was removed within a sub-plot (0.5 m × 0.5 m), the samples placed in paper bags and the harvested biomass dried at 80°C for 7 d to determine its dry weight. At the same time, crop height was recorded at 5 points (1 m apart) within each of the 6 plots.

#### **6.2.2. Quantification of root biomass**

Roots were washed from the soil by a combination of mechanical shaking and flotation, using a 1 mm mesh to capture roots. The roots were then placed on 20 × 20 cm clear plastic plates and root length determined with WinRhizo® (Regent Instruments Inc., Canada).

#### **6.2.3. Water content**

Soil water content was determined weekly by destructive sampling throughout the growing season. Briefly, a spade was used to obtain topsoil (0-30 cm) and subsoil (30-60 cm) from six replicate plots. The samples were then sieved to pass < 2 mm and a subsample used to determine moisture content by drying at 105°C overnight. The gravimetric moisture contents were corrected for stone-corrected bulk density to allow expression of water content on a volumetric basis.

#### **6.2.4. Soil solution extraction and soil chemical analysis**

Soil N availability was estimated according to Jones and Willett (2006). Briefly, 5 g of field-moist soil was extracted with 25 ml of 0.5 M K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> on a reciprocating shaker (Edmund Bühler GmbH, SM-30, Germany; 200 rev min<sup>-1</sup>) for 60 min. After shaking, the samples were centrifuged (10 min; 1699 g) and the supernatant recovered and stored at -20 °C until analysis.

Soil solution samples were analysed for dissolved organic C (DOC) and total dissolved N (TDN) using a Multi N/C 21005 (Analytik-Jena AG, Jena, Germany). Total amino acid-N was determined fluorometrically using the *o*-phthalaldehyde- $\beta$ -mercaptoethanol procedure of Jones et al. (2002). NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> were analysed colourimetrically using the methods of Miranda et al. (2001) and Mulvaney (1996). Soil solution was also passed through a 1 kDa ultrafiltration membrane (Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA). The concentration of small (<1 kDa) peptides was subsequently determined by measuring total amino acid before and after hydrolysis in 6 M HCl for 16 h at 105 °C (Amelung et al., 2006).

Total C and N of soils were determined using a Truspec® CN analyser (Leco Corp., St Joseph, MI, USA). Soil pH and electrical conductivity (EC) were determined in soil: distilled water extracts (1:5 v/v) with standard electrodes, while moisture content was determined by drying at 80°C. Basal soil respiration was determined in the laboratory at 20°C over 24 h using an SR1 automated multichannel soil respirometer (PP Systems Ltd, Hitchin, UK),

#### **6.2.5. Net nitrification**

Net nitrification was determined according to Hart et al. (1994). Briefly, 5 g of field-moist soil from each soil layer was placed in a 50 cm<sup>3</sup> polypropylene tube and 0.5

ml of  $\text{NH}_4\text{Cl}$  ( $100 \text{ mg l}^{-1}$ ) added to the soil surface. The tubes were then loosely sealed and the samples incubated in the dark at  $20^\circ\text{C}$ . After 1 or 7 d, the soil was subsequently extracted with  $0.5 \text{ M K}_2\text{SO}_4$  and  $\text{NO}_3^-$ , determined as described above. Net nitrification was calculated as the amount of  $\text{NO}_3^-$  in the sample after a known incubation time minus that present at the start of the experiment (i.e.  $\text{NO}_3^-$  extracted on day zero).

#### **6.2.6. Net N mineralization**

Net N mineralization was determined by anaerobic incubation according to Waring and Bremner (1964) and Kresoivć et al. (2005). Briefly, 10 g of field-moist soil was placed in  $50 \text{ cm}^3$  polypropylene tubes and anaerobic conditions imposed by filling the tubes with distilled water and then sealing the tubes. The soil samples were then incubated for 7 d in the dark at  $40^\circ\text{C}$ . Subsequently, solid KCl was added to achieve a final concentration of  $1 \text{ M KCl}$  and the samples were extracted by shaking for 60 min ( $200 \text{ rev min}^{-1}$ ). The extracts were then centrifuged ( $1699 \text{ g } 10 \text{ min}$ ) and  $\text{NH}_4^+$  and  $\text{NO}_3^-$  content determined as described previously. Net ammonification was calculated as the amount of  $\text{NH}_4^+$  present after 7 d minus that present at the start of the experiment (i.e.  $\text{NH}_4^+$  extracted on day zero).

#### **6.2.7. Amino acid, peptide and glucose turnover**

The rates of turnover of low molecular weight (MW) DON were estimated by determining the mineralization of amino acids and peptides. For comparison, the turnover of glucose was also used as a general reporter of soil microbial activity (Coody et al., 1986). Briefly, field-moist soil (5 g) was placed in  $50 \text{ cm}^3$  polypropylene containers and 0.5 ml of either  $^{14}\text{C}$ -labelled glucose ( $25 \text{ mM}$ ,  $1.85 \text{ kBq ml}^{-1}$ ), amino acids ( $10 \text{ mM}$ ,  $1.55 \text{ kBq ml}^{-1}$ ) or peptides ( $25 \text{ mM}$ ,  $1 \text{ kBq ml}^{-1}$ ) was added to the soil



surface (Farrell et al., 2011). After the addition of each  $^{14}\text{C}$ -substrate to the soil, a  $^{14}\text{CO}_2$  trap containing 1 ml of 1 M NaOH was placed above the soil and the tubes were sealed. The tubes were then incubated at 20°C for 30 min, after which the NaOH traps were removed to determine the amount of substrate mineralization. The  $^{14}\text{C}$  content of the NaOH traps was determined with a Wallac 1404 liquid scintillation counter (Wallac EG&G, Milton Keynes, UK) after mixing with Scintisafe3 scintillation cocktail (Fisher Scientific, Loughborough, UK). The amino acids consisted of an equimolar mix of 20 different L-amino acids (L-glycine, L-isoleucine, L-arginine, L-glutamine, L-phenylalanine, L-histidine, L-asparagine, L-valine, L-threonine, L-leucine, L-alanine, L-methionine, L-cysteine, L-lysine, L-tryptophan, L-serine, L-proline, L-glutamate, L-aspartate acid, L-ornithine) (Jones, 1999) while the L-peptides consisted of a mixture of equimolar L-dialanine and L-trialanine.

The rate of arginine mineralization was determined by adding 0.5 ml of a  $^{14}\text{C}$ -labelled L-arginine solution (25 mM; 2.17 kBq ml<sup>-1</sup>; Amersham Biosciences UK Ltd, Chalfont St Giles, Bucks, UK) to 5 g of field-moist soil and measuring the rate of  $^{14}\text{CO}_2$  evolution over a 48 h period, as described in Kemmitt et al. (2006). After 48 h, the net amount of  $\text{NH}_4^+$  and  $\text{NO}_3^-$  produced from the added arginine was determined by extracting the soil with 25 ml 0.5 M  $\text{K}_2\text{SO}_4$  and subsequent analysis as described previously.

#### **6.2.8. Mineralization of plant-derived Carbon**

The microbial turnover of complex, plant-derived C across the different soil depths was evaluated according to Glanville et al. (2012). Briefly, high MW plant material was prepared by heating 2.5 g of  $^{14}\text{C}$ -labeled *Lolium perenne* L. shoots (Hill et al., 2007) in distilled water (25 ml, 80°C) for 2 h. The extract was then centrifuged

(1118 g, 5 min) and the soluble fraction removed. The pellet was then resuspended in distilled water and the heating and washing procedure repeated twice more until > 95% of the water soluble fraction had been removed. The remaining pellet was dried overnight at 80°C and ground to a fine powder.

The mineralization dynamics of the high MW plant material was determined by mixing 100 mg of <sup>14</sup>C-labelled plant material with 5 g of field-moist soil. The production of <sup>14</sup>CO<sub>2</sub> was monitored as described above for the low MW substrates but the duration of the treatment was 40 d. To ensure that moisture was not limiting, the experiment was also repeated but after the simultaneous addition of distilled water (to reach field capacity) and the <sup>14</sup>C-labelled plant material.

#### **6.2.9. Molecular analysis of microbial communities**

DNA was extracted from the soil following the instructions of the MO-BIO power Lyzer power Soil DNA Isolation Kit (MO BIO Laboratories, Inc., Carlsbad).

#### **6.2.10. Statistical and data analysis**

Statistical analysis of the results was carried out by ANOVA followed by LSD post hoc test using SPSS v14 (IBM UK Ltd, Hampshire, UK) with  $P < 0.05$  used as the cut-off for statistical significance.

### **6.3. Results**

#### **6.3.1. Site characteristics**

At the time of the main sampling event, soil moisture content was significantly lower at soil depths below 30 cm than above ( $P < 0.05$ ), except between 0-10 cm, which was not significantly different with any depth. The pH did not vary significantly with

depth, while the EC decreased significantly with increasing depth. Soil total organic C, total N, C: N ratio and soil respiration also decreased with increasing depth (Table 6. 1, Fig.6.1). Amino acid concentrations were greatest in the surface layer and at 20-30 cm depth than in deeper layers, while peptide concentrations did not vary significantly with depth. Both DOC and DON concentrations decrease significantly with depth.


As expected, crop height showed a sigmoidal extension pattern over the growing season, with full stem extension evident after 8 weeks. Crop biomass also showed a sigmoidal growth pattern; however, above-ground biomass continued to increase up until week 13 due (Fig 6.2).

**Table 6.1.** Soil properties at different soil depths in an agricultural wheat cropping soil. a, b, c and d indicates where significant differences occur between the different depths ( $P < 0.05$ ). Values represent mean  $\pm$  SEM ( $n=4$ )


Depth (cm)	Moisture Content (%)	pH	EC <sub>1:1</sub> ( $\mu\text{S cm}^{-1}$ )	C:N ratio	Total N ( $\text{g kg}^{-1}$ )	Total C ( $\text{g kg}^{-1}$ )	Soil respiration ( $\mu\text{mol CO}_2 \text{ kg}^{-1}$ )
0-10	8.8 $\pm$ 1.0 ab	6.1 $\pm$ 0.2a	34.9 $\pm$ 6.7a	8.2 $\pm$ 0.1 a	2.4 $\pm$ 0.2a	19.4 $\pm$ 1.5a	11 $\pm$ 1.9 a
10-20	10.6 $\pm$ 0.8a	6.3 $\pm$ 0.2a	27.9 $\pm$ 2.9 ab	8.1 $\pm$ 0.2 ab	2.0 $\pm$ 0.3ab	6.3 $\pm$ 2.4ab	11.8 $\pm$ 3.4a
20-30	9.6 $\pm$ 0.7 a	6.3 $\pm$ 0.1a	20.4 $\pm$ 1.7 bc	6.9 $\pm$ 0.6 bc	1.6 $\pm$ 0.2bc	11.6 $\pm$ 2.2bc	4.6 $\pm$ 0.8 b
30-40	7.1 $\pm$ 0.7 b	6.3 $\pm$ 0.1a	16.9 $\pm$ 2.1 c	4.6 $\pm$ 0.2 cd	0.8 $\pm$ 0.1d	3.9 $\pm$ 0.6 d	1.8 $\pm$ 0.5 b
40-50	6.9 $\pm$ 0.6 b	6.4 $\pm$ 0.1a	15.6 $\pm$ 1.3 c	4.5 $\pm$ 0.8 d	1.1 $\pm$ 0.2d	5.3 $\pm$ 1.7 d	1.3 $\pm$ 0.2 b
50-60	6.7 $\pm$ 0.6 b	6.4 $\pm$ 0.1a	15.6 $\pm$ 0.8 c	3.4 $\pm$ 0.5 d	0.8 $\pm$ 0.1d	3.0 $\pm$ 0.8 d	1.2 $\pm$ 0.2 b

Depths (cm)	NH <sub>4</sub> <sup>+</sup> ( $\text{mg N kg}^{-1}$ )	NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> ( $\text{mg N kg}^{-1}$ )	Amino acids ( $\text{mg N kg}^{-1}$ )	Peptides ( $\text{mg N kg}^{-1}$ )	DON ( $\text{mg/ kg}$ )	DOC ( $\text{mg/ kg}$ )
0-10	2.60 $\pm$ 1.0 a	5.0 $\pm$ 1.8 a	7.25 $\pm$ 2.13 a	2.8 $\pm$ 0.4 a	14.35 $\pm$ 3.79 a	85.13 $\pm$ 16.47 a
10-20	0.95 $\pm$ 0.25b	1.9 $\pm$ 0.4b	4.16 $\pm$ 0.69 b	2.8 $\pm$ 1.7 a	10.69 $\pm$ 1.38 ab	68.50 $\pm$ 9.72 ab
20-30	0.57 $\pm$ 0.17b	2.2 $\pm$ 0.5b	5.14 $\pm$ 1.05abc	2.3 $\pm$ 0.8 a	6.99 $\pm$ 0.87 abc	54.12 $\pm$ 9.11 bc
30-40	0.57 $\pm$ 0.23b	1.8 $\pm$ 0.3b	2.29 $\pm$ 0.46bcd	2.7 $\pm$ 0.6 a	5.85 $\pm$ 1.65 bc	49.79 $\pm$ 8.93 bc
40-50	0.60 $\pm$ 0.25b	1.7 $\pm$ 0.5b	1.25 $\pm$ 0.41 bd	3.4 $\pm$ 0.7 a	1.05 $\pm$ 0.45 cd	34.08 $\pm$ 3.73 c
50-60	0.56 $\pm$ 0.09b	2.5 $\pm$ 0.5b	1.04 $\pm$ 0.16 d	3.4 $\pm$ 0.6 a	1.65 $\pm$ 0.45 d	36.35 $\pm$ 6.79 c



**Fig. 6.1.** Basal soil respiration at different depths in an agricultural wheat cropping soil. Values are means  $\pm$  SEM ( $n=5$ ).




**Fig. 6. 2.** Crop biomass and stem extension over the wheat cropping cycle from planting to harvest. Values represent mean  $\pm$  SEM ( $n = 6$ ).

### 6.3.2. Soil water content


Overall, no significant differences were observed in soil water content between topsoil and subsoil layers at the start of the season (0-4 weeks). After this time (weeks 5-8), and corresponding with the period of maximum crop growth and low rainfall, soil water content declined dramatically so that the amount of water consistently lower in the subsoil than in the topsoil ( $P < 0.05$ ). At week 9, significant amounts of rainfall caused recharge of the soil profile, with significantly more water retained in the topsoil than in the subsoil ( $P < 0.01$ ; Fig. 6.3).

### 6.3.3. Soil net ammonification

Exchangeable and free  $\text{NH}_4^+$  concentrations in the field-collected samples were significantly higher in the surface layer ( $P < 0.05$ ) than in the deeper soil horizons. Below the surface (0-10 cm) layer, the  $\text{NH}_4^+$  concentrations were not significantly different. The concentration of  $\text{NH}_4^+$  after 30 days of aerobic incubation only increased significantly in the 10-20 cm soil layer. After 30 days, the  $\text{NH}_4^+$  content within the 0-20 cm layer of the soil profile was significantly different from the bottom 40-60 cm ( $P < 0.05$ ). In contrast to the aerobic incubation, the anaerobically incubated soils showed large increases in  $\text{NH}_4^+$  concentration at all depths, with the largest increase occurring in the surface soil layer (Fig. 6.4).



**Fig. 6.3.** Soil water content during the wheat cropping cycle from planting to harvest in the topsoil (0-30 cm) and subsoil (30-60 cm) layers. Values represent means  $\pm$  SEM ( $n = 6$ ). This symbol \* denotes a significant difference.



**Fig. 6.4.** Net mineralization and nitrification after incubation for 7 days under anaerobic conditions and net mineralization in aerobic conditions after incubation for 30 days at different soil depths in an agricultural wheat cropping soil. Values represent means  $\pm$  SEM ( $n = 4$ ). The letters a and b indicate significant difference between soil depths whilst \* indicates an increase in NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> after aerobic incubation.

#### **6.3.4. Soil net nitrification**

The  $\text{NO}_3^-$  concentrations in the field-collected samples were significantly greater in the surface layer than at all other depths, except 50-60 cm (Table 6.1). The addition of an  $\text{NH}_4\text{Cl}$  spike and incubation of the soils for 24 h resulted in a significant increase in the concentration of  $\text{NO}_3^-$  for all depths except the 30-40 and 50-60 cm soil layers (data not presented). Incubation for 7 d led to further increases in the  $\text{NO}_3^-$  concentration in the soil surface layers (0- 30 cm) while the deeper soils did not increase significantly. Overall, the N mineralization in the aerobic and anaerobic incubations showed a similar decreasing exponential pattern down the soil profile (Fig. 6.4).


#### **6.3.5. Low MW carbon substrate mineralization**

The mineralization of glucose, amino acids and peptides was significantly greater above 30 cm soil depth than below 30 cm, as shown in Fig 6.5. Amino acids and peptides mineralised significantly more slowly in the 20-30 cm layer than in the overlying soil layers, while glucose mineralization in the 20-30 cm layer was significantly different from the surface layer but not from the 10-20 cm layer. The top two soil layers did not differ from each other. The mineralization rate for glucose was lower than amino acids and peptides at all soil depths.


#### **6.3.6. $^{14}\text{C}$ -arginine mineralization**

The initial (0-6 h) arginine mineralization rate decreased with soil depth (Table 6.2). However, by 48 h, the amount of arginine mineralization was statistically similar at all soil depths. The rate of mineralization was linear in the topsoil; however, a significant lag phase in mineralization was observed in the subsoil horizons (Fig. 6.6).





**Fig. 6.5.** Mineralization of <sup>14</sup>C-glucose, <sup>14</sup>C-amino acids and <sup>14</sup>C-peptides at different soil depths in an agricultural wheat cropping soil. Values represent means ± SEM (*n* = 4).



**Fig. 6.6.** Cumulative percentage of <sup>14</sup>C-arginine mineralization at different soil depths in an agricultural wheat cropping soil. Values represent means ± SEM (*n* = 4).

The net amount of  $\text{NH}_4^+$  produced from added arginine significantly increased with soil depth. In contrast, however, the net amount of  $\text{NO}_3^-$  decreased significantly with increasing soil depth (Table 6.3). Overall, the ratio of C mineralization to N immobilization was highest in the topsoil than in the subsoil.

**Table 6.2.** Cumulative amount of  $^{14}\text{CO}_2$  released from soil by the mineralisation of  $^{14}\text{C}$ -labelled arginine as a function of soil depth in an agricultural wheat cropping soil. Values are percentage of the total arginine added. Values represent means  $\pm$  SEM ( $n = 4$ ).


Depth (cm)	Time after $^{14}\text{C}$ -arginine addition				
	1 h	3 h	6 h	24 h	48 h
0-10	1.4 $\pm$ 0.1	4.4 $\pm$ 0.4	7.8 $\pm$ 0.6	24.3 $\pm$ 2.6	50.7 $\pm$ 3.4
10-20	1.3 $\pm$ 0.1	4.2 $\pm$ 0.2	6.4 $\pm$ 0.7	18.8 $\pm$ 4.7	44.3 $\pm$ 5.4
20-30	1.0 $\pm$ 0.1	3.1 $\pm$ 0.2	5.8 $\pm$ 0.4	18.2 $\pm$ 3.4	45.6 $\pm$ 3.8
30-40	0.8 $\pm$ 0.1	2.3 $\pm$ 0.2	4.0 $\pm$ 0.4	16.8 $\pm$ 0.9	51.8 $\pm$ 2.1
40-50	0.6 $\pm$ 0.1	1.7 $\pm$ 0.4	3.6 $\pm$ 0.7	15.9 $\pm$ 2.7	49.4 $\pm$ 2.6
50-60	0.6 $\pm$ 0.1	1.7 $\pm$ 0.2	3.3 $\pm$ 0.6	16.1 $\pm$ 1.8	49.4 $\pm$ 1.4

**Table 6.3.** Net amount of  $\text{NH}_4^+$  and  $\text{NO}_3^-$  released as a function of soil depth after the addition of arginine and incubation for 48 h. Values represent means  $\pm$  SEM ( $n = 4$ ).


Depth (cm)	$\text{NH}_4^+$ after 48 h (mg N/kg)	$\text{NO}_3^-$ after 48 h (mg N/kg)
0-10	72.2 $\pm$ 1.9	18.3 $\pm$ 2.1
10-20	72.1 $\pm$ 2.7	12.4 $\pm$ 1.4
20-30	76.4 $\pm$ 6.7	7.8 $\pm$ 0.5
30-40	93.0 $\pm$ 3.8	4.2 $\pm$ 0.5
40-50	95.7 $\pm$ 3.2	4.5 $\pm$ 1.2
50-60	124.2 $\pm$ 2.8	5.2 $\pm$ 0.9

### 6.3.7. Plant residue mineralization

The amount of plant residue mineralized to  $^{14}\text{CO}_2$  increased over time for soils in the two contrasting moisture states; however, no significant difference was noted for different soil depths ( $P > 0.05$ ). The total amount of plant residue mineralized was lower in the dry soil than in the surface layer of the wetter soil but did not differ at the other depths (Figs. 6.7-6.8).




**Fig. 6.7.** Cumulative percentage of  $^{14}\text{C}$ -lolium shoots mineralization after addition to soil at field capacity. Values represent means  $\pm$  SEM ( $n = 4$ ).



**Fig. 6.8.** Cumulative percentage  $^{14}\text{C}$  -lolium shoot mineralization after incubation in a dry soil from different depths within a wheat cropping field. Values are mean  $\pm$  SEM ( $n=4$ ).

### 6.3.8. Root length density

Total root length decreased in a non-linear pattern down the soil profile. A significant decrease in lateral root length was noted between each successive 10 cm soil layer until a depth of 40 cm. Below 40 cm, the presence of root material is relatively low and no significant differences were noted between soil layers (Fig. 6.9).



**Fig. 6.9.** Density of primary (first order) and lateral (second and third order) roots at different soil depths in an agricultural wheat cropping soil. Values represent means  $\pm$  SEM ( $n = 4$ ).


### 6.3.9. DNA concentration

The DNA concentration was highest in the surface soil layer and decreased approximately 6-fold down the soil profile. No significant difference was observed between the two top layers of soil and the two deeper layers ( $P > 0.05$ ), while the DNA

concentration was significantly different at 20-30 cm compared to all other depths ( $P < 0.05$ ), except for 30-40 cm layer (Table 6.4, Fig. 6.10).

**Table 6.4.** DNA concentration at different soil depths. Values represent mean  $\pm$  SEM ( $n=4$ ).

Depth (cm)	DNA content ( $\text{ng } \mu\text{l}^{-1}$ )
0-10	$46.8 \pm 4.9$
10-20	$42.1 \pm 4.3$
20-30	$21.3 \pm 2.8$
30-40	$15.1 \pm 1.7$
40-50	$9.9 \pm 1.5$
50-60	$8.4 \pm 1.1$



**Fig. 6.10.** DNA concentration at different soil depths. Bands represent individual replicate core.

## 6.4. Discussion

### 6.4.1 Changes in microbial activity with depth

Our results show clear evidence of microbial activity in the subsoil, with significant amino acid, glucose, peptide, plant residue and N mineralization occurring at high rates. Other studies have also shown microbial activity at depth causing pesticide and atrazine degradation at depths up to 1 m (Ajwa et al., 1998; Phelps et al., 1989; Kruger et al., 1993; Issa and Wood, 1999; Holden and Fierer, 2005; Charnay et al., 2005). However, microbial processes have a tendency to be greatest in the surface layers; decreased microbial activity at depth has also been observed previously. For example, Charnay et al. (2005) found little microbial activity and organic C below 2.5 m and Phelps et al. (1989) found that the microbial degradation of acetate and glucose at 6 m was a factor of 100 less than the rate observed at the surface.

Numerous factors could contribute to lower microbial activity in deep soils. The results obtained here show much lower root biomass at depth, so it is likely that less low MW DOC or fresh particulate C is being delivered to the subsoil. Fontaine et al. (2007) also found this to be the case. Microbes at depth experience C limitation, which is backed up by the decrease in C-to-N ratio with depth that was observed here. Past research has also shown that the microbial population changes and decreases with increasing soil depth (Kautz et al., 2013; Vinther et al., 1999; Taylor et al., 2002). Greater soil bulk density has also been observed at depth, which can cause physical protection of potential carbon sources by separating them from the bacteria (Kinyangi et al., 2006). The N concentrations also decreased with depth. While C limitation appears to be more important, the N may be less available to microbes and less mobile, as indicated by the decrease in the concentrations of amino acids,  $\text{NH}_4^+$  and  $\text{NO}_3^-$ .

Reduced microbial activity at depth has implications for C storage in subsoil. However, while low MW compound mineralization decreased with depth, no difference in plant residue mineralization was observed with increasing depth (Charnay et al., 2005). The amount of plant residue mineralized in the dry soil is lower in the surface layer than under wet soil conditions, indicating that moisture deficit in topsoils may limit nutrient recycling. The plant residues degraded faster in the moist soil than in the dry soil; this is because dry conditions, such as those that occur during drought, limit the movement of substrate and enzymes.

#### **6.4.2 Variations in the soil nitrogen cycling with depth**

Protein is a major input of organic N to soil systems. Therefore, the mineralization of peptides and amino acids is an important part of the N cycle and supplies the substrate for inorganic N production. The breakdown of proteins to peptides has been suggested as the main rate limiting step in the soil N cycle. Peptide mineralization rate decreased with soil depth, although the concentration in soil solution remained constant. The amino acid mineralization rate decreased with depth, as predicted by Murphy et al. (1998). However, this was not apparent for arginine, which has a surprisingly long turnover time at all soil depths. Alef et al. (1988) found that most microbes could take up arginine. Potentially, more of the positively charged arginine could have been adsorbed to soil particle surfaces compared to the amino acid mixture. Amino acid concentrations decreased with soil depth. Root exudates and microbial lyses in the surface soil could contribute to the observed higher amino acid concentration. Deeper soils are more reliant on the breakdown of peptides for amino

acids. Immediate use of peptides could prevent the production of amino acids in deeper soil.

Arginine addition caused the mineralization of amino acid N to  $\text{NH}_4^+$  at all soil depths. However, our soil incubation results showed that  $\text{NH}_4^+$  only increased under anaerobic conditions, where C degradation and nitrification are oxygen limited. Rapid ammonium oxidation occurs under aerobic conditions (Kresovic et al., 2005). Potentially, the addition of arginine could cause the formation of anaerobic pockets or could serve as a sufficiently large N source that not all N is immobilised (Rey et al., 2008). The  $\text{NH}_4^+$  concentration is lower in the surface soil than in the subsoil. One reason might be that the microbes at the surface need more N than at the depth and are more efficient at recycling. Arginine is also both a C and N source: Where C is more limited than N, the use of arginine as a C source would produce  $\text{NH}_4^+$  as a waste product (Kemmitt et al., 2008). Our results also reveal a greater nitrification potential in surface soils than at depth; potentially due to a larger active community of nitrifiers. Therefore, more  $\text{NH}_4^+$  would be transformed to  $\text{NO}_3^-$  in the surface soil and potentially more could be lost as  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  or  $\text{N}_2$ .

#### **6.4.3 Implications of N cycle variations with depth for root uptake**

Our results show that root length density decreased with depth. This result was predicted and is similar to that reported by Kautz et al. (2013). Soil structure can have a large influence on root length; for example, greater soil density at depth could impede root growth by limiting oxygen and water access and by physical obstruction (Whalley et al., 1995; da Silva et al., 1997; Bengough et al., 2006). Watt et al. (2006) found that



the growth of plant roots in the subsoil was largely limited to macropores. This is not likely, however, in our poorly structured soil.

We hypothesized that a greater involvement in nutrient uptake would be found for roots at the surface than for those at depth. The higher root length observed in this study corresponds with the areas of higher microbial activity, N concentrations and turnover rates. Therefore, more N likely is taken up by surface roots than by those at depth. The surface soil is also likely the area where N demand is greatest. Greater root length would allow for greater competition with microbes. The adverse soil structure and bulk density at depth can make accessing nutrients more difficult, thereby limiting root activity. Our results are supported by those of Salome et al. (2010), who found that the low root length at depth meant that only a small proportion of the subsoil was accessible to roots; consequently, it did not contribute much to plant nutrition.

Water uptake is an equally important function of plant roots as nutrient uptake. The uptake rate of water is often proportional to root length density (Hinsinger et al., 2009; Hodge et al., 2009). During drought, soil surfaces dry, thereby limiting water and, potentially, nutrient uptake in roots near the surface. This can lead to death of near-surface roots and greater root growth at depth (Smucker et al., 1991). In dry conditions, deeper roots could be vital for plant nutrient uptake. Roots are able to take up a wide range of N forms including peptides, which are an important source of N for plants during drought. However, more roots at depth could lead to a greater input of exudates. As shown here, an addition of arginine resulted in N mineralization to  $\text{NH}_4^+$  at depth, so  $\text{NH}_4^+$  could also be a source of N for deeper roots during drought.

Contrary to our expectations, less water was apparently retained in the subsoil than in the topsoil. We ascribe this to the subsoil's lower soil organic matter content,

which is known to aid water retention and promote soil structure. Further, the subsoil dried out and rewet at a similar rate to the topsoil. This does not support the hypothesis that soil moisture becomes proportionally more available in subsoil as the soil progressively dries out due to evapotranspiration losses. Our results suggest that, irrespective of root length density, water is removed evenly throughout the soil profile to balance plant demand – or less likely, that plant-mediated hydraulic lift is redistributing water from deeper soil layers to the surface. This suggests that drying out of the soil profile does not induce spatial niche partitioning in N availability.

#### **6.4.4 Study limitations and suggestions for future work**

Only one ecosystem was studied here; therefore, others should be investigated (e.g. forests and grasslands) to gain a clearer picture of how topsoil and subsoil differ. Roots of different plants can reach greater depths than those studied here, so depths below 60 cm should be explored. More studies about how microbes and roots compete for N resources in deeper soils should also be investigated. The role of mycorrhizae was not considered in this work, yet they can greatly influence plant nutrient uptake and increase the area for uptake. This area should be considered further.

#### **6.4.5. Conclusions**

This study aimed to evaluate the relationship between root distribution, organic and inorganic N availability and potential N supply. Our study showed evidence of microbial activity in the subsoil, with arginine and plant residue mineralization and anaerobic N mineralization occurring. The mineralization of HMW and LMW C substrates decreased with increasing soil depth. Root length density and microbial

activity also decreased with increasing soil depth. This all suggests that microbes are substrate limited at depth, due to lower inputs from root exudates and senescent plant material, but that given an input of material; the microbes respond by mineralizing both C and N. Root length corresponds with the soil locations where microbial activity stimulates the N cycle, suggesting that surface roots are more important for nutrient uptake than are those at depth. Preferential uptake of water by deep roots does not appear to occur, as water retention is similar in both the subsoil and the topsoil.

### **References:**

- Aber, D.J., Driscoll, T.C., 1997. Effect of land use, climate variation, and N deposition on N cycling and C storage in northern hardwood forest. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles* 11, 639-648.
- Ajwa, H.A., Rice, C.W., Sotomayer, D., 1998. Carbon and nitrogen mineralization in tallgrass prairie and agricultural and soil profiles. *Soil Science Society of America Journal* 62, 942-951.
- Alef, K., Beck., T.H., Zelles., L., Kleiner, D., 1988. A comparison of methods to estimate microbial biomass and N-mineralization in agricultural and grassland soils. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* 20, 561-565.
- Amelung, W., Zhang, X., Flach, K.W., 2006. Amino acids in grassland soils: climatic effects on concentrations and chirality. *Geoderma* 130, 207-217.
- Bardgett, R.D., Streeter, T.C., Bol, R., 2003. Soil microbes compete effectively with plants for organic-nitrogen inputs to temperate grasslands. *Ecology* 84, 1277-1287.

- Bengoug, A.G., Bransby, M.F., Hans, J., McKenna, S.J., Roberts, T.J., Valentine, T.A., 2006. Root responses to soil physical conditions; growth dynamics from field to cell. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 57, 437-447.
- Butterbach-Bahl, K., Gundersen, P., Ambus, P., Augustin, J., Beier, C., Boeckx, P., Dannenmann, M., Gimeno, S.B., Ibrom, A., Kiese, R., Kitzler, B., Rees, M.R., Smith, A.K., Stevens, C., Vesala, T and Zechmeister-Boltenstern, S., 2011. Nitrogen processes in terrestrial ecosystem in *The European Nitrogen Assessment*, ed. Mark A. Sutton, Clare M. Howard, Jan Willem Erisman, Gilles Billen, Albert Bleeker, Pering Grennfelt, Hans vanGrinsven and Bruna Grizzetti. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.
- Cardona, Z.G., Stark, M.J., Herron, M.P., Rasmussen, A.J., 2013. Sagebrush carrying out hydraulic lift enhances surface soil nitrogen cycling and nitrogen uptake into inflorescences. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. DOI: 10.1073/pnas.1311314110.
- Cassman, K.G., Dobermann, A.R., Walters, D.T., 2002. Nitrogen-use efficiency and nitrogen management. *Agronomy & Horticulture-Faculty Publication* 31, 132-140.
- Charnay, M.P., Tuis, S., Coquet, Y., Barriuso, E., 2005. Spatial variability in <sup>14</sup>C-herbicide degradation in surface and subsurface soil. *Pest Management Science* 61, 845-855.
- Coody, P.N., Sommers, L.E., Nelson, D.W., 1986. Kinetics of glucose-uptake by soil-microorganisms. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 18, 283-289.

- da Silva, A.P., Kay, B.D., Perfect, E., 1997. Management versus inherent soil properties effects on bulk density and relative compaction. *Soil & Tillage Research* 44, 81-93.
- Di, H.J., Cameron, K.C., Christie, R., 2008. Improving nitrogen cycling efficiency of intensively grazed pastures using nitrification inhibitors. In DI, J. H, (Ed). International Fertilizer Industry of the 2008 conference IFA Crossroads Asia-Pacific, 16-18 December 2008, Association Crossroads Asia-Pacific, Melbourne-Australia, pp. 1-8.
- Doran, J.W., 1987. Microbial biomass and mineralization nitrogen distributions in no-tillage and plowed soils. *Biology and Fertility of Soils* 5, 68-75.
- Farrell, M., Hill, P.W., Farrar, J., Deluca, T.H., Roberts, P., Kielland, K., Dahlgren, R., Murphy, D. V., Hobbs, P.J. and Jones, D.L., 2012. Oligopeptides represent a preferred source of organic N uptake: a global phenomenon? *Ecosystems* doi: 10.1007/s10021-012-9601-8.
- Farrell, M., Hill, P.W., Wanniarachchi, S.D., Farrar, J.F., Bardgett, R.D., Jones, D.L., 2011. Rapid peptide metabolism: a major component of soil nitrogen cycling? *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, GB3014.
- Federle, T.W., Dobbins, D.C., Thornton-Manning, R.T., Jones, D.D., 1986. Microbial biomass, activity and community structure in subsurface soil. *Ground Water* 24, 365-374.
- Fontaine, S., Barot, S., Barré, P., Bdioui, N., Mary, B., Rumpel, C., 2007. Stability of organic carbon in deep soil layers controlled by fresh carbon supply. *Nature* 450, 277-280.

- Glanville, H.C., Hill, P.W., Maccarone, L.D., Golyshin, P.N., Murphay, D.V., Jones, D.L., 2012. Temperature and water controls on vegetation emergence, microbial dynamics, and soil carbon and nitrogen fluxes in a high Arctic tundra ecosystem. *Functional Ecology* 26, 1366-1380.
- Hadas, A., Feigin, A., Feigenbaum, S., Porthnoy, R., 1989. Nitrogen mineralization in the field at various soil depths. *Journal of Soil Science* 40, 7-131.
- Hart, S.C., Stark, J., Davidson, E., Firestone, M.K., 1994. Nitrogen, mineralization, Immobilization, and Nitrification. In: Weaver, R., Angle, S., Bottomley, P (Eds), *Methods of soil analysis. Part 2. Microbiology and biochemical properties*. SSSA book series no 5. Soil Science Society of America, Inc. pp: 985-1018.
- Herkkrath, W.N., Miller, E.E., Gardner, W.R., 1977. Water uptake by plants. I. The divided root experiments. *Soil Science Society of America Journal* 41, 1003-1038.
- Hill, P.W., Marshall, C., Williams, G.G., Blum, H., Harmens, H., Jones, D.L., Farrar, J.F., 2007. The fate of photosynthetically-fixed carbon in *Lolium perenne* L. grassland as modified by elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and sward management. *New Phytologist* 173, 766-777.
- Hinsinger, P., Bengough, A.G., Vetterlein, D., Young, I.M., 2009. Rhizosphere: biophysics, biogeochemistry and ecological relevance. *Plant and Soil* 32, 117-152.
- Hodge, A., Berta, G., Doussan, C., Merchan, F., Crespi, M., 2009. Plant root growth, architecture and function. *Plant and Soil* 321, 153-187.
- Holden, P.A., Fierer, N., 2005. Microbial processes in the vadose zone. *Vadose Zone Journal* 4, 1-21.
- Issa, S., Wood, M., 1999. Degradation of atrazine and isoproturon in the unsaturated zone: a study from Southern England. *Pesticide Science* 55, 539-545.

- Jones, D.L., Kielland, K., Sinclair, F.L., Dahlgren, R.A., Newsham, K.K., Farrar, J.F., Murphy, D.V., 2009. Soil organic nitrogen mineralization across a global latitudinal gradient. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles* 23, GB1016, doi:10.1029/2008GB003250.
- Jones, D.L., 1999. Amino acid biodegradation and its potential effects on organic nitrogen capture by plants. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* 36, 1119-1139.
- Jones, D.L., Owen, A.G., Farrar, J.F., 2002. Simple method to enable the high resolution determination of total free amino acids in soil solutions and soil extracts. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* 34, 1893-1902.
- Jones, D.L., Willet, V.B., 2006. Experimental evolution of methods to quantify dissolved organic nitrogen (DON) and dissolved organic carbon (DOC) in soil. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* 38, 991-999.
- Kautz, T., Amelung, W., Ewert, F., Gaiser, T., Horn, R., Jahn, R., Javaux, M., Kemna, A., Kuzyakov, Y., Munch, J., Pätzold, S., Peth, S., Scherer, W.H., Schloter, M., Schneider, H., Vanderborght, J., Vetterlein, D., Walter, A., Wiesenberg, L.B.G., Köpke, U., 2013. Nutrient acquisition from arable subsoils in temperate climates: A review. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* 57, 1003-1022.
- Kemmitt, S.J., Wright, D., Goulding, K.W.T., Jones, D.L., 2006. pH regulating of carbon and nitrogen dynamic in two agricultural soils. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* 38, 898-911.
- Kemmitt, S.J., Wright, D., Murphy, D.V., Jones, D.L., 2008. Regulation of amino acid biodegradation in soil as affected by depth. *Biology and Fertility of Soils* 44, 933-941.

- Kinyangi, J., Solomon, D., Liang, B., Lerotic, M., Wirick, S., Lehmann, J., 2006. Nanoscale biogeocomplexity of the organomineral assemblage in soil. *Soil Science Society of America Journal* 70, 1708-1718.
- Kresović, M.M., Antić-Mladenović, B.S., Ličina, Đ.V., 2005. Aerobic and anaerobic incubation biological indexes of soil nitrogen availability. *Proceeding of the National Academy of Sciences, Matica Srpska Novi Sad* 109, 45-57.
- Kruger, E.L., Somasundaram, L., Kanwar, R.S., Coats, J.R., 1993. Persistence and degradation of [C-14] atrazine and [C-14] deisopropylatrazine as affected by soil depth and moisture conditions. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry* 12, 1959-1967.
- Large, E.C., 1954. Growth stages in cereals: illustration of the Feekes scale. *Plant Pathology* 3, 128–129.
- Miranda K.M., Espey, M.G., Wink, D.A., 2001. A rapid simple spectrophotometric method for simultaneous detection of nitrate and nitrite. *Biology and Chemistry* 5, 62-71.
- Mulvaney, R.L., 1996. Nitrogen- Inorganic forms. In: Sparks, D.L (ED), *Methods of Soil Analysis. Part3*. Soil Society of America Inc., Madison, WI, pp. 1123-1184.
- Murphy, D.V., Sparling, G.P., Fillery, I.R.P., 1998. Stratification of microbial biomass C and N and gross mineralization with soil depth in two contrasting western Australian agriculture soils. *Australian Journal of Soil Research* 36, 45-55.
- Paul, E.A., Clark, F.E., 1989. *Soil Microbiology and Biochemistry*. Academic Press, San Diego.
- Phelps, T.J., Raione, R.G., White, D.C., Flirmans, C.B., 1989. Microbial activities in deep subsurface environment. *Geomicrobiology Journal* 7, 79-91.



- Qualls, R., Haines, B.L., 1992. Measuring adsorption isotherms using continuous, unsaturated flow through intact soil cores. *Soil Science Society of America Journal* 56, 456-560.
- Raun, W.R., Johnson, G.V., 1999. Improving nitrogen use efficiency for cereal production. *Agronomy Journal* 91, 357-363.
- Rey, A., Pegoraro, E., Jarvis, G.P., 2008. Carbon mineralization rates at different soil depths across a network of European forest sites (FORCAST). *European Journal of Soil Science* 59, 1049-1062.
- Salome, C., Nunan, N., Pouteau, V., Lerch, T.Z., Chenu, C., 2010. Carbon dynamics in topsoil and subsoil may be controlled by different regulatory mechanisms. *Global Change Biology* 16, 416-426.
- Sartain, B.J., Obrezai, A.T., 2010. Improving nitrogen and phosphorus fertilizer use efficiency of florida's horticultural crops. *Hort Technology* 20, 23-33.
- Schrumpf, M., Kaiser, K., Guggenberger, G., Persson, T., Kogel-Knabner, I., Schulze, E.D., 2013. Storage and stability of organic carbon in soils as related to depth, occlusion within aggregates, and attachment to minerals. *Biogeosciences* 10, 1675-1691.
- Smucker, A.J.M., Nunez-Barrios, A., Ritchie, J.T., 1991. Root dynamics in drying soil environment. *Belowground Ecology* 1, 1-5.
- Soudi, B., Sbai, A., Chiang, C.N., 1990. Nitrogen mineralization in semiarid soils of Morocco: rate constant variation with depth. *Soil Science Society of America Journal* 54, 61-756.
- Taylor, H.M., Klepper, B., 1975. Water uptake by cotton root system: an examination of the assumption in the single root model. *Soil Science* 120, 57-67.

- Taylor, J.P., Wilson, B., Mills, M.S., Burns, R.G., 2002. Comparison of microbial numbers and enzymatic activities in surface soils and subsoil using various techniques. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* 34, 387-401.
- Van Egmond, N.D., Bresser, A.H.M., Bouman, A.F., 2002. The European nitrogen case. *Ambio* 31, 72-78.
- Vinther, F., Eilland, F., Lind, A-M., Elsgaard, L., 1999. Microbial biomass and numbers of denitrifiers related to macropore channels in agriculture and forest soils. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* 31, 603-611.
- Waring, S.A., Bremner, J.M., 1964. Ammonium production in soil under waterlogged conditions as an index of N availability. *Nature* 201, 951-952.
- Watt, M., Silk, W.K., Passioura, J.B., 2006. Rates of root and organism growth, soil conditions, and temporal and spatial development of the rhizosphere. *Annals of Botany* 97, 839-855.
- Whalley, W., Dumitru, E., Dexter, A.R., 1995. Biological effect of soil compaction. *Soil and Tillage Research* 35, 53-68.
- Zadoks, J.C., Chang, T.T., Konzak, C.F., 1974. A decimal code for the growth stages of cereals. *Weed Research* 14, 415-421.
- Zaman, M., Nguyen, M.L., 2012. How application of urease and nitrification inhibitors affect N losses from urine patches in pastoral system. *Agriculture, Ecosystem and Environment* 156, 37-48.
- Zheng, X., Wang, K.Liu.C., 2013. Effect of nitrification inhibitors (DCD and DMPP) on nitrous oxide emission, crop yield and nitrogen uptake in a wheat-maize cropping system. *Biogeosciences* 10, 2427-2437.

## Chapter 7

---

### **Long-term nutrient enrichment drives soil and vegetation community development in Arctic habitats**

#### **Abstract**

Polar regions are expected to experience an increase in N deposition in future years, which is in turn expected to have an effect on ecosystem functioning. Studying areas of high N concentration in the arctic could therefore give insight into potential future conditions. Seabirds create large nutrient gradients in the tundra, which impact nutrient cycling and the functioning of microbial communities. This study investigated the turnover of high and low molecular weight (MW) organic compounds along two contrasting nutrient enrichment gradients in the high Arctic. It also investigated N mineralization along the same transects. The mineralization was approximately 6-fold faster for a low MW root exudate mixture than for <sup>14</sup>C-plant litter within the first 7 d and the mineralization of root exudates was faster in the control soil than in the orthinogenic soil 0.5 m from the cliff. The mineralization of the <sup>14</sup>C-labelled amino acids was marginally faster in the orthinogenic treatments. Comparisons of the half-life between the orthinogenic treatment and control for each substrate separately showed no significant differences for amino acids, peptides and root exudates. Plant litter showed some significant differences in half-life between the orthinogenic treatment and the control, but only within 2 m of the cliff. Nitrification was significantly greater at the orthinogenic site than at the control 2 m from the cliff. The soil respiration was significantly different ( $P < 0.05$ ) between the orthinogenic treatment and the control. Soil respiration was significantly higher for the first 20 m along the orthinogenic transect than in the control transect. The high MW organic material in the Arctic would

therefore appear to be more sensitive to microbial mineralization in response to increasing N deposition, which may then lead to reduced carbon storage in Arctic soils. This could have serious implications for positive climate change feedback.

## **7.1. Introduction**

Net primary production in the terrestrial Arctic is typically limited by short growing seasons, cold temperatures, frequent and strong winds and low nutrient supply (Bobbink et al., 2010). Consequently, the projected rapid increase in temperature, changes in precipitation patterns and enhanced atmospheric nitrogen (N) deposition (Geng et al., 2010; Førland et al., 2011;) are predicted to have profound effects on the functioning of polar ecosystems (White et al., 2000; Myers-Smith et al., 2011). One of the primary impacts of these anthropogenically mediated changes is the projected nutrient-induced shifts in plant community composition, which will impact directly on a range of ecosystem services including water quality, soil carbon storage and food availability (grazer biodiversity) (Post and Pedersen, 2008; Natali et al., 2012). Understanding and predicting the long-term resilience and potential feedback in response to environmental changes therefore remain central goals in polar ecosystem science.

Short-term (ca. 5–10 y) studies in Arctic tundra have frequently reported that nutrient enrichment (e.g. N and P) causes relatively rapid changes in above and below-ground diversity (Gordon et al., 2001; Madan et al., 2005; Campbell et al., 2010). These studies, however, have often simulated enrichment by pulse additions of high levels of inorganic nutrients, which can lead to bias in environments where spatial and temporal niche separation for nutrient and water acquisition occurs (Clarkson et al., 2009; Glanville et al., 2012). While these studies have proved extremely useful in

demonstrating the importance of plant-soil-grazer feedback, a number of other studies have also concluded that they may not accurately reflect long-term responses to nutrient addition (Dormann and Woodin, 2002; Ellis and Rochefort, 2004).

An alternative strategy to artificial nutrient manipulation is to investigate ecosystem responses at sites that have experienced natural enrichment over much longer time periods (ca. 1000-10,000 y) and that are assumed to be at a quasi-steady state (Holloway et al., 1998; Osada et al., 2010). Unlike most small-scale experimental plot additions ( $< 10 \text{ m}^2$ ), the natural long-term addition of nutrients typically occurs in a spatially heterogeneous pattern that often leads to the formation of large scale ( $>1000 \text{ m}^2$ ) nutrient enrichment gradients. Further, differential grazing across these expansive gradients over long time periods can induce genuine feedbacks in vegetation and soil ecology, which are difficult to capture in small scale plots. The disadvantages of using these sites, however, are that their full chronology can only be partially resolved from either biomarkers (e.g. pollen records) or geomarkers (e.g.  $^{14}\text{C}$  dating,  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ ,  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ ) and that the nutrients are rarely deposited singly (Rozema et al., 2009).

Large scale variation in nutrient enrichment in tundra environments typically occurs due to differences in underlying parent material, hydrology, anthropogenic pollution and homoeothermic animal abundance (Löffler and Pape, 2008; Naito and Cairns, 2011). Seabirds are responsible for the creation of some of the largest tundra nutrient gradients (Stempniewicz, 2005). This arises due to their continual foraging for food at sea, with subsequent roosting and breeding on land, which leads to transfer of nutrients from a highly productive marine habitat to an intrinsically nutrient poor terrestrial habitat. This is exemplified by estimates of annual guano deposition of up to  $60 \text{ t km}^{-2} \text{ y}^{-1}$  by Little Auks (*Alle alle*), which commonly exist in dense land colonies

(>1000 pairs site<sup>-1</sup>) often located kilometres from the coast (Stempniewicz et al., 2006). Faecal deposition tends to be concentrated close to the colony, but also occurs during bird flight, leading to a progressive decline in nutrients from the nesting site towards the coast.

Changes in vegetation and herbivore populations in response to orthinogenic nutrient enrichment are well documented (Zmudczynska et al., 2008; Jakubas et al., 2008). In contrast, the knowledge of seabird impacts on soil development, microbial community functioning and nutrient cycling remains fragmentary (Solheim et al., 1996). The aim of this study was therefore to investigate the turnover of high and low molecular weight (MW) organic compounds along two contrasting nutrient enrichment gradients in the high Arctic.

## **7.2. Materials and methods**

### **7.2.1. Site characteristics**


The experimental site was located at Scoresbygrotta on Blomstrandhalvøya Island located in Kongsfjorden close to Ny Ålesund, Svalbard (78°59'10"N, 11°59'12"E). The site has a mean annual air temperature of -6.5°C (minimum -24.8°C, max. 12.1°C) and an annual precipitation of 197 mm, with approximately 65% falling as snow. The site consists of a cliff face approximately 600 m in length running parallel to the coast (500 m from the shoreline). Significant vegetation and soil development has occurred beneath the cliff in response to the presence of seabirds. The cliff is composed of Precambrian Upper Proterozoic limestone 25 m in height and is characterized by a middle section approximately 50 m in length that is heavily inhabited by seabirds (black-legged kittiwakes, *Rissa tridactyla*; Black guillemots, *Cephus grill*; Glaucous

gulls, *Larus hyperboreus*) (Fig. 7.1). Areas with very few seabirds are found adjacent to this central area due to a lack of nesting sites; these were used as the control sites. Water movement down the cliff face was evident across all areas due to snowmelt and runoff from land directly above the cliff. At the three sampling times, the rate of water flow over the cliff face appeared visually to be similar at both bird inhabited and non-bird inhabited (control) areas. Below the cliff, the topography is characterized by a freely draining steep slope (45°) approximately 70 m in length that appears to consist of well-colonized mixed glacial debris (limestone, red sandstone, etc.; Harland, 1997). Subsequently, this grades into a gently sloping (10°), poorly drained mire area approximately 500 m in length, which is dominated by mosses; abundant surface water channels flow through the mire towards the sea (Fig.6.2).

The plant species under the bird cliff (0-70 m slope section) included *Puccinellia angustata* (R. Br.) Rand & Redf. ssp. *angustata*, *Polygonum vivipara* L., *Cerastium arcticum* Lange coll., *Oxyria digyna* (L.) Hill, *Luzula confusa* Lindeb., *Draba* species, *Cochlearia groenlandica* L. coll., *Ranunculus hyperboreus* Rottb. ssp. *arnellii* Scheutz, *Saxifraga cernua* L., *Saxifraga hieracifolia* Waldst. & Kit. ex Willd. ssp. *hieracifolia*, *Saxifraga hirculus* L. ssp. *compacta* Hedberg, *Saxifraga oppositifolia* L., mosses and lichens. Those plant species predominantly absent from the bird cliff transects but present in the control (non-enriched) transects included: *Cassiope tetragona* L.D.Don. ssp. *tetragona* L., *Dryas octopetala* L., *Silene acaulis* (L.) Jacq., *Carex bigelowii* and *Salix polaris* Wahlenb. The species *Dryas octopetala* is associated with nitrogen fixation as are some lichen species.



**Fig. 7.1.** Seabirds nesting at the study site. The flow of guano down the cliff face is evident from the white streaks.



**Fig. 7.2.** Photograph of the study showing the bird and non-bird cliff sites. The three sampling transects at the site are shown with red arrows.



### 7.2.2. Site sampling

Six transects were taken at 90° to the cliff face along the steeply sloping area. These included three transects emanating from the bird inhabited area and three from the control (non-bird enriched) transects. Along each transect, samples were taken at increasing distances from the cliff face (0.5, 2, 10, 20, 40 and 60 m), each sample was characterized by a different vegetation community structure. At each location, 10–15 soil samples (0 to 10 cm depth) were taken randomly and stored in gas-permeable plastic bags at 4°C to await analysis. Soil temperature in the 0–10 cm soil layer was also recorded. At each location, 3 randomly positioned quadrats (20 × 20 cm) were placed over the vegetation, the above-ground plant material was harvested, and its biomass determined after drying (70°C, 24 h).

Replicate samples ( $n = 5$ ) of the water flowing over the cliffs and entering the soil at 0–0.5 m (inflow) and the drainage water emanating from the base of the vegetated slope (70 m; outflow) were also sampled.

### 7.2.3. Soil analysis

Within 12 h of sample collection, soil solution was recovered from each transect sampling point using the centrifugal-drainage procedure (14,000 g, 5 min) of Giesler and Lundström (1993). The soil solutions were further centrifuged for 5 min at 18,000 g to remove any suspended particles and the supernatant was stored in polypropylene vials at -21°C prior to analysis.

Dissolved organic C (DOC) and total dissolved nitrogen (TDN) in solution were determined with a Shimadzu TOC-TNV analyser (Shimadzu Corp., Kyoto, Japan). Concentrations of  $\text{NH}_4^+$  and  $\text{NO}_3^-$  in soil solution were determined colorimetrically by microassay (Downes, 1978; Mulvaney, 1996). Dissolved organic nitrogen (DON) was

then calculated as the difference between TDN and dissolved inorganic nitrogen. Total free amino acids (TFAA) were determined by fluorescence using the *o*-phthalaldehyde -  $\beta$ -mercaptoethanol procedure of Jones et al. (2002). Total C and N of soils were determined using a CHN-2000 analyser (Leco Corp., St Joseph, MI, USA). Soil pH (1:1 v/v) was determined in distilled water as described in Smith and Doran (1996), while moisture content was determined by drying at 80°C. Basal soil respiration was determined in the laboratory at 10°C using an automated multichannel soil respirometer (Nordstrom Innovations Ltd., Umea, Sweden) and the steady-state CO<sub>2</sub> production rates from 24 to 72 h were recorded.

Net N mineralization was determined by incubating soil collected from the field shortly after snowmelt at 10°C for 30 d. Briefly, soil from each transect point (100 g) was stored in gas permeable plastic bags and after 0 and 90 d the soil was extracted with 0.5 M K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> (1:5 soil:K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> w/v; 30 min), centrifuged (18,000 g, 5 min) and the supernatant recovered and frozen (-21°C) to await NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> analysis as described above. Ammonification was calculated as the total amount of soluble N

#### **7.2.4. <sup>14</sup>C mineralization of low and high molecular weight organic matter**

Field-moist soil (5 g) was collected from along the two transects and placed into individual 50 cm<sup>3</sup> polypropylene containers. Different <sup>14</sup>C-labelled substrates were then added to the soil and their mineralization to <sup>14</sup>CO<sub>2</sub> monitored over time. The four <sup>14</sup>C-labelled substrates included: (1) plant shoot litter; (2) a mixture of low MW compounds (simulated root exudates); (3) a mixture of 15 amino acids; and (4) the peptide, trialanine.

The high MW C source consisted of air-dried <sup>14</sup>C-labelled ground *Lolium perenne* L. green shoot material (12.3 kBq g<sup>-1</sup>) labelled as described in Hill et al. (2007)

and characterized and used as described in Glanville et al. (2012). Within the plant material, the soluble  $^{14}\text{C}$  component constituted  $32.9 \pm 1.5$  % of the total  $^{14}\text{C}$ , while  $26.7 \pm 1.0$  % of the high MW  $^{14}\text{C}$  remaining was soluble in 36 mM HCl,  $43.8 \pm 0.7$  % was NaOH (1 M) soluble and  $29.5 \pm 3.4$  % remained insoluble. Briefly, 100 mg of this  $^{14}\text{C}$ -labelled plant material was added directly to 5 g of soil ( $46 \text{ mg C g}^{-1}$ ). The low MW C source consisted of a mixture of  $^{14}\text{C}$ -labelled substrates that were representative of common root exudate compounds: malic acid (1 mM), citric acid (2 mM), glucose (10 mM), fructose (2 mM), sucrose (1 mM), and an amino acid mixture (3 mM) comprising 15 equimolar  $^{14}\text{C}$ -labelled L-amino acids (alanine, arginine, aspartic acid, glutamic acid, glycine, histidine, isoleucine, leucine, lysine, phenylalanine, proline, serine, threonine, tyrosine, valine). The exudates were dried down onto pure quartz sand as described in Glanville et al. (2012). An amount of  $^{14}\text{C}$ -labelled sand (100 mg; 2.19 kBq) was added to each 5 g soil sample to give a substrate addition rate of  $0.01 \text{ mg C g}^{-1}$ . The  $^{14}\text{C}$ -labelled amino acids (an equimolar mixture of 15 different L-isomeric amino acids;  $666 \mu\text{M}$ ) and peptide (L-trialanine; 10 mM) were added to the soil in liquid form ( $0.1 \text{ ml g}^{-1}$ ;  $0.09 \text{ kBq ml}^{-1}$ ) as described in Jones (1999). After the addition of each  $^{14}\text{C}$ -substrate to the soil, a  $^{14}\text{CO}_2$  trap containing 1 ml of NaOH was placed above the soil and the tubes were capped. The tubes were then incubated at  $10^\circ\text{C}$  and the NaOH traps changed over time to determine the temporal dynamics of  $^{14}\text{CO}_2$  production (0-50 d). The time was chosen to reflect the length of a typical growing season. The  $^{14}\text{C}$  content of the NaOH traps was determined with Scintisafe 3<sup>®</sup> scintillation cocktail (Fisher Scientific, Leicestershire, UK) and a Wallac 1404 liquid scintillation counter (Wallac EG&G, Milton Keynes, UK). After 50 d, the soil was extracted with 0.5 M  $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$  (1:5 w/v;

200 rev min<sup>-1</sup>; 30 min), centrifuged (16,000 g, 10 min) and <sup>14</sup>C in the supernatant counted as described above.

The mineralization dynamics of the substrates, peptide, amino acids and root exudates were best described by a triple exponential decay equation:

$$S = [a_1 \times \exp(-k_1 t)] + [a_2 \times \exp(-k_2 t)] \quad (\text{Eqn.1})$$

While the plant material mineralization was best described by a double exponential decay equation:

$$S = [a_1 \times \exp(-k_1 t)] + [a_2 \times \exp(-k_2 t)] + [a_3 \times \exp(-k_3 t)] \quad (\text{Eqn.2})$$

Where S is the <sup>14</sup>C-label remaining in the soil,  $k_1$  is the exponential coefficient describing the primary mineralization by microbial biomass;  $k_2$  is the exponential coefficient describing the secondary, slower phase of mineralization and  $k_3$  the third and slowest phase.

The parameters  $a_1$ ,  $a_2$  and  $a_3$  represent the size of the C pools associated with exponential coefficients  $k_1$ ,  $k_2$  and  $k_3$ , and  $t$  is time. The half-life ( $t_{1/2}$ ) of pool  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  can be calculated as:

$$t_{1/2} = \ln(2) / k_1 \quad (\text{Eqn.3})$$

(Paul and Clark, 1996). The connectivity and isotope dilution associated with pools  $k_1$ ,  $k_2$  and  $k_3$  cannot be determined; therefore, reliable calculation of the half time is not possible for pools  $k_2$  and  $k_3$  (Boddy et al., 2007; Farrar et al., 2012).

#### 7.2.5. Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses (paired t-tests, linear regression, ANOVA) were undertaken with Minitab v15 (Minitab Inc., State College, PA) and Excel 2010 (Microsoft UK, Reading, UK). First order kinetics equations were fitted to the <sup>14</sup>C mineralization data

with Sigma Plot 12 (Systat Software Inc., Chicago, IL) using a least sum of squares iteration routine.

### **7.3. Results**


#### **7.3.1. <sup>14</sup>C-labelled substrate mineralization**

The mineralization of the <sup>14</sup>C-labelled plant material is shown in (Fig. 7.3) Over the 50 d incubation period, approximately 10% of the plant material was mineralized; however, few significant differences were observed in the mineralization rate both within transects and between the orthinogenic and control treatments. The only exception was the significantly higher rate of mineralization of plant material observed at 2 m in the orthinogenic transect.


The mineralization was approximately 6-fold faster for the low MW root exudate mixture than for the <sup>14</sup>C-plant material within the first 7 d (Fig.7.3). Although mineralization was faster in the control transect than in the orthinogenic transect, no clear transect patterns emerged. At the end of the 50 d incubation, a 0.5 M K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> extract only recovered  $2.1 \pm 0.3\%$  of the initial <sup>14</sup>C added, indicating that the majority of the <sup>14</sup>C had become immobilized in the microbial biomass. In contrast to the results for root exudates, no significant treatment transect effects were seen for the mineralization of the <sup>14</sup>C-labelled peptide (Fig. 7.4). The mineralization of the <sup>14</sup>C-labelled amino acids was marginally faster in the orthinogenic treatments although, again, no transect pattern was apparent (Fig.7.4).

The decomposition of both simple and complex molecules could be described by either a double or triple exponential decay model and this was not altered by the presence of bird input or distance from the cliff face when maximum N deposition occurs. The triple exponential decay equation model was used and gave the best fit to

the mineralization data for amino acid, peptide and root exudates ( $r^2 > 0.998 \pm 0.0006$ ) in the orthinogenic site and ( $r^2 > 0.999 \pm 0.0003$ ) in the control site while a double exponential decay equation used was fitted to plant material mineralization ( $r^2 = 0.997 \pm 0.001$ ) in the orthinogenic but in control ( $r^2 = 0.998 \pm 0.0006$ ).



**Fig. 7.3.** Mineralization kinetics of  $^{14}\text{C}$ -labelled root exudates and plant material in soil as a function of increasing distance from cliffs with (orthinogenic) and without (control) bird-derived nutrient inputs. Values represent mean  $\pm$  SEM ( $n = 3$ ).



**Fig 7. 4.** Mineralization kinetics of  $^{14}\text{C}$ -labelled Amino acid and peptide in soil as a function of increasing distance from cliffs with (orthinogenic) and without (control) bird-derived nutrient inputs. Values represent mean  $\pm$  SEM ( $n = 3$ ).

The fast component ( $k_1$ ) of the triple exponential decay equation was used to calculate the substrate half-life ( $t_{1/2}$ ). Comparison of the half-life between the orthinogenic treatment and control for each substrate separately, showed no significant difference for amino acids, the peptide and root exudates ( $P > 0.05$ ) (Table 7.1). The plant material showed some significant difference in half-life between the orthinogenic treatment and the control, but only within 2 m of the cliff. If we compare the half-time for substrates with increasing distance away from the cliff face, the results show no

significant difference in the orthinogenic treatment for the amino acid substrate and for the control only 2 m is significantly different from the other distances ( $P < 0.05$ ). The peptide and root exudates showed no significant difference in half-life across the distance in either the orthinogenic treatment or the control. The plant material showed a significant difference in half-life along the transect in the orthinogenic treatment ( $P < 0.05$ ); it is significantly slower within 2 m of the bird cliff than further away ( $P = 0.04$ ), but the control showed no significance difference in half-time across the distance.

When we compared  $k_2$  between bird cliff and control across the distance, a significant difference was found for the following substrates: amino acids, peptide and plant material. For the amino acid substrate,  $k_2$  was significantly different between the orthinogenic treatment and the control ( $P < 0.05$ ) (Table 7.2). There was no significant difference seen for  $k_2$  when compared across distance for amino acids in the orthinogenic treatment ( $P > 0.05$ ), while in the control treatment,  $k_2$  was not significantly different with the exception of 40 m, which is less than 20 m and 60 m ( $P < 0.05$ ). The peptide substrate showed no significant difference in  $k_2$  between the orthinogenic treatment and the control ( $P > 0.05$ ). Further, no significant difference was observed for  $k_2$  comparing across distance in the orthinogenic treatment or the control, except at 40 m, which is significantly less than 0.5 m in the orthinogenic treatment. The root exudates showed no significant difference in  $k_2$  between the orthinogenic treatment and control ( $P > 0.05$ ), or along either transect. The plant material significant difference in  $k_2$  between the orthinogenic treatment and the control ( $P < 0.05$ ).

Comparison of  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  between the different substrates (amino acids, peptide and root exudates) indicated significant differences between them ( $P < 0.05$ ). The plant material could not be validly compared with the other substrates because the substrates



were analysed with a three phase exponential decay equation (6 parameter) whilst the plant material was analysed with a two phase exponential decay equation (4 parameter). No significant difference was noted between amino acids and peptide for  $k_1$ , and no significant difference was observed between amino acid and root exudates for  $k_2$ .

### 7.3.2. N mineralization

No significant difference was seen in soil N ammonification between the orthinogenic treatment and the control, but a significant difference did exist along the transect. At 2 m from the cliff face, N ammonification ( $P < 0.05$ ) was significantly different from all other distances, with the exception of 20 m ( $P > 0.05$ ) in the orthinogenic treatment. Distance showed no significant effect in the control treatment. Nitrification was significantly greater in the orthinogenic treatment than in the control at 2 m from the cliffs. In addition, as with ammonification, nitrification was significantly higher at 2 m than at all other distances tested, except 20 m, in the orthinogenic treatment. See net mineralization (Fig. 7.5).

### 7.3.3. Soil respiration

The total mineralization for the substrates (amino acids, peptide, root exudates and plant material) showed no significant difference between the bird cliff and control transects ( $P < 0.05$ ). Soil respiration was significantly different ( $P < 0.05$ ) between the orthinogenic treatment and the control. Soil respiration was significantly higher for the first 20 m along the orthinogenic transect in comparison to the control transect ( $P < 0.01$ ). After normalization for soil organic C, rates of soil respiration remained significantly higher in the orthinogenic transect ( $23 \pm 3 \text{ mg CO}_2 \text{ kg soil C}^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1}$ ) in comparison to the control transect ( $16 \pm 2 \text{ mg CO}_2 \text{ kg soil C}^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1}$ ;  $P < 0.05$ ) (Fig. 7.6)

**Table 7.1.** Half-life of the different substrates in the nutrient enriched (bird cliff) or nutrient limited (control) soil environments. The distances refer to different distances from the cliff face. Values represent mean  $\pm$  SEM ( $n = 3$ ).

Substrate	0.5 m	2m	20m	40m	60m
<b><u>Bird Cliff</u></b>					
Peptide	0.02 $\pm$ 0.00	0.02 $\pm$ 0.00	0.02 $\pm$ 0.00	0.03 $\pm$ 0.01	0.03 $\pm$ 0.00
Amino acid	0.02 $\pm$ 0.00	0.02 $\pm$ 0.00	0.03 $\pm$ 0.00	0.03 $\pm$ 0.01	0.03 $\pm$ 0.00
Root exudates	0.27 $\pm$ 0.06	0.10 $\pm$ 0.03	0.16 $\pm$ 0.01	0.19 $\pm$ 0.08	0.16 $\pm$ 0.02
Plant material	17.62 $\pm$ 5.10	16.52 $\pm$ 1.42	4.46 $\pm$ 0.72	3.01 $\pm$ 0.42	4.28 $\pm$ 1.26
<b><u>Control</u></b>					
Peptide	0.04 $\pm$ 0.02	0.02 $\pm$ 0.00	0.03 $\pm$ 0.00	0.05 $\pm$ 0.03	0.07 $\pm$ 0.04
Amino acid	0.03 $\pm$ 0.00	0.02 $\pm$ 0.00	0.03 $\pm$ 0.00	0.03 $\pm$ 0.00	0.03 $\pm$ 0.00
Root exudates	0.13 $\pm$ 0.01	0.13 $\pm$ 0.02	0.12 $\pm$ 0.02	0.18 $\pm$ 0.02	0.62 $\pm$ 0.33
Plant material	3.22 $\pm$ 0.53	3.45 $\pm$ 0.24	5.02 $\pm$ 0.58	2.99 $\pm$ 1.01	3.56 $\pm$ 0.42

**Table 7.2.**  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  of the different substrates in the nutrient enriched (bird cliff) or nutrient limited (control) soil environments. \*plant material  $k_2$  in Bird cliff and control= ( $d^{-1} \cdot 10^{-4}$ ). Values represent mean  $\pm$  SEM ( $n = 3$ ).

<b>(<math>k_1</math>)</b>					
Substrate	0.5 m	2m	20m	40m	60m
<b><u>Bird Cliff</u></b>					
Peptide	26.64 $\pm$ 3.62	35.11 $\pm$ 1.88	29.42 $\pm$ 3.27	27.45 $\pm$ 6.23	27.58 $\pm$ 4.16
Amino acid	31.41 $\pm$ 4.54	37.77 $\pm$ 2.50	25.61 $\pm$ 1.84	28.73 $\pm$ 6.93	27.59 $\pm$ 3.86
Root exudates	2.78 $\pm$ 0.49	10.47 $\pm$ 5.27	4.28 $\pm$ 0.29	10.48 $\pm$ 7.87	4.49 $\pm$ 0.60
Plant material	0.04 $\pm$ 0.01	0.04 $\pm$ 0.00	0.17 $\pm$ 0.03	0.24 $\pm$ 0.04	0.19 $\pm$ 0.04
<b><u>Control</u></b>					
Peptide	21.26 $\pm$ 6.42	37.16 $\pm$ 4.93	25.87 $\pm$ 1.75	22.83 $\pm$ 8.61	20.30 $\pm$ 7.88
Amino acid	23.27 $\pm$ 1.41	35.92 $\pm$ 1.53	22.17 $\pm$ 0.54	23.35 $\pm$ 1.33	25.29 $\pm$ 2.73
Root exudates	5.59 $\pm$ 0.41	5.91 $\pm$ 1.06	6.06 $\pm$ 1.00	3.89 $\pm$ 0.35	2.58 $\pm$ 1.63
Plant Material	0.23 $\pm$ 0.04	0.20 $\pm$ 0.01	0.14 $\pm$ 0.02	0.33 $\pm$ 0.15	0.20 $\pm$ 0.02
<b>(<math>k_2</math>)</b>					
<b><u>Bird Cliff</u></b>					
Peptide	2.08 $\pm$ 0.26	1.48 $\pm$ 0.16	1.51 $\pm$ 0.09	0.91 $\pm$ 0.33	1.58 $\pm$ 0.31
Amino acid	0.44 $\pm$ 0.07	0.50 $\pm$ 0.02	0.47 $\pm$ 0.08	0.63 $\pm$ 0.23	0.34 $\pm$ 0.13
Root exudates	0.15 $\pm$ 0.02	0.24 $\pm$ 0.07	0.23 $\pm$ 0.05	0.21 $\pm$ 0.08	0.26 $\pm$ 0.13
Plant material	0.26 $\pm$ 0.2	3E-13 $\pm$ 7E-14	8.60 $\pm$ 0.6	8.30 $\pm$ 0.80	6.6 $\pm$ 0.8
<b><u>Control</u></b>					
Peptide	0.76 $\pm$ 0.32	2.56 $\pm$ 1.48	1.16 $\pm$ 0.08	0.45 $\pm$ 0.28	0.76 $\pm$ 0.29
Amino acid	0.22 $\pm$ 0.04	0.24 $\pm$ 0.01	0.25 $\pm$ 0.05	0.11 $\pm$ 0.03	0.25 $\pm$ 0.01
Root exudates	0.25 $\pm$ 0.02	0.24 $\pm$ 0.03	0.19 $\pm$ 0.03	0.20 $\pm$ 0.03	0.16 $\pm$ 0.04
Plant Material	8.00 $\pm$ 1.00	8.60 $\pm$ 0.3	9.00 $\pm$ 2.00	11.0 $\pm$ 1.0	10.0 $\pm$ 1.0




Fig. 7.5. Net mineralization of orthinogenic and control site with increasing distance from the bird cliff. Values represent mean  $\pm$  SEM ( $n = 3$ ).




Fig. 7.6. Soil respiration in orthinogenic and control site with increasing distance from the bird cliff. Values represent mean  $\pm$  SEM ( $n = 3$ ).

## 7.4. Discussion

This study compared soil nutrient cycling between a seabird site, which is rich in organic material, and a control nutrient-limited site. Seabirds are migratory, arriving at Kongsfjorden in spring and departing in autumn (May-Aug). The birds are surface or near-surface feeders, consuming zooplankton and pelagic fish. Seabirds typically excrete 10.2% of the mass of their food intake (Brekke and Gabrielsen, 1994; Croll et al., 2005; Stempniewicz et al., 2007). Their excrement contains 21% N by weight (faeces and uric acid together).

Nitrification was significantly greater at the orthinogenic site than at the control 2 m from the cliff. This is similar to results shown by Goulding et al. (1998), where chronic and long-term N fertilization (more than 150 years of 1-150 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>) input in UK grassland has caused high nitrification. The authors suggest that N saturation leads to less efficient cycling of N and a build-up of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>. However, rates of nitrification reported by Goulding et al. (1998) and Aber (1992) were five times higher than even the highest rate observed in the orthinogenic treatment. This could be due to the higher temperatures in the UK relative to polar regions.

Approximately 10 % of the <sup>14</sup>C-labelled plant material was mineralized. However, a few significant differences were seen in mineralization rate, both within transects and between the orthinogenic and control treatments. The only exception was the significantly higher rate of mineralization of plant material observed at 2 m in the orthinogenic transect. Nitrogen mineralization is a sign of C limitation, which might explain the high use of plant material at 2 m in the orthinogenic transect (Schimel et al., 2004). Soil respiration rates were significantly different between the bird cliff and

control transects. Soil respiration was significantly higher for the first 20 m along the orthinogenic transect in comparison to the control transect ( $P < 0.01$ ).

The first phase of mineralization, represented by the parameter  $k_1$  and the half-time, can be attributed to the immediate use of the substrate-C in microbial respiration. The second phase ( $k_2$ ) represents the turnover of the microbial cell material into which the  $^{14}\text{C}$  labelled substrate was incorporated. Half-life time was longer for the plant material than for the amino acids, peptide and root exudates, and  $k_2$  was smaller. Plant material contains high MW complex components that need to be broken down, whereas the low MW compounds are more easily taken up by microbes. This result is supported by Jones et al. (2004), who found a rapid uptake of amino acids from soil solution. In addition, they described how the low concentrations of free amino acids and peptide in the soil solution are due, in part, to the rapid removal by the soil microbial community. In addition, our result is supported by Boddy et al. (2008), who demonstrated that some low MW DOC compounds are rapidly cycled by soil microbes in the High Arctic soils to the same extent as in temperate ecosystems. The results are also supported by Lipson et al. (2001) and Vinolas et al. (2001), who found that amino acids turned over rapidly in summer in Arctic soil, primarily as result of uptake by microorganisms and plant roots.

Mineralization of root exudates was faster in the control soil than in the orthinogenic soil 0.5 m from the cliff. The above-ground biomass is greater in the control soil than in the orthinogenic soil and so the control soil may have a microbial community more suited to using these materials. However, root exudates contain low MW compounds such as amino acids, sugars and organic acids, which are used by a wide variety of microbes (Farrar et al., 2003). The rate of amino acid mineralization was

also higher in the orthinogenic treatment. No difference was observed for the peptide among the sites. The mineralization of plant material was slower within 2 m of the cliff in the orthinogenic transect compared to both the control and further away.

## 7.5 Conclusion

This study has demonstrated that the mineralization rate was faster for the low MW root exudate mixture than for the plant material (HMW). The  $k_2$  parameter was also smaller for plant material than for the low MW substrates. Mineralization rates and half-lives for all the substrates analysed were similar for both the orthinogenic site and the control, except for the plant material. This had a longer half-life within 2 m of the cliff in the orthinogenic transect than it did anywhere else and yet this 2 m area also showed the maximum total mineralization of plant material. The mineralization of the  $^{14}\text{C}$ -labelled amino acids was marginally faster in the orthinogenic treatments, while the mineralization of root exudates was faster at 0.5 m in the control soil than in the orthinogenic soil. When we compared the  $k_2$  values between the bird cliff and control gradients, a significant difference was found for the following substrates: amino acids, peptide and plant material. Amino acids and peptide were significantly higher in the orthinogenic treatment while plant material was lower close to the cliff. Nitrification was also significantly greater at the orthinogenic site than at the control cliff. More work is required to study the effect of N deposition on plant and microbial activity and the factors, such as temperature, which can affect N deposition. This work suggests that an increase in N deposition in the Arctic might increase the degradation of high MW C, which could reduce the potential for C storage in Arctic soils. Increased nitrification could affect the competition for nutrients and have consequences for the plant and microbial communities.

## References:

- Aber, J.D., 1992. Nitrogen cycling and nitrogen saturation in temperate forest ecosystems. *Tree* 7, 220-224.
- Bååth, E., 1990. Thymidine incorporation into soil bacteria. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 22, 803-810.
- Bååth, E., Pettersson, M., Söderberg, K.H., 2001. Adaptation of a rapid and economical microcentrifugation method to measure thymidine and leucine incorporation by soil bacteria. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 33, 1571–1574.
- Bardgett, R.D., Streeter, T.C., Bol, R., 2003. Soil microbes compete effectively with plants for organic-nitrogen inputs to temperate grasslands. *Ecology* 84, 1277–1287.
- Boddy, E., Roberts, P., Hill, P.W., Farrar, J., Jones, D.L., 2008. Turnover of low molecular weight dissolved organic C (DOC) and microbial C exhibit different temperature sensitivities in Arctic tundra soils. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 40, 1557- 1566.
- Boddy, E; Hill, P.W; Farrar, J., Jones, D.L., 2007. Fast turnover of low molecular weight components of the dissolved organic carbon pool of temperate grassland field soils. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 39, 827-835.
- Campbell, B.J., Polson, S.W., Hanson, T.E., Mack, M.C., Schuur, E.A.G., 2010. The effect of nutrient deposition on bacterial communities in Arctic tundra soil. *Environmental Microbiology* 12, 1842-1854.
- Clarkson, B.R., Schipper, L.A., Silvester, W.B., 2009. Nutritional niche separation in coexisting bog species demonstrated by <sup>15</sup>N-enriched simulated rainfall. *Austral Ecology* 34, 377-385.

- Croll, D.A., Maron, J.L., Estes, J.A., Danner, E.M., Byrd, G.V., 2005. Introduced predators transform subarctic islands from grassland to tundra. *Science* 307, 1959–1961.
- Dormann, C.F., Woodin, S.J., 2002. Climate change in the Arctic: using plant functional types in a meta-analysis of field experiments. *Functional Ecology* 16, 4-17.
- Downes, M.T., 1978. Improved hydrazine reduction method for automated-determination of low nitrate levels in freshwater. *Water Research* 12, 673-675.
- Ellis, C.J., Rochefort, L., 2004. Century-scale development of polygon-patterned tundra wetland, Bylot Island (73°N, 80°W). *Ecology* 85, 963-978.
- Førland, E.J., Benestad, R., Hanssen-Bauer, I., Haugen, J.E., Skaugen, T.E., 2011. Temperature and precipitation development at Svalbard 1900–2100. *Advances in Meteorology* 2011, Article ID 893790.
- Geng, H., Ryu, J., Jung, H.J., Chung, H., Ahn, K.H., Ro, C.U., 2010. Single-particle characterization of summertime arctic aerosols collected at Ny-Ålesund, Svalbard. *Environmental Science & Technology* 44, 2348-2353.
- Giesler, R., Lundström, U.S., 1993. Soil solution chemistry - The effects of bulking soil samples and spatial variation. *Soil Science Society of America Journal* 57, 1283-1288.
- Gordon, C., Wynn, J.M., Woodin, S.J., 2001. Impacts of increased nitrogen supply on high Arctic heath: the importance of bryophytes and phosphorus availability. *New Phytologist* 149, 461-471.
- Harland, W.B., 1997. *The Geology of Svalbard*. Geological Society Memoir No. 17. The Geological Society, London.



- Hill, P.W., Marshall, C., Williams, G.G., Blum, H., Harmens, H., Jones, D.L., Farrar, J.F., 2007. The fate of photosynthetically-fixed carbon in *Lolium perenne* L. grassland as modified by elevated CO<sub>2</sub>, nitrogen and sward management. *New Phytologist* 173, 766-777.
- Holloway, J.M., Dahlgren, R.A., Hansen, B., Casey, W.H., 1998. Contribution of bedrock nitrogen to high nitrate concentrations in stream water. *Nature* 395, 785-788.
- Jakubas, D., Zmudczynska, K., Wojczulanis-Jakubas, K., Stempniewicz, L., 2008. Faeces deposition and numbers of vertebrate herbivores in the vicinity of planktivorous and piscivorous seabird colonies in Hornsund, Spitsbergen. *Polish Polar Research* 29, 45-58.
- Joergensen, R.G., 2000. Ergosterol and microbial biomass in the rhizosphere of grassland soils. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 32, 647–652.
- Jones, D.L., Hodge, A., Kuzyakov, Y., 2004. Plant and mycorrhizal regulation of rhizodeposition. *New Phytologist* 163, 459–480.
- Jones, D.L., Owen, A.G., Farrar, J.F., 2002. Simple method to enable the high resolution determination of total free amino acids in soil solutions and soil extracts. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 34, 1893-1902.
- Kirchman D., Knees, E. Hodson, R., 1985. Leucine incorporation and its potential as a measure of protein-synthesis by bacteria in natural aquatic systems. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 49, 599–607.
- Lipson, D.A., Raab, T.K., Schmidt, S.K., Monson, R.K., 2001. An empirical model of amino acid transformations in an alpine soil. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 33, 189–198.

- Löffler, J., Pape, R., 2008. Diversity patterns in relation to the environment in alpine tundra ecosystems of northern Norway. *Arctic, Antarctic and Alpine Research* 40, 373-381.
- Madan, N.J., Deacon, L.J., Robinson, C.H., 2007. Greater nitrogen and/or phosphorus availability increase plant species' cover and diversity at a High Arctic polar semidesert. *Polar Biology* 30, 559-570.
- Mulvaney, R.L., 1996. Nitrogen - Inorganic forms. In: Sparks, D.L. (Ed.) *Methods of Soil Analysis*, Soil Science Society of America, Madison. pp. 1123-1184.
- Myers-Smith, I.H., Forbes, B.C., Wilmking, M., Hallinger, M., Lantz, T., Blok, D., Tape, K.D., Macias-Fauria, M., Sass-Klaassen, U., Lévesque, E., Boudreau, S., Ropars, P., Hermanutz, L., Trant, A., Collier, L.S., Weijers, S., Rozema, J., Rayback, S.A., Schmidt, N.M., Schaepman-Strub, G., Wipf, S., Rixen, C., Ménard, C.B., Venn, S., Goetz, S., Andreu-Hayles, L., Elmendorf, S., Ravolainen, V., Welker, J., Grogan, P., Epstein, H.E., Hik, D.S., 2011. Shrub expansion in tundra ecosystems: dynamics, impacts and research priorities. *Environmental Research Letters* 6, 045509. doi:10.1088/1748-9326/6/4/045509.
- Naito, A.T., Cairns, D.M., 2011. Relationships between Arctic shrub dynamics and topographically derived hydrologic characteristics. *Environmental Research Letters* 6, Article Number 045506.
- Natali, S.M., Schuur, E.A.G., Rubin, R.L., 2012. Increased plant productivity in Alaskan tundra as a result of experimental warming of soil and permafrost. *Ecology* 100, 488-498.
- Newell, S.Y., Fallon, R.D., 1991. Toward a method for measuring instantaneous fungal growth rates in field samples. *Ecology* 72, 1547-1559.

- Osada, N., Onoda, Y., Hikosaka, K., 2010. Effects of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentration, irradiance, and soil nitrogen availability on leaf photosynthetic traits of *Polygonum sachalinense* around natural CO<sub>2</sub> springs in northern Japan. *Oecologia* 164, 41-52.
- Post, E., Pedersen, C., 2008. Opposing plant community responses to warming with and without herbivores. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 105, 12353-12358.
- Rousk, J. Bååth, E., 2011. Growth of saprotrophic fungi and bacteria in soil. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology* 78, 17-30
- Rousk, J., Brookes, P.C., Bååth, E., 2009. Contrasting soil pH effects on fungal and bacterial growth suggest functional redundancy in carbon mineralization. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 75, 1589-1596.
- Rozema, J., Weijers, S., Broekman, R., Blokker, P., Buizer, B., Werleman, C., El Yaqine, H., Hoogedoorn, H., Fuertes, M.M., Cooper, E., 2009. Annual growth of *Cassiope tetragona* as a proxy for Arctic climate: developing correlative and experimental transfer functions to reconstruct past summer temperature on a millennial time scale. *Global Change Biology* 15, 1703-1715.
- Ruzicka, S., Edgerton, D., Norman, M., Hill, T., 2000. The utility of ergosterol as a bioindicator for fungi in temperate soils. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 32, 989–1005.
- Saggar, S., Parshotam, A., Sparling, G.P., Feltham, C.W., Hart, P.B.S. 1996. <sup>14</sup>C-labelled ryegrass turnover and residence times in soils varying in clay content and mineralogy. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 28, 1677–1686.

- Smith, J.L., Doran, J.W., 1996. Measurement and use of pH and electrical conductivity for soil quality analysis. In: Methods for assessing soil quality. Soil Science Society of America Special Publication 49, 169-182.
- Solheim, B., Endal, A., Vigstad, H., 1996. Nitrogen fixation in Arctic vegetation and soils from Svalbard, Norway. *Polar Biology* 16, 35-40.
- Sorensen, P.L., Lett, S., Michelsen, A., 2012. Moss-specific changes in nitrogen fixation following two decades of warming, shading, and fertilizer addition. *Plant Ecology* 213, 695-706.
- Stempniewicz, L., 2005. Keystone species and ecosystem functioning Seabirds in polar ecosystems. *Ecological Questions* 6, 111-115.
- Stempniewicz, L., Zwolicki, A., Iliszko, L., Zmudczynska, K., Wojtun, B., 2006. Impact of plankton- and fish-eating seabird colonies on the Arctic tundra ecosystem - a comparison. *Ornithology* 147 Supplement, 257-258.
- Stempniewicz, L., Blachowiak-Samolyk, K., Weslawski, M.J. 2007. Impact of climate change on zooplankton communities, seabird populations and arctic terrestrial ecosystem—A scenario. *Deep-Sea Research II* 54, 2934–2945.
- Vinolas, L.C., Vallejo, V.R., Jones, D.L., 2001. Control of amino acid mineralization microbial metabolism by temperature. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 33, 1137–1140.
- White, A., Cannell, M.G.R., Friend, A.D., 2000. The high-latitude terrestrial carbon sink: a model analysis. *Global Change Biology* 6, 227-245.
- Wold, A., Jæger, I., Hop, H., Gabrielsen, G.W., Falk-Petersen, S., 2011. Arctic seabird food chains explored by fatty acid composition and stable isotopes in Kongsfjorden, Svalbard. *Polar Biology* 34, 1147-1155.

Zmudczynska, K., Zwolicki, A., Barcikowski, M., Iliszko, L., Stempniewicz, L., 2008.  
Variability of individual biomass and leaf size of *Saxifraga nivalis* L. along a  
transect between seabirds colony and seashore in Hornsund, Spitsbergen.  
Ecological Questions 9, 37-44.

## Chapter 8

---

### General discussion

The aim of this PhD thesis was to challenge the traditional concept of N cycling that views amino acids and inorganic N as the most important forms of N available for plant and microbial use. We have shown that the competition between plants and microbes could occur at a higher level in the N cycle (i.e. for peptides). We have also investigated the N cycle in the subsoil, which is typically neglected in studies of soil N cycling. Organic N is believed to be particularly important in low nutrient environments such as the Arctic. The final part of our research compared N cycling processes in a relatively high N input area with a control N limiting environment. This may give an indication of future conditions, as increased temperatures are predicted to increase soil N availability in the Arctic.

My thesis work focused on the dynamics of organic N forms in the soil, particularly on microbial transformation and the uptake of organic N by plants. There has been a lot of previous research about inorganic N, but relatively little work on organic N and its role in the N cycle. In the first chapter, I examined the mobility of organic N in soil and how the microorganisms affect this process. In the second chapter, I analysed the uptake of organic N by plants and soil microbes and the competition between them for different N sources of ecological relevance. After confirming that plants can compete with microorganisms for LMW organic N sources (amino acid and peptides) directly without extracellular cleavage, the ability of plants to take up intact protein without prior cleavage was tested.

Most previous studies have only focussed on the N cycle in surface soil; however, plant roots are known to extend well into the subsoil. Consequently, I investigated N cycling processes in the soil profile. There are few studies linking root distribution with N cycling. I measured the root distribution down the soil profile of a mature wheat crop and linked this to the forms and turnover of N down the soil profile.

In this chapter, I hope to summarise all the data presented in this thesis and relate the findings to the following 8 objectives:

- To test the the influence of microbial activity and chemical form on the potential for organic and inorganic N to move vertically in soil
- To characterise rates of microbial uptake and mineralization of amino acids and peptides in soils in relation to rates of inorganic N cycling
- To determine the influence of peptide addition on the uptake of inorganic forms of N, and vice versa
- To determine how much N can be taken up as peptides by plants grown in soil
- To determine what forms of N microorganisms prefer
- To investigate microbial N cycling in relationship to root growth in wheat cropping soils
- To quantify the mineralization of N, high MW and low MW carbon forms in polar regions and to determine the effect of long term N deposition on soil microorganisms

### **8.1. Microbial activity differentially regulates the vertical mobility of nitrogen compounds in soil**

The aim of this chapter was to test the influence of microbial activity and the chemical form of N on the potential for organic and inorganic N to move vertically in

soil. Strategies to optimise N management are largely targeted towards inorganic N fertilizers (Jones et al., 2005), whilst the behaviour of dissolved organic N (DON) remains poorly characterised (Gardenas et al., 2011). The results showed that it was mainly high molecular weight (HMW) DON that was leached from agricultural soils, rather than low molecular weight (LMW) DON. Although nitrate was available to microbes, it was not the preferred form of N for the C-limited microbial biomass. It was found that, although electrostatic interactions strongly influenced the movement of N forms in soil, microbial immobilization appeared to be the most important factor limiting the movement of neutrally charged amino acids and peptides.

The uptake of the LMW DON provides microbes with both N and C to fuel respiration and growth (Jones et al., 2004). Low molecular weight DON is also a primary N source for plants (Näsholm et al., 2009), particularly in N-limiting environments; therefore, the next chapter investigated the competition between plants and microbes for this resource. Proteins are a major form of N input into soils, so we examined the fate of its breakdown products, amino acids and peptides, with regards to microbial and plant uptake.

## **8.2. Nutritional competition between plants and soil microorganisms for inorganic nitrogen as influenced by peptides, and vice versa**

More detailed studies are needed about the behaviour of organic N, especially where it comprises a major supply of N in soils (Wanek et al., 2010). It was conventionally thought that primary productivity was limited by the rates of both protein cleavage to amino acids and mineralization to ammonium and nitrate by soil microbes (Chapin et al., 1993). It has been confirmed that most plants and soil microbes have the capacity to take up organic N, and that organic N is important as a nutrient



source for both the plants and microorganisms (Näsholm et al., 2009; Jones and Hodge, 1999). However, work has mostly focussed on the smaller forms of N (i.e. amino acids) rather than peptides. Previous studies have not focussed on the competition between plants and microorganisms for N at this stage in protein breakdown, nor has it been determined if they have a preference for peptides over other organic and inorganic N forms. This chapter contained many experiments in order to achieve the following 4 objectives:

- 1- To characterise rates of microbial uptake and mineralization of amino acids and peptides in soils in relation to rates of inorganic N cycling;
- 2- To determine the influence of peptide addition on the uptake of inorganic forms of N, and vice versa.
- 3- To determine how much N can be taken up as peptides by plants grown in soil;
- 4- To determine what forms of N microorganisms prefer.

#### ***8.2.1. Characterising rates of microbial uptake and mineralization of amino acids and peptides in soils in relation to rates of inorganic nitrogen cycling***

Soil is a complex matrix where a range of biological and physical factors interacts to determine a compound's fate. Here, the influence of microbial uptake and soil particle attraction on organic and inorganic N forms was investigated to discover if microbes have a preference for a particular N form and how quickly they are taken up.

In non-sterile soil, amino acids and peptides were all rapidly assimilated by the soil microbes and the rate of uptake of N by soil microbes was significantly greater for the organic N forms. This supports previous research by Farrell et al. (2011b, 2012) and Hill et al. (2011b, 2012). The microbial community readily took up  $\text{NH}_4^+$  from the soil, but did not take up significant quantities of  $\text{NO}_3^-$ , which rapidly leached down the soil.

This is supported by previous work showing that microbial metabolism of  $\text{NH}_4^+$  is more energetically favourable than metabolism of  $\text{NO}_3^-$  (Jones et al., 2004; Hill et al., 2011a). Soils that are more limited by C than N, as studied here, often have a high nitrification potential, and microbes in general uptake  $\text{NH}_4^+$  in preference to  $\text{NO}_3^-$ . This suggests that organic N-based fertilisers might have better N retention in soil and slow down the rate of  $\text{NO}_3^-$  leaching. Conversely, however, organic N-based fertilisers may increase  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  emissions, which would be a negative consequence of their use (McLain and Martens, 2005).

In sterile soil, the retention of trialanine, alanine and  $\text{NO}_3^-$  was low, which supports the results of the first experiment, where microbial immobilization was the key factor in retaining these N forms within the soil profile. However,  $\text{NH}_4^+$  was largely held in the soil, suggesting this N form was adsorbed to cation exchange sites. One major caveat to the experiments here is that they were performed on repacked soil columns and therefore there were no macropores present. These are known to be routes of preferential water flow in soil and can accelerate the movement of N down the soil profile (Larsson and Jarvis, 1999). This does imply that some DON may be transported long distances in soil, although it should be noted that these biopores also have large and very active microbial communities relative to the soil within the inner aggregates (Savin et al., 2004). This community would also reduce the vertical migration of DON relative to  $\text{NO}_3^-$ .

### ***8.2.2. Determining the influence of peptide addition on the uptake of inorganic forms of N and vice versa in sterile plants***

Plants are known to have a strong preference for different inorganic N forms; however, the uptake of organic N forms other than amino acids is poorly understood

(Andrews et al., 2013; Nacry et al., 2013). Due to the complexity of N forms that can co-exist in soil solution, it is necessary to study the effect of organic N on the uptake of inorganic N, and vice versa. This follows on from work in cell cultures that has shown that amino acids can repress nitrate uptake (Padgett and Leonard, 1993, 1996). Peptide uptake provided the focus for the work, as this had not been previously studied. The uptake of  $\text{NO}_3^-$  was significantly slower than the uptake of other N forms; however, nitrate uptake is known to be highly inducible at both the nitrate reductase and transporter level (Kaiser and Huber, 1994). Similar results were found by Hill et al. (2011a). The availability of alternative N forms ( $\text{NO}_3^-$ ,  $\text{NH}_4^+$  and alanine) has no significant effect on peptide uptake. This suggests that plants do not have a preference for other N forms over peptides (i.e. that the transporter is probably constitutively expressed and not down-regulated at the transport level). Interestingly, the availability of peptide on  $\text{NO}_3^-$  uptake also had no significant effect in our short term competition studies. One caveat is that over long time periods there may have been significant changes in gene expression, rather than post-translational modification of the transporters (i.e. phosphorylation). It would be interesting to investigate the longer term adaptation to the different N forms. Generally, when grown under sterile conditions, plants appear to prefer trialanine over alanine and  $\text{NH}_4^+$ . How the plant utilised and partitioned this N after uptake into the cell changed when different N forms were supplied. More N from alanine and trialanine than  $\text{NO}_3^-$  went to the roots compared to the shoot, and the proportion of trialanine that went to the root decreased with addition of other N forms. This suggests that the N derived from peptides may be processed differently within the cell in comparison to inorganic N. It would therefore be interesting to undertake a metabolomic and transcriptomic analysis, by ultra-high-

resolution Fourier transform-ion cyclotron MS and DNA microarray (Aharoni et al. 2002; Hirai et al 2003), of roots and shoots supplied with the different N forms to show any changes to biochemical processes or gene expression. This would help elucidate the different N assimilation and transport pathways within the plant.

### **8.2.3. The uptake of nitrogen by plants grown in soil**

After confirming that peptides constitute important N sources for plants and microorganisms, this experiment helped to find out how much N can be taken up by plants in the form of peptides when plants are in competition with soil microbes. Nitrogen uptake was much higher for plants grown in sterile conditions than for those grown in soil. Microbes have a more uniform distribution in the soil than roots so as a group they have greater spatial access to N forms than plants, giving them a competitive advantage (Kuzyakov and Xingliang, 2013). Fungi as well as bacteria have been shown to uptake peptides. Research into peptide utilization and transport in fungi has generally been limited to the Ascomycete *Neurospora crassa* and the yeasts *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and *Candida albicans* (Becker and Naider, 1980; Mathews and Payne, 1980). In *S. cerevisiae*, research on growth of amino acid auxotrophs suggested that a variety of di-tripeptides share a common system that is distinct from amino acid permeases (Becker and Naider, 1980; Marder et al., 1977). Research on cell growth suggested that certain strains can utilize tetra- and pentapeptides, but that other strain cannot (Becker and Naider, 1980) with the uptake of peptides larger than tripeptides undetectable in direct transport assays. Peptide uptake has been found in ectomycorrhizal fungi (Benjidia et al., 2003; Chalot et al., 2006).

Sorption to soil particles can reduce N availability to plants, but the results of the previous experiments show that this is likely only important for ammonium. In soil,

$\text{NO}_3^-$  was the main form of N taken up by plants, partly due to its mobility and less competition from soil microbes (Abaas et al., 2012).

An increase in peptide uptake occurred when other N forms were added. This could have been caused by higher nutrient concentrations stimulating plant growth. A greater root density can improve a plant's ability to compete with microbes for nutrients. More growth would cause transpiration, increasing a plant's water and nutrient uptake.

Higher peptide concentrations decreased  $\text{NH}_4^+$  uptake, but did not affect the other N forms. This was also found in sterile plants, but only at the highest peptide concentration. At higher peptide concentrations, microbes could start producing  $^{14}\text{NH}_4^+$  as a waste product, diluting the  $^{15}\text{NH}_4^+$  pool. This would make it appear as though the plant was taking up less  $\text{NH}_4^+$  and could explain this discrepancy.

The results in this chapter suggest that, despite plants having the ability, and possibly a preference to take up peptides, when in competition with microbes, nitrate may be the main source of N. However, these experiments suggest that larger plants (i.e. greater root length density) could compete more effectively for peptides. Laboratory experiments do not truly reflect a plant's growth in the field, where plants reach maturity and roots extend to depth within the soil.

### **8.3. Evaluation of soil nitrogen turnover in relationship to root growth in a wheat cropping system**

Most studies on N cycle processes focus on the topsoil (plough layer), as this is where most of the organic matter and nutrient cycling takes place. It is also where root length density is greatest. However, plant roots can extend deep into the soil and potentially acquire some N from this zone. Nitrogen may accumulate in this zone due to

small amounts of N mineralization and from N leached from surface horizons. In some cases, shallow groundwater may also contain high concentrations of N, which can be recovered by plant roots (Jacinthe et al., 1999). In order to utilise the potential of a crop's deep rooting ability, more knowledge on N dynamics and water availability in subsoil is required. This chapter compared the distribution of roots of a mature wheat crop in the soil profile with the turnover and availability of different N forms in the soil profile.

Whilst there is microbial activity in the subsoil, it was much less than in the overlying soil layers. Nitrogen concentrations also decreased with depth, and the N appeared to be in less microbially available forms. Greater root length occurred in the surface soils, corresponding with higher microbial activity, N concentrations and turnover rates. It is likely that more N is taken up by surface roots than by those at depth. The surface soil is also likely the area where N demand is greatest. Greater root length would allow for greater competition with microbes. Microbes appeared to be C limited at depth, likely due, at least in part, to reduced root exudates and root/mycorrhizal turnover. However, roots appeared to remove water from all depths equally, rather than being proportional to root length density, which contradicts the findings of Hinsinger et al. (2009) and Hodge et al. (2009). Thus, nutrient uptake from deeper soils could still be important in some contexts; however, integrated over the growing season, its contribution to total plant uptake is probably quite low. So far, this work has only explored organic uptake in N-rich, C-limited soils. In low N soils, organic N is liable to be more important for plant uptake. The next chapter investigates N turnover in the arctic.

#### **8.4. Long-term nutrient enrichment drives soil and vegetation community development in Arctic habitats**

A rapid increase in temperature is predicted for the Arctic, as are changes to precipitation and greater atmospheric N deposition (Førland et al., 2011; Geng et al., 2010). These changes are expected to have a large impact on ecosystem functioning in high latitude ecosystems (White et al., 2000; Myers-Smith et al., 2011). One expected impact is a change in soil nutrient composition and availability. This is predicted to affect plant community behaviour, which will have implications for grazer biodiversity, water quality, greenhouse gas emissions and soil C storage (Natali et al., 2012; Post and Pedersen, 2008). However, many past experiments have subjected arctic soils to sudden, large inputs of N which do not accurately represent the gradual change expected. This chapter aimed to determine the effect of long term N deposition on the cycling of C and N in soil.

Studying areas of high N concentrations in the Arctic could provide useful insights into possible future conditions in this region. At the study site, seabird colonies create areas of high terrestrial nutrient (including N) deposition. This study compared a nutrient gradient transect at a site adjacent to a seabird cliff and a control transect at an adjacent uninhabited cliff. The statistical replication in this study is not ideal, as it would be preferable to have a series of independent replicated transects; however, logistically this was not possible. This chapter investigated N mineralization as well as the turnover of high and low molecular weight organic compounds along these two transects.

As expected, based on the different vegetation communities at the two sites, significant differences in soil C and N cycling were observed between the transects. Nitrification and HMW carbon mineralization was greater close to the cliff at the

orthinogenic site than at the control site, although the turnover of HMW carbon was slower. Soil respiration was higher for the first 20 m along the orthinogenic transect than for the control transect. Differences to LMW turnover were inconsistent, with root exudates having a shorter half-life in the control transect, amino acids having the shorter half-life in the orthinogenic transect and peptides showing no difference between sites. The orthinogenic site had less above-ground biomass, suggesting that nutrient availability was limiting primary productivity. This suggests that increased N deposition in the Arctic could lead to a greater turnover of HMW organic material potential, reducing carbon storage in arctic soils. This could have serious implications for positive climate change feedback. However, how this effect would interact with potential changes in plant cover, precipitation and other anthropogenically induced impacts is unclear. Nutrient enrichment will increase primary productivity, so the balance between C storage in plants and soil remains critical. Changes in greenhouse gas emissions (e.g. CH<sub>4</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>O, and CO<sub>2</sub>) also need to be included in any evaluation of C storage potential within these ecosystems.

## **8.5. Conclusions**

This thesis investigated key aspects of dissolved organic nitrogen cycling in soil. The research investigated and advanced the knowledge of vertical N migration in the soil; the importance of organic N to plants and soil microbes, plant and microbial competition for organic and inorganic N, subsoil N and C cycling; and the effect of increased organic N inputs in an N-limited ecosystem. The key findings of the thesis follow below.




(1) This study has shown that HMW DON was the predominant form of DON in soil leachate, and that  $\text{NO}_3^-$  was the most mobile form of N.

(2) It was confirmed that plants and soil microbes show a preference for organic N over inorganic N, with plants preferring peptides over amino acids such as alanine. However, it was also found that the crop plants studied here were poor at competing for peptides in the soil when microorganisms were present because the microorganisms are ubiquitous and can access their preferential N source first. Increases in the concentration of inorganic N in the root bathing medium increased the capacity of roots to take up peptide, whilst, conversely, increases in external peptide concentration decreased the plant's capacity to take up ammonium, but not  $\text{NO}_3^-$  or alanine.

(3) It was shown that the carbon limitation at depth in an agricultural Eutric Cambisol soil profile reduces microbial activity and, as a result, rates of C and N cycling. The root length of wheat decreases with soil depth, which is partly responsible for this carbon limitation (reduced C inputs). This finding suggests that roots are adapted to exploiting the greater nutrient availability in surface soils and that engineering plants for nutrient retrieval from subsoil may be challenging. However, the evidence suggests that deeper roots can access greater water reserves within the soil profile.

(4) Long term deposition of organic N in the Arctic appears to change plant composition and increase the decomposition rate of HMW organic carbon. This is important, considering that N availability in the Arctic is predicted to increase with climate change and that the Arctic is currently a major store of soil carbon.



**Fig.8.1.**Conclusion graphs showing the ability of direct uptake for microbes and plant to for organic and inorganic N grow in soil

## 8.6. Suggestions for future work

This study has revealed a range of research areas that are worthy of further investigation, as detailed below.

1. The leaching study only examined one soil type. Consequently, it would be worthwhile to study a wider range of soil types and a wider range of organic N forms. Specifically, soils with different textures (and thus different sorption properties) should be studied. The role of N movement down preferential flow pathways (e.g. macropores) would also be useful, as these are known to be highly effective for rapid transport of DOC from topsoil to subsoil. It would also be useful to study a wider range of DON substrates and specifically those compounds of higher molecular weight (e.g. proteins and longer chain peptides). Many peptides are also known to be poorly soluble, and a study of the movement of these in soil would also be informative, as many are known to have bioactive properties (i.e. antimicrobials). The study undertaken here was a laboratory study; therefore, it would be worthwhile to undertake the same study in the field, and to validate the experimental results with a mathematical model. Coupling the flow of N with C and other nutrients (e.g. P) in these mathematical models would also be worthwhile.
2. Dissolved organic nitrogen is a complex mixture of many compounds, so splitting this into HMW and LMW fractions, as we did, is a gross oversimplification. Better ways of fractionating and characterising the DON are therefore required. The bioavailability of these different compound groups then needs evaluating to determine their ecological significance.

3. Here it was shown that plants could readily take up and assimilate tetraalanine from outside the root. This was used as a model peptide; however, it is acknowledged that many thousands of peptides may exist in soil solution. These will have a wide diversity in charge, size, solubility and shape. This may have a major impact on their bioavailability and, therefore, a wider range of peptides needs to be studied in the context of both plant and microbial uptake.
4. Plants also exude amino acids and peptides from their roots. The balance between root exudation and root uptake therefore needs to be determined (i.e. under what conditions does net influx occur?). This will probably require the use of mathematical rhizosphere models in order to simulate the mass flow and diffusion of the different N solutes in soil.
5. The nutrient gradient study in the arctic soils only examined one potential effect of climate change and thus could not investigate interactions with other predicted effects. For example, it would be useful to look at the impact of climate warming (reduced snow cover), elevated CO<sub>2</sub>, increased O<sub>2</sub>, changes in water content and N deposition on rates of nutrient cycling and plant productivity. This could then be linked more explicitly to rates of carbon sequestration in soil and to impacts on the wider food chain (herbivores) and water quality.
6. The results on the soil profile suggested that deeper rooting plants may be of limited importance in capturing nutrients from depth. Further work could look at a wider range of crop plants, as they are known to differ markedly in their rooting strategies. Instead of recapturing nutrients from depth, it may be more

advantageous to develop slow-release fertilisers, which greatly reduce inadvertent nutrient loss to subsoil.

7. In addition to all these suggestion it is necessary to study the ability of uptake the protein by microbes and plants in sterile condition and the competition between them on this N sources.

## References

- Aharoni, A., de Vos, R.C. H., Harrie, A., Verhoeven, Chris, A., Maliepaard, Kruppa, G., I Bino, R., Dayan B.G., 2002. Nontargeted Metabolome Analysis by Use of Fourier Transform Ion Cyclotron Mass Spectrometry. *A Journal of Integrative Biology* 6, 217-234.
- Becker, J.M., Naider, F., 1980. Transport and utilization of peptides by yeast. In Payne, J. W. (Eds) *Microorganisms and Nitrogen Sources*.
- Benjdia, S., Tegeder, M,a,M., Rikirsch, E., Muller, T., Morel, M., Corratage, C., Zimmermann nd Frommer, W, B., 2003. An expression cDNA library for suppression cloning in yeast mutants, complementation of a yeast *his4* mutant and EST analysis from the symbiotic basidiomycete *Hebeloma cylindrosporum*. *Genome* 46, 177-181.
- Chalot, M., Frommer, W.B., Wipf, D., 2006. Peptide uptake in the ectomycorrhizal fungus *Hebeloma cylindrosporum*: characterisation of two di- and tripeptide transporters (HcPTR2A and B). *New Phytologist* 170, 401-410.
- Chapin, F.S., Moilanen, L. & Kielland, K. 1993. Preferential use of organic nitrogen for growth by a nonmycorrhizal arctic sedge. *Nature* 361:150–153.

- Farrell, M., Hill, P.W., Farrar, J., Deluca, T.H., Roberts, P., Kielland, K., Dahlgren, R., Murphy, D. V., Hobbs, P.J., Jones, D.L., 2012. Oligopeptides represent a preferred source of organic N uptake: a global phenomenon? *Ecosystems* doi: 10.1007/s10021-012-9601-8.
- Farrell, M., Hill, P.W., Farrar, J.F., Bardgett, R.D. , Jones, D.L. 2011a, .Seasonal variation in soluble soil carbon and nitrogen across a grassland productivity gradient. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* 43:835-844.
- Farrell, M., Hill, P.W., Wanniarachchi, S.D., Farrar, J.F., Bardgett, R.D., Jones, D.L. 2011b. Rapid peptide metabolism: a major component of soil nitrogen cycling? *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, vol. 25, pp. GB3014.
- Gardenas, A.I., Agren, G.I., Bird, J.A., Clarholm, M., Hallin, S., Ineson, P., Katterer, T., Knicker, H., Nilsson, S.I., Nashölm, T., Ogle, S., Paustian, K., Persson, T., Stendahl, J., 2011. Knowledge gaps in soil carbon and nitrogen interactions - From molecular to global scale. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* 43: 702-717.
- Hill, P.W., Farrar, J., Roberts, P., Farrell, M., Grant, H., Newsham, K.K., Hopkins, D.W., Bardgett, R.D., Jones, D.L. 2011a. Vascular plant success in a warming Antarctic may be due to efficient nitrogen acquisition. *Nature Climate Change* 1:50-53.
- Hill, P.W., Farrell, M., Jones, D.L. 2012. Bigger may be better in soil N cycling: does rapid acquisition of small L-peptides by soil microbes dominate fluxes of protein-derived N in soil? *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* 48:106-112.
- Hill, P.W., Farrell, M., Roberts, P., Farrar, J., Grant, H., Newsham, K.K., Hopkins, D.W., Bardgett, R.D. & Jones, D.L. 2011b. Soil- and enantiomer-specific

- metabolism of amino acids and their peptides by Antarctic soil microorganisms. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* 43: 2410-2416.
- Hill, P.W., Quilliam, R.S., DeLuca, T.H., Farrar, J.F., Farrell, M., Roberts, P., Newsham, K.K., Hopkins, D.W., Bardgett, R.D. & Jones, D.L. 2011c. Acquisition and assimilation of nitrogen as peptide-bound and D-enantiomers of amino acids by wheat. *PLoS ONE* DOI 10: 13-71.
- Hinsinger, P., Bengough, A.G., Vetterlein, D., Young, I.M., 2009. Rhizosphere: biophysics, biogeochemistry and ecological relevance. *Plant and Soil* 32:117-152.
- Hirai, M., Fujiwara, T., Awazuhara, M., Kimura, T., Noji, M., Saito, K., 2003. Global expression profiling of sulfur-starved *Arabidopsis* by DNA microarray reveals the role of O-acetyl-L-serine as a general regulator of gene expression in response to sulfur nutrition. *Plant Journal* 33, 651–663.
- Hodge, A., Berta, G., Doussan, C., Merchan, F., Crespi, M., 2009. Plant root growth, architecture and function. *Plant and Soil* 321: 153-187.
- Jones, D.L., Healey, J.R., Willett, V.B., Farrar, J.F., 2005. Dissolved organic nitrogen uptake by plants - an important N uptake pathway? *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* 37, 413-423.
- Jones, D.L., Shannon, D., Murphy, D.V., Farrar, J.F., 2004. Role of dissolved organic nitrogen (DON) in soil N cycling in grassland soils. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* 36, 749-756.
- Kuzyakov, Y., Xu, X. 2013. Competition between roots and microorganisms for nitrogen: mechanisms and ecological relevance. *New Phytologist* 198:656-669.

- Lovell, R.D., Jarvis, S.C., Bardgett, R.D. 1995. Soil microbial biomass and activity in long-term grassland: effects of management changes. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 27: 969-975.
- Marder, R., Becker, J.M., Naider, F., 1977. Peptide transport in yeast. Utilization of lucine- and lysine-containing peptides by *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *J. Bacteriol* 131, 906-916.
- Mathews, D.M., Payne, J.W., 1980. Trans membrane transport of small peptide. *Current Topics in Membranes and Transport* 14, 331-425.
- Näsholm, T., Kielland, K., Ganeteg, U. 2009. Uptake of organic nitrogen by plants. *New Phytologist* 182:31-48.
- Owen, A.G., Jones, D.L. 2001. Competition for amino acids between wheat roots and rhizosphere microorganisms and the role of amino acids in plant N acquisition. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 33: 651–657.
- Wanek, W., Mooshammer, M., Blöchl, A., Hanreich, A., Richter, A. 2010. Determination of gross rates of amino acid production and immobilization in decomposing leaf litter by a novel <sup>15</sup>N isotope pool dilution technique. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 42: 1293-1302.



# Appendix 1

---

## Long-term nutrient enrichment drives soil and vegetation community development in Arctic habitats

D.L. Jones<sup>a,\*</sup>, L.D. Maccarone<sup>b</sup>, E.A. Magthab<sup>a</sup>, P.W. Hill<sup>a</sup>, H. Glanville<sup>a</sup>, P. Roberts<sup>a</sup>, R. Bol<sup>c</sup>, J. Rousk<sup>d</sup>, D.V. Murphy<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> *Environment Centre Wales, Bangor University, Gwynedd, LL57 2UW, UK*

<sup>b</sup> *Soil Biology Group, School of Earth and Environment, The University of Western Australia, 35 Stirling Highway, Crawley, WA 6009, Australia*

<sup>c</sup> *North Wyke Research, Okehampton, Devon, EX20 2SB, UK*

<sup>d</sup> *Microbial Ecology, Department of Biology, Lund University, Ecology Building, SE-223 62 Lund, Sweden*

\*Corresponding author. Tel.: +44 1248 382579; fax: +44 1248 354997.

*E-mail address:* d.jones@bangor.ac.uk (Davey Jones).

*Keywords:* Amino acids; Ammonium; Dissolved organic nitrogen; Nitrate; Ornithogenic; Soil solutions; Stable isotopes

## 1. Introduction

Net primary production in the terrestrial Arctic is typically limited by short growing seasons, cold temperatures, frequent and strong winds and low nutrient supply (Bobbink et al., 2010). Consequently, the projected rapid increase in temperature,

changes in precipitation pattern and enhanced atmospheric nitrogen (N) deposition (Førland et al., 2011; Geng et al., 2010) are predicted to have profound effects on polar ecosystem functioning (White et al., 2000; Myers-Smith et al., 2011; McManus et al., 2012). One of the primary impacts of these anthropogenically mediated changes are the projected nutrient induced shifts in plant community composition which will impact directly on a range of ecosystem services including water quality, soil carbon storage and food provisioning (grazer biodiversity) (Natali et al., 2012; Post and Pedersen, 2008). Understanding and predicting the long-term resilience and potential feedbacks in response to environmental change therefore remains a central goal in polar ecosystem science.

Short-term (ca. 5-10 y) studies in Arctic tundra have frequently reported that nutrient enrichment (e.g. N and P) causes relatively rapid changes in above and below-ground diversity (Gordon et al., 2001; Madan et al., 2005; Campbell et al., 2010). These studies, however, have often simulated enrichment by pulse additions of high levels of inorganic nutrients which can lead to bias in environments where spatial and temporal niche separation for nutrient and water acquisition occurs (Clarkson et al., 2009; Glanville et al., 2012). While these studies have proved extremely useful in demonstrating the importance of plant-soil-grazer feedbacks, a number of studies have also concluded that these may also not accurately reflect long-term responses to nutrient addition (Dormann and Woodin, 2002; Ellis and Rochefort, 2004).

An alternative strategy to artificial nutrient manipulation is to investigate ecosystem responses at sites which have experienced natural enrichment over much longer time periods (ca. 1000-10,000 y) and which are assumed to be at quasi steady state (Holloway et al., 1998; Osada et al., 2010). Unlike most small-scale experimental

plot additions ( $<10 \text{ m}^2$ ), the natural long-term addition of nutrients typically occurs in a spatially heterogeneous pattern often leading to the formation of large scale ( $>1000 \text{ m}^2$ ) nutrient enrichment gradients. Further, differential grazing across these expansive gradients over long time periods can induce genuine feedbacks in vegetation and soil ecology. The disadvantage of using these sites, however, is that their full chronology can only be partially resolved from either biomarkers (e.g. pollen records) or geomarkers (e.g.  $^{14}\text{C}$  dating,  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ ,  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ ) and that rarely are the nutrients deposited singly (Rozema et al., 2009).

Large scale variation in nutrient enrichment in tundra environments typically occurs due to differences in underlying parent material, hydrology, anthropogenic pollution and homeothermic animal abundance (Löffler and Pape, 2008; Naito and Cairns, 2011). Of these, seabirds are responsible for the creation of some of the largest tundra nutrient gradients (Stempniewicz, 2005). This arises due to their continual foraging for food at sea with subsequent roosting and breeding on land leading to transfer of nutrients from a highly productive marine habitat to an intrinsically nutrient poor terrestrial habitat. This is exemplified by estimates of annual guano deposition of up to  $60 \text{ t km}^{-2} \text{ y}^{-1}$  by Little Auks (*Alle alle*) which commonly exist in dense land colonies ( $>1000 \text{ pairs site}^{-1}$ ) often located kilometers from the coast (Stempniewicz et al., 2006). Faecal deposition tends to be concentrated close to the colony but also occurs during bird flight leading to a progressive decline in nutrients from the nesting site towards the coast.

Changes in vegetation and herbivore populations in response to orthinogenic nutrient enrichment are well documented (Zmudczynska et al., 2008; Jakubas et al., 2008). In contrast, the impacts of seabirds on soil development, microbial community

functioning and nutrient cycling remain fragmentary (Solheim et al., 1996). The aim of this study was therefore to investigate the potential feedbacks between soil development, microbial growth, biomass and activity, plant community structure and herbivore grazing along two contrasting nutrient enrichment gradients in the high Arctic.

## **2. Materials and methods**

### **2. 1. Site characteristics**

The experimental site was located at Scoresbygrotta on Blomstrandhalvøya Island located in Kongsfjorden close to Ny Ålesund, Svalbard (78°59'10"N, 11°59'12"E). The site has a mean annual air temperature of -6.5°C (minimum -24.8°C, max. 12.1°C) and an annual precipitation of 197 mm with approximately 65% falling as snow. The site consists of a cliff face approximately 600 m in length running parallel to the coast (500 m from the shoreline) beneath which significant vegetation and soil development has occurred in response to the presence of seabirds. The cliff is composed of limestone, 25 m in height and is characterized by a middle section approximately 50 m in length that is heavily inhabited by seabirds (black-legged kittiwake, *Rissa tridactyla*; Black guillemot, *Cepphus grille*; Glaucous gull, *Larus hyperboreus*). In contrast, areas where very few seabirds are present flank this central area. Water movement down the cliff face was evident across all areas due to snowmelt and runoff from land directly above the cliff. At the three sampling times, the rate of water flow over the cliff face appeared to be visually similar at both bird inhabited and non-bird inhabited (control) areas. Below the cliff, the topography is characterized by a freely draining steep slope (45°) approximately 70 m in length that appears to consist of well-

colonized mixed glacial debris (limestone, red sandstone etc; Harland, 1997). Subsequently, this grades into a gently sloping (10°) poorly drained mire area approximately 500 m in length which is dominated by mosses and through which abundant surface water channels flow towards the sea.

The plant species under the bird cliff (0-70 m slope section) included *Puccinellia angustata* (R. Br.) Rand & Redf. ssp. *angustata*, *Polygonum vivipara* L., *Cerastium arcticum* Lange coll., *Oxyria digyna* (L.) Hill, *Luzula confusa* Lindeb., *Draba* species, *Cochlearia groenlandica* L. coll., *Ranunculus hyperboreus* Rottb. ssp. *arnellii* Scheutz, *Saxifraga cernua* L., *Saxifraga hieracifolia* Waldst. & Kit. ex Willd. ssp. *hieracifolia*, *Saxifraga hirculus* L. ssp. *compacta* Hedberg, *Saxifraga oppositifolia* L., mosses and lichens. Those plant species predominantly absent from the bird cliff transects but present in the control (non-enriched) transects included: *Cassiope tetragona* L.D. Don. ssp. *tetragona* L., *Dryas octopetala* L., *Silene acaulis* (L.) Jacq., *Carex bigelowii* and *Salix polaris* Wahlenb..

## 2.2. Site sampling

Six transects were taken at 90° to the cliff face along the steeply sloping area. These included three transects emanating from the bird inhabited area and three control (non-bird enriched) transects. Along each transect, samples were taken at increasing distances from the cliff face (0.5, 2, 10, 20, 40 and 60 m) each of which were characterized by a different vegetation community structure. At each location, 10-15 soil samples (0 to 10 cm depth) were taken randomly and stored in gas-permeable plastic bags at 4°C to await analysis. Soil temperature in the 0-10 cm soil layer was also recorded. At each location, 3 randomly positioned quadrats (20 × 20 cm) were placed

over the vegetation and the above-ground plant material harvested and its biomass determined after drying (70°C, 24 h).

Replicate samples ( $n = 5$ ) of the water flowing over the cliffs and entering the soil at 0-0.5 m (inflow) and the drainage water emanating from the base of the vegetated slope (70 m; outflow) were also sampled.

### 2.3. Soil analysis

Within 12 h of sample collection, soil solution was recovered from each transect sampling point using the centrifugal-drainage procedure (14,000 g, 5 min) of Giesler and Lundström (1993). The soil solutions recovered were further centrifuged for 5 min at 18,000 g to remove any suspended particles and the supernatant stored in polypropylene vials at -21°C prior to analysis.

Dissolved organic C (DOC) and total dissolved nitrogen (TDN) in solution were determined with a Shimadzu TOC-TNV analyzer (Shimadzu Corp., Kyoto, Japan). Concentrations of  $\text{NH}_4^+$  and  $\text{NO}_3^-$  in soil solution were determined colorimetrically by microassay (Downes, 1978; Mulvaney, 1996). Dissolved organic nitrogen (DON) was then calculated as the difference between TDN and dissolved inorganic nitrogen. Total free amino acids (TFAA) were determined by fluorescence using the *o*-phthalaldehyde- $\beta$ -mercaptoethanol procedure of Jones et al. (2002). Total C and N of soils were determined using a CHN-2000 analyzer (Leco Corp., St Joseph, MI, USA). Soil pH (1:1 v/v) was determined in distilled water as described in Smith and Doran (1996) while moisture content was determined by drying at 80°C. Basal soil respiration was determined in the laboratory at 10°C using an automated multichannel soil respirometer (Nordstrom Innovations Ltd., Umea, Sweden) and the steady state  $\text{CO}_2$  production rates from 24 to 72 h recorded.

Nitrogen mineralization was determined by incubating soil collected from the field shortly after snowmelt at 10°C for up to 12 weeks (equivalent to the period when the soils are unfrozen). Briefly, soil from each transect point was stored in gas permeable plastic bags and after 0, 7, 14, 21, 28, 56 and 72 days the soil was extracted with 0.5 M Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> (1:5 soil:Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> w/v; 30 min), centrifuged (18,000g, 5 min) and the supernatant recovered and frozen (-21°C) to await N analysis as described above.

#### **2.4. Isotopic analysis**

Natural abundance stable isotopic analysis of the soil and vegetation was undertaken as described by Bol et al. (20XX). For comparison, the isotopic signatures of the feathers of the kittiwakes and those of the dominant vegetation grazers at the site were also made. These included feathers and faeces from the barnacle goose (*Branta leucopsis*), tissue from the pink-footed goose (*Anser brachyrhynchus*) and fur, bone marrow and faeces from the Svalbard reindeer (*Rangifer tarandus*). As the grazers range freely within the Blomstrandhalvøya region (i.e. not spatially restricted to the transects) only one set of replicate samples was collected from the general area of the cliff site.

#### **2.5. <sup>14</sup>C mineralization of low and high molecular weight organic matter**

Field-moist soil (5 g) was collected from along the two transects and placed into individual 50 cm<sup>3</sup> polypropylene containers. Different <sup>14</sup>C-labelled substrates were then added to the soil and their mineralization to <sup>14</sup>CO<sub>2</sub> monitored over time. The four <sup>14</sup>C-labelled substrates included: (1) plant leaves, (2) a mixture of low MW compounds

(simulated root exudates), (3) a mixture of 15 amino acids, and (4) the peptide, trialanine.

The high MW C source consisted of air-dried  $^{14}\text{C}$ -labelled ground *Lolium perenne* L. green shoot material ( $12.3 \text{ kBq g}^{-1}$ ) labeled as described in Hill et al. (2007) and characterized and used as described in Glanville et al. (2012). Within the plant material, the soluble  $^{14}\text{C}$  component constituted  $32.9 \pm 1.5 \%$  of the total  $^{14}\text{C}$ , while of the high MW  $^{14}\text{C}$  remaining,  $26.7 \pm 1.0 \%$  was soluble in 36 mM HCl,  $43.8 \pm 0.7 \%$  was NaOH (1 M) soluble and  $29.5 \pm 3.4 \%$  remained insoluble. Briefly, 100 mg of this  $^{14}\text{C}$ -labelled plant material was added directly to 5 g of soil ( $46 \text{ mg C g}^{-1}$ ). The low MW C source consisted of a mixture of  $^{14}\text{C}$  labeled substrates which represent common root exudate compounds and included: malic acid (1 mM), citric acid (2 mM), glucose (10 mM), fructose (2 mM), sucrose (1 mM), amino acid mixture (3 mM) comprising 15 equimolar  $^{14}\text{C}$  labelled L-amino acids (alanine, arginine, aspartic acid, glutamic acid, glycine, histidine, isoleucine, leucine, lysine, phenylalanine, proline, serine, threonine, tyrosine, valine). The exudates were dried down onto pure quartz sand as described in Glanville et al. (2012). The  $^{14}\text{C}$ -labelled sand (100 mg;  $2.19 \text{ kBq}$ ) was added to each 5 g soil sample to give a substrate addition rate of  $0.01 \text{ mg C g}^{-1}$ . The  $^{14}\text{C}$ -labelled amino acids (an equimolar mixture of 15 different L-isomeric amino acids;  $666 \text{ }\mu\text{M}$ ) and peptide (L-trialanine; 10 mM) were added to the soil in liquid form ( $0.1 \text{ ml g}^{-1}$ ;  $0.09 \text{ kBq ml}^{-1}$ ) as described in Jones (1999). After the addition of each  $^{14}\text{C}$ -substrate to the soil, a  $^{14}\text{CO}_2$  trap containing 1 ml of NaOH was placed above the soil and the tubes capped. The tubes were then incubated at  $10^\circ\text{C}$  and the NaOH traps changed over time to determine the temporal dynamics of  $^{14}\text{CO}_2$  production (0-90 d). The time was chosen to reflect the length of a typical growing season. The  $^{14}\text{C}$  content of the NaOH traps was



determined with Scintisafe 3<sup>®</sup> scintillation cocktail (Fisher Scientific, Leicestershire, UK) and a Wallac 1404 liquid scintillation counter (Wallac EGandG, Milton Keynes, UK). After 90 d, the soil was extracted with 0.5 M Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> (1:5 w/v; 200 rev min<sup>-1</sup>; 30 min), centrifuged (16,000 g, 10 min) and <sup>14</sup>C in the supernatant counted as described above.

A double first-order kinetic equation was fitted to the <sup>14</sup>C mineralization for the low MW substrates where

$$f = (a_1 \times \exp^{-k_1 t}) + (a_2 \times \exp^{-k_2 t}) \quad (\text{Eqn. 1})$$

where  $a_1$  describes the amount of <sup>14</sup>C allocated to the first mineralizable pool ( $a_1$ ) and  $k_1$  is the corresponding rate constant (Saggar et al., 1999; Boddy et al., 2008). The proportion of C partitioned to the second slower pool ( $a_2$ ) is described by the rate constant  $k_2$ . The half-life of the first mineralization pool  $a_1$  can be calculated using the following equation:

$$t_{1/2} = \ln(2)/k_1 \quad (\text{Eqn. 2})$$

Calculating the half-life for the slower second phase  $a_2$  is subject to uncertainty as the connectivity between these pools  $a_1$  and  $a_2$  is unknown (Saggar et al., 1999; Boddy et al., 2007).

## 2.6. Microbial community analysis

To assess the impact of nutrient inputs on ectomycorrhizal abundance, individual plants ( $n = 10$ ) of *Polygonum vivipara* were selected from underneath the cliff face (0-1 m) and from the lower slope in both the control and orthinogenic transect. The roots were then rinsed with tap water and the ectomycorrhizal morphotypes categorized following the schema of XXX. Briefly, three fields of view (1.77 cm<sup>2</sup>)

from each root system were studied at x15 magnification and the number of tips corresponding to each morphotype enumerated.

Fungal and bacterial growth estimates were obtained using the acetate-into-ergosterol-incorporation method to determine fungal growth, and leucine incorporation technique to determine bacterial growth. Briefly, bacterial growth was estimated using the leucine (Kirchman et al., 1985) with a homogenization / centrifugation step (Bååth, 1990) to adapt it for use in soil (Rousk and Bååth, 2011). Radiolabelled leucine ( $[^3\text{H}]$ leucine, 37 MBq ml<sup>-1</sup>, 5.74 TBq mmol<sup>-1</sup>, Perkin Elmer, UK) combined with non-radioactive leucine for a final concentration of 275 nM at a final concentration of 130 nM, were added to the bacterial suspension. After a 2 h incubation step at 22°C, growth was terminated with thichloroacetic acid, and samples were washed (Bååth et al., 2001) after which incorporated radioactivity was determined using liquid scintillation. Fungal growth was assessed by measuring acetate incorporation into ergosterol (Newell and Fallon, 1991; Rousk and Bååth, 2011), using  $[1\text{-}^{14}\text{C}]$  acetate (sodium salt, 7.4 MBq ml<sup>-1</sup>, 2.04 GBq mmol<sup>-1</sup>, Perkin Elmer, UK) combined with unlabeled sodium acetate to create a final concentration of 220 µM in a soil slurry, and an incubation time of 4 h at 22°C, after which growth was terminated by addition of formalin. Ergosterol was extracted, separated and quantified using HPLC with a UV detector (Rousk et al., 2009), and the ergosterol eluent was collected to determine its radioactivity using liquid scintillation. Ergosterol was also used to estimate fungal biomass-C assuming a fungal ergosterol content of 5 mg g<sup>-1</sup> and a fungal C content of 45% (Joergensen et al., 2001; Ruzicka et al., 2001).

## 2.7. Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses (paired t-tests, linear regression, ANOVA) were undertaken with Minitab v15 (Minitab Inc., State College, PA) and Excel 2010 (Microsoft UK, Reading, UK). First order kinetics equations were fitted to the  $^{14}\text{C}$  mineralization data with SigmaPlot 12 (Systat Software Inc., Chicago, IL) using a least sum of squares iteration routine.

## 3. Results

### 3.1. Plant characteristics

The influence of orthinogenic inputs on plant biomass and tissue N concentration is shown in Figure 1. Overall, there were few significant differences in above-ground plant biomass between the two treatments with the marked exception of the area directly under the cliff face in the orthinogenic transect where plant growth was very spatially heterogeneous. In contrast, the foliar N concentrations were significantly higher in the orthinogenic transect than the control transect ( $P < 0.05$ ). Foliar N declined progressively with distance from the cliff face in the orthinogenic transect ( $P < 0.001$ ), however, no such significant trend was observed in the control transect ( $P > 0.05$ ). The C-to-N ratio of the foliage was on average  $12 \pm 2$  in the orthinogenic transect and  $33 \pm 3$  in the control transect ( $P < 0.001$ ; On-line Supplementary Information Figure S1).

### 3.2. Major soil properties

The temperature of the soil declined away from the cliff face in both transects and was similar in both treatments although it tended to be slightly lower ( $1.0 \pm 0.3$  °C) in the orthinogenic transect. In contrast, soil pH was significantly higher in the control transect by on average  $1.0 \pm 0.1$  pH units ( $P < 0.01$ ). Soil moisture tended to be higher

in the orthinogenic soils especially close to the cliff face ( $P < 0.05$ ). Soil respiration was significantly higher at nearly all points along the orthinogenic transect in comparison to the control transect ( $P < 0.01$ ). After normalization for soil organic C, rates of soil respiration remained significantly higher in the orthinogenic transect ( $23 \pm 3 \text{ mg CO}_2 \text{ kg soil C}^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1}$ ) in comparison to the control transect ( $16 \pm 2 \text{ mg CO}_2 \text{ kg soil C}^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1}$ ;  $P < 0.05$ ). If the lower temperature in the orthinogenic transect is accounted for using a  $Q_{10}$  factor of 2, then the difference is marginally greater ( $25 \pm 3 \text{ mg CO}_2 \text{ kg soil C}^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1}$ ).

### 3.3. Plant available N

The concentration of different forms of soluble N in the orthinogenic and control soil transects are shown in Figure 4. Overall, the presence of birds caused a significant increase in total soluble N (TSN) with most N being present as  $\text{NO}_3^-$  close to the cliff face with concentration of  $\text{NO}_3^-$  subsequently declining with increasing distance.  $\text{NO}_3^-$  in the soil solution taken at 40 m were not significantly different to the control transect. The concentration of  $\text{NH}_4^+$  was approximately 10-fold lower than that of  $\text{NO}_3^-$  with the exception of the final transect point at 40 m where  $\text{NO}_3^-$  was low and  $\text{NH}_4^+$  dominated. At a distance of 10 m onwards, however, DON dominated the soluble N pool ( $83 \pm 4\%$  of the total) although only a small fraction of this was present as amino acids ( $2.8 \pm 0.4\%$  of the total DON). In the control transect the pattern was generally similar to the orthinogenic transect except that the concentrations were typically much lower.

Soil solution DOC concentrations were statistically similar ( $P > 0.05$ ) at all points on the orthinogenic transect (mean  $\pm$  SEM;  $82 \pm 7 \text{ mg C l}^{-1}$ ) and the control transect ( $30 \pm 4 \text{ mg C l}^{-1}$ ) although the concentrations were significantly lower in the latter ( $P < 0.01$ ; On-line Supplementary Information Figure S3). As for the total soil C-

to-N ratio, the DOC-to-DON ratio was also significantly lower ( $P < 0.05$ ) in the orthinogenic transect ( $8 \pm 2$ ) relative to the control transect ( $14 \pm 1$ ). The streams leaving the orthinogenic transects were also enriched in soluble N.

### 3.4. Natural abundance stable isotope ratios

The  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  and  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  ratios of the plant and soils collected along the orthinogenic and control transects are shown in Figure 5. Overall, there were large differences in the  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  ( $P < 0.001$ ) signatures but not the  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  signatures ( $P > 0.05$ ) between the orthinogenic and control treatments. There were, however, no differences in the soil or vegetation  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  at individual points along the transects within an individual treatment ( $P > 0.05$ ). The  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  signature of the soil was significantly more negative than that of the vegetation in the orthinogenic treatments ( $P < 0.05$ ), however, a significant contrasting trend was seen in the control treatment ( $P < 0.001$ ).

The  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  signatures the vegetation samples were significantly more negative than the soils in both the orthinogenic and control treatments ( $P < 0.01$ ). Significant differences were also seen along the orthinogenic transect ( $P < 0.05$ ) but not in the control transect.

The  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  and  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  signatures of the birds and their primary food source (polar cod and capelin) were extremely similar (Fig. 5). While the  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  signals of these were very different from any of the vegetation and soil samples, their  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  signals remained extremely similar to the vegetation and soils collected from the orthinogenic transects.

### 3.5. $^{14}\text{C}$ -labelled substrate mineralization

The mineralization of the  $^{14}\text{C}$ -labelled plant material is shown in Figure 6A-C. Over the 90 d incubation period approximately 10% of the plant material was mineralized, however, there were few significant differences in mineralization rate both within transects and between the orthinogenic and control treatments. The only exception was the significantly higher rate of mineralization of plant material observed at 2 m in the orthinogenic transect.

The mineralization of the low MW root exudate mixture was approximately 6-fold faster than that of the  $^{14}\text{C}$ -plant material within the first 7 d (Fig. 6C-D). Although mineralization was faster in the control transect in comparison to the orthinogenic transect, there were no clear transect patterns. At the end of the 90 d incubation, a 0.5 M  $\text{K}_2\text{SO}_4$  extract only recovered  $2.1 \pm 0.3\%$  of the initial  $^{14}\text{C}$  added indicating that the majority of the  $^{14}\text{C}$  had become immobilized in the microbial biomass. In contrast, to the root exudates, there was no significant treatment or transect effect on the mineralization of the  $^{14}\text{C}$ -labelled peptide (On-line Supplementary Information S4). The mineralization of the  $^{14}\text{C}$ -labelled amino acids was marginally faster in the orthinogenic treatments although again no transect pattern was apparent (On-line Supplementary Information S4).

### 3.6. Abundance of N cycling genes in the microbial community, fungal and bacterial growth and fungal biomass

The abundance of key genes involved in soil N cycling are presented in Figure 7. Within the ammonia oxidizing archaea, the copy number of the *amoA* gene was more abundant at all locations along the control non-nutrient enriched transect in comparison to the orthinogenic transect (transect average; control  $\text{XX} \pm \text{X}$  gene copies  $\text{g}^{-1}$ ;

orthinogenic  $XX \pm X$  gene copies  $g^{-1}$ ). In contrast, the abundance of the *amoA* gene within the bacterial community showed the opposite trend with highest levels of *amoA* seen close to the cliff face in the orthinogenic transect and very little presence in the control transect. The abundance of the nitrous oxide reductase (*nosZ*) gene in bacteria showed an almost identical trend to that of bacterial *amoA* with maximal activity directly at the cliff face and subsequently declining with increasing distance away from the cliff face. The same level of abundance of *nosZ* were seen at all locations along the control transect.

Bacterial growth measured as leucine incorporation into extracted bacteria tended to be generally higher in bird-inhabited areas, with markedly higher rates 0.5 and 2 m from the cliff face (both  $P < 0.01$ ; Fig. 9A). Fungal growth measured with acetate incorporation into ergosterol were at similar levels in both bird-inhabited and bird-free areas, and showed a similar, if less pronounced, stimulation to bacterial growth close to the bird cliff-face ( $P < 0.05$ ; Fig. 9B), after which rates converged further from the cliff. Fungal biomass, as estimated from the ergosterol concentration, did not show pronounced dynamics in the bird-free area, except at 0.5 m from the cliff face, where rates were markedly lower than at the same distance from the bird-inhabited cliff ( $P < 0.01$ ; Fig. 9C). A further 2-10 m from the cliff face, the fungal biomass was indistinguishable between bird-inhabited and bird-free areas, while they subsequently diverged in the bird-inhabited area, reaching levels more than two-fold that of the bird-free area at 20 m and beyond ( $P < 0.05$ ).

In both treatments, the *Polygonum vivipara* plants were heavily colonized by ectomycorrhizas all along the transect with three dominant morphotypes comprising 95

± 2% of the total colonized tips. Overall, there were no major significant differences between the two treatments (Fig. 8).

#### **4. Discussion**

Seabirds are migratory leaving kongsfjorden in winter – arrival in spring and departure in autumn (May-Aug). Surface or near-surface feeders. Feed on zooplankton and pelagic fish. Seabirds excrete 10.2% mass of the food intake (Brekke and Gabrielsen (1994) of which excrement contains 21% N faeces and uric acid together

Context for chronic and long-term N fertilization input – compare with park grass experiment, UK. More than 150 years of 1-150 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>yr<sup>-1</sup>.  
E.g. FEMS Microbiology ecology 76 (2011) 89–99.

#### **Carcasses, shed feathers and eggs**

An explanation might be that this species feeds on polar cod and *Themisto* spp., which transfer the *Calanus* signature. They are pelagic species preying on capelin and polar cod.

#### **Acknowledgements**

This work was supported by the UK Natural Environment Research Council. Thanks are due to Nick Cox from the British Antarctic Survey for logistical support and without whom this work would not have been possible. JR was supported by a grant from the Swedish Research Council (Vetenskapsrådet, grant no 621-2011-5719).

#### **References**

Bååth, E. 1990. Thymidine incorporation into soil bacteria. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* 22, 803-810.



- Bååth, E., Pettersson, M., Söderberg, K.H., 2001. Adaptation of a rapid and economical microcentrifugation method to measure thymidine and leucine incorporation by soil bacteria. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* 33, 1571–1574.
- Boddy, E., Roberts, P., Hill, P.W., Farrar, J., Jones, D.L. 2008. Turnover of low molecular weight dissolved organic C (DOC) and microbial C exhibit different temperature sensitivities in Arctic tundra soils. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* 40, 1557- 1566.
- Campbell, B.J., Polson, S.W., Hanson, T.E., Mack, M.C., Schuur, E.A.G., 2010. The effect of nutrient deposition on bacterial communities in Arctic tundra soil. *Environmental Microbiology* 12, 1842-1854.
- Clarkson, B.R., Schipper, L.A., Silvester, W.B., 2009. Nutritional niche separation in coexisting bog species demonstrated by <sup>15</sup>N-enriched simulated rainfall. *Austral Ecology* 34, 377-385.
- Dormann, C.F., Woodin, S.J., 2002. Climate change in the Arctic: using plant functional types in a meta-analysis of field experiments. *Functional Ecology* 16, 4-17.
- Downes, M.T., 1978. Improved hydrazine reduction method for automated-determination of low nitrate levels in freshwater. *Water Research* 12, 673-675.
- Ellis, C.J., Rochefort, L., 2004. Century-scale development of polygon-patterned tundra wetland, Bylot Island (73°N, 80°W). *Ecology* 85, 963-978.
- Førland, E.J., Benestad, R., Hanssen-Bauer, I., Haugen, J.E., Skaugen, T.E., 2011. Temperature and precipitation development at Svalbard 1900–2100. *Advances in Meteorology* 2011, Article ID 893790.

- Geng, H., Ryu, J., Jung, H.J., Chung, H., Ahn, K.H., Ro, C.U., 2010. Single-particle characterization of summertime arctic aerosols collected at Ny-Ålesund, Svalbard. *Environmental Science & Technology* 44, 2348-2353.
- Giesler, R., Lundström, U. S., 1993. Soil solution chemistry - The effects of bulking soil samples and spatial variation. *Soil Science Society of America Journal* 57, 1283-1288.
- Glanville, H., 2012.
- Gordon, C., Wynn, J.M., Woodin, S.J., Impacts of increased nitrogen supply on high Arctic heath: the importance of bryophytes and phosphorus availability. *New Phytologist* 149, 461-471.
- Harland, W.B., 1997. *The Geology of Svalbard*. Geological Society Memoir No. 17. The Geological Society, London.
- Hill, P.W., Marshall, C., Williams, G.G., Blum, H., Harmens, H., Jones, D.L., Farrar, J.F., 2007. The fate of photosynthetically-fixed carbon in *Lolium perenne* L. grassland as modified by elevated CO<sub>2</sub>, nitrogen and sward management. *New Phytologist* 173, 766-777.
- Holloway, J.M., Dahlgren, R.A., Hansen, B., Casey, W.H., 1998. Contribution of bedrock nitrogen to high nitrate concentrations in stream water. *Nature* 395, 785-788.
- Jakubas, D., Zmudczynska, K., Wojczulanis-Jakubas, K., Stempniewicz, L., 2008. Faeces deposition and numbers of vertebrate herbivores in the vicinity of planktivorous and piscivorous seabird colonies in Hornsund, Spitsbergen. *Polish Polar Research* 29, 45-58.

- Joergensen, R.G., 2000. Ergosterol and microbial biomass in the rhizosphere of grassland soils. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* 32, 647–652.
- Jones, D.L., Owen, A.G., Farrar, J.F., 2002. Simple method to enable the high resolution determination of total free amino acids in soil solutions and soil extracts. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* 34, 1893-1902.
- Kirchman D., Knees, E. Hodson, R., 1985. Leucine incorporation and its potential as a measure of protein-synthesis by bacteria in natural aquatic systems. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 49, 599–607.
- Löffler, J., Pape, R., 2008. Diversity patterns in relation to the environment in alpine tundra ecosystems of northern Norway. *Arctic, Antarctic and Alpine Research* 40, 373-381.
- Madan, N.J., Deacon, L.J., Robinson, C.H., 2007. Greater nitrogen and/or phosphorus availability increase plant species' cover and diversity at a High Arctic polar semidesert. *Polar Biology* 30, 559-570.
- Mulvaney, R.L., 1996. Nitrogen - Inorganic forms. In: Sparks, D.L. (Ed.) *Methods of Soil Analysis*, Soil Science Society of America, Madison. pp. 1123-1184.
- Naito, A.T., Cairns, D.M., 2011. Relationships between Arctic shrub dynamics and topographically derived hydrologic characteristics. *Environmental Research Letters* 6, Article Number 045506.
- Natali, S.M., Schuur, E.A.G., Rubin, R.L., 2012. Increased plant productivity in Alaskan tundra as a result of experimental warming of soil and permafrost. *Journal of Ecology* 100, 488-498.
- Newell, S.Y., Fallon, R.D., 1991. Toward a method for measuring instantaneous fungal growth rates in field samples. *Ecology* 72, 1547-1559.

- Osada, N., Onoda, Y., Hikosaka, K., 2010. Effects of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentration, irradiance, and soil nitrogen availability on leaf photosynthetic traits of *Polygonum sachalinense* around natural CO<sub>2</sub> springs in northern Japan. *Oecologia* 164, 41-52.
- Post, E., Pedersen, C., 2008. Opposing plant community responses to warming with and without herbivores. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 105, 12353-12358.
- Rozema, J., Weijers, S., Broekman, R., Blokker, P., Buizer, B., Werleman, C., El Yaqine, H., Hoogedoorn, H., Fuertes, M.M., Cooper, E., 2009. Annual growth of *Cassiope tetragona* as a proxy for Arctic climate: developing correlative and experimental transfer functions to reconstruct past summer temperature on a millennial time scale. *Global Change Biology* 15, 1703-1715.
- Rousk, J. Bååth, E., 2011. Growth of saprotrophic fungi and bacteria in soil. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology* 78, 17-30
- Rousk, J., Brookes, P.C., Bååth, E., 2009. Contrasting Soil pH Effects on Fungal and Bacterial Growth Suggest Functional Redundancy in Carbon Mineralization. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 75, 1589-1596.
- Ruzicka, S., Edgerton, D., Norman, M., Hill, T., 2000. The utility of ergosterol as a bioindicator for fungi in temperate soils. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* 32, 989–1005.
- Saggar, S., Parshotam, A., Sparling, G.P., Feltham, C.W., Hart, P.B.S. 1996. <sup>14</sup>C-labelled ryegrass turnover and residence times in soils varying in clay content and mineralogy. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* 28, 1677–1686.

- Smith, J.L., Doran, J.W., 1996. Measurement and use of pH and electrical conductivity for soil quality analysis. In: Methods for assessing soil quality. Soil Science Society of America Special Publication 49, 169-182.
- Solheim, B., Endal, A., Vigstad, H., 1996. Nitrogen fixation in Arctic vegetation and soils from Svalbard, Norway. *Polar Biology* 16, 35-40.
- Sorensen, P.L., Lett, S., Michelsen, A., 2012. Moss-specific changes in nitrogen fixation following two decades of warming, shading, and fertilizer addition. *Plant Ecology* 213, 695-706.
- Stempniewicz, L., 2005. Keystone species and ecosystem functioning Seabirds in polar ecosystems. *Ecological Questions* 6, 111-115.
- Stempniewicz, L., Zwolicki, A., Iliszko, L., Zmudczynska, K., Wojtun, B., 2006. Impact of plankton- and fish-eating seabird colonies on the Arctic tundra ecosystem - a comparison. *Journal of Ornithology* 147 Supplement, 257-258.
- White, A., Cannell, M.G.R., Friend, A.D., 2000. The high-latitude terrestrial carbon sink: a model analysis. *Global Change Biology* 6, 227-245.
- Wold, A., Jæger, I., Hop, H., Gabrielsen, G.W., Falk-Petersen, S., 2011. Arctic seabird food chains explored by fatty acid composition and stable isotopes in Kongsfjorden, Svalbard. *Polar Biology* 34, 1147-1155.
- Zmudczynska, K., Zwolicki, A., Barcikowski, M., Iliszko, L., Stempniewicz, L., 2008. Variability of individual biomass and leaf size of *Saxifraga nivalis* L. along a transect between seabirds colony and seashore in Hornsund, Spitsbergen. *Ecological Questions* 9, 37-44.

## Figure legends

**Fig. 1.** Above-ground biomass and foliar N content of tundra vegetation as a function of increasing distance from cliffs with (orthinogenic) and without (control) bird-derived nutrient inputs. Values represent mean  $\pm$  SEM ( $n = 3$ ). \*, \*\*, \*\*\* and *NS* represent  $P < 0.05$ ,  $P < 0.01$ ,  $P < 0.001$  and  $P > 0.05$  respectively. The legend is the same for all panels.

**Fig. 2.** Soil organic C and N content as a function of increasing distance from cliffs with (orthinogenic) and without (control) bird-derived nutrient inputs. Values represent mean  $\pm$  SEM ( $n = 3$ ). \*, \*\*, \*\*\* and *NS* represent  $P < 0.05$ ,  $P < 0.01$ ,  $P < 0.001$  and  $P > 0.05$  respectively. The legend is the same for all panels.

**Fig. 3.** Soil characteristics as a function of increasing distance from cliffs with (orthinogenic) and without (control) bird-derived nutrient inputs. Values represent mean  $\pm$  SEM ( $n = 3$ ). \*, \*\*, \*\*\* represent  $P < 0.05$ ,  $P < 0.01$  and  $P < 0.001$  respectively. The legend is the same for all panels.

**Fig. 4.** Soil solution concentration of different forms of soluble organic and inorganic N as a function of increasing distance from cliffs with (orthinogenic) and without (control) bird-derived nutrient inputs. Values represent mean  $\pm$  SEM ( $n = 3$ ). \*, \*\*, \*\*\* represent  $P < 0.05$ ,  $P < 0.01$  and  $P < 0.001$  respectively. The legend is the same for all panels. Note the different y-axis scales between the upper and lower panels.

**Fig. 5.**  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  and  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  isotopic values for tundra soil and vegetation collected from transects with (orthinogenic) and without (control) bird-derived nutrient inputs. The isotopic values of Kittiwake muscle from birds at the same location is also

shown (data from Wold et al., 2011). Values represent mean  $\pm$  SEM ( $n = 3$ ). The legend is the same for both panels.

**Fig. 6.** Mineralization kinetics of  $^{14}\text{C}$ -labelled plant material and root exudates in soil as a function of increasing distance from cliffs with (orthinogenic) and without (control) bird-derived nutrient inputs. Values represent mean  $\pm$  SEM ( $n = 3$ ). \* represents significant differences between the control and orthinogenic treatment (paired t-test) at the  $P < 0.05$  level.

**Fig. 7.** Quantification of amoA in ammonia-oxidizing *archaea* (AOA) and bacteria (AOB) and nitrous oxide reductase (nosZ) in bacteria as a function of increasing distance from cliffs with (orthinogenic) and without (control) bird-derived nutrient inputs. Values represent mean  $\pm$  SEM ( $n = 3$ ).

**Fig. 8.** Quantification of the three main ectomycorrhizal morphotypes found on *Polygonum vivipara* L. at sites with (orthinogenic) and without (control) bird-derived nutrient inputs.

**Fig. 9.** Bacterial growth (panel A), fungal growth (panel B) and fungal biomass (panel C) along distance from cliffs with (orthinogenic) and without (control) bird-derived nutrient inputs. Values represent mean  $\pm$  SEM ( $n = 3$ ). \* and \*\* represent  $P < 0.05$  and  $P < 0.01$  respectively. The legend is the same for all panels.

Figure 1






Figure 2




Figure 3




Figure 4




Figure 5




Figure 6




Figure 7






Figure 8






Figure 9







Supplementary Figure 1




**Fig. S1.** Above-ground C-to-N ratio of tundra vegetation as a function of increasing distance from cliffs with and without orthinogenic nutrient inputs. Values represent mean  $\pm$  SEM ( $n = 3$ ). \*, \*\* and \*\*\* represent significant differences at the  $P < 0.05$ ,  $P < 0.01$  and  $P < 0.001$  level respectively.




**Fig. S2.** Soil C-to-N ratio as a function of increasing distance from cliffs with and without orthinogenic nutrient inputs. Values represent mean  $\pm$  SEM ( $n = 3$ ). \*, \*\* and NS represent significant differences at the  $P < 0.05$ ,  $P < 0.01$  and  $P > 0.05$  respectively.



**Fig. S3.** Soil solution dissolved organic carbon (DOC) concentration as a function of increasing distance from cliffs with and without orthinogenic nutrient inputs. Values represent mean  $\pm$  SEM ( $n = 3$ ). \* and \*\* represent statistical differences at the  $P < 0.05$  and  $P < 0.01$  level respectively.



**Fig. S4.** Mineralization kinetics of  $^{14}\text{C}$ -labelled peptides in soil as a function of increasing distance from cliffs with and without orthinogenic nutrient inputs. Values represent mean  $\pm$  SEM ( $n = 3$ ).



**Fig. S5.** Mineralization kinetics of  $^{14}\text{C}$ -labelled amino acids in soil as a function of increasing distance from cliffs with and without orthinogenic nutrient inputs. Values represent mean  $\pm$  SEM ( $n = 3$ ). \* and \*\*\* represent significant differences between the control and orthinogenic treatment (paired t-test) at the  $P < 0.05$  and  $P < 0.001$  level respectively. At the end of the 90 d incubation,  $1.1 \pm 0.1\%$  of the  $^{14}\text{C}$  could be recovered from the soil by a 0.5 M  $\text{K}_2\text{SO}_4$  extract when averaged across all treatments ( $n = 10$ ).