

### **Bangor University**

### **DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY**

### **Improved control of rhododendron ponticum for environmental management**

Ninaber, Deirdre

Award date: 2009

Awarding institution: Bangor University

[Link to publication](https://research.bangor.ac.uk/portal/en/theses/improved-control-of-rhododendron-ponticum-for-environmental-management(74c9f052-2a41-4837-94bf-b75b253126aa).html)

### **General rights**

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

• Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.

- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal ?

### **Take down policy**

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

# IMPROVED CONTROL OF RHODODENDRON PONTICUM FOR ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT

# A dissertation submitted to the University of Wales Bangor for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy



Deirdre Ninaber

School of Environment, Natural Resources and Geography University of Wales, Bangor



 $\mathbf{1}$ 

United Kingdom

### December 2009

`The bushes puzzled him, they were so big, almost trees, some twice his height, and there seemed so many. With their zigzag branches and long oval leaves finger-like in every direction they seemed to belong to a different climate, to a different land, whose gravity pulled softer than this one.'

A description of Rhododendrons by John Updike (Rabbit Run, 2006)

ii

### Abstract

Rhododendron ponticum is an invasive non-native shrub that represents a significant threat to productive land use, biodiversity conservation in priority habitats and potentially other ecosystem services in Britain and Ireland. Management actions that reduce the spread and impacts of invasive species require knowledge of their ecological characteristics as well as best control methods. A series of glasshouse

experiments was carried out to investigate the effect of microhabitat on R. ponticum germination and seedling survival rates. Light environment in the form of different shade treatments, watering regime and seedbed substrate were manipulated in a factorial design to test their effects and interactions. Short-growing moss, bare soil and sown lawn grass produced a combination of high germination and seedling survival rates, with much lower rates in tall growing moss, leaf litter and grassland turf. Periodic droughting had a large negative effect on R. ponticum seed germination, especially in the more exposed seedbed substrates. The presence of additional canopy shade above a vegetated ground layer was very detrimental to R. ponticum establishment and this effect was found to be due to a reduction in light quality (ratio of red to far red light) and not light quantity (photosynthetic photon

flux density).

The use of chemical control methods with herbicides, in particular glyphosate, dominates current practice in the removal of  $R$ . ponticum from invaded habitats. In order to optimize the efficacy of glyphosate, a series of experiments were designed to improve knowledge of the effect on glyphosate absorption and translocation, of its dose in combination with application at different times of the year in the field, and of foliar application and light level in the glasshouse. Glyphosate applied to the foliage of  $R$ . ponticum plants less than 1.5 m in height, was most readily translocated when the plants were more metabolically active. Plants treated in August and May showed greater rates and extent of crown damage than those treated in November and February.  $^{14}$ C-labelled glyphosate applied to the lower leaf surface of R. ponticum plants was rapidly absorbed over the first six hours with maximum absorption after three days. Translocation to other parts of the plant (measured as glyphosate concentration) was greatest to the stem adjacent to the

treated leaves and then to the roots, concentrations were lower in untreated leaves over 30 days. Supplemental lighting did not increase the foliar absorption of the  ${}^{14}C$ but did increase the amount translocated to the other parts of the plant.

This study has brought a new understanding to the invasion dynamics of R. ponticum that can be used in identifying habitats vulnerable to invasion and in developing a post clearance management strategy for preventing reinvasion of sites. It has also brought a basic knowledge to glyphosate absorption and translocation

patterns that could have profound implications in improving practical application

techniques of invasive woody plant species.

1V

### **CONTENTS**

page









- 
- 
- .83



5.1.2 Functional interpretation and implications of key results.......................112 5.1.3 Limitations of the study, and need for further research ..........................119 5.2 THE USE OF GLYPHOSATE FOR CONTROL OF R. PONTICUM .........122 5.2.2 Functional interpretation and implications of key results......................122 5.2.3 Limitations of the study and need for further research ...........................124

vii

# 5.3 MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES FOR THE CONTROL OF R. PONTICUM

 $\sim$   $\sim$ 



### viii

# List of tables, figures and appendices

## CHAPTER II

Figure 2.1 Drawing of *R. ponticum* seed approximately 2 mm in length.......................22 Figure 2.2 Percentage transmission of Lee 139 Primary green film 22 ........................ Figure 2.3 Shade chamber construction and dimensions 23 ... Figure 2.4 Arrangement of chambers and benches in the glasshouse 24  $\mathbf{h}$ 



cumulative germination, germination rate and seeding survival of R. ponticum seedlings sown in seed trays in a glasshouse 40  $\therefore \dots \dots \dots$ Figure 2.12 The effect of light regime (quantity v quality) and provenance on cumulative germination and germination rate of Rhododendron ponticum collected from Irish, Scottish and Welsh populations and sown on filter paper in Petri dishes in a growth chamber. 42

ix

Table 2.5 Univariate analysis of variance on the effect of light (quantity v quality) and provenance on germination capacity of R. *ponticum* seeds sown on filter paper in Petri dishes in a growth chamber 43  $.$  of variance on the effect of light treatment Appendix 2.1 Univariate analysis of variance on the effect of light treatment, watering regime and substrate on germination capacity of R. ponticum seeds........166 Appendix 2.2 Univariate analysis of variance on the effect of light treatment, watering regime and seedbed substrate on germination rate of  $R$ . ponticum seeds..166 Appendix 2.3 Univariate analysis of variance on the effect of light treatment, watering regime and seedbed substrate on seedling survival of  $R$ . ponticum seeds. 167 Appendix 2.4 Results of one-way analysis of variance tests on the effect of light

treatment on *R. ponticum* seedling growth six months after sowing in seed trays 167 Appendix 2.5 Results of one-way analysis of variance tests on the effect of light treatment and seedbed substrate on Rhododendron ponticum seedling height during the first six months under no shade 168  $\text{al!}$ Appendix 2.6 Results of one-way analysis of variance tests on the effect of light treatment and seedbed substrate on R. ponticum seedling height, shoot and root biomass six months after sowing in seed trays 168 .. Appendix 2.7 Univariate analysis of variance on the effect of light regime (quantity v quality) and seedbed substrate on: germination capacity of R. ponticum seeds.......168 Appendix 2.8 Univariate analysis of variance on the effect of light regime (quantity v quality) and seedbed substrate on germination rate of *. <i>ponticum* seeds................168  $\sim$  (ournt: Appendix 2.9 Univariate analysis of variance on the effect of light regime (quantity v quality) and seedbed substrate on seedling survival of *K. ponticum* seeds.......... 169



# CHAPTER III

Figure 3.1 Photographs of R. ponticum basal stem showing a) axe cut and b) drill hole technique 58  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{h}}$  of lange  $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{v}}$  nontique plants  $(1, 1, 5, m)$  tracted with elements and Table 3.1 Health of large *K. ponticum* plants ( $> 1.5$  m) treated with glyphosate using four different techniques to the base of the stem 59  $\mathbf{L}_{\text{out}}$   $\mathbf{L}_{\text{out}}$   $\mathbf{V}_{\text{out}}$ Figure 3.2 Site location in Nant Gwynant, Gwynedd, North Wales 61 Figure 3.3 NE aspect of the site invasion into Hen Goed 62  $\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{m}}$  and  $\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{m}}$  and  $\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{m}}$ Figure 3.4 The position of the experimental blocks within the site 63  $\therefore$  the example of D Figure 3.5 Pattern of application of the five doses of glyphosate to the crowns of R. ponticum plants .. 64 Table 3.2 Dates on which measurements of *K. ponticum* crowns were made and the length of time elapsed after the different months of treatment application 65 ................ Figure 3.6 The effect of seasonal time of foliar spray on *R. ponticum* plants  $\lt 1.5$  m in height on treated and untreated crown quadrants where 0,25,50,75 and 100% of the crown was sprayed  $70<sub>1</sub>$  Figure 3.7 The effect of seasonal timing of foliar spray application to 25,50 and 75% of the crown of R. ponticum plants  $\lt 1.5$  m in height on the percentage of live shoots remaining in the crown over a period of 22 months 70  $...$ Table 3.3 Univariate analysis of variance on the effect of percentage of the crown treated (dose) and seasonal timing of glyphosate treatment on three indices of the health of the crown of R. ponticum plants  $< 1.5$  m in height at three times during the first two growing seasons after treatment 71  $\Delta E$ ,  $E \Delta$ ,  $\Delta E$  and  $\Delta \Omega Q \Delta$ , affection convert Figure 3.8 The effect of dose (treating  $0, 25, 50, 75$  and  $100\%$ ) of foliar spray application to of the crown of R. ponticum plants  $< 1.5$  m in height on the percentage live shoots, new growth and flowering shoots remaining in the crown at different times after treatment ... 72 Figure 3.9 The interaction of dose (% crown treated) and season of application on the percentage live shoots remaining and the effect of seasonal timing of treatment on new growth and flowering shoots remaining in the crowns of  $R$ . ponticum plants at different times after treatment 74 .. Table 3.4 Univariate analysis of variance on the effect of percentage of the crown treated (dose) and seasonal timing of glyphosate treatment on the percentage of live shoots remaining approximately one year after treatment 75  $. .$ Table 3.5 Univariate analysis of variance on the effect of percentage of the crown treated (dose) and seasonal timing of glyphosate treatment on the growth of new shoot growth after one growing season... ...  $75<sub>1</sub>$ Figure 3.10 The effect of dose (% crown treated) and seasonal timing on shoot

growth and physiology of the untreated crown quadrants of  $R$ . ponticum plants one 76 *ovoming concon ofter englication* 



# of live shoots remaining on  $R$ . *ponticum* plants approximately one year after treatment 172  $.25I<sub>in</sub>:<sub>in</sub>:<sub>in</sub>:<sub>in</sub>:<sub>in</sub>:<sub>in</sub>:<sub>in</sub>:<sub>in</sub>:<sub>in</sub>:<sub>in</sub>:<sub>1</sub>:<sub>1</sub>:<sub>1</sub>:<sub>1</sub>:<sub>1</sub>:<sub>1</sub>:<sub>1</sub>:<sub>1</sub>:<sub>1</sub>:<sub>1</sub>:<sub>1</sub>:<sub>1</sub>:<sub>1</sub>:<sub>1</sub>:<sub>1</sub>:<sub>1</sub>:<sub>1</sub>:<sub>1</sub>:<sub>1</sub>:<sub>1</sub>:<sub>1</sub>$ Appendix 3.5 Univariate analysis of variance on the effect of percentage of the crown treated (dose) and seasonal timing of glyphosate treatment on new shoot growth on R. ponticuni plants after one growing season 173

# CHAPTER IV

Figure 4.1 Factors affecting the steps involved in glyphosate activity 81 ...................... Figure 4.2 Absorption and partitioning of the activity of  $^{14}C$  glyphosate applied to 30-50 cm height R. ponticum plants over 30 days 87 .. Figure 4.3 Assays of uptake and metabolic impact of  $\sim$ -labelled glyphosate applied to the leaves of 30-50 cm height plants of R. ponticum 92 ... Table 4.1 Univariate analysis of variance on the effect of foliar application of glyphosate to levels of  ${}^{14}C$  glyphosate and shikimic acid in the leaves and related stem section of Rhododendron ponticum woody shrubs 30-50 cm in height. See Appendix 4.1 & 4.2 for full ANOVA 93 .. Figure 4.4 The effect of surfactant type and concentration, and the leaf surface of application (upper versus lower) on glyphosate seven days after application to leaves of R. ponticum plants 95 .. Figure 4.5 Arrangement of plants on a bench in an unheated glasshouse 96  $\mathbf{r}$ Figure 4.6 Position of glyphosate treatment on leaf surface and subsequent harvesting of organs on R ponticum plants 98 .. Figure 4.7 <sup>14</sup>C-glyphosate activity (dpm) in various plant component parts of R. ponticum plants kept under ambient and supplemental light following foliar application  $\lambda$  heartian and translocation of  ${}^{14}C$  alynhosate annlied to leaves of  $k$ 103 Figure 4.8 Absorption and translocation of  $^{14}$ C glyphosate applied to leaves of R. ponticum plants 30-50 cm in height over 30 days under ambient and supplemental light to other plant parts.  $\frac{1}{2}$ 104 Table 4.2 Univariate analysis of variance on the effect of light treatment on foliar absorption of  $^{14}$ C-glyphosate applied to R. ponticum plants over 30 days ..............106 ............. Table 4.3 Univariate analysis of variance on the effect of light treatment on the translocation to different plant parts of  ${}^{14}C$ -glyphosate applied to the leaves of R. ponticuin plants over 30 days 106  $\frac{14C}{10000}$ Table 4.4 Estimates of percentage activity for <sup>14</sup>C glyphosate activity from treated leaves of R. ponticum to other parts of the plant 107 .. Appendix 4.1 Univariate analysis of variance for the effect of foliar application of glyphosate to levels of <sup>14</sup>C glyphosate in the leaves and related stem section of R. ponticum woody shrubs 30-50 cm in height 174  $\overline{a}$  an the effect of felice continuing  $\overline{a}$ Appendix 4.2 Univariate analysis of variance on the effect of foliar application of glyphosate to levels of shikimic acid in the leaves and related stem section of R. ponticum woody shrubs 30-50 cm in height 174 ... Appendix 4.3 Univariate analysis of variance on the effect of light treatment on foliar absorption of  $\cdot$  C-glyphosate applied to *K. ponticum* plants  $30 -$ 50 cm in height over 30 days 174 .. Appendix 4.4 Univariate analysis of variance on the effect of light treatment on the translocation to different plant parts of  ${}^{14}C$ -glyphosate applied to the leaves of Rhododendron ponticum plants 20 50 cm in height over 30 days 175  $\frac{14}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{1$ Appendix 4.5 Tukey HSD for the effect of plant component part on  $\mathbb{C}$ -glyphosate activity applied to the leaves of 30-50cm *R. ponticum* plants.  $1/5$ 

xii

### Acknowledgements

First and foremost I would like to thank my supervisors John Healey and Rob Brook for their continual support, encouragement and sound advice. They have helped me improve my approach, taught to me revaluate the details to make my conclusions stronger and ultimately have been the most critical factor in making this thesis complete.

Secondly I would like to thank the SENR and ECW technicians: Gareth Williams, John Evans, Jonathan Roberts and Gordon Turner for helping me carry out my experiments and supplying absolute everything I needed to complete this research. Llinos Hughes gets special thanks for being the most willing to help on a practical basis I met during my thesis, she did everything to make my experiments possible. Also thanks to Mark Hughes and Julian Bridges for keeping my experiments on track and continually keeping an eye out to water some thirsty rhododendrons.

Lastly but not least, I would like to say a huge thank you to my friends and family who encouraged me, supported me and trusted me to finish this thesis.

Thanks go to those people willing to go beyond their job help, for me this includes Paul Hill and Paula Roberts for taking time out to help me understand how to use the equipment. I am grateful for advice and comments from Davey Jones and Mike Hale and for the greatly appreciated computer and IT help I thank my fellow students; James Walmsley, Matthew Ling and Mark Farrell, without whom my thesis wouldn't have been able to take shape.

For the outside support I would like to acknowledge my sincere appreciation to Peter Jackson of Snowdonia National Park, Jenny Wong from Wild Resources, Colin Edwards Forest Research and Forestry Commission, Dave Smith of the National Trust and importantly Julian Miller and Andy Kehoe of Kehoe Countryside.

I would also like to acknowledge Kehoe Countryside who cosponsored my project with the European Science Foundation and and also Bangor University SENRGY for providing me with the funds to bring my project to completion.



### CHAPTER I: General Introduction



Biological invasions by non-native plant species have been of a growing concern in recent years and are considered to be one of the major driving forces of biodiversity loss with an important interaction with other major components of global change (Mack et al., 2000). Significant economic impacts have arisen from invasive species damage in agriculture and forestry, in addition to having profound environmental consequences. Pimentel *et al.*, (2000) estimated that introduced nonindigenous species in the USA cause major environmental damage and losses totalling approximately US \$137 billion per year. The removal or even reduction of a species is a challenging undertaking with large-scale programmes incurring great

costs. For example, the European loosestrife (Lythrum salicaria), which was introduced as an ornamental plant to the USA in the early nineteenth century, has been spreading at a rate of 115,000 hectares per year and costs US \$45 million per year in control costs (Pimentel et al., 2000).

The sooner an infestation is detected and control implemented after an introduction, the greater the likelihood of success and the less the costs (Simberloff, 2003). There are, however, some examples where invasive species have been successfully eradicated or managed at low densities in the long-term (Mack & Lonsdale, 2002). The virtual eradication of the common barberry (*Berberis vulgaris*) in the USA was accomplished early in the twentieth century by vigorously searching and eradicating even isolated plants whilst simultaneously destroying larger foci

(Mack, 1985). Simberloff (2006) asserts that eradication is a feasible goal but that

the biggest hurdle is marshalling the political and social support to organise an

effective comprehensive operational structure, including early warning and rapid-

response capability. Control of biotic invasions is most effective when it employs a

long-term, ecosystem-wide strategy rather than a tactical approach focused on

 $\mathbf{I}$ 

battling individual invaders (Mack *et al.*, 2000). Although many exotic plants species are introduced into semi-natural habitats, only a fraction (0.1%) becomes aggressively invasive and displaces native species (Williamson  $\&$  Fitter, 1996). Nevertheless, since its introduction in the late eighteenth century, Rhododendron ponticum L. has become one of the most invasive non-native species in Britain and Ireland.

### 1.2 ECOLOGY OF RHODODENDRON

A comprehensive account of the ecology Rhododendron ponticum is described by Cross in his PhD thesis (1973) on invasive populations in Ireland, which is the basis of his subsequent review of R. ponticum in the Journal of Ecology, Biological Flora of the British Isles (1975). He also gives an account of R. ponticum establishment in the Killarney oakwoods in southwest Ireland (1981). What follows is largely based on these data, unless otherwise stated.

Rhododendron, the largest genus of the Ericaceae family, encompasses more than 1,000 species distributed mainly in mountainous areas of the arctic, northern temperate regions and the mountainous tropics. They are particularly abundant in Asia, whence many of the popular cultivated species and hybrids are derived. R. ponticum is an evergreen shrub, with dark green, waxy oblong leaves and striking pinkish-purple flowers. The etymology of the name Rhododendron is derived from the Greek rhodon 'rose' and dendron 'tree'. *Rhododendron ponticum* occurs as a

### 1.2.1 Origin and Distribution

native species in the southern Iberian Peninsula and also around the Black Sea.

Within its native range, R. ponticum occurs in the area south of the Black Sea

(Bulgaria, northern Turkey, the Caucasus and Lebanon) and disjunctly in three small

areas in the Iberian Peninsula: in southwest Spain, and central and southern Portugal

(Popova, 1972; Cullen, 2005). In Spain and Portugal it is restricted to relict Tertiary

populations and has been classed as an endangered species in Andalusia, southern

2

Spain (Mejías, *et al.*, 2002). In contrast, it has been become an aggressive invader since its introduction in northwest Europe and has now become naturalised and poses a threat to native flora in Britain, Ireland, Belgium, and France (Cross, 1975). First introduced into Britain in 1763, it was extensively planted in gardens, estates and parks as an ornamental and for game cover (Elton, 1958). It has since become widely established where conditions were favourable and expanded its range into woodlands, heaths, bogs, and sand dunes (Thomson et al., 1993). Invasive populations in northwest Europe originated from the subspecies baeticum

populations from the Iberian Peninsula (Erfmeier  $\&$  Bruelheide, 2005). There is also some evidence for introgression with the more frost tolerant North American species R. catawbiense and R. maximum (Milne & Abbott, 2000). The subspecies ponticum has also become widely abundant in several forests of Turkey where it threatens natural tree regeneration (Esen *et al.*, 2006).

## 1.2.2 Topographical range and growth habit

Topographical range

Rhododendron ponticum displays a wide geographical and altitudinal range within its native area of distribution. However, it shows a strong preference for damp, shady habitats, especially in drier climates such as its native Iberia where relict populations are restricted to moister sites (Mejías et al., 2002). The climatic extremes of temperature and drought that can limit establishment in its native habitat are rarely experienced in Britain and Ireland. It is therefore very well adapted to this temperate maritime climate, especially the wetter and more humid conditions of the west and north. Like most of the ericaceous species, R. ponticum is a calcifuge and grows best on well-drained, acidic podsolised soils, although it can also occur on

other substrates, including wet clays, brown earths, alluvium and peat. It can thrive in most British soil conditions except in areas subject to prolonged drought or waterlogging or in high pH soils. It grows best in soils with a pH <4.5 but can be found growing in a range between 3.3 and 6.4. Rhododendron ponticum is a very shade tolerant plant and can establish in light levels as low as 2% daylight, but growth is much reduced in deep shade. It is most vigorous in hyperoceanic woodlands, but also occurs in other woodlands, coniferous plantations, heathlands, bogs and sand dunes (Fuller & Boorman, 1977; Rotherham, 1986). Although the altitudinal range of R. ponticum reaches up to 2000m in the Black Sea Region, it is mostly limited to 500m above sea level in Britain and Ireland (Cross, 1975). The few plants that have been recorded growing above 400m in exposed sites have appeared unhealthy with signs of leaf damage and dieback (Jackson, 2008).

### Flowering, seed dispersal, germination and seedling growth

Rhododendron ponticum flowering does not usually commence until it is 10to 12-years-old and occurs mainly in May to June with seeds being released in February or March in Britain and Ireland (Stout, 2007). Plants flower most profusely in the open or under a light canopy. Mature naturalised  $R$ . *ponticum* plants produces a massive floral display of large (> 60 mm corolla diameter), conspicuous bright pink/purple flowers that secrete large volumes of nectar. Although flowers are selfcompatible for pollination, higher levels of seed set occur following outcrossing,

which usually occurs by generalist pollinators (Stout, 2007). A mature  $R$ . ponticum plant in full light is capable of producing up to 1 million seeds annually. Rhododendron ponticum produces small, very light seeds which are primarily dispersed by wind and which travel mostly less than 10 metres from the parent plant (Stephenson et al., 2007). Although R. ponticum seeds are positively photoblastic (require light to germinate), once established, seedlings are capable of surviving under very low levels of natural light. Seedling distribution in woodlands has been associated with a thin surface cover of bryophyte species, rocky outcrops and breaks in slope (Cross, 1981) or thin layers of leaf litter on decaying timber (Stephenson  $et$ al., 2006). Suitable conditions or 'safe sites' for seedling establishment in heathlands

and bogs are found at the base of *Molinia* tussocks and on compact Sphagnum hummocks (Cross, 2004; Jackson, 2008). Seedling growth is initially very slow but progresses more rapidly with age. A fully mature R. ponticum plant usually reaches a height of 5 m under a tree canopy but can grow to  $6-8$  m if its upper shoots are physically supported by a tree. In the open it can grow up to 4m and in very exposed situations may not exceed 2 m. Limited vegetative reproduction can also occur

4

where branches come in contact with the ground. If damaged by fire or cut the plant sprouts vigorously from its well-developed root system or underground 'lignotuber'  $(Colak, 2004)$ .

1.2.3 Facilitative and limiting factors to Rhododendron ponticum invasion

The invasion success of R. *ponticum* in Britain and Ireland is due to several

reasons: the attributes of the species, the invasibility of the environment and socioeconomic factors. Extensive planting of the species in the nineteenth and early twentieth centuries was in part due to the gardening fashion of the time but also the ease with which it was propagated. Improved hardiness achieved through cross breeding (section 1.2.1 above) and relatively cheap price made it a popular choice for widespread planting as game cover in woodlands and as grafting stock for other Rhododendron species (Dehnen-Schmutz et al., 2004). There are several species attributes that are key to its invasion success: prolific production of viable seeds; ability to tolerate shade; ability to outcompete native vegetation by casting a deep shade; and wide niche-breadth in terms of climatic tolerance, and soil and habitat requirements (Shaw, 1984). It also lacks natural predators and the leaves, which contain toxins, unpalatable to grazing animals. Exudates released from the roots and leaves might have an allelopathic affect on other plants (Rotherham & Read, 1988). As with most ericaceous species, symbiotic mycorrhizal relationships cause an enhancement in growth of  $R$ . *ponticum* especially in poor edaphic conditions through the increased absorption of phosphorus and other nutrients from soils (Pearson  $\&$ Read, 1973a,b; Rotherham & Read 1988). One of the few limitations to expansion of its range is the availability of safe sites for establishment given the vulnerability of its small young seedlings, though this is partially overcome by prolific seed production. The abundance of seedlings and young plants has been positively correlated with the frequency and density of safe sites. Whilst safe sites develop

naturally, disturbance by livestock and humans, direct or indirect, contributes substantially to their occurrence. The two most important disturbance factors are grazing pressure and mechanical disturbance resulting mostly from forestry practices

(Edwards, 2004; Jackson, 2008).

## 1.3 THE IMPACT OF RHODODENDRON PONTICUM

### 1.3.1 Impact on native plants and animals

Invasive species are considered to be one of the most significant threats to global biodiversity, in that they can have severe impacts on the local flora and fauna as well as economic effects on agriculture, horticulture and forestry. The risk of

In the northern red oak (*Quercus rubra*) forests in the southern Appalachian region of USA, the closely related R. maximum inhibits the recruitment of native canopy trees by casting a deep shade (Nilsen et al., 2001; Lei et al., 2002). Rhododendron

invasion is large with continued distribution by humans of species outside their native range together with the effects of rapid climate change. Of the many nonnative species that have been introduced over the centuries, only a small minority have become invasive. Rhododendron invades threatened habitats such as oak woodlands, blanket bogs and heathlands. These three habitats are of such high conservation value that they have been designated as priority habitats under both the UK Biodiversity Action Plan (UK BAP, 1992) (as a response to the Convention on Biological Diversity, 1992) and as Special Areas of Conservation (SAC) under the EU Habitats Directive (1992). In Ireland it also invades several Annex 1 habitats listed under the EU Habitats Directive, including old oak woodland with *Ilex* and Blechnum, North Atlantic wet heaths, dry heaths and blanket bogs. Rhododendron ponticum is by far the biggest threat to the native ancient oak woodlands of Killarney that are renowned for their richly diverse and abundant lichen and bryophyte communities, where it is spreading with vigour (Higgins & Barron, 1996). On Lundy Island, R. *ponticum* is considered to be the major threat to the endemic plant the Lundy cabbage (Coincya wrightii), a BAP listed species (Compton & Key, 2004). The Lundy cabbage also supports at least one endemic insect species, the Bronze Lundy cabbage flea beetle (Psylloides luridipennis) and two other beetles that differ from mainland relatives. In each of these habitats, R. ponticum forms dense thickets, which effectively inhibit growth of ground vegetation and suppress the regeneration of trees.

Few plants can tolerate the deep shade cast by dense R. ponticum canopies.

6

# **1.3.2 Role of** *Rhododendron ponticum* in the spread of 'Sudden Oak Death' Phytophthora ramorum and Phytophthora kernoviae

Phytophthora ramorum is a serious fungal-like (oomycete) pathogen that causes major damage to trees and a range of other ornamental and native plants. Phytophthora ramorum became popularly known as 'sudden oak death' in the USA

ponticum and (R. flavum) also pose a large problem in the beech forests of the Black Sea Region of Turkey where it reduces Fagus orientalis (Oriental beech) regeneration and seriously threatens local floral diversity (Esen & Zedaker, 2004). Although R. *ponticum* thickets can be an excellent roosting and breeding habitat for birds, Batten (1976) found that there were substantially lower populations of breeding birds in R. ponticum infested woods in Killarney. However, the effect is not all negative with insects benefitting from the presence of  $R$ . *ponticum* and its large

nectar production, with more than 30 native Irish insects including bumblebees observed to visit the flowers (Stout, 2007).

where it caused widespread devastation to populations of 'tanoak' (Lithocarpus densiflorus) in California and Oregan (Ashby, 2004). In Europe P. ramorum was first recorded in 1993 both in Germany and the Netherlands but was confined to Rhododendron and Viburnum and has since been found in many other European countries, including Great Britain in 2002 (Forestry Commission, 2008). Although the disease has mainly affected ornamental plant species in nurseries and public gardens, British woodlands are also considered at risk as a number of tree species have been found to be susceptible to the pathogens (Tracy, 2004). By 2008 a total of 28 tree species had been confirmed with the disease including several species of oak, European beech (Fagus sylvatica), horse-chestnut (Aesculus hippocastanum), sweet chestnut (Castanea sativa), sycamore (Acer pseudoplatanus) and roble beech (Nothofagus obliqua) (Tracy, 2004). Another Phytophthora species, now named P. kernoviae, was discovered in Great Britain in 2003 (Brasier et al., 2005). Both Phytophthora species result in bleeding stem lesions on forest trees, and foliar necrosis of ornamentals. Unusually for a *Phytophthora* species, *P. kernoviae* infection of rhododendron causes defoliation and dieback of shoots occasionally

7

resulting in bush death (Beales *et al.*, 2006). The principal host of both the Phytophthora species in Britain is believed to be R. ponticum which has been found on the majority of sites where P. ramorum was recorded on trees (Tracy, 2009). As R. ponticum is the most widespread rhododendron species in the wild, DEFRA (Department for Environment, Food, and Rural Affairs) and the Forestry Commission suggest that the eradication of rhododendron is the most effective control measure to reduce disease spread in the wider environment (woodland,

## 1.3.3 Impact on farming, forestry and tourism

`The effect upon the soldiers who tasted the combs was, that they all went for the nonce quite off their heads, and suffered from vomiting and diarrhoea, with a total inability to stand steady on their legs. A small dose produced a condition not unlike violent drunkenness, a large one an attack very like a fit of madness, and some dropped down, apparently at death's door.'

Rhododendron ponticum invasion causes a loss of grazing area and infested land is deemed non-agricultural and ineligible for agricultural subsidies in Wales (Wong, 2004) Ingestion of the vegetation is poisonous to sheep (Black, 1991), cattle and goats (Humphreys et al., 1983) due to the presence of toxic chemicals, particularly diterpenoids (grayanotoxin). Honey made from the pollen and nectar of

R. ponticum flowers is also toxic to humans (Koca & Koca, 2007). The first recorded case of mad honey intoxication dates as far back as the 401 BC. Xenophon of Athens, a Greek historian and soldier, gives an account of the effects upon soldiers in his magnum opus, Anabasis (401 BC, translated by H. G. Dakyns, 2007).

There were, however, no fatalities and all recovered after several days of severe

### medical treatment (Xenophon).

Non-commercial mad honey is still being made by amateur beekeepers in the eastern Black Sea Region of Turkey from the nectar of R. ponticum which grows natively there (Aliyev, et al., 2009) It is one of the common food intoxications encountered for humans and livestock in Turkey (Koca & Koca, 2007). Symptoms are dose-related ranging from dizziness, weakness, excessive perspiration, hypersalivation, nausea and vomiting; however, severe intoxication may lead to lifethreatening cardiac complications (Koca & Koca, 2007, Dubey et al., 2009).

Rhododendron ponticum can dominate the understorey below a tree canopy and it greatly increases the cost of timber harvesting and significantly reduces the yield and the rate of tree regeneration (Robinson, 1980; Esen & Zedaker, 2004). The impact on tourism can be positive, with some visitors coming especially to witness the great swathes of purple flowering blossoms in May and June, although the

# 1.4 TECHNIQUES AND COSTS OF RHODODENDRON PONTICUM **CONTROL**

Eradication of a non-indigenous species has the appeal of providing an apparently permanent solution to an invasion problem. It is sometimes feasible, particularly if the invasion is detected early and resources can be applied quickly. Usually, however, ongoing monitoring is not of sufficient frequency or intensity to detect an invasion soon after it occurs (Simberloff, 2003). If eradication is not possible, the goal often becomes `maintenance control' at acceptable levels. Control is often targeted at habitats of conservation or amenity value that have been invaded in order to restore their status or value. The first step often involves initial removal of the established plants of the invasive species. Several different techniques have been developed for this initial removal of R. *ponticum* depending on various factors,

presence of large shrubby bushes has a detrimental effect on amenity and recreation by blocking paths and access to land (Jackson, 2008). However, a recent increase in public awareness regarding the environmental problems is beginning to cause a shift in attitudes.

such as: plant size, growth habit and density, site access, and post-clearance aesthetics. Three main approaches to control, applied singly or in various combinations, widely used are: chemical, physical, and biological. The main method used for  $R$ . *ponticum* is chemical where a herbicide, generally one such as RoundUp containing the active ingredient glyphosate, is applied to either the foliage (foliar application); directly into a cut or hole in the stem (stem treatment); or to the cut

9

surface of the remaining stump or foliar regrowth following cutting (stump treatment). Physical control, in the form of handpulling small seedlings, or using mechanized equipment can also be used but application of the latter method is limited to flat, easily accessible sites. Similar methods are used in the control of other shade tolerant, woody invasive species, such as *Prunus laurocerasus* and Ailanthus altissima (The Global Invasive Species, 2009). Several other techniques have been successfully applied to A. *altissima* including girdling  $-$  although this generally results in resprouting below the girdle in hardwoods – and biological

control using livestock to graze the tops of seedlings and resprouts following cutting to gradually weaken the underground parts (The Global Invasive Species 2009). Girdling has been found to be ineffective for R. ponticum (Julian Miller, practitioner, Kehoe Countryside, pers. comm.) but there is no record of any formal studies. Attempts at `starving' the remaining stump after cutting the stem to ground level by complete shading with a pegged covering of black plastic was also found to be unsuccessful due to the capacity of  $R$ . ponticum shoots to grow out a considerable distance horizontally from stumps to emerge from below the edge of the plastic (pers. obs. and Dave Smith, National Trust, pers. comm.). Physical removal of saplings and seedlings by pulling is not a viable option as  $R$ . *ponticum* has a dense mat of roots and uprooting would not only be hard to achieve but would cause a great deal of ground disturbance, which itself might create good microsite conditions for establishment of a new cohort of seedlings (section 5.1 above). There is some evidence of sheep grazing on small R. ponticum seedlings  $(< 2 \text{ cm in height})$  but they tend to avoid eating larger plants (Jackson, 2008) and therefore using livestock to browse regrowth would be ineffectve and if it did work might well have animal health implications because of the grayanotoxin in R. ponticum. There are therefore no effective alternatives to using chemical control with herbicides such as glyphosate to remove R. *ponticum* from an invaded site.

### 1.4.1 Control techniques

# Techniques for the control of R. ponticum are now well established and summarised below. Most of them involve the application of a herbicide to either the foliage or the stem. A full description of the techniques and the implementation of a

management plan for clearance of infested areas is given in the Forestry Commission practice guide for managing and controlling invasive Rhododendron (Edwards 2006). This guide states that for seedlings or small plants that are growing in loose substrate and easily uprooted, hand pulling is the cheapest, easiest and most environmentally friendly way of removing them. The most common method of treating bushes less than 1.5 m in height is foliar application of the herbicide glyphosate (e. g. RoundUp) and surfactant (Mixture B) using a knapsack sprayer. The guide warns that even with careful technique, some collateral damage to adjacent

vegetation can occur from spray drift and drip, as leaves are usually sprayed to runoff. This method can also only be applied in dry conditions with at most a light breeze to be effective and to minimise spray drift and soil contamination.

Several different methods are described to treat larger bushes. The first involves initially cutting to ground level and applying glyphosate to the cut stump directly after cutting. In the second method the stump is left for 6 months and is followed by foliar spraying shoot regrowth with glyphosate. The guide states that the former method has had variable success sometimes merely causing a reduction in the amount of regrowth rather than plant death. Another method recommended in the

guide is stem treatment, in which herbicide is applied under the bark at the base of otherwise uncut stems typically into a hole made with either an axe or a drill. Several advantages are listed for this method: it is economic, causes minimal damage (compared with foliar application technique) to non-target plants through spread of glyphosate, can be applied in varying weather conditions and has a very high kill rate. The final technique recommended involves using excavator mounted flails with powerful mulching heads. This may provide a cheaper alternative to manual techniques (where the scale of operation justifies the use of this machinery) but subsequent treatments to deal with regrowth are still be required. The main drawback to this method is its restriction to sites which can be accessed by excavator; the steep

terrain on which  $R$ . ponticum is typically found is unsuitable. A more detailed history

and description of control techniques is given in Chapter III.

11

### 1.4.2 Cost of invasive species control

The cost of global damage by invasive species has been estimated to be \$1.4 trillion per year (Pimentel et al., 2001). In 2007, the Minister for Biodiversity stated that invasive non-native species costs to the British economy amounted to £2 billion per year (Parliamentary Office of Science and Technology, 2008). It is estimated that £2 million has been spent on control of R. ponticum in Snowdonia National Park alone since 1987 (Jackson, 2008). Jackson (2008) estimates that a further £9 million will be required to control  $R$ . ponticum on a Park-wide basis. The cost of eradicating current R. *ponticum* source populations from the entire Argyll and Bute landscape is estimated at  $> £9.3$  million (Edwards & Taylor 2008). Rhododendron ponticum eradication and control are expensive operations and require full commitment to a long-term plan incorporating initial treatment, follow-up operations and monitoring. The cost can be variable depending on several factors: plant density, roughness of terrain, steepness and site access. There is also a wide variation in prices quoted by practitioners for contracts. Typical prices, based on work done in Snowdonia National Park by Jackson (2008) and clearance in Argyll by Edwards (2006), can range from  $£1000$  per hectare for foliar spray application to bushes less than 1.5 m in

Even if an area is cleared of R. ponticum plants, in reality control is not likely to be 100% effective despite taking the greatest care. Despite following recommended procedures, plants can be missed and there can often be regrowth which can begin flowering within three years (Edwards, 2006). Incompletely treated stands can recover rapidly with subsequent high control costs that can exceed

height to £5000 per hectare for completely removing large bushes (cut, burn, foliar spray of regrowth) from a site. These prices are based on flat sites with easy access; steep sites where rope work may be required can be much more expensive (Jackson, 2008).

comparable untreated areas (Jackson, 2008). It is therefore of vital importance that follow-up surveys are conducted to assess whether further treatments are required.

Due to the short-term nature of clearance grants, which are often paid in lump sums, there are little or no funds available to deal with follow-up treatments. In addition to

this, disturbance associated with clearance may actually have the effect of increasing

the probability of reinvasion through the creation of suitable mircrosites (Stephenson

et al., 2006). This is especially of great concern where there is an adjacent stand of mature flowering R. ponticum acting as a seed source. This situation can occur where there is not enough money available to clear the entire stand, or where land is under management by a different owner who does not intend to clear the invaded area. It is therefore important to develop a post clearance management strategy to prevent reinvasion; this could include planting native vegetation to compete with and exclude R. ponticum seedlings, or perhaps a grazing regime to impede establishment.

### 1.5 INVASIVE SPECIES LEGISLATION

Section 14 of The Wildlife and Countryside Act (1981), as amended by the Nature Conservation (Scotland) Act 2004 in Scotland and the Natural Environment and Rural Communities Act (2006) in England and Wales, is the principal legislation dealing with the release of non-native species and makes it illegal to plant or otherwise cause to grow in the wild any plant listed on Schedule 9 of the Act (DEFRA 2007). In England and Wales, Schedule 9 currently includes two terrestrial plant species (Japanese Knotweed, Fallopia japonica, and Giant Hogweed Heracleum mantegazzianum). Following the 2007 consultation more species are likely to be added to the list, including perhaps  $R$ . *ponticum* which was on the proposed species list (DEFRA, 2007).

The UK is obliged by several international agreements to prevent, control or eradicate invasive non-native species which are harmful. The UK is a contracting party to the following European conventions: The Convention of the Conservation of European Wildlife and Natural Habitats, Article 12 of which, states that each contracting party to the convention undertakes to strictly control the introduction of

non-native species; and The Directive on the Conservation of natural habitats and wild fauna and flora (EU Habitats Directive, 1992), which requires members to ensure that the deliberate introduction of any species which is not native to their territory is regulated (NNSS, 2009).

EU and UK policy on invasive species is founded on the worldwide Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD), (1992/1993), which states under Article 8(h) that members shall "prevent the introduction of, control or eradicate those alien species which threaten ecosystems, habitats or species". Contracting parties to the CBD also agreed to "achieve by 2010 a significant reduction of the current rate of biodiversity loss at the global, regional and national level" (2010 Biodiversity Target) (NNSS, 2009).

### 1.6 PROJECT OBJECTIVES

An understanding of the invasive dynamics of  $R$ . ponticum is key in identifying potentially vulnerable habitats and predicting future range expansion. It is also critical to develop a better understanding of the physiological mechanisms behind control techniques with the aim of improving the efficacy of practical control measures. An essential step in learning more about the underlying mechanisms of plant invasions is not only the identification of traits which make plant species invasive, but also investigating the factors that make a habitat vulnerable to invasion, and how these factors interact with the invasive plant traits. For example this interaction could be between the seed biology of the invasive plant species and microhabitat conditions. A series of experiments were carried out in the greenhouse and growth chambers to look at the effect of microhabitat on germination and seedling survival of R. ponticum under controlled conditions. This information would be of great value for the prevention of not only invasion or re-invasion but also for prediction of future range expansion.

The use of herbicides, particularly glyphosate is essential to the removal of  $R$ .

ponticum in an invaded area (section 1.4 above). However little is known about the action of the herbicide in  $R$ . ponticum. Knowledge of the absorption, translocation and the factors that affect these processes within the plant is therefore very valuable to optimise control efficacy and potential re-establishment. A set of trials in the field in Snowdonia National Park, North Wales, UK, and in laboratory under controlled conditions were carried out to investigate this.

"CHAPTER II: The effect of substrate, watering and light on germination and seedling survival of the invasive species Rhododendron ponticum

### 2.1 ABSTRACT

Rhododendron ponticum L. constitutes an invasive species in several countries across Europe. The relative importance of microhabitat limitation is one of the critical elements in effective management strategies and for predicting future range expansion. A series of controlled experiments were conducted to explore the effect of microhabitat on R. *ponticum* germination and seedling survival. Light environment in the form of different shade treatments, watering regime and seedbed substrate were manipulated in a fully factorial design to investigate main effects and interactions.

Short-growing moss, bare soil and sown lawn grass were the best seedbed substrates for  $R$ . ponticum establishment, exhibiting a combination of high

germination rates (20,28 and 28%, respectively) and high seedling survival (69,41 and 50%, respectively). Both rates were much lower in tall growing moss, leaf litter and grassland turf with survival rates as low as 0% in some treatments.

grass (39 to 16%), grass turf (16 to 1%) and leaf litter (20 to 11%) seedbed substrates. However, it had a positive effect on germination in bare soil which increased from 20% with no shade to 35%, and in short moss from 9% to 30%. Similar patterns were followed for seedling survival with green shade reducing survival in lawn grass, grass turf, leaf litter and tall moss, but having no effect in bare soil. Investigation into the mechanism behind light limitation revealed that a

Periodic droughting had a significant negative effect (overall reduction from 21% to 14%) on germination rates especially in the more exposed substrates (bare soil and short moss) with seed sown in leaf litter and grass being less affected.

Germination rate, seedling survival and biomass were all significantly affected by light treatment, though the nature of this effect was dependent upon seedbed type. Green shade caused significant reductions in germination in the sown

reduction in R: FR ratio was responsible for inhibiting germination and reducing seedling survival. Seedlings grown under no shade had greater root and shoot biomass. There was some indication of an effect of population and genotypic plasticity on germination under different light treatments.

### 2.2 INTRODUCTION

Invasive species are now recognised as one of the key threats to biodiversity, second only to habitat destruction (Mack *et al.*, 2000; Keane & Crawley, 2002). Although many exotic plant species escape from cultivation or are directly introduced into semi-natural habitats, only a fraction  $(0.1\%)$  become aggressively invasive and displace native species (Williamson  $\&$  Fitter, 1996). Invasion success by new species is influenced by three factors: propagule pressure, the characteristics of the invading species, and the susceptibility of the environment to the new species (invasibility) (Lonsdale, 1999). Invasibility is the outcome of several environmental factors, including competitive ability of the resident species, local climate and disturbance regime as well as a range of intermittent stochastic events that can increase invasibility (Lonsdale, 1999). Therefore, predicting invasion success requires an understanding of the site-specific and species-specific factors that contribute to the successful emergence of the invader.

Seed germination and seedling establishment are key processes that influence plant distribution and abundance. The transition between these phases is considered one of the most critical stages in the life cycle of plants (Harper 1977; Kitajima & Fenner 2000). The likelihood of a propagule surviving each of these life stages may vary both spatially and temporally and can be affected by many abiotic and biotic factors (Baskin and Baskin, 1998). While seedling establishment in some species is

largely affected by seed size (Burke & Grime 1996) and number (Jakobsson *et al.*,

2006), in many species the availability of suitable microsites or `safe sites' limits

species recruitment (Eriksson & Ehrlen, 1992; Jones & del Moral, 2005). The term

`safe site' refers to the biotic and abiotic conditions that promote emergence and

survival of seedlings of a given species (Harper et al., 1965). Many abiotic and biotic

factors can affect seed germination and seedling establishment such as distance from closest seed source (Stephenson et al., 2006), light (Paynter et al., 1998; Leishman et al., 2000) and substrate type (Herrera  $\&$  Laterra, 2009). Ground cover (live vegetation plus leaf litter) is an important environmental factor that can influence seedling emergence as well as survival (Isselstein *et al.*, 2002; Gómez-Aparicio *et* al., 2005) and may control the existence of safe sites for plant recruitment (Harper 1977; Xiong et al., 2003).

### 2.2.1 Microclimate and microhabitats

As well as reducing resources through competition, plants may alter environmental conditions in a way that benefits neighbours, e.g. by increasing

Plants are dependent on a range of environmental resources for which they can potentially compete: light, water, nutrients and space, and in some cases pollinators and seed dispersers. The modification of above-ground microclimate by established vegetation as well as inter-annual climatic fluctuations can also have a major role to play in seedling establishment. They may alter the evaporative regime, temperature, wind flow, gaseous concentrations (e.g. water vapour and carbon dioxide), and soil moisture availability through their physical presence and physiological activities (Hunter & Aarssen, 1988). The presence of a vegetative canopy alters the light environment below by reducing both light quantity and causing a reduction in the ratio of red to far-red  $(R:FR)$  wavelength. A low  $R:FR$  can reduce the growth and other developmental responses of plants (Geiger, 1965; Mitchell, 1992), notably seed germination (Yirdaw & Leinonen, 2002). For plant species that are 'light-demanding' (positively photoblastic), a low R: FR typical of shady habitats can inhibit germination (Seiwa et al., 2009) and reduce seedling survival (de Souza & Välio, 2001).

resources through canopy leaching, microbial enhancement, mycorrhizal networks and hydraulic lift and providing physical or chemical protection from herbivores, potential competitors or extremes of climate (Brooker et al., 2008). The positive influence of neighbouring plants (nurse plant syndrome) has been described for a wide range of ecosystems but is particularly common in arid and semi-arid environments (Maestre et al., 2001; Munguia-Rosas & Sosa, 2008). Commonly

17

reported effects of the nurse canopy include: a reduction in temperature and lower rate of evaporation (Valiente-Banuet & Ezcurra, 1991; Suzan *et al.*, 1996), lower photosynthetic flux density (PPFD) (Bush  $\&$  van Auke, 1990), and increased soil moisture (Belsky, 1994). A review of the facilitative interactions in plant communities carried out by Brooker *et al.* (2008), found that evidence of positive facilitative effects between plants tended to occur in severe environments, such as deserts, arctic or alpine (Callaway *et al.*, 2002; Castro *et al.*, 2004; Cavieres *et al.*, 2005; Cavieres et al., 2006). In Mediterranean ecosystems, the emergence and survival of seedlings is highly microclimate dependent, being much lower in open spaces than under pre-established vegetation such as trees or shrubs (Herrera *et al.*, 1994; Castro et al., 2004; Gomez-Aparicio et al., 2005). Pre-existing vegetation can facilitate germination and seedling survival by causing a reduction in direct solar radiation and soil temperature and an increase in soil moisture and air humidity thereby improving the water status of the seedlings (Maestre *et al.*, 2003; Castro *et* al., 2004). Certain plant species, either during particular life-history stages or throughout their lives, require the humid conditions beneath a canopy of other species and may not respond to full sunlight with increased productivity as do upperstorey light demanding species (Hunter & Aarssen, 1988). Leaf litter can reduce the amplitude of temperature and reduce evaporation while imposing shaded conditions (Eckstein & Donath, 2005). Whilst this can create a beneficial microclimate for seedlings vulnerable to desiccation, the overall effect on seed germination and seedling establishment is usually negative especially on smallerseeded species with higher light requirements (Xiong and Nilsson, 1999; Jensen  $\&$ Gutekunst 2003). Litter influences both light quantity and quality causing a change in the spectral composition beneath the litter layer through a reduction in the red component of light. The effects of shading, biochemical effects, and physical obstruction to the emergence of a seed's plumule and radicle by forest-floor litter decrease germination and seedling emergence in many species (Ellsworth et al.,



### 2.2.2 Rhododendron ponticum autoecology

### The purpose of this study is to further understand the recruitment dynamics

18

of the invasive species R. ponticum. Rhododendron ponticum was first introduced to

the British Isles in 1763 as an ornamental plant in gardens and was also extensively planted as game cover in woodland (Elton, 1958). It has since become widely established across Great Britain and Ireland where conditions were favourable. Local populations are mostly thought to have originated from  $R$ . *ponticum*  $L$ . subsp. baeticum in the Iberian Peninsula though there is some evidence for crossing with the more frost tolerant R. catawbiense and the American R. maximum (Milne  $\&$ Abbott, 2000). Research by Erfineier and Bruelheide (2005) found evidence for a genetic shift in invasive populations of R. *ponticum* from Ireland which exhibited faster germination and greater growth rates than their native counterparts from Georgia and Spain. They also found a great variation among populations nested within countries. The present study area is focused on Snowdonia National Park in North Wales, UK, where the core distribution of  $R$ . *ponticum* appears to be associated with planting in large estates from which it has since spread rapidly into the surrounding countryside in one of the most serious invasions in the British Isles (Jackson, 2008).

The relative invasion success of R. ponticum in different areas where it has been planted in the British Isles has been attributed to a combination of several

factors: the climatic and edaphic suitability of the invaded habitat, the absence of natural predators, prolific seed production, widespread planting and habitat disturbance (Cross, 1981; Shaw, 1984). A major factor thought to limit the spread of R. ponticum is the availability of suitable safe sites for seedling germination and survival (Rotherham, 1986, 2001). *Rhododendron ponticum* can grow well under both deciduous and evergreen canopies (unless the light levels are extremely low) as well as in more exposed open areas. In a survey of  $R$ , *ponticum* distribution in the Snowdonia National Park, Gritten (1995) found that the habitat most invaded was coniferous woodland (49.8% of R. ponticum stands) with  $14.7\%$  of populations being in broadleaved woodland sites and 23.5% on open mountain. There is limited information on the effect of different light environments on  $R$ . *ponticum* germination and seedling survival. The only available information seems to be solely based on readings under different canopies in the field. For example, Cross (1975) (citing Cross, 1973), states that the rate of germination after 49 days under a R. ponticum canopy and an oak/holly canopy was 17% and 75% respectively. While this indicates that a denser shade reduces germination there is no quantitative description of the

light environment in terms of photosynthetic photon flux density (PPFD) or R: FR and there is no information on whether the seed was sown or how much. The confounding effects of varying environmental factors could also be responsible for any differences in germination rates. Cross (1975) also states that light is essential for germination and that R: $FR$  in the ration 1:20 (i.e. 0.05) with moss green filter was not inhibitory, but again there is no indication of experimental method or rigour. There is also no information on the effects of light or water availability on the

survival of  $R$ . *ponticum* seedlings and habitat preferences are solely based on field distribution observations.

There are two studies recording occurrence of  $R$ . *ponticum* in the field, both based in woodland habitats. The first, by Cross (1981) was carried out in an oak woodland in Killarney, Co. Kerry, Ireland. A representative area of woodland was mapped and frequencies of  $R$ . *ponticum* seedlings on different ground cover recorded. Seedlings were localized to slopes on thin bryophyte carpets, such as shortgrowing pleurocarpous mosses, and acrocarpous mosses but not thicker moss mats. Cross found no occurrence of R. *ponticum* seedlings on bare soil or in leaf litter and suggested that this could be due to desiccation. Mejías *et al.* (2002) attributes recruitment failure of R. *ponticum* seedlings in relict populations in its native Iberia to the lack of suitable safe sites free from drought. The other study by Stephenson *et* al., 2006 recorded the presence/absence of R. ponticum seedlings ( $\leq$ 7 years old) in a single site within a mixed woodland (oak, beech, spruce) in Argyll, Scotland. They found that  $R$ . ponticum occurrence was highest on fallen logs or decaying tree stumps covered in moss or a thin layer of decomposing leaf litter. They recorded no seedlings in areas dominated by grass or with a mix of grass and moss.

### 2.3 OBJECTIVES

Four hypotheses were tested.

- 1. Is establishment of R. ponticum limited by availability of very specific safe sites ('seed bed')?
- 2. Is establishment of R. *ponticum* limited by drought?



Experiment 1 tested hypotheses 1, 2 and 3 with a factorial combination of different seed-bed substrates, light quantity and watering regimes.

Experiment 3 tested hypothesis 4 by comparing the effect of light quantity and quality on germination rates of different provenances of  $R$ . *ponticum*.

- 3. If it is limited by drought, does the presence of a canopy mitigate this effect and contribute to a site being 'safe'?
- 4. To what extent can shade be a limiting factor on establishment? Does filtering of red and blue by a green canopy (which also reduces  $R:FR$  ratio) limit the rate of seedling survival, and growth. And, as a potential evolutionary consequence of this (out-weighing the potential benefits of shade, does R: FR limit germination?

In order to test these hypotheses three experiments were established:

Beddgelert: 53°00'00 N, 4°06'13 W, open heath (140 - 190 m); (3) Nant Gwynant: 53°01'38 N, 4°02'06 W, open grassland (150 -170 m); (4) Penmaenuchaf Hall Hotel, 52°54'52 N, 3°55'36 W, deciduous woodland (50-60 m alt. ); and (5) Sygun Copper Mine,  $53^{\circ}01'03 \text{ N } 4^{\circ}04'54 \text{ W}$ , open mountain (70-100 m alt.). Capsules containing

Experiment 2 tested hypotheses 3 and 4 with a comparison of different levels of neutral (high R: $FR$ ) and green (low R: $FR$ ) shade, in glasshouse and in growth chamber conditions.

### 2.4 METHODS

### 2.4.1 Seed collection

# Experiment 1 & 2

Collection sites were selected to cover the altitudinal and geographical range in this area, including different habitat types, open grassland, forest edges and forest interior. Seeds were collected from five populations in North West Wales: (1) Bangor: 53°11'23 N, 4°10'56 W, roadside, woodland edge; (60 m alt. ); (2)

seed were collected from at least 20 individuals in each population. Seeds were thoroughly mixed to minimise the effects of population and parent individuals.

### Experiment 3

Seeds were collected from 6 populations - Sygun Copper Mine, Nant Gwynant, Wales (WNG)  $53^{\circ}01'03$  N  $4^{\circ}04'54$  W (70-100 m alt.) open mountain;

Penmaenuchaf Hall Hotel, Mawddach, Wales (WDOL) 52°54'52 N, 3°55'36 W (50- 60 m alt.) old estate, deciduous woodland; Kylemore Abbey, Co. Galway, Ireland (IKYL) 53°33'41 N, 9°53'21 W (40 m alt. ) old estate, open; Kilbride, Co. Wicklow (IKIL) 53°12'13 N, 6°27'40 W (220-230 m) coniferous forest edge; Loch Eck, Scotland (SCLE) 56°04'35 N, 4°59'21 W (250 m alt.) open space in mixed woodland; and Drimsynie, Scotland (SCB839) 56°11'05 N, 4°55'47 W (40 m alt. ) coniferous woodland/road edge - in December 2007 and January 2008. Capsules containing seed were collected from at least 20 individuals from each population. Seeds were thoroughly mixed within each population to minimise the effects of single parent individuals.

Although *R. ponticum* seeds (Fig. 2.1) are small, the mature seed is  $\sim$ 2mm in length, making it easily distinguishable from much smaller  $\ll$  0.5mm in length) undeveloped ovules. Only mature seeds were chosen, at random, for the experiments. Preliminary germination tests on filter paper in Petri dishes found germination capacity to be between 70 and 95%.



Figure 2.2Percentage transmission of Lee 139 primary green film transmitted across the visible portion of the electromagnetic spectrum  $(x axis - wavelength)$ 

Figure 2.1 Drawing of R. ponticum seed approximately 2 mm in length bearing a frill of fibres, by author.





### 2.4.2 Experimental design

### Experiment 1

Fig. 2.2 gives the absorption spectrum). Chamber dimensions (Figure 2.3) were made large enough to leave a buffer of 10 cm around the seed trays to limit any variation of light quantity and quality by edge effects. A small gap of 3 cm was left at the top and bottom to allow airflow. Average values for maximum photosynthetic photon flux density (PPFD) and the red to far red light ratio  $(R:FR)$  under the different chambers were measured using a Skye PAR (SKP 215) sensor and a Skye 660/730 nm (SKT 110) sensor (Skye Instruments Ltd., Powys, UK). Calibration of the instruments was carried out to national standards by Skye Instruments, Powys, 6 months prior to use to national standards. Average PPFD was  $20\%$  (SD =  $3\%$ ) and  $90\%$  (SD = 3%) of ambient light under the green and clear chambers respectively.

The R: FR (0.45) was  $45\%$  (SD = 5%) of ambient light (R: FR = 1.04) under the green film and 97% (SD = 2%) of ambient light (R:FR = 1.01) under the clear chambers.

Two different types of light treatment chambers were constructed to examine effects of simulated forest understory light on germination and seedling survival: one using clear film the other Lee 139 primary green filter film (Stage Electrics, Brighton, UK;

Figure 2.3 Shade chamber construction and dimensions.






Figure 2.4 Arrangement of chambers on benches and arrangement of benches in the glasshouse.

Six seed-bed treatments were established: (i) tall moss 4 cm in height (Thuidium tamarascinum); (ii) short moss 0.5 cm in height (Plagiothecium undulatum); (iii) perennial rye grass turf (Lolium perenne); (iv) lawn grass seed mixture of Lolium perenne, Festuca ovina and Festuca rubra; (v) oak leaf litter; and (vi) bare compost. For treatments i, ii, iv, v and vi, 400 g (fresh weight, mean 200 g dry weight) of John

Innes No. 3 seeding compost was placed in 15 x 20 cm seed trays. The tall growing moss species (Thuidium tamarascinum), 4 cm in height, was collected from a coniferous forest near Pentir, Gwynedd (53°10'45 N4°06'24 W) and the shortgrowing moss (Plagiothecium undulatum), 0.5 cm in height, was collected from a coniferous forest near Moel y Ci, Gwynedd: (53°10'38 N, 4°06'00 W). Sections of approximately 15 x 20 cm were taken from the moss mats and placed on top of the compost. Oak leaf litter was collected from oak woodland near Penisarwaun  $(53^{\circ}09'54 \text{ N}, 4^{\circ}09'55 \text{ W})$  and allowed to air dry and 8 g (air dry weight) was weighed out and placed on top of the compost. For the grass turf treatment (iii), 12 cm x 27 cm x 4 cm (depth) blocks of perennial rye grass were cut from a grazed

upland field in Abergwyngregyn (53°13'58 N, 4°01'12 W) and transferred to 15 x 20 cm seed trays. There was no R. *ponticum* seed source within more than 1.5 km of all the sites from which the material was collected (dispersal distance of  $R$ . ponticum seeds: 0.02% travel more than 50 m (Stephenson *et al.*, 2007)). Two seed trays containing each of the six types of seed-bed substrate  $-$  were placed in a random position on a bench below each of ten of the light treatment chambers. Two of each



seed-bed substrates (one under each light treatment), fully watered with no seed added were included (to identify any non R. *ponticum* seedlings) and distributed between the five blocks for a total of 132 trays (120 treatments trays and 12 control trays. Chambers were arranged in pairs (one of each light treatment) in five blocks distributed between two benches on the SE side of an unheated glasshouse (Fig. 2.4) on top of capillary matting and porous black plastic sheet. Due to the orientation of the benches the position of the two light treatments within each block was non

random so that the green chamber was always to the north of the clear chamber to

minimise any green shading of the clear chambers.

The treatment trays were allowed two weeks to equilibrate and on  $1<sup>st</sup>$  May 2008, 13.6 mg of R. ponticum seed (equal to 200 seeds based on five weightings) was scattered evenly across the substrate in each of the trays.

Two watering treatments were applied to both shade treatments, one set was watered daily (WD) to field capacity, and the other was subjected to periods of drought (PD). However despite being watered daily, in summer months, some substrates such as bare soil and moss were still prone to drying out. Watering frequency for the PD treatment varied depending on environmental conditions: all PD trays were watered one day after a soil surface crust had formed in the bare soil seedbed substrate. Average weight of bare soil in a tray (based on 5 weightings) at field capacity was 400 g; at time when crust formed it was 255 g (28% soil water content); and dry weight was 200 g. Watering was carried using a watering can with a fine rose from above. Grass was trimmed when necessary to keep it at a height of no more than 5 cm.

The trays were checked every two days for signs of emergence, and germinants were first counted 48 days after the trays were first watered. Twenty of the seedlings were

marked with 3-cm plastic sticks (for monitoring of seedling survival) and the rest were gently removed (to maximise the accuracy of subsequent counts of new emergents). Measurements were taken at three-week intervals thereafter to determine subsequent germinations (all of which were removed) and seedling mortality. The most likely cause of any death was recorded, distinguishing between drought (seedlings brown and shrivelled), physical damage from water drops (seedlings

uprooted) and limitation by low light (seedling with extremely thin almost transparent wilted stem and leaves) although death by pathogens could not be ruled out. The experiment was monitored for six months when growth had ceased and no further changes in seedling mortality or germination had occurred for four weeks. To measure seedling growth, 72 surviving seedlings were harvested after six months from the bare soil and short moss substrates (combined), 36 from the clear and 36 from the green light treatments. Seedling height (cm), and root and shoot fresh

Three different light treatments were constructed to examine the effects of simulated forest understorey light and neutral shading on  $R$ . *ponticum* seed germination and seedling survival. This was done using clear film, Lee 139 primary green filter film (Fig. 2.3) and clear film covered in a thin layer of black spray paint. Average values for maximum PPFD and R: FR of the different light treatments were measured using a Skye quantum (SKP 215) sensor and a Skye 6601730nm (SKT 110) sensor (Skye Instruments Ltd., Powys, UK). Average PPFD was  $20\%$  (SD =  $3\%$ ) of ambient light under the green and neutral shade chambers and 90% under the clear chamber. The R: FR was  $45\%$  (SD = 5%) of ambient light (R: FR = 1.04) under the green film and 97% (SD = 2%) of ambient light (R:FR = 1.01) under the clear chambers.

weight (g) were measured. To examine the effect of competition from grass on R. ponticum seedling growth 36 seedlings were also harvested from the clear chamber sown grass and grass turf treatments. In the clear chamber treatment, it was not possible to compare these with the green shade treatment as there were not enough surviving seedlings to sample from. Shoot height and weight were measured but root weight was not, as it was not possible to remove the roots from the soil intact.



Based on the experience from the first experiment, the following three growing media were chosen to represent the different effects of seed-bed vegetation (and its interaction with shade treatment) on seed germination and seedling survival: tall moss, sown grass and bare soil. The details of the establishment of these seed-bed treatments in the seed trays were identical to Experiment 1.

One seed tray containing each of the three types of seed-bed substrate was placed in a random position on a bench below each of 15 of the `light treatment chambers', giving a total of 45 trays. The boxes were arranged in groups of three (one of each treatment) in five blocks distributed between two benches on the SE side of an unheated glasshouse (Fig. 2.5). Due to the orientation of the benches the position of the two light treatments within each block was non-random so that the green chamber was always to the north of the clear chamber to minimise any green shading



of the clear chambers. Plants were watered daily to field capacity using a watering hose from above. The treatment trays were allowed two weeks to equilibrate and on  $1<sup>st</sup>$  July 2008, 13.6 mg of R. ponticum seed (equal to 200 seeds based on five weightings) was scattered evenly across the substrate in each of the trays.



Figure 2.5 Arrangement of replicate blocks and shade boxes on the benches.

The seed trays were monitored weekly and counts were taken of new seedling

emergents. Germinants emerged for the first time after 28 days. Twenty of the seedlings were marked (with plastic sticks) and the rest were gently removed (to maximise the accuracy of subsequent counts of new emergents). Measurements were taken at weekly intervals thereafter to count subsequent germinations which were removed and mortality of the marked seedlings.

#### Experiment 3

Germination tests were carried out in petri dishes with 20 seeds from one of the six populations placed in each petri dish. Seeds were placed on filter paper discs of 85 mm diameter in 90 mm petri dishes. The experiment was conducted in a controlled growth chamber environment with a constant temperature of 20 °C (the optimum germination temperatures for R. *ponticum* in both invasive and native populations have been found to be in the range 16 to 23 °C (Erfmeier & Bruelheide, 2005)). The seeds were subjected to three different light treatments - clear film, green plastic film and clear film covered in a thin layer of black spray paint. The light environment under each of the films was determined using a Skye PAR quantum (SKP 215) sensor to measure photosynthetic photon flux density (PPFD) and a Skye 660/730nm (SKT 110) sensor to measure the red to far red light ratio  $(R:FR)$ . PPFD and  $R:FR$ under the clear, green and neutral films were 270, 45 and 45  $\mu$ mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> and 2.42, 2.42 and 0.1 respectively.

Three trays (1 of each light treatment) were placed in the growth chamber as shown in Figure 2.6. There were 30 petri dishes in each light treatment: six populations replicated five times. The position of the dishes of each population was randomised within each light treatment. To get the correct light levels the light treatments were placed at different levels to each other in the growth chamber, thus light treatment was confounded with position. However, careful monitoring was carried out of the temperature within the growth chamber which confirmed that a constant temperature of 20 °C was maintained throughout, minimising the risk that this was a confounding error. A seed was considered to have germinated when the radicle had emerged. Dishes were checked every second day for new germinants. All the dishes were carefully watered using a dropper pipette every second day with de-ionised water and with 50% ethanol solution once a week to prevent infection by mildew.





Figure 2.6 Experimental set up in growth chamber, 6 populations  $x 5$  reps = 30 Petri dishes arranged within each light treatment. Numbers represent the levels of PAR ( $\mu$ mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) and R:FR.

### 2.5 STATISTICAL ANALYSES

#### Experiments 1&2

Germination capacity was expressed both as a cumulative percent germination (seeds germinated/ total seeds sown) and as germination rate (velocity of germination over time). Residuals of data were plotted (SPSS V17.0, 2008) for a visual inspection of normality and the data were found to be normally distributed. Univariate analysis of variance was carried out to test for significant differences in the effects of treatment: habitat (wet vs. dry; light v shade), seed-bed substrate, and habitat  $\times$  substrate (microhabitat) on each of the two measures of germination capacity. The substrate effect directly tested the hypothesis that more seeds germinate on low-growing moss than on the other substrate types. The interaction term between substrate and

In calculating the dependent variable of germination rate, it was important to consider the potential variation in the time course of germination in each of the

# watering regime was included to verify that this effect was not mediated by soil moisture.



treatments. To do this, the data were fitted to a model using regression procedures. The index  $t_{1/2}$ , the time (in days) elapsed to 50% of maximum percentage of seeds that germinated, was used. Germination data for each seed tray were fitted to the following four-parameter logistic function model as described by Hill et al. (2005):

Equation 2.1:

# $Y = y_0 + a/\sqrt{1 + (X/x_0)^b}$

where  $X =$  any given day from 0 to 147,  $Y =$  the relative germination for Day X,  $y_0 =$ the predicted y intercept;  $a =$  maximum germination,  $x_0$  is the calculated time in days necessary to achieve one-half of a, and  $b =$  the calculated slope at 1/2 a. Independent  $y_0$ ,  $x_0$ ,  $a$ , and  $b$  values were calculated from the logistic function for each tray. Analysis of variance was also used to examine the treatment effects on the logistical parameter, b. Seedling survival was the total number of seedlings remaining at the final recording (6 months) expressed as a percentage of the number of germinants.

The data were normally distributed so ANOVA was carried out to test for significant differences in seedling survival amongst the various treatments.

Some trays experienced no germination at all and therefore rates of germination or seedling survival could not be included for them (hence reduced degrees of freedom in ANOVA error for germination rate and seedling survival).

# Experiment 3

Analysis of data (germination capacity, germination rate) was carried out in the same

way as for experiments 1 and 2. The light treatments were applied to different parts

of the growth chamber so light treatment was confounded with position and

therefore, while statistical comparison between the two is valid, the interpretation

must acknowledge that any differences cannot be attributed with certainty to light treatment rather than position.



#### 2.6 RESULTS

# Experiment 1

#### 2.6.1 The effect of substrate on seed germination and seedling survival

After 21 weeks of treatment exposure, germination and seedling survival of R. ponticum was strongly affected by light regime, water availability and seed-bed

substrate (Fig.  $2.7 - 2.9$ , Table 2.1). Emergence varied amongst the different substrates with the highest final percentage seed germination occurring in sown lawn grass and bare soil (Fig. 2.7a). Analysis of percentage germination data with the Tukey HSD Post Hoc test, subdivided the substrates into three groups that were significantly different from each other  $(p < 0.001)$  based on percentage germination: the first comprising sown grass (27.5%) and bare soil (27.5%); the second, short moss (19.8%) and leaf litter (15.3%); and the third, grass turf (8.6%) and tall moss (7.2%). There was little difference in time elapsed to 50% maximum germination in the various substrates. Time ranged from 34 to 37 days for all but one of the substrates (Fig. 2.7b;  $r^2$  values for all logistic regression between 0.9900 and 0.9999);  $t_{1/2}$  in the grass turf was significantly longer ( $p < 0.001$ ) at 45 days though this was

There was a large significant ( $p < 0.001$ ) effect of substrate on seedling survival with the highest percentage surviving in the short moss, sown grass and bare soil (Fig. 2.7a). Seedling mortality was highest in tall moss, leaf litter and grass turf (Fig. 2.7a). Many of the dead seedlings in short moss, bare soil and, to some extent, tall moss had a brown and shrivelled appearance which appeared to indicate that they had died of drought. However, this interpretation is not supported by the highly insignificant effect of the watering treatment, or the (marginally) insignificant

most likely due to the difficulty in identifying newly germinated seedlings in the thick grass.

interaction of watering and substrate. The other major cause of death in bare soil was physical uprooting, presumably by water drops. Inspection of the seedlings that died in leaf litter and tall moss indicated that physical obstruction to seedling roots reaching the soil was a major cause of mortality. The appearance of the seedlings that died in sown grass, grass turf and leaf litter indicated that low light levels were

 $\frac{1}{2}$ 

the major cause of mortality (the dead or dying seedlings were characterised by extremely thin etiolated, almost transparent wilted stems and leaves), although death by pathogens cannot be discounted.

Table 2.1 Univariate analysis of variance on the effect of light treatment (green shade v noshade); watering regime (daily watering v periodic droughting) and seedbed substrate (bare soil, lawn grass, short moss, grass turf, leaf litter, tall moss) on various aspects of Rhododendron ponticum seedling establishment: germination capacity (cumulative seeds germinated / total seeds sown (%)); germination rate ( $t_{1/2}$  = time taken for half of the seeds to germinate); and seedling survival, after 21 weeks in an unheated greenhouse. See Appendix 2.1-2.3 for full ANOVA.

#### Germination rate











#### **Substrate**



Figure 2.7 The effect of substrate on establishment of *Rhododendron ponticum* seedlings: a) the effect on final germination (expressed as a percent of total seed sown) and seedling survival at 21 weeks after sowing seed; b) the effect on germination rate (expressed as a ratio of the maximum germination). Curves were fitted using a logistic 4 parameter function model (Hill *et* al., 2005), see Equation 2.1. Vertical bars are standard errors of the mean.

# 2.6.2 The effect of light on seed germination and seedling survival

Although there was no significant overall effect of green shade on final percentage germination (mean clear: 18 % (SEM = 2); green: 17 % (SEM = 2)) there was a highly significant interaction effect of light and substrate ( $p < 0.001$ ). The response of both measures of germination to light treatment was highly dependent upon the

seedbed substrate. A significantly higher final percentage germination was observed in bare soil and short moss under the simulated green canopy than under their noshade counterparts (Fig. 2.8a). However, green shading had a significantly negative effect on germination success on other substrates: sown grass, field grass and leaf litter rates reduced from 39.4,19.7 and 15.8% under the clear chamber to 15.6,11

and 1.4%, respectively under green shade (Fig. 2.8a). The shape of the cumulative germination curves under the two light regimes indicates that in both the majority of seeds completed germination over a relatively short time period; however, this was significantly ( $p = 0.019$ ) accelerated by the green shade treatment (Fig. 2.8 b). Average time  $(t_{1/2})$  taken for half of the seeds to germinate with no shade was 41 days (SEM  $=1$  day) compared to 37 days (SEM  $=1$ day) under the simulated green shade canopy.

Green shade significantly ( $p < 0.001$ ) reduced seedling survival from a mean of 43.9% (SEM = 4.5%) under the clear film to chamber 21.5% (SEM = 4.3%) under the green shade). This effect showed a strong interaction  $(p < 0.001)$  with seedbed substrate. The deleterious effect of green shade was very evident in the grass turf, sown lawn grass, leaf litter and tall moss substrates but not on bare soil or short moss (Figure 2.8c). The lowest levels of seedling survival under green shade were in the grass turf, leaf litter, tall moss and sown grass substrates with 0,1,2 and 11% surviving, respectively. This increased to 44,16,12 and 90%, respectively for seedlings grown under no shade.

2.6.3 The effect of periodic droughting on seed germination and seedling survival

Daily watering significantly increased final percentage germination over droughting (from 14% to 21%) and reduced t<sub>/2</sub> germination (both  $p < 0.001$ ). This direction of watering effect occurred for all of the substrate types except for the grass turf (Fig. 2.9a), and was significant in bare soil, short moss and tall moss. There was a significant effect of watering treatment ( $p < 0.001$ ) on time taken for half of the seeds to germinate  $(t_{1/2})$  when they were watered daily was 36 days (SEM = 1 day) compared with 42 days (SEM  $=1$  day) for those that were subjected to periods of drought (Fig. 2.9b). There was no overall effect of periodic droughting on seedling

survival, though there was a nearly significant ( $p = 0.065$ ) interaction with substrate

type; it was notable that survival was higher for seedlings grown in bare soil that

were watered daily, whereas in grass turf and tall moss periodic droughting appeared

to produce higher seedling survival than daily watering conditions (Fig. 2.9c).



#### **Substrate**



Figure 2.8 The effect of green and clear light on establishment of Rhododendron ponticum seedlings. a) The effect of the interaction of light and substrate on final germination (expressed as a percent of total seed sown); b) the effect of shade treatment on time taken for half the seeds to germinate  $(t_{1/2})$ , curves were fitted using a logistic 4 parameter function model (Hill *et al.*, 2005), see Equation 2.1; and c) the effect of the interaction of light and substrate on seedling survival percentage. Vertical bars are standard errors of the mean.





Figure 2.9 The effect of watering treatment with different substrate types on: a) final germination (expressed as a percent of total seed sown); b) time taken for half the seeds to germinate  $(t_{1/2})$ , curves were fitted using a logistic 4 parameter function model (Hill *et al.*, 2005), see Equation 2.1; and c) seedling survival  $(\%)$  of Rhododendron ponticum 21 weeks after sowing seed. Vertical bars are standard errors of the mean.

#### 2.6.4 Seedling height growth and root to shoot ratio

At harvest, after six months the height of seedlings in the bare soil and short moss substrates combined (no significant difference between those two seedbed substrates) was significantly increased ( $p < 0.001$ ) by the green shade treatment from 1.9 cm (SEM =  $0.1$ ) to 2.8 cm (SEM =  $0.1$ ). However, the green shade significantly reduced both the shoot and root biomass of the seedlings ( $p = 0.003$  and  $p < 0.001$ respectively, Table 2.2). The weight of shoots and roots was 24.8 mg and 1.4 mg

respectively under the green shade compared with 56.6 mg and 22.5 mg respectively under the no-shade chamber (Fig. 2.10 a). Root and shoot weight under each light regime were related by a fitted linear regression (Fig. 2.10b) defined by the following equation.

root to shoot ratio. The root: shoot ratio for seedlings grown under green shade (0.044) was significantly lower than under the clear chamber (0.423) ( $p < 0.001$ , Table 2.2). However, seedling shoot biomass was much lower under clear in sown grass and grass turf than in bare soil and short moss ( $p < 0.001$ , Table 2.2). Average shoot weight (Fig. 2.10c) and height (Fig. 2.10d) were both significantly ( $p < 0.001$ ) higher in bare soil and short moss than in the grass seedbeds (Table 2.3).

Equation 2.2:

 $f = y_0 + ax$ 

Where  $f = root$  weight,  $x =$  shoot weight and  $a =$  estimated constant representing the

Table 2.2 Results of one-way analysis of variance tests on the effect of light treatment (green versus clear shade) on Rhododendron ponticum seedling growth during the first six months after seed sowing in bare soil/short growing moss. See Appendix 2.4 for full ANOVA.









Table 2.3 Results of one-way analysis of variance tests on the effect of light treatment and seedbed substrate (bare soil/short moss v lawn/turf grass) on Rhododendron ponticum seedling height and shoot weight during the first six months under no shade. See Appendix 2.5 & 2.6 for full ANOVA.





Figure 2.10 Effect of light treatment on growth of Rhododendron ponticum seedlings at six months after seed was sown in different seedbed substrates. The effect of green/no-shade on a) root and shoot biomass; b) linear regression of root versus shoot weight. Effect of substrate type on growth of R. ponticum seedlings at six months under clear chamber: c) shoot weight; d) shoot height. BS/SM = bare soil/short moss;  $LG/GT =$  lawn grass/grass turf. Vertical bars are standard errors of the mean.



#### Experiment 2

### 2.6.5 The effect of substrate on germination and seedling survival

with tall moss being lowest (4.5%). These differences between substrates appeared soon after first emergence and remained consistently (Fig. 2.11a). There was a difference amongst the substrates in  $t_{1/2}$  for germination: bare soil, tall moss and sown grass being 21, 23 and 31 days respectively (Fig. 2.11b;  $r^2$  values between 0.9897 and 0.9992). Substrate had a more marginally significant effect ( $p = 0.029$ , Table 2.4) on seedling survival with the highest survival in sown grass  $(44\%, \text{SEM} = 9.8)$ , followed by bare soil  $(28.6\%, \text{SEM} = 6.9)$  and tall moss  $(18.6\%, \text{SEM} = 5.1)$ .

Emergence started 28 days after the seeds were sown. The final percentage germination at 12 weeks differed significantly amongst the substrates ( $p < 0.001$ , Table 2.4) being highest in bare soil (36.9%) followed by sown lawn grass (26.3%)

Table 2.4 Univariate analysis of variance on the effect of light treatment (green, neutral and clear) and seedbed substrate (bare soil, sown lawn grass and tall moss) on: germination capacity (cumulative seeds germinated / total seeds sown  $(\%)$ ); germination rate  $(t_{1/2} =$  time taken for half of the seeds to germinate); and seedling survival of *Rhododendron ponticum* seeds, 12 weeks





Figure 2.11 The effect of light regime and substrate on establishment of *Rhododendron ponticum* seedlings: a) main effects of substrate on cumulative germination rate over 12 weeks after sowing; b) the effect of substrate on time taken for half the seeds to germinate  $(t_{1/2})$ , curves were fitted using a logistic 4 parameter function model (Hill *et al.*, 2005), the  $r^2$  values were 0.992, 0.9982 and 0.9897 for bare soil, tall moss and lawn grass, respectively; c) total mean percentage germination (expressed as a percent of total seed sown); and d) seedling survival  $(\%)$  12 weeks after sowing. Vertical bars are standard errors of the mean.

Germination capacity was highest under neutral shade  $(29.3\%$  (SEM = 4.9%)), intermediate under no shade  $(23.7\%$  (SEM = 5.5%)) and lowest under green shade (14.8% (SEM = 3.8%)), the difference being highly significant ( $p < 0.001$ , Table 2.4). As in experiment 1, the difference in germination under the clear and green chambers was not consistent amongst all the substrate treatments; however, analysis

with the Tukey HSD Post Hoc test, revealed that germination under the neutral shade was significantly ( $p = 0.002$ ) higher than under the green shade for all three substrates. There was again a significant interaction of light and substrate on germination capacity ( $p = 0.012$ , Table 2.4), with the trend between light treatments being different for the sown grass substrate (where germination capacity was highest

# 2.6.6 The effect of light on germination and seedling survival

under the clear canopy) than for the other two. The green shade treatment had a similar effect on germination rate in these substrates to the experiment 1 daily watering treatment: reducing it in sown grass, with no effect in tall moss or bare soil. There was a marginally insignificant effect ( $p = 0.056$ ) of light on germination rate  $(t_{1/2})$ .

The effects of the shade treatments on seedling survival were different: the mean rates were highest under no shade (46.8%) intermediate under neutral shade (34.7%)

but again lowest under green shade (11.7%). This effect of light treatment was quite significant ( $p = 0.005$ , Table 2.4); however, standard errors were high within substrate treatments and there was no significant interaction between light and substrate. The most common cause of death inferred from observations of the seedlings was light levels in the sown grass; the dead or dying seedlings were characterised by extremely thin etiolated, almost transparent wilted stems and leaves. The major cause of death in bare soil was observed to be physical uprooting by watering.

Experiment 3

Across the six populations the light treatment had a highly significant effect on final germination rate ( $P < 0.001$ , Table 5), and this was entirely attributable to the large reduction in germination rate under the green shade (from 75% to 18%, Fig. 2.12a). Green shade did not noticeably delay the time to first germination (nine days after sowing), but it did reduce the rate from this time onwards (Fig. 2.12b;  $r^2$  values between 0.9918 and 0.9943). The germination  $t_{1/2}$  under green shade (20 days) was twice as long as under both no shade and neutral shade (10 days). There was no significant difference in final germination rate between the seed from the six populations across the three light treatments (Table 2.5); the mean rates of the populations covered a narrow range from 53% to 60% (Fig. 2.12c). However, there was a strong interaction between light treatment and population ( $p = 0.003$ , Table 2.5). The most notable component of this was that the populations with the lowest germination rates under no shade and neutral shade (IKIL and SCB839, from Ireland and Scotland, respectively) had the highest rates under green shade (Fig. 2.12f).



Figure 2.12 Effect of neutral and green shade on germination rate over seven weeks of seeds from six populations of Rhododendron ponticum sown on filter paper in petri dishes under three different light treatments in a growth chamber. a) Mean final percentage germination rate under the three light treatments(clear - high PAR, high R:FR; neutral – low PAR, high R:FR;<br>and DAD 1 and DR: FR; the second pate of commination across time under the three light green –<br>turstvee green  $-$  low PAR, low R: FR); b) mean rate of germination over time under the three light treatments (a regression line is fitted for each using the formula of Hill *et al.* (2005), the r<sup>-</sup> values were 0.9920, 0.9942 and 0.9940 for clear, neutral and green, respectively); Final germination rates of seeds from the six populations - Wales (Nant Gwynant (WNG), Dolgellau (WDOL)<br>Later 1, 2003, 1903, 2003, 1903, 1903, 1903, 1903, 1903, 1903, 1903, 1903, 1903, 1903, 1903, 1903, 1903, 1903, Ireland (Kylemore Abbey (IKYL), Kilbride (IKIL)) and Scotland (B839 near Drimsynie (SCB839), Loch Eck (SCLE)) - under light with c) a photosynthetic photon flux density (PPFD) of 270 $\mu$ molm<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup> and red to far red ratio (R:FR) of 2.42 (no shade/clear); d) light with a PPFD of  $45\mu$ molm<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup> and R: FR of 2.42 (neutral shade); and e) under light with a PPFD of 45  $\mu$ mol  $m<sup>2</sup>$  s<sup>-1</sup> and R: FR of 0.1 (green shade); f) Mean final germination rates of seeds from the six populations (vertical bars are +/- SEM). Vertical bars are standard errors of the mean.

Table 2.5 Univariate analysis of variance on the effect of light (clear - high PAR, high R: FR; neutral low PAR, high R:FR; green – low PAR, low R:FR) and provenance (six populations)<br>
intime uses away cover weaks of goods from of Phododordrox portions source on file on germination rate over seven weeks of seeds from of *Khododendron ponticum* sown on filter paper in Petri dishes in a growth chamber.

The main effects of the treatments tested in this quantitative experimental study are largely in agreement with previous research on  $R$ . *ponticum*. However, the striking results of the interaction between seed-bed substrate and light, and between seed provenance and light are new. This study has also provided strong evidence of the relative contribution of light level and R: FR spectral composition in mediating the effect of shade on R. *ponticum* establishment. The quantification of the relative



#### 2.7 DISCUSSION

effect of different substrate types in combination with different shade levels on R. ponticum germination and seedling survival is particularly useful in informing management techniques and prediction of the future range expansion of this invasive species.

All three experimental variables, light, moisture and substrate, together with some of the interactions between them, were found to have a significant effect on germination and seedling survival, both of which were highest in the short moss, bare soil and lawn grass substrates and lowest in grass turf, leaf litter and tall moss in Experiment 1. Combining both components of the process of seedling establishment,

short moss was the most suitable substrate. An increasing number of studies deal with the role of bryophytes in plant communities and how their presence affects the germination, establisment or growth of vascular plants (Keizer et al., 1985; Malmer et al., 1994; Zackrisson et al., 1997). The nature of the relationship, that is whether it is facilitative or inhibitive, depends on various factors, such as carpet depth (Zamfir,

2000; Sedia & Ehrenfeld, 2003; Ijima *et al.*, 2004), environment, and presence of a vegetative canopy, all of which effect light and moisture availability (Delach  $\&$ Kimmerer, 2002; Groeneveld *et al.*, 2007; Jeschke & Kiehl, 2008). In this study, the presence of a thick moss layer (Thuidium tamarascinum) reduced emergence considerably: both seed germination and seedling survival were much higher on the short moss mat (*Plagiothecium undulatum*) than the tall moss mat. Although thin moss layers have been found to facilitate vascular plant establishment (Sedia  $\&$ Ehrenfeld, 2003, Dovciak et al., 2008), thick layers can exert negative effects on

germination and establishment through physical interference and shading in thick, densely growing mats (Jeschke & Kiehl, 2008). According to Cross (1981) recruitment of R. ponticum seedlings in the field is dependent on the occurrence of thin moss carpets  $( $1cm$ ), which is also consistent with these results. So, we can$ the field were generally not observed in tall moss carpets with the exception of some Sphagnum spp. (Cross, 1981, Jackson, 2008), which could be explained by the high water retaining capacities of these species.

Despite daily watering in Experiment 1, both moss carpets were susceptible to drying out rapidly on hot days in the no-shade light treatment. Rhododendron ponticum germinated less in thin moss than in bare soil under the no-shade

treatment, whereas in the green shade treatment germination in thin moss was not different from bare soil. The presence of a simulated canopy above the bare soil and short moss carpet seedbed substrates, however, greatly increased germination. Canopy interception of incident radiation significantly reduces soil evaporation (Lambers *et al.*, 2008). Thus, in periods of extreme drought even a thin moss cover may inhibit rather than facilitate germination and in the absence of a shade canopy, moss carpets are highly vulnerable to desiccation with the effect of reducing germination. However, light or watering treatment had no significant effect on seedling survival in a thin layer of moss whereas periods of drought did reduce survival in bare soil. Conditions affecting survival of seedlings were therefore different from those affecting seed germination. Various studies have reported higher

vascular plant seed germination on bare soil than in bryophyte layers (e.g. During  $\&$ 

van Tooren, 1990; Zamfir, 2000). For example, a study into the germination of four

species of grass found that a biological soil crust dominated by short mosses had a

negative effect on seed water status and significantly reduced seed germination

(Serpe et al., 2006). Although germination and early seedling development were

highly affected by moisture, this dependence lessened over time as seedlings became rooted in the soil beneath the thin moss layer. This was not the case in bare soil, where the negative effect of periodic droughting continued from germination to seedling survival. The results suggest that as seedlings became rooted in the soil beneath the thin moss layer, a greater amount of soil moisture becomes available to them and the bryophyte layer may have offered physical protection. The survival rates of seedlings and juvenile plants have been found to be significantly higher in moss patches than on bare soil (During & van Tooren, 1990, Kameyama et al.,

1999). Safe sites for seedlings of Rhododendron metternichii var. hondoense were determined to be highly dependent on ground conditions with extremely low seedling density on bare soil but high density on moss mats either in the open or under a deciduous canopy (Kameyama *et al.*, 1999). In another related species, Rhododendron hodgsonii, at early life stages seedlings were found on all microsites but over time there was an increase in the number of seedlings found on bryophyte mats and a decrease on all other microsites (Gratzer & Rai, 2004). This could explain the occurrence of R. *ponticum* seedlings on thin layers of moss carpets and their absence from bare soil in field studies (Cross, 1981, Rotherham, 1986). This highlights the vulnerability of seedlings to desiccation and/or seedlings to uprooting by water drops. Cross (1981) noted the absence of R. *ponticum* seedlings on bare soil, which considered to be most likely due to physical destruction by water drops, trampling, or drying out. Cross  $(1981)$  noted that R. *ponticum* seedlings on bare soil were confined to moister sites or linked with loose boulders, rocky outcrops and breaks in slope. These results help in explaining the distribution of  $R$ . ponticum seedlings in the field (Cross, 1981; Stephenson et al., 2006) on thin moss carpets under shaded conditions and further support the overall positive effects of moss as a seedbed (McLaren & Janke, 1996; Parker et al., 1997; Su et al, 2009). The literature gives a clear indication of very slow height growth rates of R. ponticum seedlings in the field, e.g. Cross  $(1981)$  reports average heights for 1, 2, and 3 year old seedlings as  $0.8 +/- 0.2$ ,  $1.3 +/- 0.4$  and  $2.3 +/- 0.8$  cm respectively.

However, in the present study (under mesic (though unheated) glasshouse conditions), mean seedling height of 6-month-old seedlings was 2.3 +/- 0.2 cm. This indicates that very slow height growth rates are not inherent to  $R$ . ponticum but do very much depend on microclimate conditions (or perhaps effects of herbivores or pathogens).

Rhododendron ponticum seeds, which are typically 2 mm in length (average 0.068 mg), have previously been shown to require light for germination (Cross, 1975) but to date no quantitative studies on the effect of different types of light on germination and seedling development have been reported. The current work demonstrated that spectral quality had a profound effect on R. *ponticum* germination rates, seedling mortality and development. Germination in Experiment 1 of R. ponticum under the simulated green canopy was higher than in the 'open' on bare soil and short moss (but the opposite trend with shade was seen on most of the

The interaction between the effect of green shade and the substrate type described above is very striking. It indicates that additional canopy shade is very detrimental to R. ponticum seedling establishment when already shaded (or subject to

other interference) by ground vegetation or litter. The second experiment allowed the mechanism of this interaction to be investigated further. Any beneficial effect of shade for R. ponticum establishment on bare soil was attributable to a reduction in PPFD and not a change in R: FR (germination rate was only increased by neutral shade, and reducing  $R: FR$  to 0.47 (45% of that in incident radiation) was detrimental to seedling survival). However, the detrimental effect of canopy shade on R. ponticum establishment in sown grass and tall moss was clearly attributable to the reduced R: FR (germination and seedling survival rate were not generally reduced by neutral shade, but were by green shade (that had the same effect on the PPFD)). This suggests that the cumulative effect of two vegetative canopies produced a R: FR low enough to be inhibitory. This conclusion is further supported by Experiment 3 in which germination rates were greatly reduced under a green filter with a more strongly reduced R: FR of 0.1, but not under neutral shade of equal PPFD but much higher R: FR (2.42). Light, through its interaction with other environmental factors, is well known to control the dormancy relief of seeds in many 'light-demanding' plant species (Gorski et al., 1978). Canopies not only reduce the amount of solar radiation

substrates with taller vegetation or leaf litter). On bare soil and short moss there was no difference in seedling survival between the green shade and no shade treatments but seedlings grown under no shade had a significantly greater root and shoot biomass. In contrast green shade greatly reduced seedling survival on all of the other substrate types. Low levels of incident light under a canopy are widely known to reduce seedling growth and development (e.g. Thompson  $\&$  Harper, 1988; Holt, 1995).

but chlorophyll also absorbs incident photosynthetically active radiation, altering spectral composition, specifically the red to far-red ratio by transmitting a greater amount of far red light (720-740 nm) than red light (660-680 nm). There is a large variation in R: FR under vegetation canopies with values ranging anywhere between 1.2 and 0.1 in woodlands (Smith, 1982; Erwin et al., 2006). A low red to far-red ratio (R:FR) detected by the phytochrome system of seeds inhibits germination in some species, especially in positively photoblastic seeds (Yirdaw & Leinonen, 2002) or many small-seeded species (Jensen & Gutekunst, 2003). In the present study,

although  $R$ . ponticum germination and seedling survival in a grass seed-bed with no higher canopy were high, seedling biomass growth rate was greatly reduced (compared with bare soil/short moss substrate). Thompson and Harper (1988) found that grass canopies reduced the quality of transmitted radiation and suppressed the growth of other plant species.

Although seeds germinated under green shade in a layer of deciduous leaf litter 3 cm in depth and in tall moss in Experiment 1, their seedlings had a low probability of survival. This is likely to be due to the combined effects of a reduction in R: FR by the 'double' filter of ground level vegetation/litter and a canopy, drought, and physical interference. Preliminary tests showed that approximately 20% of the seed applied fell through the leaf litter onto the soil surface. Any surviving seedlings were found at the tray edges where leaf litter was less dense and light was able to penetrate to the soil surface. In previous field studies, R. ponticum seedlings have been found to be both negatively associated with leaf litter (Cross, 1981) and positively with thin layers (depth not specified) of mixed leaf litter on fallen logs and decomposing stumps (Stephenson et al. 2006). A review of 35 studies by Xiong and Nilsson (1999) found that leaf litter had an overall largely negative effect on vegetation establishment. Tree species were more affected than herbs and grasses by litter, at least at the colonisation stage and litter inhibited germination more than establishment. Forest floor litter has been found to decrease germination and seedling emergence through shading, biochemical effects, and physical obstruction to the emergence of a seed's cotyledons and radicle (Ellsworth et al., 2004; Jensen & Gutekunst, 2003). Furthermore, litter has an influence on light quantity and changes its spectral composition through causing a reduction in the red component (665 nm) of light beneath a litter layer (Silvertown, 1980, Facelli & Pickett 1991). Although some studies have shown that litter may favour vegetation establishment by causing

a decrease in maximum temperature and reduction in evaporation (Eckstein & Donath, 2005), the negative effects of plant litter generally outweigh the positive ones (Xiong & Nilsson, 1999).

The results of this study indicate that there may be some degree of adaptation by populations in Britain and Ireland to shady conditions. There was a reduction in germination capacity of seeds sampled from populations at the edge of Picea sitchensis (Sitka spruce) forests in Ireland and Scotland. Of the remaining

populations two were sampled from old populations on estates, one in deciduous woodland, the other in an open site, and the other two were from populations growing in the open spaces. There have been many independent introductions of R. ponticum in different parts of the British Isles (Dehnen-Scmutz et al., 2004). Whilst it is unlikely that there has been sufficient time for natural selection on these different populations to have resulted in differences in their environmental responses, very little is known about the origins or hybridisation history of the seed that were planted. Changes in phenotype in response to environmental conditions that enhances the individual performance under the prevailing conditions is common in plants (reviewed in Schmitt et al., 2003, Galloway & Etterson, 2007). In an analysis of 133 invasive plant species, Ren & Zhang (2009) found that phenotypic plasticity (either in environmental tolerance or in resource allocation) was responsible for the invasiveness of about 50% of the species. Alien species often exhibit a greater plasticity in their response to changes and disturbances in the environment and may therefore have a greater capacity to shift the physiological optimum to a range that is favourable in a changing climate (Verlinden  $\&$  Nijs, 2006). There is some evidence that transgenerational plasticity has evolved in response to natural variation in light and provides a flexible mechanism by plants to cope with heterogeneous environments (Galloway & Etterson, 2009). Therefore, there remains a clear possibility that differences between these populations exist as a legacy of the differences in environmental conditions where their origin populations

#### evolved.

CHAPTER III: The effect of seasonal growth stage on the control of Rhododendron ponticum using the herbicide glyphosate



The first step in restoration involves the removal of invasive plants and the use of chemical control is often necessary in clearing an invaded area. The herbicide glyphosate is widely used to control the invasive evergreen plant *Rhododendron* ponticum yet little is known about its action in this particular species. The effect of season of application and hence growth stage on the translocation of the herbicide glyphosate on R. *ponticum* plants less than 1.5 m in height was investigated. The crowns of the plants were treated with a 2% glyphosate and 2% surfactant solution using a knapsack sprayer to 0,25,50,75 and 100% of the plant's crown either in early February, May, August or November. Plants which were 100% sprayed were "completely controlled" (killed) for each of the four times of treatment. Damage caused to untreated parts of the treated plants was proportional to the percentage of the crown treated; treating 0,25,50 and 75% of the crown resulted in the death of 0, 49,74 and 89% respectively of shoots in the untreated portions of the crown. Mean shoot growth and the lengths of new leaves on untreated parts of treated plants were significantly lower than on untreated (control) plants. Seasonal timing of the treatment also had a major impact; plants sprayed in May and August suffered most damage in the untreated parts of their crowns, whereas spraying in February had the least effect.



### 3.2 INTRODUCTION

Rhododendron ponticum subspecies baeticum, an evergreen shrub native to southwest Spain and central and south Portugal, Bulgaria, Turkey, Caucasus and Lebanon, was introduced to Britain and Ireland as an ornamental around 1763 (Elton, 1958). It has since become naturalized in a wide range of sites, including deciduous and coniferous woodland interiors and edges, coastal dunes, heaths and bogs (Cross, 1981; Fuller & Boorman, 1977; Rotherham, 1986). R. ponticum is

20 years and by 58% after 50 years potentially increasing the cost to more than £19 million in 2028 and £65.4 million in 2058 (Edwards  $&$  Taylor, 2008).

estimated to currently occupy 2,075 of the 213,000 hectares of the Snowdonia National Park, Wales, an increase of approximately 20% over the last 20 years (Jackson 2008). Of this, 70% occurs within deciduous and coniferous woodland with 11% in grassland. However, 230 hectares has been effectively controlled in that time. It is estimated that £2 million has been spent on control in Snowdonia National Park since 1987 and that a further £9 million will be required to control Rhododendron on a park-wide basis (Jackson, 2008). The total cost of eradicating R. ponticum source populations from the entire Argyll and Bute landscape (3,950 ha) is estimated at  $> \text{\pounds}9.3$  million. However delaying control management would increase the cost of eradication by allowing expansion of the current populations by 23% after

The shrub is one of several understory rhododendron species that have been shown to compete with, and, in some cases, totally inhibit tree regeneration in temperate forest ecosystems throughout the northern hemisphere (Nilsen *et al.*, 1999; Peterken, 2001 and Lei *et al.*, 2002). Due to the negative effects on native flora several control methods have been attempted including pulling, clipping or cutting shrubs, foliar, and stump application or stem injection of herbicides. Few rigorous studies of these methods have been performed and there is currently no standard method of control as no single method has been proven to be the most

effective (Esen and Zedaker, 2004). Methods of application vary depending on bush

size and form, stand density, site access and topography. These variables are also the

factors that determine cost, with bush density probably being the single most important factor (Jackson, 2008). However, there is still a large disparity in the

contract prices quoted by practitioners.

## 3.2.1 Herbicide application methods

The efficacy of a range of herbicides and surfactants for the control of R. ponticum has been tested both in pot and field trials (Clay et al., 1992; Lawrie & Clay, 1993a,b; Edwards & Morgan, 1996; Edwards, 1999; Edwards et al., 2000; Dixon & Clay, 2002; Esen et al., 2004; Esen et al., 2006). A review by Tyler and Pullin (2004) collated all accessible information and critically appraised the evidence for effective control of R. *ponticum* using current management interventions. The

evidence suggested that application of the herbicides  $1$ Imazapyr or  $2$ Metsulfuronmethyl to R. ponticum stands, and post-cut foliar application of  $<sup>3</sup>$ Glyphosate,</sup> significantly reduced  $R$ . ponticum abundance. Only five studies provided data for analysis of Metsulfuron-methyl and were either performed in an unspecified habitat or in pots in glasshouses. There was also was significant bias present in the post-cut glyphosate application meta-analysis and Tyler and Pullin advise treating the results with caution. Other herbicides were also included in the review but were either ineffective (such as <sup>4</sup>Triclopyr) or there was insufficient evidence to test their effectiveness on  $R$ . ponticum control. There is therefore a lack of rigorous unbiased replicated results under different field conditions with a diverse range of interacting

<sup>1</sup>Imazapyr is the active ingredient in compounds that are marketed under the trade names Chopper, Arsenal, and Assault. Imazapyr is a non-selective broad-spectrum systemic herbicide, absorbed by the foliage and roots, with rapid transfer to the xylem and phloem to the meristematic regions, where it accumulates and causes disruption of protein synthesis (Tomlin, 1994).

<sup>2</sup>Metsulfuron-methyl is a residual sulfonylurea compound used as a selective pre- and postemergence herbicide for broadleaf weeds and some annual grasses. It is a systemic compound with foliar and soil activity and inhibits cell division in the shoots and roots of the plant. It is sold under the trade name Ally, Allie, Gropper, and Escort (Extension Toxicology Network, Pesticide Information Profiles).

<sup>3</sup>Glyphosate or N-(phosphonomethyl) glycine is a broad spectrum, non-selective, post-emergence

<sup>4</sup>Triclopyr is a systemic, foliar herbicide in the pyridine group. It is used to control broadleaf weeds while leaving grasses and conifers unaffected and is sold under the trade name Garlon and Release (Triclopyr Technical fact sheet, National Pesticide Information Center, Oregon, US).

variables for available herbicides.

systemic herbicide normally goes under the tradename RoundUp patented and sold by the company Monsanto.

Both Imazapyr and Metsulfuronmethyl have been banned for use in the European Union from 2003 and 2009, respectively (Chemicals Regulation Directorate, UK, 2009). Glyphosate, the active ingredient in `RoundUp', is therefore currently the recommended herbicide for R. *ponticum* control. There are three recommended glyphosate application methods for controlling  $R$ . *ponticum* plants: cut stump application for plants  $> 1.5$  m in height, stem injection again for plants  $> 1.5$  m and foliar spray application for plants  $\langle 1.5 \text{ m.} \rangle$  Control can be successful if recommended removal and herbicide regimes are employed (e.g. Esen and Zedaker,

2004; Edwards, 2006; Barron, 2008).

## Foliar spray

Foliar spray is the cheapest and most commonly used method for treating bushes less than 1.5 m in height. Typical contractor costs, based on easy flat sites with good access and bushes with good all round access is £1000 per hectare (Jackson, 2008). The most widely used herbicide for foliar application is a 2% solution of glyphosate in water at a maximum rate of 10 litres of RoundUp (containing 360 g isopropylamine glyphosate salt) per hectare. The addition of a surfactant such as Monsanto's 'Mixture B' (a blend of surfactants, wetters, spreaders and penetrants), as 2% by volume, often improves the effectiveness of RoundUp, and has been shown to increase the phytotoxicity of glyphosate to  $R$ . ponticum (Lawrie & Clay, 1993a). The only recorded replicated studies of foliar application with glyphosate are to shoot regrowth following cutting to a stump (Edwards  $\&$ Morgan 1996; Edwards, 1999; Edwards et al., 2000). These were included in Tyler and Pullin's review who concluded as mentioned before that glyphosate had a significant negative effect but that the results were biased. The other recommended foliar spray application herbicide is triclopyr as a 2.5% solution in water (Barron, 2008). The herbicide is normally applied using a knapsack sprayer at low pressure.

Foliar application with glyphosate can only be applied in dry conditions when no rain is expected for at least 6 hours. Spraying in windy conditions should be avoided as this will lead to spray drift resulting in collateral damage to non-target vegetation. If a surfactant has been added to the solution, spraying bushes by aquatic habitats should be avoided as surfactants are often more environmentally damaging than the

herbicides themselves (Edwards, 2006; Barron, 2008). Foliar application of herbicide by hand to bushes greater than 1.5 m is not advised as it poses a risk to the practitioner (and surrounding vegetation from drift) and the height/diameter is too dense to allow good access for spraying. There are several techniques to deal with plants greater than 1.5 m including stump and stem treatment.

#### Stump treatment

Cutting all of the stems rarely results in the death of R. ponticum bushes and generally results in the proliferation of fast growing shoots from dormant basal buds (Cross, 1975; Fuller and Boorman, 1977). There are therefore two types of post-cutstump herbicide application practices: the first involves applying the herbicide directly to the cut stump and the second allows regrowth of shoots for a certain period followed by foliar spraying with herbicide and surfactant. Successful trials in Killarney National Park, Ireland have been carried out using the former method by applying 20% or 10% glyphosate concentration in water or triclopyr in an 8% solution in water to the stump surface directly after cutting. Treatment between June and September is recommended for optimum control (Barron, 2008). Advantages of the cut stump followed by immediate treatment is that all initial clearance work can be carried out in one visit and collateral damage to the surrounding non-target vegetation is minimal. However, this technique has had mixed success in the UK (Julian Miller, Kehoe Countryside; Peter Jackson, Snowdonia National Park pers. comm. ). The second method allows time (about 18 months) for shoots to regrow on surviving cut stumps of R. *ponticum* before applying herbicide to make it easier to distinguish the surviving from the dead stumps (Edwards, 2006). No evidence has been found to date of a reduction in the health of planted trees in the vicinity of the cut stumps to which herbicide has been applied (Edwards & Morgan, 1996; Edwards, 1999), whereas off-target damage has been observed with the foliar spray

technique (Esen  $et$  al., 2006). Cutting followed by herbicide treatment therefore provides an effective and safe control for R. ponticum plants large enough to make cutting an efficient method (generally taken to be taller than 1.5 m). Cutting the plants generates a large amount of brash, and removing this from the site to be burned is a laborious and costly process, however, by leaving the brash in place,

subsequent regeneration of R. *ponticum* is greatly reduced and therefore re-invasion is unlikely to occur (Edwards *et al.*, 2000). The average costs of these methods on easy access, flat sites is £3,500 for the cut stump, foliar spray, follow-up spray technique, and £5,000 per hectare if the brash is cleared from the site by burning or chipping (Jackson, 2008).

#### Stem treatment

An alternative to the cutting stem technique is the stem treatment and is useful where there is no need to cut or remove the dead standing bushes. It was first tested on R. ponticum by Edwards et al. (2000) and involved making cuts of approximately 2-3 cm wide and deep into the stem using an axe and applying herbicide into the cuts. They found that stem injection of imazapyr and glyphosate are the best control of R. ponticum plants. Results from an unreplicated study by Edwards et al. (2000) suggested that only a percentage of stems might need to be treated with imazapyr for complete plant control to be achieved, whereas glyphosate would need to be applied to every stem to achieve complete plant control. It can also be applied by drilling a hole into the bark at an angle and applying 2 ml of glyphosate (25% solution in water, no surfactant required) into the well. This method is best suited to large bushes with few, but substantial, easily accessible stems and is particularly useful in difficult, sloping terrain where other methods are impractical. Other advantages of this method include low cost, application in moist conditions, minimal habitat disturbance and high kill rate. The drill-hole injection technique seems to be equally effective at all times of year for R. *ponticum* (Julian Miller, Kehoe Countryside Forestry Contractors; Colin Edwards, Forestry Commission, pers. comm. ). Typical contractor costs per hectare for this technique are £2500 on an easy site including follow-up spray/weed (Jackson, 2008).

3.2.2 The mode of action of the herbicide glyphosate and the effect of season on rate of absorption and translocation

N-(phosphonomethyl) glycine (glyphosate) is a broad-spectrum, nonselective, post-emergence systemic herbicide used for control of essentially all annual and perennial vascular plants including grasses, sedges, broad-leaves weeds and woody plants. Glyphosate itself is an acid, but it is most commonly used in the isopropylamine salt and is generally distributed as water-soluble concentrates and powders. It was first patented and released by Monsanto (Missouri, USA) under the trade name 'RoundUp' in 1976 and can now also be found under a range of other trade names including Gallup, Landmaster, Pondmaster, Ranger, Rodeo, and Touchdown (Extension Toxicology Network, Chicago State University, 2009). Glyphosate exerts phytotoxicity by competitive inhibition of 5-enolpyruvylshikimic acid-3-phosphate (EPSP) synthase, an enzyme of the shikimic acid pathway. The main consequences of this action is an arrest in the production of the amino acids phenylanine (Phe), tyrosine, (Tyr) and tryptophan (Tryp) and umtimately protein synthesis (Cole, 1985). The mode of action of glyphosate is such that best efficacy results when the herbicide is translocated in the phloem to the root system and stored

Høie, 1985). Factors that affect glyphosate absorption or transport include plant growth habit and consequent differences in spray coverage, cuticular permeability, plasma membrane permeability, environmental conditions, and the season of application (Neal  $& Skroch, 1985$ ). Environmental conditions such as light duration and intensity, air temperature, relative humidity and dew or precipitation effect

there over winter with retranslocation in the spring resulting in the death of new growth (Franz, 1985).

Systemic, foliage-applied herbicides are translocated with assimilates according to the 'source' to 'sink' principle (Ashton  $\&$  Crafts, 1981). In herbaceous perennials, glyphosate has been found to be rapidly absorbed and translocated to the meristematic regions of shoots and roots where high rates of protein synthesis occurs (Sprankle et al., 1975; Gottrup et al., 1976; Fernandez & Bayer, 1977; Zandstra & Nishimoto, 1977; Smid & Hiller, 1981). The rate of glyphosate uptake in woody species is relatively slow compared with the fast rate in herbaceous plants (Lundherbicide efficacy by influencing processes such as herbicide absorption, translocation, or plant metabolism (Hammerton, 1967; Gerber *et al.*, 1983).

Although several different methods have proven effective in achieving full control of R. *ponticum* (Esen & Zedaker, 2004; Edwards, 2006; Barron, 2008), there is little knowledge on the action of glyphosate in the plant's system. The timing of treatments has been shown to be significant in determining the effectiveness of control efforts in woody plants by using different doses of glyphosate (e.g., Lynn et

al. 1979; Pieterse & McDermott, 1994; Love & Anderson, 2009). Levels of total nonstructural carbohydrates (TNC) stored in roots fluctuate according to the plant's growth stage (i.e., dormant, bud break, flowering, fruiting, leaf senescence, and leaf abscission) which is influenced by season (Loescher et al. 1990). Willoughby and Dewar (1995) recommended foliar application of glyphosate to R. ponticum from June to September. This period was subsequently extended by Edwards (2004) who achieved complete control (plant death) of R. ponticum at all times of year following foliar application with 2% glyphosate, 2% Mixture B solution in water (dose of 10  $1$ ha<sup>-1</sup>). Neal and Skroch (1985) applied <sup>14</sup>C-glyphosate to eight woody ornamental plant species at different times of year. Application timing was crucial in determining tolerance with autumn applications being tolerated by all species and March treatments causing injury to all. Spring applications caused maximum injury to Ligustrum japonicum, Ilex crenata cv. Helleri, Rhododendron obtusum and Ilex vomitoria, while June and August treatments were most toxic to Juniperus horizontalis, Ilex crenata cv. Compacta and Photinia serrulata x P. glabra. In the British Isles, shoot extension of  $R$ . *ponticum* commences in early May with two main periods of shoot elongation in May-June and July-August. A third period of growth may occur in September (Cross, 1975). This would suggest that the most extensive translocation of glyphosate occurs (1) in spring when stored assimilates and nutrients are being moved from the roots to areas of new growth and for flowering and (2) in late summer/autumn when the plant is still photosynthesising but net movement of

assimilates is from the leaves to the roots for winter storage. Photoassimilate translocation to roots is assumed to be more active at the latter stages of the growing

season, and the herbicide, moving with the assimilate stream, would thus be more evenly distributed in the root system.

#### 3.2.3 Collaborative projects to improve techniques

### Stem treatment with Peter Jackson of Snowdonia National Park

The aim of this field trial was to test different practical glyphosate stem treatment methods on R. *ponticum*. Their relative performance would provide evidence about the translocation of glyphosate movement around the plant. The four techniques tested to prepare for the application of the glyphosate were: slicing cut

with an axe (A); bark scouring with a wire brush (WB); drilling a hole 4 cm in depth (DH4); drilling a hole 10 cm in depth (DH10). Two of these application methods (Fig. 3. la) & DH4 (Fig. 3.1b)) are tried, tested and currently in use by practitioners controlling large R. ponticum plants. The other two techniques were devised to investigate whether the transport of glyphosate in woody tissue would enable cheaper, simpler control methods to be used.

Glyphosate is primarily quoted as being a phloem-mobile herbicide (Caseley & Coupland, 1985). Stem sections of woody R. ponticum tissues (Ninaber, 2006) showed that the location of the secondary phloem (stained blue) was limited to the

The site for the trial was an open grassland dominated by Molinia caerulea tussocks beside the Coederyr woodlands near Llyn Dinas in the Nant Gwynant

vascular cambium in the thin bark layer. The wire brush technique involved scratching the surface of the bark with a wire brush and applying the herbicide on the exposed area. This was intended to apply herbicide primarily to this shallowlylocated phloem tissue. Two of the technique tested involved drilling a hole (using a 12 mm diameter drill bit) at an angle of ca. 30 degrees from the vertical. With the 10-cm deep hole the herbicide was applied with a dispensing pipette to the very bottom of the hole and a layer of oil was then applied to ensure that no herbicide would come in contact with the outer cambial layer; this tested whether glyphosate could be absorbed and translocated effectively in the secondary xylem. With the 4 cm deep hole the herbicide was applied with a pipette but with no oil layer on top allowing possible contact with the outer cambial layer. The axe technique involved

making a cut at an angle of ca. 30 degrees from the vertical, to a depth of ca. 3 cm,

and applying the herbicide into the cut that this created in the stem.

Valley (53 $^{\circ}$ 01'38 N, 4 $^{\circ}$ 02'06 W) which has become heavily invaded by R. ponticum plants. Forty large R. ponticum plants  $> 1.5$  m in height and with stems  $> 3$  cm diameter were selected, and ten were randomly chosen for each of the treatments. The treatments were applied to the base of the stem at a height of between 2 and 5 cm aboveground. Amount applied followed that recommended by the Forestry Commission Practice Guide (2006): 2 ml of glyphosate (25% RoundUp 360 in water) per 7 cm stem diameter using a dispensing pipette.





Figure 3.1 Rhododendron ponticum basal stem (5 -10 cm diameter) showing a) axe cut and b) drill hole technique.

The observed results were recorded 6 months after application using a health score of between 0 and 5, where: where  $0 =$  healthy,  $1 =$  damage, 0% defoliation,  $2 =$  <  $25\%$  defoliation,  $3 = 25$ 75 % defoliation,  $4 =$  > 75% defoliation,  $5 =$  dead. Results were presented in terms of mode (most frequent health score) and mean (Table 3.1) The drill hole (4-cm), axe, drill hole (10-cm) and wire scour resulted in the death of 20, 50, 40 and 0 % of the plants 6 months after application. The axe and drill hole (10-cm) techniques were the most effective and the wire brush technique was least

effective resulting in only partial death and killing none of the plants. The success of the deep drill-hole (10-cm, with a layer of oil) technique provides good evidence that glyphosate can effectively be taken up and transported in the secondary xylem. This is now a hypothesis worth testing in subsequent more highly controlled experiments.

Table 3.1 Health (scored between 0 and 5, where  $0=$  healthy, 1 = damage, 0% defoliation, 2 =  $<$ 25% defoliation,  $3 = 25\%$  defoliation,  $4 = 25\%$  defoliation,  $5 =$  dead) of large *Rhododendron* ponticum plants ( $>1.5$  m) treated with glyphosate (25% RoundUp 360 in water) using 4 different techniques to the base of the stem.



# Foliar application using the controlled droplet application technique

This field trial was carried out in collaboration with Johannes Yuan Pakatul, who reported on it in his Bangor University MSc dissertation (Pakatul, 2007). It was designed to look at the environmental advantages and efficacy of using the controlled droplet application (CDA) technique for controlling R. ponticum plants less than 1.5 m in height with glyphosate. The location of this experiment was in the same site in Nant Gwynant where the main experiment in this chapter was carried out (details below). Glyphosate was applied at three different application rates: low (Hilite  $\otimes$  at 5 l per hectare), recommended (Hilite  $\otimes$  at 10 l ha<sup>-1</sup>), and high (Hilite  $\otimes$ at 20 1 ha<sup>-1</sup>) with Hilite, a readymix pigmented oil emulsion pack containing 144 g  $1^{-1}$ isopropylamine glyphosate salt. The mixture was applied using a CDA unit (Weed Warrior, Nomix Enviro, Hampshire, UK) on 8 June, 2007. Unlike knapsack sprayers, the speed and flow (in ml minute<sup>-1</sup>) can be controlled using this CDA unit so that application is stopped when the specified dose has been applied to each plant, rather than continuing until complete leaf surface coverage 'until runoff' has occurred. However, none of the CDA treatments achieved complete control, i.e. none killed the entire plant after 84 days. The severity of observed damage increased with

application rate, the 20  $1$  ha<sup>-1</sup> treatment causing the greatest amount of leaf chlorosis

and defoliation. There was a significant decrease (of 46%) in the rate of

photosynthesis of plants treated with herbicide (at 20 1 ha') compared with unsprayed controls. I carried out further observations of these plants after another
year, and found that there was some new growth from stem buds even on the plants that had been treated at the highest rate. Although the CDA causes less collateral environmental damage from spray drift and runoff of glyphosate solution than does application with a conventional knapsack sprayer, it achieved less successful control. Further research could investigate the application of higher doses per plant using CDA. However, this will be costly: the CDA can only be used with the 5L premixed Nomix glyphosate pack which is considerably more expensive than the unmixed knapsack sprayer glyphosate solution.

### 3.3 OBJECTIVES

The following two hypotheses were tested:

These hypotheses were tested in a field experiment with small R. ponticum plants. A single concentration of glyphosate solution was applied to five different proportions  $(0, 25, 50, 75, 4100\%)$  of the crown of individual plants at one of four different times (and hence seasonal growth stage) during the year. The extent of the damage caused to untreated parts of the crown of the partially sprayed plants (25,50 and

1. When using glyphosate to control woody shrubs, do you need to 100% spray the crowns (cost and environmental damage) or is the vascular anatomy of the plants sufficiently well internally connected to translocate

glyphosate from treated to untreated parts?

2. If so, does it make a difference to this translocation in which season plants in a temperate climate are sprayed (physiologically active or not)?

### 75%) was taken as an indicator of the degree of translocation of the herbicide.

### 3.4 METHODS

### 3.3.1 Site

The study site is located near Llyn Dinas and the Coederyr Woodlands in the Nant Gwynant valley of Snowdonia, North Wales (53°01'38 N, 4°02'06 W) (Fig. 3.2). It is at an elevation of between 150 m and 170 m with a westerly slope. Rhdodendron ponticum forms tall dense thickets close to the woodland edge in the north part of the site and up the slope from the woodland in the north east part of the site in the area known as Hen Goed (Fig. 3.3). Clumps of mature and young R. ponticum are growing in land dominated by Molinia caerulea tussocks and moderately grazed (by sheep and cattle) grasslands over much of the rest of the site. The R. ponticum invasion is also spreading west from the site downhill along the Afon Llynedno River and east over the hill towards the valley of the Afon Lledyr. Many R. ponticum seedlings can also be found in the neighbouring Picea sitchensis woodland to the north and north-west the site, though the abundance is generally low below the forest canopy. Parts of the site are very wet, and the clumps of R. ponticum tend to be

# found on higher drier ground.



61

Figure 3.2 Site location in Nant Gwynant, Gwynedd, North Wales (53°01'38 N, 4°02"06 W). Digimap Ordnance Survey Collection.



Figure 3.3 NE aspect of Rhododendron ponticum invasion from site into Hen Goed.

### 3.3.2 Experimental design

A randomised-block design was used. The site was surveyed and eight 0.04 ha blocks, that had i) at least 20 healthy R. ponticum plants between 0.9 m and 1.5 m in height of comparable growth form and ii) was at a distance of more than 20 m from another block were chosen (Fig. 3.4) Twenty plants within each block that were most closely matched in size, growth form and health were selected and allocated randomly to 20 combinations of two treatments: five glyphosate treatments (0%) (control), 25%, 50%, 75% and 100% of crown sprayed) and four times of application

(August, November, February and May). In total 160 plants were included in the experiment.



Figure 3.4 The position of the experimental blocks within the site. The outline of the site is shown as a thick black line.

### 3.3.3 Treatments

The crown of each plant was sectioned into quadrants (northeast (NE), southeast (SE), southwest (SW) and northwest (NW)). For the 25% treatment the NE quadrant was treated; 50% the NE and SE; and 75% the NW, SW and SE quadrants (Fig. 3.5). The quadrants not being sprayed were covered with plastic dustsheets to prevent contamination via spray drift. Glyphosate solution – RoundUp 360 ProBiaoactive at 2% solution with Mixture B at 2% solution in water (Monstano, Oregan, USA), the

amount recommended by Forestry Commission (Edwards, 2006) – was applied using a 5-L knapsack sprayer at low pressure and medium-high volume (as would deliver at a rate of 500–750 l ha<sup>-1</sup> under normal use). The glyphosate solution was applied as a directed spray to the selected uncovered portion of the crown until the instant just before run-off occurred, *i.e.* when full foliar wetness was achieved. The plastic dust

sheet was kept in place over the rest of the crown until the sprayed leaf surfaces were dry. Application of the herbicide was carried out in frost-free, low-wind, rain-free conditions and when rain was not forecast for at least the following 12 hours. Plants were treated at times of year that reflected different seasonal growth stages: 1 August 2007,1 November 2007,3 February 2008 and 5 May 2008.



Figure 3.5 Pattern of application of the five doses of glyphosate to the crowns of R. ponticum plants: from left to right  $0\%, 25\%, 50\%, 75\%$  and  $100\%$  of crown sprayed, white = untreated;  $hated = treated.$ 

The health of each quadrant of the plant's crowns was assessed at the following intervals after treatment: 2, 3, 5, 8, 11, 13, 15, 17, 27 and 42 weeks using a score of



- $0 =$  Live and healthy, foliage green and damage free.
- $1 =$  Slight herbicide damage to leaves, but no defoliation, all buds live.
- $2 = 25\%$  leaf defoliation, remaining leaves slightly damaged or healthy, at least 50% of buds live.
- $3 = 25$ 75% leaf defoliation, remaining leaves damaged, chlorotic, at least 50% of buds dead.
- $4 =$  > 75% leaf defoliation, remaining leaves severely chlorotic, > 90% of buds dead.

### 3.3.4 Measurements

### 0-5 where:

Measurements were taken toward the end of the growing season after the application

of the treatments on 12 July 2008 at which time the effects of glyphosate had been

seen in all seasonal treatments. For all untreated parts of the crown of each plant, the

64

# $5 =$  Complete crown death, full leaf defoliation and death of all buds.

total number of live shoots remaining was measured on 12 July 2008, 2 December 2008 and finally on 31 May 2009 (Table 3.2). This meant that at least 12 months had passed since the application of all the treatments. The number of shoots exhibiting new growth and the number of flowering shoots were counted in the growing season of 2008 (12 July) and 2009 (31 May); average leaf size and shoot extension growth were also measured on those two dates.

Table 3.2 Dates on which measurements of R. ponticum crowns were made and the length of time elapsed after the different months of treatment application.

Photosynthetic rate of healthy leaves on control plants and leaves on untreated parts of treated plants were measured using an Infra-Red Gas Analyser (IRGA) (CIRAS-2 Portable Photosynthesis System, Boston, Massachusetts, USA) and PLC 6 Automatic Universal Leaf Cuvette (PP Systems, Massachusetts, USA). Measurements were taken on 24 October 2008 with the following settings:  $CO_2$  = 380 ppm; temperature =  $20^{\circ}$ C; an LED light unit (PP Systems, Massachusetts, USA) was used to maintain a constant photosynthetic photon flux density (PPFD) with light levels ranging between 0 and 1000  $\mu$  molm<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>.

Time (months) to date of measurements



### 3.5 STATISTICAL ANALYSES

The health scores per crown quadrant were initially divided into two categories: live and dead, live representing quadrants that showed any sign of being alive i.e. that scored between 0 and 4, and dead having a score of 5. Quadrants were then divided into two subcategories, treated and untreated. The frequencies of dead treated quadrants, expressed as a percentage of the total number of treated quadrants, were determined over time. Also, the frequencies of dead untreated quadrants, expressed

as a percentage of the total number of untreated quadrants, were determined over time.

Various aspects of plant health – living shoots remaining, shoots exhibiting new growth and flowering shoots for the whole crown (treated and untreated), all  $\epsilon$ xpressed as a percentage of the total number of shoots on the plant  $-$  had been recorded on three different dates. For the second and third measurements, they were expressed as a percentage of the total number of shoots counted in the first measurement. These data were analysed in several different ways to deal with the effect of not only treatment but also time of measurement.

The data were normally distributed so univariate analysis of variance, with a randomised block design, was used to test for significant differences in the effects of treatment. Firstly, data were subjected to ANOVA for the final recording made, 22 months after the first seasonal glyphosate application treatment (August 2007) and 13 months after the last application (May 2008). The unsprayed control plants and those with 100% of their crown sprayed were also included in the analysis (giving a total of five doses). In the two-way ANOVA, season of treatment application (four levels) was the second factor and the interaction between season and dose was also tested. A p-value less than 0.05 was taken to indicate a significant result. Secondly, to investigate the effect of time of measurement on plant health, ANOVA were

# carried out (same method as previous) on the other measurements taken on the earlier dates. Finally, to test treatment effects after a fixed time elapsed since treatment, measurements made closest to one year after each application time were used in an analysis of variance for differences between the treatments. Shoot growth and leaf length were also used at indices for new growth. Two-way ANOVA was

used to test for significant differences in the effects of dose and season. The number of replicates for each treatment was not equal as for some of the doses (especially the 75% dose) there were no leaves or too few leaves to measure.

Where  $y_0$  denotes the y intercept,  $A_{max}$  represents the maximum rate of photosynthesis and b corresponds to the steepest slope of the curve.

Treating 100% of the crown of plants with glyphosate spray led to complete plant mortality for all four seasonal spraying times. Irrespective of the proportion of the crown that was treated, the treated part eventually died, though the time taken for this to occur differed between treatment times (Fig. 3.6a, c, e). Plants sprayed in August and May, were most quickly affected by the herbicide, with the first symptoms being observed after two and three weeks respectively. Plants that were treated in February did not show signs until 11 weeks after treatment and November was the slowest at 15 weeks. Initial signs of glyphosate damage were leaf chlorosis  $-$ 

a yellowing of the leaf due to lack of chlorophyll – followed by defoliation. Virtually

Light response curves for healthy (control) and unhealthy (untreated crown of treated plants) leaves were fitted using a 3-paramater exponential rise to a maximum function after Peek et al., (2002):

### Equation 3.1

$$
f = y_o + A_{max}(1 - e^{(-bx)})
$$



all of the treated plants showed completed mortality of the treated parts of their

crowns by 27 weeks after spraying (the only exception was one 50% sprayed plant in

which one quadrant which showed signs of recovery 30 weeks after treatment (Fig.

3.6c).

Glyphosate treatment also caused damage to the untreated parts of the crowns, though it showed a more complex interaction between the time of treatment and proportion of the crown sprayed. Spraying in May did always lead to the fastest occurrence of damage, but the sequence amongst the other months varied (Fig. 3.6b, d, f). By the end of the observation period, the frequency of dead quadrants in the unsprayed crown portions of plants treated in May was consistently higher those treated in November and February for all treatment doses (Fig. 3.6b, d, f). However, the August treatment led to inconsistent results; it caused the highest mortality rate

(100%) of the untreated crown quadrants, when 75% of the crown was sprayed (Fig. 3.6f) equal highest when 50% was sprayed (Fig. 3.4d), but lowest (0%) when 25% was sprayed (Fig. 3.6b). For the November, February and May treatments there was no notable increase in the mortality rate of the untreated crown quadrants between the plants 25% and 50% sprayed. However, for all four seasonal treatment times there was a marked increase in this mortality rate between the plants 50% and 75% sprayed.

Percentage of live shoots remaining in the crown, as an index of plant health, showed a clear dose-response: for all four treatment season times this percentage was greatest with 25% of the crown sprayed, intermediate with 50% and least with 75% (Fig. 3.7). The differences amongst the spraying times showed a similar pattern to that of the frequency of dead quadrants. With the 25% crown treatment, spraying in May and November resulted in the lowest percentage of live shoots remaining, and February and August the highest (Fig. 3.7a) with August showing signs of recovery with an increase in percentage live shoots remaining from 16 to 22 months. With both the 50% and 75% crown treatment May and August spraying led to the lowest percentage (Fig. 3.7b, c). Spraying 75% of the crown in August resulted in no live shoots observed on any plants.

The percentage of crown sprayed (dose) had a highly significant effect ( $p < 0.001$ )

on all three measured indices of health of the untreated crown quadrants, two

growing seasons after all applications of the treatments (Table 3.3). Season of

treatment had a significant effect only on the percentage of shoots flowering  $(p =$ 

0.025). There were no significant interactions between dose and season (though this

was close to significant for percentage of shoots flowering). These effects are now examined in more detail in the following sections.



Figure 3.6 The effect of seasonal time of foliar spray (2% glyphosate, 2% Mixture B) application to *Rhododendron ponticum* plants  $<$  1.5 m in height. The effect on treated crown

quadrants (% total treated quadrants) over time after treatment where: a)  $25\%$ ; c)  $50\%$  and e) 75% of the crown was treated; The effect on untreated crown quadrants (% total untreated quadrants ) over time after treatment where: b)  $25\%$ ; d)  $50\%$  and f)  $75\%$  of the crown was sprayed.

$$
-4\text{ugust} \quad \dots \quad \text{November} \quad -\text{rebruary} \quad -\text{r} \quad \text{May}
$$

Figure 3.6 The effect of seasonal time of foliar spray (2% glyphosate, 2% Mixture B) application to *Rhododendron ponticum* plants  $<$  1.5 m in height. The effect on treated crown quadrants (% total treated quadrants) over time after treatment where: a)  $25\%$ ; b)  $50\%$  and c) 75% of the crown was treated; The effect on untreated crown quadrants (% total untreated quadrants ) over time after treatment where: d)  $25\%$ ; e)  $50\%$  and f)  $75\%$  of the crown was sprayed.





Figure 3.7 The effect of seasonal timing of foliar spray (2% glyphosate, 2% Mixture B in water) application to a)  $25\%$ , b)  $50\%$  and c) $75\%$  of the crown of *Rhododendron ponticum* plants < 1.5 m in height on the percentage of live shoots remaining in the crown over a period of 22 months. Vertical bars are equal to the standard error of the mean.

August  $\cdots$  November  $\cdots$  February  $\cdots$  May

3.6.1 The effect of dose on plant health

There was a significant ( $p < 0.001$ ) difference in the total mean percentage live shoots remaining in the untreated crown quadrants after treating 25,50 and 75% of the crown with glyphosate with 52,25 and 6% (respectively) remaining after the first season of growth (July 2008, Table 3.3, Fig. 3.8a). After a further five months (December 2008) the effect of dose remained highly significant ( $p < 0.001$ ) whilst

the percentages dropped to 38,13 and 3% respectively. Six months later in May 2009 (during the second growing season) the effect of dose remained highly significant ( $p < 0.001$ ); although there had been no subsequent reduction in live shoots remaining for all doses, there was also no increase (as there had been in the unsprayed control plants, up to 124% of the number counted at the first recording). Similar patterns were observed for new growth with a highly significant dose effect  $(p < 0.001,$  Table 3.3)  $- 31, 11$  and 1% of shoots in the untreated crown quadrants exhibiting new growth in July 2008 after treating 25,50 and 75% of the crown with

glyphosate, respectively (Fig. 3.8b). The effect of dose remained highly significant  $(p < 0.001)$ , whilst the percentages for these treatments were very similar in May 2009, whereas there was a noted increase in shoots showing new growth in the untreated control plants from 95 to 159% over the same period.

Table 3.3 Univariate analysis of variance on the effect of percentage of the crown treated (dose) and seasonal timing of glyphosate treatment (spraying with 2% glyphosate, 2% Mixture B) on three indices of the health of the crown of R. ponticum plants  $< 1.5$  m in height at three times during the first two growing seasons after treatment. See Appendix 3.1-3.3 for full ANOVA.

Source of variation Time of measurement

### Percentage of shoots flowering







Figure 3.8 The effect of percentage of crown  $(0, 25, 50, 75, 100\%)$  treated with glyphosate  $(2\%$ glyphosate, 2% Mixture B) foliar spraying on the percentage of: a) live shoots; b) new growth,; and c) flowering shoots remaining in the crowns of *Rhododendron ponticum* plants  $< 1.5$  m in

In both 2008 and 2009 there was a significant  $(p < 0.001)$  and clear dose response of reduced flowering with increased glyphosate dose (Table 3.3, Fig. 3.8c). The percentage of shoots in the crown producing flowers, increased from 2008 to 2009 for the untreated control plants (from 15% to 24%), in the plants with 25% of the crown treated (from 5% to 14%) and in the plants with 50% of the crown treated (from 2% to 3%) (Fig. 3.8c). However, in the plants with 75% of the crown treated there was no increase with  $\lt 1\%$  of shoots producing flowers in both years.



height at different times after treatment. The values above 100% in all panels are explained by its calculation as the percentage of the total number of shoots counted in the first recording. Measurement 1, 2 and 3 were made in July 2008  $(1<sup>st</sup>$  growing season after application), December 2008, and May 2009 (2nd growing season after application), respectively. Vertical bars are the standard error of the mean.

### 3.6.2 The effect of season on plant health

Because of the effect of the variable time elapsed between the treatment times and the dates of observation, an additional analysis was carried out on the observations closest to one year after the time of each seasonal treatment (Fig. 3.9b). Both dose  $(p)$  $<$  0.001) and season of treatment ( $p = 0.016$ ) had highly significant effects on the

Although there was no significant effect of season of treatment on the percentage of live shoots at the end of two growing seasons after glyphosate foliar treatment, this effect had been significant at intermediate times ( $p < 0.001$  in July 2008 but just  $p =$ 0.041 in December 2008, Table 3.3). The percentage of shoots remaining alive remained highest for the February 2008 treatment throughout. However, for the May 2008 treatment this percentage, while high soon after treatment in July 2008, then fell greatly (to the lowest level for any of the seasonal treatments, 13% in December 2008 and  $11\%$  in May 2009) (Fig. 3.9a). There was also a strong dose x season interaction in July 2008 ( $p = 0.004$ , Table 3.3), which is attributable to the high effectiveness in killing shoots of the August 2007 75% treatment, but the low effectiveness of the August 2007 25% treatment.

Season of treatment had no significant effect on the percentage of shoots producing new growth in either the first or second growing season after treatment (Table 3.3, Fig. 3.9c). However, while there was no effect of season of treatment on flowering in the first growing season (July 2008), by the second growing season, the percentage of shoots producing flowers on the plants treated in May  $(1%)$  was significantly less than for the other seasons of treatment (6%-10%) (Fig. 3.9d,  $p = 0.025$ , Table 3.3). Although there were still some flowering shoots presents on the plants subject to all treatments,  $\geq 90\%$  of these were stunted unable to reproduce.

percentage of live shoots remaining (Table 3.4).



#### Month of application



Figure 3.9 The effect of seasonal timing of treatment - glyphosate (2% glyphosate, 2% Mixture<br>B) follow envertises and the personate season line also the monocining in the energy of *Phododondue* B) foliar spraying - on the percentage of a) live shoots remaining in the crowns of Rhododendron ponticum plants  $< 1.5$  m in height at different times after treatment; b) The interaction of dose ( $%$  crown treated) and season of application on the percentage live shoots remaining 1 yr. (closest) after the treatments were applied; the effect of seasonal timing of treatment -<br>-<br>glyphosate  $(2\%$  glyphosate,  $2\%$  Mixture B) foliar spraying - on the percentage of: c) new growth and; d) flowering shoots remaining in the crowns of Rhododendron ponticum plants < 1.5 m in height at different times after treatment. Measurement 1,2 and 3 were made in July 2008 (1st growing season after application), December 2008, and May 2009 (2nd growing season after application), respectively. Vertical bars are the standard error of the mean.

Table 3.4 Univariate analysis of variance on the effect of percentage of the crown treated (dose) and seasonal timing of glyphosate treatment (spraying with 2% glyphosate, 2% Mixture B) on the percentage of live shoots remaining approximately one year after treatment. See Appendix 3.4 for full ANOVA.



The percentage of the crown treated had significant effects on the extension growth of new shoots and the size of new leaves in the untreated parts of the crowns after the first growing following treatment ( $p < 0.001$  for both, Table 3.5). There was clear evidence of a dose-response relationship, average new shoot lengths for the 0, 25, 50 and 75% treatments were 6.0,2.9,2.0 and 1.4 cm, respectively (Fig. 3.10a), and average new leaf lengths were 8.0, 5.3, 4.2 and 3.0 cm, respectively (Fig. 3.10b).

Table 3.5 Univariate analysis of variance on the effect of percentage of the crown treated (dose) and seasonal timing of glyphosate treatment (spraying with 2% glyphosate, 2% Mixture B) on the growth of new shoot growth (shoot length, new leaf length) after one growing season. See Appendix 3.5 for full ANOVA.



Shoot length for the May treatment was significantly higher  $(p < 0.001)$  than the other months, although this could probably be attributed to the proximity of treatment time to measurement time (2 months). There was also some variation in shoot length between blocks for new shoot growth but not for new leaf growth. The glyphosate treatment also reduced the photosynthetic rate of leaves on the untreated



parts of the crown ( $P_{\text{max}}$  being reduced from 38.6 to 16.1 µmol  $CO_2$  m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) (Fig. 3.10 c, d). A revisit to the R. ponticum bushes treated with the control droplet application technique (Pakatul, 2007) found that even bushes sprayed at the highest dose (20 1 (Hillite) per hectare) showed some signs of recovery with new vegetative buds growing on the stems. The 20  $1$  ha<sup>-1</sup> dose is equal to 2880 g of glyphosate per hectare (144 g  $1^{-1}$ ). The dose at which the 100% treatment was applied in this trial was 10 1 ha<sup>-1</sup> (360 g glyphosate per litre) which is equal to 3600 g of glyphosate per hectare. This is equivalent to  $25 \text{ l}$  ha<sup>-1</sup> of the Hillite CDA method; similarly, the 75,50 and

25% doses would be equivalent to 19, 13 and  $71 \text{ ha}^{-1}$ .



Figure 3.10 The effect of percentage of the crown treated with foliar spraying of glyphosate  $(2\%$ 



#### Percentage of crown treated

Percentage of crown treated

glyphosate and 2% Mixture B) on shoot growth and physiology of the untreated crown quadrants of R. ponticum plants  $< 1.5$  m in height after one growing seasons: a) length of shoot extension growth; b) length of new leaves; c) photosynthetic light response curve of untreated (healthy) control plants; d) treated (unhealthy) plants. Data was fitted with a 3-parameter exponential rise to maximum function (Peek *et al.*, 2002). Vertical bars are standard error of the mean.

### 3.7 DISCUSSION

Season of application had a significant effect on plant health. The different indices of damage, recorded at various times after treatment showed variation in the relative amounts of damage to untreated crown quadrants, by spraying at different seasonal times. While none of the four times proved wholly ineffective, spraying in May was the most consistently effective and February the least. Spraying in August was also effective, providing that at least 50% of the crown was treated (but not with treatment of only 25%); spraying 75% of the crown then resulted in the death of all the plants. Incomplete spraying of the crowns, especially in November and February did not cause entire plant death. Complete foliar treatment (to leaf surface saturation) with glyphosate spray of the entire crown of R. ponticum plants  $< 1.5$  m in height, caused complete mortality of the plant, whatever time of the year the treatment was applied. Although Edwards (2006) showed that foliar application with herbicides, including glyphosate, is effective at any time of year, he recommends foliar application March to October for best results. Lawrie and Clay (1993b) came to a similar conclusion with the herbicide Imazapyr. While they recommended avoiding foliar spray treatment during the winter months, they found that October

applications were the most effective and suggested that this may have been due to higher levels of basipetal sap flow at that time. In the present study, the higher rates of damage with August and especially May treatments correspond to times of the year when the plant is metabolically most active. Similar results have been found in other studies where application of herbicide was most successful in late or early summer (Lynn *et al.*, 1979; Nyboer, 1992). Foliar treatments applied in the summer and autumn to five Sierran shrub species were found to be less effective than spring applications, corresponding to times of higher moisture stress and rates of photosynthesis (Lanini & Radosevich, 1982). For control of Canada thistle, treatment with glyphosate is often recommended at the late-bud to midflower growth

stage, or in late fall. Photoassimilate translocation to roots is assumed to be more active at these growth stages and the herbicide, moving with the assimilate stream,

would thus be more evenly distributed. (Kirkland, 1977)

Damage caused to untreated crown quadrants of treated plants increased with the percentage of the crown sprayed and, in a more complex way, with the season of application. The positive relationship between percentage crown sprayed and damage can be attributed to a simple dose-response: the greater the number of sprayed leaves, the greater the total glyphosate dose received by the plant. However, it could also be attributed to the broader distribution of the glyphosate around the leaf-phloem/xylem-root components of the plants vascular system. Conforming to the pipe model theory, that a given unit of leaves is serviced by a continuation of conducting tissue of constant cross-sectional area, analogous to a pipe system (Shinozaki et al., 1964), the current practice guide for managing and controlling rhododendron in the UK, suggests that there is no translocation of herbicides in rhododendron plants (Edwards, 2006). The present study found this not to be the case, with all treatments causing some levels of damage to untreated parts of the crown, showing that some translocation of glyphosate must have occurred. Leaves from untreated parts of the crown suffered from a decrease in rate of photosynthesis further supporting evidence from Pakatul (2007) who found a reduction in photosynthesis 19 days after applying glyphosate to potted  $R$ . ponticum plants.

Informal observations of the  $R$ . ponticum bushes treated with the control droplet application technique (Pakatul, 2007) found that bushes sprayed at the highest dose (20 1 (Hillite) per hectare) some of which had appeared dead three months after application, showed signs of recovery two years later. This treatment dose was equivalent to treating 75% of the bush in this study which also did not achieve complete control. It is therefore imperative that the plant's entire crown is sprayed as missing even a small section may result in partial recovery. Applying the herbicide in summer or autumn reduces the likelihood of this happening in the event of partial treatment. Therefore, the completeness of the treatment applied by practitioners, especially if they are operating in late autumn or winter, will have a large impact on the effectiveness of control and the likelihood of expensive follow-

### up treatments being required.

# CHAPTER IV: The absorption and translocation of glyphosate in Rhododendron ponticum under different light levels



Assumptions on the mode of action of herbicides in Rhododendron ponticum have been largely inferred from non-physiological trials. In order to optimize the efficacy of herbicides in particular glyphosate, knowledge about the physiological properties of the compound, its behaviour in R. ponticum regarding uptake and translocation, and how these processes are affected by environmental factors is essential. The effects of light on the rate of foliar absorption and translocation of glyphosate in R. ponticum were investigated in a glasshouse experiment.  $^{14}$ C-labelled glyphosate was applied to the abaxial leaf surface of  $R$ . ponticum plants and the radiolabel was measured quantitatively by combustion and scintillation counting in plants harvested 0.25,3,7,14 and 30 days after treatment. There was an initial rapid absorption of foliar applied <sup>14</sup>C glyphosate glyphosate over the first 6 hours (55%) reaching 88% after 3 days, and followed by a more gradual increase to 93% after 30 days. Supplemental lighting did not increase the absorption of the  ${}^{14}C$  but did increase the amount translocated to other parts of the plant. The greatest translocation was to the stem on which the treated leaf was located, followed by accumulation in the roots and the leaves on the same stem above the treated leaves.

# $79<sub>2</sub>$

### 4.2 INTRODUCTION

Rhododendron ponticum is an invasive weed that is causing severe damage to semi-natural habitats in many parts of the British Isles (Rotherham, 2001). A major component of the current control strategy is treating  $R$ . *ponticum* plants with foliar spray of glyphosate, considered to be the most effective herbicide currently licensed for this use in the European Union (Edwards, 2006). There is much concern about the cost and incomplete effectiveness of much of this control practice, with R.

ponticum have therefore been largely inferred from non-physiological trials in which only the effectiveness of killing treated plants has been monitored.

N-(phosphonomethyl) glycine (glyphosate) is a broad spectrum, nonselective, post-emergence systemic herbicide effective on essentially all annual and perennial plants (Knuuttila & Knuuttila, 1985). Glyphosate works by being absorbed into the plant primarily through its leaves but also through soft stem tissue (Fig. 4.1). It is then rapidly transported throughout the plant where it acts on various enzyme systems inhibiting amino acid metabolism in the shikimic acid pathway. Glyphosate is a competitive inhibitor of 5-enolpyruvylshikimic acid-3-phosphate (EPSP) synthase, an enzyme of the shikimic acid pathway (Steinrucken  $\&$  Amrhein, 1984).

ponticum plants not being killed if complete saturation of all the leaf surfaces with glyphosate spray is not achieved or if rainfall occurs too soon after the spraying. Current knowledge of the importance of spray coverage of every stem or leaf, dose required per plant and the relative effectiveness of spraying at different times of the year is hindered by a lack of knowledge of the rate of absorbance of glyphosate into R. ponticum leaves, and then its rate and pattern of translocation throughout the plant. To date work on herbicide impacts on  $R$ . ponticum has largely been based on field and pot trials of different control practices. Other studies have concentrated on field testing the efficacy of various herbicides, adjuvants, herbicide concentrations and application rates. Assumptions on the mode of action of herbicides in R.

Major end-products of the pathway are the aromatic amino acids such as phenylalanine (Phe), tyrosine (Tyr) and tryptophan (Tryp) which are used in protein

synthesis in addition to phenolic end-products, e.g. lignin precursors, flavonoids and

tannins (Cole, 1985). This pathway exists in higher plants and microorganisms but

not in animals. As the primary site of action of glyphosate is associated with inhibition of meristematic activity, translocation from the intercepting leaves to both above- and below-ground meristems is an essential stage in successful glyphosate treatments.

> Steps in the action of glyphosate Interception Retention on leaf

I APPLICATION



Figure 4.1 Factors affecting the steps involved in glyphosate activity (Caseley & Coupland, 1985).

A polar, water-soluble compound such as glyphosate rapidly penetrates the leaves under favourable conditions and probably enters the cuticle via the hydrophilic pathway, followed by a longer phase of slower penetration (Coupland  $\&$ Caseley, 1985). Thick cuticles have been found to be the main barrier to foliar absorption of glyphosate (Bukovac, 1976). The translocation of glyphosate in plants involves cell-to-cell movement as well as longer-distance transport in the vascular tissues. Transfer across cell membranes is necessary for glyphosate to enter the symplast and to move within the phloem system. Autoradiographs have shown that  $^{14}$ C-glyphosate is phloem-loaded and is therefore termed a phloem-mobile herbicide (Baker & Millburn, 1989; Brudenell *et al.*, 1995). However, glyphosate also exhibits apoplastic movement in between cells and in the xylem tissues (Marquis et al., 1979; Bingham et al., 1980; Lolas & Coble, 1980). Its distribution in plants is therefore

also determined by those factors that influence plant transpiration (Devine *et al.*,

1990; Grangeot *et al.*, 2006). Little is known, however, about those factors, which

determine the relative amounts of glyphosate translocated in the symplast or

apoplast. Similarly, when and where this interchange between xylem and phloem

occurs is little understood (Caseley & Coupland, 1985).

The mode of action of glyphosate in perennials is such that best efficacy results when the herbicide is translocated to the root system and stored over winter, retranslocation in the spring then results in the death of new growth (Franz, 1985) Glyphosate has been shown to follow photoassimilate distribution (Sprankle *et al.*, 1975a; Sandberg et al., 1980; Gougler & Geiger, 1981; McAllister & Haderlie, 1985; Feng, 2003) accumulating predominantly in areas of high protein synthesis such as plant meristems in the shoots, roots and rhizomes (Sprankle *et al.*, 1975a; Wyrill & Burnside, 1976; Sandberg *et al.*, 1980; Lundhoie, 1985; Feng *et al.*, 2003;

Grangeot et al., 2006). The variation observed in susceptibility to glyphosate may be due to differences in plant growth stage (Rioux et al., 1974; Ahmadi et al., 1980; Hunter, 1995; Bariuan et al., 1999), leaf cuticle and epicuticular wax (Bukovac, 1976; Green et al., 1992) or environmental conditions (Hammerton, 1967; Jordan, 1977; McWhorter, 1978; Gerber et al., 1983), including light photoperiod and intensity (Caseley & Coupland, 1985), that influence processes such as herbicide uptake, transpiration or plant metabolism.

A literature search revealed no references on the physiological mechanism of the action of glyphosate in  $R$ . *ponticum* or closely related species. Predictions of uptake and translocation of glyphosate (and the factors that may affect them) in  $R$ .

ponticum is therefore largely based on extrapolation from research into glyphosate effects on other species (especially herbaceous ones). In this case it would be predicted that glyphosate flow from R. *ponticum* leaves would initially occur only in the direction of phloem flow, through the stem tissue below the leaf into the root system. It would then only enter other parts of the shoot system after it is translocated back up from the roots with xylem flow. In order to optimize the efficacy of herbicides in particular glyphosate, it is essential to gain a better understanding of the physiological properties of the compound, its behaviour in  $R$ . ponticum regarding absorption and distribution, and how these processes are affected by environmental factors.

# 4.3 OBJECTIVES

The aim of the present study was to test the following hypotheses concerning the movement of glyphosate applied to the leaves of  $R$ . *ponticum*:

- 1. The rate of absorption into the leaf to which it is applied is rapid, the majority occurring within the first 24 hours.
- 2. Rate of absorbance is increased by higher light levels.
- 3. Translocation of glyphosate from the leaf it is absorbed into is initially

mostly basipetal, with higher accumulation in the root than the shoot system (excluding treated leaf).

4. The rate of translocation into the root system and then into other parts of the shoot system is increased by higher light levels.

Translocation of glyphosate has been studied directly, using the <sup>14</sup>C-labelled herbicide, and also indirectly by measuring certain physiological responses caused by the presence of the herbicide (Caseley & Coupland, 1985). Examples of the former include qualitative autoradiographic techniques and quantitative measurements of the amounts of radioactivity in various parts of the plant.  $^{14}C-$ 

Prior to carrying out the main experiment in this chapter, a number of questions regarding methodology (application method, design, and sample analysis) needed to be developed and tested. The first in this series of experiments was designed: (1) to test a widely-used method for determining the absorption and translocation of  $^{14}$ C-

labelled glyphosate was used here to monitor glyphosate absorbance into leaves and translocation to different parts of  $R$ . *ponticum* plants in an experiment designed to test the above hypotheses..

# 4.4 DEVELOPMENT OF METHODOLOGY

4.4.1 Preliminary experiment I

glyphosate; (2) to get an approximate quantification of the degree and rate of foliar absorption and translocation of  ${}^{14}C$ -glyphosate to different parts of R. ponticum plants grown in pots in greenhouse conditions; and (3) to investigate if light level had an effect on absorption or translocation.

### Methods

The plants used in the experiment were propagated from 20-cm cut stems of local source material from Dingle Nursery, Welshpool, Wales, UK and grown in peat medium in two-litre plastic pots in full light. Thirty six plants of similar growth form and size (height 30 to 50 cm), were selected and placed under two different light treatments in an unheated glasshouse. The light treatments were: (i) ambient light levels for August; (ii) supplemental lighting with a 14-hour photoperiod (Photosynthetic photon flux density (PPFD) of 450  $\mu$ mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>). Plants were allowed to acclimatise for two weeks before foliar application on 12 August 2007. Nine harvest times for each of the two light treatments were assigned randomly to the 36 plants giving two replications of each. Five adjacent leaves approximately mid way along the main stem were chosen on each plant and sprayed with 2% glyphosate,  $2\%$  Mixture B (in water). When the leaves had dried, a single 0.5  $\mu$ l droplet containing approximately 0.2  $\mu$ Ci of <sup>14</sup>C-glyphosate\* was applied to the centre of the adaxial surface of each leaf using a dispensing pipette.

The plants were harvested 2, 4, 8, 12 and 24 hours and 3, 7, 14 and 30 days after treatment. These times were selected based on previous absorption and translocation studies with glyphosate; times over the first 24 hours were chosen to look at foliar uptake which has been recorded as being rapid; the longer time intervals were chosen to look at the translocation (Green et al., 1992; Grangeot et al., 2006).

### \* C-glyphosate (glycine-2-<sup>14</sup>C) in aqueous solution (sterile water) specific activity 0.37 1.11 GBq mmol<sup>-1</sup>, 95% purity, concentration 0.1 mCi/ml (American Radiolabeled Chemicals Inc., town, Missouri, USA).

The treated leaves were excised and washed three times for 15 seconds each with a 20 ml volume of distilled water to ensure complete removal of unabsorbed glyphosate and to quantify the efficacy of the washes. Radioactivity in 1 ml of the leaf wash was determined using 4 ml of Optiphase HiSafe 3 scintillation cocktail solvent (PerkinElmer, Massachusetts, USA). At each harvest time treated plants were sectioned into six different parts: the five treated leaves (each sampled separately), the section of stem on which the treated leaves were located (stem was cut 1 cm below the lowest treated leaf and 1 cm above the highest treated leaf), a

section of the same stem above the treated leaves, all the leaves on the same stem above the treated leaves, all of the leaves on the same stem below the treated leaves, all the leaves on a separate adjacent stem.

remove any remaining radiation.  ${}^{14}CO_2$  in the samples was determined by using a MicroBeta® TriLux scintillation counter (Perkin Elmer Life and Analytical Sciences Inc., Waltham, MA, USA) to count the disintegrations per minute (dpm).

The washed treated leaves and other plant parts were put into separate brown paper bags and placed in an oven at 70 °C for 48 hours to dry. The samples were removed from the oven, weighed, and the dried plant parts were ground to powder in a Retsch MM200 Ball Mill (Retsch GmbH, Haan, Germany) for 30 60 seconds per sample. In between sample grinds, the sample containers were washed with methanol and distilled water to prevent cross-contamination. The powder samples were then transferred into 5 ml plastic containers. Fifteen millilitres of Oxosol  $^{14}$ C-scintillant (National Diagnostic, Atlanta, Georgia, USA) were measured into a glass  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  trap and attached to a Harvey OX500 Biological Oxidizer (R.J. Harvey Instruments Corp., Hillsdale, New Jersey, USA).

A 100-mg sub-sample was weighed into a ceramic boat, which was subsequently placed on a quartz ladle and combusted in the biological oxidiser for four minutes at 900 °C. The glass trap was removed and the contents were emptied into a clean glass 25 ml scintillation vial. The trap was washed out with methanol between samples to

The amount of  ${}^{14}C$ -glyphosate in each plant component part was calculated by multiplying the activity per 100 mg subsample (for each plant part) times 10 to get dpm/g dry weight and multiplying this by the dry weight (g) for each different plant component where possible (leaves) – total absorbed radioactivity was the sum of the radioactivity in the different plant components. This was then expressed as a percentage of the total amount applied: the sum of unabsorbed radioactivity from leaf washes plus total recovered from the plant to give total absorbed radioactivity  $(\%).$ 

Translocation from the treated leaves to the different harvested plant component parts was radioactivity in different plant parts (dpm in 100 mg subsample) expressed as a percentage of the sum of radioactivity in all plant component subsamples (dpm per 100 mg).

## Results and implications for methodology

absorbance of  ${}^{14}C$ -glyphosate over the 30 days. There was no evidence of a trend in absorbance between the five measurements made during the first 24 hours in either light treatment (Fig. 4.2a,b). There was also no evidence of a marked difference between the plants under supplemental light (Fig. 4.2c) and ambient light (Fig. 4.2d) up to seven days, however at 14 and then 30 days the mean absorbance increased markedly in the plants under supplemental light, while falling in those under ambient light. The distribution of the translocated  ${}^{14}C$  to different plant parts was highly uneven (Fig. 24e). It was substantially highest in the part of the stem on which the treated leaves were located (58%) followed by the treated leaves themselves (21%). Activity in the four other parts ranged from 3 to 7%.

Due to the low level of replication there was a high degree of variance around the mean values per treatment. Nonetheless, there was some indication of an increase in



Figure 4.2 Absorption and partitioning of the activity of  $^{14}$ C-glyphosate applied to 30 – 50 cm <u>.</u><br>เ height *Rhododendron ponticum* plants over 30 days. Absorption (as a percentage of the total applied) over 1 day in plants kept under a) supplemental light and b) ambient light. Absorption (as a percentage of the total applied) over 30 days in plants kept under c) supplemental light and d) ambient light. e) Partitioning of this absorbed activity amongst six parts of the plants (average of plants kept under both light regimes harvested at 30 days). Vertical bars are

#### standard errors of the means.

Based on the experience of conducting this preliminary trial, the following modifications were made for the main trial.

To reduce the amount of variability amongst replications and eliminate unnecessary measurements:

- The replication was increased to six plants per harvest per treatment.
- The number of harvest times was reduced to include only one time within the first 24 hours but maintaining the longer harvest times.
- $\bullet$  <sup>14</sup>C-glyphosate was applied to only three leaves and time could be saved by mixing the treated leaves for sampling, with little cost to capacity to test the hypotheses (as the individual leaves are `pseudo-replicates' within the plant.
- " It was decided to continue to harvest leaves above, leaves below, treated stem, adjacent leaves, and to drop stem above but add roots.

During the application of  ${}^{14}C$ -glyphosate, the droplets became unstable and dripped down onto the stem, and this was likely to have been responsible for the high levels of activity found in the treated stem. Therefore, in the main trial:

- The size of the droplet  $(5 \mu l)$  was reduced to 2.5  $\mu l$ ; one of these smaller droplets was applied to either side of the leaf midrib to minimise spreading.
- The  ${}^{14}C$ -glyphosate droplets were applied to the inside of a ring of Vaseline to minimise spreading and drip.
- Any leaf where the droplet became unstable and dripped was immediately excised and discarded and a droplet was applied to a new leaf.
- The unlabelled glyphosate  $(2\%$  glyphosate with  $2\%$  Mixture B in water) was applied with a brush to untreated areas to minimise spray drift, drip and to allow the labelled glyphosate to be applied effectively at the same time (as opposed to having to wait for the surface to dry).

### 4.4.2 Preliminary experiment II

Use of  $^{14}$ C-radiolabelled glyphosate to quantify its absorbance and translocations imposes many constraints on experimental design, e. g. for reasons of cost and safety. In addition, monitoring the distribution of  ${}^{14}C$  activity does not necessarily correspond with the distribution of effects of glyphosate on the plant. Glyphosate competes with the substrate phosphoenolpyruvate (PEP) for a binding site on the 5 enolpyruvylshikimate-3-phosphate synthase (EPSPS) enzyme (Cole, 1985). Upon

the inhibition of EPSPS by glyphosate, levels of shikimic acid, the metabolic precursor of shikimate 3-phosphate, have been reported to increase in sensitive plants (Pline et al., 2002, Bresnahan et al., 2003, Mueller et al., 2003). Therefore, the concentration of shikimic acid is a good candidate as an indirect assay of the disruption to plant metabolism by glyphosate. The objectives of this research were (1) to evaluate if shikimic acid accumulates in  $R$ . ponticum in response to glyphosate application, and (2) to correlate shikimic acid accumulation to  ${}^{14}C$ -glyphosate activity and assess the possibility of using shikimic acid concentration as an assay for future trials.

### **Methods**

The plants used in the experiment were propagated from 20-cm cut stems of local source material from Dingle Nursery, Welshpool, Wales, UK and grown in a peat medium in two-litre plastic pots in full light. Twelve plants of similar growth form and size (height 30 50 cm) were selected and placed in an unheated glasshouse. Plants were allowed to acclimatise for two weeks before foliar application on 2 December 2007. The plants were divided into six matched replicate pairs, within which one plant was selected randomly for treatment and one as a control. Five

leaves were selected in the mid section of different shoots of each plant (leaf position

matched on plants); each leaf (and the section of stem on which it was located) was

considered as a separate sample. Two rings of Vaseline were smeared on the adaxial

(upper) leaf surface either side of the leaf midrib. One millilitre of glyphosate

solution at normal field use rate (commercial RoundUp 360 ProBioactive at 2% and

Mixture B at 2% in water) was applied to each selected leaf using a brush to minimise drip and avoid the area inside the rings. Directly after foliar application of glyphosate (outside rings), two 2.5  $\mu$ l droplets, each containing approximately 0.1  $\mu$ Ci of <sup>14</sup>C-glyphosate in aqueous solution were applied to each leaf inside the Vaseline rings using a dispensing pipette. After application, the drops were carefully watched to ensure that they remained where placed. If a droplet seemed unstable, the treated leaf was immediately removed and discarded and a replacement leaf was selected.

The amount of  ${}^{14}C$ -glyphosate in the leaves and stem section was calculated by multiplying the activity per 100 mg (dpm/100mg) subsample (for each plant part) times 10 to get dpm/g dry weight and multiplying this by the dry weight (g) for each different plant component half. This was then multiplied by two to get total activity

After seven days, all the plants were harvested and the treated leaves and 2-cm stem sections (1 cm either side of petiole) were excised. The treated leaves were excised and washed three times for 15 seconds each with a 20 ml volume of distilled water to ensure complete removal of unabsorbed glyphosate. Each leaf and stem section was cut in half with a razor blade and weighed. One half of each was then put in a separate brown paper bag and placed in an oven at 70 °C for 48 hours to dry. These samples were then weighed again and ground in a Retsch MM200 Ball Mill (Retsch GmbH, Haan, Germany) for 30 seconds. One hundred milligramme subsamples were weighed out and combusted in a biological oxidiser (Harvey OX500 Biological Oxidizer, R.J. Harvey Instruments Corp., Hillsdale, New Jersey, USA). Radioactive  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  was trapped in 15 ml of Oxosol  $^{14}$ C scintillant (National Diagnostic, Atlanta, Georgia, USA) which was subsequently emptied into a 25 ml glass vial and measured in a MicroBeta® TriLux scintillation counter (Perkin Elmer Life and Analytical Sciences Inc., Waltham, MA, USA). Radioactivity per 100-mg sample was displayed as disintegrations per minute.

(dpm) per leaf or stem. This was subsequently expressed as a percentage of the total

applied activity (total absorbed radioactivity (sum of the radioactivity in the treated

leaf and stem) plus unabsorbed radioactivity from leaf washes).



The other half of each fresh sample was homogenised in liquid nitrogen with a mortar and pestle and extracted with 4 ml of 0.01 M  $H_2SO_4$  g<sup>-1</sup> of tissue for 1 hour on a shaker. One millilitre of  $0.4-M$  NaHCO<sub>3</sub> was added, and the samples were centrifuged at 10,000g for 10 minutes at 4 °C. The supernatant was filtered and stored at -20 °C until analysis. HPLC (High Pressure Liquid Chromatography) analysis was carried out according to the methods of Lydon and Duke (1988). Working standard solutions spanning the concentration range from 50 g to 800 g  $L^{-1}$ for HPLC analysis were prepared by appropriate dilution in purified water.

The determination of shikimic acid was accomplished within 8 minutes, with the mean peak occurring at 4.5 minutes. Plate counts at this peak were determined and converted into mg of shikimic acid using a predetermined (using known amounts of shikimic acid) standard calibration curve. These data were then converted to mg shikimic acid per gramme dry weight by using the fresh to dry weight ratio data of the matching sample halves analysed for  ${}^{14}C$ -glyphosate content.

The amount of  ${}^{14}C$ -glyphosate absorbed by the treated leaves was on average 4% of the total applied activity (Fig. 4.3a). Activity in the stem section was significantly  $(p$  $<$  0.001, Table 4.1) lower (0.1% of the total applied activity) despite having approximately the same average dry weight (0.16 g) as the treated leaves (0.18 g). There was a significant difference between concentration of shikimic acid in treated

### and untreated plant parts ( $p = 0.001$ ). The concentration of shikimic acid was 2.3 and

Activity in leaves and stems was converted to Bq per gramme dry weight by multiplying dpm times 10 to get dpm per gramme and dividing this number by 60 to get Bq per gramme dry weight. This was then plotted against shikimic acid (mg) per

gramme dry weight.

# Results and implications for methodology

5.9 times higher in the treated than the untreated leaves and stem respectively (Fig.



There was a notable difference between the  ${}^{14}$ C activity and shikimic acid concentration in their distribution between the treated leaves and their stem sections (Fig 4.3a, b). Whereas for <sup>14</sup>C activity it was markedly higher in the leaves (ratio of 32 : 1), for the shikimic acid concentration it was slightly higher in the stem sections (ratio of 1: 1.27).

There was a significant positive correlation between  ${}^{14}C$  activity and shikimic acid concentration in both the leaves ( $p = 0.038$ ) and stem ( $p = 0.046$ ) sections (Fig. 3.4 c,

d;  $r^2$  values for linear regression were 0.1032 and 0.1059, respectively).



Figure 4.3 Assays of uptake and metabolic impact of  $^{14}$ C-labelled glyphosate applied to the

leaves of 30-50 cm height plants of *Rhododendron ponticum* in the leaves to which the glyphosate was applied and the section of stem these leaves were located on, seven days after application: a) <sup>14</sup>C activity (as a percentage of the total applied activity); b) concentration of shikimic acid (mg) per gramme of dry weight in treated and untreated plants; c) linear regression of response of shikimic acid concentration to concentration of radioactivity in treated leaves; d) linear regression of response of shikimic acid concentration to concentration of radioactivity in stem section; Vertical bars are standard errors of the mean.

The results of the shikimic acid technique were promising, although there was variability in the results between the treated leaves and stems, this was comparable to that of the  $^{14}$ C activity assay: standard errors were 16 and 21% of the mean for the treated leaves and the stem sections they were located on (respectively) for the shikimic acid concentration assay compared with 14 and 25% (respectively) for the  $<sup>14</sup>C$  activity assay. Glyphosate treatment increased shikimic acid concentration in the</sup> treated leaves and stem by 128 and 427% which was highly significant ( $p = 0.001$ ) nonetheless, the shikimic acid method was found to be more time consuming, and

there were concerns about the functional interpretation of its results, therefore it was

decided to use the radiolabelling technique to measure glyphosate absorption and translocation in the main experiment.

The method of applying two smaller 2.5 µl droplets of glyphosate solution within Vaseline rings was successful; they were much more stable than the single 5µl droplet applied in Preliminary Experiment I, therefore this method was adopted for

Table 4.1 Univariate analysis of variance on the effect of foliar application of glyphosate to levels of 14C glyphosate and shikimic acid in the leaves and related stem section of Rhododendron ponticum woody shrubs 30-50 cm in height. See Appendix 4.1  $\&$  4.2 for full ANOVA.





the main experiment. Similarly the approach of applying unlabelled glyphosate to the

remaining surface of the treated leaves using a brush was more successful - there was

no drip, no possible contamination of untreated leaves by spray drift and

radiolabelled glyphosate was able to be applied at the same time - and was therefore

adopted in the main experiment.

### 4.4.3 Preliminary experiment III

Previous studies have found that the leaf cuticle, which is composed of a lipophilic waxy layer, is the primary barrier to glyphosate absorption (Wyrrill & Burnside, 1976; Franz, 1985). While there has been considerable research into methods to increase glyphosate penetration of the leaf cuticle these have predominantly been carried out on herbaceous plants. Surfactants improve the efficacy and rainfastness of glyphosate thus improving retention and absorption (Lawrie & Clay, 1993; de

Ruiter, et al., 1996; Franz et al., 1997; Sharma & Singh, 2007). Leaf abaxial (lower) cuticles are normally thinner and less waxy than the adaxial (upper) leaf cuticle. The most widely used formulation for foliar spray application to  $R$ . ponticum is 2% glyphosate and 2% Mixture B (a blend of surfactants, wetters, spreaders and penetrants) in water. The objectives of this experiment were (1) to test whether there was greater glyphosate absorbance when applied to the upper or lower leaf surface of R. ponticum, (2) to test the absorbance of glyphosate mixed with three different surfactants and (3) to test the effect of surfactant concentration on foliar absorption of glyphosate.

abaxial (lower) surface was facing upwards and glyphosate one millilitre of glyphosate solution at normal field use rate (commercial RoundUp 360 ProBioactive at 2% and Mixture B at 2% in water) was applied to each selected leaf using a brush. After foliar application of glyphosate (outside rings), two 2.5 µl droplets, each containing approximately 0.1  $\mu$ Ci of <sup>14</sup>C-glyphosate in aqueous solution were

### Methods

The 12 R. ponticum test plants and the growing conditions were the same as for preliminary experiments I and II. The present experiment was started on 18 November 2008 (plants were allowed 2 weeks to acclimatise). There were a total of 12 treatment combinations -3 surfactants (Mixture B, MON 0818 and MON 5911 (all supplied by Monsanto, Cambridge, UK); 2 leaf surfaces (upper and lower) and 2 surfactant concentrations (2% and 10%); glyphosate was kept at 2% concentration for all treatments Each was applied to the surface of a single leaf to randomly selected R. ponticum plants. The leaves were pegged back to the stem so that the

applied to each leaf inside the Vaseline rings using a dispensing pipette. After application, the drops were carefully watched to ensure that they remained where placed. If a droplet seemed became unstable, the treated leaf was immediately removed and discarded and a replacement leaf was selected. The treated leaves of the plants were harvested seven days after application. The preparation of samples and measurement of  ${}^{14}C$  activity were carried out in the same way as for Preliminary Experiments I and II.



### Adjuvant

Figure 4.4 The effect of surfactant type and concentration, and the leaf surface of application (upper versus lower) on the absorption after seven days of glyphosate (2% in water) for all treatments) applied to leaves of Rhododendron ponticum plants.

# Results and implications for methodology

Although the trial was unreplicated, clear trends were observed in glyphosate absorption amongst the different treatments (Fig. 4.4). Absorption by the lower leaf surface was consistently higher than by the upper surface. Mixture B appeared to be

the most effective surfactant at both concentrations on the lower leaf surface with an average absorption rate of around 19%. In contrast MON 59117 appeared to be the most effective surfactant at both concentrations on the upper leaf surface, and MON0818 the least effective all round. Apparent difference between the 2 and 10% concentrations showed a consistent (though generally small) effect across all three
surfactants: on the upper leaf surface greater absorption was obtained with the 10% surfactant concentration, whereas on the lower surface greater absorption was obtained with the 2% surfactant concentration.

The plants used in the experiment were propagated from 20-cm cut stems of local source material from Dingle Nursery, Welshpool, Wales, UK and grown in a peat medium in two-litre plastic pots in full light. Sixty plants of similar growth form and size (height 30 - 50 cm) were selected and placed under two different light

Therefore, in the main experiment it was decided to:

- Apply glyphosate to the abaxial (lower) leaf surface.
- Continue to use Mixture B as the surfactant at 2\% concentration.

treatments in an unheated glasshouse with average daily temperatures of 8.1/2.6 °C (average ambient max. /min. temperatures for December 2008). The light treatments were: (i) ambient light levels for December (8 hours photoperiod, average PPFD of between 100 (cloudy day) to 250 (clear day)  $\mu$ mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>); (ii) supplemental lighting with a 14-hour photoperiod (PPFD =  $250 \mu$  mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>).

## 4.5 METHODS

## 4.5.1 Experimental design





#### Figure 4.5 Arrangement of plants (5 plants within each `block' for 5 harvest times) on a SE facing bench in an unheated glasshouse.

Plants were allowed to acclimatise for two weeks before foliar application of  ${}^{14}C$ glyphosate on 12 December 2008. Five harvest times for each of the two light treatments were assigned to the 60 plants giving six replications of each. Due to some variation in growth form a stratified-random allocation of plants to treatments was used, with the different plant morphologies (single-stemmed, multi-stemmed, small, tall) each distributed as evenly as possible amongst the replications.

# 4.5.2 Application of  ${}^{14}C$  glyphosate

\*'<sup>--</sup>C-glyphosate (glycine-2-14C) in aqueous solution (sterile water) specific activity  $0.37 -$ 1.11 GBq mmol<sup>-1</sup>, 95% purity, concentration 0.1 mCi/ml (American Radiolabeled Chemicals Inc., town/city, Missouri, USA).

Three leaves adjacent to each other approximately mid-way along the main stem were chosen on each plant. Preliminary trials found that glyphosate absorption on the abaxial leaf surface was much greater than the adaxial surface. Studies have found that the leaf cuticle, composed of a lipophilic waxy layer, is the primary barrier to glyphosate absorption (Wyrrill & Burnside, 1976; Franz, 1985). Two rings of Vaseline were smeared on the lower (abaxial) leaf surface either side of the leaf midrib (Fig. 4.6a). The Vaseline acted as a barrier to prevent the droplet from spreading all over the leaf surface. Leaves were pinned back using pegs so that the abaxial surface was facing up to prevent dripping. A background dose of Glyphosate at normal field use rate (commercial RoundUp 360 ProBioactive at 2% and Mixture B at 2% in water) was applied to the selected leaves using a brush (1 ml applied to each leaf) to minimise drip and to avoid the area inside the rings. Two 2.5 µl droplets of  $^{14}$ C-labelled glyphosate were applied to each of the three selected leaves using a 2-20 µl dispensing pipette (giving a total of 15 µl applied to each plant). Treatments were applied one plant at a time making sure that the exact time of application was marked on each pot using a white paint marker. Each droplet contained approximately 0.1  $\mu$ Ci <sup>14</sup>C-glyphosate<sup>\*</sup> (1  $\mu$ Ci = 37 kBq) in the same formulation of herbicide and surfactant as used in the pre-treatment foliar application, giving a total

of 0.6 pCi per plant. Prior to application, the exact amount of radioactivity required

was calculated and dispensed by a radiation safety officer.

Figure 4.6 Position of glyphosate treatment and subsequent harvesting of organs on Rhododendron ponticum plants of 30-50 cm height: a) abaxial leaf surface where 2.5  $\mu$ <sup>14</sup>Clabelled glyphosate droplets were applied; b) organs harvested: treated leaves (TL); treated stem (TS); leaves above (LA); leaves below (LB); leaves on other shoot (LS); roots (R).

There were a total of 60 plants each treated with 0.6  $\mu$ Ci giving a total of 36  $\mu$ l of <sup>14</sup>C-glyphosate made up to 600  $\mu$ l of solution with the pre-treatment formulation. After application, the drops were carefully observed to ensure that they remained where placed. If a droplet seemed unstable, the treated leaf was immediately removed and discarded and a replacement leaf was selected. Pegs were removed once the leaf surface was dry.

Plants were harvested 6 hours and 1, 3, 7, 14 and 30 days after treatment. Treated leaves were excised and washed three times each for 15 s with separate 20 ml



4.5.3 Plant harvest and sample preparation

volumes of distilled water to ensure complete removal of unabsorbed material and to

quantify the efficacy of the washes. Radioactivity in 1 ml of the leaf wash was



determined using 4 ml of Optiphase HiSafe 3 scintillation cocktail solvent (PerkinElmer, town, Massachusetts, USA) - to get the total unabsorbed radioactivity in the 20 ml volumes dpm/ ml was multiplied by 20 and total unabsorbed radioactivity per leaf was the sum of the three 20 ml volumes (dpm). At each harvest time treated plants were sectioned into six different parts (Fig. 4.6b): the three treated leaves (TL); the section of stem (stem was cut 1 cm below the lowest treated leaf and 1 cm above the highest treated leaf) on which the treated leaves were located (treated stem, TS); all of the leaves above the treated leaves on the same stem

(leaves above, LA); all of the leaves below the treated leaf on the same stem (leaves below, LB); all the leaves on a separate shoot adjacent to the treated shoot (leaves separate, LS); and a sample  $(0.2 - 0.6$  g dry weight) of the roots  $(R)$ . The preparation of samples and measurement of  ${}^{14}C$  activity were carried out in the same way as for the Preliminary Experiments.

# 4.5 DATA PRESENTATION AND ANALYSES

Certain ideal procedures were not followed due to logistical or resource reasons.

Ideally the entire plant should be separated into different components to dry, weigh, and grind, followed by multiple sub-sampling. However, with  $R$ . *ponticum* there were two main problems in following this procedure: (1) the stem is woody with up to a1 cm diameter in places; the ball mill could only sample small sections -3 cm sections of stem at most – and grind 2 samples at a time (5 minutes). To sample the entire stem or even multiple sections was not possible in the scope of this study. (2) The root system of R. ponticum is very complex and matted and separation from soil in the pots was too difficult to be worthwhile. Therefore, whilst complete sampling of stem and root material was not possible, it was possible to harvest all the leaves on the same stem above and below the treated leaves and all the leaves on one

adjacent untreated shoot. Approximate estimates based on the reference mass: of 100

mg of the sampled stem section represented on average 2.5% (average weight of 0.5

g dry weight of 3 cm stem section from treated stem around 25 cm in length)  $-$ 

although this was likely an overestimate as it is highly likely there would have been

a concentration gradient of  ${}^{14}C$  along the stem (Brook, pers. comm. & based on

evidence from Preliminary experiment I).; of 100 mg of the sampled root system represented on average approximately 2.5% (average weight of 0.4 g dry weight root section which was approximately 10% total root mass) of the total mass of the whole root system; and that the sampled adjacent untreated shoot was on average 30% (on average 1 of 3 other untreated shoots) of the mass of the untreated shoots of the plants.

Radioactivity, in the various plant parts was first expressed as disintegrations per

minute (dpm). Activity in each plant component part was expressed as activity (dpm) per 1 gramme dry weight of the plant part.  $^{14}$ C-glyphosate activity in the treated stem, leaves above, leaves below, other leaves and roots was plotted against the activity (dpm/g dry weight) of the corresponding set of treated leaves.

The following calculation for determining absorbed radioactivity has been used in several studies (Green et al., 1992; Grangeot et al., 2006) and was chosen over estimating absorption as a percentage of what was thought to be applied per droplet (3.7 kBq/222000 dpm) as droplet size and  ${}^{14}C$ -glyphosate concentration varied greatly between applications. The plants that were treated first had considerably higher amounts of  ${}^{14}C$  (up to 500% times more) in the unabsorbed washes and in treated leaf samples. The  ${}^{14}C$  glyphosate in translocated from the treated leaves to other parts of the plant was proportional to the activity in the treated leaves. To compare data between plants, activity was therefore expressed as percentages.

For the main analyses the amount of  ${}^{14}C$ -glyphosate absorbed into the treated leaves was calculated by multiplying the activity per 100 mg subsample (of set of treated leaves) times 10 to get dpm/g dry weight and multiplying this by the total dry weight (g) of the harvested sets of treated leaves. This was then expressed as a percentage of the total amount applied. The total amount applied was the sum of unabsorbed radioactivity from leaf washes plus total recovered from the plant (total absorbed radioactivity). The majority of the  ${}^{14}C$  was shown to remain in the treated leaves and therefore this method of determining total  ${}^{14}C$  absorption was determined to be the most accurate approximation. It was assumed that essentially all  $^{14}$ C recovered from the  $^{14}$ C glyphosate-treated plants was in the form of intact glyphosate, based on the

results of previous glyphosate metabolism studies (Gottrup *et al.*, 1976; Schultz & Burnside, 1980).

The most reliable calculations of translocation of the applied  ${}^{14}C$ -glyphosate to other plant parts were based on its concentrations within the sampled material (dpm in 100 mg subsample) and were expressed as a percentage of the amount absorbed in the treated leaves (dpm in 100 mg subsample).

The total quantity translocated to different plant parts could only be determined for the three completely sampled sets of leaves (not the stem or root tissue for the reasons described in the first paragraph in section 4.5 above). This was done by multiplying the activity per 100 mg subsample (of each set of leaves) times 10 to get dpm/g dry weight and multiplying this by the total dry weight (g) of the harvested sets of leaves - the total amount of radioactivity translocated to these sets of leaves was expressed as a percent of the total absorbed radioactivity.

All statistic analysis was done using SPSS 16.0 and graphs were plotted using SigmaPlot 10.0. The data from each plant were treated as independent replicates of

each combination of harvest time and treatment (six replicates of each). The light treatments were applied to different parts of the glasshouse so light treatment is confounded with position and therefore, while statistical comparison between the two is valid, the interpretation must acknowledge that any differences cannot be attributed with certainty to light treatment rather than position. The data were normally distributed so analysis of variance was used to test for significance of light treatment, harvest time, and plant component part on levels of  ${}^{14}C$ -glyphosate activity in different parts of the plant. A p-value less than 0.05 was taken to indicate a significant difference.

#### 4.6 RESULTS

Activity, expressed as total dpm in the treated leaves of plants that were kept under supplemental and ambient light was 75,770 and 175,767 and activity in corresponding unabsorbed washes was 49,163 and 239,841 dpm, respectively. There was a positive correlation between activity in treated leaves and translocation to other plant parts especially for plants kept under supplemental lighting (Fig. 4.7). As the amount of activity in treated leaves increased so did activity in treated stem

Foliar absorption of applied  ${}^{14}$ C-glyphosate had reached a mean of 55% after six hours and 88% after 3 days, followed by a more gradual increase to 93% after 30 days (Fig. 4.8a, Table 4.2). Supplementary light had no effect on foliar absorption of applied  $^{14}$ C glyphosate after 30 days (Fig. 4.8a, Table 4.2). The rate of absorption at the intermediate harvests (6 hours 14 days) showed fluctuations for both the

section (4.7a), leaves up the stem above the treated leaves (4.7b), leaves down the stem below the treated leaves (4.7c), leaves on untreated shoots (4.7d) and roots (4.7e). This relationship was less pronounced in leaves below and other shoots, especially under ambient light.

supplementary and ambient light treatments, but no evidence of significant differences in trajectory (both the overall effect of light treatment and the interaction between harvest time and light treatment were not significant).





Activity in treated leaves (dpm/g dry weight)

ambient light  $\longrightarrow$  supplementary light

Figure 4.7 Translocation of foliar applied  $^{14}$ C-glyphosate from treated leaves of Rhododendron ponticum plants 30-50cm under ambient and supplemental light to other plant parts, expressed as activity (dpm) per gramme dry weight of: a) treated stem section  $(r^2)$  linear regression values of 0.4256 and 0.049 under supplemental and ambient lighting respectively); b) leaves on stem above treated leaves  $(r^2)$  linear regression values of 0.0102 and 0.1185 under supplemental and ambient lighting respectively);; c) leaves on stem below treated leaves  $(r^2)$  linear regression values of 0.1100 and 0.00164 under supplemental and ambient lighting respectively);; d) leaves on untreated shoot  $(r^2)$  linear regression values of 0.0613 and 0.00007 under supplemental and ambient lighting respectively); and e) roots section  $(r^2)$  linear regression values of 0.1915 and 0.0332 under supplemental and ambient lighting respectively) against activity (dpm) gramme dry weight of corresponding treated leaves.



Figure 4.8 Absorption and translocation of  ${}^{14}C$  glyphosate applied to leaves of *Rhododendron* ponticum plants 30-50 cm in height over 30 days (a) absorption into these leaves (as a percentage of total recovered radioactivity); Translocation of 14C glyphosate to other plant parts based on the concentrations within the sampled material (dpm in 100 mg subsample): b) stem sections on which treated leaves are located; c) leaves on the same stem above the treated leaves; d) leaves on the same stem below the treated leaves; e) leaves on an adjacent untreated stem; f) roots. Vertical bars are standard errors of the mean. Note the variation between the panels in y-axis scale.

In the plants kept under ambient light, 2.3% of the  $^{14}$ C activity had been translocated to the stem section on which the treated leaves were located by the three day harvest

Translocation of  ${}^{14}C$  to the other plant parts occurred more slowly and the trajectories differed greatly between the supplementary and ambient light treatments (Fig. 4.8b-f) such that, in contrast to foliar absorbance, the supplementary lighting did have a marginally insignificant effect ( $p = 0.054$ ) on the rate of <sup>14</sup>C translocation out of the treated leaves to different parts of the plant after 30 days (Table 4.3) and a significant ( $p = 0.011$ ) interaction effect of light treatment and harvest time on translocation. The difference in the amount of  ${}^{14}C$  glyphosate translocated from treated leaves to different parts of the plant was also highly significant ( $p < 0.001$ )

and post-hoc analysis with Tukey (Appendix 4.5) showed the difference between the treated stem section and the other plant parts to be the only significant difference (i.e. the differences amongst all other plant parts were not significant). The highly significant interactions between harvest time and light treatment ( $p = 0.011$ ) and between harvest time and plant part  $(p < 0.001)$  correspond with the large variation between the light treatments and the plant parts in their rate of  ${}^{14}C$  translocation (Fig. 4.8b-f).

(Fig. 4.8b). There was no consistent trend in  ${}^{14}C$  activity in the stem section during the subsequent harvests; a decrease to 1.1% after 14 days may have been followed by a slight increase to 1.7% after 30 days. The rate of translocation from treated leaves to the other plant parts was low; 0.1% had reached the leaves up the stem above the treated leaves by 30 days; it rose steadily up to just above 0.1% in the leaves down the stem below the treated leaves and remained below 0.1% (with no particular trend over time) in the leaves on a separate stem; activity in the roots rose above 0.2% by 3 days but then fell.

Translocation of  $^{14}C$  activity from the leaves to which it was applied was marginally

greater in the plants under supplementary light (a total of 2.6% to all other sampled plant parts) than under ambient light (2.0%) by 30 days (Fig. 4.8). In these supplementary-lit plants the sequence of translocation appears to be as follows. The first major translocation was into the stem sections on which the treated leaves were located; it increased steadily into the stem section until 14 days (2.4%) and then fell

(this is a markedly different trend over time than in the plants under ambient light). There was a rapid translocation into the roots between 6 hours and 7 days (to 0.5%). After seven days the  $^{14}$ C activity in the roots fell slightly and then levelled (at above 0.5%). It is notable that exactly the same trends occurred on the same time scale in the plants under ambient light, though the magnitude of translocation to the roots was much less. After 3 days there was a rise in translocation to the leaves on the same stem above the treated leaves up to day 14 (0.17%) then, as in the stem sections, the activity fell. There was a slightly slower level of translocation into the

Table 4.2 Univariate analysis of variance on the effect of light treatment on foliar absorption of <sup>14</sup>C-glyphosate applied to *Rhododendron ponticum* plants 30 – 50 cm in height over 30 days. See<br>Appendix 4.3 for full ANO - 50 cm in height over 30 days. See Appendix 4.3 for full ANOVA.

leaves lower down the stem of the treated leaves (below 0.1% up to 14 days and levelling off but with high variation amongst the replicate plants) and also a low transfer into leaves on a separate stem (never exceeding 0.12%).





Table 4.3 Univariate analysis of variance on the effect of light treatment on the translocation to different plant parts of concentration of  ${}^{14}C$ -glyphosate applied to the leaves of *Rhododendron* ponticum plants 30 – 50 cm in height over 30 days. Appendix 4.4 for full ANOVA.





Table 4.4 Estimates of percentage activity for  ${}^{14}C$  glyphosate activity (expressed as a percent of total absorbed activity) from treated leaves of Rhododendron ponticum to other parts of the plant. In 'whole harvest' all of the plant part was weighed whereas with 'Estimate' the plant parts were not and the calculation is an estimate based on representative subsamples.  $\overline{\phantom{0}}$ 



 $<sup>14</sup>C$ -glyhosate in all the leaves on the stem above and below the treated leaves were</sup> 0.43 and 0.50% after 30 days under ambient light which increased to 0.80 and 0.67%, respectively under supplemental light (Table 4.4). Similar patterns were observed in other parts of the plant with approximate estimates for levels in the treated stem section, roots and other shoots being higher  $(11.2, 2.44$  and  $0.27\%)$ under supplemental light than under ambient (1.18, 0.46 and 0.09%).

When applied to the lower (abaxial) leaf surface, with an appropriate surfactant, there was very high absorption of glyphosate into the treated leaves, even for plants grown in December. Over half of the applied glyphosate had been absorbed within the first six hours, and reached 88% after three days after which there was no significant increase in absorption. This rate of absorption is consistent with studies that have demonstrated an initial rapid absorption of glyphosate which has been reported to last a few hours in herbaceous plants (Sprankle *et al.*, 1975;

## 4.8 DISCUSSION

Brecke & Duke, 1980) to a few days in woody species (Lund-Hoie, 1976). This initial phase has been followed by a longer phase of slower penetration (Sprankle *et* al., 1975; Schultz and Burnside, 1980; Grangeot et al., 2006). The duration of each of the phases is dependent on a number of factors including species, age, environmental conditions and concentration of the glyphosate and surfactant (Merrit,

1982; Neal & Skroch, 1985; Yonce & Skroch, 1989). Despite very low ambient light levels, there was remarkably little effect of high level supplementary lighting on either rate of absorption or total levels of  $1<sup>4</sup>C$ -glyphosate after thirty days. However, the larger effect of supplementary lighting on the translocation of this  $^{14}C$  activity implies that this lighting was having some impact on the physiology of the plants. This suggests therefore, that absorption of glyphosate across the cuticle of R. ponticum leaves is a physical process, largely independent of the physiology of the plants (particularly stomatal openings).

Results from the preliminary experiments showed that absorption following application to the upper (adaxial) surface of  $R$ . ponticum leaves was significantly lower (4%) than the lower (abaxial) leaf surface (88%) after seven days. This would suggest that leaf surface application is the primary factor in determining foliar penetration. The cuticle of rhododendron species has been shown to be well developed on the upper side with stomata present predominantly on the lower leaf surface (Roberts et al, 1959; Mircea, 2005). Cross (1975) noted that the average density of stomata in  $R$ . ponticum leaves was significantly higher on the abaxial than the adaxial lead surface. Many studies have shown that the presence of stomata can significantly increase the rate of foliar uptake of hydrophilic solutes, chiefly under conditions favouring stomatal opening (review Fernandez  $\&$  Eichter, 2009 and references therein). Increased absorption by the lower leaf surface could therefore be due to higher stomatal density or a thinner leaf cuticle. Light is known to stimulate stomatal opening and various physiological processes in the plant such as photosynthesis or xylem flux, which may increase the rate of foliar uptake (Currier & Dybing, 1959; Jyung &Wittwer, 1964). However, since there was no effect of light on absorption in this study it is more likely that leaf cuticle thickness has the greatest effect on foliar absorption. The first limitation to absorption of a postemergence herbicide is penetration through the epicuticular wax and cuticle which have been considered by to be the major barrier to absorption, especially to polar

compounds such as glyphosate (Bukovac, 1976; Sherrick et al., 1989; Wang & Liu, 2006). Several studies have attributed lower rates of  ${}^{14}C$ -glyphosate foliar absorption to thicker cuticles and epicuticular wax (Wyrrill & Burnside, 1976; Coupland et al., 1978; Green *et al.*, 1992). Despite the addition of a surfactant, absorption of  ${}^{14}C$ glyphosate was much lower when applied to the adaxial surface. Significant

increased absorption by the abaxial surface was most probably due to a thinner cuticle, although higher stomatal density cannot be discounted as no measurements of gas exchange or stomatal openings were taken to test for the effect of light.

Although supplementary light had no effect on absorption it did increase translocation of  ${}^{14}C$ -glyphosate from treated leaves to different parts of the plant. Schultz and Burnside (1980) also found that absorption of  ${}^{14}C$ -glyphosate in Apocynum cannabinum was unaffected by light intensity seven days after treatment

In this study, although only a small section of root was sampled levels of  ${}^{14}C$ glyphosate were comparatively high. Rapid translocation of the absorbed glyphosate to the roots, presumably via the phloem as the amount translocated was substantially boosted by supplementary lighting. This is typical of glyphosate translocation to

but translocation was enhanced by light intensity. Likewise Kells and Rieck (1979) found that in Sorghum halapense more  ${}^{14}C$  was translocated in 'full' light than in shade or dark. In this study, the increase in translocation to other parts of the plant due to supplemental light was most notable at fourteen days after application with accumulation in the stem section on which the treated leaves were located, leaves on the same stem above the treated leaves and in the roots. Light intensity and photoperiod can affect physiological processes such as photsosynthesis, transpiration or plant development resulting in enhanced glyphosate movement in the post spraying period (Caseley & Coupland, 1985). Photoperiod was found to have no significance on  ${}^{14}C$ -glyphosate absorption or distribution in some studies (Caseley, 1972; Lund-Hoie, 1983; Bowmer & Eberbach, 1993) but was linked to an increase (Whitwell *et al.*, 1980; Coupland) and decrease (Mejia & Romero, 1977) in efficacy in others. Research into the effects of environmental conditions on the performance of glyphosate in Agropyron repens by Coupland (1983) found that higher light levels, temperature and relative humidity all resulted in increases in herbicide toxicity by enhanced basipetal flow.

roots which will subsequently be redistributed acropetally during periods of growth with the source sink phloem flow (Lund-Høie, 1976; Neal et al., 1986; Green et al., 1992). Results of this study also showed that there was some movement of  ${}^{14}C$ glyphosate up the stem, probably in the xylem as the amount translocated was substantially boosted by supplementary lighting. From its timing it could well have

been via loading into the xylem in the root system, but lateral transfer into the xylem within the stem cannot be excluded. However, it is notable that this transfer was much more rapid and greater into the leaves on the same stem above the treated leaves than those lower down on that stem or on separate stems. It is not possible to determine if this is because: (a) under the supplementary lighting the rate of transpiration, and thus water flow in the xylem from the roots, into the leaves high up the vertical stem was much greater than leaves lower down on the plant (which were more shaded), or (b) there was direct transfer into the xylem (and its upward

Clearly, there is no simple relationship between light and herbicide entry and movement, but results indicate that <sup>14</sup>C-glyphosate when applied to the leaves of R. ponticum travels both up and down the plant with the source-to-sink phloem flow and xylem transpiration flow. Glyphosate is rapidly absorbed into the leaves with 50- 60% of the glyphosate being absorbed by 6 hours. The practical field implications of these results suggest that only a short window of time without rain is needed for effective treatment, but a further 3 days without rain would help increase this absorbance by half (i.e. add another  $25\% - 35\%$  of the applied glyphosate that is absorbed). A better understanding of the mechanisms behind physiological processes that affect xylem and phloem movement, and the factors that influence them might lead to reduced application rates or improved efficacy on invasive plant species such as  $R.$  ponticum.

water flow) in the stem adjacent to the treated leaves. This finding, however, may be general and not just confined to the present study: Satchivi et al. (2000) observed, in Abutilon theophrasti, that the largest portion of translocated  $^{14}C$  moved to tissues above the treated leaf.

There was an accumulation of shikimic acid after seven days in both leaves and stem sections of plants that were treated with glyphosate compared to untreated control plants. Levels of shikimic acid were found to increase with increasing levels of  ${}^{14}C$ -glyhphosate, especially in the stem section. This work is in agreement with other studies that have found shikimic acid accumulation in plants in response to glyphosate application (Lydon & Duke, 1988; Pline et al., 2002; Bresnahan et al., 2003). Refining this technique for R. ponticum might prove useful in future trials for determining levels and extent of glyphosate damage in plants.

# $CHAFTEK IV - General Discussion$

# 5.1 ADDRESSING THE UNDERLYING DYNAMICS OF RHODODENDRON PONTICUM INVASION

## 5.1.1 General Aims

An essential step in learning more about the underlying mechanisms of plant invasions is not only the identification of traits which make plant species invasive, but also investigating the relative importance of seed, habitat and microhabitat limitation. This information is critical in establishing which habitats are most at threat to invasion. It is not only of major importance in the prevention of invasion but also for management and prediction of future range expansion. The purpose of this study was to further understand the recruitment dynamics of the invasive species Rhododendron ponticum which was first introduced to the British Isles in 1763 as an ornamental plant in gardens and was also extensively planted as game cover in woodland (Elton, 1958). It since became widely established across Great Britain and Ireland where conditions were favourable. Local populations originated from Rhododendron ponticum L. subsp. baeticum in the Iberian Peninsula with some evidence of hybridisation with R. catawbiense and R. maximum (Milne & Abbott, 2000). The study area was focused on Snowdonia National Park in North Wales, UK, where the core distribution is associated with large estates having since spread rapidly into the surrounding countryside. The success of  $R$ . *ponticum* has been attributed to a combination of several factors: the climatic and edaphic suitability of the invaded habitat, the absence of natural predators, prolific seed production,

widespread planting and habitat disturbance (Cross, 1981). A major factor thought to

limit the spread of R. *ponticum* is the availability of suitable safe sites for seedling

germination and survival (Rotherham, 1986,2001).

ill

The aims of these experiments were to answer fundamental questions about the R. ponticum microsite preferences. Firstly what seedbed substrates best promote or suppress seedling emergence and does it agree with distribution in the field. Secondly what are the effects of different light and watering treatments on germination and seedling survival and is there an interaction with seedbed substrate. Finally, is there any evidence for the effect of provenance of invasive  $R$ . *ponticum* populations from Britain and Ireland on germination in different light environments?

In this study, the germination of  $R$ . *ponticum* seeds was highly dependent on moisture and light availability, modified by the presence of a vegetative layer. Germination and seedling survival on exposed seedbed substrates was notably reduced by droughting; however, the presence of a thin pleurocarpous moss layer did eliminate this effect on seedlings once established. In its native habitat in the southern Iberian Peninsula where it exists only as relict populations, recruitment failure is attributed to the scarcity of safe sites free from drought for seedling

# 5.1.2 Functional interpretation and implications of key results

establishment and it is restricted to the moist shady conditions provided by riparian forests (Mejías et al., 2002, 2007). This contrast between its aggressive colonising ability in Western Europe and the lack of regeneration in southern Iberia (Erfineier & Bruelheide, 2004) supports the hypothesis that moisture availability is a key limiting factor. Water also appears to play a part in long distance dispersal with  $R$ . ponticum seeds displaying adaptations such as surface wax, frills and folds to aid buoyancy. Schäfer and Bäcker, 2006 also found that prolonged submersion in water (four days optimum) enhanced germination rate and therefore suggested focusing control measures on spreading corridors along streams and lakes in the British Isles. A similar result was found for the primarily wind dispersed invasive woody `Tree of

Heaven' (Ailanthus altissima), where seed germination was increased by 87% after floating in water for three days (Kowarik  $\&$  Säumel, 2008). Other rhododendron species, such as R. *ripense* (endemic to Japan), are known to use rivers and waterways as a means of long distance dispersal (Kondo *et al.*, 2009).

In the present study germination and seedling survival rates were both highest in the low moss, bare soil and sown grass substrates and lowest in grass turf, leaf litter and deep moss. Combining both components of the process of seedling establishment, short moss carpets were the most suitable substrate. However, germination in the short moss carpet was lower than in either the bare soil or sown grass seedbed substrate especially in the no-shade treatment. Despite daily watering, the short moss had a tendency to dry out very quickly. Thus, in periods of drought even a thin moss cover may inhibit rather than facilitate seedling emergence. The

presence of a simulated canopy above the bare soil and short moss carpet seedbed substrates greatly increased germination but had no effect on seedling survival. This suggests that in the absence of a shade canopy, moss carpets are highly vulnerable to desiccation with the effect of reducing germination. Various studies have reported higher seed germination on bare soil than in bryophyte layers (e.g. During  $\&$  van Tooren, 1990; Zamfir, 2000; Jeschke & Kiesch 2008). Conditions affecting survival of seedlings in short moss appear to be different from those affecting seed germination. After 6 months growth in the greenhouse the greatest number of R. ponticum seedlings remaining were in thin moss carpets, which were also the healthiest. These results help in explaining the distribution of R. ponticum seedlings in the field (Cross, 1981; Stephenson *et al.*, 2006) on thin moss carpets and further support the positive effects of moss as a seedbed (McLaren  $\&$  Janke, 1996; Parker *et* al., 1997; Su et al, 2009). In a related species, Rhododendron hodgsonii, at early life stages seedlings were found on all microsites but over time there was an increase in the number of seedlings found on bryophyte mats and a decrease on all other microsites (Gratzer & Rai, 2004). There are several factors that can alter the availability of moisture, light and nutrients such as, moss height, habit and density (During & van Tooren, 1990; Equihua & Usher, 1993; Serpe *et al*, 2006). The result showing the poor performance in tall moss carpets, are in agreement with studies that found a decrease in germination and seedling emergence with an increase in moss thickness and height (Zamfir, 2000; Sedia & Ehrenfeld, 2003). On the other hand,

dense carpets of the tall growing Sphagnum sp. have been found to have a positive

effect on germinants (Duchesneau & Morin, 1999; Greene et al., 2004) including R.

ponticum (Jackson, 2008) due to the high water-retaining ability of this genus.

Although carpets of Plagiothecium undulatum (short moss) had a slight negative (in comparison to bare soil) affect on germination, a positive one developed on the post-germination performance of the seedlings, even under droughted conditions. This was not the case in bare soil, where the negative effect of periodic droughting continued from germination through to the seedling stage. The results suggest that as seedlings became rooted in the soil beneath the thin moss layer, a greater amount of soil moisture became available to them. Bryophytes are known to reduce rates of soil moisture loss (van Tooren et al., 1985) and temperature

fluctuations in soil (Richardson, 1958). Similar results were found by Equihua and Usher (1993) in a study on the effects of the invasive acrocarpous moss *Campylopus* introflexus on germination of *Calluna vulgaris* (common heather) seeds, a close relative of R. ponticum (both members of Ericaceae family). Carpets of C. introflexus had a depressive impact on germination but a positive one on seedling development which the authors suggested was as a result of light and/or moisture deprivation. Like *R*. *ponticum*, the seeds of *C. vulgaris* are small  $(0.55 - 0.65$  mm) and positively photoblastic (Gimingham, 1972) and are thus vulnerable to burial and desiccation. Species with large seeds tend to have an advantage in more shaded habitats (Grime & Jeffrey, 1965; Salisbury, 1975; Foster & Janson, 1985; Leishman & Westoby, 1994). However, despite R. ponticunn being a small-seeded species the shady conditions of woodlands is one of its favoured habitats. Brown (1954) found that germination of R. ponticum occurred in less than  $1\%$  full daylight. The results of this study showed that shade conditions (20% of ambient PPFD) imposed by both neutral and green canopies increased germination in the bare soil and short moss seedbed substrate but that a PPFD of 45  $\mu$ mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> did reduce germination on filter paper. Emergence could have been facilitated by several mechanisms, such as reduction of radiation and soil desiccation. The modification of the above-ground microclimate by a canopy species constitutes a major facilitation mechanism of seedling establishment in stressful environments (Kitzberger et al., 2000; Gómez-Aparicio et al., 2005). The 'canopy effect' did not influence seedling survival in

Germination and seedling survival of  $R$ . ponticum seeds sown simultaneously with lawn grass seed was the highest of any seedbed substrate, in the no-shade

either of the substrates but did result in a reduction in shoot and especially root

biomass.

and Scotland) were more sensitive to variations in light quantity and quality. Germination in the high PAR, high R: FR and low R: FR light was reduced; conversely germination in the low PAR, low R: FR was higher than the other populations. Although there was a reduction in germination for all populations in response to low levels of R: FR light, the two edge habitat genotypes were least

treatment. On the basis of informal observations, the sown grass substrate was not prone to drying out as much as the other seedbed substrates. These results would suggest that the grass acted similar to the green canopy on the bare soil and short moss substrates in reducing the amount of solar radiation and soil surface evaporation. However seedlings were very stunted and seedling biomass and height were significantly lower than those growing with no vegetative canopy. Poor development could be as a result of competition with grass for light and nutrients, an explanation Stephenson *et al.*, (2006) offered for the absence of R. ponticum in a

woodland environment. In this experiment, germination and seedling survival in the grass turf seedbed was very low, especially under a green canopy. Any seedlings observed were hard to find due to the dense sward and surviving seedlings after 6 months were again very stunted. Harris et al., (2009) suggest the use of wide corridors of unsuitable habitat such as undisturbed grassland between infested and uninfested areas of  $R$ . *ponticum* as a containment strategy to prevent or slow down spread between the areas. The results of this study provide further evidence that competition for mainly light is prohibitively high in grassy substrates, especially dense high grass swards. Thus, while these results further support the positive effects of moss as a germination seedbed and the negative effects of dense grass cover (Siemann & Rogers, 2003; Dovciak *et al.*, 2008), it explicitly places these effects in the context of multivariate environmental gradients that can be encountered. Dovciak et al., (2008) found that moss provided the best germination seedbed for the invasive species *Picea abies* into Western Carpathian grassland, whilst highly open areas with high direct light and high grass cover had low seedling density. Areas of shading by trees also decreased competition from grass, sufficiently enough to provide a suitable moist site for germination.

The results of this study indicate that there may be some degree of adaptation of genotypes from Britain and Ireland to differences in light level. The two populations growing at the edge of Picea sitchensis (Sitka spruce) forests (in Ireland

affected. There are three possible mechanisms for an exotic plant to be adaptive to the physical environment in its introduced areas: pre-adaptation to the new environments, greater phenotypic plasticity and environmental tolerance, and evolved adaptations through local ecotypic specialisations (Ren & Zhang, 2009). Since the populations that exhibited the highest germination in low light were from the same light environment, and were both young stands, the latter mechanism appears to be the most likely. The other populations were either old stands and/or from open habitats. Several studies have shown that species can become invasive by

evolutionary adaptation to the physical environment, although such evolution often requires high levels of genetic diversity that may only exist in multiple-introduced species (Weber & Schmid, 1998; Lambrinos, 2004). This may be a contributing factor for the success and spread of invasive  $R$ . ponticum populations. There is evidence for multiple introductions and also hybridisation with other rhododendron species, such as  $R$ . *catawbiense and R. maximum* to increase its frost tolerance (Milne & Abbott, 2000). Previous studies by Erfmeier and Bruelheide (2004) found that invasive  $R$ . *ponticum* Irish populations differed from non-invasive (Georgian, Spanish) ones mainly in growth patterns and showed much higher rates of annual shoot growth in the field and higher rates of seedling recruitment. A later study Erfineier and Bruelheide (2005) on native and invasive populations provided evidence for a genetic shift in invasive populations towards an increased investment in growth and towards a faster germination rate. In that study, Erfineier and Bruelheide also refer to unpublished work which evaluates the relevance of rapid germination in context of the appropriate climatic conditions and conclude that the risk of experiencing severe losses due to climatic factors (such as drought, extreme temperature variation) in invasive genotypes is less likely than in the native ones. In an analysis of 133 invasive plant species (Ren  $\&$  Zhang, 2009, phenotypic plasticity (either in environmental tolerance or in resource allocation) was responsible for the invasiveness of about 50% of the invasive plants. Alien species often exhibit a greater plasticity in their response to changes and disturbances in the environment

and may therefore have a greater capacity to shift the physiological optimum to a

range that is favourable in a changing climate (Verlinden  $& Nijs, 2006$ ).

Prolific seed production, and widespread seed dispersal are often considered

116

key traits contributing to invasion success (Mack *et al.*, 2000), but these results show

that microsite availability can be equally important. A key result in this study is in identifying the role that light, moisture and the interaction of seedbed substrate have on R. *ponticum* germination and seedling performance. In gaining an understanding of the mechanisms behind the site-specific factors that contribute to successful seedling emergence, an attempt can be made to identify vulnerable sites and predict future range expansion. *Rhododendron ponticum* reproduction is mainly by sexually produced seed with vegetative spread being very limited (Cross, 1975). Dispersal of R. *ponticum* by means of numerous small wind-dispersed seeds has proven very effective for expanding into new territory. Controlling seed set is a critical aspect of managing R. ponticum, which is a highly fecund species with seed production rates of up to one million seeds per plant per year. Another member of the rhododendron genus,  $R$ . ferrugineum that extensively colonises grazed or abandoned meadows in the northwestern Alps is a highly fecund species producing up to 2.4 million seeds per  $m<sup>2</sup>$  area of rhododendron cover annually (Pornon *et al.*, 1997). The authors suggest that the production of many small seeds over fewer large ones could be an adaptive response to the rareness of favourable sites, increasing the probability that a seed dispersed by wind or water reaches a safe site. Although the majority of R. ponticum seeds travel less than 10 m, a very small proportion can travel more than 50 m (Stephenson et al., 2007). Management should aim to reduce seed production and dispersal of R. *ponticum* in already-invaded sites, and limit the number of microsites available by avoiding anthropogenic changes of habitat dynamics. Harris et al. (2009) developed spatially-explicit model to investigate the effectiveness of different control strategies for R. *ponticum* and found that a control strategy that involved removing the oldest plants first was the most effective strategy both in terms of the probability of successful eradication and the number of years taken to control. They were able to attribute this to the ability of the older (and taller) plants towards the core to produce more seeds that on average travelled further.

Although seed bank persistence of  $R$ . *ponticum* has been reported to be

relatively transient  $(1 \text{ year})$  (Thompson *et al.*, 1997; Cross, 1975) compared with

many other weedy species, seeds persist long enough to be a management

impediment. However, results from limited experiments by Jackson (2008) showed

that a significant number of seeds remained viable after 18 months. He also observed

germinating seedlings on sites which had been cleared three seasons before, where

no adjacent seed source was available. Having long-lived seeds can be advantageous for invasive species because propagules are effectively dispersed in time, ready to take immediate advantage when conditions become favourable for germination (Panetta, 2004). On the other hand, it may be equally advantageous to produce large amounts of short-lived seeds that germinate *en masse* in the spring, thus lessening the risk of prolonged exposure to seed predators and pathogens (Alvarez-Buylla & Martinez-Ramos, 1990). Particularly when coupled with effective spatial seed dispersal, this strategy produces numerous, widespread seedlings at the time of year

most conducive to seedling survival. The results from this study results indicate that R. ponticum employs the latter strategy, almost all seeds germinating within 70 days after sowing, regardless of microsite conditions. The chances of at least some of these seedlings emerging in sites where conditions are suitable for establishment are likely high, especially for such a fecund species as R. ponticum.

stands occurring within woodland especially coniferous where it can thrive in conditions of high acidity, high humidity and ground disturbance (Gritten, 1995). Stephenson *et al.* (2006) highlight the possible role that disturbance, in particular woodland and forestry management, is likely to contribute to the spread of R. ponticum. It is able to tolerate very low levels of light but often in the darker depths

The ability of alien plant species to invade a region depends not only on attributes of the plant, but on characteristics of the habitat being invaded. Although all vegetation types are at risk of being invaded by alien plants, mesic communities have both greater numbers and higher frequencies of alien plants than drier communities (Larson et al., 2001). In Britain and Ireland the climate is mild and moisture is unlikely to be a limiting factor to seedling recruitment. The experimental greenhouse-based results of this study are not only in agreement with the distribution of R. ponticum in the field as recorded by Cross (1981) and Stephenson *et al.*, (2006) but also help explain the underlying mechanisms. In a 2003 study by Dehnen-Schmutz *et al.*, it was estimated that 52,000 ha of land in the British Isles was affected by R. ponticum and that  $89\%$  of the total area was found in woodland. In the Snowdonia National Park, Wales, 1900 ha was recorded in 1986, a figure that has risen by 20% in 22 years in large part due to the colonisation of open land adjacent to stands especially in upland heaths, and an increase of stands in forestry plantations. Again the most affected habitat was woodland, with 70% of R. ponticum

of forestry plantations is limited to ground below canopy gaps. There is abundant evidence that natural or anthropogenic disturbance is a crucial factor for many plant species to invade new habitats (e.g. Hobbs, 1989; Hobbs  $\&$  Huenneke, 1992; Burke & Grime, 1996) with invasive plants often benefitting disproportionately from disturbance compared with dominant native species (Smith & Knapp, 1999; Stohlgren et al., 1999). Smaller-seeded species are especially more dependent on disturbance events within woodlands, such as gap creation, grazing and soil disturbance to encourage germination or establishment (Burke & Grime, 1996;

Kobayashi & Kamitani, 2000; Loh & Daehler, 2007). Over the last decade, recognition of the conservation, amenity and landscape value of broadleaved native woodlands in the UK has increased their desirability over coniferous forests. Although this is a welcoming shift in policy, it could also promote the spread of R. ponticum due to the enhanced suitability of the light environment. With woodland being the habitat most affected by  $R$ . ponticum and forestry incurring the greatest control costs (Dehnen-Schmutz et al., 2003), it is important to focus efforts on the sites most likely to become invaded and gain a further understanding of the factors, such as disturbance that may enhance site invasibility.

## 5.1.3 Limitations of the study, and need for further research

This study has brought new basic ecological knowledge to the underlying mechanisms of  $R$ . *ponticum* invasions, but as with most studies, particularly in ecology it has its limitations and has brought up further issues that need to be addressed. The following will highlight specific caveats about the data and methods used and outline possible areas of future research topics concerning invasion dynamics.

There are also several aspects of the experimental methodology that could be

improved upon, such as the watering treatment in the greenhouse experiments. Some substrates, such as the bare soil and moss seedbed substrates had a tendency to dry out on hot days, despite daily watering. Seedlings were also occasionally uprooted by water drops so I would recommend using a finer rose or watering from below. Although the findings of this study indicate strongly the importance of light,

moisture and seedbed substrate in providing suitable microsites for R. ponticum seedling emergence, it would be even more significant if these findings were replicated in in-situ field trials. This could be done by establishing plots in different habitats and microhabitats and adding seed to them. Another level of complexity that could be incorporated is by applying different levels of disturbance to the plots. On the basis of informal observations in the field, it has become evident that subtle variations in habitat have a significant effect on the probability of  $R$ . ponticum colonisation. One of the key areas for potential future research is the role that

disturbance and grazing have in  $R$ . ponticum colonisation. Disturbance can increase the invasibility of a community (Hobbs & Huenneke, 1992) and is has been noted that the disturbance of vegetation and soil by forestry management, grazing animals, or other events such as moorland fires, appear to considerably increase the availability of sites to R. ponticum (Fuller and Boorman 1977; Cross, 1981; Rotherham, 1986). Deer grazing in the Killarney oak woodlands increased the heterogeneity of the forest floor by reducing the cover of herbaceous and dwarf shrub layers, and promoting the development of bryophyte communities, thereby aiding establishment of R. ponticum (Cross, 1981; Kelly, 1981). The spread of R. ponticum in the Norfolk dunes was attributed to a decrease in grazing pressure in

Although R. *ponticum* contains grayanotoxins and is thus poisonous to herbivorous mammals, the unpalatability of a plant is thought to be learnt and not instinctively sensed (Freeland  $\&$  Janzen, 1974). Despite this, there is evidence of small R. ponticum (with very small amounts of toxins) in grasslands, being grazed and uprooted by deer and sheep to such a degree as to control invasion into grass pastures (Jackson, 2008). Plants exhibit diverse responses to herbivory, and these responses determine the effectiveness of grazing for restoration. Thomson et al., (1993) found evidence for sheep grazing impeding the establishment and spread of

R. ponticum in the Beddgelert area of Snowdonia, North Wales, by mapping its distribution pattern over time (1968 and 1982). Grazing can be a valuable vegetation management tool and whilst the misuse of domestic livestock can increase populations of invasive plants (Mack et al., 2000), proper grazing management can be used to promote desirable vegetation and reduce invasive plant populations

combination with soil disturbance from rabbits following the outbreak of myxomatosis (Fuller & Boorman, 1977).



(DiTomaso, 2000). To date however, there has been no experimental based information on the effects of disturbance and grazing on  $R$ . *ponticum* spread, and hypotheses and the links between the invasion success of  $R$ . *ponticum* and factors such as physical disturbance and grazing, are not adequately understood and are based solely on field observations. Experimental studies on invasive plants have manipulated a range of factors to assess their relative importance for invasion success such as: soil disturbance (Burke & Grime, 1996; Kotanen, 1997), biomass removal (Rachich & Reader, 1999), canopy disturbance (Eschtruth & Battles, 2009), nutrients (Huenneke, et al., 1990; Leishman & Thomson, 2005) and burning (King & Grace, 2000). The results of this study show that  $R$ . ponticum seedlings compete poorly with grass such as Lolium perenne mainly through competition with light. Oversowing pastures with perennial pasture plants and fertilizer to promote competition with emerging seedlings, followed by sheep grazing has been used to control gorse establishment following clearance (Rees & Hill, 2001). It would be valuable to test this in the field with  $R$ . ponticum as a possible post clearance management technique to prevent reinvasion.

increased germination at low R: FR levels. There are several caveats that must be acknowledged in relation to this study, the first being the confounding effect of position in the growth chamber. In future studies I would advise creating a separate light environment for each petri dish by tinting the inside to create a green or neutral light filter and arranging them in a randomised block design within the growth chamber. The second is the small number of replication of populations of different origins in terms of both provenance and ecotype. Other factors that would need to be considered in future studies would be the age of the stand. A change in phenotype in response to environmental conditions that enhances the individual performance under the prevailing conditions is common in plants (reviewed in Schmitt et al., 2003, Galloway & Etterson, 2007). There is some evidence that transgenerational

The present study indicates an adaptation of ecotypes from forest edges to

plasticity has evolved in response to natural variation in light, and provides a flexible

mechanism by plants to cope with heterogeneous environments (Galloway  $\&$ 

Etterson, 2009).



# 5.2 THE USE OF GLYPHOSATE FOR CONTROL OF R. PONTICUM

## 5.2.1 General Aims

To date work on herbicide impacts on R. ponticum has largely been based on field and pot trials of different control practices. There are few well-controlled replicated studies of control methods that take into account all the variable factors

that may have an impact on efficacy. There are also no records of any studies on the physiological mechanisms of glyphosate in R. ponticum. Assumptions on the mode of action of herbicides in R. ponticum have therefore been largely inferred from nonphysiological trials in which only the effectiveness of killing treated plants has been monitored. The aims of this study were twofold: to determine glyphosate uptake and translocation in R. *ponticum*, and to test the effect of light and growth stage on these factors.

5.2.2 Functional interpretation and implications of key results

Results of both the field trial and laboratory experiments have brought basic knowledge to the absorption and translocation of glyphosate in  $R$ . ponticum and highlight the importance of treating the entire crown of  $R$ . *ponticum* to achieve complete control. In general, multiple mechanisms could be involved in the differential response to herbicides (Westwood et al., 1997; Norsworthy et al., 2001). Firtsly, epicuticular wax and the cuticular layer have been considered to be the major barrier to foliar herbicide uptake (Eglinton, & Hamilton, 1967; Bukovac, 1976). In this study foliar absorption by the leaf abaxial surface was significantly higher than by the adaxial surface. Leaf cuticle thickness, which can vary between and amongst populations, can have a differential effect on the absorption of foliar-applied herbicides (Sherrick et al., 1986; Huangfu et al., 2009). A review of the major progress made between 1991 and 2006 by Wang and Liu in the understanding of pesticide uptake into plant foliage concludes that one of the most important ways to improve the efficacy of pesticides and minimise their impact on non-target organisms is through increasing the penetration of the active ingredient into plant

foliage. Results of this study indicate that despite the addition of an adjuvant, foliar uptake levels by the adaxial surface were still very low. Although application to the lower leaf surface substantially increased absorption this is not a method that could be practically applied in the field using knapsack sprayers. It may be possible to use control droplet application in this manner as the droplets essentially 'stick' to the leaf surface. This method can only be used with premixed Nomix glyphosate packs which are more costly than the unmixed knapsack sprayer glyphosate solution. However, considering the large difference in foliar absorption between the upper and

lower leaf surfaces it would be worthwhile to conduct further research in this area with practical field application trials to estimate the cost difference and efficacy of application treatment. One of the benefits to using the control droplet technique is in limiting the amount of collateral damage to adjacent vegetation through drift and runoff associated with the foliar spray method (Pakatul, 2007).

Results of the  ${}^{14}C$ -glyphosate experiments indicated that translocation out of the treated leaves to other parts of the plant was limited. There was a positive relationship between percentage crown sprayed and damage in the field trial, which could be attributed to a simple dose-response: the greater the number of sprayed leaves, the greater the total glyphosate dose received by the plant. A similar premise could explain the level of translocation: the greater the dose applied, the greater the amount absorbed and consequently translocated. The amount of  ${}^{14}C$ -glyhosate translocated out of treated leaves to other parts of the plant was also proportional to levels of  $^{14}$ C in the treated leaves. Koger and Reddy (2005) examined the effects of varying glyphosate dose, site of plant exposure to glyphosate spray on efficacy in pitted morning-glory (*Ipomaea lacunosa*). They found that control with glyphosate in pitted morning-glory was more affected by rate than the degree of plant exposure to glyphosate and that treating any one-third section of pitted morning-glory plants was as effective as entire plant exposure. Results from studies have shown that absorption and translocation increased with increasing herbicide concentration (or

low spray volume) and that increased phytotoxocity was due to the concentration

gradient between droplet and leaf (e.g. Sandberg  $et$  al., 1978; Buhler & Burnside,

1987; Liu et al., 1996). Increasing herbicide concentration could cause localized

tissue injury and physiologically isolate the active ingredient at the deposit site,

thereby reducing translocation from the treated leaves (Liu *et al.*, 1996).



Light had no significant effect on foliar absorption but did increase translocation of  ${}^{14}C$ -glyphosate out of the treated leaves. Field trials also showed increased rates of translocation when glyphosate was applied to leaves in May and August compared with the November and February applications. Light regulates many aspects of plant growth and development through the effects of quantity of total energy and of photons, spectral quality, duration, and photoperiod (Holt, 1995). It is therefore likely that increased translocation in  $R$ . *ponticum* was more extensive

due to an increase in metabolic activity in response to light. Similar results have been found by numerous studies (e.g. Neal  $& Skroch, 1985$ ; Love  $& Anderson, 2009)$ where increased activity was experienced at times of the year when photosynthesis would have been highest.

Although this study and others (Edwards, 2004) have shown the successful efficacy of foliar application at any time of year, the collateral damage due to drift can be considerable. Current practice for foliar application recommends spraying `till run off'. Since its introduction in the early 1970's glyphosate has been favoured for its high efficacy, low mammalian toxicity and soil inactivity (Appleby, 2005). Broad-spectrum activity, efficacy and low cost make glyphosate an important chemical in eradication and ecological restoration programs (e.g., Wilkins et al.,

There was an accumulation of shikimic acid in response to foliar application of glyphosate in treated leaves and especially stem sections of  $R$ . *ponticum* plants compared to untreated control plants. An increase in levels of shikimic acid due to glyphosate has been found in many other studies (Lydon & Duke, 1988; Pline *et al.*, 2002; Bresnahan et al., 2003; Shaner et al., 2005) Since shikimate accumulation is a direct result of herbicide inhibition of 5-enolpyruvylshikimate-3-phosphate (EPSP) synthase, increased interest has arisen in using shikimate as a biomarker for glyphosate (Shaner et al., 2005; Buehring et al., 2007; Bonini, et al., 2009). Refining this technique for R. *ponticum* might prove useful in future trials for determining levels and extent of glyphosate damage in plants.

## 5.2.3 Limitations of the study and need for further research

2003). However, unless stem injection is used, some herbicide inevitably reaches the soil when applied to weeds. Successful restoration includes not only the control of the invasive plant but also the establishment of high native diversity and appropriate composition of the plant community following invasive plant eradication. If efforts to control the invasive plant negatively affect native vegetation, then the treatment alone may not be worthwhile for restoration even though the method may be successful in eradicating the invasive plant (Love & Anderson, 2009).

In this study, translocation of glyphosate was determined both in a controlled environment using <sup>14</sup>C-labelled glyphosate and in field trials by measuring the damage caused. The size of the plants in both the  ${}^{14}C$ -glyphosate and field trials were all mature plants between 0.3 and 1.5 m in height, and therefore do not reflect processes that might occur in larger plants. Evidence from stem treatment trials indicate that the 'pipe model theory' proposed by Shinozaki et al. (1964) to describe tree architecture, whereby a section of the stem, root and crown form ramets or linked units within a plant, becomes more applicable to larger, older bushes. In the Forestry Commission practice guide for managing and controlling invasive R. ponticum (Edwards, 2006), Edwards recommends choosing a position on the stem as close to the main root system as possible and at least below the last fork, as only branches above an application point will be controlled, but not those on adjacent stems. With larger stems (especially below a fork) it is necessary to treat two sides of the stem as treating one side will only result in the death of the branching stem directly above the treated area. Published studies from a variety of experimental situations generally indicate that both photosynthesis and stomatal conductance are reduced with the age (and therefore generally size) of shrubs and trees thereby reducing photoassimilate and consequently herbicide movement around the plant (Bond, 2000 and references therein). The `hydraulic limitation hypothesis' explains the decreased productivity in aging trees to an increase in resistance of the hydraulic pathway through a reduction in the supply of liquid water for transpiration in turn limiting stomatal conductance and photosynthesis (Ryan & Yoder, 1997). A published review by Bond (2000) stresses the need for more well-controlled studies on photosynthesis in woody plants that are designed to separate the effects of age from other sources of variation (e.g. that owing to size or environment). Although quantitative measurements of glyphosate levels and extent of damage were recorded

in this study, it would be of even more value if photoassimilate distribution could also be followed. Several studies have linked the movement of glyphosate with that of photoassimilates in the phloem. (e.g. Gougler & Geiger, 1981; Dewey & Appleby, 1983; McAllister & Haderlie, 1985). An understanding of photoassimilate distribution at different times of year would be of great value for improving all control techniques that use glyphosate, especially the cut stump technique which has had variable success (Peter Jackson, Snowdonia National Park; Julian Miller, Kehoe Countryside, pers. comm. ). Several studies have shown that the cut treatment of

The main difficulty in dealing with widespread control of the plant species in this study is expense, and lack of funds can be a major factor limiting  $R$ . *ponticum* control efforts (Harris *et al.*, 2009). Costs of controlling R. *ponticum* can range between £150 for chemical control of re-growth, up to £10,000 (for complete removal) on steep sites although this does not take restoration costs into consideration (Dehnen-Schmutz, 2004). An alternative to reduce the labour intensive costs is the use of volunteers. This has major success in Florida's Blowing Rocks Preserve, where volunteers have helped remove Australian pine (Casuarina

invasive woody species has been most effective in spring when total root nonstructural carbohydrates are at their lowest (Lynn et al. 1979; Love & Anderson, 2009). Stenvall et al., (2009) also showed that resprouting vigour in aspen (Populus spp.) was proportional to the amount of root carbohydrates which were lowest in early autumn. A study of glyphosate and photoassimilate translocation patterns in large R. ponticum bushes would therefore be valuable not only for practical field application but would contribute to the knowledge of photosynthesis related to the architecture of woody plants.

equisetifolia), Brazilian pepper (Schinus terebinthifolius), and other invasive plants and to plant more than 60000 individuals of 85 native species (Randall *et al*, 1997). This scheme is also being successfully applied in Ireland where volunteers have helped clear more than 350 hectares of R. ponticum from the ancient semi-natural woodlands of the Killarney National Park over 28 years. Successful management

## 5.3 MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES FOR THE CONTROL OF R. PONTICUM



regimes for the long-term control of R. *ponticum* recommend a management programme of R. *ponticum* eradication in essentially three phases - an initial 'attack' phase, with two subsequent `follow-up' phases - carried out over a period of between five to ten years (Barron, 2000; Edwards, 2006; Jackson, 2008).

There are some financial resources provided for clearing infested land of R. ponticum, but these tend to be limited and of unpredictable availability. A study undertaken by Wong et al (2002) into potential economic uses of rhododendron

found that despite being a high quality firewood extraction costs were too high. The only product they found to be of economic value was the collection and sale of  $R$ . ponticum shoots for use by florists. In Wales, there are grants availability for the removal of  $R$ . ponticum from agricultural (Tir Gofal scheme) and woodland sites (Forestry Commission, Woodland Grants Scheme), but unfortunately these rarely cover the cost of clearance and are generally only once-off payments and therefore fail to take into account long-term nature of completion of any control programme (Jackson, 2008).

Other problems encountered are the lack of cross-institutional policy and

tools for the planning or facilitation of landscape scale action which is required for effective control (Jenny Wong, Wild Resources; Peter Jackson, Snowdonia National Park Authority, pers. comm. ). This becomes especially important when dealing with the concern of reinvasion of a cleared site by seed input from an adjacent infested one. Provided funds are available, which sites should be prioritised and what is the most economically efficient management strategy that will make the biggest impact? A general understanding of the traits that promote establishment is of major importance for the prevention of invasions, but can also assist in management recommendations and in the incorporation quantitative information into population models to predict future distribution and rate of expansion (Sakai et al., 2001). A number of studies using simulation models have demonstrated the effect of initial

control on invasive species success thus identifying the optimum manner in which to

invest limited resources (Moody & Mack, 1988; Wadsworth *et al.*, 2000; Higgins *et* 

al., 2002). For example, Moody and Mack (1988) emphasised the importance of

satellite populations in range expansion. The strategy of first destroying outlying

populations and then methodically reducing the area of the main infestations has

been recommended in the control of Hakea sericea and Hakea gibbosa in South Africa and Euphorbia esula in North America and is now employed in the control of Centaurea diffusa in Canada (Mack, 1985). In contrast to this model, more recent studies have found that targeting the larger core populations is more effective (Hulme, 2003; Harris *et al.*, 2009). Harris *et al.*, (2009) demonstrated that removing the older, taller plants at the core of the population, that produced the most seed and on average seed that travelled further, was the most efficient control strategy for R. ponticum in the British Isles. Whilst addressing current invasive species is vital

Mack et al. (2000), stress that system management, rather than species management should be focus. For example, Love and Anderson (2009) tested different methods of controlling the invasive shrub Morrow's honeysuckle (Lonicera morrowii) and found that after reducing the cover of the target species new exotic species emerged, including aggressive invaders like Tree-of-heaven (Ailanthus altissima) and Spotted ladysthumb (Polygonum persicaria). Continued restoration efforts, including followup treatments should therefore potentially incorporate the planting of native seeds and saplings, to favour the establishment of native seedlings and herbs and minimise the threat of reinvasion. In some nations, a broader strategic systematic approach aimed at reducing the impact of invasive species, are being put into place. South

Africa has recently adopted a national strategy to clear all the invasive woody species from its river catchments in a 20-year, \$150 million programme. The multispecies strategy involves manual clearing of thickets, treatment of cut stumps with mycoherbicides, and the use of biological control to prevent reinvasion (Mack et al., 2000).



### 5.3.2 Conclusions and Recommendations

This study has brought new basic knowledge to the absorption and translocation of glyphosate in R. *ponticum* the results of which stress the importance of treating the entire crown to ensure complete control. A better understanding of glyphosate and photosynthesis translocation patterns in large bushes could have profound implications for basic biology of woody plants as well as significant practical applications. Also, more attention should be directed toward not only

concentrating the removal of  $R$ . *ponticum* from a site but also taking into consideration the restoration of the habitat to prevent reinvasion by R. ponticum or other invasive plant species. Results from this study indicate that moist open and woodland sites with thin layers of moss carpets are potentially the most vulnerable to invasion and that thick ground vegetation could be a possible management tool for preventing R. ponticum establishment. To fully achieve eradication of R. ponticum, control programmes must be co-ordinated at a regional or national scale, involve greater investment, and extend over a longer duration.



#### REFERENCES

Ahmadi, M.S., Haderlie, L.C., & Wicks, G.A. (1980). Effect of growth stage and water-stress on Barnyard Grass (*Echinochloa-Crus-Galli*) control and on glyphosate absorption and translocation. Weed Science, 28,277-282.

Aliyev, F. Turkoglu, C., Celiker, C., Firatli, I., Alici, G. & Uzunasan, I. (2009). Chronic mad honey intoxication syndrome: a new form of an old disease? Europace, 11,954-956.

Alvarez-Buylla, E.R. & Martínez-Ramos, R. (1990). Seed bank versus seed rain in the regeneration of a tropical pioneer tree. Oecologia, 84, 314-325.

Appleby, A.P. (2005). A history of weed control in the United States and Canada - a sequel. Weed Science, 53, 762-768.

Ashby, S. (2004). Rhododendron and Phytophthora ramorum. In: The  $7<sup>th</sup>$  Regional Biodiversity Conference and International Conference, The Ecology and

Management of Rhododendron ponticum – invasive alien or neglected native? (ed. I. Rotherham) Sheffield Hallam University, Sheffield, UK.

Ashton, F.M. & Crafts, A.S. (1981). Mode of Action of Herbicides. John Wiley & Sons Inc. New York, USA

Baker, D.A. & Millburn, J.A. (1989). Transport of Photoassimilates, Monographs and Surveys in the Biosciences.

Bariuan, J.V., Reddy, K.N., & Wills, G.D. (1999). Glyphosate injury, rainfastness,

absorption, and translocation in purple nutsedge (Cyperus rotundus). Weed Technology, 13,112-119.

Barron, C. (2008) (ed. D. Little). The control of Rhododendron in native woodlands.

Native Woodland Scheme Information Note No. 3.

Baskin, C.C. & Baskin, J.M. (1998). Ecology, biogeography and evolution of dormancy and germination. Seeds. Academic Press, San Diego, USA.

Batten, L.A. (1976). Bird communities of some Killarney woodlands. *Proc. Royal* Irish Acad, 76,285-313.

Beales, P.A., Lane, C.R., Barton, V.C. & Giltrap, P.M.  $(2006)$ . Phythopthora kernoviae on ornamentals in the UK. Bulletin OEPP, 36,377-379.

Belsky, A.J. (1994). Influences of trees on savanna productivity: tests of shade, nutrients and tree-grass competition. Ecology, 75, 922-932.

Bingham, S.W., Segura, J. & Foy, C.L. (1980). Susceptibility of several grasses to glyphosate. Weed Science, 28,579-585.

Black, D.H. (1991). Rhododendron poisoning in sheep. Veterinary Record, 128, 363-364.

Bond, B.J. (2000). Age-related changes in photosynthesis of woody plants. Trends in Plants Science,  $5, 349 - 353$ .

Bondada, B.R., Sams, C.E., Deyton, D.E.& Cummins, J.C. (2007). Oil emulsions enhance transcuticular movement of captan in apple leaves. Crop Protection, 4,691-696.

Bonini, E.A., Ferrarese, M.L.L., marchiosi, R., Zonetti, P.C. & Ferrarese-Filho, O. (2009). A simple chromatographic assay to discriminate between glyphosateresistant and susceptible soybean (*Glycine max*) cultivars. *European Journal* 

of Agronomy, 31,173-176.

## Bowmer, K.H. & Eberbach, P.L. (1993). Uptake and translocation of  ${}^{14}C$ -glyphosate

in Alternanthera philoxeroides (Mart.) Griseb. (alligator weed). II. Effect of

plant size and photoperiod. Weed Research  $Oxford$ , 33, 59-67.
Brasier, C.M., Beales, P.A., Denman, S. & Rose, J. (2005). Phythopthora kernoviae sp. nov., an invasive pathogen causing bleeding stem lesions on forest tress and foliar necrosis of ornamentals in the UK. Mycological Research, 109, 853-859.

Brecke, B.J. & Duke, W.B. (1980). Effect of glyphosate on intact bean plants (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) and isolated cell death. *Plant Physiology*, 66,656-659.

Bresnahan, G.A., Manthey, F.A., Howatt, K.A. &Chakraborty, M. (2003). Glyphosate applied preharvest induces shikimic acid accumulation in hard red spring wheat (Triticum aestivum). Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, **51**, 4004-4007.

Brook, R.M. (2009). Lecturer, Bangor University of Wales, U.K. Pers. comm.

Brooker, R.W., Maestre, F.T., Callaway, R.M., Lortie, C.L., Cavieres, L.A., Kunstler, G., Liancourt, P., Tielborger, K., Travis, J.M.J., Anthelme, F.,

Armas, C., Coll, L., Corcket, E., Delzon, S., Forey, E., Kikvidze, Z., Olofsson, J., Pugnaire, F., Quiroz, C.L., Saccone, P., Schiffers, K., Seifan, M., Touzard, B., & Michalet, R. (2008). Facilitation in plant communities: the past, the present, and the future. Journal of Ecology, 96, 18-34.

Brown, J.M.B. (1954). Ecological investigations: Rhododendron ponticum. Report on Forestry Reserves. HMSO, London, pp 25.

Brudenell, A.J.P., Baker, D.A. & Grayson, B.T. (1995). Phloem mobility of xenobiotics: tabular review of physiochemical properties governing the output of the Kleier model. Plant Growth Regulation, 16, 215-231.

# Buhler, D. D. & Burnside, 0. C. (1987). Effects of application variables on glyphosate phytotoxicity. Weed Technology, 1,14-17.

Buehring, N.W., Massey, J.H. & reynolds, B.R. (2007). Shikimic acid accumulation in field-grown corn (Zea mays) following simulated glyphosate drift. Journal of Agricultural and. Food Chemistry, 55, pp. 819-824.

Bukovac, M.J. (1976) Herbicide entry into plants. In *Herbicides Physiology*, Biochemistry, Ecology (ed L.J. Audus), Academic Press, New York, pp.335-364.

Burke, M.J.W., & Grime, J.P. (1996). An experimental study of plant community invasibility.  $\mathit{Ecology},\mathit{77},\mathit{3},\mathit{776}$ 790.

Bush, J.K. & Van Auken, O.W. (1990). Light requirements of Acacia smallii and Celtis laevigata in relation to secondary succession on floodplains of South Texas. American Midlands Naturalist, 115,118-122.

Callaway, R.M., Brooker, R.W., Choler, P., Kikvidze, Z., Lortie, C.J., Michalet, R., Paolini, L., Pugnaire, F.I., Newingham, B., Aschehoug, E.T., Armas, C., Kikodze, D., & Cook, B.J. (2002). Positive interactions among alpine plants

increase with stress. Nature, 417, 844-848.

Caseley, J.C. & Coupland, D. (1985). Environmental and plant factors affecting glyphosate uptake, movement and activity. In The Herbicide Glyphosate (eds E. Grossbard & D. Atkinson), Butterworth & Co. Ltd., London, UK, pp. 92- 124.

Castro, J., Zamora, R., Hodar, J.A., & Gomez, J.M. (2004). Seedling establishment

Caseley, J. (1972). The effect of environmental factors on the performance of glyphosate against Agropyron repens. In Proceedings 11th British Weed Control Conference, pp. 641-647, London, British Crop Protection Council, UK.

of a boreal tree species (Pinus sylvestris) at its southernmost distribution limit: consequences of being in a marginal Mediterranean habitat. Journal of Ecology, 92,266-277.

Cavieres, L.A., Quiroz, C.L., Molina-Montenegro, M.A., Munoz, A.A., & Pauchard, A. (2005). Nurse effect of the native cushion plant Azorella monantha on the invasive non-native Taraxacum officinale in the high-Andes of central Chile. Perspectives in Plant Ecology Evolution and Systematics, 7, 217-226.

Cavieres, L.A., Badano, E.I., Sierra-Almeida, A., Gomez-Gonzalez, S., & Molina-Montenegro, M.A. (2006). Positive interactions between alpine plant species and the nurse cushion plant *Laretia acaulis* do not increase with elevation in

the Andes of central Chile. New Phytologist, 169, 59-69.

Chachalis, D. & Reddy, K.N. (2005). Factors affecting sprouting and glyphosate translocation in rootstocks of redvine(Brunnichia ovata) and trumpetcreeper (Campsis radicans). Weed Technology, 19, 141-147.

Colak, A.H. (2004). Biological, ecological and sylvicultural properties of Rhododendron ponticum L. in Turkey. In: The  $7<sup>th</sup>$  Regional Biodiversity Conference and International Conference, The Ecology and Management of Rhododendron ponticum – *invasive alien or neglected native?* (ed. 1. Rotherham) Sheffield Hallam University, Sheffield, UK.

Cole, D.J. (1985) Mode of action of glyphosate – a literature analysis. In The Herbicide Glyphosate (eds E. Grossbard & D. Atkinson), Butterworth & Co. Ltd., London, UK, pp. 48-74

Compton, S.G. & Key, R.S. (2004) Rhododendron ponticum on Lundy Island. In: The  $7<sup>th</sup>$  Regional Biodiversity Conference and International Conference, The Ecology and Management of Rhododendron ponticum – invasive alien or

Chemicals Regulation Directorate, Health and Safety Executive, York, United Kingdom (2009).

Clay, D.V., Goodall, J.S.,  $\&$  Nelson, D.G. (1992). The effect of Imazapyr on

Rhododendron ponticum.. Aspects of Applied Biology, 29.



## neglected native? (ed. I. Rotherham) Sheffield Hallam University, Sheffield, UK.

Cornish, P.S. & Burgin, S. (2005). Residual effects of glyphosate herbicide in ecological restoration. Restoration Ecology, 13, 695-702.

Coupland, D. (1983) Influence of light, temperature and humidity on the translocation and activity of glyphosate in Elymus repens  $(= Agropyron)$ 

Coupland, D. & Caseley, J.C. (1979). Presence of C-14 Activity in root exudates and guttation fluid from *Agropyron repens* treated with C-14-labeled glyphosate. New Phytologist, 83,17-22.

Cross, J. (1975). Biological Flora of the British Isles: Rhododendon ponticum L. Journal of Ecology, 63, 345-364.

Cross, J. (1981). The establishment of *Rhododendron ponticum* in the Killarney

Oakwoods, S.W. Ireland. Journal of Ecology, 69, 807-824.

repens). Weed Research, UK, 23,347-355.

# Dehnen-Schmutz, D.S., Perrings, C. & Williamson, M. (2004). Controlling Rhododendron ponticum in the British Isles: an economic analysis. Journal of Environmental Management, 70,323-332.

Cullen, J. (2005). Hardy Rhododendron Species: A guide to Identification, Timber Press Inc. Published in association with Royal Botanic Gardens, Edinburgh.

Currier, H. B. & Dybing, C. D. (1959). Foliar penetration of herbicides. Review and present status. Weeds, 7,195-213.

Davis, H.E. (1977). Effects of environment on the herbicidal activity of glyphosate [N-(phosphonomethyl)glycine] on quackgrass [Agropyron repens (L.) Beauv.] and alfalfa [Medicago sativa L.]. Dissertation Abstracts

### International, B, 38, 21.

DEFRA (Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs) (2007). Consultation on: 1) The review of Schedule 9 to the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 and 2) The ban on sale of certain non-native species.

Delach, A. & Kimmerer, R.W. (2002). The effect of *Polytrichum piliferum* on seed germination and establishment on iron mine tailings in New York. Bryologist, 105,249-255.

DEFRA (Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs) (2008). Phytophthora ramorum, A Practical Guide for Established Parks & Gardens, Amenity Landscape and Woodland Areas. see: www.defra.gov.uk (accessed 23/11/09).

de Souza, R.P. & Válio, I.F.M. (2001). Seed size, seed germination, and seedling survival of Brazilian tropical tree species differing in successional status. Bioiropica, 33,447-457.

Devine, M.D., Bandeen, J.D., & McKersie, B.D. (1983). Temperature effects on glyphosate absorption, translocation, and tistribution in quackgrass (Agropyron repens). Weed Science, 31,461-464.

Dewey, S.A. & Appleby, A.P. (1983). A comparison between glyphosate and assimilate translocation patterns in tall morning-glory (*Ipomoea purpurea*). Weed Science, 31,308-314.

DiTomaso, J.M. (2000). Invasive weeds in rangelands: Species, impacts, and management, Weed Science, 48, 255-265.

Dixon, F.L. & Clay, D.V. (2002). Imazapyr application to Rhododendron ponticum:

speed of action and effects on other vegetation. Forestry, 75, 217-225.

Dovciak, M., Hrivnak, R., Ujhazy, K., & Gomory, D. (2008). Seed rain and environmental controls on invasion of *Picea abies* into grassland. *Plant* Ecology, 194,135-148.

Dubey, L., Maskey, A. & Regmis, S. (2009). Bradycardia and severe hypertension caused by wild honey poisoning. Hellenic Journal of Cardiology, 50, 426-428.

Duchesneau, R. & Morin, H. (1999). Early seedling demography in balsam fir seedling banks. Canadian Journal of Forest Research, 29, 1502-1509.

During, H.J. & van Tooren, B.F. (1990). Bryophyte interactions with other plants.

Botanical Journal of the Linnaen Society, 104,79-98.

Eckstein, R.L. & Donath, T.W. (2005). Interactions between litter and water availability affect seedling emergence in four familial pairs of floodplain species. Journal of Ecology, 93, 807-816.

Edwards, C. (2004) Effective herbicide control of *Rhododenrdon ponticum* (L.). In The Ecology and Management of Rhododendron ponticum – invasive alien or neglected native? (ed. I. Rotherham) Sheffield Hallam University, Sheffield, UK.

Edwards, C. (1999). Herbicide control of Rhododendron ponticum following mechanical clearance by hydraulic flail. Proceedings Crop Protection in Northern Britain.

Edwards, C (2006). Practice guide to managing and controlling invasive Rhododendron. Edinburgh: Forestry Commission, Forest Research.

Edwards, C. (2006) Project Manager, Centre for Human and Ecological Sciences, Forest Research, Forestry Commission, Scotland, U. K. Pers. comm.

Edwards, C., Clay, D.V., & Dixon, F.L. (2000). Stem treatment to control Rhododendron ponticum under woodland canopies. Aspect of Applied  $Biology, 58, 39 - 40.$ 



Edwards, C. & Morgan, J.L. (1996). Control of *Rhododendron ponticum* by stump applications of herbicides following mechanical clearance. Proceedings Crop Protection in Northern Britain.

Edwards, C. & Taylor, S.L. (2008). A survey and strategic appraisal of rhododendron invasion and control in woodland areas in Argyll and Bute. A contract report for Perth Conservancy, Forestry Commission Scotland, prepared by Forest Research.

## Eglinton, G. & Hamilton, R.J. (1967). Leaf cuticular waxes, Science, 156, 1322-1335.

Ellsworth, J.W., Harrington, R.A., & Fownes, J.H. (2004). Seedling emergence, growth, and allocation of Oriental bittersweet: effects of seed input, seed bank, and forest floor litter. Forest Ecology and Management, 190, 255-264.

Elton, C.S. (1958). The ecology of invasions by animals and plants, Methuen, London.

Equihua, M. & Usher, M.B. (1993). Impact of Carpets of the invasive moss Campylopus Introflexus on Calluna Vulgaris regeneration Author, Journal of Ecology, 81,359-365.

Erfineier, A. & Bruelheide, H. (2004). Comparison of native and invasive Rhododendron ponticum populations: Growth, reproduction and morphology under field conditions. Flora, 199, 120-133.

# Eriksson, O. & Ehrlen, J. (1992). Seed and microsite limitation of recruitment in plant-populations. Oecologia, 91, 360-364.

Erfineier, A. & Bruelheide, H. (2005). Invasive and native Rhododendron ponticum populations: is there evidence for genotypic differences in germination and

## growth. Ecography, 28,417-428.

Erwin, J.E., Rohwer, C., & Gesick, E.  $(2006)$ . Red: Far red and photosynthetically active radiation filtering by leaves differs with species. Proceedings of the Vth International Symposium on Artificial Lighting in Horticulture, 195-199.

Eschtruth, A.K. & Battles, J.J.  $(2009)$  Assessing the relative importance of disturbance, herbivory, diversity, and propagule pressure in exotic plant invasion. Ecological Monographs, 79,265-280.

Esen, D., Zedaker, S.M., Kirwan, J.L., & Mou, P. (2004). Soil and site factors influencing purple-flowered rhododendron (Rhododendron ponticum L.) and eastern beech forests (Fagus orientalis Lipsky) in Turkey. Forest Ecology and Management, 203,229-240.

Esen, D. & Zedaker, S. M. (2004). Control of rhododendron (Rhododendron ponticum and R. flavum) in the eastern beech (Fagus orientalis) forests of Turkey. New Forests, 27, 69-79

Esen, D., Yildiz, 0., Kulac, S., & Sarginci, M. (2006). Controlling Rhododendron ponticum spp. in the Turkish Black Sea region. Forestry, 79, 177-184.

EU Habitat Directive (1992). Council Directive 92/43/EEC on the Conservation of natural habitats and of wild fauna and flora. see: **http://ec.europa.eu/** environment/nature/legislation/habitatsdirective/indx\_en. htm (accessed 23/11/2009).

Extension Toxicology Network (EXTOXNET), Pesticide Information Profiles, Oregan State University, USA, (2009).

Facelli, J. M. & Pickett, S. T. A. (1991). Plant litter its dynamics and effects on plant

#### community structure. Botanical Review, 57,1-32.

Feng, P.C.C., Chiu, T., & Sammons, R.D. (2003). Glyphosate efficacy is contributed by its tissue concentration and sensitivity in velvetleaf (Abutilon theophrasti). Pesticide Biochemistry and Physiology, 77, 83-91.



Fernandez, C. H. & Bayer, D. E. (1977). Penetration of glyphosate in bermudagrass (Cynodon dactylon). Weed Science, 25,396-400.

Fernandez, V. & Eichert, T. (2009). Uptake of hydrophilic solutes through plant leaves: current state of knowledge and perspectives of foliar fertilization. Critical Reviews in Plant Sciences, 28,36-68.

Forestry Commission Plant Health Service (2008). Report on the Fourth Forestry

Commission Re-Survey of Woodlands 2008 to assess the level of incidence of Phytophthora ramorum and Phytophthora kernoviae in Woodlands in England, Wales and Scotland.

Foster, S.A. & Janson, C.H. (1985). The relationship between seed size and establishment conditions in tropical woody-plants. *Ecology*, 66, 773-780.

Franz, J.E. (1985). Discovery, development and chemistry of glyphosate. In The Herbicide Glyphosate (eds. E. Grossbard D. Atkinson), 1st edn. Butterworth & Co. Ltd, London, UK, pp.  $3-17$ .

Freeland, W.J. & Janzen, D.H. (1974). Strategies in herbivory by mammals: the role of plant secondary compounds. The American Naturalist, 108, 269-289.

Fuller, R.M. & Boorman, L.A. (1977). The spread and development of Rhododendron ponticum L. on dunes at Winterton, Norfolk, in comparison with invasion by Hippophae rhamnoides L. at Saltfleetby, Lincolnshire. Biological Conservation, 12,83-94.

Galloway, L.F. & Etterson, J.R. (2007). Transgenerational plasticity is adaptive in

the wild. Science, 318, 1134-1136.

Galloway, L.F. & Etterson, J.R. (2009). Plasticity to canopy shade in a monocarpic herb: within- and between-generation effects. New Phytologist, 182, 1003-

1012.

Gannon, T.W. & Yelverton, F.H. (2008). Effect of simulated rainfall on tall fescue (Lolium arundinaceum) control with glyphosate. Weed Technology, 22, 553-557.

Geiger, R. (1965). The climate near the ground, fourth edn. Harvard University Press, Cambridge: MA.

Gerber, H.R., Nyffeler, P.K.R., & Green, D.H. (1983). The influence of rainfall,

temperature, humidity and light on soil- and foliar-applied herbicides. Aspect of Applied Biology, 4,1-14.

Gimingham, H. (1972). Ecology of Heathlands. Chapman & Hall, London.

Gottrup, O., Osullivan, P.A., Schraa, R.J., & Vandenborn, W.H. (1976). Uptake, translocation, metabolism and selectivity of glyphosate in canada thistle and Leafy Spurge. Weed Research, 16,197-201.

Gougler, J.A. & Geiger, D.R. (1981). Uptake and distribution of  $N-$ Phosphonomethylglycine in sugar beet plants. Plant Physiology, 68, 668-672.

Gomez-Aparicio, L., Gomez, J. M., & Zamora, R. (2005). Microhabitats shift rank in suitability for seedling establishment depending on habitat type and climate. Journal of Ecology, 93,1194-1202.

Gorski, T., Gorska, K., & Rybicki, J. (1978). Studies on germination of seeds under

Leaf Canopy. Flora, 167,289-299.

Grangeot, M., Chauvel, B., & Gauvrit, C. (2006). Spray retention, foliar uptake and

translocation of glufosinate and glyphosate in Ambrosia artemisiifolia. Weed

#### Research, 46,152-162.

Gratzer, G. & Rai, P.B. (2004). Density-dependent mortality versus spatial segregation in early life stages of Abies densa and Rhododendron hodgsonii in Central Bhutan. Forest Ecology and Management, 192, 143-159.

Green, T.H., Minogue, P.J., Brewer, C.H., Glover, G.R.,  $\&$  Gjerstad, D.H. (1992). Absorption and translocation of C-14 glyphosate in 4 woody plant species. Canadian Journal of Forest Research-Revue Canadienne De Recherche Foresliere, 22,785-789.

Greene, D.F., Noel, J., Bergeron, Y., Rousseau, M. & Gauthier, S. (2004). Recruitment of Picea mariana, Pinus banksiana, and Populus tremuloides across a burn severity gradient following wildfire in the southerm boreal

Gritten, R.H. (1995). Rhododendron ponticum and some other invasive plants in the Snowdonia National PPFDk. In Plant Invasions General Aspects and Special Problems (ed P.P. Pysek, K., Rejmánek, M. and Wade, M.), pp. 213-219. SPB Academic Publishing,, Amsterdam, The Netherlands.

Groeneveld, E.V.G., Massé, A., & Rochefort, L. (2007) Polytrichum strictum as a nurse-plant in peatland restoration. Restoration Ecology, 15, 709-719.

forest of Quebec. Canadian Journal of Forest Research, 34,1845-1857.

Grime, J.P. & Jeffrey, D.W. (1965). Seedling establishment in vertical gradients of sunlight. Journal of Ecology, 53, 621-642.

Hammerton, J.L. (1967). Environmental factors and susceptibility to herbicides. Weeds, 15,330-335.

Harris, C.M., Park, K.J., Atkinson, R. Edwards, C. & Travis, J.R.J. (2009). Invasive species control: Incorporating demographic data and seed dispersal into a management model for Rhododendron ponticum. Ecological Informatics, 4, 226-233.

## Harper, J.L. (1977). Population biology of plants. Academic- Press, New York, USA.



Harper, J.L., Williams, J.T., & Sagar, G.R. (1965). The behaviour of seeds in the soil: I. the heterogeneity of soil surfaces and its role in determining the establishment of plants. Journal of Ecology, 53, 792-799.

Herrera, C.M., Jordano, P., Lopezsoria, L., & Amat, J.A. (1994). Recruitment of a mast-fruiting, bird-dispersed tree - bridging frugivore activity and seedling establishment. Ecological Monographs, 64, 315-344.

Herrera, L.P. & Laterra, P. (2009) Do seed and microsite limitation interact with seed size in determining invasion patterns in flooding Pampa grasslands? Plant Ecology, 201,457-469.

Higgins, T. & Barron, C. (2004). Groundwork Rhododendron management in Killarney National Park - A case study. In The  $7<sup>th</sup>$  Regional Biodiversity Conference and International Conference, The Ecology and Management of Rhododendron ponticum – *invasive alien or neglected native*? (ed. 1. Rotherham) Sheffield Hallam University, Sheffield, UK.

Higgins, S.I., Richardson, D.M., & Cowling, R.M. (2000). Using a dynamic landscape model for planning the management of alien plant invasions. Ecological Applications, 10,1833-1848.

Hill, N.S., Bouton, J.H., Hiatt, E.E., & Kittle, B. (2005). Seed maturity, germination, and endophyte relationships in tall fescue. Crop Science, 45,859-863.

Holt, J.S. (1995). Plant responses to light: a potential tool for weed management. Weed Science, 43,474-482.

Hobbs, R.J. (1989). The nature and effects of disturbance relative to invasions.

## Biological Invasions: a Global Perspective (eds. J.A. Drake, H.A. Mooney,

F. di Castri, R.H. Groves, F.J. Kruger, M. Rejmánek & M. Williamson), pp.

389-405. Wiley, Chichester.

Hobbs, R.J. & Huenneke, L.F. (1992). Disturbance, diversity and invasion: implications for conservation. Conservation Biology, 9, 761-770.

Holt, J.S. (1995). Plant responses to light: a potential tool for weed management. Weed Science, 43,474-482.

Huangfu, C., Song, X. & Qiang, S. (2009) Morphological disparities in the epidermal and anatomical features of the leaf among wild Brassica juncea

Huenneke, L.F., Hamburg, S.P., Koide, R., Mooney, H.A. & Vitouse, P.M. (1990). Effetcs of soil resources on plant invasion and community structure in Californian serpentine grassland. Ecology, 71,478-491.

Hulme, P.E., (2003). Biological invasions: winning the science battles but losing the conservation war?  $Oryx$ , 37, 178-193.

Humphreys, D.J., Stodulski, J.B.J. & Stocker, J.G. (1983). Rhododendron poisoning

populations. Weed Biology and Management, 9,234-242.

Hunter, J.H. (1995). Effect of bud versus rosette growth stage on translocation of C-14 glyphosate in Canada thistle (Cirsium arvense). Weed Science, 43, 347-351.

Hunter, A.F. & Aarssen, L.W. (1988). Plants Helping Plants. Bioscience, 38, 34-40.

Iijima, H., Shibuya, M., Saito, H., & Takahashi, K. (2004). The effect of moss height on survival and growth of Picea jezoensis seedlings on fallen logs. Journal of

Isselstein, J., Tallowin, J.R.B. & Smith, R.E.N. (2002) Factors affecting seed germination and seedling establishment of some fen meadow species. Restoration Ecology, 10,173-184.

in goats. Veterinary Records, 21,503-504.

the Japanese Forestry Society, 86,358-364.



Ivany, J.A. (1975). Effects of glyphosate application at different growth stages on quack grass control. Canadian Journal of Plant Science, 55,861-863.

Jackson, P. (2008). Rhododendron in Snowdonia and a strategy for its control. A report prepared for the Snowdonia National Park Authority.

Jakobsson, A., Eriksson, 0. & Bruun, H. H. (2006). Local seed rain and seed bank in a species-rich grassland: effects of plant abundance and seed size. Canadian

### Journal of Botany, 84,1870-1881.

Jones, C.C., & Del Moral, R. (2005). Effects of microsite conditions on seedling establishment on the foreland of Coleman Glacier, Washington. Journal of Vegetation Science, 16,293 300.

Jordan, T.N. (1977). Effects of temperature and relative humidity on toxicity of glyphosate to bermudagrass (Cynodon dactylon). Weed Science, 25, 448-451.

Jensen, K. & Gutekunst, K. (2003). Effects of litter on establishment of grassland plant species: the role of seed size and successional status. Basic and Applied Ecology, 4,579-587.

Jyung, W. H. & Wittwer, S. H. (1964). Foliar absorption  $-$  an active process. American Journal of Botany, 51,437-444.

Jeschke, M. & Kiehl, K. (2008). Effects of a dense moss layer on germination and establishment of vascular plants in newly created calcareous grasslands. Flora, 203,557-566.

Kameyama, Y., Nakagoshi, N., & Nehira, K. (1999). Safe site for seedlings of

Rhododendron metternichii var. hondoense. Plant Species Biology, 14, 237-

242.

# Keane, R.M. & Crawley, M.J. (2002). Exotic plant invasions and the enemy release hypothesis. Trends in Ecology and Evolution, 17, 164-169.

Keizer, P. J., van Tooren, B. F. & During, H. J. (1985). Effects of bryophytes on seedling emergence and establishment of short-lived forbs in chalk grassland. Journal of Ecology, 73,493-504.

Kelly, D.L. (1981). The native forest of vegetation of Killarney, southwest Ireland an ecological account. Journal of Ecology, 69,437-472.

King, S.E. & Grace, J.B. (2000). The effects of soil flooding on the establishment of

cogongrass (hnperata cylindrical), a nonindigenous invader of the southeastern United States. American Journal of Botany, 87, 1279-1286.

Kirkland, K.J. (1977). Glyphosate for the control of Canada thistle on summer fallow. Canadian Journal of Plant Science, 57,1015-1017.

Kitajima K, Fenner M. (2000). Ecology of seedling regeneration. In Seeds: the ecology of regeneration in plant communities (ed. Fenner, M.), 331 359. CABI Publishing, UK.

Kitzberger, T., Steinaker, D.F. & Veblen, T.T.  $(2000)$ . Effects of climatic variability on facilitation of tree establishment in northern Patagonia. *Ecology*, 81, 1914-1924.

Knuuttila, P. & Knuuttila, H. (1985). Molecular and crystalline structure of glyphosate. In The Herbicide Glyphosate (eds. E. Grossbard & D. Atkinson),  $2<sup>nd</sup>$  edn., Butterworth & Co. Ltd., London, UK.

Kobayashi, M. & Kamitani, T. (2000). Effects of surface disturbance and light level on seedling emergence in a Japanese deciduous forest. Journal of Vegetation Science, **11**, 93-100.

## Koca, I. & Koca, A.F. (2007). Poisoning by mad honey: A brief review. Food and Chemical Toxicology, 45,1315-1318.

Koger, C.H.  $\&$  Reddy, K.N. (2005). Glyphosate efficacy, absorption, and translocation in pitted morningglory (Ipomoea lacunosa). Weed Science, 53, 277-283.

Kondo, T., Nakagoshi, N. & Isagi, Y. (2009). Shaping the genetic structure along Pleistocene and modern river systems in the hydrochorous riparian azalea, Rhododendron ripense (Ericaceae). American Journal of Botany, 96, 1532-1543.

Kotanen, P.M. (1997). Effects of experimental soil disturbance on revegetation by natives and exotics in coastal Californian meadows. Journal of Applied Ecology, 34,631-644.

Kowarik, I. & Säumel, I. (2008). Water dispersal as an additional pathway to invasions by the primarily wind-dispersed tree Ailanthus altissima. Plant Ecology, 198,241-252.

Lambers, H., Chapin III, F.S. & Pons, T.L. (2008) Plant Physiological Ecology.  $2<sup>nd</sup>$ 

Larson, D.L., Anderson, P.J. & Newton, W.  $(2001)$ . Alien plant invasion in mixedprairie: Effects of vegetation type and anthropogenic disturbance. Ecological Applications, 43,128-141.

#### Lawrie, J. & Clay, D.V. (1993a). Effects of herbicide mixtures and additives on

ed., Springer, New York, USA.

Lambrinos, J.G. (2004). How interactions between ecology and evolution influence contemporary invasion dynamics.  $Ecology$ , 85, 2061–2070.

Lanini, W.T. & Radosevich, S.R. (1982). Herbicide effectiveness in response to season of application and shrub physiology. Weed Science, 30, 467-475.

Rhododendron ponticum. Weed Research, 33,25-34.

Lawrie, J. & Clay, D.V. (1993b). Responses of *Rhododendron ponticum* to imazapyr applied on different dates. Annals of Applied Biology, 122, 110-111.

Leece, D.R. (1978). Foliar absorption in *Prunus domestica* L. 1. Nature and development of the surface wax barrier. Australian Journal of Plant Physiology, 5,749-766.

Lei, T.T., Semones, S.W., Walker, J.F., Clinton, B.D. & Nilsen, E.T. (2002). Effects of Rhododendron maximum thickets on seed dispersal, seedling morphology, and survivorship. International Journal of Plant Sciences, 163, 991-1000.

Leishman, M.R. & Westoby, M. (1994). The role of seed size in shaded condtions experimental evidence. Functional Ecology, 8, 205-214.

Leishman, M.R. & Thomson, V.P. (2005). Experimental evidence for the effects of additional water, nutrients and physical disturbance on invasive plants in low fertility Hawkesbury Sandstone soils, Sydney, Australia. Journal of Ecology,

Liu, S.H., Campbell, R.A., STudens, J.A. & Wagner, R.G. (1996). Absorption and translocation of glyphosate in aspen (*Populus tremuloides* Michx) as influenced by droplet size, droplet number, and herbicide concentration. Weed Science, 44,482-488.

93,38-49.

Leishman M, Wright U, Moles AT, Westoby M (2000) The evolutionary ecology of seed size. In: Seeds: the ecology of regeneration in plant communities (eds. M. Fenner), Cabi, Wallingford, RU, pp 31-57.

Loescher, W. H., T., McCamant, & Keller, J. D. (1990). Carbohydrate reserves,

translocation, and storage in woody plant roots. Horticultural Science, 25,

274-281.

# Loh, R.K. & Daehler, C.C. (1999). Influence of invasive tree kill rates on native and invasive plant establishment in a Hawaiin forest. Restoration Ecology, 15, 199-211.

Lolas, P.C. & Coble, H.D. (1980). Translocation of  ${}^{14}$ C-glyphosate in johnsongrass (Sorghum halepense, L.) as affected by growth stage and rhizome length. Weed Research, 20,267-270.

Lonsdale, W.M. (1999). Global patterns of plant invasions and the concept of invasibility.  $\mathit{Ecology}$ , 80, 1522 1536.

Love, J.P. & Anderson, T. (2009). Seasonal effects of four control methods on the invasive morrow's honeysuckle (Lonicera morrowii) and initial responses of understory plants in a southwestern Pennsylvania old field. Restoration  $Ecology, 17, 549-559.$ 

Lund-Hoie, K. (1976). The correlation between the tolerance of Norway spruce (*Picea abies*) to glyphosate  $(N-$  phosphonomethylglycine) and the uptake, distribution and metabolism of the herbicide in spruce plants. Scientific

Reports of the Agricultural University of Norway, 55 (21).

Lund-Høie, K. (1983a). The influence of temperature on the phytotoxic effect of glyphosate on Norway spruce (Picea abies L.). Crop Protection,  $2,409-416$ .

Lund-Hoie, K. (1983b). The influence of different light conditions on the distribution pattern of glyphosate (N-(phosphonomethyl)glycine) in Scots pine (Pinus sylvestris L.). In Meldinger fra Norges Landbrukshogskole, Vol. 62, pp. 11.

Lund-Høie, K. (1985). Efficacy of glyphosate in forest plantations. In The Herbicide Glyphosate (eds. E. Grossbard & D. Atkinson),  $1<sup>st</sup>$  edn. Butterworth & Co.

Ltd., London, UK, pp. 328-338.

Lundhoie, K. & Rognstad, A. (1990). Stump treatment with imazapyr and glyphosate after cutting hardwoods to prevent regrowth of suckers. Crop Protection, 9,59-64.

Lydon, J. & Duke, S.O. (1988). Glyphosate induction of elevated levels of hydroxybenzoic acids in higher plants. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 36, 813-818.

Lynn, L. B., Rogers, R. A. & Graham, J.C.. (1979). Response of woody species to glyphosate in northeastern states. In Proceedings of the Northeastern Weed Science Society, 33, 336–342.

Mack, R.N. (1985). Invading plants: their potential contribution to population biology. In Studies on plant demography: A festschrift for John L. Harper (ed. J. White), Academic Press, London, UK, pp. 127-142.

Mack, R.N., Simberloff, D. Lonsdale, W.M., Evans, H., Clout, M. & Bazzaz, F.A. (2000). Biotic invasions: Causes, epidomology, global consequences, and

Mack, R.N., & Lonsdale, W.M., (2002). Eradicating invasive plants: hard-won lessons for islands. In C.R. Veitch and M.N. Clout (Editors) Turning the Tide: The Eradication of Invasive Species. IUCN SSC Invasive Species Specialist Group. IUCN, Gland, Switzerland and Cambridge, UK.

Maestre, F.T., Bautista, S., Cortina, J., & Bellot, J. (2001). Potential for using facilitation by grasses to establish shrubs on a semiarid degraded steppe. Ecological Applications, 11,1641-1655.

Maestre, F.T., Bautista, S., & Cortina, J. (2003). Positive, negative, and net effects in

control. Ecological Applications, 10,689-710.

grass-shrub interactions in Mediterranean semiarid grasslands. Ecology, 84,

3186-3197.

 $\frac{1}{4}$ 

Malmer, N., Svensson, B. M. & Wallen, B. (1994). Interactions between Sphagnum mosses and field layer vascular plants in the development of peat-forming systems. Folia Geobotanica Phytotaxonomica, 29, 483-496.

Marquis, L.Y., Comes, R.D. & Yang, C.P. (1979). Selectvity of glyphosate in creeping bent red fescue and reed canary grass. Weed Research, 19,335-342.

McAllister, R.S. & Haderlie, L.C. (1985). Translocation of <sup>14</sup>C-glyphosate and <sup>14</sup>CO<sub>2</sub>

- labelled photoassimilates in Canada thistle (*Cirsium arvense*). Weed

McLaren, B.E., Janke, R.A. (1996). Seedbed and canopy cover effects on balsam fir seedling establishment in Isle Royale National Park. Canadian Journal of Forestry Research, 26,782-793.

McWhorter, C.G. & Azlin, W.R. (1978). Effects of environment on the toxicity of glyphosate to johnsongrass (Sorghum halepense) and soybeans (Glycine max). Weed Science, 26,605-608.

Science, 33,153-159.

Mejia, V.E.P. & Romero, C.E.M. (1977). Control of kikuyu (*Pennisetum* clandestinum Hoechst) with glyphosate and dalapon and the effect of environmental factors on their activity. Control de kikuyo (Pennisetum clandestinum, Hoechst) con glifosato y dalapon y efecto de los factores ambientales sobre su actividad., 4,82.

Mejías, J.A., Arroyo, J. & Ojeda, F. (2002). Reproductive ecology of *Rhododendron* ponticum (Ericaceae) in relict Mediterranean populations. Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society, 140,297-311.

Mejías, J.A., Arroyo, J. & Marañon, T. (2007). Ecology and biogeography of plant communities associated with the post Plio-Pleistocene relict Rhododendron ponticum subsp. baeticum in southern Spain. Journal of Biogeography, 34, 456-472.

 $\frac{1}{1}$ 

 $\mathbf{u}$  .

Merrit, C.R. (1982). The influence of form of deposit on the phytotoxicity of MCPA, paraquat, and glyphosate applied as individual drops. Annals of Applied Biology, 101,527-533.

Mircea, T. (2005). Contribution to the study of the anatomical structure of ericaceous leaves species. Notulae Botanicae Horti Agrobotanici, 33, 15-19.

Miller, J. (2006). Practitioner, Kehoe Countryside Ltd., North Wales, UK. Pers. COMM. .

Milne, R.I. & Abbott, R.I. (2000). Origin and evolution of invasive naturalized material of Rhododendron ponticum L. in the British Isles. Molecular  $Ecology, 9, 541-556.$ 

Mitchell, P.L. (1992). Growth stage and microclimate in coppice and high forest. In Ecology and management of coppice woodlands (ed G.P. Buckley). Chapman & Hall, London.

Moody, M.E., & Mack, R.N. (1988). Controlling the spread of plant invasions: the

importance of nascent foci. Journal of Applied Ecology, 25, 1009-1021.

Mueller, T.C., Massey, J.H., Hayes, R.M., Main, C.L. & Stewart, C.N. (2003). Shikimate accumulates in both glyphosate-sensitive and glyphosate-resistant horseweed (Conyza canadensis L. Cronq.). Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 151,680-684.

Munguia-Rosas, M.A. & Sosa, V.J. (2008). Nurse plants vs. nurse objects: Effects of woody plants and rocky cavities on the recruitment of the Pilosocereus leucocephalus columnar cactus. Annals of Botany, 101, 175-185.

Neal, J.C. & Skroch, W.A. (1985). Effects of timing and rate of glyphosate application on toxicity to selected woody ornamentals. Journal of the American Society for Horticultural Science, 110, 860-864.

 $\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{r}}$ 

Neal, J.C., Skroch, W.A., & Monaco, T.J. (1986). Effects of plant growth stage on glyphosate absorption and transport in Ligustrum (Ligustrum japonicum) and blue Pacific juniper (Juniperus conferta). Weed Science, 34, 115-121.

Nilsen, E.T., Walker, J.F., Miller, O.K., Semones, S.W., Lei, T.T. & Clinton, B.D., (1999). Inhibition of seedling survival under Rhododendron maximum (Ericaceae): could allelopathy be a cause. American Journal of Botany, 86, 1597-1605.

Nilsen, E.T., Clinton, B.D., Lei, T.., Miller, O.K., Semones, S.W. & Walker, J.F. (2001). Does Rhododendron maximum L. (Ericaceae) reduce the availability of resources above and below ground for canopy tree seedlings? The American Midland Naturalist Journal, 145,325-343.

Norsworthy, J. K. & Oliver. L. R. (2002). Effect of irrigation, soybean (*Glycine max*) density, and glyphosate on hemp sesbania (Sesbania exaltata) and pitted morningglory (*Ipomoea lacunosa*) interference with soybean. Weed Technology, 16,7-17.

Nyboer, R. (1992). Vegetation management guideline: bush honeysuckles-tatarian, Morrow's, Belle, and Amur honeysuckle (Lonicera tatarica L., L.morrowii Gray, L. X-bella zabel, and L. maackii [Rupr.] Maxim.). Natural Areas Journal, 12,218-219.

Pakatul, J.Y. (2007). Environmental advantages and efficacy of using control droplet

NNSS Great Britain Non-native Species Secratariat (Coordinated by the Food and Environment Research Agency). See: www. nonnativespecies. org (accessed 23/11/2009).

## application (CDA) herbicide application for the control of the invasive plan

Rhododendron ponticwn. MSc dissertation submitted to the University of

Wales, Bangor, UK.

Panetta, F.D. & Timmins, S.M. (2004). Evaluating the feasibility of eradication for terrestrial weed incursions. Plant Protection Quarterly, 19, 5-11.

Parker, W.C., Watson, S.R. & Cairns, D.W. (1997). The role of haircap mosses (Polytrichum spp.) in natural regeneration of white spruce (Picea glauca (Moench) Voss). Forest Ecology and Management, 92, 19-28.

Paynter, Q., Fowler, S.V., Memmott, J., & Sheppard, A.W. (1998). Factors affecting the establishment of Cytisus scoparius in southern France: implications for managing both native and exotic populations. Journal of Applied Ecology, 35,582-595.

Pearson, V. & Read, D.J. (1973a). Biology of mycorrhiza in the Ericaceae. I: The isolation of the endophyte and synthesis of mycorrhiza in aseptic culture. New Phytologist, 72, 371-379.

Parliamentary Office of Science and Technology (2008). Invasive non-native

species, Postnote, Number 303.

Pearson, V. Y Read, D.J. (1973b). Biology of mycorrhiza in the Ericaceae. II: Transport of phosphorus by endophyte and mycorrhiza. New Phytologist, 72, 1325 1333.

Peek, M.S., Russek-Cohen, Wait, D.A. & Forseth, I.N. (2002). Physiological response curve analysis using nonlinear mixed models. Ecophysiology, 132, 175-180.

Peterken, G.F., (2001). Ecological effects of introduced tree species in Britain. Forest Ecology and Management, 141,31-42.

Pieterse, P.J. & McDermott, J.B. (1994). Season of application and glyphosate formulation as factors influencing the efficacy of glyphosate on phyllodebearing Australian acacias. South African Journal of Plant and Soil, 11, 50-53.

Pimente], D., Lach, L., Zuniga, R. & Morrison, D. (2000). Environmental and economic costs of nonindigenous species in the United States. Bioscience, 50,53-65.

Pimente], D., McNair, S., Janecka, J., Wightman, J., Simmonds, C. O'Conell, C., , Wong, E., Russel, L., Zern, J., Aquino, T. & Tsomondo, T. (2001). Economic and environmental threats of alien plant, animal, and microbe invasions. Agriculture Ecosystems and Environment, 84,1-20.

Pline, W.A., Wilcut, J.W., Duke, S.O., Edminsten, K.L., & Wells, R. (2002). Tolerance and accumulation of shikimic acid in response to glyphosate applications in glyphosate-resistant and nonglyphosate -resistant cotton (Gossypium hirsutum L.). Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry,  $50$ , 506-512.

Popova, T.N. (1972). Rhododendron L. In: Flora Europea, Vol. 3 (eds. Tutin, T.G., Heywood, V.H., Burges, N.A., Moore, D.M., Valentine, D.H., Walters, S.M., & Webb, D.A), Cambridge University Press, London.

Pornon, A., Escaravage, N., Till-Bottraud, I. & Doche, B. (1997). Variation of reproductive traits in *Rhododendron ferrugineum* L (Ericacene) populations along a successional gradient. *Plant Ecology*, 130, 1-11.

Putnam, A.R. (1976). Fate of glyphosate in deciduous fruit-trees. Weed Science, 24, 425-430.

Rachich, J. & Reader, R.J. (1999). An experimental study of wetland invasibility by purple loosestrife (Lythrum salicaria). Canadian Journal of Botany 77,1499-1503.

# Randall, J.M., Lewis, R.R. & Jensen, D.B. (1997). Strangers in paradise: impact and management of nonindigenous species. (eds. D. Simberloff, D.C. Schmitz and T.C. Brown), Washington, DC, Island Press, 1997.

Rees, M. & Hill, R.L. (2001). Large-scale disturbance, biological control and the dynamics of gorse populations. Journal of Applied Ecology, 38, 364-377.

Ren, M.X. & Zhang, G.Q. (2009). The relative generality of plant invasion mechanisms and predicting future invasions. Weed Research, 49, 449-460.

Richard, E.P. & Slife, F.W. (1979). In vivo and in vitro characterization of the foliar entry of glyphosate in hemp dogbane (Apocynum cannabinum). Weed

Science, 27, 426-433.

Richardson, J.A. (1958). The effect of temperature on the growth of plants on pit heaps. Journal of Ecology, 46, 537-546.

Rioux, R., Bandeen, J.D., & Anderson, G.W. (1974). Effects of growth stage on translocation of glyphosate in quackgrass. Canadian Journal of Plant Science, **54**, 397-401.

Roberts, M.F., Batt, R.F. & Martin, J.T. (1959). Studies on plant cuticle II. The cutin component of the cuticles of leaves. Annals of Applied Biology, 47, 573-582.

Robinson, J.D. (1980). *Rhododendron ponticum*  $-$  a weed of woodlands and forest plantations seriously affecting management. Proceedings of the Association of Applied Biology Conference of Weed Control in Forestry, 1-2 April 1980, Nottingham, pp. 89-96.

Rotherham, 1.D. (1986). The introduction, spread and current distribution of Rhododendron ponticum in the Peak District and Sheffield area. Naturalist, 111,19-28.

## Rotherham, I.D. (2001). Rhododendron gone wild: conservation implications of

Rhododendron ponticum in Britain. Biologist, 48, 7-11.

Rotherham, I.D. & Read, D.J. (1988) Aspects of the ecology of *Rhododendron* ponticum with reference to its competitive and invasive properties. Aspects of Applied Biology, 16,327-335.

Ryan M.G. & Yoder, B.J. (1997). Hydraulic limits to tree height and tree growth. Bioscience, 47,235-242.

Sakai, A.K., Allendorf, F.W., Holt, J.S., Lodge, D.M., Molofsky, J., With, K.A.,

Baughman, S., Cabin, R.J., Cohen, J.E., Ellstrand, N.C., McCauley, D.E., O'Neil, P., Parker, I.M., Thompson, J.N. & Seller, S.G. (2001). The population biology of invasive species. Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics, 32, 305-332.

Salisbury, E.J. (1975). Seed size and mass in relation to environment. *Proceedings of* the Royal Society, London B, 186, 83-88.

Sandberg, C. L., W. F. Meggitt, & Penner, D. (1978). Effect of diluent volume and calcium on glyphosate phytotoxicity. Weed Science, 26, 476-479.

Sandberg, C.L., Meggitt, W.F., & Penner, D. (1980). Absorption, translocation and metabolism of glyphosate-C-14 in several weed species. Weed Research, 20, 95-200.

Satchivi, N.M., Stoller, E.W., Wax., L.M. & Briskin, D.P. (2000). A nonlinear dynamic simulation model for xenobiotic transport and whole plant allocation following foliar application I. Conceptual foundation for model development. Pesticide Biochemistry and Physiology, 68, 67-84.

Schiifer, 13. & Bäcker, R. (2006). Water carried seed dispersal of Rhododendron

## ponticum L. In: NEOBIOTA,  $4<sup>th</sup>$  European Conference on Biological

Invasions, Vienna, pp. 233.

Schmitt, J., Stinchcombe, J.R., Heschel, M.S. & Huber, H. (2003). The adaptive evolution of plasticity: phytochrome-mediated shade avoidance responses. Integrative and Comparative Biology, 43,459-469.

Schultz, M.E. & Burnside, O.C. (1980). Absorption, translocation and metabolism of 2,4-1) and glyphosate in hemp dogbane (Apocynum cannabinum). Weed Science, 28,13-20.

## Sedia, E.G. & Ehrenfeld (2003). Lichens and mosses promote alternate stable plant communities in the New Jersey Pinelands. Oikos, 100,447-458.

Seiwa, K., Ando, M., Imaji, A., Tomita, M. & Kanou, K. (2009). Spatio-temeral variation of environemental signals inducing seed germination in temperate conifer plantations ad natural hardwood forests in northern Japan. Forest Ecology and Management, 257,361-369.

Serpe, M.D., Orm, J.M., Barkes, T. & Rosentreter, R. (2006). Germination and seed water status of four grasses on moss-dominated biological soil crusts from

Shaner, D.L., Hassar-Nadler, T., Henry, W.B. & Koger, C.H. (2005). A rapid in vivo shikimate accumulation assay with excised leaf discs, Weed Science. **53**, pp. 769-774.

Sharma, S.D. & Singh, M. (2001). Environmental factors affecting absorption and bio-efficacy of glyphosate in Florida beggarweed (Desmodium tortuosum). Crop Protection, 20, 511-516.

Sharma, S.D. & Singh, M. (2007). Effects of two surfactants series on the absorption

and translocation of C14-glyphosate in sicklepod and prickly sida. Weed Biology and Managment, 7, 219-225.

arid lands. Plant Ecology, 185,163-178.

Shaw, M.W. (1984). Rhododendron ponticum  $-$  ecological reasons for the success of an alien species in Britain and features that may assist in its control. Aspects of Applied Biology,  $5, 231 - 242$ .

Sherrick, S.L., Holt, H.A. & Hess, F.D. (1986). Effects of adjuvants and environment during plant development on glyphosate absorption and tranlocation in field bindweed (Convolvus arvensis). Weed Science, 34, 811-816.

Shinozaki, K., Yoda, K., Hozumi, K. & Kira, T. (1964). Aquantitative analysis of plant form the pipe model theory. I. Basic analyses. *Japanese Journal of* Ecology, 14, 97-105. Silvertown, J. (1980). Leaf-canopy-induced seed dormancy in a grassland flora. New Phytologist, 85, 109-118.

Siemann, E. & Rogers, W.E. (2003) Changes in light and nitrogen availability under pioneer trees may indirectly facilitate tree invasions of grasslands. Journal of Ecology, 91,923-931.

Simberloff, D. (2003). Eradication – preventing invasions at the outset. *Weed* Science, 51,247-253.

Simberloff, D. (2006). We CAN stop the invasion juggernaut! High tech and low tech success stories. In: NEOBIOTA, 4<sup>th</sup> European Conference on Biological Invasions, Vienna, p. 9.

Smid, D. & Hiller, K. (1981). Phytotoxicity and translocation of glyphosate in the potat (Solanum tuberosum) prior to tuber initiation. Weed Science, 29, 218-223.

Smith, D. (2006). National Trust Warden for Eifionydd, Craflwyn, Beddgelert,

#### North Wales, UK. Pers. comm.

# Smith, H. (1975). In Phytochrome and photomorphogenesis, pp. 235. McGraw-Hill,

London.

Smith, H. (1982). Light quality, photoperception, and plant strategy. Annual Review of Plant Physiology and Plant Molecular Biology, 33,481-518.

Smith, M.D. & Knapp, A.K. (1999). Exotic plant species in a C4- dominated grassland: invasibility, disturbance, and community structure. Oecologia, 120,605-612.

Sprankle, P., Meggitt, W.F., & Penner, D. (1975a). Absorption, action, and

translocation of glyphosate. Weed Science, 23, 235-240.

Sprankle, P., Meggitt, W.F., & Penner, D. (1975b). Adsorption, mobility, and microbial degradation of glyphosate in soil. Weed Science, 23,229-234.

Steinrucken, H.C. & Amrhein, N. (1984). 5-Enolpyruvylshikimate-3-phosphate synthase of *Klebsiella pneumoniae* 2. Inhibition by glyphosate N- (phosphonomethyl)glycine. European Journal of Biochemistry, 143, 351-357.

(2007). Testing mechanistic models of seed dispersal for the invasive Rhododendron ponticum (L.). Perspectives in Plant Ecology Evolution and

Stenvall, N., Piisila, M. & Pulkkinen, P. (2009). Seasonal fluctuations of root carbohydrates in hybrids aspen clones and its relationship to the sprouting efficiency of root cuttings. Canadian Journal of Forest Research, 39, 1531-1537.

Stephenson, C.M., MacKenzie, M.L., Edwards, C., & Travis, J.M.J. (2006). Modelling establishment probabilities of an exotic plant, Rhododendron ponticum, invading a heterogeneous, woodland landscape using logistic regression with spatial autocorrelation. *Ecological Modelling*, 193, 747-758.

Stephenson, C.M., Kohn, D.D., Park, K.J., Atkinson, R., Edwards, C., & Travis, J.M.

Systematics, 9,15-28.



Stohlgren, T.J., Binkley, D., Chong, G.W., Kalkhan, M.A., Schell, L.D., Bull, K.A., Otsuki, Y., Newman, G., Bashkin, M. & Son Y (1999). Exotic plant species invade hot spots of native plant diversity. Ecological Monographs, 69, 25-46.

Stout, J.C. (2007). Reproductive biology of the invasive exotic shrub, *Rhododendron* ponticum L. (Ericaceae). Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society, 155, 373-381.

Su, Y.G., Li, X.R. Zheng, J.G. & Huang, G. (2009). The effect of biological soil crusts of different successional stages and conditions on the germination of seeds of three desert plants. Journal of Arid Environments, 73, 931-936.

Suzan, H., Nabhan, G.P. & Patten, D.T. (1996). The importance of *Olneya tesota* as a nurse plant in the Sonoran Desert. Journal of Vegetation Science, 7,635- 644.

The Global Invasive Species Team (GIST), The Nature Conservancy, Species

*Management Summary – Allanthus altissima, see: www.invasive.org/gist* esadocs/ailaalti. html (accessed 22/11/2009).

Thompson, L. & Harper, J.L. (1988). The effect of grasses on the quality of transmitted radiation and its influence on the growth of white clover Trifolium-repens. Oecologia, 75,343-347.

# Thomson, A.G., Radford, G.L., Norris, D.A. & Good, J.E.G. (1993). Factors affecting the distribution and spread of Rhododendron in North Wales. Journal of Environmental Management, 39,199-212.

Thompson, K., Bakker, J., & Bekker, R. (1997). The soil seed banks of North West Europe: methodology, density, and longevity Cambridge University Press, UK.



Tomlin, C.D.S. (ed.)  $(1994)$ . The Pesticide Manual World Compendium. 10<sup>o</sup> edition, Surrey, UK: The British Crop Protection Council, 584.

Tracy, D.R. (2009). Phytopthora ramorum and Phytopthora kernoviae: the woodland perspective. Bulletin OEPP, 39, 161-167.

Turner, D.J. (1985). Effects on glyphosate performance of formulation, additives and mixing with other herbicides. In The Herbicide Glyphosate (eds .E.

Grossbard & D. Atkinson),  $1^{st}$  edn. Butterworth & Co. Ltd., London, UK, pp. 221-240.

Tyler, C. & Pullin, A.S. (2004). Do commonly used management interventions effectively control Rhododendron ponticum? Systematic Review No. 6. Centre for Evidence-Based Conservation, Birmingham, U. K.

UK BAP (United Kingdom Biodiversity Action Plan), 1994, see: http://www.ukbap.org.uk/Library/PLAN\_LO.PDF (accessed 23/11/2009).

Valiente-Banuet, A. & Ezcurra, E. (1991). Shade as a cause of the association between the cactus Neobuxbaumia tetetzo and the nurse plant Mimosa luisana in the Tehuatan valley, Mexico. Journal of Ecology, 79, 961-971.

van Tooren, B.F. During, H.J. & Lensink, M.J.G. (1985). The influence of the bryophyte layer on the microclimate in chalk grasslands. Absrtactia Botanica, 9,219-230.

Updike, J. (2006) Rabbit Run, (Copyright © John Updike, 1960) Penguin Modern Classics, p. 118.

# Verlinden, M. & Nijs, I. (2006). Direct responses to temperature increase in alien vs. congeneric native species. In: NEOBIOTA,  $4<sup>th</sup>$  European Conference on Biological Invasions, Vienna, pp. 48.



Wadsworth, R.A., Collingham, Y.C., Willis, S.G., Huntley, B. & Hulme, P.E. (2000). Simulating the spread and management of alien riparian weeds: are they out of control? *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 37, 23-38.

Wang, D.J. & Liu, Z.Q. (2006). Foliar uptake of pesticides-present status and future challenge. Pesticide Biochemistry and Physiology, 87,1-8.

Weber, E. & Schmid, B. (1998). Latitudinal population differentiation in two species

of Solidago (Asteraceae) introduced into Europe. American Journal of Botany, 85,1110-1121.

Williamson, M. & Fitter, A. (1996). The varying success of invaders. *Ecology*, 77, 1661-1666.

Willoughby, I. & Dewar, J. (1995). The use of herbicides in the forest. Forestry

Westwood, J. H., Yerkes, C. N., DeGennaro, F. P. & Weller, S. C. (1997). Absorption and translocation of glyphosate in tolerant and susceptible biotypes of field bindweed (Convolvulus arvensis). Weed Science, 45,658- 663.

Whitwell, T., Banks, P., Basler, E., & Santelmann, P.W. (1980). Glyphosate absorption and translocation in Bermudagrass (Cynodon dactylon) and

activity in horsenettle (Solanum carolinense). Weed Science, 28,93-96.

Wilkins, S., Keith, D.A. & Adam, P. (2003) Measuring success: Evaluating the restoration of a grassy eucalypt woodland on the Cumberland Plain, Sydney, Australis. Restoration Ecology, 11, 489-503.

#### Commission Field Book No. 8. HMSO, London.

# Wills, G.D. (1978). Factors affecting toxicity and translocation of glyphosate in cotton (Gossypium hirsutum). Weed Science, 26, 509-513.

 $\mathbf{u}$ 

Woitke, M. & Dietz, H. (2002). Shifts in dominance of native and invasive plants in experimental patches of vegetation. Perspectives in Plant Ecology Evolution and Systematics, 5,165-184.

Wong, J. (2004). Ireland/Wales Interreg IIA community initiative programme: erdf application form 2000-2006.

Wong, J., Youde, E., Dickinson, B. & Hale, M. (2002). Report of the Rhododendron

Xiong, S.J. & Nilsson, C. (1999). The effects of plant litter on vegetation: a metaanalysis. Journal of Ecology, 87,984-994.

feasibility study. Prepared for the Beddgelert Rhododendron Management Group by the School of Agricultural and Forest Sciences, University of Wales, Bangor.

Xiong, S.J., Johansson, M.E., Hughes, F.M.R., Hayes, A., Richards, K.S. & Nilsson, C. (2003). Interactive effects of soil moisture, vegetation canopy, plant litter and seed addition on plant diversity in a wetland community. Journal of Ecology, 91,976-986.

Yirdaw, E. & Leinonen, K. (2002). Seed germination responses of four afro-montane tree species to red/far-red ratio and temperature. Forest Ecology and Management, 168, 53-61.

Yonce, M.H. & Skroch, W.A. (1989). Control of selected perennial weeds with

Xenophon (431-355 BC), Anabasis, (translated by H. G. Dakyns), BiblioBazaar (2007), LLC, Charleston, South Carolina, USA, pp. 164.

## glyphosate. Weed Science, 37,360-364.

# Zackrisson, O., Nilsson, M.C., Dahlberg, A. & Jaderlund, A. (1997). Interference

## mechanisms in conifer-Ericaceae- feathermoss communities Oikos, 78,209-





Zamfir, M. (2000). Effects of bryophytes and lichens on seedling emergence of alvar plants: evidence from greenhouse experiments. Oikos, 88, 603-611.

Zandstra, B. H. & Nishimoto, R. K. (1977). Movement and activity of glyphosate in purple nutsedge. Weed Science, 25,268- 274.

the contract of the contract of the contract of

165

 $\frac{1}{4}$  .

 $\mathbf{1}$  .

 $\sim 1$ 

 $\frac{1}{1}$ 

## APPENDICES

## Chapter 2

Appendix 2.1 Univariate analysis of variance on the effect of light treatment (green shade v noshade); watering regime (daily watering v periodic droughting) and seedbed substrate (bare soil, lawn grass, short moss, grass turf, leaf litter, tall moss) on final germination percentage of Rhododendron ponticunt seeds after 21 in an unheated glasshouse.



Appendix 2.2 Univariate analysis of variance onr the effect of light treatment (green shade v noshade); watering regime (daily watering v periodic droughting) and seedbed substrate (bare soil, lawn grass, short moss, grass turf, leaf litter, tall moss) on germination rate  $(t_{1/2} =$  time taken for half of the seeds to germinate) of Rhododendron ponticum seeds in an unheated glasshouse.





166

Appendix 2.3 Univariate analysis of variance on the effect of light treatment (green shade v noshade); watering regime (daily watering v periodic droughting) and seedbed substrate (bare soil, lawn grass, short moss, grass turf, leaf litter, tall moss) on seedling survival (percentage) of Rhododendron ponticum seeds after 21 in an unheated glasshouse.





Appendix 2.4 Results of one-way analysis of variance tests on the effect of light treatment (green versus clear shade) on Rhododendron ponticum seedling growth during the first six months after seed sowing in bare soil/short growing moss.

Source of variation Sum of Squares df Mean Square F  $\boldsymbol{p}$ 



Total 0.028 71

#### Seedling root: shoot




Appendix 2.5 Results of one-way analysis of variance tests on the effect of light treatment (no v green shade) and seedbed substrate (bare soil/short moss v lawn/turf grass) on Rhododendron ponticum seedling height during the first six months under no shade.

green shade) and seedbed substrate (bare soil/short moss v lawn/turf grass) on Rhododendron ponticum seedling shoot biomass during the first six months under no shade.



# Appendix 2.6 Results of one-way analysis of variance tests on the effect of light treatment (no v

Appendix 2.8 Univariate analysis of variance on the effect of light treatment (green, neutral and clear) and seedbed substrate (bare soil, sown lawn grass and tall moss) on germination rate  $(t_{1/2})$  $=$  time taken for half of the seeds to germinate) of *Rhododendron ponticum* seeds, 12 weeks after sowing in an unheated glasshouse.<br>Source of variation<br>Sum of Squares

Source of variation Sum of Squares df Mean Square F  $\boldsymbol{p}$ 



Appendix 2.7 Univariate analysis of variance on the effect of light treatment (green, neutral and clear) and seedbed substrate (bare soil, sown lawn grass and tall moss) on germination capacity (cumulative seeds germinated / total seeds sown  $(\%)$ ) of *Rhododendron ponticum* seeds, 12 weeks after sowing in an unheated glasshouse.

Source of variation Sum of Squares df Mean Square F  $\boldsymbol{p}$ 





Appendix 2.9 Univariate analysis of variance on the effect of light treatment (green, neutral and clear) and seedbed substrate (bare soil, sown lawn grass and tall moss) on Rhododendron 12 weeks after sowing in an unheated glasshouse.





**Substrate** 

Appendix 2.10 The interaction effect of watering and light treatment on final germination percentage of Rhododendron ponticum 21 weeks after sowing seed. Vertical bars are standard errors of the



 $\frac{1}{1}$ 

## Chapter 3

Appendix 3.1 Univariate analysis of variance on the effect of percentage of the crown treated (dose) and seasonal timing of glyphosate treatment (spraying with 2% glyphosate, 2% Mixture B) on the percentage of live shoots remaining at various times after application.

<u>. . . . . . . . . . .</u>







### Measurement 2: December 2008











 $\frac{1}{4}$ 

 $\frac{1}{1}$ 

Appendix 3.2 Univariate analysis of variance on the effect of percentage of the crown treated (dose) and seasonal timing of glyphosate treatment (spraying with 2% glyphosate, 2% Mixture B) percentage shoots producing new growth on  $Rhododendron$  ponticum plants  $< 1.5$  m, 1 and 2 growing seasons after application.





## Measurement 2: May 2009



Appendix 3.3 Univariate analysis of variance on the effect of percentage of the crown treated (dose) and seasonal timing of glyphosate treatment (spraying with 2% glyphosate, 2% Mixture B) on percentage flowering shoots on *Rhododendron ponticum* plants  $<$  1.5 m, 1 and 2 growing seasons after application.

Appendix 3.4 Univariate analysis of variance on the effect of percentage of the crown treated (dose) and seasonal timing of glyphosate treatment (spraying with  $2\%$  glyphosate,  $2\%$  Mixture B) on the percentage of live shoots remaining on Rhododendron ponticum plants < 1.5 m, approximately one year after treatment.







Appendix 3.5 Univariate analysis of variance on the effect of percentage of the crown treated (dose) and seasonal timing of glyphosate treatment (spraying with 2% glyphosate, 2% Mixture B) on growth of new shoot growth (shoot length, new leaf length) on *Rhododendron ponticum* plants < 1.5 in, after one growing season.





## Chapter 4

Appendix 4.1 Univariate analysis of variance on the effect of foliar application of glyphosate to levels of <sup>44</sup>C glyphosate in the leaves and related stem section of *Rhododendron ponticum* woody shrubs 30-50 cm in height.





Appendix 4.3 Univariate analysis of variance on the effect of light treatment on foliar<br>absorption of  $^{14}C$ -glyphosote analised to  $\overline{D}$  ,  $\overline{D}$ absorption of <sup>14</sup>C-glyphosate applied to *Rhododendron ponticum* plants 30 – 50 cm in height<br>over 30 days. over 30 days.

Appendix 4.2 Univariate analysis of variance on the effect of foliar application of glyphosate to levels of shikimic acid in the leaves and related stem section of Rhododendron ponticum woody shrubs 30-50 cm in height.







Appendix 4.4 Univariate analysis of variance on the effect of light treatment on the translocation to different plant parts of <sup>14</sup>C-glyphosate applied to the leaves of *Rhododendron* ponticum plants 20 - 50 cm in height over 30 days.



Appendix 4.5 Tukey HSD on the effect of plant component part on  $^{14}$ C-glyphosate activity applied to the leaves of 30-50cm Rhododendron ponticum plants.

 $\bullet$ 



95% Confidence Interval





175