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Abstract

Functional trait-based approaches are increasingly adopted to understand and project ecological responses to environmental change, however most assume trait expression is constant between conspecifics irrespective of context. Using two species of benthic invertebrate (brittlestars *Amphiura filiformis* and *A. chiajei*) we demonstrate that trait expression at individual and community levels differs with biotic and abiotic context. We use PERMANOVA to test the effect of species identity, density and local environmental history on individual (righting and burrowing) and community (particle reworking and burrow ventilation) trait expression, as well as associated effects on ecosystem functioning (sediment nutrient release). Trait expression differs with context, with repercussions for the faunal mediation of ecosystem processes; we find increased rates of righting and burial behaviour and greater particle reworking with increasing density that are reflected in nutrient generation. However, the magnitude of effects differed within and between species, arising from site-specific environmental and morphological differences. Our results indicate that traits and processes influencing change in ecosystem functioning are products of both prevailing and historic conditions that cannot be constrained within typologies. Trait-based study must incorporate context-dependent variation, including intraspecific differences from individual to ecosystem scales, to avoid jeopardising projections of ecosystem functioning and service delivery.

Keywords: bioturbation, community composition, functional diversity, functional traits, intraspecific variation, trait expression
1 Introduction

Decades of empirical study, motivated by unprecedented species loss and environmental change, have provided unequivocal evidence that altering biodiversity affects ecosystem functioning (e.g. primary production, nutrient cycling, sediment stability) and, ultimately, the provision of ecosystem services (Adair et al., 2018). Current research emphasizes that rather than the number of species, ecosystem functioning is instead mediated by the functional traits (e.g. behavioural, morphological or life history characteristics) expressed within a community (Gagic et al., 2015; Read et al., 2017). As a result, functional trait-based approaches are increasingly adopted as predictive tools by ecosystem managers (Rijnsdorp et al., 2015; Bolam et al., 2017) as they incorporate species performance into projections of environmental change. In doing so, they confer understanding of the biological mechanisms underpinning faunal mediation of ecosystem functioning (Laughlin, 2014; Funk et al., 2017; Thomsen et al., 2019).

Conventional trait-based approaches and proposed frameworks implicitly assume that the expression of traits remains constant between conspecifics, irrespective of biotic or environmental context (Albert et al., 2010; Hevia et al., 2017). Studies may neglect intraspecific variability out of economic or logistical necessity, as measuring individual trait values in situ is not always possible. For management purposes, therefore, authors may rely on trait values from literature or databases to characterise the functional importance of species (Gogina et al., 2016; Bolam et al., 2017; Solan et al., 2019). In these approaches the quantification of trait values and allocation of species to functional groups is frequently based on single mean trait values per species, and does not account for the scope and importance of intraspecific trait variability (Finerty et al., 2016; Des Roches et al., 2018).
the type or value of traits expressed are understood to determine a species’ role in the ecosystem (Wohlgemuth et al., 2017), any intraspecific variation potentially alters its contributions to ecosystem functioning and renders conventional typologies unsuitable.

Individual organisms are non-identical, with differing forms of trait expression distributed unevenly throughout communities (Carmona et al., 2016; Roscher et al., 2018). It has long been appreciated that age classes, ontogenetic stages or sexes make differing contributions to ecosystem functioning. For example, individuals within a population are often grouped as being agender, despite knowledge that differing sexes can exhibit strongly distinct life strategies and energetic or resource demands (Rudolf & Rasmussen, 2013). Such physiological differences, including associated morphological differences in the mean and variance of body size, determine the scale of an individual’s contribution to ecosystem functioning (Norkko et al., 2013; Fritschie & Olden, 2016). However, intraspecific variation occurs beyond demographic influences (Mitchell & Bakker, 2014a). Some site-specific differences originate as a genetic component, stemming from long-term adaptation to historic conditions that creates distinct genetic ecotypes through multi-generational selection processes (Calosi et al., 2013; Robins et al., 2013). In addition, variation also arises over shorter temporal scales in the form of acclimation responses to prevailing biotic and abiotic conditions (Wohlgemuth et al., 2017).

Mechanisms of phenotypic plasticity result in widespread and often substantial trait variability over time and space (Roscher et al., 2018). Transient trait expression in individuals alters their activities and potential contributions to ecosystem processes in response to habitat features (Törnroos et al. 2015; Read et al., 2017), climatic drivers
Incorporating the context-dependency of trait expression is vital for accuracy in the increasingly urgent quantification of ecosystem functioning under changing abiotic conditions (Landeira-Dabarca et al., 2018). Trait expression, furthermore, also shifts dramatically in response to biotic influences, primarily from neighbouring individuals and/or species (Hawlena et al., 2011; Wohlgemuth et al., 2017; Calder-Potts et al., 2018; Thomsen et al., 2019). Competitive or complementary interactions determine species coexistence and exclusion (Turcotte & Levine, 2016; Pérez-Ramos et al., 2019), and so potentially facilitate enhanced productivity, ecosystem functioning and service delivery (Finerty et al., 2016). Within species, local density-dependent effects can influence the expression of movement and life history traits, influencing habitat use as conspecifics specialise behaviourally or physiologically to exploit available space and resources (Kraft et al., 2015) or escape predation (Rosenberg & Selander, 2000). It is increasingly recognised that intraspecific differences in trait expression are not only widespread but also form an important component of biodiversity (Des Roches et al., 2018). The representation of species using single or average trait values may fail to quantify responses to numerous aspects of ecological and environmental context (Read et al., 2017), jeopardising the reliability of approaches to ecosystem study and management (Reich et al., 2014; Bennett et al., 2016).

In this study, we investigate the importance of incorporating intraspecific and individual-level trait variation into trait-based study, illustrating that faunally-mediated community processes and ecosystem functioning with which these traits are associated are subject to context-dependent change. To achieve these aims, we interrogate the effect of biotic
context and differing abiotic history on communities of two co-occurring species of infaunal marine invertebrate (brittlestars *Amphiura filiformis* and *A. chiajei*). We hypothesise that i) biotic and site-specific environmental context influence the expression of individual traits and community-level behaviour, and that ii) this variability would aid in understanding concurrent differences in biogeochemical proxies (nutrient concentration) for ecosystem function. To this effect, our results show that, contrary to the assumptions of prevailing trait-based modelling approaches, the trait expression and subsequent functional contributions of conspecific individuals cannot be assumed to be constant.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Species collection and experimental design

Two species of ophiuroid brittlestars (*A. filiformis* and *A. chiajei*) were collected from two proximate sea lochs; Kilmaronag Shoal, Loch Etive (56°27'34.20"N, 5°20’29.28"W) and the Lynn of Lorne, Loch Linne (56°29'49.6"N, 5°29'56.2"W), Scotland, UK (Supporting information, Fig. S1). Taxa with pelagic larvae, such as these species, have substantial distribution potential and are exchanged across landscape-scale distances and hydrographical barriers only in these early ontogenetic stages (Robins et al., 2013; Ershova et al., 2019). Given the proximate distance (~12 km) and presence of substantial changes in seabed terrain and flow conditions between sites (Gage, 1972; Friedrich et al., 2014), we infer that individuals from each site are likely not genetically distinct but will have been exposed throughout their post-larval lifetimes to differing ecological and environmental conditions (Alp et al., 2012). Loch Etive is subject to greater stratification and more frequent episodic flushing relative to Loch Linne that affects nutrient and organic material dynamics (Friedrich et al., 2014). Sediment at Loch Etive is finer and contains a significantly higher
total organic carbon (TOC) content in comparison to the Loch Linnhe site (ANOVA: $F_{2,10}=30.78$, $P < 0.001$, Supporting information, Table S1 and Fig. S2 & S3).

Individuals were returned to the University of Southampton in aerated water baths and acclimated to aquarium conditions (~12.6° C, 12 h light: 12 h dark cycle, continually aerated) for a 30-day period. Estuarine mud from Hamble-le-Rice, Hampshire (50°52'23.1"N 1°18'49.3"W), was sieved (500 μm mesh) in a seawater bath to retain the fine fraction and remove macrofauna and allowed to settle for 48 h before being homogenised and distributed to Perspex aquaria (internal dimensions, LWH 12 x 12 x 35 cm; settled depth ~10 cm overlaid with ~20 cm depth seawater, salinity 33). After 24 h and prior to the addition of the organisms, the seawater was replaced to remove excess dissolved nutrients associated with mesocosm assembly.

Our experiment required 102 aquaria arranged in a full factorial design (Supporting information, Table S2 & S3). Replicate faunal assemblages (hereafter referred to as ‘communities’) from each sampling site (2 levels; Loch Etive and Loch Linnhe, which represent historic exposures to discrete abiotic conditions hereafter referred to as ‘populations’) contained *A. filiformis* and *A. chiajei* in one of three species treatments (3 levels; monoculture of *A. filiformis*, monoculture of *A. chiajei*, or both species in mixture), across three naturally observed densities (3 levels; low, medium and high, between 250 - 1000 ind. m$^{-2}$, Supporting information, Table S3). These species were selected for use given their close taxonomic relation, their shared tolerance for variable biotic and abiotic contexts (Calder-Potts et al., 2018), and their widespread co-occurrence throughout European shelf waters (Gage, 1972) where they exert a dominant influence on local biochemical cycling.
(Murray et al., 2013). The three density levels manipulated span the range reported from across their European distribution (O’Connor et al., 1983; Duineveld et al., 1987; Munday & Keegan, 1992) and therefore are not location specific. For this study, we adjusted the densities of both species to reflect the approximate 3 A. filiformis : 2 A. chiajei ratio observed at the sample sites only as to avoid introducing novel aspects of biotic context. Each combination of factors was replicated six times, with the exception of two treatments (n = 4 and n = 5) (total n = 102, Supporting information, Table S3).

2.2 Measures of individual trait expression

Individual-level behavioural trait expression was represented through movement and burial behaviours measured at the sediment surface following incubation and the quantification of community- and ecosystem- properties. Individuals were inverted and placed on the sediment surface in a temperature-controlled tray of sediment (3 cm depth overlaid with 5 cm depth seawater) under the same density and species treatment (monoculture or mixed) conditions in which they had been previously maintained. A bench top video camera (uEYE USB camera, 1.3 MP, 25 FPS; IDS Imaging Development Systems, Obersulm, Germany) was used to record two righting and burial behaviours: i) the time taken for each individual to begin movement activity, a response trait, and ii) the time taken for each individual to right itself and bury fully into the sediment, an effect trait. Behaviour at the sediment surface reflects the strength and nature of organismal responses to their biological and physical surroundings (Rosenberg & Selander, 2000), and burial rate is indicative of functionally-relevant movement behaviours at the individual level (Nagelkerken & Munday, 2016).
As morphological traits can significantly influence an individual’s functional contribution (Norkko et al., 2013), we determined arm length (cm) and disc diameter (cm) using image analysis (ImageJ, version 1.46r; Schneider et al., 2012; Supporting information, Fig. S4), and biomass (g), for each individual. Given the strong co-linearity between the metrics (Supporting information, Fig. S5), the mean arm length (mean length of all five arms for each individual, producing an individual-level morphological trait) was used to represent morphological trait expression due to its greater relevance in brittlestar motility and feeding behaviours (Rosenberg & Selander, 2000; Astley et al., 2012).

2.3 Measures of community behaviour

Burrow ventilation behaviour (bioirrigation) was estimated from the relative change in water column concentrations of the inert tracer sodium bromide (NaBr, dissolved in 20 mL = ~ 5 mM aquaria⁻¹), over an 8 h period (NaBr, dissolved in 20 mL = ~ 5 mM aquaria⁻¹; ∆[Br⁻], mg L⁻¹; negative values indicate increased activity; Forster et al., 1999). Filtered water samples (5 mL, 0.45 µm cellulose acetate membrane filter) were taken on Day 29 of the experimental period and stored at 6 °C prior to colorimetric analysis (FIAsstar 5000 flow injection analyser, FOSS Tecator).

Faunally mediated particle reworking (bioturbation) was estimated non-invasively using sediment profile imaging (f-SPI) (Solan et al., 2004). To visualize particle movement 24 g dry weight aquaria⁻¹ of dyed sediment that fluoresces in UV light (green colour; < 125 µm; Brianclegg Ltd., UK) was introduced to the sediment surface on Day 23 and imaged 8 days later (Day 31). This length of time is sufficient to allow visualisation of particle movement whilst avoiding vertical homogenization of the tracers. Images of all four sides of each...
mesocosm were taken within a UV illuminated imaging box. Following Solan et al. (2004), images were saved in RGB colour mode with JPEG compression and analysed using a custom-made semi-automated macro that runs within ImageJ (version 1.46r), a Java-based public domain program (Schneider et al., 2012). From these data, the maximum depth of particle reworking ($I_{SPI L_{max}}$) was calculated and surficial activity was estimated by quantifying surface boundary roughness (SBR), which is the maximum vertical deviation of the sediment-water interface (upper – lower limit; Hale et al., 2014).

2.4 Measures of ecosystem functioning

Ecosystem functioning was represented through the proxy of sediment nutrient release, which is mediated by the sediment movement behaviours of benthic fauna (Kristensen et al., 2014; Wohlgemuth et al., 2017). Nutrient concentrations (ammonium, NH$_4^-$-N; nitrate, NO$_3^-$-N; nitrite, NO$_2^-$-N; and phosphate, PO$_4^{3-}$-P; μmol L$^{-1}$) were determined from filtered water samples (20 mL, Fisherbrand, nylon 0.45 μm, ø 25 mm) taken on the final day of the experiment (Day 30). Samples were frozen (−18 °C) and analysed using a segmented flow autoanalyser (QuAAtro39 AutoAnalyzer).

2.5 Statistical analysis

Permutational multivariate analysis of variance (PERMANOVA) and ANOVA were used to determine the independent and interacting effects of population (2 levels; Loch Etive, Loch Linnhe), density (3 levels; low, medium, high) and species identity (for intraspecific trait expression, 4 levels; A. filiformis in monoculture, A. filiformis in mixture, A. chiajei in monoculture, A. chiajei in mixture) or species mixtures treatment (for community and ecosystem measures, 3 levels; A. filiformis monoculture, A. chiajei in monoculture, A.
filiformis - A. chiajei mixed treatment) on individual and community behavioural trait expression, and associated ecosystem function. All statistical analyses were performed using the R statistical and programming environment (R Core Team, 2017) and the vegan package (Oksanen et al., 2017).

2.5.1 Individual trait expression

Multivariate analyses were used to represent overall differences in the behavioural ‘personalities’ of individuals between species identities and contexts (Moran et al., 2017), integrating response (time to begin movement) and effect (time to complete burial) traits. PERMANOVA (iterations = 999) was used, as it is robust to non-normality and differing correlation structures and so is particularly suited for the detection of differences in intraspecific trait expression (Mitchell & Bakker, 2014b). Patterns of intraspecific trait expression differ between the behavioural traits, and between context treatments (Supporting information, Fig. S6). Permutational analysis of multivariate dispersion (PERMDISP) was used to test for homogeneity of variance between populations ($F_{1,190} = 0.57, P = 0.45$), species identities ($F_{1,188} = 1.20, P = 0.31$) and densities ($F_{1,189} = 1.22, P = 0.30$). These results support that any significant differences in PERMANOVA between treatments are due to changes in the values of trait expression, not shifts in the overall extent of variation itself. Nevertheless, to negate any dispersion effects caused by unequal numbers of individuals between groups, we standardised abundance between species treatments and density levels ($n = 192$) (Supporting Information, Table S3).

PERMANOVA models were developed test the independent and interacting effects of; i) community-level effects (population, species identity, density), and ii) individual-level
differences in morphological trait expression (mean arm length) between communities (population, species identity), on multivariate intraspecific behavioural trait expression. Data exploration showed there were differences in morphological trait expression between populations (ANOVA: $F_{1,188} = 4.03, P = 0.046$) and species (ANOVA: $F_{1,188} = 14.99, P < 0.001$) which may contribute to observed site-specific and interspecific effects.

To quantify the extent of intraspecific trait variation, the coefficient of variation (CV; the ratio of standard deviation to the mean) was determined for the expression of each individual-level trait (time to begin movement, time to complete burial, and mean arm length).

2.5.2 Community behaviour and ecosystem functioning

4-way ANOVA was used to test the independent and interactive effects of context (population, species treatment, density) and intraspecific variation in morphological trait expression (CV of mean arm length) on each community-level behaviour ($\Delta[Br^-], f^{SPI}L_{max}$, SBR), and a 3-way ANOVA was used to test the independent and interactive effects of context (population, species treatment, density) on nutrient concentration ([NH$_4$-N], [NO$_3$-N], [NO$_2$-N], [PO$_4$-P]). Model assumptions were assessed visually for normality (Q-Q plot), heterogeneity of variance (plotted residuals vs. fitted values), and the presence of outliers or overly influential data points (Cook’s Distance) and the minimal adequate effects structure was determined using backward selection informed by Akaike Information Criteria (AIC) (Zuur et al., 2009).

3 Results
3.1 Individual trait expression

With respect to all aspects of context (population, species identity, density), PERMANOVA revealed that behavioural trait expression was dependent on the independent effects of species identity ($F_{3,168} = 6.08, P < 0.01$), density ($F_{2,168} = 3.82, P < 0.01$), and population ($F_{1,168} = 4.24, P = 0.025$) (Fig. 1a – 1f).

When considered alongside only those aspects of context which define the identity (population, species identity) and morphological trait expression (mean arm length) of individuals, behavioural trait expression was dependent on the interactive effects of mean arm length x population of origin (PERMANOVA: $F_{1,176} = 3.71, P = 0.036$) (Fig. 1e – 1h), in addition to the independent effect of species identity (PERMANOVA: $F_{3,176} = 5.72, P < 0.01$) (Fig. 1a – 1b).

Though analysed together in a multivariate manner, both movement behaviours (time to begin activity, and time to complete burial) were visualised independently to highlight differences in expression between each trait. Overall, A. chiajei took significantly longer before beginning or completing burial than A. filiformis, however intraspecific differences are present in both species and between individuals maintained in monoculture or in a mixed community. The extent of these context-dependent differences varied depending on the trait, and patterns between treatment conditions were consistently less prominent for the time taken to begin movement. For both A. chiajei and A. filiformis, the time taken to fully complete burial was increased in mixed species treatments in comparison to monoculture, with a similar if weaker pattern suggested for A. chiajei and the time taken to begin movement (Fig. 1a – 1b). For both species, the time taken to complete burial
decreased with density (Fig. 1c – 1d). Individuals from Loch Linnhe had significantly (ANOVA: 

$$F_{1,188} = 4.033, P = 0.046$$) larger mean arm lengths ($A. filiformis$ mean ± SE (n = 55) 27.88 ± 

11.17, $A. chiajei$ mean ± SE (n = 51) 36.54 ± 12.85, Supporting information, Fig. S7) than

those originating from Loch Etive ($A. filiformis$ mean ± SE (n = 40) 27.06 ± 7.57, $A. chiajei$

mean ± SE (n = 46) 30.30 ± 11.68), and completed movement behaviours more rapidly (Fig. 

1e – 1h). The coefficient of variation of both behavioural traits (time to begin activity and

time to complete burial) within communities did not differ significantly between variables or

their interactions (ANOVA: $P > 0.05$ for all, Supporting information, Table S4), though trends

suggest comparatively greater extents of variation may occur for both behavioural traits for

individuals maintained under elevated density or in a mixed species treatment, or those

originating from Loch Etive (Supporting information, Fig. S8).

3.2 Community behaviour

Community-level bioturbation and bioirrigation behaviours were differentially affected by

abiotic and biotic context (species mixture treatment, density, population) and

morphological trait variation. The maximum depth of particle redistribution, $^{f-SPL}_{L_{max}}$, was

significantly affected by the independent effects of density (ANOVA: $F_{2,60} = 5.85, P < 0.01$)

and population (ANOVA: $F_{1,60} = 8.68, P < 0.01$). $^{f-SPL}_{L_{max}}$ increased with density (Fig. 2a), while

remaining shallower in mesocosms with individuals from Loch Etive in comparison to Loch

Linnhe (coefficient ± SE = 0.40 ± 0.51, $t = 0.78, P = 0.44$) (Fig. 2b). SBR differed significantly

with the interactive effects of density x species treatment (ANOVA: $F_{4,74} = 3.16, P = 0.018$),

and population of origin x morphological trait variation (ANOVA: $F_{1,74} = 4.81, P = 0.031$). The

magnitude of differences in SBR between species treatments were increased at greater

densities (Fig. 2c), with higher surface boundary roughness found in Loch Linnhe.
communities with greater morphological trait variation (CV mean arm length) (Fig. 2d).
Though the extent of variation for average arm length did not differ significantly between
densities (ANOVA: $F_{2,78} = 1.76, P = 0.18$), species treatments (ANOVA: $F_{2,78} = 0.61, P = 0.55$)
or populations (ANOVA: $F_{1,78} = 0.02, P = 0.88$), variation in the morphology of individuals
was comparatively elevated for individuals originating from Loch Linnhe or maintained
under medium density (Supporting information, Fig. S9). Bioirrigation activity ($\Delta[Br^-]$) did
not vary with abiotic or biotic context as results showed that, although the density x
population interaction was included in the minimal adequate mode, its effects were non-
significant (ANOVA: $F_{2,90} = 1.11, P = 0.34$, Supporting information, Fig. S10).

3.3 Ecosystem functioning
The effect of biotic and abiotic context on sediment nutrient release differed between
nutrients (Fig. 3). [$NH_4-N$] was significantly influenced by the interactive effect of population
x density (ANOVA: $F_{2,85} = 3.15, P = 0.048$). Overall, [$NH_4-N$] was increased in communities
originating from Loch Linnhe in comparison to those from Loch Etive (coefficient ± SE = 2.31
± 1.81, t = 1.27, P = 0.21), with clearer differences in [$NH_4-N$] between populations at lower
densities (Fig. 3a). [$NH_4-N$] was also significantly affected by species treatment (ANOVA: $F_{2,85}$
= 3.22, $P = 0.045$), being greatest in A. chiajei monoculture communities (Fig. 3b). [$NO_3-N$]
was significantly affected by density (ANOVA: $F_{2,89} = 16.38, P < 0.01$) and population
(ANOVA: $F_{1,89} = 6.95, P < 0.01$), decreasing with density, and with lower concentrations
found in Loch Linnhe communities (coefficient ± SE = -3.95 ± 1.5, t = -2.64, $P < 0.01$) (Fig. 3c
& 3d). [$NO_2-N$] was significantly affected by population (ANOVA: $F_{1,83} = 5.94, P = 0.017$),
showing greater concentrations communities originating from Loch Linnhe (coefficient ± SE
= 17.83 ± 7.43, t = 2.4 $P = 0.019$) (Fig. 3e). [$PO_4-P$] was significantly affected by the
interactive effect of species treatment x density (ANOVA: $F_{4,84} = 2.81$, $P = 0.030$), with overall PO$_4$-P concentration, and the magnitude of difference between species treatments, decreasing with density (Fig. 3f).

4 Discussion

Overall, our results demonstrate significant influence of context on the trait expression of individuals. We show that this context-dependency then affects the functional roles and contributions of species by mechanistically underpinning concurrent change in community behaviour and ecosystem functioning.

We found site-specific and interspecific differences in morphological trait expression. By consequence, it is difficult to interrogate the role of population or species per se in determining behavioural trait expression. Body size determines the scaling relationship between the traits expressed by a species and their ecosystem role, and larger individuals are often liable to have stronger effects on ecosystem functioning (Larsen et al., 2005). Given this relationship, intraspecific morphological variability has already been incorporated into some functional trait approaches via a community average (Solan et al., 2004). Body size traits are a complex and potentially transient response to genetic influences, age, food and other resources (Liao et al., 2016). Even where two organisms are allegedly found within the same functional group, larger individuals are expected to have proportionally larger effects to ecosystem functioning (e.g. displace more sediment and pump more water (Norkko et al., 2013), and intraspecific morphological expression may be a significant influence on the functional roles of species. However, even beyond the contributions of morphological differences, individuals with shared local histories are likely to consistently
express similar traits (Fisher et al., 2015; Moran et al., 2017; Peterson et al., 2019). Abiotic context influences the presence, plasticity and strength of traits expressed within a community (Calosi et al., 2013; Törnroos et al., 2015; Nagelkerken & Munday, 2016). Organic matter content and sediment grain size, which differ between Loch Etive and Loch Linnhe, notably affect organism behaviour in terms of sediment mixing and bioirrigation (Bulling et al., 2008; Godbold & Solan, 2009). Origin in the distinct conditions of either loch contributes to differences in trait expression at an individual-level, and in the community-level net effects which these traits in part underpin (Wohlgemuth et al., 2017).

Further, density and species identity influence intraspecific behavioural trait expression as community composition determines the neighbour-effects that dictate behaviours including space and resource use (De Backer et al., 2011; Kraft et al., 2015; Calder-Potts et al., 2018). These effects in turn underpin the role of shifting biodiversity in driving altered ecosystem functioning (Thomsen et al., 2019). Changes in the extent and structure of biodiversity alter not only functional diversity at the community-level, but form differing biotic contexts with influence on the trait expression and functional roles of component individuals (Wohlgemuth et al., 2017; Adair et al., 2018). Behavioural factors are among the more flexible aspects of an animal’s phenotype as they are less likely to be constrained by strict physiological tolerances, and so their variation readily reflects short- and long-term responses of each species to local conditions (Fisher et al., 2015). The competitive advantage offered by this trait dissimilarity, and so its role in determining community structure, depends on whether individuals are involved in intra- and interspecific competition, as species may benefit from expressing novel (Finerty et al., 2016) or more acquisitive phenotypes (Bennett et al., 2016). Our results show that, even where species are
distinguished by interspecific differences in behavioural or morphological traits (Buchanan, 1964), each taxa may also display distinct intraspecific responses between communities of differing compositions (Zuo et al., 2017).

The potential for intraspecific variation should not be overlooked, given that it can strongly determine the functional identity and context-dependent contributions of each species (Des Roches et al., 2018). Context-dependent variation may have consequences for ecosystem functioning as it can change, expand, or narrow the distribution of relevant traits expressed and so alter the assumed functional contributions of organisms (Matesanz & Ramírez-Valiente, 2019). Differences in sediment reworking between treatments mechanistically underpin the differences in dissolved nutrient release observed between the same conditions, demonstrating that change in behavioural trait expression influences biogeochemical processes and so mediates the functioning of benthic habitats (Kristensen et al., 2014; Wohlgemuth et al., 2017). However, establishing the relative importance of intraspecific and interspecific variation has long been a focus of trait-based ecology (Albert et al., 2010; Zuo et al., 2017). The necessity of considering intraspecific variation is likely to be determined by the extent of variability within a trait (Henn et al., 2018), the strength of its relationship with ecosystem function (Mensens et al., 2017), and indeed the research question at hand. We suggest that quantifying the extent of intraspecific variation should be a particular priority where environmental conditions are changing, or where taxa are compared across gradients. Mesocosm experimental studies or sub-sampling of trait expression in situ offers ability to establish the realised functional contributions or variability of species in complement to conventional trait-based study (Henn et al., 2018). It is probable that interspecific differences will exceed intraspecific differences in terms of
magnitude (Derroire et al., 2018), and that quantification of intraspecific variability will be less likely to alter projections of functioning and service delivery at ecosystem-scales with high species richness (Wright et al., 2016). Nonetheless, to do so characterises the sources, pathways, and potential consequences of altered conditions (Albert et al., 2010; Fisher et al., 2015). Intraspecific trait variation and its covariation with interspecific trait variation together determine community responses to ecological change (Zuo et al., 2017).

Given that natural systems are increasingly subject to drivers of ecological change, we highlight the need to determine the contexts in which intraspecific variability arises (Moran et al., 2017; Matesanz & Ramírez-Valiente, 2019). Within this framework, we must isolate the circumstances where it contributes to the functional integrity of ecosystems (Wright et al., 2016; Zuo et al., 2017). Failure to do so jeopardises understanding and prediction of ecosystem functioning due to inadequate characterisation of traits and, by result, biodiversity (Wohlgemuth et al., 2017; Adair et al., 2018; Des Roches et al., 2018). Trait-based models for predicting community structure across environmental gradients perform poorly when they fail to integrate the effects of intraspecific variation in functional traits, as existing typologies are insufficiently broad (Read et al., 2017). Our findings demonstrate that trait-based approaches to ecosystem study require more detailed functional metrics than has previously been assumed. Future efforts should seek to report responses under multiple ecosystem conditions, to demonstrate the potential breadth of resulting intraspecific diversity, and consider how these effects will propagate up biological scales (Carmona et al., 2016; Finerty et al., 2016; Funk et al., 2017; Matesanz & Ramírez-Valiente, 2019).
5 Conclusions

Our findings show that the expression of traits by individuals and so the net behaviour of their communities differs with biotic and abiotic context. Such changes in individual functional contributions have important implications for mediation of ecosystem functioning. Our study highlights that trait-based approaches which do not consider the context-dependency of trait expression are at risk of misrepresenting the functional roles of taxa. Quantification of intraspecific variability will offer ecologists better insight into biological responses to environmental conditions, and aid ecosystem management approaches seeking to maintain good ecosystem function and service delivery in the face of environmental change.
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Figures

(a) Time to begin movement (s) for different species and densities.

(b) Time to complete burial (s) for different species and densities.

(c) Time to begin movement (s) for different densities.

(d) Time to complete burial (s) for different densities.

(e) Time to begin movement (s) for different populations.

(f) Time to complete burial (s) for different populations.

(g) Time to begin movement (s) versus mean arm length (mm) for Loch Etive and Loch Linnhe.

(h) Time to complete burial (s) versus mean arm length (mm) for Loch Etive and Loch Linnhe.
**Fig. 1:** The effect of biotic and abiotic context on time elapsed (mean ± SE) (s) for *Amphiura chiajei* and *Amphiura filiformis* to (a, c, e, g) begin movement and (b, d, f, h) complete burial into the sediment, where (a - b) show the independent effects of species identity, (c - d) show the independent effect of density, (e - f) show the independent effect of population of origin, and (g - h) show the interactive effect of population x mean arm length (mm).

**Fig. 2:** The effects of biotic and abiotic context on (mean ± SE, n= 6) (a) and (b) $f$-SPI $L_{max}$ (cm) and (c) and (d) surface boundary roughness (SBR) (cm) in mesocosms containing *Amphiura filiformis* and *Amphiura chiajei* in monoculture or mixture, showing the (a) independent effect of density and (b) the independent effect of population, (c) the interactive effect of
density x species treatment, and (d) the interactive effect of morphological trait variation (CV of mean arm length) and population.

Fig. 3: The effects of differing biotic and abiotic context on (mean ± SE, n=6) (μmol L⁻¹) (a) and (b) [NH₄-N], (c) and (d) [NO₃-N], (e) [NO₂-N], and (f) [PO₄-P] where (a) shows the
interactive effects of density x population, \((b)\) shows the independent effect of species treatment, \((c)\) and \((d)\) show the independent effects of density and population, respectively, \((e)\) shows the independent effect of population, and \((f)\) the interactive effect of density x species treatment.
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