

## The effect of forest management options on forest resilience to pathogens

Roberts, Michaela; Gilligan, Chris; Kleczkowski, Adam; Hanley, Nicholas; Whalley, Elizabeth; Healey, John

### Frontiers in Forests and Global Change

Published: 10/02/2020

Peer reviewed version

[Cyswllt i'r cyhoeddiad / Link to publication](#)

*Dyfyniad o'r fersiwn a gyhoeddwyd / Citation for published version (APA):*

Roberts, M., Gilligan, C., Kleczkowski, A., Hanley, N., Whalley, E., & Healey, J. (2020). The effect of forest management options on forest resilience to pathogens. *Frontiers in Forests and Global Change*.

#### Hawliau Cyffredinol / General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal ?

#### Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

## *Frontiers in Forests and Global Change*

### *Section: Forest Disturbance*

#### **The effect of forest management options on forest resilience to pathogens**

1 Roberts, M.<sup>1,2,3\*</sup>, Gilligan, C.A.<sup>4</sup>, Kleczkowski, A.<sup>5,6</sup>, Hanley N.<sup>7,2</sup>, Whalley, A.E.<sup>8</sup> & Healey,  
2 J.R.<sup>3</sup>

3 <sup>1</sup> Social, Economic and Geographical Sciences Group, James Hutton Institute, Aberdeen, UK

4 <sup>2</sup> Department of Geography and Sustainable Development, University of St Andrews, St  
5 Andrews, UK

6 <sup>3</sup> School of Natural Sciences, Bangor University, Bangor, UK

7 <sup>4</sup> Department of Plant Sciences, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK

8 <sup>5</sup> Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Strathclyde, Glasgow, UK

9 <sup>6</sup> Faculty of Natural Sciences, University of Stirling, Glasgow, UK

10 <sup>7</sup> Institute of Biodiversity, Animal Health and Comparative Medicine, University Of  
11 Glasgow, Glasgow, UK

12 <sup>8</sup> Warwick Business School, University of Warwick, Coventry, UK

13

14 **\*Correspondence:**

15 Michaela Roberts

16 Michaela.roberts@hutton.ac.uk

17 **Keywords:** Tree disease, epidemiology, forestry, pathogen, silviculture, forest management,  
18 invasive species

19

**20 Abstract**

21 Invasive pathogens threaten the ability of forests globally to produce a range of valuable  
22 ecosystem services over time. However, the ability to detect such pathogen invasions – and  
23 thus to produce appropriate and timely management responses – is relatively low. We argue  
24 that a promising approach is to plan and manage forests in a way that increases their  
25 resilience to invasive pathogens not yet present or ubiquitous in the forest. This paper is  
26 based on a systematic search and critical review of empirical evidence of the effect of a wide  
27 range of forest management options on the primary and secondary infection rates of forest  
28 pathogens, and on subsequent forest recovery. Our goals are to inform forest management  
29 decision making to increase forest resilience, and to identify the most important evidence  
30 gaps for future research. The management options for which there is the strongest evidence  
31 that they increase forest resilience to pathogens are: reduced forest connectivity, removal or  
32 treatment of inoculum sources such as cut stumps, reduced tree density, removal of diseased  
33 trees and increased tree species diversity. In all cases the effect of these options on infection  
34 dynamics differs greatly amongst tree and pathogen species and between forest  
35 environments. However, the lack of consistent effects of silvicultural systems or of thinning,  
36 pruning or coppicing treatments is notable. There is also a lack of evidence of how the effects  
37 of treatments are influenced by the scale at which they are applied, e.g. the mixture of tree  
38 species. An overall conclusion is that forest managers often need to trade-off increased  
39 resilience to tree pathogens against other benefits obtained from forests.

40

## 41 1 Introduction

42 Invasive species present significant threats to natural and planted forests (Wingfield et al.,  
43 2015; Liebhold et al., 2017; Muzika, 2017), and can, in combination with climate change,  
44 create ‘mega disturbances’ which disrupt forests worldwide (Millar and Stephenson, 2015),  
45 leading to large ecological, economic and social losses (Hill et al., 2019). While invasive  
46 species research often focuses on animal and plant invasions, forest ecosystems are also  
47 threatened by invasive microbial pathogens. Pathogens have the potential to disrupt timber  
48 and non-timber benefits provided by forests, and the need for a coordinated effort to tackle  
49 such invasive species is being increasingly recognised (Wingfield et al., 2015). In this  
50 context, to be considered invasive a pathogen does not need to be non-native to the region,  
51 but rather through an increase in its abundance produce a widespread negative impact on a  
52 given ecosystem (Warren, 2007; Carey et al., 2012)).

53 Managing invasive pathogens presents unique challenges not associated with controlling  
54 invasive plants and animals, including insects. The cryptic nature of infection by pathogens,  
55 particularly at the beginning of their life cycles, means that many invasions remain  
56 undetected until trees become symptomatic, by which time the pathogen is often already  
57 widespread (Liebhold et al., 2017; Muzika, 2017). Even after infection has been detected,  
58 identification of the causal pathogen is normally reliant on examination of spores and/or  
59 DNA sequencing. As a result, many pathogens have remained unidentified or misidentified,  
60 restricting our capacity to manage invasions effectively (Wingfield et al., 2015; Wingfield et  
61 al., 2017). Genetic variation amongst microbial pathogens is greater than even that found  
62 between the plant and animal kingdoms, and as such these pathogens have highly varied life  
63 histories. This not only creates challenges in designing control measures for pathogens as a  
64 general invasive threat, but also in tackling individual pathogens, which can present  
65 unfamiliar life history traits (Wingfield et al., 2017). These complex and often still unknown  
66 life histories, as well as their potential for relatively rapid evolution, restrict our ability to  
67 predict which pathogens will become invasive, and how any invasion will progress  
68 (Ghelardini et al., 2017).

69 Tree pathogens threaten the ability of forests to deliver ecosystem services over the long-  
70 term. The importance of phytosanitary measures, such as quarantine, to reduce the risk of  
71 invasive tree pathogens reaching a country or a given forest have long been recognised  
72 (Wingfield et al. 2015). However, as rotation lengths from establishment to harvest of a forest  
73 tree crop generally last for several decades, the high rate of arrival of new pathogen species to  
74 locations around the world means that any newly established crop may potentially be subject  
75 to many new pathogens before it reaches maturity. This poses a particular challenge for forest  
76 managers. So far, management responses have largely been restricted to reactive measures  
77 taken after the presence of a given pathogen has been detected, by which time economic and  
78 ecological damage costs can rarely be avoided. This restriction on the ability of forest  
79 managers to respond until a specific pathogen has been detected raises the important question  
80 of whether and how forests should be planned and managed to maximise their resilience to  
81 the threat of future unknown pathogens.

82 To help address this challenge, a recent extension of epidemiological modelling (“epi-  
83 economic modelling”) has linked the economics of forest management practices to the  
84 impacts of tree pathogens across a range of primary and secondary infection rates and  
85 damage costs (Macpherson et al., 2017a; Macpherson et al., 2017b; Macpherson et al., 2018).  
86 This approach is based on an epidemiological paradigm (Kleczkowski et al., 2019), whereby  
87 the population of trees is divided between healthy and susceptible individuals, and infected

88 individuals. Mathematical models capturing the infection process can take different forms but  
89 the simplest equation is

$$90 \quad \frac{dI}{dt} = (r_p + r_s I(t)) S(t) \quad (1)$$

91 with  $S(t)$  denoting the number of still healthy but susceptible trees,  $r_p$  and  $r_s$  the rate of  
92 primary and secondary infection, respectively,  $I(t)$  currently infected trees within the unit, and  
93  $dI/dt$  the rate of appearance of new infections (Braslett and Gilligan, 1988).

94 The fundamental difference between primary and secondary infection is epidemiological.  
95 Primary infection is the invasion of the population of trees within the forest management or  
96 landscape-patch unit from an external source, e.g. an infected population of trees in another  
97 unit, and requires management at the boundary or beyond. Primary infections can also occur  
98 from a reservoir of inoculum in alternative hosts, or in soil or dead plant material when sites  
99 are replanted. Thus, the source is ‘external’ to the population under threat albeit occupying  
100 the same parcel of land. Secondary infection is transmission from currently infected trees  
101 within the unit’s population to its susceptible trees, driven by multiplication, dispersal and  
102 infection of inoculum. Hence management activity in that forest unit can influence secondary  
103 infection and reduce epidemic spread. The rates of primary and secondary infections in  
104 equation (1) capture the whole range of factors, including the susceptibility of individual  
105 trees to infection as well as the dispersal characteristics of the pathogen.

106 Fundamental to this paper is the recognition that resilience of a forest is linked to its response  
107 to invasions by forest pathogens. This response, in turn, is influenced by the management  
108 practices aimed at the prevention of such invasions, their control and, if control is  
109 unsuccessful, the mitigation of their effects. The modelling framework described above and  
110 its extensions, have successfully been used in describing spread and control of tree pathogens  
111 in forests (Macpherson et al., 2017a; Macpherson et al., 2018). However, application of such  
112 an approach to inform forest managers about how to increase forest resilience against future  
113 pathogen threats requires empirical evidence about the effects of forest management options  
114 on tree pathogen primary and secondary infection rates, and rates of forest recovery.

115 The key question in ecological and economic applications of the concept of resilience is  
116 “resilience of what, to what?” (Walker et al., 2010; Matsushita et al., 2018). The focus of this  
117 study is forests that are managed predominantly for timber production and in this case the  
118 most relevant concept of resilience is the one termed “engineering resilience”, which  
119 comprises two main components: “resistance” to the initial impact of a disturbance agent (in  
120 this case the invasion of a tree pathogen) and “recovery” towards the previous state or  
121 functioning of the forest ecosystem (Pimm, 1984; Holling, 1996; Grimm and Wissel, 1997;  
122 Newton and Cantarello, 2015). In the literature reviewed in our study, the measured response  
123 variables that give the best indication of “resistance” (at the scale of the forest ecosystem or  
124 stand) were level of individual tree infection or mortality, and the best indicators of  
125 “recovery” were rates of natural regeneration or stand-level growth rates of all surviving or  
126 subsequently established trees. We assume throughout the paper that managers are concerned  
127 with a single spatially contiguous unit of forest, because this is the scale at which most  
128 studies are carried out. However, the size of this unit may be highly variable, and we focus  
129 only on net increases or decreases in resilience. The majority of studies available for our  
130 review assumed that provisioning of timber was the ecosystem service of greatest importance  
131 resulting from the state or functioning of the forest.

132 The impacts of forest management on tree pathogens have been the subject of many recent  
 133 reviews. Each has tended to focus on a single pathogen, such as white pine blister rust  
 134 (*Cronartium ribicola*) (Schoettle and Sniezko, 2007; Hunt et al., 2010; Ostry et al., 2010;  
 135 Zeglen et al., 2010), *Phytophthora ramorum* (Valachovic et al., 2010), ash dieback  
 136 (*Hymenoscyphus fraxineus*) (Pautasso et al., 2013) or dothistroma needle blight (*Dothistroma*  
 137 *septosporum* and *D. pini*) (Bulman et al., 2016). As a result, the findings have been highly  
 138 variable, with recommendations largely dependent on the pathogen considered. Alternatively,  
 139 pathogens have been considered alongside insect pests (Waring and O'Hara, 2005; Liebhold  
 140 et al., 2017; Muzika, 2017) or other general threats to forest ecosystems (Jactel et al., 2009;  
 141 Jactel et al., 2017). Reviews focused on broader forest resistance to the threat of tree  
 142 pathogens have been limited to considering only the effect of tree species mixtures on the  
 143 spread of and damage caused by pathogens (Pautasso et al., 2005; Prospero and Cleary, 2017)  
 144 or of more general principles of interactions and ecosystems services (Boyd et al., 2014).  
 145 Overall, the local context of the forest and pathogen have been recognised as important in  
 146 directing management responses.

147 The objective of the current study is to synthesise the evidence for the effect of forest  
 148 management options on forest resilience to tree pathogens. The scope is broad, including all  
 149 of the main categories of forest management variables and all tree pathogen species.  
 150 However, animal pests, invasive plants and abiotic threats such as fire were excluded. A  
 151 second objective is to forge an explicit link between forest resilience, forest design or  
 152 silvicultural management practices and epi-economic modelling grounded in plant and tree  
 153 epidemiology (Macpherson et al., 2017a; Macpherson et al., 2017b; Macpherson et al., 2018).  
 154 Thus, we seek to assess the empirical evidence for the effect of each forest management  
 155 option on the three key elements of primary infection, secondary infection and subsequent  
 156 forest recovery, in order to inform forest management decision making to increase forest  
 157 resilience, and to identify the most important evidence gaps to motivate future research.

158

## 159 2 Methods

160 We carried out a literature review using a systematic search method to identify published  
 161 sources of empirical data on the relationship between forest management and resilience to  
 162 tree pathogens. We conducted an initial search of the peer-reviewed literature through Web  
 163 of Science, using search strings to identify papers on all of forest management, pests,  
 164 pathogens or disease, and resilience, excluding medical papers and those concerned with food  
 165 supply, using the Boolean search string of:

166 TS = ((((\*forest\* OR wood\* OR tree\*) AND (manage\*)) OR silvicult\*) AND (pest\*  
 167 OR disease\* OR pathogen\*) AND (exposure OR resist\* OR recover\* OR spread OR  
 168 risk OR suscept\* OR transmit\* OR dispers\* OR infect\*)) NOT (medicin\* OR clinic\*  
 169 OR pharma\* OR foodborne OR food-borne OR mycorrhizal OR biomedic\* OR  
 170 mosquito OR tick OR lyme\* OR malaria\*)) NOT SO=(medicin\* OR clinic\* OR  
 171 pharma\* OR biomedic\*) NOT WC=(medicin\* OR clinic\* OR pharma\* OR  
 172 biomedic\*)

173 This search (run on 27/06/2017) returned 3534 papers. The papers were screened first by  
 174 title, then abstract, and finally full text to identify papers reporting original empirical data on  
 175 the effects of forest management on tree diseases caused by pests or pathogens, which  
 176 retained 599 papers. We then excluded papers that only covered tree pests (362 papers), were

177 only concerned with tropical forests (85 papers) or orchards (235 papers), were concerned  
 178 with tree breeding (74 papers), or were entirely review (23 papers) or theoretical modelling  
 179 (21 paper) studies (note papers may be present in more than one category). Removal of tree  
 180 pests also included removing papers concerning insect vectors, because in the majority of  
 181 cases the distinction between direct damage and vectoring of a pathogen could not be  
 182 determined. This procedure retained 81 papers. As many forest management actions are not  
 183 reported in the published literature, searches were also run in TREESEARCH, the research  
 184 portal for the US Forest Service, using the following search string:

185           (disease OR fung\* OR pathogen OR bacteri\* OR oomycete OR virus) AND (((tree  
 186           OR forest\* OR wood) AND manage\*) OR silvicult\*)

187 and the UK Forestry Commission website, using the search string:

188           disease fung\* pathogen bacteria\* oomycete virus

189 Restrictions on the search terms for each search engine prevented identical searches from  
 190 being carried out. The search in TREESEARCH returned 158 documents, of which 12 were  
 191 identified as relevant and containing data. The Forestry Commission website returned 58  
 192 documents, of which three were identified as relevant and containing data. Together with  
 193 literature identified from the reference lists of retained papers and identified reviews (nine  
 194 papers), and further search terms added to account for fertilizer application (four papers), the  
 195 final reference list contained 114 papers and reports. This list was further refined to include  
 196 only papers whose reliability, robustness and applicability to forest management could be  
 197 assured. Studies which were purely descriptive, lab-based or considered only pathogen  
 198 presence, rather than impact, were excluded. The remaining 109 papers included within the  
 199 review were scored for strength of evidence based on whether they were correlative or  
 200 experimental, and whether single or multiple sites had been considered, within single or  
 201 multiple forests.

202 We organised papers by management technique, treating each technique reported within a  
 203 single paper independently, and then by pathogen type. We have included a broad range of  
 204 management options, including forest design planning, site preparation for forest  
 205 establishment, tree species diversity, silvicultural system and individual silvicultural actions.  
 206 These categories were not pre-determined but were decided through reading the papers.

207 To assess the outcome of each management technique we classified the results of each study  
 208 as strong positive or strong negative (relationship observed in all sites within the study), weak  
 209 positive or weak negative (relationship observed in at least one site within the study, with no  
 210 sites showing the opposite relationship), no relationship, or mixed (both positive and negative  
 211 relationships observed across sites). A technique was therefore considered to have an overall  
 212 positive or negative impact where multiple studies, or a robust single study across multiple  
 213 forests, found the same result, and there were no robust studies reporting a contradictory  
 214 result. If studies reported contradicting results, we considered the outcome of this technique  
 215 to be mixed unless the results were weighted heavily in one direction, and the contradicting  
 216 study was considered to be of low robustness. Where only a limited number of studies was  
 217 available this was identified as a weakness in our conclusions. A fuller description of this  
 218 critical appraisal of the studies is provided in the Supplementary Material, together with the  
 219 full outputs of the search and critical appraisal in the table 'All\_studies\_classification'.

220 The large variation in tree species, pathogens, and management techniques considered, as  
221 well as limited reporting of the particulars of management, prevented us from conducting a  
222 formal meta-analysis.

223

### 224 **3 General trends**

225 Our review of the literature revealed a clear bias towards a small number of highly damaging  
226 pathogens. Studies into *Armillaria* and *Phytophthora* species comprised 35% of all studies  
227 identified (14% and 21% respectively), and we found no studies on the effects of forest  
228 management on bacteria or viruses. Studies mainly covered commercially valuable host tree  
229 species, with equal coverage of conifers and broadleaves (Table 1).

230 A geographical bias was also evident, with 57% of studies based in North America, and  
231 California and Oregon coastal forests alone accounting for 23%. The majority of the  
232 remaining papers originate from Europe (23%), with eight papers from Oceania and two from  
233 Asia. Studies reporting only from natural tropical forests had previously been excluded. Only  
234 a single paper (Cleary et al., 2013) reported results from more than one region (Table 1).

235 The response variables most commonly reported were mortality, disease incidence and  
236 disease severity. The only indicator of forest recovery (defined in the Introduction) that was  
237 widely reported was of subsequent tree growth rate, though this was often only measured  
238 over the short-term. There was minimal reporting of rates of tree natural regeneration. Studies  
239 generally reported outcomes in terms of symptoms of forest disease, and few papers  
240 considered the mechanisms connecting forest management to these outcomes. The  
241 distribution of studies amongst each forest management variable and each response variable  
242 is summarised in Table 2.

243

### 244 **4 Identification and management of sources of primary infection**

245 As explained above, the concept of primary infection (cf. Eq. (1)) captures the pathways by  
246 which the pathogen enters the forest unit of interest. These primary infections can occur from  
247 other forest units, for example by wind or water movement of inoculum, from alternative  
248 hosts, by movement on machinery and other human-mediated activities, or by transmission  
249 from soil inoculum.

250

#### 251 **4.1 Connectivity**

252 The importance of connectivity for the conservation of forest ecosystems at a landscape scale  
253 is well recognised (Lindenmayer et al., 2006). However, connectivity can increase the risk of  
254 transmission of infection from one forest patch or unit to another, including from outside the  
255 region of interest. For tree pathogens connectivity does not just refer to spatial proximity, but  
256 also any connection through which inoculum may spread to a forest unit, such as via streams,  
257 wind, fog, animal (e.g. insects, fur, feathers) or human vectors (e.g. vehicles, recreation). The  
258 scale at which connectivity is important also varies with dispersal mechanism, and can be  
259 large in the case of flying animal and vehicle vectors. These ‘least cost’ (resistance), but not

260 necessarily shortest-distance, pathways have been shown to be important in models  
 261 accounting for the spread of pathogens (Ellis et al., 2010).

262 The impacts of connectivity on tree diseases have predominantly been studied in coastal  
 263 forests of California and Oregon (USA). Total forest area within a landscape, correlated with  
 264 connectivity, predicted increases in incidence (Meentemeyer et al., 2008a) and severity of *P.*  
 265 *ramorum* in *Notholithocarpus densiflorus* (tanoak) (Haas 2011) and *Umbellularia californica*  
 266 (California bay laurel) (Condeso, 2007; Meentemeyer et al., 2008a; Meentemeyer et al.,  
 267 2008b; Haas, 2011). Disease was considered only in these species because they represent the  
 268 most prevalent hosts for *P. ramorum* within this landscape. *Phytophthora ramorum* incidence  
 269 increased closer to streams in one site, suggesting that streams are also an important  
 270 connectivity pathway for dispersal of this pathogen, though this relationship appears to  
 271 depend on site topography and fog movement (Peterson et al., 2014). Connectivity through  
 272 human vectors was related to higher concentrations of *P. ramorum* being isolated in soil from  
 273 sites surrounded by larger human populations (Cushman and Meentemeyer, 2008), and  
 274 increased mortality of *Chamaecyparis lawsoniana* (Port Orford cedar) caused by  
 275 *Phytophthora lateralis* was found in sites intersected by a road (Jules et al., 2002).  
 276 *Phytophthora lateralis* has been isolated from water used to wash vehicles and boots,  
 277 providing further evidence of the importance of human vectors to the spread of this pathogen  
 278 (Goheen et al., 2012). Within California and Oregon coastal forests, black stain root disease  
 279 (*Leptographium wageneri*) is also concentrated at the roadside (Hessburg, 2001).

280 Forest connectivity via spatial proximity (Condeso, 2007; Meentemeyer et al., 2008a; Ellis et  
 281 al., 2010; Haas, 2011), streams (Peterson et al., 2014; Havdova, 2017), or roads (Hessburg,  
 282 2001; Jules et al., 2002; Goheen et al., 2012) shows a consistent positive relationship with  
 283 disease incidence and severity compared with less well connected forests. However, the  
 284 limited geographical range of these studies and their predominant focus on pathogen spread  
 285 through soil restricts their applicability to other systems. There is also little mention of the  
 286 effect of land cover in the matrix between forest patches that could affect pathogen dispersal.  
 287 When addressing the increased risk of pathogen infection due to high connectivity, forest  
 288 managers must also balance the extensive benefits that connected forests can have for  
 289 biodiversity and some ecosystem services against increased vulnerability to disease  
 290 (Lindenmayer et al., 2006). Future studies should identify and quantify pathogen  
 291 transmission along different types of pathway connecting forest units and via different  
 292 vectors in order to assess risks. This should help selection of management strategies to reduce  
 293 the risk of primary infection of forest units.

294

## 295 **4.2 Previous land use**

296 Many tree pathogens, in particular root rots, can persist in soils following tree felling. Siting  
 297 new plantations on previously forested sites may therefore increase the risk of infection due  
 298 to high inoculum load in the soil. Here the soil acts as an ‘external’ reservoir of inoculum for  
 299 primary infection to initiate an epidemic in a newly planted tree population. However,  
 300 research into the effects of previous land use is limited, due to the relative rarity of studies  
 301 into forests established on previously non-forest land.

302 Naturally occurring *U. californica* trees on former grassland sites within *Quercus* forests in  
 303 northern California had lower incidence of *P. ramorum* than *U. californica* growing in long-  
 304 term forest areas (Meentemeyer et al., 2008a). However, this relationship was not reflected in

305 Italian *Abies alba* (silver fir) plantations, where *Heterobasidion annosum* infection rate was  
 306 significantly higher in forests established on former pastureland. Although *A. alba* planted on  
 307 former pastureland was expected to be exposed to lower inoculum load, these trees were less  
 308 healthy due to exposure to adverse environmental conditions resulting from previous  
 309 intensive land use, potentially increasing susceptibility to disease (Puddu et al., 2003).

310 While previous land use could be expected to affect forest resilience to tree diseases, research  
 311 on this is rare, and the findings amongst published studies are not consistent. This is likely to  
 312 be due to the large variation in previous land use types, and particulars of previous land  
 313 management, amongst the studies. However, such research is likely to increase in relevance  
 314 for rotational forest systems, where the previous species planted in the unit may be  
 315 considered. In some countries, including the UK, new forests are also being planted on land  
 316 not forested in recent history in order to increase carbon capture in response to climate  
 317 change, and natural tree regeneration is occurring due to abandonment of agricultural land,  
 318 (Poyatos et al., 2002).

319

### 320 4.3 Site preparation

321 Site preparation methods can either introduce pathogens into an area where they were not  
 322 previously found or reduce forest resistance to primary infection and hence increase the  
 323 initiation of local disease spread. Previously felled sites contain remnant stumps, root  
 324 fragments and brash, which may be a source of primary infection through spread of  
 325 pathogens over time (from a previous tree population to a new one). Nonetheless, stumps  
 326 resulting from thinning or partial cutting can also act as a source of secondary infection  
 327 within the current tree population. Although this coarse woody debris is important for forest  
 328 biodiversity more generally (Hartley, 2002), it acts as a reservoir for many pests and  
 329 pathogens, increasing exposure of newly established trees to inoculum. Stumps can also  
 330 provide a nutrient source for inoculum of pathogens with saprotrophic activity. In response to  
 331 this risk, site preparation may include stump removal or chemical treatment, in some cases  
 332 accompanied by removal of part of the root system through raking. The origin of stumps can  
 333 also be important for identifying the risks posed, with clearcut stumps having lower infection  
 334 rates than stumps resulting from thinning (Bendz-Hellgren and Stenlid, 1998). Burning  
 335 presents an alternative option to remove woody debris or reduce pathogen survival, but has  
 336 other risks and environmental costs, such as to habitat quality or native fauna, or it can in fact  
 337 increase disease incidence in the case of *Rhizina undulata* (Wingfield and Swart, 1994). In  
 338 some cases site preparation also includes application of fertilizer, which may reduce impacts  
 339 of pathogens on tree health through increasing tree nutrient concentrations, especially of  
 340 calcium and magnesium (Anglberger and Halmschlager, 2003; Halmschlager and  
 341 Katzensteiner, 2017). However, fertilizer application (especially of nitrogen and phosphorus)  
 342 that increases tree growth rate can lead to nutrient imbalances that increase susceptibility to  
 343 pathogens (Jactel et al. 2009).

344 Root rots have the highest potential for management through stump treatment and have  
 345 unsurprisingly been the subject of the greatest number of studies. Methods of stump  
 346 treatment may be physical or chemical. Infection of forest stands by *H. annosum* has long  
 347 been known to be increased by any tree felling resulting in cut stumps that are susceptible to  
 348 colonization from air-borne basidiospores (Woodward et al., 1998). The most extensive study  
 349 of stump removal incorporated five sites from Canada and Scandinavia subject to infection  
 350 by the conifer root rot pathogens *H. annosum* sensu lato (*s.l.*), *Armillaria ostoyae* and

351 laminated root rot (*Phellinus sulphurascens*). The severity of infection and its contribution to  
352 mortality were monitored in stands that had been subject to removal of stumps, either as part  
353 of the whole tree or in a separate operation following felling, with raking to remove larger  
354 roots occurring in one site, compared with controls where no stump removal took place  
355 (Cleary et al., 2013). Stump removal was clearly associated with reduced disease incidence  
356 and tree mortality up to 21-50 years after treatment. One of these sites was then studied in  
357 more detail by Morrison et al. (2014), who confirmed that over 40 years after treatment,  
358 stump removal had reduced the rate of mortality in the next rotation of trees by 14% across  
359 all species. Notably, for *Pseudotsuga menziesii* (Douglas fir), *Pinus contorta* var. *latifolia*  
360 (lodgepole pine), *Larix occidentalis* (western larch), *Thuja plicata* (western red cedar) and  
361 *Picea engelmannii* (Engelmann spruce), stump removal reduced the mortality rate due to *A.*  
362 *ostoyae* and completely eliminated the occurrence of mortality in *P. menziesii* due to *P.*  
363 *sulphurascens*. These findings support those of a previous study of *P. menziesii* further south  
364 in Washington State and Oregon, where mortality due to *P. sulphurascens* was significantly  
365 reduced by pre-planting stump removal (Thies and Westlind, 2005).

366 There has been a long history of incidence of *H. annosum* in the plantations of *Pinus*  
367 *sylvestris* (Scots pine) and *Pinus nigra* ssp. *laricio* (Corsican pine) in Thetford Forest, UK. A  
368 series of experiments showed that colonization of stumps by air-borne basidiospores of *H.*  
369 *annosum* can be greatly reduced by stump treatment with spores of *Phlebiopsis gigantea*, a  
370 non-pathogenic basidiomycete. However, a series of long-term experiments have shown that  
371 only stump removal achieves adequate reduction in mortality into the second plantation  
372 rotation (Gibbs, 2002). The importance of removing stumps was further supported in studies  
373 of *P. sylvestris* infected by *H. annosum* in Sweden, where infection rate was higher in trees  
374 closer to infected stumps (Swedjemark and Stenlid, 1993). In contrast, in New Zealand *Pinus*  
375 *radiata* plantations infected by *Armillaria novae-zelandiae*, while inoculum load in stumps  
376 was high, this did not lead to greater infection within the forest after 19 years compared with  
377 forests where stumps were removed (Hood et al., 2002; Hood and Kimberley, 2009).

378 In addition to retained stumps, root fragments from felled trees can act as reservoirs of  
379 pathogen inoculum. Few studies have reported beneficial effects of root removal, although  
380 Shaw et al. (2012) found that greater intensity or thoroughness of removal of roots that acted  
381 as a source of *A. ostoyae* inoculum did significantly reduce the incidence of infection and  
382 resultant mortality in *Pinus ponderosa* after 35 years, though the study concluded that its  
383 benefits are unlikely to exceed its costs. In contrast, several studies have reported increases in  
384 infection following root removal. Negative impacts of mechanical root removal treatments  
385 can occur through increasing the dispersal of pathogen inoculum. Root raking has been found  
386 to move infected root fragments closer to the soil surface, although this was not associated  
387 with increased infection rates (Morrison et al., 1988; Morrison et al., 2014). The incidence of  
388 western gall rust was found to be positively associated with sites that had undergone a variety  
389 of methods of mechanical site preparation to disrupt slash, forest floor and mineral soil  
390 layers, compared with control sites (Roach et al., 2015). Similar results were observed in  
391 *Castanea dentata* (American chestnut) infected by chestnut blight (*Cryphonectria*  
392 *parasitica*). In a study of plantations on reclaimed mine land in Ohio, USA, the site  
393 preparation treatments of deep ripping to 1 m depth resulted in a higher incidence of chestnut  
394 blight cankers on seedlings than in those plots ploughed and disked to 30 cm depth, though  
395 this still exceeded the incidence of cankers for trees in control plots (Bauman et al., 2014).

396 Prescribed or natural fire reduces pre-planting inoculum load through either burning of  
397 stumps, root fragments and woody debris, or through killing of the pathogen due to high

398 temperatures. However, results from burning are not consistent. Naturally occurring fires in  
 399 Californian coastal redwood and mixed-evergreen forests led to reduced isolation rates of *P.*  
 400 *ramorum* in symptomatic trees for one and two years following fire, however incidence of the  
 401 pathogen increased by the second year, associated with the number of surviving symptomatic  
 402 *U. californica* trees which acted as an inoculum reservoir (Beh et al., 2012). A prescribed  
 403 burn treatment in a *Pinus palustris* (longleaf pine) forest in South Carolina, USA, was  
 404 associated with increased mortality through *H. annosum* after 8-10 years, linked to reductions  
 405 in tree health caused by the fire (Cram, 2010). Varied burning regimes in conifer forests in  
 406 Ontario, Canada, had no impact on *Armillaria* sp. root rot (Whitney and Irwin, 2005).

407 Fertilizer application has mixed impacts on disease severity. Increased damage by twisting  
 408 rust fungus (*Melampsora pinitorqua*) was found on *Pinus pinaster* (maritime pine) that had  
 409 been fertilized with phosphorus compared with no fertilizer controls (Desprez-Loustau et al.,  
 410 2016). However fertilization of *Picea abies* (Norway spruce) did show a reduction in severity  
 411 of infection by *Sirococcus* shoot blight (*Sirococcus conigenus*), linked to improved tree  
 412 health (Anglberger and Halmschlager, 2003; Halmschlager and Katzensteiner, 2017). In *P.*  
 413 *menziesii* seedlings fertilization with potassium and nitrogen had no impact on mortality due  
 414 to laminated root rot (*Phellinus weirii*) (Thies et al., 2006), and potassium, nitrogen and  
 415 sulphur fertilizers had not impact on *Armillaria* spp. root disease in mixed conifer forests in  
 416 Oregon (Filip et al., 2002).

417 Overall, removal or treatment of tree stumps as a source or receptor of pathogen inoculum  
 418 has a positive effect on forest resilience to tree disease, through reduced infection of trees  
 419 retained on the site or newly planted trees. However, studies are concentrated on root rots.  
 420 Stumps, and other dead wood material, are also known to be important in survival of  
 421 populations of a number of invertebrate forest pests, and there is an evidence gap about their  
 422 significance as a source of inoculum of a wider range of pathogens with airborne spores that  
 423 infect the shoots of trees. We found that studies of root fragment removal and burning give  
 424 more mixed results and are under-researched. A future research priority is to assess the trade-  
 425 offs between reducing inoculum levels using such treatments and the damage they cause to  
 426 retained trees (e.g. through wounding), which can increase their susceptibility to infection.

427

## 428 **5 Management of sources of secondary infection**

429 Secondary infection (cf. eq. (1)) refers to transmission of a pathogen between trees within a  
 430 region of interest (forest unit). Secondary infection therefore captures the direct transmission  
 431 component of epidemics that depends upon the number of currently infected individuals.  
 432 Although this typically relates to an outbreak situation between trees of a similar age, of  
 433 particular concern for forest management is secondary infection from mature trees to  
 434 seedlings, often planted to form the crop in the next forest rotation. Actions that increase  
 435 environmental stress on a tree, thus reducing its vigor, are also likely to increase the rate of  
 436 secondary infection.

437

### 438 **5.1 Tree species mixture and diversity**

439 Effects of tree species mixture, i.e. planting two or more species rather than a monoculture, or  
 440 increasing tree species diversity, i.e. through the number of species planted together or as an

441 indirect result of other silvicultural actions, on forest resilience to tree diseases have been  
442 extensively studied, with good coverage of both tree and pathogen species in sites across  
443 Europe and North America. Tree species diversity effects have been the subject of recent  
444 review papers. These recognise that greater diversity is associated with decreased tree  
445 mortality caused by pests and pathogens, identifying reduced access to hosts and increased  
446 distance between hosts as potential mechanisms for reducing secondary transmission as an  
447 epidemic progresses (Pautasso et al., 2005; Bauhus et al., 2017; Jactel et al., 2017; Prospero  
448 and Cleary, 2017), as well as potentially providing habitat for more species that deliver  
449 natural biocontrol (Bauhus et al. 2017). However, such results may be context-specific  
450 (Heybroek, 1982), and depend on whether the invading pathogen is a host specialist or  
451 generalist. We have included within this section observational studies of variation in  
452 pathogen incidence with tree species diversity as well as experimental studies with species  
453 mixtures. Their coverage is summarised in more detail in Tables 2 and 3. Provenance and  
454 breeding of trees for resistance is also an important aspect of forest resilience to tree  
455 pathogens, but was outside the scope of this review.

456 There is general agreement across studies that increases in tree species diversity are  
457 associated with an increase in forest resilience with respect to invasive pathogens. In sites  
458 across Europe, more diverse forests were associated with lower levels of disease incidence  
459 (Nguyen et al., 2017). These findings are supported by experimental units of broadleaf trees  
460 in Germany, where fungal infections of the most susceptible tree species were reduced as  
461 species diversity increased (Hantsch et al., 2014a). This pattern was also recorded in studies  
462 in North America, where higher tree diversity was associated with lower occurrence of  
463 *Fusarium* sp. canker in *Acer saccharum* (sugar maple) (Bergdahl et al., 2002), and higher tree  
464 species diversity was linked to a lower incidence of *P. ramorum* in Californian oak forests  
465 (Haas, 2011; Haas et al., 2016). Stands with higher tree diversity were also found to have  
466 lower mortality rates of *P. menziesii* caused by *P. ramourm* (Ramage et al., 2012). In an  
467 experiment in Germany, planted with individual tree-scale species combinations in 5 x 5 m  
468 plots, high tree species diversity in the same plot reduced the amounts of fungal infection in  
469 trees of *Quercus petraea* (sessile oak) (Hantsch et al., 2014a). Only a single study  
470 contradicted these results, showing in Spain and Italy that *Armillaria* spp. presence and  
471 abundance in pure *A. alba* stands was lower than in mixed-species stands (Oliva et al., 2009).

472 Diversity amongst clones in monocultures can also affect resilience to tree disease. Willow  
473 rust (*Melampsora epitea*) causes decline in many short rotation *Salix* spp. (willow) crops. In  
474 an experiment in Northern Ireland, UK, McCracken and Dawson (1998) found that  
475 increasing the diversity of clones reduced mortality due to rust in the most susceptible clones  
476 only, but no further improvements in survival were seen when moving from 5- to 20-clone  
477 mixtures. Mixed-clone sites were also found to have later onset of disease during the first  
478 four years of growth, but showed no difference after this time (McCracken and Dawson,  
479 1997). However, following observations of a subsequent experiment over two three-year  
480 harvesting cycles, Begley et al. (2009) found no consistent effects of mixtures on reducing *M.*  
481 *epitea* on the most susceptible *Salix* genotype. They concluded that any benefit of mixture  
482 planting will be dependent on there being sufficient genetic diversity between the genotypes.

483 An important mechanism cited for the benefit of species mixture is the dilution of trees of  
484 species susceptible to a given pathogen by individuals of non-host species. However,  
485 experimental results of this effect are variable, and the specific composition of species in a  
486 mixture is found to be important. In an experimental study in Minnesota with seedlings of six  
487 conifer and four hardwood tree species planted in three mixtures differing in the proportion

488 of individual tree species, the relative proportion of susceptible conifers or resistant  
 489 broadleaves had a significant effect on mortality associated with *Armillaria* sp. root infection  
 490 (Gerlach, Reich et al. 1997). These findings were supported by longer-term experiments in  
 491 British Columbia, in which *P. contorta* var. *latifolia* had lower mortality, due predominantly  
 492 to *A. ostoyae*, when grown in mixture with *Betula papyrifera* (paper birch) and *T. plicata*  
 493 both of which have low susceptibility to this pathogen (Morrison et al., 2014). However, no  
 494 benefit was found when growing it in mixture with the highly susceptible host species *P.*  
 495 *menziesii*. In contrast, for 30-year-old *P. menziesii* no reduction in root pathogen-caused  
 496 mortality rate was found from growing it in mixture with non-host species. Also in British  
 497 Columbia, experimental removal of naturally regenerated broadleaves *B. papyrifera* and  
 498 *Populus tremuloides* (quaking aspen) generally caused a 1.5- to 4-fold increase in mortality  
 499 of planted *P. menziesii* for 3–5 years due to *A. ostoyae* infection, though the effect depended  
 500 on removal method (Gerlach et al., 1997; Baleshta et al., 2005; Simard et al., 2005). In  
 501 Southwest Lapland and in North Karelia, Finland, modelling of observational data showed  
 502 that the incidence of *M. pinitorqua* on young *P. sylvestris* was greater in the presence of both  
 503 *Populus tremula* (aspen, also a host species), and *Salix* spp. (willows, not known to be host  
 504 species but said to be indicators of higher soil moisture and fertility) (Mattila et al., 2001;  
 505 Mattila, 2002).

506 There is variation amongst conifer species in their susceptibility to *H. annosum* butt rot, and  
 507 in southern Sweden the presence of less susceptible *P. sylvestris* was found to decrease the  
 508 incidence of this pathogen in trees of the more susceptible *P. abies* (Linden and Vollbrecht,  
 509 2002). This effect increased notably up to a relative abundance of 50% of *P. sylvestris* but not  
 510 above that proportion. Experimental plantings in Germany showed that, overall, tree species  
 511 diversity in mixtures of 30 mono-specific 8 x 8 m sub-plots reduced the level of foliar  
 512 pathogen infestation of susceptible *Quercus* spp. by *Erysiphe alphitoides* and *E. hypophylla*  
 513 (powdery mildew) at the plot level (Hantsch, 2013). The presence of the highly susceptible  
 514 *Quercus* spp. increased the plot-level pathogen load but resistant species such as *P. abies*  
 515 decreased it.

516 Variation in the impacts of tree diversity on resistance to tree pathogens is likely related to  
 517 tree species identity in the same forest unit (on a scale from individual adjacent trees up to ca.  
 518 50 m), with tree characteristics beyond simply host or non-host being important. Indeed, this  
 519 may also be the main driver of any detected effects of species diversity within forest stands.  
 520 Severity of infection of *Fraxinus* spp. to the pathogen *H. fraxineus* was highest in the  
 521 presence of *Quercus robur* (pedunculate oak) and lowest in the presence of *Acer* spp. and  
 522 *Abies* spp., both non-hosts. However, this result from an observational study of forests across  
 523 the Czech Republic does not prove causation by the tree species themselves, but may be  
 524 linked to variation in site environments (Havdova, 2017). In the rigorously-designed planted  
 525 experiment studied by Hantsch et al. (2013), for trees of *Tilia cordata* (small-leaved lime)  
 526 both fungal species richness and infestation level (predominantly of *Passalora microsora* and  
 527 *Asteromella tiliae*) were reduced by tree diversity in the plot. For non-host tree species the  
 528 effect of their proportion in the plot on infestation level in *T. cordata* varied from  
 529 significantly positive (for *Fagus sylvatica*, European beech) to negative (for *P. sylvestris* and  
 530 in some years for *P. abies*). Similarly, infestation of the leaves of *Q. petraea* increased with  
 531 the proportion of *Fraxinus excelsior* (European ash) in the plot and decreased with *P. abies*.  
 532 In a rehabilitated bauxite mine site in Western Australia, experimental mixed planting with  
 533 non-host species reduced mortality of the susceptible host tree species *Banksia grandis*  
 534 caused by soil inoculation with the pathogen *Phytophthora cinnamomi* only when grown in  
 535 mixture with *Acacia pulchella*, but not with four other non-host *Acacia* species (D'Souza et

536 al., 2004). The mechanism was suggested to be that mixture with *A. pulchella* reduced the  
 537 soil inoculum level. These results provide strong evidence of the importance of tree species-  
 538 identity effects.

539 In general, higher tree diversity improves forest resilience to tree diseases. However, a major  
 540 mechanism in this effect has been found to be linked to the identity of the tree species present  
 541 (i.e. species composition). Highly susceptible species show the largest reductions in pathogen  
 542 presence and impact with increases in tree diversity. There is also evidence that greater  
 543 benefit for such susceptible tree species can be obtained if they are mixed with trees not  
 544 susceptible to the pathogen. It is important that future experiments are designed in a way that  
 545 allows separation of effects due to species identity from those due to species diversity *per se*  
 546 through careful consideration of species and mixtures tested. There would also be benefit in  
 547 future studies determining the role of alternative mechanisms causing species mixture or  
 548 diversity effects on pathogen infection, such as relative levels of inter- and intra-specific  
 549 competition and their impacts on tree condition. While the resilience of mixed-species forests  
 550 to individual host-specific tree pathogens may increase at the whole-forest ecosystem scale,  
 551 the sum of components of these ecosystems are vulnerable to a larger number of tree  
 552 pathogens than is the case for single-species forests. More work needs to be done to  
 553 understand how the dynamics of different classes of pathogen affect the trade-off associated  
 554 with the epidemiological risks and benefits of mixed cropping at different scales. Mixed-  
 555 species forests generally increase the costs and complexity of management. Therefore, in  
 556 reaching evidence-based forest management decisions, biological and ecological  
 557 considerations need to be combined with economic analysis that explicitly considers the  
 558 multiple costs and benefits over time of alternative management responses to invasive  
 559 pathogens.

560

## 561 **5.2 Tree establishment under different silvicultural systems**

562 For many tree species, planting or natural regeneration under shelterwood leads to better  
 563 establishment than in open conditions (e.g. after clearcutting) (Raymond and Bédard, 2017).  
 564 This form of silviculture can also be beneficial for plant (Hannerz and Hanell, 1997) and bird  
 565 (King and DeGraaf, 2000) biodiversity. Increased vigor of trees grown in shelterwood sites  
 566 may increase resistance to tree disease, however the retained canopy trees may also act as a  
 567 source of inoculum.

568 Across studies, the relative incidence of pathogen infection between shelterwood and clearcut  
 569 sites shows high variation even within sites, and between tree and pathogen species. In study  
 570 locations across the USA, *Pinus strobus* (eastern white pine) experienced either increase  
 571 (Ostry, 2000), decrease (Katovich et al., 2004) or no change (Katovich et al., 2004) in  
 572 incidence of *C. ribicola* when grown in shelterwood compared with clearcut sites. Across the  
 573 same locations, *Armillaria* sp. root rot of *P. strobus* was less common in shelterwood than in  
 574 clearcut sites (Ostry, 2000). A history of clearcutting increased the incidence of *Neonectria*  
 575 *ditissima* in trees of *Betula* spp. (birch) (Ward et al., 2010).

576 Amongst selection or retention systems, the size of felling gap has been suggested to  
 577 influence forest resilience to tree diseases, but it is acknowledged that this varies with tree  
 578 and pathogen species. The most rigorous experimental study was carried out in a pine forest  
 579 in Minnesota (USA), in which *Pinus resinosa*, *P. strobus* and *P. banksiana* (red, eastern  
 580 white and jack pine) were planted within felling gaps of 0.3 ha and 0.1 ha, within plots with

581 evenly-spaced retained overstorey trees or in an unfelled control. Incidence of shoot blight  
 582 (predominantly *Diplodia pinea*) in dead seedlings was significantly less in the 0.3 ha gap  
 583 treatment than in the control for both *P. strobus* and *P. banksiana*, but not for *P. resinosa*. In  
 584 contrast, *Armillaria solidipes* incidence was significantly greater in one or both of the two  
 585 gap sizes than the control for all three tree species. Gall rust (likely caused by *Cronartium*  
 586 *quercuum* f. sp. *banksianae*) was observed only in *P. banksiana*, and its incidence was  
 587 significantly greater in the small gaps than the control (Ostry et al., 2012). In no cases were  
 588 any significant differences in pathogen incidence found amongst the two gap sizes and the  
 589 evenly-spaced retention systems. Similar variability in response to silvicultural treatments  
 590 was seen in an experimental study of *Pinus radiata* (Monterey pine) forest infected by  
 591 *Fusarium circinatum* (a causal organism of pitch canker) in California. Here, incidence of the  
 592 disease was greater in seedlings growing in intermediate-size (0.10 ha) gaps than in smaller  
 593 (0.05 ha) or larger (0.20 ha) gaps (Ferchaw et al., 2013). However, gap size was not found to  
 594 affect the odds of seedling survival. Tree position with respect to a long-term forest edge has  
 595 been found to influence crown dieback caused by the pathogen *H. fraxineus* in retained trees  
 596 of *F. excelsior* in an observational study following a tree harvest in Estonia (Rosenvald et al.,  
 597 2015). The level of dieback and mortality resulting from *H. fraxineus* was less for trees  
 598 adjacent to the pre-existing forest edge than those in the centre of the harvest gap. Such  
 599 effects of tree position need to be considered in studies of other pathogens and locations.

600 Understanding of the impacts of the range of alternative silvicultural systems on forest  
 601 resilience to tree diseases is poor, with relatively few studies. It is not surprising that the  
 602 available evidence shows little consistency across pathogen and tree species, given the wide  
 603 variation in their transmission pathways and modes of infection. Transmission to seedlings of  
 604 pathogens that spread via root contact is expected to be greater in shelterwood or other even-  
 605 retention or small-gap selection systems. This effect may be less so for pathogens that  
 606 disperse via airborne or water dispersal. Similarly, amongst trees, light-demanding species  
 607 that show greatest vigor in open clearcut or large gap sites are likely to be less susceptible to  
 608 infection in such site conditions. In contrast, more shade-tolerant species may be less  
 609 susceptible in shelterwood or small gap systems where they are less vulnerable to  
 610 environmental stress. However, such deductions, and in particular the net effects of any  
 611 trade-offs between the effect of site conditions on the rate of pathogen infection and on the  
 612 level of seedling vigor, need further empirical research. It can be expected that the net  
 613 outcome will vary amongst tree and pathogen species.

614 Individual silvicultural systems differ from each other in several different component  
 615 silvicultural operations and resulting stand conditions, which are addressed in turn in the  
 616 sections below.

617

### 618 **5.3 Canopy cover**

619 Differences in forest canopy cover at different stages of the forest growth cycle is one of the  
 620 obvious distinctions amongst different silvicultural systems. It is also influenced by decisions  
 621 over specific silvicultural operations, e.g. tree species selection, planting density and  
 622 thinning. Canopy cover affects microclimate, solar irradiation and air flow, all of which can  
 623 alter the survival and dispersal of pathogens within a forest. Although it could not be  
 624 distinguished as a separate effect in the reviewed literature, canopy cover would also be  
 625 expected to affect movement of animal vectors of disease. We found only three studies  
 626 explicitly investigating the impacts of canopy cover and their results conformed to the

627 expectation for the different types of pathogen species, given that greater canopy cover is  
 628 associated with higher air humidity, but lower sub-canopy wind speeds. Two studies in  
 629 California mixed evergreen forest found a positive relationship between canopy cover and  
 630 severity of infection by *P. ramorum*, a species whose dispersal and colonization is dependent  
 631 on high humidity (Condeso, 2007; Ellis et al., 2010). In contrast, in British Columbia, *C.*  
 632 *ribicola*, a species whose spores can disperse successfully through dry air, was reduced in  
 633 sites with higher canopy cover (Campbell, 2000). As the effects of canopy cover clearly  
 634 differ so much between different species it is not possible to draw conclusions across tree  
 635 pathogens in general. In order to provide a stronger evidence base for the relative effect of  
 636 different silvicultural systems in limiting the rate of secondary infection of tree pathogens,  
 637 new research into the mechanisms by which canopy cover alters pathogen dispersal and  
 638 infection is a high priority.

639

#### 640 **5.4 Tree density**

641 High tree density reduces the distance between potential host individuals and would therefore  
 642 be expected to increase rates of pathogen spread by secondary infection within a forest. This  
 643 effect is likely to vary among pathogen species, with a greater effect seen for pathogens that  
 644 spread via root contact than for those with only airborne dispersal. Dispersal via animal  
 645 vectors is also likely to be affected by tree density, though this could not be distinguished as a  
 646 separate effect in the reviewed literature. Variation in total tree density can result from many  
 647 causes, e.g. initial density of planting or natural regeneration or reduction in density due to  
 648 intensity of thinning or other forms of selective felling. Reduction in density of individual  
 649 host species can occur as a result of mixture with other species (reviewed in section 5.1).  
 650 Studies that reported on the effects of thinning as an operation are reviewed in the following  
 651 section (5.5).

652 We found only one study testing the relationship between tree density and the incidence of a  
 653 pathogen species that spreads through root contact. In Minnesota, USA, broadleaf and conifer  
 654 seedlings were planted in several species mixtures in recently logged sites at four different  
 655 densities, ranging from 0.25 to 2 m spacing. In this study the effect of closer spacing on  
 656 mortality was not significant (Gerlach et al., 1997). Airborne pathogens have been subject to  
 657 much more extensive study. The intensity of *P. ramorum* infection increased in an  
 658 observational study of mixed evergreen stands in California with higher densities of the three  
 659 primary host species (Dillon et al., 2014). This positive relationship between tree density and  
 660 pathogen incidence or impact has been observed for a range of other tree and airborne  
 661 pathogen species and locations, including crown dieback of *F. excelsior* due to *H. fraxineus*  
 662 in forests across the Czech Republic (Havdova, 2017), mortality of *P. sylvestris* due to snow  
 663 blight (*Phacidium infestans*) in Sweden (Burdon et al., 1992), and infection level by *M.*  
 664 *pinitorqua* of both *P. sylvestris* in Southwest Lapland and in Northern Karelia, Finland  
 665 (Mattila et al. 2001, Mattila, 2002), and *P. pinaster* in France (DesprezLoustau and Wagner,  
 666 1997). However a number of other studies find no relationship between pathogen incidence  
 667 and tree density (McCracken and Dawson, 1998; Bishaw et al., 2003; Piirto and Valkonen,  
 668 2005).

669 High tree densities increase susceptibility to a broad range of tree pathogens, both those  
 670 spread by root contact and airborne spores, although this effect is not universal, with many  
 671 studies showing no relationship. It is likely that the relationship between tree density and  
 672 pathogen prevalence is not linear but characterised by thresholds at both low and high

673 densities. For most pathogen species forests with a high load are unlikely to see changes in  
 674 pathogen spread through reduction in tree density, as the probability of secondary infection is  
 675 likely to remain high even with relatively large distances between trees. Similarly, once  
 676 distance between trees exceeds the normal dispersal distance of a pathogen, further increases  
 677 in distance would be expected to have a smaller effect. We found no clear evidence of effects  
 678 of forest structure per se, though many studies did report on the progression of disease during  
 679 the development of planted stands. Priorities for future applied research would be to improve  
 680 understanding of the mechanisms of tree density effects and identify thresholds in tree  
 681 density related to pathogen load. In considering the role of tree density as a factor in species  
 682 diversity effects on susceptibility to pathogens, an important source of evidence from future  
 683 research would be to distinguish the influence of absolute tree density from that of the  
 684 relative density of individual species and from the effect of forest structure (e.g. tree size  
 685 heterogeneity). Thus, research should specifically compare the effects of reducing host  
 686 species density by increased spacing in monoculture versus dilution by planting in mixture  
 687 with non-host species.

688

## 689 5.5 Thinning

690 Thinning may be carried out as a planned action to increase production of the highest value  
 691 timber from a forest, to improve other components of stand condition, or in response to  
 692 damaging disturbance events, including tree pathogen outbreaks. In the latter case, thinning  
 693 can take the form of salvage cutting, where dead or dying trees are removed, or sanitation  
 694 cutting, which targets trees highly susceptible to disease, with the intention of reducing forest  
 695 inoculum load. The latter type of thinning to remove susceptible trees will be considered in  
 696 the next section (5.6). Thinning to improve growth or other components of tree vigor, through  
 697 reduction in tree density (section 5.4), could also be expected to improve resilience to tree  
 698 diseases. However, studies show a large variation in forest response to thinning actions.  
 699 Negative impacts could be attributed to the resulting stumps, whose cut surfaces are  
 700 susceptible to infection (compare section 4.3), wounding of remaining trees, or due to  
 701 increased traffic within managed areas, increasing pathogen spread by vectors (Jules et al.,  
 702 2002; Cushman and Meentemeyer, 2008; Goheen et al., 2012).

703 *Armillaria* sp. root rots have been the best studied pathogen with regards to thinning impacts,  
 704 with studies consistently finding evidence that thinning increases pathogen infection. Weights  
 705 of *Armillaria* sp. isolated from the soil increased with past thinning intensity in *A. alba* stands  
 706 in the Spanish Pyrenees (Oliva et al., 2009) and incidence of *A. ostoyae* infection was higher  
 707 in experimental units that had been thinned for a range of conifer species in British  
 708 Columbia, compared with paired unthinned stands (Morrison et al., 2001). This result was  
 709 also observed in experimental *P. menziesii* plantations in Oregon (Rosso and Hansen, 1998)  
 710 and Idaho (Entry, 1991), as well as for *A. luteobubalina* infection of *Eucalyptus diversicolor*  
 711 (karri) in Western Australia, with no increased growth rate of trees retained after thinning  
 712 (Robinson, 2003). In *P. radiata* plantations in New Zealand thinning also increased stand-  
 713 level infection of retained trees by *A. novae-zelandiae* partly through infection from stumps,  
 714 however the incidence of infection diminished as the stumps decomposed, leaving no effect  
 715 of thinning after six years (Hood, Kimberley et al. 2002; Hood and Kimberley 2009).

716 Studies of other species of root rot also predominantly show an increase in infection with  
 717 thinning. In 15-year old *P. menziesii* stands in northern California, incidence of *L. wagneri*  
 718 was much higher in thinned than in unthinned stands (Harrington et al., 1983). This finding

719 was confirmed in a much more extensive survey of *P. menziesii* plantations in southwest  
 720 Oregon, which found that incidence of *L. wagneri* was significantly higher in thinned than  
 721 unthinned stands, though this effect was not apparent in all the studied forests (Hessburg,  
 722 2001). In an experimental study of *P. abies* stands in Sweden, the probability of stump  
 723 infection was much higher following thinning in the summer than the winter (Thor and  
 724 Stenlid, 2005). This pattern persisted following a second thinning of these plots (Oliva et al.,  
 725 2010). However, infection rates following summer thinning were greatly reduced by a range  
 726 of chemical and biological (spores of *P. gigantea*) treatments of the cut stumps (Thor and  
 727 Stenlid, 2005), and plots with stumps treated with urea had much lower overall mortality  
 728 (Oliva et al., 2008). Only a single study recorded a decrease in infection following thinning,  
 729 with reduced mortality of *P. ponderosa* due to *L. wagneri* ten years after experimental  
 730 thinning in north-eastern California (Otrosina et al., 2007). Thinning may also be  
 731 accompanied by measures to remove root fragments, particularly where thinning was carried  
 732 out with the intention of tackling root rots. However intensive root removal can be associated  
 733 with wounding the roots of retained trees, which increased the risk of infection of *P.*  
 734 *tremuloides* by *Armillaria* spp. (Pankuch et al., 2003).

735 Thinning has highly variable effects on tree diseases besides root rots. The most frequently  
 736 studied pathogens infecting tree shoots have been dothistroma needle blight of *Pinus* spp.  
 737 caused by *D. septosporum* and *D. pini*. A comprehensive review of management and control  
 738 of these pathogens was provided by Bulman et al. (2016). They found that in Australia, Chile,  
 739 New Zealand and USA, reducing stand density by thinning reduced disease levels. However,  
 740 ongoing experiments in the generally wetter climates of Great Britain and British Columbia  
 741 have not shown a notable effect on disease incidence. No benefits were found of thinning for  
 742 control of these pathogens, or *Lecanosticta acicula*, in *P. radiata* plantations in northern  
 743 Spain (de Urbina et al., 2017). Thinning was reported to reduce damage of *P. contorta* var.  
 744 *latifolia* due to *E. harknessii* across 27 plantations in southeastern British Columbia (Roach et  
 745 al., 2015). However, no significant effect of thinning of *P. contorta* var. *latifolia* on incidence  
 746 of *E. harknessii* infection had been found in a previous multi-site study in British Columbia,  
 747 though thinning was associated with a large increase in the incidence of infection by  
 748 stalactiform blister rust (*Cronartium coleosporioides*) (van der Kamp, 1994). Similarly, in  
 749 Idaho, thinning was related to an increase in the number of new lethal infections per tree of  
 750 *Pinus monticola* (western white pine) by *C. ribicola* five years after treatment (Hungerford et  
 751 al., 1982). In an experiment in a forest in Missouri, USA, where a range of oak species are  
 752 subject to “oak decline” that may be caused by a range of root pathogens or insects, thinning  
 753 in the form of “improvement harvests” (selective cutting to remove trees that were declining  
 754 and to reduce tree density) did not significantly alter the incidence of oak decline after 10  
 755 (Meadows et al., 2013) or 14 years (Dwyer et al., 2007; Meadows et al., 2013).

756 As well as being a legacy of the harvesting of mature trees, stumps are also present  
 757 throughout growing stands as a result of thinning operations. Chemical or biological  
 758 treatment of stumps resulting from thinning can be effective at reducing pathogen incidence,  
 759 as is the case for final harvest tree stumps (section 4.3). In Sweden, following thinning, the  
 760 proportion of *P. abies* stump area colonised by *H. annosum* after 6-7 weeks was reduced by  
 761 88-99% in stumps treated with either 35% urea solution, 5% disodium octaborate tetrahydrate  
 762 solution or spores of *Phlebiopsis gigantea*, compared with untreated stumps (Thor and  
 763 Stenlid, 2005).

764 In the majority of cases, forests that have undergone thinning have a higher incidence of tree  
 765 disease than unthinned sites. However, results are variable, even within the same site,

766 pathogen or tree species. Such variation likely arises not from thinning itself, but from other  
 767 changes within the forest associated with thinning regimes. Pathogen loads can increase due  
 768 to increased movement of machinery and human vectors into a forest to carry out thinning  
 769 (Jules et al., 2002; Cushman and Meentemeyer, 2008; Goheen et al., 2012) and wounding of  
 770 stems and roots of retained trees that can provide entry points for pathogens. More complex  
 771 effects can be mediated by changes in forest species composition and structural composition  
 772 resulting from thinning. Therefore, to provide a more robust basis for management  
 773 recommendations, future studies should focus on identifying and accounting for the sources  
 774 of this variation, and determining how impacts vary during the course of a pathogen invasion.

775

## 776 **5.6 Diseased tree removal**

777 Removal of diseased trees is often one of the criteria applied for tree selection in thinning of  
 778 diseased stands. In some cases it is the sole focus of a control programme, either restricted to  
 779 trees already showing disease symptoms or extended to trees considered to be at high risk of  
 780 infection, e.g. because of their species and proximity to diseased trees. The effectiveness of  
 781 this measure has been assessed in a number of studies, though not through rigorous  
 782 experimentation. Examples include the spatial spread of Dutch elm disease (*Ophiostoma*  
 783 *novo-ulmi*) in New Zealand (Ganley and Bulman, 2016) and Gotland Island, Sweden  
 784 (Menkis et al., 2016), and *P. ramorum* in the coastal forests of Oregon (Kanaskie et al.,  
 785 2006). In 35-year-old coppiced *Castanea sativa* (sweet chestnut) in Italy, thinning that  
 786 targeted the cutting of infected stems did result in a reduction in the severity of damage due  
 787 to *C. parasitica* two years later (Amorini et al., 2001). In all of these studies, while some  
 788 evidence was found that removal of infected and adjacent trees slowed the spread of the  
 789 pathogen, it had only delayed, rather than prevented, eventual infection. The cryptic nature of  
 790 the pathogens that prevents sufficiently early identification of infected trees to enable their  
 791 removal before they become a source of inoculum, and the occasional occurrence of long-  
 792 distance inoculum dispersal events through vectors, e.g. human or animal movement, are  
 793 among the major constraints. The potential for removal of diseased trees to disrupt natural  
 794 biocontrol, e.g. hypovirulence of *C. parasitica* caused by virus infection of the fungus,  
 795 merits future research.

796

## 797 **5.7 Pruning and coppicing**

798 Pruning of lateral branches is usually carried out to improve timber quality by reducing knots  
 799 in the subsequent radial wood growth. Analogous to thinning, pruning may also be carried  
 800 out to reduce pathogen incidence by targeting infected or susceptible damaged branches or to  
 801 reduce sub-canopy humidity in the forest. However, pruning wounds also create potential  
 802 sites for pathogen entry and, as with thinning, pruning operations may increase traffic and  
 803 potential of cross-infection on tools, acting as vectors of pathogens.

804 Positive impacts of pruning *Pinus* spp. on resistance to *C. ribicola* rust have been largely  
 805 consistent across North America. In Idaho pruning of *P. monticola* in addition to thinning  
 806 greatly reduced the total number of new lethal and non-lethal infections per tree after five  
 807 years compared with thinning-only treatment and controls (Hungerford et al., 1982).  
 808 Incidence and severity of *C. ribicola* infection of young *P. strobus* was reduced by  
 809 preventative pruning of susceptible lower branches in sites across the eastern USA (Ostry,

810 2000). Pruning of infected branches of *P. strobus* also reduced the incidence of disease and  
811 tree mortality in Quebec, Canada (Lavallee, 1991).

812 For other pathogens, results of pruning have not been so positive. Pruning increased *F.*  
813 *circinatum* canker symptoms in *P. radiata* plantations in Cantabria, Spain, which was  
814 attributed to the role of pruning wounds in permitting the pathogen to infect the tree (Bezoz et  
815 al., 2012). Pruning increased *D. pinea* infection in *P. radiata* trees in New Zealand that were  
816 experimentally inoculated, with a large increase in infection rates with intensity of pruning  
817 (percentage of crown removed) (Chou, 1988). Infection by *D. pinea* and by *Seiridium*  
818 *cardinale* was also positively associated with pruning of *Cupressus sempervirens* (cypress)  
819 trees in Israel (Madar et al., 1991). No effect of pruning was observed on control of *D.*  
820 *septosporum*, *D. pini* or *L. acicula* in *P. radiata* plantations in northern Spain (de Urbina et  
821 al., 2017), nor *Armillaria* spp. infection in New Zealand *P. radiata* plantations (Hood et al.,  
822 2002). For stands of *P. abies* in Baden-Württemberg, Germany, careful pruning of branches  
823 up to 10 m height was found to produce only a low risk of wood deterioration, however it did  
824 lead to an increase in heartwood infection by a range of pathogens, especially *Nectria*  
825 *fuckeliana* (Metzler, 1997). The review of studies in Australia and New Zealand on  
826 *Dothistroma* spp. infection of *Pinus* spp. by Bulman et al. (2016) reported mixed results of  
827 pruning, particularly beyond short-term impacts.

828 Shoot removal to reduce multiple stems to a single stem was carried out on *Acacia mangium*  
829 and *A. crassicarpa* plantation trees in South Africa, and was followed by experimental  
830 inoculation by pathogenic *Ceratocystis acaiivora* and *Lasiodiplodia theobromae* fungi  
831 (Tarigan et al., 2011). Careful pruning resulted in reduced lesion size compared with trees  
832 pruned less carefully, causing tearing of the bark, which suffered infection from naturally  
833 spreading spores even if not inoculated. Pathogen impacts are of particular concern for short-  
834 rotation coppice systems with fast-growing trees that are particularly susceptible to infection.  
835 In an experiment in Northern Ireland, McCracken and Dawson (2003) found that coppicing  
836 produced mixed results amongst genotypes of *Salix* spp. In one genotype, levels of the *M.*  
837 *epitea* rust pathogen were much higher in the first three-year harvest cycle than during the  
838 second cycle. However, for a number of other genotypes, *M. epitea* infection was more  
839 severe on the regrowth from freshly coppiced stools.

840 *Phytophthora ramorum* is able to persist in, and produce spores from, resprouted stumps  
841 (effectively increasing the inoculum load available for secondary infection within a plantation  
842 thus generating secondary infection). A benefit of sprout cutting was shown, as isolation of *P.*  
843 *ramorum* from the sprouts growing from cut stumps of *U. californica* was reduced in sprouts  
844 that had been cut one-year previously compared with those left to grow for seven years,  
845 however there was no treatment effect for sprouts growing on stumps of *N. densiflorus*  
846 (Valachovic et al., 2013a).

847 Pruning, coppicing and shoot removal have a highly variable impact on resilience of forests  
848 to tree pathogens. Some of the literature on the subject points to an increase in susceptibility  
849 caused by pruning wounds and increased vector or air movement of the pathogen within the  
850 forest. However, other studies show a decrease in susceptibility to some pathogens, linked to  
851 removal of susceptible branch material and reduced sub-canopy humidity. There is a lack of  
852 experimental studies that enable testing of these mechanisms and their trade-offs. While  
853 pruning is less common as a forest management practice than is thinning, it should be a  
854 priority for future studies. There is good potential to link knowledge of the effects of pruning  
855 practice on tree pathogens in arboriculture with the evidence required to inform forest

856 management. A priority is to understand more about what controls the risk of entry into  
857 pruning wounds of the main airborne pathogens of commercial tree species.

858

## 859 **6 Recovery**

860 The processes described above in terms of primary and secondary infection capture the first  
861 element of forest resilience, its resistance to an invading pathogen. The second element, the  
862 capacity of the forest to recover, is discussed in this section. As explained above, we  
863 considered rates of tree growth and natural regeneration following the onset of pathogen  
864 infection, which were the only measures of the recovery of the forest ecosystem reported in  
865 the reviewed studies. Within our working definition of resilience, we did not include changes  
866 in pathogen inoculum or infection level in the ecosystem as measures of recovery, in order to  
867 avoid mixing up “cause” and “effect”. The capacity for forest ecosystem recovery can be  
868 assessed over a wide range of temporal and spatial scales. For entire managed forests it is  
869 extremely likely that, over the long term, the decisions of forest managers will be crucial in  
870 determining the rate and trajectory of forest recovery. Gibbs et al. (2002) provide an  
871 insightful account of how successive generations of managers have experimented and  
872 adapted the management of a forest (Thetford, UK) to promote recovery and longer-term  
873 forest resilience to the threat posed by *H. annosum*. A number of studies have investigated at  
874 a smaller and shorter-term scale the effect of individual management actions on the capacity  
875 for forest recovery from pathogen impacts through natural regeneration or tree growth. They  
876 have researched some of the forest management options already considered in terms of their  
877 impact on primary and secondary infection (above), and we review their evidence on forest  
878 recovery below, with a summary in Table 2.

879

### 880 **6.1 Species diversity and mixtures**

881 In a large experiment in British Columbia forests subject to infection by *A. ostoyae* and *P.*  
882 *sulphurascens*, mixed species plots had lower basal area after 40 years growth compared with  
883 monocultures, but the effect on stem diameter and on dominant tree height was variable  
884 (Morrison et al. 2014). Interpretation of other experimental studies in British Columbia  
885 crosses over between the effects of species mixture and of thinning as a treatment. In British  
886 Columbia forests where *P. menziesii* is subject to infection by *A. ostoyae*, mean diameter  
887 increment and height:diameter ratio increased significantly in stands where *B. papyrifera* was  
888 removed or partly thinned (Baleshta et al., 2005). This was linked to increases in light and  
889 soil moisture levels caused by the thinning. In higher altitude British Columbia forests  
890 infested with *A. ostoyae*, the diameter growth of planted *P. menziesii* was 27% greater after  
891 experimental removal of the naturally regenerated broadleaves *B. papyrifera* and *P.*  
892 *tremuloides* compared with untreated controls, and the increase was greater with higher  
893 intensity removal treatments, however height growth was not significantly affected (Gerlach  
894 et al., 1997; Baleshta et al., 2005; Simard et al., 2005). In a second experiment, diameter  
895 growth of *P. contorta* var. *latifolia* (but not *P. menziesii*) was increased by removal of  
896 broadleaves. These results indicate the importance of the identity of tree species in a mixture  
897 for tree growth recovery from pathogen infection.

898 In a complex mixture experiment of many *Salix* spp. varieties in a short rotation coppice  
899 system in Northern Ireland subject to the *M. epitea* rust pathogen, tree growth rate was

900 invariably greater in mixtures compared with monoculture, even when a majority of varieties  
901 in the mixture were killed by the pathogen (McCracken et al., 2001). However, in a  
902 subsequent experimental study of *Salix viminalis* genotypes subject to infection by *M. epitea*,  
903 whilst at the harvest at the end of the first three-year growth cycle mixtures showed a higher  
904 yield compared with monocultures, this difference did not persist to the harvest at the end of  
905 the second cycle (Begley et al., 2009).

906

## 907 **6.2 Site preparation**

908 Inoculum removal prior to planting has had mixed effects on subsequent tree growth. A  
909 large-scale experiment in a British Columbia forest infested with the root pathogens *P.*  
910 *sulphurascens* and *A. ostoyae* showed that stump removal increased plot basal area by an  
911 average of 1.3 times after 40 years of growth of the rotation following treatment, and  
912 increased dominant tree height, but did not alter stem diameter (Morrison et al., 2014). In  
913 forests infested by *P. sulphurascens* in Washington State and Oregon, pre-planting stump  
914 removal produced mixed results on the growth of *P. menziesii* (Thies and Westlind, 2005). It  
915 increased seedling height in two study sites, and reduced the final measured volume at one  
916 site, but there were no significant effects at the other sites studied.

917

## 918 **6.3 Tree establishment under different silvicultural systems**

919 There are insufficient studies of alternative silvicultural systems to draw any clear  
920 conclusions about the implications for recovery. In *P. radiata* forest infected by *F.*  
921 *circinatum* in California, gap size was positively associated with seedling height and diameter  
922 growth rates, showing a pattern that did not correspond to that of the variation in disease  
923 incidence with gap size (Ferchaw et al., 2013). In mixed conifer forest in Oregon subject to  
924 infection by *A. ostoyae*, experimental harvesting treatments of group selection and  
925 shelterwood were compared with unharvested forest (Filip et al. 2010). Diameter growth of  
926 retained trees ten years after infection by *A. ostoyae* was not significantly altered by the  
927 silvicultural harvesting treatments, and there were no consistent effects on the density of  
928 natural regeneration amongst species.

929

## 930 **6.4 Tree density**

931 In a *P. monticola* forest infected by *C. ribicola* in Washington State, tree height at 16 years of  
932 age was not significantly affected by tree spacing over a range from 3 to 5 m, however it was  
933 lower with very close spacing (2 m) and very wide spacing (6 m) (Bishaw et al., 2003). Tree  
934 diameter increased with spacing from 2 m to 5 m. Plot basal area and volume decreased with  
935 spacing over the whole range from 2 m to 6 m. Thus, response to variation in tree density  
936 differed amongst measures of forest growth. Results from this single study of one tree-  
937 pathogen species combination do not provide a sufficient basis for any generalisation.

938

939

## 940 6.5 Thinning

941 Studies of thinning impacts have consistently shown that it results in increased tree growth  
942 rates in infected stands sufficient to promote forest recovery. In *P. radiata* plantations in New  
943 Zealand subject to severe infection by *A. novae-zelandiae*, thinning treatments were followed  
944 by long-term increase in growth (and assumed associated resistance) in the retained trees at a  
945 level sufficient to counter the effects of increased inoculum potential following treatment  
946 (Hood & Kimberley 2009). As a result, it is expected that thinning of these diseased stands  
947 will not lead to any reduction in final crop volume. Similarly, in coppiced *C. sativa* forest  
948 infected by *C. parasitica* in Italy, a thinning treatment that targeted the cutting of infected  
949 stems increased the growth rate of the retained stems, resulting in the same stand volume  
950 growth rate as pre-thinning (Amorini et al., 2001). In Mississippi, USA, where red oaks  
951 (*Quercus* spp.) are infected with the canker decay fungus *Inonotus hispidus*, experimental  
952 improvement thinning that removed smaller and diseased trees significantly increased the  
953 diameter growth of the retained trees (Meadows et al. 2013).

954 One study was notable for providing evidence of natural regeneration as a process of forest  
955 recovery, but its results were mixed. In British Columbia selective cutting, a silvicultural  
956 treatment somewhat akin to thinning, in forests infested with *A. ostoyae*, resulted in a large  
957 increase in rates of subsequent natural regeneration of a range of conifer species, compared  
958 with uncut control plots, but in only two of the four studied forest sites. Less than 30% of the  
959 naturally regenerated trees were killed by the pathogen in these two sites (Morrison et al.  
960 2001).

961

## 962 7 Conclusions

### 963 7.1 Coverage of published studies

964 Published studies on forest resilience to tree diseases have uneven coverage with regard to  
965 geographical locations, management options, and pathogen species. The majority of studies  
966 have been restricted to a single forest area, while larger-scale studies often find inconsistent  
967 results across locations. This patchy coverage, and a lack of detail in reporting of the  
968 management options tested or the scale of their effects, hampers our ability to produce a  
969 systematic assessment of the similarities and differences in the impacts of management on  
970 tree resilience to different tree pathogens. Insufficient evidence is provided to enable  
971 comparison of effectiveness between options. Individual studies are limited to considering a  
972 single, or small number of related, pathogens, and therefore do not provide an adequate  
973 evidence base for forest managers who need to decide how best to increase forest resilience  
974 against multiple known and unknown future threats. Determining general conclusions to best  
975 inform forest management in the face of such a diversity of (and likely increasing pressure  
976 from) future pathogen risks is therefore challenging. Most management actions have been  
977 responsive, seeking to combat specific pathogens that are either established in a forest or new  
978 outbreaks after they have reached a region. Interventions thus tend to focus on reducing  
979 sources of inoculum or the rate of secondary infection, including the transmission of  
980 inoculum and the susceptibility of trees. Because most studies have researched forests  
981 managed for timber production, their evidence should not simply be extrapolated to forests  
982 managed for other benefits (for which different measures of resilience, linked to other  
983 ecosystem services, would be more relevant).

984

## 985 **7.2 Evidence of forest management that increases resilience to forest pathogens**

986 Although the published studies included in this review were very uneven in their coverage  
 987 and did not produce consistent results, they provided stronger evidence of the benefit of  
 988 certain management options for forest resilience to pathogens. The reduction of primary  
 989 infection by limiting the connectivity of forest units and by the removal or treatment of  
 990 stumps during site preparation, and the reduction of secondary infection by planting mixed  
 991 species forests, are the management options with the strongest evidence for improving forest  
 992 resilience to pathogens. Despite this, in each case the effects are strongly modified by the  
 993 particular methods used and tree species involved, so this evidence can only be taken as a  
 994 first indication to inform management decision-making. Forest managers must also consider  
 995 the scale of the effect of each management option and trade-offs with other impacts on the  
 996 forest system, such as the effects on environmental conditions and thus tree health and vigor.

997 Commercial timber production is the dominant management objective in the studied forests,  
 998 with the need to reduce the risk to this posed by tree pathogens and pests increasingly  
 999 recognized. However, even in commercial forests biodiversity conservation is also an  
 1000 increasingly important objective. Therefore, the potential negative impacts of connectivity on  
 1001 risk of spread of pathogens and pests needs to be weighed against the demonstrated benefits  
 1002 of higher connectivity for biodiversity (Lindenmayer et al., 2006). For many tree pathogens,  
 1003 connectivity through vehicular and human movement may be more significant than a  
 1004 continuity of habitat cover, depending on how specific pathogens spread. Therefore,  
 1005 controlling primary infection rate via human vectors may provide a reduction in functional  
 1006 connectivity for some pathogens, with little impact on other organisms. Stump removal as a  
 1007 method to reduce sources of pathogen inoculum also presents an interesting trade-off with  
 1008 habitat management for the benefit of biodiversity. Dead wood such as stumps are recognised  
 1009 as important habitat components for a wide range of forest biodiversity, including many  
 1010 forest specialist species (Hartley, 2002). Providing adequate evidence to inform the  
 1011 management response to this trade-off is also a research priority.

1012 Perhaps the most consistent finding of the reviewed research, supported by multiple studies  
 1013 across a range of pathogen species, although still not ubiquitous, is that the rate of secondary  
 1014 infection of trees of a given species is reduced if these trees are growing in a mixed, species-  
 1015 diverse forest rather than a monoculture. In this sense, higher species diversity has an  
 1016 insurance value against future income risks due to disease, which will be positively valued by  
 1017 risk-averse forest managers (Finger and Buchmann, 2015). However, this outcome depends  
 1018 on the species within the mixture, with only non-host species increasing forest resilience to  
 1019 pathogens. In fact, the presence of secondary host species can make infection worse and may  
 1020 increase the rate of primary infection (Power and Mitchell, 2004). This finding points to a  
 1021 need to extend the importance of tree species selection in strategies to combat tree diseases.  
 1022 Beyond considering the susceptibility of candidate primary crop species to pathogen species  
 1023 known to be present in the region (or at risk of arriving during the forest rotation), forest  
 1024 managers also need to consider the potential role of each tree species in a mixed forest for  
 1025 how it may influence the risk of infection (by a broad range of pathogens) of the other crop  
 1026 species present.

1027 In seeking to achieve the most economically efficient solution to exploiting the benefits of  
 1028 higher tree species diversity for increasing forest system resilience to tree pathogens, a key  
 1029 consideration is the spatial scale at which such mixing occurs. If the economic benefit of

1030 including within the forest a portfolio of different tree crop species can be achieved by  
1031 establishing large monoculture blocks of each species, then this may only cause a small  
1032 increase in management costs compared with a whole-forest monoculture. However,  
1033 knowledge of ecological mechanisms would suggest that the larger the monoculture blocks  
1034 the smaller will be the ecological benefit through diluting the individual trees of susceptible  
1035 species. It is also possible that resilience of susceptible trees is increased due to interaction  
1036 with other tree species, which is unlikely to occur if mixing takes place only at the landscape  
1037 scale (Bauhus et al. 2017). It is therefore striking that we found no studies reporting results  
1038 on the effect of the spatial scale of tree species mixing on rates of pathogen infection.  
1039 Similarly, for the studies that researched at a landscape scale, we did not find any that  
1040 reported the effects of the heterogeneity (e.g. in tree species composition) between adjacent  
1041 forest patches. These evidence gaps limit our ability to draw detailed conclusions regarding  
1042 how mixed-species forests should be designed to maximize this benefit, and we therefore  
1043 identify these as high priorities for future research.

1044 With reference to recovery of forest ecosystems, there are a number of studies of the effects  
1045 of silvicultural treatments on the growth of mostly conifer crop trees in pathogen-infested  
1046 forests. The results generally indicate that tree growth increased following silvicultural  
1047 treatments, irrespective of the fact that the studies were carried out in forests where the trees  
1048 were subject to pathogen infection. However, there are very few studies reporting on the  
1049 effect of silvicultural treatments on forest recovery through natural regeneration. Implications  
1050 for epidemiological and bioeconomic modelling

1051 The findings of this review have several implications for epidemiological modelling of  
1052 emergence, spread and persistence of tree pathogens and for capturing the resilience of forests  
1053 in response to such threats. However, we identified many important evidence gaps in the  
1054 empirical literature that should be a priority for new primary research to fill. Our review aimed  
1055 at providing a foundation for linking the processes and parameters used in models, specifically  
1056 the epidemiological components of primary and secondary infection, and the ecological  
1057 components of forest recovery, to the published observational and experimental data. This has  
1058 several important implications for this area of modelling. Firstly, while our review showed the  
1059 importance of tree species mixture effects, most models consider a forest comprising only a  
1060 single host species (Kleczkowski et al., 2019). Secondly, our review showed the importance of  
1061 connectivity between forest units. While there has been significant progress in recent years on  
1062 spatial and meta-population modelling of plant pathogens, to our knowledge these have not yet  
1063 been combined with ecological models to improve understanding of the trade-offs between the  
1064 benefits of connectivity for biodiversity (Lindenmayer et al., 2006) and the reduction of  
1065 pathways for pathogens to spread between and within forests. Thirdly, in models the effects of  
1066 management options on epidemiological processes are often described as a simple reduction  
1067 of primary or secondary infection rate. In reality, many of these processes may involve  
1068 nonlinearities and threshold behaviour, though these have not been thoroughly studied.  
1069 Fourthly, our findings on the importance of persistent inoculum for certain pathogens, from  
1070 reservoirs such as tree stumps and root fragments of felled trees, suggest that infection rates in  
1071 a forest unit may be “path-dependent”, for example on the forest unit’s history of infection and  
1072 control options adopted, with implications for the structure of models. Fifthly, the implications  
1073 of the reviewed empirical studies for epidemiological modelling are limited by their generally  
1074 small sample size and, in many cases, weakness in the capacity of the experimental design to  
1075 test the influence of environmental variables and interaction effects.

1076 We hope that this paper will contribute to a dialogue between forest managers and ecologists  
 1077 on one hand and epidemiological and bioeconomic modellers on the other, to establish criteria  
 1078 for experimentation that can be used to better parameterize models and rigorously test their  
 1079 results.

1080

## 1081 **8 References**

1082 Amorini, E., Manetti, M.C., Turchetti, T., Sansotta, A., and Villani, F. (2001). Impact of silvicultural  
 1083 system on *Cryphonectria parasitica* incidence and on genetic variability in a chestnut coppice  
 1084 in Central Italy. *Forest Ecology and Management* 142(1-3), 19-31. doi: 10.1016/s0378-  
 1085 1127(00)00337-6.

1086 Anglberger, H., and Halmschlager, E. (2003). The severity of *Sirococcus* shoot blight in mature Norway  
 1087 spruce stands with regard to tree nutrition, topography and stand age. *Forest Ecology and*  
 1088 *Management* 177, 221-230.

1089 Baleshta, K.E., Simard, S.W., Guy, R.D., and Chanway, C.R. (2005). Reducing paper birch density  
 1090 increases Douglas-fir growth rate and *Armillaria* root disease incidence in southern interior  
 1091 British Columbia. *Forest Ecology and Management* 208(1-3), 1-13. doi:  
 1092 10.1016/j.foreco.2004.07.076.

1093 Bauhus, J., Forrester, D., Gardiner, B., Jactel, H., Vallejo, R., and Pretzsch, H. (2017). "Ecological stability  
 1094 of mixed-species forests," in *Mixed-Species Forests - Ecology and Management*, eds. H.  
 1095 Pretzsch, D.I. Forrester & J. Bauhus. (Verlag, Germany: Heidelberg), 337-382.

1096 Bauman, J.M., Keiffer, C.H., and McCarthy, B.C. (2014). Growth performance and chestnut blight  
 1097 incidence (*Cryphonectria parasitica*) of backcrossed chestnut seedlings in surface mine  
 1098 restoration. *New Forests* 45(6), 813-828. doi: 10.1007/s11056-014-9439-3.

1099 Begley, D., McCracken, A.R., Dawson, W.M., and Watson, S. (2009). Interaction in Short Rotation  
 1100 Coppice willow, *Salix viminalis* genotype mixtures. *Biomass and Bioenergy* 33, 163-173.

1101 Beh, M.M., Metz, M.R., Frangioso, K.M., and Rizzo, D.M. (2012). The key host for an invasive forest  
 1102 pathogen also facilitates the pathogen's survival of wildfire in California forests. *New*  
 1103 *Phytologist* 196(4), 1145-1154. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-8137.2012.04352.x.

1104 Bendz-Hellgren, M., and Stenlid, J. (1998). Effects of clear-cutting, thinning, and wood moisture  
 1105 content on the susceptibility of Norway spruce stumps to *Heterobasidion annosum*. *Canadian*  
 1106 *journal of forest research* 28(5), 759-765.

1107 Benedict (1981). History of white pine blister rust control - A personal account. *United States*  
 1108 *Department of Agriculture Forest Service*.

1109 Bergdahl, D.R., Sendak, P.E., Tobi, D.R., Bove, J.R., and Tritton, L.M. (2002). Incidence of annual canker  
 1110 of sugar maple studied with the aid of a geographic information system. *Northern Journal of*  
 1111 *Applied Forestry* 19(2), 73-79.

1112 Bezos, D., Lomba, J.M., Martinez-Alvarez, P., Fernandez, M., and Diez, J.J. (2012). Effects of pruning in  
 1113 Monterrey pine plantations affected by *Fusarium circinatum*. *Forest Systems* 21(3), 481-488.  
 1114 doi: 10.5424/fs/2012213-02262.

1115 Bishaw, B., DeBell, D.S., and Harrington, C.A. (2003). Patterns of survival, damage, and growth for  
 1116 western white pine in a 16-year-old spacing trial in western Washington. *Western Journal of*  
 1117 *Applied Forestry* 18(1), 35-43.

- 1118 Blodgett, J.T., Kruger, E.L., and Stanosz, G.R. (1997). Sphaeropsis sapinea and water stress in a red pine  
1119 plantation in central Wisconsin. *Phytopathology* 87(4), 429-434. doi:  
1120 10.1094/phyto.1997.87.4.429.
- 1121 Boyd, I.L., Freer-Smith, P.H., Gilligan, C.A., and Godfray, H.C.J. (2014). The consequence of tree pests  
1122 and diseases for ecosystem services. *Science* 342.
- 1123 Brassett, P.R., and Gilligan, C.A. (1988). A model for primary and secondary infection in botanical  
1124 epidemics. *Zeitschrift für Pflanzenkrankheiten und Pflanzenschutz* 95, 352-360.
- 1125 Bulman, L.S. (2008). Pest detection surveys on high-risk sites in New Zealand. *Australian Forestry* 71(3),  
1126 242-244.
- 1127 Bulman, L.S., Bradshaw, R.E., Fraser, S., Martin-Garcia, J., Barnes, I., Musolin, D.L., et al. (2016). A  
1128 worldwide perspective on the management and control of Dothistroma needle blight. *Forest*  
1129 *Pathology* 46(5), 472-488. doi: 10.1111/efp.12305.
- 1130 Burdon, J.J., Wennstrom, A., Ericson, L., Muller, W.J., and Morton, R. (1992). Density dependent  
1131 mortality in *Pinus sylvestris* cause by snow blight pathogen *Phacidium infestans*. *Oecologia*  
1132 90(1), 74-79.
- 1133 Campbell, E.A., JA. (2000). Distribution and severity of white pine blister rust and mountain pine beetle  
1134 on whitebark pine in British Columbia. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* 30, 1051-1059.
- 1135 Carey, M., Sanderson, B., Barnas, K., and Olden, J. (2012). Native invaders - challenges for science,  
1136 management, policy, and society. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment* 10, 373-381.
- 1137 Chou, C.M., M. (1988). Effect of pruning intensity and season on *Diplodia pinea* infection of *Pinus*  
1138 *radiata* stem through pruning wounds. *European Journal of Forest Pathology* 18, 437-444.
- 1139 Cleary, M.R., Arhipova, N., Morrison, D.J., Thomsen, I.M., Sturrock, R.N., Vasaitis, R., et al. (2013).  
1140 Stump removal to control root disease in Canada and Scandinavia: A synthesis of results from  
1141 long-term trials. *Forest Ecology and Management* 290, 5-14. doi:  
1142 10.1016/j.foreco.2012.05.040.
- 1143 Condeso, T.M., RK (2007). Effects of landscape heterogeneity on the emerging forest disease sudden  
1144 oak death. *Journal of Ecology* 95, 364-375.
- 1145 Cram, M.S., D. Forbus, K. (2010). Case study to examine the effects of a growing-season burn and  
1146 Annosum root disease on mortality in a longleaf pine stand. *Proceedings of the 14th biennial*  
1147 *souther silvicultural research conference*.
- 1148 Crone, M., McComb, J.A., O'Brien, P.A., and Hardy, G.E.S. (2014). Host removal as a potential control  
1149 method for *Phytophthora cinnamomi* on severely impacted black gravel sites in the jarrah  
1150 forest. *Forest Pathology* 44(2), 154-159. doi: 10.1111/efp.12080.
- 1151 Cushman, J.H., and Meentemeyer, R.K. (2008). Multi-scale patterns of human activity and the  
1152 incidence of an exotic forest pathogen. *Journal of Ecology* 96(4), 766-776. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-  
1153 2745.2008.01376.x.
- 1154 D'Souza, N.K., Colquhoun, I.J., Shearer, B.L., and Hardy, G.E.S. (2004). The potential of five Western  
1155 Australian native *Acacia* species for biological control of *Phytophthora cinnamomi*. *Australian*  
1156 *Journal of Botany* 52(2), 267-279. doi: 10.1071/bt03089.
- 1157 Davidson, J.M., Patterson, H.A., Wickland, A.C., Fichtner, E.J., and Rizzo, D.M. (2011). Forest Type  
1158 Influences Transmission of *Phytophthora ramorum* in California Oak Woodlands.  
1159 *Phytopathology* 101(4), 492-501. doi: 10.1094/phyto-03-10-0064.

- 1160 de Urbina, E.O., Mesanza, N., Aragones, A., Raposo, R., Elvira-Recueno, M., Boque, R., et al. (2017).  
 1161 Emerging Needle Blight Diseases in Atlantic Pinus Ecosystems of Spain. *Forests* 8(1). doi:  
 1162 10.3390/f8010018.
- 1163 Desprez-Loustau, M.L., Aguayo, J., Dutech, C., Hayden, K.J., Husson, C., Jakushkin, B., et al. (2016). An  
 1164 evolutionary ecology perspective to address forest pathology challenges of today and  
 1165 tomorrow. *Annals of Forest Science* 73(1), 45-67. doi: 10.1007/s13595-015-0487-4.
- 1166 Desprez-Loustau, M.L., and Wagner, K. (1997). Influence of silvicultural practices on twisting rust  
 1167 infection and damage in maritime pine, as related to growth. *Forest Ecology and Management*  
 1168 98(2), 135-147. doi: 10.1016/s0378-1127(97)00079-0.
- 1169 Dillon, W.W., Haas, S.E., Rizzo, D.M., and Meentemeyer, R.K. (2014). Perspectives of spatial scale in a  
 1170 wildland forest epidemic. *European Journal of Plant Pathology* 138(3), 449-465. doi:  
 1171 10.1007/s10658-013-0376-3.
- 1172 Dwyer, J.P., Kabrick, J.M., and Wetteroff, J. (2007). Do improvement harvests mitigate oak decline in  
 1173 Missouri Ozark forests? *Northern Journal of Applied Forestry* 24(2), 123-128.
- 1174 Ellis, A.M., Vaclavik, T., and Meentemeyer, R.K. (2010). When is connectivity important? A case study  
 1175 of the spatial pattern of sudden oak death. *Oikos* 119(3), 485-493. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-  
 1176 0706.2009.17918.x.
- 1177 Entry, J.A., Cromack, K. Kelsey, R.G. Martin, N.E. (1991). Response of Douglas-Fir to Infection by  
 1178 *Armillaria ostoyae* After Thinning or Thinning Plus Fertilisation. *Phytopathology*.
- 1179 Ferchaw, V.A.L., Goldsworthy, E., Pinkerton, J., Yun, D.I., Lund, U.J., Mark, W., et al. (2013).  
 1180 Management strategies for pitch canker infected Ano Nuevo stands of Monterey pine. *Forest*  
 1181 *Ecology and Management* 308, 101-115. doi: 10.1016/j.foreco.2013.07.032.
- 1182 Fichtner, E.J., Rizzo, D.M., Kirk, S.A., and Webber, J.F. (2011). Root Infections May Challenge  
 1183 Management of Invasive *Phytophthora* spp. in UK Woodlands. *Plant Disease* 95(1), 13-18. doi:  
 1184 10.1094/pdis-03-10-0236.
- 1185 Filip, G.M., Ganio, L.M., Oester, P.T., Mason, R.R., and Wickman, B.E. (2002). Ten-year effect of  
 1186 fertilization on tree growth and mortality associated with armillaria root disease, fir engravers,  
 1187 dwarf mistletoe, and western spruce budworm in Northeastern Oregon. *Western Journal of*  
 1188 *Applied Forestry* 17(3), 122-128.
- 1189 Filip, G.M., Maffei, H.M., Chadwick, K.L., and Max, T.A. (2010). *Armillaria* Root Disease-Caused Tree  
 1190 Mortality following Silvicultural Treatments (Shelterwood or Group Selection) in an Oregon  
 1191 Mixed-Conifer Forest: Insights from a 10-Year Case Study. *Western Journal of Applied Forestry*  
 1192 25(3), 136-143.
- 1193 Finger, R., and Buchmann, N. (2015). An ecological economic assessment of risk-reducing effects of  
 1194 species diversity in managed grasslands. *Ecological Economics* 110(89-97).
- 1195 Ganley, R.J., and Bulman, L.S. (2016). Dutch elm disease in New Zealand: impacts from eradication and  
 1196 management programmes. *Plant Pathology* 65(7), 1047-1055. doi: 10.1111/ppa.12527.
- 1197 Gerlach, J.P., Reich, P.B., Puettmann, K., and Baker, T. (1997). Species, diversity, and density affect  
 1198 tree seedling mortality from *Armillaria* root rot. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research-Revue*  
 1199 *Canadienne De Recherche Forestiere* 27(9), 1509-1512. doi: 10.1139/cjfr-27-9-1509.
- 1200 Ghelardini, L., Luchi, N., Pecori, F., Pepori, A.L., Danti, R., Della Rocca, G., et al. (2017). Ecology of  
 1201 invasive forest pathogens. *Biological Invasions* 19(11), 3183-3200. doi: 10.1007/s10530-017-  
 1202 1487-0.
- 1203 Gibbs, J.N., Greig, B.J.W., Pratt, J.E. (2002). Fromes root rot in Thetford Forest, East Anglia: past,  
 1204 present and future. *Forestry* 75(2), 191-202.

- 1205 Goheen, D.J., Mallams, K., Betlejewski, F., and Hansen, E. (2012). Effectiveness of Vehicle Washing and  
1206 Roadside Sanitation in Decreasing Spread Potential of Port-Orford-Cedar Root Disease.  
1207 *Western Journal of Applied Forestry* 27(4), 170-175. doi: 10.5849/wjaf.11-011.
- 1208 Greene, T.A., Reemts, C.M., and Appel, D.N. (2008). Efficacy of Basal Girdling to Control Oak Wilt  
1209 Fungal Mat Production in Texas Red Oak (*Quercus buckleyi*) in Central Texas. *Southern Journal*  
1210 *of Applied Forestry* 32(4), 168-172.
- 1211 Grimm, V., and Wissel, C. (1997). Babel, or the ecological stability discussions: an inventory and  
1212 analysis of terminology and a guide for avoiding confusion. *Oecologia* 109, 323-334.
- 1213 Haas, S.E., Cushman, J.H., Dillon, W.W., Rank, N.E., Rizzo, D.M., and Meentemeyer, R.K. (2016). Effects  
1214 of individual, community, and landscape drivers on the dynamics of a wildland forest  
1215 epidemic. *Ecology* 97(3), 649-660. doi: 10.1890/15-0767.1.
- 1216 Haas, S.E., Hooten, M.B., Rizzo, D.M., Meetenmeyer, R.K. (2011). Forest species diversity reduces  
1217 disease risk in a generalist plant pathogen invasion. *Ecology Letters* 14, 1108 - 1116.
- 1218 Hagle, S.K., Grasham, J.L. (1988). Biological and economic feasibility of pruning and excising white  
1219 pines for blister rust control. *United States Department of Agriculture Forest Service*.
- 1220 Halmschlager, E., and Katzensteiner, K. (2017). Vitality fertilisation balanced tree nutrition and  
1221 mitigated severity of Sirococcus shoot blight on mature Norway spruce. *Forest Ecology and*  
1222 *Management* 389, 96-104.
- 1223 Hannerz, M., and Hanell, B. (1997). Effects on the flora in Norway spruce forests following clearcutting  
1224 and shelterwood cutting. *Forest Ecology and Management* 90, 29-49.
- 1225 Hantsch, L., Bien, S., Radatz, S., Braun, U., Auge, H., and Bruelheide, H. (2014a). Tree diversity and the  
1226 role of non-host neighbour tree species in reducing fungal pathogen infestation. *Journal of*  
1227 *Ecology* 102(6), 1673-1687. doi: 10.1111/1365-2745.12317.
- 1228 Hantsch, L., Braun, U., Haase, J., Purschke, O., Scherer-Lorenzen, M., and Bruelheide, H. (2014b). No  
1229 plant functional diversity effects on foliar fungal pathogens in experimental tree communities.  
1230 *Fungal Diversity* 66(1), 139-151. doi: 10.1007/s13225-013-0273-2.
- 1231 Hantsch, L.B., U. Scherer-Lorenzen, M. Bruelheide, H. (2013). Species richness and species identity  
1232 effects on occurrence of foliar fungal pathogens in a tree diversity experiment. *Ecosphere* 4(7).
- 1233 Harrington, T.C., Reinhart, C., Thornburgh, D.A., and Cobb Jr, F.W. (1983). Association of black-stain  
1234 root disease with precommercial thinning of Douglas-fir. *Forest Science* 29(1), 12-14.
- 1235 Hartley, M.J. (2002). Rationale and methods for conserving biodiversity in plantation forests. *Forest*  
1236 *Ecology and Management* 155, 81-95.
- 1237 Havdova, L.Z., D. Romportl, D. Peskova, V. Cerny, K. (2017). Environmental and silvicultural  
1238 characteristics influencing the extent of ash dieback in forest stands. *Baltic Forestry* 23(1),  
1239 168-182.
- 1240 Hessburg, P. (2001). Association of black stain root disease with roads, skid trails, and precommercial  
1241 thinning in southwest Oregon. *Western Journal of Applied Forestry* 16(3), 127-135.
- 1242 Heybroek, H.M. (1982). Monoculture versus mixture: interactions between susceptible and resistant  
1243 trees in a mixed stand. *Proceedings the third international workshop on the genetics of Host-*  
1244 *Parasite interactions in forestry, Wageningen, the Netherlands*.
- 1245 Hill, L., Jones, G., Atkinson, N., Hector, A., Hemery, G., and Brown, N. (2019). The £15 billion cost of  
1246 ash dieback in Britain. *Current Biology* 29(9), 315-316.
- 1247 Holling, C.S. (1996). "Engineering resilience versus ecological resilience," in *Engineering within*  
1248 *Ecological Constraints*, ed. P.C. Schulze. (Washington DC: National Academy Press), 31-43.

- 1249 Holzmueller, E.J., Jose, S., and Jenkins, M.A. (2008). The relationship between fire history and an exotic  
1250 fungal disease in a deciduous forest. *Oecologia* 155(2), 347-356. doi: 10.1007/s00442-007-  
1251 0908-7.
- 1252 Hood, I.A., and Kimberley, M.O. (2009). Impact of armillaria root disease and the effect of thinning in  
1253 a late-rotation *Pinus radiata* plantation. *Forest Pathology* 39(6), 415-427. doi: 10.1111/j.1439-  
1254 0329.2009.00602.x.
- 1255 Hood, I.A., Kimberley, M.O., Gardner, J.F., and Sandberg, C.J. (2002). Armillaria root disease of *Pinus*  
1256 *radiata* in New Zealand. Influence of thinning and pruning. *New Zealand Journal of Forestry*  
1257 *Science* 32(1), 116-132.
- 1258 Hungerford, R.D., Williams, R.E., and Marsden, M.A. (1982). Thinning and pruning Western white pine:  
1259 A potential for reducing mortality due to blister rust. *United States Department of Agriculture*  
1260 *Forest Service*.
- 1261 Hunt, R.S., Geils, B.W., and Hummer, K.E. (2010). White pines, Ribes, and blister rust: integration and  
1262 action. *Forest Pathology* 40(3-4), 402-417. doi: 10.1111/j.1439-0329.2010.00665.x.
- 1263 Jactel, H., Bauhus, J., Boberg, J., Bonal, D., Castagnyrol, B., Gardiner, B., et al. (2017). Tree Diversity  
1264 Drives Forest Stand Resistance to Natural Disturbances. *Current Forestry Reports* 3(3), 223-  
1265 243. doi: 10.1007/s40725-017-0064-1.
- 1266 Jactel, H., Nicoll, B.C., Branco, M., Gonzalez-Olabarria, J.R., Grodzki, W., Langstrom, B., et al. (2009).  
1267 The influences of forest stand management on biotic and abiotic risks of damage. *Annals of*  
1268 *Forest Science* 66(7). doi: 10.1051/forest/2009054.
- 1269 Jules, E.S., Kauffman, M.J., Ritts, W.D., and Carroll, A.L. (2002). Spread of an invasive pathogen over a  
1270 variable landscape: A nonnative root rot on Port Orford Cedar. *Ecology* 83(11), 3167-3181.
- 1271 Kaitera, J. (2002). Short-term Effect of Thinning on *Pinus sylvestris* Damage and Sporulation Caused by  
1272 *Cronartium flaccidum*. *Scandinavian Journal of Forest Research* 17(2), 158-165. doi:  
1273 10.1080/028275802753626809.
- 1274 Kanaskie, A., Osterbauer, N., McWilliams, M., Goheen, E., Hansen, E., and Sutton, W. (2006).  
1275 Eradication of *Phytophthora ramorum* in Oregon forests - Status after 3 years. *Proceedings of*  
1276 *the sudden oak death second science symposium: the state of our knowledge*.
- 1277 Katovich, S., O'Brien, J.G., Mielke, M.E., and Ostry, M. (2004). Restoration and management of Eastern  
1278 white pine within high blister rust hazard zones in the lake states. *USDA Forest Service*  
1279 *Proceeding*, 135-145.
- 1280 King, D.I., and DeGraaf, R.M. (2000). Bird species diversity and nesting success in mature, clearcut and  
1281 shelterwood forest in northern New Hampshire, USA. *Forest Ecology and Management* 129,  
1282 227-235.
- 1283 Kleczkowski, A., Hoyle, A., and McMenemy, P. (2019). One model to rule them all? Modelling  
1284 approaches across OneHealth for human, animal and plant epidemics. *Philosophical*  
1285 *Transactions of the Royal Society B* 374.
- 1286 Kwon, T.S., Shin, J.H., Lim, J.H., Kim, Y.K., and Lee, E.J. (2011). Management of pine wilt disease in  
1287 Korea through preventative silvicultural control. *Forest Ecology and Management* 261(3), 562-  
1288 569. doi: 10.1016/j.foreco.2010.11.008.
- 1289 Lavalley, A. (1991). White pine blister rust control in a 5 year old eastern white pine plantation at  
1290 Vercheres, Quebec (1984-88 results). *Proceedings of the IUFRO rusts of pine working party*  
1291 *conference*.
- 1292 Leak, W.B. (2006). Fifty-year impacts of the beech bark disease in the Bartlett Experimental Forest,  
1293 New Hampshire. *Northern Journal of Applied Forestry* 23(2), 141-143.

- 1294 Liebhold, A.M., Brockerhoff, E.G., Kalisz, S., Nuñez, M.A., Wardle, D.A., and Wingfield, M.J. (2017).  
 1295 Biological invasions in forest ecosystems. *Biological Invasions*. doi: 10.1007/s10530-017-1458-  
 1296 5.
- 1297 Linden, M.L., and Vollbrecht, G. (2002). Sensitivity of *Picea abies* to Butt Rot in pure stands and mixed  
 1298 stands with *Pinus sylvestris* in Southern Sweden. *Silva Fennica* 36(4), 767-778.
- 1299 Lindenmayer, D.B., Franklin, J.F., and Fischer, J. (2006). General management principles and a checklist  
 1300 of strategies to guide forest biodiversity conservation. *Biological Conservation* 131(3), 433-  
 1301 445. doi: 10.1016/j.biocon.2006.02.019.
- 1302 Macpherson, M.F., Kleczkowski, A., Healey, J.R., and Hanley, N. (2017a). Payment for multiple forest  
 1303 benefits alters the effect of tree disease on optimal forest rotation length. *Ecological*  
 1304 *Economics* 134, 82-94. doi: 10.1016/j.econ.2017.01.008.
- 1305 Macpherson, M.F., Kleczkowski, A., Healey, J.R., and Hanley, N. (2018). The Effects of Disease on  
 1306 Optimal Forest Rotation: A Generalisable Analytical Framework. *Environmental and Resource*  
 1307 *Economics* 70(3), 565-588. doi: 10.1007/s10640-016-0077-4.
- 1308 Macpherson, M.F., Kleczkowski, A., Healey, J.R., Quine, C.P., and Hanley, N. (2017b). The effects of  
 1309 invasive pests and pathogens on strategies for forest diversification. *Ecological Modelling* 350,  
 1310 87-99. doi: 10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2017.02.003.
- 1311 Madar, Z., Solel, Z., Szejnberg, A., and Kimchi, M. (1991). EFFECT OF PRUNING OF TRUNK SIDE-  
 1312 BRANCHES OF CYPRESS ON INFECTION BY SEIRIDIUM-CARDINALE AND DIPLODIA-PINEA F-SP  
 1313 CUPRESSI. *Forest Ecology and Management* 44(2-4), 255-260. doi: 10.1016/0378-  
 1314 1127(91)90012-k.
- 1315 Martin, J., Cabezas, J., Buyolo, T., and Paton, D. (2005). The relationship between *Cerambyx* spp.  
 1316 damage and subsequent *Biscogniauxia mediterranea* infection on *Quercus suber* forests.  
 1317 *Forest Ecology and Management* 216(1-3), 166-174. doi: 10.1016/j.foreco.2005.05.027.
- 1318 Matsushita, K., Taki, H., Yamane, F., and Asano, K. (2018). Shadow Value of Ecosystem Resilience in  
 1319 Complex Natural Land as a Wild Pollinator Habitat. *American Journal of Agricultural Economics*  
 1320 100, 829-843.
- 1321 Mattila, U. (2002). The risk of pine twisting rust damage in young Scots pines: a multilevel logit model  
 1322 approach. *Forest Ecology and Management* 165(1-3), 151-161. doi: 10.1016/s0378-  
 1323 1127(01)00657-0.
- 1324 Mattila, U., Jalkanen, R., and Nikula, A. (2001). The effects of forest structure and site characteristics  
 1325 on probability of pine twisting rust damage in young Scots pine stands. *Forest Ecology and*  
 1326 *Management* 142(1-3), 89-97. doi: 10.1016/s0378-1127(00)00342-x.
- 1327 McCracken, A.R., and Dawson, W.M. (1997). Growing clonal mixtures of willow to reduce effect of  
 1328 *Melampsora epitea* var. *epitea*. *European Journal of Forest Pathology* 27, 319-329.
- 1329 McCracken, A.R., and Dawson, W.M. (1998). Short rotation coppic willow in Northern Ireland since  
 1330 1973: development of the use of mixtures in the control of foliar rust (*Melampsora* spp.).  
 1331 *European Journal of Forest Pathology* 28, 241-250.
- 1332 McCracken, A.R., and Dawson, W.M. (2003). Rust disease (*Melampsora epitea*) of willow (*Salix* spp.)  
 1333 grown in short rotation coppice (SRC) in inter- and intra-species mixtures. *Annals of Applied*  
 1334 *Biology* 143, 381-393.
- 1335 McCracken, A.R., Dawson, W.M., and Bowden, G. (2001). Yield response of willow (*Salix*) grown in  
 1336 mixtures in short rotation coppice (SRC). *Biomass & Bioenergy* 21, 311-319.
- 1337 Meadows, J.S., Leininger, T.D., Montwe, D., and Nebeker, T.E. (2013). Thinning to improve growth,  
 1338 bole quality, and forest health in an *Inonotus hispidus* infected red oak-sweetgum stand in the

- 1339 Mississippi delta: 10 year results. *Proceedings of the 15th biennial southern silvicultural*  
1340 *research conference*.
- 1341 Meentemeyer, R.K., Rank, N.E., Anacker, B.L., Rizzo, D.M., and Cushman, J.H. (2008a). Influence of  
1342 land-cover change on the spread of an invasive forest pathogen. *Ecological Applications* 18(1),  
1343 159-171. doi: 10.1890/07-0232.1.
- 1344 Meentemeyer, R.K., Rank, N.E., Shoemaker, D.A., Oneal, C.B., Wickland, A.C., Frangioso, K.M., et al.  
1345 (2008b). Impact of sudden oak death on tree mortality in the Big Sur ecoregion of California.  
1346 *Biological Invasions* 10(8), 1243-1255. doi: 10.1007/s10530-007-9199-5.
- 1347 Menkis, A., Ostbrant, I.L., Wagstrom, K., and Vasaitis, R. (2016). Dutch elm disease on the island of  
1348 Gotland: monitoring disease vector and combat measures. *Scandinavian Journal of Forest*  
1349 *Research* 31(3), 237-241. doi: 10.1080/02827581.2015.1076888.
- 1350 Metzler, B. (1997). Quantitative assessment of fungal colonisation in Norway spruce after green  
1351 pruning. *European Journal of Forest Pathology* 27, 1-11.
- 1352 Millar, C.I., and Stephenson, N.L. (2015). Temperate forest health in an era of emerging  
1353 megadisturbance. *Science* 349(6250), 823-826.
- 1354 Morrison, D.J., Cruickshank, M.G., and Lalumiere, A. (2014). Control of laminated and Armillaria root  
1355 diseases by stump removal and tree species mixtures: Amount and cause of mortality and  
1356 impact on yield after 40 years. *Forest Ecology and Management* 319, 75-98. doi:  
1357 10.1016/j.foreco.2014.02.007.
- 1358 Morrison, D.J., Pellow, K.W., Nemec, A.F.L., Norris, D.J., and Semenov, P. (2001). Effects of selective  
1359 cutting on the epidemiology of armillaria root disease in the southern interior of British  
1360 Columbia. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* 31(1), 59-70. doi: 10.1139/cjfr-31-1-59.
- 1361 Morrison, D.J., Wallis, G.W., and Weir, L.C. (1988). Control of Armillaria and Phellinus root diseases:  
1362 20 year results from the Skimikin stump removal experiment. *Canadian Forestry Service*.
- 1363 Muzika, R.M. (2017). Opportunities for silviculture in management and restoration of forests affected  
1364 by invasive species. *Biological Invasions*. doi: 10.1007/s10530-017-1549-3.
- 1365 Nebeker, T.E., Leininger, T.D., and Meadows, J.S. (1999). Silvicultural practices in forests of the  
1366 Southern United States: Insect and disease considerations. *Tenth Biennial Southern*  
1367 *Silvicultural Research Conference*.
- 1368 Newton, A.C., and Cantarello, E. (2015). Restoration of forest resilience: an achievable goal? *New*  
1369 *Forests* 46(5-6), 645-668.
- 1370 Nguyen, T.P.L., Wright, E.P., Nguyen, T.T., Schuiling-Veninga, C.C.M., Bijlsma, M.J., Nguyen, T.B.Y., et  
1371 al. (2016). Cost-Effectiveness Analysis of Screening for and Managing Identified Hypertension  
1372 for Cardiovascular Disease Prevention in Vietnam. *Plos One* 11(5). doi:  
1373 10.1371/journal.pone.0155699.
- 1374 Nguyen, T.V., Park, Y.S., Jeoung, C.S., Choi, W.I., Kim, Y.K., Jung, I.H., et al. (2017). Spatially explicit  
1375 model applied to pine wilt disease dispersal based on host plant infestation. *Ecological*  
1376 *Modelling* 353, 54-62. doi: 10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2016.10.022.
- 1377 Oliva, J., Samils, N., Johansson, U., Bendz-Hellgren, M., and Stenlid, J. (2008). Urea treatment reduced  
1378 Heterobasidion annosum sl root rot in Picea abies after 15 years. *Forest ecology and*  
1379 *management* 255(7), 2876-2882.
- 1380 Oliva, J., Suz, L.M., and Colinas, C. (2009). Ecology of Armillaria species on silver fir (Abies alba) in the  
1381 Spanish Pyrenees. *Annals of Forest Science* 66(6). doi: 10.1051/forest/2009046.

- 1382 Oliva, J., Thor, M., and Stenlid, J. (2010). Long-term effects of mechanized stump treatment against  
 1383 Heterobasidion annosum root rot in Picea abies. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* 40(6),  
 1384 1020-1033.
- 1385 Ostry, M. (2000). Restoration of White Pine in Minnesota, Wisconsin, and Michigan. *Hort Technology*  
 1386 10(3), 542-543.
- 1387 Ostry, M.E., Laflamme, G., and Katovich, S.A. (2010). Silvicultural approaches for management of  
 1388 eastern white pine to minimize impacts of damaging agents. *Forest Pathology* 40(3-4), 332-  
 1389 346. doi: 10.1111/j.1439-0329.2010.00661.x.
- 1390 Ostry, M.E., Moore, M.J., Kern, C.C., Venette, R.C., and Palik, B.J. (2012). Multiple diseases impact  
 1391 survival of pine species planted in red pine stands harvested in spatially variable retention  
 1392 patterns. *Forest Ecology and Management* 286, 66-72. doi: 10.1016/j.foreco.2012.08.017.
- 1393 Otrósina, W.J., Kliejunas, J.T., Smith, S., Cluck, D.R., Sung, S.S., and Cook, C.D. (2007). Black stain root  
 1394 disease studies on Ponderosa pine- Parameters and disturbanc treatments affecting infection  
 1395 and mortality. *Acta Silva. Lign. Hung., Spec. Edition*, 247-251.
- 1396 Pankuch, J.M., Blenis, P.V., Loeffers, V.J., and Mallett, K.I. (2003). Fungal colonization of aspen roots  
 1397 following mechanical site preparation. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research-Revue*  
 1398 *Canadienne De Recherche Forestiere* 33(12), 2372-2379. doi: 10.1139/x03-172.
- 1399 Pautasso, M., Aas, G., Queloz, V., and Holdenrieder, O. (2013). European ash (*Fraxinus excelsior*)  
 1400 dieback - A conservation biology challenge. *Biological Conservation* 158, 37-49. doi:  
 1401 10.1016/j.biocon.2012.08.026.
- 1402 Pautasso, M., Holdenrieder, O., and Stenlid, J. (2005). Susceptibility to fungal pathogens of forests  
 1403 differing in tree diversity. *Forest diversity and function*.
- 1404 Peacock, L., Hunter, T., Turner, H., and Brain, P. (2001). Does host genotype diversity affect the  
 1405 distribution of insect and disease damage in willow cropping systems? *Journal of Applied*  
 1406 *Ecology* 38(5), 1070-1081. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2664.2001.00655.x.
- 1407 Peterson, E., Hansen, E., and Kanaskie, A. (2014). Spatial relationship between *Phytophthora ramorum*  
 1408 and roads or streams in Oregon tanoak forests. *Forest Ecology and Management* 312, 216-  
 1409 224. doi: 10.1016/j.foreco.2013.10.002.
- 1410 Piirto, D.D., and Valkonen, S. (2005). Structure and development of pitch canker infected Monterey  
 1411 pine stands at Ano Nuevo, California. *Forest Ecology and Management* 213(1-3), 160-174. doi:  
 1412 10.1016/j.foreco.2005.03.026.
- 1413 Pimm, S.L. (1984). The complexity and stability of ecosystems. *Nature* 307, 321-326.
- 1414 Pleysier, C.E., Bayliss, K.L., Dell, B., and Hardy, G.E.S. (2006). Temperature, humidity, wounding and  
 1415 leaf age influence the development of *Alternaria alternata* lesions on leaves of *Paulownia*  
 1416 *fortunei*. *Australasian Plant Pathology* 35(3), 329-333. doi: 10.1071/ap06030.
- 1417 Power, A.G., and Mitchell, C.E. (2004). Pathogen spillover in disease epidemics. *American Naturalist*  
 1418 164, S79-S89.
- 1419 Poyatos, R., Latron, J., and Llorens, P. (2002). Land Use and Land Cover Change After Agricultural  
 1420 Abandonment. *Mountain Research and Development* 23(4), 362-368.
- 1421 Prospero, S., and Cleary, M. (2017). Effects of Host Variability on the Spread of Invasive Forest  
 1422 Diseases. *Forests* 8(3). doi: 10.3390/f8030080.
- 1423 Puddu, A., Luisi, N., Capretti, P., and Santini, A. (2003). Environmental factors related to damage by  
 1424 *Heterobasidion abietinum* in *Abies alba* forests in Southern Italy. *Forest Ecology and*  
 1425 *Management* 180(1-3), 37-44. doi: 10.1016/s0378-1127(02)00607-2.

- 1426 Ramage, B.S., Forrestel, A.B., Moritz, M.A., and O'Hara, K.L. (2012). Sudden oak death disease  
1427 progression across two forest types and spatial scales. *Journal of Vegetation Science* 23(1),  
1428 151-163. doi: 10.1111/j.1654-1103.2011.01340.x.
- 1429 Raymond, P., and Bédard, S. (2017). The irregular shelterwood system as an alternative to clearcutting  
1430 to achieve compositional and structural objectives in temperate mixedwood stands. *Forest  
1431 Ecology and Management* 398, 91-100. doi: 10.1016/j.foreco.2017.04.042.
- 1432 Richter, B.S., Benson, D.M., and Ivors, K.L. (2011a). Microbial Profiling of Cultural Systems for  
1433 Suppression of Phytophthora Root Rot in Fraser Fir. *Plant Disease* 95(5), 537-546. doi:  
1434 10.1094/pdis-03-10-0238.
- 1435 Richter, B.S., Ivors, K., Shi, W., and Benson, D.M. (2011b). Cellulase Activity as a Mechanism for  
1436 Suppression of Phytophthora Root Rot in Mulches. *Phytopathology* 101(2), 223-230. doi:  
1437 10.1094/phyto-04-10-0125.
- 1438 Roach, W.J., Simard, S.W., and Sachs, D.L. (2015). Evidence against planting lodgepole pine  
1439 monocultures in the cedar-hemlock forests of southeastern British Columbia. *Forestry* 88(3),  
1440 345-358. doi: 10.1093/forestry/cpv005.
- 1441 Robinson, R.M. (2003). Short-term impact of thinning and fertilizer application on Armillaria root  
1442 disease in regrowth karri (*Eucalyptus diversicolor* F. Muell.) in Western Australia. *Forest  
1443 Ecology and Management* 176(1-3), 417-426. doi: 10.1016/s0378-1127(02)00231-1.
- 1444 Ronnberg, J. (2000). Logging operation damage to roots of clear-felled *Picea abies* and Subsequent  
1445 spore infection by *Heterobasidion annosum*. *Silva Fennica* 34(1), 29-36.
- 1446 Rosenvald, R., Drenkhan, R., Riit, T., and Lohmus, A. (2015). Towards silvicultural mitigation of the  
1447 European ash (*Fraxinus excelsior*) dieback: the importance of acclimated trees in retention  
1448 forestry. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* 45(9), 1206-1214. doi: 10.1139/cjfr-2014-0512.
- 1449 Rosso, P., and Hansen, E. (1998). Tree vigour and the susceptibility of Douglas fir to Armillaria root  
1450 disease. *Forest Pathology* 28(1), 43-52. doi: 10.1111/j.1439-0329.1998.tb01164.x.
- 1451 Sakamoto, K., Tamesa, T., Yukio, T., Tokuhisa, Y., Maeda, Y., and Oka, M. (2016). Risk Factors and  
1452 Managements of Bile Leakage After Hepatectomy. *World Journal of Surgery* 40(1), 182-189.  
1453 doi: 10.1007/s00268-015-3156-8.
- 1454 Santini, A., Pepori, A., Ghelardini, L., and Capretti, P. (2008). Persistence of some pine pathogens in  
1455 coarse woody debris and cones in a *Pinus pinea* forest. *Forest Ecology and Management*  
1456 256(3), 502-506. doi: 10.1016/j.foreco.2008.05.010.
- 1457 Schoettle, A.W., and Sniezko, R.A. (2007). Proactive intervention to sustain high-elevation pine  
1458 ecosystems threatened by white pine blister rust. *Journal of Forest Research* 12(5), 327-336.  
1459 doi: 10.1007/s10310-007-0024-x.
- 1460 Shaw, C.G., Omdal, D.W., Ramsey-Kroll, A., and Roth, L.F. (2012). Inoculum Reduction Measures to  
1461 Manage Armillaria Root Disease in a Severely Infected Stand of Ponderosa Pine in South-  
1462 Central Washington: 35-Year Results. *Western Journal of Applied Forestry* 27(1), 25-29.
- 1463 Simard, S.W., Hagerman, S.M., Sachs, D.L., Heineman, J.L., and Mather, W.J. (2005). Conifer growth,  
1464 Armillaria ostoyae root disease, and plant diversity responses to broadleaf competition  
1465 reduction in mixed forests of southern interior British Columbia. *Canadian Journal of Forest  
1466 Research* 35(4), 843-859. doi: 10.1139/x05-010.
- 1467 Staudhammer, C.L., Jokela, E.J., and Martin, T.A. (2009). Competition dynamics in pure- versus mixed-  
1468 family stands of loblolly and slash pine in the southeastern United States. *Canadian Journal of  
1469 Forest Research-Revue Canadienne De Recherche Forestiere* 39(2), 396-409. doi: 10.1139/x08-  
1470 184.

- 1471 Swedjemark, G., and Stenlid, J. (1993). Population dynamics of the root rot fungus *Heterobasidion*  
1472 *annosum* following thinning of *Picea abies*. *Oikos*, 247-254.
- 1473 Tarigan, M., Wingfield, M.J., van Wyk, M., Tjahjono, B., and Roux, J. (2011). Pruning quality affects  
1474 infection of *Acacia mangium* and *A. crassicarpa* by *Ceratocystis acaciivora* and *Lasiodiplodia*  
1475 *theobromae*. *Southern Forests* 73(3-4), 187-191. doi: 10.2989/20702620.2011.639498.
- 1476 Thies, W.G., Kelsey, R.G., Westlind, D.J., and Madsen, J. (2006). Potassium fertilizer applied  
1477 immediately after planting had no impact on Douglas-fir seedling mortality caused by  
1478 laminated root rot on a forested site in Washington State. *Forest Ecology and Management*  
1479 229(1-3), 195-201. doi: 10.1016/j.foreco.2006.03.028.
- 1480 Thies, W.G., and Westlind, D.J. (2005). Stump removal and fertilization of five *Phellinus weirii*-infested  
1481 stands in Washington and Oregon affect mortality and growth of planted Douglas-fir 25 years  
1482 after treatment. *Forest Ecology and Management* 219(2-3), 242-258. doi:  
1483 10.1016/j.foreco.2005.08.050.
- 1484 Thor, M., and Stenlid, J. (2005). *Heterobasidion annosum* infection of *Picea abies* following manual or  
1485 mechanized stump treatment. *Scandinavian Journal of Forest Research* 20(2), 154-164. doi:  
1486 10.1080/02827580510008338.
- 1487 Valachovic, Y., Cobb, R.C., Rizzo, D., Twieg, B., Lee, C., and Glebocki, R. (2013a). Is stump sprout  
1488 treatment necessary to effectively control *Phytophthora ramorum* in California's wildlands.  
1489 *Proceedings of the sudden oak death fifth science symposium*.
- 1490 Valachovic, Y., Lee, C., Marshall, J., and Scanlon, H. (2010). "Forest Treatment Strategies for  
1491 *Phytophthora ramorum*," in *Proceedings of the Sudden Oak Death Fourth Science*  
1492 *Symposium.*, 239-+.
- 1493 Valachovic, Y., Lee, C., Twieg, B., Rizzo, D., Cobb, R.C., and Glebocki, R. (2013b). Suppression of  
1494 *Phytophthora ramorum* infestation through silvicultural treatment in California's north coast.  
1495 *Proceedings of the sudden oak death fifth science symposium*.
- 1496 van der Kamp, B.J. (1994). Lodgepole pine stem disease and management of stand density in the  
1497 British Columbia interior. *The Forestry Chronicle* 70(6), 773-779.
- 1498 Walker, B., Pearson, L., Harris, M., Maler, K.G., Li, C., Biggs, R., et al. (2010). Incorporating resilience in  
1499 the assessment of inclusive wealth. *Environmental and Resource Economics* 45, 183-202.
- 1500 Ward, J.S., Anagnostakis, S., and Ferrandino, F.J. (2010). *Nectria* Canker Incidence on Birch (*Betula*  
1501 *sp.*) in Connecticut. *Northern Journal of Applied Forestry* 27(3), 85-91.
- 1502 Waring, K.M., and O'Hara, K.L. (2005). Silvicultural strategies in forest ecosystems affected by  
1503 introduced pests. *Forest Ecology and Management* 209(1-2), 27-41. doi:  
1504 10.1016/j.foreco.2005.01.008.
- 1505 Warren, C. (2007). Perspectives on the 'alien' vs 'native' species debate: a critique of concepts,  
1506 language and practice. *Progress in Human Geography* 31(4), 427-446.
- 1507 Whitney, R.D., and Irwin, R.N. (2005). Comparison of *Armillaria* root disease on burned and unburned,  
1508 harvested sites in Ontario. *Forestry Chronicle* 81(1), 56-60.
- 1509 Wingfield, M.J., Brockerhoff, E., Wingfield, B.D., and Slippers, B. (2015). Planted forest health: The  
1510 need for a global strategy. *Science* 349(6250), 832-836.
- 1511 Wingfield, M.J., Slippers, B., Wingfield, B.D., and Barnes, I. (2017). The unified framework for biological  
1512 invasions: a forest fungal pathogen perspective. *Biological Invasions*. doi: 10.1007/s10530-  
1513 017-1450-0.

1514 Wingfield, M.J., and Swart, W.J. (1994). Integrated management of forest tree diseases in South-Africa  
1515 *Forest Ecology and Management* 65(1), 11-16. doi: 10.1016/0378-1127(94)90253-4.

1516 Woodward, S., Stenlid, J., Karjalainen, R., and Huttermann, A. (1998). *Heterobasidion annosum*  
1517 *Biology, Ecology, Impact and Control*. Wallingford: CAB International.

1518 Zeglen, S., Pronos, J., and Merler, H. (2010). Silvicultural management of white pines in western North  
1519 America. *Forest Pathology* 40(3-4), 347-368. doi: 10.1111/j.1439-0329.2010.00662.x.

1520

## 1521 **9 Author Contributions**

1522 JRH conceived the study. AK, NB, CG and JH acquired funding for the project. JH and MR  
1523 designed the study. MR carried out data collection, performed the analysis and led the  
1524 drafting of the manuscript, with input from JH. All authors discussed and interpreted the  
1525 results and contributed to the writing of the final manuscript.

1526

## 1527 **10 Funding**

1528 This work is from the project titled *Modelling economic impact and strategies to increase*  
1529 *resilience against tree disease outbreaks*. This is one of seven projects in the Tree Health and  
1530 Plant Biosecurity Initiative (phase 2) funded by BBSRC, Defra, ESRC, Forestry  
1531 Commission, NERC and Scottish Government. The Rural & Environment Science &  
1532 Analytical Services Division of the Scottish Government provided supporting capacity to MR  
1533 for final editing of the paper.

1534

## 1535 **11 Acknowledgments**

1536 The authors thank the other members of the FOREMOD project team (Chris Quine, Morag  
1537 Macpherson, Ciara Dangerfield and Oleg Sheremet) for valuable discussions and insights  
1538 with have contributed to the development of this paper in many ways.

1539

## 1540 **12 Conflict of Interest Statement**

1541 The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or  
1542 financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

1543

## 1544 **13 Data Availability**

1545 All datasets generated for this study are included in the manuscript and the supplementary  
1546 files.

1547

1548

1549 **Table 1. Overview of coverage of identified papers**

1550

Location	Percentage of papers
North America (excluding California and Oregon coastal forests)	35
California and Oregon coastal forests	24
Europe	29
Other	11
Tree type	
Broad leaves	48
Conifer	52
Pathogen type	
Fungi (excluding Armillaria)	48
Armillaria	17
Oomycete (all phytophthora)	21
General	14

1551

1552

1553

1554 **Table 2. Overview of published evidence of effects of forest management on resilience to**  
 1555 **tree diseases.**

1556

---

**Identification and management of sources of primary infection**

Management	Disease indication	Trend	References
Connectivity	Mortality	Increased	(Jules et al., 2002)
	Disease incidence	Increased	(Hessburg, 2001; Condeso, 2007; Cushman and Meentemeyer, 2008; Meentemeyer et al., 2008a; Ellis et al., 2010; Haas, 2011; Goheen et al., 2012; Peterson et al., 2014)
	Disease severity	Increased	(Condeso, 2007; Haas, 2011; Havdova, 2017) (Meentemeyer et al., 2008a; Meentemeyer et al., 2008b)
	Recovery	No data	
Previous land use	Mortality	No data	
	Disease incidence	Varied	(Puddu et al., 2003; Meentemeyer et al., 2008a)
	Disease severity	Increased in previous forest land	(Meentemeyer et al., 2008a)
	Recovery	No data	
Site preparation	Mortality	Decreased	(Morrison et al., 1988; Gibbs, 2002; Hood et al., 2002; Thies and Westlind, 2005; Whitney and Irwin, 2005; Cram, 2010; Richter et al., 2011b; Shaw et al., 2012; Cleary et al., 2013; Morrison et al., 2014)
	Disease incidence	Varied	(Morrison et al., 1988; Ronnberg, 2000; Hood et al., 2002; Pankuch et al., 2003; Thies and Westlind, 2005; Thor and Stenlid, 2005; Holzmueller et al., 2008; Hood and Kimberley, 2009; Cram, 2010; Fichtner et al., 2011; Kwon

---

			et al., 2011; Richter et al., 2011a; Beh et al., 2012; Shaw et al., 2012; Cleary et al., 2013; Valachovic et al., 2013b; Bauman et al., 2014; Crone et al., 2014; Morrison et al., 2014; Roach et al., 2015)
Disease severity	Varied		(Blodgett et al., 1997; Filip et al., 2002; Anglberger and Halmschlager, 2003; Thies et al., 2006; Halmschlager and Katzensteiner, 2017)
Recovery	Varied		(Thies and Westlind, 2005; Begley et al., 2009; Morrison et al., 2014)

---

### Management of sources of secondary infection

Management	Disease indication	Trend	References
Tree establishment under alternative silvicultural systems	Mortality	Varied	(Ostry, 2000; Katovich et al., 2004; Ferchaw et al., 2013)
	Disease incidence	Varied	(Ostry, 2000; Katovich et al., 2004; Filip et al., 2010; Ward et al., 2010; Ostry et al., 2012; Ferchaw et al., 2013)
	Disease severity	Decreased	(Rosenvald et al., 2015)
	Recovery	Varied	(Filip et al., 2010; Ferchaw et al., 2013)
Canopy cover	Mortality	No data	
	Disease incidence	Varied	(Campbell, 2000; Ellis et al., 2010)
	Disease severity	Increased	(Condeso, 2007)
	Recovery	No data	
Tree density	Mortality	Increased	(Burdon et al., 1992; Gerlach et al., 1997; Bishaw et al., 2003)

---

---

	Disease incidence	Increased	(McCracken and Dawson, 1998; Bergdahl et al., 2002; Bishaw et al., 2003; Piirto and Valkonen, 2005; Staudhammer et al., 2009; Dillon et al., 2014)
	Disease severity	Increased	(DesprezLoustau and Wagner, 1997; McCracken and Dawson, 1998; Mattila et al., 2001; Mattila, 2002; Meentemeyer et al., 2008a; Dillon et al., 2014; Havdova, 2017)
	Recovery	No impact	(Bishaw et al., 2003)
Thinning	Mortality	Varied	(van der Kamp, 1994; Robinson, 2003; Baleshta et al., 2005)
	Disease incidence	Varied	(Hungerford et al., 1982; Harrington et al., 1983; Entry, 1991; van der Kamp, 1994; Rosso and Hansen, 1998; Nebeker et al., 1999; Hessburg, 2001; Morrison et al., 2001; Hood et al., 2002; Kaitera, 2002; Pankuch et al., 2003; Robinson, 2003; Thor and Stenlid, 2005; Leak, 2006; Dwyer et al., 2007; Otrosina et al., 2007; Bulman, 2008; Hood and Kimberley, 2009; Oliva et al., 2009; Meadows et al., 2013; Roach et al., 2015)
	Disease severity	Varied	(Morrison et al., 2001; Roach et al., 2015; de Urbina et al., 2017)
	Recovery	Increased	(Amorini et al., 2001; Morrison et al., 2001; Hood and Kimberley, 2009; Meadows et al., 2013)
Diseased tree removal	Mortality	No data	
	Disease incidence	Decreased	(Kanaskie et al., 2006; Ganley and Bulman, 2016; Menkis et al., 2016)
	Disease severity	Decreased	(Amorini et al., 2001; Greene et al., 2008)
	Recovery	No data	
	Mortality	Decreased	(Lavallee, 1991)

---

---

Pruning and coppicing	Disease incidence	Varied	(Hungerford et al., 1982; Chou, 1988; Hagle, 1988; Lavalley, 1991; Madar et al., 1991; Metzler, 1997; Ostry, 2000; Hood et al., 2002; McCracken and Dawson, 2003; Pleysier et al., 2006; Tarigan et al., 2011; Valachovic et al., 2013a; Sakamoto et al., 2016)
	Disease severity	Varied	(Ostry, 2000; Martin et al., 2005; Bezos et al., 2012; Sakamoto et al., 2016; de Urbina et al., 2017)
	Recovery	No data	
Species diversity and mixtures	Mortality	Decreased (dependant on species mixture)	(Heybroek, 1982; Gerlach et al., 1997; McCracken and Dawson, 1997; 1998; D'Souza et al., 2004; Simard et al., 2005; Ramage et al., 2012; Morrison et al., 2014)
	Disease incidence	Decreased (dependant on species mixture)	(Benedict, 1981; Gerlach et al., 1997; McCracken and Dawson, 1997; Campbell, 2000; Mattila et al., 2001; Peacock et al., 2001; Bergdahl et al., 2002; Linden and Vollbrecht, 2002; Mattila, 2002; Puddu et al., 2003; Santini et al., 2008; Begley et al., 2009; Oliva et al., 2009; Davidson et al., 2011; Haas, 2011; Hantsch, 2013; Haas et al., 2016; Nguyen et al., 2016)
	Disease severity	Decreased (dependant on species mixture)	(Hantsch, 2013; Hantsch et al., 2014a; Hantsch et al., 2014b; Havdova, 2017)
	Recovery	Increased (dependant on species mixture)	(Gerlach et al., 1997; McCracken et al., 2001; Baleshta et al., 2005; Simard et al., 2005; Begley et al., 2009; Morrison et al., 2014)

---

1557

1558

1559 **Table 3. Summary of studies in tree species diversity/mixture effects.**

1560

Pathogen	Tree species	Location	Diversity measure	Planted tree species mixture considered ?	Effect	Reference
General disease	General forest	Europe (multiple locations)	Forest tree diversity	No	Reduced disease incidence	(Nguyen et al., 2017)
Fungal infections	Broadleaf	Germany	Species richness	No	Reduced disease incidence in most susceptible species	(Hantsch et al., 2014a)
<i>Fusarium</i> sp. canker	Sugar Maple	Canada	Tree diversity	No	Reduced disease incidence	(Bergdahl et al., 2002)
<i>P. ramorum</i>	Oak forest	California	Species richness	No	Reduced disease incidence	(Haas, 2011; Haas et al., 2016)
<i>P. ramorum</i>	Douglas fir	California	Tree diversity	No	Reduced mortality	(Ramage et al., 2012)
<i>Armillaria</i> sp.	Silver fir	Spain and Italy	Pure vs mixed stands	No	Increased isolation of pathogen from soil in mixed stands	(Oliva et al., 2009)
Root rot	Lodgepole pine	British Columbia, Canada	Mixtures containing cedar and birch	Yes	Reduced mortality	(Morrison et al., 2014)

---

Root rot	Lodgepole pine	British Columbia, Canada	Mixtures containing Douglas fir	Yes	Increased mortality	(Morrison et al., 2014)
<i>P. cinnamomi</i>	Eucalyptus	Australia	Mixture containing <i>Acacia pulchella</i>	Yes	Reduced mortality (not seen with other <i>Acacia</i> species)	(D'Souza et al., 2004)
<i>H. annosum</i>	Norway spruce	Norway	Mixture containing Scots pine	Yes	Reduced disease incidence	(Linden and Vollbrecht, 2002)
Fungal infection	<i>Tilia cordata</i>	Germany	Mixture containing Scots pine	Yes	Reduced disease incidence	(Hantsch et al., 2014a)
Fungal infection	<i>Quercus petraea</i>	Germany	Mixture containing Norway pine	Yes	Increased pathogen load	(Hantsch et al., 2014a)
Fungal infection	<i>Tilia cordata</i>	Germany	Mixture containing European beech	Yes	Increased pathogen load	(Hantsch et al., 2014a)
Ash dieback	Ash	Czech Republic	Mixture containing <i>Abies</i> and <i>Acer</i> species	Yes	Reduced disease severity at stand level	(Havdova, 2017)
Ash dieback	Ash	Czech Republic	Mixture containing oak species	Yes	Increased disease severity at stand level	(Havdova, 2017)

---

---

<i>Armillaria</i> root rot	Douglas fir	USA	Mixture containin g conifers	Yes	Increased mortality	(Gerlach et al., 1997)
<i>Armillaria</i> root rot	Douglas fir	British Columbia , Canada	Mixture containin g conifers	Yes	Increased mortality	(Baleshta et al., 2005; Simard et al., 2005)
<i>Melampsor a pinitorqua</i>	Scots pine	Finland	Mixture containin g aspen and willow	Yes	Increased disease incidence	(Mattila et al., 2001)
<i>Melampsor a pinitorqua</i>	Scots pine	Finland	Mixture containin g aspen	Yes	Increased mortality	(Mattila, 2002)
Fungal infection	Mixed forest	Germany	Mixture containin g <i>Qurecus petraea</i>	Yes	Increased pathogen load	(Hantsch, 2013)
<i>Melampsor a epitea</i>	Willow	Ireland	Clone diversity	Yes	Reduced mortality for most susceptibl e clones	(McCracke n and Dawson, 1998)
<i>Melampsor a epitea</i>	Willow	Ireland	Clone diversity	Yes	Later disease onset within stands under 4 years old	(McCracke n and Dawson, 1997)
<i>Melampsor a epitea</i>	Willow	Northern Ireland	Clone diversity	Yes	Increased growth rate	(McCracke n et al., 2001)

---

---

<i>Melampsora epitea</i>	Willow	Northern Ireland	Clone diversity	Yes	No change in mortality, but higher growth in the first 3 year harvest cycle.	(Begley et al., 2009)
--------------------------	--------	------------------	-----------------	-----	------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------

---