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Abstract

We reconsider the Beladi et al. (1993) technique to measure expectations in hyperinflation episodes by allowing money to be substitutable with foreign assets as well as goods. We determine new correctness conditions for adaptive and rational expectations formation in this context and show that, taking into account these conditions, the data for the German hyperinflation of the 1920s is consistent with rational expectation formation.

Keywords: Rational expectations, Adaptive expectations, Hyperinflation, Germany

JEL classification: E31, E41

Word count: 2022
Expectations in an open economy hyperinflation: evidence from Germany 1921-23

1. Introduction

Expectations formation plays a crucial role in hyperinflation episodes. The prevailing explanations of hyperinflation episodes employ money demand functions in which expectations formation is assumed a priori to be either adaptive (AE) or rational (RE), and are almost always measured ex-post using a time series approach, as in Cagan (1956) and Sargent and Wallace (1973), or a market based approach, as in Frenkel (1977) and Webb (1986). One of the few contributions in which an attempt is made to determine ex-ante the type of expectations present in hyperinflation episodes is due to Beladi et al. (1993) who employ Chow’s (1989) method to establish the correctness conditions for the presence of AE or RE expectations in the demand for money. They then test the conditions of the two expectations hypotheses with reference to the 1920’s hyperinflations in Germany, Poland and Hungary. In their empirical analysis, Beladi et al. (1993) use a Cagan (1956)-type demand for money function in which money is substitutable only with goods and report that in the case of Germany the data is consistent with the AE hypothesis. The Cagan demand for money is consistent with the so-called fiscal view, according to which German hyperinflation was due exclusively to money financing of huge government deficits. However, as shown by Holtfrerich (1960), German hyperinflation was fed also by large capital outflows. In this paper, we show that if the demand for money function allows money to be substitutable with foreign assets in addition to goods—i.e., the open economy case—the correctness conditions of the AE and RE hypotheses change and the results of empirical estimates of the German hyperinflation of the 1920s support the RE hypothesis.

1 See Blinder (2016).
2. The correctness conditions in a Cagan-type demand for money

In their approach to expected inflation in hyperinflation episodes Beladi et al. (1993) used a Cagan (1956)-type demand for real monetary balances of the following form:

\[ m_t - p_t = \alpha - \beta (p^e_{t+1} - p_t) \]  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where \( m \) is the logarithm of the money supply, \( p \) the logarithm of the price level, and \( p^e \) the logarithm of the expected price level. Defining \( \delta = \beta (1 + \beta)^{-1} \), equation (1) can be expressed as follows:

\[ p_t = -\alpha (1 - \delta) + (1 - \delta) m_t + \delta p^e_{t+1} \]  \hspace{1cm} (2)

Under the RE hypothesis: \( p^e_{t+1} = p_{t+1} + \varepsilon_{t+1} \) where \( \varepsilon_{t+1} \) is a random error with zero mean and constant variance. Therefore, in the case of rational expectations, equation (2) becomes:

\[ p_t = -\alpha (1 - \delta^{-1}) + \delta^{-1} p_{t-1} + (1 - \delta^{-1}) m_{t-1} - \varepsilon_t \]  \hspace{1cm} (3)

From this equation they get the correctness conditions of the RE hypothesis reported in part A of Table 1.
According to the (AE) hypothesis: 

\[ p_{t+1}^e - p_t^e = \theta(p_t - p_t^e) + \varepsilon_t, \]

where, as before, \( \varepsilon_t \) is a random error. Solving eq. (2) for \( p_{t+1}^e \) and \( p_t^e \), and using them into the AE equation, the price level becomes:

\[ p_t = (1 - \theta)^{-1}\{-\alpha(1 - \delta) + (1 - \theta)p_{t-1} + \theta(1 - \delta)m_{t-1} + (1 - \delta)(m_t - m_{t-1}) + \delta \varepsilon_t \} \]

(4)

The correctness conditions of the AE hypothesis are given in panel A of Table 1. Using equations (3) and (4) Beladi et al. (1993) found that in the German hyperinflation the demand for money was informed by the AE expectations.

3. Correctness conditions when money is substitutable with both goods and foreign assets

Several scholars (e.g., Abel et al., 1979; Dornbusch et al., 1990; Taylor, 1991) have pointed out that the demand for money put forward by Cagan (1956) and developed by Sargent and Wallace (1973) and Sargent (1986) refers to a closed economy. This implies that in a context of hyperinflation a country’s currency is replaced exclusively with goods. In reality, in a context of perfect capital mobility, the exchange rate plays an independent role in the demand for money. Therefore, as argued by Abel et al. (1979) and Taylor (1991), the demand for money becomes:

\[ (1') m_t - p_t = \alpha' - \beta'(p_{t+1}^e - p_t) - \gamma' \sigma_t \]
where to the terms of equation (1) has been added the premium (discount) of the forward exchange rate on the spot exchange rate ($\sigma_t$). Using equation (1’), equation (3) and (4) become respectively: \(^2\)

\[
(3’) p_t = \delta'^{-1}p_{t-1} - \alpha'(1 - \delta'^{-1}) + \gamma'(1 - \delta'^{-1})\sigma_{t-1} + (1 - \delta'^{-1})m_{t-1} - \varepsilon_t
\]

and

\[
(4’) p_t = \frac{1}{1-\theta\delta}\{-\alpha'\theta(1 - \delta) + (1 - \theta)p_{t-1} + (1 - \delta)(m_t - m_{t-1}) + \theta(1 - \delta)m_{t-1} + \\
+\gamma'1-\delta\sigma t-\sigma t-1+\gamma'\theta1-\delta\sigma t-1+\varepsilon t
\]

The correctness conditions that refer to eq. (1’) are given in panel B of Table 1

4. Empirics

4.1. Instrumenting for money and exchange rate

Because of possible endogeneity issues in estimating the price level, we instrument the money supply and the exchange rate. In the estimate of equation (4) we used an instrumental variable $\hat{m}_t$ estimated as:

\[
(5) \hat{m}_t = \vartheta_0 + \sum_{i=1}^3 \vartheta_{1i}m_{t-i} + \sum_{i=1}^2 \vartheta_{2i}p_{t-i}
\]

\(^2\) Where, as before, $\delta' = \beta'(1 + \beta')^{-1} < 1.$
while in the estimate of equation (4’), the open economy case, we use an instrumental variable \( \delta_t \) estimated as:

\[
(6) \quad \delta_t = \zeta_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{3} \zeta_{1i} \sigma_{t-i} + \sum_{i=1}^{2} \zeta_{2i} p_{t-i}
\]

and, taking account of eq. (1’), an instrumental variable for money demand specified as:

\[
(5') \quad \hat{m}_t = \varphi_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{4} \varphi_{1i} m_{t-i} + \sum_{i=1}^{2} \varphi_{2i} p_{t-i} + \sum_{i=1}^{2} \varphi_{3i} \sigma_{t-i}
\]

The estimates of equations (5) and (5’) are reported in Table 2, in which columns 1 and 2 report results employing the narrow definition of money, and columns 3 and 4 report results employing broad money. Column 5 reports the result for eq. (6) related to the exchange rate.

4.2. Expectations and hyperinflation

Table 3 report IV estimates of the two expectations hypotheses for German hyperinflation during May 1921 to August 1923, the same sample period employed by Beladi et al. (1993). Prices are measured using the wholesale price index; the money supply is measured alternately by notes and coin in circulation (narrow money) and notes and coin plus bank deposits (broad money); and the exchange rate is the monthly average rate against the US dollar.³

Columns 1 to 3 of the table report results in which the narrow money supply is the independent variable in the price estimate and columns 4 to 6 report results in which the broad money supply

³ The money supply data and price data are from Sargent (1986) and Bresciani and Turroni (1937) and the exchange rate data is from Holtfrerich (1986).
is the independent variable. Column 1 reports the result from estimating equation (4) where the result is consistent with the correctness conditions of the AE hypothesis (set out in panel A of Table 1) being fulfilled and is in line with the result reported by Beladi et al. (1993). Column 2 reports the result for the open economy case (represented by the exchange rate) where the lack of statistical significance of the coefficient on the lagged change in the money supply, \( \hat{m}_t - m_{t-1} \), means that the correctness conditions of the AE hypothesis are not fulfilled. Column 3 reports the estimate for the RE hypothesis in an open economy; in this case, the correctness conditions of the hypothesis (as set out in panel B of Table 1) are met, which suggests that the RE hypothesis is more consistent with the German hyperinflation data in the case of narrow money. The results change somewhat when the broad money supply is used as an independent variable. In the result reported in column 4 the correctness conditions of the AE hypothesis are not met given that the coefficient on \( p_{t-1} \) is greater than 1 and the coefficient on \( \hat{m}_t - m_{t-1} \) is not statistically significant. Column 5 reports the result for the AE hypothesis in the open economy case, as for narrow money, the correctness conditions are not met because the coefficient on \( \hat{m}_t - m_{t-1} \) is not statistically significant and the sign on the coefficient is negative. Finally, column 6 reports the estimate for the RE hypothesis in an open economy where the sum of the coefficients on \( m_{t-1} \) and \( p_{t-1} \) is equal to 1 and the sign on the coefficient of \( \sigma_{t-1} \) is negative, consistent with the correctness conditions for the hypothesis. Overall, the results employing either a narrow or broad definition of money in estimates of the German hyperinflation appear to support the RE hypotheses.

5. Conclusions
This paper builds on the contribution by Beladi et al. (1993) to determine the conditions of correctness of the adaptive and rational expectation hypotheses. However, unlike these scholars, we make reference to a demand for money in which money is substitutable not only with goods, as in Cagan (1956), but also with foreign financial assets and we redefine the correctness of the adaptive and rational expectation hypotheses accordingly. Our empirical results based on the restrictions determined in this manner suggest that, contrary to the findings reported by Beladi et al. (1993), inflation expectations in 1920s German hyperinflation were rational as opposed to adaptive.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Panel A: Cagan (1956)-type demand for money</th>
<th>Rational expectations</th>
<th>Adaptive expectations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( p_{t-1} &gt; 1 )</td>
<td>( 0 &lt; p_{t-1} &lt; 1 )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( p_{t-1} + m_{t-1} = 1 )</td>
<td>( p_{t-1} + m_{t-1} = 1 )</td>
<td>( m_t - m_{t-1} ) significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \sigma_{t-1} &lt; 0 )</td>
<td>( 0 &lt; m_t - m_{t-1} &lt; 1 )</td>
<td>( \sigma_t - \sigma_{t-1} &gt; 0 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>( \sigma_{t-1} &gt; 0 )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Panel B: Demand for money in an open economy</th>
<th>Rational expectations</th>
<th>Adaptive expectations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( p_{t-1} &gt; 1 )</td>
<td>( 0 &lt; p_{t-1} &lt; 1 )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( p_{t-1} + m_{t-1} = 1 )</td>
<td>( p_{t-1} + m_{t-1} = 1 )</td>
<td>( m_t - m_{t-1} ) significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \sigma_{t-1} &lt; 0 )</td>
<td>( 0 &lt; m_t - m_{t-1} &lt; 1 )</td>
<td>( \sigma_t - \sigma_{t-1} &gt; 0 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>( \sigma_{t-1} &gt; 0 )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2
Instrumenting for the money supply and the spot exchange rate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Narrow money supply</th>
<th>Broad money supply</th>
<th>Exchange rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>-1.172***</td>
<td>-0.911***</td>
<td>4.215***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.314)</td>
<td>(0.290)</td>
<td>(1.460)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$m_{t-1}$</td>
<td>0.891***</td>
<td>0.930***</td>
<td>0.822***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.134)</td>
<td>(0.131)</td>
<td>(0.192)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$m_{t-2}$</td>
<td>0.572**</td>
<td>0.327</td>
<td>-0.397</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.214)</td>
<td>(0.235)</td>
<td>(0.248)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$m_{t-3}$</td>
<td>-0.194</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.147)</td>
<td>(0.153)</td>
<td>(0.181)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$p_{t-1}$</td>
<td>1.264***</td>
<td>1.205***</td>
<td>-2.284</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.127)</td>
<td>(0.115)</td>
<td>(1.709)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$p_{t-2}$</td>
<td>-1.436***</td>
<td>-1.548***</td>
<td>4.329**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.157)</td>
<td>(0.155)</td>
<td>(2.020)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma_{t-1}$</td>
<td>-0.007</td>
<td>-0.336</td>
<td>0.059</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.018)</td>
<td>(0.332)</td>
<td>(0.433)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma_{t-2}$</td>
<td>-0.051***</td>
<td>-0.224</td>
<td>-0.645</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.018)</td>
<td>(0.310)</td>
<td>(0.394)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma_{t-3}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R2-adj</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes. Standard errors in parenthesis below the estimated coefficients.
Table 3
Adaptive v rational expectations in the German hyperinflation, 1921-1923—dependent variable $p_t$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Narrow money supply as independent variable</th>
<th>Broad money supply as independent variable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>-0.603 (0.603)</td>
<td>-0.046 (0.580)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$p_{t-1}$</td>
<td>0.886*** (0.132)</td>
<td>0.447* (0.221)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$m_{t-1}$</td>
<td>0.179 (0.161)</td>
<td>0.188 (0.142)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$m_{t-1} - m_{t-1}$</td>
<td>0.889*** (0.225)</td>
<td>0.343 (0.276)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma_{t-1}$</td>
<td>0.141** (0.059)</td>
<td>-0.016 (0.048)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta_{t-1}$</td>
<td>0.102** (0.037)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ad. R²</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wald test: null hypothesis: $p_{t-1} + m_{t-1} = 1$</td>
<td>t-statistic:</td>
<td>1.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-values:</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes. IV estimates with standard errors in parenthesis below the estimated coefficients.