PRIFYSGOL BANGOR / BANGOR UNIVERSITY

PRIFYSGOL BANGOR UNIVERSITY

Host–microbiota–insect interactions drive emergent virulence in a complex tree disease

Doonan, James; Broberg, Martin; Denman, Sandra; McDonald, James

Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences

DOI:
10.1098/rspb.2020.0956

Published: 26/08/2020

Peer reviewed version

Cyswllt i'r cyhoeddiad / Link to publication

Dyfyniad o'r fersiwn a gyhoeddwyd / Citation for published version (APA):
Doonan, J., Broberg, M., Denman, S., & McDonald, J. (2020). Host–microbiota–insect interactions drive emergent virulence in a complex tree disease. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, *287*(1933), [20200956]. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2020.0956

Hawliau Cyffredinol / General rights
Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

• Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
• You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
• You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal ?

Take down policy
If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

27. Mar. 2023

Host-microbiota-insect interactions drive emergent virulence in a complex tree disease

James M. Doonan[1,2], Martin Broberg[1,3], Sandra Denman[4] and James E. McDonald[1]*

[1]School of Natural Sciences, Bangor University, Deiniol Road, Bangor, Gwynedd, LL57 2UW, UK.

[2]Department of Geosciences and Natural Resource Management, University of Copenhagen, Rolighedsvej 23, 1958 Frederiksberg C, Denmark.

[3]Faculty of Biological and Environmental Sciences, University of Helsinki, Finland.

[4]Forest Research, Centre for Forestry and Climate Change, Alice Holt Lodge, Farnham, Surrey GU10 4LH, UK.

*Corresponding author

13 ## Abstract

14 Forest declines caused by climate disturbance, insect pests and microbial pathogens threaten
15 the global landscape, and tree diseases are increasingly attributed to the emergent properties
16 of complex ecological interactions between the host, microbiota and insects. To address this
17 hypothesis, we combined reductionist approaches (single and polyspecies bacterial cultures)
18 with emergentist approaches (bacterial incoluations in an oak infection model with the
19 addition of insect larvae) to unravel the gene expression landscape and symptom severity of
20 host-microbiota-insect interactions in the Acute Oak Decline (AOD) pathosystem. AOD is a
21 complex decline disease characterised by predisposing abiotic factors, inner bark lesions
22 driven by a bacterial pathobiome, and larval galleries of the bark-boring beetle *Agrilus*
23 *biguttatus*. We identified expression of key pathogenicity genes in *Brenneria goodwinii*, the
24 dominant member of the AOD pathobiome, tissue-specific gene expression profiles,
25 cooperation with other bacterial pathobiome members in sugar catabolism, and demonstrated
26 amplification of pathogenic gene expression in the presence of *Agrilus* larvae. This study
27 highlights the emergent properties of complex host-pathobiota-insect interactions that
28 underlie the pathology of diseases that threaten global forest biomes.

Introduction

Global forests provide essential ecological, economic and cultural services, but their capacity for carbon storage and climate regulation is increasingly threatened by altered climatic conditions and increased attack by pests and pathogens [1,2]. In recent decades, devastating outbreaks of tree disease such as chestnut blight [3], Dutch elm disease [4], and ash dieback [5], have changed the global landscape, and tree pests and diseases therefore represent a major future threat to forest biomes. Such diseases often involve the activity of both insect pests and microbial pathogens, and ultimately arise from complex interactions between the host, environment, pests and pathogens [6–8].

Acute Oak Decline (AOD) is a complex decline disease mediated by abiotic predisposing factors (temperature, rainfall, nutrients) [9] and biotic contributing factors (insect and bacterial) [8] that are a major threat to native oak in the UK, with similar declines described in continental Europe [10–12], Asia [13] and America [14]. The characteristic disease symptoms are outer bark cracks with dark exudates (bleeds), which overlie necrotic tissue in the inner bark, and larval galleries and exit holes of the two-spotted buprestid beetle *Agrilus biguttatus* [10]. Previously, we demonstrated that tissue necrosis on AOD affected trees is caused by a polybacterial complex (pathobiome) which macerates pectin connective tissue within the cells, resulting in inner bark lesions on oak stems [8,15]. The pathobiome is a complex assemblage of organisms that combine to cause disease in host organisms and challenge strict adherence to Koch's postulates [16]. It has previously been shown that AOD is not caused by a single pathogen, but results from interactions between the pathobiome, *A. biguttatus*, the host and it's environment [8]. Within the AOD pathobiome several bacteria are consistently identified, primarily *Brenneria goodwinii*, *Gibbsiella quercinecans*, *Rahnella victoriana*, and occasionally, *Lonsdalea britannica*.

Brenneria goodwinii, is the most active member of the lesion pathobiome, and is thought to be the primary agent of bacterial canker in AOD [8,15]. *Agrilus* larvae are also associated with AOD lesions, and spread necrogenic members of the pathobiome through the inner bark tissue, amplifying the area of tissue necrosis in the inner bark [8].

Unravelling the mechanistic processes and complex multidimensional interactions between the host, environment, insects, and the pathobiome that underlie the aetiology of complex tree diseases is challenging, but represents a major knowledge gap. Considering pathobiome virulence as an emergent property [17], where emerging properties cannot be explained by

61 their individual components and are greater than the sum of their individual components, is
62 therefore an attractive framework in conceptualising complex tree diseases. Here, we
63 hypothesise that host-microbiota-insect interactions combine to cause emergent properties of
64 pathobiome virulence in AOD. To investigate this, we combined reductionist approaches
65 (interactions with oak tissue in single and polyspecies bacterial culture) with emergentist
66 approaches (bacterial inoculations in an oak infection model with the addition of insect
67 larvae) to unravel the gene expression landscape of host-microbiota-insect interactions in the
68 Acute Oak Decline (AOD) pathosystem.

69

70 Results and Discussion

**71 Inoculation of *B. goodwinii*, *G. quercinecans* and *L. britannica* onto oak logs with *A.*
72 *biguttatus* eggs**

73 Oak logs were inoculated with either *B. goodwinii*, *G. quercinecans* or *L. britannica* (single,
74 bacteria-only treatments), or in combination with *A. biguttatus* eggs (single bacterial species
75 plus *Agrilus* treatments) (i.e. six treatments). RNAseq analysis of the resultant stem lesions
76 (or 'clean' stem tissue, for control treatments) revealed that apart from host genes, *B.*
77 *goodwinii* genes were the most actively expressed amongst the bacterial species tested (figure
78 1 and electronic supplementary material table, S1), concurring with previous results [8,15].
79 The complete genome of *B. goodwinii* FRB141 contains 4869 genes and the highest levels of
80 *B. goodwinii* gene activity in the log infection tests were detected in treatments where *B.*
81 *goodwinii* was co-inoculated with *A. biguttatus* eggs (515, 3924, and 2464 genes expressed in
82 each replicate, respectively) and there was positive detection of *B. goodwinii* via RT-qPCR
83 (please see materials and methods for our definition of active genes briefly, these are genes
84 which were not differentially expressed, but were deemed 'active' as they passed expression
85 filters e.g. Transcripts per Million, but differ from subsequent analyses that focussed on
86 differential gene expression). By comparison, only one of the three *B. goodwinii* only
87 inoculations produced necrosis, with 3819 active genes detected, while the other two
88 inoculations did not show appreciable lesion development and only 88 and 96 active genes
89 were detected.

90 Lesions barely developed in *L. britannica* inoculations, with low activity (11 +/- 3 active
91 genes) detected, although this species was previously isolated from naturally symptomatic
92 material and has the genomic potential to cause tissue necrosis [18]. By comparison, when
93 co-inoculated with *A. biguttatus* eggs, two of the three inoculations developed dramatic,

94 typical AOD lesions, with 46 and 1607 *L. britannica* genes active (figure 1), but both *B.*
95 *goodwinii* and *G. quercinecans* were also reisolated via RT-qPCR, and 852 and 2942 *B.*
96 *goodwinii* genes and 579 and 320 *G. quercinecans* genes were found to be active. Notably, *G.*
97 *quercinecans* which has been consistently isolated from environmental AOD lesions and can
98 cause necrotic lesions on oak [8], had low activity in log inoculations (143 +/- 71 active
99 genes), but had higher gene activity and significant lesion formation when combined with *A.*
100 *biguttatus* (444 +/- 225).

101 Thus, with the exception of a single *B. goodwinii* inoculation, none of the single isolate
102 inoculations created significant lesions or demonstrated high gene expression, which supports
103 our hypothesis that although these organisms can be pathogenic, emergent virulence is
104 dependent upon complex host-pathobiome-insect interactions. However, when co-inoculated
105 with *A. biguttatus* eggs that developed into larvae, typical AOD symptoms were developed
106 and *B. goodwinii* gene activity was highly increased. This suggests that the presence of *A.*
107 *biguttatus* larvae provides a stimulus for enhanced *B. goodwinii* pathogenicity. Furthermore,
108 the biggest lesions formed when genes of all three bacterial species were detected. Despite
109 the fact that only single species inoculations were made, the occurrence of *B. goodwinii* and
110 *G. quercinecans* in the *L. britannica* plus *Agrilus* treatment could be explained either by the
111 bacteria already being present as endosymbionts of the non-symptomatic oak logs, or by
112 them gaining entry through wound inoculations, or that *A. biguttatus* is a vector of *B.*
113 *goodwinii*, either incidentally or that it resides within *A. biguttatus* as part of the microbiome
114 and is deposited when feeding or egg laying [10]. This suggests that the presence of *A.*
115 *biguttatus* larvae provides a stimulus for enhanced *B. goodwinii* pathogenicity. However,
116 there is no previous evidence showing that *A. biguttatus* is a vector of *B. goodwinii*, *G.*
117 *quercinecans or L. britannica* and the bacteria-beetle relationship may be as co-infecting
118 agents taking advantage of declining oak trees [19].

119 Our results demonstrate that the driver of variation between non-symptomatic and
120 symptomatic oak trees was bacterial inoculum ($P = 0.031$) and the prescence of *A. biguttatus*
121 larvae ($P = 0.005$) (figure 1). Possible sources of variation in gene activity between
122 symptomatic and non-symptomatic trees were tested in a multivariate model, these were:
123 actual lesion size, presence or absence of *A. biguttatus*, bacterial inoculum, and between
124 replicate differences. Biological replicates and lesion size did not account for significant
125 variation in gene activity ($P > 0.05$). Furthermore, differential gene expression analysis
126 revealed that the number of genes expressed in *G. quercinecans* and *L. britannica* was

relatively small, whereas in *B. goodwinii* inoculations, a substantial portion of the *B. goodwinii* geneset (electronic supplementary material table, S2) was differentially expressed. Therefore, the following differential gene expression analysis of *B. goodwinii* was directly compared against control treatments and *B. goodwinii* when co-inoculated with *A. biguttatus* larvae.

B. goodwinii has a high number of significantly upregulated genes in log inoculations when inoculated with *A. biguttatus*

Differential gene expression analysis of *B. goodwinii* log inoculations (bacteria only) compared against wound and water controls revealed 191 genes were significantly differentially upregulated (electronic supplementary material table, S2). Comparison of the *B. goodwinii* and *A. biguttatus* treatment with the wound control resulted in 552 upregulated *B. goodwinii* genes. Variance between expressed genes within transcriptomic datasets was measured using principal component analyses (PCA) (figure 2a). This PCA collapsed 73% of the variance and revealed clear separation between transcipt abundance in *B. goodwinii* infected oak logs compared to the control (figure 2a, bottom). The same pattern was found in *B. goodwinii* and *A. biguttatus* inoculated oak logs where 81% of the variance was captured in a PCA and revealed distinct expression patterns in comparison to oak control logs (figure 2a, top). Analysis of differential expression of gene families, revealed signifcant upregulation of putative pathogenic families in *B. goodwinii* and *A. biguttatus* egg inoculations when compared to *B. goodwinii* only oak logs. These gene families were identified using geneset enrichment analysis and revealed that gene families were upregulated in *B. goodwinii* by the presence of *A. biguttatus* eggs. Significantly upregulated functional groups include bacterial pathogenicity homologs, such as bacterial secretion systems (P=0.04, KEGG family 03070), terpenoid biosynthesis (P=0.04, KEGG family 00130), biofilm formation (P=0.007, KEGG family 02026), and quorum sensing (P=0.01, KEGG family 02024) (figure 2b). Differential gene expression analysis between oak log incoulations revealed significant upregulation of pathogenicity associated genes in *B. goodwinii* and *A. biguttatus* oak logs compared to control, in comparison to differential expression of the same gene in *B. goodwinii* only oak logs when compared to contol. Genes were functionally annotated using homologs in closely related bacteria (see methods). Significantly upregulated functional homologs included a biofilm formation gene, exoglucanase B – *chvB* ($Padj$ < 0.0001 in *B. goodwinii* + *A. biguttatus* vs. healthy, compared to *B. goodwinii* only vs. healthy, which had no P value due

to low transcript expression), an adherence gene – *fhaB* (*Padj*=0.03 in *B. goodwinii* + *A. biguttatus* vs. healthy, compared to *P* = 0.02, N.B *Padj* was NA as the mean read count was low in *B. goodwinii* only v healthy), poly(β-D-mannuronate) C5 epimerase 1, a biofilm formation and quorum sensing gene - *algG* (*Padj* < 0.0001 in *B. goodwinii* + *A. biguttatus* v healthy, compared to *Padj* = 0.0006 *B. goodwinii* only vs. healthy). Poly(β-D-mannuronate) C5 epimerase 1 is a large, type I secreted adhesin which is found in shiga toxin producing *E. coli* strains and in disease formation of the bacterial phytopathogen *Pectobacterium atrosepticum* [20,21]. Both exoglucanase B and poly(β-D-mannuronate) C5 epimerase 1 were significantly upregulated in *B. goodwinii* and *G. quercinecans* only live log inoculations indicating that *A. biguttatus* may not be the only stimulus for its expression. The actual stimulus may be carried by *A. biguttatus* or may reside in the wider environment. Similar to the type I secreted proteins, two copies of the two-partner secreted filamentous hemagglutinin (*fhaB*), a bacterial virulence gene were expressed by *B. goodwinii* across live log transcriptomes. As described above, the number of genes expressed in *B. goodwinii* when *A. biguttatus* was present was greater than *B. goodwinii* only inoculations (191 vs. 552, respectively), but in addition the number of pathogenic gene homologs expressed increased when *A. biguttatus* eggs were combined with *B. goodwinii* (figure 2c).

The T3SS is a primary virulence factor in seven of the top ten bacterial plant pathogens [22]. *B. goodwinii* encodes a complete T3SS and multiple effectors, which is likely to be a key pathogenicity component within AOD tissue necrosis [18]. Within *B. goodwinii* and *A. biguttatus* live log inoculations, four T3 effectors are significantly differentially expressed, only one of which is expressed in *B. goodwinii* only inoculations (figure 2c). Significantly expressed T3 effectors are; HopPtoL (*Padj* = 0.02), SrfB (*Padj* = 0.02), AvrE_2 (*Padj* = 0.015), in addition to AvrE_1 which is significantly differentially expressed in *B. goodwinii* only and with *A. biguttatus* inoculations (*Padj* = 0.04, *B. goodwinii* inoculation only; *Padj* = 0.0001, *B. goodwinii* and *A. biguttatus* co-infection). The AvrE T3 effector is found in a wide number of bacterial plant pathogens due to its proclivity for horizontal gene transfer [23]. Notably, within the plant pathogen *Pseudomonas viridflava,* AvrE is the primary virulence factor [24].

Detoxification genes in *B. goodwinii* are stimulated by the presence of *A. biguttatus,* which may neutralise host defences

192 As described above, co-infection of oak logs with *A. biguttatus* significantly increases the
193 number of significantly differentially expressed genes within *B. goodwinii* and stimulates
194 expression of putative pathogen genes. In addition, homologs of genes which neutralise tree
195 defences were expressed. In previous studies, these homologs have been shown to create a
196 desirable environment for pupation and bacterial persistence [25]. The number of
197 significantly differentially expressed genes in *B. goodwinii* inoculated logs increased from
198 191 to 552 when *A. biguttatus* eggs were co-inoculated. Genes upregulated by *A. biguttatus*
199 eggs and not in *B. goodwinii* only log inoculations included host defence detoxification
200 genes; catalase peroxidase ($Padj < 0.0001$; E.C. 1.11.1.21), glutathione reductase ($Padj$ =
201 0.02; E.C. 1.8.1.7), and glutathione regulated potassium efflux system ($Padj = 0.02$). Catalase
202 peroxidase and glutathione reductase are encoded on the same operon; catalase peroxidase
203 (*katG*) protects against hydrogen peroxide released by host defences [26] and glutathione is a
204 metabolite of isoprene and its derivative terpene, both of which are common in oak trees and
205 used to combat abiotic stress and in high quantities are toxic to bark boring beetles [7,27,28].
206 *B. goodwinii* mediated terpene reduction may exhaust terpene synthesis similar to that of
207 drought stressed oaks which initially produce abundant amounts of terpenes but upon severe
208 drought stress are no longer able to synthesise the volatiles, leaving them open to herbivores
209 [29].

210

211 **The oak host up-regulates more defence-associated genes during co-inoculation with *A.***
212 ***biguttatus***

213 Examination of oak host transcripts within infection tests revealed differential gene
214 expression when challenged with *B. goodwinii* only compared to *B. goodwinii* with *A.*
215 *biguttatus* eggs. This analysis revealed 25 significantly up-regulated genes in logs inoculated
216 with *B. goodwinii* and *A. biguttatus* eggs compared to 12 up-regulated genes with only *B.*
217 *goodwinii*. This result provides futher evidence of an increase in activity of *B. goodwinii*
218 when co-infected with *A. biguttatus*. For both *B. goodwinii* treatments we discovered the up-
219 regulation of genes encoding the calcium sensor protein CML38. This protein, and calcium
220 signalling proteins in general are reportedly induced during, wounding, stress and pathogen
221 infection [30,31]. Furthermore, during inoculation with *B. goodwinii* only, and with *G.*
222 *quercinecans* and eggs, there was significant up-regulation of a NDR1/HIN1 like protein,
223 which is associated with senescence and pathogen infection [32]. Host genes encoding
224 NDR1/HIN1 like proteins have previously been reported as up-regulated when comparing

225 field AOD lesion bark to that from non-symptomatic trees. During inoculation of *B.*
226 *goodwinii* and eggs, there was also significant up-regulation of two infection associated genes
227 encoding WUN1, a wound induced protein, and EP3, an endochitinase associated with
228 infection [33–35]. These results support the conclusion that bacterial co-infection with *A.*
229 *biguttatus* enhances not only bacterial activity but also overall triggering of host defence-
230 associated genes.

231

232 ***In vitro* analysis of the *B. goodwinii* and *G. quercinecans* transcriptome response to oak**
233 **sapwood and phloem tissue**

234 To gain greater understanding of interactions between two key bacteria within the AOD
235 pathobiome, *in vitro* transcriptome assays were designed to measure gene expression changes
236 of *B. goodwinii* and *G. quercinecans* in pure cultures and co-cultures containing oak phloem
237 and sapwood (figure 3 and see methods for recipe). A key unanswered question in AOD
238 pathology relates to the nature of pathobiome interactions between *B. goodwinii* and *G.*
239 *quercinecans,* and whether they represent competitive or cooperative strategies.

240

241 **Gene expression of *B. goodwinii* within phloem and sapwood *in vitro* cultures varies**
242 **substantially between single inoculations and co-cultures**

243 Gene expression analysis revealed that *B. goodwinii* has a substantial transcriptomic response
244 to oak sapwood tissue two hours post inoculation, significantly differentially expressing 39
245 genes ($P < 0.05$; 35 upregulated and 4 downregulated) (figure 3a). Upregulated genes were
246 mostly sugar transport/catabolism (n = 11) and general metabolism genes but also included
247 an anti-bacterial gene, the type I secretion protein colicin V (attacks closely related bacteria)
248 [36]. This effect is not found in oak phloem tissue (figure 3b), indicating that *B. goodwinii* is
249 stimulated by glucose and xylose rich sapwood tissue which it can utilise as a sugar source.

250 In co-culture, two hours post inoculation with *G. quercinecans*, *B. goodwinii* significantly
251 differentially expressed genes which were not expressed in axenic *B. goodwinii* culture (n =
252 14 in phloem; n = 13 in sapwood) (figure 3i). This response was found in both oak phloem
253 and sapwood tissue (figure 3i – 3l), with upregulated genes including those associated with
254 sugar depolymerisation, which hydrolyse long chain sugar polymers such as α-N-
255 arabinofuranosidae (E.C. 3.2.1.55), bacterial α-L-rhamnosidase (E.C. 3.2.1.40), and β-
256 galactosidase (E.C. 3.2.1.23). These enzymes degrade plant tissue by breaking glycosidic

257 linkages in the pectic polysaccharide, rhamnogalacturonan-II [37] and hemicellulose [38]. In
258 sapwood at two hours post inoculation (figure 3a), flagellar motility genes (n = 2) were
259 upregulated including the motility regulator *fliA* [39] indicating that sapwood and *G.*
260 *quercinecans* stimulate the flagellar apparatus of *B. goodwinii*.

261

262 **_G. quercinecans_ has a substantial upregulation of genes towards oak phloem tissue but**
263 **not sapwood**

264 The environmental reservoir and ecological niche of *G. quercinecans* is unconfirmed.
265 However, it is a robust bacterium that can survive in harsh environments [40] and is
266 consistently isolated from AOD lesions where it may contribute to tissue necrosis [18].
267 Evidence provided here reveals that *G. quercinecans* can is differentially stimulated by oak
268 phloem (figure 3f) and may assist *B. goodwinii* in colonising this environment by inducing
269 expression of hitherto unexpressed genes (figure 3j & 3l).

270 Here, *G. quercinecans* significantly differentially expressed 42 genes in single inoculations
271 with phloem tissue at two hours post inoculation (32 upregulated and 10 downregulated)
272 (figure 3f). A large number of upregulated genes are involved in sugar catabolism/transport
273 (n = 10), but also upregulated were general metabolism genes, the type IV secretion system
274 (T4SS) component *virB4* and a key PCWDE - rhamnogalacturonan lyase (E.C. 4.2.2.23). The
275 *in vitro* environment, containing oak phloem and sapwood, may mirror the environmental
276 habitat of *G. quercinecans*, which has previously been isolated from rotting wood and has
277 many saprophytic properties [18,40].

278

279 **Sugar consumption by _G. quercinecans_ in oak sapwood is stimulated by _B. goodwinii_**

280 Compared to axenic growth of *G. quercinecans* in sapwood (figure 3e & 3g), co-culture with
281 *B. goodwinii* induced significant differential expression of 21 genes (14 upregulated and 7
282 downregulated) (figure 3i & 3k). Upregulated gene function included sugar
283 catabolism/transport (n = 5), iron transporters (n = 3) and two secondary PCWDEs (n = 2). It
284 was anticipated that co-culture could potentially induce expression of anti-bacterial effectors
285 but similar to *B. goodwinii* in phloem, *G. quercinecans* catabolises and transports sugars from
286 sapwood when *B. goodwinii* is present (figure 3i – 3l). Despite the encoding of multiple
287 toxin-antitoxin systems and type VI secretion systems, there was no evidence of competitive
288 behaviour between *B. goodwinii* and *G. quercinecans*. These are closely related bacteria,

289 isolated from the same environmental niche and these experiments suggest that they assist
290 each other to metabolise oak tissue. Anti-bacterial effectors may be expressed at later stages
291 of co-culture, when resources are reduced, but this was not tested here.

292

293 **RNA-seq validation using RT-qPCR analysis of *G. quercinecans* FRB97 and *B.*
294 *goodwinii* FRB141 putative pathogenicity genes**

295 Two RT-qPCR gene expression assays were used to validate RNA-seq data using the same
296 RNA extracts as the *in vitro* RNA-seq experiment. In *G. quercinecans tssD* was selected, as
297 homologs of this gene form part of the T6SS injectosome [41], and in *B. goodwinii fliA* was
298 selected, which is an alternative sigma factor and controls flagella filament synthesis,
299 chemotaxis machinery, and motor switch complex genes in *E. coli* [42].

300 RT-qPCR assays revealed that gene expression was highest at 6 HPI for *tssD* (an average of
301 2.2×10^5 absolute transcript copies at 2 HPI, 3.5×10^6 at 6 HPI, 2.7×10^5 at 12 HPI, 4.1×10^4 at
302 24 HPI), and 2 HPI for *fliA* (an average of 5.5×10^4 absolute transcript copies at 2 HPI,
303 8.7×10^3 at 6 HPI, and 2.6×10^3 at 12 HPI) (electronic supplementary material figure, S1).
304 RNA-seq data revealed high gene expression of *fliA* in axenic *B. goodwinii* culture at 2 HPI,
305 and differential upregulation in co-culture with *G. quercinecans*, in nutrient broth (NB) &
306 sapwood (NBS) and nutrient broth & phloem (NBP) cultures at 2 HPI only, with gene
307 expression being suppressed with the addition of *G. quercinecans* in Nutrient Broth (NB).
308 *tssD* was highly expressed at 6 HPI, concurring with the RT-qPCR data (electronic
309 supplementary material figure, S1), and was differentially upregulated at 2 HPI in NBS and
310 NBP compared to NB. Within the *G. quercinecans* transcriptome *tssD* was upregulated in
311 NBS and NBP, suggesting that it is part of a wider virulence transcription cascade, and may
312 respond to eukaryotic stimuli. Transcriptomic expression data of *tssD* and *fliA*, data correlates
313 with the RT-qPCR data, however, small variations may be explained by the high sensitivity
314 of RT-qPCR [43,44].

315

316

317 **Conclusions**

318 Here we investigated the emergent properties of pathobiome virulence in AOD. We used
319 gene expression analysis of axenic and co-cultures of bacteria supplemented with oak inner
320 bark tissue, and oak infection tests using combinations of the *A. biguttatus* bettle and
321 microbial pathobionts. We demonstrated that the pathogenic potential of the dominant
322 bacterial species within the AOD lesion pathobiome, *B. goodwinii*, is stimulated by a co-
323 invading native beetle, *A. biguttatus,* and also potentially induced by other microorganisms in
324 the AOD pathobiome associated with either the host or *A. biguttatus*. Furthermore, *B.*
325 *goodwinii* genes induced by the presence of *A. biguttatus* may confer nutrient acquisition
326 benefits to beetle eggs and larvae.

327 The co-operative behaviour of *B. goodwinii* and *G. quercinecans* in a nutrient rich
328 environment may differ from the AOD lesion environment where resources are scarce.
329 However, both bacteria persisted in oak phloem and sapwood when combined, and when
330 resources were plentiful there was no significant upregulation of interbacterial competition
331 genes. It was also revealed that *G. quercinecans* favours sugar metabolites from oak phloem
332 tissue, whereas *B. goodwinii* favours oak sapwood as a carbon source. The role of *L.*
333 *britannica* in the lesion environment is unclear but merits further investigation due to its
334 encoded pathogenic potential and high expression activity in combination with *B. goodwinii*
335 and *A. biguttatus*. It is possible that AOD pathobiome constituents each contribute
336 degradative enzymes to systematically macerate oak tissue, thereby co-operating to provide
337 ingestible sugars as a public good. To fully characterise the molecular processes uncovered in
338 this study will require tractable genetic manipulations of single gene effects in appropriate
339 model systems.

340 In conclusion, we identified expression of key pathogenicity genes in *Brenneria goodwinii*,
341 the dominant member of the AOD pathobiome, tissue-specific gene expression profiles,
342 cooperation with other bacterial pathobiome members in sugar catabolism, and demonstrated
343 amplification of pathogenic gene expression in the presence of *Agrilus* larvae. These data
344 highlight the emergent propereties of complex multidimensional interactions between host
345 plants, insects and the microbiome that underpin complex tree decline diseaeses that threaten
346 the global landscape.

347 **Methods**

348

349 ***In vitro* culture-based assay**

350 *Strains, growth medium and conditions*

351 Strains of *Gibbsiella quercinecans* FRB97 and *Brenneria goodwinii* FRB141 were obtained
352 by Forest Research (Surrey, UK) from AOD affected trees. Isolates were maintained on
353 nutrient agar (Oxoid) at room temperature. To simulate growth on sapwood and phloem, cells
354 were cultured in nutrient broth (Oxoid) containing 1% (w/v) milled sapwood (NBS), nutrient
355 broth with 1% (w/v) milled phloem (NBP) and a control consisting of nutrient broth (NB).
356 Initially, a 10 ml starter culture from a single colony was incubated overnight to stationary
357 phase at 28°C on a shaking incubator at 100 rpm. 1% of the overnight culture, was
358 centrifuged and re-suspended, before addition to three replicate culture flasks containing 150
359 ml volumes of NB, NBS, and NBP (figure 3). The flasks were incubated at 28°C and 100
360 rpm, for 6 HPI, with cell suspensions collected at 2 HPI and 6 HPI. At each time point 25 ml
361 of liquid was collected in a 50 ml Falcon tube and centrifuged for 5 mins at 3000 rpm. The
362 supernatant was discarded, and pelleted cells were frozen in liquid nitrogen.

363

364 **Log infection assay**

365 Log trials were established in 2015 (electronic supplementary table, S3 for list of log
366 inoculation treatments, resultant lesion sizes and futher information). Owing to the high cost
367 of transcriptomics when the trial was terminated and samples processed, only a sub-set of 3
368 inoculations points in each of the above described treatments were sampled, at random, from
369 the log test, except where there were exceptional cases of typical AOD lesions i.e. two
370 *Lonsdalea* inoculations, which were specifically included in the transcriptomic analyses.
371 Following lesion area measurements and plating lesion margin wood chips [8] the remaining
372 lesion was chiselled out, placed in a labelled ziplock plastic bag and snap-frozen in liquid
373 nitrogen and stored at -80C until RNA extraction too place.

374

375 **RNA extraction**

376 *RNA extraction from bacterial cultures*

377 Total RNA was extracted from cell pellets of bacterial cultures using the RNeasy Mini Kit
378 (Qiagen), according to manufacturer's instructions. Genomic DNA was removed from
379 extracted RNA samples using TURBO DNA-free DNase kit (Ambion). Total RNA was
380 pooled from three biological replicates in equimolar quantities giving a total quantity of 750
381 ng (electronic supplementary material figure, S2). Total rRNA was depleted to enrich mRNA
382 (transcripts) using the RiboZero rRNA depletion kit (Illumina). The protocol was performed
383 according to manufacturer's instructions. Depleted mRNA concentrations were measured
384 using a Qubit fluorometer (Invitrogen). Remnant rRNA was minimal as confirmed by the
385 Centre for Genomic Research (CGR) (University of Liverpool, UK), using the Agilent 2100
386 BioAnalyzer.

387

388 *RNA extraction from log inoculations*

389 RNA was extracted from logs using the method described in our previous multi-omic AOD
390 work and described here [45]. Briefly, inner bark around log inoculation spots was scraped
391 off and snap frozen in liquid nitrogen. Oak tissue was homogenised using a mortar and pestle,
392 and extraction buffer (4 M guanidine thiocyanate, 0.2 M sodium acetate pH 5.0, 25 mM
393 EDTA, 2.5% (w/v) polyvinylpyrrolidone and 1% (v/v) β-mercaptoethanol) was added. The
394 frozen tissue in extraction buffer was further ground until thawed, while additional extraction
395 buffer and 20% sodium lauroyl sarcosinate were mixed into the sample. The sample mixture
396 was shaken vigorously at room temperature and further processed using the RNeasy Plant
397 Mini kit (Qiagen). After centrifugation in the QIAShredder column, 350 µl of the supernatant
398 was mixed with 0.9 volumes of ethanol, and subsequently centrifuged in the RNeasy Mini
399 column. After this centrifugation step, the manufacturer's instructions for the RNeasy Plant
400 Mini kit were followed. The extracted RNA was treated with DNase I (Qiagen) and further
401 concentrated and purified using the RNeasy MinElute Cleanup kit (Qiagen) following the
402 manufacturer's instructions. The purified RNA was checked for quality using 1% agarose gel
403 electrophoresis and a NanoDrop spectrophotometer (LabTech), and the concentration
404 determined using the Qubit RNA HS assay kit (Thermo Fisher) following the manufacturer's
405 instructions. Subsequently, rRNA was depleted from RNA extracts using a 1:1 combination
406 of the Ribo-Zero rRNA Removal kits for plant seed/root and for bacteria (Illumina) according
407 to the manufacturer's instructions. The rRNA depleted samples were again purified using the
408 RNeasy MinElute Cleanup kit (Qiagen) again and stored at -80 ºC before sequencing.

409

410 **RNA sequencing**

411 Library preparation, transcriptomic sequencing, and post-sequencing QC of depleted RNA
412 samples was performed by Centre for Genomic Research (CGR), University of Liverpool,
413 UK. Samples were assayed for quality using an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer. Log infection
414 samples were further assayed for quality using the Eukaryote Total RNA Pico Series II. All
415 libraries were prepared using the strand-specific ScriptSeq kit (Illumina), and subsequently
416 paired-end sequenced (2x125 bp) on one lane (N.B. *in vitro* and log infection samples were
417 sequenced on separate lanes) of the Illumina HiSeq 2500 platform (electronic supplementary
418 material figure, S3 & electronic supplementary material figure, S4).

419

420 **Transcriptome analysis**

421 RNA-seq QC

422 Illumina adapter sequences were removed from raw FastQ files containing the sequencing
423 reads using Cutadapt v1.2.1 [46], using the option –O 3, which specifies that at least 3 base
424 pairs have to match the adapter sequences before they were trimmed. Sequences were quality
425 trimmed using Sickle v1.2 [47] with a minimum quality score of 20. Reads shorter than 10 bp
426 were removed. RNA-seq QC was performed by Centre for Genomic Research (CGR),
427 University of Liverpool, UK (electronic supplementary material figure, S3 & electronic
428 supplementary material figure, S4).

429

430 Bioinformatic analysis of transcriptome data

431 Bioinformatic analyses were carried out on SuperComputing Wales, an HPC network, using
432 GNU/Linux Red Hat Enterprise Linux Server release 7.4 (Maipo). A complete list of
433 commands used to perform the below analysis is hosted on GitHub
434 (https://github.com/clydeandforth/Bg_Ab_logs.git).

435

436 Transcriptome alignment and differential gene expression analysis

437 RNA recovered from log inoculations and sequenced on the Illumina HiSeq, was aligned
438 using Bowtie2 v1.1.2 [48] to an in-house database of structurally and functionally annotated
439 coding regions (electronic supplementary methods) used in a previous field AOD

microbiome analysis [15], but with the addition of *Lonsdalea britannica* 477. Transcript counts for each gene were calculated using eXpress v1.5.1 [49]. To give an overview of species activity in the lesion environment, an active gene was defined as those with transcripts per million (TPM) >1 and a total transcript count of three. TPM rather than raw read counts was used to normalise the number of transcripts across samples and remove sequencing depth as an experimental artefact. Subsequently, in a separate test, to get a statistically robust understanding of transcriptional activity, significantly differentially expressed genes were identified using DESeq2 v1.2 [50]. Genes which had *P*-adjusted values <0.05 between conditions were considered as significantly differentially expressed. Principal coordinate analyses based on dispersion of mean normalised gene count data between samples was calculated and plotted using DESeq2 v1.2.

Gene-set enrichment analyses of KEGG pathways were used to measure functional upregulation of gene families between samples using the R packages gage v2.30.0 [51] and clusterProfiler v3.8.1 [52]. GAGE uses a two sample t-test to compare expression level changes between genesets. KEGG datasets were compiled from KEGGREST v1.20.1 (accessed 04/02/2019) and comprised pathways from the following bacteria: *Dickeya dadantii* 3937, *Pectobacterium carotovorum* subsp. *carotovorum* PC1, *Escherichia coli* K12, *E. coli* 0157:H7 Sakai, *Rahnella aquatilis* CIP 78.65, *Serratia proteamaculans* 568, and plants: *Phoenix datylifera*, *Arabidopsis thaliana*, *Methylorubrum populi* BJ001.

Multivariate analysis - Generalised linear model

To test for biological variation between samples, the effect of inoculum, beetle presence/absence, lesion size and replicate were included in a generalised linear model (GLM) [53]. Normalised read count data produced using eXpress (described below) were set as the multivariate response variable and the biological predictors were fit using a negative binomial distribution. The 'manyglm' function of the R package [54] mvabund [55] was used to carry out the analysis. Inoculum, beetle presence/absence, lesion size, and replicate were included as exploratory variables to allow the model to test our hypotheses.

Transcriptomic analysis of *in vitro* sequence data

Sequenced RNA from *in vitro* tests was aligned to a custom database and counted as described above. The transcript per million (TPM) counts from eXpress analysis were used to

472 calculate the Generalised Fold Change (GFOLD) [56], which uses the posterior distribution
473 of the raw fold change to calculate differential expression of genes between conditions and is
474 analogous to the *P* value in DESeq2. Genes which had GFOLD values >1.5 or <1.5 between
475 conditions were considered as significantly differentially expressed.

476

477 Data availability

478 Sequence data has been deposited in NCBI under BioProject PRJNA369790.

479

480 **Funding information**

481 This work was funded by Woodland Heritage, the Rufford Foundation,the Monument Trust,
482 Forestry Commission and DEFRA; we are grateful for their support.

483 **Acknowledgements**

484 The authors thank Sarah Plummer and Andrew Griffiths for technical assistance with the log
485 tests, Katy Reed for providing *Agrilus biguttatus* eggs for the log infection tests, and Jack
486 Foster and Bethany Pettifor for useful suggestions on the manuscript. The authors would also
487 like to thank Supercomputing Wales for their support.

488

489 **Conflict of interest**

490 The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

491

492 **Author contributions**

493 ***JD and MB carried out the molecular lab work, RNA extraction and depletion, statistical***
494 ***and bioinformatic analysis. JD drafted the manuscript and created the figures; JEM***
495 ***supervised the labwork and critically revised the manuscript; SD conducted log tests and***
496 ***critically revised the manuscript; All authors, designed and coordinated the study. All***
497 ***authors gave final approval for publication and agree to be held accountable for the work***
498 ***performed therein.***

499 **References**

500 1. Bebber DP, Ramotowski MAT, Gurr SJ. 2013 Crop pests and pathogens move polewards in a
501 warming world. *Nat. Clim. Chang.* **3**, 985–988. (doi:10.1038/nclimate1990)

502 2. Millar CI, Stephenson NL. 2015 Temperate forest health in an era of emerging
503 megadisturbance. *Science.* **349**, 823–826. (doi:10.1126/science.aaa9933)

504 3. Jacobs DF. 2007 Toward development of silvical strategies for forest restoration of American
505 chestnut (*Castanea dentata*) using blight-resistant hybrids. *Biol. Conserv.* **137**, 497–506.
506 (doi:10.1016/j.biocon.2007.03.013)

507 4. Potter C, Harwood T, Knight J, Tomlinson I. 2011 Learning from history, predicting the
508 future: the UK Dutch elm disease outbreak in relation to contemporary tree disease threats.
509 *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* **366**, 1966–1974. (doi:10.1098/rstb.2010.0395)

510 5. Pautasso M, Aas G, Queloz V, Holdenrieder O. 2013 European ash (*Fraxinus excelsior*) dieback
511 - A conservation biology challenge. *Biol. Conserv.* **158**, 37–49.
512 (doi:10.1016/j.biocon.2012.08.026)

513 6. Koskella B, Meaden S, Crowther WJ, Leimu R, Metcalf CJE. 2017 A signature of tree health?
514 Shifts in the microbiome and the ecological drivers of horse chestnut bleeding canker disease.
515 *New Phytol.* **215**, 737–746. (doi:10.1111/nph.14560)

516 7. Adams AS, Aylward FO, Adams SM, Erbilgin N, Aukema BH, Currie CR, Suen G, Raffa KF.
517 2013 Mountain pine beetles colonizing historical and naive host trees are associated with a
518 bacterial community highly enriched in genes contributing to terpene metabolism. *Appl.*
519 *Environ. Microbiol.* **79**, 3468–3475. (doi:10.1128/AEM.00068-13)

520 8. Denman S *et al.* 2018 Microbiome and infectivity studies reveal complex polyspecies tree
521 disease in Acute Oak Decline. *ISME J.* **12**. (doi:10.1038/ismej.2017.170)

522 9. Brown N, Vanguelova E, Parnell S, Broadmeadow S, Denman S. 2018 Predisposition of forests
523 to biotic disturbance: Predicting the distribution of Acute Oak Decline using environmental
524 factors. *For. Ecol. Manage.* **407**, 145–154. (doi:10.1016/j.foreco.2017.10.054)

525 10. Denman S, Brown N, Kirk S, Jeger M, Webber J. 2014 A description of the symptoms of
526 Acute Oak Decline in Britain and a comparative review on causes of similar disorders on oak
527 in Europe. *Forestry* **87**, 535–551. (doi:10.1093/forestry/cpu010)

528 11. Biosca EG, González R, López-López MJ, Soria S, Montón C, Pérez-Laorga E, López MM. 2003
529 Isolation and characterization of *Brenneria quercina*, causal agent for bark canker and drippy
530 nut of Quercus spp. in Spain. *Phytopathology* **93**, 485–92. (doi:10.1094/PHYTO.2003.93.4.485)

531 12. Ruffner B, Schneider S, Meyer J., Queloz V, Rigling D. 2020 First report of acute oak decline
532 disease of native and non-native oaks in Switzerland. *New Dis. Reports* **41**, 18.
533 (doi:10.5197/j.2044-0588.2020.041.018)

534 13. Moradi-Amirabad Y, Rahimian H, Babaeizad V, Denman S. 2019 *Brenneria* spp. and *Rahnella*
535 *victoriana* associated with acute oak decline symptoms on oak and hornbeam in Iran . *For.*
536 *Pathol.* (doi:10.1111/efp.12535)

537 14. Sitz R, Caballero J, Zerillo M, Snelling J, Alexander K, Tisserat N, Cranshaw W, Stewart J.
538 2018 Drippy blight, a disease of red oaks in Colorado Produced from the combined effect of
539 the scale insect *Allokermes galliformis* and the bacterium *Lonsdalea quercina* subsp. *quercina*.
540 *Arboric. Urban For.* **44**, 146–153.

541 15. Broberg M, Doonan J, Mundt F, Denman S, McDonald JE. 2018 Integrated multi-omic analysis
542 of hostmicrobiota interactions in acute oak decline. *Microbiome* **6**, 21. (doi:10.1186/s40168-
543 018-0408-5)

544 16. Bass D, Stentiford GD, Wang H-C, Koskella B, Tyler CR. 2019 The Pathobiome in Animal
545 and Plant Diseases. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* **34**, 996–1008. (doi:10.1016/j.tree.2019.07.012)

546 17. Casadevall A, Fang FC, Pirofski L. 2011 Microbial virulence as an emergent property:
547 Consequences and opportunities. *PLoS Pathog.* **7**, 1–3. (doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1002136)

548 18. Doonan J, Denman S, Pachebat JA, McDonald JE. 2019 Genomic analysis of bacteria in the
549 Acute Oak Decline pathobiome. *Microb. Genomics* , 1–15. (doi:10.1099/mgen.0.000240)

550 19. Brown N, Jeger M, Kirk S, Williams D, Xu X, Pautasso M, Denman S. 2017 Acute oak decline
551 and *Agrilus biguttatus*: The co-occurrence of stem bleeding and D-shaped emergence holes in
552 Great Britain. *Forests* **8**. (doi:10.3390/f8030087)

553 20. Etcheverría AI, Padola NL. 2013 Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli*: Factors involved in
554 virulence and cattle colonization. *Virulence* **4**, 366–372. (doi:10.4161/viru.24642)

555 21. Bell KS *et al.* 2004 Genome sequence of the enterobacterial phytopathogen *Erwinia carotovora*
556 subsp. *atroseptica* and characterization of virulence factors. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **101**,
557 11105–10. (doi:10.1073/pnas.0402424101)

558 22. Mansfield J *et al.* 2012 Top 10 plant pathogenic bacteria in molecular plant pathology. *Mol.*
559 *Plant Pathol.* **13**, 614–29. (doi:10.1111/j.1364-3703.2012.00804.x)

560 23. Lindeberg M. 2012 Genome-Enabled Perspectives on the Composition, Evolution, and
561 Expression of Virulence Determinants in Bacterial Plant Pathogens. *Annu. Rev. Phytopathol.* **50**,
562 111–132. (doi:10.1146/annurev-phyto-081211-173022)

563 24. Bartoli C *et al.* 2014 The *Pseudomonas viridiflava* phylogroups in the *P.syringae* species complex

564 are characterized by genetic variability and phenotypic plasticity of pathogenicity-related
565 traits. *Environ. Microbiol.* **16**, 2301–2315. (doi:10.1111/1462-2920.12433)

566 25. Behar A, Jurkevitch E, Yuval B. 2008 Bringing back the fruit into fruit fly-bacteria interactions.
567 *Mol. Ecol.* **17**, 1375–1386. (doi:10.1111/j.1365-294X.2008.03674.x)

568 26. Jittawuttipoka T, Buranajitpakorn S, Vattanaviboon P, Mongkolsuk S. 2009 The catalase-
569 peroxidase KatG is required for virulence of *Xanthomonas campestris* pv. *campestris* in a host
570 plant by providing protection against low levels of H2O2. *J. Bacteriol.* **191**, 7372–7377.
571 (doi:10.1128/JB.00788-09)

572 27. McGenity TJ, Crombie AT, Murrell JC. 2018 Microbial cycling of isoprene, the most
573 abundantly produced biological volatile organic compound on Earth. *ISME J.* **12**, 931–941.
574 (doi:10.1038/s41396-018-0072-6)

575 28. Roosild TP, Castronovo S, Healy J, Miller S, Pliotas C, Rasmussen T, Bartlett W, Conway SJ,
576 Booth IR. 2010 Mechanism of ligand-gated potassium efflux in bacterial pathogens. *Proc. Natl.*
577 *Acad. Sci.* **107**, 19784–19789. (doi:10.1073/pnas.1012716107)

578 29. Rennenberg H, Loreto F, Polle A, Brilli F, Fares S, Beniwal RS, Gessler A. 2006 Physiological
579 responses of forest trees to heat and drought. *Plant Biol.* **8**, 556–571. (doi:10.1055/s-2006-
580 924084)

581 30. Vanderbeld B, Snedden WA. 2007 Developmental and stimulus-induced expression patterns
582 of *Arabidopsis* calmodulin-like genes CML37, CML38 and CML39. *Plant Mol. Biol.* **64**, 683–697.
583 (doi:10.1007/s11103-007-9189-0)

584 31. Liu M, Khan NU, Wang N, Yang X, Qiu D. 2016 The protein elicitor PevD1 enhances
585 resistance to pathogens and promotes growth in *Arabidopsis*. *Int. J. Biol. Sci.* **12**, 931–943.
586 (doi:10.7150/ijbs.15447)

587 32. Bao Y *et al.* 2016 Overexpression of the NDR1/HIN1-Like gene NHL6 modifies seed
588 germination in response to abscisic acid and abiotic stresses in arabidopsis. *PLoS One* **11**, 1–
589 16. (doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0148572)

590 33. Yen SK, Chung MC, Chen PC, Yen HE. 2001 Environmental and developmental regulation of
591 the wound-induced cell wall protein WI12 in the halophyte ice plant. *Plant Physiol* **127**, 517–
592 528. (doi:10.1104/pp.010205.related)

593 34. van Hengel AJ, Guzzo F, van Kammen A, de Vries SC. 1998 Expression Pattern of the Carrot
594 *EP3* Endochitinase Genes in Suspension Cultures and in Developing Seeds. *Plant Physiol.* **117**,
595 43–53. (doi:10.1104/pp.117.1.43)

596 35. Xu J, Xu X, Tian L, Wang G, Zhang X, Wang X, Guo W. 2016 Discovery and identification of

597 candidate genes from the chitinase gene family for *Verticillium dahliae* resistance in cotton. *Sci.*
598 *Rep.* **6**, 1–12. (doi:10.1038/srep29022)

599 36. Zhang LH, Fath MJ, Mahanty H, Tai P, Kolter R. 1995 Genetic Analysis of the Colicin V
600 Secretion Pathway. *Genetics* **141**, 25–32.

601 37. Ndeh D *et al.* 2017 Complex pectin metabolism by gut bacteria reveals novel catalytic
602 functions. *Nature* **544**, 65–70. (doi:10.1038/nature21725)

603 38. Pérez J, Muñoz-Dorado J, De La Rubia T, Martínez J. 2002 Biodegradation and biological
604 treatments of cellulose, hemicellulose and lignin: An overview. *Int. Microbiol.* **5**, 53–63.
605 (doi:10.1007/s10123-002-0062-3)

606 39. Antúnez-Lamas M, Cabrera-Ordóñez E, López-Solanilla E, Raposo R, Trelles-Salazar O,
607 Rodríguez-Moreno A, Rodríguez-Palenzuela P. 2009 Role of motility and chemotaxis in the
608 pathogenesis of *Dickeya dadantii* 3937 (ex *Erwinia chrysanthemi* 3937). *Microbiology* **155**, 434–
609 42. (doi:10.1099/mic.0.022244-0)

610 40. Geider K, Gernold M, Jock S, Wensing A, Völksch B, Gross J, Spiteller D. 2015 Unifying
611 bacteria from decaying wood with various ubiquitous *Gibbsiella* species as *G. acetica* sp. nov.
612 based on nucleotide sequence similarities and their acetic acid secretion. *Microbiol. Res.* **181**,
613 93–104. (doi:10.1016/j.micres.2015.05.003)

614 41. Murdoch SL, Trunk K, English G, Fritsch MJ, Pourkarimi E, Coulthurst SJ. 2011 The
615 opportunistic pathogen *Serratia marcescens* utilizes type VI secretion to target bacterial
616 competitors. *J. Bacteriol.* **193**, 6057–6069. (doi:10.1128/JB.05671-11)

617 42. Jahn CE, Willis DK, Charkowski AO. 2008 The flagellar sigma factor *fliA* is required for
618 *Dickeya dadantii* virulence. *Mol. Plant. Microbe. Interact.* **21**, 1431–1442. (doi:10.1094/MPMI-21-
619 11-1431)

620 43. Geiss GK *et al.* 2008 Direct multiplexed measurement of gene expression with color-coded
621 probe pairs. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **26**, 317–25. (doi:10.1038/nbt1385)

622 44. Wang Z, Gerstein M, Snyder M. 2009 RNA-Seq: a revolutionary tool for transcriptomics.
623 *Nat. Rev. Genet.* **10**, 57–63. (doi:10.1038/nrg2484)

624 45. Broberg M, McDonald JE. 2019 Extraction of Microbial and Host DNA, RNA, and Proteins
625 from Oak Bark Tissue. *Methods Protoc.* **2**, 15. (doi:10.3390/mps2010015)

626 46. Martin M. 2011 Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing
627 reads. *EMBnet.journal* **17**, 10–12. (doi:10.14806/ej.17.1.200)

628 47. Joshi N, Fass J. 2011 Sickle. A sliding-window, adaptive, quality-based trimming tool for FastQ
629 files.

630 48. Langmead B, Salzberg SL. 2012 Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. *Nat. Methods* **9**,
631 357–9. (doi:10.1038/nmeth.1923)

632 49. Roberts A, Pachter L. 2012 Streaming fragment assignment for real-time analysis of
633 sequencing experiments. *Nat. Methods* **10**, 71–73. (doi:10.1038/nmeth.2251)

634 50. Love MI, Huber W, Anders S. 2014 Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for
635 RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biol.* **15**, 550. (doi:10.1186/s13059-014-0550-8)

636 51. Luo W, Friedman MS, Shedden K, Hankenson KD, Woolf PJ. 2009 GAGE: Generally
637 applicable gene set enrichment for pathway analysis. *BMC Bioinformatics* **10**, 1–17.
638 (doi:10.1186/1471-2105-10-161)

639 52. Yu G, Wang L-G, Han Y, He Q-Y. 2012 clusterProfiler: an R Package for Comparing
640 Biological Themes Among Gene Clusters. *Omi. A J. Integr. Biol.* **16**, 284–287.
641 (doi:10.1089/omi.2011.0118)

642 53. Szöcs E *et al.* 2015 Analysing chemical-induced changes in macroinvertebrate communities in
643 aquatic mesocosm experiments: a comparison of methods. *Ecotoxicology* **24**, 760–769.
644 (doi:10.1007/s10646-015-1421-0)

645 54. Team RC. 2016 R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for
646 Statistical Computing.

647 55. Wang Y, Naumann U, Wright ST, Warton DI. 2012 Mvabund- an R package for model-based
648 analysis of multivariate abundance data. *Methods Ecol. Evol.* **3**, 471–474. (doi:10.1111/j.2041-
649 210X.2012.00190.x)

650 56. Feng J, Meyer CA, Wang Q, Liu JS, Liu XS, Zhang Y. 2012 GFOLD: A generalized fold change
651 for ranking differentially expressed genes from RNA-seq data. *Bioinformatics* **28**, 2782–2788.
652 (doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/bts515)

653 **Figure legends**

654 Figure 1. Transcriptome analysis of oak log infection tests comprising single bacterial species
655 incoluations and bacteria plus *Agrilus biguttatus* egg inoculations. From left to right: Organisms
656 inoculated into oak logs are shown in the key on the top right, these are *Gibbsiella quercinecans*,
657 *Brenneria goodwinii*, *Lonsdalea britannica* and *Agrilus biguttatus*. There were three biological replicates of
658 each infection test, including replicate water only and wound controls. Each of the three bacterial
659 species were inoculated individually and in combination with eggs of *A. biguttatus*. Exemplary pictures
660 of a single log inoculation replicate from each treatment are shown. The number of expressed genes
661 from log inoculations are shown in the bar chart, with each expressed gene aligned against a custom
662 database and colour coded with the Genus/Species key shown on the bottom left of the figure. Oak
663 transcripts were excluded from the bar chart.

664

665 Figure 2. Transcriptome analysis of *Brenneria goodwinii* inoculations on live oak logs. (b) Gene set
666 enrichment analysis (GSEA) of *B. goodwinii* gene families when compared to (left) water and wound
667 control oak logs; (right) *B. goodwinii* inoculated in combination with *A. biguttatus* when compared to
668 *B. goodwinii* only. The lower q-value represents increased magnitude of gene family expression and
669 circle size represents number of genes per family. (a), principal component analysis (PCA) of (top) *B.*
670 *goodwinii* (n = 3) compared to control (n = 6); (bottom) *B. goodwinii* and *A. biguttatus* compared to
671 control (n = 6). (c) gene expression changes of selected significantly differentially expressed genes,
672 these are anti-toxicity genes (yellow), biofilm and persistence genes (purple), secretion system
673 effectors (blue). (top) *B. goodwinii* compared to control; (bottom) *B. goodwinii* and *A. biguttatus*
674 compared to control. Transcriptome samples were taken from log inoculations of bacterial
675 combinations, wound and water controls, and field samples of AOD lesions and asymptomatic oaks.
676 *Bg = Brenneria goodwinii*; *Gq = Gibbsiella quercinecans*; eggs = *A. biguttatus*.

677

678 Figure 3. *In vitro* transcriptome analysis of *Brenneria goodwinii* and *Gibbsiella quercinecans* in nutrient
679 broth supplemented with oak phloem and oak sapwood. Each panel shows gene expression changes
680 when phloem and sapwood are present, compared with nutrient broth only controls. (a) *B. goodwinii*
681 in sapwood at 2 HPI. (b) *B. goodwinii* in phloem at 2 Hours Post Inoculation HPI. (c) *B. goodwinii* in
682 sapwood at 6 HPI. (d) *B. goodwinii* in phloem at 6 HPI. (d) *G. quercinecans* in oak sapwood at 2 hours
683 post inoculation (HPI). (e) *G. quercinecans* in oak phloem at 2 HPI. (f) *G. quercinecans* in sapwood at 6
684 HPI. (g) *G. quercinecans* in phloem at 6 HPI. (i) *B. goodwinii* and *G. quercinecans* in sapwood at 2 HPI.
685 (j) *B. goodwinii* and *G. quercinecans* in phloem at 2 HPI. (k) *B. goodwinii* and *G. quercinecans* in sapwood

686 at 6 HPI. (I) *B. goodwinii* and *G. quercinecans* in phloem at 6 HPI. DEG = differentially expressed gene.
687 HPI = hours post inoculation. GFOLD is the generalised fold change.

688