

# Tides: A key environmental driver of osteichthyan evolution and the fishtetrapod transition?

Byrne, H. M.; Green, J. A. M.; Balbus, S. A.; Ahlberg, P. E.

### Proceedings of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and **Engineering Sciences**

DOI:

10.1098/rspa.2020.0355

Published: 28/10/2020

Peer reviewed version

Cyswllt i'r cyhoeddiad / Link to publication

Dyfyniad o'r fersiwn a gyhoeddwyd / Citation for published version (APA):
Byrne, H. M., Green, J. A. M., Balbus, S. A., & Ahlberg, P. E. (2020). Tides: A key environmental driver of osteichthyan evolution and the fish-tetrapod transition? *Proceedings of the Royal* Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences, 476(2242), Article 20200355. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspa.2020.0355

Hawliau Cyffredinol / General rights
Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
  - You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
  - You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

Take down policy
If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Tides: A key environmental driver of osteichthyan evolution and the fish-tetrapod transition? H. M. Byrne<sup>1,2,\*</sup> , J. A. M. Green<sup>1</sup>, S. A. Balbus<sup>3</sup>, P. E. Ahlberg<sup>2</sup> <sup>1</sup> School of Ocean Sciences, Bangor University, Menai Bridge, UK <sup>2</sup> Department of Organismal Biology, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden <sup>3</sup> Department of Physics, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK 

#### Abstract

Tides are a major component of the interaction between the marine and terrestrial environments, and thus play an important part in shaping the environmental context for the evolution of shallow marine and coastal organisms. Here we use a dedicated tidal model and palaeogeographic reconstructions from the Late Silurian to early Late Devonian (420 Ma, 400 Ma, and 380 Ma, Ma = millions of years ago) to explore the potential significance of tides for the evolution of osteichthyans (bony fish) and tetrapods (land vertebrates). The earliest members of the osteichthyan crown group date to the Late Silurian, ~425 Ma, while the earliest evidence for tetrapods is provided by trackways from the Middle Devonian, dated to ~393 Ma, and the oldest tetrapod body fossils are Late Devonian, ~373 Ma. Large tidal ranges could have fostered both the evolution of air-breathing organs in osteichthyans, to facilitate breathing in oxygen-depleted tidal pools, and the development of weight-bearing tetrapod limbs to aid navigation within the intertidal zones. We find that tidal ranges over 4 m were present around areas of evolutionary significance for the origin of osteichthyans and the fish-tetrapod transition, highlighting the possible importance of tidal dynamics as a driver for these evolutionary processes.

Keyword: Silurian-Devonian tides, osteichthyan, fish-tetrapod transition, intertidal zone

#### Introduction

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

Only once in Earth's history did vertebrates make the transition from an aquatic to terrestrial environment; trackway evidence indicates this occurred ~393 Ma, although the earliest definite tetrapod body fossils are approximately 20 Ma younger (Ma)[1,2]. In contrast, there have been multiple adaptive radiations of vertebrates from land back to the ocean, e.g., separate groups of semi-aquatic mammals becoming the earliest cetaceans and sirenians at around 50 Ma[3,4]. The origin of tetrapods was itself part of the rapid early diversification of bony fishes (Osteichthyes); shortly after their origin the Osteichthyes split into ray-finned fishes (Actinopterygii, the predominant fish group today) and lobe-finned fishes (Sarcopterygii), the latter giving rise to tetrapods[5]. The earliest known crown-group osteichthyans come from the Late Silurian (425 Ma) of South China, suggesting that the whole process took little more than 30 million years. Most of the terrestrial adaptations, including the modification of the pectoral and pelvic fins into weight-bearing limbs [5], were acquired during the origin of tetrapods. However, one key component, the lungs, is older and can be traced back to the origin of the Osteichthyes, where they evidently evolved for use as supplementary respiratory organs in an aquatic environment before being co-opted to support terrestrial life[6]. The crown-group Osteichthyes most probably originated in South China, as the earliest known members are found there, and the Late Silurian to Early Devonian (starting 425 Ma) faunas of the region contain a diversity of osteichthyans that cannot be matched elsewhere[7]. The origin of tetrapods is more difficult to pinpoint, but the two earliest known trackway localities[1,8] are situated in present day Europe, which at the time was part of the ancient supercontinent Laurussia; the earliest body fossils are also Laurussian [2](Figure 1). Although the drivers behind the evolution of osteichthyans and tetrapods are as yet poorly understood and many hypotheses have been suggested to be behind these evolutionary events [2,5,9-11], it is known that the palaeoenvironment was rapidly transforming due to the emergence of macroscopic plant communities on land and a period of overall marine regression occurring from the Late Silurian to Middle Devonian[12,13].

5758

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

Here, we explore the hypothesis that tides were an important environmental adaptive pressure. The influence of tides on the fish-tetrapod transition has been the subject of several studies by palaeontologists and developmental biologists [14–18], with Balbus (2014)[19] producing the most comprehensive intertidal hypothesis. The hypothesis, an elaboration on Romer's classical 'drying pools' hypothesis[20], is that as the tide retreated, fishes became stranded in shallow water tidal-pool environments, where they would be subjected to raised temperatures and hypoxic conditions. If there was a large spring-neap variation in tides, which today occurs on a 14-day cycle, individuals trapped in upper-shore pools during spring tides could be stranded for several days or considerably longer, depending on the beat frequency of the solar and lunar tides. This would select for efficient air-breathing organs, as well as for appendages adapted for land navigation, so that the fish could make their way to more frequently replenished pools closer to

the sea. Experimental rearing of *Polypterus* (a basal member of actinopterygians, the sister group to sarcopterygians) in terrestrial conditions results in single-generation morphological adaptation to terrestrial locomotion by means of developmental plasticity [18], suggesting that environmental factors are powerful drivers of such evolutionary changes. While the expanse of estuaries and deltas is largely controlled by long-term sea-level fluctuations, a large tidal range would also help to maintain such regions, which provide an ideal transitory environment for the terrestrialisation of tetrapods. Many of the earliest tetrapods, as well as the transitional 'elpistostegalians' *Panderichthys* and *Elpistostege* (though not *Tiktaalik*), are found in sediments identified as deltaic or estuarine, [2,21–23], and isotopic evidence supports a lifestyle adapted to a wide range of salinities [24]. Furthermore, a recent study on ancestral vertebrate habitats has suggested that many early vertebrate clades originated in shallow intertidal-subtidal environments[25].

Here, we investigate whether there is a detailed hydrodynamic basis for inferring that large tides did indeed exist during the Late Silurian to the early Late Devonian in locations where evidence for early osteichthyans and early tetrapods have been found. We have used recent global palaeogeographic reconstructions[26] for the Late Silurian (420 Ma), early Middle Devonian (400 Ma), and early Late Devonian (380 Ma) in an established state-of-the-art numerical tidal model[27-29]. We evaluate the two dominant components of the contemporaneous tide: the principal lunar constituent (M<sub>2</sub>) and the principal solar constituent (S<sub>2</sub>) to allow us to compute spring-neap range variability. Neap tides occur when  $M_2$  and  $S_2$  are out of phase, and spring tides when they are in phase, so the spring-neap range difference is equal to the range of S<sub>2</sub>. We also discuss the simulated tidal ranges for both tidal constituents. We focus on two geographic areas in the reconstructions: The South China region for the 420 Ma time slice, and Laurussia for the 400 Ma time slice (see Fig. 1 for details), because of their respective associations with the earliest osteichthyans and the earliest trace fossil evidence of tetrapods in the form of trackways[1,7,8]. The 380 Ma time slice is included to encompass the period in which body fossils of elpistostegalians occur, during the late Givetian to mid-Frasnian. Like the earliest tetrapod trackways, two of the three main elpistostegalid genera (Panderichthys and Elpistostege) occur along the Southern coastline of Laurussia [30,31]. Note that the South China region for our study includes Indochina, as there is evidence that the South China and Indochina blocks were linked due to the presence of similar fauna in the fossil record [32] (Figure 1b and e). To test the robustness of our simulation outputs, we have identified three tidal proxies for each time slice which we will use for comparison [22,33,42-49,34-41] .Details of the proxies are discussed in more detail in the Materials and Methods section and comparisons discussed in the Results section (Figure 1 and Table 1).

#### Materials and Methods

#### 108 Tidal modelling

The tides for the periods of interest were simulated using the Oregon State University Tidal Inversion Software (OTIS), which has been used extensively to simulate deep-time, present day, and future tides[27–29,50,51]. OTIS provides a numerical solution to the linearised shallow water equations, with the non-linear advection and horizontal diffusion excluded without a loss in accuracy[27]:

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{U}}{\partial \mathbf{t}} + \mathbf{f} \times \mathbf{U} = -\mathbf{g} \mathbf{H} \nabla (\eta - \eta_{SAL} - \eta_{EQ}) - \mathbf{F}$$
 (1)

$$\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial t} - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{U} = 0 \tag{2}$$

Here,  $\mathbf{f}$  is the Coriolis parameter,  $\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{u}H$  is the depth-integrated volume transport where  $\mathbf{u}$  is the horizontal velocity vector and H is the water depth,  $\eta$  represents the surface elevation from rest,  $\eta_{SAL}$  is the self-attraction and loading elevation,  $\eta_{EQ}$  is the elevation of the equilibrium tide, and  $\mathbf{F}$  the tidal dissipative term. This is split into two parts describing to bed-friction and tidal conversion, respectively, i.e.,  $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{F}_B + \mathbf{F}_W$ . Bed friction is parameterised through the standard quadratic law:  $\mathbf{F}_B = C_d \mathbf{u} \| \mathbf{u} \|$ , where  $C_d = 0.009$  is a drag coefficient. The second term,  $\mathbf{F}_W$ , represents the energy loss due to tidal conversion, and can be written  $\mathbf{F}_W = \mathbf{C}\mathbf{U}$ . The conversion coefficient,  $\mathbf{C}$ , was computed from [52]:

$$C(x,y) = \gamma \frac{N_H \overline{N}(\nabla H)^2}{8\pi\omega}$$
 (3)

Here,  $\gamma$  (=50) represents a scaling factor accounting for unresolved topographic roughness,  $N_H$  is the buoyancy frequency at the seabed,  $\overline{N}$  represents the vertical average of the buoyancy frequency, and  $\omega$  is the frequency of the tidal constituent under evaluation. The buoyancy frequency was based on a statistical fit of that observed at present day, i.e.,  $N(x,y) = N_0 exp(-z/L)$ , where  $N_0$ =0.00524 s<sup>-1</sup> and L=1300 m have been determined from statistical fits to the present day ocean stratification[52] – see below for a discussion about the sensitivity to stratification.

#### Simulations and bathymetric data

Close to 100 simulations have been generated using 5 different reconstructions of the bathymetry for Present day, and for the 420 Ma, 400 Ma, and 380 Ma time-slices. To replicate the relevant tidal forcing for the past time slices, the equilibrium tidal elevation and frequency of the tidal constituents were altered. These constituents allow the calculation for the tidal range and spring-neap range. For the late Silurian (420 Ma), the  $M_2$  period used was 10.91 hrs, and the  $S_2$  period was 10.5 hrs. For the early Middle Devonian (400 Ma), a slightly longer periods of 10.98

hrs for  $M_2$  and 10.7 hrs for  $S_2$  were used, whereas the early Late Devonian (380 Ma) had an  $M_2$  period of 11.05 hrs and an  $S_2$  period of 11.0 hrs. These numbers are based on small changes to a contemporaneous lunar semi-major axis of 365,000 km, and are consistent with studies on Silurian-Devonian corals and brachiopods growth increments[19,53,54] (simulations run with PD values for these parameters show qualitatively similar overall results). Because the orbital periods are directly related to lunar distance, we increased the lunar forcing by 15%, but did not allow for this to vary between the time slices.

150151152

153

154

155

144

145

146

147

148

149

The bathymetric data set for the present day (PD) simulations were a conglomerate of version 14 of the Smith and Sandwell topographic database[55], along with updated bathymetries for regions north of 79°N from IBCAO[56], and south of 79°S from Padman *et al.* [57]. The combined data set was averaged to 1/4° in both latitude and longitude, to match that of the palaeobathymetry data. Simulations with this bathymetry are referred to as 'PD control'.

156157158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

There are several reconstructions of the palaeogeography available for the time-periods in question[58–60]. We have used the latest products from Deeptime Maps[26], representing 420 Ma for the Late Silurian (Pridoli-Lochkovian), 400 Ma for the late Early Devonian (Emsian), and 380 Ma for the early Late Devonian (Middle Frasnian)[61]. There is a difficulty to directly turn the maps into numerical model grids due to a lack of bathymetry depth information for the deep time slices, beyond what is included in the published reconstructions. We have quantified the oceanic bathymetry using step-changes in depths of 150 m, 300 m, 800 m for the continental shelf, and a 4200 m deep abyssal plain. We refer to this simulation as 'control' in the following. The assumption for this choice of depths is that the period of study is at a similar point in the super-continent cycle as present day, so the age of the oceanic plates would be comparable between the Devonian and present day[58,62]. This means that mean depths of the abyssal plain and continental shelfs should be similar for both; this underpins our control bathymetry set (see Figure 1 for the 420 Ma and 400 Ma control bathymetries). The bathymetry outlines (e.g., what is shelf seas, continental slope) is determined by the palaeogeographic reconstructions. Because of the poorly constrained depths in the past reconstructions, we did a suite of sensitivity simulations where the depths were modified to check the robustness of our results. These are referred to as 'shallow' and 'deep' and have the depths shallower than 800 m from the midbathymetries halved or doubled, respectively. We also did a set of simulations were water shallower than 150 m in the mid-bathymetries were set to land (testing sensitivity to coastline locations), another two sets of simulations where water shallower than 800 m in the midbathymetries were set to wither 800 m or 150 m, respectively. We refer to these three sets as 'no shelf', 'deep shelf', and 'shallow shelf'.

Stratification is also poorly constrained because there are yet to be any ocean model simulations of the period published (although some are in progress). It has been shown that the tides are relatively insensitive to the buoyancy frequency, within an order of magnitude or so from present day values[27,51]. Consequently, we used the standard globally averaged buoyancy profile used before [52] in our simulations as well, and then did a series of sensitivity tests to explore robustness. In the sensitivity simulations, which were done for all six bathymetries (shallow, mid, and deep, and no shelf, shallow shelf, and deep shelf) for all three time slices, with the buoyancy frequency halved or doubled (implemented by setting  $\gamma$ =25 or  $\gamma$ =100 in Eq. (3)). As ongoing ocean model experiments are able to produce progressively more reliable estimates of Devonian stratification, we will revisit the details of our computations. For now, the sensitivity simulations show a degree of robustness that warrants support of our emphasis on the role of tides in the evolution of terrestrial vertebrates. In the following we focus the discussion on the mid bathymetry simulations with  $\gamma$ =50 and introduce the shallow and deep simulations in the discussions. The shelf simulations, and the stratification sensitivity simulations are mainly used for statistics of the robustness of the tidal dynamics.

#### Validation and Present Day sensitivity simulations

We also introduced degraded PD bathymetries based on the method for the Devonian simulations. In these, the same depth ranges were used as in the Devonian bathymetries, i.e., any water shallower then 150 m was set to 150 m, anything in the range 150-300 m or 300-800 m was set to 300 m and 800 m respectively, and anything deeper than 800 m was set to 4200 m (our abyssal depth). We refer to this as PD mid, and again computed deep and shallow bathymetries as above.

The model output consists of the amplitudes and phases of the surface elevations and velocities for each simulated tidal constituent. Both the PD control simulation and degraded PD simulation, shown in

Figure 2, were then compared to the TPXO9 satellite altimetry constrained product [63] (available from http://volkov.oce.orst.edu/tides/global.html), giving a globally averaged root-mean-square (RMS) error of 12 cm and 20 cm respectively for the  $M_2$  amplitudes. The results suggested that we should expect an over-estimate in tidal ranges located in shelf seas for our palaeotidal simulations. In the following we discuss a classification of tidal ranges, and say that micro-tidal refers to a range of 0-2 m, a meso-tidal range is 2-4 m, a macro-tidal range sits between 4-8 m, and a mega-tidal range is larger than 8 m.

#### Tidal proxies

Extraction of palaeotidal data from the geological record can be difficult and uncertain, but there are tidal deposits described in the literature for the periods of study. Here, we have identified

three deposits per time-slice that can be used to test the robustness of our simulations. We have used the tidal depositional systems and relative tidal ranges classification from Longhitano et al., [64] to quantify tidal regimes represented in the tidal deposits. Details of the tidal proxies are summarised below and also in Table 1.

For the 420 Ma time slice, two of the tidal proxies are situated in Laurussia and one near Gondwana (Figure 1 and Table 1). The Keziertage Formation is part of the Tarim Basin, which belongs to the Late Pridoli (420 Ma) as determined by zircon dating, and represents a tidal flat environment, likely representing a meso-macro (i.e., larger than 2 m) tidal regime [39,48]. The Manlius Formation is a lagoonal deposit from the Silurian-Devonian boundary at around 419 Ma now in New York, USA, and represents a micro-tidal regime [35,38]. The Karheen Formation dates to the Early Lochkovian (around 419-415 Ma), is located in present day Prince of Wales Island, Alaska, and is a intertidal flat deposition likely representing a meso-macro tidal regime [33,42].

For the 400 Ma time slice, two of the proxies are again from Laurussia and one from Gondwana (Figure 1 and Table 1). The Battery Point Formation of Eastern Canada, dating to the Late Emsian (~400-393 Ma), is a deposit made of sedimentary structures representing a meso-tidal environment [37,44]. The Padeha Formation, dating to the Emsian-Eifelian boundary (~393 Ma), belongs to the Central block of Iran and is a tidal flat deposit, likely showing a meso-macro tidal regime [47,49]. The Rēzekne and Pärnu Formations, dating to the Late Emsian to Early Eifelian (~395-390 Ma), belong to the Baltic Basin (BB), a vast delta which measured about 250x500 km [40,43]. These Formations indicate that the delta was tidally-dominated at this stage, suggesting a meso-macro tidal regime [45,46].

For the 380 Ma time slice, all three proxies are located in Laurussia (see Figure 1 and Table 1). The Gauja Formation is also part of the succession of deposits from the Baltic Delta, dating to the Late Givetian (~385-383 Ma)[40]. It indicates that the Baltic delta has gone from being tidally-dominated, as shown in the earlier Rēzekne and Pärnu Formations, to being tidally-influenced, and hence experiencing a shift to a micro-meso tidal regime (0-4m)[43,45]. The Appalachian Foreland basin, now in the eastern USA, was a large epeiric sea, and is well-known for containing vast coral reef systems and several shale deposits in the Hamilton Group from the Givetian (388-383 Ma), indicative of a micro-tidal regime [34,41]. Lastly, the Escuminac Formation from Eastern Canada, is well-known as the location for the elpistostegid *Elpistostege watsoni* and tetrapodomorph *Eusthenopteron foordi*. The deposit dates to the Middle Frasnian (~378 Ma) and represents a wave-dominated estuary associated with a micro-tidal regime [22,36].

Positioning of the proxy locations on the relevant palaeogeographic reconstructions were done using the present-day locations of each proxy in conjunction with palaeogeographic

reconstructions which had present day country outlines superimposed. Precise placement of the tidal proxy locations on the palaeogeographic reconstructions was unattainable due to the coarse resolution of the reconstructions, and so the location markers are approximate. In the future, we plan to have higher-resolution simulations concentrated in these regions with higher-resolution and smaller-scale palaeogeographic reconstructions.

### Results

420 Ma

In the 420 Ma control simulation, the  $M_2$  tidal response shows several localised macro-tidal areas near West and East Laurussia, and around East Siberia (Figure 3a and Table 2). Several distinct macro-tidal areas are also found around East Gondwana, with the majority occurring in our region of interest (Figure 3b). The maximum  $M_2$  range for the South China region is mega-tidal and is located around the Indochina block (Table 2 and Figure 3b). The  $M_2$  tide is generally weak away from coastlines and in the strait between the middle and west islands of Laurussia, although we find the maximum global  $M_2$  range at West Laurussia (13 m, Figure 3c and Table 2). Mesotidal spring-neap ranges are seen in multiple areas throughout Laurussia and Gondwana, occurring in areas where  $M_2$  macro-tidal ranges are found (Figure 3e-f). As seen in Figure 3c, Laurussia is home to several meso-tidal areas, reaching almost macro-tidal ranges along West Laurussia (Table 2). The South China region has three distinct meso-tidal spring-neap range areas, with a maximum of over 3 m reached around Indochina (Figure 3e and Table 2). The meso-tidal ranges, or larger, in both  $M_2$  and  $S_2$  tides around the South China region show a large tidal variability occurring in the region and at the time of the origin and diversification of osteichthyans.

The depth sensitivity simulations show a similar picture in terms of the spatial patterns, but there are expected variations in range. For the 420 Ma shallow bathymetry simulation, the  $M_2$  tide is much less energetic compared to the control, particularly around East Gondwana (*cf.* Figure 3a and c, and Figure 4c). There are again meso-tidal spring-neap ranges found in the  $M_2$  macro-tidal areas, having the same global average and a reduced maximum range compared with the control (Table 2 Figure 4d). In contrast, the deep bathymetry simulation is much more tidally energetic (i.e. larger tidal ranges) for  $M_2$ , with more and larger macro-tidal areas seen around the coastlines of all three continents (Figure 5). This trend is also observed for the spring-neap range (i.e., twice the values shown in Figure 5d-f).

The globally averaged  $M_2$  ranges for the control and shallow bathymetries are similar (0.4 m and 0.5 m respectively), whereas the deep bathymetry comes in at 0.7 m (Table 2). The maximum  $M_2$  range found in the 420 Ma simulations vary from 7.9-13 m, and it is evident that the deep

bathymetry creates a general amplification of the  $M_2$  and  $S_2$  tide (Table 2 and Figure 5). However, despite this global amplification, the maximum values for both the  $M_2$  and spring-neap ranges are lower than the control simulation (Table 2).

298299 400 Ma

For the 400 Ma control simulation, there are several M<sub>2</sub> macro-tidal areas located along North Laurussia and Siberia and around East Gondwana (Figure 6a-c). There is one distinct macro-tidal region around South China, with several more localised upper meso-tidal ranges around Indochina, with the region being less energetic compared with the 420 Ma control simulation (Figure 3b, 6b and Table 2). Around Laurussia, there are several macro-tidal areas across the north, with a weaker M<sub>2</sub> tide in the south (Figure 6c). This simulation shows a weakened M<sub>2</sub> tide along the south and west coast of Laurussia between 420 Ma to 400 Ma (Figure 6 and Table 2). The spring-neap range at 400 Ma shows a similar distribution as in the 420 Ma control simulation, located in M<sub>2</sub> macro-tidal areas (compare Figure 6d for 400 Ma with Figure 3d for 420 Ma). The South China region (Figure 6e) again experiences a smaller spring-neap range compared to that in the 420 Ma control simulation; it also has a smaller average and maximum range (see Figure 3e, Figure 6e, and Table 1). As in South China, the spring-neap range is smaller around much of Laurussia compared to in the 420 Ma control simulation (*cf.* Figure 6e and Figure 3e).

The 400 Ma shallow bathymetry simulation is much less energetic, for both the  $M_2$  and  $S_2$  tide, than the control and deep bathymetry simulations of the same time slice (see Figure 7 for the shallow 400 Ma simulation results and Figure 8 for the deep simulation). There are fewer  $M_2$  macro-tidal areas and they are more localised, with the global average  $M_2$  range being some 75% of that found in the control and deep bathymetry simulations (Table 2). A similar trend occurs for the spring-neap range (Table 2). The Deep 400 Ma bathymetry simulation is similar to that of the control bathymetry for both  $M_2$  and  $S_2$ . For Laurussia, the  $M_2$  tide appears to be less energetic around the North coast and more energetic towards the West and South coast, with a macrotidal range occurring at the BB (see Figure 1 for location and Figure 66c and Figure 8c for the tidal ranges). The South China region is more tidally energetic in the deep bathymetry simulation, with the global maximum  $M_2$  range occurring here (Figure 8b and Table 2). Globally, the spring-neap range is largest in the deep bathymetry simulation, with the maximum found in East Gondwana (Table 2).

380 Ma

The simulation for 380 Ma shows a slightly reduced global tidal range for both  $M_2$  and  $S_2$  ( Figure 9 and Table 2) compared with simulations from the other two time-slices, whereas the tides in South China and Laurussia are on par with those in the 400 Ma simulation of the same

region. There are, however, a few local hotspots in the 380 Ma simulations, where the islands in the North-West (part of the domain in

Figure 9b) experience  $M_2$  macro-tidal ranges over 8 m. Around Laurussia, the tides are still macro-tidal, albeit weaker than in the earlier time slices.

335336337

338

339

340

332

333

334

The 380 Ma shallow simulation has a similar global tidal range output as the control simulation, though produces lower maximum ranges for both  $M_2$  and  $S_2$ , with a similar trend observed in the regions of interest (Figure 10 and Table 2). The deep simulation (Figure 11 and Table 2) is more energetic than both the control and shallow bathymetry simulations, producing tidal ranges comparable with the deep bathymetry simulations from the previous two time-slices.

341342343

344

345

346

347

348

349

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

358

359

360

361

362

363

364

## **Proxy comparisons**

The 420 Ma control simulation fits best with the tidal proxy ranges for the time, with macro tidal ranges occurring in the Karheen Formation region, micro tidal ranges at the Manlius Formation region and macro tidal ranges at the Keziertage Formation region (see Figures 1 and 3, Table 1). In the shallow bathymetry simulation, tidal ranges for both the Karheen and Keziertage Formation locations are smaller than the proxy ranges and for the deep bathymetry simulation the Keziertage Formation region has smaller ranges than the proxy (See Figures 1, 4 and 5, Table 1). For the 400 Ma simulations, the control matches reasonably well with all three proxies: it shows a meso tidal regime at the Battery Point Formation locality and a meso-tidal regime in the region of the Padeha Formation (Figures 1 and 6, Table 1). However, the control simulation does not agree with the tidal proxy of the Rezekne and Pärnu Formations. The proxy represents a meso-macro tidal regime, with the simulation showing micro-tidal conditions. The shallow bathymetry simulation produces tidal ranges smaller than all three proxy tidal regimes and the although the deep bathymetry fits well with both the Pärnu and Rēzekne and the Padeha Formation proxies, it does not fit with the Battery Point Formation proxy, with the simulation underestimating the tidal regime at that location (Figures 1, 7 and 8, Table 1). In the 380 Ma time slice, the control simulation fits well with all three proxies, with micro-tidal regimes for the Escuminac Formation and Hamilton Group regions and a micro-meso tidal regime occurring in the BB area, where the Gauja Formation is located (Figures 1 and 9, Table 1). The shallow bathymetry simulation is less tidally energetic than the control simulation, and also fits well with the three proxies, though has a slightly smaller tidal range output in the BB region (Figures 1 and 10, Table 1). The deep bathymetry produced tidal regimes much greater than the tidal proxies, particularly in the region of the Escuminac Formation (Figures 1 and 11, Table 1).

365366367

368

#### Discussion and conclusions

The earlier time-slices for our period of study (420-400 Ma) and Present Day are believed to be at roughly similar central points in their respective super-continental cycles[58,62], whereas the 380 Ma slice is closer to the Formation of a supercontinent (Pangea in this case) than we currently are [62]. This central position in the cycle is associated with multiple ocean basins, and thus an increased chance of ocean resonances in one or multiple basins which would lead to the tides becoming more energetic[65]. At present we are experiencing a tidal maxima due to the near-resonance of the North Atlantic[66], whereas the period of study occurs after a tidal maximum, shown in other simulations to have occurred at around 440 Ma [24,56, and unpublished data]. This is important as tides can be sensitive to small-scale changes in bathymetry when the ocean is near resonance[27], but as this is not the case for our period of study, our results are not prone to this sensitivity[29]. The similar positioning within a super-continent cycle of our period of study with present day would also suggest that the contemporaneous oceanic crust would have been of similar age to the present-day crust; consequently, we based the control bathymetry on present day bathymetry values. The sensitivity simulations show that the results are generally robust when the depths are changed.

The control simulation produces the best fit for the three tidal proxies for 420 Ma, and although only the deep bathymetry simulation produced a meso-tidal regime matching the BB tidal proxy for 400 Ma, it is not a representative bathymetry for this time-slice. This is due to the early Middle Devonian being in a period of lowered sea-level caused by marine regression occurring from the Late Silurian[13]. We therefore argue that the control simulation is still a valid baseline for the 400 Ma time-slice. Higher resolution simulations are required to resolve the tides of the BB for the control bathymetry, as it is common for the local full tidal range not to be captured in global tidal simulations, like the Bay of Fundy of the Present Day, which is dominated by a small scale resonance[67]. For the 380 Ma time-slice, the control simulation also fits well with the three tidal proxies for that period, as does the shallow bathymetry simulation.

For the 420 Ma time-slice, the South China region is consistently associated with multiple M<sub>2</sub> macro-tidal areas across the sensitivity simulations. Furthermore, multiple spring-neap mesotidal areas also persist, implying a large tidal variability during the time of the origin of osteichthyans [7]. It should also be noted that a macro-tidal regime also occurs along the coastline of Indochina in conjunction with South China. Combined with evidence of shared fauna between the two blocks, this warrants further palaeontological exploration of present day countries belonging to the Indochina block: Vietnam, Laos, Cambodia and Thailand. The Van Canh and Dong Tho sandstone Formations, which represent the Silurian-Devonian of Eastern Indochina, show indications of extensive tidal zones and are associated with early dipnomorph fish (members of the lungfish lineage, the extant sister group to tetrapods)[32,68].

In the 400 Ma time-slice, the tidal regimes vary throughout the simulations in areas where the earliest tetrapod trackways are located in Southern Laurussia (see Figure 6-8 for the following discussion), and these results are supported by the later 380 Ma simulation in

Figure 9 – see also Table 2. The Zachelmie trackway locality lies on the western margin of the entrance to the Baltic Basin (marked in Figure 1); in the control simulation the BB is located in a micro-tidal area but changes to a macro-tidal area in the Deep bathymetry simulation. The BB was a shallow epicontinental sea which existed from the Silurian into the Early Carboniferous[45]. Tidal regimes within ancient epicontinental seas have been greatly debated, with arguments for the weakening of the propagating tide due to shallow depths and the vast expansion of the seaways, leading to micro-tidal conditions[69]. Offsetting this, other studies have found evidence for tide-domination in both extant and extinct epicontinental seas[70]. Numerical models of ancient seaways have produced varied results; the Late Devonian Catskill seaway of Southern Laurussia is expected to have experienced meso-tidal ranges, whereas largely micro-tidal conditions are expected in the Late Carboniferous seaway of NW Europe and the Early Jurassic Laurasian Seaway[71–73]. Tidalites from the Pärnu and Rēzekne Formations suggest a mesomacro tidal regime, which will be investigated further in future studies using higher resolution simulations for the BB[46].

Our principal conclusion is that simulations representing ocean tides for the time periods of the evolution of osteichthyans and the emergence of tetrapods are broadly consistent with the hypothesis that tides were an important environmental and evolutionary driver for these events. Of particular significance is the fact that those areas with some of the largest tidal ranges and tidal variability in the palaeotidal simulations coincide with fossil proxy sites, i.e., South China from 420 Ma. From the fossil record, it is apparent that tidal environments are closely associated with the fossils of elpistostegalians and stem-tetrapods. This stimulates the need for high-resolution tidal simulations to access tidal regimes in these regions in more detail, e.g., the BB and Escuminac Formation sites. Extended tidal simulation studies using a variety of palaeogeographic reconstructions at more finely sliced time intervals, as well as at higher spatial resolution around areas of palaeontological interest, will more fully elucidate whether differing tidal regimes are correlated with the origin and diversification of other early vertebrate clades[25]. More generally, establishing the role of palaeotides in influencing major evolutionary events is a field holding great promise, a novel blend of fluid dynamics and palaeobiology that is still very much in its infancy.

Acknowledgements

Simulations were done using Supercomputing Wales, and technical support from Ade Fewings is gratefully acknowledged. We thank Joao Duarte and Kara Matthews for their constructive feedback and to members of the Devonian tides team for many helpful discussions. Funding statement This work was supported by grants from the Wallenberg Scholarship, from the Knut and Alice Wallenberg Foundation (to P.E.A and H.M.B) and from the Natural Environmental Research Council (NERC) through grant NE/I030224/1 (to J.A.M.G). Data and materials availability: The tidal simulation outputs are available from the corresponding author (H.M.B.). 

#### 459 References

460

461

462

463

464

465

466

467

468

469

470

471

472

473

474

478

479

480

481

482

483

484

485

486

487

488

494

495

- Niedwiedzki G, Szrek P, Narkiewicz K, Narkiewicz M, Ahlberg PE. 2010 Tetrapod trackways from the early Middle Devonian period of Poland. *Nature* 463, 43–48. (doi:10.1038/nature08623)
  - 2. Ahlberg PE. 2018 Follow the footprints and mind the gaps: a new look at the origin of tetrapods. *Earth Environ. Sci. Trans. R. Soc. Edinburgh*, 1–23. (doi:10.1017/S1755691018000695)
  - 3. Gingerich PD. 2003 Land-to-sea transition in early whales: evolution of Eocene Archaeoceti (Cetacea) in relation to skeletal proportions and locomotion of living semiaquatic mammals. *Paleobiology* **29**, 429–454. (doi:10.1666/0094-8373(2003)029<0429:LTIEWE>2.0.CO;2)
  - 4. Savage RJG, Domning DP, Thewissen JGM. 1994 Fossil sirenia of the west Atlantic and Caribbean region. V. The most primitive known sirenian, *Prorastomus sirenoides* Owen, 1855. *J. Vertebr. Paleontol.* **14**, 427–449. (doi:10.1080/02724634.1994.10011569)
  - 5. Clack JA. 2012 *Gaining Ground: The Origin and Evolution of Tetrapods*. 2nd edn. Indiana University Press.
- 475 6. Perry SF, Wilson RJ., Straus C, Harris MB, Remmers JE. 2001 Which came first, the lung or the breath? *Comp. Biochem. Physiol. Part A Mol. Integr. Physiol.* 129, 37–47. (doi:10.1016/S1095-6433(01)00304-X)
  - 7. Choo B, Zhu M, Zhao W, Jia L, Zhu Y. 2015 The largest Silurian vertebrate and its palaeoecological implications. *Sci. Rep.* **4**, 5242. (doi:10.1038/srep05242)
  - 8. Stössel I, Williams EA, Higgs KT. 2016 Ichnology and depositional environment of the Middle Devonian Valentia Island tetrapod trackways, south-west Ireland. *Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol.* **462**, 16–40. (doi:10.1016/J.PALAEO.2016.08.033)
  - 9. Retallack GJ. 2011 Woodland hypothesis for devonian tetrapod evolution. *J. Geol.* **119**, 235–258. (doi:10.1086/659144)
  - 10. Farmer C. 1997 Did lungs and the intracardiac shunt evolve to oxygenate the heart in vertebrates? *Paleobiology* **23**, 358–372. (doi:10.1017/S0094837300019734)
  - 11. Colbert EH. 1955 Evolution of the vertebrates. A history of the backboned animals through time. *Evol. Vertebr. A Hist. backboned Anim. through time.*
- 489 12. Edwards D. 1990 Constraints on Siluran and Early Devonian phytogeographic analysis 490 based on megafossils. *Geol. Soc. London, Mem.* **12**, 233–242. 491 (doi:10.1144/GSL.MEM.1990.012.01.22)
- 492 13. Haq BU, Schutter SR. 2008 A chronology of Paleozoic sea-level changes. *Science* **322**, 64–493 8. (doi:10.1126/science.1161648)
  - 14. Schultze HP. 1997 Umweltbedingungen beim Übergang von Fisch zu Tetrapode [Paleoenvironment at the transition from fish to tetrapod]. Sitzungsberichte der Gesellschaft Naturforschender Freunde zu Berlin 36, 59–77.
- 497 15. Gordon MS. 1998 African amphibious fishes and the invasion of the land by the tetrapods. *South African J. Zool.* **33**, 115–118. (doi:10.1080/02541858.1998.11448460)
- 499 16. You X *et al.* 2014 Mudskipper genomes provide insights into the terrestrial adaptation of amphibious fishes. *Nat. Commun.* **5**. (doi:10.1038/ncomms6594)
- 501 17. George D, Blieck A. 2011 Rise of the Earliest Tetrapods: An Early Devonian Origin from

- 502 Marine Environment. *PLoS One* **6**, e22136. (doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0022136)
- 503 18. Standen EM, Du TY, Larsson HCE. 2014 Developmental plasticity and the origin of tetrapods. *Nature* **513**, 54–58. (doi:10.1038/nature13708)
- 505 19. Balbus SA. 2014 Dynamical, biological, and anthropic consequences of equal lunar and solar angular radii. *Proceeding R. Soc. London* **470**, 20140263. (doi:10.1098/rspa.2014.0263)
  - 20. Romer AS. 1933 Man and the Vertebrates. University of Chicago press.

509

510

518

519

520

524

525

526

527

528

529

- 21. Luksevics E, Zupins I. 2004 Sedimentology, fauna, and taphonomy of the Pavâri site, Late Devonian of Latvia. *Acta Univ. Latv.* **679**, 99–119.
- 511 22. Cloutier R, Proust JN, Tessier B. 2011 The Miguasha Fossil-Fish-Lagerstätte: A consequence of the Devonian land-sea interactions. *Palaeobiodiversity and Palaeoenvironments* **91**, 293–323. (doi:10.1007/s12549-011-0058-0)
- 514 23. Daeschler EB, Shubin NH, Jenkins FA. 2006 A Devonian tetrapod-like fish and the evolution of the tetrapod body plan. *Nature* **440**, 757–763. (doi:10.1038/nature04639)
- 516 24. Goedert J *et al.* 2018 Euryhaline ecology of early tetrapods revealed by stable isotopes. 517 *Nature* **558**, 68–72. (doi:10.1038/s41586-018-0159-2)
  - 25. Sallan L, Friedman M, Sansom RS, Bird CM, Sansom IJ. 2018 The nearshore cradle of early vertebrate diversification. *Science* **362**, 460–464. (doi:10.1126/science.aar3689)
    - 26. Blakey R. 2014 Global Paleogeography and Tectonics in Deep Time − Deep Time Maps™.
- 521 27. Egbert GD, Bills BG, Ray RD. 2004 Numerical modeling of the global semidiurnal tide in the present day and in the last glacial maximum. *J. Geophys. Res.* **109**, C03003, doi: 10.1029/2003JC001973.
  - 28. Green JAM, Huber M. 2013 Tidal dissipation in the early Eocene and implications for ocean mixing. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **40**, 2707–2713. (doi:10.1002/grl.50510)
    - 29. Green JAM, Huber M, Waltham D, Buzan J, Wells M. 2017 Explicitly modelled deep-time tidal dissipation and its implication for Lunar history. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* **461**, 46–53. (doi:10.1016/J.EPSL.2016.12.038)
    - 30. Ahlberg P, Lukševičs E, Mark-Kurik E. 2000 A near-tetrapod from the Baltic Middle Devonian. *Palaeontology* **43**, 533–548. (doi:10.1111/j.0031-0239.2000.00138.x)
- 531 31. Cloutier R, Clement AM, Lee MSY, Noël R, Béchard I, Roy V, Long JA. 2020 Elpistostege and the origin of the vertebrate hand. *Nature* **579**, 549–554. (doi:10.1038/s41586-020-2100-8)
- Thanh T-D, Janvier P, Phuong TH. 1996 Fish suggests continental connections between the Indochina and South China blocks in Middle Devonian time. *Geology* **24**, 571. (doi:10.1130/0091-7613(1996)024<0571:FSCCBT>2.3.CO;2)
- Abushik AF, Blodgett RB, Baranoc V V. 2016 The First Early Lochkovian Ostracods in
   Redbeds of the Karheen Formation of Prince of Wales Island (Southeast Alaska). New
   Mex. Museum Nat. Hist. Sci. Bull. 74, 1–4.
- 34. Brett CE, Bartholomew AJ, Baird GC. 2007 Biofacies Recurrence In The Middle Devonian Of New York State: An Example With Implications For Evolutionary Paleoecology. *Palaios* **22**, 306–324. (doi:10.2110/palo.2005.p05-027r)
- 35. Browne KM, Demicco R V. 1988 Thrombolites of the lower devonian Manlius Formation of central New York. *Carbonates and Evaporites* **2**, 149–155. (doi:10.1007/BF03174314)
- 36. Cloutier R, Loboziak S, Candilier AM, Blieck A. 1996 Biostratigraphy of the upper

- devonian escuminac formation, eastern Quebec, Canada: A comparative study based on miospores and fishes. *Rev. Palaeobot. Palynol.* **93**, 191–215. (doi:10.1016/0034-6667(95)00126-3)
- 549 37. Griffing DH, Bridge JS, Hotton CL. 2000 Coastal-fluvial palaeoenvironments and plant 550 palaeoecology of the lower Devonian (Emsian), Gaspé Bay, Québec, Canada. *Geol. Soc.* 551 *Spec. Publ.* **180**, 61–84. (doi:10.1144/GSL.SP.2000.180.01.05)

553

554

561

562

563

564

565

566

567

568

569

570

571

572

573

574

575

576

577

- 38. Laporte LF. 1967 Carbonate Deposition Near Mean Sea-Level and Resultant Facies Mosaic: Manlius Formation (Lower Devonian) of New York State. *Am. Assoc. Pet. Geol. Bull.* **51**, 73–101. (doi:10.1306/5d25b793-16c1-11d7-8645000102c1865d)
- 555 39. Liu J, Lin C, Li S, Cai Z, Xia S, Fu C, Liu Y. 2012 Detrital Zircon U-Pb Geochronology and Its 556 Provenance Implications on Silurian Tarim Basin. *J. Earth Sci.* **23**, 455–475. 557 (doi:10.1007/s12583-012-0268-z)
- 558 40. Lukševičs E, Stinkulis Ģ, Mūrnieks A, Popovs K. 2012 Geological evolution of the Baltic 559 Artesian Basin. In *Highlights of groundwater research in the Baltic Artesian Basin*, pp. 7– 560 52.
  - 41. Oliver WA. 1951 Middle Devonian coral beds of central New York. *Am. J. Sci.* **249**, 705–728. (doi:10.2475/ajs.249.10.705)
    - 42. Ovenshine AT. 1975 Tidal Origin of Parts of the Karheen Formation (Lower Devonian), Southeastern Alaska. In *Tidal Deposits*, pp. 127–133. Springer Berlin Heidelberg. (doi:10.1007/978-3-642-88494-8 15)
    - 43. Pontén A, Plink-Björklund P. 2007 Depositional environments in an extensive tide-influenced delta plain, Middle Devonian Gauja Formation, Devonian Baltic Basin. Sedimentology **54**, 969–1006. (doi:10.1111/j.1365-3091.2007.00869.x)
    - 44. Rust BR, Lawrence DA, Zaitlin BA. 1989 The sedimentology and tectonic significance of Devonian and Carboniferous terrestrial successions in Gaspé, Quebec. **25**, 1–13.
    - 45. Tanavsuu-Milkeviciene K, Plink-Bjorklund P. 2009 Recognizing Tide-Dominated Versus Tide-Influenced Deltas: Middle Devonian Strata of the Baltic Basin. *J. Sediment. Res.* **79**, 887–905. (doi:10.2110/jsr.2009.096)
    - 46. Tovmasjana K. 2013 Depositional environment of the tidally-dominated transgressive succession: Rēzekne and Pärnu regional stages, Baltic devonian basin.
  - 47. Wendt J, Kaufmann B, Belka Z, Farsan N, Karimi Bavandpur A. 2004 Devonian/Lower Carboniferous stratigraphy, facies patterns and palaeogeography of Iran Part II. Northern and central Iran 1). *Acta Geol. Pol.* **55**.
- 579 48. Zaixing J, Yue W, Chuigao W. 2009 Hemipelagic Deposition of the Silurian Kepingtage 580 Formation in Tarim Basin and Its Sedimentologic Significance. *J. Earth Sci.* **20**, 921–931. 581 (doi:10.1007/s12583-009-0079-z)
- 582 49. Zand-Moghadam H, Moussavi-Harami R, Mahboubi A. 2014 Sequence stratigraphy of the Early-Middle Devonian succession (Padeha Formation) in Tabas Block, East-Central Iran: Implication for mixed tidal flat deposits. *Palaeoworld* **23**, 31–49. (doi:10.1016/j.palwor.2013.06.002)
- 586 50. Green JAM. 2010 Ocean tides and resonance. *Ocean Dyn.* **60**, 1243–1253. (doi:10.1007/s10236-010-0331-1)
- 588 51. Wilmes S-B, Green JAM. 2014 The evolution of tides and tidal dissipation over the past 21,000 years. *J. Geophys. Res. Ocean.* **119**, 4083–4100. (doi:10.1002/2013JC009605)

- 590 52. Zaron ED, Egbert GD. 2006 Estimating open-ocean barotropic tidal dissipation: {The Hawaiian Ridge}. *J. Phys. Oceanogr.* **36**, 1019–1035.
- 592 53. Bills B, Ray R. 1999 Lunar orbital evolution a synthesis of recent results. *Geophys. Res.* Lett. **26**, 3045–3048. (doi:10.1029/1999gl008348)
- 594 54. Mazzullo SJ. 1971 Length of the Year during the Silurian and Devonian Periods: New Values. *GSA Bull.* **82**, 1085–1086. (doi:10.1130/0016-7606(1971)82[1085:lotydt]2.0.co;2)
- 596 55. Smith WHF, Sandwell DT. 1997 Global seafloor topography from satellite altimetry and ship depth sounding. *Science* (80-. ). **277**, 1956–1962.
- 598 56. Jakobsson M, Macnab R, Mayer L, Anderson R, Edwards M, Hatzky J, Schenke HW,
  599 Johnson P. 2008 An improved bathymetric portrayal of the Arctic Ocean: Implications for
  600 ocean modeling and geological, geophysical and oceanographic analyses. *Geophys. Res.*601 *Lett.* **35**, 1–5. (doi:10.1029/2008GL033520)
- Fadman L, Fricker HA, Coleman R, Howard S, Erofeeva L. 2002 A new tide model for the
   Antarctic ice shelves and seas. *Ann. Glaciol.* 34, 247–254.
   (doi:10.3189/172756402781817752)
- 605 58. Matthews KJ, Maloney KT, Zahirovic S, Williams SE, Seton M, Müller RD. 2016 Global plate boundary evolution and kinematics since the late Paleozoic. *Glob. Planet. Change* 607 **146**, 226–250. (doi:10.1016/J.GLOPLACHA.2016.10.002)
  - 59. Scotese CR. 2009 Late Proterozoic plate tectonics and palaeogeography: a tale of two supercontinents, Rodinia and Pannotia. *Geol. Soc. London, Spec. Publ.* **326**, 67–83. (doi:10.1144/SP326.4)
  - 60. Domeier M, Torsvik TH. 2014 Plate tectonics in the late Paleozoic. *Geosci. Front.* **5**, 303–350. (doi:10.1016/j.gsf.2014.01.002)
- 613 61. Walker J, Geissman J, Bowring S, Babcock L. 2012 GSA Geologic Time Scale v. 4.0. Geological Society of America, Boulder, CO.

609

610

611

- 62. Davies HS, Green JAM, Duarte JC. 2018 Back to the future: Testing different scenarios for the next supercontinent gathering. *Glob. Planet. Change* **169**, 133–144. (doi:10.1016/J.GLOPLACHA.2018.07.015)
- 618 63. Egbert GD, Erofeeva SY. 2002 Efficient inverse Modeling of barotropic ocean tides. *J. Atmos. Ocean. Technol.* **19**, 183–204.
- 620 64. Longhitano SG, Mellere D, Steel RJ, Ainsworth RB. 2012 Tidal depositional systems in the rock record: A review and new insights. *Sediment. Geol.* **279**, 2–22. (doi:10.1016/j.sedgeo.2012.03.024)
- 623 65. Green JAM, Molloy JL, Davies HS, Duarte JC. 2018 Is There a Tectonically Driven Supertidal Cycle? *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **45**, 3568–3576. (doi:10.1002/2017GL076695)
- 625 66. Platzman GW. 1975 Normal modes of the {Atlantic and Indian Oceans}. *J. Phys.* 626 *Oceanogr.* **5**, 201–221.
- 627 67. Garrett C. 1972 Tidal resonance in the bay of fundy and gulf of maine. *Nature* **238**, 441–628 443. (doi:10.1038/238441a0)
- 629 68. Thanh TD, Phương TH, Janvier P, Hùng NH, Cúc NTT, Dương NT. 2013 Silurian and Devonian in Vietnam—Stratigraphy and facies. *J. Geodyn.* **69**, 165–185. (doi:10.1016/J.JOG.2011.10.001)
- 632 69. M. L. Irwin (2). 1965 General Theory of Epeiric Clear Water Sedimentation. *Am. Assoc.*633 *Pet. Geol. Bull.* **49**. (doi:10.1306/A6633632-16C0-11D7-8645000102C1865D)

- 634 70. Klein GD, Ryer TA. 1978 Tidal circulation patterns in Precambrian, Paleozoic, and Cretaceous epeiric and mioclinal shelf seas. *Geol. Soc. Am. Bull.* **89**, 1050. (doi:10.1130/0016-7606(1978)89<1050:TCPIPP>2.0.CO;2)
- 537 71. Slingerland R. 1986 Numerical computation of co-oscillating palaeotides in the Catskill epeiric Sea of eastern North America. *Sedimentology* **33**, 487–497.
- 639 72. Mitchell AJ, Allison PA, Gorman GJ, Piggott MD, Pain CC. 2011 Tidal circulation in an ancient epicontinental sea: The Early Jurassic Laurasian Seaway. *Geology* **39**, 207–210. (doi:10.1130/G31496.1)
- Weills MR, Allison P. A, Piggott MD, Pain CC, Hampson GJ, De Oliveira CRE. 2005 Large sea, small tides: the Late Carboniferous seaway of NW Europe. *J. Geol. Soc. London.* **162**, 417–420. (doi:10.1144/0016-764904-128)

**Figures** 647 648 649 650 Figure 1: The model bathymetry for 420 Ma (A) ,400 Ma (D) and 380 Ma (G), with depth 651 saturating at 6000m (Abyssal ocean is at 4200m, with trenches at 6000m). The major continents 652 are as follows: Laurussia is highlighted as panels (C), (F) and (I), Gondwana is the major 653 continent in the south of panels, and Siberia is located NE of Laurussia denoted as S in panels 654 (A), (D) and (G). The South China region is highlighted in panels (B), (E) and (H), with South 655 China denoted as SC and Indochina as IC. The tidal proxies have been indicated in each time-656 slices; Kez Fm = Keziertage Formation, Kar Fm = Karheen Formation, Man Fm = Manlius 657 Formation, Pad Fm = Padeha Formation, Batt P Fm = Battery Point Formation, Pär & Rez Fms = 658 Pärnu and Rezekne Formations, Gau Fm = Gauja Formation, Ham gp = Hamilton Group and Esc 659 Fm =Escuminac Formation. The stars indicating the locations of the two earliest fossil tetrapod 660 trackways (see text and Table 1 for details). 661

663 664	
665 666 667 668	Figure 2: a) and b) show the modelled $M_2$ tidal ranges (in meters) for the PD control (a) and PD reconstructed simulations (b). The RMS error values between the modelled and the TPXO $M_2$ amplitudes are ~12cm for PD and ~20cm for PD reconstructed. c)-d) as in a) and b) but for the $S_2$ constituent.
669	

rigu	ure 3: The 420 Ma simulation with tidal range (colour, range in meters) for $M_2$ (A-C) and
(D-I	F). Enlarged areas of evolutionary interest are shown in (B) and (E) for the South China
regi	ion and (C) and (F) for Laurussia. Note that the $S_2$ range is equal to the spring-neap ra
diff	erence, so panels d-f show the spring-neap range difference as well.

676
677 Figure 4: As in Figure 3 but for the shallow bathymetry.
678
679

680
681 Figure 5: As in Figure 3 but using the deep bathymetry
682
683

684 685	
686	Figure 6: As in Figure 3 but for the 400 Ma simulation.
687	
688	

689
690 Figure 7: as in Figure 6 but using the shallow bathymetry.
691
692

693694 Figure 8: As in Figure 6 but for the deep bathymetry.

696697698 Figure 9: as in Figure 3 but for the 380 Ma simulation.

700	
701	Figure 10: as in Figure 9 but using the shallow bathymetry.
702	
703	
704	
705	
706	
707	
708	
709	
710	
711	
712	
713	
714	
715	
716	
717	
718	
719	
720	
721	
722	
723	
724	
725	Figure 11: as in Figure 9 but using the deep bathymetry
726	
727	
728	
729	
730	
731	
732	
733	
734	
735	
736	
737	

738 Tables
 739 Table 1 Information on tidal proxy deposits used to compare with tidal simulation outputs.
 740

Geological	Deposit name	Present day	Palaeo-	Palaeoenvironment	Tidal
stage		location	location	description	regime
Pridoli (423	Keziertage	Xianjiang, China	Tarim block,	Tidal flats	Meso
- 419 Ma)	Formation		Gondwana		to
					Macro
Pridoli-	Karheen Formation	Alaska, USA	West	Tidal flats	Meso
Lockhovian			Laurussia		to
(~419 Ma)					Macro
Early	Manlius Formation	New York, USA	South	Lagoon	Micro
Lockhovian			Laurussia		
(419-415					
Ma)					
Late Emsian	Battery Point	Quebec, Canada	South	Tidally-influenced	Micro
(400-393	Formation		Laurussia	delta	to
Ma)					Meso
Emsian –	Padeha Formation	Iran (Central)	Central Iran	Tidal flats	Meso
Eifelian			block,		to
(~ 393 Ma)			Gondwana		Macro
Emsian -	Pärnu and Rēzekne	Estonia, Lithuania	South-East	Tidally-dominated	Meso
Eifelian	Formations	and Latvia	Laurussia	delta/estuarine	to
(~395-390)					Macro
Ma)					
Late	Gauja Formation	Estonia, Latvia,	South-East	Tidally-influenced	Micro
Givetian		Lithuania and	Laurussia	delta	to
(385-383		Russia			Meso
Ma)					
Givetian	Hamilton Group	New York,	South-West	Epeiric sea with	Micro
(388-383		Pennsylvania,	Laurussia	extensive coral reefs	
Ma)		Maryland, Ohio,			
		W.Virginia, USA			
Middle	Escuminac		South	Wave-dominated	Micro
Frasnian	Formation	Quebec, Canada	Laurussia	estuary	
(~378 Ma)					

Table 2: Tidal range statistics from the three time-slices. 'Avg.' and 'Max' refers to average and maximum range for each constituent within each region, respectively. The South China and Laurussia areas refer to the boxes in panels b/e and c/f in Figure 2. For the global mid simulations, the standard deviation of all sensitivity simulations is given alongside the average.

Time	bathymetry	Region	Avg. M <sub>2</sub>	Max M <sub>2</sub>	Avg. S <sub>2</sub>	Max S <sub>2</sub>
period			[m]	[m]	[m]	[m]
		Global	0.5±0.2	11.9	0.2±0.1	3.6
	mid	S.China	0.9	6.2	0.4	3.4
		Laurussia	0.7	10.5	0.3	3.2
		Global	0.4	6.7	0.2	2.9
420 Ma	shallow	S.China	0.6	5.3	0.3	2.4
		Laurussia	0.6	6.0	0.2	2.2
		Global	0.5	9.1	0.2	3.1
	deep	S.China	1.0	6.9	0.3	3.0
		Laurussia	0.8	8.6	0.3	2.5
		Global	0.4±0.2	11.6	0.1±0.1	3.3
	mid	S.China	0.6	7.2	0.3	2.0
		Laurussia	0.4	5.9	0.1	2.2
		Global	0.3	6.7	0.1	2.6
400 Ma	shallow	S.China	0.5	3.8	0.2	2.3
		Laurussia	0.3	6.6	0.1	2.0
		Global	0.4	9.9	0.2	3.5
	deep	S.China	1.0	9.4	0.4	3.3
		Laurussia	0.6	6.8	0.2	1.6
		Global	0.3±0.2	10.0	0.1±0.1	3.5
	mid	S.China	0.9	8.7	0.3	3.1
		Laurussia	0.3	5.9	0.1	2.1
		Global	0.4	6.2	0.2	2.2
380 Ma	shallow	S.China	0.8	4.1	0.3	1.5
		Laurussia	0.3	3.0	0.1	1.1
		Global	0.6	10.6	0.2	3.9
	deep	S.China	1.4	7.2	0.5	2.8
		Laurussia	0.6	10.5	0.2	3.8