

Physiological basis of interactive responses to temperature and salinity in coastal-marine invertebrate: implications for responses to warming

Torres, Gabriela; Chamantier, Guy; Wilcockson, David; Harzsch, Steffan; Gimenez Noya, Luis

Ecology and Evolution

DOI: 10.1002/ece3.7552

Published: 01/06/2021

Peer reviewed version

Cyswllt i'r cyhoeddiad / Link to publication

Dyfyniad o'r fersiwn a gyhoeddwyd / Citation for published version (APA): Torres, G., Chamantier, G., Wilcockson, D., Harzsch, S., & Gimenez Noya, L. (2021). Physiological basis of interactive responses to temperature and salinity in coastal-marine invertebrate: implications for responses to warming. *Ecology and Evolution*, *11*(11), 7042-7056. https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.7552

Hawliau Cyffredinol / General rights Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

• Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.

- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
 You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal ?

Take down policy If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

Table S1. *Carcinus maenas*. Experimental set up. During experiments 1 and 2, larvae (zoeae I or megalopae) were exposed to the experimental temperatures, and acclimation and test salinities until measurement of the osmoregulatory capacity. During experiment 3, zoeae I were exposed to the experimental temperatures and acclimation salinities until sampling for determination of mRNA expression.

EXPERIMENT 1: osmoregulation of zoeae I								
Temperature (°C)	15.	0±0.5	18.0)±0.5	21.0)±0.5	24.0)±0.5
Acclimation salinities (‰)	25.0±0.1	32.5±0.1	25.0±0.1	32.5±0.1	25.0±0.1	32.5±0.1	25.0±0.1	32.5±0.1
Test salinities (‰)	15.0±0.1 20.0±0.1							
EXPERIMEN	T 2: osmor	egulation of	megalopae					
Temperature (°C)	15.	0±0.5	18.0)±0.5	21.0	0±0.5	24.0	0±0.5
Acclimation salinities (‰)	25.0±0.1	32.5±0.1	25.0±0.1	32.5±0.1	25.0±0.1	32.5±0.1	25.0±0.1	32.5±0.1
Test salinities (‰)	20.0±0.1	20.0±0.1	20.0±0.1	20.0±0.1	20.0±0.1	20.0±0.1	20.0±0.1	20.0±0.1
EXPERIMENT 3: Expression of genes related to osmotic stress in zoeae I								

Temperature (°C)	15.0	0±0.5	18.0	0±0.5	21.0	0±0.5	24.0)±0.5
Acclimation salinities (‰)	25.0±0.1	32.5±0.1	25.0±0.1	32.5±0.1	25.0±0.1	32.5±0.1	25.0±0.1	32.5±0.1

7 Note: Acclimation and test salinities were prepared by diluting natural seawater $(32.5\pm0.1\% -$

8 965 \pm 1 mOsm*kg⁻¹) with appropriate amounts of desalinated water. Salinity was expressed as

9 osmotic pressure (in mOsm kg⁻¹) and as salt content of the medium (in %); a value of 3.4‰ is

10 equivalent to 100 mOsm kg⁻¹ (29.4 mOsm kg⁻¹ \approx 1‰) as in Cieluch et al. 2004.

- 11 Table S2. Carcinus maenas. Details of acclimation and test salinities for determinations of
- 12 osmoregulatory capacity (see main text for details). Values are shown as mean values (n=6) \pm
- 13 standard errors.

Acclimation salinities		Test salinities		
‰	mOsm kg ⁻¹	‰	mOsm kg ⁻¹	
25.0±0.1	729.3±0.9	15.0±0.1	433.0±0.6	
32.5±0.1	965.8±0.9	20.0±0.1	582.3±0.9	

Table S3. *Carcinus maenas.* Experiment 1: time (in days) of exposure to the acclimation salinities until zoeae I were transferred to the test salinities for 24h (time of exposure started at 50% of the zoea I stage duration). Larvae were kept in the test temperatures (15, 18, 21 and 24°C) and salinities (15 and 20‰) for the 24h prior to the determination of the osmoregulatory capacity. Information is given discriminated by temperature and acclimation salinity. Developmental time to zoea II values were obtained in Experiment 3; shown as mean values for all females (n=4) \pm standard errors.

Time (days)						
	S alinitar (0/)		Temperature (°C)			
	Salinity (‰)	15.0	18.0	21.0	24.0	
Time until exposure to	25.0	4.5	3.5	2.5	2.0	
test salinities	32.5	4.0	3.0	2.5	2.0	
Davidarmant to acco II	25.0	8.9±0.6	7.5±0.8	5.2±0.4	4.9±0.5	
Development to zoea II	32.5	7.2±0.2	5.2±0.1	4.7±0.2	4.2±0.1	

22 Note: temperature and salinity were adjusted daily to the values given above.

- 23 Table S4. Carcinus maenas. Number of megalopa collected from the field exposed to the
- 24 acclimation temperatures and salinities for 3 days, before exposure to the test salinity (20‰)
- 25 for 24h to determine the osmoregulatory capacity.

Tomponature	Acclimation salinity			
remperature	25‰	32‰		
15.0°C	6	6		
18.0°C	5	8		
21.0°C	5	7		
24.0°C	7	6		

26 Note: temperature and salinity were adjusted daily to the values given above.

Physiological basis of interactive responses to temperature and salinity in coastal-marine invertebrate: implications for responses to warming



Figure S1. *Carcinus maenas*. Variations in the haemolymph osmolality in Zoea I in relation to the osmolality of the medium. Haemolymph osmolality was measured at intermoult (ca. 50% of the moult cycle of the zoea I occurred in the acclimation conditions) after acclimation to 25ppt (blue symbols) and 32.5ppt (green symbols) at 15, 18, 21 and 24°C Values are shown as average values \pm standard error (n = 10). Note that standard errors may be smaller than the symbols.

- 46 **Table S5.** *Carcinus maenas.* Primers and probes used for gene expression determinations.
- 47 Genes identified in italics.

	Primer name	Primer sequence
Ubiquitin conjugating enzyme E2 L3		
CamaUB: Ubiquitin forward	UB-F	TCACCTGGCAGGGACTCATT
CamaUB: Ubiquitin reverse	UB-R	CCTGAACGCTCCCTTGTTGT
CamaUB: Ubiquitin probe	UB-P (labelled FAM)	FAM- ACCCGAGAACCCACC
Elongation factor 1-alpha (EF1a)		
CamaEL: Elongation factor forward	EL-F	GAGCGGCAGCTATGAGTTCAT
CamaEL: Elongation factor reverse	EL-R	TGGATGGAGGCTCAATGTTG
CamaEL: Elongation factor probe	EL-P (labelled VIC)	VIC-CTCTCTTTGACGCTCTGG
Na ⁺ -K ⁺ -ATPase		
CamaNaK: Na ⁺ -K ⁺ -ATPase forward	NaK-F	CCTCAACCATCTGCTCTGATAAGA
CamaNaK: Na ⁺ -K ⁺ -ATPase reverse	NaK-R	CCACATGTGAGCGACAGTCAT
<i>CamaNaK</i> : Na ⁺ -K ⁺ -ATPase probe	NaK-P (labelled FAM)	FAM-CTCACCCAAAATC
Na ⁺ -K ⁺ -2Cl ⁻ -symporter		
<i>CamaCOT</i> : Na ⁺ -K ⁺ - ₂ Cl ⁻ -symporter forward	COT-F	TCCTGCGTGTGCCTCAAG
<i>CamaCOT</i> : Na ⁺ -K ⁺ - ₂ Cl ⁻ -symporter reverse	COT-R	GGGACAGTCCTCGTCCTCAA
<i>CamaCOT</i> : Na ⁺ -K ⁺ - ₂ Cl ⁻ -symporter probe	COT-P (labelled VIC)	VIC- CCTGGACTACTCCC

Physiological basis of interactive responses to temperature and salinity in coastal-marine invertebrate: implications for responses to warming

- 49 **Table S6.** *Carcinus maenas*. Amplification efficiency for the primer pairs and probes used
- 50 for gene expression determinations.

	Slope	Amplification factor	Amplification efficiency
Ubiquitin conjugating enzyme E2 L3	-3,196	2.06	105.54
Elongation factor 1-alpha (EF1a)	-3,291	2.01	101.31
Na ⁺ -K ⁺ -ATPase	-3,319	2.00	100.12
Na ⁺ -K ⁺ - ₂ Cl ⁻ -symporter	-3,397	1.97	96.96

- 51 Note: Slopes to calculate the amplification factors and efficiencies were obtained using
- 52 GraphPad software from standard curves of serial diluted cDNA from adult gills. Amplification

53 factors and efficiencies were calculated using the Amplification efficiency calculator

54 Thermofisher Scientific:

55 https://www.thermofisher.com/de/de/home/brands/thermo-scientific/molecular-biology/molecular-biology-

56 <u>learning-center/molecular-biology-resource-library/thermo-scientific-web-tools/qpcr-efficiency-</u>

57 <u>calculator.html?gclid=EAIaIQobChMIzPvqoeSw6QIVBc93Ch2C-</u>

58 <u>QivEAAYASAAEgKTWvD_BwE&ef_id=EAIaIQobChMIzPvqoeSw6QIVBc93Ch2C-</u>

- 59 QivEAAYASAAEgKTWvD_BwE:G:s&s_kwcid=AL!3652!3!394297685910!b!!g!!?cid=bid_mol_eps_r01_co_
- 60 <u>cp1358_pjt0000_bid00000_0se_gaw_dy_pur_con</u>

62 Table S7. Carcinus maenas. Model selection for relative expression of the osmotic stressrelated genes: enzyme Na⁺-K⁺-ATPase (NaK) and protein Na⁺-K⁺-2Cl²⁻-symporter (COT) for 63 zoeae I). Model selection was carried out using the adjusted Akaike information criteria 64 (AICc). Selection for random terms was based on restricted maximum likelihood fitting 65 (REML) while that of fixed terms was based on maximum likelihood (ML) fitting. 66 Abbreviations: \mathcal{Q} : female of origin; T: temperature; S_A: acclimation salinity. The best overall 67 model contains both the best random and fixed term as highlighted in bold: for example, the 68 best model for NaK and COT contained female of origin as a random term (i.e. random 69 70 intercepts) and acclimation salinity or temperature as a fixed term for NaK or COT, respectively. 71

Model selection: Random (REML)	AI	Cc
Term	NaK	СОТ
\bigcirc :T:S _A	104	195
♀:T	87	184
$\bigcirc:\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{A}}$	92	190
Ŷ	84	183
Model selection: Fixed (ML)		
T:S _A	62	169
$T+S_A$	56	163
Т	59	163
$\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{A}}$	55	171
Null	58	171

72

Physiological basis of interactive responses to temperature and salinity in coastal-marine invertebrate: implications for responses to warming



Figure S2. Carcinus maenas. Effects of acclimation salinity and temperature (Experiment 84 3) on relative expression of mRNA of Na⁺-K⁺-ATP (left panel) and Na⁺-K⁺-2Cl⁻ symporter 85 (right panel) in zoeae I. Data are shown as average values \pm SE for all four females (thick lines), 86 and discriminated by each individual female (thin lines). Values corresponding to acclimation 87 to 25.0‰ are shown in blue (average: full thick line and light blue squares; discriminated by 88 female: full thin line and crosses). Values corresponding to acclimation to natural seawater 89 (32.5%) are shown in green (average: dashed thick line and light green circles; discriminated 90 by female: dashed thin line and dark circles). 91

Table S8. Carcinus maenas. Instantaneous mortality rates (μ in days⁻¹) and comparisons of 93 patterns of responses to the combination of salinity and temperature in survival to the second 94 zoeal stage for larvae hatched from 10 different females. Re-analysis of data from Figure 3 of 95 Spitzner et al. (2019). Focus is given to survival patterns at 20 ppt, which are those showing 96 TMLS. Columns: TMLS: whether survival patterns consistent with thermal mitigation of low 97 salinity stress (see Fig. 3 in Spitzner et al. 2019); peak survival, temperature at which survival 98 99 peaks in larvae exposed to 20 ppt (*: high survival over the full range of salinities tested); **µ** (15 °C): instantaneous mortality rates calculated for the lowest temperature (15°C); μ (21 °C): 100 101 instantaneous mortality rates calculated for 21°C. Cases where TMLS were observed are highlighted in bold: for those cases, instantaneous mortality rates were lower at the highest 102 temperature, showing that the effect of temperature on developmental time alone cannot 103 explain the increased survival to zoea II. 104

105

Female	TMLS	Peak survival	µ(15°C) x 10 ⁻²	µ(21°C) x 10 ⁻²
1	Yes	21	8.77	1.39
2	Yes	21	22.55	10.75
3	No	15-24*	2.54	3.65
4	No	18	11.61	11.60
5	Yes	21	7.81	2.21
6	No	15	2.25	4.73
7	No	18	4.88	8.02
8	Yes	21	13.37	7.23
9	No		13.81	20.30
10	Yes	21	16.70	6.85