

## Monitoring SARS-CoV-2 in municipal wastewater to evaluate the success of lockdown measures for controlling COVID-19 in the UK

Hillary, Luke S.; Farkas, Kata; Maher, Kathryn H.; Lucaci, Anita; Thorpe, Jamie; Distaso, Marco A.; Gaze, William H.; Paterson, Steve; Burke, Terry; Connor, Thomas R.; McDonald, James E.; Malham, Shelagh K.; Jones, David L.

#### Water Research

DOI: 10.1016/j.watres.2021.117214

Published: 15/07/2021

Peer reviewed version

Cyswllt i'r cyhoeddiad / Link to publication

*Dyfyniad o'r fersiwn a gyhoeddwyd / Citation for published version (APA):* Hillary, L. S., Farkas, K., Maher, K. H., Lucaci, A., Thorpe, J., Distaso, M. A., Gaze, W. H., Paterson, S., Burke, T., Connor, T. R., McDonald, J. E., Malham, S. K., & Jones, D. L. (2021). Monitoring SARS-CoV-2 in municipal wastewater to evaluate the success of lockdown measures for controlling COVID-19 in the UK. *Water Research, 200*, 117214. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2021.117214

#### Hawliau Cyffredinol / General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

• Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.

- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal ?

#### Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

# Monitoring SARS-CoV-2 in municipal wastewater to evaluate the success of lockdown measures for controlling COVID-19 in the UK

- 3
- <sup>4</sup> Luke S. Hillary<sup>a,\*</sup>, Kata Farkas<sup>a,b</sup>, Kathryn H. Maher<sup>c</sup>, Anita Lucaci<sup>d</sup> Jamie Thorpe<sup>a,b</sup>, Marco
- 5 A. Distaso<sup>e</sup>, William H. Gaze<sup>f</sup>, Steve Paterson<sup>d</sup>, Terry Burke<sup>c</sup>, Thomas R. Connor<sup>g,h</sup>, James E.
- 6 McDonald<sup>a</sup>, Shelagh K. Malham<sup>b</sup>, David L. Jones<sup>a, i</sup>
- <sup>7</sup> <sup>a</sup> School of Natural Sciences, Bangor University, Bangor, Gwynedd, LL57 2UW, UK
- <sup>8</sup> <sup>b</sup> School of Ocean Sciences, Bangor University, Menai Bridge, Anglesey, LL59 5AB, UK
- 9 °NERC Environmental Omics Facility, Department of Animal and Plant Sciences, University of
- 10 Sheffield, Sheffield, S10 2TN, UK
- <sup>11</sup> <sup>d</sup> NERC Environmental Omics Facility, Institute of Infection, Veterinary and Ecological
- 12 Sciences, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, L69 7ZB, UK
- <sup>13</sup> <sup>e</sup> Centre for Environmental Biotechnology, School of Natural Sciences, Bangor University,
- 14 Bangor, Gwynedd, LL57 2UW, UK
- <sup>15</sup> <sup>f</sup> European Centre for Environment and Human Health, University of Exeter Medical School,
- 16 ESI, Penryn Campus, TR10 9FE UK
- <sup>17</sup> <sup>g</sup> Organisms and Environment Division, School of Biosciences, Cardiff University, Cardiff, CF10
- 18 *3AX, UK*
- <sup>19</sup> <sup>h</sup> Public Health Wales, University Hospital of Wales, Cardiff, CF14 4XW, UK
- <sup>20</sup> <sup>i</sup> UWA School of Agriculture and Environment, The University of Western Australia, Perth, WA
- 21 6009, Australia
- 22
- <sup>23</sup> \* Corresponding author.
- 24 *E-mail address:* luke.hillary@bangor.ac.uk (Luke S. Hillary).

#### 25 Highlights

Wastewater was used to monitor SARS-CoV-2 prevalence and genetic diversity.
SARS-CoV-2 RNA abundance and diversity reflects clinical case load and lineages.
Temporal analysis of SARS-CoV-2 in sewage tracks the effectiveness of lockdowns.
Wastewater-based epidemiology is a useful tool for pandemic response policy.
Further research is required to understand factors that affect virus quantification.

#### 31 Graphical Abstract



#### 32

#### 33 Abstract

SARS-CoV-2 and the resulting COVID-19 pandemic represents one of the greatest recent 34 threats to human health, wellbeing and economic growth. Wastewater-based epidemiology 35 (WBE) of human viruses can be a useful tool for population-scale monitoring of SARS-CoV-2 36 prevalence and epidemiology to help prevent further spread of the disease, particularly within 37 urban centres. Here we present a longitudinal analysis (March-July, 2020) of SARS-CoV-2 RNA 38 prevalence in sewage across six major urban centres in the UK (total population equivalent 3 39 million) by q(RT-)PCR and viral genome sequencing. Our results demonstrate that levels of 40 SARS-CoV-2 RNA generally correlated with the abundance of clinical cases recorded within 41

the community in large urban centres, with a marked decline in SARS-CoV-2 RNA abundance 42 following the implementation of lockdown measures. The strength of this association was 43 weaker in areas with lower confirmed COVID-19 case numbers. Further sequencing analysis of 44 SARS-CoV-2 from wastewater suggested that multiple genetically distinct clusters were co-45 circulating in the local populations covered by our sample sites, and that the genetic variants 46 observed in wastewater reflected similar SNPs observed in contemporaneous samples from cases 47 tested in clinical diagnostic laboratories. We demonstrate how WBE can be used for both 48 community-level detection and tracking of SARS-CoV-2 and other virus' prevalence, and can 49 inform public health policy decisions. Although, greater understanding of the factors that affect 50 SARS-CoV-2 RNA concentration in wastewater are needed for the full integration of WBE data 51 into outbreak surveillance. In conclusion, our results lend support to the use of routine WBE for 52 monitoring of SARS-CoV-2 and other human pathogenic viruses circulating in the population 53 and assessment of the effectiveness of disease control measures. 54

55

*Keywords*: coronavirus outbreak, infection control, municipal wastewater, public health, sewage
 surveillance.

58

#### 59 **1. Introduction**

The emergence of Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), and the resulting global Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic has had disastrous socioeconomic and political consequences worldwide (Chakraborty and Maity, 2020). This led to the World Health Organisation (WHO) declaring the COVID-19 pandemic a global health emergency (WHO, 2020). In response to this, many countries implemented a range of mitigation strategies to reduce the spread of disease, including social distancing, restricted movement, use of personal protection equipment, contact tracing, shielding of vulnerable populations, local or national lockdowns, and community mass testing (Cirrincione et al., 2020; Iacobucci, 2020). These measures are of particular importance in urbanised areas where the spread of disease is most likely (Zhang and Schwartz, 2020). These measures proved to be largely effective at reducing the first wave of COVID-19, albeit not completely eliminating infections (Goscé et al., 2020; Jarvis et al., 2020). The occurrence of subsequent waves of COVID-19 is of significantconcern, as countries seek to learn from the effectiveness of the mitigation measures used during the first wave of infection (Aleta et al., 2020).

A large proportion of SARS-CoV-2 infections are asymptomatic or result in only a mild 74 infection (Nishiura et al., 2020). When symptoms do become apparent, this typically occurs 3-7 75 days after infection (Arons et al., 2020) and severity can vary widely across different sectors of 76 society, disproportionately affecting the elderly (Wang et al., 2020). Evidence points towards the 77 fact that individuals can transmit the virus unknowingly prior to developing symptoms. 78 Furthermore, a- and pre-symptomatic individuals pose challenges to surveillance efforts to 79 accurately estimate the presence and extent of infection in the community. In a more practical 80 sense, both asymptomatic and pre-symptomatic individuals also pose a major threat to public 81 health as they can unknowingly spread the virus to more vulnerable groups (He et al., 2020). 82

Although mass community testing has been instigated in many countries to estimate the 83 prevalence of COVID-19 in the population, this is costly and the demand for tests frequently 84 exceeds the capacity of testing facilities (Barasa et al., 2020). Focussing testing solely on 85 symptomatic cases may also fail to capture asymptomatic and pre-symptomatic infections, and 86 may focus on populations such as those who are hospitalised, meaning that surveillance is 87 unavailable for the wider community. In some cases, it can also be difficult to obtain 88 nasopharyngeal swabs from high-risk parts of the community due to a range of physical, 89 logistical or cultural issues. Wastewater-based epidemiology (WBE) detects genome fragments 90

of SARS-CoV-2 shed in faeces and urine, and represents an alternative strategy to monitor the 91 levels of virus circulating at population-level scales (Farkas et al., 2020; Kitajima et al., 2020; 92 Polo et al., 2020). WBE approaches have previously been successful in evaluating the prevalence 93 of other viral diseases (e.g. polio-, norovirus) and also for tracking the use of illicit substances, 94 pharmaceuticals and exposure to xenobiotics (Castiglioni et al., 2014; Ozawa et al., 2019; 95 Zuccato et al., 2008). Monitoring viruses in wastewater also allows an evaluation of the potential 96 risk posed by the discharge of treated and untreated wastewater into the wider environment. 97 Overall, WBE may represent a cost-effective method for determining viral prevalence at the 98 population-level, and has been used to monitor of SARS-CoV-2 in a range of countries 99 (Supplementary Table 1). 100

Despite the simplicity of the approach, the quantitative recovery of viruses and viral nucleic 101 acids from wastewater is notoriously difficult (Farkas et al., 2018a). For example, virus 102 concentrations in wastewater can be heavily influenced by (i) dilution by rainfall and industrial 103 inputs, (ii) the presence of compounds that may degrade the virus (e.g. detergents, pH, salt), (iii) 104 the presence of substances that physically protect the virus (e.g. faecal matter), (iv) loss of viral 105 RNA during long transit times through the wastewater network due to decay and sorption, (v) 106 variable shedding rates in the community, and (vi) inhibitory substances in the wastewater that 107 may interfere with quantitative (reverse transcription)-PCR (q(RT-)PCR) reactions (Polo et al., 108 2020). In addition to these factors, the protocols used to concentrate and purify viral nucleic acids 109 from wastewater samples can have substantial impacts on recovery, leading to underestimation 110 of the quantities of the virus present in the wastewater system. Consequently, there is a need to 111 better understand the factors that influence observable levels of SARS-CoV-2 in wastewater to 112 allow validation of the approach for surveillance purposes. 113

Largescale efforts to monitor changes in the SARS-CoV-2 genome and track its circulation at 114 national and global scales have largely relied on the analysis of high-throughput sequencing of 115 the SARS-CoV-2 genome in symptomatic individuals (Islam et al., 2020; Meredith et al., 2020; 116 Plessis et al., 2021). As retrospective screening of respiratory samples has detected asymptomatic 117 cases of COVID-19 (Meredith et al., 2020) it suggests that lineages may appear in wastewater 118 samples prior to observation in clinical cases. Because wastewater aggregates samples from 119 across a community/area, sequencing of SARS-CoV-2 RNA recovered from wastewater is likely 120 to contain multiple lineages and so analysis of this data also has the potential to assess the 121 proportions of different lineages circulating in the wider population. This potentially enables the 122 identification of lineages that are known to be present and early warning of new lineages not 123 previously observed in a catchment. 124

Here, we present a 3.5-month longitudinal analysis of SARS-CoV-2 RNA prevalence and 125 genetic diversity across six different urban centres during the imposition and gradual lifting of 126 the first national lockdown period in the UK (March-July 2020). The aims of this study were to 127 (i) investigate the use of WBE for tracking SARS-CoV-2 after the implementation of national 128 lockdown measures at six urban centres of varying size within the UK, (ii) determine the 129 influence of environmental factors (e.g. flow) on levels of SARS-CoV-2 RNA and a human 130 faecal marker virus (crAssphage) in wastewater, (iii) investigate the impact of wastewater 131 treatment on the removal of SARS-CoV-2 RNA from wastewater, and (iv) assess the utility of 132 WBE in understanding SARS-CoV-2 genetic variation through high-throughput sequencing. 133

134 **2. Materials and Methods** 

All laboratory procedures were carried out in line with Public Health England/ Public Health
 Wales advice on the handling of samples suspected of containing SARS-CoV-2.

#### 137 2.1 Sampling sites and wastewater sampling

Untreated influent and treated effluent wastewater were collected from six wastewater 138 treatment plants (WWTPs) located in Wales and Northwest England. The WWTPs served urban 139 areas in the local authority areas of Gwynedd, Cardiff, Liverpool, Manchester, the Wirral and 140 Wrexham, with a total combined population equivalent of ~3 million people (Suplementary Fig. 141 1). Untreated wastewater influent from the six WWTPs was sampled on a weekly basis between 142 March and July 2020. Samples were collected in polypropylene bottles as single grab samples 143 with the exception of the Wirral site, which was collected as a 24-hour composite sample using 144 an autosampler. Grab samples were collected on weekdays between 08.00 and 09.00 h to ensure 145 temporal comparability, and treated effluent also collected periodically at the same time as 146 influent. Samples were transported on either the same day, or overnight on ice, to the laboratory, 147 stored at 4 °C and processed within 24 h of receipt. Aliquots of wastewater samples (1.5 ml) 148 were also frozen in polypropylene vials at -80 °C for subsequent physico-chemical analyses and 149 extraction of pre-concentration viral nucleic acids. 150

151

#### 2.2 Wastewater physicochemical analyses

Wastewater samples were pasteurised before physicochemical analysis by heating to 60 °C for 152 90 min. Wastewater ammonium concentrations were determined colorimetrically using the 153 salicylic acid procedure of Mulvaney (1996). Nitrate was determined colorimetrically using the 154 vanadate procedure of Miranda et al. (2001) while molybdate-reactive phosphate (MRP) was 155 determined according to Murphy and Riley (1962). All analysis was performed in a 96-well plate 156 format using a PowerWave XS Microplate Spectrophotometer (BioTek Instruments Inc., 157 Winooski, VT). Wastewater electrical conductivity (EC) was measured using a Jenway 4520 158 conductivity meter and pH with a Hanna 209 pH meter (Hanna Instruments Ltd., Leighton 159 Buzzard, UK). 160

#### 161 2.3 Wastewater concentration and nucleic acid extraction

Duplicate samples of 50-100 mL of unpastuerised wastewater influent underwent centrifugation (10,000 g, 30 min, 4°C) and the supernatant and pellet retained. Supernatants were concentrated to 500  $\mu$ L using Centriprep 50 kDa MWCO centrifugal concentrators (Merck KGaA, Germany). For wastewater effluent samples (see Supplementary Table 5), 1-2 L of each effluent was initially concentrated using tangential flow ultrafiltration with a 100 kDa PES membrane (Spectrumlabs, USA) as previously described (Farkas et al., 2018c), followed by secondary concentration using Centriprep concentrators as described above.

Selected wastewater concentrates, centrifugation pellets and unconcentrated wastewater 169 with approximately  $4 \times 10^5$  genome spiked samples were copies (gc) of murine 170 norovirus (MNV) as a viral RNA extraction control. Positive and negative nucleic acid control 171 extractions of nuclease-free water with or without the same quantity of MNV spike-in were used 172 to quantify MNV recovery by q(RT-)PCR and to check for cross-contamination during the 173 nucleic acid extraction process or q(RT-)PCR assay setup (described in section 2.4). The MNV 174 was cultured in BV2 cells in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's minimum essential medium 175 supplemented with 2% foetal bovine serum (FBS) at 37 °C in 5% CO<sub>2</sub> for two days. Viruses 176 were harvested by three cycles of freeze-thawing (-20°C/+37°C) followed by centrifugation and 177 100× dilution of the supernatant in phosphate-buffered saline pH 7.4. Aliquots of MNV stock 178 were stored at -80°C until use. The MNV and BV2 tissue stocks were kindly provided by Prof 179 Ian Goodfellow (University of Cambridge, UK). 180

<sup>181</sup> Nucleic acids were extracted using the NucliSENS MiniMag Nucleic Acid Purification <sup>182</sup> System (BioMérieux SA, Marcy-l'Étoile, France) according to the manufacturer's protocol as <sup>183</sup> described elsewhere (Farkas et al., 2021) in a final volume of 50 (last week of March 2020) or <sup>184</sup> 100  $\mu$ L (April-July 2020) of elution buffer. Extracted nucleic acids were stored at -80 °C prior to q(RT-)PCR quantification. The nucleic acid extractions and q(RT-)PCR assay setups were
 carried out in separate laboratories inside class II microbiological safety cabinets to minimise the
 risk of contamination.

188 2.4 q(RT-)PCR and qPCR assays

The q(RT-)PCR assays were carried out in a QuantStudio<sup>®</sup> Flex 6 Real-Time PCR System 189 (Applied Biosystems, USA) using primers, probes and reaction conditions described in 190 Supplementary Table 2. SARS-CoV-2 N1 and MNV RNA were quantified using a duplex q(RT-191 )PCR assay or in triplex with SARS-CoV-2 E gene, as described in Farkas et al. (2021). The 192 25 µL reaction mix contained 1×RNA Ultrasense Reaction Mix with 1 µL RNA Ultrasense 193 Enzyme Mix (Invitrogen, USA), 12.5 pmol of the forward and the reverse primers, 6.25 pmol of 194 the probe/probes,  $0.1 \times \text{ROX}$  reference dye, 1.25 µg bovine serum albumin (BSA) and 2-5 µL of 195 the extracted wastewater RNA, molecular grade water as a negative control or virus 196 standards. Initially, 5 µL of extracted RNA was tested for wastewater samples. If the MNV 197 recovery was lower than 1%, samples were retested with 2 µL sample/reaction to 198 assess inhibition of the q(RT-)PCR assay, however this was found to be detrimental to assay 199 sensitivity. All data-points used in the analysis came from assays of 5 µL of extracted nucleic 200 acids. 201

<sup>202</sup> CrAssphage was used as a marker of human faecal abundance/loading in the wastewater <sup>203</sup> (Farkas et al., 2019; Stachler et al., 2018). CrAssphage DNA was quantified using a singleplex <sup>204</sup> qPCR as described previously (Farkas et al., 2019). The 20  $\mu$ L reaction mix contained 1× KAPA <sup>205</sup> Probe Force qPCR mix (KAPA Biosystems, USA) with 10 pmol of the forward, 10 pmol of the <sup>206</sup> reverse primers, 5 pmol of the probe, 1  $\mu$ g bovine serum albumin, and 2  $\mu$ L and 4  $\mu$ L of the <sup>207</sup> concentrated and original wastewater nucleic acid extracts or controls.

A serial dilution of DNA standards within the range of  $10^5$ - $10^0$  genome copies (gc)  $\mu$ L<sup>-1</sup> was used for 208 quantification. For SARS-CoV-2, commercially available circular plasmids carrying the N gene or E gene 209 were used (Integrated DNA Technologies Inc., Coralville, IA). Plasmid DNA concentrations were halved 210 when setting up serial dilutions to account for ssRNA producing half the fluorescence signal of dsDNA 211 at the same concentration. For MNV and crAssphage, custom-made, single-stranded oligo DNA 212 sequences carrying the target region were used (Life Technologies, USA). Negative controls 213 (molecular grade water) were included in each run. All samples, standards and controls were run 214 in duplicate and the mean value for each extraction replicate used for further analysis. 215

The limit of detection (LoD) and limit of quantification (LoQ) of the triplex q(RT-)PCR assays were determined previously (Farkas et al., 2021) by running wastewater samples spiked with low concentrations of SARS-CoV-2 (1-150 gc  $\mu$ L<sup>-1</sup>N1 CDC and 1-200 gc  $\mu$ L<sup>-1</sup>E Sarbeco) and MNV RNA (1-80 gc  $\mu$ L<sup>-1</sup>) in ten replicates. The q(RT-PCR) assay LoD (the lowest concentration where all replicates were positive) were 1.7, 3.8 and 3.1 gc  $\mu$ L<sup>-1</sup> for the N gene, E gene and MNV, respectively. The LoQ (the lowest concentration where the coefficient of variance was below 0.25) were 11.8, 25.1 and 32.1 gc  $\mu$ L<sup>-1</sup> for the N gene, E gene and MNV, respectively.

#### 223 2.5 q(RT-)PCR data analysis and visualisation

Data were analysed using QuantStudio<sup>TM</sup> Real-Time PCR Software, version 1.3 (Applied Biosystems, USA). The baseline (cycle threshold; Ct) was manually adjusted after each run, when necessary. Viral concentrations were expressed as mean genome copies (gc) 100 ml<sup>-</sup> <sup>1</sup> wastewater calculated from two q(RT-)PCR duplicates of two extraction duplicates (n = 4) per sampling timepoint. Statistical analyses and data visualisation was performed in\_R v4.0.2 (R Core Team, 2020; Wickham, 2016). Supplementary Table 3 contains a full list of packages used in the data analysis.

#### 231 2.6 SARS-CoV-2 RNA amplicon sequencing and data processing

RNA from 84 extraction duplicates from 42 time-points, plus no-template negative controls, 232 were treated with DNase, and used to generate cDNA (NEB Luna Script). Subsequently, SARS-233 CoV-2 cDNA underwent PCR amplification using V3 nCov-2019 primers (ARTIC) generating 234 400 bp amplicons tiling the viral genome (Quick and Loman, 2020). Amplicon generation was 235 followed by sequencing library construction (NEB Ultra II DNA), with equimolar pooling of 236 samples and quantitation. Final library size was assessed on a Bioanalyser high sensitivity DNA 237 chip, and DNA concentration determined by Qubit double-stranded DNA high sensitivity assay, 238 and then by qPCR using the Illumina Library Quantification Kit from Kapa (KK4854) on a 239 Roche Light Cycler LC480II according to the manufacturer's instructions. Libraries were 240 sequenced on an Illumina MiSeq generating 2×250 bp paired end reads. An average of ca 241 291,000 reads (ca 146 Mbp) per sample were mapped using bwa-mem against the SARS-CoV-242 ncov2019-artic-nf v3 2 genome reference (MN908947.3) within the pipeline 243 (https://github.com/connor-lab/ncov2019-artic-nf). SNPs and indels were identified using 244 Varscan v2.4.4 with default settings and summary statistics for coverage and diversity were 245 generated in R v4.0.2 (R Core Team, 2020; Wickham, 2016). Sites were filtered to remove SNPs 246 and indels with a coverage of less than  $50 \times$  and a variant frequency of less than 10% per sample. 247 The number of SNP and indel sites were calculated per sample. 248

The relationship between SNP and indel site frequency and the proportion of the genome with coverage at greater than 50× coverage and the  $log_{10}$  gc  $\mu$ L<sup>-1</sup> were examined with Spearman's correlations. An index of SNP plus indel frequency per sample was calculated by taking the number of SNP and indel sites and dividing by the proportion of the genome with coverage at greater than 50 reads. A mean SNP and indel frequency index were then calculated per pair of wastewater samples to examine the effect of the number of positive tests in the previous 7 days in the local authority area, sample date and WWTP site on the number of SNPs and indels discovered, using a general linear model using the 'glm' function and type II ANOVA using the R package 'car'. A Spearman's correlation was used to examine the relationship between the index of SNP and indel frequency and the log population equivalent served by each wastewater treatment plant. Variants at SNP and indel sites were compared to those recorded in clinical samples using the 'cov\_glue\_snp\_lineage' function from R package 'sars2pack'.

261 **3. Results and Discussion** 

#### *3.1* Study description and q(RT-)PCR assay development

We monitored the SARS-CoV-2 RNA concentration in influent wastewater at six wastewater 263 treatment plants (WWTPs) using q(RT-)PCR over a period of 3.5 months during the imposition 264 and gradual lifting of the first UK-wide lockdown, and compared these data to the numbers of 265 positive clinical tests and deaths reported by the Office for National Statistics (ONS), UK 266 Government and Public Health Wales for lower tier local authority areas within which the 267 WWTPs were located (HM Government, 2020; Office for National Statistics, 2020; Public 268 Health Wales, 2020). WWTPs represent a range in size (population equivalents from 40 thousand 269 to 1.1 million) and spatial distribution (see Supplementary Fig. 1) and all implemented combined 270 stormwater, domestic and trade wastewater collection. Influent wastewater grab samples were 271 collected at the same time each week with the exception of The Wirral WWTP which was 272 sampled from a 24 hour composite autosampler. Limits of detection (LoD) and quantification 273 (LoQ) were determined as described in Farkas et al. (2021). 274

Results for SARS-CoV-2 RNA concentrations from q(RT-)PCR quantification are displayed as unadjusted mean genome copies/ 100 ml of wastewater rather than normalised by crAssphage concentrations as factors such as extraction efficiency can vary depending on the virus used (Medema et al., 2020). Although studies suggest that 24-hour composite sampling is more representative than grab sampling, it has been shown that grab samples are accurate to within an order of magnitude (Curtis et al., 2020, Ahmed et al., 2021). Further, our previous work has shown limited diurnal variability, particularly in large wastewater catchments where transit times can be up to 24 hours and where large amounts of mixing occurs in the network (Farkas et al., 2018b). Transit times may also influence observable virus quantities due to degradation of viral nucleic acids as they pass through the sewage system; however, SARS-CoV-2 RNA has been shown to be relatively stable in wastewater under environmental conditions, with a T<sub>90</sub> of 24 or 28 days at 15 or 4 °C (Ahmed et al., 2020b).

We compared mean SARS-CoV-2 RNA concentrations to daily flow and influent wastewater 287 chemistry but found no statistically significant correlations (see supplementary table 4). The 288 highly abundant bacteriophage crAssphage was used as a human faecal marker. No correlation 289 was found between crAssphage and SARS-CoV-2 nucleic acid concentrations (Spearman, p = 290 0.8341). No effect on crAssphage concentration was observable from sampling week (Kruskal-291 Wallis, p = 0.9042), but a significant effect was found between crAssphage concentration and 292 WWTP site (Kruskal-Wallis, p = 0.01751). These data indicate that faecal loading was constant 293 throughout the study period and that different WWTPs have different balances of human waste 294 and industrial/ other domestic wastewater sources. 295

# 3.2 Temporal trends in SARS-CoV-2 RNA concentration in wastewater and comparison to COVID-19 epidemiology

For each WWTP,  $64\% \pm 6.8$  q(RT-)PCR tests (mean  $\pm$  standard error (SEM), sites = 6, *n* = 90) detected SARS-CoV-2 in influent wastewater above the LoD, with SARS-CoV-2 RNA concentrations in wastewater influent having quantities above the LoQ in 28.9%  $\pm$  2.2 of samples (see Supplementary Fig. 2). No sites showed SARS-CoV-2 concentrations in WWTP effluent above the LoQ and only one above the LoD (Wrexham, 19/05/20, n = 22, see supplementary table 5). Figure 1a shows a drop in wastewater SARS-CoV-2 RNA concentration, new positive clinical tests and COVID-19 related deaths following the imposition of the UK-wide lockdown
 beginning in late March 2020. A number of spikes in clinical cases can be observed without
 corresponding spikes in wastewater, e.g. Wrexham in late June. These can occur due to surge
 testing following local workplace-related outbreaks and changes in testing eligibility during the
 study, and highlight the inherent difficulties in comparing wastewater loads to positive tests when
 testing is both limited and non-random.

WWTPs in Manchester, Liverpool and the Wirral showed strong correlations between SARS-310 CoV-2 RNA concentration and daily positive tests (Fig. 1b and Supplementary Fig. 3). Negative 311 correlations were also observed between viral concentrations in all sites and time following the 312 implementation of national lockdown, except Cardiff, indicating these measures lowered the 313 prevalence of the virus in local populations. The Cardiff, Gwynedd and Wrexham WWTPs did 314 not show the same trends between viral RNA concentrations and tests/ deaths, potentially due to 315 several different factors such as water chemistry or lower, broader peaks in SARS-CoV-2 316 prevalence. Gwynedd is also a popular holiday destination and sees regular weekend influxes of 317 holiday makers from other parts of the UK, which could affect WWTP SARS-CoV-2 318 concentrations either positively (through visits from asymptomatic/ pre-symptomatic 319 individuals) or negatively (through people commuting from rural areas outside of the WWTP 320 catchment area). Additional factors such as transit time within the sewage network, catchment 321 flow dynamics, and differences between local authority reporting areas for positive tests and 322 WWTP sewershed coverage could have effects on viral RNA recovery. In contrast to the 323 Gwynedd site, the Wirral site showed the strongest correlation between SARS-CoV-2 RNA 324 concentrations and the number of positive clinical tests/ COVID-19 related deaths, and is of a 325 size inbetween that of the Wrexham and Gwynedd WWTPs (see Supplemental Fig. 1), 326 suggesting that the use of 24-hour composite sampling may improve the correlation between 327 SARS-CoV-2 wastewater quantification and local clinical cases. 328

Further exploration of site-specific factors and improved access to higher resolution spatial 329 distributions of positive test locations is required to improve the accuracy of WBE in predicting 330 COVID-19 prevalence amongst local populations as part of national monitoring programmes. 331 Previous studies have corrected SARS-CoV-2 RNA concentration for WWTP flow (Gonzalez et 332 al., 2020), and adjusted cases or positive tests for differences between local authority populations 333 and WWTP catchment areas (Medema et al., 2020). Statistically, we found no benefit of 334 correcting for these factors on Spearman correlation coefficients between WWTP SARS-CoV-2 335 RNA concentration and positive tests/ COVID-19 related deaths (see Supplemental Fig. 3), 336 however due to differences between WWTP sites and sewersheds, we would caution against 337 making extensive quantitative comparisons between sites. 338

Our data confirm that SARS-CoV-2 RNA is readily detectable in wastewater influent across 339 a range of concentrations from  $<1.2 \times 10^3$  (<LoQ) to the highest recorded concentration of  $1.5 \times$ 340 10<sup>4</sup> gc 100 mL<sup>-1</sup>. This highlights how site-specific factors, concentration and quantification 341 protocols, and sampling strategies can complicate quantitative comparisons between WWTPs 342 within the same study, and when making comparisons to other international studies. There is a 343 need to standardise SARS-CoV-2 wastewater quantification and take WWTP site identity into 344 account when expanding WWTP monitoring programmes to national and international scales 345 (Chik et al., 2021; Pecson et al., 2021). Nonetheless, this study demonstrates the longitudinal 346 benefit of using WBE to monitor viral prevalence and the impact of public health interventions, 347 particularly in the early stages of a novel disease outbreak. 348

#### 349 3.3 Effect of window size/ offset on correlations

Due to shedding of SARS-CoV-2 from asymptomatic and pre-symptomatic individuals, a key driver of WBE research is the potential to detect upcoming spikes in infection in wastewater before increase in positive clinical tests. Consequently, several studies have used modelling

approaches to assess if the wastewater concentration of SARS-CoV-2 preceded new spikes in 353 clinical cases of COVID-19 (Ahmed et al., 2021b; D'Aoust et al., 2021). However, this is 354 challenging due to variabilities in the point of an infection cycle at which a person gets tested, 355 the severity and duration of symptoms, and the variability in viral shedding. The effect of varying 356 the difference between the number of days between wastewater sampling and testing date and 357 the number of days over which to sum the number of positive tests on the correlation between 358 wastewater SARS-CoV-2 concentrations and cases was examined (Fig. 2). If only considering 359 daily clinical testing data, the SARS-CoV-2 wastewater RNA concentration leads testing data 360 by 2-4 days but this can be extended by approximately 1 day by using a rolling sum of positive 361 clinical test cases over a series of days leading up to the clinical testing date being considered. It 362 should be noted that the overall effect of varying these parameters is not large in that the 363 correlation coefficients stay between 0.8 and 0.9 over a range of permutations. 364

# 3.4 Sequencing detects mutations in the SARS-CoV-2 genome comparable to those observable in clinical cases

WBE can also be used to monitor the genetic diversity SARS-CoV-2 circulating in the wider 367 population. To this end, SARS-CoV-2 RNA was amplified using the ARTIC protocol primers in 368 both extraction duplicates, where at least one of which showed q(RT-)PCR amplification were 369 sequenced. In these samples, between 25–75% of the SARS-CoV-2 genome was recovered (Fig. 370 3a), with coverage randomly distributed across the genome (Fig. 3b). This included samples that 371 showed no amplification (8.3%) or amplification below the LoD (3.6%) of the N1 q(RT-)PCR 372 assay (n = 84), suggesting that multi-locus amplicon sequencing based monitoring of wastewater 373 for WBE may be of significant use in the early stages of future viral outbreaks. The proportion 374 of the genome sequenced positively correlated with the amount of template (Spearman's  $\rho$  = 375 0.376, p = 0.0004, Fig. 3c).376

In total, 702 unique SNP sites and 267 indels were detectable across the 84 samples after filtering to remove sites with less than 50 reads and a variant frequency within a sample of less than 10%. The number of SNPs found correlated positively with the proportion of the genome that was sequenced (Spearman's  $\rho = 0.581$ , p < 0.0001; Fig. 3d).

Preliminary modelling suggests that the rate of positive tests in the source population and 381 sampling week did not affect the mean number of SNPs and indels controlled for genome 382 coverage (p > 0.05; Fig. 4a and b), but a reduced model suggested that there was heterogeneity 383 among sites ( $X^2$ = 11.57, df = 5, p = 0.041; Fig. 4c). The index of SNP plus indel frequency was 384 not related to log population equivalent served by each wastewater treatment plant (Spearman's 385  $\rho = 0.251$ , p = 0.251; Fig. 4d). This is explained by the presence of multiple viral lineages present 386 within the sample, corresponding to the diverse infections in the population represented in the 387 wastewater sample. A substantial fraction of the detected SNPs has previously been identified in 388 clinical samples across the UK, and has the potential to be informative for distinguishing viral 389 lineages (Supplementary Table 6). 390

Multiple SARS-CoV-2 lineages can be present within a single wastewater sample. Samples 391 have the potential to contain viruses from both symptomatic and asymptomatic individuals 392 within the community, as SARS-CoV-2 has been detected in the faeces of both asymptomatic 393 and symptomatic individuals (Jones et al., 2020; Tang et al., 2020). Previous studies have 394 sequenced SARS-CoV-2 genomes from wastewater (Ahmed et al., 2020a; Izquierdo-Lara et al., 395 n.d.; Martin et al., 2020; Nemudryi et al., 2020). We have shown not only that viral genome 396 sequences can be recovered from wastewater samples, but that they exhibit substantial diversity 397 across dozens of samples. Sequencing the genomes therefore has the potential to assess the 398 diversity of viral infections in the wastewater catchment population and to identify emerging 399 genetic variants before they are seen in clinical samples. In support of this, preliminary analysis 400

suggests that the detected SNPs were consistent with those detected previously in clinical
samples (see Supplementary Table 6). However, because the SNPs from wastewater samples are
not phased across the genome, and because the genome coverage is imperfect, assigning viral
lineages to samples will require a bespoke statistical framework to be developed.

#### 405 **3.8.** Use of wastewater-based epidemiology in COVID-19 and future pathogen surveillance

Attempting to quantitatively link observed viral RNA concentrations to detectable cases is challenging (Medema et al., 2020). Many assumptions need to be made regarding the persistence of SARS-CoV-2 in wastewater, quantities of the virus shed in faeces and the influence of water chemistry (Ahmed et al., 2020a).

Sample processing methodology can also be a substantial source of variability. Concentration 410 method, qPCR assay design and inter-lab variation can create variation in detectable SARS-CoV-411 2 RNA quantities (Pecson et al., 2021; Westhaus et al., 2021). Use of appropriate process controls 412 is necessary to monitor the effects of these factors when making intra- and inter-laboratory 413 comparisons. Choice of process control is complex as a closely related surrogate virus should be 414 used where available and further global collaboration and co-ordination is required to widen 415 access to WBE technologies (Polo et al., 2020). In addition to this, the effects of SARS-CoV-2 416 on global supply chains and the need to perform WBE at scale create additional pressures where 417 sub-optimal protocols may become necessary in the future to achieve testing scale desired for 418 national monitoring programs. 419

Despite the possible sources of variability mentioned above, we have demonstrated that WBE is suitable for quantitatively tracking the course of the early stages of the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic and the effects of public health interventions, even in the early stages of a novel outbreak, where lack of surge capacity prevents optimal sampling. We highlight how tiled primer array sequencing complements q(RT-)PCR based detection of SARS-CoV-2 and enhances the sensitivity and usefulness of WBE in detecting the presence of novel mutations in the SARSCoV-2 genome. Early detection of viral pathogens by q(RT-)PCR requires a suitable assay and
routine monitoring of WWTPs however alternative technologies such as viral metagenomics
may be more suited to initial detection of emerging and unknown pathogens (Farkas et al., 2020).
Our results suggest that viral amplicon sequencing could be more sensitive than q(RT-)PCR for
detection of known pathogens. In future, monitoring could be targeted towards ports of entry and
major metropolitan centres to maximise the likelihood of detection (Medema et al., 2020).

432 **4.** Conclusions

- Our results demonstrate that levels of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in wastewater generally
   correlated well with the abundance of clinical COVID-19 cases recorded within the
   community in large urban centres.
- At the population level, wastewater-based epidemiology was used to confirm the success
   of lockdown measures (i.e. restricted movement and human-to human contact)
   implemented at the national scale to control the transmission of SARS-CoV-2.
- The genetic diversity of SARS-CoV-2 from wastewater suggests that multiple genetically
   distinct clusters were co-circulating present in the local populations, and that the genetic
   variants observed in wastewater reflect similar SNPs observed in samples from
   nasopharyngeal swabs taken contemporaneously at clinical testing centres.
- A greater understanding of the factors that affect SARS-CoV-2 RNA quantification in wastewater is still required to enable the full integration of wastewater-based epidemiology data into wider outbreak surveillance programmes.
- Our results lend support to the use of routine wastewater-based epidemiology to monitor SARS-CoV-2 and other human pathogenic viruses circulating in the population and to assess the effectiveness of disease control measures.
- 449

450 **Declaration of Competing Interest** The authors declare that they have no known competing 451 financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work 452 reported in this paper.

453 Acknowledgements

This work was funded by UK Research and Innovation (UKRI) under the COVID-19 Rapid 454 Response Programme (projects NE/V004883/1 and NE/V010441/1) and the Centre for 455 Environmental Biotechnology Project funded though the European Regional Development Fund 456 (ERDF) by Welsh Government. LSH was supported by a Soils Training and Research 457 Studentship (STARS) grant from the Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council 458 (BBSRC) and Natural Environment Research Council (NE/M009106/1). We particularly thank 459 Tony Harington at Dŵr Cymru Welsh Water and Jo Harrison at United Utilities PLC alongside 460 staff at the wastewater treatment facilities for their support in this project. We thank Prof Ian 461 Goodfellow (University of Cambridge, UK) for providing MNV and BV2 stocks. 462

#### 463 Data Availability

q(RT-)PCR and chemical data recorded in this study is available as supplementary information
and from the Environmental Information Data Centre (EIDC, www. eidc.ceh.uk).
DOI:10.5285/ce40e62a-21ae-45b9-ba5b-031639a504f7.Sequencing read files analysed in this
study can be accessed from the European Nucleotide Archive (project PRJEB42191).

#### 468 **Author contributions**

<sup>469</sup> DLJ, LSH, KF, SKM and JEM conceived the project. LSH, JT, MAD and KF undertook the <sup>470</sup> experimental work. LSH and KF undertook the processing and analysis of the q(RT-)PCR data. <sup>471</sup> KHM, TB and SP undertook the processing and analysis of the sequencing data. LSH, KF and <sup>472</sup> DLJ led the data interpretation and writing of the manuscript. All other authors contributed to <sup>473</sup> the final draft of the article.

#### 474 **References**

- Ahmed, W., Angel, N., Edson, J., Bibby, K., Bivins, A., O'Brien, J.W., Choi, P.M., Kitajima,
  M., Simpson, S.L., Li, J., Tscharke, B., Verhagen, R., Smith, W.J.M., Zaugg, J.,
  Dierens, L., Hugenholtz, P., Thomas, K.V., Mueller, J.F., 2020a. First confirmed
  detection of SARS-CoV-2 in untreated wastewater in Australia: A proof of concept for
  the wastewater surveillance of COVID-19 in the community. Sci. Total Environ. 728,
  138764. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.138764
- Ahmed, W., Bertsch, P.M., Bibby, K., Haramoto, E., Hewitt, J., Huygens, F., Gyawali, P.,
  Korajkic, A., Riddell, S., Sherchan, S.P., Simpson, S.L., Sirikanchana, K., Symonds,
  E.M., Verhagen, R., Vasan, S.S., Kitajima, M., Bivins, A., 2020b. Decay of SARSCoV-2 and surrogate murine hepatitis virus RNA in untreated wastewater to inform
  application in wastewater-based epidemiology. Environ. Res. 191, 110092.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2020.110092
- Ahmed, W., Bivins, A., Bertsch, P.M., Bibby, K., Gyawali, P., Sherchan, S.P., Simpson, S.L.,
   Thomas, K.V., Verhagen, R., Kitajima, M., Mueller, J.F., Korajkic, A., 2021a. Intraday
   variability of indicator and pathogenic viruses in 1-h and 24-h composite wastewater
   samples: Implications for wastewater-based epidemiology. Environ. Res. 193, 110531.
   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2020.110531
- Ahmed, W., Tscharke, B., Bertsch, P.M., Bibby, K., Bivins, A., Choi, P., Clarke, L., Dwyer, J.,
  Edson, J., Nguyen, T.M.H., O'Brien, J.W., Simpson, S.L., Sherman, P., Thomas, K.V.,
  Verhagen, R., Zaugg, J., Mueller, J.F., 2021b. SARS-CoV-2 RNA monitoring in
  wastewater as a potential early warning system for COVID-19 transmission in the
  community: A temporal case study. Sci. Total Environ. 761, 144216.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.144216
- Aleta, A., Martín-Corral, D., Pastore y Piontti, A., Ajelli, M., Litvinova, M., Chinazzi, M.,
  Dean, N.E., Halloran, M.E., Longini Jr, I.M., Merler, S., Pentland, A., Vespignani, A.,
  Moro, E., Moreno, Y., 2020. Modelling the impact of testing, contact tracing and
  household quarantine on second waves of COVID-19. Nat. Hum. Behav. 4, 964–971.
  https://doi.org/10.1038/s41562-020-0931-9
- Arons, M.M., Hatfield, K.M., Reddy, S.C., Kimball, A., James, A., Jacobs, J.R., Taylor, J., 503 Spicer, K., Bardossy, A.C., Oakley, L.P., Tanwar, S., Dyal, J.W., Harney, J., Chisty, Z., 504 Bell, J.M., Methner, M., Paul, P., Carlson, C.M., McLaughlin, H.P., Thornburg, N., 505 Tong, S., Tamin, A., Tao, Y., Uehara, A., Harcourt, J., Clark, S., Brostrom-Smith, C., 506 Page, L.C., Kay, M., Lewis, J., Montgomery, P., Stone, N.D., Clark, T.A., Honein, 507 M.A., Duchin, J.S., Jernigan, J.A., 2020. Presymptomatic SARS-CoV-2 Infections and 508 Transmission in a Skilled Nursing Facility. N. Engl. J. Med. 382, 2081–2090. 509 https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2008457 510
- Barasa, E.W., Ouma, P.O., Okiro, E.A., 2020. Assessing the hospital surge capacity of the Kenyan health system in the face of the COVID-19 pandemic. PLOS ONE 15, e0236308. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0236308
- Castiglioni, S., Thomas, K.V., Kasprzyk-Hordern, B., Vandam, L., Griffiths, P., 2014. Testing
   wastewater to detect illicit drugs: State of the art, potential and research needs. Sci.
   Total Environ. 487, 613–620. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2013.10.034
- Chakraborty, I., Maity, P., 2020. COVID-19 outbreak: Migration, effects on society, global
   environment and prevention. Sci. Total Environ. 728, 138882.
   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.138882
- Chik, A.H.S., Glier, M.B., Servos, M., Mangat, C.S., Pang, X.-L., Qiu, Y., D'Aoust, P.M.,
  Burnet, J.-B., Delatolla, R., Dorner, S., Geng, Q., Giesy, J.P., McKay, R.M., Mulvey,
  M.R., Prystajecky, N., Srikanthan, N., Xie, Y., Conant, B., Hrudey, S.E., 2021.

523	Comparison of approaches to quantify SARS-CoV-2 in wastewater using RT-qPCR:
524	Results and implications from a collaborative inter-laboratory study in Canada. J.
525	Environ. Sci. 107, 218–229. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jes.2021.01.029
526	Cirrincione, L., Plescia, F., Ledda, C., Rapisarda, V., Martorana, D., Moldovan, R.E.,
527	Theodoridou, K., Cannizzaro, E., 2020. COVID-19 Pandemic: Prevention and
528	Protection Measures to Be Adopted at the Workplace. Sustainability 12, 3603.
529	https://doi.org/10.3390/su12093603
530	Curtis, K., Keeling, D., Yetka, K., Larson, A., Gonzalez, R., 2020. Wastewater SARS-CoV-2
531	Concentration and Loading Variability from Grab and 24-Hour Composite Samples.
532	medRxiv 2020.07.10.20150607. https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.07.10.20150607
533	D'Aoust, P.M., Graber, T.E., Mercier, E., Montpetit, D., Alexandrov, I., Neault, N., Baig, A.T.,
534	Mayne, J., Zhang, X., Alain, T., Servos, M.R., Srikanthan, N., MacKenzie, M., Figeys,
535	D., Manuel, D., Jüni, P., MacKenzie, A.E., Delatolla, R., 2021. Catching a resurgence:
536	Increase in SARS-CoV-2 viral RNA identified in wastewater 48 h before COVID-19
537	clinical tests and 96 h before hospitalizations. Sci. Total Environ. 770, 145319.
538	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.145319
539	Farkas, K., Adriaenssens, E.M., Walker, D.I., McDonald, J.E., Malham, S.K., Jones, D.L.,
540	2019. Critical Evaluation of CrAssphage as a Molecular Marker for Human-Derived
541	Wastewater Contamination in the Aquatic Environment. Food Environ. Virol. 11, 113-
542	119. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12560-019-09369-1
543	Farkas, K., Cooper, D.M., McDonald, J.E., Malham, S.K., de Rougemont, A., Jones, D.L.,
544	Rougemont, A. de, Jones, D.L., de Rougemont, A., Jones, D.L., 2018a. Seasonal and
545	spatial dynamics of enteric viruses in wastewater and in riverine and estuarine receiving
546	waters. Sci. Total Environ. 634, 1174–1183.
	$1 \pm 1 \pm$
547	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitolenv.2018.04.038
547 548	Farkas, K., Hillary, L.S., Malham, S.K., McDonald, J.E., Jones, D.L., 2020. Wastewater and
547 548 549	<ul><li>Farkas, K., Hillary, L.S., Malham, S.K., McDonald, J.E., Jones, D.L., 2020. Wastewater and public health: the potential of wastewater surveillance for monitoring COVID-19. Curr.</li></ul>
547 548 549 550	<ul> <li>Farkas, K., Hillary, L.S., Malham, S.K., McDonald, J.E., Jones, D.L., 2020. Wastewater and public health: the potential of wastewater surveillance for monitoring COVID-19. Curr. Opin. Environ. Sci. Health 17, 14–20. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coesh.2020.06.001</li> </ul>
547 548 549 550 551	<ul> <li>Farkas, K., Hillary, L.S., Malham, S.K., McDonald, J.E., Jones, D.L., 2020. Wastewater and public health: the potential of wastewater surveillance for monitoring COVID-19. Curr. Opin. Environ. Sci. Health 17, 14–20. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coesh.2020.06.001</li> <li>Farkas, K., Hillary, L.S., Thorpe, J., Walker, D.I., Lowther, J.A., McDonald, J.E., Malham,</li> </ul>
547 548 549 550 551 552	<ul> <li>Farkas, K., Hillary, L.S., Malham, S.K., McDonald, J.E., Jones, D.L., 2020. Wastewater and public health: the potential of wastewater surveillance for monitoring COVID-19. Curr. Opin. Environ. Sci. Health 17, 14–20. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coesh.2020.06.001</li> <li>Farkas, K., Hillary, L.S., Thorpe, J., Walker, D.I., Lowther, J.A., McDonald, J.E., Malham, S.K., Jones, D.L., 2021. Concentration and Quantification of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in</li> </ul>
547 548 549 550 551 552 553	<ul> <li>Farkas, K., Hillary, L.S., Malham, S.K., McDonald, J.E., Jones, D.L., 2020. Wastewater and public health: the potential of wastewater surveillance for monitoring COVID-19. Curr. Opin. Environ. Sci. Health 17, 14–20. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coesh.2020.06.001</li> <li>Farkas, K., Hillary, L.S., Thorpe, J., Walker, D.I., Lowther, J.A., McDonald, J.E., Malham, S.K., Jones, D.L., 2021. Concentration and Quantification of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in Wastewater Using Polyethylene Glycol-Based Concentration and qRT-PCR. Methods</li> </ul>
547 548 549 550 551 552 553 554	<ul> <li>Farkas, K., Hillary, L.S., Malham, S.K., McDonald, J.E., Jones, D.L., 2020. Wastewater and public health: the potential of wastewater surveillance for monitoring COVID-19. Curr. Opin. Environ. Sci. Health 17, 14–20. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coesh.2020.06.001</li> <li>Farkas, K., Hillary, L.S., Thorpe, J., Walker, D.I., Lowther, J.A., McDonald, J.E., Malham, S.K., Jones, D.L., 2021. Concentration and Quantification of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in Wastewater Using Polyethylene Glycol-Based Concentration and qRT-PCR. Methods Protoc. 4, 17. https://doi.org/10.3390/mps4010017</li> </ul>
547 548 549 550 551 552 553 554 555	<ul> <li>Farkas, K., Hillary, L.S., Malham, S.K., McDonald, J.E., Jones, D.L., 2020. Wastewater and public health: the potential of wastewater surveillance for monitoring COVID-19. Curr. Opin. Environ. Sci. Health 17, 14–20. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coesh.2020.06.001</li> <li>Farkas, K., Hillary, L.S., Thorpe, J., Walker, D.I., Lowther, J.A., McDonald, J.E., Malham, S.K., Jones, D.L., 2021. Concentration and Quantification of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in Wastewater Using Polyethylene Glycol-Based Concentration and qRT-PCR. Methods Protoc. 4, 17. https://doi.org/10.3390/mps4010017</li> <li>Farkas, K., Marshall, M., Cooper, D., McDonald, J.E., Malham, S.K., Peters, D.E., Maloney,</li> </ul>
547 548 549 550 551 552 553 554 555 556	<ul> <li>Farkas, K., Hillary, L.S., Malham, S.K., McDonald, J.E., Jones, D.L., 2020. Wastewater and public health: the potential of wastewater surveillance for monitoring COVID-19. Curr. Opin. Environ. Sci. Health 17, 14–20. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coesh.2020.06.001</li> <li>Farkas, K., Hillary, L.S., Thorpe, J., Walker, D.I., Lowther, J.A., McDonald, J.E., Malham, S.K., Jones, D.L., 2021. Concentration and Quantification of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in Wastewater Using Polyethylene Glycol-Based Concentration and qRT-PCR. Methods Protoc. 4, 17. https://doi.org/10.3390/mps4010017</li> <li>Farkas, K., Marshall, M., Cooper, D., McDonald, J.E., Malham, S.K., Peters, D.E., Maloney, J.D., Jones, D.L., 2018b. Seasonal and diurnal surveillance of treated and untreated</li> </ul>
547 548 549 550 551 552 553 554 555 556 557	<ul> <li>Farkas, K., Hillary, L.S., Malham, S.K., McDonald, J.E., Jones, D.L., 2020. Wastewater and public health: the potential of wastewater surveillance for monitoring COVID-19. Curr. Opin. Environ. Sci. Health 17, 14–20. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coesh.2020.06.001</li> <li>Farkas, K., Hillary, L.S., Thorpe, J., Walker, D.I., Lowther, J.A., McDonald, J.E., Malham, S.K., Jones, D.L., 2021. Concentration and Quantification of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in Wastewater Using Polyethylene Glycol-Based Concentration and qRT-PCR. Methods Protoc. 4, 17. https://doi.org/10.3390/mps4010017</li> <li>Farkas, K., Marshall, M., Cooper, D., McDonald, J.E., Malham, S.K., Peters, D.E., Maloney, J.D., Jones, D.L., 2018b. Seasonal and diurnal surveillance of treated and untreated wastewater for human enteric viruses. Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. 25, 33391–33401.</li> </ul>
547 548 549 550 551 552 553 554 555 556 557 558	<ul> <li>Farkas, K., Hillary, L.S., Malham, S.K., McDonald, J.E., Jones, D.L., 2020. Wastewater and public health: the potential of wastewater surveillance for monitoring COVID-19. Curr. Opin. Environ. Sci. Health 17, 14–20. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coesh.2020.06.001</li> <li>Farkas, K., Hillary, L.S., Thorpe, J., Walker, D.I., Lowther, J.A., McDonald, J.E., Malham, S.K., Jones, D.L., 2021. Concentration and Quantification of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in Wastewater Using Polyethylene Glycol-Based Concentration and qRT-PCR. Methods Protoc. 4, 17. https://doi.org/10.3390/mps4010017</li> <li>Farkas, K., Marshall, M., Cooper, D., McDonald, J.E., Malham, S.K., Peters, D.E., Maloney, J.D., Jones, D.L., 2018b. Seasonal and diurnal surveillance of treated and untreated wastewater for human enteric viruses. Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. 25, 33391–33401. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-018-3261-y</li> </ul>
547 548 549 550 551 552 553 554 555 556 557 558 559	<ul> <li>Farkas, K., Hillary, L.S., Malham, S.K., McDonald, J.E., Jones, D.L., 2020. Wastewater and public health: the potential of wastewater surveillance for monitoring COVID-19. Curr. Opin. Environ. Sci. Health 17, 14–20. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coesh.2020.06.001</li> <li>Farkas, K., Hillary, L.S., Thorpe, J., Walker, D.I., Lowther, J.A., McDonald, J.E., Malham, S.K., Jones, D.L., 2021. Concentration and Quantification of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in Wastewater Using Polyethylene Glycol-Based Concentration and qRT-PCR. Methods Protoc. 4, 17. https://doi.org/10.3390/mps4010017</li> <li>Farkas, K., Marshall, M., Cooper, D., McDonald, J.E., Malham, S.K., Peters, D.E., Maloney, J.D., Jones, D.L., 2018b. Seasonal and diurnal surveillance of treated and untreated wastewater for human enteric viruses. Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. 25, 33391–33401. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-018-3261-y</li> <li>Farkas, K., McDonald, J.E., Malham, S.K., Jones, D.L., 2018c. Two-Step Concentration of</li> </ul>
547 548 549 550 551 552 553 554 555 556 557 558 559 560	<ul> <li>Farkas, K., Hillary, L.S., Malham, S.K., McDonald, J.E., Jones, D.L., 2020. Wastewater and public health: the potential of wastewater surveillance for monitoring COVID-19. Curr. Opin. Environ. Sci. Health 17, 14–20. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coesh.2020.06.001</li> <li>Farkas, K., Hillary, L.S., Thorpe, J., Walker, D.I., Lowther, J.A., McDonald, J.E., Malham, S.K., Jones, D.L., 2021. Concentration and Quantification of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in Wastewater Using Polyethylene Glycol-Based Concentration and qRT-PCR. Methods Protoc. 4, 17. https://doi.org/10.3390/mps4010017</li> <li>Farkas, K., Marshall, M., Cooper, D., McDonald, J.E., Malham, S.K., Peters, D.E., Maloney, J.D., Jones, D.L., 2018b. Seasonal and diurnal surveillance of treated and untreated wastewater for human enteric viruses. Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. 25, 33391–33401. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-018-3261-y</li> <li>Farkas, K., McDonald, J.E., Malham, S.K., Jones, D.L., 2018c. Two-Step Concentration of Complex Water Samples for the Detection of Viruses. Methods Protoc. 1.</li> </ul>
547 548 549 550 551 552 553 554 555 556 557 558 559 560 561	<ul> <li>Farkas, K., Hillary, L.S., Malham, S.K., McDonald, J.E., Jones, D.L., 2020. Wastewater and public health: the potential of wastewater surveillance for monitoring COVID-19. Curr. Opin. Environ. Sci. Health 17, 14–20. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coesh.2020.06.001</li> <li>Farkas, K., Hillary, L.S., Thorpe, J., Walker, D.I., Lowther, J.A., McDonald, J.E., Malham, S.K., Jones, D.L., 2021. Concentration and Quantification of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in Wastewater Using Polyethylene Glycol-Based Concentration and qRT-PCR. Methods Protoc. 4, 17. https://doi.org/10.3390/mps4010017</li> <li>Farkas, K., Marshall, M., Cooper, D., McDonald, J.E., Malham, S.K., Peters, D.E., Maloney, J.D., Jones, D.L., 2018b. Seasonal and diurnal surveillance of treated and untreated wastewater for human enteric viruses. Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. 25, 33391–33401. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-018-3261-y</li> <li>Farkas, K., McDonald, J.E., Malham, S.K., Jones, D.L., 2018c. Two-Step Concentration of Complex Water Samples for the Detection of Viruses. Methods Protoc. 1. https://doi.org/10.3390/mps1030035</li> </ul>
547 548 549 550 551 552 553 554 555 556 557 558 559 560 561 562	<ul> <li>Farkas, K., Hillary, L.S., Malham, S.K., McDonald, J.E., Jones, D.L., 2020. Wastewater and public health: the potential of wastewater surveillance for monitoring COVID-19. Curr. Opin. Environ. Sci. Health 17, 14–20. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coesh.2020.06.001</li> <li>Farkas, K., Hillary, L.S., Thorpe, J., Walker, D.I., Lowther, J.A., McDonald, J.E., Malham, S.K., Jones, D.L., 2021. Concentration and Quantification of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in Wastewater Using Polyethylene Glycol-Based Concentration and qRT-PCR. Methods Protoc. 4, 17. https://doi.org/10.3390/mps4010017</li> <li>Farkas, K., Marshall, M., Cooper, D., McDonald, J.E., Malham, S.K., Peters, D.E., Maloney, J.D., Jones, D.L., 2018b. Seasonal and diurnal surveillance of treated and untreated wastewater for human enteric viruses. Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. 25, 33391–33401. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-018-3261-y</li> <li>Farkas, K., McDonald, J.E., Malham, S.K., Jones, D.L., 2018c. Two-Step Concentration of Complex Water Samples for the Detection of Viruses. Methods Protoc. 1. https://doi.org/10.3390/mps1030035</li> <li>Gonzalez, R., Curtis, K., Bivins, A., Bibby, K., Weir, M.H., Yetka, K., Thompson, H., Keeling,</li> </ul>
547 548 549 550 551 552 553 554 555 556 557 558 559 560 561 562 563	<ul> <li>Farkas, K., Hillary, L.S., Malham, S.K., McDonald, J.E., Jones, D.L., 2020. Wastewater and public health: the potential of wastewater surveillance for monitoring COVID-19. Curr. Opin. Environ. Sci. Health 17, 14–20. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coesh.2020.06.001</li> <li>Farkas, K., Hillary, L.S., Thorpe, J., Walker, D.I., Lowther, J.A., McDonald, J.E., Malham, S.K., Jones, D.L., 2021. Concentration and Quantification of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in Wastewater Using Polyethylene Glycol-Based Concentration and qRT-PCR. Methods Protoc. 4, 17. https://doi.org/10.3390/mps4010017</li> <li>Farkas, K., Marshall, M., Cooper, D., McDonald, J.E., Malham, S.K., Peters, D.E., Maloney, J.D., Jones, D.L., 2018b. Seasonal and diurnal surveillance of treated and untreated wastewater for human enteric viruses. Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. 25, 33391–33401. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-018-3261-y</li> <li>Farkas, K., McDonald, J.E., Malham, S.K., Jones, D.L., 2018c. Two-Step Concentration of Complex Water Samples for the Detection of Viruses. Methods Protoc. 1. https://doi.org/10.3390/mps1030035</li> <li>Gonzalez, R., Curtis, K., Bivins, A., Bibby, K., Weir, M.H., Yetka, K., Thompson, H., Keeling, D., Mitchell, J., Gonzalez, D., 2020. COVID-19 surveillance in Southeastern Virginia</li> </ul>
547 548 549 550 551 552 553 554 555 556 557 558 559 560 561 562 563 564	<ul> <li>Farkas, K., Hillary, L.S., Malham, S.K., McDonald, J.E., Jones, D.L., 2020. Wastewater and public health: the potential of wastewater surveillance for monitoring COVID-19. Curr. Opin. Environ. Sci. Health 17, 14–20. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coesh.2020.06.001</li> <li>Farkas, K., Hillary, L.S., Thorpe, J., Walker, D.I., Lowther, J.A., McDonald, J.E., Malham, S.K., Jones, D.L., 2021. Concentration and Quantification of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in Wastewater Using Polyethylene Glycol-Based Concentration and qRT-PCR. Methods Protoc. 4, 17. https://doi.org/10.3390/mps4010017</li> <li>Farkas, K., Marshall, M., Cooper, D., McDonald, J.E., Malham, S.K., Peters, D.E., Maloney, J.D., Jones, D.L., 2018b. Seasonal and diurnal surveillance of treated and untreated wastewater for human enteric viruses. Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. 25, 33391–33401. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-018-3261-y</li> <li>Farkas, K., McDonald, J.E., Malham, S.K., Jones, D.L., 2018c. Two-Step Concentration of Complex Water Samples for the Detection of Viruses. Methods Protoc. 1. https://doi.org/10.3390/mps1030035</li> <li>Gonzalez, R., Curtis, K., Bivins, A., Bibby, K., Weir, M.H., Yetka, K., Thompson, H., Keeling, D., Mitchell, J., Gonzalez, D., 2020. COVID-19 surveillance in Southeastern Virginia using wastewater-based epidemiology. Water Res. 186, 116296.</li> </ul>
547 548 549 550 551 552 553 554 555 556 557 558 559 560 561 562 563 564 564 565	<ul> <li>Farkas, K., Hillary, L.S., Malham, S.K., McDonald, J.E., Jones, D.L., 2020. Wastewater and public health: the potential of wastewater surveillance for monitoring COVID-19. Curr. Opin. Environ. Sci. Health 17, 14–20. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coesh.2020.06.001</li> <li>Farkas, K., Hillary, L.S., Thorpe, J., Walker, D.I., Lowther, J.A., McDonald, J.E., Malham, S.K., Jones, D.L., 2021. Concentration and Quantification of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in Wastewater Using Polyethylene Glycol-Based Concentration and qRT-PCR. Methods Protoc. 4, 17. https://doi.org/10.3390/mps4010017</li> <li>Farkas, K., Marshall, M., Cooper, D., McDonald, J.E., Malham, S.K., Peters, D.E., Maloney, J.D., Jones, D.L., 2018b. Seasonal and diurnal surveillance of treated and untreated wastewater for human enteric viruses. Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. 25, 33391–33401. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-018-3261-y</li> <li>Farkas, K., McDonald, J.E., Malham, S.K., Jones, D.L., 2018c. Two-Step Concentration of Complex Water Samples for the Detection of Viruses. Methods Protoc. 1. https://doi.org/10.3390/mps1030035</li> <li>Gonzalez, R., Curtis, K., Bivins, A., Bibby, K., Weir, M.H., Yetka, K., Thompson, H., Keeling, D., Mitchell, J., Gonzalez, D., 2020. COVID-19 surveillance in Southeastern Virginia using wastewater-based epidemiology. Water Res. 186, 116296. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2020.116296</li> </ul>
547 548 549 550 551 552 553 554 555 556 557 558 559 560 561 562 563 564 565 565	<ul> <li>Farkas, K., Hillary, L.S., Malham, S.K., McDonald, J.E., Jones, D.L., 2020. Wastewater and public health: the potential of wastewater surveillance for monitoring COVID-19. Curr. Opin. Environ. Sci. Health 17, 14–20. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coesh.2020.06.001</li> <li>Farkas, K., Hillary, L.S., Thorpe, J., Walker, D.I., Lowther, J.A., McDonald, J.E., Malham, S.K., Jones, D.L., 2021. Concentration and Quantification of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in Wastewater Using Polyethylene Glycol-Based Concentration and qRT-PCR. Methods Protoc. 4, 17. https://doi.org/10.3390/mps4010017</li> <li>Farkas, K., Marshall, M., Cooper, D., McDonald, J.E., Malham, S.K., Peters, D.E., Maloney, J.D., Jones, D.L., 2018b. Seasonal and diurnal surveillance of treated and untreated wastewater for human enteric viruses. Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. 25, 33391–33401. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-018-3261-y</li> <li>Farkas, K., McDonald, J.E., Malham, S.K., Jones, D.L., 2018c. Two-Step Concentration of Complex Water Samples for the Detection of Viruses. Methods Protoc. 1. https://doi.org/10.3390/mps1030035</li> <li>Gonzalez, R., Curtis, K., Bivins, A., Bibby, K., Weir, M.H., Yetka, K., Thompson, H., Keeling, D., Mitchell, J., Gonzalez, D., 2020. COVID-19 surveillance in Southeastern Virginia using wastewater-based epidemiology. Water Res. 186, 116296. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2020.116296</li> <li>Goscé, L., Phillips, P.A., Spinola, P., Gupta, D.R.K., Abubakar, P.I., 2020. Modelling SARS-</li> </ul>
547 548 549 550 551 552 553 554 555 556 557 558 559 560 561 562 563 564 565 566 566 567	<ul> <li>Farkas, K., Hillary, L.S., Malham, S.K., McDonald, J.E., Jones, D.L., 2020. Wastewater and public health: the potential of wastewater surveillance for monitoring COVID-19. Curr. Opin. Environ. Sci. Health 17, 14–20. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coesh.2020.06.001</li> <li>Farkas, K., Hillary, L.S., Thorpe, J., Walker, D.I., Lowther, J.A., McDonald, J.E., Malham, S.K., Jones, D.L., 2021. Concentration and Quantification of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in Wastewater Using Polyethylene Glycol-Based Concentration and qRT-PCR. Methods Protoc. 4, 17. https://doi.org/10.3390/mps4010017</li> <li>Farkas, K., Marshall, M., Cooper, D., McDonald, J.E., Malham, S.K., Peters, D.E., Maloney, J.D., Jones, D.L., 2018b. Seasonal and diurnal surveillance of treated and untreated wastewater for human enteric viruses. Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. 25, 33391–33401. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-018-3261-y</li> <li>Farkas, K., McDonald, J.E., Malham, S.K., Jones, D.L., 2018c. Two-Step Concentration of Complex Water Samples for the Detection of Viruses. Methods Protoc. 1. https://doi.org/10.3390/mps1030035</li> <li>Gonzalez, R., Curtis, K., Bivins, A., Bibby, K., Weir, M.H., Yetka, K., Thompson, H., Keeling, D., Mitchell, J., Gonzalez, D., 2020. COVID-19 surveillance in Southeastern Virginia using wastewater-based epidemiology. Water Res. 186, 116296. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2020.116296</li> <li>Goscé, L., Phillips, P.A., Spinola, P., Gupta, D.R.K., Abubakar, P.I., 2020. Modelling SARS-COV2 Spread in London: Approaches to Lift the Lockdown. J. Infect. 81, 260–265.</li> </ul>
547 548 549 550 551 552 553 554 555 556 557 558 559 560 561 562 563 564 565 564 565 566 567 568	<ul> <li>Farkas, K., Hillary, L.S., Malham, S.K., McDonald, J.E., Jones, D.L., 2020. Wastewater and public health: the potential of wastewater surveillance for monitoring COVID-19. Curr. Opin. Environ. Sci. Health 17, 14–20. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coesh.2020.06.001</li> <li>Farkas, K., Hillary, L.S., Thorpe, J., Walker, D.I., Lowther, J.A., McDonald, J.E., Malham, S.K., Jones, D.L., 2021. Concentration and Quantification of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in Wastewater Using Polyethylene Glycol-Based Concentration and qRT-PCR. Methods Protoc. 4, 17. https://doi.org/10.3390/mps4010017</li> <li>Farkas, K., Marshall, M., Cooper, D., McDonald, J.E., Malham, S.K., Peters, D.E., Maloney, J.D., Jones, D.L., 2018b. Seasonal and diurnal surveillance of treated and untreated wastewater for human enteric viruses. Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. 25, 33391–33401. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-018-3261-y</li> <li>Farkas, K., McDonald, J.E., Malham, S.K., Jones, D.L., 2018c. Two-Step Concentration of Complex Water Samples for the Detection of Viruses. Methods Protoc. 1. https://doi.org/10.3390/mps1030035</li> <li>Gonzalez, R., Curtis, K., Bivins, A., Bibby, K., Weir, M.H., Yetka, K., Thompson, H., Keeling, D., Mitchell, J., Gonzalez, D., 2020. COVID-19 surveillance in Southeastern Virginia using wastewater-based epidemiology. Water Res. 186, 116296. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2020.116296</li> <li>Goscé, L., Phillips, P.A., Spinola, P., Gupta, D.R.K., Abubakar, P.I., 2020. Modelling SARS-COV2 Spread in London: Approaches to Lift the Lockdown. J. Infect. 81, 260–265. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinf.2020.05.037</li> </ul>
547 548 549 550 551 552 553 554 555 556 557 558 559 560 561 562 563 564 565 566 565 566 567 568 569	<ul> <li>Farkas, K., Hillary, L.S., Malham, S.K., McDonald, J.E., Jones, D.L., 2020. Wastewater and public health: the potential of wastewater surveillance for monitoring COVID-19. Curr. Opin. Environ. Sci. Health 17, 14–20. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coesh.2020.06.001</li> <li>Farkas, K., Hillary, L.S., Thorpe, J., Walker, D.I., Lowther, J.A., McDonald, J.E., Malham, S.K., Jones, D.L., 2021. Concentration and Quantification of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in Wastewater Using Polyethylene Glycol-Based Concentration and qRT-PCR. Methods Protoc. 4, 17. https://doi.org/10.3390/mps4010017</li> <li>Farkas, K., Marshall, M., Cooper, D., McDonald, J.E., Malham, S.K., Peters, D.E., Maloney, J.D., Jones, D.L., 2018b. Seasonal and diurnal surveillance of treated and untreated wastewater for human enteric viruses. Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. 25, 33391–33401. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-018-3261-y</li> <li>Farkas, K., McDonald, J.E., Malham, S.K., Jones, D.L., 2018c. Two-Step Concentration of Complex Water Samples for the Detection of Viruses. Methods Protoc. 1. https://doi.org/10.3390/mps1030035</li> <li>Gonzalez, R., Curtis, K., Bivins, A., Bibby, K., Weir, M.H., Yetka, K., Thompson, H., Keeling, D., Mitchell, J., Gonzalez, D., 2020. COVID-19 surveillance in Southeastern Virginia using wastewater-based epidemiology. Water Res. 186, 116296. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2020.116296</li> <li>Goscé, L., Phillips, P.A., Spinola, P., Gupta, D.R.K., Abubakar, P.I., 2020. Modelling SARS-COV2 Spread in London: Approaches to Lift the Lockdown. J. Infect. 81, 260–265. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinf.2020.05.037</li> <li>He, X., Lau, E.H.Y., Wu, P., Deng, X., Wang, J., Hao, X., Lau, Y.C., Wong, J.Y., Guan, Y., Turo, Markowa, K., Kuro, K.,</li></ul>
547 548 549 550 551 552 553 554 555 556 557 558 559 560 561 562 563 564 565 566 567 568 566 567 568 569 570	<ul> <li>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scholenv.2018.04.058</li> <li>Farkas, K., Hillary, L.S., Malham, S.K., McDonald, J.E., Jones, D.L., 2020. Wastewater and public health: the potential of wastewater surveillance for monitoring COVID-19. Curr. Opin. Environ. Sci. Health 17, 14–20. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coesh.2020.06.001</li> <li>Farkas, K., Hillary, L.S., Thorpe, J., Walker, D.I., Lowther, J.A., McDonald, J.E., Malham, S.K., Jones, D.L., 2021. Concentration and Quantification of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in Wastewater Using Polyethylene Glycol-Based Concentration and qRT-PCR. Methods Protoc. 4, 17. https://doi.org/10.3390/mps4010017</li> <li>Farkas, K., Marshall, M., Cooper, D., McDonald, J.E., Malham, S.K., Peters, D.E., Maloney, J.D., Jones, D.L., 2018b. Seasonal and diurnal surveillance of treated and untreated wastewater for human enteric viruses. Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. 25, 33391–33401. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-018-3261-y</li> <li>Farkas, K., McDonald, J.E., Malham, S.K., Jones, D.L., 2018c. Two-Step Concentration of Complex Water Samples for the Detection of Viruses. Methods Protoc. 1. https://doi.org/10.3390/mps1030035</li> <li>Gonzalez, R., Curtis, K., Bivins, A., Bibby, K., Weir, M.H., Yetka, K., Thompson, H., Keeling, D., Mitchell, J., Gonzalez, D., 2020. COVID-19 surveillance in Southeastern Virginia using wastewater-based epidemiology. Water Res. 186, 116296. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2020.116296</li> <li>Goscé, L., Phillips, P.A., Spinola, P., Gupta, D.R.K., Abubakar, P.I., 2020. Modelling SARS-COV2 Spread in London: Approaches to Lift the Lockdown. J. Infect. 81, 260–265. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinf.2020.05.037</li> <li>He, X., Lau, E.H.Y., Wu, P., Deng, X., Wang, J., Hao, X., Lau, Y.C., Wong, J.Y., Guan, Y., Tan, X., Mo, X., Chen, Y., Liao, B., Chen, W., Hu, F., Zhang, Q., Zhong, M., Wu, Y., Tu, X., Mo, X., Chen, Y., Liao, B., Chen, W., Hu, F., Zhang, Q., Zhong, M., Wu, Y., Tu, X., Mo, X., Chen, Y., Liao, B., Chen, W., Hu, F., Zhang, Q., Zhong, M., Wu, Y., Tu, Y. Ku, M., Ku, Y., Kuan,</li></ul>

viral shedding and transmissibility of COVID-19. Nat. Med. 26, 672-675. 572 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-020-0869-5 573 HM Government, 2020. Coronavirus (COVID-19) in the UK - Cases Legacy CSV download 574 [WWW Document]. URL https://coronavirus.data.gov.uk/downloads/csv/coronavirus-575 cases latest.csv (accessed 9.14.20). 576 Iacobucci, G., 2020. Covid-19: UK lockdown is "crucial" to saving lives, say doctors and 577 scientists. BMJ m1204. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.m1204 578 Islam, M.R., Hoque, M.N., Rahman, M.S., Alam, A.S.M.R.U., Akther, M., Puspo, J.A., Akter, 579 S., Sultana, M., Crandall, K.A., Hossain, M.A., 2020. Genome-wide analysis of SARS-580 CoV-2 virus strains circulating worldwide implicates heterogeneity. Sci. Rep. 10, 581 14004. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-70812-6 582 Izquierdo-Lara, R., Elsinga, G., Heijnen, L., Munnink, B.B.O., Schapendonk, C.M.E., 583 Nieuwenhuijse, D., Kon, M., Lu, L., Aarestrup, F.M., Lycett, S., Medema, G., 584 Koopmans, M.P.G., Graaf, M. de, n.d. Early Release - Monitoring SARS-CoV-2 585 Circulation and Diversity through Community Wastewater Sequencing, the Netherlands 586 and Belgium - Volume 27, Number 5-May 2021 - Emerging Infectious Diseases 587 journal - CDC. https://doi.org/10.3201/eid2705.204410 588 Jarvis, C.I., Van Zandvoort, K., Gimma, A., Prem, K., Auzenbergs, M., O'Reilly, K., Medley, 589 G., Emery, J.C., Houben, R.M.G.J., Davies, N., Nightingale, E.S., Flasche, S., Jombart, 590 T., Hellewell, J., Abbott, S., Munday, J.D., Bosse, N.I., Funk, S., Sun, F., Endo, A., 591 Rosello, A., Procter, S.R., Kucharski, A.J., Russell, T.W., Knight, G., Gibbs, H., 592 Leclerc, Q., Quilty, B.J., Diamond, C., Liu, Y., Jit, M., Clifford, S., Pearson, C.A.B., 593 Eggo, R.M., Deol, A.K., Klepac, P., Rubin, G.J., Edmunds, W.J., CMMID COVID-19 594 working group, 2020. Quantifying the impact of physical distance measures on the 595 transmission of COVID-19 in the UK. BMC Med. 18, 124. 596 https://doi.org/10.1186/s12916-020-01597-8 597 Jones, D.L., Baluja, M.Q., Graham, D.W., Corbishley, A., McDonald, J.E., Malham, S.K., 598 Hillary, L.S., Connor, T.R., Gaze, W.H., Moura, I.B., Wilcox, M.H., Farkas, K., 2020. 599 Shedding of SARS-CoV-2 in feces and urine and its potential role in person-to-person 600 transmission and the environment-based spread of COVID-19. Sci. Total Environ. 749, 601 141364. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.141364 602 Martin, J., Klapsa, D., Wilton, T., Zambon, M., Bentley, E., Bujaki, E., Fritzsche, M., Mate, R., 603 Majumdar, M., 2020. Tracking SARS-CoV-2 in Sewage: Evidence of Changes in Virus 604 Variant Predominance during COVID-19 Pandemic. Viruses 12. 605 https://doi.org/10.3390/v12101144 606 Medema, G., Heijnen, L., Elsinga, G., Italiaander, R., Brouwer, A., 2020. Presence of SARS-607 Coronavirus-2 RNA in Sewage and Correlation with Reported COVID-19 Prevalence 608 in the Early Stage of the Epidemic in The Netherlands. Environ. Sci. Technol. Lett. 7, 609 511-516. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.estlett.0c00357 610 Meredith, L.W., Hamilton, W.L., Warne, B., Houldcroft, C.J., Hosmillo, M., Jahun, A.S., 611 Curran, M.D., Parmar, S., Caller, L.G., Caddy, S.L., Khokhar, F.A., Yakovleva, A., 612 Hall, G., Feltwell, T., Forrest, S., Sridhar, S., Weekes, M.P., Baker, S., Brown, N., 613 Moore, E., Popay, A., Roddick, I., Reacher, M., Gouliouris, T., Peacock, S.J., Dougan, 614 G., Török, M.E., Goodfellow, I., 2020. Rapid implementation of SARS-CoV-2 615 sequencing to investigate cases of health-care associated COVID-19: a prospective 616 genomic surveillance study. Lancet Infect. Dis. 20, 1263–1272. 617 https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(20)30562-4 618 Miranda, K.M., Espey, M.G., Wink, D.A., 2001. A Rapid, Simple Spectrophotometric Method 619 for Simultaneous Detection of Nitrate and Nitrite. Nitric Oxide 5, 62-71. 620 https://doi.org/10.1006/niox.2000.0319 621

622	Mulvaney, R.L., 1996. Nitrogen - Inorganic Forms, in: Methods of Soil Analysis, Part 3.
623	Chemical Methods (D.L. Sparks Ed.). SSSA, Madison, WI, USA, pp. 1123-1184.
624	Murphy, J., Riley, J.P., 1962. A modified single solution method for the determination of
625	phosphate in natural waters. Anal. Chim. Acta 27, 31–36.
626	https://doi.org/10.1016/S0003-2670(00)88444-5
627	Nemudryi, A., Nemudraia, A., Wiegand, T., Surya, K., Buyukyoruk, M., Cicha, C.,
628	Vanderwood, K.K., Wilkinson, R., Wiedenheft, B., 2020. Temporal Detection and
629	Phylogenetic Assessment of SARS-CoV-2 in Municipal Wastewater. Cell Rep. Med. 1,
630	100098. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.xcrm.2020.100098
631	Nishiura, H., Kobayashi, T., Miyama, T., Suzuki, A., Jung, S., Hayashi, K., Kinoshita, R.,
632	Yang, Y., Yuan, B., Akhmetzhanov, A.R., Linton, N.M., 2020. Estimation of the
633	asymptomatic ratio of novel coronavirus infections (COVID-19). Int. J. Infect. Dis. 94,
634	154–155. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijid.2020.03.020
635	Office for National Statistics, 2020. Deaths registered weekly in England and Wales,
636	provisional.
637	Ozawa, H., Yoshida, H., Usuku, S., 2019. Environmental Surveillance Can Dynamically Track
638	Ecological Changes in Enteroviruses. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 85, e01604-19,
639	/aem/85/24/AEM.01604-19.atom. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.01604-19
640	Pecson, B.M., Darby, E., Haas, C.N., Amha, Y.M., Bartolo, M., Danielson, R., Dearborn, Y.,
641	Giovanni, G.D., Ferguson, C., Fevig, S., Gaddis, E., Gray, D., Lukasik, G., Mull, B.,
642	Olivas, L., Olivieri, A., Qu, Y., Consortium, SC2 I., 2021. Reproducibility and
643	sensitivity of 36 methods to quantify the SARS-CoV-2 genetic signal in raw
644	wastewater: findings from an interlaboratory methods evaluation in the U.S. Environ.
645	Sci. Water Res. Technol. https://doi.org/10.1039/D0EW00946F
646	Plessis, L. du, McCrone, J.T., Zarebski, A.E., Hill, V., Ruis, C., Gutierrez, B., Raghwani, J.,
647	Ashworth, J., Colquhoun, R., Connor, T.R., Faria, N.R., Jackson, B., Loman, N.J.,
648	O'Toole, Á., Nicholls, S.M., Parag, K.V., Scher, E., Vasylyeva, T.I., Volz, E.M., Watts,
649	A., Bogoch, I.I., Khan, K., Consortium <sup>†</sup> , C19 G.U. (COG-U., Aanensen, D.M.,
650	Kraemer, M.U.G., Rambaut, A., Pybus, O.G., 2021. Establishment and lineage
651	dynamics of the SARS-CoV-2 epidemic in the UK. Science 371, 708–712.
652	https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abf2946
653	Polo, D., Quintela-Baluja, M., Corbishley, A., Jones, D.L., Singer, A.C., Graham, D.W.,
654	Romalde, J.L., 2020. Making waves: Wastewater-based epidemiology for COVID-19 –
655	approaches and challenges for surveillance and prediction. Water Res. 186, 116404.
656	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2020.116404
657	Public Health Wales, 2020. Public Health Wales Rapid COVID-19 surveillance [WWW
658	Document]. URL
659	https://public.tableau.com/profile/public.health.wales.health.protection#!/vizhome/Rapi
660	dCOVID-19virology-Public/Headlinesummary (accessed 9.14.20).
661	R Core Team, 2020. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing.
662	Stachler, E., Akyon, B., de Carvalho, N.A., Ference, C., Bibby, K., 2018. Correlation of
663	crAssphage qPCR Markers with Culturable and Molecular Indicators of Human Fecal
664	Pollution in an Impacted Urban Watershed. Environ. Sci. Technol. 52, 7505–7512.
665	https://doi.org/10.10/21/acs.est.8b00638
666	Tang, A., Tong, Z., Wang, H., Dai, Y., Li, K., Liu, J., Wu, W., Yuan, C., Yu, M., Li, P., Yan,
667	J., 2020. Detection of Novel Coronavirus by RT-PCR in Stool Specimen from
668	Asymptomatic Child, China. Emerg. Infect. Dis. 26, $133'/-1339$ .
669	nttps://doi.org/10.3201/eid2606.200301

- Wang, L., He, W., Yu, X., Hu, D., Bao, M., Liu, H., Zhou, J., Jiang, H., 2020. Coronavirus
   disease 2019 in elderly patients: Characteristics and prognostic factors based on 4-week
   follow-up. J. Infect. 80, 639–645. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinf.2020.03.019
- Westhaus, S., Weber, F.-A., Schiwy, S., Linnemann, V., Brinkmann, M., Widera, M., Greve,
- C., Janke, A., Hollert, H., Wintgens, T., Ciesek, S., 2021. Detection of SARS-CoV-2 in
  raw and treated wastewater in Germany Suitability for COVID-19 surveillance and
  potential transmission risks. Sci. Total Environ. 751, 141750.
- 677 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.141750
- <sup>678</sup> Wickham, H., 2016. ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis. Springer-Verlag New York.
- Zhang, C.H., Schwartz, G.G., 2020. Spatial Disparities in Coronavirus Incidence and Mortality
   in the United States: An Ecological Analysis as of May 2020. J. Rural Health 36, 433–
   445. https://doi.org/10.1111/jrh.12476
- Zuccato, E., Chiabrando, C., Castiglioni, S., Bagnati, R., Fanelli, R., 2008. Estimating
   Community Drug Abuse by Wastewater Analysis. Environ. Health Perspect. 116,
- 684 1027–1032. https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.11022
- 685



688 Fig. 1(a) Temporal trend of the recorded number of COVID-19 infections and deaths at six urban

centres in the UK and the corresponding levels of SARS-CoV-2 in wastewater. The coloured 689 triangles represent levels of SARS-CoV-2 in influent wastewater, with open triangles being below 690 LoD. Grey triangles represent the number of COVID-19 reported deaths and the solid line 691 represents the number of COVID-19 cases reported in each study region. The dashed and dotted 692 horizontal lines represent the assay LoQ (scaled to 1180 genome copies/100ml) and LoD (180 693 genome copies/100 ml) respectively, scaled for a sample volume of 100 mL. The dashed vertical 694 line represents the imposition of UK-wide lockdown measures. (b) Correlation of SARS-CoV-2 695 RNA concentration (CoV) in influent wastewater with COVID-19 related cases and deaths at six 696 urban centres in the UK. Pie charts represent Spearman correlation  $\rho$  where p < 0.05 with 697 fullness indicating degree of correlation and colour representing positive (white) or negative 698 (black) correlations. 699





**Fig. 2** Effects of varying the number of days between wastewater sampling date and clinical testing date (x axis) and the number of days over which to sum cases over (y axis) on the strength of correlation between wastewater SARS-CoV-2 concentration and local authority positive tests. Quantities are shown where a false discovery rate corrected p-value was below 0.05.





Fig. 3 Coverage of the SARS-CoV-genome from reads recovered from wastewater samples. a) 710 Frequency of the proportion of the genome sequenced at  $50 \times depth$  or greater. b) Coverage 711 across the genome, median plotted in dark grey, interquartile ranges in purple and a smoothed 712 *GAM* spline in green. c) Proportion of the genome sequenced relative to the estimated number 713 of genome copies estimated from (RT)-qPCR. Note that sequence was obtained in several 714 samples where the (RT)-qPCR for this locus was negative, reflecting the ability of the protocol 715 to sequence genomes of low copy number. d) The number of SNP and indel sites detected relative 716 to the proportion of the genome that was sequenced at  $50 \times$  or higher. 717



Fig. 4. Comparison of the mean number of SNP/ INDELs sites divided by genome coverage to (a) positive tests in the previous 7 days in the local authority, (b) sample date, (c) WWTP site and (d) log<sub>10</sub> population equivalent. 

#### 725 Supplementary Information

#### 726 Supplementary Results

#### 4.1 Comparison of N1 CDC and E Sarbeco SARS-CoV-2 q(RT-)PCR assays

Significant correlation was found between SARS-CoV-2 RNA quantified by the N1 CDC and 728 E Sarbeco gene markers in the same samples (Spearman's  $\rho = 0.56$ , p < 0.0001), however the 729 LoD and LoQ of the E Sarbeco marker were both 2.1-fold higher than the CDC N1 assay and 730 three times less likely to detect SARS-CoV-2 in wastewater samples (see Supplementary Fig. 2). 731 Westhaus et al. (2021) similarly demonstrated varying sensitivity and specificity for SARS-CoV-732 2 in commonly used q(RT)-PCR assays and so further comparison, optimisation and 733 standardisation is required when expanding monitoring programs and making international 734 comparisons. 735

736

#### *4.2 Detection of SARS-CoV-2 in WWTP influent suspended solids and effluent*

SARS-CoV-2 RNA concentrations were also determined for the pellet from the initial centrifugation step in the first three weeks of the sampling programme. Only three samples (n = 18) produced quantities above the LoD and consequently, only results for SARS-CoV-2 in wastewater supernatants were considered in further analysis. It should be noted that SARS-CoV-2 has been detected in the solid phase in other studies (e.g. primary thickened sludge), however, the quantity of pelletable solids can be highly variable between samples and between treatment sites (Peccia et al., 2020; Westhaus et al., 2021).

Effluent samples were collected as detailed in Supplementary Table 5 but similarly to suspended solids, above one sample (Wrexham, 19/05/20) had detectable quantities over the LoD.

748	Supplementary Table 1. Studies reporting SARS-CoV-2 RNA concentration in wastewater influent. p/a = presence/ absence, ct = Ct values
749	only.

Site	Peak	Reference	
	(gc/ 100 mL)		
Published articles in peer-reviewed jo	urnals		
Netherlands (various)	$2.2 \times 10^{5}$	(Medema et al., 2020)	
England/ Wales (various)	$1.5 \times 10^{4}$	This study	
USA (Montana)	10 <sup>5</sup>	(Nemudryi et al., 2020)	
Italy (Milan/ Rome)	$5.6 \times 10^{3}$	(La Rosa et al., 2021)	
Australia (Brisbane)	$1.2 \times 10^{1}$	(Ahmed et al., 2020)	
India (Gujarat)	$3.5  imes 10^{1}$	(Kumar et al., 2020)	
USA (Louisiana)	$7.5 \times 10^{2}$	(Sherchan et al., 2020)	
Spain (Mercia)	ct	(Randazzo et al., 2020)	
Japan (Yamanashi Prefecture)	$8.2 \times 10^{3}$	(Haramoto et al., 2020)	
France (Montpellier)	$8  imes 10^4$	(Trottier et al., 2020)	
Brazil (Rio de Janeiro)	ct	(Prado et al., 2020)	
Germany (various)	$2 \times 10^{3}$	(Westhaus et al., 2021)	
USA (Virginia)	$10^{4}$	(Gonzalez et al., 2020)	
Reports hosted on preprint servers			
France (Paris)	106	(Wurtzer et al., 2020)	
India (Jaipur)	pa	(Arora et al., 2020)	
Israel (various)	ct	(Bar Or et al., 2020)	
Japan (Ishikawa and Toyama)	$4.4 \times 10^{3}$	(Hata et al., 2020)	
Spain (Ourense)	ct	(Balboa et al., 2020)	
Spain (Barcelona)	$10^{4}$	(Chavarria-Miró et al., 2020)	
Turkey (Istanbul)	$1.8  imes 10^{3}$	(Kocamemi et al., 2020)	
USA (Massachusetts)	$2.4  imes 10^4$	(Wu et al., 2020)	
USA (New York State)	$1.2 \times 10^{4}$	(Green et al., 2020)	

, 15

Primer/Probe	Sequence (5'-3')	Reference	q(RT-)PCR
			parameters
SARS-CoV-2 (N1) Forward primer	GACCCCAAAATCAGCGAAAT	(Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2020)	55 °C – 60 min 95 °C – 5 min
SARS-CoV-2 (N1) Reverse primer	TCTGGTTACTGCCAGTTGAATCTG		45 cycles: 95 °C – 15 s
SARS-CoV-2 (N1) Probe*	[FAM]ACCCCGCATTACGTTTGGTGGACC[MGB]		60 °C – 1 min 65 °C – 1 min
SARS-CoV-2 (E) Forward primer	ACAGGTACGTTAATAGTTAATAGCGT		
SARS-CoV-2 (E) Reverse primer	ATATTGCAGCAGTACGCACACA	(Corman et al., 2020)	
SARS-CoV-2 (E) Probe*	[VIC]-ACACTAGCCATCCTTACTGCGCTTCG-[QSY]		
MNV	CCGCAGGAACGCTCAGCAG	(Kitajima et al., 2010)	
Forward primer			
MNV Reverse primer	GGYTGAATGGGGACGGCCTG		
MNV Probe*	[ABY]ATGAGTGATGGCGCA[QSY]		
CrAssphage_Q56 Forward primer	CAGAAGTACAAACTCCTAAAAAACGTAGAG	(Stachler et al., 2017)	98°C – 5 min 40 cycles:
CrAssphage_Q56 CrAssphage Reverse primer	GATGACCAATAAACAAGCCATTAGC		95°C – 15 s 60°C – 1 min
CrAssphage_Q56 CrAssphage Probe	[FAM]AATAACGATTTACGTGATGTAAC[TAMRA]		

#### **Supplementary Table 2.** q(RT-)PCR and qPCR assay parameters 753

\*Quencher was modified to be compatible with QuantStudio environment.

### **Supplementary Table 3.** R packages used in this work

Package Name	Reference
corrplot	(Wei and Simko, 2017)
cowplot	(Wilke, 2020)
data.table	(Dowle and Srinivasan, 2020)
FSA	(Ogle et al., 2020)
ggpubr	(Kassambara, 2020)
ggrepel	(Slowikowski, 2020)
Hmisc	(Harrell, 2020)
plotrix	(Lemon, 2006)
rnaturalearth	(South, 2017)
rworldmap	(South, 2011)
sf	(Pebesma, 2018)
tidyverse	(Wickham et al., 2019)
Z00	(Zeileis and Grothendieck, 2005)

### **Supplementary Table 4.** Comparison of SARS-CoV-2 with water quality parameters

Water quality indicator	Site effect (Kruskal-	Spearman's correlation with SARS-		
	Wallis <i>p</i> -value)	CoV-2 wastewater concentration ( p-		
		value)		
Daily flow/ population	< 2.2e-16	0.1108		
equivalent				
NH4 <sup>+</sup>	4.402e-05	0.8238		
MRP	0.0006494	0.1462		
pН	0.004882	0.8141		
EC	7.178e-08	0.5206		
NO <sub>3</sub> -	0.003202	0.06433		

### <sup>761</sup> Supplementary Table 5. Genome copies of SARS-CoV-2 in effluent (ND = no detection). All

Site	Sampling Date	Effluent mean SARS-CoV-2
		concentration (gc/ $\mu$ L of RNA extract)
Gwynedd	4/5/20	0.51125
Liverpool	11/5/20	ND
Manchester	11/5/20	ND
The Wirral	11/5/20	ND
Gwynedd	18/05/20	ND
Liverpool	18/05/20	ND
Manchester	18/05/29	0.4995
The Wirral	18/05/20	0.492
Wrexham	19/05/20	3.416
Cardiff	27/05/20	1.1365
Liverpool	26/05/20	0.687
Manchester	26/05/20	0.857
The Wirral	26/05/20	ND
Cardiff	4/6/20	0.08925
Liverpool	1/6/20	ND
Manchester	1/6/20	0.405
The Wirral	1/6/20	0.1385
Wrexham	2/6/20	1.4115
Liverpool	8/6/20	0.0965
Manchester	8/6/20	ND
The Wirral	8/6/20	ND
Wrexham	9/6/20	0.198

values except one were below the LoD (1.7 gc/ $\mu$ l) and all were below the LoQ (11.8 gc/ $\mu$ l).

Supplementary Table 6. Number of unique SNP/INDEL sites per sample. Of those
 SNP/INDELs we report the number and percentage of sites that match the locations of
 SNP/INDELs found from clinical samples and have the expected variant recorded.

Sample	Number of	Number of	Number of	Percentage	Percentage
	unique	sites that	sites that	of sites that	of matching
	SNP/INDEL	match	match the	match	sites that
	sites	locations in	expected	locations in	match
		clinical	SNP/INDEL	clinical	expected
		samples		samples	base/INDEL
			samples		samples
					samples
C1WK1	15	11	5	73.33%	45.45%
C2WK1	16	8	5	50.00%	62.50%
D1WK1	42	18	13	42.86%	72.22%
D2WK1	19	8	8	42.11%	100.00%
F1WK1	13	8	8	61.54%	100.00%
F2WK1	37	20	14	54.05%	70.00%
L1WK1	27	15	15	55.56%	100.00%
L2WK1	35	19	11	54.29%	57.89%
M1WK1	17	11	8	64.71%	72.73%
M2WK1	14	8	6	57.14%	75.00%
T1WK1	22	14	9	63.64%	64.29%
T2WK1	10	6	5	60.00%	83.33%
C1WK2	26	14	9	53.85%	64.29%
C2WK2	17	9	9	52.94%	100.00%
D1WK2	19	11	7	57.89%	63.64%
D2WK2	32	20	16	62.50%	80.00%
F1WK2	20	13	7	65.00%	53.85%
F2WK2	23	11	8	47.83%	72.73%
L1WK2	14	9	7	64.29%	77.78%
L2WK2	47	27	22	57.45%	81.48%
M1WK2	29	15	9	51.72%	60.00%
M2WK2	18	8	5	44.44%	62.50%
T1WK2	15	11	5	73.33%	45.45%
T2WK2	21	15	10	71.43%	66.67%
C1WK3	14	10	8	71.43%	80.00%
C2WK3	28	13	8	46.43%	61.54%
D1WK3	24	13	12	54.17%	92.31%
D2WK3	18	10	6	55.56%	60.00%
F1WK3	16	10	7	62.50%	70.00%
F2WK3	18	9	5	50.00%	55.56%
L1WK3	25	17	11	68.00%	64.71%
L2WK3	43	24	16	55.81%	66.67%
M1WK3	17	4	4	23 53%	100 00%

M2WK3	15	8	6	53.33%	75.00%
T1WK3	17	9	6	52.94%	66.67%
T2WK3	26	16	14	61.54%	87.50%
C1WK4	18	10	7	55.56%	70.00%
C2WK4	15	12	8	80.00%	66.67%
D1WK4	21	11	8	52.38%	72.73%
D2WK4	29	18	15	62.07%	83.33%
F1WK4	27	15	13	55.56%	86.67%
F2WK4	18	10	10	55.56%	100.00%
L1WK4	7	3	3	42.86%	100.00%
L2WK4	20	8	5	40.00%	62.50%
M1WK4	20	11	10	55.00%	90.91%
M2WK4	21	13	8	61.90%	61.54%
T1WK4	15	9	5	60.00%	55.56%
T2WK4	22	15	11	68.18%	73.33%
C1WK5	23	12	10	52.17%	83.33%
C2WK5	25	14	10	56.00%	71.43%
D1WK5	27	14	12	51.85%	85.71%
D2WK5	31	16	11	51.61%	68.75%
F1WK5	30	13	9	43.33%	69.23%
F2WK5	22	12	9	54.55%	75.00%
L1WK5	25	16	14	64.00%	87.50%
L2WK5	19	11	10	57.89%	90.91%
M1WK5	19	12	8	63.16%	66.67%
M2WK5	13	10	8	76.92%	80.00%
T1WK5	23	12	10	52.17%	83.33%
T2WK5	12	4	3	33.33%	75.00%
C1WK6	22	7	5	31.82%	71.43%
C2WK6	19	12	10	63.16%	83.33%
D1WK6	13	6	5	46.15%	83.33%
D2WK6	23	13	8	56.52%	61.54%
F1WK6	22	6	5	27.27%	83.33%
F2WK6	33	16	15	48.48%	93.75%
L1WK6	19	11	9	57.89%	81.82%
L2WK6	15	7	6	46.67%	85.71%
M1WK6	23	13	12	56.52%	92.31%
M2WK6	11	7	5	63.64%	71.43%
T1WK6	22	10	9	45.45%	90.00%
T2WK6	17	9	7	52.94%	77.78%
C1WK7	11	6	6	54.55%	100.00%
C2WK7	17	7	6	41.18%	85.71%
D1WK7	17	8	6	47.06%	75.00%
D2WK7	21	8	6	38.10%	75.00%

F1WK7	20	12	10	60.00%	83.33%
F2WK7	26	12	9	46.15%	75.00%
L1WK7	16	13	12	81.25%	92.31%
L2WK7	21	14	13	66.67%	92.86%
M1WK7	16	12	8	75.00%	66.67%
M2WK7	22	12	10	54.55%	83.33%
T1WK7	30	16	11	53.33%	68.75%
T2WK7	27	10	7	37.04%	70.00%
F1WK13	23	15	11	65.22%	73.33%
F2WK13	43	27	20	62.79%	74.07%
G1WK13	15	11	8	73.33%	72.73%
G2WK13	11	5	5	45.45%	100.00%
H1WK13	8	4	4	50.00%	100.00%
H2WK13	16	11	9	68.75%	81.82%
T1WK13	14	5	4	35.71%	80.00%
T2WK13	7	3	3	42.86%	100.00%



Supplementary Fig. 1 General (a) and specific (b) locations of wastewater treatment sites
 surveyed in this study and (c) the equivalent population sizes served. All WWTPs combine
 domestic, trade and stormwater



Supplementary Fig. 2. Proportion of tests that were above LoQ and LoD for (a) SARS-CoV-2
N1-gene q(RT-)PCR assay split by site and (b) samples assayed with both N1 and E gene
markers.



**Supplementary Fig. 3** Correlations of SARS-CoV-2 genome copies 100 ml<sup>-1</sup> of wastewater with local authority daily positive tests and COVID-19 related deaths per 100,000. SARS-CoV-2 wastewater concentrations were also normalised by daily flow (\*) and tests/ cases adjusted to take account of differences between sewershed population equivalents and local authority populations. These corrections had no substantial effect on correlations with only Manchester seeing a slight decrease in correlation between SARS-CoV-2 wastewater concentrations and tests/ deaths when corrected for the population size mismatch.

787 **a** 



**b** 



c



Supplementary Fig. 4. Comparisons of SARS-CoV-2 RNA wastewater concentration with
daily positive tests (a), COVID-19 related deaths (b) and between tests and deaths (c).



797 Supplementary Fig. 5 Site-specific variation in daily wastewater flow-rate (normalised by

*population equivalent), chemical indicators [NH*<sup>4+</sup>, molybdate-reactive phosphate (MRP), pH,

*Electrical Conductivity (EC)] and a marker virus for human faecal loading (crAssphage) at six* 

<sup>800</sup> urban wastewater treatment facilities over the course of the study. Boxes are bounded on the

first and third quartiles; horizontal lines denote medians. Black dots are outliers beyond the

whiskers, which denote  $1.5 \times$  the interquartile range.

803

#### 804 Supplemental References

- Ahmed, W., Angel, N., Edson, J., Bibby, K., Bivins, A., O'Brien, J.W., Choi, P.M., Kitajima,
  M., Simpson, S.L., Li, J., Tscharke, B., Verhagen, R., Smith, W.J.M., Zaugg, J.,
  Dierens, L., Hugenholtz, P., Thomas, K.V., Mueller, J.F., 2020. First confirmed
  detection of SARS-CoV-2 in untreated wastewater in Australia: A proof of concept for
  the wastewater surveillance of COVID-19 in the community. Sci. Total Environ. 728,
  138764. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.138764
- Arora, S., Nag, A., Sethi, J., Rajvanshi, J., Saxena, S., Shrivastava, S.K., Gupta, A.B., 2020.
  Sewage surveillance for the presence of SARS-CoV-2 genome as a useful wastewater
  based epidemiology (WBE) tracking tool in India. medRxiv 2020.06.18.20135277.
  https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.18.20135277
- Balboa, S., Mauricio-Iglesias, M., Rodríguez, S., Martínez-Lamas, L., Vasallo, F.J., Regueiro,
   B., Lema, J.M., 2020. The fate of SARS-CoV-2 in wastewater treatment plants points

- 817out the sludge line as a suitable spot for incidence monitoring. medRxiv.818https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.25.20112706
- Bar Or, I., Yaniv, K., Shagan, M., Ozer, E., Erster, O., Mendelson, E., Mannasse, B., Shirazi,
  R., Kramarsky-Winter, E., Nir, O., Abu-Ali, H., Ronen, Z., Rinott, E., Lewis, Y.E.,
  Friedler, E.F., Paitan, Y., Bitkover, E., Berchenko, Y., Kushmaro, A., 2020. Regressing
- SARS-CoV-2 sewage measurements onto COVID-19 burden in the population: a proof of-concept for quantitative environmental surveillance. medRxiv.
- https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.26.20073569
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2020. Research Use Only 2019-Novel
   Coronavirus (2019-nCoV) Real-time RT-PCR Primers and Probes [WWW Document].
   URL https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/lab/rt-pcr-panel-primer-probes.html
   (accessed 10.14.20).
- Chavarria-Miró, G., Anfruns-Estrada, E., Guix, S., Paraira, M., Galofré, B., Sáanchez, G.,
   Pintó, R., Bosch, A., 2020. Sentinel surveillance of SARS-CoV-2 in wastewater
   anticipates the occurrence of COVID-19 cases. medRxiv.
   https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.13.20129627
- Corman, V.M., Landt, O., Kaiser, M., Molenkamp, R., Meijer, A., Chu, D.K., Bleicker, T.,
  Brünink, S., Schneider, J., Schmidt, M.L., Mulders, D.G., Haagmans, B.L., Veer, B.
  van der, Brink, S. van den, Wijsman, L., Goderski, G., Romette, J.-L., Ellis, J.,
  Zambon, M., Peiris, M., Goossens, H., Reusken, C., Koopmans, M.P., Drosten, C.,
  2020. Detection of 2019 novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) by real-time RT-PCR.
- Eurosurveillance 25, 2000045. https://doi.org/10.2807/1560-
- 839 7917.ES.2020.25.3.2000045
- Dowle, M., Srinivasan, A., 2020. data.table: Extension of `data.frame`.
- Gonzalez, R., Curtis, K., Bivins, A., Bibby, K., Weir, M.H., Yetka, K., Thompson, H., Keeling,
  D., Mitchell, J., Gonzalez, D., 2020. COVID-19 surveillance in Southeastern Virginia
  using wastewater-based epidemiology. Water Res. 186, 116296.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2020.116296
- Green, H., Wilder, M., Middleton, F.A., Collins, M., Fenty, A., Gentile, K., Kmush, B., Zeng,
  T., Larsen, D.A., 2020. Quantification of SARS-CoV-2 and cross-assembly phage
  (crAssphage) from wastewater to monitor coronavirus transmission within
  communities. medRxiv. https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.21.20109181
- Haramoto, E., Malla, B., Thakali, O., Kitajima, M., 2020. First environmental surveillance for
   the presence of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in wastewater and river water in Japan. Sci. Total
   Environ. 737, 140405. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.140405
- Harrell, F.E.Jr., 2020. Hmisc: Harrell Miscellaneous.
- Hata, A., Honda, R., Hara-Yamamura, H., Meuchi, Y., 2020. Detection of SARS-CoV-2 in
  wastewater in Japan by multiple molecular assays-implication for wastewater-based
  epidemiology (WBE). medRxiv. https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.09.20126417
- Kassambara, A., 2020. ggpubr: "ggplot2" Based Publication Ready Plots.
- Kitajima, M., Oka, T., Takagi, H., Tohya, Y., Katayama, H., Takeda, N., Katayama, K., 2010.
  Development and application of a broadly reactive real-time reverse transcription-PCR
  assay for detection of murine noroviruses. J. Virol. Methods 169, 269–273.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jviromet.2010.07.018
- Kocamemi, B.A., Kurt, H., Hacioglu, S., Yarali, C., Saatci, A.M., Pakdemirli, B., 2020. First
   Data-Set on SARS-CoV-2 Detection for Istanbul Wastewaters in Turkey. medRxiv
   2020.05.03.20089417. https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.03.20089417

- Kumar, M., Patel, A.K., Shah, A.V., Raval, J., Rajpara, N., Joshi, M., Joshi, C.G., 2020. First
  proof of the capability of wastewater surveillance for COVID-19 in India through
  detection of genetic material of SARS-CoV-2. Sci. Total Environ. 746, 141326.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.141326
- La Rosa, G., Mancini, P., Bonanno Ferraro, G., Veneri, C., Iaconelli, M., Bonadonna, L.,
  Lucentini, L., Suffredini, E., 2021. SARS-CoV-2 has been circulating in northern Italy
  since December 2019: Evidence from environmental monitoring. Sci. Total Environ.
  750, 141711. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.141711
- Lemon, J., 2006. Plotrix: a package in the red light district of R. R-News 6, 8–12.
- Medema, G., Heijnen, L., Elsinga, G., Italiaander, R., Brouwer, A., 2020. Presence of SARS Coronavirus-2 RNA in Sewage and Correlation with Reported COVID-19 Prevalence
   in the Early Stage of the Epidemic in The Netherlands. Environ. Sci. Technol. Lett. 7,
   511–516. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.estlett.0c00357
- Nemudryi, A., Nemudraia, A., Wiegand, T., Surya, K., Buyukyoruk, M., Cicha, C.,
   Vanderwood, K.K., Wilkinson, R., Wiedenheft, B., 2020. Temporal Detection and
   Phylogenetic Assessment of SARS-CoV-2 in Municipal Wastewater. Cell Rep. Med. 1,
   100098. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.xcrm.2020.100098
- <sup>881</sup> Ogle, D.H., Wheeler, P., Dinno, A., 2020. FSA: Fisheries Stock Analysis.
- Pebesma, E., 2018. Simple Features for R: Standardized Support for Spatial Vector Data. R J.
   10, 439–446. https://doi.org/10.32614/RJ-2018-009
- Peccia, J., Zulli, A., Brackney, D.E., Grubaugh, N.D., Kaplan, E.H., Casanovas-Massana, A.,
  Ko, A.I., Malik, A.A., Wang, D., Wang, M., Warren, J.L., Weinberger, D.M., Arnold,
  W., Omer, S.B., 2020. Measurement of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in wastewater tracks
  community infection dynamics. Nat. Biotechnol. 38, 1164–1167.
  https://doi.org/10.1038/s41587-020-0684-z
- Prado, T., Fumian, T.M., Mannarino, C.F., Maranhão, A.G., Siqueira, M.M., Miagostovich,
  M.P., Prado, T., Fumian, T.M., Mannarino, C.F., Maranhão, A.G., Siqueira, M.M.,
  Miagostovich, M.P., 2020. Preliminary results of SARS-CoV-2 detection in sewerage
  system in Niterói municipality, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. Mem. Inst. Oswaldo Cruz 115.
  https://doi.org/10.1590/0074-02760200196
- Randazzo, W., Truchado, P., Cuevas-Ferrando, E., Simón, P., Allende, A., Sánchez, G., 2020.
   SARS-CoV-2 RNA in wastewater anticipated COVID-19 occurrence in a low
   prevalence area. Water Res. 181, 115942. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2020.115942
- Rimoldi, S.G., Stefani, F., Gigantiello, A., Polesello, S., Comandatore, F., Mileto, D., Maresca,
   M., Longobardi, C., Mancon, A., Romeri, F., Pagani, C., Cappelli, F., Roscioli, C.,
   Moja, L., Gismondo, M.R., Salerno, F., 2020. Presence and infectivity of SARS-CoV-2
   virus in wastewaters and rivers. Sci. Total Environ. 744, 140911.
- 901 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.140911
- Sherchan, S.P., Shahin, S., Ward, L.M., Tandukar, S., Aw, T.G., Schmitz, B., Ahmed, W.,
   Kitajima, M., 2020. First detection of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in wastewater in North
   America: A study in Louisiana, USA. Sci. Total Environ. 743, 140621.
   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.140621
- Slowikowski, K., 2020. ggrepel: Automatically Position Non-Overlapping Text Labels with "ggplot2."
- South, A., 2017. rnaturalearth: World Map Data from Natural Earth.
- South, A., 2011. rworldmap: A New R package for Mapping Global Data. R J. 3, 35–43.
- Stachler, E., Kelty, C., Sivaganesan, M., Li, X., Bibby, K., Shanks, O.C., 2017. Quantitative
- 911CrAssphage PCR Assays for Human Fecal Pollution Measurement. Environ. Sci.912Technol. 51, 9146–9154. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.7b02703

- Trottier, J., Darques, R., Ait Mouheb, N., Partiot, E., Bakhache, W., Deffieu, M.S., Gaudin, R.,
   2020. Post-lockdown detection of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in the wastewater of Montpellier,
   France. One Health 10, 100157. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.onehlt.2020.100157
- <sup>916</sup> Wei, T., Simko, V., 2017. R package "corrplot": Visualization of a Correlation Matrix.
- <sup>917</sup> Westhaus, S., Weber, F.-A., Schiwy, S., Linnemann, V., Brinkmann, M., Widera, M., Greve,
- C., Janke, A., Hollert, H., Wintgens, T., Ciesek, S., 2021. Detection of SARS-CoV-2 in
   raw and treated wastewater in Germany Suitability for COVID-19 surveillance and
   potential transmission risks. Sci. Total Environ. 751, 141750.
- 921 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.141750
- Wickham, H., Averick, M., Bryan, J., Chang, W., McGowan, L.D., François, R., Grolemund,
  G., Hayes, A., Henry, L., Hester, J., Kuhn, M., Pedersen, T.L., Miller, E., Bache, S.M.,
  Müller, K., Ooms, J., Robinson, D., Seidel, D.P., Spinu, V., Takahashi, K., Vaughan,
  D., Wilke, C., Woo, K., Yutani, H., 2019. Welcome to the tidyverse. J. Open Source
  Softw. 4, 1686. https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.01686
- 927 Wilke, C.O., 2020. cowplot: Streamlined Plot Theme and Plot Annotations for "ggplot2."
- Wu, F., Xiao, A., Zhang, J., Gu, X., Lee, W.L., Kauffman, K., Hanage, W., Matus, M., Ghaeli,
  N., Endo, N., Duvallet, C., Moniz, K., Erickson, T., Chai, P., Thompson, J., Alm, E.,
  2020. SARS-CoV-2 titers in wastewater are higher than expected from clinically
  confirmed cases. medRxiv 2020.04.05.20051540.
  https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.05.20051540
- Wurtzer, S., Marechal, V., Mouchel, J.-M., Maday, Y., Teyssou, R., Richard, E., Almayrac,
   J.L., Moulin, L., 2020. Evaluation of lockdown impact on SARS-CoV-2 dynamics
   through viral genome quantification in Paris wastewaters.
   https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.12.20062679
- Zeileis, A., Grothendieck, G., 2005. zoo: S3 Infrastructure for Regular and Irregular Time
   Series. J. Stat. Softw. 14, 1–27. https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v014.i06
- 939

941

942

943