

Exploring controls of the early and stepped deglaciation on the western margin of the British Irish Ice Sheet.

Benetti, Sara; Chiverrell, Richard C.; Ó Cofaigh, Colm; Burke, Matthew; Medialdea, Alicia; Small, David; Ballantyne, Colin; Bateman, Mark; Callard, Sarah Louise; Wilson, Peter; Fabel, Derek; Clark, Chris; Arosio, Riccardo; Bradley, Sarah L.; Dunlop, Paul; Ely, Jeremy C.; Gales, Jenny; Livingstone, Stephen J.; Moreton, Steven; Purcell, Catriona; Saher, Margot; Schiele, Kevin; Van Landeghem, Katrien; Weilbach, Kasper

Journal of Quaternary Science

DOI:
[10.1002/jqs.3315](https://doi.org/10.1002/jqs.3315)

Published: 01/07/2021

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Cyswllt i'r cyhoeddiad / Link to publication](#)

Dyfyniad o'r fersiwn a gyhoeddwyd / Citation for published version (APA):

Benetti, S., Chiverrell, R. C., Ó Cofaigh, C., Burke, M., Medialdea, A., Small, D., Ballantyne, C., Bateman, M., Callard, S. L., Wilson, P., Fabel, D., Clark, C., Arosio, R., Bradley, S. L., Dunlop, P., Ely, J. C., Gales, J., Livingstone, S. J., Moreton, S., ... Weilbach, K. (2021). Exploring controls of the early and stepped deglaciation on the western margin of the British Irish Ice Sheet. *Journal of Quaternary Science*, 36(5), 833-870. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jqs.3315>

Hawliau Cyffredinol / General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal ?

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Exploring controls of the early and stepped deglaciation on the western margin of the British Irish Ice Sheet

SARA BENETTI,^{1*} RICHARD C. CHIVERRELL,² COLM Ó COFAIGH,³ MATT BURKE,² ALICIA MEDIALDEA,⁴ DAVID SMALL,³ COLIN BALLANTYNE,⁵ MARK D. BATEMAN,⁴ S. LOUISE CALLARD,⁶ PETER WILSON,¹ DEREK FABEL,⁷ CHRIS D. CLARK,⁴ RICCARDO AROSIO,⁸ SARAH BRADLEY,⁴ PAUL DUNLOP,¹ JEREMY C. ELY,⁴ JENNY GALES,⁹ STEPHEN J. LIVINGSTONE,⁴ STEVEN G. MORETON,¹⁰ CATRIONA PURCELL,¹¹ MARGOT SAHER,¹¹ KEVIN SCHIELE,¹ KATRIEN VAN LANDEGHEM¹¹ and KASPER WEILBACH³

¹School of Geography and Environmental Sciences, Ulster University, Coleraine, UK

²School of Environmental Sciences, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, UK

³Department of Geography, Durham University, Durham, UK

⁴Department of Geography, University of Sheffield, Sheffield, UK

⁵School of Geography and Sustainable Development, University of St. Andrews, UK

⁶School of Geography, Politics and Sociology, University of Newcastle, Newcastle, UK

⁷Scottish Universities Environmental Research Centre, East Kilbride, UK

⁸Scottish Association for Marine Science, Oban, UK

⁹National Oceanography Centre, Southampton, UK

¹⁰NERC Radiocarbon Laboratory, East Kilbride, UK

¹¹School of Ocean Sciences, Bangor University, Menai, Bridge, UK

Received 7 September 2020; Revised 15 March 2021; Accepted 18 March 2021

ABSTRACT: New optically stimulated luminescence dating and Bayesian models integrating all legacy and BRITICE-CHRONO geochronology facilitated exploration of the controls on the deglaciation of two former sectors of the British–Irish Ice Sheet, the Donegal Bay (DBIS) and Malin Sea ice-streams (MSIS). Shelf-edge glaciation occurred ~27 ka, before the global Last Glacial Maximum, and shelf-wide retreat began 26–26.5 ka at a rate of ~18.7–20.7 m a⁻¹. MSIS grounding zone wedges and DBIS recessional moraines show episodic retreat punctuated by prolonged still-stands. By ~23–22 ka the outer shelf (~25 000 km²) was free of grounded ice. After this time, MSIS retreat was faster (~20 m a⁻¹ vs. ~2–6 m a⁻¹ of DBIS). Separation of Irish and Scottish ice sources occurred ~20–19.5 ka, leaving an autonomous Donegal ice dome. Inner Malin shelf deglaciation followed the submarine troughs reaching the Hebridean coast ~19 ka. DBIS retreat formed the extensive complex of moraines in outer Donegal Bay at 20.5–19 ka. DBIS retreated on land by ~17–16 ka. Isolated ice caps in Scotland and Ireland persisted until ~14.5 ka. Early retreat of this marine-terminating margin is best explained by local ice loading increasing water depths and promoting calving ice losses rather than by changes in global temperatures. Topographical controls governed the differences between the ice-stream retreat from mid-shelf to the coast.

© 2021 The Authors *Journal of Quaternary Science* Published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd.

KEYWORDS: deglaciation; Donegal; ice streams; Malin Sea; retreat rate

Introduction

The assessment of the rate and style of ice sheet retreat closely relates to many globally important scientific and socio-economic questions (IPCC, 2013). Constraining the pace of ice-sheet retreat for both past and present ice sheets can improve our understanding of how large ice masses respond to local and global, internal and external forcing, such as glaciological, climatic and oceanographic changes. Once insights gained from such knowledge are incorporated into ice sheet models, they can improve the predictions on how modern ice sheets will evolve with the current changing climate, ocean temperature and sea level (Rignot

et al., 2010; Joughin *et al.*, 2014). The behaviour of ice streams is of interest as they are a major regulator of the mass balance of ice sheets (Stokes and Clark, 1999, 2001; Payne *et al.*, 2004; Roberts *et al.*, 2010; Stokes, 2018). At ice stream termini, the reduction or loss of buttressing ice shelves can lead to thinning of upstream-based ice and the acceleration of ice flow and this behaviour has been recorded in modern ice streams in Greenland and West Antarctica (Krabill *et al.*, 2000, 2004; Rignot *et al.*, 2004a; Pritchard *et al.*, 2009; Sonntag *et al.*, 2012). Additionally, ice streams react more readily than other parts of the ice margin to any perturbation in ocean circulation, atmospheric temperature and sea-ice distribution because of both thermal (melting) and mechanical (floatation and calving) stressors that occur along the margins of marine-terminating ice sheets (e.g. Hulbe *et al.*, 2004; Payne *et al.*, 2004; Scambos *et al.*, 2004; Shepherd *et al.*, 2004; Joughin *et al.*, 2012).

While modern ice streams are being extensively studied, the temporal resolution of such studies is limited. Numerical–glaciological, isostatic and palaeoclimatic models all require

*Correspondence: Sara Benetti, as above.

E-mail: s.benetti@ulster.ac.uk

Present Address: Alicia Medialdea, National Research Centre on Human Evolution (CENIEH), Burgos, Spain.

Present Address: Riccardo Arosio, Centre for Environment, Fisheries and Aquaculture Science, Lowestoft, NR33 0HT, UK.

Present Address: Jenny Gales, School of Biological & Marine Sciences, Plymouth University, UK.

empirical constraints on past ice-sheet extent and dynamics either to direct their formulation or for the testing of model outputs (Hughes *et al.*, 2016). Such information over centuries and millennia can only come from palaeo-analogues, where a complete record of deglaciation may be better visible and quantifiable (Svendsen *et al.*, 2004; Bradwell *et al.*, 2008; Chiverrell *et al.*, 2013; Hughes *et al.*, 2016). The last British Irish Ice Sheet (BIIS) has been proposed as a potential analogue for sensitive areas of modern ice sheets (Clark *et al.*, 2012). The BIIS, at several times in the past, had an abundance of marine-terminating ice, which would have been sensitive to both climatic and oceanic forcing, and was drained by radiating ice streams, which were probably critical to BIIS dynamics and overall mass balance during retreat (e.g. Boulton, 1990; Rignot *et al.*, 2004b; Boulton and Hagdorn, 2006; Sole *et al.*, 2008; Hubbard *et al.*, 2009; Pritchard *et al.*, 2009).

The Malin Sea includes the continental shelf to the west of Scotland, often referred to as the Malin Shelf, and the portion of the continental shelf northwest of Ireland that includes Donegal Bay (Fig. 1). The Malin Sea received flows from two large convergent ice masses derived from the Hebridean Islands, mainland Scotland, the North Channel and the north of Ireland. Ice radiating from the mountains of Donegal in northwest Ireland formed an independent centre of ice dispersal that not only fed ice towards the north, but also west and southwest into Donegal Bay (Fig. 1 inset). Ice in Donegal Bay was also fed from the lowland ice domes through Counties Mayo and Sligo (Greenwood and Clark, 2009a, 2009b). The former ice masses occupying the Malin Shelf and Donegal Bay meet the fundamental geomorphological criteria for ice streams (Stokes and Clark, 1999, 2001; Stokes, 2018) in the form of convergent flows and ubiquitous elongated bedforms (Dunlop *et al.*, 2010;




Figure 1. Main map presenting an overview of the study area showing the west coast of Scotland and northwest of Ireland with the locations of legacy and BRITICE-CHRONO samples used in this paper, as well as other locations mentioned in the text. Background bathymetry and topography were downloaded from the EMODnet data services (<https://portal.emodnet-bathymetry.eu/services/>) and are presented here as shaded-relief with 20x vertical exaggeration to visualize specifically the geomorphological features on the shelf (colour scale is only indicative due to processing). Inset: the proposed maximum ice extent, the outline of the Donegal-Barra Fan (DBF) and mapped moraines and grounding-zone wedges (GZWs) in the region (from BRITICE Glacial Map v2.0; Clark *et al.*, 2018 and references therein); the location of ice streams, main ice flow directions and ice flow divides (see Greenwood and Clark, 2009a, 2009b); and the location of BRITICE-CHRONO transects 6 (Donegal Bay) and 7 (Malin Sea) discussed in this paper. [Color figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

Finlayson *et al.*, 2014; Dove *et al.*, 2015). The ice on the Malin Shelf has attracted a variety of names, including Barra Fan Ice Stream (Scourse *et al.*, 2009; Dunlop *et al.*, 2010; Callard *et al.*, 2018), Hebrides Ice Stream (Dove *et al.*, 2015; Small *et al.*, 2017a) and Malin Sea Ice Stream (Wilson *et al.*, 2019). In addition, sectors of the ice mass have also been referred to separately as other names including the North Channel Ice Stream (Finlayson *et al.*, 2010, 2014; Hughes *et al.*, 2014).

Here, this marine-terminating ice stream is termed the Malin Sea Ice Stream (MSIS); it drained between 5 and 10% of the BIIS and fed the southernmost glaciogenic fan on the European continental margin, as well as largest sedimentary depocentre of the BIIS, the Donegal-Barra Fan (DBF – Fig. 1) (Knutz *et al.*, 2001; Howe *et al.*, 2012; Dove *et al.*, 2015). Deep-water cores suggest that this portion of the ice sheet responded quickly to millennial-scale climate oscillations suggesting a strong link between climate cycles and glaciological processes (Knutz *et al.*, 2001; Scourse *et al.*, 2009; Hibbert *et al.*, 2010). Its sensitivity to climatic and oceanographic changes is also captured by numerical modelling experiments (Hubbard *et al.*, 2009; Patton *et al.*, 2013a, 2013b, 2016, 2017). The other marine-terminating ice stream in the southern portion of the Malin Sea was fed by ice flowing through Donegal Bay, and has surprisingly never been named and is referred to here as the Donegal Bay Ice Stream (DBIS). Less is known about the contribution of this ice stream to the evolution of the continental margin as there is no distinct glaciogenic fan on this part of the margin similar to the DBF, but there are a series of well-developed canyon systems, whose evolution was driven by meltwater and sediment delivery at the shelf edge during the stages of ice advance and retreat (Benetti *et al.*, 2010; Sacchetti *et al.*, 2012).

Reconstructions of the BIIS have relied heavily on onshore mapping of landforms in representations of former ice limits and ice flow directions (Sissons, 1980; Ballantyne, 1989; Bennett and Boulton, 1993; Clark *et al.*, 2004). More recently, advances in offshore geomorphological mapping, through the use of bathymetric and seismic data, have allowed the identification of landforms associated with ice extension and retreat on the continental shelf of the Malin Sea (Bradwell *et al.*, 2008; Benetti *et al.*, 2010; Dunlop *et al.*, 2010; Ó Cofaigh *et al.*, 2012, 2019; Howe *et al.*, 2012; Dove *et al.*, 2015; Arosio *et al.*, 2018b; Callard *et al.*, 2018). The marine realm has provided a better characterization of the style of retreat and of the changes in ice streaming during deglaciation. The dating of glacial and glacially derived landforms and sediments, in both marine and terrestrial settings, carried out as part of the NERC-funded BRITICE-CHRONO project, has more recently provided key datasets, which can allow a more refined chronological reconstruction of the MSIS and DBIS behaviour during the last glaciation. Many of these results, including full details of age controls and their stratigraphic and landform contexts, have been reported in a series of publications (Small *et al.*, 2016, 2017a; Schiele, 2017; Small *et al.*, 2017aet al.; Arosio *et al.*, 2018a; Callard *et al.*, 2018; Ó Cofaigh *et al.*, 2019; Wilson *et al.*, 2019; Tarlati *et al.*, 2020). In the context of BRITICE-CHRONO, these two components of the BIIS were referred to as Transect 6 for Donegal Bay and Transect 7 for the Malin Shelf (Fig. 1 inset). Here, these geochronological reconstructions are brought together for the first time including both the offshore and onshore data by (i) presenting the Bayesian analysis of all the geochronology, including radiocarbon, optically stimulated luminescence and cosmogenic ages; (ii) using Bayesian analysis to integrate all ages produced for these former ice streams; (iii) providing isochrones of ice margin retreat and

allowing calculation of rates of retreat for both ice streams; (iv) exploring the changing dynamics with retreat including the separation of ‘Scottish’ and ‘Irish’ ice masses; and (v) assessing the interplay of forcing factors in regulating the pace of ice stream retreat and ultimately deglaciation.

Data and methods

Context and published ages

Our aim was to constrain the timing of ice margin retreat for two adjacent marine-terminating sectors of the western BIIS. This challenge was met by compiling and extending an empirical dataset of quality-controlled absolute age measurements for the DBIS and the MSIS. The BRITICE-CHRONO approach was to use sites with good stratigraphical or geomorphological integrity and incorporate the age information within Bayesian chronosequence models for the differing ice streams or glaciers (e.g. Chiverrell *et al.*, 2013). All published and new deglaciation ages are presented here for the DBIS and MSIS, with all ages subject to a triage system assessed according to quality criteria (Small *et al.*, 2017b), and here only ages deemed of good quality (green and amber) are included. A few pre-Last Glacial Maximum (LGM) ages (flagged as problematic in a quality assessment of the value of legacy ages for constraining deglaciation) (Small *et al.*, 2017b) were nonetheless used as indicators of previous ice-free conditions (Fig. 1; see Table 6) (Colhoun *et al.*, 1972; Jardine *et al.*, 1988; Bos *et al.*, 2004). The new deglaciation ages include offshore radiocarbon (^{14}C) ages (Table 3) (Callard *et al.*, 2018; Ó Cofaigh *et al.*, 2019) and onshore terrestrial cosmogenic nuclide (TCN) ages (Table 4) (Schiele, 2017; Small *et al.*, 2017a; Wilson *et al.*, 2019) (See Fig. 1 for all locations). In addition, 15 new optically stimulated luminescence (OSL) ages have been obtained and are presented here for the first time (Fig. 1; Table 1). The OSL sites were selected targeting spatial gaps in the retreat sequences and to reassess sites yielding conflicting ages in the existing deglacial chronology for the region.

Details on the methods used to process all TCN and ^{14}C samples are reported in the relevant publications (see Tables 3 and 4 for references). The original ^{14}C measurements have been calibrated using OxCal 4.2, with for marine-derived samples the Marine-13 calibration curve and applying a marine reservoir correction of 0 years (Bronk Ramsey, 2009a; Reimer *et al.*, 2013). They are reported to two decimal places as cal ka BP. ^{14}C ages were calibrated afresh using a consistent marine C reservoir during the Bayesian modelling. Only ^{14}C ages representing latest glacial and deglaciation ages are included in this paper (i.e. not younger ones). Cosmogenic ages include ^{10}Be and ^{36}Cl exposure ages and, in the text, all TCN ages are rounded to the nearest 0.1 ka and shown with the ± 1 sigma external uncertainty, unless otherwise stated. To be consistent across all BRITICE-CHRONO publications, ^{10}Be ages presented here have been calculated using the calculator formerly known as the CRONUS-Earth calculator (Developmental version; Wrapper script 2.3, Main calculator 2.1, constants 2.2.1, muons 1.1; Balco *et al.*, 2008). ^{10}Be ages are calibrated using the Loch Lomond local production rate (LLPR; Fabel *et al.*, 2012; Small and Fabel, 2015) which is linked to direct independent age control provided by limiting radiocarbon ages (MacLeod *et al.*, 2011). All other Scottish calibration sites rely on an assumed Younger Dryas deglaciation age (Borchers *et al.*, 2016; Marrero *et al.*, 2016), assumed tephra age within a varve chronology (Small and Fabel, 2015), and a contested radiocarbon chronology (Lowe *et al.*, 2019;

Table 1. Radioactivity and dose rate data for luminescence samples.

Site	Sample	Depth (m)	Water (%)	U (p.p.m.)*	Th (p.p.m.)*	K (%)*	Rb (p.p.m.)*	Beta dose-rate (Gy ka ⁻¹)	Gamma dose-rate (Gy ka ⁻¹)	Cosmic dose-rate (Gy ka ⁻¹)	Total dose-rate (Gy ka ⁻¹)
Brockhill Quarry	T6BROC01	7	27	1.22 ± 0.12	3.0 ± 0.3	1.5 ± 0.08	42.9 ± 4.29	0.782 ± 0.060	0.452 ± 0.030	0.088 ± 0.004	1.339 ± 0.074
	T6BROC02	5	27	1.03 ± 0.10	2.4 ± 0.24	1.3 ± 0.07	39.4 ± 3.94	0.902 ± 0.078	0.477 ± 0.032	0.111 ± 0.006	1.507 ± 0.084
	T6GULR01	2.3	27	1.65 ± 0.17	4.4 ± 0.44	2.1 ± 0.11	72.5 ± 7.25	1.270 ± 0.109	0.760 ± 0.050	0.156 ± 0.008	2.207 ± 0.120
Glenulla Quarry	T6GULR02	2	27	1.70 ± 0.17	4.7 ± 0.47	2.0 ± 0.10	64.6 ± 6.46	1.228 ± 0.105	0.636 ± 0.042	0.162 ± 0.008	2.047 ± 0.113
	T6LNAC01	5	27	0.66 ± 0.07	1.6 ± 0.21	0.8 ± 0.04	25.3 ± 2.53	0.485 ± 0.042	0.317 ± 0.021	0.111 ± 0.006	0.928 ± 0.047
Lough Nacung	T6LNAC02	3	27	0.82 ± 0.08	3.0 ± 0.3	2.0 ± 0.10	53.1 ± 5.31	1.120 ± 0.101	0.563 ± 0.038	0.143 ± 0.007	1.841 ± 0.108
	T7ALTB02	10	27	1.04 ± 0.10	3.9 ± 0.39	1.9 ± 0.10	51.3 ± 5.13	1.109 ± 0.097	0.584 ± 0.039	0.064 ± 0.003	1.775 ± 0.105
Altwinny Bay	T7CARV01	12	27	1.22 ± 0.12	5.0 ± 0.5	1.4 ± 0.07	49.7 ± 4.97	0.882 ± 0.074	0.519 ± 0.034	0.054 ± 0.003	1.474 ± 0.081
	T7CARV02	8	27	1.39 ± 0.14	6.3 ± 0.63	1.7 ± 0.09	62.7 ± 6.27	1.067 ± 0.091	0.530 ± 0.032	0.080 ± 0.004	1.697 ± 0.095
Castleroe	T7CAST01	10	23	0.37 ± 0.04	1.3 ± 0.13	0.60 ± 0.03	16.8 ± 1.68	0.375 ± 0.033	0.211 ± 0.014	0.064 ± 0.003	0.663 ± 0.036
	T7CAST02	15	23	0.37 ± 0.04	1.2 ± 0.12	0.60 ± 0.03	15.9 ± 1.59	0.373 ± 0.033	0.207 ± 0.014	0.041 ± 0.002	0.635 ± 0.036
Fawnmore	T7FAWN02	5	27	1.17 ± 0.12	4.7 ± 0.47	1.30 ± 0.07	44.9 ± 4.49	0.823 ± 0.070	0.573 ± 0.038	0.111 ± 0.006	1.525 ± 0.079
	T7FAWN03	3	27	1.27 ± 0.13	6.6 ± 0.66	1.60 ± 0.08	53.8 ± 5.38	1.010 ± 0.084	0.625 ± 0.042	0.142 ± 0.007	1.798 ± 0.094
Glenshesk Valley	T7GLEN01	2	27	1.06 ± 0.11	4.3 ± 0.43	0.80 ± 0.04	28.2 ± 2.82	0.555 ± 0.044	0.440 ± 0.030	0.164 ± 0.008	1.178 ± 0.054
	T7GLEN02	3	27	1.13 ± 0.11	4.9 ± 0.49	0.90 ± 0.05	35.4 ± 3.54	0.621 ± 0.050	0.386 ± 0.039	0.144 ± 0.007	1.170 ± 0.057

*The analytical chemistry laboratory did not provide uncertainties on individual U, Th, K or Rb concentrations. Based on replicate analyses, uncertainties of 10% were assumed for U, Th and Rb, and 5% for K, and these uncertainties were propagated through the dose rate calculations.

Putnam *et al.*, 2019). Variation between these different production rates change calculated ¹⁰Be ages from 2.5% older to 6.3% younger compared to LLPR. For comparison we also provide the TCN ages calculated with the CRONUScalc v2.0 calculator (Marrero *et al.*, 2016) and using the global mean ¹⁰Be production rate (Borchers *et al.*, 2016). The resulting ages using LLPR and the global production rate are statistically the same at 1 sigma (Tables 4 and 5). All ages are calculated assuming a rock surface erosion rate of 1 mm ka⁻¹ and the LM scaling method (Balco *et al.*, 2008). All details necessary to recalculate the TCN ages given in Table 4 with different calculators, and reference production rates or scaling methods can be found in the original publications. Small *et al.* (2017b) conveniently provides the legacy data, except for the two legacy ³⁶Cl ages for which there was insufficient information in the original publication. For individual locations multiple samples have been analysed typically, with the site repeatability tested using the reduced chi-squared test (see Balco *et al.*, 2008; Heyman *et al.*, 2011; Small *et al.*, 2017a). After excluding outliers, the uncertainty weighted mean and associated uncertainties for exposure ages were calculated at each site.

OSL dating

Samples for OSL dating were collected from eight sites across the two transects targeting glacialfluvial and deltaic outwash sands and gravels. All sites were selected based on their ice-proximal context and the potential to constrain the timing for well-defined ice margins (Fig. 1; Table 2). OSL dating is underpinned by the principle that exposure to sunlight zeros or bleaches an OSL signal that develops within mineral grains (typically quartz or K-feldspar). The OSL signal increases with the duration of burial in sediments as the materials are exposed to natural radiation, increasing the charge stored within quartz or feldspar. Here we use small aliquots (SA; ~20 grains) of sand-sized quartz grains separated from sediments to measure the OSL signal (Murray and Wintle, 2000; Duller, 2008). For samples that have been bleached heterogeneously, the measurement of multiple replicates (typically here ~50) can identify those grains exposed to sunlight most recently, which are referred to as a well-bleached population. With heterogeneous bleaching, statistical models are required to determine an accurate age, e.g. the Minimum Age Model (MAM) (Galbraith *et al.*, 1999) or the internal-external uncertainty (IEU) model (Thomsen *et al.*, 2007).

At all sites opaque tubes were hammered into sedimentary sections to prevent exposure to sunlight during sampling. The external gamma dose rates were determined using *in situ* gamma spectrometry, with external beta dose rates calculated from U, Th, K and Rb concentrations determined by inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS). The sample preparation and analysis methods used were identical to existing studies (Evans *et al.*, 2017; Bateman *et al.*, 2018). Appropriate conversion factors (Guérin *et al.*, 2011, 2012) were applied to calculate the final total dose rate (Table 1) including grain size. The sites sampled all have water tables that are presently artificially low, owing to either coastal erosion or aggregate extraction. Maximum pore spaces in 180–250-µm sand are in the range between rhombohedral (26%) and random (40%) packing, which for moderately sorted rounded to sub-rounded sands equates to saturated water contents of around 30%. In terms of palaeomoisture attenuation, contents of 23 ± 5% were used for shallow and drier samples and 27 ± 5% for deeper saturated samples.

OSL analyses were performed on the 180–250-µm size fraction (Table 2) and using aliquots each containing ~20

Table 2. Luminescence equivalent dose and age data.

Site	Sample	Labcode	Analysis	Grain size (μm)	DR OD (%)	Total analysed*	<i>n</i>	OD (%)	Age model [†]	a value or Sigma-b [‡]	D_e (Gy)	Age (ka)
Brockhill Quarry	T6BROC01	Shfd15171	SA	180–250	12	102	60	60	IEU	0.307	59.43 ± 4.37	44.4 ± 4.1
	T6BROC02	Shfd15013	SA	212–250		96	48	65	IEU	0.307	58.97 ± 4.66	39.1 ± 3.8
	T6BROC02	Shfd15013	SG	212–250		1600	29	36	IEU	0.307	68.96 ± 11.8	45.8 ± 8.2
Glenulra Quarry	T6GULR01	Shfd15172	SA	180–250		76	53	45	IEU	0.307	55.68 ± 2.71	25.2 ± 1.9
	T6GULR02	Shfd15012	SA	180–250	6	144	51	65	IEU	0.307	49.3 ± 2.71	24.1 ± 1.9
Lough Nacung	T6LNAC01	Shfd15173	SA	180–250		92	36	40	IEU	0.307	243 ± 13	109 ± 8.4
	T6LNAC01	Shfd15173	SG	180–250		3200	33	34	IEU	0.189	77.8 ± 5.01	83.8 ± 6.9
	T6LNAC02	Shfd15014	SA	180–250	6	48	25	71	IEU	0.307	63.6 ± 6.32	132 ± 11
Altwinny Bay	T7ALTB02	Shfd15166	SA	180–250		97	35	56	MAM	0.20	54.0 ± 8.16	30.4 ± 4.9
Carey Valley	T7CARV01	Shfd15169	SA	212–250		80	43	65	MAM	0.10	42.04 ± 2.41	22.6 ± 2.4
	T7CARV02	Shfd15018	SA	212–250	6	160	40	37	MAM	0.10	41.36 ± 2.61	22.1 ± 2.4
Castleroe	T7CAST01	Shfd15167	SA	212–250		72	57	42	MAM	0.10	31.91 ± 2.67	48.1 ± 4.8
	T7CAST02	Shfd15016	SA	212–250	6	70	45	58	MAM	0.10	24.29 ± 2.02	38.3 ± 3.8
Fawnmore	T7FAWN02	Shfd15015	SA	212–250		78	34	65	MAM	0.20	41.34 ± 5.28	25.8 ± 4.2
	T7FAWN03	Shfd15168	SA	212–250	20	103	45	70	MAM	0.20	46.43 ± 7.08	27.1 ± 3.7
Glenshesk Valley	T7GLEN01	Shfd15017	SA	212–250	17	120	52	70	MAM	0.20	36.66 ± 3.32	30.4 ± 4.2
	T7GLEN02	Shfd15170	SA	212–250		78	55	80	MAM	0.20	32.24 ± 2.42	23.6 ± 3.4

*Total analysed is the number of small aliquots or single grains measured for a sample, while the column headed '*n*' is the number of small aliquots of single grains accepted for D_e modelling.

[†]The age model used, either the Minimum Age Model (MAM) or the internal–external uncertainty (IEU) model.

[‡]Where the IEU model was used, the first parameter '*a*' is given in this column. The second parameter '*b*' is 1.5 for all samples. For samples analysed using the MAM, the value given here is that for sigma b.

grains. The low proportion of quartz grains emitting an OSL signal for these samples suggests the OSL signal was dominated by few grains, as has been the case elsewhere (e.g. Evans *et al.*, 2017). All measured D_e distributions were asymmetrically distributed and display a high over-dispersion (OD; Table 2) confirming heterogeneous bleaching before burial. The D_e values used for age calculation (Table 2) target the well-bleached component of these heterogeneously bleached D_e distributions and were identified by applying age models. Final D_e values for age calculation were calculated using as appropriate either the MAM (Galbraith *et al.*, 1999) or the IEU model (Thomsen *et al.*, 2007) with the parameters *a* and *b* used in the model determined from dose recovery tests (calculating the OD of the dose distribution at multiple given doses) for each site. Such an approach has been applied successfully to glacial sediments elsewhere in the BIIS (e.g. Bateman *et al.*, 2018).

Bayesian age modelling

Bayesian age modelling (Buck *et al.*, 1996; Bronk Ramsey, 2008) is an approach applied routinely to integrate sets of age measurements related typically by stratigraphy, for example ages from lake sediment sequences (Bronk Ramsey, 2008). The modelling refines the probability distributions for individual ages and is underpinned by the series of ages being presented as an order of events reasoned independently of the chronology, e.g. depth order. Increasingly, the approach has been applied to spatially distributed geochronological datasets, such as the retreat of glacial margins (e.g. Chiverrell *et al.*, 2013, 2018, 2020; Bradwell *et al.*, 2019). The deglaciation sequence for both the DBIS (T6) and MSIS (T7) evidenced in the onshore and offshore geomorphology provides a hypothetical 'relative-order' of dated events, which in the terminology for the Bayesian modelling is the Prior model (Buck *et al.*, 1996; Bronk Ramsey, 2008). The Bayesian Prior models for both ice streams were developed independently of the age information and included all the geochronological samples in the model structures (Bronk

Ramsey, 2008, 2009a, 2009b; Bronk Ramsey and Lee, 2013). These Prior models cover the ice marginal retreat from maximum limits near the continental shelf breaks fronting DBIS and MSIS through a series of well-defined ice margin configurations identified on the seafloor and stepping-back on to land in Ireland and western Scotland (Ó Cofaigh *et al.*, 2012, 2019; Peters *et al.*, 2015, 2016; Small *et al.*, 2017a; Callard *et al.*, 2018; Wilson *et al.*, 2019). The Bayesian analysis of the MSIS was not straightforward because of the interaction between the MSIS draining the main ice sheet divides and more local 'Irish' ice that fed laterally into the ice stream. The recently mapped features in the Malin Sea provided the framework for the context of ice movement (Callard *et al.*, 2018).

The Bayesian modelling was coded using OxCal 4.3 (Bronk Ramsey and Lee, 2013) and applied uniform phase sequence models that were punctuated by boundaries located at well-defined ice limits. Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) sampling was used to build distributions of possible solutions, thereby generating modelling probabilities termed posterior density estimates for all measured ages and boundary limits. The probabilities are the product of the Prior model and the likelihood or measured age probabilities measured for each sample. Each sequence was divided into retreat zones that were coded as a Phase, defined as groups containing age information for sites sharing relationships with the adjacent zones. In the Bayesian analysis though TCN ages at some locations were consistent within a site and could be averaged using a reduced chi-square statistic (χ^2_R) (Bevington *et al.*, 2003), here the ages were included individually but grouped within a Phase in the Prior model. Phases were delimited by a series of Boundary commands that generated modelled age probability distributions for major ice limits. Both Sequence models were run to assess outliers in time using a scaling of 10^0 – 10^4 years and Student's *t*-distributions to describe the outlier distribution (Bronk Ramsey, 2009b). Iterations of the modelling were undertaken gradually varying the outlier probabilities for individual age determinations to achieve overall model agreement indices exceeding the >60%

Table 3. Previously published BRITICE-CHRONO ¹⁴C ages included in this paper.

Transect	Cruise-core	Code	Sample ID	Latitude	Longitude	Depth (m)	Sample type	Sample depth (cm)	Stratigraphical context	Conventional radiocarbon age (years BP)	±1σ (radiocarbon yrs BP)	Reference
T6	CE08-018VC	UCIAMS-133552	CE_08-018_CC	54.98	-9.92	122	Mixed forams	Core catcher	Marine sands and gravels (deglacial), contained fine sands (w/ forams)	20 170	90	Ó Cofaigh <i>et al.</i> (2019)
T6	JC106-92VC	Beta432793	T6-92VC-259cm	54.405517	-9.1768	75	Foraminifera-mixed benthic	259-260	Diamict	16 250	60	Schiele (2017)
T6	JC106-97VC	Beta432794	T6-97VC-468cm	54.454783	-9.17203	75	Foraminifera-mixed benthic	468-469	Compact deformed mud	16 350	60	Schiele (2017)
T6	JC106-099VC	UCIAMS-164429	T6-099VC-474	54.60363	-9.33564	99	Foraminifera	474	From laminated clay and silts. Mid unit, no diamict at base.	17 180	80	Ó Cofaigh <i>et al.</i> (2019)
T6	JC106-101VC	UCIAMS-164431	T6-101VC-548-551	54.61307	-9.42068	100	Foraminifera	548-551	From laminated clay and silts. Mid unit, no diamict at base.	20 110	120	Ó Cofaigh <i>et al.</i> (2019)
T6	JC106-102VC	UCIAMS-164437	T6-102VC-247	54.62345	-9.5189	90.5	Foraminifera	247	diamict at base. From laminated clay and silts.	21 000	110	Ó Cofaigh <i>et al.</i> (2019)
T6	JC106-103VC	SUERC-63558	T6-103VC-145	54.64063	-9.59722	100	Foraminifera	145	From laminated clay and silts. Mid unit, no diamict at base.	22 521	70	Ó Cofaigh <i>et al.</i> (2019)
T6	JC106-112VC	SUERC-63584	T6-112VC-51	54.84513	-10.18137	125	Shell fragment	51	Diamict interpreted as subglacial till	22 582	67	Ó Cofaigh <i>et al.</i> (2019)
T6	JC106-112VC	SUERC-63585	T6-112VC-59.5	54.84513	-10.18137	125	Shell fragment	59.5	Diamict interpreted as subglacial till	22 572	71	Ó Cofaigh <i>et al.</i> (2019)
T7	JC106-125VC	SUERC-72873	T7JC106-125VC115	55.73367167	-9.251471389	91	Shell fragment	115	Diamict interpreted as subglacial till	22 813	61	Callard <i>et al.</i> (2018)
T7	JC106-125VC	SUERC-72874	T7JC106-125VC117	55.73367167	-9.251471389	91	Shell fragment	117	Diamict interpreted as subglacial till	22 906	62	Callard <i>et al.</i> (2018)
T7	JC106-146VC	UCIAMS-176382	T7-146VC-223	56.47296	-8.70696	150	Foraminifera, mixed assemblage	223-225	Glaciomarine/ice proximal: alternating laminated silt and clay with	20 200	80	Callard <i>et al.</i> (2018)

(Continued)

Table 3. (Continued)

Transect	Cruise-core	Code	Sample ID	Latitude	Longitude	Depth (m)	Sample type	Sample depth (cm)	Stratigraphical context	Conventional radiocarbon age (years BP)	$\pm 1\sigma$ (radiocarbon yrs BP)	Reference
T7	JC106-146VC	UCIAMS-176383	T7-146VC-369	56.48404	-8.44641	158	Foraminifera, mixed assemblage	369–372	IRD-rich mud, not overconsolidated Glaciomarine/ice proximal: alternating laminated silt and clay with IRD-rich mud, not overconsolidated	22 030	100	Callard <i>et al.</i> (2018)
T7	JC106-146VC	UCIAMS-164440	T7-146VC-389	56.47296	-8.70696	150	Foraminifera	389–392	Glaciomarine/ice proximal: alternating laminated silt and clay with IRD-rich mud, not overconsolidated	20 730	100	Callard <i>et al.</i> (2018)
T7	JC106-149VC	SUERC-59509	T7-149VC-421	56.39728	-7.44881	136	Shell fragment	421	overconsolidated Soft diamict, possibly glaciomarine/ice proximal	17 155	47	Callard <i>et al.</i> (2018)
T7	JC106-151VC	UCIAMS-179841	T7-151VC-389	56.14046	-7.53772	122	Foraminifera, mixed assemblage	389–394	IRD – IRD	19 690	90	Callard <i>et al.</i> (2018)
T7	JC106-153VC	UCIAMS-164432	T7-153VC-277	56.25168	-7.58738	113.5	Foraminifera	277–279	Glaciomarine/ice proximal in a stiffer mud unit with some IRD	19 210	110	Callard <i>et al.</i> (2018)
T7	JC106-154VC	UCIAMS-164433	T7-154VC-211	56.32525	-7.61805	138	Foraminifera	211–214	Glaciomarine/ice distal/proximal	18 670	90	Callard <i>et al.</i> (2018)

Table 4. All published BRITICE-CHRONO TCN ages.

Transect	Code	Location	Region	Latitude	Longitude	Elev. (m)	Sample	Lithology	^{10}Be age (ka)*	CRONUScalc v2.0 ^{10}Be age (ka) [†]	CRONUScalc v2.0 ^{36}Cl age (ka) [‡]	Reference
T6	T6BS01	English Valley	Blue Stack Mountains	54.7228	-8.1132	149	Boulder	Conglomerate	13.1 ± 0.9 (0.7)	13.1 ± 1.3 (0.7)		Wilson et al. (2019)
T6	T6BS02	English Valley	Blue Stack Mountains	54.7225	-8.114	148	Boulder	Sandstone	15.4 ± 1.0 (0.7)	15.4 ± 1.4 (0.7)		Wilson et al. (2019)
T6	T6BS03	English Valley	Blue Stack Mountains	54.7231	-8.1165	150	Boulder	Conglomerate	14.9 ± 0.9 (0.7)	14.9 ± 1.4 (0.7)		Wilson et al. (2019)
T6	T6BS04	English Valley	Blue Stack Mountains	54.7225	-8.115	163	Boulder	Conglomerate	14.4 ± 0.8 (0.4)	14.4 ± 1.2 (0.4)		Wilson et al. (2019)
T6	T6GCS02	Glencolum-kille	SW coast Donegal	54.7079	-8.761	41	Boulder	Schist (Qtz vein)	16.2 ± 1.0 (0.7)	16.2 ± 1.5 (0.7)		Wilson et al. (2019)
T6	T6GCS03	Glencolum-kille	SW coast Donegal	54.7076	-8.7589	36	Boulder	Schist (Qtz vein)	17.3 ± 1.1 (0.7)	17.3 ± 1.6 (0.7)		Wilson et al. (2019)
T6	T6GCS04	Glencolum-kille	SW coast Donegal	54.7076	-8.7589	34	Boulder	Schist (Qtz vein)	16.5 ± 1.0 (0.7)	16.5 ± 1.5 (0.7)		Wilson et al. (2019)
T6	T6PG01	Poisoned Glen	Donegal	55.01505	-8.10675	73	Boulder	Granite	17.2 ± 1.1 (0.8)	17.2 ± 1.6 (0.8)		Wilson et al. (2019)
T6	T6PG04	Poisoned Glen	Donegal	55.01495	-8.10653	73	Boulder	Granite	16.2 ± 1.0 (0.8)	16.2 ± 1.5 (0.8)		Wilson et al. (2019)
T6	T6PG05	Poisoned Glen	Donegal	55.01498	-8.10572	75	Boulder	Granite	13.0 ± 0.9 (0.6)	13.0 ± 1.2 (0.6)		Wilson et al. (2019)
T6	T6ROS01	Rosguill	Donegal	55.2269	-7.84304	65	Boulder	Granite	18.7 ± 1.0 (0.6)	18.7 ± 1.6 (0.6)		Wilson et al. (2019)
T6	T6ROS02	Rosguill	Donegal	55.2252	-7.84062	105	Boulder	Granite	21.4 ± 1.4 (1.0)	21.0 ± 2.0 (1.0)		Wilson et al. (2019)
T6	T6ROS04	Rosguill	Donegal	55.22412	-7.84055	105	Boulder	Granite	18.9 ± 1.0 (0.6)	18.9 ± 1.6 (0.6)		Wilson et al. (2019)
T6	T6BEN01	Ben Bulben	Sligo	54.36215	-8.4939	204	Boulder	Sandstone	13.0 ± 0.7 (0.5)	13.0 ± 1.1 (0.5)		Schiele (2017)
T6	T6BEN02	Ben Bulben	Sligo	54.361967	-8.494217	198	Boulder	Sandstone	14.3 ± 0.8 (0.5)	14.3 ± 1.2 (0.5)		Schiele (2017)
T6	T6BEN03	Ben Bulben	Sligo	54.363433	-8.494533	203	Boulder	Sandstone	14.3 ± 0.8 (0.5)	14.3 ± 1.2 (0.5)		Schiele (2017)
T6	T6BEN04	Ben Bulben	Sligo	54.363617	-8.494717	200	Boulder	Sandstone	15.7 ± 0.9 (0.5)	15.7 ± 1.4 (0.5)		Schiele (2017)
T6	T6KC01	Kilcar	Donegal	54.6187	-8.6096	50	Boulder	Dolerite			18.2 ± 1.7 (0.7)	Wilson et al. (2019)
T6	T6KC02	Kilcar	Donegal	54.6187	-8.6096	50	Boulder	Dolerite			37.5 ± 6.3 (2.1)	Wilson et al. (2019)
T6	T6KC03	Kilcar	Donegal	54.6187	-8.6096	50	Boulder	Dolerite			42.8 ± 6.1 (2.0)	Wilson et al. (2019)
T6	T6KC04	Kilcar	Donegal	54.6189	-8.609	45	Boulder	Dolerite			37.4 ± 5.4 (1.7)	Wilson et al. (2019)
T6/T7	T7MH02	Malin Head	N coast Donegal	55.38112	-7.37255	65	Bedrock	Quartzite	23.2 ± 1.4 (0.9)	23.2 ± 2.1 (0.9)		Wilson et al. (2019)
T6/T7	T7MH03	Malin Head	N coast Donegal	55.38156	-7.37716	30	Bedrock	Quartz vein (Quartzite)	20.7 ± 1.1 (0.7)	20.7 ± 1.8 (0.7)		Wilson et al. (2019)

(Continued)

Table 4. (Continued)

Transect	Code	Location	Region	Latitude	Longitude	Elev. (m)	Sample	Lithology	^{10}Be age (ka)*	CRONUScalc v2.0 ^{10}Be age (ka) [†]	CRONUScalc v2.0 ^{36}Cl age (ka) [‡]	Reference
T6/T7	T7MH04	Malin Head	N coast Donegal	55.38033	-7.37458	55	Bedrock	Quartzite	25.5 ± 2.1 (1.8)	25.8 ± 2.8 (1.8)		Wilson et al. (2019)
T7	T7CAR02	Camán Mor	Tiree	56.45521	-6.92344	136	Boulder	Lewisian gneiss	21.1 ± 1.2 (0.7)	21.1 ± 1.8 (0.7)		Small et al. (2017a)
T7	T7CAR05	Camán Mor	Tiree	56.45464	-6.92271	133	Boulder	Lewisian gneiss	20.2 ± 1.1 (0.6)	20.2 ± 1.7 (0.6)		Small et al. (2017a)
T7	T7CAR07	Camán Mor	Tiree	56.45236	-6.91878	111	Boulder	Lewisian gneiss	20.8 ± 1.1 (0.7)	20.9 ± 1.8 (0.7)		Small et al. (2017a)
T7	T7MIN02	Mingulay	Mingulay	56.82096	-7.63059	223	Boulder	Lewisian gneiss	18.7 ± 1.0 (0.5)	18.7 ± 1.6 (0.5)		Small et al. (2017a)
T7	T7MIN03	Mingulay	Mingulay	56.82096	-7.63059	223	Bedrock	Lewisian gneiss	21.6 ± 1.1 (0.6)	21.6 ± 1.8 (0.6)		Small et al. (2017a)
T7	T7MIN04	Mingulay	Mingulay	56.81998	-7.63172	196	Boulder	Lewisian gneiss	17.4 ± 0.9 (0.5)	17.4 ± 1.5 (0.5)		Small et al. (2017a)
T7	T7MIN06	Mingulay	Mingulay	56.81521	-7.63793	52	Boulder	Lewisian gneiss	19.2 ± 1.0 (0.5)	19.2 ± 1.6 (0.5)		Small et al. (2017a)
T7	T7MIN07	Mingulay	Mingulay	56.81521	-7.63793	52	Bedrock	Lewisian gneiss	20.9 ± 1.1 (0.6)	20.9 ± 1.8 (0.6)		Small et al. (2017a)
T7	T7SGU02	North Barra	North Barra	57.05256	-7.44933	65	Boulder	Lewisian gneiss	17.4 ± 1.0 (0.6)	17.4 ± 1.5 (0.6)		Small et al. (2017a)
T7	T7SGU03	North Barra	North Barra	57.05273	-7.44955	69	Boulder	Lewisian gneiss	19.8 ± 1.1 (0.6)	19.8 ± 1.7 (0.6)		Small et al. (2017a)
T7	T7SGU04	North Barra	North Barra	57.05349	-7.45106	78	Boulder	Lewisian gneiss	17.0 ± 0.9 (0.6)	17.0 ± 1.5 (0.6)		Small et al. (2017a)
T7	T7TMC01	Torr Mor a'Chonairist	Ross of Mull	56.28791	-6.34428	42	Boulder	Schist	17.3 ± 0.9 (0.5)	17.3 ± 1.5 (0.5)		Small et al. (2017a)
T7	T7TMC05	Torr Mor a'Chonairist	Ross of Mull	56.28716	-6.34287	57	Boulder	Granite	17.8 ± 0.9 (0.5)	17.8 ± 1.5 (0.5)		Small et al. (2017a)
T7	T7TMC06	Torr Mor a'Chonairist	Ross of Mull	56.28617	-6.3411	46	Boulder	Granite	17.9 ± 1.0 (0.6)	18.0 ± 1.5 (0.6)		Small et al. (2017a)
T7	S1	Scriob na Caillich	Jura	55.9176	-6.0509	106	Boulder	Quartzite	17.6 ± 1.2 (0.8)	17.5 ± 1.6 (0.8)		Small et al. (2017a)
T7	S2	Scriob na Caillich	Jura	55.9172	-6.0512	106	Boulder	Quartzite	16.5 ± 1.1 (0.8)	16.4 ± 1.5 (0.8)		Small et al. (2017a)
T7	S3	Scriob na Caillich	Jura	55.9176	-6.0522	92	Boulder	Quartzite	15.0 ± 1.1 (0.8)	14.9 ± 1.4 (0.8)		Small et al. (2017a)

*Calculated with calculator formerly known as the CRONUS-Earth calculator (Developmental version; Wrapper script 2.3; Main calculator 2.1, constants 2.2.1, muons 1.1; Balco et al., 2008) with LM scaling method, Loch Lomond reference production rate (LLPR) (see text), 1 mm ka⁻¹ erosion rate, and one sigma external uncertainty (internal in parentheses).

†Calculated with CRONUScalc v2.0 (Marrero et al., 2016) with LM scaling method, default global reference production rate, 1 mm ka⁻¹ erosion rate, and one sigma external uncertainty (internal in parentheses).

Table 5. All legacy and other TCN ages published after the beginning of the BRITICE-CHRONO project and included in the Bayesian age modelling.

Site	Sample	^{10}Be (ka)*	CRONUScalc v2.0 ^{10}Be (ka) [†]	CRONUScalc v2.0 ^{36}Cl (ka) [†]	Material and context	Reference
Donegal						
Malin Head	BF-01	21.2 ± 1.1 (1.0)	21.0 ± 2.0 (1.1)	25.1 ± 1.1	Glacially smoothed quartzite bedrock	Bowen <i>et al.</i> (2002)
Bloody Foreland	BF-02	18.5 ± 0.9 (0.8)	18.6 ± 1.7 (0.9)	31.0 ± 17.0	Not specified, but granite bedrock or boulder	Bowen <i>et al.</i> (2002)
Bloody Foreland	BF-04-01	17.9 ± 1.7 (1.6)	18.0 ± 2.3 (1.8)		Glacially transported granite boulder	Ballantyne <i>et al.</i> (2007)
	BF-04-03	33.5 ± 2.7 (2.6)	34.0 ± 4.0 (2.9)		Glacially transported granite boulder	Clark <i>et al.</i> (2009c)
	BF-04-04	21.8 ± 1.6 (1.5)	22.0 ± 2.4 (1.7)		Glacially transported granite boulder	
	BF-04-05	21.2 ± 1.7 (1.6)	21.4 ± 2.5 (1.8)		Glacially transported granite boulder	
	BF-04-06	21.2 ± 1.9 (1.9)	21.4 ± 2.7 (2.1)		Glacially transported granite boulder	
	BF-04-08	23.6 ± 2.0 (1.9)	23.8 ± 2.8 (2.1)		Glacially transported granite boulder	
	BF-04-09	21.7 ± 2.1 (2.0)	21.9 ± 2.8 (2.2)		Glacially transported granite boulder	
	BF-04-10	22.1 ± 2.0 (2.0)	22.3 ± 2.8 (2.2)		Glacially transported granite boulder	
Average		21.6 ± 0.7	21.7 ± 1.8			
Aran Island	ARAN01	21.8 ± 0.9 (0.7)	21.6 ± 1.9 (0.7)		Glacially transported granite boulder	Cullen (2012)
	ARAN02	21.5 ± 0.9 (0.7)	21.3 ± 1.8 (0.7)		Granite bedrock	
Average		21.7 ± 0.8	21.5 ± 1.8			
Glencolumbkille	MAL-03	17.8 ± 0.6 (0.5)	17.9 ± 1.5 (0.5)		Vein quartz in glacially transported schist boulder	Ballantyne <i>et al.</i> (2007)
	MAL-05	19.6 ± 0.7 (0.5)	19.8 ± 1.7 (0.6)		Vein quartz in schist roche moutonnée	
Errigal col	ERGL-COL-01	17.6 ± 0.8 (0.6)	17.4 ± 1.5 (0.6)		Glacially plucked quartzite bedrock	Ballantyne <i>et al.</i> (2013)
	ERGL-COL-02	18.2 ± 0.7 (0.6)	18.0 ± 1.5 (0.6)		Glacially plucked quartzite bedrock	
	ERGL-COL-04	18.1 ± 0.8 (0.6)	17.9 ± 1.6 (0.6)		Glacially plucked quartzite bedrock	
Average		18.0 ± 0.6	17.8 ± 1.4			
Slieve League	SL-02	17.1 ± 0.8 (0.7)	16.9 ± 1.5 (0.7)		Quartzite boulder from rockslope-failure debris	Ballantyne <i>et al.</i> (2013)
	SL-03	17.8 ± 1.0 (0.9)	17.6 ± 1.7 (0.9)		Quartzite boulder from rockslope-failure debris	
	SL-04	17.1 ± 1.0 (0.9)	16.9 ± 1.6 (0.9)		Quartzite boulder from rockslope-failure debris	
Average		17.3 ± 0.6	17.1 ± 1.5			
North Mayo						
Ox Mountains	OX-03-01	16.9 ± 1.4 (1.4)	17.0 ± 2.0 (1.5)		Vein quartz in glacially transported gneissic boulder	Clark <i>et al.</i> (2009a)
	OX-03-02	15.7 ± 1.5 (1.4)	16.0 ± 2.0 (1.6)		Vein quartz in glacially transported gneissic boulder	
	OX-03-03	16.4 ± 1.3 (1.3)	16.4 ± 1.9 (1.4)		Vein quartz in glacially transported gneissic boulder	
	OX-03-05	16.9 ± 1.3 (1.2)	16.9 ± 1.9 (1.4)		Vein quartz in glacially transported gneissic boulder	
	OX-03-06	17.0 ± 1.7 (1.7)	17.0 ± 2.3 (1.8)		Vein quartz in glacially transported gneissic boulder	
Average		16.6 ± 0.6	16.7 ± 1.5			
	OX-03-07	19.1 ± 1.6 (1.5)	19.1 ± 2.3 (1.7)		Vein quartz in glacially transported gneissic boulder	Clark <i>et al.</i> (2009a)
	OX-03-09	20.9 ± 1.5 (1.4)	21.1 ± 2.3 (1.6)		Vein quartz in glacially transported gneissic boulder	
	OX-03-10	20.5 ± 1.9 (1.8)	20.7 ± 2.6 (2.0)		Vein quartz in glacially transported gneissic boulder	
Average		20.2 ± 1.1	20.3 ± 1.9			

(Continued)

Table 5. (Continued)

Site	Sample	^{10}Be (ka)*	CRONUScalc v2.0 ^{10}Be (ka) [†]	CRONUScalc v2.0 ^{36}Cl (ka) [†]	Material and context	Reference
Hebrides and Scottish mainland						
Arran: Glen Dougarie	D1	16.1 ± 1			Glacially transported granite boulder	Finlayson <i>et al.</i> (2014)
	D2	16.9 ± 1			Glacially transported granite boulder	
South Uist: Beinn Mhor col	BM-2	16.3 ± 0.9			Strongly ice-moulded gneiss bedrock	Stone and Ballantyne (2006)
Jura: Scriob na Caillich RSF	SNC02	14 ± 1.7			Quartzite boulder	Ballantyne <i>et al.</i> (2014)
	SNC03	12.3 ± 1.4			Quartzite boulder	
	SNC06	16.8 ± 1.1			Quartzite boulder	
	SNC07	16.8 ± 1			Quartzite boulder	

* Calculated with calculator formerly known as the CRONUS-Earth calculator (Developmental version; Wrapper script 2.3; Main calculator 2.1, constants 2.2.1, muons 1.1; Balco *et al.*, 2008) with LM scaling method, Loch Lomond reference production rate (LLPR) (see text), 1 mm ka⁻¹ erosion rate, and one sigma external uncertainty (internal in parentheses).

[†] Calculated with CRONUScalc v2.0 (Marro *et al.*, 2016) with LM scaling method, default global reference production rate, 1 mm ka⁻¹ erosion rate, and one sigma external uncertainty (internal in parentheses).

threshold advocated by Bronk Ramsey (2009a). Thereby outliers were given a probability scaling of $p < 0.2$, $p < 0.5$, $p < 0.75$ and $p = 1$ (100%) on a scale of increasing outlier severity. Dating bottlenecks in the Prior models were handled by increasing iteratively the outlier probability for all ages in selected Phases until the model produced overall agreement, which then calculates model agreement indices for all individual ages. Outlier ages were identified statistically, and then scrutinized for reasons that might explain the outlier behaviour either in the Prior model (e.g. the sample context) or in the measurement data (e.g. nuclide inheritance). Ages were not excluded arbitrarily but identified statistically and then weighted $p = 1$. Cycles of the Bayesian modelling then continued decreasing and increasing other less severe outlier probabilities for subsequent iterations until the overall model agreement was >60%. Samples handled as outliers ($p = 1$; 100%) are detailed in later sections.

Results and interpretations

This section presents the new OSL age assessments from land areas adjacent to the DBIS and MSIS (Figs. 2–11; Tables 1 and 2). In addition, we summarize 71 radiocarbon ages from offshore glacial and glaciomarine sediments previously presented in Schiele (2017), Callard *et al.* (2018) and Ó Cofaigh *et al.* (2019); and 41 TCN ages already included in Schiele (2017), Small *et al.* (2017a) and Wilson *et al.* (2019); and the legacy ages published previously (Small *et al.*, 2017b) that have been included in the Bayesian age modelling. The ages presented here may differ slightly to original published ages owing to differences in exposure-age calculations and statistical treatments (e.g. evaluation using the LLPR; Fabel *et al.*, 2012; Small and Fabel, 2015).

Offshore geomorphology and dating

The geology of the Malin Shelf is characterized by a series of northeast-trending troughs and basins, and basement blocks. Home to the former MSIS, these over-deepened troughs and basins interlink from the Sea of Hebrides to the mid-shelf and were probably major flow paths for ice streaming across the Malin Shelf from the Scottish Highlands and Ireland during past glacial periods (Davies *et al.*, 1984; Dobson and Whittington, 1992). Two basins, the Malin Deep and the trough of the Sea of Hebrides, are separated by the Stanton Bank, a bedrock high at the centre of the inner Malin Shelf (Dobson and Whittington, 1992). For the former DBIS, the shelf offshore NW Ireland in the southern part of the Malin Sea has a smoother profile with a gentle gradient from the mouth of Donegal Bay to the shelf edge, with Donegal Bay having the characteristics of an over-deepened basin like those further to the north (Fig. 1).

For the former MSIS, the geomorphological evidence shows the presence of a compound ridge close to the shelf edge comprising a series of moraines and grounding-zone wedges (GZWs) mapped from 55°30'N to 56°30'N (Dunlop *et al.*, 2010; Callard *et al.*, 2018). Further to the north, a series of morainal banks with a similar N–S orientation have been broadly mapped from seismic data down to 150 m water depth and are likely to be the continuation of the same ice margin and to be related to the extension of the Outer Hebrides Ice Cap on the Scottish continental shelf (Bradwell *et al.*, 2021). Moraines of different orientations are observed at the boundary between the DBIS (T6) and MSIS (T7) on the Malin Shelf [trending, respectively NW–SE and NE–SW; Figs. 1 (inset), 13 and 14] and it was suggested that they mark the retreat of the two ice

streams in the direction of the inner Malin Shelf to the north and northern Donegal to the south (Benetti *et al.*, 2010; Dunlop *et al.*, 2010; Ó Cofaigh *et al.*, 2012). In the inner part of the Malin Shelf a series of smaller recessional moraines and GZWs step back eastwards and become increasingly abundant on the inner shelf, with De Geer moraines in the shallower waters of the sea-lochs and sounds, marking the pattern and direction of retreating ice (Dunlop *et al.*, 2010; Dove *et al.*, 2015; Small *et al.*, 2016). It has been suggested that, because of the presence of the over deepened troughs, retreat from the shelf back towards the Inner Hebrides was probably rapid (Dove *et al.*, 2015), although previously estimated ice sheet retreat suggests that this process was slow (Clark *et al.*, 2012). For the DBIS, a set of arcuate, nested moraines extend across the entire continental shelf from within Donegal Bay to the shelf edge up to a distance of 90–120 km from the coastline (Benetti *et al.*, 2010; Dunlop *et al.*, 2010; Ó Cofaigh *et al.*, 2012), and they are indicative of grounded ice and a stepped glacial retreat across the shelf.

The dating of these glacial and glacially derived landforms and sediments provides key datasets to support a more refined chronological reconstruction of the behaviour of the two ice streams during the last glaciation (Arosio *et al.*, 2018a; Callard *et al.*, 2018; Ó Cofaigh *et al.*, 2019; Tarlati *et al.*, 2020). Constraining a maximum extent of the BIIS across the Malin Sea has not been straightforward due to the presence of intense iceberg turbation at the shelf edge in correspondence with the margin of the MSIS at the shelf edge. However, the youngest

radiocarbon ages obtained from shell fragments in subglacial diamicton constrain shelf edge glaciation to after 26.3 ka BP for both the MSIS and the DBIS (JC106-125VC and JC106-112VC in Table 3) (Callard *et al.*, 2018; Ó Cofaigh *et al.*, 2019). Retreat from the shelf edge has been dated using mixed foraminifera assemblages in glaciomarine muds between 26.3 and 23–24 ka BP and extensive iceberg scouring at the shelf edge across the entire margin of the Malin Sea indicate that it happened initially through intense calving. All foraminiferal and sedimentological data suggest that glaciomarine conditions prevailed during retreat. By 21 ka BP (i.e. global LGM; Clark *et al.*, 2009c; Hughes *et al.*, 2013; Hughes and Gibbard, 2015), most of the Malin Sea was free of grounded ice with glaciomarine conditions recorded offshore Tiree (JC106-149VC; Table 3) (Callard *et al.*, 2018) and a morainic complex of a similar age at the mouth of Donegal Bay (JC106-92VC and JC106-97VC; Table 3) (Ó Cofaigh *et al.*, 2019). Sedimentological evidence from the DBF suggests some marine extension of the BIIS until ~16.5 ka BP that allowed glaciomarine sediment deposition on the fan, with discrete episodes of calving recorded as peaks in ice-rafted debris (Tarlati *et al.*, 2020).

OSL geochronology

On the BRITICE-CHRONO project, the timing and pace of deglaciation in other sectors of the BIIS has been in part secured by OSL dating of proglacial and ice-marginal sediments (Evans *et al.*, 2017; Smedley *et al.*, 2017a, 2017b; Bateman *et al.*, 2018; Chiverrell *et al.*, 2018, 2020; Small *et al.*, 2018). Here, we




Figure 2. (A) Serial section of the main exposure at Altwinny Bay. OSL sample locations are indicated by the labelled red dots, while the boxes labelled B–F show the coverage of the facies photographs in panels B–F. Note that clast depictions are not to scale but are instead representative of relative grain size variation between units. (B–F) Photographs of the main facies exposed. The OSL sample locations T7ALTB01 and 02 are indicated by red circles. White arrows highlight some of the abundant erratic clasts within the section that were probably carried to the site by Malin Sea ice. [Color figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]




Figure 3. Optically stimulated luminescence data. Abanico plots (Dietze *et al.*, 2016) of the D_e values determined for OSL dating applied at (A) Altwinny Bay, (B) Carey Valley, (C) Castleroe, (D) Fawnmore and (E) Glenshesk Valley. The plots present the D_e distributions in two plots that share a common z-axis of D_e values: (i) a bivariate plot where each D_e value is presented in relation to its precision (shown on the x-axis, where those more precisely known are plotted to the right); and (ii) a univariate plot showing the age frequency distribution of D_e values, which does not give any presentation of the precision of individual D_e values. The grey shading across both plots shows the D_e used in age calculation for each distribution (2σ shown on the y-axis). The combination of these two plots aids interpretation of the scatter in the D_e distributions, where samples with a greater range of D_e values on the z-axis have larger amounts of scatter in the D_e distribution. [Color figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

report 16 new OSL ages, sampled between 2014 and 2016, from glacial sediments at eight terrestrial sites, three associated with DBIS and five from the north of Ireland constraining the MSIS (Tables 1 and 2). Exposures were logged using field sketches, vertical lithofacies logs and photo-montages following standard procedures (Evans and Benn, 2004; Thomas *et al.*, 2004). Other characteristics recorded included textural classifications, sorting and grain size, palaeocurrents or till fabric indicators, sedimentary structures, nature of contacts and the lithofacies.

OSL sites from the MSIS

OSL samples were collected from natural and quarried sediment exposures extending from in the west Altwinny Bay and Fawnmore (Co. Donegal) progressing west to east to Castleroe, Glenshesk Valley and Carey Valley in Co. Antrim, Northern Ireland (Fig. 1).

Altwinny Bay (55.1432 N, 8.2929 W)

A continuous coastal section is exposed at Altwinny Bay (Cullen, 2012), which is composed of sands, gravels and diamictos (Fig. 2). The sequence, from stratigraphically oldest to youngest, comprises basal laminated gravels, sands and fines that interdigitate with largely massive gravels which are atop a weathered and granite bedrock that has been mobilized glacially. The massive gravels are interpreted as the product of ice-marginal debris flows, with the more stratified gravel, sand and mud interbed units suggestive of deposition into a water body. Above this, there is a massive diamict containing evidence of deformation including sandy hydro-fractures injected from above. This in turn is capped by two over-consolidated matrix-supported diamicts displaying a strong clast orientation to the south and boulder pavements that suggest a subglacial origin. In the centre of the exposures these subglacial tills are capped by planar cross-stratified sands,




Figure 4. Photographs of exposures at Fawnmore Quarry (A) section 1 and (B) section 2. The labelled boxes show the locations covered by the photographs in C–E. Close-up photographs of the units from which (C) T7FAWN01, (D) T7FAWN02 and (E) T7FAWN03 were sampled. The circles highlight sample positions. [Color figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

which have flow directions to the south, probably reflecting outwash deposition with ice margin retreat. These sands appear to have been tilted and deformed, suggesting proximity to and overriding by ice following deposition. The exposures are capped by a further series of matrix-supported diamictos and finally a clast-supported massive gravel with some stratification that is associated either with later re-advance of ice and/or deposition as flow diamictos during ice retreat.

Cullen (2012) interpreted the sequence to record ice-marginal and glaciomarine debris flows from efflux jets draining ice from inland Donegal. That interpretation conflicts with the exposures observed in 2014, which show growth of the units in a southerly direction, flow directions to the southwest in the outwash sands, and lithologies of erratic clasts in the diamictos that are all consistent with an ice mass sourced from the Malin Sea rather than inland Donegal. Two OSL samples were collected T7ALTB01 (not measured) and T7ALTB02. T7ALTB01 was taken from a unit of horizontally stratified sand that forms the oldest water-lain deposits identified stratigraphically within the section (Fig. 2B). T7ALTB02 was taken from the youngest water-lain deposit in the sequence, which was composed of deformed (tilted) planar cross-stratified sands (Fig. 2F). These two samples were the most westerly onshore materials collected for the MSIS.

The asymmetrical D_e distribution (Fig. 3A) derived for T7ALTB02 (Shfd15166) suggests heterogeneous bleaching

before burial, and that a small proportion of the grains characterizes the minimum dose population. The OSL age determined for T7ALTB02, 30.4 ± 4.9 ka, is considered slightly old relative to the dating of shelf-break glaciation at 26.3 ka BP. That said, the stratigraphic position buried by >8 m of diamictos shows over-ride by ice and the 30.4 ± 4.9 ka age could constrain the expansion of the MSIS to the coast of NW Donegal. Alternatively, this age, slightly old in the sequence, reflects potentially poor bleaching of the OSL signal, which would not be surprising given the relatively short sediment transport distances implicit in an ice contact setting.

Fawnmore (55.1536 N, 8.0329 W)

Located ~10.5 km east of Altwinny Bay, Fawnmore is a sand and gravel pit that has excavated an ice-marginal terrace at ~30 m I.O.D., and has potential to record the step back of the MSIS eastward along the north coastline of Co. Donegal. Two sections were examined in 2014 (Fig. 4). Section 1, although degraded, was composed of sand, gravel and fine-grained units dipping to the southeast that were capped by a massive diamicton. The active workings of Section 2 displayed delta fore-set sands and gravels dipping towards the southeast. Original observations at Fawnmore (McCabe, 1995) suggest ice retreat to the south, but the southward delta progradation is more consistent with an ice source to the north, a view supported further by the presence of erratic clasts (e.g. basalt)




Figure 5. (A) Generalized vertical log and environmental interpretation of the sediments exposed at Castleroe with x-axis scaling denoting C (clay), Si (silt), S (sand), G (Gravel) and Dm (diamiction). Standard lithofacies codes follow Evans and Benn (2004: fig. 2.15), with prefixes F (fines), S (sand), G (gravel) and D (diamict), and suffixes planar (p) or trough (t) cross-stratification, delta foresets (fo), massive or structureless (S/Fm), horizontal stratification (h), rippled (r), laminations (l) with or without drop-stones (d), gravels matrix-supported massive (Gms), gravels clast-supported massive (Gm), diamict matrix-supported, massive (Dmm) and diamict matrix-supported stratified (Dms). OSL sample positions are indicated by the labelled crossed circles. The labelled bars along the depth axis indicate the coverage of photographs in B and C. (B,C) Photographs of the units sampled for OSL dating. The OSL sample locations are indicated by the labelled circles. [Color figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

sourced up-ice within the MSIS. Consequently, this deposit is interpreted as a delta deposited within a lake dammed by the left-lateral margin of the MSIS to the north. Three OSL samples were collected: T7FAWN01 sampling rippled fine to medium sand with fine laminations from Section 1, and from Section 2 horizontally stratified fine-medium sands (T7FAWN02) and fining-upward couplets of rippled to horizontally laminated fine to medium sand (T7FAWN03). T7FAWN02 and T7FAWN03 were priorities for OSL because these were taken from better exposed sediments that indicate deposition as ice proximal delta fore-sets. Both samples yielded broad D_e distributions (Fig. 3D) suggestive of heterogeneous bleaching before burial, and thus a small proportion of the grains probably characterizes the minimum dose population. The OSL ages determined for T7FAWN02 (Shfd15015) of 25.8 ± 4.2 ka and T7FAWN03 (Shfd15168) of 27.1 ± 3.7 ka

are slightly old relative to the geochronology for adjacent zones. These ages show wide distributions reflective of the poor bleaching of the OSL signal, not unexpected given the relatively short sediment transport distances associated with a small ice proximal delta topset.

The Army moraine

The Army moraine is a major glacial landform in the north of Ireland and forms a series of interlinked ridges hummocks and kettle-holes that extend discontinuously for 50 km between Articlave and Ballycastle [Figs. 1 (inset) and 13] (Knight, 2004, 2008a, 2008b). It is generally agreed that the moraine, given the orientation of its arcuate morphology, marks advance of ice from southwestern Scotland into Northern Ireland, but the timing is not well constrained. The




Figure 6. (A) Photomontage of the main section at Glenshesk Valley. Lithofacies codes are the same as Fig. 5 (see Evans and Benn, 2004). The labelled boxes show the locations covered by the photographs in B and C. Close-up photographs of the units from which (B) T7GLEN01 and (C) T7GLEN02 were sampled. The circles highlight sample positions. [Color figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

samples collected at Castleroe, Glenshesk Valley and Carey Valley are all distributed along the length of, or immediately down ice from, the moraine. The objective was to constrain the timing of this ice incursion into the north of Ireland.

Castleroe (55.0987 N, 6.6363 W): Within the outwash sands and gravels immediately down ice from the Armoy moraine, 4 km southeast of Coleraine and west of the River Bann, a small dormant sand and gravel pit is set within an undulating bench of glacial sediments (Knight, 2004). The sections, when visited in September 2014, showed a fragmentary sequence of what are probably high-energy outwash sands and gravels beneath a massive diamicton containing occasional gravel layers. The sequence is then capped by a unit of clay-silt glaciolacustrine rhythmites containing occasional drop-stones (Fig. 5). Three samples were taken from the middle to lower part of the sequence within the outwash sands and gravels, with T7CAST01 highest in the sequence sampling horizontally stratified coarse sand. Towards the base of a > 10-m-thick sequence samples of planar cross-stratified sands with fine laminations (T7CAST02) and rippled and planar cross-set fine to coarse sands with fine laminations (T7CAST03) were taken (Fig. 5). All three samples targeted appropriate lithofacies for OSL dating within the lower and middle part of the sequence, but unfortunately sand-rich facies did not feature within the uppermost glaciolacustrine unit.

Both samples yielded broad D_e distributions with T7CAST02 asymmetrical (Fig. 3C) suggestive of heterogeneous bleaching before burial, and thus a small proportion of the grains characterizes the minimum dose population. The OSL age determined for T7CAST01 (Shfd15015) is too old at 48.1 ± 4.8 ka and pre-dates a younger sample that was taken from lower in the sequence. T7CAST01 sampled a thin sand unit within high-energy gravel outwash lain down potentially in deep channels of back-bar gravel fore-sets, which may have limited the potential for re-setting of the OSL signal. The D_e distribution for T7CAST02 is slightly better behaved with a younger population of aliquots and yielded an age of 38.3 ± 3.8 ka. Chronologically 38.3 ± 3.8 ka pre-dates the

MSIS advance to the shelf break (Callard *et al.*, 2018), but the stratigraphical location of these samples beneath 6-m-thick diamicts and evidence for deformation of the outwash sediments samples is intriguing. Taken at face value the T7CAST02 (Shfd15168) age of 38.3 ± 3.8 ka may relate to an earlier advance of the ice sheet during the build up towards the LGM. These older glaciofluvial/deltaic sediments at Castleroe were then incorporated within the Armoy Moraine, with ice advance adding the diamict and the uppermost proglacial glaciolacustrine muds as a lake formed between the MSIS and inland 'Irish' ice. The alternative hypothesis is that the Castleroe outwash units are younger and relate to the most recent deglaciation, but where the OSL signals have not been reset for these samples.

Glenshesk Valley (55.1447 N, 6.2211 W): East of the Armoy Moraine and 7 km south from Ballycastle, Glenshesk is one of a series of valleys where water ponded when dammed by ice to the west at Armoy and in the north towards the coast at Ballycastle. Within the Glenshesk valley a set of broad and relatively flat drift surfaces occur, which are stratigraphically above glacial features (drumlinized till) associated with Irish ice (Knight, 2008a). These drift surfaces are believed to be associated with water flow and damming between Irish and Scottish ice and could only be deposited when Scottish-sourced ice formed margins at the Armoy moraine (Knight, 2004). A small gravel pit within one of these surfaces reveals it to be composed of distal glaciofluvial sands and gravels, with the uppermost near-surface sequence showing sands capped by planar cross-stratified gravels. Two samples (T7GLEN01 and T7GLEN02) were collected from units of rippled medium sands (Fig. 6), both yielding asymmetrical D_e distributions (Fig. 3E) suggestive of heterogeneous bleaching and a small minimum dose population. The D_e distribution for T7GLEN02 (Shfd15170) is better behaved and yields a younger age of 23.6 ± 3.4 ka, with T7GLEN01 (Shfd15017) 30.4 ± 4.2 ka probably too old. Both samples were lain down in similar environments and so the between-sample differences in signal resetting probably simply reflect the heterogeneity of bleaching in these environments.




Figure 7. (A) Generalized vertical succession of sediments exposed within Carey Valley (after McCabe and Eyles, 1988). Lithofacies codes are the same as Fig. 5 (see Evans and Benn, 2004). OSL sample positions are indicated by the labelled crossed circles. The labelled bars along the depth axis indicate the coverage of photographs in B and C. (B,C) Photographs of the units sampled for OSL dating. The OSL sample locations are indicated by the circles. [Color figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

Carey Valley (55.1918 N, 6.1555 W): Further east still, the Carey Valley is ~6 km east of Ballycastle and ~2 km inland of the present coastline to the north. Situated down-ice from the most eastern end of the Armoyle moraine, the valley contains a set of terraced flat-topped surfaces that form a deltaic sequence, which has been subsequently incised. This sequence, which is described by McCabe and Eyles (1988), is composed of two lower diamictons separated by gravelly debris flows. The upper sequence comprises a classic Gilbert-type delta sequence of horizontal fine-grained silty bottom-sets, gently dipping gravel and sand fore-set beds, and planar massive top-set gravels (Fig. 7). These sediments were interpreted to reflect deposition into an open marine setting to the north (McCabe and Eyles, 1988). Given the high elevation of the deposit surface at 113 m above O.D. this seems unlikely and instead we suggest it was deposited within a lake dammed by Scottish ice at the Armoyle Moraine near the coast. Two OSL samples were taken from the deltaic sequence, targeting horizontally stratified fine to medium sands in the

bottom-set units (T7CARV01) and an upper sample (T7CARV02) from planar cross-stratified sand in the delta fore-sets. Both samples yielded broad and slightly asymmetrical D_e distributions (Fig. 3B) suggestive of heterogeneous bleaching, but contain small minimum dose populations producing similar ages of 22.6 ± 2.4 ka (T7CARV01: Shfd15169) and 22.1 ± 2.4 ka (T7CARV02: Shfd15018).

Taken as a group these sites constraining the Armoyle Moraine highlight the challenges of dating heterogeneously bleached materials, but the cluster of three OSL ages ranging from 23.6 ± 3.4 to 22.1 ± 2.4 ka from Glenshesk and Carey Valley are in broad agreement. The OSL ages from Castleroe are interesting, but suboptimal in terms of their stratigraphical position, and the youngest of the age measurements may instead constrain the build-up of regional ice to $\sim 38.3 \pm 3.8$ ka. Those sediments were perhaps deposited, and then later ridden over by, MSIS ice and incorporated into the Armoyle Moraine. Alternatively, given $\sim 38.3 \pm 3.8$ ka pre-dates evidence for ice-free conditions in western Scotland



Figure 8. (A) Annotated photo-montage of the main section at Lough Nacung quarry. Lithofacies codes are the same as Fig. 5 (see Evans and Benn, 2004). The labelled boxes indicate the locations of photographs shown in B and C. Close-up photographs of the units sampled for (B) T6LNAC01 and (C) T6LNAC02. The circles highlight the position of the OSL samples. [Color figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

(Jardine *et al.*, 1988), potentially the OSL signals were not reset completely for those samples during the last depositional cycle.

OSL dating sites in the Donegal Mountains and flanking the DBIS

Lough Nacung (55.0405 N, 8.2132 W)

Located in the Donegal Mountains, the Gweedore Valley contains the Clady River which drains these uplands westwards to the coast near Bunbeg (Fig. 1). Immediately downstream of Lough Nacung and south of the Clady River, a large sand and gravel pit has been excavated into dome-shaped low valley-side hillocks at elevations of 93 m (Cullen, 2012). The setting is within the mountain interior of Donegal and glacial landforms therein are more likely to relate to the Donegal Ice Dome, though the exit to the valley reaches the coast between the DBIS (T6) and MSIS (T7). The exposures, visited in September 2014, comprised a Gilbert-type deltaic sequence of massive basal gravels, capped by steeply dipping sand and gravel fore-sets, and capped by planar gravel top-sets (Fig. 8). The sequence has been interpreted by Cullen (2012) as subaqueous fan sediments capped by an ice-distal deltaic sequence. The apparent dip direction of the deltaic fore-sets suggests delta progradation towards the northwest. Deltaic sedimentation was probably within a lake dammed to the north and west by coalesced MSIS and DBIS ice masses and fed by ice sourced to the east in Poisoned Glen, Donegal Mountains. Two samples were collected from units of rippled medium sands (T6LNAC01) and rippled medium to coarse sands (T6LNAC02) located towards the top of the fore-sets. These samples potentially constrain sedimentation within a lake that could only have existed while ice was present to the northwest. Both samples yielded broad D_e distributions (Fig. 9C) suggestive of heterogeneous bleaching and contain

a small minimum dose population. They produced ages of 109.4 ± 8.4 ka (T6LNAC01: Shfd15173) and 132.0 ± 10.5 ka (T6LNAC02: Shfd15014) that though similar do not overlap within uncertainties.

The ages for the Lough Nacung delta are substantially too old relative to the LGM shelf-break maxima for the MSIS and DBIS (Peters *et al.*, 2015, 2016; Callard *et al.*, 2018). The lack of evidence for overriding by ice in the sequence in the form of deformation and disruption of the Gilbert-type delta poses questions about the Donegal ice dome. It seems implausible having ice margins at the shelf break and ice-free enclaves in the Donegal Mountains, and so a more likely explanation is poor resetting of the OSL signal in these uppermost fore-set sands of this ice-proximal delta. TCN ages for three glacially transported granite boulders at Poisoned Glen ~8 km up ice from the delta produced a mean age of 16.9 ± 0.7 ka and indicate that the Derryveagh Mountains (north Donegal) were largely deglaciated by ~18–17 ka (Wilson *et al.*, 2019). The relatively short sediment transport distances implicit in this ice-proximal delta lend further support to the poor resetting of the OSL signal.

Glenulra (54.3023 N, 9.4330 W)

Located near the coast on the southern flanks of Donegal Bay, the exposures at Glenulra are a small aggregate pit and natural river-cut exposures in part incised probably by glacial melt-water. The exposures show a sequence cut into an ice contact delta with a surface at 80 m I.O.D. (Hallissy, 1911; Hinch, 1913; McCabe *et al.*, 2007a; Ballantyne and Ó Cofaigh, 2017). The sediments at Glenulra Quarry and Farm are an important site for the evolution of the Irish Ice Sheet, though the glaciological interpretation of the sequence and the chronology is equivocal (McCabe *et al.*, 2007a; Ballantyne and Ó Cofaigh, 2017). McCabe *et al.* (2007a) described a sequence of basal high-density gravelly flows, ~16 m of




Figure 9. Optically stimulated luminescence data. Abanico plots (Dietze *et al.*, 2016) of the D_e values determined for OSL dating applied at (A) Brockhill Quarry, (B) Glenulra and (C) Lough Nacung. The plots present the D_e distributions in two plots that share a common z-axis of D_e values: (i) a bivariate plot where each D_e value is presented in relation to its precision (shown on the x-axis, where those more precisely known are plotted to the right); and (ii) a univariate plot showing the age frequency distribution of D_e values, which does not give any presentation of the precision of individual D_e values. The grey shading across both plots shows the D_e used in age calculation for each distribution (2σ shown on the y-axis). The combination of these two plots aids interpretation of the scatter in the D_e distributions, where samples with a greater range of D_e values on the z-axis have larger amounts of scatter in the D_e distribution. [Color figure can be viewed at [wileyonlinelibrary.com](https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com)]

bedded muddy fine-grained units and sands, overlain by 5 m of dipping gravelly delta fore-sets prograding northwards onshore to offshore and capped by planar massive gravel delta top-sets (Fig. 10). Marine fauna occur throughout, and have been ^{14}C -dated by analysing a mixture of reworked *Arctica islandica* shells from the basal gravels and gravel delta top-set, and *in situ* monospecific *Elphidium clavatum* from muds interpreted as glaciomarine in origin (McCabe *et al.*, 2007a).

Reconstructions of regional ice flows affecting the Glenulra area show ice generated in the mountains in the southern part of Co. Mayo extended north to Donegal Bay (Synge, 1963, 1965; Greenwood and Clark, 2009a, 2009b). Offshore in Donegal Bay, mapping of submarine landforms affirm the extension of ice northwards from land offshore including a late-stage set of moraines extending from Killala Bay 20 km east of Glenulra (Fig. 14) (Ó Cofaigh *et al.*, 2012), but moraines with geometries

reflecting ice extending westwards from the Irish Midlands to the continental shelf break dominate and suggest that the DBIS came close to or impinged on the north coast of Mayo (Ó Cofaigh *et al.*, 2012). McCabe *et al.* (2007a) interpreted the Glenulra ^{14}C ages as reflecting high relative sea levels from 26 to 45 ka, perhaps discontinuously, but implying substantial isostatic depression. That would require the proximity and some persistence of a thick ice sheet for a significant period before the LGM. Fifteen ^{14}C ages have been obtained for the sequence, with the ages ranging from 21.1 ± 0.2 to 39.5 ± 0.5 ^{14}C ka BP. The 11 ages for reworked *Arctica islandica* shells can only provide maximal constraint on the sequence and the ages may pre-date reworking by millennia. Ballantyne and Ó Cofaigh (2017) summarize an alternative view that ice cover in Ireland was limited before 32 ka supported by ^{14}C dating of organic and faunal remains from various sites. Were the *Arctica islandica* shells found at Glenulra reworked from the sea floor in Donegal Bay, those ^{14}C ages imply ice-free conditions in




Figure 10. (A) Generalized vertical succession of the sediments in Glenulra valley (after McCabe *et al.*, 2007a). Lithofacies codes are the same as Fig. 5 (see Evans and Benn, 2004). The locations of photographs in panels B and C are indicated by the labelled bars along the depth axis. Photographs of the units sampled for (B) T6GULR02 and (C) T6GULR01 with sample locations indicated by the circles. [Color figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]




Figure 11. (A) Generalized vertical succession of the sediments at Brockhill Quarry (after McCabe *et al.*, 1986). Lithofacies codes are the same as Fig. 5 (see Evans and Benn, 2004). The locations of photographs in panels B and C are indicated by the labelled bars along the depth axis. Photographs of the units sampled for (B) T6BROC01 and (C) T6BROC02 with sample locations indicated by the circles. [Color figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

those waters before any build-up of land-based ice and advance to shelf-break glaciation 27.8–27.6 ka (Ballantyne and Ó Co-faigh, 2017). The four ¹⁴C ages for monospecific *Elphidium clavatum* from Glenulra form a tighter cluster spanning 23.7 ± 0.1

to 21.1 ± 0.2 ¹⁴C ka BP and include the youngest ¹⁴C age in the sequence. The ages for these foraminifera, if *in situ*, suggest also significant isostatic depression and proximity to a thick ice sheet 27.8–25.3 cal ka BP (McCabe *et al.*, 2007a). Given the timing for

shelf-break glaciation presented here, the Glenulra ^{14}C ages suggest either (i) the site was not run over by ice during the LGM advance, requiring an implausibly thin DBIS; (ii) there was preservation of the Glenulra deposits under the ice sheet; or (iii) that all the ^{14}C ages are from reworked marine fauna and only provide maximal ages for the deposits (Ballantyne and Ó Cofaigh, 2017). The third scenario potentially still requires high relative sea levels (80 m OD) after 25.3 cal ka BP during deglaciation assuming the deposits are glaciomarine (Ballantyne and Ó Cofaigh, 2017), although a niche glaciolacustrine setting is an alternative hypothesis forming between the DBIS and local ice and thereby receiving reworked glaciomarine fauna. Regional striae patterns on the north Mayo coast (Smith *et al.*, 2008) point to the deflection of ice feeding the DBIS via Bunatrahir and Killala Bays towards the west and northwest.

To address some of these palaeoenvironmental and geochronological uncertainties, this key site was revisited to apply OSL dating to the uppermost deltaic sediments. In November 2014, the upper Glenulra Quarry (54.3023 N, 9.4330 W) sequence displayed the uppermost 3 m comprising a thin diamicton beneath gently dipping sand and gravel fore-sets that were in turn capped by a planar geometry gravelly delta top-set (Fig. 10). The exposures were restricted due to talus and the patchy nature of aggregate extraction, but the dip to the uppermost fore-sets appeared to vary from a W to SW, which differs from McCabe *et al.* (2007a) who recorded a northerly dip to the fore-sets. A summary conclusion might be that the sediment efflux direction was variable, which supported in Geological Survey Ireland mapping showing a north-flowing down-valley meltwater input, but also coast-parallel west-flowing meltwater channels feeding towards the Glenulra delta (Meehan, 2013). A DBIS origin to the sediment efflux provides a mechanism for the reworking of marine fauna. Two samples (T6GULR01, T6GULR02) were collected for OSL dating from rippled medium to fine sands with fine laminations. These sampled units are located from the top of the sequence within the gravelly topsets. Both samples constrain potentially sedimentation within either a small ice-marginal lake or proglacial glaciomarine delta flanking Donegal Bay, with two OSL ages that overlap within uncertainties at 25.2 ± 1.9 ka (T6GULR01: Shfd15172) and 24.1 ± 1.9 ka (T6GULR02: Shfd15012). Both samples yielded asymmetrical D_e distributions (Fig. 9B) suggestive of heterogeneous bleaching, contain a small minimum dose population and are probably maximal ages for the delta. The youngest of these, 24.1 ± 1.9 ka, slightly post-dates though overlaps within uncertainties the youngest of the Glenulra ^{14}C ages at 25.4 ± 0.3 cal ka BP. Regardless, all the chronology from Glenulra is old relative to the DBIS retreat sequence, and we favour an interpretation that the fauna is ostensibly reworked, and that the delta developed as a niche lake ponded between DBIS and inland Irish ice with an active delta topset $\sim 24.1 \pm 1.9$ ka (T6GULR02: Shfd15012).

Brockhill (54.2782 N, 9.3964 W)

McCabe *et al.* (1986) described an extensive area of glaciofluvial outwash deposits west of the drumlins in the low ground feeding towards Bunatrahir Bay. Located ~ 8 km southeast from Glenulra and ~ 3 km inland of the present coast, the aggregate pit at Brockhill is excavated into a flat drift surface that appears to form an ice-contact delta with an ice margin located to the south. McCabe *et al.* (1986) encountered >20 m of vertical thickness of deposit with a basal 6–7 m comprising horizontally bedded and rippled sands delta toe-sets, ~ 13 m of massive to normally graded matrix-supported gravel giving way to planar cross-bed sands, a delta fore-set unit, dipping broadly north, and the sequence is capped by

~ 1 – 2 m of planar cobble and pebbly top-set gravels. In November 2014, at the time of sampling, only the upper half of the sequence was exposed showing sandy delta fore-sets capped by gravel delta topsets. Two samples were collected from the middle (T6BROC01) and top (T6BROC02) of the sandy fore-sets (Fig. 11). Both samples were collected from units of rippled fine to medium sands, with the aim of constraining the unzipping of ice retreating inland into Co. Mayo. Both samples yielded asymmetrical D_e distributions (Fig. 9A) suggestive of heterogeneous bleaching, contained a small minimum dose population and produced ages of 44.4 ± 4.1 ka (T6BROC01: Shfd15171) and paired small aliquot and single grain (SG) measurements for the second sample of 39.1 ± 3.8 ka (T6BROC02: Shfd15013) and 45.8 ± 8.2 ka (T6BROC02: Shfd15013-SG). There is no real evidence for subsequent overriding by ice, and so the most likely explanation is poor resetting of the OSL signal given the relatively short sediment transport distances implicit in this ice proximal delta.

Synthesis of published onshore ages

The Bayesian age modelling uses the new geochronological data obtained during the BRITICE-CHRONO project (Table 4) and already published data (Schiele, 2017; Small *et al.*, 2017a; Wilson *et al.*, 2019), alongside clusters of previously published geochronological information at several onshore locations in Scotland and Ireland (Tables 5 and 6). These are predominantly TCN ages (Table 5) but include some radiocarbon ages from various organic material recovered in mostly glaciomarine sediments in coastal proximal settings (Table 6).

Legacy TCN research from before BRITICE-CHRONO includes the Bloody Foreland moraine and other sites in the Donegal and Ox mountains (Ballantyne *et al.*, 2007; Clark *et al.*, 2009a, 2009b; Ballantyne and Ó Cofaigh, 2017). In Hebridean ice feeders towards the MSIS, other ages come from Arran, South Uist (Stone and Ballantyne, 2006; Finlayson *et al.*, 2014; Small *et al.*, 2016; Ballantyne and Small, 2019). BRITICE-CHRONO conducted a programme of sampling at 12 suitable locations distributed across the two transects aiming to fill in gaps in the existing datasets or resolve issues with the previous dating (Fig. 1 for locations). Small *et al.* (2017a) presented 17 ^{10}Be exposure ages from glacial boulders and bedrock at sites across western Scotland within the area drained by the MSIS. These TCN ages include measurements on Tiree, Mull, Jura, Mingulay and Barra. Wilson *et al.* (2019) presented 20 new ^{10}Be and ^{36}Cl surface exposure ages from six sites in Donegal, including Malin Head, Rosguill, and Poisoned Glen in northern Donegal and Glencolumbkille, Kilcar and Blue Stacks Mountains in southern Donegal, and Schiele (2017) worked on four ^{10}Be samples from Ben Bulbin in Co. Sligo. Some TCN samples at the boundary between the two transects have been used for ensuing Bayesian modelling in both transects (Table 7).

Overall, all these ages provide evidence of the timing of the BIIS first landfall across the Malin Sea and ensuing retreat further inland ultimately towards isolated mountain glaciers. Sites around the coastline of Donegal (including Malin Head, Bloody Foreland, Aran Island, Belderg Pier and Fiddauntawnanoneen; Tables 5 and 6) indicate that the ice margin around 20.5 ka was at the Donegal and north Mayo coasts. In Scotland, ice landfall occurred first at Tiree at around the same time (20.6 ± 1.2 ka) and slightly later in Mingulay (18.9 ± 1.0 ka) on the Outer Hebrides. The TCN ages at Malin Head were used alongside ^{14}C chronology from Corvish to suggest an early separation of Scottish-sourced ice and Donegal-sourced ice by ~ 20.7 ka (Wilson *et al.*, 2019). This

Table 6. Previously published legacy ¹⁴C ages.

Site	Code	Sample type	Stratigraphical context	Conventional radiocarbon age (years BP)	$\pm 1\sigma$ (radiocarbon yrs BP)	Quality	Reference
Transect 6							
Derryvee	BIRM-166	TOC	Moss-rich mud overlaid by proglacial sands and till	30 500	1100	Red (because pre-LGM)	Collhoun et al. (1972)
Belderg Pier, Co. Mayo	SSR-2713	Mollusc	Laminated muds and sands and diamictons interpreted as glaciomarine sediments over glacially striated rock surface	16 940	120	Green	McCabe et al. (1986)
	AA53589	Mollusc		16 980	120	Green	McCabe et al. (2005)
	AA56703	Foraminifera		16 627	83		
	AA56704	Foraminifera		16 830	130		
Fiddauntawnanoneen, Co. Mayo	AA56706	Mollusc	Faunal remains in a very thin series of earth and clay strata above 'sterile' deposit	16 389	74		
	AA56707	Mollusc		16 328	67		
	SSR-2714	Mollusc		17 370	100	Yellow	McCabe et al. (1986)
	OxA-3693	Bone (red deer)		13 622.5	136.5	Yellow	Woodman et al. (1997)
Kesh Corran Caves, Co. Sligo	OxA-3706	Bone (brown bear)	Fluvialite sediments between two glacial diamictons	13 776.5	105.5		
	OxA-3708	Bone (wolf)		13 030	118		
	OxA-5736	Bone (hare)		14 029.5	210.5		
	SRR3023	Antler of <i>Rangifer tarandus</i>		29 900	430	Red (because pre-LGM)	Jardine et al. (1988)
Corvish	SRR3146	Plant debris	Glaciotectionized sediments	29 290	350		
	AA45968	Foraminifera		16 120	160	Green	McCabe and Clark (2003)
	AA45967			15 490	150		
	AA45966			16 460	430		
West of Islay	AA33831		Glaciomarine sediments	15 425	95		
	SUERC13122	Shell		13 103	40	Green	Peacock (2008)
	SUERC13123	Shell		13 054	39	Green	Peacock (2008)
Loch Indaal	SUERC13124		Mud with occasional dropstones and pecten in life position interpreted as glacial diamict capped by sediments indicating glaciomarine and fully marine conditions	13 120	39		
	UL2853	Mollusc (<i>Pecten maximus</i>)		14 020	210	Green	Baltzer et al. (2010)
Lochgilphead	OxA-1697	Shell	Glaciomarine sediments	14 481	303	Yellow	Hedges et al. (1989)
	OxA-1698			14 848	302		

Table 7. The modelled boundary limit ages for the MSIS and DBIS. All boundary ages are expressed as ± 1 sigma. Ages marked with an asterisk are identified as outliers that did not influence the modelled outputs. Model structures show the named Phases in the Bayesian age models and groups of dating information for the models.

Malin Sea Ice Stream (T7)						Donegal Bay Ice Stream (T6)					
Model structure	Age information	Boundary age	Modelled age	Model structure	Age information	Boundary age	Modelled age	Model structure	Age information	Boundary age	Modelled age
Boundary Base: ice-free Scotland Phase Ice free ages	Sourlie-SRR3023	29.9 \pm 0.4	33.5 \pm 0.5	Boundary Base: ice-free Ireland Phase Zone 1	Deryvree: BIRM-166	30.5 \pm 1.1	33.5 \pm 1.2	Boundary BL0-build-up of ice Phase Zone 1	JC106-112VC-51	22.6 \pm 0.07	26.4 \pm 0.1
	Sourlie-SRR3146	29.2 \pm 0.4	32.9 \pm 0.5		JC106-112VC-59.5	22.6 \pm 0.07	26.4 \pm 0.1				
Boundary BL0-base Phase Zone 1	T7JC106-125VC117	22.9 \pm 0.06	26.8 \pm 0.2	Boundary BL1 Phase Zone 2	T6CE_08-018_CC	20.2 \pm 0.09	23.8 \pm 0.2	Boundary BL2 Phase Zone 3	SUERC-63558 T6-103VC-145	22.5 \pm 0.07	26.2 \pm 0.2
	T7JC106-125VC115	22.8 \pm 0.06	26.7 \pm 0.3		UCIAMS-164437 T6-102VC-247	21.0 \pm 0.1	24.8 \pm 0.2				
Boundary BL1 Phase Zone 2	UCIAMS-164440 T7-146VC-389	20.7 \pm 0.1	24.4 \pm 0.3	Boundary BL2 Phase Zone 3	UCIAMS-164431 T6-101VC-548-551	20.1 \pm 0.1	23.8 \pm 0.2	Boundary BL3 Phase Zone 4	ARAN01	21.8 \pm 0.9	21.6 \pm 0.6
	UCIAMS-176383 T7-146VC-369	22.0 \pm 0.1	25.9 \pm 0.1		ARAN02	21.5 \pm 0.9	21.5 \pm 0.6				
Boundary BL2 Phase Zone 3	UCIAMS-176382 T7-146VC-223	20.2 \pm 0.08	23.9 \pm 0.2	Boundary BL3 Phase Zone 4	BF-01 Bloody Foreland	21.2 \pm 1.1	21.6 \pm 0.7	Boundary BL4 Phase Zone 5	BF-02 Bloody Foreland	21.2 \pm 1.1	21.4 \pm 0.6
	UCIAMS-179841 T7_151VC_389	19.7 \pm 0.1	23.1 \pm 0.3		BF-02 Bloody Foreland	18.5 \pm 0.9	*				
Boundary BL3 Phase Zone 4	UCIAMS-164432 T7-153VC-277	19.2 \pm 0.1	22.7 \pm 0.3	Boundary BL4 Phase Zone 5	BF-04-01 Bloody Foreland	17.9 \pm 1.7	*	Boundary BL5 Phase Zone 6	BF-04-01 Bloody Foreland	17.9 \pm 1.7	*
	UCIAMS-164433 T7-154VC-211	18.7 \pm 0.1	22.2 \pm 0.3		BF-04-04 Bloody Foreland	21.8 \pm 1.6	21.6 \pm 0.4				
Boundary BL4 Phase Zone 5	BF-01 Bloody Foreland	21.2 \pm 1.1	21.6 \pm 0.4	BF-04-04 Bloody Foreland	21.8 \pm 1.6	21.6 \pm 0.4					
	BF-02 Bloody Foreland	18.5 \pm 0.9	*	BF-04-05 Bloody Foreland	21.2 \pm 1.7	21.5 \pm 0.7					
	BF-04-01 Bloody Foreland	17.9 \pm 1.7	*	BF-04-06 Bloody Foreland	21.2 \pm 1.9	21.5 \pm 0.7					
	BF-04-04 Bloody Foreland	21.8 \pm 1.6	21.6 \pm 0.4	BF-04-08 Bloody Foreland	23.6 \pm 2.0	21.8 \pm 0.7					
	BF-04-05 Bloody Foreland	21.2 \pm 1.7	21.6 \pm 0.4	BF-04-09 Bloody Foreland	21.7 \pm 2.0	21.6 \pm 0.7					
	BF-04-06 Bloody Foreland	21.2 \pm 1.9	21.6 \pm 0.4	BF-04-10 Bloody Foreland	22.1 \pm 2.0	21.6 \pm 0.7					
	BF-04-08 Bloody Foreland	23.6 \pm 2.0	21.7 \pm 0.4	UCIAMS-164429 T6-099VC-474	20.2 \pm 0.2	20.2 \pm 0.2					
	BF-04-09 Bloody Foreland	21.7 \pm 2.0	21.6 \pm 0.4	SSR-2713 Belderg Pier, Co. Mayo	16.9 \pm 0.1	19.9 \pm 0.2					
	BF-04-10 Bloody Foreland	22.1 \pm 2.0	21.6 \pm 0.4	SSR-2714 Fiddauntawnanoneen, Co. Mayo	17.4 \pm 0.1	20.3 \pm 0.3					
	T7ALTB02 Altwinny Bay	30.4 \pm 4.9	*	AA56707 Belderg Pier, Co. Mayo	16.3 \pm 0.07	19.3 \pm 0.2					
T7FCAR02 Three	21.1 \pm 1.2	20.6 \pm 0.5	AA56706 Belderg Pier, Co. Mayo	16.4 \pm 0.07	19.3 \pm 0.1						
T7FCAR05 Three	20.2 \pm 1.1	20.5 \pm 0.4	AA56704 Belderg Pier, Co. Mayo	16.8 \pm 0.1	19.8 \pm 0.2						
T7FCAR07 Three	20.8 \pm 1.1	20.5 \pm 0.5	AA53589 Belderg Pier, Co. Mayo	16.6 \pm 0.08	20 \pm 0.2						
T7FCARV01 Carey Valley	22.6 \pm 2.4	20.6 \pm 0.5	AA56703 Belderg Pier, Co. Mayo	16.6 \pm 0.08	19.6 \pm 0.1						
T7FCARV02 Carey Valley	22.1 \pm 2.4	20.6 \pm 0.5	Beta432794 JC106-97VC-468cm	16.8 \pm 0.06	19.8 \pm 0.1						
T7GLEN01 Glenshesk Valley	30.4 \pm 4.2	*	Beta432793 JC106-92VC-259cm	16.5 \pm 0.06	19.5 \pm 0.1						
T7GLEN02 Glenshesk Valley	23.6 \pm 3.4	20.6 \pm 0.5	T6GULR01	24.1 \pm 1.9	*						
SUFERC-59509 T7-149VC-421	17.1 \pm 0.05	20.3 \pm 0.2	T6GULR02	25.2 \pm 1.9	*						
Boundary BL5 Phase Zone 6	T6ROS01 Rosguill	18.7 \pm 1.0	19.7 \pm 0.3	Boundary BL4 Phase Zone 5	T6ROS01 Rosguill	18.7 \pm 1.0	18.2 \pm 0.6	Boundary BL5 Phase Zone 6	T6ROS02 Rosguill	21.4 \pm 1.4	17.7 \pm 0.6
	T6ROS02 Rosguill	21.4 \pm 1.4	19.8 \pm 0.3		T6ROS03 Rosguill	18.9 \pm 1.0	18.2 \pm 0.6				
				T6GCS-02	16.2 \pm 1.0	17.3 \pm 0.6		T6GCS-03	17.3 \pm 1.1	17.7 \pm 0.6	
				T6GCS-04	16.5 \pm 1.0	17.4 \pm 0.6					

(Continued)

Table 7. (Continued)

Model structure	Malin Sea Ice Stream (T7)				Donegal Bay Ice Stream (T6)			
	Age information	Modelled age	Boundary age	Model structure	Age information	Modelled age	Boundary age	Boundary age
Boundary BL6 Phase Zone 7	T6ROS03 Rosguill	18.9 ± 1.0	19.7 ± 0.3		MAL03	17.8 ± 0.6	17.8 ± 0.5	
	T7MIN02 Mingulay	18.7 ± 1.0	19.3 ± 0.3		MAL05	19.6 ± 0.7	*	
	T7MIN03 Mingulay	21.6 ± 1.1	19.3 ± 0.3	19.5 ± 0.3	T6KC-01	37.4 ± 5.4	17.9 ± 0.7	
	T7MIN04 Mingulay	17.4 ± 0.9	19.2 ± 0.3		T6KC-02	37.5 ± 6.3	*	
	T7MIN06 Mingulay	19.2 ± 1.0	19.3 ± 0.3		T6KC-03	42.8 ± 6.1	*	
	T7MIN07 Mingulay	20.9 ± 1.1	19.3 ± 0.3		T6KC-04	37.4 ± 5.4	*	
					ERGL-Col-01	17.6 ± 0.8	17.7 ± 0.6	
Boundary BL7 Phase Zone 8	AA45968 Corvish	16.1 ± 0.2	18.8 ± 0.3	19 ± 0.3	ERGL-Col-02	18.2 ± 0.7	18.1 ± 0.5	
	AA45967 Corvish	14.5 ± 0.2	18.4 ± 0.2		ERGL-Col-04	18.1 ± 0.8	18 ± 0.6	
	AA45966 Corvish	16.5 ± 0.4	18.8 ± 0.3		SL-02	17.1 ± 0.8	17.5 ± 0.6	
	AA33831 Corvish	15.4 ± 0.1	18.3 ± 0.2		SL-03	17.8 ± 1.0	17.8 ± 0.6	
					SL-04	17.1 ± 1.0	17.6 ± 0.6	
Boundary BL8 Phase Zone 9	S1 Jura	17.6 ± 1.2	17.1 ± 0.9	18.1 ± 0.7	T6PG-01	17.2 ± 1.1	17.7 ± 0.6	
	S2 Jura	16.5 ± 1.1	16.5 ± 1		T6PG-04	16.2 ± 1.0	17.3 ± 0.6	
	S3 Jura	15.0 ± 1.1	15 ± 1.1		T6PG-05	13.0 ± 0.9	*	
	SNC-06 Jura	16.8 ± 1.1	16.7 ± 1					16.8 ± 0.5
	SNC-07 Jura	16.8 ± 1.0	16.7 ± 0.9		OX-03-01	16.9 ± 1.4	16.2 ± 0.6	
	SNC-02 Jura	14.0 ± 1.7	*		OX-03-02	15.7 ± 1.5	16.1 ± 0.6	
	SNC-03 Jura	12.3 ± 1.4	*		OX-03-03	16.4 ± 1.3	16.1 ± 0.6	
	T7SGU02 North Barra	17.4 ± 1.0	17.1 ± 0.9		OX-03-05	16.9 ± 1.3	16.2 ± 0.6	
	T7SGU03 North Barra	19.8 ± 1.1	*		OX-03-06	17.0 ± 1.7	16.2 ± 0.6	
	T7SGU04 North Barra	17.0 ± 0.9	16.9 ± 0.9					15.3 ± 0.6
T7TMC01 Torr Mor a'Chonairst	17.3 ± 0.9	17.1 ± 0.9		T6BS-04	14.4 ± 0.8	14.5 ± 0.5		
T7TMC05 Torr Mor a'Chonairst	17.8 ± 0.9	17.3 ± 0.9		T6BS-01	13.1 ± 0.9	*		
T7TMC06 Torr Mor a'Chonairst	17.9 ± 1.0	17.3 ± 0.9		T6BS-02	15.4 ± 1.0	*		
Arran D1	16.1 ± 1.0	16.2 ± 0.9		T6BS-03	14.9 ± 0.9	14.6 ± 0.5		
Arran D2	16.9 ± 1.0	16.8 ± 0.9		T6BEN01	13.0 ± 0.7	*		
SUERC13122 W Islay	13.1 ± 0.04	15.2 ± 1.6		T6BEN02	14.3 ± 0.8	14.5 ± 0.5		
SUERC13123 Loch Indaal	13.1 ± 0.04	15.1 ± 1.2		T6BEN03	14.3 ± 0.8	14.5 ± 0.5		
SUERC13124 Loch Indaal	13.1 ± 0.04	15.2 ± 1.4		T6BEN04	15.7 ± 0.9	15.7 ± 0.9		
UL2853 Baltzer	14.0 ± 0.2	17 ± 0.9		Boundary Ice free Midlands			13.9 ± 0.4	
				Phase Zone 7				
Boundary BL9 Phase Zone 10	OxA-1698	14.9 ± 0.3	14.7 ± 1.6		OxA-3706	13.8 ± 0.1	13.4 ± 0.3	
	OxA-1697	14.5 ± 0.3	14.6 ± 1.5		OxA-3693	13.6 ± 0.14	*	
					OxA-3708	13.0 ± 0.1	*	
Boundary BL10-end					OxA-5736	14.0 ± 0.2	13.6 ± 0.3	
				Boundary End of sequence				13.1 ± 0.9




Figure 12. Bayesian chronosequence age-model output of dating constraints using OxCal 4.3. (A) The MSIS (T7) and (B) DBIS (T6). The model structure shown uses OxCal brackets (left) and keywords that define the relative order of events (Bronk Ramsey, 2009a). Each original distribution (hollow) represents the relative probability of each age estimate with posterior density estimate (solid) generated by the modelling. Shown are ¹⁴C ages (black), OSL ages (orange), cosmogenic nuclide ages (blue) and modelled boundary ages (red). Outliers are denoted by '?' and their probably (P) of being an outlier indicated by low values <5 (95% confidence). Model agreement indices for individual ages show their fit to the model with >60% the widely used threshold for 'good' fit (Bronk Ramsey, 2009b). [Color figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]




FIGURE 12 Continued




Figure 13. Maximum and retreat grounding-line positions for the Malin Shelf Ice Stream (T7 transect) and across the present-day coastal hinterland. Location of the geochronological sites constraining the Bayesian modelling, modelled ages for retreat positions, major moraines and grounding-zone wedges on the shelf and on land (from BRITICE Glacial Map v2.0; Clark *et al.*, 2018 and references therein) and isochrones are shown. 'BL', Boundary Layers pre-LGM ice free to 10. Background bathymetry and topography from EMODnet data services (<https://portal.emodnet-bathymetry.eu/services/>). [Color figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

implied that by this time a marine embayment extended eastward along the north coast of Donegal, separating ice flowing north and northeast from the Donegal Ice Centre from the retreating MSIS. The northern mountains of Donegal (Poisoned Glen and Errigal Col) were largely deglaciated by ~18–17 ka (Wilson *et al.*, 2019). By 17.5–16.5 ka the ice margin straddled the fjords, islands and peninsulas of the western seaboard of Scotland, and the Outer Hebrides Ice Cap had shrunk to expose most of the southern Outer Hebridean islands (Small *et al.*, 2017a). In north Co. Mayo, the five younger ^{10}Be exposure ages from glacially transported boulders within the moraine system on the northern slopes of the Ox Mountains (Table 5) indicate that ice persisted in much or all Donegal Bay and covered southwest Donegal as late as 17 ka. By ~15.0 ka the Donegal Ice Centre had shrunk to a small ice cap or ice field of very limited extent on the Blue Stack Mountains (Wilson *et al.*, 2019).

Bayesian models

Bayesian age modelling of all the dating control for both transects has calculated the timing for the advance and retreat of the DBIS and the MSIS (Figs. 12–14, 16; Table 7). Additional coastal and inland sites with organic remains dated to before the LGM and after deglaciation in both Scotland and Ireland were used to identify ice-free conditions before and after the last glacial advance and are discussed in the next section in the context of the Bayesian models (Table 6). Ultimately both Bayesian analyses produced conformable age models with an overall agreement index of 188% for the DBIS and 119% for the MSIS, both exceeding the >60%

threshold advocated by Bronk Ramsey (2009a). Iterative cycles of the Bayesian modelling varying the outlier probabilities led to the identification of the outlier ages shown on Fig. 12. Italics from now on denote the posterior density estimates or modelled ages derived from the Bayesian modelling to distinguish them from the unmodelled individual ages obtained for directly dated samples.

Malin Sea Ice Stream

Basal constraint on the retreat model for the MSIS is provided by radiocarbon ages obtained for faunal remains and organic deposits in western Scotland denoting ice-free conditions before the advances to LGM limits (Jardine *et al.*, 1988; Bos *et al.*, 2004; Brown *et al.*, 2007). At Sourlie on the Ayrshire coast (Fig. 13) in the inner feeder zone of the MSIS (Finlayson *et al.*, 2010, 2014), organic pockets of sediment in cold-stage fluvial sediments between two glacial diamictons yielded antler of *Rangifer tarandus* with the collagen extract dated to $29\,900 \pm 420$ BP (SRR-3023) and plant debris dated to $29\,290 \pm 350$ BP (SRR-3146) (Jardine *et al.*, 1988; Bos *et al.*, 2004). Support for ice-free conditions in the hinterland of the MSIS is provided further east in central Scotland by equivalent organic-rich sediments at Balglass Burn, north of Glasgow (Brown *et al.*, 2007) spanning 39.8–32.8 ka BP. The Bayesian modelling (Fig. 12A) has produced modelled age probability distributions for ice dynamics in the MSIS sector. Organic sites in western Scotland show ice-free conditions around 34.4 ± 1.8 ka and provide maximum constraint on the build-up and extension of ice into the Malin Sea. In zone 1, on the outer shelf, the youngest ^{14}C ages on shells reworked into over




Figure 14. Maximum and retreat grounding-line positions for the Donegal Bay Ice Stream (T6 transect) and across the present-day coastal hinterland. Location of the geochronological sites constraining the Bayesian modelling, modelled ages for retreat positions, moraines on the shelf and on land (from BRITICE Glacial Map v2.0; Clark *et al.*, 2018 and references therein) and isochrones are shown. 'BL', Boundary Layers pre-LGM ice free to 8. Background bathymetry and topography from EMODnet data services (<https://portal.emodnet-bathymetry.eu/services/>). [Color figure can be viewed at [wileyonlinelibrary.com](https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com)]

consolidated diamicts (Callard *et al.*, 2018) constrain shelf break glaciation to 27.9 ± 2.2 ka (BL0), before marine fauna in the softer overlying glaci-marine diamict indicated rapid retreat to the zone 2 moraines by 26.3 ± 0.3 ka (BL1; Fig. 13).

Decline of ice in the more open Malin Sea proceeded with an ice margin >120 km wide retreating east reaching BL2 at 23.5 ± 0.3 ka, BL3 at 22 ± 0.3 ka and BL4 at 21.2 ± 0.5 ka (Fig. 13). Deglaciation of zone 2 vacated the Malin Deep (>-150 m) and the outer portion of the Hebrides Trough (>-150 m) to establish a series of GZWs and the BL2 ice margin east and landward of Stanton Banks. Glaci-marine sediments in front of BL2 yielded basal ^{14}C ages ranging from 23.2 ± 0.3 to 22.1 ± 0.3 ka BP and denote ice-free conditions on the inner Malin shelf by 23.5 ± 0.3 ka (BL2). The constraint on BL3 is provided by TCN and OSL ages from northwest Donegal, with boulders on the Bloody Foreland and Malin Head peninsulas forming a coherent grouping. Two of the Bloody Foreland granite boulder ages were treated as outliers leaving seven consistent TCN ages. The OSL age from Altwinny Bay, notwithstanding the substantial uncertainty, is an outlier in this grouping, and the age of 30.4 ± 4.9 ka (T7ALTB02) is intriguing given that the sand unit sampled was beneath thick diamict units, which reflects later over-riding by ice. It is feasible that the thin outwash pre-dates ice advance and may be better positioned in zone 1 of the Bayesian sequence model. The deltaic deposits at Fawnmore, though on

the face of it a little old, are given the wide uncertainties conformable with the Bayesian model. Together, these ages constrain zone 3 ice margin retreat to BL3 by 22 ± 0.3 ka. BL4 is constrained by TCN ages from Tiree (Inner Hebrides) and OSL ages from outwash draining into lakes ponded by Scottish ice impinging on the lowlands of the north of Ireland broadly at the Arroy Moraine (Knight, 2004; Knight, 2008a). Evidence of ice-free conditions from a zone 4/5 marine core (149VC) is provided by a shell fragment in a soft diamict dated to 20.2 ± 0.2 cal ka BP (Callard *et al.*, 2018). These ages constrain BL4 at 21.2 ± 0.5 ka (Fig. 13).

BL5 at 20 ± 0.3 ka and BL6 at 19.5 ± 0.3 ka (Fig. 13) describe the MSIS dividing into increasingly separate lobes with the ice margin in the Sea of Hebrides entering the fjord landscape of western Scotland, and further south, Scottish ice extended across the North Channel impinging on the lowlands north of Ireland. The cluster of TCN ages from Rosguill document the retreat of ice margins from the outer headlands of the north of Ireland into the mountains of Donegal (Wilson *et al.*, 2019), and across the Malin Sea, TCN measurements from Mingulay (southern Outer Hebrides) (Small *et al.*, 2017a) are very similar in age. In the Bayesian model, the Rosguill and Mingulay clusters are conformable as a single grouping, though the overall model performance is better with Rosguill before Mingulay. The pragmatic interpretation is that the BL5 to BL6 limits were established between 20 ± 0.3 ka and BL6 at




FIGURE 15 Continued.




Figure 16. Overview of modelled isochrones and retreat rates across the two transects of the Malin Sea and Donegal Bay Ice Streams with relevant geomorphological context (from BRITICE Glacial Map v2.0; Clark *et al.*, 2018 and references therein). Dashed lines indicate more tentative isochrone positions due to lack of geomorphological evidence at the required spatial resolution. Background bathymetry and topography from EMODnet data services (<https://portal.emodnet-bathymetry.eu/services/>). [Color figure can be viewed at [wileyonlinelibrary.com](https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com)]

Figure 15. For (A) the Donegal Bay Ice Stream and (B) the Malin Sea Ice Stream, all plotted against age (ka), showing, (bottom) the boundary ages (circle and ± 1 sigma whisker plots) and retreat zones of the respective Bayesian models and the rates of net axial ice margin retreat. (middle) Modelled palaeo-water depths (relative to present-day bathymetry) for the inner and outer shelf derived from a glacial isostatic adjustment (GIA) model (Bradley *et al.*, 2011) updated to include the latest BRITICE-CHRONO ice sheet reconstruction and accounting for global ice sheet variations. (top) Mean and 95% ice bed elevations from the NEXTMap Elevation Data Suite (<https://www.intermap.com/nextmap>) and EMODnet bathymetry (www.emodnet-hydrography.eu/). (C) Ice rafted debris (IRD) flux records from marine cores within the Donegal-Barra Fan MD04-2822 (Hibbert *et al.*, 2010) and MD05-2006 (Knutz *et al.*, 2001, 2002) plotted against an updated age model (Waelbroeck *et al.*, 2019). Heinrich Events H2 and H1 are highlighted in grey (Bond *et al.*, 1992). (D) Ocean-climate parameters showing (bottom) sea surface temperature (SST) records determined for the North Atlantic using SST ($^{\circ}\text{C}$) calculated using planktonic foraminifera for core SO82-02 at 59°N , 31°W (red line) (Van Kreveld *et al.*, 2000; Rasmussen *et al.*, 2016) plotted using an updated age model (Waelbroeck *et al.*, 2019) and the MD01-2461 site from the Porcupine Seabight at 51.7°N , 12.9°W (blue line) (Peck *et al.*, 2006, 2007). (middle) $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ concentrations, Greenland Stadials (GS) and Interstadials (GI) from the GISP2 and GRIP Greenland ice cores (Rasmussen *et al.*, 2014), plotted with modelled surface-air temperatures (black line) relative to present for land masses north of $\sim 45^{\circ}\text{N}$ (Bintanja *et al.*, 2005). (top) Ice volume equivalent sea level (Lambeck *et al.*, 2014) and summer insolation (pecked) for 60°N (Berger and Loutre, 1991). [Color figure can be viewed at [wileyonlinelibrary.com](https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com)]

19.5 ± 0.3 ka (Fig. 13). Boundary limits documenting the step back of increasingly separated ice lobes into the fjords of western Scotland and into the mountains of Donegal integrates evidence distributed across the Malin Sea. BL7 at 19 ± 0.3 ka is constrained between TCN ages in the southern Outer Hebrides (Mingulay), and ^{14}C -dated evidence of ice-free conditions at Corvish (Donegal) (McCabe and Clark, 2003). There is strong geographical spread to the age constraint on BL8 at 18.1 ± 0.7 ka and BL9 at 14.9 ± 1.5 ka (Fig. 13), and this is supported by an array of dated TCN sites on Mull, Jura, North Barra and Arran. The three ages treated as outliers within zone 9 were two TCN ages from Jura that Ballantyne *et al.* (2014) had previously interpreted as too young owing to the probable burial of the boulders under a former cover of sediment and/or peat. Three more TCN ages obtained more recently from Jura included a further slightly young age (S3-Jura) (Small *et al.*, 2017a) and was also handled as an outlier. Together, four of Jura TCN ages form a coherent set within the Bayesian model. Ultimate deglaciation of the western Scottish Highlands occurred by 14.3 ± 1.8 ka (BL10) (Fig. 13).

Donegal Bay Ice Stream

There are fewer locations in the hinterland of the DBIS that constrain ice-free conditions pre-dating Marine Isotope Stage 2 advances, though Colhoun *et al.* (1972) described organic freshwater silts and fine sands at Derryvree (Co. Fermanagh; Fig. 14) that nestled between two thick diamict sheets from a road-cut exposure of a drumlin (54.3031 N, 7.4411 W). The Derryvree cold stage organic deposits yielded an age of 30.5 ± 1.1 ^{14}C ka BP (Birm-166) and indicate ice-free conditions (Colhoun *et al.*, 1972). Bayesian modelling indicates a maximum constraint on the build-up and extension of ice into Donegal Bay at 35.1 ± 3.2 ka (pre-LGM ice-free conditions; Figs. 12B and 14), in a similar age range to the western coastline of Scotland, further to the north (Jardine *et al.*, 1988; Bos *et al.*, 2004).

In zone 1 (Fig. 14), on the outer shelf, the youngest ^{14}C ages on shells reworked into over-consolidated diamicts constrain shelf break glaciation to 26.6 ± 1.3 ka (BL0) and the establishment of the shelf break moraine (BL1) at 26.3 ± 0.1 ka (BL1). In zone 2, moving landwards, a series of ^{14}C ages from glaci-marine muds constrain ice-free conditions in the outer Donegal Bay across a series of arcuate sea floor moraines. These ^{14}C ages with the more landwards zone 3 chronology constrain BL2 to 22.9 ± 0.7 ka. Zone 3 contains a series of nine ^{10}Be ages from Bloody Foreland (Clark *et al.*, 2009a; Wilson *et al.*, 2019) and two from Aran Island (Cullen, 2012; Wilson *et al.*, 2019) both in northwest Donegal. The location of these sites is marginal to both the DBIS and MSIS, and probably developed a suture between the two ice-masses with ice margin retreat. Two of the Bloody Foreland ages plot too young and were handled as outliers, with all the others forming a coherent grouping. These sites constrain deglaciation of the outer headlands and islands of northwest Donegal and correlate with BL3 ice margins in Donegal Bay to 20.5 ± 0.3 ka (Fig. 14). Zone 4 comprises dating of ice-free conditions moving further east into Donegal Bay and a series of marine fauna ^{14}C -dated on the north coast of County Mayo. Our attempt to date the uppermost deltaic deposits by OSL logically suggests that they form part of this cluster but form a clear 'too old' outlier in the Bayesian model. The zone 4 chronology and bracketing ages in zone 5 constrain the BL4 limit to 19 ± 0.4 ka. Interestingly, the modelling combines together ^{14}C ages from the Donegal Bay moraine complex and the Killala Bay moraines, thus suggesting that they are not statistically differentiated and therefore part of a single phase of the ice margin. Within this phase, it is possible that the Killala Bay moraines represent a rapid and short-lived advance of an ice

tongue from the north Mayo coast due to debuttressing of northward-flowing ice caused by retreat of the DBIS.

Zones 5–7 record the stepping back of ice margins from Donegal Bay into the flanking mountain regions in counties Donegal, Mayo and Sligo. Zone 5 integrates dating information from typically the coastal fringe around the mountains of Donegal and includes six locations yielding 18 TCN ages. These form a coherent grouping in the Bayesian model, with three of four ^{36}Cl ages from Kilcar too old and probably compromised by nuclide inheritance though the fourth age is consistent within that grouping. Elsewhere, one of three ^{10}Be ages from Poisoned Glen, north Donegal, appears too young, as does one of five ^{10}Be ages from Glencolumbkille, southwest Donegal (MAL-05; Ballantyne *et al.*, 2007; Wilson *et al.*, 2019). Together, these 13 ages form a conformable group and constrain retreat of ice margins on-land into the mountains of Donegal by 16.8 ± 0.5 ka (BL5). In zone 6, eight ^{10}Be ages came from the northern Ox Mountains, south of Donegal Bay, and were published originally by Clark *et al.* (2009b). Later authors have rationalized the division of the ages into two clusters regarding the five younger ages (mean 16.6 ± 0.6 ka) as better constraint on deglaciation, with the older cluster affected by nuclide inheritance (Ballantyne and Ó Cofaigh, 2017; Wilson *et al.*, 2019). These five ages form a conformable grouping and constrain retreat of ice margins further inland to the Ox Mountains and BL6 by 15.3 ± 0.6 ka. Deglaciation of zone 7 of the DBIS is constrained by TCN ages from Eglisk Valley in the Blue Stack Mountains and Binn Ghulbain (Ben Bulbin) in County Sligo. These TCN ages form a broadly conformable set, with two of the Eglisk Valley ages and two of Binn Ghulbain ages handled as outliers. In total, four TCN from the two localities indicate that by 13.9 ± 0.4 ka (BL7) the mountains of the inner DBIS had deglaciated (Fig. 14).

Discussion

The seafloor geomorphology (Bradwell *et al.*, 2008; Benetti *et al.*, 2010; Dunlop *et al.*, 2010; Ó Cofaigh *et al.*, 2012, 2019; Howe *et al.*, 2012; Dove *et al.*, 2015; Callard *et al.*, 2018) and terrestrial landforms in western Scotland, the north of Ireland and around Donegal Bay suggest the presence of former ice streaming across both the Malin Shelf and Donegal Bay (McCabe, 2008; Greenwood and Clark, 2009a; Greenwood and Clark, 2009b; Finlayson *et al.*, 2010, 2014; Clark *et al.*, 2012). However, these two adjoining sectors of the former BIIS display clearly different characteristics and rates of retreat during the last glaciation and deglacial period (Figs. 13–16).

The MSIS had a wide ice margin (120 km; Fig. 13) that remained so as the ice retreated across the shelf. The shelf topography is characterized by pronounced areas of deeper water, with normal and adverse slopes corresponding to the major seabed troughs, including the Malin Deep and extensions of the Hebrides Trough (Fig. 16), both separated by the Stanton Banks bedrock high (Lewisian Gneiss) (Dobson and Whittington, 1992). The geomorphological features associated with ice margin retreat across this outer to mid-shelf topography are complex systems of GZWs, while moraines and much smaller GZWs are found mostly in the inner shelf and close to the coastline and are much smaller (Dunlop *et al.*, 2010; Howe *et al.*, 2012; Dove *et al.*, 2015; Callard *et al.*, 2018). Conversely, the DBIS was not as wide (ca 80 km), decreasing in width as the ice margin retreated landward and had a very gently normal-sloped bed (only the innermost part of the bay displays an adverse slope) and a distinct pattern of closely spaced recessional moraines across the shelf (Benetti

et al., 2010; Ó Cofaigh *et al.*, 2019). Some lateral moraines (Fig. 14) exist in a position that suggests the presence of a distinct small ice lobe extending northwards into the bay at some stage during deglaciation (Benetti *et al.*, 2010; Ó Cofaigh *et al.*, 2019).

From ice-free conditions in the hinterlands of the MSIS and DBIS ~33 ka (Colhoun *et al.*, 1972; Jardine *et al.*, 1988), glacial landforms and the presence of radiocarbon-dated subglacial diamicts at the shelf edge show that between 28 and 26.5 ka the BIIS had grown to its maximum extent with ice grounded to the shelf edge (Fig. 16). Evidence across the continental shelf of the western BIIS suggests that this ice margin extended also north and south of the Malin Sea, following predominantly the shelf edge at 140–150 m (current) water depth from northern Scotland to northern Porcupine Bank, with coalescing ice from Scotland and Ireland (Benetti *et al.*, 2010; Schiele, 2017; Bradwell *et al.*, 2021; Ó Cofaigh *et al.*, 2021). This recognition that the BIIS extended to the edge of the Malin Shelf led Wilson *et al.* (2019) to suggest that the Donegal ice dome was of sufficient thickness to have buried all mountain summits. This hypothesis is supported by thermomechanical models of ice-sheet build-up and decay driven by proxy climate data (Hubbard *et al.*, 2009) which predict thick cold-based ice over many summits. There is further support for these ice thicknesses elsewhere in Ireland (Ballantyne *et al.*, 2011; Ballantyne and Stone, 2015; Ballantyne and Ó Cofaigh, 2017; Ballantyne and Small, 2019), and demonstrations that the last ice sheet overtopped all mountain summits in northwest Scotland (Fabel *et al.*, 2012; Ballantyne and Small, 2019). This build-up of ice, from Greenland Stadial (GS) 4 into the beginning of GS-3, occurs relatively early within the context of the global LGM and pre-dated the maximum in global ice volume (Fig. 15). Variations in sea surface temperature across the North Atlantic Ocean and variations in air temperature before the global LGM may indicate that changes in ocean and atmospheric circulation patterns could have resulted in an increase in atmospheric moisture transport from the Equator to the Poles that is concomitant with a cooling at the northern latitudes favouring the accumulation of snow and ice (Khodri *et al.*, 2001; Clark *et al.*, 2009c; Hughes *et al.*, 2013; Lambeck *et al.*, 2014; Hughes and Gibbard, 2015). In the Malin Sea, shelf edge glaciation appears to be relatively short-lived. By 26.5 ka the ice sheet had already started to retreat from the shelf edge and extensive iceberg scouring at the shelf edge across the entire margin of the Malin Sea indicates that it happened initially through intense calving. This is also before the global LGM and occurred during cold conditions of GS-3. It is possible, as suggested by Ó Cofaigh *et al.* (2019) and Callard *et al.* (2018), that this early retreat was related to the growth of the BIIS and driven by local ice loading increasing water depths and promoting calving ice loss rather than by any changes in oceanic and atmospheric temperatures. This early retreat coincides with the timing of Heinrich event 2 and the increased flux of BIIS-sourced ice-rafted debris (IRD) to the Donegal-Barra Fan at both MD04-2822 (Hibbert *et al.*, 2010) and MD05-2006 (Knutz *et al.*, 2001, 2002) (Fig. 15D).

After the maximum extension in Donegal Bay ~26.6 ka, the retreat and pullback of the DBIS margins across the outer shelf was occurring at a rate of ca. 20 m a⁻¹. Subsequently, we observe a clear pattern of episodic retreat and then stabilizations of the ice margin each marked by a morainic ridge on the shelf; more than 25 such moraines can be counted across the Donegal Bay shelf and even more are visible in sub-surface geophysical data (Benetti *et al.*, 2010; Ó Cofaigh *et al.*, 2019). For the Malin Shelf, in contrast, an extensive GZW complex (zone 2: Fig. 13) is observed on the outer shelf for the entire width of the ice stream margin (Callard *et al.*, 2018). This outer

portion of the MSIS displays one of the lower rates in retreat for the MSIS (18.7 m a⁻¹; Fig. 15B), and this is smaller than the retreat rate for the corresponding zone of the DBIS (Fig. 15A). The timing of formation of the GZWs in this zone is consistent with the reconstructed 600–1500 years for the deposition of GZWs during ice stream retreat in Antarctica before the Holocene (palaeo-Pine Island ice stream) (Jakobsson *et al.*, 2012). After the initial retreat from the shelf edge, there is a switch in the relative magnitude of retreat rates and in the MSIS they are five to ten times faster than the DBIS (Fig. 15A vs. 15B). This could be related to the shape of the underlying bed. The Malin Shelf displays a clear reverse-sloping bed into the Malin Deep and Hebridean Trough (zones 3 and 5: Fig. 13), where we observe retreat rates of ~25–29 m a⁻¹, that could have contributed to an accelerated ice loss compared to the much more gently inclined DBIS bed (Fig. 15A). When grounding lines retreat onto reverse-sloped beds theoretical and numerical models predict that instability of the ice margin can be triggered by increases in ice thickness at the grounding line, which in turns favours an increase in ice flow across it. This mechanism, termed marine ice-sheet instability (MISI), has been advocated in explanations of the dynamics of many West Antarctic outlets (Schoof, 2007; Favier *et al.*, 2014; DeConto and Pollard, 2016). Whether the water depths are sufficient for MISI to have occurred in the Malin Sea remains to be tested. Overall, the rates of retreat across the margin at this time appear to be between 1.5 and 10 times slower than those of other ice streams of the former BIIS, Laurentide Ice Sheet, Fennoscandian Ice Sheet, and for the Greenland Ice Sheet (Winsborrow *et al.*, 2010; Hughes *et al.*, 2012; Stokes *et al.*, 2014; Scourse *et al.*, 2021).

Foraminiferal and sedimentological data developed for the sector suggest that glaciomarine conditions prevailed during ice margin retreat across the Malin Shelf (Callard *et al.*, 2018). Across the entire ice front there is a distinct reduction in retreat rates once the margins reached constrictions in width at the headlands and islands of Donegal and Scotland; this is particularly the case in the mid-Malin Shelf (10 m a⁻¹ in zone 4; Fig. 13) and outer Donegal Bay (2–5.4 m a⁻¹ in zones 3/4; Fig. 14). Within this area, the Donegal Bay Moraine (zone 4; Fig. 14) represents a major stillstand at 20.5–19 ka. The assessment here of ages developed for the Donegal Bay and Killala Bay moraines cannot be differentiated statistically (Fig. 12B) to distinguish the Killala Bay moraines as a temporally distinct readvance as previously suggested (Ó Cofaigh *et al.*, 2012, 2019). Instead, it is likely that all the moraines mapped within zone 4 (Fig. 14) were the product of oscillating ice positions from different source areas around Donegal Bay and formed around the same time. It appears likely that the Donegal and Mayo headlands and underlying bedrock highs visible in the sub-bottom data (Benetti *et al.*, 2010; Schiele, 2017) acted as shallow and constricted pinning points during the retreat thus slowing ice loss (Favier *et al.*, 2012) and favouring the formation of this moraine complex, at this time fed by entirely Irish-based ice, now a separate Donegal Ice Dome. In attempting to resolve the temporal linkages between MSIS and DBIS we highlight a less well-resolved region between Malin Beg and Bloody Foreland, which occupies both the developing suture between the two ice streams during their respective maximum and later retreat. This sector is rendered even more complex by the growing influence of the ice dome over the Donegal mountains on the geomorphology. The exact timing of the separation of Scottish and Irish Ice in the Malin Sea is resolved for the first time here by the MSIS Bayesian model, which brackets it between 20 and 19.5 ka (Figs. 13 and 16). Thus, separation of Scottish and Irish Ice in the Malin Sea occurs quite early during deglaciation.

tion, a feature not present in previous reconstructions (see DATED; Hughes *et al.*, 2016). This timing of 20–19.5 ka coincides with equivalent data from the north Irish Sea basin showing the pullback of ice on land in northeast Ireland (McCabe *et al.*, 2007b; McCabe, 2008; Ballantyne and Ó Cofaigh, 2017; Chiverrell *et al.*, 2018). Here we show the reduced contributions of ice from the North Channel into the Irish Sea, which accords with evidence for an ice-free western Irish Sea and the margins of the Irish Sea Ice-stream positioned to the north of the Isle of Man receiving flows solely from SW Scotland (Galloway Hills Ice Dome) and the English Lake District by 20–19 ka (Chiverrell *et al.*, 2018; Scourse *et al.*, 2021). Ice persisted longer over Donegal Bay than on the Malin Shelf. Compared to the DBIS sector, the ice margin of the MSIS was still straddling the entire width of the Malin Shelf, through a series of deep troughs and smaller headlands (Fig. 13). By 20 ka, Tiree was already seaward of the ice margin, but the remainder of the Inner and Outer Hebrides were still glaciated. Rapid retreat in the Minch Trough between 20 and 18.5 ka and the drawdown of ice led to Hebridean ice masses becoming glaciologically independent shortly before ~18.5 ka (Bradwell *et al.*, 2021) and leading to the development of a separate Outer Hebrides Ice Dome (Small *et al.*, 2017a). A differential pattern of retreat developed to the northeast and southeast once the separation of MSIS and DBIS initiated, and the Outer Hebrides Ice Dome became independent. Seismic and bathymetric data behind Stanton Bank show a stepped retreat to the southeast between Tiree and Mull (Callard *et al.*, 2018) but that is not resolved in terms of timing by the BRITICE-CHRONO sampling.

Around 20–18.5 ka, the retreat of the MSIS was proceeding at a slightly slower pace of ~20 m a⁻¹ compared to earlier retreat, and which may reflect stabilization of ice margins at constricted fjord mouths of western Scotland. The net MSIS retreat rates are between 10 and 28 m a⁻¹ throughout and do not vary much at all, so the changes in net pace are subtle. That said, there is better geomorphological evidence for pinning and stabilization points, for example the larger GZWs and moraines, so the actual pace of retreat may have included faster and slower episodes not resolved by the net axial ice margin retreat rate data that emerges from the Bayesian age modelling. This is a pattern of retreat observed commonly in marine-based palaeo-ice streams (Ottesen *et al.*, 2005; Shaw *et al.*, 2006; Larter *et al.*, 2009; Winsborrow *et al.*, 2010; Jakobsson *et al.*, 2012; Newton and Hulse, 2017; Bradwell *et al.*, 2021). Between 21 and 15.4 ka, the reduction in the flux of subglacially derived material, measured using radiogenic Pb isotope data, to the continental shelf is interpreted as the result of the break-up of the ice-stream in western Scotland (Arosio *et al.*, 2018a) and glaciomarine conditions are still indicated in the shelf sediments around the Scottish coastline (Callard *et al.*, 2018). Sedimentological evidence from the Donegal-Barra Fan suggests some marine extension of the BIIS until as late as ~16.5 ka that allowed glaciomarine sediment deposition on the fan, with discrete episodes of calving recorded as peaks in IRD between 18 and ~16.5 ka (Tarlatti *et al.*, 2020).

Between 19 and ~16.8 ka, an increase in retreat rate, from an average of around 3.7 to 25 m a⁻¹, is however observed in the inner part of Donegal Bay (Zone 5; Fig. 14), inshore of the extensive Donegal Bay and Killala Bay moraine complex that occupies the outer bay. At this location, the reverse sloped bed (Fig. 15B) is likely to have accelerated through MISI processes (Schoof, 2007; Favier *et al.*, 2014; DeConto and Pollard, 2016). The overall driver, beyond instability, of retreat at this stage is unclear as it is happening within GS-2 and therefore atmospheric warming is unlikely to be a significant control (Fig. 15). Lack of significant change in foraminifera assemblages across the Malin Sea also suggest that the final stages of deglaciation

were not likely driven by changes in sea temperature but more probably by local sea level changes and/or thinning of the ice sheet. This is supported by modelled water depths for the inner and outer MSIS derived from a glacio-isostatic adjustment model (Bradley *et al.*, 2011) rerun to account for the ice thicknesses from the latest BRITICE-CHRONO ice sheet reconstruction and accounting for global ice sheet variations (Fig. 15A,B). This suggests maximum water depths occurred at 20–16 ka in the later part of GS-2. Retreat to a fully terrestrially based Donegal Ice Dome occurred within 1–1.5 ka after 16.8 ka and corresponds with the timing of Heinrich event 1. Deglaciation at low ground around Donegal Bay was widespread by 15.3 ka when ice-free conditions are also recorded in the Ox Mountains (zones 6 and 7, Fig. 14).

Conclusions

New OSL ages combined with Bayesian modelling of legacy and BRITICE-CHRONO ages along with consideration of their stratigraphic and landform contexts has allowed us to reconstruct ice advance to the continental shelf edge and withdrawal from here and back across the marine to terrestrial transition (Fig. 16). We summarize the main aspects, and the coastal and inland radiocarbon ages show that Donegal and Scotland were ice free at low elevations around 34–35 ka. However, by 27.9–26.6 ka the BIIS had reached its maximum extent, reaching the shelf break of the Malin Sea extending distances of ~190 km from the Donegal and ~280 km from the Scottish coastlines. Geomorphological and sedimentological evidence in the form of subglacial diamict, moraines and GZWs show a continuous ice margin developed at the shelf edge, fed by ice flow from two confluent ice streams, the Malin Sea and the Donegal Bay ice streams. Bayesian modelling of the geochronology shows that retreat from maximum started synchronously along the entire shelf edge of the Malin Sea by 26.3 ka. Compared with the onset of ice retreat globally this is surprisingly early. The MSIS retreated at a rate of ~19 m a⁻¹ and the Donegal Bay Ice Stream at ~20 m a⁻¹ both across the outer shelf between 26.3 and 22.5–23 ka. The outer shelf GZWs in the northern part of the Malin Sea and recessional moraines in the southern part, offshore NW Ireland, indicate that episodic retreat was separated by still-stand or oscillation of the ice margins. The Bayesian modelling struggles to resolve the duration of still-stands, but the scale of the landforms suggests some persistence of the ice margins at these locations.

By 23–22 ka the outer shelf (an area of about ~25 000 km²) was already free of grounded ice and ice margin retreat continued at a slower net rate across mid-shelf between 23.5 and 20.5 ka, with the ice margin sitting across the central Malin Sea, near the NW Irish coastline, and across the outer part and mouth of Donegal Bay. The separation between Irish-based and Scottish-based ice seems to have occurred just after this time around 20–19.4 ka, leaving behind an autonomous ice dome over the uplands of Donegal. Thereafter, mass loss of ice on the inner Malin shelf was focused along major submarine troughs and took place over the ensuing 2000 years at a net rate of 16–27 m a⁻¹ with an ice margin positioned close to the present coastline within the Sea of Hebrides at 19 ka. In Donegal Bay retreat during this time was punctuated by still-stands building moraines, and retreat occurred at a much slower pace of 2–5.4 m a⁻¹. The Donegal Bay and Killala Bay moraines at the mouth of the bay record a major ice margin stillstand between 20.5 and 19 ka, with the moraines of different orientations suggesting oscillating ice positions driven by different source areas around Donegal Bay. Once the ice margin started retreating further from this position, the rate of retreat drastically accelerated to 25 m a⁻¹, probably due to the reverse-

slope bed in the inner part of the bay. By 17–16 ka ice had retreated onto land and may have persisted as isolated ice caps in both Scotland and Ireland at least until ca. 14.9–13.9 ka.

Our chronologically constrained reconstruction suggests that the early retreat of the marine-terminating western margin of the BUIS was initially driven by local ice loading that increased water depths promoting ice losses by calving, rather than forcing by rises in ocean and atmospheric temperatures. Retreat from the mid-shelf to the coastline proceeded at differing paces between ice-streams and was affected by the presence of topographic controls, including pinning points at underlying bedrock outcrops and constrictions between coastal headlands of Scotland and Ireland, and by the presence of reverse-slope beds underneath portions of the ice streams. Thinning of the ice sheet could have also driven the onset of stages comprising relatively more rapid retreat close to the coastlines of Ireland and Scotland. The timing and rates of retreat for the two ice streams seem largely unrelated to global atmospheric and oceanographic changes, except for the final stage transition into ice-free conditions before 14–13 ka.

Acknowledgements. This research was funded by the UK Natural Environment Research Council consortium grant NE/J007196/1 BRITICE-CHRONO. The work was supported by the NERC Radiocarbon Facility and NERC Cosmogenic Isotope Facility Analysis. Thanks to the staff at the SUERC AMS Laboratory, East Kilbride, for carbon isotope measurements. We thank the officers and crew of the RRS *James Cook* for their assistance with data acquisition, as well as the British Geological Survey and UK National Oceanography Centre for vibro- and piston core collection, respectively, during cruise JC106. Also thanks to the entire BRITICE-CHRONO consortium for fruitful discussions over the duration of the project and the two anonymous reviewers for their constructive comments.

Data availability statement

Background bathymetry and topography presented in the figures are available through the EMODnet data services (<https://portal.emodnet-bathymetry.eu/services/>). Mapping of onshore and off-shore glacial landforms is available from BRITICE Glacial Map v2.0 (www.briticemap.org/). Data in Fig. 15 for ice bed elevations are from the NEXTMap Elevation Data Suite (<https://www.intermap.com/nextmap>) and EMODnet bathymetry (www.emodnet-hydrography.eu/). All other data newly presented in this paper are available on request from the authors.

Abbreviations. BUIS, British Irish Ice Sheet; DBF, Donegal-Barra Fan; DBIS, Donegal Bay Ice Stream; GS, Greenland stadial; GZW, grounding-zone wedge; ICP-MS, inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry; IEU, internal–external uncertainty; IRD, ice rafted debris; LGM, Last Glacial Maximum; MAM, Minimum Age Model; MCMC, Markov chain Monte Carlo; MISI, marine ice-sheet instability; MSIS, Malin Sea Ice Stream; OSL, optically stimulated luminescence; SG, single grain; TCN, terrestrial cosmogenic nuclide.

References

Arosio R, Crocket KC, Nowell GM *et al.* 2018a. Weathering fluxes and sediment provenance on the SW Scottish shelf during the last deglaciation. *Marine Geology* **402**: 81–98. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.margeo.2017.08.017>

Arosio R, Dove D, Ó Cofaigh C *et al.* 2018b. Submarine deglacial sediment and geomorphological record of southwestern Scotland after the Last Glacial Maximum. *Marine Geology* **403**: 62–79. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.margeo.2018.04.012>

Balco G, Stone JO, Lifton NA *et al.* 2008. A complete and easily accessible means of calculating surface exposure ages or erosion rates from ¹⁰Be and ²⁶Al measurements. *Quaternary Geochronology* **3**: 174–195. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quageo.2007.12.001>

Ballantyne CK. 1989. The Loch Lomond Readvance on the Isle of Skye, Scotland: glacier reconstruction and palaeoclimatic implications. *Journal of Quaternary Science* **4**: 95–108. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jqs.3390040201>

Ballantyne CK, McCarroll D, Stone JO. 2007. The Donegal ice dome, northwest Ireland: dimensions and chronology. *Journal of Quaternary Science* **22**: 773–783. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jqs.1116>

Ballantyne CK, McCarroll D, Stone JO. 2011. Periglacial trimlines and the extent of the Kerry-Cork Ice Cap, SW Ireland. *Quaternary Science Reviews* **30**: 3834–3845. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2011.10.006>

Ballantyne C.K., Ó Cofaigh C. (2017) The Last Irish Ice Sheet: Extent and Chronology. In: Coxon P., McCarron S., Mitchell F. (eds) *Advances in Irish Quaternary Studies. Atlantis Advances in Quaternary Science*, vol 1. Atlantis Press, Paris. https://doi.org/10.2991/978-94-6239-219-9_5

Ballantyne CK, Small D. 2019. The last Scottish ice sheet. *Earth and Environmental Science Transactions of the Royal Society of Edinburgh* **110**: 93–131. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1755691018000038>

Ballantyne CK, Stone JO. 2015. Trimlines, blockfields and the vertical extent of the last ice sheet in Southern Ireland. *Boreas* **44**: 277–287. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bor.12109>

Ballantyne CK, Wilson P, Gheorghiu D *et al.* 2014. Enhanced rock-slope failure following ice-sheet deglaciation: timing and causes. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms* **39**: 900–913. <https://doi.org/10.1002/esp.3495>

Ballantyne CK, Wilson P, Schnabel C, XU S. (2013) Lateglacial rock slope failures in north-west Ireland: age, causes and implications. *Journal of Quaternary Science*, **28** (8), 789–802. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jqs.2675>

Baltzer A, Bates R, Mokeddem Z, Clet-Pellerin M, Walter-Simonnet A-V, Bonnot-Courtois C, Austin William E. N. (2010) Using seismic facies and pollen analyses to evaluate climatically driven change in a Scottish sea loch (fjord) over the last 20 ka. *Geological Society, London, Special Publications*, **344** (1), 355–369. <https://doi.org/10.1144/sp344.24>

Bateman MD, Evans DJA, Roberts DH *et al.* 2018. The timing and consequences of the blockage of the Humber Gap by the last British–Irish Ice Sheet. *Boreas* **47**: 41–61. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bor.12256>

Benetti S, Dunlop P, Cofaigh C. 2010. Glacial and glacially related features on the continental margin of northwest Ireland mapped from marine geophysical data. *Journal of Maps* **6**: 14–29. <https://doi.org/10.4113/jom.2010.1092>

Bennett MR, Boulton GS. 1993. Deglaciation of the Younger Dryas or Loch Lomond stadial ice-field in the northern Highlands, Scotland. *Journal of Quaternary Science* **8**: 133–145. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jqs.3390080206>

Berger A, Loutre MF. 1991. Insolation values for the climate of the last 10 million years. *Quaternary Science Reviews* **10**: 297–317. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0277-3791\(91\)90033-Q](https://doi.org/10.1016/0277-3791(91)90033-Q)

Bevington PR, Robinson DK. 2003. *Data Reduction and Error Analysis for the Physical Sciences*. McGraw-Hill: Boston.

Bintanja R, van de Wal RSW, Oerlemans J. 2005. Modelled atmospheric temperatures and global sea levels over the past million years. *Nature* **437**: 125–128. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature03975>[PubMed: 16136140]

Bond G, Heinrich H, Broecker W *et al.* 1992. Evidence for massive discharges of icebergs into the North Atlantic Ocean during the last glacial period. *Nature* **360**: 245–249. <https://doi.org/10.1038/360245a0>

Borchers B, Marrero S, Balco G *et al.* 2016. Geological calibration of spallation production rates in the CRONUS-Earth project. *Quaternary Geochronology* **31**: 188–198. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quageo.2015.01.009>

Bos JAA, Dickson JH, Coope GR *et al.* 2004. Flora, fauna and climate of Scotland during the Weichselian Middle Pleniglacial – palynological, macrofossil and coleopteran investigations. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* **204**: 65–100. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0031-0182\(03\)00724-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0031-0182(03)00724-7)

Boulton G, Hagdorn M. 2006. Glaciology of the British Isles Ice Sheet during the last glacial cycle: form, flow, streams and lobes.

- Shepherd A, Wingham D, Rignot E. 2004. Warm ocean is eroding West Antarctic Ice Sheet. *Geophysical Research Letters* **31**. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2004GL021106>
- Sissons JB. 1980. The Loch Lomond Advance in the Lake District, northern England. *Transactions of the Royal Society of Edinburgh: Earth Sciences* **71**: 13–27. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0263593300013468>
- Small D, Benetti S, Dove D *et al.* 2017a. Cosmogenic exposure age constraints on deglaciation and flow behaviour of a marine-based ice stream in western Scotland, 21–16 ka. *Quaternary Science Reviews* **167**: 30–46. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2017.04.021>
- Small D, Clark CD, Chiverrell RC *et al.* 2017b. Devising quality assurance procedures for assessment of legacy geochronological data relating to deglaciation of the last British–Irish Ice Sheet. *Earth-Science Reviews* **164**: 232–250. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2016.11.007>
- Small D, Fabel D. 2015. A Lateglacial ^{10}Be production rate from glacial lake shorelines in Scotland. *Journal of Quaternary Science* **30**: 509–513. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jqs.2804>
- Small D, Rinterknecht V, Austin WEN *et al.* 2016. Implications of ^{36}Cl exposure ages from Skye, north Scotland for the timing of ice stream deglaciation and deglacial ice dynamics. *Quaternary Science Reviews* **150**: 130–145. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2016.08.028>
- Small D, Smedley RK, Chiverrell RC *et al.* 2018. Trough geometry was a greater influence than climate-ocean forcing in regulating retreat of the marine-based Irish-Sea Ice Stream. *GSA Bulletin* **130**: 1981–1999. <https://doi.org/10.1130/B31852.1>
- Smedley RK, Chiverrell RC, Ballantyne CK *et al.* 2017a. Internal dynamics condition centennial-scale oscillations in marine-based ice-stream retreat. *Geology* **45**: 787–790. <https://doi.org/10.1130/G38991.1>
- Smedley RK, Scourse JD, Small D *et al.* 2017b. New age constraints for the limit of the British–Irish Ice Sheet on the Isles of Scilly. *Journal of Quaternary Science* **32**: 48–62. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jqs.2922>
- Smith MJ, Knight J, Field KS *et al.* 2008. Glacial striae observations for Ireland compiled from historic records. *Journal of Maps* **4**: 378–398. <https://doi.org/10.4113/jom.2008.1035>
- Sole A, Payne T, Bamber J *et al.* 2008. Testing hypotheses of the cause of peripheral thinning of the Greenland Ice Sheet: is land-terminating ice thinning at anomalously high rates? *Cryosphere* **2**: 205–218. <https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-2-205-2008>
- Sonntag J, Manizade S, Krabill W *et al.* 2012. Progressive thinning of Greenland's west-central flank and northwest coastal margin from operation icebridge laser altimetry, 1553–1556. <https://doi.org/10.1109/IGARSS.2012.6350815>
- IPCC, 2013: Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change Stocker, T.F., D. Qin, G.-K. Plattner, M. Tignor, S.K. Allen, J. Boschung, A. Nauels, Y. Xia, V. Bex and P.M. Midgley (eds.). Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, 1535 pp. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781107415324>
- Stokes CR. 2018. Geomorphology under ice streams: moving from form to process. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms* **43**: 85–123. <https://doi.org/10.1002/esp.4259>
- Stokes CR, Clark CD. 1999. Geomorphological criteria for identifying Pleistocene ice streams. *Annals of Glaciology* **28**: 67–74. <https://doi.org/10.3189/172756499781821625>
- Stokes CR, Clark CD. 2001. Palaeo-ice streams. *Quaternary Science Reviews* **20**: 1437–1457. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0277-3791\(01\)00003-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0277-3791(01)00003-8)
- Stokes CR, Corner GD, Winsborrow MCM *et al.* 2014. Asynchronous response of marine-terminating outlet glaciers during deglaciation of the Fennoscandian ice sheet. *Geology* **42**: 455–458. <https://doi.org/10.1130/G35299.1>
- Stone JO, Ballantyne CK. 2006. Dimensions and deglacial chronology of the Outer Hebrides Ice Cap, northwest Scotland: implications of cosmic ray exposure dating. *Journal of Quaternary Science* **21**: 75–84. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jqs.933>
- Svendsen JI, Alexanderson H, Astakhov VI *et al.* 2004. Late Quaternary ice sheet history of northern Eurasia. *Quaternary Science Reviews* **23**: 1229–1271. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2003.12.008>
- Synge FM. 1963. The glaciation of the Nephin Beg Range, County Mayo. *Irish Geography* **4**: 397–403. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00750776309555569>
- Synge FM. 1965. The glaciation of west Mayo. *Irish Geography* **5**: 372–386. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00750776509555630>
- Tarlati S, Benetti S, Callard SL *et al.* 2020. Final deglaciation of the Malin Sea through meltwater release and calving events. *Scottish Journal of Geology* **56**: 117–133. <https://doi.org/10.1144/sjg2019-010>
- Thomas GSP, Chiverrell R, Huddart D. 2004. Ice-marginal depositional responses to readvance episodes in the Late Devensian deglaciation of the Isle of Man. *Quaternary Science Reviews* **23**: 85–106. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2003.10.012>
- Thomsen KJ, Murray AS, Bøtter-Jensen L *et al.* 2007. Determination of burial dose in incompletely bleached fluvial samples using single grains of quartz. *Radiation Measurements* **42**: 370–379. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.radmeas.2007.01.041>
- Van Kreveld S, Sarnthein M, Erlenkeuser H *et al.* 2000. Potential links between surging ice sheets, circulation changes, and the Dansgaard-Oeschger Cycles in the Irminger Sea, 60–18 Kyr. *Paleoceanography* **15**: 425–442. <https://doi.org/10.1029/1999PA000464>
- Waelbroeck C, Lougheed BC, Vazquez Riveiros N *et al.* 2019. Consistently dated Atlantic sediment cores over the last 40 thousand years. *Scientific Data* **6**: 165. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41597-019-0173-8> [PubMed: 31477737]
- Wilson P, Ballantyne CK, Benetti S *et al.* 2019. Deglaciation chronology of the Donegal Ice Centre, north-west Ireland. *Journal of Quaternary Science* **34**: 16–28. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jqs.3077>
- Winsborrow MCM, Andreassen K, Corner GD *et al.* 2010. Deglaciation of a marine-based ice sheet: Late Weichselian palaeo-ice dynamics and retreat in the southern Barents Sea reconstructed from onshore and offshore glacial geomorphology. *Quaternary Science Reviews* **29**: 424–442. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2009.10.001>
- Woodman P, McCarthy M, Monaghan N. (1997) The Irish quaternary fauna project. *Quaternary Science Reviews*, **16** (2), 129–159. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0277-3791\(96\)00037-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0277-3791(96)00037-6)