

Moving towards improved surveillance and earlier diagnosis of aquatic pathogens: from traditional methods to emerging technologies MacAulay, Scott; Ellison, Amy; Kille, Peter; Cable, Jo

Reviews in Aquaculture

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/raq.12674

Published: 01/09/2022

Peer reviewed version

Cyswllt i'r cyhoeddiad / Link to publication

Dyfyniad o'r fersiwn a gyhoeddwyd / Citation for published version (APA): MacAulay, S., Ellison, A., Kille, P., & Cable, J. (2022). Moving towards improved surveillance and earlier diagnosis of aquatic pathogens: from traditional methods to emerging technologies. *Reviews in Aquaculture*, *14*(4), 1813-1829. https://doi.org/10.1111/raq.12674

Hawliau Cyffredinol / General rights Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

• Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.

- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
 You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal ?

Take down policy If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Moving towards improved surveillance and earlier diagnosis of aquatic pathogens: from

traditional methods to emerging technologies

Running Title – Aquatic Disease Diagnostics

Scott MacAulay ^{1*}, Amy R Ellison ², Peter Kille ¹, and Joanne Cable ¹

¹School of Biosciences, Cardiff University, Cardiff, CF10 3AX, UK

²School of Natural Sciences, Bangor University, Bangor, LL57 2UW, UK

Corresponding Author: *MacAulayS@cardiff.ac.uk

1 Abstract

2 Early and accurate diagnosis is key to mitigating the impact of infectious diseases, along with 3 efficient surveillance. This however is particularly challenging in aquatic environments due to hidden 4 biodiversity and physical constraints. Traditional diagnostics, such as visual diagnosis and 5 histopathology, are still widely used, but increasingly technological advances such as portable Next 6 Generation Sequencing (NGS) and Artificial Intelligence (AI) are being tested for early diagnosis. The 7 most straightforward methodologies, based on visual diagnosis, rely on specialist knowledge and 8 experience but provide a foundation for surveillance. Future computational remote sensing methods, 9 such as AI image diagnosis and drone surveillance, will ultimately reduce labour costs whilst not 10 compromising on sensitivity, but they require capital and infrastructural investment. Molecular 11 techniques have advanced rapidly in the last 30 years, from standard PCR through loop-mediated 12 isothermal amplification (LAMP) to NGS approaches, providing a range of technologies that support 13 the currently popular eDNA diagnosis. There is now vast potential for transformative change driven 14 by developments in human diagnostics. Here we compare current surveillance and diagnostic 15 technologies with those that could be used or developed for use in the aquatic environment, against 16 three gold standard ideals of high sensitivity, specificity, rapid diagnosis, and cost-effectiveness.

17

18 Keywords: Aquatic Diagnostics, Aquatic Disease, Disease Surveillance, Molecular Diagnostics, Visual
 19 Diagnosis, eDNA

20

21 **1. Introduction**

22 The increased demand for protein to sustain the growing human population could be largely 23 fulfilled by aquaculture¹. In 2018, global aquaculture production reached 114.5 million tons (valued at 24 £192.95 billion), but further growth is required to sustain a population predicted to reach 9.7 billion 25 by 2050^{1,2} and replace other less sustainable protein sources. Therefore, facilitating the growth and 26 health of managed fish is a priority, with arguably the greatest challenge to this being infectious disease. Prevention and early detection of pathogens are essential to reduce the estimated £4.2 billion 27 annual losses to aquaculture worldwide^{3,4}, with parasites accounting for losses of £47-134 million 28 29 annually to the UK industry alone⁵. All animals are subject to disease, with infectious disease outbreaks 30 exacerbated by environmental disturbance (habitat loss or destruction, pollution, urbanization, ocean acidification, climate shift; reviewed by Cable et al.⁶), population density, diet and intrinsic host factors 31 (immune status, genetics, life-stage, reproductive status^{7,8}). The old adage 'prevention is better than 32 cure' still applies with regards to control of infectious disease, but the wider impacts need to be 33 34 considered if prevention, for example, contributes to antimicrobial resistance or other environmental

impacts. Non-chemical interventions, good husbandry, stress reduction, environmental enrichment, dietary supplements, water quality maintenance, stock movement restrictions, quarantine measures, genetically resistant stocks, and regular surveillance all contribute to prevention⁹, but complete harmony is difficult to achieve¹⁰. Even the best management strategies cannot guarantee protection from disease outbreaks and effective mitigation requires early detection diagnostics: identifying the pathogens, and if possible, quantifying them.

Typically, fish health is first assessed visually through general indicators such as behaviour and 41 42 appearance. Routine monitoring of fish health is more challenging than for terrestrial livestock due to variable and fluctuating water conditions. Turbidity, sediment type, turbulence and the weather can 43 all affect visibility and obscure detection of clinical signs^{11,12}. Like any infectious disease, early diagnosis 44 45 of aquatic pathogens is vital to minimise morbidity and mortality; once a pathogen or group of 46 pathogens is identified, early intervention can reduce the chances of mass mortalities. For parasites 47 such as Saprolegnia parasitica which cause rapid host death (24-48 hours) with no effective cure, early diagnosis is key to reduce population-level losses¹³. The goals for early diagnosis can be categorised 48 under four pillars: sensitivity, specificity, speed and cost (infrastructure, consumables, and labour). 49 50 This review assesses the range of early diagnostic techniques currently used in aquaculture, the 51 ornamental trade, wild fisheries and aquatic research, and considers future developments. As novel 52 diagnostic techniques are brought to the forefront for human health, greatly accelerated by the SARS-53 CoV-19 pandemic, this provides potential for translation to animal health methods. Early detection 54 and identification of problem pathogens will allow for effective implementation of control strategies 55 minimising losses and the spread of infection.

- 56
- 57

2. Considerations when Selecting Aquatic Diagnostics

58 As Emerging (and re-emerging) Infectious Diseases become more common, we must consider 59 technologies utilised in other fields or currently in development for use in aquatic systems, bearing in 60 mind the Technology Readiness Level (TRL; scaled 1-7). This metric defines the maturity of a 61 technology in relation to development, with 1 reporting the research backing the technology and 7 representing the operational testing stage¹⁴. Diagnostic techniques showing promise with a TRL 1-3 62 are in their infancy and will require further development before implementation. Although the TRL is 63 64 primarily applied to terrestrial technologies, it does flag technologies that could be transferred to 65 aquatic systems but doing so is not simple as there are significant challenges regarding the variable 66 and dynamic aquatic environment.

The natural aquatic environment is constantly in flux and resident fish are subject to variations
in water quality, oxygen concentrations, light levels, enrichment, competitors, and predators,

69 all potentially influencing disease susceptibility. These factors also impede disease surveillance, for 70 example, through difficulty in observation and sample obtainment. Many fish, especially those in the 71 ornamental trade, are transferred long distances to reach the end user and this movement also 72 increases susceptibility and disease risk through mechanical disturbances¹⁵ and reduced water quality from increased CO₂ and build-up of other toxic compounds¹⁶. Within intensive aquaculture systems, 73 74 water quality including dissolved oxygen levels are controlled, but stocking density is often pushed to 75 its limit, which can also affect disease susceptibility^{17, 18}. For many species, high densities increase 76 stress, as is the case with Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar) resulting in increased disease susceptibility¹⁸. 77 For territorial species, such as Nile tilapia (Oreochromis niloticus), high densities can lower stress, as social aggression is reduced¹⁹ and consequently so too is disease susceptibility²⁰. So, disease mitigation 78 79 is critically dependent on the system and species. The number of aquatic species cultured greatly 80 outnumbers those in terrestrial environments, with around 600 aquatic species farmed commercially¹. 81 This means there is no "one-size-fits-all" solution for aquatic diagnostics and each method must be 82 tailored towards the culturing system and species.

83 Resources for aquatic disease diagnosis arise from academic, governmental, and independent organisations. They vary greatly across sectors and geographic regions, and all rely heavily on local 84 85 specialist knowledge. Within intensive aquaculture, commercial diagnosis routinely utilises off-site or 86 company veterinarians and scientific laboratories, particularly when the pathogens are cryptic²¹. For 87 aquafarmers with limited or no technology including internet access, alternative diagnostic technologies such as tele-diagnosis systems can be employed^{22,23}. With growing consciousness of the 88 89 effects of overfishing on global aquatic ecosystems, funding is being put in place to aid transitions to 90 sustainable fishing and the development of aquatic and coastal jobs. Ensuring sustainability is a 91 concern and efforts vary globally. The European Union put in place the European Maritime and Fisheries Fund (EMFF) to support sustainability²⁴, with funding split between fisheries and 92 93 aquaculture, monitoring and enforcement of rules, data collection to improve future knowledge, and 94 to the blue economy through creation and growth of marine jobs. In Asia, the fisheries refugia 95 approach was implemented with the goal of bringing together the fisheries and environmental sectors 96 of the South China Sea, aiming to reduce fishing pressures and aid in habitat management²⁵. With the 97 outcome of the fisheries refugia concept resulting in local sustainability of target species, such as 98 lobsters (Panulirus spp. and Thenus orientalis) and tiger prawns (Penaeus monodon) by implementing seasonal closing so that the populations can recover²⁶. 99

Projects such as the fisheries *refugia* allocate areas, however one key issue with aquaculture is site occupation, with farms requiring large areas for enclosures and associated infrastructure. Open water systems pose additional problems for disease, with spillover/spillback effects between natural 103 and farmed populations²⁷. One approach to combat this is the development of inland 'mega-farms', 104 self-contained units, which prevent disease transmission between wild and farmed fish, allowing treatments to be more targeted thereby reducing pollution²⁸. For recreational angling, city centre 105 106 fisheries provide those with limited countryside access an 'authentic' fishing experience from within 107 the city limits. Indoor angling prevents fish from being impacted by weather conditions, inflowing 108 pathogens, invasive non-native species and predators, but requires large setup and maintenance 109 costs. Similar small inner-city venues for small scale locally produced food are appearing with tilapia, 110 for example grown alongside salad crops in aquaponic systems²⁹. All these onshore/inland facilities 111 face optimisation challenges, with husbandry and housing conditions (e.g., lighting, enrichment, flow 112 rate) varying between species and facility, in addition to very strict biosecurity, which is why diseases 113 in these facilities have not been eliminated⁹. As productivity of these indoor aquatic industries is still 114 limited by infectious disease, the development of novel diagnostic techniques is vital for continued 115 growth.

116 The health of farmed fish and responsible usage of aquatic resources is managed across different scales; from local/regional to trans-national and global efforts. On a regional or national 117 level, fish health may be managed by governmental agencies, such as the UK Centre for Environment 118 119 Fisheries and Aquaculture Science³⁰ and the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration 120 (NOAA). At an international or transnational level, the Asia-Pacific Fishery Commission (APFIC)³¹ and 121 the Ornamental Fish International (OFI) organisations, amongst others, contribute to fish health management³². Wild fish stocks may be managed by different governmental organisations: in England 122 123 and Wales this is the Environment Agency (also responsible for stocked fish), and for Scotland the 124 Marine Scotland Directorate Fish Health Inspectorate. Intergovernmental organisations, such as 125 INFOFISH and GLOBEFISH, provide information to fisheries worldwide. Aquaculture and the 126 ornamental trade may also benefit from the advice of nutrition companies. Food additives are 127 increasingly included in fish diets to boost the immune system to reduce disease susceptibility^{33,34}. If farmers are experiencing problems with specific pathogens, then specialist vets can provide targeted 128 129 advice to combat the infection. However, there is an increasing number of emerging diseases, such as 130 puffy skin disease or red-mark syndrome, for which the causal agents are unknown so relying on treatments/interventions by vets is problematic³⁵. 131

All fish stocks need to be regularly surveyed for pathogens, but progressive budget cuts over recent decades have reduced routine surveillance, such that now surveys only tend to be conducted for research or in response to a disease outbreak³⁶. This is a global problem, especially in Europe, Asia, Africa and South America, with survey results suffering bias through false or inaccurate reporting, which further complicates risk assessments³⁷. Without regular surveys of fish health, prevention (and indeed early warning of wider ecosystem problems) becomes increasingly difficult, but earlydiagnostics can at least help maintain fish health of current stocks.

The next three sections (3-5) cover the three main categories of diagnosis, visual, cellular and molecular, whilst providing details on specific techniques and example pathogens to highlight how such techniques have been applied.

142

143 **3. Visual Diagnosis**

144 Visual diagnosis can range from traditional methods of noting changes in behaviour and 145 condition to remote sensing through drones and AI diagnosis (Figure 1; Table 1).

146

147 3.1 Visual observation for clinical signs and diagnosis

148 In situ, aberrant behaviour of fish, often followed or accompanied by altered physiology or 149 morphology, are typically early indicators of ill health, often observed via manual surveillance. 150 Common clinical signs include increased opercular rate, gasping at the surface, loss of equilibrium, lesions or abrasions, and string-like faeces³⁸. Observation can often be the earliest form of diagnosis 151 152 within the fish trade, especially for those lacking resources or access to more complex methods. 153 Identification of such characteristics may lead to a more detailed examination for pathogen presence 154 or a full post-mortem, the sensitivity of which relies on the experience and expertise of the observer. 155 Large ectoparasites and or pathogens that cause visible clinical signs can be detected by sight alone. 156 For example, Saprolegnia parasitica, a parasite of particular importance to aquaculture, presents as 157 "fluffy" white patches on the body, head and fins of fish, (which may present from 1 to 4 days post-158 infection) distinguishable from the water's surface whilst the fish is submerged³⁹. Adult crustacean 159 parasites, such as freshwater (Argulus spp.)⁴ (Figure 2a) and marine lice (Caligus or Lepeophtheirus 160 spp.), both of which result in huge economic losses to industry, can aggregate in large numbers on the 161 body or gills of a fish, visible by eye. But the variety of pathogens and prevalence of cryptic species often results in low specificity of diagnosis solely through observation. Visual diagnosis can be time-162 163 consuming depending on the number of fish and the species of both host and pathogen. Diagnostic 164 features may also change during disease progression and secondary pathogens might obscure clinical signs of the primary pathogen⁴¹. Certain diseases present distinct clinical signs, such as ulcerations, 165 166 lesions or exophthalmia, but the causal agents remain unknown; such as in red-mark syndrome or 167 puffy skin disease (Figure 2b). Unfortunately, many observable clinical signs present once infection is 168 established and as such most visual based diagnostic methods (visual observation, microscopy, 169 remote sensing and AI) are applied as active methods to combat infection as opposed to preventing 170 infections from establishing.

171 Microscopy is often the next step in visual diagnosis, accuracy of which is again dependent on 172 the expertise of the observer. For microscopic diagnostics, mucus scrapes or tissue sections of the fish 173 are commonly utilised. For example, Chilodonella hexasticha, a ciliated protozoan fish parasite, can be visualised from skin/mucous scrapes without the need for staining⁴², likewise for larger pathogens 174 such as Diplostomum or Trichodina species. Microscopic diagnosis relies on the pathogen being 175 176 morphologically distinct, which within the cacophony of aquatic pathogens, is a rarity. For 177 gyrodactylids, with >400 Gyrodactylus species described, the majority are morphologically cryptic, 178 requiring sequencing, or electron microscopy, to differentiate species⁴³. For the many thousands of 179 Gyrodactylus species, and other fish pathogens, as yet undescribed, sequencing alone is problematic without a morphological reference description, so a combined approach is required⁴³. Other than 180 181 equipment and labour costs, light microscopy is relatively cheap, but the main caveat is user error, 182 which affects the specificity of diagnosis and means low level infections can be overlooked. Diagnosis 183 of fish disease through these traditional methods is highly skill dependent, with variation occurring 184 between the individual carrying out the diagnosis⁴⁴. Microscopy can generate quantified data, but again is dependent on the accuracy of the diagnostician and the representative samples. Many aquatic 185 186 pathogens, including viruses, are undetectable through light microscopy and require electron 187 microscopy, which is costly⁴⁵, and increasingly difficult to find suitable facilities.

188 Certain external clinical signs can be difficult to diagnose and may require additional measures 189 to improve accuracy. Ulceration, erosion of the skin from mechanical or chemical means, is a common 190 sign of disease in fish, particularly for ectoparasites feeding on the dermis. Ulcers lead to 191 haemodilution and osmotic imbalance in the fish, and often secondary infection. Mortality inducing ulcers are detectable by eye, whereas early-stage ulcers were difficult to detect visually until Noga³⁸ 192 193 suggested a fluorescein test commonly used in terrestrial diagnosis for corneal ulceration. The fish is 194 immersed in fluorescein that enters the damaged epithelial layer and allows skin damage to be 195 visualised under UV⁴⁶. Compared to histology (see 3.2 below), fluorescein is more sensitive at targeting 196 ulcers, lower cost and faster with complete coverage of the fish. Due to high sensitivity but low 197 specificity however, the method will pick up on minor ulcerations that may have been caused by handling or regular activity and are not attributable to pathogens⁴⁷. High concentrations of fluorescein 198 199 may be toxic to fish, but short exposure (approx. 6 minutes) at doses (0.1-0.2 mg per ml) used experimentally did not negatively affect fish^{38,47,48}. Fish anaesthetised with tricaine 200 methanesulphonate, however, may present false negatives as tricaine subdues the fluorescent 201 reaction, or false positives as unbuffered tricaine causes epithelial damage⁴⁹. Fluorescein is a useful 202 203 non-lethal methodology for ulcer visualisation but not for pathogen diagnosis.

204

205 *3.2 Histopathology*

206 Histology can be a valuable diagnostic tool if host and or pathogen tissue is available. It can 207 be useful for routine monitoring or once infection has been established, but internal examination 208 requires sacrifice of the target species. Sample processing involves the use of chemical preservatives 209 such as 10% formalin (or even Bouin's fluid, potentially explosive when dry) for tissue fixation, embedding (in paraffin or resin), sectioning, affixing onto a slide and staining³⁸ using generic (such as 210 211 Haematoxylin and Eosin) or more specific (e.g., Periodic Acid-Schiff) stains^{50,51}. Slides are then 212 examined for tissue abnormalities or direct pathogen identification (Figure 3). Histology is a valuable 213 diagnostic method for many diseases, such as furunculosis and syncytial hepatitis of tilapia, and the 214 cryptic salmonid disease ulcerative dermal necrosis (UDN) is currently only detectable through histology^{52,53}. Diagnosis of furunculosis, caused by *Aeromonas salmonicida salmonicida*, however 215 216 requires a minimum of two days post-infection and can take up to 7 days⁵⁴. Similarly, samples of fish 217 muscle can be used to diagnose Aphanomyces invadans histologically after 7 days through 218 visualisation of hyphae, and the formation of granulomas is apparently only after 14 days⁵⁵. 219 Histopathological detection tended to be the go-to diagnostics for pathogens of invertebrates, including mycobacterial infection in Red-clawed crayfish (Cherax quadricarinatus)^{56,57}. This speaks to 220 221 the accuracy and availability of histology as a diagnostic tool but in recent years it has become less 222 popular due to the cost and development of novel technologies. Histopathology can be cost-intensive 223 compared to other visual diagnostics (~£35 per slide) but cheaper than molecular techniques (see 5 224 below). Histological diagnoses require several days but provides high specificity for target pathogens 225 and semi-quantitative results depending on the replicates analysed.

Immunohistochemistry (IHC) targets specific pathogens with antibodies^{58,59}. *Tetracapsuloides* 226 227 bryosalmonae, the causative agent of proliferative kidney disease, for example, can be detected through kidney tissue staining with a monoclonal antibody and counter stain⁶⁰ (Figure 3), and the 228 229 bacterial agent of rainbow trout fry syndrome (Flavobacterium psychrophilum) is detectable in fish tissue through IHC⁶¹. Potential non-specific binding, cross-reactivity of antibodies⁶², ischemia of 230 antigens⁶³ and a lack of standardised methods^{64,65} mean IHC is not deployed as an initial diagnostic 231 232 method, but as confirmation if a particular pathogen or pathologies are suspected and as with 233 histology only provides semi-quantitative results.

234

235 3.3 Remote Sensing

Fish suffering infection will often remain at the surface, in a moribund state and can be picked up by farmers, workers or environmental officers patrolling the water body, but surveying of wild stocks is challenging. This is time-consuming and limited to accessible sites. Drones can be 239 implemented to refine this process, by applying an appropriate resolution to the camera, being able 240 to survey the entire water body from the air, and potentially providing images for immediate diagnosis⁶⁶. Advances in remote sensing techniques have allowed developments in visual diagnosis, 241 242 especially for terrestrial organisms, and are expanding to the aquatic environment. Remote sensing, which utilises remote-controlled technologies to transmit or record images or video directly⁶⁷, is 243 244 increasingly used for wildlife monitoring, where unmanned aerial vehicles (UAV or drones) gather realtime data⁶⁸. UAVs have been used to conduct aquatic aerial surveys of macrofauna, such as sharks and 245 246 crocodiles, with current developments paving the way for underwater surveys^{69,70}. The benefits to 247 UAV diagnosis include increased survey coverage, less risk to personnel, repeatability and reduced 248 operational costs⁷¹. Applications of UAVs for disease diagnosis are still developing but have been successfully applied in agriculture^{72,73}. UAVs could be useful for detecting large aquatic ectoparasites, 249 250 such as sea lice, or those which cause visible external signs, like the white patches of S. parasitica. The 251 crux of remote sensing diagnosis is its autonomy and extended reach compared to human 252 observation; however, it is still limited in its sensitivity and specificity, requiring visible clinical signs to 253 make a diagnosis. Thus, early diagnosis with remote sensing at this stage is unlikely, but it could be a 254 valuable tool for combating outbreaks once they occur.

255 Not all infected fish rise to the surface, so underwater surveys may be required. Autonomous 256 underwater vehicles (AUVs), fully functional below the water's surface, possess a 360° camera or 257 "eye", allowing for high throughput detection in challenging environments. AUVs have been successful at marine macrofauna⁷⁴ and invertebrate⁷⁵ identification, highlighting their potential for aquatic 258 disease diagnosis. The "Stingray" drone designed by Norwegian engineer Esben Beck utilised stereo-259 260 cameras to detect lice on a fish, and then deployed lasers to kill the lice⁷⁶. Although no current data is 261 available on the efficacy of "Stingray", field tests and feedback from industry are positive, with drone deployment throughout Norwegian and Scottish salmon farms⁷⁶. Technologies such as the "Stingray" 262 263 combat infections in real time, allowing detection as soon as a louse infects a host, and represents a middle ground between early detection and detection after infection has been established. Remote 264 265 sensing for pathogen detection and diagnosis is still in its infancy but it presents significant potential 266 for remote detection and quantification of pathogens in an elusive and difficult environment.

267

268

3.4 Artificial-Intelligence (AI) and Diagnostic Software

Gaining sufficient experience to accurately assess and diagnose fish diseases takes years, hence interest in Artificial Intelligence (AI) to automate diagnosis through digital image processing⁷⁷. Al programs are capable of learning and developing through experience⁷⁸. But for each taxon, comprehensive training and test image databases are needed for AI disease detection 273 development^{79,80}. Images for training AI must be good resolution with no replicated images and must 274 include the pathogen on different backgrounds from different angles. Once training is complete, a 275 new set of images is required for validation. The strength of the training images will influence the 276 sensitivity and specificity of the diagnostic capability of the AI. AI detection can also be applied to 277 video footage; similar issues occur, but with the additional need to account for sudden light changes 278 and multiple objects in the field of view⁸¹. A key problem for AI diagnosis of fish pathogens is the lack 279 of suitable image databases, but citizen science projects could provide such images. Successful image 280 detection has been achieved for epizootic ulcerative syndrome, caused by the oomycete parasite 281 Aphanomyces invadans, using different image processing techniques, where the most successful technique successfully identified A. invadans 86% of the time⁸², but such methods have yet to be 282 283 tested on large databases.

284 The Fish-Vet diagnostic tool, originally developed by Zeldis and Prescott⁴¹ as a desktop 285 application for PC, was an early attempt at a diagnostic program for aquatic diseases. The software 286 evolved into a free aquatic diagnostic app (FishVetApp), which provides information and images of 95 287 fish diseases, covering ornamental, food and wild fish. The FishVetApp is currently in development for 288 mobile devices, allowing it to be more widely used in the field. Others have created web-based aquatic 289 disease diagnosis systems, such as the Fish-Expert implemented in Northern Chinese cities to fish 290 farmers, fishery experts and fish vets with reported positive feedback⁸³. This program at inception 291 held information for 126 fish diseases from 9 fish species⁸³ but does not appear to have been updated. 292 At the farming level, the program was quite complex and inaccessible to many, and some farms lacked the necessary resources (e.g., microscopes, water quality equipment) to gather the required 293 294 information⁸³.

Clearly, we are in the early stages of remote diagnosis but automating the process through the application of AI and machine learning approaches has the potential to establish a robust highthroughput process with the potential for quantification. They do, however, rely heavily on reference databases and further technology development. Misdiagnosis still may occur due to the generic nature of clinical symptoms of many fish diseases and difficulty controlling for secondary infection.

300

301 4. Cellular Diagnostics

302 *4.1 Microbiology*

Fish microbial diseases are highly prevalent, as both primary and secondary infections, driven by stress (water quality, poor nutrition, temperature) or other infections⁸⁴. Diagnosis has historically involved isolation and culturing of the causative agent. Direct placement or swabbing of diseased tissue or mucus onto agar is a common method for aquatic bacterial diagnosis, and for some aquatic 307 fungal-like pathogens, followed by analysis of biochemical and morphological traits⁸⁵. Such methods 308 are selective and susceptible to contamination, requiring serial subculturing to obtain a pure strain of 309 the causative agent. The causative agent of bacterial kidney disease (Renibacterium salmoninarum) is 310 particularly fastidious and grows slowly on regular agar, requiring a specialized agar for rapid growth with a 'nurse' microbe⁸⁶. It also takes time to isolate colonies and observe definitive growth, with 311 312 reports from 2 weeks⁸⁷ up to 19 weeks for subclinical level infections⁸⁸. In contrast, the oomycete pathogen S. parasitica is regularly cultured on potato dextrose agar (PDA) by obtaining small tufts of 313 314 mycelia from infected fish and embedding them within the agar, producing growth within 2-4 days⁸⁹. 315 Culture dependent methods are limited to pathogens with known nutrient requirements, subject to 316 contamination even with antibiotics in the media, and, for long-term culturing, can be labour 317 intensive. Culturing as a means of diagnosis is unreliable when trying to verify causal agents of 318 polymicrobial infections⁹⁰. In addition, genetic alteration of microbes may occur over time resulting in 319 strains unrepresentative of natural communities. Culture-independent methods have been instrumental in not only identifying pathogenic microbes but revealing the key role of microbiomes 320 321 (all microbes within an organism) for fitness, immunity and life span of fish⁹¹. Following successful 322 culturing, routine PCR is often carried out for pathogen confirmation, and sequencing if species-level 323 identification is required.

324 Though the rise of molecular techniques in recent years has reduced the need for culture-325 dependent techniques, diagnosis of some pathogens still necessitates these methods. Every organism 326 naturally hosts a range of microbes. This microbiome varies between individuals, species and 327 populations, so understanding what constitutes a 'natural' or core microbiome is important for 328 identifying any dysbiosis, disrupted microbiota. As a diagnostic tool, the microbiome can indicate health status⁹² as microbiota diversity will alter upon host infection⁹⁰, treatment⁹³ and environmental 329 stressors. Microbiome dysbiosis could be used for diagnosis but requires context specific knowledge 330 331 on what constitutes a natural/healthy microbiome for the target species. Xiong et al.⁹⁴ for example, identified a core microbiome representative of healthy shrimp (Litopenaeus vannamei), which could 332 333 be used to compare against unhealthy shrimp with 91.5% accuracy. Such knowledge is essential for 334 microbiome-based diagnostics, but feasibility comes into question when considering the vast number 335 of economically important aquatic species, which are subject to a range of variables all potentially 336 impacting the natural microbiome. Fish microbiomes naturally contain both virulent and avirulent 337 pathogens, residing at non-lethal thresholds, which typically do not require intervention and are the 338 baseline against which dysbiosis should be compared. Many fish farms (over)use antibiotics as a 339 proactive treatment, which in turn can promote antimicrobial resistance. In extreme examples, where 340 fish are bred and maintained in sterile environments this could even lead to gnotobiotic fish (which

341 harbour no or reduced microbes). Like any animal with limited prior infection exposure, gnotobiotic fish are at greater risk from common diseases⁹⁵, which can lead to increased mortality⁹⁶, so in this case 342 343 extreme prevention is not better than a cure. We can monitor for dysbiosis through non-invasive faecal samples⁹⁷ or skin swabs⁹⁸, as well as sampling of tissues. Typically, this identifies microbes to 344 species level, but does not confirm whether strains are virulent or not⁹⁹ so interpretation of 345 346 microbiome data is an important area to focus on now that the molecular methodologies are well developed. Also, more studies need to consider the entire assemblage of microbiota and host - the 347 holobiont¹⁰⁰ - rather than just target bacterial species. 348

349

350 *4.2 Biochemistry*

351 Biochemical methods for diagnostics encompass a variety of techniques all of which utilise some form of biochemical signal to conduct the diagnosis. These techniques vary from those which 352 detect chemical signals (volatile organic compounds, or VOCs) released during infection (e.g. Pawluk 353 et al.¹⁰¹ who identified chemical cues from infected and uninfected fish), to biosensors that use 354 biochemical reactions to detect (optical, volatile, electrochemical or mass-sensitive) chemical 355 356 compounds. When considering their application to aquatic diagnostics, the information gained from 357 these health parameters is currently too general for diagnostics, especially in a preventative context, 358 and the benefits would not outweigh the costs.

359

360 *4.3 Serology*

361 While commonly used in terrestrial veterinary practices, serology is used less in aquatic diagnostics due to insufficient development of methodologies¹⁰². Until 2012, The World Organisation 362 363 for Animal Health (OIE)'s Manual of Diagnostic Tests for Aquatic Animals stated that serological detection was not an accepted method of diagnosis for fish pathogens¹⁰³, although this has since been 364 365 removed¹⁰⁴. Serology can directly identify pathogens, such as *Trypanosoma carassii* a parasite of cyprinids¹⁰⁵, or indicate signs of irregular immune function, such as haemoglobin levels or differential 366 leukocyte counts, caused by a pathogen¹⁰⁶. The enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) is a rapid 367 serological test through which antigens in fish sera are detected via a visual colour change, caused by 368 an enzyme-chromogen complex^{102,107}. ELISA is available for a range of aquatic disease diagnoses 369 including Renibacterium salmoninarum¹⁰⁸, Mycobacterium spp.¹⁰⁹ and Aeromonas salmonicida¹⁰⁷, and 370 371 is often used in conjunction with molecular techniques. Agglutination assays, specifically slide 372 agglutination, have been applied successfully to aquatic pathogens, such as Vibrio and Pseudomonas, and they offer a rapid method for detecting a wide range of bacterial pathogens¹¹⁰. 373

374 Serology in terrestrial medicine has a wide range of applications within testing and 375 diagnostics, with significant advances into the early detection of cancers. One such novel technique is 376 utilising immunosignatures where serum from an individual is challenged with an array (tens of 377 thousands to millions) of random-sequence peptides to determine the binding of patient's 378 antibodies¹¹¹. The most informative peptides are then identified, based on their ability to differentiate 379 between diseases. Similar diagnoses have been applied to diabetes, Alzheimer's and infectious 380 diseases¹¹¹. The wide applicability of this technique in human medicine indicates potential application 381 to the diagnostics and monitoring of infectious aquatic diseases. Terrestrial infectious disease 382 outbreaks often spur diagnostic development, providing potential for translation to the aquatic 383 environment. For example, diagnosis of the Ebola virus requires serological samples, but methods have changed from traditional viral culturing from these samples to molecular diagnosis¹¹². There are 384 385 serology-based rapid diagnostic tests (RDTs) available for malaria, which can have high sensitivities and limits of detection¹¹³, and utilises small (15 µl) samples of blood, producing results within one 386 minute¹¹⁴. RDTs could be transferred to aquaculture for aquatic disease diagnosis, but the issue 387 388 remains of choosing an appropriate target for diagnosis.

389

390

5. Molecular Techniques

391 The rapid development of our ability to amplify and sequence genetic material has 392 revolutionised every aspect of biological sciences, from behavioural and evolutionary fields to medical 393 and veterinary sciences. Molecular diagnosis ranges from standard PCR to next-generation sequencing 394 and environmental DNA techniques (Figure 4; Table 2). Whilst molecular techniques have advanced 395 rapidly, what now limits their application is the logistics of sampling, storage and transport costs. 396 Storage and transport of samples for molecular analyses can significantly impact the quality of results, 397 with tissue degrading over time, if not fixed sufficiently or kept at low temperatures. Standard agents 398 for transporting tissue include formalin (mostly used for histological samples, rarely for molecular samples due to the inhibitory downstream effects) or a high percentage molecular grade ethanol 399 (>90%), and samples are usually cooled for long-term storage¹¹⁵. Storage by desiccation with silica has 400 been effectively used for tissues^{116,117} and faecal samples¹¹⁸ from terrestrial animals, and potentially 401 could be utilised more for fish¹¹⁹. Desiccation is short-term and requires samples to be transferred to 402 403 ethanol for long-term storage but is extremely useful for air transport¹²⁰. When testing for infectious 404 diseases, care must be taken when transporting potentially infective samples. For example, with Ebola 405 samples there is the need to integrate with regional labs for regular testing requiring transport logistics 406 to be addressed for collection of blood samples which are a biohazard. Developments are arising into 407 new stabilising methods that allow for easier/safer transport of genetic material, such as Whatman®

408 FTA® Cards. For small samples, the Whatman[®] FTA[®] Cards remove many of these issues¹²¹. The target 409 organism (size dependent) or DNA is swabbed onto a sterile FTA card® without the need for fluids. 410 The cards can be kept at room temperature, eliminating the need for freezers, excessive storage space and transport of flammable liquids. FTA cards® have been successfully used for the preservation of 411 fish buccal cells and mucus, as a cheap alternative to freezing or commercial extraction kits^{121,122}. 412 413 Brown trout (Salmo trutta) and northern pike (Esox Lucius) DNA was successfully extracted noninvasively with no cross-contamination from FTA cards^{®121}. Storage of parasite DNA on FTA cards[®] has 414 been successful, such as with samples containing parasites and parasite eggs^{123,124}. DNA can be 415 416 maintained on cards for years at room temperature and amplified following standard protocols¹²⁵, but 417 experimentally detectable viral RNA (Genus Betanodavirus) decreased after four weeks even when cards were stored at 4°C¹²². A review of 47 studies indicated the maximum storage time for viral RNA 418 419 on FTA cards[®] ranged from one to eight months at temperatures from -20°C to 37°C¹²⁶. Therefore, if 420 using FTA cards as preservation tools, it is recommended to process the samples within a year whilst 421 maintaining them at a maximum of 22°C. Not all diagnostics will target DNA, some require RNA. 422 However, difficulties arise with storage and transport of RNA as it rapidly degrades in tissue and water 423 samples, therefore requires immediate storage at -80°C or use of protective reagents such RNAlater. 424 One of the greatest advantages of molecular techniques, is that they facilitate a pro-active approach 425 to diagnostics, capable of identifying potential infective pathogens before an outbreak or significant 426 infection can take hold.

427

428 5.1 PCR and its Successors

429 PCR revolutionised disease diagnosis, reducing reliance on culturing and histological methods. 430 PCR amplifies target regions of DNA from tissue or environmental sources, providing 431 presence/absence data. Standard PCR methods involve multiple thermoregulated cycles of 432 denaturation, annealing, and extension to facilitate the amplification of a target fragment of DNA. 433 Amplification is achieved by designing primers complementary to the regions flanking the target 434 sequence. As the PCR cools post-denaturation, the primers anneal to these regions acting as initiation 435 points for the thermal stable polymerase to generate new daughter strands during the extension phase of the reaction (reviewed by Innis et al.¹²⁷). Each PCR cycle provides a doubling of the targeted 436 437 fragment resulting in over a billion copies (1.07x10⁹) from 30 amplification cycles. DNA generating 438 products can be visualized through gel electrophoresis where the size (in bp) can be confirmed against 439 known size markers; a visualization process that historically used the carcinogen ethidium bromide, but there are now alternatives, such as SYBR Safe¹²⁸. Key to the success of PCR are the primers, which 440 441 can either be designed specifically for a group or species of pathogens or non-specific/degenerate

when looking for more general groups of pathogens. Sequencing of PCR products is particularly
beneficial for disease diagnostics to identify pathogens to species and even strain level, mainly if
general primers have been used¹²⁹.

445 Quantitative PCR (qPCR, otherwise known as real-time PCR) is increasingly used for pathogen detection. This method utilises fluorescent primers to quantify the amplified product in real-time by 446 447 comparing samples to known quantities represented by standard curves¹³⁰. The cycling procedures for 448 qPCR are the same as those for standard PCR, but the products are typically shorter (<200 bp). After 449 each cycle, the intensity of fluorescence is measured, which indicates the quantity of DNA amplicons 450 in the sample at the given time¹³¹. qPCR can potentially be utilised to diagnose any pathogen of 451 interest, dependent on the assay design with the ability to detect specific genes and alleles. qPCR is 452 widely used as it is high throughput, highly sensitive, reproducible, and rapid¹³² with reduced potential 453 for cross-contamination¹³¹. Wide success has been achieved using qPCR for aquatic pathogen detection, including Anisakis¹³³, Ichtyobodo¹³⁴, viruses (viral haemorrhagic septicaemia)¹³⁵ and 454 bacteria (Flavobacterium psychrophilum^{132,136}). Like all DNA methods, a limitation of qPCR is the 455 inability to distinguish live and dead cells¹³¹, and it can take a long time to optimise the method. If 456 457 targeting RNA, then this does measure active transcription, however there are issues in handling 458 samples and the instability of RNA.

459 Building upon qPCR, digital PCR (dPCR or ddPCR) amplifies the target and provides 460 identification and quantification of nucleic acids, without the need for a standard curve. ddPCR partitions the sample into thousands of subset PCR reactions contained within nanodroplets, some 461 containing the target (positive) and others not (negative)^{137,138}. Fluorescent readings of these droplets 462 463 identify the target using dye-labelled probes. The negative samples are then used to generate an 464 absolute count, eliminating the need for standards or endogenous controls. Successful aquaculture 465 application of ddPCR has led to the detection of Flavobacterium pschrophilum and Yersinia ruckeria 466 from recirculating aquaculture systems¹³⁹. When compared to qPCR, ddPCR has lower error rates, is more reproducible and the high cost is balanced by the quality of data obtained¹³⁸. In contrast, ddPCR 467 468 has a limited dynamic range for detection compared to qPCR but provides a similar level of 469 quantification. Molecular methods encompass such a broad spectrum that the deciding factors of 470 which to use often comes down to time, specificity and sensitivity. Nucleic acid amplification tests (NAATs), other than PCR, are often more complex but offer applicability or sensitivity^{140,141}. 471

472

473 5.2 Isothermal Amplification

474 Notomi et al.¹⁴² developed loop-mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP) as an alternative 475 to traditional PCR. In contrast to the multiple, fluctuating temperature-dependent steps (40-98°C) of 476 PCR, DNA is amplified by LAMP within isothermal conditions. LAMP merely requires a water bath to 477 maintain ~65°C, with the addition of Bst (Bacillus staerothermophilus) polymerase to initiate the 478 reaction. As a standard, four specifically designed primers that recognize six distinct regions within the 479 target genome are used, but sensitivity can be increased by using six primers to target eight regions. 480 RT-LAMP (reverse transcriptase) is highly specific; ten times more sensitive than reverse-transcriptase 481 PCR when detecting nodavirus in Macrobrachium rosenbergii¹⁴³. LAMP is also efficient and rapid, 482 taking only 60 minutes including DNA/RNA extraction, compared to the 90-180-minute for regular PCR without DNA preparation¹⁴². Combining LAMP (including RT-LAMP) with chromatographic, lateral flow 483 484 dipstick (LFD) is highly effective at confirming the products of the LAMP by hybridisation, allowing for rapid visualisation¹⁴⁴. Colorimetric dyes, such as hydroxynaphthol blue and SYBR Green I, have high 485 sensitivity for detecting pathogens, and can be more rapid than LAMP-LFD¹⁴⁵. This combination of 486 487 methods facilitated amplification of Taura syndrome virus in shrimp along with removing the need to use a DNA staining agent¹⁴⁶. Detection of red seabream iridovirus (RSIV) was ten times more sensitive 488 by LAMP than standard PCR¹⁴⁷. There is the potential for contamination of target DNA in the final 489 490 stages due to the high amplification, sensitivity is highly dependent on the designed primers, and the limit of detection may differ for LAMP compared to PCR¹⁴⁸. By removing the need for expensive (and 491 492 typically non-portable) thermocyclers and thermally-sensitive reagents, LAMP-based detection 493 methods hold great promise for rapid aquatic pathogen diagnosis in the field and low-income regions. 494 LAMP is one of a growing number of isothermal amplification methodologies, each with their

own benefits and detriments¹⁴⁹. Recombinase polymerase amplification (RPA) substitutes the heat 495 496 denaturation step of traditional PCR with two proteins (Escherichia coli RecA recombinase and single-497 strand DNA binding protein) and is carried out over a consistent temperature (often 37°C). This 498 amplification is even more rapid than LAMP, occurring within 5 to 20 minutes. For aquatic infections, RPA has successfully detected *Flavobacterium columnare*¹⁵⁰, *Vibrio parahaemolyticus*¹⁵¹ and 499 500 Tetracapsuloides bryosalmonae¹⁵² to name a few significant aquatic pathogens. RPA is cost-effective, 501 highly specific and sensitive and is a rapid methodology for diagnosis, especially when combined with LFD¹⁵³. 502

503

504 *5.3 eDNA*

505 Environmental DNA (eDNA) methods have the potential to greatly improve our ability to 506 detect and monitor pathogens in aquatic environments, be that as whole cells or free-floating DNA. 507 eDNA can follow a targeted or passive method; targeted following standard PCR, qPCR or LAMP 508 methodologies to determine presence/absence or abundance of a target species, whilst the passive 509 approach uses primers sharing conserved binding sites to sequence communities of organisms¹⁵⁴. 510 During water sample collection, differing filter sizes affect sample sensitivity; larger pores let more 511 material into the sample, clouding the purity of the target DNA, whilst smaller pores aid in targeting 512 DNA but are prone to clogging and limit the volume of water that can be filtered. Optimal sample 513 volume is dependent on the target species and habitat, but minimal volumes suggested are 1 L of sample water and 14 µl of extracted eDNA¹⁵⁵. Where Huver *et al.*¹⁵⁶ filtered samples of 500 ml and 514 515 Wittwer et al.¹⁵⁷ filtered varying volumes of 1.6 L to 10 L, both found successful detection of their 516 target. Novel water collection methods have arisen for both low (up to 5 litres) and high (up to 50 517 litres) volume sampling, with programmable samplers collecting water over variable tidal flows and cycles (<u>www.appliedgenomics.co.uk/detect</u>¹⁵⁸). These programmable sample collectors are one 518 519 solution to the larger logistical issue regarding eDNA, sample collection, transportation, and storage. 520 Factoring in the costs of sample collection and analysis are often at the forefront of our mind, the 521 costs and logistics of transporting samples to and/or from sample sites and laboratories is a less 522 discussed but equally important issue and one of the main challenges going forward before this can 523 be an effective tool. Deciding on optimal sample volume and replicates are also key variables that 524 need to be evidenced with further research, likely being dependant on target DNA and ecological 525 knowledge of the field site and target organism. Just as water bodies show stratification, so does the 526 associated DNA. eDNA samples should match the known location of the target species or, if the sample 527 site is deep, be sampled throughout the water column to represent accurate species distribution and 528 presence. eDNA technologies are consistently evolving, with new technologies applicable within 529 laboratory settings and in field, but perhaps one of the most significant recent advances reducing the 530 problem of transporting water samplers is the eDNA Sampler Backpack (Smith-Root). This kit pumps 531 the water directly on to filters impregnated with preservatives so that the eDNA is stored in this easily 532 transportable form for up to two months, without any need to transport water itself. Similar filters 533 can be used for smaller laboratory experiments with hand-held pumps. Successful preservation 534 enables sampling across more remote, larger areas for longer periods of time. Whilst many studies have focussed on spatial use of eDNA, the method has also been successfully applied temporally, 535 providing insight into seasonal biodiversity of water bodies¹⁵⁹. For both spatial and temporal studies 536 537 though, there are many variables that must be considered when applying DNA methods, such as 538 turbidity, UV exposure and flow rate.

eDNA is most effective in shallow waters where the benefits of eDNA outweigh regular trapping methods¹⁶⁰. Most experimental studies utilise water samples when targeting DNA, but sediment is a viable alternative¹⁶¹. Asian carp (*Hypophthalmichthys* spp.) DNA was more concentrated (8-1,800 times) in sediment compared to water¹⁶², but sedimentary eDNA is more likely to present past-species occupancy due to resuspension and transport¹⁶³. The relative benefit of sediments 544 compared to water for eDNA sampling is debatable and will depend on the target and the habitat. 545 Drones may be deployed to collect water samples once the desired volume or sampling period has been achieved, or drones could collect smaller water samples *ad hoc*^{164,165}. Methods such as these can 546 547 be adjusted depending on the target, with buoys collecting water column samples or coring for benthic 548 demersal layer sampling. False positives may arise due to the introduction or transportation of DNA 549 into the water body, whilst certain species release DNA at a sub-detection threshold, leading to false 550 negatives¹⁶³. Water quality also impacts eDNA success, with acidity of water increasing degradation of environmental DNA¹⁶⁶. As eDNA methods become widely implemented, protocols continue to be 551 552 optimised to overcome issues with sample purity, accurate species detection and choice of target 553 genomic material but as new pathogens emerge, at the moment, each requires method optimisation.

554 Current eDNA techniques target DNA, which may be present in tissue, living, dead or dormant 555 (e.g., cysts, spores, or eggs). DNA within water or sediment samples may not be indicative of active 556 infectious stages of a pathogen, but if environmental RNA (eRNA) is targeted this does indicate active 557 gene transcription. Detection of fish pathogens through eRNA has not been utilized thus far but there is potential¹⁶⁷. Targeting eRNA can direct users towards the infective stage of a pathogen. Utilising 558 559 eRNA poses additional challenges as RNA is less stable than DNA, degrading rapidly, and current costs 560 are high¹⁶⁸. The greatest benefit of RNA is targeting specific genes only expressed at certain life stages, 561 providing high specificity, but the origins of environmental RNA are poorly understood¹⁶⁸. The choice 562 of targeting RNA or DNA is highly dependent on the target pathogen. To date, eDNA has been successfully applied to a range of pathogens from iridovirus in red sea bream¹⁶⁹, ranavirus' in 563 amphibians¹⁷⁰ to chytrid fungus in bullfrogs¹⁷¹. The aquatic host range for eDNA applicability ranges 564 from fish and amphibians¹⁷² to crustaceans¹⁵⁷. eDNA has great potential to predict disease outbreaks. 565 566 One study assessed Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis presence before amphibian die-off events, where detection was successful before the mass mortality events¹⁷¹. eDNA has also been used to predict 567 568 Chilodonella hexasticha prevalence in relation to water quality, although no association was identified¹⁷³. 569

570 eDNA can potentially be a more reliable method of pathogen detection than traditional approaches. For example, eDNA and qPCR detection of signal crayfish (Pacifastacus leniusculus) is 571 more reliable than physical trapping¹⁵⁷. Such molecular methods can also be conducted year-round, 572 573 they are not seasonally dependent, and can monitor prevalence; eDNA detection of the trematode 574 Ribeiroia ondatrae from water samples matched 90% of those detected through necropsy of amphibians¹⁵⁶. DNA in water remained traceable after 21 days in the laboratory at 25°C, so sample 575 576 identification can occur up to three weeks post-sampling. Logistically, eDNA can be twice to ten times more cost-efficient than traditional sampling (see review by Smart *et al.*¹⁷⁴). 577

578

579 5.4 Next-Generation Sequencing and Bioinformatics

580 Next generation sequencing (NGS) technologies provide massive parallel sequencing 581 capability generating millions of high-quality reads, far exceeding the targeted Sanger sequencing 582 approaches (reviewed by Behjati and Tarpey¹⁷⁵). NGS falls into two broad categories: 1) sequencing 583 covering entire (or representation of) genomes/transcriptomes ("shotgun sequencing") or 2) 584 massively parallel sequencing of specific sequence fragments (ampliconseq).

585 For shotgun approaches, bioinformatics is used to map sequence reads to available reference 586 sequences, or they can be used for *de-novo* assembly of genomes or transcriptomes. Sequences can 587 be derived from a single or a mixture of organisms, allowing characterisation of individuals or 588 communities (meta-omics). Infections are rarely monopathogenic, and often are either caused by or 589 lead to multiple pathogens within a host. Metagenomic/transcriptomic applications derive sequence 590 data from all nucleic acids present in a sample/tissue, but demands significant sequencing depth, 591 which can be costly both in direct NGS costs but also in computational time for analysis. Metagenomics 592 allows characterisation of all genomes within a given sample whilst metabarcoding describes the species present on a taxonomic level¹⁷⁶. Successful application of metagenomics, such as detection of 593 594 parasites within swine faeces including first time discovery of *Blastocystis* within swine faeces¹⁷⁷, and 595 metabarcoding, such as describing ape parasite assemblages from faecal samples¹⁷⁸, have been 596 applied terrestrially but less so for aquatic environments.

597 Targeting NGS towards specific genetic sequences, or 'barcodes', with high taxonomic 598 resolution and where significant database resources exist allows the technology to efficiently provide 599 community species composition, an approach referred to as metabarcoding. Interpretation of NGS 600 data is improving rapidly with development of databases, such as GenBank and the Barcode of Life 601 Data System¹⁷⁹ which in Jan 2021 held >9,154k barcodes yielding 713k unique sequences representing 602 320 species¹⁸⁰ whilst Genbank has over 226 million sequences as of February 2021¹⁸¹. Metabarcoding 603 of eDNA is a potential path for aquatic development of these techniques as it allows the 604 characterization of the species and communities contributing to their ecosystems from a simple water sample¹⁸². 605

Classical NGS platforms, such as Illumina sequencers, have technical limitations associated with the length of individual sequences generated (<300 bp from a single read) and also require substantive capital infrastructure investments. Recent innovations in microfluidics and pore-based sequencing, such as those supplied by Oxford Nanopore, provide mobile/desktop sequencers that can generate significantly longer sequence reads, routinely >100 kb in length. Platforms using this technology include the PromethION for ultra-high throughput centralized infrastructure, as well as the MinION platform, a portable sequencer able to generate long reads in real-time with field capability. NGS has successfully identified aquatic viruses⁴⁵, with nanopore technology leading the way through detection of salmonid alphavirus¹³⁵ and infectious salmon anaemia virus, and sequencing the full 16S rRNA gene of the sea louse *Caligus rogercresseyi* (see Gonçalves *et al.*¹⁸³). NGS issues primarily arise around substantial costs and the quality of data produced, but error rates are still improving.

617 The need for real-time disease diagnostics has been highlighted by the SARS-CoV-19 pandemic, resulting in tests that can provide quantifiable results in 90 minutes. Methods such as the 618 619 LamPORE (able to analyse 96 samples in one hour) and laboratory free DnaNudge for example, could 620 be repurposed for animal diseases, in the aquatic environment substituting a cheek swab for a mucus 621 or water sample and alternative primers. Concerns immediately arise over costs, as to scale these tests 622 for national COVID testing would cost around £100 bn, current tests number 350,000 per day aiming 623 to upscale to 10 million per day¹⁸⁴. Applying these tests to aquaculture and fisheries would never match this scale but would require significant monetary input¹⁸⁴. But as with all novel technologies, 624 625 costs rapidly decrease with time. Also, quality of data and portability will improve with the potential 626 to revolutionise diagnostics of emerging diseases and cryptic pathogens.

- 627
- 628

6. Recommendations and Conclusions

629 The lack of transference of terrestrial techniques to the aquatic environments is due to issues 630 of translation, changing something suited for terrestrial applications to the aquatic environment is not 631 easily done, and requires significant interest and/or funding. The recent thrust in diagnostic 632 development will result in progress not only for human medicine, but diagnostics across disciplines 633 Advances in early pathogen diagnosis have typically been driven by infections of terrestrial hosts, 634 highlighted by the current COVID-19 crisis. One benefit of this pandemic has been the rapid increase 635 in efficient and rapid diagnostic techniques, such as lateral flow immunochromatographic assays 636 providing results within 90 minutes or adapted LAMP technology. Such advances will hopefully boost the entire diagnostic field, including aquatic pathogens but as previously stated, will require a 637 638 significant driver to bring in financial support. Lateral flow tests have always had potential for disease 639 diagnosis but were relegated primarily to pregnancy tests due to the lack of sufficient drivers to develop the technology for other users¹⁸⁵. The COVID-19 crisis demanded utilisation of every tool 640 641 available, and thus the potential of lateral flow tests was harnessed for rapid diagnostics of the virus and informs how we can turn the retrospective into a reactive approach¹⁸⁶. The diagnostic potential 642 643 of many terrestrial diagnostic methods will not be translated for aquaculture without sufficient ecological or monetary drivers. Indeed, even human neglected diseases are facing the same hurdles¹⁸⁷. 644 645 Nevertheless, here we evaluated a variety of diagnostic methods in light of the three pillars for a gold

646 standard diagnostic technique: high sensitivity, low cost, and speed. Going forward, emphasis should 647 be put on two main techniques to advance aquatic diagnostics: AI for visual diagnosis and eDNA for 648 molecular diagnostics. Al has the potential to drastically reduce the time required to survey fish for 649 disease whilst simultaneously allowing for higher throughput but requires significant input in 650 "teaching" the AI to detect specific diseases. eDNA enables detection and quantification both on-site 651 and in the laboratory, making it one of the most versatile diagnostic techniques once sampling 652 methods have been optimised. As our knowledge of these pathogens increases so do our 653 technological advances, where preventing pathogen outbreaks from occurring is the end-goal and 654 these techniques aid this. Human medicine receives more monetary support for research on novel 655 diagnostic methods, but there is always potential for these methods to be transferred to the aquatic 656 environment should the industry or researchers take the time to adapt them.

657

658 **7.** Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Councilfunded South West Biosciences Doctoral Training Partnership [BB/T008741/1 studentship to SM].

661

662 8. References

- 663 1. FAO (Food and Agriculture Organisation of the United Nations). The State of World Fisheries
 664 and Aquaculture 2020. *Sustainability in Action*. 2020;4.
- 2. United Nations, Department of Economic and Social Affairs, Population Division. World
 Population Prospects 2019: Highlights. 2019.
- 3. The World Bank. Reducing Disease Risk In Aquaculture. *World Bank Agric Environ Serv*.
 2014;(88257).
- 4. Stentiford G D, Sritunyalucksana K, Flegel TW, Williams BAP, Withyachumnarnkul B,
 Itsathitphaisarn O, Bass D. New Paradigms to Help Solve the Global Aquaculture Disease
 Crisis. *PLoS Pathogens*. 2017;13(2):1006160. doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1006160
- 5. Shinn AAP, Pratoomyot J, Bron JE, Paladini GG, Brooker E, Brooker AJ. Economic impacts of
 aquatic parasites on global finfish production. *Glob Aquacult Advocate*. 2015:58-61
- 6. Cable J, Barber I, Boag B, Ellison AR, Morgan ER, Murray K, Pascoe EL, Sait SM, Wilson AJ,
 Booth M. Global change, parasite transmission and disease control: Lessons from ecology. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond., B, Biol. Sci.*. 2017;372(1719). doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2016.0088
- 677 7. Casadevall A, Pirofski L. Host-Pathogen Interactions: The Attributes of Virulence. *J Infect Dis.*678 2001;184(3):337-344. doi.org/10.1086/322044

- 8. Jiménez RR, Sommer S. The amphibian microbiome: natural range of variation, pathogenic
 dysbiosis, and role in conservation. *Biodivers Conserv*. 2017;26:763-786.
 doi.org/10.1007/s10531-016-1272-x
- 9. Assefa A, Abunna F. Maintenance of Fish Health in Aquaculture: Review of Epidemiological
 Approaches for Prevention and Control of Infectious Disease of Fish. *Vet Med Int.* 2018.
 doi.org/10.1155/2018/5432497.
- Rottman RW, Francis-Floyd R, Durborow R. The Role of Stress in Fish Disease. Southern
 Regional Aquaculture Center. 1992:474.
- Evans L, Andrew NL. Diagnosis and the management constituency of small-scale fisheries.
 Small-Scale Fisheries Management: Frameworks and Approaches for the Developing World.
 2011:35-58. doi.org/10.1079/9781845936075.0035
- 690 12. Raja RA, Jithendran KP. Aquaculture disease diagnosis and health management. *Advances*691 *in Marine and Brackishwater Aquaculture*. 2015:247-249. <u>doi.org/10.1007/978-81-322-</u>
 692 <u>2271-2 23.</u>
- 13. van den Berg AH, McLaggan D, Diéguez-Uribeondo J, van West P. The impact of the water
 moulds Saprolegnia diclina and Saprolegnia parasitica on natural ecosystems and the
 aquaculture industry. *Fungal Biol Rev.* 2013;27(2):33-42.
 doi.org/10.1016/j.fbr.2013.05.001.
- 697 14. Héder M. From NASA to EU: the evolution of the TRL scale in Public Sector Innovation. *The*698 *Innovation Journal*. 2017;22(2):1-23.
- Masud N, Ellison A, Cable J. A neglected fish stressor: Mechanical disturbance during
 transportation impacts susceptibility to disease in a globally important ornamental fish. *Dis* Aquat Organ. 2019;134(1). doi:10.3354/dao03362
- 16. Livengood E., Chapman F. The Ornamental Fish Trade: An Introduction with Perspectives for
 Responsible Aquarium Fish Ownership. *IFAS Ext*. Published online 2007.
- 704 17. Ashley PJ. Fish welfare: Current issues in aquaculture. *Appl Anim Behav Sci*. 2007;104(3-4).
 705 doi:10.1016/j.applanim.2006.09.001
- 18. Ellison AR, Uren Webster TM, Rodriguez-Barreto D, Garcia de Leaniz C, Consuegra S, Orozco terWengel P, Cable J. Comparative transcriptomics reveal conserved impacts of rearing
 density on immune response of two important aquaculture species. *Fish Shellfish Immunol*.
 2020;104. doi:10.1016/j.fsi.2020.05.043
- 710 19. Champneys T, Castaldo G, Consuegra S, Garcia De Leaniz C. Density-dependent changes in
 711 neophobia and stress-coping styles in the world's oldest farmed fish. *R Soc Open Sci.*712 2018;5(12). doi:10.1098/rsos.181473

- 20. Ellison AR, Uren Webster TM, Rey O, et al. Transcriptomic response to parasite infection in
 Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) depends on rearing density. *BMC Genomics*. 2018;19(1).
 doi:10.1186/s12864-018-5098-7.
- 716 21. Jones JB. Aquaculture: exotic diseases and surveillance. *Microbiol Aust.* 2016;37(3).
 717 doi:10.1071/ma16042.
- 22. Li D, Zhu W, Duan Y, Fu Z. Toward developing a tele-diagnosis system on fish disease. *IFIP Int Fed Inf Process*. 2006;217. doi:10.1007/978-0-387-34747-9_46.
- Zhang J, Li D. A call center oriented consultant system for fish disease diagnosis in China. In:
 IFIP Int Fed Inf Process. 2008;259. doi:10.1007/978-0-387-77253-0_96.
- 24. European Commission. European Maritime and Fisheries Fund (EMFF). Updated January 1,
 2022. Accessed June 10, 2020. <u>https://ec.europa.eu/oceans-and-</u>
 fisheries/funding/european-maritime-and-fisheries-fund-emff_en.
- Paterson CJ, Pernetta JC, Siraraksophon S, et al. Fisheries refugia: A novel approach to
 integrating fisheries and habitat management in the context of small-scale fishing pressure.
 Ocean Coast Manag. 2013;85. doi:10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2012.12.001.
- Siow R, Nurridan AH, Hadil R, Richard R. The establishment of fisheries refugia as a new
 approach to sustainable management of fisheries in Malaysian waters. In: *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*. 2020;414. doi:10.1088/1755-1315/414/1/012023.
- 731 27. Bouwmeester MM, Goedknegt MA, Poulin R, Thieltges DW. Collateral diseases: Aquaculture
 732 impacts on wildlife infections. *J Appl Ecol.* 2021;58(3). doi.org/10.1111/1365-2664.13775.
- 733 28. Yogev U, Sowers KR, Mozes N, Gross A. Nitrogen and carbon balance in a novel near-zero
 734 water exchange saline recirculating aquaculture system. *Aquaculture*. 2017;467.
 735 doi:10.1016/j.aquaculture.2016.04.029.
- 736 29. Rakocy JE, Shultz RC, Bailey DS, Thoman ES. Aquaponic production of tilapia and basil:
 737 Comparing a batch and staggered cropping system. In: *Acta Horticulturae*. 2004;648.
 738 doi:10.17660/ActaHortic.2004.648.8.
- 30. Centre for Environment Fisheries and Aquaculture Science (CEFAS). Aquatic Animal Health.
 Updated 2020. Accessed July 2020. <u>https://www.cefas.co.uk/science/aquatic-animal-</u>
 <u>health/</u>
- 742 31. FAO (Food and Agriculture Organisation of the United Nations). Asia-Pacific Fishery
 743 Commission. Updated 2022. Accessed June 2021.
 744 <u>http://www.fao.org/apfic/background/about-asia-pacific-fishery-commission/function-</u>
 745 <u>apfic/en/</u>

- 32. Stevens CH, Croft DP, Paull GC, Tyler CR. Stress and welfare in ornamental fishes: what can
 be learned from aquaculture? *J Fish Biol*. 2017;91(2). doi:10.1111/jfb.13377
- 33. Velasco-Santamaría Y, Corredor-Santamaría W. Nutritional requirements of freshwater
 ornamental fish: A review. *Rev MVZ Cordoba*. 2011;16(2). doi:10.21897/rmvz.283
- 750 34. Amoah YT, Moniruzzaman M, Lee S, Bae J, Won S, Seong M, Bai SC. Evaluation of different 751 dietary additives based on growth performance, innate immunity and disease resistance in 752 juvenile Amur catfish, Silurus asotus. Intl Aquat Res. 2017;9:351-360. 753 doi.org/10.1007/s40071-017-0181-2
- 35. Schmidt JG, Thompson KD, Padrós R. Emerging skin diseases in aquaculture. *Bull Eur Assoc Fish Pathol*. 2018;38.
- 36. Oidtmann B, Peeler E, Lyngstad T, Brun E, Bang Jensen B, Stärk KDC. Risk-based methods for
 fish and terrestrial animal disease surveillance. *Prev Vet Med.* 2013;112(1-2).
 doi:10.1016/j.prevetmed.2013.07.008
- 37. De Graaf GJ, Grainger RJR, Westlund L, Willmann R, Mills D, Kelleher K, Koranteng K. The
 status of routine fishery data collection in Southeast Asia, central America, the South Pacific,
 and West Africa, with special reference to small-scale fisheries. *ICES J Mar Sci.* 2011;68(8).
 doi:10.1093/icesjms/fsr054
- 763 38. Noga, E.J. Fish Disease: Diagnosis and Treatment, Second Edition. *Wiley-Blackwell*. 2010.
- 39. Earle G, Hintz W. New approaches for controlling *Saprolegnia parasitica*, the causal agent
 of a devastating fish disease. *Trop Life Sci Res*. 2014;25(2).
- 766 40. Taylor CR, Levenson RM. Quantification of immunohistochemistry Issues concerning
 767 methods, utility and semiquantitative assessment II. *Histopathology*. 2006;49(4).
 768 doi:10.1111/j.1365-2559.2006.02513.x
- 769 41. Zeldis D, Prescott S. Fish disease diagnosis program Problems and some solutions. *Aquac* 770 *Eng.* 2000;23(1-3). doi:10.1016/S0144-8609(00)00047-9
- 42. McGuigan JB, Sommerville C. Studies on the effects of cage culture of fish on the parasite
 fauna in a lowland freshwater loch in the west of Scotland. *Zeitschrift für Parasitenkd Parasitol Res.* 1985;71(5). doi:10.1007/BF00925600
- 43. Harris PD, Shinn AP, Cable J, Bakke TA, Bron J. GyroDb: gyrodactylid monogeneans on the
 web. *Trends Parasitol*. 2008;24(3). doi:10.1016/j.pt.2007.12.004
- 44. Shinn AP, Collins C, García-Vásquez A, et al. Multi-centre testing and validation of current
 protocols for the identification of *Gyrodactylus salaris* (Monogenea). *Int J Parasitol*.
 2010;40(12). doi:10.1016/j.ijpara.2010.04.016

- 45. Nkili-Meyong AA, Bigarré L, Labouba I, Vallaeys T, Avarre JC, Berthet N. Contribution of NextGeneration Sequencing to Aquatic and Fish Virology. *Intervirology*. 2017;59(5-6).
 doi:10.1159/000477808
- 46. Noga EJ, Udomkusonsri P. Fluorescein: A Rapid, Sensitive, Nonlethal Method for Detecting
 Skin Ulceration in Fish. *Vet Pathol*. 2002;39(6). doi:10.1354/vp.39-6-726
- 784 47. Colotelo AH, Cooke SJ. Evaluation of common angling-induced sources of epithelial damage
 785 for popular freshwater sport fish using fluorescein. *Fish Res.* 2011;109(2-3):217-224.
 786 doi.org/10.1016/j.fishres.2010.12.005
- 48. Davis MW, Ottmar ML. Wounding and reflex impairment may be predictors for mortality in
 discarded or escaped fish. *Fish Res.* 2006;82(1-3). doi:10.1016/j.fishres.2006.09.004
- 49. Davis MW, Stephenson J, Noga EJ. The effect of tricaine on use of the fluorescein test for
 detecting skin and corneal ulcers in fish. *J Aquat Anim Health*. 2008;20(2). doi:10.1577/H07023.1
- 792 50. Alturkistani HA, Tashkandi FM, Mohammedsaleh ZM. Histological Stains: A Literature
 793 Review and Case Study. *Glob J Health Sci.* 2015;8(3). doi:10.55
- 51. Smith SA, Newman SJ, Coleman MP, Alex C. Characterization of the histologic appearance
 of normal gill tissue using special staining techniques. *J Vet Diagnostic Investig*. 2018;30(5).
 doi:10.1177/1040638718791819
- 52. Ferguson HW, Kabuusu R, Beltran S, Reyes E, Lince JA, del Pozo J. Syncytial hepatitis of
 farmed tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus* (L.): A case report. *J Fish Dis*. 2014;37(6).
 doi:10.1111/jfd.12142
- 800 53. Matthews E. Environmental factors impacting Saprolegnia infections in wild fish stocks.
 801 Unpublished doctoral dissertation, Cardiff University.
- 54. CABI. Furunculosis in fish. Updated November 2019. Accessed May 2020.
 https://www.cabi.org/isc/datasheet/81888#tooverview
- 80455. Lilley JH, Callinan RB, Chinabut S, Kanchanakhan S, MacRae IH, Phillips MJ. Epizootic805Ulcerative Syndrome (EUS) Technical Handbook. 1998.
- 56. Davidovich N, Morick D, Carella F. Mycobacteriosis in Aquatic Invertebrates: A Review of Its
 Emergence. *Microorganisms*. 2020;8(8):1249.
- 57. Aranguren R, Figueras A. Moving from histopathology to molecular tools in the diagnosis of
 molluscs diseases of concern under EU legislation. *Front Physiol*. 2016;7:538.
 doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2016.00538

- 58. Thoresen OF, Falk K, Evensen O. Comparison of immunohistochemistry, acid-fast staining,
 and cultivation for detection of *Mycobacterium paratuberculosis* in goats. *J Vet Diagn Invest.* 1994;6(2). doi:10.1177/104063879400600210
- 59. Zerihun MA, Hjortaas MJ, Falk K, Colquhoun DJ. Immunohistochemical and Taqman realtime PCR detection of mycobacterial infections in fish. *J Fish Dis.* 2011;34(3).
 doi:10.1111/j.1365-2761.2010.01231.x
- 817 60. Morris DJ, Adams A, Feist SW, McGeorge J, Richards RH. Immunohistochemical and PCR
 818 studies of wild fish for *Tetracapsula bryosalmonae* (PKX), the causative organism of
 819 proliferative kidney disease. *J Fish Dis*. 2000;23(2). doi:10.1046/j.1365-2761.2000.00227.x
- 820 61. Ekman E, Norrgren L. Pathology and immunohistochemistry in three species of salmonids
 821 after experimental infection with *Flavobacterium psychrophilum*. *J Fish Dis*. 2003;26(9).
 822 doi:10.1046/j.1365-2761.2003.00487.x
- 823 62. Johnson C. Issues in Immunohistochemistry. *Toxicol pathol*. 1999;27(2):246-248.
- 824 63. Kim SW, Roh J, Park CS. (2016). Immunohistochemistry for pathologists: Protocols, pitfalls,
 825 and tips. *J Pathol Transl Med*. 2016;50(6):411. https://doi.org/10.4132/jptm.2016.08.08
- 826 64. Battifora H. Quality assurance issues in immunohistochemistry. *J Histotechnol*. 1999;22(3).
 827 doi:10.1179/his.1999.22.3.169
- 65. Taylor CR, Levenson RM. Quantification of immunohistochemistry Issues concerning
 methods, utility and semiquantitative assessment II. *Histopathology*. 2006;49(4).
 doi:10.1111/j.1365-2559.2006.02513.x
- 66. Oh CY, Ahn K, Park J, Park SW. Coastal Shallow-Water Bathymetry Survey through a Drone
 and Optical Remote Sensors. *J Korean Soc Coast Ocean Eng.* 2017;29(3).
 doi:10.9765/kscoe.2017.29.3.162
- 834 67. Zhang Z, Ward M, Gao J, et al. Remote sensing and disease control in China: Past, present
 835 and future. *Parasites Vectors*. 2013;6(1). doi:10.1186/1756-3305-6-11
- 68. Mangewa LJ, Ndakidemi PA, Munishi LK. Integrating UAV technology in an ecological
 monitoring system for community wildlife management areas in Tanzania. *Sustain*.
 2019;11(21). doi:10.3390/su11216116
- 69. Ezat MA, Fritsch CJ, Downs CT. Use of an unmanned aerial vehicle (drone) to survey Nile
 crocodile populations: A case study at Lake Nyamithi, Ndumo game reserve, South Africa. *Biol Conserv.* 2018;223:76-81. doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2018.04.032
- 70. Colefax AP, Butcher PA, Pagendam DE, Kelaher BP. Reliability of marine faunal detections in
 drone-based monitoring. *Ocean Coast Man.* 2019;174:108-115.
 doi.org/10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2019.03.008

- 71. Verfuss UK, Aniceto AS, Harris D V., et al. A review of unmanned vehicles for the detection
 and monitoring of marine fauna. *Mar Pollut Bull*. 2019;140.
 doi:10.1016/j.marpolbul.2019.01.009
- 848 72. Albetis J, Duthoit S, Guttler F, et al. Detection of *Flavescence dorée* grapevine disease using
 849 Unmanned Aerial Vehicle (UAV) multispectral imagery. *Remote sens*. 2017;9(4):308.
 850 doi.org/10.3390/rs9040308
- 73. Heim RHJ, Wright IJ, Scarth P, Carnegie AJ, Taylor D, Oldeland J. Multispectral, aerial disease
 detection for myrtle rust (*Austropuccinia psidii*) on a lemon myrtle plantation. *Drones*.
 2019;3(1). doi:10.3390/drones3010025
- 74. Meyer HK, Roberts EM, Rapp HT, Davies AJ. Spatial patterns of arctic sponge ground fauna
 and demersal fish are detectable in autonomous underwater vehicle (AUV) imagery. *Deep Res Part I Oceanogr Res Pap.* 2019;153. doi:10.1016/j.dsr.2019.103137
- 75. James LC, Marzloff MP, Barrett N, Friedman A, Johnson CR. Changes in deep reef benthic
 community composition across a latitudinal and environmental gradient in temperate
 Eastern Australia. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser*. 2017;565. doi:10.3354/meps11989
- 76. Dumiak M. Lice-hunting underwater drone protects salmon [News]. *IEEE Spectr*. 2017;54(4).
 doi:10.1109/mspec.2017.7880444
- 77. Park JS, Oh MJ, Han S. Fish Disease Diagnosis System Based on Image Processing of
 Pathogens' Microscopic Images. *Frontiers in the Convergence of Bioscience and Information Technologies*. 2007:878-883. doi:10.1109/FBIT.2007.157
- 78. Chrispin LC, Jothiswaran VV, Velumani T, Agnes Daney Angela S, Jayaraman R. Application
 of artificial intelligence in fisheries and aquaculture. *Biotica Res Today*. 2020;2(6):499-502.
- 867 79. Khirade SD, Patil AB. Plant disease detection using image processing. *ICCUBEA* 2015.
 868 doi:10.1109/ICCUBEA.2015.153
- 869 80. Mohanty SP, Hughes DP, Salathé M. Using deep learning for image-based plant disease
 870 detection. *Front Plant Sci.* 2016;7(September). doi:10.3389/fpls.2016.01419
- 871 81. Matzner S, Hull RE, Harker-Klimes G, Cullinan VI. Studying fish near ocean energy devices
 872 using underwater video. In: OCEANS 2017 Anchorage. 2017.
- 873 82. Malik S, Kumar T, Sahoo AK. Image processing techniques for identification of fish disease.
 874 ICSIP 2017. 2017. doi:10.1109/SIPROCESS.2017.8124505
- 875 83. Li D, Fu Z, Duan Y. Fish-Expert: A web-based expert system for fish disease diagnosis. *Expert* 876 *Syst Appl*. 2002;23(3). doi:10.1016/S0957-4174(02)00050-7

- 877 84. de Guzman E, Shotts EB. Bacterial Culture and Evaluation of Diseases of Fish. *Veterinary*878 *Clinics of North America: Small Animal Practice*. 1988;18(2):365-374.
 879 doi.org/10.1016/S0195-5616(88)50037-2
- 880 85. Frans I, Michiels CW, Bossier P, Willems KA, Lievens B, Rediers H. *Vibrio anguillarum* as a
 881 fish pathogen: Virulence factors, diagnosis and prevention. *J Fish Dis*. 2011;34(9).
 882 doi:10.1111/j.1365-2761.2011.01279.x
- 883 86. Evelyn T, Bell G, Prosperi-Porta L, Ketcheson J. A simple technique for accelerating the
 884 growth of the kidney disease bacterium *Renibacterium salmoninarum* on a commonly used
 885 culture medium (KDM2). *Dis Aquat Organ*. 1989;7. doi:10.3354/dao007231
- 886 87. Evelyn TPT. An improved growth medium for the kidney disease bacterium [of Salmonidae]
 887 and some notes on using the medium. (Summary). Bulletin de l'Office International des
 888 Epizooties. 1977;87(5/6):511-513.
- 889 88. Benediktsdóttir E, Helgason S, Gudmundsdóttir S. Incubation time for the cultivation of
 890 *Renibacterium salmoninarum* from Atlantic salmon, *Salmo salar* L., broodfish. *J Fish Dis*.
 891 1991; 14(1): 97-102. doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2761.1991.tb00580.x
- 892 89. Stewart A, Jackson J, Barber I, et al. Hook, Line and Infection: A Guide to Culturing Parasites,
 893 Establishing Infections and Assessing Immune Responses in the Three-Spined Stickleback.
 894 Adv Parasitol. 2017;98. doi:10.1016/bs.apar.2017.07.001
- 895 90. Nie L, Zhou QJ, Qiao Y, Chen J. Interplay between the gut microbiota and immune responses
 896 of ayu (*Plecoglossus altivelis*) during *Vibrio anguillarum* infection. *Fish Shellfish Immunol*.
 897 2017;68. doi:10.1016/j.fsi.2017.07.054
- 898 91. Xiong JB, Nie L, Chen J. Current understanding on the roles of gut microbiota in fish disease
 899 and immunity. *Zool Res.* 2019;40(2). doi:10.24272/j.issn.2095-8137.2018.069
- 900 92. Meron D, Davidovich N, Ofek-Lalzar M, et al. Specific pathogens and microbial abundance
 901 within liver and kidney tissues of wild marine fish from the Eastern Mediterranean Sea.
 902 *Microb Biotechnol.* 2020;13(3). doi:10.1111/1751-7915.13537
- 903 93. Rosado D, Xavier R, Severino R, Tavares F, Cable J, Pérez-Losada M. Effects of disease,
 904 antibiotic treatment and recovery trajectory on the microbiome of farmed seabass
 905 (*Dicentrarchus labrax*). *Sci Rep.* 2019;9(1). doi:10.1038/s41598-019-55314-4
- 906 94. Xiong J, Zhu J, Dai W, Dong C, Qiu Q, Li C. Integrating gut microbiota immaturity and disease 907 discriminatory taxa to diagnose the initiation and severity of shrimp disease. *Environ* 908 *Microbiol.* 2017;19(4):1490-1501.
- 909 95. Pérez-Pascual D, Vendrell-Fernández s, Audrain B, Bernal-Bayard J, Patiño-Navarrete R, Petit
 910 V, Rigaudeau D, Ghigo JM. Gnotobiotic rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) model reveals

- 911 endogenous bacteria that protect against *Flavobacterium columnare* infection. *PLoS*912 *Pathogens*. 2021;17(1):e1009302.
- 913 96. Situmorang ML, Dierckens K, Mlingi FT, Delsen BV, Bossier P. Development of a bacterial
 914 challenge test for gnotobiotic Nile tilapia *Oreochromis niloticus* larvae. *Dis Aquat Org*.
 915 2014;109(1):23-33. doi.org/10.3354/dao02721
- 916 97. Casén C, Vebø HC, Sekelja M, et al. Deviations in human gut microbiota: A novel diagnostic
 917 test for determining dysbiosis in patients with IBS or IBD. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther*.
 918 2015;42(1). doi:10.1111/apt.13236
- 919 98. Ellison A, Wilcockson D, Cable J. Circadian dynamics of the teleost skin immune-microbiome
 920 interface. *Microbiome*.2021;9(1):1-18.
- 92.1 99. Smith KF, Schmidt V, Rosen GE, Amaral-Zettler L. Microbial Diversity and Potential
 92.2 Pathogens in Ornamental Fish Aquarium Water. *PLoS ONE*. 2012;7(9):39971.
 92.3 <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0039971</u>
- Margulis L. Symbiogenesis and symbionticism. In: Margulis L, Fester R, editors. Symbiosis
 As a Source of Evolutionary Innovation: Speciation and Morphogenesis. *MIT Press Cambridge, MA*. Published online 1991.
- 927 101. Pawluk RJ, Stuart R, Garcia De Leaniz C, et al. Smell of Infection: A Novel, Noninvasive
 928 Method for Detection of Fish Excretory-Secretory Proteins. *J Proteome Res.* 2019;18(3).
 929 doi:10.1021/acs.jproteome.8b00953
- 930 102. Jaramillo D, Peeler EJ, Laurin E, Gardner IA, Whittington RJ. Serology in Finfish for
 931 Diagnosis, Surveillance, and Research: A Systematic Review. J Aquat Anim Health.
 932 2017;29(1). doi:10.1080/08997659.2016.1244577
- 933 103. OIE (World Organisation for Animal Health). Manual of diagnostic tests for aquatic animals,
 934 6th edition. *OIE, Paris*. 2009.
- 935 104. OIE (World Organisation for Animal Health). Manual of diagnostic tests for aquatic animals.
 936 Updated June 2021. Accessed September 2021. <u>https://www.oie.int/en/what-we-</u>
 937 <u>do/standards/codes-and-manuals/aquatic-manual-online-access/</u>
- 938 105. Overath P, Ruoff J, Stierhof YD, et al. Cultivation of bloodstream forms of *Trypanosoma*939 *carassii*, a common parasite of freshwater fish. *Parasitol Res.* 1998;84(5).
 940 doi:10.1007/s004360050408
- 941 106. Blaxhall PC, Daisley KW. Routine haematological methods for use with fish blood. J Fish
 942 Biol. 1973;5(6). doi:10.1111/j.1095-8649.1973.tb04510.x

943107. Adams A, Thompson K. Development of an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (elisa)944for the detection of Aeromonas salmonicida in fish tissue. J Aquat Anim Health.

945

946 108. Dixon PF. Detection of *Renibacterium salmoninarum* by the enzyme-linked
947 immunosorbent assay (ELISA). *J Appl Ichthyol*. 1987;3(2). doi:10.1111/j.1439948 0426.1987.tb00456.x

1990;2(4):281-288. doi.org/10.1577/1548-8667(1990)002<0281:DOAELI>2.3.CO;2

- 949 109. Adams A, Thompson KD, Morris D, Farias C, Chu Chen S. Development and use of
 950 monoclonal antibody probes for immunohistochemistry, ELISA and IFAT to detect bacterial
 951 and parasitic fish pathogens. *Fish Shellfish Immunol*. 1995;5(8):537-547.
 952 doi.org/10.1016/S1050-4648(95)80040-9
- 953 110. Toranzo AE, Baya AM, Roberson BS, Barja JL, Grimes DJ, Hetrick FM. Specificity of slide
 954 agglutination test for detecting bacterial fish pathogens. *Aquaculture*. 1987;61(2):81-97.
 955 doi.org/10.1016/0044-8486(87)90361-9
- 956 111. Hofmann B, Welch HG. New diagnostic tests: more harm than good. *Bmj*, 2017;358.
 957 doi.org/10.1136/bmj.j3314
- 958 112. Broadhurst MJ, Brooks TJG, Pollock NR. Diagnosis of ebola virus disease: Past, present, and
 959 future. *Clin Microbiol Rev.* 2016;29(4). doi:10.1128/CMR.00003-16
- 960113. Ley B, Thriemer K. A novel generation of hemozoin based malaria diagnostics show961promisingperformance.*EClinicalMedicine*.2020;22:100369.962doi.org/10.1016/j.eclinm.2020.100369
- 963 114. Kumar R, Verma AK, Shrivas S, et al. First successful field evaluation of new, one-minute
 964 haemozoin-based malaria diagnostic device. *EClinicalMedicine*. 2020;22.
 965 doi:10.1016/j.eclinm.2020.100347
- 966 115. Yue GH, Orban L. Rapid isolation of DNA from fresh and preserved fish scales for
 967 polymerase chain reaction. *Mar Biotechnol*. 2001;3(3). doi:10.1007/s10126-001-0010-9
- 116. Loução Terra MAB, Bello AR, Bastos OM, et al. Detection of *Toxoplasma gondii* DNA by
 polymerase chain reaction in experimentally desiccated tissues. *Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz*.
 2004;99(2). doi:10.1590/s0074-02762004000200012
- 971 117. Rider MA, Byrd BD, Keating J, Wesson DM, Caillouet KA. PCR detection of malaria parasites
 972 in desiccated *Anopheles* mosquitoes is uninhibited by storage time and temperature. *Malar* 973 J. 2012;11. doi:10.1186/1475-2875-11-193
- 974 118. Wasser SK, Houston CS, Koehler GM, Cadd GG, Fain SR. Techniques for application of faecal
 975 DNA methods to field studies of Ursids. *Mol Ecol*. 1997;6(11):1091-1097.
 976 doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-294X.1997.00281.x

- 977119. Lupica SJ, Turner JW. Validation of enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay for measurement978of faecal cortisol in fish. Aquac Res. 2009;40(4). doi:10.1111/j.1365-2109.2008.02112.x
- 979 120. Vernesi C, Bruford MW. Recent developments in molecular tools for conservation. In:
 980 Population Genetics for Animal Conservation. 2015. doi:10.1017/CBO9780511626920.015
- 121. Livia L, Antonella P, Hovirag L, Mauro N, Panara F. A nondestructive, rapid, reliable and
 inexpensive method to sample, store and extract high-quality DNA from fish body mucus
 and buccal cells. *Mol Ecol Notes*. 2006;6(1). doi:10.1111/j.1471-8286.2005.01142.x
- 984 122. Navaneeth Krishnan A, Bhuvaneswari T, Ezhil Praveena P, Jithendran KP. Paper-based
 985 archiving of biological samples from fish for detecting betanodavirus. *Arch Virol*.
 986 2016;161(7). doi:10.1007/s00705-016-2875-y
- 987 123. Ahmed HA, MacLeod ET, Hide G, Welburn SC, Picozzi K. The best practice for preparation
 988 of samples from FTA®cards for diagnosis of blood borne infections using African
 989 trypanosomes as a model system. *Parasites Vectors*. 2011;4(1):1-7. doi.org/10.1186/1756 990 3305-4-68
- 991124. Webster BL, Alharbi MH, Kayuni S, et al. Schistosome interactions within the Schistosoma992haematobium group, Malawi. Emerg Infect Dis. 2019;25(6). doi:10.3201/eid2506.190020
- 993125. Merck. (2020). Whatman® FTA® Cards. Updated 2022. Accessed 20222.994https://www.sigmaaldrich.com/GB/en/product/sigma/whawb120205
- 995 126. Cardona-Ospina JA, Villalba-Miranda MF, Palechor-Ocampo LA, Mancilla LI, Sepúlveda-Arias JC. A systematic review of FTA cards[®] as a tool for viral RNA preservation in fieldwork: 996 997 Are safe effective? Vet Med. 2019;172. they and Prev 998 doi:10.1016/j.prevetmed.2019.104772
- 999 127. Innis MA, Gelfand DH, Sninsky JJ, White TJ. (Eds.). *PCR protocols: a guide to methods and*1000 *applications*. Academic press. 2012.
- 1001 128. Canela HMS, Takami LA, Ferreira MES. Sybr safe[™] efficiently replaces ethidium bromide in
 1002 Aspergillus fumigatus gene disruption. Genet Mol Res. 2017;16(1).
 1003 doi:10.4238/gmr16019583
- 1004129. Cunningham CO. Molecular diagnosis of fish and shellfish diseases: Present status and1005potential use in disease control. Aquaculture. 2002;206(1-2). doi:10.1016/S0044-10068486(01)00864-X
- 1007130. Boulter N, Suarez FG, Schibeci S, et al. A simple, accurate and universal method for1008quantification of PCR. *BMC Biotechnol*. 2016;16(1). doi:10.11
- 1009131. Kralik P, Ricchi M. A basic guide to real time PCR in microbial diagnostics: Definitions,1010parameters, and everything. Front Microbiol. 2017;8(FEB). doi:10.3389/fmicb.2017.00108

- 1011 132. Sepúlveda D, Bohle H, Labra Á, Grothusen H, Marshall SH. Design and evaluation of a
 1012 unique RT-qPCR assay for diagnostic quality control assessment that is applicable to
 1013 pathogen detection in three species of salmonid fish. *BMC Vet Res.* 2013;9.
 1014 doi:10.1186/1746-6148-9-183
- 1015 133. Paoletti M, Mattiucci S, Colantoni A, Levsen A, Gay M, Nascetti G. Species-specific Real
 1016 Time-PCR primers/probe systems to identify fish parasites of the genera *Anisakis*,
 1017 *Pseudoterranova* and *Hysterothylacium* (Nematoda: Ascaridoidea). *Fish Res.* 2018;202.
 1018 doi:10.1016/j.fishres.2017.07.015
- 1019 134. Isaksen TE, Karlsbakk E, Repstad O, Nylund A. Molecular tools for the detection and
 1020 identification of *lchthyobodo* spp. (Kinetoplastida), important fish parasites. *Parasitol Int*.
 1021 2012;61(4). doi:10.1016/j.parint.2012.07.006
- 1022 135. Gallagher MD, Matejusova I, Nguyen L, Ruane NM, Falk K, Macqueen DJ. Nanopore
 1023 sequencing for rapid diagnostics of salmonid RNA viruses. *Sci Rep.* 2018;8(1).
 1024 doi:10.1038/s41598-018-34464-x
- 1025 136. Orieux N, Bourdineaud JP, Douet DG, Daniel P, Le Hénaff M. Quantification of
 1026 Flavobacterium psychrophilum in rainbow trout, Oncorhynchus mykiss (Walbaum), tissues
 1027 by qPCR. J Fish Dis. 2011;34(11). doi:10.1111/j.1365-2761.2011.01296.x
- 1028
 137. Baker
 M. Digital
 PCR
 hits
 its
 stride.
 Nature
 Methods.
 2012;9(6):541-544.

 1029
 doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2027
- 1030 138. Taylor SC, Laperriere G, Germain H. (2017). Droplet Digital PCR versus qPCR for gene
 1031 expression analysis with low abundant targets: from variable nonsense to publication
 1032 quality data. *Sci Rep.* 2017;7(1):1-8. doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-02217-x
- 1033 139. Lewin AS, Haugen T, Netzer R, Tøndervik A, Dahle SW, Hageskal G. Multiplex droplet digital
 1034 PCR assay for detection of *Flavobacterium psychrophilum* and *Yersinia ruckeri* in Norwegian
 1035 aquaculture. *J Microbiol Methods*. 2020;177. doi:10.1016/j.mimet.2020.106044
- 1036 140. Fakruddin M, Mannan KSB, Chowdhury A, et al. Nucleic acid amplification: Alternative
 1037 methods of polymerase chain reaction. *J Pharm Bioallied Sci.* 2013;5(4). doi:10.4103/0975 1038 7406.120066
- 1039 141. Chui L, Li V. Current and Emerging Technologies for the Diagnosis of Microbial Infections.
 1040 2015;42.
- 1041142. Notomi T, Okayama H, Masubuchi H, et al. Loop-mediated isothermal amplification of1042DNA. Nucleic Acids Res. 2000;28(12). doi:10.1093/nar/28.12.e63
- 1043143. Puthawibool T, Senapin S, Flegel TW, Kiatpathomchai Wansika W. Rapid and sensitive1044detection of *Macrobrachium rosenbergii* nodavirus in giant freshwater prawns by reverse

- transcription loop-mediated isothermal amplification combined with a lateral flow dipstick.
 Mol Cell Probes. 2010;24(5). doi:10.1016/j.mcp.2010.07.003
- 1047144. Biswas G, Sakai M. Loop-mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP) assays for detection1048and identification of aquaculture pathogens: current state and perspectives. App Microbiol1049and Biotechnol. 2014;98:2881-2895. doi.org/10.1007/s00253-014-5531-z
- 1050 145. Soli KW, Kas M, Maure T, Umezaki M, Morita A, Siba PM, Greenhill AR, Horwood PF.
 1051 Evaluation of colorimetric detection methods for *Shigella*, *Salmonella*, and *Vibrio cholerae*1052 by loop-mediated isothermal amplification. *Diagn Microbiol infect dis*. 2013;77(4):321-323.
 1053 doi.org/10.1016/j.diagmicrobio.2013.09.009
- 1054 146. Kiatpathomchai W, Jaroenram W, Arunrut N, Jitrapakdee S, Flegel TW. Shrimp Taura
 1055 syndrome virus detection by reverse transcription loop-mediated isothermal amplification
 1056 combined with a lateral flow dipstick. *J Virol Methods*. 2008;153(2).
 1057 doi:10.1016/j.jviromet.2008.06.025
- 1058 147. Caipang CMA, Haraguchi I, Ohira T, Hirono I, Aoki T. Rapid detection of a fish iridovirus
 1059 using loop-mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP). *J Virol Methods*. 2004;121(2).
 1060 doi:10.1016/j.jviromet.2004.06.011
- 1061 148. Dittrich S, Castonguay-Vanier J, Moore CE, Thongyoo N, Newton PN, Paris DH. Loop 1062 mediated isothermal amplification for *Rickettsia typhi* (the causal agent of murine typhus):
 1063 Problems with diagnosis at the limit of detection. *J Clin Microbiol*. 2014;52(3).
 1064 doi:10.1128/JCM.02786-13
- 1065 149. Yan L, Zhou J, Zheng Y, Gamson AS, Roembke BT, Nakayama S, Sintim HO. Isothermal
 1066 amplified detection of DNA and RNA. *Molecular BioSystems*. 2014;10(5):970-1003. DOI:
 1067 10.1039/C3MB70304E
- 1068 150. Mabrok M, Elayaraja S, Chokmangmeepisarn P, Jaroenram W, et al. Rapid visualization in 1069 the specific detection of Flavobacterium columnare, a causative agent of freshwater 1070 columnaris using a novel recombinase polymerase amplification (RPA) combined with 1071 lateral flow dipstick (LFD) assay. Aquaculture. 2021;531:735780. 1072 doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2020.735780
- 1073 151. Geng Y, Tan K, Liu L, Sun XX, Zhao B, Wang J. Development and evaluation of a rapid and
 1074 sensitive RPA assay for specific detection of *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* in seafood. *BMC* 1075 *Microbiology*. 2019;19(1):1-9. doi.org/10.1186/s12866-019-1562-z
- 1076152. Soliman H, Kumar G, El-Matbouli M. (2018). Recombinase polymerase amplification assay1077combined with a lateral flow dipstick for rapid detection of *Tetracapsuloides bryosalmonae*,

- 1078the causative agent of proliferative kidney disease in salmonids. Parasites vectors.10792018;11(1):1-8. doi.org/10.1186/s13071-018-2825-5
- 1080 153. Daher RK, Stewart G, Boissinot M, Bergeron MG. Recombinase polymerase amplification
 1081 for diagnostic applications. *Clin Chem.* 2016;62(7):947-958.
 1082 doi.org/10.1373/clinchem.2015.245829
- 1083154. Harper LR, Lawson Handley L, Hahn C, et al. Needle in a haystack? A comparison of eDNA1084metabarcoding and targeted qPCR for detection of the great crested newt (*Triturus*1085cristatus). Ecol Evol. 2018;8(12). doi:10.1002/ece3.4013
- 1086 155. Mächler E, Deiner K, Spahn F, Altermatt F. Fishing in the Water: Effect of Sampled Water
 1087 Volume on Environmental DNA-Based Detection of Macroinvertebrates. *Environ Sci* 1088 *Technol.* 2016;50(1). doi:10.1021/acs.est.5b04188
- 1089 156. Huver JR, Koprivnikar J, Johnson PTJ, Whyard S. Development and application of an eDNA
 1090 method to detect and quantify a pathogenic parasite in aquatic ecosystems. *Ecol Appl*.
 1091 2015;25(4). doi:10.1890/14-1530.1
- 1092 157. Wittwer C, Stoll S, Strand D, Vrålstad T, Nowak C, Thines M. eDNA-based crayfish plague
 1093 monitoring is superior to conventional trap-based assessments in year-round detection
 1094 probability. *Hydrobiologia*. 2018;807(1). doi:10.1007/s10750-017-3408-8
- 1095158. Monterey Bay Aquarium Research Institute (MBARI). (2017). The Environmental Sample1096Processor (ESP). Updated 2017. Accessed May 2020.1097https://www.mbari.org/technology/emerging-current-tools/instruments/environmental-1098sample-processor-esp/
- 1099 159. Bista I, Carvalho GR, Walsh K, et al. Annual time-series analysis of aqueous eDNA reveals
 ecologically relevant dynamics of lake ecosystem biodiversity. *Nat Commun*. 2017;8:14087.
 1101 doi.org/10.1038/ncomms14087
- 1102 160. Tréguier A, Paillisson JM, Dejean T, Valentini A, Schlaepfer MA, Roussel JM. Environmental
 1103 DNA surveillance for invertebrate species: Advantages and technical limitations to detect
 1104 invasive crayfish *Procambarus clarkii* in freshwater ponds. *J Appl Ecol.* 2014;51(4).
 1105 doi:10.1111/1365-2664.12262
- 1106 161. Holman LE, de Bruyn M, Creer S, Carvalho G, Robidart J, Rius M. Detection of introduced
 and resident marine species using environmental DNA metabarcoding of sediment and
 water. *Sci Rep.* 2019;9(1). doi:10.1038/s41598-019-47899-7
- 1109162. Turner CR, Uy KL, Everhart RC. Fish environmental DNA is more concentrated in aquatic1110sediments than surface water. *Biol Conserv.* 2015;183. doi:10.1016/j.biocon.2014.11.017

- 1111 163. Roussel JM, Paillisson JM, Tréguier A, Petit E. The downside of eDNA as a survey tool in
 1112 water bodies. J Appl Ecol. 2015;52(4). doi:10.1111/1365-2664.12428
- 1113 164. Doi H, Akamatsu Y, Watanabe Y, et al. Water sampling for environmental DNA surveys by
 1114 using an unmanned aerial vehicle. *Limnol Oceanogr Methods*. 2017;15(11).
 1115 doi:10.1002/lom3.10214
- 1116 165. Bershadsky D, Haviland S, Valdez PE, Johnson E. Design considerations of submersible
 1117 unmanned flying vehicle for communications and underwater sampling. *9th International* 1118 *Conference on Control, Automation, Robotics and Vision.* 2006.
- 1119 166. Seymour M, Durance I, Cosby BJ, et al. Acidity promotes degradation of multi-species
 environmental DNA in lotic mesocosms. *Commun Biol.* 2018;1(1). doi:10.1038/s42003-017 1121 0005-3
- 1122 167. Trujillo-González A, Edmunds RC, Becker JA, Hutson KS. Parasite detection in the
 1123 ornamental fish trade using environmental DNA. *Sci Rep.* 2019;9:5173.
 1124 doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-41517-2
- 1125 168. Cristescu ME. Can Environmental RNA Revolutionize Biodiversity Science? *Trends Ecol Evol*.
 1126 2019;34(8):694-697. <u>doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2019.05.003</u>
- 1127 169. Kawato Y, Mekata T, Inada M, Ito T. Application of Environmental DNA for Monitoring Red
 1128 Sea Bream Iridovirus at a Fish Farm. *Environ Microbiol.* 2021.
 1129 doi.org/10.1128/Spectrum.00796-21
- 1130 170. Vilaça ST, Grant SA, Beaty L, et al. Detection of spatiotemporal variation in ranavirus
 1131 distribution using eDNA. *Environ DNA*. 2020;2(2). doi:10.1002/edn3.59
- 1132 171. Kamoroff C, Goldberg CS. Using environmental DNA for early detection of amphibian
 chytrid fungus *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* prior to a ranid die-off. *Dis Aquat Organ*.
 2017;127(1). doi:10.3354/dao03183
- 1135 172. Thomsen PF, Willerslev E. Environmental DNA An emerging tool in conservation for
 1136 monitoring past and present biodiversity. *Biol Conserv.* 2015;183.
 1137 doi:10.1016/j.biocon.2014.11.019
- 1138 173. Bastos Gomes G, Hutson KS, Domingos JA, et al. Use of environmental DNA (eDNA) and
 water quality data to predict protozoan parasites outbreaks in fish farms. *Aquaculture*.
 2017;479:467-473. doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2017.06.021
- 1141 174. Huver JR, Koprivnikar J, Johnson PTJ, Whyard S. Development and application of an eDNA
 1142 method to detect and quantify a pathogenic parasite in aquatic ecosystems. *Ecol Appl*.
 1143 2015;25(4). doi:10.1890/14-1530.1

- 1144 175. Smart AS, Weeks AR, van Rooyen AR, Moore A, McCarthy MA, Tingley R. Assessing the
 1145 cost-efficiency of environmental DNA sampling. *Methods Ecol Evol*. 2016;7(11).
 1146 doi:10.1111/2041-210X.12598
- 1147 176. Behjati S, Tarpey PS. What is next generation sequencing? *Arch Dis Child Educ Pract Ed*.
 1148 2013;98(6). doi:10.1136/archdischild-2013-304340
- 1149 177. Mendoza MLZ, Sicheritz-Pontén T, Thomas Gilbert MP. Environmental genes and genomes:
 1150 Understanding the differences and challenges in the approaches and software for their
 1151 analyses. *Brief Bioinform*. 2014;16(5). doi:10.1093/bib/bbv001
- 1152 178. Wylezich C, Belka A, Hanke D, Beer M, Blome S, Höper D. Metagenomics for broad and
 1153 improved parasite detection: a proof-of-concept study using swine faecal samples. *Int J* 1154 *Parasitol*. 2019;49(10). doi:10.1016/j.ijpara.2019.04.007
- 1155 179. Gogarten JF, Calvignac-Spencer S, Nunn CL, et al. Metabarcoding of eukaryotic parasite
 1156 communities describes diverse parasite assemblages spanning the primate phylogeny. *Mol* 1157 *Ecol Resour.* 2020;20(1). doi:10.1111/1755-0998.13101
- 1158180. BOLDSYSTEMS. Barcode of Life Data System. Updated 2022. Accessed January 2021.1159https://www.boldsystems.org/index.php
- 1160181. A'Hara S. Cottrell J. Metabarcoding. Updated 2022. Accessed January 2021.1161https://www.forestresearch.gov.uk/research/metabarcoding/
- 1162182. NCBI. GenBank and WGS statistics. Updated December 2021. Accessed January 2021.1163https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genbank/statistics/
- 1164 183. Harper LR, Lawson Handley L, Hahn C, et al. Needle in a haystack? A comparison of eDNA
 1165 metabarcoding and targeted qPCR for detection of the great crested newt (*Triturus* 1166 *cristatus*). *Ecol Evol*. 2018;8(12). doi:10.1002/ece3.4013
- 1167 184. Nkili-Meyong AA, Bigarré L, Labouba I, Vallaeys T, Avarre JC, Berthet N. Contribution of
 1168 Next-Generation Sequencing to Aquatic and Fish Virology. *Intervirology*. 2017;59(5-6).
 1169 doi:10.1159/000477808
- 1170 185. Gonçalves AT, Collipal-Matamal R, Valenzuela-Muñoz V, Nuñez-Acuña G, Valenzuela 1171 Miranda D, Gallardo-Escárate C. Nanopore sequencing of microbial communities reveals
 1172 the potential role of sea lice as a reservoir for fish pathogens. *Sci Rep.* 2020;10(1).
 1173 doi:10.1038/s41598-020-59747-0
- 1174186. lacobucci G, Coombes R. Covid-19: Government plans to spend £100bn on expanding1175testing to 10 million a day. *BMJ*. 2020;370. doi:10.1136/bmj.m3520
- 1176187. O'Farrell B. Evolution in lateral flow-based immunoassay systems. Lateral Flow1177Immunoassay. 2009: 1-9. doi.org/10.1007/978-1-59745-240-3_1

- 1178 188. Yu S, Nimse SB, Kim J, Song KS, Kim T. Development of a lateral flow strip membrane assay
 1179 for rapid and sensitive detection of the SARS-CoV-2. *Analytical Chemistry*.
 1180 2020;92(20):14139-14144. doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.0c03202
- 1181 189. Ung L, Stothard JR, Phalkey R, Azman AS, Chodosh J, Hanage WP, Standley CJ. (2021).
- 1182Towards global control of parasitic diseases in the Covid-19 era: One Health and the future1183of multisectoral global health governance. Adv Parasitol. 2021;114:1-26.
- 1184 doi.org/10.1016/bs.apar.2021.08.007