ABSTRACT

Purpose: Coronavirus has accentuated the cracks within the fragile UK food system. Empty shelves and empty stomachs, the damaging consequences of coronavirus have led to an unprecedented increase in food insecurity and food access.

Design/methodology/approach: Drawing on multiple perspectives of those working to combat food insecurity, inequality, and inaccessibility in Gwynedd; exploring the responses of the pandemic from food banks and charities, innovative food distribution collaborations and the role of maintaining already fragile rural communities.

Findings: This article concludes that the need for transformative place making to build stronger, more resilient communities has never been more pressing with support from public sector funding to help alleviate some of the hardship and pressure with the rise in poverty and austerity, coronavirus imposed or not.

Originality: This study focuses on a single Local Authority area in North Wales, Gwynedd, an area where little food research has been published to date. The coronavirus pandemic also places the timely research within the scope of food access and distribution during hardship. The paper discusses the impacts exposed by the pandemic and lessons which can be drawn and reflected on for future benefit.
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INTRODUCTION

The UK was ranked second best pandemic prepared country in the world (Nalabandian et al., 2019). However, the preparedness plan was focused on influenza, this meant as a new unresearched virus started to spread, it was not possible to implement some planned preventative actions (UK Government, 2020). Caduff (2020, p.468) highlights the failure of the government to also consider the large impact of said extreme measures on the daily lives and norm of many places, and how the ‘name of survival will haunt us for decades’. The already fragile neo-liberal, consumption driven, globalised UK food system, susceptible to external shocks (Benton, 2020; Lang, 2020), has become fractured and is exposing the vulnerabilities and inequalities in the unjust system (Pollock et al., 2020). This paper provides an insight into some of the food and drink access approaches used to help individuals during the pandemic within Gwynedd, North Wales. The paper explores the grassroots initiatives driven by communities and organisations, volunteerism, and the need for a review of existing policy.

For those who had the means to, stockpiling, hording and panic buying became options, leaving shelves stripped bare (Power et al., 2020) and just-in-time supply chains struggling to cope with the increased demand (Benton, 2020). Health-vulnerable individuals, that were encouraged to self-isolate were left unable to book delivery slots (Eskytė et al., 2020) with some risking their health to buy essential goods (Scope, 2020). The inequality gap grew further, ‘the forgotten vulnerable’ (Patel et al., 2020), the fatal combination of growing austerity in the UK (Lambie-Mumford & Green, 2017; Power et al., 2020; Strong, 2020), and the damaging economic consequences of coronavirus (reduced hours/unemployment/universal credit/benefit dependence), have led to an increased number of individuals going hungry (IFAN, 2020; Trussell Trust, 2020).

Exploring several perspectives of those working within the four pillars of food security: (a) food availability (b) food access, including both affordability and transport (c) food utilisation and, (d) the stability of the food supply to combat food insecurity, inequality, and inaccessibility in Gwynedd, North Wales, this paper provides in-depth insight into rural localised responses to food access during the pandemic. The aims are to (1) explore the varied responses of small communities on their collective actions to combat the impacts of coronavirus on food accessibility and to (2) provide insight into the opportunities and barriers created by the pandemic and how these relate to the need for change within the current food system. This niche-specific research provides a greater understanding of pandemic induced food and drink access issues and the consequential responses in rural North Wales and provides insight into further research avenues.
LITERATURE REVIEW

**Food aid and Big Society**

Emergency food aid has been sourced through various forms in the UK, including but not limited to: food banks, Fareshare, FoodCycle, breakfast and lunch clubs, community cafes, social supermarkets, soup kitchens and soup runs.

Caplan (2016) discusses *Big Society or Broken Society?* And whether food should be considered *charitable gift* or *entitlement*. Caplan (2016, p.9) highlights that although donations from both the public charitable and voluntary sector have been praised ‘as examples of the vibrancy of democracy and society…they are [also] associated with profound changes to the UK welfare state, the discourses of politicians, the policies of government, and the views of many voters.’ The 2010 coalition UK government launched the Big Society, a more inclusive view of society in which communities play a vital role in alleviating both health and social problems (Blond, 2010).

Herzfeld (1992) argues that indifference is socially produced, and the role of the media and governance in the creation of a blame society should not be ignored, playing an important role in the process of defining the social problems arising and influencing (in)directly policy (Buse *et al.*, 2012; Wells & Caraher, 2014). With users and victims of food aid being misrepresented using the blame-laden ‘scrounger’ rhetoric (Garthwaite, 2016, p.2), it is not surprising that policies and benefit rules are tightened or even removed completely, causing hardship and distress. In 2017-18, the top three reasons for referral to a food bank were ‘income not covering essential costs’, ‘benefit delays’ and ‘benefit changes’ (Trussell Trust, 2019), this will be further exacerbated with the introduction of Universal Credit’s five week delay of full payment, and now coronavirus. 38% of Welsh households have seen an increase in food costs since March 2020, with more than 200,000 households cutting back on food for adults (Sefydliad Bevan Foundation, 2020).

Although food banks should be applauded, it has been questioned that food entitlement is a UK government problem, and should be considered a human right rather than a charitable concern (Dowler, 2002; Cloke *et al.*, 2017). This form of charity is depoliticized, allowing governments to ‘offload their welfare responsibilities’ (Riches, 2002, p.658) and therefore their commitments to Universal Declaration on Human Rights, Article 25 The Right to Means for Adequate Health, whist also maintaining some level of control (Caplan, 2016). It could also be argued that food aid and food banks instil a false sense of progress and therefore lessening the need for immediate action within the UK.
**Coronavirus, food and rurality**

UK rural livelihoods and economies have had their resilience and adaptability tested before; 2001 Foot and Mouth Disease (Phillipson *et al.*, 2004), 2007/8 financial crisis (Commission for Rural Communities, 2010) and more recently Brexit, which Dwyer (2018) suggests could lead to increased rural poverty in North Wales. Phillipson *et al.*, (2020) highlight the UK implications for rural economies caused by the pandemic, including demand and supply, the disruption and reconfiguration of supply chains, collaboration and adaptation, business recovery measures, financial concerns and the need for cross sectoral response. However, Galanakis (2020, p.6) suggests the true ‘consequences for humanity, economy, and, subsequently, food systems’ is unknown.

**Coronavirus and Wales**

The focus of governmental response has been on ‘health, social care and supporting systems, many impacts have not been highlighted to the same extent’ (Chang *et al.*, 2020, p292). Political devolution has allowed the four UK nations to respond differently, adopting different approaches to food access, distribution, and procurement. The Health Protection (Coronavirus Restrictions) (Wales) Regulations 2020 was approved by Senedd (Welsh Parliament) in March, with amendments in April granting Welsh Government emergency powers to manage certain aspects and impacts of the pandemic. However, devolution also caused confusion when the Prime Minister referred during UK television briefings to lockdown measures without clarifying the changes were relevant only to England (Cushion *et al.*, 2020).

There have been just under 220,000 reported cases and over 5,575 coronavirus related deaths in Wales (Public Health Wales, 2021), with those living in deprived areas having a mortality rate twice as high as the least deprived areas (ONS, 2020a).

**Shielding individuals: food access and deliveries**

Wales experienced lockdowns and ‘fire-breaks’ throughout 2020 (Figure 1). Initially, 130,000 individuals in Wales were encouraged to self-isolate and shield themselves from potential risks (Welsh Government, 2020a). To combat the issues of shielding and food access, a weekly food parcel was made available for those experiencing enforced shielding, for the first 12 weeks of the initial national lockdown. Welsh Government implemented the £15 million scheme, involving weekly deliveries with enough food for a single person (Welsh Government, 2020b). These boxes were free of charge; however, were only available to those individuals directly advised by the NHS to shield because of health concerns and did not account for other household members or dependents. However, the true number of individuals self-isolating was far higher, as self-imposed shielding individuals also occurred, based on an individual’s perceived level of risk unknown.
METHODS

This research concentrates on a community food system approach (Peters, 1997) with a strong focus on social reproduction and care (Picchioni et al., 2021). The qualitative data collected explores food responses to the pandemic. This approach enables a more holistic view and critique of the socio-economic food system hierarchies; helping to bridge the gap between food as a collective good and the more traditional food systems perspective, providing a critical lens for multi-scalar approaches and recognising the local actors, workers and collective action as global agents of change (Picchioni et al., 2021).

A case study approach is used to gain and illustrate an in depth understanding and appreciation for the situation (Crowe et al., 2011). The Gwynedd case study was developed using multiple sources (Stake, 1995; Mason, 2018), the multi-methods approach implemented interviews, and document, video and website analysis, gathering qualitative data for analysis.

Secondary data were collected through multi-media avenues including video and website analysis. This involved daily manual data scraping of publicly available platforms and websites, including social media platforms Twitter and Facebook, and local news websites. Often the information was scattered across different sources and platforms, highlighting the need for data triangulation (Crowe et al., 2011). Information on each initiative identified was noted, including relevant contact information, base location, description of access type, support given, support received, volunteer dependency. Food access points that supported individuals through the pandemic, some established prior, and some developed as a result were recorded in the dataset. The dataset did not take into consideration the many shops that remained open to sell essential goods, rather the points of emergency food access, and the establishments that changed their purpose dramatically to facilitate some form of food access.

Reflecting on the authors’ positions as food-related academics in the North Wales region, known food-related networks including charities, local businesses, educational institutions, and Councillors were invited to share their experiences. Semi-structured interviews were conducted with willing respondents, who were given the opportunity to communicate in Welsh and/or English. Questions for the semi-structured interviews were structured under headings based on the four dimensions of food security (Gross et al., 2000); availability, access, utilization and stability. In some cases, snowball sampling occurred as interviewed individuals signposted the authors to other possible contributors. Between November 2020 and January 2021, a total of fourteen live interviews were conducted with local charities, businesses, and councillors via online platforms, and seven written contributions were received. Interviews were recorded, transcribed verbatim, anonymised, and allocated a respondent number.
Anonymisation was completed to avoid the politicisation of responses, due to the participation of local councillors.

Transcripts were thematically coded using Saldana’s (2009) two cycle coding approach. Due to the ethnographic perspective of the study, during the first coding cycle both descriptive coding and In Vivo coding were undertaken, to honour the participant’s voices and to ensure the analysis was truly grounded in the perspectives of those interviewed (Saldana, 2009, p91). A total of 92 child codes were generated across all transcriptions. The second cycle of coding focused on pattern coding, whereby nineteen root codes were generated. Codeweaving was undertaken to integrate categories (Saldana, 2009); in this case, often encountering and/or complementing each other, highlighting the complex and intertwined nature of food systems. Each transcript was then re-visited, and themes collated and considered for each interview to determine and verify that the overall themes identified were comparable to the key points made within each dialogue, “accurately’ reflecting the meanings evident in the data set as a whole’ (Braun & Clarke, 2006). Following this process of inductive thematic analysis (Braun & Clarke, 2006; Nowell et al., 2017), a total of five overall key themes were identified as discussion points, emphasising the data-driven findings (Braun & Clarke, 2006). Direct quotations were then selected to support the themes and help illustrate the key points. Translated quotes are provided by the authors, as native speakers, ensuring that there is no change to the narrative of the comments (Wiles et al., 2005). Throughout, Lincoln and Guba’s (1985) criteria for trustworthiness in thematic analysis was followed, with regular peer debriefing between authors and reflexive writing to examine and evidence emerging impressions and themes (Morse & Richards, 2012; Nowell et al., 2017). The final section of the discussion focuses on the implications for policy in Wales based on the findings.

A map was created using the secondary data points and any points referred to in the interviews that were not found initially. A total of 27 responses were identified, 23 in using secondary data and four additional through the interview process, these were mapped using point data (figure 2) to show the scale and location of access points identified across the Local Authority (LA).
FIRST REPORTED CASE (WALES)
28 FEBRUARY 2020
First known case was reported in Swansea, South Wales.

PANDEMIC CONFIRMED
(11 MARCH - 23 MARCH)
Closure of schools.

CORONAVIRUS ACT 2020
(25 MARCH)
Powers granted for authorities to:
- Take people into or keep them in quarantine
- Restrict or prohibit mass gatherings
- Close premises

REstrictions eased
(1 JUNE - 7 SEPTEMBER)
Slow opening of hospitality and entertainment.
Social distancing still in place.

‘FIRE-BREAK’
(23 OCTOBER - 9 NOVEMBER)
Second national lockdown (coinciding with half term and traditional celebrations).
Similar restrictions as the first UK lockdown.
‘Stay at home’ - no gatherings permitted.

CHRISTMAS AND NEW YEAR
19 DECEMBER - 19 FEBRUARY
Tier-4 restrictions and third national lockdown.
Only two-household bubble permitted on Christmas day.
Social distancing in public areas.
Schools closed.

INITIAL OUTBREAK
(28 FEBRUARY - 11 MARCH)

UK LOCKDOWN
(23 MARCH - 1 JUNE)
First national lockdown.
Only essential services to remain open.
No non-essential travel, outside exercise limited to once a day.
Social distancing.
Some stores placed restrictions on the number of items.
Gatherings of two or more people banned.
Closure of borders and Welsh mountains/rural green spaces.
Extension on initial 4 week lockdown duration in Wales.

REstrictions enforced
7 SEPTEMBER - 19 OCTOBER
Beginning of local lockdowns (county level).
Mandatory face coverings.
Limitations on social gatherings and events.

POST FIRE-BREAK
9 NOVEMBER - 15 DECEMBER
Two-household bubble can form.
Slow opening of hospitality and entertainment.
Curfew for alcohol sales.
Schools reopen.
Reopen of non-essential business.
No travel to and from England.
No travel restrictions within Wales.
Work from home if possible.

Figure 1: Coronavirus timeline in Wales Feb 2020 – time of submission
**CASE STUDY**

**Gwynedd**

Gwynedd is one of twenty-one LA areas in Wales, the second largest in terms of land area and one of the most sparsely populated, at 49.0 person per square kilometre (Welsh Government, 2020c). The Snowdonia National Park covers much of Gwynedd (838 square miles), a working landscape comprising of settlements, agriculture, business, and services. Highly seasonal and focussed on leisure and tourism, the LA is highly dependent on external income and capital, linking to the seasonality of public services such as public transport. Gwynedd has varying levels of deprivation spanning the region, 34% of Gwynedd has been ranked in the most deprived 50% of Wales (Welsh Government, 2019a). The Gwynedd and Anglesey Well-being Plan (Gwynedd and Anglesey PSB, 2018) highlighted following public consultation that a strong sense of community is important to its residents.

Very little food research has been published to date that focuses on the North Wales region specifically. This paper aims to highlight the vast array of grassroots initiatives developed within the small communities of Gwynedd, a new perspective, shining light on the rural and often hidden communities of North Wales.

Figure 2 illustrates the identified food access points within Gwynedd at the time of initial submission. It must be acknowledged, that this is not an exhaustive list. Often hidden within communities, responses are occurring ‘on the ground’, without the knowledge of the wider community and often without want of recognition. The many online platforms and social groups that also assisted food access have not been mapped, often facilitated by community members, these are key areas that should be explored in further future research. Additional context and information on several of the varied responses identified are discussed below to provide insight into some of the creative, innovative and non-traditional methods of support and food access adopted a direct result of the pandemic.
Figure 2: Emergency response food access points identified (inset images show the most deprived areas classified by WIMD, which is also where most responses were identified).
Mantell Gwynedd

The local County Voluntary Council (CVC) aims to support volunteers, voluntary and community groups, and be a strong voice for the third sector. It is part of Third Sector Support Wales (TSSW) which is a network of 19 CVCs in Wales along with the Wales Council for Voluntary Action (WCVA). The Gwynedd Volunteer Centre is a core function of Mantell Gwynedd and during the pandemic they have supported hundreds of individuals into volunteering. A Bank of Volunteers was created in March 2020 and over 600 people signed up to help others in crisis (Kaye & Morgan, 2021). So far, there are over 1,000 volunteers in Gwynedd who have also registered on the Volunteering Wales platform.

Porthi Pawb Community Food Project

Porthi Pawb [Translation: Feeding Everyone] received £1000 from Mantell Gwynedd’s Small Grants Fund towards feeding the vulnerable and elderly in Caernarfon (Mantell Gwynedd, 2020). Chris Summers, a local chef, wanted to give back to his local community. Initially 60 meals were prepared weekly, however the project has grown distributing on average 650 meals a week fulfilling the needs of the local community, moving from a home kitchen to school kitchen to meet the demand. The project continues to grow, and working with Gwyl Fwyd Caernarfon, the local Food Festival, the project received £10,000 through the National Lottery Awards for All grant to provide food, packaging and fuel (The National Lottery Community Fund, 2020).

MaesNi Community Group

The MaesNi project is funded through the Invest Local Programme, with the area given £1 million over 10 years to improve their community. By May 2020, the Maesgeirchen community had supported (funded by grants from the coronavirus resilience fund, Steve Morgan Foundation, MaesNi, Neighbourly, Tesco Bags for Help, Food for Life, Eglwys-y-Groes and Penrhyn House) over 4000 meals and lunches, essential deliveries, befriending schemes, education and activity packs, virtual boxing sessions, 12 mobile phones and 1 phoneline to access support, all delivered and organised by volunteers with support from MaesNi, Penrhyn House and the Maesgeirchen Partnership (MaesNi, 2020).

Partneriath Dyffryn Ogwen Partnership

Dyffryn Gwyrdd

A new initiative, funded by the National Lottery in August 2020, focusing on the prevention of fuel poverty, transport poverty, food poverty and rural isolation. Including several food poverty initiatives: community growing (allotments and public fruit trees), waste reduction (Fareshare collection and distribution on Sunday and Tuesday evenings) and community befriending
schemes (Green Valley partnership with Coed y Brenin Café to provide and deliver hot food to elderly and other vulnerable people who are unable to return to the café).

Cadwyn Ogwen

An innovative partnership between local businesses working together to sell and deliver goods locally using a community owned electric vehicle on a weekly basis, supported by ARFOR grant funding. The aims of the project were to provide a route for local food purchasing during the pandemic and support local businesses and supply chains (Ogwen Partnership, 2020). The idea branched out onto Facebook to provide a discussion area for sharing feedback, ideas and recipes using the Cadwyn Ogwen produce.

Adra Housing Association

Recognising the hardship that was facing some of their tenants and customers, in December 2020, Adra Housing Association donated a total of £13,000 to food banks local to their tenants and customers across North Wales, of which, £2,500 was contributed to food banks in Gwynedd (Adra, 2020).

Sunday Lunch Scheme

A partnership between Llanrug Community Council and local business A&M Catering June 2020 provide free Sunday lunch for vulnerable residents, those self-isolating or within the at-risk category. The scheme received positive feedback and distributed on average ~100 meals per week during the first lockdown. The project was funded through various donations from A&M Catering, Bangor Masonic Hall, and the Provincial Grand Lodge of North Wales.

Neges

Established by not-for-profit organisation Menter Mon, through their Mon Larder project, working with several partners, Neges established a food consolidation and distribution network across Gwynedd and Anglesey, working with local restaurant Dylan’s commercial production kitchen, transformed to produce ready meals from locally sourced produce and to pack weekly food parcels. The parcels were delivered to vulnerable people across the two counties upon direct referrals to Neges by the two Las. Operational from April to July, uptake for the boxes was slower than anticipated but the service made 14,520 deliveries to NHS staff and vulnerable individuals. These deliveries included over 10,000 lunch bags for NHS staff at the regions hospitals, 1,878 one-person parcels, 266 two-person parcels and 1,779 family or four-person parcels, amounting to weekly food support for 9,526 individuals (Prosser et al., 2021).
UNDEB Bangor (Bangor University Students’ Union)

UNDEB organised several responses; click and collect services with a local supermarket with volunteer student drivers, winter care packages, and ‘The Big Give’; donating ‘left-over’ food from students leaving their accommodation to Bangor Cathedral Foodbank.

DISCUSSION OF FINDINGS

The discussion below is a culmination of the data collected, with the headings representing the key recurring themes identified.

Partnership working, local provision and moving online

‘...one thing culturally that was a bit of a shock is that for the first time we saw that supply chains were fragile.’ Respondent-9322

This initial response paper highlights collegiality of food and drink businesses from producers to suppliers that came together during a time of crisis. In Gwynedd, positive collaborative approaches to food distribution were established ensuring the vital role of local business and ensuring products would not be wasted. Local businesses, when possible, donated goods, time, and space, to provide what they could. Not all the food responses identified had physical premises, they have been supported by community offerings, schools, centres of worship etc. Normally closed doors opened to provide safe spaces to collate, produce, store and/or distribute goods. However, all were highly dependent on availability of space, goodwill, and benevolent individuals.

The pandemic highlighted the vulnerability of the food and drink system in Wales, largely dependent on imported goods and the seasonal hospitality sector, the need for long term sustainable local solutions are needed to ensure that food and drink businesses can survive and make meaningful contributions irrespective of a global pandemic.

The increase in partnership working across Britain since the outbreak of coronavirus has been well documented (Barker & Russell, 2020; Power et al., 2020; Prosser et al., 2021), with the benefits of new and emerging markets, supporting communities and waste reduction. Partnerships have been built between consumers, producers, suppliers, regional wards, local businesses, charities, and housing associations in applying for grants, distributing food, and signposting to available services. The flow of goods and knowledge within and between wards, developed strong networks between people and organisations, a key attribute that should be maintained post-pandemic.

‘Roedd ganddom oddeut y 346 o bobol oedd yn cael cinio bob Dydd Sul am 16 wythnos...ac roedd gennyf ddigon o arian dros ben i wneud hamper nadolig i bawb
(gyda nawdd a chefnogaeth cwmni Morrisons)’ [Translation: We had about 346 people having lunch every Sunday for 16 weeks ... and I had enough money left over to make a Christmas hamper for everyone (with sponsorship and support from Morrisons)]

Respondent-9281

However, partnership working is strongly reliant on trust, informality and lack of signed agreements can lead to issues. One of the case studies identified was left in a challenging position when a major food retailer withdrew from a project on distribution day, costing the project time, money, and quality goods.

Local shops play an invaluable part in the food response, permitted to remain open as an essential service, they altered their modes of communication, access, and distribution to meet the needs of the local communities.

‘Mae’r siopa lleol wedi bod gwerthfawr yn edrych ar ôl y gymuned’ [Translation: The local shops have been invaluable in looking after the community] Respondent-9275

‘It’s really inspiring to see people turn to local suppliers and producers as the food chain has struggled to cope’ Llyr Gruffydd MS, Plaid Cymru’s shadow rural affairs minister (Hughes, 2020)

Innovative projects such as Cadwyn Ogwen provided access to local goods from vegetables, meat, seafood, preserves and beer. Fostering the need for stronger shorter supply chains, economic resilience and place attachment during the time of crisis. However, in other areas of Gwynedd the loss of access to local produce was evident with the closure of produce markets. In Bangor, the Friday open air market was cancelled until further notice on the 25th of March 2020 following a decision by Gwynedd Council. This meant the loss of a local fruit and vegetable stall and butcher. In some cases, these producers have moved online or found alternative pathways to market distributing their goods via home delivery and/or takeaway services.

Some partnerships and communities used online platforms as a means of communication. Facebook was a common ground for many residents and community groups organically formed such as Cofis Curo Corona, Curo’r Corona’n Coginio and Bangor Wales Coronavirus Support Group. In 2018-19 it was estimated that 13% of households in Wales did not have access to the internet (Welsh Government, 2019b), it is unknown whether this number has altered, however the growing pressures placed on individuals’ finances over the past year, choices may have been made in terms of what is essential to the household. Lack of home online/internet access is problematic: (1) the closure of public spaces (libraries and community centres), for some these vital spaces provided opportunity to connect for personal and
educational reasons, (2) central services, such as benefit systems including Universal Credit have moved on to digital platforms, (3) online booking for food deliveries, (4) information sharing; for example local gatekeepers highlighting ‘free food/bwyd am ddim’ opportunities and food banks opening times which in some cases is dependent on volunteer availability.

Data poverty is already identified as an issue and Welsh Government is striving to ‘ensure that no citizen is left behind’ embracing a digital first approach ensuring digital inclusion for all (Welsh Government, 2020c, p.5).

**Sustaining community**

It is important to acknowledge that although food provision was often one the main purposes of the responses identified, the ‘more than food’ intangible outcomes repeatedly occurred. The hidden and in some cases more valuable consequences, such as social interaction and the sense of being part of something bigger ensued. For those volunteering, this took the form of giving back, to ensure that community life is maintained; for those receiving support, a feeling of being remembered. The pandemic forced individuals to quickly evaluate their situations, for some, they were classed as vulnerable individuals, almost overnight, forcing them to look at themselves in a different way.

‘One’s got used to thinking of vulnerable people as the people living in the poorer parts of the ward. And that vulnerability remained. But people who you would never have considered vulnerable, needed your help’. Respondent-9295

During the first national lockdown measures of community spirit in the UK increased; 77.9% of adults said they thought people were doing more to help others (ONS, 2020b). The responses identified demonstrate an increase in social connections, sense of belonging and trust. The pandemic heightened the need for companionship and commonly befriending schemes were discussed as a gap within our current system. In most cases, the supply of volunteers and those willing to help often surpassed demand. It was felt that the notion of community spirit was not new, but what communities in Gwynedd have always done in times of hardship or crisis.

‘I wouldn’t say it’s [community spirit] stronger…it’s strong anyways just be the nature of the people that are here and the shared experiences…but it has been reinforced’. Respondent-9268

‘Bod pobl mewn cymunedau bach, wedi dod allan i helpu ei gilydd yn ystod yr amser anarferol yma.’ [Translation: People in small communities came out to help each other during these extraordinary times]. Respondent-9325
There are more community-led or owned assets in Gwynedd than any other LA in Wales (Cribb et al., 2020) [possibly due to Gwynedd’s large land cover], supporting the notion that communities and local people are taking ownership of their communities holding higher stakes and contributing to foundational economies and to the Wales Well-being Goal of building a more prosperous Wales.

**Goodwill and a system open to abuse**

Although the pandemic heightened community spirit, indicative of the UK Government’s notion of a Big Society (Blond, 2010), as time passed it was felt by some that volunteer fatigue was evident, and as more people returned to work the burdens of volunteering were felt by less. It was vocalised by some that volunteers felt taken advantage of, risking their safety to buy and deliver requested goods which in some cases were not necessarily deemed as essential items.

The general approach to food access in Gwynedd was non-means tested and provided to individuals that requested or needed food. Many of the respondents worried about the *moral maze* as described by Beck & Gwilym (2020) of distinguishing between those ‘deserving’ or ‘undeserving’ of help.

‘…you’ve got to be prepared to take everybody at face value’ Respondent-9268

‘…we weren’t in a position to sort of say he/she deserves food… you know, we didn’t have the expertise to sort out the goats from the sheep if you will’ Respondent-9295

In several cases where food was provided to those deemed vulnerable, a contentious issue arose surrounding a recipient’s abilities to pay. In many cases across Gwynedd, food was provided free of charge. This means therefore, that in some cases, recipients may have been able to afford food, but their access was restricted simply by their health requirements. Had opportunities been in place for these individuals to contribute financially to these provisions, if they had the means to, it is possible that more vulnerable individuals could have been reached and for a longer period, due to financial constraint being one of the main challenges in terms of resource provision. The pandemic has accentuated the challenges faced by many in low-income households, particularly families reliant on free school meals. The ability of these households to contribute financially to the aid they may have received is not in question here, rather whether a differentiation for aid recipients should have been made between those with limited access to food for financial reasons and those experiencing food (in)access because of health or mobility issues. It is important to note that non-means tested systems were dependent on people’s self-assessment of their own situations. Therefore, subjective and open to abuse or deception, although rarely experienced, some respondents raised it as a
concern. In some cases, in the close knit communities, those trying to play the system, ‘got found out pretty quickly’ Respondent-9268.

‘...a few people, I'm sure, did take advantage and they didn't really need food, but most people were extremely in need, you know, responsible and honest about it’ Respondent-9295

**Alternative future pathways to food distribution**

It is hoped for some the issue of food accessibility will pass as those deemed vulnerable will return to shopping and work ‘as normal’, but food crises and poverty will still exist. The pandemic has shone light on the ability of communities to find innovative ways to provide access to food and essential goods; from community kitchens, meals on wheels, meal vouchers/food credits, food cooperatives and stigma-free delivery services. These alternatives to food banks and food access warrant further exploration to ensure food security may be guaranteed at the most local level possible, benefitting the whole community. It should also be noted that due to the informality of the groups and their creation it is difficult to identify what is going on where, the lack of formalisation and structure could herald challenges. One of the major concerns expressed by the respondents was proudness, for those who have only recently experienced hardship ‘there must be someone more worthy’ [Respondent-9295] or ‘I don’t want people thinking I need help’ [Respondent-9268]. Therefore, moving forward destigmatisation of food access points should be paramount, one respondent proposed working closely with supermarket chain deliveries, so goods could be delivered in branded vehicles, so others would not know if it the food delivery was charity or paid goods. However, as highlighted by Power (2020) the increase embedded nature of collaboration and partnerships between LAs and food aid providers risks further institutionalising food aid, an issue raised 20 years ago by Richies (2002) regarding off-loading welfare duties.

**Organisational Responses from Private, Public and Community Sectors**

Many of the responses identified were grassroot initiatives, developed through and by communities. Networks quickly established; databases of time rotas, skills and levels of willingness were created, leaflets handed out and posters put up. Businesses adapted and changed their modes of communication, ordering and delivery. Different levels of responses were felt across the sector with some feeling that the local networks ‘kicked in faster’ and developed to fit community needs over time, for example housing organisations reaching out to their residents, phoning tenants, and conducting welfare checks. An issue that became apparent regarding emergency responses surrounded charity and organisation memorandums. Charities had the facilities to help but were not permitted under their current
However, the fullest and more correct picture of responses to food access involves the full range of social capitals drawing from different sectors and organisation types for expertise, funding, volunteer mobilisation etc. The biggest stakeholders gain vital corporate social responsibility publicity and possible long term marketing benefits through association. Citizens Advice Centres, social welfare, housing & benefits officers, charities, and faith-based services, all have clear expert community knowledge and other more hidden roles to play across food access services delivery networks. Often organising their internal capacity effectively, making a difference with very little resource, even during the pandemic. Volunteers commit and do their work for a range of motivations, as do wider food activist and lobby groups. These factors all merit further detailed investigation at the most local scale to enable a rich discussion of comparative future paths to alternative noninstitutionalised, locally effective, and sustainable responses to times of crisis and food crises more generally.

**Implications for policy: The right to food**

Wales has a clear outlook for food and drink with already established successful food strategies focused on re-localising the food and drink industry, such as ‘Food for Wales, food from Wales 2010–2020’ (soon to be replaced by a new food strategy) and The Well-being of Future Generations (Wales) Act 2015 which provides a supportive framework for future visions. However, the pandemic saw ‘any food…prioritised over access to good food’ (Sanderson Bellamy *et al.*, 2021, p.791).

There is need for more accountability to drive more transformative change within the current food system, whether this be through UK Law and the ‘Right to Food’ (as supported by Sustain (House of Commons, 2020a) and Nourish Scotland (House of Commons, 2020b)), or through strengthened food policy governance and monitoring. Regardless of the approach individuals must feel safe, respected, and fulfilled, with access to healthy, good quality affordable food, a right the current food system is not providing. As highlighted in ‘Food: On the Margins in Plymouth’ (Pettinger, 2020), the need to create solutions that optimise and maximise the support available to individuals and their communities to source and access healthy good food, will involve multiple strategies and stakeholders to achieve. Food is rarely ever named within over-arching policy, a failing to recognise that food is often affected by these policies and can be a contributor to their success. As highlighted previously, food should be considered a human right rather than a charitable concern (Dowler, 2002; Cloke *et al.*, 2017). In Wales, an approach to food governance that improves quality of life for all, encompassing both social and environmental factors would also contribute to the Well-being for Future Generations Act.
Recently, MPs have called on UK Government for the ‘Right to Food’ to be given legislative footing, which would drive action on food insecurity across Whitehall and Government (House of Commons, 2021). As discussed previously, Wales' devolved status is not without complication or confusion and can ultimately mean political barriers and levers of change are held by UK Government. The UK Government needs to empower communities and cooperative action through transformation of the current food system, one which facilitates place-based approaches fit for the communities they serve. This supports the report ‘A Welsh Food System Fit For Future Generations’ (Bellamy & Marsden, 2020) which suggests a new vision for Welsh food which is centred around re-localising the food system to strengthen food security and capture more local value.

**CONCLUSION**

Food access and system vulnerabilities have only been heightened by the pandemic, but in Gwynedd so has the willingness of communities to come together. The responses within this paper were appropriate for the communities they served, as in most cases they were designed and administered by them. What emerged is the need for more robust infrastructure across the LA, bridging rural and urban places, to counterbalance and support the strength of the community safety net, a net that is also fragile and dependent on goodwill and capacity. As the pandemic continues it is important to note the unsustainable dependence on volunteer support, the need for more community focused public sector funding is clear, funding that is not dependent on charity or generosity. Within Gwynedd there is a need for more holistic planning of community spaces to respond equally and to ensure that areas are not ignored, especially those without key community members, close to urban centres or have been previously identified as an area in need. Therefore, it could be argued that in conjunction with Picchioni et al., (2021) additions of social reproduction care to a food systems approach, followed in this paper, responsibility should also be recognised as a core component in working towards a strengthened food system.

The study confirms some of the impacts and challenges experienced in urban regions have been similarly felt within the rural context (McDowell, 2020; Lombardozzi et al., 2021). The true effects, direct and indirect, of the pandemic are far from being exposed. The vital role of the community and the sense of togetherness within the Gwynedd case study highlight the need to maintain a sense of belonging to build the resilience and wellbeing of the places we live, contributing to the Well-being of Future Generations (Wales) Act 2015, encouraging long-term logical thinking based on lived experiences. The innovations that have emerged echo Galanakis' (2020, p.6) need to avoid 'business as usual' approaches and use the opportunity to develop more sustainable and modern food systems. This opportunity linked with the
legislative vision of a better Wales has potential to change the current food system for the better.

The changing nature of coronavirus also means that existing Welsh legislation and policy may need to be revised considering the changes and impacts with increased resourcing; more research conducted into volunteer hours, donations received, grant payments and capacity is needed to ensure best use of spend on appropriate resourcing. The need for transformative place making processes to build and maintain resilient communities as both an overarching idea and hands-on improvement tool has never been more pressing with the rise in poverty, austerity and hardship coronavirus imposed or not. The pandemic has exposed the hidden capacity, empathy, and energy within the communities of Gwynedd, and more research is needed to see if similar effects have been felt across other LA areas. This would provide insight for policymakers and LAs, on the weaknesses in current provision and possible place-based pathways to a more just, responsible approach. Lived experiences and place-based approaches must be combined with a wider shift in the overall food system, supported centrally with acts such as the Well-being for Future Generations Act, which recognise the important role local communities play within the creation of a healthy and just food system. There are opportunities to learn from the devolved nations of the UK in relation to their approaches to food policy and support, this is especially pertinent with the upcoming (English) National Food Strategy (Lang et al., 2021).

The research reports on the initial responses that emerged from the pandemic, a fast-moving unprecedented situation. The participants interviewed, were directly involved in delivering, communicating and/or promoting food access initiatives in the Gwynedd region. A survey of the users would provide further insight into the lived impact of food access initiatives and unique user perspectives on service delivery. Further supporting data, such as multiple county scales, could also inform how crisis events can stimulate innovative community action, build community and social capacity, and provide lessons for the future.
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