

Understanding rural-urban transitions in the Global South through Peri-**Urban Turbulence**

Hutchings, Paul; Willcock, Simon; Lynch, Kenneth; Bundhoo, Dilshaad; Brewer, Tim; Cooper, Sarah; Keech, Daniel; Mekala, Sneha; Mishra, Prajna Paramita; Parker, Alison; Shackleton, Charlie M.; Venkatesh, Kongala; Rey Vicario, Dolores; Welivita, Indunee

Nature Sustainability

DOI:

10.1038/s41893-022-00920-w

Published: 01/11/2022

Peer reviewed version

Cyswllt i'r cyhoeddiad / Link to publication

Dyfyniad o'r fersiwn a gyhoeddwyd / Citation for published version (APA): Hutchings, P., Willcock, S., Lynch, K., Bundhoo, D., Brewer, T., Cooper, S., Keech, D., Mekala, S., Mishra, P. P., Parker, A., Shackleton, C. M., Venkatesh, K., Rey Vicario, D., & Welivita, I. (2022). Understanding rural-urban transitions in the Global South (10,000) (2000) (2000). Turbulence. Nature Sustainability, 5(11), 924-930. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41893-022-00920-w

Hawliau Cyffredinol / General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- · Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
 - You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
 You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal?

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Understanding rural-urban transitions in the Global South through Peri-Urban Turbulence 1

- 2 Paul Hutchings^{1,2*}, Simon Willcock^{3,4*}, Kenneth Lynch^{5,6\$}, Dilshaad Bundhoo⁵, Tim Brewer², Sarah Cooper²,
- Daniel Keech⁵, Sneha Mekala⁷, Prajna Paramita Mishra⁸, Alison Parker², Charlie M Shackleton⁹, Kongala 3
- 4 Venkatesh⁸, Dolores Rey Vicario², and Indunee Welivita⁴
- ¹ School of Civil Engineering, University of Leeds, UK: P.Hutchings@leeds.ac.uk
 - ² School of Water, Energy and Environment, Cranfield University, UK: <u>a.parker@cranfield.ac.uk</u>; <u>t.brewer@cranfield.ac.uk</u>; d.reyvicario@cranfield.ac.uk; sas23x@gmail.com
 - ³ Net Zero and Resilient Farming, Rothamsted Research, UK: simon.willcock@rothamsted.ac.uk
 - ⁴ School of Natural Sciences, Bangor University, UK: <u>i.welivita@bangor.ac.uk</u>
 - ⁵ School of Natural & Social Sciences, University of Gloucestershire, UK: <u>klynch@glos.ac.uk</u>
- ⁶ Countryside and Community Research Institute, University of Gloucestershire, UK: klynch@glos.ac.uk; dbundhoo@glos.ac.uk; dbundh dkeech@glos.ac.uk
- 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 ⁷ Independent Researcher, India: <u>regionalcoordinator@fansasia.net</u> 14 15
 - School of Economics, University of Hyderabad, Hyderabad, India: prajnamishra@uohyd.ac.in; venkyeco@gmail.com
 - Environmental Science, Rhodes University, Makhanda (Grahamstown), South Africa: c.shackleton@ru.ac.za
- 16 17 * Joint first author
- 18 \$ Corresponding author: klynch@glos.ac.uk

19

20

*Joint first authors

21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

29

Abstract

Much previous research has problematised the use of a binary urban-rural distinction to describe human settlement patterns in and around cities. This paper presents a framework that conceptualises rural-urban transition through the prism of shifts in natural, engineered and institutional infrastructure, in order to explain the processes of rapid change and the dip in service provision often found in peri-urban areas in the Global South. We draw on examples related to the provision of water and sanitation to illustrate the theory and discuss its implications for future research on the periurban.

30

31

Key Words: Infrastructure, Peri-urban, Rural, Services, Urban expansion, Urbanisation

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

Introduction

For much of this century, the world's urban population will continue to grow leading to an increasingly urbanised planet¹. A significant consequence of this demographic change is urban expansion, as cities extend outwards incorporating land around them. This expansion of cities is evidenced in high income countries^{1,2}, where urban population growth is modest, but the trend in developing countries in Asia and Africa is especially rapid^{1,3}. This creates ever larger areas of interface between the urban and rural. Depending on the definition, approximately 1 billion people were living in peri-urban areas in 2015, with the proportion of peri-urban inhabitants particularly high in low- and middle- income countries⁴. The magnitude of population living in these areas challenges the usefulness of a dichotomous categorisation of urban and rural areas and reaffirms the importance of further theoretical and conceptual development of the peri urban interface^{5–7}.

Peri-urban areas are, by nature, complex, multifaceted regions, and so the literature on these areas is spread across numerous disciplines. For example, there is significant scholarship on environmental and ecological conditions⁸ as well as literature on changing patterns of land use⁹. Research has been emerging on 'cityness'¹⁰, 'urban' activities in rural spaces, such as wage employment¹¹, 'rural' activities such as agriculture in urban spaces¹², middle-class colonisation of rural areas¹³, understanding the interdependence between these two realms⁷ and finally the livelihoods and resource management issues at the interface between the urban and the rural^{3,14}.

There is therefore a need to bring these disparate themes together in an examination of the periurban, what Allen describes as:

"a lumpy rural—urban continuum that challenges conventional distinctions between the urban and the rural ... where cities' appropriation and transformation of nature's nutrient cycle manifests most intensely."

Allen³ goes on to argue that peri-urbanisation is a process that sees tensions between the imperatives of economic growth and natural productivity. The result is a zone of intensely heterogeneous activities in space, time and nature that frequently include subsistence and peasant farmers, abattoirs, squatter settlements, reservoirs, factories and mining activities side-by-side. This raises significant questions about the provision of infrastructure and services, about the ability of peri-urban interfaces to provide "inclusive, safe, resilient and sustainable" settlement as envisioned in the Sustainable Development Goal 11 on sustainable human settlements¹.

Box 1 – Key definitions for a theory of Peri Urban Turbulence in cities of the Global South, drawing on environmental and urban studies literatures.

- Urban: the territorial area of a city typically characterised by high population density, a significant built infrastructure endowment and municipal governance mechanisms.
- Peri-urban: the territorial area on the edge of an urban settlement typically characterised by rapid growth in population, mixed land use between agriculture, industry and housing and fragmented governance systems. Some densely populated rural areas may display similar characteristics.
- Rural: the territorial area beyond peri urban and urban areas, typically characterised by lower population density, significant agricultural land use and greater prominence of community-based institutions.
- Natural infrastructure: defined as ecosystem services, which are the benefits humans derive from nature (also known as nature's contributions to people).
- Engineered infrastructure: the endowment of built structures and facilities that enable the provision of infrastructural services, such as water and electricity.
- Proximate institutional infrastructure: the formal and informal institutions that are concentrated within communities, such as community groups or local service providers, which manage public goods and deliver services.
- Distant institutional infrastructure: the formal and informal institutions that are dispersed across communities, such as municipal councils and public utilities, which manage public goods and deliver services.

63

64

65

66

67 68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75 76

77

78

79

80

Previous conceptualisations of the challenge of sustainable human settlement involve comparisons and contrasts between urban and rural which leads to a partial understanding of lack of services. There are approaches that theorise the urban and rural as areas that are in competition over resources and services ¹⁵. For example, Lynch⁵ highlights the relationship between the city and countryside that can be generic – complementary trade in agricultural goods and natural resources such as food, fuelwood and water – in exchange for finance, manufactured goods and services. However, this relationship can also be exploitative, drawing more value from the rural to the city, with limited return trade. A number of studies that indicate that urban demand places pressure on rural woodfuel sources, but that the research suggests that the pressure is mediated by 'institutional scarcity' 16,17. There are also examples of competing economic values applied to peri-urban land – direct use value, indirect use value and non-use value – or the benefits from not using natural resources, such as protection of wildlife, green space for leisure or wildlife conservation¹⁸. In this paper, we focus on the transformations that occur at the frontier of urbanisation and examine how the systems that underpin basic service provision, such as water and sanitation, and enable the management of public goods, like the land or green space, shift during rural-urban transition. We combine literature and theories from urban studies and ecology to form a new framework that explains a peri-urban dip in service provision and process of rapid change we characterise as 'peri-urban turbulence' (PUT).

The theory of PUT presented in this paper is based on the concept of shifts in the balance and magnitude of natural and engineered infrastructure and local and distant institutional systems during transition primarily in fast growing urban areas of the Global South (Box 1). We characterise natural infrastructure through the prism of ecosystem services – the benefits people derive from nature – especially those associated with regulating services whereby we recognise the role of the environment in purifying water and processing wastes. Engineered infrastructure includes the endowment of built structures and facilities that enable the provision of services, such as reservoirs, pumps, treatment plants and piped distribution networks that can form a water distribution system. The distinction between proximate and distant institutional infrastructure reflects partly the relative scale of institutional systems that underpin basic service provision. Here, we account for the unit of service management between local models of household (self-supply) and community-scale provision against more distant forms of municipal or large-scale market provision. However, it also reflects a distinction between the prominence of more localised institutions in broader areas of rural life, such as community groups, and the more dispersed, impersonal institutional systems that fulfil similar roles in urban life, such as municipal councils. We believe conceptualising the shifts in the balance of natural, engineered and institutional infrastructure can help explain the varied mechanisms through which citizens meet their needs and communities manage public goods across rural, peri-urban and urban areas.

Building on this introduction to the constituent parts of the PUT theory, the next section reviews literature on the peri-urban condition and assesses evidence on the reported distribution of engineered, natural and institutional infrastructure across urban, peri-urban and rural areas. It draws on examples from the water and sanitation sector to illustrate similarities and differences across these zones. The PUT theory is then unpacked and explained in more detail before a discussion about its implications on future research on the peri-urban and concluding remarks are provided.

The peri-urban condition

The expansion of peri-urban areas and the growing evidence of their relative neglect highlight their importance in addressing global poverty, however what we know about these areas is obscured by demographic statistics that distinguish between urban and rural populations, thus splitting the peri-urban between these categories¹⁹. Recent work has sought to better characterise the peri-urban condition. One study into child health in East Africa found that it was lowest in the peri-urban interface between the city and rural areas²⁰, whilst a study in South Africa found that around two thirds of urban and rural citizens report that their quality of life had improved over the last five years, but only half of respondents reported such improvement in peri-urban zones²¹. The literature is clear that peri-urban

environments can amplify health inequalities^{22–24}. Rapid urbanisation can overwhelm local water supply and sanitation systems and coupled with high-levels of animal ownership this leads to higher infectious disease burdens²². Weiss and McMicheal²² argue that these peri-urban dynamics are contributing to a "major transition in the human-microbe relationship" that is contributing to an unprecedented era in terms of the emergence and spread of pathogens, from the re-emergence of cholera to new infectious diseases such as SARS (and now COVID-19). In this view, the transitional status of some peri-urban areas represents not only localised welfare issues but also global health security risks. This is further compounded as peri-urban populations are also likely to be exposed to 'urban' co-morbidities linked to issues such as air pollution or lower levels of physical activity²³.

Assessing the endowment of engineered infrastructure in peri-urban areas is complicated by the structure of most global datasets not using this classification. Those datasets clearly show that urban populations are more likely to have access to infrastructural services, such as water supply and electricity, than rural populations^{25,26}. It is hypothesised that peri-urban areas are likely to sit between the urban and rural levels. However, in interpreting this distribution of infrastructure, it is important to recognise that the welfare costs associated with a lack of access are likely to be higher in peri-urban areas than rural areas. This is because in rural areas ecosystems can fill gaps in infrastructure service provision²⁷ or reduce the risks associated with low levels of infrastructure by absorbing wastes that leak into the environment before they impact human health²⁸. Based on this logic, we would hypothesise that peri-urban populations are often faced with middling access to engineered infrastructure but the highest exposure to risks associated with inadequate access.

Similarly, the flow of ecosystem services to inhabitants within peri-urban areas is poorly understood. Provisioning services (e.g. fuel, food, and water; provisioning services) might be most accessible nearby the ecosystems that produce them and in areas where they can be transported easily (e.g. via value chains²⁹), potentially resulting in a dearth in peri-urban areas where local ecosystems are degraded but transport networks are not fully established. Regulating services (e.g. maintaining the quality of air and soil, providing flood control; regulating services), by their very nature, are often not transportable as they prevent, moderate or structure natural processes. As such, regulating services might be best noticed by their absence. In rural areas, healthy ecosystems help maintain habitable environments, but increased pressure from higher population densities can disrupt these processes leading to increased flooding, droughts, soil erosion and disease³⁰. Where established, engineered and institutional infrastructure can mitigate some of the disruption resulting from a loss of regulating services (e.g. paving slopes where vegetation has been lost reduces the probability of landsides). Furthermore, people living in rural areas may have more direct access to cultural ecosystem services (e.g. the ability to develop our mental, physical and spiritual wellbeing; providing space for recreation,

spiritual and aesthetic appreciation of nature) than those who live in urban areas as they are often physically closer³¹, although good city planning can preserve access to these services by maintaining urban green space, as well as providing good transport links to natural areas³².

Focusing on the differences and similarities in the institutions that underpin the delivery of services and the management of public goods, it is common that the urban and rural categorisation is used as an organising logic for distinguishing between different institutional environments. For example, across much of South Asia, the Panchayat Raj (village council) system of local government reflects a form of direct local government that has historical roots back to precolonial periods³³. In rural areas, large-scale infrastructure development will be overseen by state-level agencies, but many households and communities will manage basic services, such as water supply and sanitation, themselves or via community-based management mechanisms. In this context, service provision is best described as being coproduced between household, community and government³⁴. We conceptualise such arrangements in this paper as proximate institutions, which we formally define as the formal and informal institutions that are concentrated within communities, such as community groups or local service providers, which manage public goods and deliver services in those areas.

This compares to urban institutional environments whereby entities such as a municipal corporation take direct control or supervise specialist city-wide institutions such as metropolitan water boards to develop and run infrastructure to deliver services. In such cases, citizens and communities have a much more passive and distant role. These formal urban service delivery systems often exclude many citizens and therefore an ecology of formal and informal private sector providers, such as water tankers and vendors³⁵, also play a role. However, the ultimate 'fallback' option of self-supply is greatly diminished compared to rural areas. In this paper, we conceptualise this environment as reflecting distant institutions, which we define as the formal and informal institutions that are dispersed across neighbourhoods, such as municipal councils and public utilities, which manage public goods and deliver services.

In peri-urban areas there is even greater heterogeneity as the rural based models become degraded by growing and dynamic populations, eroding the potential for community-based models, and reducing space for self-supply, yet the urban service delivery models are yet to mature^{36,37}. This process creates a series of poorly recognised institutional tensions in peri-urban regions. For example, in many neighbourhoods long established households will rely on pre-existing infrastructure, either at the household or community level, and can be resistant to shift to new management paradigms that may require paying for services at higher levels than before³⁷. Similarly, there are often governance tensions as rural authorities are hesitant to accept processes of municipalisation that will see local

political leaders power subsumed into larger governance units³⁸. In parallel, municipal authorities may often be hesitant to expand their authority to include peri-urban areas whereby the management of public services and goods is challenging³⁸. These institutional dynamics mirror the infrastructure and ecological transition that unfolds within the peri-urban sphere.

In summary, the peri-urban is a transitional site whereby the relative capacity of natural infrastructure to support populations is reduced compared to rural areas, yet the endowment of engineered infrastructure is not yet materialised. Communities are often mixed with some residents well embedded in proximate institutional networks, yet community-based management approaches and other similar proximate models become stressed by much higher populations. The expansion of more distant institutional systems, such as those characterised by municipal governance, often lags behind the change in settlement character towards urban-like conditions and can be fragmented across periurban regions resulting in a patchwork of institutional forms³.

The Peri-urban Turbulence framework

To help explain why these processes unfold as they do, we propose a theoretical model for rural-urban transitions that argues that changes in natural, engineered infrastructure and distant and proximate institutions represent important markers of rural to urban transition, especially in the Global South. The high-level logic of the PUT framework is derived from four (or more) semi-independent transitions: 1) high levels of natural infrastructure (e.g. ecosystem services) are associated with rural contexts with these being low in urban areas, whilst 2) engineered infrastructure follows the reverse pattern. Similarly, 3) an inverse relationship exists between proximate institutions (high in rural areas and low in urban areas) and 4) distant institutions. In this view, as cities grow nearby settlements experience deep-rooted transitions as their character shifts from 'rural' to 'urban', but this includes an intermediate period of poorly delineated and defined peri-urban existence that can last decades, whilst being characterised by rapid spatial and temporal change and uncertainty. The peri-urban character reflects the instability between the two systems whereby there is higher flux in land use, livelihoods, resource use and services; a transition which we label as PUT (Figure 1), with 'peri-urban turbulence' suggesting a lower level of natural, engineered, proximate institutional and distant institutional infrastructure in peri-urban areas.

Figure 1 here

Figure 1 - Levels of infrastructure vary across rural, peri-urban and urban areas. Access to services varies across individuals within each area (arrows) and nature may act as a safety-net in many areas across the Global South (dashed green line).

Developing this theory, we draw analogies with but key differences to the red-loop and green-loop theory of rural and urban systems^{39,40}. Red-loop and green-loop theory describes how local natural infrastructure declines during urbanisation, but how engineered, social and institutional infrastructure may fill this gap. In a green-loop system, the overarching pattern is one of direct use of local natural resources⁴⁰. By contrast, in urban areas there is an increased reliance on socioeconomic infrastructure across larger spatial scales (e.g. regional)⁴⁰. A wide variety of evidence supports this theory across a range of ecosystem services, from food production (e.g. subsistence agriculture in rural areas vs transport chains for urban supply⁴¹) to fuel use²⁹. However, there are notable exceptions – e.g. in both rural and urban areas, proximity and access are factors in how much time people spend in green space. Living nearby an urban green space does not necessarily mean people spent time there⁴², as there is a need for some level of connection to nature for people to want to spend time there and gain the associated benefits⁴³.

Figure 2 here

Figure 2 Conceptual model of the relationship between the processes of urbanisation and ruralisation.

The 'peri-urban' character reflects the instability between the two systems whereby there is higher flux in land use, livelihoods, resource use and services. This transition, which we refer to as 'peri-urban turbulence', resembles a hysteresis loop and can move in either direction, but with a 'service gap' in the peri-urban space between rural and urban dynamic equilibrium states (illustrated in Figure 2). Historically, urbanisation is the dominant trend, but examples of ruralisation also exist⁴⁴. Although for the purpose of PUT we emphasis instability of the peri-urban, we recognise that some may conceptualise rural, peri-urban and urban areas as three related complex adaptive systems that each cycle between phases of stability and change, within the larger system of how humans organise our biosphere.^{5, 6}

When establishing red-loop/green-loop theory, Cumming et al⁴⁰ suggest a transitional state whereby both local natural infrastructure and distant socioeconomic infrastructure are benefited from simultaneously but distant services predominate as urbanisation progresses. We suggest that this transition is not always perfect, leading to a hiatus between services. As a result, peri-urban areas may not experience the best of both worlds (as might be inferred from red-loop/green-loop theory) but instead go through a temporary void until infrastructure is able to provide access to distant services. In other words, PUT likely results in both reduced local ecosystem services and a dearth of engineered infrastructure that might enable these benefits to be supplemented from distant natural infrastructure. These 'gaps' are of high social and political importance when the loss of services results in a large reduction in wellbeing (e.g. sanitation services).

We hypothesise that both the rate of ecosystem degradation and the cost of establishing engineered infrastructure are major drivers in determining the dearth of services in peri-urban areas. For example, when the cost of supplying the service is high for the environment, then nature can only support low population densities. Similarly, when the cost of building infrastructure is also high, then it is only economically viable at high population densities. In a situation such as this, the green-loop system is likely to degrade prior to the red-loop system being fully established. For example, in low population densities pit latrines can be used safely, relying on natural processes within the soil to make the waste safe²⁸. However, since establishing sewerage and sewage treatment plants is expensive, it is only viable to develop this infrastructure when economics of scale enable. Thus, medium population densities in peri-urban areas are likely to experience unsafe sanitation – where nature's services are overwhelmed but engineered alternatives are not yet established. The likelihood of such a gap in infrastructure is increased as the institutional environment is also in a state of flux and therefore is unable to create viable solutions.

This type of negative spiral in peri-urban areas is greater for some services than others, and varies across geographic areas. For example, food production predominantly occurs in rural locations, but can continue within urban areas⁴⁵. Even without urban agriculture, food can be transported within cities with relative ease via transport infrastructure⁴⁶ (which are relatively cheap when compared to other forms of engineered infrastructure [e.g. sewerage]). Similarly, an imperfect transition between natural and engineered infrastructure can be avoided through good governance and strong land tenure. For example, some natural infrastructure can be conserved throughout urbanisation through good city planning enforcing protection of green space despite heightened pressure for building developments. As well as this, large scale distant institutions, such as municipal water utilities, can subsidise the provision of services to increase viability at lower population density (e.g. provision of water supply is cross-subsidised from metropolitan areas to small towns and neighbouring rural areas in Uganda⁴⁷). As such, we anticipate PUT to be stronger in areas whereby these forms of cross-subsidies do not exist and the transition in peri-urban areas proceeds unsupported.

Although we hypothesise that peri-urban areas have the worst overall turbulence, there are likely to be significant differences between groups living in each context. For example, higher income households and communities living in peri-urban areas will cover the relatively high costs of developing engineered infrastructure and therefore overcome the dearth of services. This manifests most visibly in the phenomena of suburban gated-communities that are now common in major cities of Africa and South Asia⁶. High-income households can also invest in facilities, such as generators, private boreholes and septic tanks to overcome a lack of some services. Low income peri-urban residents will be less able to overcome this lack of engineered infrastructure whilst their options for

using natural infrastructure systems is reduced or constrained, as compared to rural citizens. This magnifies inequality as a lack of local natural infrastructure (i.e. as red-loop systems develop⁴⁰) decreases the resilience of households. Particularly, as more vulnerable households are often the most dependent on local natural infrastructure (either directly or indirectly⁴⁰), both for their livelihoods⁴⁸ and as a coping strategy for buffering shocks⁴⁹. Thus, the ability to rely on natural infrastructure as a safety net is reduced during urbanisation, potentially resulting in large reductions in wellbeing for those unable to access alternative services, or when these services fail as a result of a shock. For this reason, peri-urban areas face the starkest inequality with citizens that are not well served or integrated into the urban institutional systems or which have access to engineered infrastructure, facing limited alternative options. In this case, they are excluded from the institutional safety nets of the state and nature.

Peri-urban Turbulence as a research agenda

280

281

282

283

284

285

286287

288

289

290

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299300

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

PUT points to the importance of improving our understanding of the peri-urban condition and dynamics. We believe what happens in these settings will determine global society's ability to meet many of the critical challenges of the next decades. As we have argued, under current paradigmatic approaches the necessary expansion of core services such as water and sanitation will be hardest in these regions and the populations living in such environments will be limited in their ability to overcome this gap in provision. This not only represents an issue of immediate human need, but creates a series of broader risks and opportunities. This includes environments in which it is more likely that emerging infectious disease can arise and spread²³ but these settings are also where people are re-setting a pattern of living that will determine their future ecological footprints. Here, we see significant opportunities in viewing the peri-urban as a site for creating more sustainable futures as well as a site for monitoring and responding to local and global risks. Red-loop and green-loop theory emphasised the danger of urban populations having consumption levels so high that they over-exploit distant ecosystems⁴⁰ and we should be wary of responding to PUT by simply accelerating the rate at which populations move towards these types of unsustainable consumption levels, thereby heightening global environmental risks. We believe research is required to understand whether the peri-urban is an opportunity to create more sustainable urban models that allow the meeting of human needs within acceptable ecological boundaries⁵⁰. Some localised and sector-specific efforts on issues such as travel⁵¹ and urban agriculture⁴⁵ may hold some promise yet there needs to be further examination of the peri-urban governance and service delivery challenge to accelerate and scale up such work.

We argue that PUT may occur through the interaction of numerous tipping points, resulting in a 'perfect storm' of poor infrastructure (e.g. natural, engineered, institutional etc.; Figure 1). The critical thresholds at which each system will tip (e.g. the population density at which household-based onsite sanitation is no longer safe and sewerage or supported faecal sludge management is required²⁸) are notoriously hard to identify but more research can help unlock important insights on when such thresholds might be realised and the multiple pathways to avoid them. Here, we see value in bringing together conventional urban studies literatures^{3,5} with contemporary work on studying systems change from rural perspectives^{34,41,52} and other disciplines^{53–56}. For example, this integration could inform urban and rural planners, designers and architects, to build into their practice wider systemic perspectives that take account of the peri-urban⁵⁷. There is a need to develop pathways based on work such as this to address the services deficiencies in the peri-urban in ways that are sustainable in the long term.

The systems change literature provides conceptual frames and methods for studying early warning signals in system change, such as 'flickering' and 'critical slowing down' that have been used to predict when a system might collapse⁵³. As such, taking the example of sanitation provision, as the critical threshold population density is approached, the on-site sanitation system of latrines might be safe for most of the year but 'flicker' to an unsafe state during points of stress such as high precipitation when flooding latrines may cause problems within densifying neighbourhoods. Similarly, the proximity to the tipping point is closer as the ability of the system to recover from these high rainfall periods slows down (i.e. from becoming safe a few days after heavy rainfall, to taking substantially longer). Such patterns have been identified in a wide range a systems, from shifts in freshwater lake systems⁵³ to critical transitions in financial markets⁵⁵.

Methodologically, these 'early warning signals' are difficult to identify in advance, often being observed only with hindsight – although cutting-edge methods are being developed to address this⁵⁴. Here, we draw analogies between deforestation (reduction in forest areas) and urbanisation (expansion of urban areas). Studies comparatively investigating rural and urban areas are well suited to identify many of the impacts of urbanisation (akin to analyses comparing pristine forests with agricultural fields to understand the impacts of deforestation). However, in order to identify the proximate and underlying drivers of these processes, it is necessary to study the frontier⁵⁸. Ecologists produce high-resolution annual maps of deforestation to track this frontier⁵⁹. Such maps can be used to 1) identify the drivers behind the expansion of the frontier, including down to individual-level motivations⁵² and 2) anticipate the future expansion of the frontier⁶⁰. Applying similar methods to peri-urban areas could lead to a step-change in urbanisation research, e.g. with annual, high-resolution maps of frontiers of urbanisation highlighting key locations for in-depth investigation to

follow the process as it occurs. Given the far-reaching consequences for sustainable development, enhancing our understanding of PUT is an important goal for future research.

The way forward

346

347

348

349

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

358

359

360

361

362363

364

365

366

367

368369

370

371

372

373

374

375

376

377

378

379

In proposing this framework of PUT as a route for new research, we are aware that any systems-level analysis of rural-urban transition is necessarily abstract and therefore does not account for the varied experiences of individuals living within such systems. There are many rural communities and households that will be 'rich' in infrastructure and linked into distant institutions, whilst urban ones that are comparatively poorer across these markers. However, we believe the meso-level of analysis which we adopt in the framework is still useful as it provides a way of conceptualising rural-urban change in a way that provides an explanatory account for often found deficiencies in peri-urban services and wellbeing. This is a generalisable challenge and this framework provides a robust foundation for building a research agenda that can help address it. We accept that this work is largely conceptual in nature and the next stage will be to validate the framework through comparative datasets and case studies of rural-urban change, but we note evidence presented from the literature throughout this paper that reflect the patterns of outcomes we have discussed and which we believe supports the central tenor of our argument. Moving forward, we believe it is imperative to focus on responding to PUT and to answer questions on when and how authorities can respond to rural-urban transition to ensure the services and public goods are best maintained in a socially and ecologically sustainable way. This may create tensions for urban administrators over their responsibility to provide services for the dwellers in these regions: At what point should they extend their boundaries to incorporate new urban areas? At what point do city authorities include in-migrants? Responding to this dynamic process has implications for a city's ability to meet the needs of its residents and therefore its key performance indicators. Future research in this area should be directed towards supporting such policy challenges and developing pathways to address these concerns. This Perspective develops PUT as an analytical framework to reveal the deficiencies in services experienced by those living in the peri-urban and the implications for both the urban and the rural. There are multiple potential pathways shaped by the specifics of context, rate of change, institutional capacity at various scales and degree of disparity (or sharpness of the boundaries) between the rural and urban, amongst others. The numerous possible combinations of these few variables results in a large number of possible pathways. We believe that system-based approaches for studying rural-urban transition can be used to better anticipate, predict, and explain systemic change thresholds and therefore the basis for pathways to better futures.

382 References

- UN-Habitat. World Cities Report 2020 The Value of Sustainable Urbanization. UN Habitat
 (2020).
- Alexander Wandl, D. I., Nadin, V., Zonneveld, W. & Rooij, R. Beyond urban-rural
 classifications: Characterising and mapping territories-in-between across Europe. *Landsc. Urban Plan.* (2014) doi:10.1016/j.landurbplan.2014.06.010.
- Allen, A. Peri-Urbanization and the Political Ecology of Differential Sustainability. in *The Routledge Handbook on Cities of the Global South* (Routledge, 2014).
 doi:10.4324/9780203387832.ch43.
- 4. Cattaneo, A., Nelson, A. & McMenomy, T. Global mapping of urban-rural catchment areas reveals unequal access to services. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **118**, (2021).
- 5. Lynch, K. *Rural-Urban interaction in the developing world. Rural-Urban Interaction in the Developing World* (Routledge, 2004). doi:10.4324/9780203646274.
- Ortiz Báez, P., Boisson, S., Torres, M. & Bogaert, J. Analysis of the urban-rural gradient
 terminology and its imaginaries in a Latin-American context. *Theor. Empir. Res. Urban Manag.* (2020).
- 7. Tacoli, C. Rural-urban interactions: a guide to the literature. *Environ. Urban.* **10**, 147–166 (1998).
- 400 8. Peng, J. *et al.* Ecosystem services response to urbanization in metropolitan areas: Thresholds identification. *Sci. Total Environ.* **607–608**, 706–714 (2017).
- 402 9. Gomes, E. *et al.* Agricultural land fragmentation analysis in a peri-urban context: From the past into the future. *Ecol. Indic.* **97**, 380–388 (2019).
- 404 10. Robinson, J. The urban now: Theorising cities beyond the new. *Eur. J. Cult. Stud.* **16**, 659–677 (2013).
- 406 11. Currie, P. K. & Musango, J. K. African Urbanization: Assimilating Urban Metabolism into Sustainability Discourse and Practice. *J. Ind. Ecol.* **21**, 1262–1276 (2017).
- Thomas, V. & Godfrey, S. Understanding water-related emotional distress for improving water services: a case study from an Ethiopian small town. *J. Water Sanit. Hyg. Dev.* **8**, 196–207 (2018).
- 411 13. Mercer, C. Boundary Work: Becoming Middle Class in Suburban Dar es Salaam. *Int. J. Urban* 412 *Reg. Res.* 44, 521–536 (2020).
- 413 14. McGregor, D., Simon, D. & Thompson, D. The peri-urban interface: Approaches to sustainable
 414 natural and human resource use. The Peri-Urban Interface: Approaches to Sustainable
 415 Natural and Human Resource Use (Routledge Earthscan, 2012). doi:10.4324/9781849775878.
- 416 15. Bates, R. H. 'Urban Bias'': A Fresh Look'. J. Dev. Stud. 29, 219–228 (1993).
- 417 16. Hardoy, J., Mitlin, D. & Satterthwaite, D. *Environmental Problems in an Urbanizing World:*418 *Finding Solutions in Ci.* (Routledge Earthscan, 2001).
- 419 17. Mearns, R. Institutions and natural resource management: access to and control over 420 woodfuel in East Africa. in *People and environment in Africa* (ed. Binns, T.) 103–114 (John 421 Wiley and Sons, 1995).
- 422 18. Nunan, F., Bird, K. & Bishop, J. Valuing Peri-urban Natural Resources: a Guide for Natural

- 423 Resources Managerse. (2000).
- 424 19. Kurian, M. & McCarney, P. *Peri-urban water and sanitation services: Policy, planning and*
- 425 method. Peri-urban Water and Sanitation Services: Policy, Planning and Method (2010).
- 426 doi:10.1007/978-90-481-9425-4.
- 427 20. Ameye, H. & De Weerdt, J. Child health across the rural—urban spectrum. World Dev. 130,
- 428 104950 (2020).
- 429 21. Shackleton, C. M., Drescher, A. & Schlesinger, J. Urbanisation reshapes gendered engagement
- 430 in land-based livelihood activities in mid-sized African towns. World Dev. **130**, 104946 (2020).
- 431 22. Weiss, R. A. & McMichael, A. J. Social and environmental risk factors in the emergence of
- 432 infectious diseases. *Nature Medicine* vol. 10 S70–S76 (2004).
- 433 23. Hotez, P. J. Global urbanization and the neglected tropical diseases. *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.* 11,
- 434 e0005308 (2017).
- 435 24. Craig, G., Burchardt, T. & Gordon, D. Social Justice and Public Policy: Seeking Fairenss in
- 436 *Diverse Socities*. (Policy Press, 2008).
- 437 25. IEA, IRENA, UNSD, WB, W. Tracking SDG 7: The energy progress report. The Energy Progress
- 438 Report 2019 (2019).
- 439 26. UNICEF-WHO. Progress on household drinking water, sanitation and hygiene 2000-2017.
- 440 (2019).
- 441 27. Mul, M., Pettinotti, L., Amonoo, N. A., Bekoe-Obeng, E. & Obuobie, E. Dependence of riparian
- communities on ecosystem services in Northern Ghana. *IWMI Work. Pap.* **179**, (2017).
- 443 28. Willcock, S. et al. Nature provides valuable sanitation services. One Earth vol. 4 192–201
- 444 (2021).
- 445 29. Ahrends, A. et al. Predictable waves of sequential forest degradation and biodiversity loss
- spreading from an African city. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **107**, 14556–14561 (2010).
- 447 30. Wangai, P. W., Burkhard, B. & Müller, F. A review of studies on ecosystem services in Africa.
- 448 International Journal of Sustainable Built Environment vol. 5 225–245 (2016).
- 449 31. Fish, R. et al. Making space for cultural ecosystem services: Insights from a study of the UK
- 450 nature improvement initiative. *Ecosyst. Serv.* **21**, 329–343 (2016).
- 451 32. Žlender, V. & Ward Thompson, C. Accessibility and use of peri-urban green space for inner-
- 452 city dwellers: A comparative study. *Landsc. Urban Plan.* **165**, 193–205 (2017).
- 453 33. Johnson, C., Deshingkar, P. & Start, D. Grounding the State: Devolution and Development in
- 454 India's Panchayats. J. Dev. Stud. **41**, 937–970 (2005).
- 455 34. Hutchings, P. Community management or coproduction? The role of state and citizens in
- 456 rural water service delivery in India. *Water Altern.* **11**, (2018).
- 457 35. Mapunda, D. W., Chen, S. S. & Yu, C. The role of informal small-scale water supply system in
- 458 resolving drinking water shortages in peri-urban Dar Es Salaam, Tanzania. Appl. Geogr. 92,
- 459 112-122 (2018).
- 460 36. Allen, A., Dávila, J. D. & Hofmann, P. The peri-urban water poor: Citizens or consumers?
- 461 *Environment and Urbanization* vol. 18 333–351 (2006).
- 462 37. Allen, A. Neither rural nor urban: Service delivery options that work for the peri-urban poor.

- in *Peri-urban Water and Sanitation Services: Policy, Planning and Method* 27–61 (Springer Netherlands, 2010). doi:10.1007/978-90-481-9425-4_2.
- 38. Jha, R. Why do 'urbanised' villages resist being labelled as urban local bodies? | ORF.
 466 Observer Research Foundation (2020).
- Hamann, M., Biggs, R. & Reyers, B. Mapping social—ecological systems: Identifying 'green-loop' and 'red-loop' dynamics based on characteristic bundles of ecosystem service use. *Glob. Environ. Chang.* **34**, 218–226 (2015).
- 470 40. Cumming, G. S. *et al.* Implications of agricultural transitions and urbanization for ecosystem services. *Nature* **515**, 50–57 (2014).
- 472 41. Taguchi, M. & Santini, G. Agriculture in the Global a Perspective. J. F. actions (2019).
- 473 42. Lin, B. B., Fuller, R. A., Bush, R., Gaston, K. J. & Shanahan, D. F. Opportunity or Orientation? 474 Who Uses Urban Parks and Why. *PLoS One* **9**, 87422 (2014).
- 43. Martin, L. *et al.* Nature contact, nature connectedness and associations with health, wellbeing and pro-environmental behaviours. *J. Environ. Psychol.* **68**, 101389 (2020).
- 477 44. Popescu, C. 'Back to the village': the model of urban outmigration in post-communist Romania. *Eur. Plan. Stud.* **28**, 1200–1218 (2020).
- 479 45. Zezza, A. & Tasciotti, L. Urban agriculture, poverty, and food security: Empirical evidence from a sample of developing countries. *Food Policy* **35**, 265–273 (2010).
- 481 46. Smit, W. Urban governance and urban food systems in Africa: Examining the linkages. *Cities* 482 **58**, 80–86 (2016).
- 483 47. Franceys, R., Cavill, S. & Trevett, A. Who really pays? A critical overview of the practicalities of funding universal access. *Waterlines* **35**, 78–93 (2016).
- 48. Daw, T., Brown, K., Rosendo, S. & Pomeroy, R. Applying the ecosystem services concept to poverty alleviation: the need to disaggregate human well-being. *Environ. Conserv.* **38**, 370–379 (2011).
- 488 49. Shackleton, S. E. & Shackleton, C. M. Linking poverty, HIV/AIDS and climate change to human and ecosystem vulnerability in southern Africa: Consequences for livelihoods and sustainable ecosystem management. *Int. J. Sustain. Dev. World Ecol.* **19**, 275–286 (2012).
- 491 50. Rockström, J. et al. A safe operating space for humanity. Nature 461, 472–5 (2009).
- 492 51. Aijaz, R. *India's peri-urban regions: The need for policy and the challenges of governance |*493 *ORF.* (2019).
- 494 52. Rueda, X., Velez, M. A., Moros, L. & Rodriguez, L. A. Beyond proximate and distal causes of land-use change: Linking individual motivations to deforestation in rural contexts. *Ecol. Soc.* 496 **24**, (2019).
- Wang, R. *et al.* Flickering gives early warning signals of a critical transition to a eutrophic lake state. *Nature* **492**, 419–22 (2012).
- Jiang, J. *et al.* Predicting tipping points in mutualistic networks through dimension reduction.
 Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 115, E639–E647 (2018).
- 501 55. Gatfaoui, H. & de Peretti, P. Flickering in Information Spreading Precedes Critical Transitions in Financial Markets. *Sci. Rep.* **9**, 1–11 (2019).

- Kapetas, L. & Fenner, R. Integrating blue-green and grey infrastructure through an adaptation pathways approach to surface water flooding. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. A Math. Phys. Eng. Sci.* 378, 20190204 (2020).
- 506 57. Russo, A. & Cirella, G. T. Urban Ecosystem Services: New Findings for Landscape Architects, Urban Planners, and Policymakers. *L. 2021, Vol. 10, Page 88* **10**, 88 (2021).
- 508 58. Lambin, E. F. *et al.* The causes of land-use and land-cover change: moving beyond the myths. 509 *Glob. Environ. Chang.* **11**, 261–269 (2001).
- 510 59. Hansen, M. C. *et al.* High-resolution global maps of 21st-century forest cover change. *Science* (80-.). **342**, 850–853 (2013).
- 512 60. Mayfield, H., Smith, C., Gallagher, M. & Hockings, M. Use of freely available datasets and machine learning methods in predicting deforestation. *Environ. Model. Softw.* **87**, 17–28 (2017).

516 Acknowledgements

515

523

524

531

534

- This paper was mainly developed through a joint UK-India research project supported by the Economic
- and Social Research Council and the India Council for Social Science Research. Grant Reference:
- ES/R006865/1. SW was also funded by ES/R009279/1. CS was funded by the National Research Chairs
- 520 programme of the DSI/NRF in South Africa (grant no. 84379). Thanks to Caro McIntosh for preparation
- of the artwork. We also thank Alexander Wandl and two anonymous reviewers, whose comments
- 522 helped improve the manuscript.

Author information

- 525 Contributions
- 526 P.H., S.W. and K.L. led the conceptualisation and writing of the paper. All authors contributed to
- 527 conceptualization and editing. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the
- 528 manuscript.
- 529 <u>Corresponding author</u>
- 530 Correspondence to Kenneth Lynch (klynch@glos.ac.uk)

532 Competing interests

533 The authors declare no competing interests.