

The Process of Scaling Early Childhood Violence Prevention Programs in Jamaica

Baker-Henningham, Helen; Bowers, Marsha; Francis, Taja

Pediatrics

DOI: 10.1542/peds.2023-060221M

Published: 01/05/2023

Peer reviewed version

Cyswllt i'r cyhoeddiad / Link to publication

Dyfyniad o'r fersiwn a gyhoeddwyd / Citation for published version (APA): Baker-Henningham, H., Bowers, M., & Francis, T. (2023). The Process of Scaling Early Childhood Violence Prevention Programs in Jamaica. *Pediatrics*, 151(Supplement 2), Article e2023060221M. https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2023-060221M

Hawliau Cyffredinol / General rights Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

· Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.

- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
 You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal ?

Take down policy If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

1	The Process of Scaling Early Childhood Violence Prevention Programs in Jamaica
2	Helen Baker-Henningham, PhD ^{a,b} Marsha Bowers, MPhil ^b , Taja Francis, MPhil ^b
3	
4	Affiliations: ^a School of Human and Behavioral Sciences, Bangor University, UK and
5	^b Caribbean Institute for Health Research, University of the West Indies, Jamaica
6	
7	Address correspondence to: Name: Helen Baker-Henningham, Address: School of Human
8	and Behavioural Sciences, Bangor University, UK, Telephone number: +44 1248 383289,
9	E-mail: <u>h.henningham@bangor.ac.uk</u>
10	
11	Short title: Scaling early childhood violence prevention programs
12	
13	Conflict of Interest Disclosure: The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.
14	
15	Funding/Support: This work was funded by MRC/Wellcome Trust/NIHR/UK Aid; Grand
16	Challenges Canada; Early Learning Partnership, World Bank; UNICEF Jamaica
17	
18	Role of Funder: The funders had no role in the writing of the manuscript or the decision to
19	submit for publication.
20	
21	Abbreviations: ECC, Early Childhood Commission; ICT, Irie Classroom Toolbox; IHT, Irie
22	Homes Toolbox, LMIC, low- and middle-income countries; VAC, violence against children
23	
24	Article Summary
25	This article describes how we applied implementation science principles in the design,
26	implementation, evaluation and scale-up of two early childhood, caregiver-training, violence-
27	prevention interventions in Jamaica.
28	
29	What's Known on This Subject
30	A key strategy for reducing violence against young children, at school and at home, is through
31	caregiver support programs. There is limited guidance on how to facilitate wide-scale
32	dissemination of these programs in low- and middle-income countries.
33	What This Study Adds
34	We describe how we applied implementation science principles in the design, implementation,
35	and initial scaling of two complementary early childhood, violence-prevention caregiver-
36	training programs in Jamaica: the Irie Classroom Toolbox (a teacher-training program) and the
37	Irie Homes Toolbox (a parenting program).
38	
39	Contributors' Statement:
40	Helen Baker-Henningham, Marsha Bowers and Taja Francis conceptualized and drafted the
41	initial manuscript and reviewed and revised the manuscript.
42	All authors approved the final manuscript as submitted and agree to be accountable for all

43 aspects of the work.

- 44 ABSTRACT
- 45

46 Background

- 47 Violence is a global public health problem and early childhood interventions are a core
- 48 component of violence-prevention programming. Interventions to support parents and teachers
- 49 of young children can prevent violence against children by caregivers and prevent the early
- 50 development of antisocial behavior. However, there is limited guidance on how to scale-up
- 51 these programs in low- and middle-income countries.

52 Methods

- 53 In this article, we describe how we applied implementation science principles in the design,
- 54 implementation, evaluation, and initial scaling of two complementary early childhood,
- 55 violence-prevention, caregiver-training programs in Jamaica: the Irie Classroom Toolbox (a
- teacher-training program) and the Irie Homes Toolbox (a parenting program).

57 **Results**

- 58 We identified seven implementation science principles most relevant to our work in scaling the
- 59 Irie Toolbox programs and describe how these principles were operationalised in the Jamaican
- 60 context. The principles are: 1) design programs for scale from the outset, 2) use learning cycles
- 61 for quality improvement, 3) plan strategically for government agency adoption, 4) provide high
- 62 quality initial and on-going training and regular supervision, 5) monitor implementation
- 63 quality, 6) use flexible delivery modes, and 7) plan for program sustainment.

64 Conclusions

- 65 Through applying these principles to scale the Irie Toolbox programs, we aim to promote a
- 66 consistent approach to reducing violence against children, reducing child behaviour problems,
- and increasing caregiver and child competencies, across both home and school contexts at the
- 68 population level. The principles and processes described in this article are relevant to other
- 69 behaviour change interventions in early childhood development, education and public health.
- 70
- 71
- 72

73 INTRODUCTION

- 74 In low- and middle-income countries (LMIC), approximately two thirds of two-to-four-year-
- 75 old children experience physical punishment or psychological aggression from caregivers at
- 76 home.^{1,2} Violence in and around schools, including violence against children (VAC) by
- teachers, affects over half a billion children each year.³ VAC leads to long-term negative
- 78 consequences including increased risk for physical and mental health problems, low academic
- 79 attainment and school drop-out.^{4,5} VAC also leads to high global economic costs, with school

violence alone costing an estimated US\$11 trillion in lost wealth due to loss of learning and
school drop-out.^{3,6}

82	A key strategy for reducing VAC in early childhood is through caregiver support
83	programs. ⁷ Caregiver violence-prevention programs can reduce child maltreatment and child
84	behavior problems. ^{8,9} However, few interventions have been implemented at scale and there is
85	limited guidance on how to facilitate wide-scale dissemination, especially in LMIC. In
86	addition, although there is a growing literature from LMIC on early childhood, violence-
87	prevention parenting interventions, ¹⁰ the evidence for teacher-training programs is limited with
88	studies conducted primarily in primary and secondary schools. ^{11,12}
89	In this article, we describe how we used implementation science principles in the
90	design, implementation, evaluation, and initial scaling of two, complementary, early childhood,
91	violence-prevention programs through the existing early childhood education network in
92	Jamaica including: 1) a teacher-training intervention (The Irie Classroom Toolbox) and 2) a
93	parenting intervention (The Irie Homes Toolbox). Irie is a Jamaican term that means 'good,
94	'pleasing' and 'at peace with oneself and the world'. The Irie Toolbox programs target
95	caregivers of children aged two-to-eight years and address two important public health
96	problems: 1) violence against children, and 2) child behavior problems. The aim of this
97	program of work is to support the implementation of evidence-based, early childhood,
98	violence-prevention programs at the population level, across both home and school settings.
99	

101 JAMAICAN CONTEXT

102

caregivers with children aged two-to-four years reporting use of corporal punishment within 103 the past month,¹³ and between 88-100% of early childhood teachers using VAC over two days 104 of observation.^{14,15} The high prevalence of VAC by teachers is found despite the legal ban 105 106 against corporal punishment in Jamaican early childhood institutions, indicating that legislation needs to be accompanied by additional actions.^{16,17} There is no legal ban on parents' use of 107 108 corporal punishment. Interventions to prevent child behavior problems are also necessary. The 109 prevalence of disruptive behavior disorders among 5-6-year-old children is 12%, with limited access to appropriate services.¹⁸ In addition, we previously reported that 21% of 3-6 year old 110 children had high levels of conduct problems by teacher-report.^{19,20} Conduct problems place 111 112 children at increased risk of developing behavior disorders and for academic underachievement, school dropout, and crime and violence in adulthood.²¹ 113 There are also good opportunities for intervention. Firstly, Jamaica is a pathfinder 114 country in the Global Partnership to End Violence Against Children and violence prevention is 115 116 a national strategic priority.²² Secondly, over 98% of three-to-six year-old children are enrolled 117 in early childhood educational provision. Interventions integrated into these services are nonstigmatising and conveniently located, thus maximising accessibility and acceptability, with 118 119 potential for population-level reach. Thirdly, there is a strong organisational structure through 120 The Early Childhood Commission (ECC) to support implementation of violence-prevention programming. The ECC is the government body with oversight for early childhood institutions 121

There is a recognised need for violence-prevention programs in Jamaica with 84% of Jamaican

and has the responsibility for setting and maintaining standards, and providing on-goingprofessional development for teachers.

124

125 THE IRIE TOOLBOX PROGRAMS

126 Evidence of Effectiveness in Jamaica

127 In an earlier efficacy trial, we found that training preschool teachers in classroom behavior management and how to promote children's social-emotional competence reduced child 128 conduct problems and increased child social skills at home and at school among preschool 129 children with heightened levels of conduct problems (Table 1).¹⁹ Benefits were also found to 130 teacher practices and the classroom atmosphere with benefits sustained at 6-month follow-up 131 (Table 2).²³ The preschool teacher-training intervention led to significant benefits to children's 132 academic achievement, oral language, self-regulation and school attendance in grade one of 133 primary school (Table 2).²⁴ This efficacy trial led to the development the Irie Classroom 134 135 Toolbox (ICT): an early childhood, teacher-training, violence-prevention program specifically for use within LMIC (Figure 1).²⁰ We evaluated the ICT in an effectiveness trial in preschools 136 137 and a small trial in Grade 1 of primary school and found large reductions to teachers' use of 138 VAC and significant improvements in the quality of the classroom environment (Table 1).^{14,15} Benefits were sustained at one-year follow-up (Table 2).¹⁵ Benefits were also found for child 139 140 behavior, and aspects of child school achievement, and to teachers' professional well-being and retention.14,15 141

142	The Irie Homes Toolbox (IHT) is an early childhood, violence-prevention parenting
143	program, developed to complement the ICT, that includes content on understanding child
144	behavior, positive discipline strategies, emotional self-regulation, and child-led play (Figure
145	1). ²⁵ It is designed to be delivered by ICT-trained preschool teachers, who are credible to
146	parents, and have knowledge and expertise in using the strategies with children at school. In a
147	small efficacy trial, the IHT was effective at reducing VAC by parents, increasing parent
148	involvement with their child, and reducing conduct problems for children with heightened
149	levels of conduct problems at baseline (Table 1). ²⁶ In response to the Covid-19 pandemic, we
150	adapted the IHT for virtual delivery. In a randomized trial, the virtual IHT led to reductions in
151	parents' use of VAC and improved parent attitudes to violence with benefits sustained at nine-
152	month follow-up. ²⁷
153	Beginning to Scale
154	The Irie Toolbox programs are designed to be integrated into the existing early
155	childhood education services in Jamaica and delivered and supervised by existing staff. Within
156	the ECC, each educational region has a senior development officer and senior inspector who
157	each have responsibility for a team of eight-to-ten development officers and six-to-eight
158	inspectors respectively. These field officers work at the district level with approximately 50-65
159	preschools each. Each region also has a Community Relations Officer who coordinates
160	parenting programs in the region. We are training and supporting these government staff to
161	implement the programs. Table 3 provides information on these activities. The ICT has been
162	integrated into ongoing teacher-training initiatives and is being scaled-up nationally in Jamaica.

163	The IHT is a more recent program and our next step is to train ECC officers, who have been
164	trained in the IHT, to train and support early childhood teachers to deliver the program.
165	As described above and in tables 1 and 2, the Irie Toolbox programs have proven
166	effectiveness in reducing violence against children at home and at school and in reducing child
167	behaviour difficulties. In the following sections, we focus on how we have utilized seven key
168	implementation science principles, drawn from literature related to scaling-up interventions, ²⁸
169	from the initial design phase through to initial scaling of the Irie Toolbox programs.
170	
171	Principle 1. Design for scale: Scalable interventions need to be designed for scale from the
172	outset. ²⁹ This requires a focus on acceptability, relevance, feasibility, and effectiveness of the
173	content and delivery method, involving the beneficiaries, facilitators, supervisors and the
174	government agency responsible for scaling. ^{30,31}
175	To design the Irie Toolbox programs, we chose to transport evidence-based content and
176	methods of delivery rather than transporting an existing program. Although transported
177	programs can be effective in new contexts, ³² issues with cost and ownership are barriers to
178	scaling and gaining government buy-in. In addition, in previous work, we found that using
179	locally-developed content and methods reduced the amount of support teachers required for
180	effective implementation. ²³ To inform intervention design, we used the Active Implementation
181	Framework that states successful programs require an effective innovation, implemented well,
182	within an enabling context. ³³ Table 4 illustrates key factors within each category and associated
183	strategies we used to design the ICT and IHT. These factors are relevant for scaling

interventions in LMIC across public health,³⁴ early childhood development,³⁵ and education
 programming.³⁶

186 187

188 **Principle 2. Use learning cycles for quality improvement:** Intervention design,

implementation and dissemination involve dynamic, iterative learning cycles.^{29,37} Quality
improvement is an ongoing process that requires structured methods to document, analyse and
utilise information on program implementation from all stakeholders.

To inform adaptations of the Irie Toolbox programs, we embed process and qualitative 192 evaluations within all implementation activities. ^{14,20,25,38,39} Data collected includes: reach 193 (numbers enrolled and attendance), user satisfaction, quality of implementation at the level of 194 the facilitator (using facilitator- and supervisor-completed checklists), and at the level of the 195 196 teacher/parent (using homework assignments, participant feedback, and facilitator observations), and documenting enablers, barriers, and suggestions for improvement from the 197 198 perspectives of beneficiary parents/teachers, facilitators, supervisors, ECC executive and research team. This data is combined with data from our impact evaluations to make ongoing 199 200 revisions to the program content (see Table 5 for examples). Developing additional content risks making interventions more complex, thus decreasing scalability.^{29,40} We mitigate these 201 202 risks by developing flexible programs with a combination of core and optional modules. 203 The data is also used to inform revisions to program delivery. For example, for the ICT,

204

8

we found that training needs differed according to the educational level of the teachers. A less

206	a more intensive intervention implemented with paraprofessional preschool teachers. ^{14,15}
207	
208	Principle 3. Plan strategically for government agency program adoption: Factors
209	influencing program adoption by government agencies include stakeholder relationships, the
210	demand for the innovation, the fit between the innovation and the context, the presence of
211	program champions, and the human and financial resource capacity of the organisation, in
212	addition to robust evidence of program effectiveness. ^{36,37}
213	The most important influences for the adoption of the Irie Toolbox programs were: 1)
214	close alignment of the program with the ECC strategic plan, 2) good fit with the ECC
215	organisational structure, 3) all required resources for parents/teachers, facilitators and
216	supervisors were available, 4) long-standing collaborative relationships between the research
217	and ECC team, and 5) support from external partners, for example, UNICEF Jamaica. To gain
218	government buy-in, we presented videos of the programs in action, feedback from participating
219	parents and teachers, and infographics showing program materials. These resources proved
220	more persuasive than the evidence of effectiveness alone. Framing the programs as promoting
221	caregiver and child competencies, rather than only as violence prevention was also important.
222	As the ECC adopted the programs, we prioritized quality implementation over rapid scale-
223	up by beginning implementation on a small scale in each region, thus building capacity that
224	could be leveraged in future rounds of implementation, and resolving any initial difficulties
225	prior to wider roll-out.

intensive intervention led to similar reductions in VAC for fully qualified grade one teachers as

227	Principle 4. Ensure high quality initial and on-going training and regular supervision for
228	program facilitators: Program facilitators require training in new knowledge and skills
229	alongside ongoing supervision to help build these skills in their everyday practice. ⁴¹ Effective
230	training programs model the collaborative, interactive methods and the focus on positive
231	relationships, and require structured training and supervision guides. ^{42,43}
232	The Irie Toolbox programs involve addressing participants' skills, cognitions and
233	emotions, challenging long-held beliefs and social norms relating to VAC, and dealing with
234	resistance. Facilitators often share these beliefs and social norms and hence we provide
235	opportunity for them to experience the program as participants prior to learning to train others.
236	This also promotes understanding of the content and the rationale for the training techniques
237	used. These include techniques to promote participants' skills (for example, demonstration,
238	practice, scaffolding), motivation (for example, positive feedback, collaborative problem-
239	solving, goal setting) and opportunity to use the strategies (for example, peer support,
240	provision of resources). ⁴⁴ We use short, regular trainings where possible, rather than a long
241	period of initial training, as it: 1) prevents cognitive overload, 2) provides timely opportunities
242	for practice 3) allows for group problem-solving around implementation barriers, and 4) helps
243	to build skills over time. Some training techniques are relatively easy for facilitators to learn
244	(for example, demonstration, practice, giving positive feedback, encouraging participation),
245	while other techniques (for example, prompting, scaffolding, collaborative problem-solving)
246	develop over time. Hence, we focus initially on the 'easier' techniques, before focussing on

247	techniques that require advanced facilitation skills. We use structured training and supervision
248	manuals that are valued by government staff, although there is usually an initial adjustment
249	phase before staff are comfortable using a training script.
250	Supportive field supervision of program facilitator is used to promote ongoing quality
251	implementation. Frequency of supervision differs according to the educational level of the
252	facilitators with professional staff requiring less supervision than paraprofessionals. In
253	addition, we recommend more frequent supervision during initial implementation, with
254	reduced supervision after one round of implementation. Where possible, we train supervisors
255	as facilitators first and supervise them as they deliver the program. Then we train in the
256	additional skills required to supervise the program.
257	
257	
257	Principle 5. Monitor implementation quality: High quality implementation of early
257 258 259	Principle 5. Monitor implementation quality: High quality implementation of early childhood interventions predicts increased participant engagement, and better caregiver and
257 258 259 260	Principle 5. Monitor implementation quality: High quality implementation of early childhood interventions predicts increased participant engagement, and better caregiver and child outcomes and is more difficult to sustain as programs scale. ⁴⁵⁻⁴⁸ Maintaining
257 258 259 260 261	Principle 5. Monitor implementation quality: High quality implementation of early childhood interventions predicts increased participant engagement, and better caregiver and child outcomes and is more difficult to sustain as programs scale. ⁴⁵⁻⁴⁸ Maintaining implementation quality requires measurements that are reliable, valid, feasible for use by
257 258 259 260 261 262	Principle 5. Monitor implementation quality: High quality implementation of early childhood interventions predicts increased participant engagement, and better caregiver and child outcomes and is more difficult to sustain as programs scale. ⁴⁵⁻⁴⁸ Maintaining implementation quality requires measurements that are reliable, valid, feasible for use by supervisory staff, and with easy to extract data to inform ongoing improvements.
257 258 259 260 261 262 263	Principle 5. Monitor implementation quality: High quality implementation of early childhood interventions predicts increased participant engagement, and better caregiver and child outcomes and is more difficult to sustain as programs scale. ⁴⁵⁻⁴⁸ Maintaining implementation quality requires measurements that are reliable, valid, feasible for use by supervisory staff, and with easy to extract data to inform ongoing improvements. <u>For the Irie Toolbox programs</u> , quality assessments include facilitator records,
257 258 259 260 261 262 263 263 264	Principle 5. Monitor implementation quality: High quality implementation of early childhood interventions predicts increased participant engagement, and better caregiver and child outcomes and is more difficult to sustain as programs scale. ⁴⁵⁻⁴⁸ Maintaining implementation quality requires measurements that are reliable, valid, feasible for use by supervisory staff, and with easy to extract data to inform ongoing improvements. For the Irie Toolbox programs, quality assessments include facilitator records, (including participant attendance, session duration, and content checklists), and observational
257 258 259 260 261 262 263 263 264 265	Principle 5. Monitor implementation quality: High quality implementation of early childhood interventions predicts increased participant engagement, and better caregiver and child outcomes and is more difficult to sustain as programs scale. ⁴⁵⁻⁴⁸ Maintaining implementation quality requires measurements that are reliable, valid, feasible for use by supervisory staff, and with easy to extract data to inform ongoing improvements. For the Irie Toolbox programs, quality assessments include facilitator records, (including participant attendance, session duration, and content checklists), and observational assessments of facilitators' core competencies by supervisors. Although utilising independent
257 258 259 260 261 262 263 264 265 266	Principle 5. Monitor implementation quality: High quality implementation of early childhood interventions predicts increased participant engagement, and better caregiver and child outcomes and is more difficult to sustain as programs scale. ⁴⁵⁻⁴⁸ Maintaining implementation quality requires measurements that are reliable, valid, feasible for use by supervisory staff, and with easy to extract data to inform ongoing improvements. For the Irie Toolbox programs, quality assessments include facilitator records, (including participant attendance, session duration, and content checklists), and observational assessments of facilitators' core competencies by supervisors. Although utilising independent observers would be a more rigorous method of measuring quality, embedding quality

268	to reducing costs, supervisor-completed assessments serve a dual purpose in guiding
269	supervisors to provide appropriate and timely individual support, and providing aggregated
270	data to inform wider training needs. We also promote reflective practice by encouraging
271	facilitators to complete self-evaluation forms and discussing these reflections during
272	supervision.
273	
274	Principle 6. Use flexible delivery modes: Virtual interventions are attractive to policy makers
275	and can promote awareness raising and population-level behavior change,49-50 or be used as a
276	supplement to face-to-face programming to promote sustainability. ⁵¹ However, there is also
277	some evidence of negative effects, and thus careful testing is required prior to wide-scale
278	implementation. ^{52,53}
279	We adapted the Toolbox programs for virtual delivery due to covid-19 related school
280	closures. We illustrate the process using the IHT. The virtual IHT consisted of four
281	components: 1) Weekly, one-hour, virtual IHT sessions for 10 weeks conducted by ECC
282	officers, 2) three SMS messages per week providing information, tips, and encouragement, ^{54,55}
283	3) access to a data-free app with weekly uploaded content including one-to-two demonstration
284	videos and an Irie Challenge (homework) and 4) weekly session e-summaries sent via

285 WhatsApp. Although the virtual IHT was effective at reducing VAC by parents (Table 2), we

- identified several implementation challenges. Only 222/557 parents (40%) downloaded the
- 287 App; attendance at virtual sessions was lower than previously found for face-to-face sessions
- 288 (46% versus 69% attendance rate); and poor internet connectivity among facilitators and

289 parents was a limiting factor. In addition, the beneficiary parents were more educated and more 290 likely to be employed than parents in previous studies, suggesting that the virtual intervention was less attractive and/or accessible for more disadvantaged parents. However, 442/557 291 292 parents (79%) attended at least one virtual session and 292 parents (52.5%) attended five or more (out of ten sessions) indicating reasonable take-up of the virtual groups. In addition, 293 294 444/499 parents (89%) reported reading the SMS and/or WhatsApp messages. In future 295 studies, SMS and WhatsApp may be effective as a supplement to the Toolbox programs to sustain positive caregiving practices after the end of the initial training.⁵¹ Demonstration videos 296 297 could be disseminated via health centres, schools, and/or other community venues and via 298 social and broadcast media, rather than via an App. This may support efforts to change social 299 norms related to VAC.⁷ These approaches need to be tested.

300

301 Principle 7. Plan for program sustainment: Government services adapt to changes in the
 302 political landscape and program sustainment requires ongoing flexibility and adaptation with
 303 continued attention to program acceptability, relevance, feasibility and effectiveness, including
 304 a program-lead within the government agency.⁵⁶⁻⁵⁸

For the Irie Toolbox programs, implementation is through one government sector
 (education), and hence planning for sustainment is less complex than for multisectoral
 programs. Sustainment involves working with the ECC to fully integrate the programs into
 their routine activities, embedding quality monitoring into routine supervisory visits and
 inspections, and including Irie Toolbox competencies in operational guidelines. For example,

310	the ECC are integrating the ICT into ongoing teacher-training initiatives, the ICT has been
311	aligned with the operational guidelines for early childhood institutions, and we have developed
312	a simple evaluation of teacher practices, adapted from our more complex research
313	measurements, ²³ to be used by ECC inspectors as part of their routine visits. A key challenge is
314	the multiple and diverse training needs and competing priorities within the ECC that reduce
315	resource availability to sustain specific programs over time. We work closely with the ECC
316	training manager, advising on appropriate adaptations to the delivery mode of the programs to
317	ensure continued fit within ECC structures and integration into ECC activities.
318	
319	Through applying these principles to scale the Irie Toolbox programs, we aim to
320	promote a consistent approach to reducing violence against children and child behaviour
321	problems and increasing caregiver and child competencies, across both home and school
322	contexts, at the population level. In this paper, we only provide a descriptive summary of the
323	implementation processes. In future studies, we will use the RE-AIM (Reach, Effectiveness,
324	Adoption, Implementation, Maintenance) framework to evaluate the implementation of the Irie
325	Toolbox programs at scale. ⁵⁹ This framework includes assessments of individual-level impact
326	and institutional- or setting-level impact and thus measures the extent to which evidence-based
327	interventions can be implemented effectively in real-word settings and lead to improvements in
328	public health. We will integrate the RE-AIM framework into a stepped-wedge cluster
329	randomized trial design that is appropriate for service delivery interventions delivered at the
330	level of the cluster (in this case preschools). ⁶⁰ We will embed factorial experiments within the

331	larger trial to test implementation strategies in order to identify the optimal level and mode of		
332	support required for program facilitators. We will also evaluate the effectiveness of flexible		
333	delivery modes for program participants including blended delivery models (combining face-		
334	to-face with virtual delivery), and tiered interventions with different intensity interventions		
335	delivered according to participants' needs. Identifying successful models for scaling-up early		
336	childhood, violence-prevention programs in LMIC is a critical component in reducing the		
337	large p	public health, societal and economic burden associated with violence.	
338			
339		REFERENCES	
340			
341	1.	McCoy D, Seiden J, Cuartas J, Pisani L, Waldman M. Estimates of A Multidimensional	
342		Index of Nurturing Care in the Next 1000 Days for Children in Low- and Middle-	
343		Income Countries: A Modeling Study. Lancet Child Adolesc Health 2022;6:324-34.	
344		doi: 10.1016/S2352-4642(22)00076-1.	
345	2.	Cuartas J, McCoy DC, Rey-Guerra C, Britto PR, Beatriz E, Salhi C. Early childhood	
346		exposure to non-violent discipline and physical and psychological aggression in low-	
347		and middle-income countries: National, regional, and global prevalence estimates.	
348		Child Abuse Negl 2019;92:93-105. doi:10.1016/j.chiabu.2019.03.021.	
349	3.	Woden Q, Fevre C, Male C, Nayihouba A, Nguyen H. Ending Violence in Schools: An	
350		Investment Case. Washington DC: the World Bank and the Global Partnership to End	
351	4	Violence Against Children, 2021.	
352	4.	Hillis SD, Mercy JA, Saul JR. The enduring impact of violence against children.	
353	-	Psychol Health Med. 2017;22(4):393-405. doi: 10.1080/13548506.2016.1153679.	
354	5.	Heilmann A, Mehay A, Watt R, et al. Physical punishment and child outcomes: a	
355		narrative review of prospective studies. <i>Lancet</i> , 2021;398(10297), 355-364. doi:	
356	<i>.</i>	10.1016/s0140-6736(21)00582-1.	
357	6.	Pereznieto P, Montes A, Routier S, Langston L. <i>The Costs and Economic Impact of</i>	
358		Violence Against Children. London: Overseas Development Institute, Child fund	
359	_	Alliance, 2014.	
360	7.	World Health Organization. INSPIRE: seven strategies for ending violence against	
361	0	<i>children</i> . Geneva: WHO, 2016.	
362	8.	Chen M, Chan K. Effects of parenting programs on child maltreatment prevention.	
363		<i>Trauma Viol Abuse</i> . 2015;17:88-104. Doi: 10.1177/1524838014566718.	

364	9.	Burkey MD, Hosein M, Morton I, et al. Psychosocial interventions for disruptive
365		behavior problems in children in low- and middle-income countries: a systematic
366		review and meta-analysis. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2018;59:982-923. doi:
367		10.1111/jcpp.12894.
368	10	Branco M, Altafim E, Linhares M. Universal Intervention to Strengthen Parenting and
369		Prevent Child Maltreatment: Updated Systematic Review. Trauma Violence Abuse.
370		2021;152483802110131. doi: 10.1177/15248380211013131.
371	11	. Devries KM, Knight L, Child JC, et al. The Good School Toolkit for reducing physical
372		violence from school staff to primary school students: a cluster randomised controlled
373		trial in Uganda. Lancet Glob Health 2015: 385: e378-86. doi: 10.1016/S2214-
374		109X(15)00060-1.
375	12	. Nkuba M, Hermenau K, Goessmann K, Hecker T. Reducing violence by teachers using
376		the preventative intervention Interaction Competencies with Children for Teachers
377		(ICC-T): A cluster randomized controlled trial at public secondary schools in Tanzania.
378		<i>Plos One</i> 2018; 13: e0201362. foi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0201362.
379	13	. Lansford J, Deater-Deckard K. Childrearing discipline and violence in developing
380	14	countries. <i>Child Dev.</i> 2012;83(1):62-75. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-8624.2011.01676.x.
381	14	Baker-Henningnam H, Scott Y, Bowers M, Francis T. Evaluation of a violence-
202 202		Int L Environ Res Public Health 2010:16: 2707 doi:10.2300/jjerph16152707
383	15	Baker-Henningham H Bowers M Francis T Vera-Hernandez M Walker S The Irie
385	15	Classroom Toolbox, a universal violence-prevention teacher-training programme, in
386		Jamaican preschools: a single-blind, cluster randomised trial. <i>Lancet Glob Health</i> .
387		2021;9:e456-68. doi: 10.1016/S2214-109X(21)00002-4.
388	16	. Gershoff ET. School corporal punishment in global perspective: prevalence, outcomes and
389		efforts at intervention. Psychol. Health Med. 2017;22: 224-239. doi:
390		10.1080/13548506.2016.1271955.
391	17	. Lansford J, Cappa C, Putnick D, Bornstein M, Deater-Deckard K, Bradley, R. Change
392		over time in parents' beliefs about and reported use of corporal punishment in eight
393		countries with and without legal bans. Child Abuse Negl. 2017;71,44-55. doi:
394		10.1016/j.chiabu.2016.10.016.
395	18	. Samms-Vaughan M. (2005) Profiles: The Jamaican preschool child. The status of early
396		childhood development in Jamaica. Kingston, Jamaica. Planning Institute of Jamaica.
397	19	. Baker-Henningham H, Scott S, Jones K, Walker S. Reducing child conduct problems
398		and promoting social skills in a middle income country: cluster randomised controlled
399		trial. Br J Psychiatry. 2012;201:101-108. Doi: 0.1192/bjp.bp.111.096834.
400	20	Baker-Henningham H. The Irie Classroom Toolbox: developing a violence prevention,
401		preschool teacher training program using evidence, theory and practice. Ann NY Acad
402	01	Sci. 2018;1419: $179-200.$ doi: 10.1111/nyas.13713.
403	21	. Scott S. (2015) Oppositional and conduct disorders. In: Thapar A, Pine D, Leckman J, et al. editors, Putter's Child and Adelegeent Psychiatry, 6th edition, Oxford; Wiley,
404 105		Blackwell n 913-930
406	$\gamma\gamma$	Government of Jamaica (GOI) (2018) National Plan of Action for an Integrated Response
407		to Children and Violence (NDACV) 2018 2022 Vingston Jamaica
407		io Chuaren ana violence (IVFACV) 2018-2025. Kingston, Jamaica.

408	23.	Baker-Henningham H, Walker S. Effect of transporting an evidence-based violence
409		prevention intervention to Jamaican preschools on teacher and class-wide child behavior: A
410		cluster randomised trial. <i>Glob Ment Health</i> . 2018;5:e7. doi: 10.1017/gmh.2017.29.
411	24.	Baker-Henningham H, Scott Y, Francis T, Walker SP. Effects of a Teacher-Training
412		Violence Prevention Program in Jamaican Preschools on Child Behavior, Academic
413		Achievement, and School Attendance in Grade One of Primary School: Follow up of a
414		cluster randomized trial. Front Psychol. 2021;12:652050. doi:
415		10.3389/fpsyg.2021.652050.
416	25	Francis T. Baker-Henningham H. Design and implementation of the Irie Homes
417	_	Toolbox. Front Public Health, 2020;8:282961. doi:10.3389/fpubh.2020.282961.
418	26	Francis T, Baker-Henningham H. The Irie Homes Toolbox: A cluster-randomized
419		controlled trial of an early childhood parenting program to prevent violence against
420		children in Jamaica. Child Youth Serv Rev. 2021;126:106060. doi:
421		10.1016/j.childyouth.2021.106060.
422	27.	Dinarte-Diaz D, Ravindran S, Shah M, Powers S, Baker-Henningham H. Violent
423		discipline and child Behavior. Short- and medium-term effects of parenting support to
424		caregivers in Jamaica. NBER report under submission.
425	28	JA. List, D. Suskind, LH. Supplee. The scale-up effect in early childhood and public
426		policy. Why interventions lose impact at scale and what we can do about it. New York:
427		Routledge, 2021, pp. 251-279.
428	29	Lyon AR. Designing programs with an eye toward scaling. JA. List, D. Suskind, LH.
429		Supplee. The scale-up effect in early childhood and public policy. Why interventions
430		lose impact at scale and what we can do about it. New York: Routledge, 2021, pp. 251-
431		279.
432	30	. Skivington K, Matthews L, Simpson SA et al. A new framework for developing and
433		evaluating complex interventions: update of Medical Research Council guidance. BMJ.
434		2021;374:n2061. doi: 10.1136/bmj.n.2061.
435	31	. Durlak JA, DuPre EP. Implementation matters: A review of research on the influence
436		of implementation on program outcomes and the factors affecting implementation. Am
437		J Community Psychol. 2008;41:(3-4), 327-350. doi: 10.1007/s10464-008-9165-0.
438	32	. Gardner F, Montgomery P, Knerr W. Transporting evidence-based parenting programs
439		for child problem behavior (age 3-10) between countries: systematic review and meta-
440		analysis. J Clin Child Adolesc Psychol. 2016;45:749-762. doi:
441		10.1080/15374416.2015.1015134.
442	33	Fixsen D, Blase K, Van Dyke M, Metz A. Implementation and scaling violence
443		prevention interventions. The Evidence for Violence Prevention Across the Lifespan
444		and Around the World: Workshop Summary. Washington DC: National Academies
445		Press; 2014, pp. 59-60. Doi: 10.17226/18399.
446	34	. Bulthuis SE, Kok MC, Raven J, Dieleman MA. Factors influencing the scale-up of
447		public health interventions in low- and middle-income countries: a qualitative
448		systematic literature review. <i>Health Policy Plan.</i> 2020;35:219-234.
449	25	doi:10.1095/nealpoi/czz140.
45U 451	33	the barriers and enablers. Arch Dis Child 2010:104:842 850 doi:
451 452		10 1136/archdischild-2018-315425
752		10,1150/aronatooning 2010 515725,

453	36.	. Robinson JP, Winthrop R. Millions learning. Scaling up quality education in
454		developing countries. Brookings Institution: Washington DC, 2016.
455	37.	Ramsey AT, Proctor EK, Chambers DA, et al. Designing for accelerated translation
456		(DART) of emerging innovations in health. J Clin Transl Sci. 2019;3:53-58. doi:
457		10.1017/cts.2019.386.
458	38.	. Francis T, Packer D, Baker-Henningham, H. A qualitative evaluation of the
459		mechanisms of action in an early childhood parenting programme to prevent violence
460		against children in Jamaica. Child Care Health Dev. 2022;1-22. doi:
461		10.1111/chh.13074.
462	39.	Bowers M, Francis T, Baker-Henningham H. The Irie Classroom Toolbox: Mixed
463		method evaluation to inform future implementation and scale up of an early childhood,
464		teacher-training, violence prevention programme. Front Pub Health. 2022;10.1040952.
465		doi: 10,3389/fpubh.2022.1040952.
466	40.	. Gericke CA, Kurowski C, Ranson MK, Mills A. Intervention complexity – a
467		conceptual framework to inform priority setting in health. Bull World Health Organ.
468	41	2005;83(4):285-293. PMID: 15868020.
469	41.	. Pacchiano D, Connors M, Klein R, Woodlock K. Embedding workforce development
470		into scaled innovations to prevent declines in administration quality. JA. List, D.
471		Suskind, LH. Supplee. The scale-up effect in early childhood and public policy. Why
472		interventions lose impact at scale and what we can do about it. New York: Routledge,
473		2021, pp350-369.
474	42.	. Yousafzai AK, Aboud F. Review of implementation processes for integrated nutrition
475		and psychosocial stimulation interventions. Ann N Y Acad Sci. 2014;1308:22-45. doi:
476		10.1111/nyas.12313.
477	43.	. Tomlinson M, Hunt X, Rotheram-Borus MJ Diffusing and Scaling Evidence-based
478		Interventions: Eight lessons for early child development from the implementation of
479		perinatal home visiting. Ann N Y Acad Sci. 2018;1419:218-229. doi:
480		10.111/nyas.13650.
481	44.	Michie S, van Stralen MM, West R. The behavior change wheel: a new method for
482		characterising and designing behavior change interventions. Implementation Sci.
483		2011;6:42. doi: 10.1186/1748-5908-6-42
484	45.	Caron EB, Bernard K, Metz A. Fidelity and properties of the situation. Challenges and
485		recommendations. JA. List, D. Suskind, LH. Supplee. The scale-up effect in early
486		childhood and public policy. Why interventions lose impact at scale and what we can
487		do about it. New York: Routledge, 2021, pp. 160-183.
488	46	Bernal R, Gomez ML, Perez-Cardona S, Baker-Henningham H. (submitted) Quality of
489		implementation of a parenting program in Colombia and its effect on child
490		development and parental investment. Pediatrics.
491	47.	. Luoto JE, Lopez-Garcia I, Aboud FE, et al. An Implementation Evaluation of A Group-
492		Based Parenting Intervention to Promote Early Childhood Development. Front Public
493		Health. 2021;9:653106. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2021.653106.
494	48.	Al-Ubaydli O, Lee MS, List JA, Mackevicious CI, Suskind D. How can experiments
495		play a greater role in public policy? 12 proposals from an economic model of scaling.
496		Working Paper No. 2019-131. Becker Friedman Institute of Economics at UChicago.

 mass radio campaign to promote household life-saving practices in Burkino Faso. <i>BMJ</i> <i>Glob Health.</i> 2018;3:e000809. doi: 10.1136/bmjgh-2018-000809. 50. Sarrassat S, Meda N, Badolo H et al. Effect of a mass radio campaign on family behaviors and child survival in Burkino Faso: a repeated cross-sectional, cluster- randomised trial. <i>Lancet Glob Health.</i> 2018;6:e330-341. doi: 10.1016/S2214- 109X(18)30004-4. 51. Bloomfield J, Balsa A, Cid A. Using behavioral insights in early childhood interventions: the effects of Crianza Positiva e-messaging program on parental investment. <i>Rev Econ Household.</i> 2021. doi: 10.1007/s11150-021-09593-4. 52. Amaral S, Dinarte L, Dominguez P, Perez-Vincent SM. <i>Helping families help</i> <i>themselves? Heterogeneous effects of a digital parenting program.</i> Policy Research Working Paper 9850. World Bank Group, 2021. 53. Barrera O, Macours K, Permand P, Vakis R. <i>Texting parents about early child</i> <i>development.</i> Policy Research Working Paper 9492. World Bank Group, 2020. 54. Cortes K, Fricke H, Loeb S, Song DS. <i>Too little or too much? Actionable advice in</i> <i>early childhood text messaging experiment.</i> Working Paper 24827. National Bureau of Economic Research, 2018. http://www.nber.org/papers/w24827 55. Fricke H, Kalogrides D, Loeb S. It's too annoying: Who drops out of educational text messaging programs and why. <i>Econ Lett.</i> 2018;173:39-43. doi: 10.1016/j.econlet.2018.08.029. 56. Stirman SW, Kimberly J, Cook N, Calloway A, Castro F, Charns M. The sustainability of new programs and innovations: a review of the empirical literature and recommendations for future research. <i>Implement Sci.</i> 2012;7:17. doi: 10.1186/1748- 5008-7-17. 57. Herlitz L, MacIntyre H, Osborn T, Bonell C. The sustainability of public health interventions in schools: a systematic review. <i>Imple</i>	498	mass radio campaign to promote household life-saving practices in Burkino Faso. BMJ
 <i>Glob Health.</i> 2018;3:e000809. doi: 10.1136/bmjgh-2018-000809. 50. Sarrassat S, Meda N, Badolo H et al. Effect of a mass radio campaign on family behaviors and child survival in Burkino Faso: a repeated cross-sectional, cluster-randomised trial. <i>Lancet Glob Health.</i> 2018;6:e330-341. doi: 10.1016/S2214-109X(18)30004-4. 51. Bloomfield J, Balsa A, Cid A. Using behavioral insights in early childhood interventions: the effects of Crianza Positiva e-messaging program on parental investment. <i>Rev Econ Household.</i> 2021. doi: 10.1007/s11150-021-09593-4. 52. Amaral S, Dinarte L, Dominguez P, Perez-Vincent SM. <i>Helping families help themselves? Heterogeneous effects of a digital parenting program.</i> Policy Research Working Paper 9850. World Bank Group, 2021. 53. Barrera O, Macours K, Permand P, Vakis R. <i>Texting parents about early child development.</i> Policy Research Working Paper 9492. World Bank Group, 2020. 54. Cortes K, Fricke H, Loeb S, Song DS. <i>Too little or too much? Actionable advice in early childhood text messaging experiment.</i> Working Paper 24827. National Bureau of Economic Research, 2018. http://www.nber.org/papers/w24827 55. Fricke H, Kalogrides D, Loeb S. It's too annoying: Who drops out of educational text messaging programs and why. <i>Econ Lett.</i> 2018;173:39-43. doi: 10.1016/j.econlet.2018.08.029. 56. Stirman SW, Kimberly J, Cook N, Calloway A, Castro F, Charns M. The sustainability of new programs and innovations: a review of the empirical literature and recommendations for future research. <i>Implement Sci.</i> 2012;7:17. doi: 10.1186/1748-5908-7-17. 57. Herlitz L, MacIntyre H, Osborn T, Bonell C. The sustainability of public health interventions in schools: a systematic review. <i>Implement Sci.</i> 2020;15:4. doi: 10.1186/s13012-019-0961-8. 58. Hans SS, Weiss B. Sustainability of teacher implementation of school-based mental health programs. <i>J Abnorm Child Psychol.</i> 2005;33:665-679. doi: 10.1007/s10802-005-7646-2. <l< td=""><td></td><td></td></l<>		
 Son Sarrassat S, Meda N, Badolo H et al. Effect of a mass radio campaign on family behaviors and child survival in Burkino Faso: a repeated cross-sectional, cluster-randomised trial. <i>Lancet Glob Health.</i> 2018;6:e330-341. doi: 10.1016/S2214-109X(18)30004-4. Bloomfield J, Balsa A, Cid A. Using behavioral insights in early childhood interventions: the effects of Crianza Positiva e-messaging program on parental investment. <i>Rev Econ Household.</i> 2021. doi: 10.1007/s11150-021-09593-4. Amaral S, Dinarte L, Dominguez P, Perez-Vincent SM. <i>Helping families help themselves? Heterogeneous effects of a digital parenting program.</i> Policy Research Working Paper 9850. World Bank Group, 2021. Barrera O, Macours K, Permand P, Vakis R. <i>Texting parents about early child development.</i> Policy Research Working Paper 9492.World Bank Group, 2020. Cortes K, Fricke H, Loeb S, Song DS. <i>Too little or too much? Actionable advice in early childhood text messaging experiment.</i> Working Paper 24827. National Bureau of Economic Research, 2018. http://www.nber.org/papers/w24827 Fricke H, Kalogrides D, Loeb S. It's too annoying: Who drops out of educational text messaging programs and why. <i>Econ Lett.</i> 2018;173:39-43. doi: 10.1016/j.econlet.2018.08.029. Stirman SW, Kimberly J, Cook N, Calloway A, Castro F, Charns M. The sustainability of new programs and innovations: a review of the empirical literature and recommendations for future research. <i>Implement Sci.</i> 2012;7:17. doi: 10.1186/1748-5908-7-17. Hans SS, Weiss B. Sustainability of teacher implementation of school-based mental health programs. <i>J Abnorm Child Psychol.</i> 2005;33:665-679. doi: 10.1007/s10802-005-7646-2. Glasgow RE, Vogt TM, Boles SM. Evaluating the public health impact of health promotion interventions: the RE-AIM framework. <i>Am J Public Health.</i> 1999;89:1322-1327. doi:10.2105/ajph.89.9.1322. Hemming K, Haines TP, Chilton PJ, Girling AJ, Lilford RJ.	499	Glob Health. 2018;3:e000809. doi: 10.1136/bmjgh-2018-000809.
 behaviors and child survival in Burkino Faso: a repeated cross-sectional, cluster- randomised trial. <i>Lancet Glob Health</i>. 2018;6:e330-341. doi: 10.1016/S2214- 109X(18)30004-4. 51. Bloomfield J, Balsa A, Cid A. Using behavioral insights in early childhood interventions: the effects of Crianza Positiva e-messaging program on parental investment. <i>Rev Econ Household</i>. 2021. doi: 10.1007/s11150-021-09593-4. 52. Amaral S, Dinarte L, Dominguez P, Perez-Vincent SM. <i>Helping families help</i> <i>themselves? Heterogeneous effects of a digital parenting program</i>. Policy Research Working Paper 9850. World Bank Group, 2021. 53. Barrera O, Macours K, Permand P, Vakis R. <i>Texting parents about early child</i> <i>development</i>. Policy Research Working Paper 9492. World Bank Group, 2020. 54. Cortes K, Fricke H, Loeb S, Song DS. <i>Too little or too much? Actionable advice in</i> <i>early childhood text messaging experiment</i>. Working Paper 24827. National Bureau of Economic Research, 2018. http://www.nber.org/papers/w24827 55. Fricke H, Kalogrides D, Loeb S. It's too annoying: Who drops out of educational text messaging programs and why. <i>Econ Lett</i>. 2018;173:39-43. doi: 10.1016/j.econlet.2018.08.029. 56. Stirman SW, Kimberly J, Cook N, Calloway A, Castro F, Charns M. The sustainability of new programs and innovations: a review of the empirical literature and recommendations for future research. <i>Implement Sci</i>. 2012;7:17. doi: 10.1186/1748- 5908-7-17. 57. Herlitz L, MacIntyre H, Osborn T, Bonell C. The sustainability of public health interventions in schools: a systematic review. <i>Implement Sci</i>. 2020;15:4. doi: 10.1186/s13012-019-0961-8. 58. Hans SS, Weiss B. Sustainability of teacher implementation of school-based mental health programs. <i>J Abnorm Child Psychol</i>. 2005;33:665-679. doi: 10.1007/s10802-005- 7646-2. 59. Glasgow RE, Vogt TM, Boles SM. Evaluating the public health impact of health promotion interventions: the RE-AIM framework. <i>Am J Public He</i>	500 50.	Sarrassat S, Meda N, Badolo H et al. Effect of a mass radio campaign on family
 randomised trial. <i>Lancet Glob Health.</i> 2018;6:e330-341. doi: 10.1016/S2214- 109X(18)30004-4. S1. Bloomfield J, Balsa A, Cid A. Using behavioral insights in early childhood interventions: the effects of Crianza Positiva e-messaging program on parental investment. <i>Rev Econ Household.</i> 2021. doi: 10.1007/s11150-021-09593-4. Amaral S, Dinarte L, Dominguez P, Perez-Vincent SM. <i>Helping families help</i> <i>themselves? Heterogeneous effects of a digital parenting program.</i> Policy Research Working Paper 9850. World Bank Group, 2021. Barrera O, Macours K, Permand P, Vakis R. <i>Texting parents about early child</i> <i>development.</i> Policy Research Working Paper 9492. World Bank Group, 2020. Cottes K, Fricke H, Loeb S, Song DS. <i>Too little or too much? Actionable advice in</i> <i>early childhood text messaging experiment.</i> Working Paper 24827. National Bureau of Economic Research, 2018. http://www.nber.org/papers/w24827 Fricke H, Kalogrides D, Loeb S. It's too annoying: Who drops out of educational text messaging programs and why. <i>Econ Lett.</i> 2018;173:39-43. doi: 10.1016/j.econlet.2018.08.029. Stirman SW, Kimberly J, Cook N, Calloway A, Castro F, Charns M. The sustainability of new programs and innovations: a review of the empirical literature and recommendations for future research. <i>Implement Sci.</i> 2012;7:17. doi: 10.1186/1748- 5908-7-17. Herlitz L, MacIntyre H, Osborn T, Bonell C. The sustainability of public health interventions in schools: a systematic review. <i>Implement Sci.</i> 2020;15:4. doi: 10.1186/s13012-019-0961-8. Hans SS, Weiss B. Sustainability of teacher implementation of school-based mental health programs. <i>J Abnorm Child Psychol.</i> 2005;33:665-679. doi: 10.1007/s10802-005- 7646-2. Glasgow RE, Vogt TM, Boles SM. Evaluating the public health impact of health promotion interventions: the RE-AIM framework. <i>Am J Public Health.</i> 1999;89:1322- 1327. doi:10.2105/ajph.89.9.1322. Hemming K, Haines TP, Chilton PJ, Girling AJ, Lilfo	501	behaviors and child survival in Burkino Faso: a repeated cross-sectional, cluster-
 109X(18)30004-4. 51. Bloomfield J, Balsa A, Cid A. Using behavioral insights in early childhood interventions: the effects of Crianza Positiva e-messaging program on parental investment. <i>Rev Econ Household</i>. 2021. doi: 10.1007/s11150-021-09593-4. 52. Amaral S, Dinarte L, Dominguez P, Perez-Vincent SM. <i>Helping families help</i> <i>themselves? Heterogeneous effects of a digital parenting program</i>. Policy Research Working Paper 9850. World Bank Group, 2021. 53. Barrera O, Macours K, Permand P, Vakis R. <i>Texting parents about early child</i> <i>development</i>. Policy Research Working Paper 9492. World Bank Group, 2020. 54. Cortes K, Fricke H, Loeb S, Song DS. <i>Too little or too much? Actionable advice in</i> <i>early childhood text messaging experiment</i>. Working Paper 24827. National Bureau of Economic Research, 2018. http://www.nber.org/papers/w24827 55. Fricke H, Kalogrides D, Loeb S. It's too annoying: Who drops out of educational text messaging programs and why. <i>Econ Lett</i>. 2018;173:39-43. doi: 10.1016/j.econlet.2018.08.029. 56. Stirman SW, Kimberly J, Cook N, Calloway A, Castro F, Charns M. The sustainability of new programs and innovations: a review of the empirical literature and recommendations for future research. <i>Implement Sci</i>. 2012;7:17. doi: 10.1186/1748- 5908-7-17. 57. Herlitz L, MacIntyre H, Osborn T, Bonell C. The sustainability of public health interventions in schools: a systematic review. <i>Implement Sci</i>. 2020;15:4. doi: 10.1186/s13012-019-0961-8. 58. Hans SS, Weiss B. Sustainability of teacher implementation of school-based mental health programs. <i>J Abnorm Child Psychol</i>. 2005;33:665-679. doi: 10.1007/s10802-005- 7646-2. 59. Glasgow RE, Vogt TM, Boles SM. Evaluating the public health impact of health promotion interventions: the RE-AIM framework. <i>Am J Public Health</i>. 1999;89:1322- 1327. doi:10.2105/ajph.89.9.1322. 60. Hemming K, Haines TP, Chilton PJ, Girling AJ, Lilford RJ. The stepped wedge cluster randomis	502	randomised trial. Lancet Glob Health. 2018;6:e330-341. doi: 10.1016/S2214-
 51. Bloomfield J, Balsa A, Cid A. Using behavioral insights in early childhood interventions: the effects of Crianza Positiva e-messaging program on parental investment. <i>Rev Econ Household</i>. 2021. doi: 10.1007/s11150-021-09593-4. 52. Amaral S, Dinarte L, Dominguez P, Perez-Vincent SM. <i>Helping families help</i> <i>themselves? Heterogeneous effects of a digital parenting program</i>. Policy Research Working Paper 9850. World Bank Group, 2021. 53. Barrera O, Macours K, Permand P, Vakis R. <i>Texting parents about early child</i> <i>development</i>. Policy Research Working Paper 9492.World Bank Group, 2020. 54. Cortes K, Fricke H, Loeb S, Song DS. <i>Too little or too much? Actionable advice in</i> <i>early childhood text messaging experiment</i>. Working Paper 24827. National Bureau of Economic Research, 2018. http://www.nber.org/papers/w24827 55. Fricke H, Kalogrides D, Loeb S. It's too annoying: Who drops out of educational text messaging programs and why. <i>Econ Lett</i>. 2018;173:39-43. doi: 10.1016/j.econlet.2018.08.029. 56. Stirman SW, Kimberly J, Cook N, Calloway A, Castro F, Charns M. The sustainability of new programs and innovations: a review of the empirical literature and recommendations for future research. <i>Implement Sci</i>. 2012;7:17. doi: 10.1186/1748- 5908-7-17. 57. Herlitz L, MacIntyre H, Osborn T, Bonell C. The sustainability of public health interventions in schools: a systematic review. <i>Implement Sci</i>. 2020;15:4. doi: 10.1186/s13012-019-0961-8. 58. Hans SS, Weiss B. Sustainability of teacher implementation of school-based mental health programs. <i>J Abnorm Child Psychol</i>. 2005;33:665-679. doi: 10.1007/s10802-005- 7646-2. 59. Glasgow RE, Vogt TM, Boles SM. Evaluating the public health impact of health promotion interventions: the RE-AIM framework. <i>Am J Public Health</i>. 1999;89:1322- 1327. doi:10.2105/ajph.89.9.1322. 60. Hemming K, Haines TP, Chilton PJ, Girling AJ, Lilford RJ. The stepped wedge cluster randomised trial: rationale, design	503	109X(18)30004-4.
 interventions: the effects of Crianza Positiva e-messaging program on parental investment. <i>Rev Econ Household</i>. 2021. doi: 10.1007/s11150-021-09593-4. 52. Amaral S, Dinarte L, Dominguez P, Perez-Vincent SM. <i>Helping families help</i> <i>themselves? Heterogeneous effects of a digital parenting program</i>. Policy Research Working Paper 9850. World Bank Group, 2021. 53. Barrera O, Macours K, Permand P, Vakis R. <i>Texting parents about early child</i> <i>development</i>. Policy Research Working Paper 9492. World Bank Group, 2020. 54. Cortes K, Fricke H, Loeb S, Song DS. <i>Too little or too much? Actionable advice in</i> <i>early childhood text messaging experiment</i>. Working Paper 24827. National Bureau of Economic Research, 2018. http://www.nber.org/papers/w24827 55. Fricke H, Kalogrides D, Loeb S. It's too annoying: Who drops out of educational text messaging programs and why. <i>Econ Lett</i>. 2018;173:39-43. doi: 10.1016/j.econlet.2018.08.029. 56. Stirman SW, Kimberly J, Cook N, Calloway A, Castro F, Charns M. The sustainability of new programs and innovations: a review of the empirical literature and recommendations for future research. <i>Implement Sci</i>. 2012;7:17. doi: 10.1186/1748- 5908-7-17. 57. Herlitz L, MacIntyre H, Osborn T, Bonell C. The sustainability of public health interventions in schools: a systematic review. <i>Implement Sci</i>. 2020;15:4. doi: 10.1186/s13012-019-0961-8. 58. Hans SS, Weiss B. Sustainability of teacher implementation of school-based mental health programs. <i>J Abnorm Child Psychol</i>. 2005;33:665-679. doi: 10.1007/s10802-005- 7646-2. 59. Glasgow RE, Vogt TM, Boles SM. Evaluating the public health impact of health promotion interventions: the RE-AIM framework. <i>Am J Public Health</i>. 1999;89:1322- 1327. doi:10.2105/ajph.89.9.1322. 60. Hemming K, Haines TP, Chilton PJ, Girling AJ, Lilford RJ. The stepped wedge cluster randomised trial: rationale, design, analysis, and reporting. <i>BMJ</i>. 2015;350:h391. doi: 	504 51.	Bloomfield J, Balsa A, Cid A. Using behavioral insights in early childhood
 investment. <i>Rev Econ Household</i>. 2021. doi: 10.1007/s11150-021-09593-4. 52. Amaral S, Dinarte L, Dominguez P, Perez-Vincent SM. <i>Helping families help</i> <i>themselves? Heterogeneous effects of a digital parenting program</i>. Policy Research Working Paper 9850. World Bank Group, 2021. 53. Barrera O, Macours K, Permand P, Vakis R. <i>Texting parents about early child</i> <i>development</i>. Policy Research Working Paper 9492.World Bank Group, 2020. 54. Cortes K, Fricke H, Loeb S, Song DS. <i>Too little or too much? Actionable advice in</i> <i>early childhood text messaging experiment</i>. Working Paper 24827. National Bureau of Economic Research, 2018. http://www.nber.org/papers/w24827 55. Fricke H, Kalogrides D, Loeb S. It's too annoying: Who drops out of educational text messaging programs and why. <i>Econ Lett</i>. 2018;173:39-43. doi: 10.1016/j.econlet.2018.08.029. 56. Stirman SW, Kimberly J, Cook N, Calloway A, Castro F, Charns M. The sustainability of new programs and innovations: a review of the empirical literature and recommendations for future research. <i>Implement Sci</i>. 2012;7:17. doi: 10.1186/1748- 5908-7-17. 57. Herlitz L, MacIntyre H, Osborn T, Bonell C. The sustainability of public health interventions in schools: a systematic review. <i>Implement Sci</i>. 2020;15:4. doi: 10.11186/s13012-019-0961-8. 58. Hans SS, Weiss B. Sustainability of teacher implementation of school-based mental health programs. <i>J Abnorm Child Psychol</i>. 2005;33:665-679. doi: 10.1007/s10802-005- 7646-2. 59. Glasgow RE, Vogt TM, Boles SM. Evaluating the public health impact of health promotion interventions: the RE-AIM framework. <i>Am J Public Health</i>. 1999;89:1322- 1327. doi:10.2105/ajph.89.9.1322. 60. Hemming K, Haines TP, Chilton PJ, Girling AJ, Lilford RJ. The stepped wedge cluster randomised trial: rationale, design, analysis, and reporting. <i>BMJ</i>. 2015;350:h391. doi: 	505	interventions: the effects of Crianza Positiva e-messaging program on parental
 52. Amaral S, Dinarte L, Dominguez P, Perez-Vincent SM. <i>Helping families help</i> <i>themselves? Heterogeneous effects of a digital parenting program.</i> Policy Research Working Paper 9850. World Bank Group, 2021. 53. Barrera O, Macours K, Permand P, Vakis R. <i>Texting parents about early child</i> <i>development.</i> Policy Research Working Paper 9492.World Bank Group, 2020. 54. Cortes K, Fricke H, Loeb S, Song DS. <i>Too little or too much? Actionable advice in</i> <i>early childhood text messaging experiment.</i> Working Paper 24827. National Bureau of Economic Research, 2018. http://www.nber.org/papers/w24827 55. Fricke H, Kalogrides D, Loeb S. It's too annoying: Who drops out of educational text messaging programs and why. <i>Econ Lett.</i> 2018;173:39-43. doi: 10.1016/j.econlet.2018.08.029. 56. Stirman SW, Kimberly J, Cook N, Calloway A, Castro F, Charns M. The sustainability of new programs and innovations: a review of the empirical literature and recommendations for future research. <i>Implement Sci.</i> 2012;7:17. doi: 10.1186/1748- 5908-7-17. 57. Herlitz L, MacIntyre H, Osborn T, Bonell C. The sustainability of public health interventions in schools: a systematic review. <i>Implement Sci.</i> 2020;15:4. doi: 10.1186/s13012-019-0961-8. 58. Hans SS, Weiss B. Sustainability of teacher implementation of school-based mental health programs. <i>J Abnorm Child Psychol.</i> 2005;33:665-679. doi: 10.1007/s10802-005- 7646-2. 59. Glasgow RE, Vogt TM, Boles SM. Evaluating the public health impact of health promotion interventions: the RE-AIM framework. <i>Am J Public Health.</i> 1999;89:1322- 1327. doi:10.2105/ajph.89.9.1322. 60. Hemming K, Haines TP, Chilton PJ, Girling AJ, Lilford RJ. The stepped wedge cluster randomised trial: rationale, design, analysis, and reporting. <i>BMJ.</i> 2015;350:h391. doi: 	506	investment. Rev Econ Household. 2021. doi: 10.1007/s11150-021-09593-4.
 themselves? Heterogeneous effects of a digital parenting program. Policy Research Working Paper 9850. World Bank Group, 2021. 53. Barrera O, Macours K, Permand P, Vakis R. <i>Texting parents about early child</i> development. Policy Research Working Paper 9492. World Bank Group, 2020. 54. Cortes K, Fricke H, Loeb S, Song DS. <i>Too little or too much? Actionable advice in</i> early childhood text messaging experiment. Working Paper 24827. National Bureau of Economic Research, 2018. http://www.nber.org/papers/w24827 55. Fricke H, Kalogrides D, Loeb S. It's too annoying: Who drops out of educational text messaging programs and why. <i>Econ Lett.</i> 2018;173:39-43. doi: 10.1016/j.econlet.2018.08.029. 56. Stirman SW, Kimberly J, Cook N, Calloway A, Castro F, Charns M. The sustainability of new programs and innovations: a review of the empirical literature and recommendations for future research. <i>Implement Sci.</i> 2012;7:17. doi: 10.1186/1748- 5908-7-17. 57. Herlitz L, MacIntyre H, Osborn T, Bonell C. The sustainability of public health interventions in schools: a systematic review. <i>Implement Sci.</i> 2020;15:4. doi: 10.1186/s13012-019-0961-8. 58. Hans SS, Weiss B. Sustainability of teacher implementation of school-based mental health programs. <i>J Abnorm Child Psychol.</i> 2005;33:665-679. doi: 10.1007/s10802-005- 7646-2. 59. Glasgow RE, Vogt TM, Boles SM. Evaluating the public health impact of health promotion interventions: the RE-AIM framework. <i>Am J Public Health.</i> 1999;89:1322- 1327. doi:10.2105/ajph.89.9.1322. 60. Hemming K, Haines TP, Chilton PJ, Girling AJ, Lilford RJ. The stepped wedge cluster randomised trial: rationale, design, analysis, and reporting. <i>BMJ.</i> 2015;350:h391. doi: 	507 52.	Amaral S, Dinarte L, Dominguez P, Perez-Vincent SM. Helping families help
 Working Paper 9850. World Bank Group, 2021. 53. Barrera O, Macours K, Permand P, Vakis R. <i>Texting parents about early child development</i>. Policy Research Working Paper 9492. World Bank Group, 2020. 54. Cortes K, Fricke H, Loeb S, Song DS. <i>Too little or too much? Actionable advice in early childhood text messaging experiment</i>. Working Paper 24827. National Bureau of Economic Research, 2018. http://www.nber.org/papers/w24827 55. Fricke H, Kalogrides D, Loeb S. It's too annoying: Who drops out of educational text messaging programs and why. <i>Econ Lett</i>. 2018;173:39-43. doi: 10.1016/j.econlet.2018.08.029. 56. Stirman SW, Kimberly J, Cook N, Calloway A, Castro F, Charns M. The sustainability of new programs and innovations: a review of the empirical literature and recommendations for future research. <i>Implement Sci</i>. 2012;7:17. doi: 10.1186/1748-5908-7-17. 57. Herlitz L, MacIntyre H, Osborn T, Bonell C. The sustainability of public health interventions in schools: a systematic review. <i>Implement Sci</i>. 2020;15:4. doi: 10.1186/s13012-019-0961-8. 58. Hans SS, Weiss B. Sustainability of teacher implementation of school-based mental health programs. <i>J Abnorm Child Psychol</i>. 2005;33:665-679. doi: 10.1007/s10802-005-7646-2. 59. Glasgow RE, Vogt TM, Boles SM. Evaluating the public health impact of health promotion interventions: the RE-AIM framework. <i>Am J Public Health</i>. 1999;89:1322-1327. doi:10.2105/ajph.89.9.1322. 60. Hemming K, Haines TP, Chilton PJ, Girling AJ, Lilford RJ. The stepped wedge cluster randomised trial: rationale, design, analysis, and reporting. <i>BMJ</i>. 2015;350:h391. doi: 	508	themselves? Heterogeneous effects of a digital parenting program. Policy Research
 53. Barrera O, Macours K, Permand P, Vakis R. <i>Texting parents about early child</i> <i>development.</i> Policy Research Working Paper 9492. World Bank Group, 2020. 54. Cortes K, Fricke H, Loeb S, Song DS. <i>Too little or too much? Actionable advice in</i> <i>early childhood text messaging experiment.</i> Working Paper 24827. National Bureau of Economic Research, 2018. <u>http://www.nber.org/papers/w24827</u> 55. Fricke H, Kalogrides D, Loeb S. It's too annoying: Who drops out of educational text messaging programs and why. <i>Econ Lett.</i> 2018;173:39-43. doi: 10.1016/j.econlet.2018.08.029. 56. Stirman SW, Kimberly J, Cook N, Calloway A, Castro F, Charns M. The sustainability of new programs and innovations: a review of the empirical literature and recommendations for future research. <i>Implement Sci.</i> 2012;7:17. doi: 10.1186/1748- 5908-7-17. 57. Herlitz L, MacIntyre H, Osborn T, Bonell C. The sustainability of public health interventions in schools: a systematic review. <i>Implement Sci.</i> 2020;15:4. doi: 10.1186/s13012-019-0961-8. 58. Hans SS, Weiss B. Sustainability of teacher implementation of school-based mental health programs. <i>J Abnorm Child Psychol.</i> 2005;33:665-679. doi: 10.1007/s10802-005- 7646-2. 59. Glasgow RE, Vogt TM, Boles SM. Evaluating the public health impact of health promotion interventions: the RE-AIM framework. <i>Am J Public Health.</i> 1999;89:1322- 1327. doi:10.2105/ajph.89.9.1322. 60. Hemming K, Haines TP, Chilton PJ, Girling AJ, Lilford RJ. The stepped wedge cluster randomised trial: rationale, design, analysis, and reporting. <i>BMJ.</i> 2015;350:h391. doi: 	509	Working Paper 9850. World Bank Group, 2021.
 <i>development.</i> Policy Research Working Paper 9492.World Bank Group, 2020. 54. Cortes K, Fricke H, Loeb S, Song DS. <i>Too little or too much? Actionable advice in</i> <i>early childhood text messaging experiment.</i> Working Paper 24827. National Bureau of Economic Research, 2018. http://www.nber.org/papers/w24827 55. Fricke H, Kalogrides D, Loeb S. It's too annoying: Who drops out of educational text messaging programs and why. <i>Econ Lett.</i> 2018;173:39-43. doi: 10.1016/j.econlet.2018.08.029. 56. Stirman SW, Kimberly J, Cook N, Calloway A, Castro F, Charns M. The sustainability of new programs and innovations: a review of the empirical literature and recommendations for future research. <i>Implement Sci.</i> 2012;7:17. doi: 10.1186/1748- 5908-7-17. 57. Herlitz L, MacIntyre H, Osborn T, Bonell C. The sustainability of public health interventions in schools: a systematic review. <i>Implement Sci.</i> 2020;15:4. doi: 10.1186/s13012-019-0961-8. 58. Hans SS, Weiss B. Sustainability of teacher implementation of school-based mental health programs. <i>J Abnorm Child Psychol.</i> 2005;33:665-679. doi: 10.1007/s10802-005- 7646-2. 59. Glasgow RE, Vogt TM, Boles SM. Evaluating the public health impact of health promotion interventions: the RE-AIM framework. <i>Am J Public Health.</i> 1999;89:1322- 1327. doi:10.2105/ajph.89.9.1322. 60. Hemming K, Haines TP, Chilton PJ, Girling AJ, Lilford RJ. The stepped wedge cluster randomised trial: rationale, design, analysis, and reporting. <i>BMJ.</i> 2015;350:h391. doi: 	510 53.	Barrera O, Macours K, Permand P, Vakis R. Texting parents about early child
 54. Cortes K, Fricke H, Loeb S, Song DS. <i>Too little or too much? Actionable advice in</i> <i>early childhood text messaging experiment</i>. Working Paper 24827. National Bureau of Economic Research, 2018. http://www.nber.org/papers/w24827 55. Fricke H, Kalogrides D, Loeb S. It's too annoying: Who drops out of educational text messaging programs and why. <i>Econ Lett.</i> 2018;173:39-43. doi: 10.1016/j.econlet.2018.08.029. 56. Stirman SW, Kimberly J, Cook N, Calloway A, Castro F, Charns M. The sustainability of new programs and innovations: a review of the empirical literature and recommendations for future research. <i>Implement Sci.</i> 2012;7:17. doi: 10.1186/1748- 5908-7-17. 57. Herlitz L, MacIntyre H, Osborn T, Bonell C. The sustainability of public health interventions in schools: a systematic review. <i>Implement Sci.</i> 2020;15:4. doi: 10.1186/s13012-019-0961-8. 58. Hans SS, Weiss B. Sustainability of teacher implementation of school-based mental health programs. <i>J Abnorm Child Psychol.</i> 2005;33:665-679. doi: 10.1007/s10802-005- 7646-2. 59. Glasgow RE, Vogt TM, Boles SM. Evaluating the public health impact of health promotion interventions: the RE-AIM framework. <i>Am J Public Health.</i> 1999;89:1322- 1327. doi:10.2105/ajph.89.9.1322. 60. Hemming K, Haines TP, Chilton PJ, Girling AJ, Lilford RJ. The stepped wedge cluster randomised trial: rationale, design, analysis, and reporting. <i>BMJ.</i> 2015;350:h391. doi: 	511	development. Policy Research Working Paper 9492. World Bank Group, 2020.
 <i>early childhood text messaging experiment.</i> Working Paper 24827. National Bureau of Economic Research, 2018. <u>http://www.nber.org/papers/w24827</u> 55. Fricke H, Kalogrides D, Loeb S. It's too annoying: Who drops out of educational text messaging programs and why. <i>Econ Lett.</i> 2018;173:39-43. doi: 10.1016/j.econlet.2018.08.029. 56. Stirman SW, Kimberly J, Cook N, Calloway A, Castro F, Charns M. The sustainability of new programs and innovations: a review of the empirical literature and recommendations for future research. <i>Implement Sci.</i> 2012;7:17. doi: 10.1186/1748- 5908-7-17. 57. Herlitz L, MacIntyre H, Osborn T, Bonell C. The sustainability of public health interventions in schools: a systematic review. <i>Implement Sci.</i> 2020;15:4. doi: 10.1186/s13012-019-0961-8. 58. Hans SS, Weiss B. Sustainability of teacher implementation of school-based mental health programs. <i>J Abnorm Child Psychol.</i> 2005;33:665-679. doi: 10.1007/s10802-005- 7646-2. 59. Glasgow RE, Vogt TM, Boles SM. Evaluating the public health impact of health promotion interventions: the RE-AIM framework. <i>Am J Public Health.</i> 1999;89:1322- 1327. doi:10.2105/ajph.89.9.1322. 60. Hemming K, Haines TP, Chilton PJ, Girling AJ, Lilford RJ. The stepped wedge cluster randomised trial: rationale, design, analysis, and reporting. <i>BMJ.</i> 2015;350:h391. doi: 	512 54.	Cortes K, Fricke H, Loeb S, Song DS. Too little or too much? Actionable advice in
 Economic Research, 2018. http://www.nber.org/papers/w24827 55. Fricke H, Kalogrides D, Loeb S. It's too annoying: Who drops out of educational text messaging programs and why. <i>Econ Lett.</i> 2018;173:39-43. doi: 10.1016/j.econlet.2018.08.029. 56. Stirman SW, Kimberly J, Cook N, Calloway A, Castro F, Charns M. The sustainability of new programs and innovations: a review of the empirical literature and recommendations for future research. <i>Implement Sci.</i> 2012;7:17. doi: 10.1186/1748- 5908-7-17. 57. Herlitz L, MacIntyre H, Osborn T, Bonell C. The sustainability of public health interventions in schools: a systematic review. <i>Implement Sci.</i> 2020;15:4. doi: 10.1186/s13012-019-0961-8. 58. Hans SS, Weiss B. Sustainability of teacher implementation of school-based mental health programs. <i>J Abnorm Child Psychol.</i> 2005;33:665-679. doi: 10.1007/s10802-005- 7646-2. 59. Glasgow RE, Vogt TM, Boles SM. Evaluating the public health impact of health promotion interventions: the RE-AIM framework. <i>Am J Public Health.</i> 1999;89:1322- 1327. doi:10.2105/ajph.89.9.1322. 60. Hemming K, Haines TP, Chilton PJ, Girling AJ, Lilford RJ. The stepped wedge cluster randomised trial: rationale, design, analysis, and reporting. <i>BMJ.</i> 2015;350:h391. doi: 	513	early childhood text messaging experiment. Working Paper 24827. National Bureau of
 55. Fricke H, Kalogrides D, Loeb S. It's too annoying: Who drops out of educational text messaging programs and why. <i>Econ Lett.</i> 2018;173:39-43. doi: 10.1016/j.econlet.2018.08.029. 56. Stirman SW, Kimberly J, Cook N, Calloway A, Castro F, Charns M. The sustainability of new programs and innovations: a review of the empirical literature and recommendations for future research. <i>Implement Sci.</i> 2012;7:17. doi: 10.1186/1748- 5908-7-17. 57. Herlitz L, MacIntyre H, Osborn T, Bonell C. The sustainability of public health interventions in schools: a systematic review. <i>Implement Sci.</i> 2020;15:4. doi: 10.1186/s13012-019-0961-8. 58. Hans SS, Weiss B. Sustainability of teacher implementation of school-based mental health programs. <i>J Abnorm Child Psychol.</i> 2005;33:665-679. doi: 10.1007/s10802-005- 7646-2. 59. Glasgow RE, Vogt TM, Boles SM. Evaluating the public health impact of health promotion interventions: the RE-AIM framework. <i>Am J Public Health.</i> 1999;89:1322- 1327. doi:10.2105/ajph.89.9.1322. 60. Hemming K, Haines TP, Chilton PJ, Girling AJ, Lilford RJ. The stepped wedge cluster randomised trial: rationale, design, analysis, and reporting. <i>BMJ.</i> 2015;350:h391. doi: 	514	Economic Research, 2018. http://www.nber.org/papers/w24827
 messaging programs and why. <i>Econ Lett.</i> 2018;173:39-43. doi: 10.1016/j.econlet.2018.08.029. 56. Stirman SW, Kimberly J, Cook N, Calloway A, Castro F, Charns M. The sustainability of new programs and innovations: a review of the empirical literature and recommendations for future research. <i>Implement Sci.</i> 2012;7:17. doi: 10.1186/1748- 5908-7-17. 57. Herlitz L, MacIntyre H, Osborn T, Bonell C. The sustainability of public health interventions in schools: a systematic review. <i>Implement Sci.</i> 2020;15:4. doi: 10.1186/s13012-019-0961-8. 58. Hans SS, Weiss B. Sustainability of teacher implementation of school-based mental health programs. <i>J Abnorm Child Psychol.</i> 2005;33:665-679. doi: 10.1007/s10802-005- 7646-2. 59. Glasgow RE, Vogt TM, Boles SM. Evaluating the public health impact of health promotion interventions: the RE-AIM framework. <i>Am J Public Health.</i> 1999;89:1322- 1327. doi:10.2105/ajph.89.9.1322. 60. Hemming K, Haines TP, Chilton PJ, Girling AJ, Lilford RJ. The stepped wedge cluster randomised trial: rationale, design, analysis, and reporting. <i>BMJ.</i> 2015;350:h391. doi: 	515 55.	Fricke H, Kalogrides D, Loeb S. It's too annoying: Who drops out of educational text
 10.1016/j.econlet.2018.08.029. 56. Stirman SW, Kimberly J, Cook N, Calloway A, Castro F, Charns M. The sustainability of new programs and innovations: a review of the empirical literature and recommendations for future research. <i>Implement Sci.</i> 2012;7:17. doi: 10.1186/1748- 5908-7-17. 57. Herlitz L, MacIntyre H, Osborn T, Bonell C. The sustainability of public health interventions in schools: a systematic review. <i>Implement Sci.</i> 2020;15:4. doi: 10.1186/s13012-019-0961-8. 58. Hans SS, Weiss B. Sustainability of teacher implementation of school-based mental health programs. <i>J Abnorm Child Psychol.</i> 2005;33:665-679. doi: 10.1007/s10802-005- 7646-2. 59. Glasgow RE, Vogt TM, Boles SM. Evaluating the public health impact of health promotion interventions: the RE-AIM framework. <i>Am J Public Health.</i> 1999;89:1322- 1327. doi:10.2105/ajph.89.9.1322. 60. Hemming K, Haines TP, Chilton PJ, Girling AJ, Lilford RJ. The stepped wedge cluster randomised trial: rationale, design, analysis, and reporting. <i>BMJ.</i> 2015;350:h391. doi: 	516	messaging programs and why. Econ Lett. 2018;173:39-43. doi:
 56. Stirman SW, Kimberly J, Cook N, Calloway A, Castro F, Charns M. The sustainability of new programs and innovations: a review of the empirical literature and recommendations for future research. <i>Implement Sci.</i> 2012;7:17. doi: 10.1186/1748- 5908-7-17. 57. Herlitz L, MacIntyre H, Osborn T, Bonell C. The sustainability of public health interventions in schools: a systematic review. <i>Implement Sci.</i> 2020;15:4. doi: 10.1186/s13012-019-0961-8. 58. Hans SS, Weiss B. Sustainability of teacher implementation of school-based mental health programs. <i>J Abnorm Child Psychol.</i> 2005;33:665-679. doi: 10.1007/s10802-005- 7646-2. 59. Glasgow RE, Vogt TM, Boles SM. Evaluating the public health impact of health promotion interventions: the RE-AIM framework. <i>Am J Public Health.</i> 1999;89:1322- 1327. doi:10.2105/ajph.89.9.1322. 60. Hemming K, Haines TP, Chilton PJ, Girling AJ, Lilford RJ. The stepped wedge cluster randomised trial: rationale, design, analysis, and reporting. <i>BMJ.</i> 2015;350:h391. doi: 	517	10.1016/j.econlet.2018.08.029.
 of new programs and innovations: a review of the empirical literature and recommendations for future research. <i>Implement Sci.</i> 2012;7:17. doi: 10.1186/1748- 5908-7-17. 57. Herlitz L, MacIntyre H, Osborn T, Bonell C. The sustainability of public health interventions in schools: a systematic review. <i>Implement Sci.</i> 2020;15:4. doi: 10.1186/s13012-019-0961-8. 58. Hans SS, Weiss B. Sustainability of teacher implementation of school-based mental health programs. <i>J Abnorm Child Psychol.</i> 2005;33:665-679. doi: 10.1007/s10802-005- 7646-2. 59. Glasgow RE, Vogt TM, Boles SM. Evaluating the public health impact of health promotion interventions: the RE-AIM framework. <i>Am J Public Health.</i> 1999;89:1322- 1327. doi:10.2105/ajph.89.9.1322. 60. Hemming K, Haines TP, Chilton PJ, Girling AJ, Lilford RJ. The stepped wedge cluster randomised trial: rationale, design, analysis, and reporting. <i>BMJ.</i> 2015;350:h391. doi: 	518 56.	Stirman SW, Kimberly J, Cook N, Calloway A, Castro F, Charns M. The sustainability
 recommendations for future research. <i>Implement Sci.</i> 2012;7:17. doi: 10.1186/1748-5908-7-17. 57. Herlitz L, MacIntyre H, Osborn T, Bonell C. The sustainability of public health interventions in schools: a systematic review. <i>Implement Sci.</i> 2020;15:4. doi: 10.1186/s13012-019-0961-8. 58. Hans SS, Weiss B. Sustainability of teacher implementation of school-based mental health programs. <i>J Abnorm Child Psychol.</i> 2005;33:665-679. doi: 10.1007/s10802-005-7646-2. 59. Glasgow RE, Vogt TM, Boles SM. Evaluating the public health impact of health promotion interventions: the RE-AIM framework. <i>Am J Public Health.</i> 1999;89:1322-1327. doi:10.2105/ajph.89.9.1322. 60. Hemming K, Haines TP, Chilton PJ, Girling AJ, Lilford RJ. The stepped wedge cluster randomised trial: rationale, design, analysis, and reporting. <i>BMJ.</i> 2015;350:h391. doi: 	519	of new programs and innovations: a review of the empirical literature and
 521 5908-7-17. 522 57. Herlitz L, MacIntyre H, Osborn T, Bonell C. The sustainability of public health interventions in schools: a systematic review. <i>Implement Sci.</i> 2020;15:4. doi: 10.1186/s13012-019-0961-8. 525 58. Hans SS, Weiss B. Sustainability of teacher implementation of school-based mental health programs. <i>J Abnorm Child Psychol.</i> 2005;33:665-679. doi: 10.1007/s10802-005- 7646-2. 59. Glasgow RE, Vogt TM, Boles SM. Evaluating the public health impact of health promotion interventions: the RE-AIM framework. <i>Am J Public Health.</i> 1999;89:1322- 1327. doi:10.2105/ajph.89.9.1322. 60. Hemming K, Haines TP, Chilton PJ, Girling AJ, Lilford RJ. The stepped wedge cluster randomised trial: rationale, design, analysis, and reporting. <i>BMJ.</i> 2015;350:h391. doi: 	520	recommendations for future research. Implement Sci. 2012;7:17. doi: 10.1186/1748-
 57. Herlitz L, MacIntyre H, Osborn T, Bonell C. The sustainability of public health interventions in schools: a systematic review. <i>Implement Sci.</i> 2020;15:4. doi: 10.1186/s13012-019-0961-8. 58. Hans SS, Weiss B. Sustainability of teacher implementation of school-based mental health programs. <i>J Abnorm Child Psychol.</i> 2005;33:665-679. doi: 10.1007/s10802-005- 7646-2. 59. Glasgow RE, Vogt TM, Boles SM. Evaluating the public health impact of health promotion interventions: the RE-AIM framework. <i>Am J Public Health.</i> 1999;89:1322- 1327. doi:10.2105/ajph.89.9.1322. 60. Hemming K, Haines TP, Chilton PJ, Girling AJ, Lilford RJ. The stepped wedge cluster randomised trial: rationale, design, analysis, and reporting. <i>BMJ.</i> 2015;350:h391. doi: 	521	5908-7-17.
 interventions in schools: a systematic review. <i>Implement Sci.</i> 2020;15:4. doi: 10.1186/s13012-019-0961-8. 58. Hans SS, Weiss B. Sustainability of teacher implementation of school-based mental health programs. <i>J Abnorm Child Psychol.</i> 2005;33:665-679. doi: 10.1007/s10802-005- 7646-2. 59. Glasgow RE, Vogt TM, Boles SM. Evaluating the public health impact of health promotion interventions: the RE-AIM framework. <i>Am J Public Health.</i> 1999;89:1322- 1327. doi:10.2105/ajph.89.9.1322. 60. Hemming K, Haines TP, Chilton PJ, Girling AJ, Lilford RJ. The stepped wedge cluster randomised trial: rationale, design, analysis, and reporting. <i>BMJ.</i> 2015;350:h391. doi: 	522 57.	Herlitz L, MacIntyre H, Osborn T, Bonell C. The sustainability of public health
 10.1186/s13012-019-0961-8. 58. Hans SS, Weiss B. Sustainability of teacher implementation of school-based mental health programs. <i>J Abnorm Child Psychol</i>. 2005;33:665-679. doi: 10.1007/s10802-005- 7646-2. 59. Glasgow RE, Vogt TM, Boles SM. Evaluating the public health impact of health promotion interventions: the RE-AIM framework. <i>Am J Public Health</i>. 1999;89:1322- 1327. doi:10.2105/ajph.89.9.1322. 60. Hemming K, Haines TP, Chilton PJ, Girling AJ, Lilford RJ. The stepped wedge cluster randomised trial: rationale, design, analysis, and reporting. <i>BMJ</i>. 2015;350:h391. doi: 	523	interventions in schools: a systematic review. Implement Sci. 2020;15:4. doi:
 525 58. Hans SS, Weiss B. Sustainability of teacher implementation of school-based mental health programs. <i>J Abnorm Child Psychol</i>. 2005;33:665-679. doi: 10.1007/s10802-005- 7646-2. 59. Glasgow RE, Vogt TM, Boles SM. Evaluating the public health impact of health promotion interventions: the RE-AIM framework. <i>Am J Public Health</i>. 1999;89:1322- 1327. doi:10.2105/ajph.89.9.1322. 60. Hemming K, Haines TP, Chilton PJ, Girling AJ, Lilford RJ. The stepped wedge cluster randomised trial: rationale, design, analysis, and reporting. <i>BMJ</i>. 2015;350:h391. doi: 	524	10.1186/s13012-019-0961-8.
 health programs. <i>J Abnorm Child Psychol.</i> 2005;33:665-679. doi: 10.1007/s10802-005-7646-2. 59. Glasgow RE, Vogt TM, Boles SM. Evaluating the public health impact of health promotion interventions: the RE-AIM framework. <i>Am J Public Health.</i> 1999;89:1322-1327. doi:10.2105/ajph.89.9.1322. 60. Hemming K, Haines TP, Chilton PJ, Girling AJ, Lilford RJ. The stepped wedge cluster randomised trial: rationale, design, analysis, and reporting. <i>BMJ.</i> 2015;350:h391. doi: 	525 58.	Hans SS, Weiss B. Sustainability of teacher implementation of school-based mental
 527 7646-2. 528 59. Glasgow RE, Vogt TM, Boles SM. Evaluating the public health impact of health promotion interventions: the RE-AIM framework. <i>Am J Public Health</i>. 1999;89:1322-1327. doi:10.2105/ajph.89.9.1322. 531 60. Hemming K, Haines TP, Chilton PJ, Girling AJ, Lilford RJ. The stepped wedge cluster randomised trial: rationale, design, analysis, and reporting. <i>BMJ</i>. 2015;350:h391. doi: 	526	health programs. J Abnorm Child Psychol. 2005;33:665-679. doi: 10.1007/s10802-005-
 528 59. Glasgow RE, Vogt TM, Boles SM. Evaluating the public health impact of health 529 promotion interventions: the RE-AIM framework. <i>Am J Public Health</i>. 1999;89:1322- 530 1327. doi:10.2105/ajph.89.9.1322. 531 60. Hemming K, Haines TP, Chilton PJ, Girling AJ, Lilford RJ. The stepped wedge cluster 532 randomised trial: rationale, design, analysis, and reporting. <i>BMJ</i>. 2015;350:h391. doi: 	527	7646-2.
 promotion interventions: the RE-AIM framework. <i>Am J Public Health</i>. 1999;89:1322- 1327. doi:10.2105/ajph.89.9.1322. 60. Hemming K, Haines TP, Chilton PJ, Girling AJ, Lilford RJ. The stepped wedge cluster randomised trial: rationale, design, analysis, and reporting. <i>BMJ</i>. 2015;350:h391. doi: 	528 59.	Glasgow RE, Vogt TM, Boles SM. Evaluating the public health impact of health
 1327. doi:10.2105/ajph.89.9.1322. 60. Hemming K, Haines TP, Chilton PJ, Girling AJ, Lilford RJ. The stepped wedge cluster randomised trial: rationale, design, analysis, and reporting. <i>BMJ</i>. 2015;350:h391. doi: 	529	promotion interventions: the RE-AIM framework. Am J Public Health. 1999;89:1322-
 60. Hemming K, Haines TP, Chilton PJ, Girling AJ, Lilford RJ. The stepped wedge cluster randomised trial: rationale, design, analysis, and reporting. <i>BMJ</i>. 2015;350:h391. doi: 	530	1327. doi:10.2105/ajph.89.9.1322.
randomised trial: rationale, design, analysis, and reporting. <i>BMJ</i> . 2015;350:h391. doi:	531 60.	Hemming K, Haines TP, Chilton PJ, Girling AJ, Lilford RJ. The stepped wedge cluster
	532	randomised trial: rationale, design, analysis, and reporting. BMJ. 2015;350:h391. doi:
533 10.1136/bmj.h391	533	10.1136/bmj.h391
534	534	

The Irie Classroom Toolbox

Content: The Irie Classroom Toolbox consists of four modules: 1) Creating an emotionally supportive environment (e.g. use of praise and positive attention, making lessons fun, 2) Preventing and managing child behavior problems (e.g. teaching classroom rules, giving clear instructions, using a discipline hierarchy), 3) Promoting children's social and emotional skills (e.g. explicitly teaching friendship and emotion skills), and 4) Individual and class-wide behavior planning.

Materials: Intervention materials for teachers include 1) a 'Tools' book with simple guidelines on how to use each strategy, 2) an 'Activity' book of songs, games, activities and lesson plans, 3) a Resource manual of photocopiable resources (e.g. behavior planning forms, Irie (good news) notes), 4) an Irie Teacher Oath that teachers sign on completion of the program. Teachers also receive resources to use with children including visual aids to teach classroom rules, friendship skills and emotions, and fourteen pictorial social problem-solving stories. Materials are available at <u>www.irietoolbox.com</u>. Facilitator resources include a scripted training manual, video vignettes, charts to reinforce key points and monitoring tools.

Procedures: The Irie Classroom Toolbox is delivered through a combination of teacher-training workshops and in-class support sessions. Training workshops are delivered by pairs of facilitators with groups of 20-25 teachers. The ICT consists of twenty 90-minute training modules to be delivered over one school year (through full-day workshops, half-day workshops and/or after-school sessions). In workshops, content is introduced through live and video modelling, demonstration and practice, brainstorms and discussions, collaborative problem-solving, goal setting, and small group activities. Each teacher also receives one hour of in-class support every month for 6-8 months to support them in applying the strategies in their classroom. In-class support involves modelling, prompting, and scaffolding, positive feedback, pointing out the effect of the strategies on the children, collaborative problem-solving, and goal setting. Teachers are also given a classroom assignment after each workshop and/or in-class support session to encourage them to use the strategies and engage in reflective practice.

The Irie Homes Toolbox

Content: The Irie Homes Toolbox consists of five modules: 1) promoting positive behavior (e.g. praise, modelling appropriate behavior, child-led play), 2) preventing misbehavior (e.g. giving clear instructions, understanding child behavior, giving children autonomy, teaching household rules), 3) understanding emotions (e.g. regulating own emotions, labelling child's emotions), 4) managing misbehavior (e.g. redirecting child behavior, withdrawing attention, chillax (time-out), using consequences), and 5) supporting homework.

Materials: Each preschool receives a facilitator kit of reusable resources that include: 1) a scripted training manual, 2) visual aids (e.g. pictures of parents and children to promote discussion), 3) hand-held charts with key points, and 4) the Irie Tower: a tower made from cardboard blocks that acts as a concrete representation of the program. Intervention materials for parents include: 1) a weekly take-home summary card, 2) a weekly Irie Activity Planner (homework assignment record sheet), and 3) an Irie Parent Oath that parents sign on completion of the program.

Procedures: Parents attend 90-minute sessions, once a week for eight weeks in groups of 6-8 parents. Sessions are conducted by a preschool teacher and are held on the preschool compound at the beginning or end of the school day. Parents are introduced to the content via demonstrations, role-plays and group discussions. Facilitators (preschool teachers) use visual aids to prompt discussions, and charts with main points for reinforcement. In addition, parents practice the strategies in pairs within the group and practice child-led play for 10-15 minutes with their child, supported by the facilitator. Home assignments encourage the use of the strategies at home and parents record their progress on a record sheet. There is a focus on providing positive, supportive feedback to parents, making the sessions fun, and collaborative problem-solving. Each session includes: 1) a game or song, 2) feedback from the previous session and discussion of homework assignment, 3) new topic: demonstration, discussion, and practice, 4) introduction of a child-led play or book activity, 5) practicing the activity with their child, and 6) review.

Study	Sample	Intervention	Short-term outcomes	
Teacher Training				
Efficacy trial in twenty-four preschools. ^{19,23} Children aged 3-6 years	24 preschools randomly assigned to intervention (n=12 preschools, 37 classrooms) or control (12 preschools, 36 classrooms). Three children with the highest level of conduct problems from each class participated in the evaluation of individual child outcomes (113 intervention, 112 control).	 Teachers in schools allocated to the intervention were trained in classroom behavior management and how to promote young children social- emotional competence through: Eight full-day teacher training workshops and Four 1-hour individual sessions of in-class support: once a month for 4 months Intervention used a hybrid of the Incredible Years Teacher Training Program (50%) and the content of the Irie Classroom Toolbox (50%) 	 <u>Teacher Outcomes</u> (measured through observation): Increased teacher positive behaviors: Effect Size (ES)=3.35 (95% CI: 2.70, 3.98) Decreased teacher negative behaviors: ES=-1.29 (95% CI: -0.87, -1.71) Increased teacher warmth: ES=2.03 (95% CI: 1.41, 2.67) <u>Child Outcomes</u> <i>Observed class-wide child behavior</i>: Increased of class-wide appropriate behavior: ES=0.73 (95% CI: 0.17, 1.30) Increased class-wide child interest and enthusiasm: ES=0.98 (95% CI: 0.48, 1.50) <i>Individual child outcomes</i>: Reductions in observed conduct problems at school: ES=-0.42 (95% CI: -0.12, -0.71) Increased teacher-reported behavior difficulties: ES=-0.47 (95% CI: -0.18, -0.76) Increased parent reported behavior difficulties: ES=-0.22 (95% CI: -0.03, -0.42) Increased child attendance: ES=0.30 (95% CI: 0.05, 0.55) 	
Pilot study in fourteen primary schools. ¹⁴ Children aged 6-7 years	14 primary schools randomly assigned to intervention (n=7) or control (n=7). Teachers of grade 1 children participated in the study (27 intervention, 28 control). Four children from each class were randomly selected to participate in the evaluation of individual outcomes.	 Teachers in schools allocated to the intervention were trained in selected content from the first three modules of the Irie Classroom Toolbox through: 12 hours of teacher-training workshops Eight 1-hour individual sessions of in-class support. 	 Teacher Outcomes Reductions in teachers' use of violence against children through observation: ES=-0.73 (95% CI: -0.15, -1.31) No benefits to teachers' professional well-being: ES=-0.11 (95% CI: -0.63, 0.43) Classroom Outcomes Quality of the classroom environment through observation (using the CLASS K-3) Increased emotional support: ES=1.22 (95% CI: 0.57, 1.87) Child Outcomes Observed class-wide child behavior: No benefits to class-wide aggression: ES=-0.20 (95% CI: -0.73, 0.33) or prosocial behavior: ES=0.18 (95% CI: -0.36, 0.72) Individual child outcomes Marginal benefits to a composite of child self-regulation, language and maths reasoning skills: ES=0.25 (95% CI: -0.02, 0.52), p=0.07 No significant benefit to child behavior difficulties or prosocial skills on the SDQ or to a composite of child academic achievement in reading, spelling and phonics. 	

Table 1. Trials of Early Childhood Violence Prevention Interventions in Jamaica

Effectiveness trial in seventy- six preschools. ¹⁵ Children aged 3-6 years	76 preschools randomly assigned to intervention (n=38 preschools, 119 classrooms) or control (n=38 preschools, 110 classrooms).	 Teachers in schools allocated to the intervention were trained in the Irie Classroom Toolbox through: Five full-day teacher training workshops (30 hours) Eight 1-hour individual 	 <u>Teacher Outcomes</u> Intervention teachers used less violence against children (measured through observation) than control teachers: -67.12% (95% CI: -53.52, -80.71). Benefits to teachers' professional well-being not significant: ES=0.18 (95% CI: -0.03, 0.39) <u>Classroom Outcomes</u> Quality of the classroom environment through observation (using the CLASS Pre-K)
	Up to twelve children aged 4 years were randomly selected to participate in the evaluation of individual child outcomes (441 intervention, 424 control)	sessions of in-class support	 Increased emotional support: ES=0.65 (95% CI: 0.43, 0.88) Increased classroom organisation: ES=0.49 (95% CI: 0.24, 0.74) Increased instructional support: ES=0.61 (95% CI: 0.31, 0.97) <u>Child Outcomes</u> Observed class-wide child behavior: No benefits to class-wide child aggression: ES=0.07 (95% CI: -0.16, 0.29) Increased class-wide prosocial behavior: ES=0.42 (95% CI: 0.17, 0.71) Individual abild outcomes
			 Increased child inhibitory control through direct testing: ES=0.18 (95% CI: 0.05, 0.32) Fewer children in intervention schools in the clinical range for behavior difficulties: Odds ratio (OR): 0.46 (0.22, 0.94) No significant benefits to child behavior difficulties or prosocial skills on the SDQ
Parenting Interv	ventions		
Efficacy trial in eighteen preschools. ²⁶	18 preschools randomly assigned to intervention (n=9) or control (n=9)	Parents in intervention schools participated in the Irie Homes Toolbox program.	 <u>Parent Outcomes</u> Reductions in parents' use of violence against their child: ES=-0.29 (95% CI:0.05, 0.52)
Children aged 2-6 years	Minimum of 12 parents per school recruited into the study (115 intervention, 108 control)	Sessions were held once a week for 8 weeks with groups of six parents on the preschool compound.	 Increases in parents' involvement with their child: ES=0.30 (95% CI: 0.03, 0.57) <u>Child Outcomes</u> No main effects to parent- and teacher-reported child behavior difficulties on the SDQ Significant reductions in parent-reported child behavior difficulties for children above the 50th percentile on initial behavior difficulties: ES=-0.36 (95% CI: -0.03, -0.68)
Efficacy trial of virtual Irie Homes Toolbox. ²⁷ Children aged 2-6 years	1,113parents (recruited via SMS) randomly assigned to intervention (n=557) or control (n=556)	Parents allocated to the intervention group were invited to participate in the Virtual Irie Homes Toolbox delivered over a 10 week period consisting of: • Access to a data free App • 3 SMS messages/week • Weekly 1-hour virtual parenting sessions with groups of 8-9 parents	 <u>Parent Outcomes</u> Reductions in parents' use of violence against their child: ES=-0.12 (95% CI: -0.01, -0.24) at post-test; ES=-0.13 (95% CI: -0.01, -0.25) at nine-month follow up Reductions in parents' attitudes towards violence against children: ES=-0.20 (95% CI: -0.10, -0.30) at post-test; ES=-0.14 (95% CI: -0.02, -0.26) at nine month follow-up <u>Child Outcomes</u> Reductions to child emotional problems on the SDQ: ES=-0.17 (95% CI: -0.07, -0.27) No benefits to child conduct problems on the SDQ

ES: effect size; CI: confidence interval; CLASS: Classroom Assessment Scoring System; SDQ: Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire;

Study	Sample	Longer-term outcomes
Follow up of	24 preschools randomly assigned to	Follow-up 6 months after the end of intervention in the 24 preschools
efficacy trial in	intervention (n=12 preschools, 37	Observed teacher practices
twenty-four	classrooms) or control (12 preschools,	• Increased teacher positive behaviors: Effect size (ES)=2.70 (95% CI: 2.00, 3.41)
preschools. ^{23,24}	36 classrooms).	• Decreased teacher negative behaviors: ES=-0.98 (95% CI: -0.52, -1.44)
_		• Increased teacher warmth: ES=0.91 (95% CI: 0.46, 1.43)
Children aged	Follow-up of teachers at 6 months	Observed class-wide Child Behavior:
3-6 years on	Teachers were followed-up six months	• Increased class-wide appropriate behavior: ES=0.50 (95% CI: 0.03, 0.97)
enrolment	after the end of the intervention.	• Increased class-wide child interest and enthusiasm: ES=0.78 (95% CI: 0.03, 1.53)
	Follow-up of individual children in	Individual Child Outcomes (measured in grade one of primary school):
	grade one of primary school	Child behavior
	Five children from each class with the	• No significant benefits to observed conduct problems at school: ES=-0.13 (95% CI: -0.32, 0.05)
	in preschool were followed up in grade	• Marginal benefits to teacher-reported conduct problems: (ES=-0.16 (95% CI: -0.35, 0.02)) and social skills; ES=0.19 (95% CI: -0.01, 0.38)
	one of primary school (181 intervention, 183 control).	• No benefits to parent-reported conduct problems (ES= $0.10 (95\% \text{ CI: } -0.08, 0.30)$) and social skills: ES= $-0.07 (95\% \text{ CI: } -0.27, 0.14)$
		Child school achievement, self-regulation and school attendance:
		• Increased academic achievement: ES=0.23 (95% CI: 0.04, 0.42)
		• Increased oral language skills: ES=0.28 (0.08, 0.48)
		• Increased self-regulation: ES=0.25 (0.07, 0.43)
		• Increased child attendance: ES=0.30 (95% CI: 0.10, 0.49)
Follow up of	76 preschools randomly assigned to	<u>Teacher Outcomes</u>
effectiveness	intervention (n=38 preschools, 119	• Intervention teachers used less violence against children (measured through observation) than control
trial in 76	classrooms) or control (n=38 preschools,	teachers: -53.86% (95% CI: -/1.08, -36.65).
preschools. ¹⁵	110 classrooms).	• Improved teachers' professional well-being: ES=0.26 (95% CI: 0.03, 0.48)
	Teachers were followed up 1 year after	• Increased teacher retention in intervention schools: 88% intervention versus 77% control teachers, p=0.03
	the end of the intervention	<u>Classroom Outcomes</u>
	the end of the mervention	Quality of the classroom environment on the CLASS Pre-K
		• Increased emotional support: $ES=0.50 (95\% CI: 0.20, 0.79)$
		• Increased classroom organisation: ES=0.42 (95% CI: 0.14, 0.69)
		• No significant benefits for instructional support: ES=0.29 (95% CI: -0.06, 0.57)
		<u>Child Outcomes</u>
		Observed class-wide child behavior:
		• No benefits to class-wide child aggression: ES=-0.14 (95% CI: -0.42, 0.16) or prosocial behavior:
		ES=0.22 (95% C1: -0.08, 0.53)

Table 2. Follow-up Studies of Early Childhood, Teacher-Training, Violence Prevention Programs

ES: effect size; CI: confidence interval; CLASS: Classroom Assessment Scoring System; SDQ: Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire;

Activity	Training of Government /Educational Staff by Research Team	Government Staff Delivered Intervention	
Irie Classroom Toolbox			
National dissemination	• We trained technical staff from the Ministry of Education, Jamaica to deliver a one-day training for primary school teachers	• These technical staff trained all government teachers of grades 1, 2 and 3 as part of a national training initiative	
primary school	 Technical staff were trained through two full-day workshops 	• Over a two year period, approximately 5,000 teachers participated in	
teachers in grades one-	- reennear starr were trained through two run day workshops	the one-day workshop.	
to-three		• Teachers were trained in groups of 20-30 participants	
Training ECC middle	• We trained 16 middle managers of the ECC (the senior inspector and	• Middle managers worked in pairs within their region to deliver the	
managers in the Irie	senior development officer in each of the eight educational regions) to	Irie Classroom Toolbox training to a group of 20-25 preschool	
Classroom Toolbox	deliver the Irie Classroom Toolbox training.	teachers over one school year.	
	• Middle Managers attended 4 days residential training followed by	• Over 160 preschool teacher participated in this training.	
	monthly 1-day trainings for 6 months.	• Monthly supervision was provided by the research team.	
ECC middle managers	• After the middle managers had delivered the Irie Classroom Toolbox	• Middle Managers worked in pairs to train the ECC field officers to	
train ECC field officers	training to one group of teachers (see above), we trained them to train the ECC field efficient (development officient and increasing) within	deliver the Irie Classroom Toolbox to teachers within their district.	
Toolbox	their region to deliver the training with preschool teachers	• All ECC field officers (n=100) participated in three full-day training	
1001007	 Middle Managers attended three days of train-the-trainer workshops 	• Supervision was provided by the research team	
	• Windure Wanagers attended three days of train-the-trainer workshops.	Due to Covid-19 the teacher-training was postponed	
Training ECC field	• We trained 42 ECC field officers (4-6 officers per educational region)	• ECC field officers worked in pairs to deliver the training to two	
officers in selected	to conduct virtual training sessions from the Irie Classroom Toolbox.	groups of 20 preschool teachers within their educational region.	
content from the	• Officers were trained in groups of 14 participants for a total of 24	• Preschool teachers attended 2-hour virtual training sessions once a	
Virtual Irie Classroom	hours training.	month for four months.	
Toolbox	• Officers were trained to conduct four individual sessions. Prior to	• A total of 840 preschool teachers participated in the training.	
	each conducting each session, officers attended two three-hour virtual	• The Middle Managers supervised the teacher-training workshops	
Into Homos Toolhon	training sessions (1 demonstration session and 1 practice session).		
Training preschool	• We trained two too shore from nine preschools (19 tooshore) to deliver	• Dressbool too have delivered the Iric Homes Teelboy to 6.9 percents	
teachers to implement	• We trained two teachers from the preschools (18 teachers) to deriver the Irie Homes Toolbox to parents of children in their school	• Preschool leachers derivered the file Hollies Toolbox to 6-8 parents in their school (approximately 60 parents each school term)	
the Irie Homes	Teachers were trained through two full-day workshops	• The research team provided weekly or fortnightly supervision for	
Toolbox	- Touchers were dunied dirough two run duy workshops.	the first round of implementation.	
Training ECC field	• We trained 6 Community Relations Officers and 24 Field Officers	• Community Relations Officers worked with 4 groups of parents and	
officers to deliver the	from the ECC to implement the Virtual Irie Homes Toolbox.	Field Officers worked with 2 groups of parents.	
Virtual Irie Homes	• Officers were trained virtually with 15 officers per group	• Parents attended 1-hour virtual sessions weekly for 10 weeks in	
Toolbox	 Training consisted of three 4-hour virtual workshops prior to 	groups of 8-10 parents.	
	intervention implementation followed by weekly 2-3 hour trainings	• A total of 557 parents were recruited to participate in the training.	
	for nine weeks during intervention implementation.		

Table 3. Training Government Staff to Implement Early Childhood, Violence Prevention Programs

	REQUIREMENTS FOR AN EFFECTIVE, SCALABLE INTERVENTION	STRATEGIES USED TO DESIGN THE IRIE TOOLBOX PROGRAM
Effective innovation	 Evidence based content and process of delivery Acceptable, feasible, and relevant for participants, facilitators and supervisors 	 Incorporate common core components of evidence-based, violence-prevention programs Use evidence-based behavior change techniques in intervention delivery Develop a theory of change for the interventions Collaborate with end users and key stakeholders in developing the intervention from the outset Operationalise the content and process of delivery for the Jamaican context based on extensive formative research including: interviews with end users and key stakeholders to identify perceived needs and potential enablers and barriers to program uptake observations of caregiver-child interaction and the caregiving environment iterative piloting of the intervention in a diverse sample of preschools
	• Structured, manualised intervention	 Develop detailed facilitator manuals and associated training resources Develop resources for participant parents/teachers to support implementation (e.g. content summaries, homework assignments, materials to use with children)
Effective implementation	• In-built flexibility: program can be delivered differently according to preferences and needs	 Develop a modular intervention that can be delivered flexibly depending on staff and participant availability and participants' needs Develop resources that can be used in face-to-face and virtual training to permit blended delivery
	• High quality training & ongoing support of frontline facilitators	 Develop clear training and supervision protocols (e.g. documenting content, methods, frequency, duration, group size) Provide sufficient training and support for staff responsible for training and supervision, with ongoing quality monitoring Deravide concerturities for training new staff
	 Availability of technical tools to support implementation In-built monitoring and evaluation system improvements 	 Provide opportunities for training new staff Develop training and supervisory manuals and quality monitoring tools and train senior staff in their use Collect timely monitoring and evaluation data that is easy to use Build in iterative feedback loops to ensure lessons learnt inform program revisions
Enabling Context	 Alignment with mission and goals of the implementing organisation Availability of frontline workers and supervisory staff: sufficient staff to implement the program and fit with existing duties 	 Form a collaborative group with the Early Childhood Commission to explicitly map how the Irie Toolbox aligns with their Strategic Plan, the Early Childhood Curriculum, the Operational Standards for Early Childhood Institutions and the Inspection Documents. Develop the intervention so that it can be feasibly delivered using the existing organisational structure and existing staff of the ECC and Ministry of Education.

Table 4. Designing Scalable Interventions Using the Active Implementation Framework³²

Table 5. Dynamic Adaptation During Initial Implementation

Rationale for Adaptation	Source of the Evidence	Adaptations to Content
• It was challenging for parents and teachers to problem-solve what strategies were most appropriate to deal with different situations and different child behaviors.	Facilitator reflections on training /in-class support sessions.Participant feedback during	• We designed additional content to ensure participants developed a better understanding of young children's behavior and the reasons for child 'misbehavior'.
• Understanding situations from the child's perspective was also difficult.	training/in-class support sessions.	• We placed greater emphasis on children's emotions and thoughts when discussing child behaviors.
• Self-identifying as an Irie Parent or an Irie Teacher - was a powerful motivator for parents and teachers to adopt the strategies introduced through the programs.	 Facilitator reflections on training /in-class support sessions. Qualitative interviews with participants and facilitators 	 We included explicit references to being an Irie Parent / Irie Teacher throughout the program (e.g. in discussions, problem- solving activities, practice activities, homework assignments). Parents/teachers sign an 'I am an Irie Parent/Teacher' oath on completion of the program.
 Some parents and teachers viewed corporal punishment as severe physical discipline only. Terms such as 'touch', 'brush off' are used to describe corporal punishment that involves slapping. Similarly, psychological aggression (e.g. threatening to hit a child, yelling) is not perceived as violence. 	 Facilitator reflections on training /in-class support sessions. Participant feedback during training/in-class support sessions. Qualitative interviews with participants and facilitators 	 We have included clear definitions of violence against children using common terminology used in Jamaica. We explicitly link the use of positive discipline, and refraining to use corporal punishment and psychological aggression, as the behavior of an Irie Parent/Teacher.
 Although the frequency of teachers' use of violence against children reduced by 67% in our effectiveness trial, 72% of teachers continued to use corporal punishment and 55% continued to use psychological aggression. Teachers reported resorting to violence when they were frustrated by children's behavior. 	- Quantitative and qualitative evaluation of intervention trials	 We have designed additional content to increase teachers' executive function skills and self-regulatory capacities. This includes strengthening the content around individual goal-setting, and training teachers in 'calming down' techniques (e.g. stop, think and problem-solve).
• Principal support was an important factor in setting school- wide expectations, promoting teachers' use of the strategies and promoting the programs with parents.	 Facilitator reflections on training /in-class support sessions. Qualitative interviews with participants and facilitators 	• We developed four 90-minute principal-training modules focussing on how to: 1) support teachers, 2) engage parents in the programs, and 3) create an 'Irie School'.
• Teachers needed additional guidelines and support for integrating the strategies into everyday teaching and learning activities	 Facilitator reflections on training /in-class support sessions. Participant feedback during training/in-class support sessions. 	• We prepared structured lesson plans, using content from the Jamaican early childhood curriculum, to guide teachers in how to utilise key strategies during everyday lessons.
• Although the ICT led to benefits to the quality of instructional support in early childhood classrooms with an effect size of 0.61SD, scores remained in the low range and the benefits were not sustained at one-year follow-up.	- Quantitative evaluation of the ICT	 We developed an additional module for the ICT to promote teachers' use of appropriate instructional support strategies. This included: 1) how to promote children's critical thinking skills, 2) how to give affirmative and corrective feedback, and 3) language facilitation skills. These skills were introduced through interactive reading activities and then generalised to everyday teaching and learning activities.