

Mindfulness-based programmes and 'bigger than self' issues: protocol for a scoping review

Callen-Davies, Rob; Bristow, Jamie; Gazder, Taranah; Griffith, Gemma; Noorani, Yasmin; Crane, Rebecca

BMJ Open

DOI: 10.1136/bmjopen-2022-067819

Published: 15/03/2023

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Cyswllt i'r cyhoeddiad / Link to publication

Dyfyniad o'r fersiwn a gyhoeddwyd / Citation for published version (APA): Callen-Davies, R., Bristow, J., Gazder, T., Griffith, G., Noorani, Y., & Crane, R. (2023). Mindfulness-based programmes and 'bigger than self' issues: protocol for a scoping review. *BMJ* Open, 13(3), Article 067819. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2022-067819

Hawliau Cyffredinol / General rights Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

• Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.

- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
 You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal ?

Take down policy If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

BMJ Open Mindfulness-based programmes and 'bigger than self' issues: protocol for a scoping review

Robert John Callen-Davies ,^{1,2} Jamie Bristow,³ Taranah Gazder,¹ Gemma M Griffith,¹ Yasmin Noorani,⁴ Rebecca Susan Crane ¹

ABSTRACT

To cite: Callen-Davies RJ, Bristow J, Gazder T, *et al.* Mindfulness-based programmes and 'bigger than self' issues: protocol for a scoping review. *BMJ Open* 2023;**13**:e067819. doi:10.1136/ bmjopen-2022-067819

Prepublication history and additional supplemental material for this paper are available online. To view these files, please visit the journal online (http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/ bmjopen-2022-067819).

Received 29 August 2022 Accepted 14 February 2023

Check for updates

© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2023. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

¹Centre for Mindfulness Research and Practice, School of Human and Behavioural Science, Bangor University, Bangor, UK ²Primary Care Mental Health Support Service, Aneurin Bevan University Health Board, Newport, UK ³The Mindfulness Intiative, Sheffield City Centre, Sheffield, UK

⁴Main Library, Bangor University, Bangor, UK

Correspondence to

Mr Robert John Callen-Davies; rbc22ppx@bangor.ac.uk Introduction Mindfulness-based programmes (MBPs) have an established, growing evidence base as interventions to optimise health, well-being and performance of individual participants. Emerging evidence suggests that MBPs also enhance prosociality, encouraging individuals to contribute to positive social change. This study focuses on the potential of MBPs to facilitate development of participants' inner resources that support prosocial shifts. The review seeks to detect shifts in MBP benefit from individual toward 'bigger than self', informing and empowering individual and collective responses to complex societal and global issues. The review aims to map current literature on MBPs and social change, into a descriptive overview with commentary on quality, trends, theoretical models and gaps, and on how training in MBPs potentially enables individual and collective responses to societal and global issues. Recommendations for future directions for researchers seeking to advance this evidence base, and practitioners developing innovative MBPs for this purpose will be provided.

Methods and analysis A scoping review of peerreviewed literature will be undertaken and reported on according to the Joanna Briggs Institute (JBI) Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses extension for Scoping Reviews guidance. Systematic searches of four scientific databases will be undertaken to identify potentially eligible articles published from all time to current date. Data will be extracted using an extraction template and analysed descriptively using narrative synthesis.

Ethics and dissemination This scoping review involves no human participants, so ethics is not required. Findings will be shared through professional networks, conference presentations and journal publication.

BACKGROUND

Mindfulness-based programmes (MBPs) engage participants in systematic training in meditative/contemplative practice oriented towards the cultivation of mindfulness and/ or compassion. They have historically been studied primarily as interventions to optimise individual health and well-being.¹⁻⁶ Research has tended to focus on capturing outcomes related to individual and mainstream concerns such as pain management, stress,

STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS OF THIS STUDY

- ⇒ Rigorous adherence to Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses extension for Scoping Reviews guidance including conducting abstract screening, and full-text screening independently by two authors.
- ⇒ A quality assessment of the peer-reviewed literature will be conducted using a modified version of the Critical Appraisal Skills Programme tool to assess methodological quality of the most recent (2018– 2023) research articles selected.
- ⇒ The analysis will highlight research-related themes including quality, methods, measures and outcomes reported; and practice-related themes such as mindfulness-based programme models, theoretical underpinnings, target populations and delivery contexts.
- ⇒ Study limitations are that only studies written in English will be included; no risk of bias assessment will be undertaken; and assessment of the quality of evidence will be limited to included papers from 2018 to 2023.

anxiety and depression.^{7–10} These endeavours have led to MBPs being successfully implemented in mainstream contexts through skillful tailoring to a range of populations (including staff and leaders in workplaces, children and adults in schools and colleges, and diverse clinical groups), and for a range of contexts (including health, education, workplaces and the justice system).¹¹⁻¹³ Recently, there has been growing interest in the potential of expanding research and practice to the ways MBPs could support the development of inner resources including interpersonal competencies such as emotional intelligence, empathy and compassion,¹⁴⁻¹⁸ and collective, prosocial action responding to complex social challenges such as social inequity, and the climate and nature emergencies.^{19–23} This shift in focus from outcomes relating to the individual and self towards 'bigger than self' issues is what this review seeks to explore and examine.²⁴ Throughout the paper, we

BMJ

use the term 'MBP' as shorthand for programmes that include systematic training in meditative practices used to cultivate attention regulation, mindfulness, empathy, compassion and psychological insight, and that integrate these with teachings about mindful and compassionate approaches to life, and psychoeducational content related to the programme's aims.

While mainstream mindfulness interventions have brought the individual benefit of MBPs to many people, there are many live questions about their societal impact and inequitable gaps in provision. Some critiques focus on how the MBP field has tended to prioritise addressing individualistic goals, such as the alleviation of stress, depression and physical symptoms, and that through this has become embedded within, and inadvertently reinforcing of, the very systems that are part of the problem that need addressing (ie, the individualistically oriented ideology driving current economic and social models that cause harm to human and environmental well-being).^{25 26} In this context, how might MBP training enable a shift away from the predominant Western individualistic orientation, facilitating a shift in perspective from 'me to we'?27 28 This relational perspective encourages an expanded scope of inquiry into influences on and interconnections between personal and collective well-being. An associated critique highlights that the benefits of MBPs delivered within mainstream settings are not evenly available, accessible or culturally appropriate for the breadth of the demographics of our societies. This of course is not unique to the MBP field, but it needs attention if the sector is explicitly aiming to mobilise MBPs to support addressing social inequity and sustainable behaviour change at scale.

Traditional ways of delivering psychological therapies, including many MBPs, have largely focused on the individual by understanding the intrapersonal mechanisms that drive depression or other challenges.²⁹³⁰ They provide therapeutic interventions to enable individuals to function in their immediate social context. These approaches have generally ignored the role that the structural systems individuals are embedded within play, and how they systemically cause advantage and disadvantage.³¹ For example, mindfulness-based cognitive therapy (MBCT) for depression prevention does not acknowledge the social factors that can cause depression to be more prevalent in some communities or settings, and instead emphasises the ways in which individuals can learn to manage their vulnerability better.³² Going forward, it may be that a 'both-and' approach would be of benefit. One that integrates the traditional curriculum elements addressing the intrapersonal drivers for depression recurrence with new elements that develop awareness and skills in the domains of interpersonal mindfulness and 'social mindfulness'. Mindfulness practice enables a deeply personal relational engagement with the immediacy of experience, which can then be expanded into the interpersonal domain, and then to the lived experience of family and community-living in everyday life.³³ Indeed, Bihari

and Mullan propose 'that (the) interpersonal change processes associated with MBCT play an important role in staying well and preventing depressive relapse, with implications for further research, clinical practice and community level interventions (Bihari and Mullan,³³ p.57).' In *Depression as a disease of modernity: explanations for increasing prevalence*, Hidaka concludes that, 'humans have dragged a body with a long hominid history into an overfed, malnourished, sedentary, sunlight-deficient, sleep-deprived, competitive, inequitable and socially isolating environment with dire consequences (Hidaka,³⁴ p.211).'

There are voices in the MBP field calling for an expansion of perspective. Through a sociological lens, Lee considered the possibility of organisations overcoming 'their fundamental selfishness' and being organised in ways that enable them 'to better perceive and act in accordance with the fundamental reality of interconnectedness,' and within this shift, 'for individuals to become more mindful, not just in a narrow sense of attending to their moment-to-moment awareness, but also in more macro and sociological ways (Lee,³⁵ p.294).' Sutcliffe et al,³⁶ p.75 state, 'an organisational perspective on mindfulness holds promise for developing an integrated multilevel theory of mindfulness by fully depicting the varied ways in which individual mindfulness, collective mindfulness and organisational context are mutually constitutive.' Sajjad and Shahbaz suggested, 'studies could investigate empirically how mindfulness practice could help in building human capital, supporting an ethical climate in the workplace, improving corporate citizenship behaviour and developing an occupational health and safety culture,' and establishing 'the role of mindfulness in addressing societal sustainability issues,' towards 'a clearer understanding of how mindfulness can contribute to addressing community well-being, human rights, social justice and other pertinent societal issues (Sajjad and Shahbaz,³⁷ p.88).' Thus, it may be that the time is right to reclaim a bigger vision for established MBPs, as well as to develop and research tailored MBPs that explicitly aim to cultivate holistic awareness and responsivity to the breadth of conditions influencing personal and collective well-being.38

The potential for mindfulness to inform paradigm shifts at both individual and collective levels has been articulated by Meili and Kabat-Zinn throughout his four decades of engagement in this field.¹ His early focus was on the potential for mindfulness to catalyse new perspectives on health and healing at both individual participant and systems levels in the context of medicine and healthcare. Indeed, the Mindfulness-Based Stress Reduction programme, which Kabat-Zinn created, has significantly contributed to the development of the fields of integrative and participatory healthcare which are now recognised as mainstream. He included a whole section in his first book 'Full Catastrophe Living (first published in 1990) entitled 'The Paradigm: A new way of thinking about health and illness.' 'We try to stimulate people to learn more about their own bodies and about the role of the mind in health and illness as a fundamental part of their adventure in growth and healing,' and doing this through 'touching on the ways in which new scientific research and thinking are transforming the practice of medicine itself, and by exploring the direct relevance of these new developments to our lives.'^{1 p.150} Kabat-Zinn expanded his scope in other writings and talks to include how mindfulness can inform new perspectives and 'healing' on the levels of democracy and politics, on social justice and on the ecological crisis.^{39 40}

Despite this early vision for the wider potential of MBPs, research has predominantly focused on capturing individual outcomes of participation. It may be that there are wider social gains from MBP practice and participation that have not been successfully captured by research to date. The complexity of measuring wider impacts may well have been a factor steering the research agenda towards the focus on the individual. Our preliminary search strategy for this review has revealed that this trend is changing, with as few as six publications in 2011 examining wider impacts, and over 85 in 2021. Abstracts show a mix of traditional and bespoke MBP models, with studies on how interpersonal mindfulness skills can positively contribute to marital conflict, long-term care giving, racism and intergroup biases, and studies examining wider links to prosocial behaviours such as responsivity to the climate crisis. Measures of wider impact on 'bigger than self' issues and prosocial change are thus being included more in current research, and MBPs that target these issues as a primary outcome are being developed, implemented and researched. This trend is gaining traction at a policy level. The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change report,⁴¹ Climate Change 2022: Mitigation of Climate Change, explicitly mentioned meditation for the first time in relation to values and beliefs and suggested that a key element of human response will be 'inner' transitions.⁴¹ Bristow *et al*,⁴² p.7 stated in their 2022 document, 'Reconnection: Meeting the Climate Crisis Inside Out', informed by in-depth interviews with 25 national and transnational politicians and policymakers: 'if we go on treating climate change purely as an external, technical challenge, solutions will continue to elude us,' and they called for the integration of, 'external approaches with inner work.' There is also a trend of emerging literature suggesting that MBPs benefiting the individual can also bring benefit to others.^{19 43}

Society is facing multiple intersecting crises, with the Millennium Project noting that sustainable development and climate change are among the 15 global challenges in their 2022 document.⁴⁴ With change, and responses to change, needed across so many human domains, and with helpful emergent technologies becoming available yet not facilitating the urgent shift in human behaviour needed, there is increasing attention being given around how to support change through the development of inner qualities and capacities.^{42 45 46} MBPs are one option being considered as an enabler to this prosocial shift from 'me'

to 'we'.^{27 28 37 47} While there is an upsurge of research and practice activity in the area of mindfulness and compassion and their contribution to social disruption, threat and change, there is a lack of clear understanding about these developments as a body of literature. We aim to conduct a scoping review and synthesis of peer-reviewed literature focused on or mentioning MBPs in a social change context. Reviewing how MBPs are currently used in the context of social change within existing literature will facilitate innovation by identifying the quality and characteristics of both established and emergent models and trends, as well as identifying gaps and potential future directions for research and practice.

Our approach is grounded in the understanding that societal 'ruptures' are occurring, and that we are amidst a climate and biodiversity crisis.⁴⁸ We seek to explore the potential of MBPs in contributing to a certain form of social change that includes the challenges of social inequality, unsustainability, polarisation, prejudice and discrimination, and addresses these through the development of agency and volition, promoting compassionate, empathetic, altruistic and prosocial actions, linked to values, meaning and collective purpose.

METHODS/DESIGN

Our aim is to provide a descriptive overview with a commentary on quality, trends, theoretical models, gaps and on how MBPs build prosocial interpersonal competencies and enable individual and collective responses to societal and environmental challenges. Through early team discussions on review approaches available, a scoping review was chosen as the best means of mapping this field, because it has a recognised methodology and rigour, but also broader inclusion parameters enabling gathering of a body of diverse literature.⁴⁹⁵⁰ In designing the protocol for this scoping review, we drew on Arksey and O'Malley's five stage approach.⁵¹ This article outlines the protocol using the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses extension for Scoping Reviews (PRISMA-ScR) guidance, ensuring rigour and facilitating replication.⁵² The review is scheduled to be conducted between March 2023 and May 2023.

Stage 1: identification of the research questions

We followed Arksey and O'Malley's suggestion of an iterative approach to developing research questions, which enabled them to evolve as we built familiarity with the literature. Through this process, we identified eight research questions to guide the scoping review (see **box** 1).

Stage 2: identifying relevant literature Eligibility criteria

Eligibility criteria were established via an iterative approach to preliminary searches followed by team discussion. We sought to strike a balance between ensuring we captured the breadth of practice in our area of interest, and that we had boundaries in place to enable a meaningful focus.

Box 1 Research questions

- \Rightarrow What are the links between mindfulness-based programmes (MBPs) and social change?
- \Rightarrow What research and practice are happening related to MBPs and social change?
- ⇒ What are the outcomes and how do they compare/contrast across research studies?
- ⇒ What are the mechanisms that potentially enable MBPs to influence social change?
- \Rightarrow What are the research study characteristics (eg, quality, design, tools for measuring outcomes)?
- \Rightarrow What are the population/participant characteristics in relation to equity?
- ⇒ What are the practice characteristics (eg, programme type, theoretical model underpinning programme delivery, participant population, delivery context, types of social change being addressed)?
- \Rightarrow What are the implications for future research and practice developments?

Inclusion of grey literature was discussed from the outset and consideration was given to including this body of work. However, preliminary search work in this area led to the decision to exclude the grey literature because it is a large and diverse body of work that has significant variations in quality. Likewise, we initially sought to include all the peer-reviewed literature related to mindfulness and social change. However, this resulted in an unwieldy volume of literature, so we made the decision to narrow down to only mindfulness research on curriculums that we could define as MBPs. This resulted in us excluding research on mindfulness inductions (ie, research on the impacts of engaging in a single mindfulness.

In order to create clear definitions of what constitutes an MBP, we drew on work by Crane *et al*,¹¹ 'What defines MBPs? The warp and the weft,' which outlines criteria delineating what an MBP is and is not¹¹ We are thus including in our scoping review research on programmes that:

- 1. Are informed by theories and practices that draw from a confluence of contemplative traditions, science and the major disciplines of medicine, psychology and education.
- 2. Are underpinned by a model of human experience which addresses the causes of human distress and the pathways to relieving it.
- 3. Develop a new relationship with experience characterised by present moment focus, decentring and an approach orientation.
- 4. Support the development of greater attentional, emotional and behavioural self-regulation, as well as positive qualities such as compassion, wisdom, equanimity.
- 5. Engage the participant in a sustained intensive training in mindfulness meditation practice, in an experiential inquiry-based learning process and in exercises to develop insight and understanding.

Further definition of the criterion in point five, to discriminate which papers to include and exclude are outlined in online supplemental table 1. We apply the broad definition of 'mindfulness' that the warp and weft paper points to, which includes meditative practice oriented towards mindfulness and/or compassion. In practice, there is significant overlap between mindfulness and compassion-based practices in MBP delivery, with many programmes including both, while some lean more towards one or the other. Our data extraction template also captures the primary emphasis of the programme so that we can discriminate between programmes that prioritise the cultivation of compassion and those that prioritise the cultivation of mindfulness in the analysis.

We included the PRISM-ScR⁵³ focused on health equity, because our review examines how MBPs might contribute to social change, including equity and anti-oppression issues, and we are, therefore, interested in the degree to which current delivery and research takes these issues into account.⁵³ Not all the items on the checklist apply to our data because our focus goes beyond health interventions, but we will include those that apply. We used the PROGRESS-Plus primary population framework to inform our data extraction categories.⁵⁴

Databases

Literature searches will take place in PubMed Central, CINAHL Plus with Full Text, Web of Science (WOS) and ProQuest Core Databases, with database-specific Boolean operators applied where possible and will aim to capture all the data within the search criteria.

Search strategy and terms

Using an iterative and gradually refined approach, a search strategy was developed, through three rounds of preliminary, unconstrained searches, in three databases, WOS, Science Direct and Google Scholar, with database-specific Boolean operators applied. Further research was undertaken, with a broad field of terms including mind-fulness, awareness, meditation, compassion, well-being, emotional capacity, agency, behaviour, prosocial, sustainability, social change. Subsequent meetings with the research team led to a refinement of databases and search terms, and a narrowing to English language papers. Using our paper's specific search criteria to capture research that specifically asks questions about 'bigger than self' issues, we generated a return of 5198 papers within WOS.

Searching specifically for 'social change' within these 5198 papers, we produced just eight papers with these words found together in the title and/or abstract. A further search for peer-reviewed papers was performed in four databases, PubMed Central, CINAHL Plus with Full Text, WOS and ProQuest Core Databases, with databasespecific Boolean operators applied where possible. Search terms included: mindfulness, meditation, contemplative, compassion and prosocial, sustainability, altruistic, equity, justice, prejudice, discrimination, poverty, depravation, equality, polarisation, agency, volition,

Box 2 Search string for Web Of Science

Title "mindfulness*" OR "meditat*" OR "contemplat*" OR "mindfulness* AND compassion*" OR "meditat* AND compassion*" OR "contemplat* AND compassion*"

AND Author Keywords "responding*" OR "response*" OR "action*" OR "relationship*" OR "connectedness*" OR "interpersonal*" OR "anger*" OR "out-group*" OR "thankfulness*" OR "forgiveness*" OR "lov*" OR "sympath*" OR "antisocial*" OR "aggression*" OR "retaliation*" OR "gratitude" OR "kind*" OR "caring*" OR "awe" OR "social" OR "compassion*" OR "efficac*" OR "together*" OR "collective*" OR "help*" OR "prosocial*" OR "sustainab*" OR "altruis*" OR "equit*" OR "justice" OR "prejudice" OR "discrimin*" OR "poverty*" OR "deprivation" OR "equality" OR "polari*" OR "agency" OR "volition" OR "social change" OR "personal bias" OR "intergroup bias" OR "empath*"

AND Topic "theor*" OR "protocol*" OR "project*" OR "procedure*" OR "course*" OR "group*" OR "class*"OR "model*" OR "program*" OR "interven*" OR "practi*" OR "mechanism*" OR "training*"

NOT Topic "trait*" OR "obsessive*" OR "treatment*" OR "disorder" OR "disposit*" OR "brief" OR "induced" OR "induction" OR "anxiety" OR "depression" OR "schizoph*" OR "trauma" OR "clinical" OR "disorders" OR "religious*" OR "non-secular*" OR "disturbance*" OR "symptoms*" OR "psychosis" OR "mental illness" OR "mental health" OR "treatment*" OR "compassionate community" OR "contemplate" OR "compassion satisfaction" OR "compassion fatigue" OR "compassionate basis" OR "compassionate use" OR "mindful" OR "individual wellbeingwell-being*" OR "self-compassion" OR "prayer" OR "personal development" OR "self-help"

Refined by—English (Languages) and Article or Review Article or Early Access or Proceeding Paper or Editorial Material (Document Types) and: Not—Book Chapters or Retracted Publication (Exclude—Document Types) and:

Without date restrictions

sustainable, 'social change', 'personal bias', 'intergroup bias', prejudice, empathy, gratitude, kindness, caring, awe. Through further discussion, and refinement, which included additional search terms located within metaanalysis papers^{19 21 55 56} from our broad area of interest, we arrived at our search terms and strategy for this review.

At this stage, the research team were satisfied that the search strategy was appropriate for peer-reviewed papers. The search terms included two distinct concepts:

- "mindfulness*" OR "meditat*" OR "contemplat*" OR "mindfulness* AND compassion*" OR "meditat* AND compassion*" OR "contemplat* AND compassion*"
- "social change" (and types or associations with).

Search terms were adapted for each database, for example, see box 2 for the search string for WOS. The search terms for each database are available from the first author.

Stage 3: study selection

We have designed a two-step study selection process. First, a single reviewer will review titles, determining eligibility based on inclusion and exclusion criteria. For instance, outcomes that relate solely to personal well-being, development or health issues will be removed. Any study with no clarity about whether inclusion criteria are being met will be passed through to the next step. In step 2, titles and abstracts will be independently reviewed by two members of the team using our eligibility criteria. Differences or discrepancies in inclusion or exclusion decisions will be resolved through discussion with a third member of the team. If consensus is not gained, the articles will be included in our review.

Methodologies for scoping reviews do not always include quality assessment. However, to improve rigour, transparency and credibility, we aim to include a systematic quality assessment approach to selected literature.⁴⁹ A modified version of the Critical Appraisal Skills Program⁵⁷ tool will enable assessment of methodological quality of the peer-reviewed empirical literature, (table 1) and will be conducted on all papers published from 2018 onward. Our reason for this restriction is the recognition that while wanting to add quality assessment, there is a need to balance this against team capacity.

To enable visual representation of the data, we will use a traffic light system to indicate whether the criteria have been met (ie, high quality, criteria fully met (green); medium quality, criteria partially met (orange); low quality, criteria not met (red); not applicable (black)). A mean composite score of the quality of each piece of literature will also be created by creating a mean score from all applicable items (scores: high quality (2), medium quality (1) and low quality (0)). Papers that score low in quality will not be excluded from the scoping review, as they are still likely to include information that informs our research. The quality assessment of included papers from 2018 to 2023 will involve two reviewers, working independently, resolving discrepancies through discussion.⁴⁹

Stage 4: charting the data

We will extract key information from selected articles that address our research questions. We developed a priori categories to guide the extraction and charting of data from the papers (see online supplemental table 2).⁵⁴ Categories in the intervention detail are informed by good practice guidelines.⁵⁸

As part of our framework, we will extract as qualitative data the sentence that encapsulates the concept 'mindfulness' or 'meditation' or 'contemplative' or 'mindfulness and compassion' or 'meditation and compassion' or 'contemplation and compassion' and 'social change' (and types or associations with) and if the concept is mentioned more than once, the sentence that best defines this in the context of our research questions. Additional categories may emerge through team consultation while we are in process with data collection. The data extraction framework will be piloted by two reviewers on a sample of studies, and if necessary, adapted to ensure its effectiveness and alignment to our research questions. One reviewer will perform the analysis, and a second will independently check a randomly selected sample to assess accuracy. We aim to extract all peer-reviewed literature meeting our criteria, to get an accurate picture of the current status of this area.

Question no	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	Composite mean score*
Paper											
Paper 1											
Paper 2											
Paper 3, etc											
Section A—Are the 1. Is there a clear st 2. Is the methodolo 3. Is the design app 4. Is the recruitmen 5. Is the data collec 6. Has the relations Section B—What ar 7. Have ethical issu 8. Was the data and 9. Is there a clear st Section C—Will the 10. Is the research *Derived by creating	atement of gy appropropriate t strategy ted in a w hip betwee the results been t lysis suffi atement of results ho of value?	of aims? oriate? o address appropr vay that a been resea ults? aken into iciently ri of finding elp?	iate to th addresse archer and c conside igorous? gs?	e aims? d the issu d particip ration?		n adequa	ately cons	sidered?			

Stage 5: collating, summarising and reporting the results

Our aim for this scoping review is to present an overview of the literature on MBPs and social change. In this stage, data will be analysed and summarised descriptively. Study and practice characteristics will be presented in table and/or graph form and summarised using a narrative synthesis approach.

Research trends and gaps will be identified through comparative analysis. Data capturing the authors' reference to MBPs, underpinning models and social change will be thematically analysed to identify themes and common usage for further research study.

We plan to use the JBI PRISMA-ScR⁵² guidance to accurately report the review search results and analysis summary.

In the context of the acceleration of intersecting global social and environmental crises, the development of inner qualities such as attention regulation, compassion and wise discernment have the potential to be vital ingredients in a necessary collective response. It is important that practice in this area is evidence informed. This scoping review aims to map and critically analyse the emerging body of practice, research and scholarship in the field of MBPs and their potential contribution to social change. There is widespread interest in this theme in the MBP research, teaching and training community but currently little to guide innovation, practice and research. Our results will provide unique insights that could offer pointers to researchers on research priorities, and on methodologies that meaningfully capture wider outcomes; and guidance to practitioners on evidenceinformed innovation in this area.

ETHICS AND DISSEMINATION

The scoping review involves no human participants nor any unpublished data so approval from a human research ethics committee is not required. Data deposition and curation via Bangor University computer system. Findings will be shared through professional networks, conference presentations and journal publication.

Patient and public involvement

This review involves no patients or members of the public as it will draw on existing research studies. There will be public involvement at the consultation stage of the review process.

Acknowledgements Knowledge Economy Skills Scholarships (KESS 2) is a pan-Wales higher level skills initiative led by Bangor University on behalf of the HE sectors in Wales. It is part funded by the Welsh Government's European Social Fund (ESF) convergence programme for West Wales and the Valleys: the grant number is 82196.

Contributors All authors were involved in drafting, developing and editing the protocol for the scoping review. RJC-D drafted the initial protocol manuscript. JB, GMG and RSC guided the protocol development and made conceptual and editing contributions. TG contributed specifically to the data extraction and quality review elements of the protocol. YN contributed specifically to database suggestions and refining search criteria. All authors contributed to drafts of the manuscript and will be involved when the scoping review commences.

Funding This research work was supported by KESS 2 East, Knowledge Economy Skills Scholarships (KESS 2) is a pan-Wales higher level skills initiative led by Bangor University on behalf of the Higher Education sector in Wales. It is part funded by the Welsh Government's European Social Fund (ESF) convergence programme for West Wales and the Valleys: the grant number is 82196.

Competing interests None declared.

Patient and public involvement Patients and/or the public were not involved in the design, or conduct, or reporting, or dissemination plans of this research.

Patient consent for publication Not applicable.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Supplemental material This content has been supplied by the author(s). It has not been vetted by BMJ Publishing Group Limited (BMJ) and may not have been

9

Open access

peer-reviewed. Any opinions or recommendations discussed are solely those of the author(s) and are not endorsed by BMJ. BMJ disclaims all liability and responsibility arising from any reliance placed on the content. Where the content includes any translated material, BMJ does not warrant the accuracy and reliability of the translations (including but not limited to local regulations, clinical guidelines, terminology, drug names and drug dosages), and is not responsible for any error and/or omissions arising from translation and adaptation or otherwise.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/.

ORCID iDs

Robert John Callen-Davies http://orcid.org/0000-0002-2467-8807 Rebecca Susan Crane http://orcid.org/0000-0003-3605-0256

REFERENCES

- Meili T, Kabat-Zinn J. The power of the human heart: a story of trauma and recovery and its implications for rehabilitation and healing. *Adv Mind Body Med* 2004;20:6–16.
- 2 Baer RA. Mindfulness training as a clinical intervention: a conceptual and empirical review. *Clinical Psychology: Science and Practice* 2003;10:125–43. 10.1093/clipsy.bpg015 Available: http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1093/clipsy.bpg015
- 3 Zhang D, Lee EKP, Mak ECW, et al. Mindfulness-based interventions: an overall review. Br Med Bull 2021;138:ldab005:41–57.: 10.1093/ bmb/ldab005 Available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/bmb/ldab005
- 4 Gu J, Strauss C, Bond R, et al. How do mindfulness-based cognitive therapy and mindfulness-based stress reduction improve mental health and wellbeing? A systematic review and meta-analysis of mediation studies. *Clin Psychol Rev* 2015;37:S0272-7358(15)00019-7:1–12.:. 10.1016/j.cpr.2015.01.006 Available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cpr.2015.01.006
- 5 Lee J, Kim KH, Webster CS, et al. The evolution of mindfulness from 1916 to 2019. *Mindfulness* 2021;12:1849–59. 10.1007/s12671-021-01603-x Available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s12671-021-01603-x
- 6 Conversano C, Orrù G, Pozza A, et al. Is mindfulness-based stress reduction effective for people with hypertension? A systematic review and meta-analysis of 30 years of evidence. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2021;18:2882. 10.3390/ijerph18062882 Available: http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18062882
- 7 Kabat-Zinn J. An outpatient program in behavioral medicine for chronic pain patients based on the practice of mindfulness meditation: theoretical considerations and preliminary results. *Gen Hosp Psychiatry* 1982;4:33–47. 10.1016/0163-8343(82)90026-3 Available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0163-8343(82)90026-3
- 8 Kabat-Zinn J, Lipworth L, Burney R. The clinical use of mindfulness meditation for the self-regulation of chronic pain. *J Behav Med* 1985;8:163–90. 10.1007/BF00845519 Available: http://dx.doi.org/10. 1007/bf00845519
- 9 Khoury B, Sharma M, Rush SE, *et al.* Mindfulness-based stress reduction for healthy individuals: a meta-analysis. *J Psychosom Res* 2015;78:S0022-3999(15)00080-X:519–28.:. 10.1016/j. jpsychores.2015.03.009 Available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j. jpsychores.2015.03.009
- 10 Querstret D, Morison L, Dickinson S, et al. Mindfulness-based stress reduction and mindfulness-based cognitive therapy for psychological health and well-being in nonclinical samples: a systematic review and meta-analysis. International Journal of Stress Management 2020;27:394–411. 10.1037/str0000165 Available: http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1037/str0000165
- 11 Crane RS, Brewer J, Feldman C, et al. What defines mindfulnessbased programs? the warp and the weft. Psychol Med 2017;47:990–9. 10.1017/S0033291716003317 Available: http://dx. doi.org/10.1017/S0033291716003317
- 12 Loucks EB, Crane RS, Sanghvi MA, et al. Mindfulness-based programs: why, when, and how to adapt? Glob Adv Health Med 2022;11:21649561211068805:21649561211068804... 10.1177/21649561211068805 Available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/ 21649561211068805
- 13 Rycroft-Malone J, Gradinger F, Owen Griffiths H, *et al.* "mind the gaps ": the accessibility and implementation of an effective depression relapse prevention programme in UK NHS services: learning from mindfulness-based cognitive therapy through a

mixed-methods study. *BMJ Open* 2019;9:e026244. 10.1136/ bmjopen-2018-026244 Available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2018-026244

- 14 Boellinghaus I, Jones FW, Hutton J. The role of mindfulness and loving-kindness meditation in cultivating self-compassion and other-focused concern in health care professionals. *Mindfulness* 2014;5:129–38. 10.1007/s12671-012-0158-6 Available: http://dx.doi. org/10.1007/s12671-012-0158-6
- 15 Lamothe M, Rondeau É, Malboeuf-Hurtubise C, et al. Outcomes of MBSR or MBSR-based interventions in health care providers: a systematic review with a focus on empathy and emotional competencies. Complement Ther Med 2016;24:S0965-2299(15)30014-5:19–28... 10.1016/j. ctim.2015.11.001 Available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ctim.2015.11. 001
- 16 Jiménez-Picón N, Romero-Martín M, Ponce-Blandón JA, et al. The relationship between mindfulness and emotional intelligence as a protective factor for healthcare professionals: systematic review. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2021;18:5491:10... 10.3390/ijerph18105491 Available: http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ ijerph18105491
- 17 Pratscher SD, Rose AJ, Markovitz L, et al. Interpersonal mindfulness: investigating mindfulness in interpersonal interactions, corumination, and friendship quality. *Mindfulness* 2018;9:1206–15. 10.1007/s12671-017-0859-y Available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/ s12671-017-0859-y
- 18 Baminiwatta A, Solangaarachchi I. Trends and developments in mindfulness research over 55 years: A bibliometric analysis of publications indexed in web of science. *Mindfulness (N Y)* 2021;12:2099–116. 10.1007/s12671-021-01681-x Available: http:// dx.doi.org/10.1007/s12671-021-01681-x
- 19 Luberto ČM, Shinday N, Song R, et al. A systematic review and meta-analysis of the effects of meditation on empathy, compassion, and prosocial behaviors. *Mindfulness* (N Y) 2018;9:708–24. 10.1007/ s12671-017-0841-8 Available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s12671-017-0841-8
- 20 Wamsler C. Mind the gap: the role of mindfulness in adapting to increasing risk and climate change. *Sustain Sci* 2018;13:1121–35. 10.1007/s11625-017-0524-3 Available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/ s11625-017-0524-3
- 21 Donald JN, Sahdra BK, Van Zanden B, et al. Does your mindfulness benefit others? A systematic review and meta-analysis of the link between mindfulness and prosocial behaviour. Br J Psychol 2019;110:101–25. 10.1111/bjop.12338 Available: http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1111/bjop.12338
- 22 Lee EE, Bangen KJ, Avanzino JA, et al. Outcomes of randomized clinical trials of interventions to enhance social, emotional, and spiritual components of wisdom: a systematic review and meta-analysis. JAMA Psychiatry 2020;77:925–35. 10.1001/ jamapsychiatry.2020.0821 Available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/ jamapsychiatry.2020.0821
- 23 Thiermann UB, Sheate WR. The way forward in mindfulness and sustainability: a critical review and research agenda. *J Cogn Enhanc* 2021;5:118–39. 10.1007/s41465-020-00180-6 Available: http://dx. doi.org/10.1007/s41465-020-00180-6
- 24 Quaglia JT, Soisson A, Simmer-Brown J. Compassion for self versus other: a critical review of compassion training research. *The Journal of Positive Psychology* 2021;16:675–90. 10.1080/17439760.2020.1805502 Available: http://dx.doi.org/10. 1080/17439760.2020.1805502
- 25 Harrington A, Dunne JD. When mindfulness is therapy: ethical qualms, historical perspectives. *Am Psychol* 2015;70:621–31. 10.1037/a0039460 Available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/a0039460
- 26 Van Dam NT, van Vugt MK, Vago DR, et al. Mind the hype: a critical evaluation and prescriptive agenda for research on mindfulness and meditation. *Perspect Psychol Sci* 2018;13:36–61. 10.1177/1745691617709589 Available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/ 1745691617709589
- 27 Gilbert P. Broaden-and-build theory meets interpersonal neurobiology as a lens on compassion and positivity resonance. Compassion. Routledge, n.d.: 2017.
- Siegel DJ, Solomon MF. *Mind, consciousness, and well-being*. New York, NY: WW Norton, 2020.
 Parker SC, Nelson BW, Epel ES, *et al.* The science of presence. In:
- 29 Parker SC, Nelson BW, Epel ES, *et al.* The science of presence. In: Brown KW, Creswell JD, Ryan RM, eds. *Handbook of mindfulness: Theory, research, and practice.* n.d.: 2015.
- 30 Eisendrath SJ, ed. *Mindfulness-based cognitive therapy: innovative applications*. Cham, Switzerland: Springer International Publishing, 2018.
- 31 Kuran CHA, Morsut C, Kruke BI, et al. Vulnerability and vulnerable groups from an intersectionality perspective. International Journal of

Open access

Disaster Risk Reduction 2020;50:101826. 10.1016/j.ijdrr.2020.101826 Available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijdrr.2020.101826

- 32 Segal ZV, Williams JMG, Teasdale JD. *Mindfulness-based cognitive therapy for depression: A new approach to preventing relapse.* New York, NY: Guilford Publications, 2001.
- 33 Bihari JLN, Mullan EG. Relating mindfully: a qualitative exploration of changes in relationships through mindfulness-based cognitive therapy. *Mindfulness* 2014;5:46–59. 10.1007/s12671-012-0146-x Available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s12671-012-0146-x
- 34 Hidaka BH. Depression as a disease of modernity: explanations for increasing prevalence. J Affect Disord 2012;140:205–14. 10.1016/j. jad.2011.12.036 Available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2011.12. 036
- 35 Lee MT. North central sociological association presidential address. The mindful Society: contemplative sociology, meta-mindfulness, and human flourishing. Sociological Focus 2015;48:271–99. 10.1080/00380237.2015.1072450 Available: http://dx.doi.org/10. 1080/00380237.2015.1072450
- 36 Sutcliffe KM, Vogus TJ, Dane E. Mindfulness in organizations: a cross-level review. *Annu Rev Organ Psychol Organ Behav* 2016;3:55–81. 10.1146/annurev-orgpsych-041015-062531 Available: www.annualreviews.org/toc/orgpsych/3/1
- 37 Sajjad A, Shahbaz W. Mindfulness and social sustainability: an integrative review. Soc Indic Res 2020;150:73–94. 10.1007/s11205-020-02297-9 Available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11205-020-02297-9
- 38 Cameron CD, Fredrickson BL. Mindfulness facets predict helping behavior and distinct helping-related emotions. *Mindfulness* 2015;6:1211–8. 10.1007/s12671-014-0383-2 Available: http://dx.doi. org/10.1007/s12671-014-0383-2
- 39 Kabat-Zinn J. Talking Vietnam meditation blues—a snapshot from the past, or is it the future? *Mindfulness* 2012;3:251–3. 10.1007/ s12671-012-0118-1 Available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s12671-012-0118-1
- 40 Kabat-Zinn J. Mindfulness for all: the wisdom to transform the world. London, England: Piatkus Books, 2019.
- 41 Climate change 2022: mitigation of climate change ipcc.ch. Available: www.ipcc.ch/report/ar6/wg3/ [Accessed 7 Dec 2022].
- 42 Bristow J, Bell R, Wamsler C. n.d. Reconnection: meeting the climate crisis inside out. *The Mindfulness Initiative* Available: www.themindf ulnessinitiative.org/reconnection
- 43 Kemeny ME, Foltz C, Cavanagh JF, et al. Contemplative/emotion training reduces negative emotional behavior and promotes prosocial responses. *Emotion* 2012;12:338–50. 10.1037/a0026118 Available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/a0026118
- 44 15 global challenges. The Millennium Project 2017. Available: www. millennium-project.org/projects/challenges/
- 45 Bristow J, Bell R, Nixon D. n.d. Mindfulness: developing agency in urgent times. *The Mindfulness Initiative* Available: www.themindfulne ssinitiative.org/agency-in-urgent-times

- 46 Ives CD, Freeth R, Fischer J. Inside-Out sustainability: the neglect of inner worlds. *Ambio* 2020;49:208–17. 10.1007/s13280-019-01187-w Available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s13280-019-01187-w
- 47 Simpson D. From me to we: revolutionising mindfulness in schools. *Contemporary Buddhism* 2017;18:47–71.
 10.1080/14639947.2017.1301032 Available: http://dx.doi.org/10.
 1080/14639947.2017.1301032
- 48 de la Sablonnière R. Toward a psychology of social change: a typology of social change. *Front Psychol* 2017;8:397:397.: 10.3389/ fpsyg.2017.00397 Available: http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2017. 00397
- 49 Pham MT, Rajić A, Greig JD, et al. A scoping review of scoping reviews: advancing the approach and enhancing the consistency. *Res Synth Methods* 2014;5:371–85. 10.1002/jrsm.1123 Available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jrsm.1123
- 50 Peters MDJ, Marnie C, Colquhoun H, et al. Scoping reviews: reinforcing and advancing the methodology and application. Syst *Rev* 2021;10:263. 10.1186/s13643-021-01821-3 Available: http://dx. doi.org/10.1186/s13643-021-01821-3
- 51 Arksey H, O'Malley L. Scoping studies: towards a methodological framework. *International Journal of Social Research Methodology* 2005;8:19–32. 10.1080/1364557032000119616 Available: http://dx. doi:10.1080/1364557032000119616
- 52 Resources. Jbi.global. Available: https://jbi.global/scoping-reviewnetwork/resources [Accessed 7 Dec 2022].
- 53 Welch V, Petticrew M, Tugwell P, et al. PRISMA-equity 2012 extension: reporting guidelines for systematic reviews with a focus on health equity. *PLoS Med* 2012;9:e1001333. 10.1371/journal. pmed.1001333 Available: http://dx.doi:10.1371/journal.pmed. 1001333
- 54 PROGRESS-plus. Cochrane.org. Available: https://methods. cochrane.org/equity/projects/evidence-equity/progress-plus [Accessed 7 Dec 2022].
- 55 Berry DR, Hoerr JP, Cesko S, et al. Does mindfulness training without explicit ethics-based instruction promote prosocial behaviors? A meta-analysis. Pers Soc Psychol Bull 2020;46:1247–69. 10.1177/0146167219900418 Available: http://dx.doi:10.1177/ 0146167219900418
- 56 Kreplin U, Farias M, Brazil IA. The limited prosocial effects of meditation: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Sci Rep* 2018;8:2403. 10.1038/s41598-018-20299-z Available: http://dx.doi: 10.1038/s41598-018-20299-z
- 57 CASP. CASP critical appraisal skills programme. Available: https:// casp-uk.net/casp-tools-checklists/ [Accessed 7 Dec 2022].
- 58 Good practice guidelines BAMBA [Org.uk]. Available: https:// bamba.org.uk/teachers/good-practice-guidelines/ [Accessed 7 Dec 2022].