



## Can arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and rhizobacteria facilitate 33P uptake in maize plants under water stress?

Silva, Antonio M. M.; Jones, Davey L.; Chadwick, Dave R.; Qi, Xue; Cotta, Simone R.; Araujo, Victor L. V. P.; Matteoli, Filipe P.; Lacerda-Junior, Gileno, V; Pereira, Arthur P. A.; Fernandes-Junior, Paulo I.; Cardoso, Elke J. B. N.

### Microbiological Research

DOI:

[10.1016/j.micres.2023.127350](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micres.2023.127350)

Published: 01/06/2023

Peer reviewed version

[Cyswllt i'r cyhoeddiad / Link to publication](#)

*Dyfyniad o'r fersiwn a gyhoeddwyd / Citation for published version (APA):*

Silva, A. M. M., Jones, D. L., Chadwick, D. R., Qi, X., Cotta, S. R., Araujo, V. L. V. P., Matteoli, F. P., Lacerda-Junior, G. V., Pereira, A. P. A., Fernandes-Junior, P. I., & Cardoso, E. J. B. N. (2023). Can arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and rhizobacteria facilitate 33P uptake in maize plants under water stress? *Microbiological Research*, 271, Article 127350. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micres.2023.127350>

#### Hawliau Cyffredinol / General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal ?

#### Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

1 **Can arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and rhizobacteria facilitate <sup>33</sup>P uptake in maize**  
2 **plants under drought stress?**

3 Antonio M.M. Silva<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Davey L. Jones<sup>2,3</sup>, Dave R. Chadwick<sup>2</sup>, Qi Xue<sup>2,4</sup>, Simone R. Cotta<sup>5</sup>, Arthur P.A.  
4 Pereira<sup>6</sup>, Victor L.V.P. Araújo<sup>1</sup>, Filipe P. Matteoli<sup>1</sup>, Gileno V.L Júnior<sup>7</sup>, Elke J.B.N. Cardoso<sup>1</sup>

5 **Author affiliations**

6 <sup>[1]</sup>University of São Paulo, “Luiz de Queiroz” College of Agriculture. Soil Science Department, Piracicaba,  
7 São Paulo, 13418-900, Brazil. E-mail: [antoniomarcos@usp.br](mailto:antoniomarcos@usp.br), [victorlucas395@usp.br](mailto:victorlucas395@usp.br),  
8 [matteolifilipe@gmail.com](mailto:matteolifilipe@gmail.com), [ejbncard@usp.br](mailto:ejbncard@usp.br)

9 <sup>[2]</sup>School of Natural Sciences, Bangor University, Bangor, Gwynedd, LL57 2UW, United Kingdom. E-  
10 mail: [d.jones@bangor.ac.uk](mailto:d.jones@bangor.ac.uk), [d.chadwick@bangor.ac.uk](mailto:d.chadwick@bangor.ac.uk), [x.qi@bangor.ac.uk](mailto:x.qi@bangor.ac.uk)

11 <sup>[3]</sup>SoilsWest, Centre for Sustainable Farming Systems, Food Futures Institute, Murdoch University,  
12 Murdoch, WA 6150, Australia. E-mail: [davey.jones@uwa.edu.au](mailto:davey.jones@uwa.edu.au)

13 <sup>[4]</sup>College of Grassland, Resources, and Environment, Inner Mongolia Agricultural University, Hohhot  
14 010018, Inner Mongolia Autonomous Region, China. E-mail: [qixueer89@emails.imau.edu.cn](mailto:qixueer89@emails.imau.edu.cn)

15 <sup>[5]</sup>University of São Paulo, Center for Nuclear Energy in Agriculture, Piracicaba, São Paulo, 13400-970,  
16 Brazil. E-mail: [raposo.cotta@gmail.com](mailto:raposo.cotta@gmail.com)

17 <sup>[6]</sup>Federal University of Ceará, Soil Science Department, Soil Microbiology Laboratory, Fortaleza, Ceará,  
18 Brazil. E-mail: [arthur.prudencio@ufc.br](mailto:arthur.prudencio@ufc.br)

19 <sup>[7]</sup>Brazilian Agricultural Research Corporation – Embrapa, Jaguariúna, São Paulo, 13918-110, Brazil. E-  
20 mail: [gilanolacerdajr@gmail.com](mailto:gilanolacerdajr@gmail.com)

21 **\*Corresponding author**

22 Antonio Marcos Miranda Silva ([antoniomarcos@usp.br](mailto:antoniomarcos@usp.br))

23

24 **HIGHLIGHTS**

- 25 • Mycorrhizae and rhizobacteria led to a higher plant <sup>33</sup>P uptake under drought  
26 • <sup>33</sup>P facilitation was modulated by the soil water content  
27 • Consortium or only rhizobacteria were more efficient under severe drought  
28 • Under moderate drought conditions, mycorrhizae alone stood out in plant <sup>33</sup>P  
29 uptake

30

31 **ABSTRACT**

32 The role of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) and plant growth-promoting  
33 rhizobacteria (PGPR) in delivering important ecosystem services and protecting plants  
34 against biotic and abiotic stress is well recognized. Here, we hypothesized that a  
35 combination of AMF and PGPR could enhance P uptake in maize plants under drought  
36 stress. A microcosm experiment using mesh exclusion and a radiolabeled phosphorus  
37 tracer ( $^{33}\text{P}$ ) was established using three types of inoculation: i) only AMF, ii) only PGPR,  
38 and iii) a consortium of AMF and PGPR, alongside a control treatment without  
39 inoculation. For all treatments, a gradient of three water-holding capacities (WHC) was  
40 considered i) 30 % (severe drought), ii) 50 % (moderate drought), and iii) 80 % (optimal  
41 condition, no water stress). Under severe drought conditions, the use of the consortium  
42 (AMF+PGPR) or PGPR alone both increased  $^{33}\text{P}$  uptake by 2.4-fold compared to the  
43 uninoculated treatment. In contrast, under moderate drought the use of AMF promoted  
44 the highest  $^{33}\text{P}$  uptake by plants, increasing it by 2.1-fold compared to the uninoculated  
45 treatment. Without drought stress, AMF showed the lowest  $^{33}\text{P}$  uptake and, overall, plant  
46 P acquisition was lower for all inoculation types when compared to the severe and  
47 moderate drought treatments. Considering the water gradient, the plant physiological  
48 response, [such as altering signalling networks and root exudation](#), may have been decisive  
49 in the success of the plant-microbial interaction investigated here. We found that AMF  
50 colonization, soil electrical conductivity, and the number of spores were the main drivers  
51 which explained plant  $^{33}\text{P}$  uptake. [In conclusion, this study demonstrates that](#) a one-size-  
52 fits-all solution for plant bio-inoculants is an unexpected outcome, where microbial  
53 inoculants, whether constituted of purified strains or in consortia, are apt to vary in their  
54  $^{33}\text{P}$  uptake efficiency [according to the soil water gradient](#).

55 **Keywords:** Phosphate nutrition, isotope tracer, water shortage, plant symbiosis, soil-  
56 dwelling microbes.

57 **INTRODUCTION**

58 According to the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO),  
59 drought is now recognised as the primary reason for agricultural production losses  
60 globally, costing the sector USD 37 billion overall from 2008-2018. However, other  
61 extreme events caused by climate change, such as floods and heatwaves, are also  
62 contributing to ongoing issues with food security (FAO 2021). Therefore, improved  
63 management approaches are urgently required to improve agricultural sustainability. This

64 is particularly relevant to the supply and exploitation of soil nutrients which have a finite  
65 supply, such as phosphorus (P), especially given the dramatic rise in fertilizer prices over  
66 the past year (Smith 2022). To overcome the combined impact of drought stress and low  
67 nutrient use efficiency in cropping systems and the design of new management systems  
68 requires a greater fundamental understanding of plant-soil-microbial interactions. In this  
69 context, it has been highlighted that arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) and  
70 rhizobacteria may provide an environmentally friendly solution to this combined problem  
71 (Mawarda et al. 2020).

72 In highly weathered soils where the exchange surfaces are dominated by aluminium  
73 and iron oxides/hydroxides, a large proportion of the applied phosphate fertilizer (ranging  
74 from 15-30 %) becomes rapidly immobilized on the solid phase by adsorption and  
75 precipitation processes (Dhillon et al. 2017; Zavaschi et al. 2020). AMF may provide a  
76 tool to exploit native soil P reservoirs or residual fertiliser-derived P (“legacy P”), that  
77 has accumulated over the past 50 years in these soils (Scrase et al. 2019; Pavinato et al.  
78 2020a; Pavinato et al. 2020b). AMF is a key group of soil microorganisms that form  
79 symbiotic associations with more than 80 % of all land plants and play an important role  
80 in the acquisition of nutrients (Smith and Read 2010). For example, in maize (*Zea mays*  
81 L.), AMF is more important than root hairs for seedling growth under low P availability  
82 (Ma et al. 2021).

83 It is widely acknowledged that AMF and plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria  
84 (PGPR) can play an important role in the amelioration of a wide range of plants biotic  
85 and abiotic stresses such as drought, salinity, heavy metal exposure, and soil-borne  
86 pathogens (Pérez-de-Luque et al. 2017; Chukwuneme et al. 2020; Mishra et al. 2021;  
87 Santoyo et al. 2021; Chen et al. 2022). There is also evidence that co-inoculation with  
88 AMF and PGPR can increase plant growth and health through additive and/or synergistic  
89 effects between them (Saia et al. 2015; Battini et al. 2017; Dutta and Neog 2017;  
90 Nanjundappa et al. 2019). Understanding the plant-mycorrhizae-rhizobacteria  
91 interactions is crucial, as plants dedicate 5-30 % of all their photo-assimilate to supporting  
92 microbial growth in soil (Carvalhais et al. 2011; Almeida et al. 2020). A further 20 % of  
93 photo-assimilate is allocated to the maintenance of symbiotic AMF networks (Smith and  
94 Read 2008).

95 Some studies have demonstrated the ability of AMF or PGPR alone to promote plant  
96 growth under water shortage events. It has been postulated that AMF mechanisms, such  
97 as improvements in soil aggregation, photosynthetic efficiency, and nutrient uptake are

98 primarily responsible for this response (Ji et al. 2019; Quiroga et al. 2019; Al-Arjani et  
99 al. 2020). In the case of PGPR, the main purported mechanisms include direct (e.g.,  
100 changes in hormonal signalling, P solubilisation, biological nitrogen fixation) and indirect  
101 mechanisms (e.g., antibiotic production, cell wall degrading enzymes, induced systemic  
102 resistance, osmotic adjustment, quorum quenching, and siderophores production) (Glick  
103 2012; Olanrewaju et al. 2017; Naylor and Coleman-Derr 2018; Araújo et al. 2020).

104 Overall, the majority of crop plant species are responsive to mycorrhizal symbiosis  
105 and rhizobacteria inoculation. This discovery has subsequently led to the search for novel  
106 microbes with the potential to increase crop yields, especially maize, as this represents  
107 one of the most important global crops (Zhao et al. 2017; Li et al. 2021). Recently, the  
108 use of maize has become more inviting due to the current scenario of bio-economy, which  
109 has incentives for production of biofuels to reduce CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. Thus, there is the  
110 possibility to intensify the biofuels market, making it more prosperous and stable (Eckert  
111 et al. 2018).

112 A myriad of early studies (Rhodes and Gerdemann 1975; Jakobsen et al. 1992; Pearson  
113 and Jakobsen 1993; Battini et al. 2017; Jongen et al. 2022) have demonstrated the ability  
114 of AMF hyphae to recover and translocate <sup>32/33</sup>P located beyond the immediate root zone.  
115 Nevertheless, these studies do not consider how the efficiency of AMF-mediated P  
116 absorption is affected under a gradient of soil water availability, especially when  
117 considering the presence of PGPR capable of tolerating low water activity. Here, our  
118 investigation was set up to test the hypothesis that the combined use of AMF and PGPR  
119 could enhance P uptake in maize plants under drought stress. For this, we evaluated <sup>33</sup>P  
120 uptake in maize plants, the response of soil phosphatase activity, and key soil chemical  
121 attributes, as well as monitoring the abundance and dynamics of soil mycorrhizal and  
122 bacterial communities.

## 123 MATERIAL AND METHODS

### 124 Experimental design

125 The microcosm experiment was set up in a completely randomized design, comprising  
126 a double factorial scheme (4 × 3) with three replicates. The first factor was the inoculation  
127 of microorganisms (either only AMF, only PGPR, or the consortium of AMF and PGPR,  
128 besides a control without any inoculation). The second factor was water stress (80, 50,  
129 and 30 % of the water-holding capacity, simulating no drought, moderate drought, and  
130 severe drought, respectively). Each experimental unit comprised a plastic pot (8 cm

131 internal diameter  $\times$  7 cm high), containing 200 g (dry weight) of sterilized soil (at 121 °C  
132 for 2 h) (Figure 1A and 1B). The soil was obtained from the Ah horizon (0-10 cm deep)  
133 of a field site located in Brazil (22°42' S, 47°38' W) and classified as an Arenosol (WRB-  
134 FAO 2015), with low P content (Supplementary Table 1).

135 Mesh exclusion (45  $\mu$ m) was utilized to divide the pot into a fertilized compartment  
136 and planted/inoculated compartment, each compartment receiving 100 g of sterilized soil.  
137 This mesh allowed fungal hyphae to pass through and absorb nutrients but prevented the  
138 ingrowth of roots from the planted/inoculated compartment. This approach has been used  
139 to investigate the role of mycorrhizae on plant growth and water supply (Neumann and  
140 Matzner 2013; Scrase et al. 2019; Kakouridis et al. 2020). Nevertheless, we set up a  
141 preliminary experiment (Experiment 1) to confirm that roots were not able to pass through  
142 the mesh, while simultaneously also determining seed germination rate in the soil and the  
143 correction factor needed when calculating water-holding capacity over the course of  
144 subsequent experiments (Supplementary Note 1).

145 The fertilized compartment received 2.8 mL of 6 mM  $\text{KH}_2\text{PO}_4$  (equivalent to 30 mg P  
146  $\text{kg}^{-1}$  soil, as recommended for this type of weathered soil; van Raij et al. 1997) with a  $^{33}\text{P}$   
147 activity of 185 kBq after the soil acclimatization, sowing of seeds and inoculation of  
148 microbes in the planted/inoculated compartment (Figure 1C). Furthermore, we set up an  
149 additional microcosm experiment to determine the distance that phosphorus can diffuse  
150 in the soil to confirm that the presence of  $^{33}\text{P}$  in the planted/inoculated compartment could  
151 only occur via microbial transfer. In addition, we also measured P sorption to the soil to  
152 characterize the P-dynamics in this soil (Supplementary Note 2).

### 153 **Plant material, cultivation, and water-holding capacity management**

154 Uniformly sized seeds of *Zea mays* L. (cv. BRS Gorotuba) were surface sterilized  
155 twice in 2 % (v/v) sodium hypochlorite solution for 7 min, 70 % (v/v) ethanol for 1 min,  
156 and rinsed thoroughly with sterile MilliQ water. The germination rate of seeds in soil and  
157 Petri dishes was around 90 %. Seeds inoculated with PGPR or for consortium treatments  
158 were soaked in the bacterial suspension for 2 h, whilst seeds for AMF and uninoculated  
159 treatments were soaked in 0.85 % (w/v) saline solution for the same time (Kavamura et  
160 al. 2013). Two seeds were sown in each pot and thinning was done when one of the  
161 seedlings presented two true leaves. Soil bacterial inoculation was performed 21 days  
162 after sowing, in PGPR or consortium treatments, when pots were reduced to 30 % of the  
163 water-holding capacity according to the water content management described below. At

164 the same time, 0.85 % (w/v) saline solution was applied to the AMF, and uninoculated  
165 treatments

166 Plants were cultivated in a controlled environment chamber (Convion Adaptis<sup>®</sup> CPM  
167 6010) at the Environment Centre Wales, Bangor University, United Kingdom (53°13' N,  
168 4°7' W), under a day/night cycle of 16/8 h, 25/20 °C, 70 % relative humidity, receiving  
169 artificial lighting at a photosynthetic photon flux density of 500  $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{sec}^{-1}$ . Hoagland  
170 solution (without phosphorus) was applied 17 days after sowing, to keep nutritional  
171 balance of the plants. The final solution of pH 5.5 was composed of 4 mM  $\text{Ca}(\text{NO}_3)_2$ , 6  
172 mM  $\text{KNO}_3$ , 2 mM  $\text{MgSO}_4$ , 1 mM Fe-EDTA, and 1 mM trace elements (Hoagland and  
173 Arnon 1950).

174 The microcosms were randomized daily to ensure equal growth conditions and were  
175 weighed for 35 days, and the desired moisture was maintained with the addition of  
176 deionized and sterilized water when needed. At the beginning of the experiment, all  
177 microcosms ( $n = 36$ ) were kept at 80 % water-holding capacity to ensure seed  
178 germination. Ten days after sowing,  $\frac{2}{3}$  of the microcosms ( $n = 24$ ) were reduced to 50 %  
179 water-holding capacity. Finally, twenty days after sowing,  $\frac{1}{3}$  of the microcosms ( $n = 12$ )  
180 were reduced to 30 % water-holding capacity (Figure 1C). This approach was used to  
181 better understand the potential of inoculated microbes in the context of a decreasing  
182 gradient of soil water content (Ahmad et al. 2018; Czarnes et al. 2020; Lopes et al. 2021).

183 After 35 days of growth, the plants were harvested and separated into above and  
184 belowground material, whilst the soil was separated into fertilized and planted/inoculated  
185 compartments. In addition, soil was sampled in the planted/inoculated compartment five  
186 days after changing the water holding capacity (i.e., on the 15<sup>th</sup> and 25<sup>th</sup> day after sowing)  
187 to monitor soil bacteria and mycorrhiza total abundance via quantitative polymerase chain  
188 reaction (qPCR).

### 189 **Fungal and bacterial inoculum**

190 Fungal and bacterial strains were previously isolated from Serra do Ouricuri, Petrolina,  
191 Pernambuco, Brazil (39°3' S, 8°28' W) in the Caatinga Biome, where a bio-prospecting  
192 program was developed to find microbes with the potential of helping crop plants to  
193 tolerate drought stress (Kavamura et al. 2013). Initially, a pool of fungi and bacteria was  
194 isolated from the rhizosphere of *Tripogonella spicata* (Nees) plants, the so-called  
195 resurrection grass, due to its surprising rehydration capacity (Fernandes-Júnior et al.  
196 2015; Aidar et al. 2017). Then, they were selected for their plant growth-promoting

197 properties, such as the ability to grow under reduced water availability (ca. 0.9 Aw)  
198 (Hallsworth et al. 1998), indole-3-acetic acid (IAA) production (Bric et al. 1991; Kuss et  
199 al. 2007) and calcium phosphate solubilization (Verma et al. 2001).

200 *Bacillus* sp. was grown in 10 % (w/v) TSB (trypticase soy broth) culture medium at  
201 30 °C and 150 rpm for 48 h. The inoculum was homogenized at OD<sub>550</sub> = 0.2 and washed  
202 twice in a 0.85 % (w/v) saline solution to obtain the bacterial suspensions (at 10<sup>8</sup> CFU  
203 mL<sup>-1</sup>). A second bacterial inoculation (3 mL pot<sup>-1</sup> at 10<sup>8</sup> CFU mL<sup>-1</sup>) was done when the  
204 water-holding capacity was changed to 30 % (i.e., twenty days after sowing). The same  
205 amount of saline solution was provided to the AMF and control treatments (Figure 1C).

206 *Rhizophagus clarus* spores were obtained from the pure trap culture, using maize as  
207 host plant, and transferred to the soil after disinfection, directly under the seeds, in the  
208 form of 5 mL of sterile water containing 50 spores at the time of sowing. The germination  
209 rate of *R. clarus* spores in the soil was around 85 % according to our third additional  
210 microcosm experiment, as reported in Supplementary Note 3.

#### 211 **Analytical procedures**

212 At the end of the experiment (i.e., 35 days after sowing), the shoot was cut off at the  
213 soil surface and dried at 80 °C in paper bags for 16 h to quantify dry weight. The roots  
214 were separated from soil by gently shaking them and rinsing them with water. Then, 5 g  
215 of roots were placed in a Falcon tube with 70 % ethanol for analysis of mycorrhizal  
216 colonization percentage, and the remainder was dried to obtain the dry weight. For  
217 phosphorimager analysis to visualize the <sup>33</sup>P, dried plant tissue was placed in a 20 × 25  
218 cm cassette for 1 h, and then analyzed in a Bio-Rad Molecular Imager<sup>®</sup> FX.

219 Soil available phosphorous was extracted using 0.5 M acetic acid (1:5 w/v) according  
220 to Fisher et al. (1998). Samples were extracted by shaking (200 rpm for 30 min at room  
221 temperature), centrifuging for 15 min (18,000 g), filtering and the supernatant was  
222 recovered for analysis. <sup>33</sup>P activity of the samples was determined in counts per minute  
223 (CPM) of <sup>33</sup>P using 1 mL of soil extract and 4 mL of HiSafe 3 Scintillation cocktail  
224 (PerkinElmer Inc., Waltham, MA, USA) and analyzing in a liquid scintillation counter  
225 with an automated quench correction (Wallac 1404 liquid scintillation counter,  
226 PerkinElmer Inc). For plant tissue, the extract was obtained by placing 0.2 g in a muffle  
227 furnace and ashing at 500°C overnight. Later, this was dissolved in 1 mL of 20 % HCl  
228 and 9 mL of deionized water was added, according to Adrian (1973). The colorimetric P



229 determination in soil and plant tissue was determined according to Murphy and Riley  
230 (1962).

231 The soil pH and electrical conductivity were determined according to Thomas (1996),  
232 whereby 10 g of 2 mm-mesh sieved soil was mixed with 25 ml of water, placing it on an  
233 orbital shaker for 10 min at 200 rpm, and then allowing the sample to settle for 10 min  
234 before taking measurements with standard electrodes.

235 The acid and alkaline phosphatase activities (EC 3.1.3.2 and EC 3.1.3.21, respectively)  
236 were measured using the methodology described by Marx et al. (2001). For evaluation of  
237 AMF root colonization, the roots were prepared according to Vierheilig et al. (1998), with  
238 the roots dispersed in a Petri dish with a grid background and scored using a  
239 stereomicroscope according to Giovannetti and Mosse (1980).

#### 240 **Molecular analysis**

241 Soil (0.25 g) was utilized for DNA extraction using DNeasy® PowerSoil® Pro Kit  
242 (QIAGEN Inc., Germany) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Extracted DNA was  
243 stored at -80 °C before quantitative PCR analysis. DNA concentrations were determined  
244 using the Qubit quantification platform with Qubit 1X dsDNA HS Assay Kit (Invitrogen,  
245 Carlsbad, CA, USA).

246 The quantitative PCR (qPCR) was used to determine gene copy number per gram of  
247 soil for bacteria (16S rRNA) and AMF (LSU rDNA region), using the StepOnePlus™  
248 Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems Inc., Carlsbad, CA, USA) with the  
249 fluorescent marker GoTaq® qPCR Master Mix (Promega, Madison, WI, USA). All  
250 samples were analysed in triplicate.

251 16S rRNA reactions were run in 10 µL comprising 5 µL of GoTaq® qPCR Master Mix,  
252 received 1 µL (5 µM) of each primer (Eub338 5'-CCTACGGGAGGCAGCAG-3' and  
253 Eub518 5'-ATTACCGCGGCTGCTGG-3'), 0.1 µL de CXR Reference Dye, 2 µL of  
254 DNA template, and 0.9 µL nuclease free sterile water in the same conditions as described  
255 by Muyzer et al. (1993). Standard curves were obtained using 7-fold serial dilutions of  
256 purified PCR (10<sup>2</sup> to 10<sup>8</sup> copies) containing the targeted gene. The reliability of the  
257 standard curves was controlled by verifying reproducibility of the Ct values, the quality  
258 of the dilution series, and the efficiency (101.42 %, R<sup>2</sup> = 0.993). The specificity of the  
259 primers was confirmed by melting curves analysis.

260 AMF reactions were run in 10 µL comprising 5 µL of GoTaq® qPCR Master Mix,  
261 received 1 µL (5 µM) of each primer (FLR3 5'-TTGAAAGGGAAACGATTGAAG T-

262 3' and FLR4 5'-TAC GTCAACATCCTTAACGAA-3'), 0.1 µL de CXR Reference Dye,  
263 2 µL of DNA template, and 0.9 µL free sterile water. FLR3 is localized between the D1  
264 and D2 domains of LSU rRNA, whilst FLR4 is in the D2 domain (Gollotte et al. 2004).  
265 Standard curves were obtained using 7-fold serial dilutions of purified PCR ( $10^2$  to  $10^8$   
266 copies) containing the targeted gene. The reliability of the standard curves was controlled  
267 by verifying reproducibility of the Ct values, the quality of the dilution series and the  
268 efficiency (101.46 %,  $R^2 = 0.975$ ). The specificity of the primers was confirmed by  
269 melting curves analysis.

#### 270 **Data analyses**

271 Data were tested for normal distribution using the Shapiro-Wilk test, followed by the  
272 homogeneity of variances tests, using the Bartlett test. Having met the criteria (residuals  
273 normality and variance homoscedasticity), a two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was  
274 performed and, when appropriate, Tukey's posthoc pairwise comparison (cut-off  
275 significance at  $p < 0.05$ ) was applied to determine individual differences between means.

276 Principal components analysis (PCA) was performed using the statistical packages  
277 *FactoMineR* and *factoextra* in the R® program (R Core Team 2017). In PCA, to meet the  
278 premise of multivariate normality, the data were transformed into  $\log(x+1)$  and the  
279 attributes subject to collinearity were removed (Ramette 2007). Additionally, using k-  
280 means clustering algorithm, an unsupervised machine learning method of identifying and  
281 grouping similar data points, we classified our variables into groups (Jansson et al. 2022).

#### 282 **RESULTS**

283 In both soil compartments (i.e., planted/inoculated and fertilized compartments) we  
284 determined their  $^{31}\text{P}$  and  $^{33}\text{P}$  content alongside soil pH, electrical conductivity, and soil  
285 acid and alkaline phosphatase activity. In addition to plant P content, AMF root  
286 colonization and number of spores for the planted/inoculated compartment were  
287 determined. Here, we primarily concentrate on the results from the planted/inoculated  
288 compartment, while results from the fertilized compartment are presented in the  
289 supplementary material. Data is reported on P uptake by arbuscular mycorrhizal hyphae,  
290 as revealed by the use of radioactive P ( $^{33}\text{P}$ ), added to fertilized compartment.

#### 291 **$^{33}\text{P}$ uptake by plants, biomass, and soil P content**

292 Soil water content greatly affected  $^{33}\text{P}$  uptake by the plants, with the highest  $^{33}\text{P}$  uptake  
293 (0.4 kBq.plant<sup>-1</sup>, on average) observed in moderate drought (50 % WHC) with the lowest  
294 uptake (0.2 kBq.plant<sup>-1</sup>, on average) observed in the absence of drought (80 % WHC)  
295 (Figure 2A and 2B). Overall, for the planted/inoculated compartment, the highest levels  
296 of  $^{33}\text{P}$  activity in soil were observed in the presence of mycorrhizal inoculum under severe  
297 or moderate water stress, but this was not observed in the fertilized compartment  
298 (Supplementary Figures 1A and 1B). In addition, for shoot biomass, the main difference  
299 among the inoculum types occurred in severe drought, with the highest biomass found in  
300 the presence of bacterial inoculum. This same pattern could be detected for morphological  
301 traits, such as height and diameter (Supplementary Figure 2).

302 Under severe drought (30 % WHC),  $^{33}\text{P}$  uptake in shoot was 2.4-fold greater ( $p \leq 0.05$ )  
303 in the PGPR and AMF+PGPR treatments than in uninoculated control. Whilst under  
304 moderate drought (50 % WHC),  $^{33}\text{P}$  uptake in shoot of AMF treatment was 2.1-fold  
305 greater ( $p \leq 0.05$ ) than uninoculated control, outperforming the other inoculation types.  
306 On the other hand, under optimal conditions (80 % WHC), the highest ( $p \leq 0.05$ )  $^{33}\text{P}$   
307 uptake in shoot was found in AMF+PGPR and the lowest ( $p \leq 0.05$ ) in AMF treatment  
308 (Figure 2A, Supplementary Figure 3). The same pattern of  $^{33}\text{P}$  uptake was observed in the  
309 root (Figure 2B, Supplementary Figure 4).

310 The total shoot P content was modulated by the water-holding capacity and inoculation  
311 type, with the lowest values ( $p \leq 0.05$ ) observed under severe drought (18.6  $\mu\text{g P plant}^{-1}$ ,  
312 on average) and the highest values ( $p \leq 0.05$ ) under moderate drought (28.3  $\mu\text{g P plant}^{-1}$ ,  
313 on average), almost reflecting the results of those for  $^{33}\text{P}$  uptake (Figure 2C). However,  
314 this did not occur in the roots, in which there was an increase in P content with the increase  
315 of water content (Figure 2D). Under severe drought, the highest shoot P content was  
316 observed in the PGPR treatment ( $p \leq 0.05$ ), whilst under moderate drought the  
317 uninoculated control was, in general, superior to the other inoculation types. Under no  
318 water stress, shoot P content in AMF+PGPR and PGPR was 1.7 and 1.5-fold greater than  
319 in the AMF treatment (Figure 2C). Overall, soil P contents were higher in the  
320 planted/inoculated compartment than in the fertilized compartment (Supplementary  
321 Figures 1C and 1D).

### 322 **Mycorrhizal root colonization and number of spores in soil**

323 AMF root colonization was higher under severe (20.9 %, on average) and moderate  
324 water stress (21.8 %, on average) than in no drought (6.4 %, on average). Under severe

325 drought, the AMF treatment showed a higher ( $p \leq 0.05$ ) AMF root colonization  
326 percentage ( $56.7 \pm 7.4$ ) than the AMF+PGPR treatment ( $26.7 \pm 16.1$ ). Whilst under  
327 moderate and no drought, there was no difference ( $p > 0.05$ ) between them (Figure 3A).  
328 The number of spores differed only between the AMF and AMF+PGPR treatments under  
329 severe drought ( $p \leq 0.05$ ), where the highest value was found in the AMF treatment ( $18$   
330  $\pm 6$ ). Overall, the water-holding capacity did not influence ( $p > 0.05$ ) the number of spores  
331 (Figure 3B).

332 According to the microscopy results, a different pattern in WHC response occurred in  
333 the presence of AMF structures inside the roots. Considering a severe drought in the AMF  
334 treatment, there was a large presence of hyphae (60 %), whilst in the AMF+PGPR  
335 treatment, we observed only about 40 % of hyphae and 20 % of vesicles (Figure 3C and  
336 3D, respectively). On the other hand, under a moderate drought, for AMF treatment, there  
337 was a higher presence of vesicles (50 %), hyphae (35 %), and arbuscules (10 %) (Figure  
338 3E). Considering the AMF+PGPR treatment, there was the presence of spores inside the  
339 root (20 %), as well as hyphae (20 %) and vesicles (30 %) (Figure 3F). Without water  
340 stress, in the AMF treatment, there was a higher presence of arbuscules (25 %), while in  
341 the AMF+PGPR we only noticed the presence of hyphae (20 %) (Figure 3G and 3H,  
342 respectively).

#### 343 **Soil pH and electrical conductivity, soil phosphatases activity, and microbial** 344 **monitoring over sampling time**

345 The water-holding capacity did not influence the soil pH ( $p > 0.05$ ), but it did influence  
346 ( $p \leq 0.05$ ) the soil electrical conductivity (EC). The highest soil EC values were found  
347 under severe drought ( $86.1 \mu\text{S}\cdot\text{m}^{-1}$ , on average), and the lowest for moderate ( $64.7 \mu\text{S}\cdot\text{m}^{-1}$ ,  
348 on average) and no drought ( $61.4 \mu\text{S}\cdot\text{m}^{-1}$ , on average). A subtle difference was found  
349 in soil pH between inoculum types, within the same soil water-holding capacity.  
350 Compared with the other treatments, the AMF treatment showed the lowest pH value  
351 under severe and moderate stress ( $p \leq 0.05$ ), whilst non-water restriction showed the  
352 highest pH value ( $p \leq 0.05$ ). The main difference in electrical conductivity (EC) was  
353 found under severe drought, where the AMF+PGPR treatment presented the lowest EC  
354 (Table 1).

355 The highest soil acid phosphatase activity was found in the uninoculated treatment  
356 without any water restriction, which was on average 3-fold higher than the other  
357 treatments. There was no difference between treatments under severe drought, whilst

358 under moderate drought, the uninoculated and PGPR treatments showed higher values  
359 compared to other inoculation types. For soil alkaline phosphatase activity, the highest  
360 values were found both under moderate and non-stress conditions. An opposite behaviour  
361 was observed in the AMF+PGPR treatment, in which, under moderate stress, there was  
362 higher phosphatase activity, whereas in non-stress was the lowest compared to the others  
363 treatment with the same water-holding capacity (Table 1). The results for pH, EC, acid,  
364 and alkaline phosphatase in the fertilized compartment are presented in Supplementary  
365 Table S2.

366 Overall, water-holding capacity influenced the total soil bacterial and mycorrhizal  
367 abundance over time (Supplementary Table S3 and Table S4). Soil bacterial abundance  
368 increased from 15 days after sowing (DAS) to 25 DAS and decreased from 25 DAS to  
369 35DAS (Supplementary Table S3). Whilst mycorrhizal fungal abundance decreased from  
370 15 DAS to 25 DAS (only in severe and moderate drought) and increased substantially  
371 from 25 DAS to 35DAS (Supplementary Table S4). For both, bacterial and mycorrhizal  
372 inoculum, the highest abundances were found under severe and moderate drought.

### 373 **Principal components analysis (PCA)**

374 Principal component analysis (PCA) was conducted to address relationships between  
375 water-holding capacity and inoculation type and to determine the major trait components  
376 that explain the variation in the original data.

377 The water-holding capacity and inoculum type influenced the attribute dynamics  
378 according to the visualization of residuals in the PCA, which explained about 48 % in the  
379 first two components (Figure 4A). Overall, fungal parameters (AMF root colonisation  
380 and number of spores), and <sup>33</sup>P uptake, besides soil EC and pH, were more correlated to  
381 the inoculum type under severe drought (square dots). Whilst plant parameters (biomass,  
382 diameter, and height), phosphatase dynamics, and phosphorus contents were more  
383 correlated with moderate stress and non-drought (triangular and circular dots,  
384 respectively). Despite the dispersion within the replicates, a subtle difference was evident  
385 in relation to the inoculum type, where the AMF+PGPR, only PGPR, and uninoculated  
386 treatments showed better clustering than AMF treatment.

387 According to the results of the k-means clustering algorithm, three clusters of variables  
388 were identified, the first being composed of AMF root colonization, soil electrical  
389 conductivity, number of spores and <sup>33</sup>P activity (in soil and plant), which was more  
390 correlated to AMF+PGPR treatment under severe drought. The second was composed of

391 plant parameters (diameter and height) and plant P content, while the third was composed  
392 of phosphatases activity and soil pH (Figure 4B). We assessed the most important  
393 variables in explaining the variability in our data set according to the contribution level.  
394 The variables that contributed the most to the definition of the principal component 1  
395 were AMF root colonisation (12.81 %), plant height (12.75 %), root P content (10.65 %),  
396 soil <sup>33</sup>P activity (10.31 %), and number of spores (10.24 %) (Figure 4C). Whilst for the  
397 principal component 2, the most important variables were root and shoot <sup>33</sup>P activity  
398 (26.53 % and 25.57 %, respectively) (Figure 4D).

## 399 DISCUSSION

400 Inoculated plants outperformed the uninoculated plants in terms of <sup>33</sup>P uptake,  
401 especially under drought stress. The enhanced drought tolerance promoted by AMF  
402 inoculated plants can be ascribed to several mechanisms. For instance, Quiroga et al.  
403 (2019), when utilizing maize inoculated with *Rhizophagus irregularis*, under drought  
404 conditions, demonstrated an increase in stomatal conductance in the shoots and, thereby,  
405 enhanced photosynthesis. Other mechanisms were extensively detailed by Li et al. (2019),  
406 Ji et al. (2019), Al-Arjani et al. (2020), Chen et al. (2021), and Zhang et al. (2021). Also,  
407 we showed a synergistic effect of the consortium (AMF+PGPR), which significantly  
408 increased <sup>33</sup>P uptake under severe drought. More importantly, we provide evidence that  
409 AMF responsiveness in a hostile environment may be due to the presence of PGPR.  
410 Therefore, our results demonstrated that the presence of AMF-helper bacteria (i.e.,  
411 *Bacillus* sp. screened from an extreme environment), contributes to <sup>33</sup>P uptake and maize  
412 growth. Thus, we confirmed our initial hypothesis that inoculation of AMF and PGPR  
413 enhances plant nutrient acquisition in treatments with increased frequency of drought.

414 We found that either bacterial (*Bacillus* sp.) or microbial consortia (*Rhizophagus*  
415 *clarus* and *Bacillus* sp.), under severe drought, enhanced <sup>33</sup>P uptake 2.4-fold more  
416 intensely, than *R. clarus* alone. In a similar approach, Battini et al. (2017) found that AMF  
417 inoculated plants showed higher <sup>33</sup>P uptake when also co-inoculated with bacteria.  
418 According to Jiang et al. (2021), mycorrhizas can control the interaction with the bacteria  
419 and actively recruit, transport and stimulate it to mineralize organic nutrients with benefit  
420 to the fungi, mainly in a region called mycorrhizosphere (a microhabitat in soil where  
421 plant roots are surrounded by fungal hyphae (Johansson et al. 2004)). Conversely, the  
422 bacteria benefit from the released of carbon in hyphal exudates, representing cooperation  
423 between them, which is allied with the symbiosis between the AMF and plant. However,

424 this cooperation seems to be closely dependent on the soil water level since, under  
425 moderate drought, *R. clarus* alone showed higher  $^{33}\text{P}$  uptake as compared to co-inoculated  
426 treatment. Thus, this efficiency may vary substantially with species identity of both fungi  
427 and plants, host phenology, soil nutrients or root exudation, which significantly impacts  
428 rhizosphere/mycorrhizosphere microbial community (Pauwels et al. 2020; Jongen et al.  
429 2022; Pérez Castro et al. 2019; Ulrich et al. 2019).

430 Although we have evidence of the ability of inoculation to increase  $^{33}\text{P}$  uptake, this  
431 may not be related to the potential of mitigation of drought effects on maize growth, since  
432 we did not observe great differences in biomass production. Therefore, higher  $^{33}\text{P}$  plant  
433 uptake did not necessarily translate into higher growth. Perhaps, under highly stress  
434 conditions more P is utilized by the plant to support the given conditions, such as  
435 supporting the mycorrhizae-rhizobacteria interaction, whilst under less stress conditions  
436 the plant can utilize the more absorbed P for growth.

437 We observed higher AMF colonization under severe and moderate water stress.  
438 However, the prevalence of arbuscules was observed under no drought. Our results may  
439 indicate a close association between the *R. clarus* and the maize genotype (*Zea mays* L.  
440 cv. BRS Gorotuba), with the determination of C allocation to the different fungal  
441 structures driven by the severity of drought stress. The effectiveness of plant-AMF  
442 interaction can lead to a plant physiologic improvement and, consequently, to a higher C  
443 supply to the fungi even under drought stress, with severe drought increasing the C  
444 allocation to hyphae, and moderate drought to vesicles, and no stress investing in  
445 arbuscules (Kiers et al. 2011; Jongen et al. 2022). Overall, arbuscules have a rapid  
446 turnover and are the exchange structure in mycorrhizal symbiosis (Smith and Read 2008).  
447 Interestingly, although the highest presence of arbuscules was found under no drought  
448 stress, the highest  $^{33}\text{P}$  uptake occurred under drought stress conditions (both severe and  
449 moderate). However, the arbuscules in the treatments under drought would probably be  
450 formed and functional during earlier stages of the plant-AMF interaction, mainly due to  
451 the moment of water shortage experienced, explaining the observed AMF effects on the  
452  $^{33}\text{P}$  uptake.

453 The assessment of soil enzymes is crucial to understand the potential functioning  
454 response of the plant-microbe system since they are involved in nutrient cycling. Thus,  
455 soil phosphatase activities (e.g., acid and alkaline) strongly control phosphorus biotic  
456 pathways (Margalef et al. 2017). Here, we observed an inverse relationship between

457 enzyme activity and <sup>33</sup>P uptake by plants, which was somehow expected, since the P  
458 source considered in our study (mono-potassium phosphate [KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>]) is an inorganic  
459 compound, and the production of these enzymes in the soil is used to perform the  
460 acquisition of phosphate ions from organic molecules (Margalef et al. 2021). Indeed, the  
461 high presence of inorganic P can repress the expression of *pho* genes, inhibiting soil  
462 phosphatase activities (Nannipieri et al. 2011).

463 In general, we observed that there was an increase in soil acid phosphatase activity  
464 with increasing soil water content, especially for the uninoculated treatment, which may  
465 be due to the high demand for P by plants since there was no microbial inoculation to  
466 facilitate the <sup>33</sup>P uptake. This result is interesting, considering that plants, although  
467 developing their adaptation to alleviate most biotic and abiotic stresses in nature, also rely  
468 on their microbial partners when they are present to absorb nutrients such as P (Hassani  
469 et al. 2018). Overall, for soil alkaline phosphatase, the lowest activity was found in the  
470 presence of microbial inoculation with AMF alone, which may be related to the  
471 facilitation of phosphorus nutrition promoted by AMF via hyphal network, which reflects  
472 the <sup>33</sup>P uptake results obtained in our experiments.

473 Regarding changes in soil pH and electrical conductivity (EC), our results showed that  
474 the EC was dependent on water content, whereas pH was not affected. Soil EC increased  
475 with decreases in the soil water content, which may be due to other factors, since soil EC  
476 is also modulated by a combination of soluble salts, and soil temperature, for example  
477 (Bai et al. 2013). Pankaj et al. (2020), using PGPR to improve plant growth and crop yield  
478 of *Bacopa monnieri* (L.), observed that soil EC and pH decreased in inoculated soils.  
479 Likewise, Al-Enazy et al. (2018) demonstrated the inoculation of maize plants with  
480 *Azotobacter chroococcum*, *Bacillus megaterium* and *Pseudomonas fluorescens* also  
481 decreased soil EC and pH.

482 Over time, we observed an increase in the bacterial abundance from 15 DAS to 25  
483 DAS, which may be attributed to the soil bacterial inoculation that occurred at 21 DAS  
484 to assist the plants when the water-holding capacity decreased during a severe drought.  
485 After that, the bacteria abundance decreased from 25 DAS to 35 DAS, indicating a  
486 transient rather than persistent effect. At the same time, i.e., 25 DAS and 35 DAS, we  
487 observed an increase in AMF abundance, evidencing the complementary and synergistic  
488 effects provided by *R. clarus* and *Bacillus* sp. According to Mawarda et al. (2020), when  
489 inoculants are delivered into soil, they have low persistence due to a combination of high



490 levels of competition from the native microbial community and a lack of available  
491 resources (e.g., C, water, nutrients). This transient effect, however, does not necessarily  
492 imply a lack of lasting legacy on plant growth. Therefore, the inoculant effects may not  
493 necessarily be due to the size of the inoculant populations, since various changes in soil  
494 community structure and functioning can be found, even though the number of inoculant  
495 cells declined following introduction into the soil. Florio et al. (2017) using rhizosphere  
496 soil from an experiment with maize seeds inoculated with *Azospirillum lipoferum* CRT1,  
497 under field conditions, could not detect the inoculated strain by qPCR at 37 DAS. Indeed,  
498 these authors maintain that, in general, bacterial inoculants stimulate root growth and  
499 modify plant metabolism at very early stages, and generate lasting effects on the root  
500 system, disappearing quickly, usually after a few weeks. Likewise, Silva et al. (2021),  
501 using bulk soil from an experiment with sugarcane, inoculated with a bacteria consortium,  
502 observed that bacterial abundance remains constant over time, whilst changes occur in its  
503 composition and functions.

504 In our study, a higher bacteria abundance was detected in the AMF treatments,  
505 even though the spores were previously disinfected, suggesting that the bacteria were  
506 located inside the spore walls. Indeed, bacteria belonging to the order *Bacillales*, which  
507 include the *Bacillus* strain used here, are found to be intimately associated with AMF  
508 spores, increasing the AMF activity. Furthermore, they are often embedded in the outer  
509 or inner of the spore wall layers or the microniches formed by the peridial hyphae  
510 interwoven around the spores of various *Glomus* species, now assigned as *Rhizophagus*  
511 (Walley and Germida, 1995; Filippi et al. 1998; Roupheal et al. 2015; Selvakumar et al.  
512 2016). On the other hand, other authors maintain that bacteria belonging to the order  
513 *Acidobacteriales* can suppress AMF activity (Svenningsen et al. 2018). In addition, it is  
514 important to take into account that seeds have their microbiota, which comes from the  
515 flower microbiota (so-called anthosphere) and, therefore, bacteria can reside in and on  
516 seeds (Nelson, 2018; Johnston-Monje et al. 2021). In our investigation, as the seeds were  
517 sterilized, the bacteriome that lives inside the seeds may have contributed in some way to  
518 our results. However, there is still insufficient knowledge allowing us to determine which  
519 specific bacterial species would be helping AMF, as it will strongly depend on the  
520 associated soil and plant microbiome.

521 Our investigation is a breakthrough in the topic of dual microbe inoculation,  
522 shedding light on the beneficial use of *Rhizophagus clarus* and *Bacillus* sp. (potential new

523 species) to increase the <sup>33</sup>P uptake by maize plants under drought stress. Furthermore,  
524 given that our obtained dataset was composed of three clusters of variables according to  
525 the k-means algorithm, we concluded that AMF root colonization, soil EC, and the  
526 number of spores (first cluster) were the main drivers to explain the <sup>33</sup>P uptake, especially  
527 using AMF+PGPR under severe drought and, therefore, reinforcing the synergism  
528 between mycorrhizae and bacteria.

## 529 CONCLUSIONS

530 We conclude that *Rhizophagus clarus* and *Bacillus* sp. inoculation offers one potential  
531 strategy to promote nutrient acquisition by plants in the context of the increasing  
532 frequency of drought seen in most cropping regions of the world. This is supported by the  
533 enhanced uptake of <sup>33</sup>P in all inoculated plants at all moisture regimes in comparison to  
534 the uninoculated plants.

535 The synergistic response of *Rhizophagus clarus* and *Bacillus* sp. increased under drought  
536 conditions, representing greater stress tolerance. This supports the future use of microbial  
537 consortia as an inoculation technology to alleviate drought stress under future climate  
538 change scenarios, as expected in the coming future, resulting in longer dry periods and a  
539 decrease in rains in certain areas of the world.

540 Thus, future investigations should be carried out to test whether the microbes that have  
541 emerged here under water scarcity events can respond in the same way considering the  
542 presence of indigenous microbial communities. In addition, recent research has revealed  
543 the potential of microbes, especially bacteria, associated with Brazilian Caatinga biome  
544 plants as an inoculant in promoting plant growth under drought conditions. Therefore, the  
545 microbes tested in our investigation are potential candidates for a microbial inoculant in  
546 the near future, combining both bacteria and mycorrhizae.

## 547 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

548 We thank the São Paulo Research Foundation (FAPESP) (#2020/12751-4, #2019/13436-  
549 8, #2019/27682-0, and 2016/18944-3) for funding this study. In addition, Luís Fernando  
550 Baldesin and Denise Mescolotti collaborated with technicians of the Microbiology Lab  
551 of the Department of Soil Science at ESALQ/USP, Brazil. Thanks are also due to Sarah  
552 Chesworth and Jonathan Roberts for laboratory supervision at the Environment Centre  
553 Wales (ECW), United Kingdom. We would like to thank Emily Cooledge, Lucy

554 Greenfield, Nickolas Welsby, Luke Hillary, and Usman Hussain for all laboratory  
555 assistance at ECW, and Samuel E. Jones for critically reading the text and for all  
556 contributions.

## 557 REFERENCES

- 558 Adrian WJ (1973) A comparison of a wet pressure digestion method with other commonly  
559 used wet and dry-ashing methods. *Analyst* 98:213–216.  
560 <https://doi.org/10.1039/an9739800213>
- 561 Ahmad M, Pataczek L, Hilger TH, Zahir ZA, Hussain A, Rasche F, Schafleitner R,  
562 Solberg S (2018) Perspectives of microbial inoculation for sustainable development  
563 and environmental management. *Front Microbiol* 9:.  
564 <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2018.02992>
- 565 Aidar SDT, Chaves ARDM, Fernandes Júnior PI, Oliveira MS, Costa Neto BP, Junior  
566 TC, Morgante CV (2017) Vegetative desiccation tolerance of *Tripogon spicatus*  
567 (Poaceae) from the tropical semiarid region of northeastern Brazil. *Funct Plant Biol*  
568 44:1124–1133. <https://doi.org/10.1071/FP17066>
- 569 Al-Arjani ABF, Hashem A, Abd\_Allah EF (2020) Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi  
570 modulates dynamics tolerance expression to mitigate drought stress in *Ephedra*  
571 *foliata* Boiss. *Saudi J Biol Sci* 27:380–394.  
572 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sjbs.2019.10.008>
- 573 Al-Enazy AA, Al-Barakah F, Al-Oud S, Usman A (2018) Effect of phosphogypsum  
574 application and bacteria co-inoculation on biochemical properties and nutrient  
575 availability to maize plants in a saline soil. *Arch Agron Soil Sci* 64:1394–1406.  
576 <https://doi.org/10.1080/03650340.2018.1437909>
- 577 Alborno FE, Dixon KW, Lambers H (2021) Revisiting mycorrhizal dogmas: Are  
578 mycorrhizas really functioning as they are widely believed to do? *Soil Ecol Lett*  
579 3:73–82. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s42832-020-0070-2>
- 580 Almeida DS, Delai LB, Sawaya ACHF, Rosolem CA (2020) Exudation of organic acid  
581 anions by tropical grasses in response to low phosphorus availability. *Sci Rep* 10:1–  
582 8. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-73398-1>
- 583 Bai W, Kong L, Guo A (2013) Effects of physical properties on electrical conductivity of  
584 compacted lateritic soil. *J Rock Mech Geotech Eng* 5:406–411.  
585 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jrmge.2013.07.003>
- 586 Battini F, Grønlund M, Agnolucci M, Giovannetti M, Jakobsen I (2017) Facilitation of  
587 phosphorus uptake in maize plants by mycorrhizosphere bacteria article. *Sci Rep*  
588 7:1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-04959-0>
- 589 Beltrano J, Ronco MG (2008) Improved tolerance of wheat plants (*Triticum aestivum* L.)  
590 to drought stress and rewatering by the arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus *Glomus*  
591 *claroideum*: Effect on growth and cell membrane stability. *Brazilian J Plant Physiol*  
592 20:29–37. <https://doi.org/10.1590/S1677-04202008000100004>
- 593 Boucher A, Dalpé Y, Charest C (1999) Effect of arbuscular mycorrhizal colonization of  
594 four species of *Glomus* on physiological responses of maize. *J Plant Nutr* 22:783–  
595 797. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01904169909365671>

596 Bric JM, Bostock RM, Silverstone SE (1991) Rapid in situ assay for indoleacetic acid  
597 production by bacteria immobilized on a nitrocellulose membrane. *Appl Environ*  
598 *Microbiol* 57:535–538. <https://doi.org/10.1128/aem.57.2.535-538.1991>

599 Cardoso IM, Boddington CL, Janssen BH, Oenema O, Kuyper TW (2004) Double pot  
600 and double compartment: Integrating two approaches to study nutrient uptake by  
601 arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. *Plant Soil* 260:301–310.  
602 <https://doi.org/10.1023/B:PLSO.0000030180.95607.0b>

603 Carvalhais LC, Dennis PG, Fedoseyenko D, Hajirezaei MR, Borriss R, Wirén NV (2011)  
604 Root exudation of sugars, amino acids, and organic acids by maize as affected by  
605 nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium, and iron deficiency. *J Plant Nutr Soil Sci* 174:3–  
606 11. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jpln.201000085>

607 Chen Q, Deng X, Elzenga JTM, Dirk J (2022) Effect of soil bacteriomes on mycorrhizal  
608 colonization by *Rhizophagus irregularis* — interactive effects on maize (*Zea mays*  
609 *L.*) growth under salt stress. *Biol Fertil Soils*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00374-022-01636-x>

611 Chen W, Ye T, Sun Q, Niu T, Zhang J (2021) Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungus Alters  
612 Root System Architecture in *Camellia sinensis* L. as Revealed by RNA-Seq  
613 Analysis. *Front Plant Sci* 12:1–15. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2021.777357>

614 Czarnes S, Mercier PE, Lemoine DG, Hamzaoui J, Legendre L (2020) Impact of soil  
615 water content on maize responses to the plant growth-promoting rhizobacterium  
616 *Azospirillum lipoferum* CRT1. *J Agron Crop Sci* 206:505–516.  
617 <https://doi.org/10.1111/jac.12399>

618 Dhillon J, Torres G, Driver E, Figueiredo B, Raun WR (2017) World phosphorus use  
619 efficiency in cereal crops. *Agron J* 109:1670–1677.  
620 <https://doi.org/10.2134/agronj2016.08.0483>

621 Dutta SC, Neog B (2017) Inoculation of Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi and Plant Growth  
622 Promoting Rhizobacteria in Modulating Phosphorus Dynamics in Turmeric  
623 Rhizosphere. *Natl Acad Sci Lett* 40:445–449. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40009-017-0582-1>

625 Eckert CT, Frigo EP, Albrecht LP, Albrecht AJP, Christ D, Santos WG, Berkembrock E,  
626 Egewarth VA (2018) Maize ethanol production in Brazil: Characteristics and  
627 perspectives. *Renew Sustain Energy Rev* 82:3907–3912.  
628 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2017.10.082>

629 FAO - The Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (2021) The impact  
630 of disasters and crises on agriculture and food security

631 Fernandes-Júnior PI, Aida S de T, Morgante CV, Gava CAT, Zilli JE, Souza LSB,  
632 Marinho RCN, Nóbrega RSA, Brasil MS, Seido SL, Martins LMV (2015) The  
633 resurrection plant *Tripogon spicatus* (Poaceae) harbors a diversity of plant growth  
634 promoting bacteria in northeastern Brazilian caatinga. *Rev Bras Cienc do Solo*  
635 39:993–1002. <https://doi.org/10.1590/01000683rbc20140646>

636 Fisher E, Thornton B, Hudson G, Edwards AC (1998) The variability in total and  
637 extractable soil phosphorus under a grazed pasture. *Plant Soil* 203:249–255.  
638 <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1004375221616>

- 639 Filippi C, Bagnoli G, Citernesi AS, Giovannetti M (1998) Ultrastructural spatial  
640 distribution of bacteria associated with sporocarps of *Glomus mosseae*. *Symbiosis*  
641 24:1–12
- 642 Florio A, Pommier T, Gervais J, Bérard A, Roux XL (2017) Soil C and N statuses  
643 determine the effect of maize inoculation by plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria  
644 on nitrifying and denitrifying communities. *Sci Rep* 7:1–12.  
645 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-08589-4>
- 646 Giovannetti M, Mosse B (1980) Giovannetti and mosse 1980.pdf. *New Phytol* 84:489–  
647 500
- 648 Glick BR (2012) *Plant Growth-Promoting Bacteria: Mechanisms and Applications*.  
649 Scientifica (Cairo) 2012. <https://doi.org/10.6064/2012/963401>
- 650 Gollotte A, Van Tuinen D, Atkinson D (2004) Diversity of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi  
651 colonising roots of the grass species *Agrostis capillaris* and *Lolium perenne* in a field  
652 experiment. *Mycorrhiza* 14:111–117. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00572-003-0244-7>
- 653 Hallsworth JE, Nomura Y, Iwahara M (1998) Ethanol-induced water stress and fungal  
654 growth. *J Ferment Bioeng* 86:451–456. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0922-338X\(98\)80150-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0922-338X(98)80150-5)
- 656 Hassani MA, Durán P, Hacquard S (2018) Microbial interactions within the plant  
657 holobiont. *Microbiome* 6:1–17. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4419-9863-7\\_100630](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4419-9863-7_100630)
- 658 Haq IU, Zhang M, Yang P, Van Elsland JD (2014) *The interactions of bacteria with fungi  
659 in soilP: Emerging concepts*, 1st edn. Elsevier Inc.
- 660 Hestrin R, Hammer EC, Mueller CW, Lehmann J (2019) Synergies between mycorrhizal  
661 fungi and soil microbial communities increase plant nitrogen acquisition. *Commun  
662 Biol* 2. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s42003-019-0481-8>
- 663 Hoagland DR, Arnon DI (1950) The water-culture method for growing plants without  
664 soil. *Calif Agric Exp Stn Circ* 347 Berkeley
- 665 Jakobsen I, Abbott LK, Robson AD (1992) External hyphae of vesicular—arbuscular  
666 mycorrhizal fungi associated with *Trifolium subterraneum* L. 2. Hyphal transport of  
667 32P over defined distances. *New Phytol* 120:509–516.  
668 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.1992.tb01800.x>
- 669 Jansa J, Mozafar A, Frossard E (2005) Phosphorus acquisition strategies within  
670 arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal community of a single field site. *Plant Soil* 276:163–  
671 176. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-005-4274-0>
- 672 Jansson NF, Allen RL, Skogsmo G, Tavakoli S (2022) Principal component analysis and  
673 K-means clustering as tools during exploration for Zn skarn deposits and industrial  
674 carbonates, Sala area, Sweden. *J Geochemical Explor* 233.  
675 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gexplo.2021.106909>
- 676 Ji L, Tan W, Chen X (2019) Arbuscular mycorrhizal mycelial networks and glomalin-  
677 related soil protein increase soil aggregation in Calcaric Regosol under well-watered  
678 and drought stress conditions. *Soil Tillage Res* 185:1–8.  
679 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.still.2018.08.010>
- 680 Jiang F, Zhang L, Zhou J, George TS, Feng G (2021) Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi

Field Code Changed

681 enhance mineralisation of organic phosphorus by carrying bacteria along their  
682 extraradical hyphae. *New Phytol* 230:304–315. <https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.17081>

683 Jongen M, Albadran B, Beyschlag W, Unger S (2022) Can arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi  
684 mitigate drought stress in annual pasture legumes? *Plant Soil* 472:295–310.  
685 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-021-05233-z>

686 Johansson JF, Paul LR, Finlay RD (2004) Microbial interactions in the mycorrhizosphere  
687 and their significance for sustainable agriculture. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* 48:1–13.  
688 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.femsec.2003.11.012>

689 Johnston-Monje D, Gutiérrez JP, Lopez-Lavalle LAB (2021) Seed-Transmitted Bacteria  
690 and Fungi Dominate Juvenile Plant Microbiomes. *Front Microbiol* 12:.  
691 <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2021.737616>

692 Kakouridis A, Hagen JA, Kan MP, Mambelli S, Feldman LJ, Herman DJ, Pett-Ridge J,  
693 Firestone MK (2020) Routes to Roots: Direct Evidence of Water Transport by  
694 Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi to Host Plants. *bioRxiv* 4:1–23.  
695 <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.09.21.305409>

696 Kiers ET, Duhamel M, Beesetty Y, Mensah JA, Franken O, Verbruggen E, Fellbaum CR,  
697 Kowalchuk GA, Hart MM, Bago A, Palmer TM, West SA, Vandenkoornhuys P,  
698 Jansa J, Buet al (2011) Reciprocal Rewards Stabilize Cooperation in the Mycorrhizal  
699 Symbiosis. *Science* (80) 333:880–883

700 Kuss AV, Kuss VV, Lovato T, Flôres ML (2007) Nitrogen fixation and in vitro  
701 production of indolacetic acid by endophytic diazotrophic bacteria. *Pesqui Agropecu*  
702 *Bras* 42:1459–1465. <https://doi.org/10.1590/s0100-204x2007001000013>

703 Larimer AL, Clay K, Bever JD (2014) Synergism and context dependency of interactions  
704 between arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and rhizobia with a prairie legume. *Ecology*  
705 95:1045–1054. <https://doi.org/10.1890/13-0025.1>

706 Li J, Meng B, Chai H, Yang X, Song W, Li S, Lu A, Zhang T, Sun W (2019) Arbuscular  
707 mycorrhizal fungi alleviate drought stress in C3 (*Leymus chinensis*) and C4  
708 (*hemarthria altissima*) grasses via altering antioxidant enzyme activities and  
709 photosynthesis. *Front Plant Sci* 10:1–12. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2019.00499>

710 Li X, Quan X, Mang M, Neumann G, Melchinger A, Ludewig U (2021) Flint maize root  
711 mycorrhization and organic acid exudates under phosphorus deficiency: Trends in  
712 breeding lines and doubled haploid lines from landraces. *J Plant Nutr Soil Sci*  
713 184:346–359. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jpln.202000471>

714 Lopes MJ dos S, Dias-Filho MB, Gurgel ESC (2021) Successful Plant Growth-Promoting  
715 Microbes: Inoculation Methods and Abiotic Factors. *Front Sustain Food Syst* 5:1–  
716 13. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fsufs.2021.606454>

717 Ma X, Li X, Ludewig U (2021) Arbuscular mycorrhizal colonization outcompetes root  
718 hairs in maize under low phosphorus availability. *Ann Bot* 127:155–166.  
719 <https://doi.org/10.1093/aob/mcaa159>

720 Margalef O, Sardans J, Fernández-Martínez M, Molowny-Horas R, Janssens IA, Ciais P,  
721 Goll D, Richter A, Obersteiner M, Asensio D, Peñuelas J (2017) Global patterns of  
722 phosphatase activity in natural soils. *Sci Rep* 7:1–13.  
723 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-01418-8>

- 724 Marx MC, Wood M, Jarvis SC (2001) A microplate fluorimetric assay for the study of  
725 enzyme diversity in soils. *Soil Biol Biochem* 33:1633–1640.  
726 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0038-0717\(01\)00079-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0038-0717(01)00079-7)
- 727 Mawarda PC, Le Roux X, Dirk van Elsas J, Salles JF (2020) Deliberate introduction of  
728 invisible invaders: A critical appraisal of the impact of microbial inoculants on soil  
729 microbial communities. *Soil Biol Biochem* 148:107874.  
730 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2020.107874>
- 731 Murphy J, Riley JP (1962) A modified single solution method for the determination of  
732 phosphate in natural waters. *Eurasian J Soil Sci* 27:31–36.  
733 <https://doi.org/10.18393/ejss.477560>
- 734 Muyzer G, Waal EC De, Uitterlinden DAG (1993) Profiling of Complex Microbial  
735 Populations by Denaturing Gradient Gel Electrophoresis Analysis of Polymerase  
736 Chain Reaction-Amplified Genes Coding for 16S rRNA. *Appl Environ Microbiol*  
737 59:695–700
- 738 Nanjundappa A, Bagyaraj DJ, Saxena AK, Kumar M, Chakdar H (2019) Interaction  
739 between arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and *Bacillus* spp. In soil enhancing growth of  
740 crop plants. *Fungal Biol Biotechnol* 6:1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40694-019-0086-5>
- 742 Nannipieri P, Giagnoni L, Landi L, Renella G (2011) Chapter 9 Role of Phosphatase  
743 Enzymes in Soil. In: *Phosphorus in Action*. pp 215–243
- 744 Naylor D, Coleman-Derr D (2018) Drought stress and root-associated bacterial  
745 communities. *Front Plant Sci* 8:1–16. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2017.02223>
- 746 Neumann J, Matzner E (2013) Biomass of extramatrical ectomycorrhizal mycelium and  
747 fine roots in a young Norway spruce stand - a study using ingrowth bags with  
748 different substrates. *Plant Soil* 371:435–446. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-013-1701-5>
- 750 Nelson EB (2018) The seed microbiome: Origins, interactions, and impacts. *Plant Soil*  
751 422:7–34. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-017-3289-7>
- 752 Olanrewaju OS, Glick BR, Babalola OO (2017) Mechanisms of action of plant growth  
753 promoting bacteria. *World J Microbiol Biotechnol* 33:1–16.  
754 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11274-017-2364-9>
- 755 Pankaj U, Singh DN, Mishra P, Gaur P, Vivek Babu CS, Shanker K, Verma RK (2020)  
756 Autochthonous halotolerant plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria promote bacoside  
757 A yield of *Bacopa monnieri* (L.) Nash and phytoextraction of salt-affected soil.  
758 *Pedosphere* 30:671–683. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1002-0160\(20\)60029-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1002-0160(20)60029-7)
- 759 Pauwels R, Jansa J, Püschel D, Müller A, Graefe J, Kolb S, Bitterlich M (2020) Root  
760 growth and presence of *Rhizophagus irregularis* distinctly alter substrate hydraulic  
761 properties in a model system with *Medicago truncatula*. *Plant Soil* 457:131–151.  
762 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-020-04723-w>
- 763 Pavinato PS, Cherubin MR, Soltangheisi A, Rocha GC, Chadwick DR, Jones DL (2020a)  
764 Revealing soil legacy phosphorus to promote sustainable agriculture in Brazil. *Sci*  
765 *Rep* 10:1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-72302-1>
- 766 Pavinato PS, Rocha GC, Cherubin MR, Harris I, Jones DL, Withers PJA (2020b) Map of

767 total phosphorus content in native soils of Brazil. *Sci Agric* 78:1–5.  
768 <https://doi.org/10.1590/1678-992x-2020-0077>

769 Pearson JN, Jakobsen I (1993) The relative contribution of hyphae and roots to  
770 phosphorus uptake by arbuscular mycorrhizal plants, measured by dual labelling  
771 with <sup>32</sup>P and <sup>33</sup>P. *New Phytol* 124:489–494. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.1993.tb03840.x>

773 Pérez-De-Luque A, Tille S, Johnson I, Pascual-Pardo D, Ton J, Cameron DD (2017) The  
774 interactive effects of arbuscular mycorrhiza and plant growth-promoting  
775 rhizobacteria synergistically enhance host plant defences against pathogen. *Sci Rep*  
776 7:1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-16697-4>

777 Pérez Castro S, Cleland EE, Wagner R, Sawad RA, Lipson DA (2019) Soil microbial  
778 responses to drought and exotic plants shift carbon metabolism. *ISME J* 13:1776–  
779 1787. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41396-019-0389-9>

780 Prudêncio de Araújo VLV, Lira Junior MA, Souza Júnior VS de, Araújo Filho JC,  
781 Fracetto FJC, Andreote FD, Pereira APA, Mendes Júnior JP, Barros FMR, Fracetto  
782 GGM (2020) Bacteria from tropical semiarid temporary ponds promote maize  
783 growth under hydric stress. *Microbiol Res* 240:126564.  
784 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micres.2020.126564>

785 Quiroga G, Erice G, Ding L, Chaumont F, Aroca R, Ruiz-Lozano JM (2019) The  
786 arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis regulates aquaporins activity and improves root  
787 cell water permeability in maize plants subjected to water stress. *Plant Cell Environ*  
788 42:2274–2290. <https://doi.org/10.1111/pce.13551>

789 R Core Team (2017) R: a language and environment for statistical computing. R  
790 Foundation for Statistical Computing Vienna, Austria. <https://www.r-project.org/>

791 Ramette A (2007) Multivariate analyses in microbial ecology. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol*  
792 62:142–160. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6941.2007.00375.x>

793 Rhodes LH, Gerdemann JW (1975) Phosphate Uptake Zones of Mycorrhizal and Non-  
794 Mycorrhizal Onions Author (s): L. H. Rhodes and J. W. Gerdemann Published  
795 by: Wiley on behalf of the New Phytologist Trust Stable URL:  
796 <https://www.jstor.org/stable/2431598> PHOSPHATE UPTAKE ZONES OF MYC.  
797 *New Phytol* 75:555–561

798 Rolfe SA, Griffiths J, Ton J (2019) Crying out for help with root exudates: adaptive  
799 mechanisms by which stressed plants assemble health-promoting soil microbiomes.  
800 *Curr Opin Microbiol* 49:73–82. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mib.2019.10.003>

801 Roupael Y, Franken P, Schneider C, Schwarz D, Giovannetti M, Agnolucci M, Pascale  
802 S, Bonini P, Colla G (2015) Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi act as biostimulants in  
803 horticultural crops. *Sci Hortic (Amsterdam)* 196:91–108.  
804 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.2015.09.002>

805 Saia S, Rappa V, Ruisi P, Abenavoli MR, Sunseri F, Giambalvo D, Frenda AS, Martinelli  
806 F (2015) Soil inoculation with symbiotic microorganisms promotes plant growth and  
807 nutrient transporter genes expression in durum wheat. *Front Plant Sci* 6:1–10.  
808 <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2015.00815>

809 Santoyo G, Gamalero E, Glick BR (2021) Mycorrhizal-Bacterial Amelioration of Plant



810 Abiotic and Biotic Stress. *Front Sustain Food Syst* 5:  
811 <https://doi.org/10.3389/fsufs.2021.672881>

812 Scrase FM, Sinclair FL, Farrar JF, Pavinato PS, Jones DL (2019) Mycorrhizas improve  
813 the absorption of non-available phosphorus by the green manure *Tithonia*  
814 *diversifolia* in poor soils. *Rhizosphere* 9:27–33.  
815 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rhisph.2018.11.001>

816 Selvakumar G, Krishnamoorthy R, Kim K, Sa TM (2016) Genetic diversity and  
817 association characters of bacteria isolated from arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal spore  
818 walls. *PLoS One* 11:1–16. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0160356>

819 Sendek A, Karakoç C, Wagg C, Domínguez-Begines J, Couto GM, Van der Heijden  
820 MGA, Naz AA, Lochner A, Chatzinotas A, Klotz S, Gómez-Aparicio L, Eisenhauer  
821 N (2019) Drought modulates interactions between arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal  
822 diversity and barley genotype diversity. *Sci Rep* 9:1–15.  
823 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-45702-1>

824 Silva AMM, Estrada-Bonilla GA, Lopes CM, Matteoli FP, Cotta SR, Feiler HP,  
825 Rodrigues YF, Cardoso EJB (2021) Does Organomineral Fertilizer Combined with  
826 Phosphate-Solubilizing Bacteria in Sugarcane Modulate Soil Microbial Community  
827 and Functions? *Microb Ecol*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00248-021-01855-z>

828 Smith SE, Read DJ (2008) *Mycorrhizal Symbiosis*

829 Smith A (2022) The Story of Rising Fertilizer Prices. *Agric Resour Econ* 25.  
830 [https://asmith.ucdavis.edu/news/story-rising-fertilizer-](https://asmith.ucdavis.edu/news/story-rising-fertilizer-prices#:~:text=US%20nitrogen%20fertilizer%20use%20increased,crops%20and%20increased%20fertilizer%20applications)  
831 [prices#:~:text=US%20nitrogen%20fertilizer%20use%20increased,crops%20and%20increased%20fertilizer%20applications](https://asmith.ucdavis.edu/news/story-rising-fertilizer-prices#:~:text=US%20nitrogen%20fertilizer%20use%20increased,crops%20and%20increased%20fertilizer%20applications) (Accessed: 30th May 2022).

832

833 Svenningsen NB, Watts-Williams SJ, Joner EJ, Battini F, Efthymiou A, Cruz-Paredes C,  
834 Nybroe O, Jakobsen I (2018) Suppression of the activity of arbuscular mycorrhizal  
835 fungi by the soil microbiota. *ISME J* 12:1296–1307. [https://doi.org/10.1038/s41396-](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41396-018-0059-3)  
836 [018-0059-3](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41396-018-0059-3)

837 Thomas GW (1996) Soil pH and soil acidity. *Methods Soil Anal Part 3 Chem Methods*  
838 475–490. <https://doi.org/10.2136/sssabookser5.3.c16>

839 Timmusk S, Abd El-Daim IA, Copolovici L, Tanilas T, Kännaste A, Behers L, Nevo  
840 E, Seisenbaeva G, Stenström E, Niinemets U (2014) Drought-tolerance of wheat  
841 improved by rhizosphere bacteria from harsh environments: Enhanced biomass  
842 production and reduced emissions of stress volatiles. *PLoS One* 9:  
843 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0096086>

844 Ulrich DEM, Sevanto S, Ryan M, Albright MBN, Johansen RB, Dunbar JM (2019) Plant-  
845 microbe interactions before drought influence plant physiological responses to  
846 subsequent severe drought. *Sci Rep* 9:1–10. [https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-36971-3)  
847 [36971-3](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-36971-3)

848 Verma SC, Ladha JK, Tripathi AK (2001) Evaluation of plant growth promoting and  
849 colonization ability of endophytic diazotrophs from deep water rice. *J Biotechnol*  
850 91:127–141. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-1656\(01\)00333-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-1656(01)00333-9)

851 Vierheilig H, Coughlan AP, Wyss U, Piché Y (1998) Ink and vinegar, a simple staining  
852 technique for arbuscular-mycorrhizal fungi. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 64:5004–5007.

- 853 <https://doi.org/10.1128/aem.64.12.5004-5007.1998>
- 854 Wang L, Wu J, Ma F, Yang J, Li S, Li Z, Zhang X (2015) Response of arbuscular  
855 mycorrhizal fungi to hydrologic gradients in the rhizosphere of phragmites australis  
856 (Cav.) Trin ex. steudel growing in the Sun Island Wetland. *Biomed Res Int* 2015:.  
857 <https://doi.org/10.1155/2015/810124>
- 858 Walley FL, Germida JJ (1995) Failure to decontaminate *Glomus clarum* NT4 spores is  
859 due to spore wall- associated bacteria. *Mycorrhiza* 6:43–49.  
860 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s005720050104>
- 861 WRB-FAO (2015) International soil classification system for naming soils and creating  
862 legends for soil maps. FOOD AND AGRICULTURE ORGANIZATION OF THE  
863 UNITED NATIONS, Rome.
- 864 Yang P, van Elsas JD (2018) Mechanisms and ecological implications of the movement  
865 of bacteria in soil. *Appl Soil Ecol* 129:112–120.  
866 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2018.04.014>
- 867 Zavaschi E, de Abreu Faria L, Ferraz-Almeida R, Nascimento CAC, Pavinato RO, Vitti  
868 AC, Vitti GC (2020) Dynamic of P Flux in Tropical Acid Soils Fertilized with  
869 Humic Acid–Complexed Phosphate. *J Soil Sci Plant Nutr* 20:1937–1948.  
870 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s42729-020-00265-3>
- 871 Zhang J, Bi Y, Song Z, Xiao L, Christie P (2021) Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi alter root  
872 and foliar responses to fissure-induced root damage stress. *Ecol Indic* 127:107800.  
873 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2021.107800>
- 874 Zhao C, Liu B, Piao S, Wang X, Lobell DB, Huang Y, Huang M, Yao Y, Bassu S, Ciais  
875 P, Durand JL, Elliott J, Ewert F, Janssens IA, Li T, Lin E, Liu Q, Martre P, Müller  
876 C, Peng S, Peñuelas J, Ruane AC, Wallach D, Wang T, Wu D, Liu Z, Zhu Y, Zhu  
877 Z, Asseng J (2017) Temperature increase reduces global yields of major crops in  
878 four independent estimates. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 114:9326–9331.  
879 <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1701762114>
- 880 Zhou J, Wilson GWT, Cobb AB, Zhang Y, Liu L, Zhang X, Sun F (2022) Mycorrhizal  
881 and rhizobial interactions influence model grassland plant community structure and  
882 productivity. *Mycorrhiza* 32:15–32. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00572-021-01061-2>