

## Exploring the Daily Hassles of Neophyte Cycling Coaches has been accepted in the International Sport Coaching Journal

Wood, Samuel; Richardson, Dave; Roberts, Simon; Fletcher, David

### International Sport Coaching Journal

DOI:

[10.1123/iscj.2023-0013](https://doi.org/10.1123/iscj.2023-0013)

E-pub ahead of print: 06/11/2023

Peer reviewed version

[Cyswllt i'r cyhoeddiad / Link to publication](#)

*Dyfyniad o'r fersiwn a gyhoeddwyd / Citation for published version (APA):*

Wood, S., Richardson, D., Roberts, S., & Fletcher, D. (2023). Exploring the Daily Hassles of Neophyte Cycling Coaches has been accepted in the International Sport Coaching Journal. *International Sport Coaching Journal*. Advance online publication. <https://doi.org/10.1123/iscj.2023-0013>

#### Hawliau Cyffredinol / General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal ?

#### Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



### Exploring the Daily Hassles of Neophyte Cycling Coaches

Journal:	<i>International Sport Coaching Journal</i>
Manuscript ID	ISCJ.2023-0013.R2
Manuscript Type:	Original Research
Keywords:	coach, novice, qualitative, sport, stressors

SCHOLARONE™  
Manuscripts

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7

**Manuscript title:** Exploring the Daily Hassles of Neophyte Cycling Coaches

**Submission date:** 9<sup>th</sup> February 2023

**Resubmission date:** 20<sup>th</sup> July 2023

For Peer Review

**Abstract**

Sport coaching is increasingly acknowledged as a stressful activity, especially for those coaching in community contexts. This highlights the significant need to identify the diverse sources of key stressors. The aim of this research was to explore the recurrent stressors experienced by novice coaches to better inform their coping strategies and reduce the drop-out rate caused by stress. The novelty of this research lies in its longitudinal exploration of the daily hassles experienced by community sport coaches within their coaching role. Ontologically and epistemologically positioned within the interpretivist paradigm, we interviewed eight recently qualified cycling coaches over an 18-month period. Reflective thematic analysis developed three themes highlighting sources of stress over time: at the start of their participation, coaches discussed the hassles of *accessing facilities* and *struggling to fit in*; towards the end of their participation, coaches discussed *feeling isolated*. Results from this study can better inform the education and support delivered by national governing bodies of sport across the community and club landscape and increase sport psychology practitioners' awareness of the daily hassles experienced by coaches.

*Keywords:* coach, coaching, novice, qualitative, sport, stressors

### Exploring the Daily Hassles of Neophyte Cycling Coaches

Forty decades of stress research highlights the substantial, damaging impact of stress on mental health (e.g., psychological distress, depression, psychiatric disorders) and physical health behaviours (e.g., substance abuse, alcohol dependence, smoking, and excessive eating) (see Thoits, 2010; Umberson et al., 2008). Within the context of sport, coaching is increasingly acknowledged as a stressful occupation (Carson et al., 2019; Frey, 2007; Kelley et al., 1999; Levey et al., 2009). Literature examining coach stress has typically focused on elite settings (see Didymus, 2017; Fletcher & Scott, 2010; Ntwanano et al., 2017) with a range of stressors identified, including organisational stressors; scrutiny from parents, public, and the media; the demands and expectations of the coach role; athletes' performance; athlete injury, coachability, professionalism, attitude, and commitment (Norris et al., 2017). There is a significant positive relationship between the frequency of organisational stressors and burnout, surface acting (i.e., emotional displays that do not reflect an individual's true feelings), and subjective performance (Arnold & Fletcher, 2021). To this end, it is likely that psychological stress may contribute to the drop-out of around 200,000 coaches in the United Kingdom (U.K.) — around 20% of the workforce — each year (North, 2009; O'Connor & Bennie, 2006).

To maintain positive mental health, coaches may promote and protect positive functioning by balancing different demands, learning and reflecting, and developing those who they coach (Pankow et al., 2022). Yet there is insufficient evidence to inform the provision of mental health support for coaches (Sherwin, 2017). Moreover, sport coaching is a context-specific process that occurs in both (pressured) high-performance and (less intense) participation domains (Collins et al., 2022; Côté & Gilbert, 2009). In the U.K., the expansive (community based) coach role within the participation domain, might include collaborating with others (i.e.,

organisations and professionals); focusing on non-sport outcomes (i.e., social and health inequalities); and delivering government policy (i.e., physical and mental wellbeing; individual, economic, and social development) (Ives et al., 2021; Smith et al., 2021). Historically, stress has been emphasised as a contextualised and transactional process, which implies not all events are equally stressful for all individuals under all circumstances (Wright et al., 2020). Consequently, coaches face a range of stressors depending on their role, experience, and setting (i.e., coaches will experience different stressors if novice or expert, working in community or performance domains).

Crucially, stressor-related research has focused on the *type* (e.g., competitive, organisational, and personal; Rhind et al., 2013), rather than *dimensions* of stressors (Arnold & Fletcher, 2021). One dimension is frequency, relating to how often the stressor is experienced (Arnold et al., 2019; Arnold et al., 2013; Larner et al., 2016; Simms et al., 2020). This is important given its relation to performers' health, well-being, and performance (Arnold et al., 2019), and should be considered alongside intensity and duration (Arnold & Fletcher, 2021). The intensity of a stressor highlights the impact on the individual in terms of how much adjustment is needed to process it (Vagg & Spielberger, 1999). Duration relates to how long the stressor lasts and is best considered on a continuum, leaving ambiguity of where short-term stress ends and longer lasting stress starts (Smyth et al., 2013). Focusing on dimensions of stress identifies the small, mundane stressors experienced throughout the lifespan (Fletcher et al., 2006; Lazarus & Folkman, 1984; Wright et al., 2020). **These unpleasant, but transient stressors, caused by the friction of daily life, have been coined daily hassles** (Lazarus & DeLongis, 1983; Wright et al., 2020). Experienced frequently and for long time periods, hassles are not demanding in isolation (Chamberlain & Zika, 1990; Kanner et al., 1981; Wright et al., 2020) but can adversely impact

an individual's health and well-being (see Kohn, 1996; Lazarus & Folkman, 1984; McLean & Link, 1994; Wheaton, 1994). As such, the negative effects of hassles can far exceed those of major life events (Landreville & Vézina, 1992; Weinberger et al., 1987). This highlights the need for longitudinal studies examining experiences of stress.

Daily stress literature list numerous examples from a broad host of domains, such as weather, traffic, work demands, arguments, meeting a deadline, sleep disturbances, and financial concerns (Wright et al., 2020). Within a sport context, athlete hassles with a shorter duration might include receiving a bad call or making a game error (Anshel & Anderson, 2002; Anshel & Delaney, 2001; Anshel et al., 2000). Hassles with a longer duration might include retaining roster spots, managing one's lifestyle and media demands (Schinke et al., 2012), extended injury rehabilitation, and homesickness for immigrated athletes (Tenenbaum et al., 2003). Arguably, these examples are low in intensity and manageable in isolation, but regular, recurrent, and stressful when combined and experienced over time. Consequently, hassles change over time as they may be appraised as salient and harmful to well-being, health, and psychopathology (i.e., underlying psychobiological dysfunction) more widely (Arnold & Fletcher, 2021; Chamberlain & Zika, 1990; Lazarus, 1984; Wright et al., 2020). This highlights the need for longitudinal studies examining experiences of daily hassles.

There is a lack of research specifically examining the daily hassles of sport coaching, or how stressors change over time. Better understanding the stressors of coaching at the grassroots or community level is crucial as it is these coaches who are most likely to experience mental illness (e.g., depression and anxiety) and are typically unaware of strategies or policies regarding available mental health support (Smith et al., 2020). *Of the thirty-eight studies included in Norris et al.'s (2017) review, only three explicitly sampled coaches in a community context, with only*

one (see Stebbings et al., 2015) employing a longitudinal design. The novelty of this study is its longitudinal focus on the daily hassles (stressors experienced frequently, but not intense in isolation) of neophyte community sport coaches. The aim was to better understand coaches' experiences of everyday psychological stress (hassles), to increase the evidence-base that informs the provision of mental health support for coaches. This work extends previous qualitative research on community sport coaching (e.g., Cronin et al., 2018; Gale et al., 2023; Ives et al., 2021; Roberts et al., 2019) and advances sport coaching research, more broadly, by researching the under-explored, every day contexts of coaches (see Allen & Shaw, 2009, 2013; Stodter & Cushion, 2014, 2017). Findings will also better inform the support delivered by sport psychology practitioners and the coach education and development opportunities delivered by national governing bodies (NGBs) of sport.

## Method

### Study Design

This research explored individual's experiences of daily hassles in their coaching role over an 18-month period. This captured participants' experiences of daily hassles over a whole season within cycling. Ontologically, this work took a constructivist approach and was epistemologically positioned within the interpretivist paradigm. This respected the multiple realities of participants, rather than an absolute truth (Alvesson & Sckoldberg, 2009; Coe, 2012; Markula & Silk, 2011). The first and third authors had continued interactions with the NGB and the sport context providing insight into the cycling landscape and a depth of knowledge on the theories, concepts, and literature surrounding stress in sport (Levitt et al., 2017).

### Participants



Following institutional ethical approval, eight cycling coaches (2 female and 6 male) aged 32-73 years old ( $M=49$ ;  $SD=14.94$ ) were recruited to voluntarily participate. The NGB acted as a gatekeeper to participants. To ensure participants were independently leading the planning and delivery of coaching activities, purposive sampling focused on those who had recently completed a NGB Level 2 qualification. Participants gave signed consent and verbal assent to participate. To protect participant confidentiality, all names used are pseudonyms. All participants aligned with the same NGB within the U.K. The NGB is in the top half of Olympic funded sports in the U.K. Operating nationally, with responsibility for the government and development of sport from grassroots participation to the international stage, the organisation is supported by 12,500 volunteers at a regional level.

**Data Collection**

Interviews are a widely used qualitative data collection method in sport and exercise science, creating conversations where participants can interact, reflect, and reconstruct their experiences, reaching shared meanings and understanding, offering insights into complex, specific life events (Roberts, 2020; Sparkes & Smith, 2016). Positioned within the interpretivist paradigm, interviews were socially constructed, where the first author and participants played equal roles in creating the narrative (Brinkman & Kvale, 2015; Rubin & Rubin, 2011; Smith, 2009; Smith & Deemer, 2000). This dialogue progressed towards making sense of and determining meaning of specific experiences in relation to daily hassles, reflecting a narrative truth, rather than objective truth in some pristine form (Roberts, 2020; Sparkes & Smith, 2016). This created multiple layers of truths, uncovering each person's character, values, and idiosyncrasies across various situations.

At the start of their participation, coaches were recently qualified. Semi-structured interviews ( $N=23$  interviews), ranging from 30.72 minutes to 101.62 minutes in length ( $M=59.34$ ;  $SD=17.70$ ), were conducted using an interview guide developed in line with Castillo-Montoya's (2016) four phase process (i.e., aligning interview questions with research questions; constructing an inquiry-based conversation; receiving feedback on interview protocols; and piloting the interview protocol). The guide served as prompts, more than questions, allowing discussions to follow the flow of conversation and emerging issues (Jimenez & Orozco, 2021; Purdy, 2014; Thelwell et al., 2008). Coaches were interviewed regularly (frequency ranged from 5 to 10;  $M=6.12$ ; see Table 1) during the 18-month period to understand their occupational practice and everyday action over time (Townsend & Cushion, 2021). This enabled the identification of temporal changes across lives and exploration of responses to change (Hermanowicz, 2013). Over time, the first author became more familiar with participants, and as rapport strengthened, interviews became more spontaneous and conversational in nature. This flexibility in questioning was key, demonstrating the rules of everyday conversation, enhancing the quality of the interview data as coaches' experiences became more divergent (Aldiabat & LeNavenec, 2018; Riessman, 2008; Turner, 2010). Consequently, unstructured interviews ( $N=26$  interviews), ranging from 13.42 to 89.85 minutes in length ( $M=54.77$ ;  $SD=21.25$ ), complemented semi-structured interviews.

All interviews ( $N=49$ ) were audio recorded (totaling 46 hours) to capture the topic and dynamics of the conversation. Audio files provided an opportunity to reflect, review, and recall the interview dialogue and make sense of the participants' wider stress experiences (Kvale & Brinkmann, 2009). All audio recordings were transcribed verbatim, producing 410,223 words

across 2,739 pages of single-spaced text. This created denaturalised, polished, and selective transcripts that prioritised verbal speech (Oliver et al., 2005; Riessman, 2008).

### **Data Analysis**

Thematic analysis was employed to conceptualise patterns of shared meaning across the data set in relation to the central meaning that themes captured (Braun & Clarke, 2021). The analysis was inductive, 'grounded in' the data, 'inescapably informed' by the paradigmatic, epistemological, and ontological assumptions of the study (Braun & Clarke, 2021, p. 331). To enable conceptual coherence, a reflexive thematic analysis was used to complement the constructionist positioning of the research (Braun & Clarke, 2013, 2019). This utilised the subjective skills of the researcher as an analytic resource (Braun & Clarke, 2021).

In line with a reflexive thematic analysis approach, there was no development or application of a codebook (Braun & Clarke, 2021). Instead, semantic coding, interpretative and conceptual across the analysis, provided a descriptive analysis as communicated by participants (Byrne, 2022; Trainor & Bundon, 2021). Meaning resided at the intersection of the data and the first author's contextual, theoretically embedded, interpretative practices – meaning knowledge was constructed, rather than discovered (Braun & Clarke, 2021). Codes formed the basis of repeated patterns across the data set that could be grouped in a meaningful way. Codes were combined, refined, separated, or discarded, paying attention to contradictions, tensions, and inconsistencies with meaning within the data (Braun & Clarke, 2006). Different iterations of code clusters were tracked on an Excel spreadsheet (Byrne, 2022; Trainor & Bundon, 2021). Tentative themes were then developed for each cluster, creating a 'thematic map', where the relationship among codes was actively constructed, examined, and informed by the narrative of each theme (Braun et al., 2016; Byrne, 2022). This provided insight to the significance of

individual themes (Braun & Clarke, 2006). Each theme was considered in relation to the research question, producing a coherent and internally consistent account that fitted into the broader overall story (Braun & Clarke, 2021). Theme titles were further refined during the write up of the study's findings.

There was potential for constantly new understanding and insights within the data (Braun & Clarke, 2021; Low, 2019; Mason, 2010). Coding quality came from the depth of engagement – dwelling with the data (Ho et al., 2017) – and the situated, reflexive interpretation process. The reader is asked to judge if they share our understanding of what constitutes codes and themes, outlined above, considering the study's paradigmatic, ontological, and epistemological assumptions about meaningful knowledge and knowledge production (Braun & Clarke, 2021).

#### **Methodological Integrity**

The interpretive qualitative methods reported in this study are packed with several layers of truth, offering a representation of reality by revealing an interconnected, multi-dimensional narrative experienced by the individuals in question (Salla, 1993). Positioned within a constructionist epistemology, this research focused on understanding individual's experiences of stress, through transactional critical incidents (Lazarus & Folkman, 1984). Here, social reality was a product of how participants, both individually and collectively, made sense of daily hassles in their social world (Markula & Silk, 2011; Smith, 1989). Member checking was avoided because its ontological assumption clashed with the ontological relativism of the study (Motulsky, 2021). To increase integrity, participants' points were clarified during interviews. Inter-rater reliability was also avoided because of power differentials between the research team (Smith & McGannon, 2018).

This study recruited a small sample. Yet justifying rigour through sample size conflicts with the organic version of thematic analysis used (Braun et al., 2016; Dworkin, 2012). Instead, we achieve higher information power through a narrow study aim and specific sample criteria (i.e., neophyte coaches with knowledge and experience of daily hassles; Aldiabat & LeNavenec, 2018). Quality interview data was achieved through a focus on rich (layered, intricate, and detailed) and thick (quantity) data (Aldiabat & LeNavenec, 2018; Burmeister & Aitken, 2012; Dibley, 2011). In addition, the numerous quotations shared in the results demonstrate the width and credibility of this work (Burke, 2016). We feel this work offers a substantive contribution to understanding social life, and we have strived to be transparent in our work, including a vivid description of the analysis strategy used (Aldiabat & LeNavenec, 2018; Burke, 2016). The research team aligned to the same research paradigm, acting as critical friends to the first author to best support the application of methods, structuring the data collection and analysis process, providing researcher reflexivity and exploration of different socio-psychological contexts (Levitt et al., 2017).

## Results

The present study explored the daily hassles of sport coaching. Three themes were developed from the analysis: *accessing facilities*, *struggling to fit in*, and *left in the cold*. Although interviews were conducted throughout coaches' participation, findings are presented under two headings: 'Entering the coaching role', capturing hassles at the start of coaches' participation, and the themes *accessing facilities*, and *struggling to fit in*; and 'established in the coaching role', capturing hassles towards the end of coaches' participation, and the theme *left in the cold*. This reflects the change in hassles over time. These are presented in Table 2 and discussed below. Although this might appear simplistic, developed themes provide overarching

patterns across the data in relation to coaching, more than the complexity of participants' lives during their participation.

### **Entering the Coaching Role**

As participants entered their coaching role, the daily hassles they experienced related to the new world they had entered. In this section, we discuss the themes of accessing facilities and struggling to fit in.

### ***Accessing Facilities***

Accessing facilities included the hassles of needing further training beyond their initial NGB qualification, the financial barrier of accessing venues, and the seasonal challenge of coaching. Some coaches needed to undertake further training to access facilities, specifically those wanting to coach in discipline-specific spaces. For example, Joe “levelled-up” his qualification to access the trails in his mountain bike club and Adam completed additional training to deliver the “in-house” rider programme at the velodrome. Hiring facilities also brought a financial barrier. James wanted to take riders to his local velodrome — to offer riders variety, and use his Track-specific qualification — but the cost made it prohibitive:

It was in excess of £900 for two hours. We've only got 10 kids, so I can't go to each kid and ask for £90... then you've got to [travel], too – that's a two hour drive, or an hour and forty on the train, if you can get your bike on the train, which is a definite no-go in rush hour [...] If I lived [closer], I'd be there all day, every day. It means using the velodrome is a no-no, so I'll be taking [the riders somewhere local], because that's relatively cheap and I'll only have to charge each one £5 or £10 and I'll cover the shortfall [...] they have bikes we can hire, too. Only downside is that they want their own coaches there, but that's an insurance thing. (*James*)

Coaches also experienced hassles associated with the terrain of their physical coaching environment. Joe struggled to access the park where he delivered sessions during the autumn and winter months because it often closed due to flooding from the rain. Peter and Chris's club did not have their own facility, so they used local primary schools. This tied in with the club's focus of growing their junior membership, but the lack of artificial lighting restricted their coaching to summer school-term times.

### *Struggling to fit in*

On entering their coaching role, coaches discussed how they struggled to fit in with their peers. Hassles included clubs being set in their ways, poor communication, a variation in coaching, and misaligned goals. This was made worse by their strong connection with the NGB — they were motivated, and proud, to have gained the social status of “Coach”. The NGB qualifications endorsed their knowledge, with coaches discussing the comfort they felt knowing they coached “the [NGB] way” (James). Moreover, identifying their development needs as they engaged with riders, coaches became motivated to progress through the NGB's education pathway, strengthening and shaping their relationship with the NGB. However, this strong alignment with the NGB, and doing things the NGB way, caused challenges when coaches tried to embed themselves into established clubs. Even though they had completed their training to qualify as coaches in these settings, establishing themselves as a qualified coach – and an equal – was challenging. There was a difference between the coaching they had been expected to deliver through their formal education and the coaching they witnessed at their facilities. This hassle was low in intensity, but a regular feature of sessions:

I started to watch the coaches a little bit more to see what they were doing and some of them haven't got a clue. I emailed [the tutor] and said, “What you've told me to do on

the course, I'm going to be crossing wires here and ruffling some feathers". He emailed back saying, "What do you mean?", and I said, "They've got no idea of the concept of a warm up, it's just full on, straight away"... they came out of the session, and they were done in, they were just flat out, but they only did 30 laps. (*Adam*)

These clubs were "set in their ways" (Louise) and participants' ideas could come across as too different and too big of a change. Coaches discussed disagreeing with some of the techniques their peers coached but did not feel they "cared enough" to be corrected (Louise). Some felt their clubs were under-resourced, leaving coaches with perceived responsibility to stay involved with the club. The challenge was having peers "happy and on-board" with new ideas, "without rocking the boat too much" (Louise):

I turned up at those Tuesday evening development centres and thought, 'Crikey, this is a whole other level compared to Saturday mornings, which now feels like some sort of OAP pedestrian activity' [...] The kids in the club are never going to win races with our current approach to training, that's a fact, and then they're going to leave. (*Louise*)

Another aspect of coaches' struggles to fit in was session planning. Sharing the planning responsibility was intended to reduce stress, splitting the workload. However, coaches felt the more experienced, and sometimes senior, coaches they worked with were unreliable, haphazard, unpredictable, and inconsistent with planning activities. When they did provide a session plan, it "was on the back of a cigarette packet or something" (Joe), which created differences in the standards, and perceived quality, of coaching sessions. This struggle to "get eyes on session plans" and "chasing" (Louise) those who they coached with, left coaches feeling unprepared for sessions and questioning their abilities:



297        You have to be adaptable to the needs of the person in front of you, but this very laid-  
298        back approach, where we don't plan what we're doing, or who we're coaching, or where  
299        we're taking them until we get there is frustrating... I'm not at a stage where I have the  
300        knowledge to comfortably just go, "Yes, let's do sprinting and this is exactly the thing we  
301        need to do" [...] One day I turned up and it turned out [the head coach] had told the kids  
302        that he was doing a Mountain bike session, so all the kids were getting these old shitty  
303        mountain bikes and cyclo-cross bikes from the container [...] I had my road bike, but I  
304        have a mountain bike that I could have brought if he had told me [...] If you're not going  
305        to communicate to me that I need to bring a different bike, how do you expect me to  
306        continue to turn up to this? [...] Just the way the club is run, and the most basic thing of  
307        him deciding what a coaching session is going to look like without even letting me know.  
308        How am I meant to contribute to that, or plan for the coaching session? (*Louise*)  
309        A lack of information before sessions also hindered coaches' ability to plan:  
310        I've asked for their names beforehand, and I've kind of stalked them a little on Facebook,  
311        and one or two of them I've added, and said, "Just so I can give you the best day for you,  
312        on Sunday, can you let me know what you're hoping to work on and what kind of trails  
313        you can ride?" [...] I might get three out of five of them reply, so that'll be our plan [...] I  
314        just take a page, write down what I did in the last one and just jot down what I'm going to  
315        work on. Then come Sunday morning, [one] will turn up and she's never done it before,  
316        or she's really skilled and she'll totally throw whatever sort of plan I have. (*Beth*)  
317        This difficulty to embed themselves in clubs meant coaches were left managing fragile  
318        relationships with their peers. Moreover, however, they were unable to prepare for sessions. This  
319        left them feeling that riders, especially those with more advanced technical skills, tested their

abilities and knowledge as coaches. This knocked participants' confidence, leaving them questioning their legitimacy as coaches.

### **Established in the Coaching Role**

As participants became established in their coaching role, nearing the end of their participation in this study, the daily hassles they experienced related to being jaded and isolated. In this section, we discuss the themes of being left in the cold.

### ***Left in the Cold***

When coaches first entered the coaching role, they felt a strong association with the NGB; their new qualification endorsed their knowledge, and they liked coaching the NGB way. Yet a year into their participation, when established in their role, this had changed, and coaches felt disconnected from the NGB. At this point, coaches discussed how this impacted their long-term relationship with the NGB. Coaches came to view the NGB as a certificate provider who simply supplied them with the resources, knowledge, and certificate that enabled them to coach. As such, coaches described a transactional relationship with the NGB:

[The NGB] run a course that gives you a certificate that says, 'You can do this', and that's it, really. [...] You get the materials you need. So, they set you up to be a coach, and I think that's how I see it. But the fact that they don't have any post-course checks, to me, means that they have no involvement anymore. (*Peter*)

To address this disconnect, coaches wanted a NGB 'kit' – a wearable uniform – to endorse and communicate their knowledge and status to those who they coached. Without it, as coaches distanced themselves from the NGB, they identified more strongly as club coaches. They also disconnected from the NGB's focus on elite cycling and winning Olympic medals, which was removed from their community coaching environment:

[The NGB's] adverts, the quotes and what appears to be the ethos, is towards the racing end of things. That's fine. I have no problem with that because every racer has got to start somewhere. They've got to start with a love of cycling and get on with it. So, if I can do that with the children that I have in my groups, then I'm more than happy [...] [The NGB] needs people like us. (*Peter*)

When entering their coaching role, coaches were keen to coach "the [NGB] way". Yet when established in their role, coaches discussed how this negatively impacted their practice. This left them unable to deliver the coaching required by riders and "offering advice" to stay within their insurance remit (Louise). For some, progressing through the coach education pathway remedied this hassle by changing their coaching remit. Yet those who could not, or lacked the interest to, progress through the pathway, were left with this hassle. This contrasts against participants' motivations to progress through the NGB's qualifications upon entering their coaching role, where the focus was to strengthen their relationship with the NGB. This links to the hassle of completing further training, identified previously. Remember, upon entering the coach role, Adam needed to attend additional training to be able to coach at his chosen facility. A year into coaching, Adam was still travelling a "50-mile round trip", numerous times, voluntarily observing and delivering sessions, completing this training, and still not coaching independently:

The Velodrome might as well teach me the [rider programme] that I'm learning now, without me paying [to complete the NGB coaching award] [...] I'm never going to work on a [NGB] race, and that's the only thing you need a [NGB] qualification for, so you might as well be taught by the Track and pay the Track and then you've done what they want straight away, and it would be a lot less process [...] I haven't got one of those

## NEOPHYTE COACHES' EXPERIENCES OF DAILY HASSLES

18

[National] jobs I want... I can't understand why you would have to do a [National] qualification when you're actually going to do something else for someone else (*Adam*)

**Findings show that, over time,** coaches became clearer on their own goals, motivations, and focus, evaluating their place in the club structure(s) they were embedding themselves. **Consequently, the hassle of 'fitting in' persisted through coaches' participation in this study. On entering their role, coaches discussed struggling to offer ideas, and this was still the case a year into their coaching. In addition, over time,** clubs who supported new coaches came to be interpreted as overpowering and stunting development. Initially, this extra support helped balance coaching alongside other life commitments (e.g., work and parenting). Yet coaches **shared how this became a hassle, where** coaching became "quite circular", "tightly defined", and a "narrow window" of "very niched" skills" (Oliver). The club had a "tried and tested method" (Oliver), but this negatively impacted participants' coaching and development. **This reflected the micro-level** misalignment between the goals, focus, and motivations of the coach and their club. For example, some felt a different discipline focus, or race rather than a "bums on saddles" focus (Joe), or a focus on commuting, encouraging riders to be lifelong cyclists:

We had the parents [at one school] fill out questionnaires [...] and one thing that they said they wanted [the sessions] to give their children was confidence when it came to riding on the road. I knew coaching alone wouldn't do that, really. We needed to get them on the road to get confidence on the road and gain road awareness. [...] So that we're coaching skills, then they could be of some use. [...]. It fulfils their parents' wishes, as much as anything else, and it throws the obligation back to them, because they need to come with them. I've had probably 4-5 parents come out with their children, which is really quite exciting. (*Peter*)

To navigate this hassle, coaches discussed how exploring new opportunities facilitated feelings of empowerment and added “extra validation” (Oliver) to their coaching. Coaches discussed being “between a rock and hard place” (Louise), not wanting to “undermine” the coaches’ efforts but wanting to create a “more fruitful learning experience” for riders. There were “too many things that [she] didn’t agree with [...] to improve” the club, and she did not feel she had the “power” or “time” to address these issues and so she removed herself from the club (Louise). When established in their role, the challenge of integrating into their clubs’ practices and routines left coaches transitioning from their clubs and operating independently, “in a silo” (James). Moving away from their clubs offered some sense of freedom and eased the hassles of becoming embedded in the club, longer term. Yet, over time, the repeated stress of feeling unsupported meant coaches felt alone and isolated. One example of where coaches struggled was in the extra resource needed to affiliate breakaway groups to the NGB – for example, welfare and safeguarding officers – hindering the development of formalising clubs, further removing them from the NGB activity associated with affiliated clubs.

In navigating one hassle, however, coaches found themselves experiencing another. For example, Joe felt some of the trails were not always specific to the coaching points being covered and still struggled to access the coaching site in winter months (reflecting the hassle of accessing facilities discussed previously). A lack of artificial lighting in the physical coaching environment restricted some participants’ coaching to weekends and summer months. This means that these participants’ hassles changed throughout the year, as coaching became seasonal. Whereas a lack of confidence left participants questioning their legitimacy upon entering their coaching role, when established in their role, this hassle changed (i.e., a lack of consistency in coaching) but still left participants questioning their legitimacy. To address this, Joe moved his

411 sessions to a school's all-weather courts, which were accessible all year. But changing his  
412 coaching location changed his session focus, removing the mountain-bike specific nature of  
413 sessions, and becoming more generic, or multi-discipline sessions. Infrequent engagement with  
414 riders, which over time, increased the isolated feeling coaches discussed. The frequency of this  
415 hassle increased when coaches consistently coached different riders, with a lack of continuity  
416 hindering familiarity with riders, and, consequently, rapport:

417 I got nominated for [a national award of] talent development coach of the year by [the  
418 NGB], which is all very exciting. But I feel a bit of a fraud because I don't individually  
419 look after any riders. I couldn't really say, 'I coach this kid all the time and they have  
420 progressed to this.' I'm always assisting the staff so I didn't get shortlisted, but I kind of  
421 can understand why [...] I really undersold myself, but I guess I played it out in my  
422 head, and the idea of getting nominated and winning it, and then someone saying, 'You  
423 don't even coach that often?' I'd go, 'Yes, I know. I shouldn't be here' That's the thing I  
424 hate about stepping away from [the club] ... I kind of feel like I don't have a legitimate  
425 basis for my coaching because I'm always just helping. (*Louise*)

426 In summary, we see how when established in the coach role, participants discussed some  
427 hassles had stayed constant (e.g., the challenge the fitting into the club, undertaking further  
428 training), some had changed (e.g., coaches still questioned their legitimacy, but at this point it  
429 was because of inconsistent coaching activity, not a lack of confidence because of being new to  
430 the role), and some hassles were new (e.g., feeling disconnected to the NGB) with some being  
431 the result of navigating existing hassles (e.g., transitioning away from their clubs, and changing  
432 venues). An apparent dichotomy emerges: too much support left participants feeling that their  
433 development was hindered; too little support, they felt isolated and alone. Both were perceived

stressful. When participants felt that the club's procedural rituals and culture were fixed and static, they felt their ideas were negatively received, or ignored. They felt unable to contribute to sessions and to the club more generally. Transitioning away from the club navigated the hassle, but ultimately caused another: being left in the cold.

**Discussion**

This study explored the daily hassles experienced by neophyte sport coaches over an 18-month period. Focused on the frequency and intensity of stressors (i.e., how demanding they are), rather than the type of stressors experienced in coaching, the conceptual significance of this work is its contribution to existing research by demonstrating the evolution of hassles over time. Analysis generated three themes highlighting that on entering their coaching role, coaches experienced the hassles of *accessing facilities* and *struggling to fit in*; and towards the end of their participation, they experienced being *left in the cold*. To this end, findings focus on the causes of stress more than its consequences or outcomes, increasing the evidence-base that informs the provision of coaches' mental health support to facilitate environments that support and retain coaches.

Some hassles are inevitable, but others can be managed, and perhaps minimised. We see how coaches naturally engaged in task-centered coping (i.e., addressing the problem, rather than emotional reactions; a primary prevention strategy) in attempts to reduce their perceptions of hassles. For example, when becoming embedded in their club, they started with small tasks, moving from the peripheries towards full participation within their socio-cultural practice (Lave & Wenger, 2001). Yet, building on Capel et al.'s (2011) findings, this lack of accountability and control over sessions was a hassle as coaches struggled to implement their ideas. Over time, the misalignment between coaches' goals and those of the club increased coaches' struggle to fit in

with existing practices and routines. The reality shock of the coaching they witnessed, the national and local standards, and the economic constraints of the clubs and facilities within which coaches operated all risked coaches isolating themselves from their peers. This could negatively impacted coaches' attitudes, behaviours, and, possibly, psychological health (see Hellgren et al., 1999; Norris et al., 2017). Tackling the problem head-on, coaches' coping strategies saw them transition away from their clubs. But this left them feeling isolated, questioning their legitimacy as coaches.

Findings highlight how coaches in a community context can experience isolation as well as the upper echelons (Potts et al., 2021). This emphasises the value of social support (e.g., mentoring systems, see Norris et al., 2020) to facilitate relationships and communication to mitigate these stressors (Sias, 2009). This lack of support extended to participants wanting to feel valued by their NGB, something that worsened over time. Care is an essential, yet undervalued aspect of pedagogical relationships and a key aspect of supporting coach mental health (Cronin & Armour, 2017; Cronin & Lowes, 2019; Grey-Thompson, 2017; Ives et al., 2019; Noddings, 1984; Smith et al., 2016). Noddings encourages dialogue to build trust, empathy, and understanding. Modern sport has been subjected to a diverse range of social and political influences, necessitating policies and practices concerning child welfare neglecting a focus on coach welfare (Cronin & Lowes, 2019). Current findings raise the awareness of needing a continued relationship between NGBs and their coaching workforce to better support community coaches' mental well-being through a caring relationship.

Focused on the causes, rather than the consequences, of stress, current findings theoretically contribute to primary stress management strategies (see Arnold & Fletcher, 2021; Fletcher et al., 2006). Practically, NGB coach education could achieve this in two ways. Firstly,



480 introductory education should support coaches in identifying how their values and beliefs  
481 influence their coaching. Coaches who are more aware of their own coaching values and  
482 motivations could, where possible, align themselves with clubs who have similar values and  
483 focus. This would limit coaches operating in clubs with conflicting values and focus. This would  
484 not provide a shortcut for coaches' transition from the peripheries to full participation, but it  
485 could make 'fitting in' less of a struggle. Secondly, NGBs should deliver education that raise  
486 coaches' awareness of how daily hassles impact their psychological wellbeing, rather than  
487 focusing solely on the stressors experienced by their athletes. The current study highlights the  
488 more subtle forms of stress – the hassles that might be accepted norms within coaching  
489 environments – aside from the stressors associated with major events.

490 In addition, findings begin to equip practitioners with information to move beyond  
491 informal advice on handling general coaching demands and issues affecting coaching ability.  
492 Findings highlight to sport psychology practitioners and coach developers the reality of the  
493 coaches' stressors within this domain. Coaches should be supported in identifying the hassles,  
494 and possible combinations of hassles, within their coaching environment. Sport psychology  
495 practitioners and coach developers can work with coaches to identify which hassles are  
496 changeable, which are not, and which are affecting their job performance or well-being the most.  
497 From here, sport psychologists could build coaches' resilience to help them manage and mitigate  
498 the negative impacts of hassles. This would move beyond the primary stress interventions  
499 coaches naturally undertook in this study (i.e., dealing with the cause of the stress), towards  
500 secondary and tertiary preventions (i.e., helping individuals recognise and manage their reactions  
501 to stress). As such, sport psychologists should switch their intervention from a focus on the

environment to the individual, employing, for example, cognitive restructuring (see Didymus & Fletcher, 2017) or mindfulness practice (see Kaiseler et al., 2017).

A strength of this work is its exploration of daily hassles of sport coaches, longitudinally, rather than cross-sectionally. The ontological and epistemological positioning of this work means findings are the result of subjective, multiple realities and do not represent an absolute truth. As such, findings are contextualised to the sport of cycling and caution is required in translating these findings across other sports and NGBs (Levitt et al., 2017; Smith, 2017). Therefore, the implications discussed are not intended to suggest a developmental framework for the planning and delivery of psychological support for coaches. Importantly, although the multiple quotes presented offer deep insight into these participants' experiences, the sample size means it is feasible that there are more identifiable hassles. Future studies may consider: 1) expanding the number of participants, 2) exploring the often-neglected aspect of how neophyte coaches recover from hassles, 3) using daily dairies, rather than interviews, to capture participants' experiences of daily hassles, 4) exploring the subjective and objective assessment of the relationship between stressors and symptoms, assessing coaches' cognitive appraisals of hassles to better understand whether environmental changes or stress-management techniques are more effective, 5) evaluating the effectiveness of interventions developed to support coaches' experiences of daily hassles, and 6) developing measures to comprehensively, reliably, and vividly assess hassles.

In conclusion, the novelty and conceptual significance of this work rests in its longitudinal exploration of daily hassles, rather than the type of stressors, experienced in community coaching. Findings contribute to existing research by demonstrating the evolution of hassles over time: on entering their coaching role, coaches experienced the hassles of *accessing facilities* and *struggling to fit in*; and towards the end of their participation, they experienced

525 being *left in the cold*. These conceptual issues have significance for stakeholders across the  
526 community sport landscape (e.g., NGBs, coach developers, coaches, and sport psychology  
527 practitioners). A better understanding of the daily hassles experienced by community coaches  
528 highlights the need to focus on effective, evidence-based stress management programmes that  
529 inform the provision of mental health support for all coaches, rather than focusing on elite  
530 coaches or athletes. Facilitating environments that promote psychological wellbeing and safety to  
531 better support the management of the environmental demands that neophyte coaches experience  
532 will retain more coaches within the coaching workforce.

533

## References

- Aldiabat, K. M., & LeNavenec, C.-L. (2018). Data saturation: the mysterious step in grounded theory methodology. *The Qualitative Report* 23(1), 245-261.  
<https://doi.org/10.46743/2160-3715/2018.2994>
- Allen, J. B., & Shaw, S. (2009). Women Coaches' Perceptions of Their Sport Organizations' Social Environment: Supporting Coaches' Psychological Needs? *The Sport Psychologist*, 23(3), 346-366. <https://doi.org/10.1123/tsp.23.3.346>
- Allen, J. B., & Shaw, S. (2013). An Interdisciplinary Approach to Examining the Working Conditions of Women Coaches. *International Journal of Sports Science & Coaching*, 8(1), 1-17. <https://doi.org/10.1260/1747-9541.8.1.1>
- Alvesson, M., & Sckoldberg, K. (2009). *Reflexive methodology; new vistas for qualitative research* (2nd ed.).
- Anshel, M. H., & Anderson, D. I. (2002). Coping with acute stress in sport: linking athletes' coping style, coping strategies, affect and motor performance. *Anxiety, Stress and Coping: An International Journal*, 15, 193-209.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/10615800290028486>
- Anshel, M. H., & Delaney, J. (2001). Sources of acute stress, cognitive appraisals, and coping strategies of male and female child athletes. *Journal of Sport Behavior*, 24, 329-354.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/00224540009600515>
- Anshel, M. H., Williams, L. R. T., & Williams, S. M. (2000). Coping style following acute stress in competitive sport. *The Journal of Social Psychology*, 140, 751-773.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/00224540009600515>

- 557 Arnold, R., Edwards, T., & Rees, T. (2019). Organizational stressors, social support, and  
558 implications for subjective performance in high-level sport. *Psychology of sport and*  
559 *exercise*, 39, 204-212. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychsport.2018.08.010>
- 560 Arnold, R., & Fletcher, D. (2021). Stressors, hassles, and adversity. In R. Arnold & D. Fletcher  
561 (Eds.), *Stress, well-being, and performance in sport* (pp. 31-62). Routledge.
- 562 Arnold, R., Fletcher, D., & Daniels, K. (2013). Development and validation of the  
563 Organizational Stressor Indicator for Sport Performers (OSI-SP). . *Journal of Sport and*  
564 *Exercise Psychology*, 35(2), 180–196. <https://doi.org/10.1123/jsep.35.2.180>
- 565 Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in*  
566 *Psychology*, 3(2), 77-101. <https://doi.org/10.1191/1478088706qp063oa>
- 567 Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2013). Teaching thematic analysis: overcoming challenges and  
568 developing strategies for effective learning. *The Psychologist*, 26(2), 120-123.
- 569 Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2019). Reflecting on reflexive thematic analysis. *Qualitative Research*  
570 *in Sport, Exercise and Health*, 11(4), 589-597.  
571 <https://doi.org/10.1080/2159676X.2019.1628806>
- 572 Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2021). To saturate or not to saturate? Questioning data saturation as a  
573 useful concept for thematic analysis and sample-size rationales. *Qualitative Research in*  
574 *Sport, Exercise and Health*, 13(2), 201-216.  
575 <https://doi.org/10.1080/2159676X.2019.1704846>
- 576 Braun, V., Clarke, V., & Weaste, P. (2016). Using thematic analysis in sport and exercise  
577 research. In B. Smith & A. C. Sparkes (Eds.), *Routledge handbook of qualitative*  
578 *research in sport and exercise* (pp. 191-205). Eoutledge.

- 579 Brinkman, S., & Kvale, S. (2015). *Interviews: learning the craft of qualitative research*  
580 *interviewing*. Sage.
- 581 Burke, S. (2016). Rethinking 'validity' and 'trustworthiness' in qualitative inquiry. In B. Smith  
582 & A. C. Sparkes (Eds.), *Routledge Handbook of Qualitative Research in Sport and*  
583 *Exercise* (pp. 330-339). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315762012>
- 584 Burmeister, E., & Aitken, L. M. (2012). Sample size: how many is enough? . *Australian Critical*  
585 *Care*, 25(4), 271-274. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aucc.2012.07.002>
- 586 Byrne, D. (2022). A worked example of Braun and Clarke's approach to reflexive thematic  
587 analysis. *Quality & Quantity*, 56(3), 1391-1412. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s11135-021-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11135-021-01182-y)  
588 [01182-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11135-021-01182-y)
- 589 Capel, S., Hayes, S., Katene, W., & Velija, P. (2011). The interaction of factors which influence  
590 secondary student physical education teachers' knowledge and development as teachers.  
591 *European Physical Education Review*, 17(2), 183-201.  
592 <https://doi.org/10.1177/1356336x11413184>
- 593 Carson, F., Malakellis, M., Walsh, J., Main, L. C., & Kremer, P. (2019). Examining the mental  
594 well-being of Australian sport coaches. *International Journal of Environmental Research*  
595 *and Public Health*, 16(23), 10.3390/ijerph16234601.
- 596 Castillo-Montoya, M. (2016). Preparing for interview research: The interview protocol  
597 refinement framework. *The qualitative report*, 21(5), 811-831.
- 598 Chamberlain, K., & Zika, S. (1990). The minor events approach to stress: support for the use of  
599 daily hassles. *British Journal of Psychology*, 81(4), 469-481.  
600 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.20448295.1990.tb02373.x>

- 601 Coe, R. (2012). The nature of educational research: Exploring the different understandings of  
 602 educational research. In J. Aruther, M. Waring, R. Coe, & L. Hedges (Eds.), *Research*  
 603 *Methods and Methodologies in Education* (pp. 5-14). Sage.
- 604 Collins, D., Taylor, J., Ashford, M., & Collins, L. (2022). It depends coaching – The most  
 605 fundamental, simple and complex principle or a mere copout? *Sports Coaching Review*,  
 606 1-21. <https://doi.org/10.1080/21640629.2022.2154189>
- 607 Côté, J., & Gilbert, W. (2009). An integrative definition of coaching effectiveness and expertise.  
 608 *International Journal of Sports Science & Coaching*, 4(3), 307-323.
- 609 Cronin, C., & Armour, K. (2017). 'Being' in the coaching world: new insights on youth  
 610 performance coaching from an interpretative phenomenological approach. *Sport,*  
 611 *Education and Society*, 22(8), 919-931. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13573322.2015.1108912>
- 612 Cronin, C., & Lowes, J. (2019). Care in community sports coaching. In L. A. Gale & B. A. Ives  
 613 (Eds.), *Coaching in the community: developing knowledge and insight* (pp. 79-95).  
 614 Manchester Metropolitan University.
- 615 Cronin, C., Ryrie, A., Huntley, T., & Hayton, J. (2018). 'Sinking and swimming in disability  
 616 coaching': an autoethnographic account of coaching in a new context. *Qualitative*  
 617 *Research in Sport, Exercise and Health*, 10(3), 362-377.
- 618 Dibley, L. (2011). Analyzing narrative data using McCormack's lenses. *Nurse Researcher*,  
 619 18(3), 13-19. <https://doi.org/10.7748/nr2011.04.18.3.13.c8458>
- 620 Didymus, F. F. (2017). Olympic and international level sports coaches' experiences of stressors,  
 621 appraisals, and coping. *Qualitative Research in Sport, Exercise and Health*, 9(2), 214-  
 622 232. <https://doi.org/10.1080/2159676X.2016.1261364>

- 623 Didymus, F. F., & Fletcher, D. (2017). Organizational stress in high-level field hockey:  
624 examining transactional pathways between stressors, appraisals, coping and performance  
625 satisfaction. *International Journal of Sports Science and Coaching*, 12(2), 252–263.  
626 <https://doi.org/10.1177/1747954117694737>
- 627 Dworkin, S. L. (2012). Sample size policy for qualitative studies using in-depth interviews.  
628 *Archives of Sexual Behaviour*, 41(6), 1319-1320. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s10508-012-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10508-012-0016-6)  
629 [0016-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10508-012-0016-6)
- 630 Fletcher, D., Hanton, S., & Mellalieu, S. D. (2006). An organizational stress review: Conceptual  
631 and theoretical issues in competitive sport. In S. Hanton & S. D. Mellalieu (Eds.),  
632 *Literature reviews in sport psychology* (pp. 321-374). Nova Science.
- 633 Fletcher, D., & Scott, M. (2010). Psychological stress in sports coaches: A review of concepts,  
634 research, and practice. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 28(2), 127–137.  
635 <https://doi.org/10.1080/02640410903406208>
- 636 Frey, M. (2007). College coaches' experiences with stress – “Problem solvers” have problems,  
637 too. *The Sport Psychologist*, 21(1), 38-57. <https://doi.org/10.1123/tsp.21.1.38>
- 638 Gale, L. A., Ives, B. A., Potrac, P. A., & Nelson, L. J. (2023). Repairing relationship conflict in  
639 community sport work: “Offender” perspectives. *Qualitative Research in Sport, Exercise*  
640 *and Health*, 15(3), 417-430. <https://doi.org/10.1080/2159676X.2022.2127861>
- 641 Grey-Thompson, T. (2017). *Duty of Care in Sport: Independent Report to Government*.
- 642 Hellgren, J., Sverke, M., & Iskasson, K. (1999). A two-dimensional approach to job insecurity:  
643 consequences for employee attitudes and well-being. *European Journal of Work and*  
644 *Organizational Psychology*, 8(2), 179–195. <https://doi.org/10.1080/135943299398311>



- 645 Hermanowicz, J. C. (2013). The longitudinal qualitative interview. *Qualitative Sociology*, 36,  
646 189-208. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11133-013-9247-7>
- 647 Ho, K. H., Chiang, V. C., & Leung, D. (2017). Hermeneutic phenomenological analysis: the  
648 'possibility' beyond 'actuality' in thematic analysis. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 73(7),  
649 1757-1766.
- 650 Ives, B. A., Gale, L. A., Potrac, P., & Nelson, L. (2019). Uncertainty, shame and consumption:  
651 negotiating occupational and non-work identities in community sport coaches. *Sport*  
652 *Education and Society*, 26(1), 87-103. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13573322.2019.1699522>
- 653 Ives, B. A., Gale, L. A., Potrac, P. A., & Nelson, L. J. (2021). Uncertainty, shame and  
654 consumption: negotiating occupational and non-work identities in community sports  
655 coaching. *Sport, Education and Society*, 26(1), 87-103.  
656 <https://doi.org/10.1080/13573322.2019.1699522>
- 657 Jimenez, T. R., & Orozco, M. (2021). Prompts, not questions: four techniques for crafting better  
658 interview protocols. *Qualitative Sociology* 44(13), 507–528  
659 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11133-021-09483-2>
- 660 Kaiseler, M., Levy, A., Nicholls, A., & Madigan, D. (2017). The independent and interactive  
661 effects of the big five personality dimensions upon dispositional coping and coping  
662 effectiveness in sport. *International Journal of Sport and Exercise Psychology*, 17(4),  
663 410-426. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1612197X.2017.1362459>
- 664 Kanner, A. D., Coyne, J. C., Schaefer, C., & Lazarus, R. S. (1981). Comparison of two modes of  
665 stress measurement: Daily hassles and uplifts versus major life events. *Journal of*  
666 *Behavioural Medicine*, 4(1), 1-39.

- 667 Kelley, B. C., Eklund, R. C., & Ritter-Taylor, M. (1999). Stress and burnout among collegiate  
668 tennis coaches. *Journal of Sport and Exercise Psychology*, 21(2), 113-130.  
669 <https://doi.org/10.1123/jsep.21.2.113>
- 670 Kohn, P. M. (1996). On coping adaptively with daily hassles. In M. Zeidner & N. S. Endler  
671 (Eds.), *Handbook of coping: Theory, research, applications* (pp. 181-201). John Wiley &  
672 Sons.
- 673 Kvale, S., & Brinkmann, S. (2009). *InterViews: Learning the craft of qualitative research*  
674 *interviewing*. Sage.
- 675 Landreville, P., & Vézina, J. (1992). A Comparison between Daily Hassles and Major Life  
676 Events as Correlates of Well-being in Older Adults. *Canadian Journal on Aging / La*  
677 *Revue Canadienne Du Vieillissement*, 11(2), 137-149.  
678 <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0714980800011685>
- 679 Larner, R. J., Wagstaff, C. R. D., Thelwell, R. C., & Corbett, J. (2016). A multistudy  
680 examination of organizational stressors, emotional labor, burnout, and turnover in sport  
681 organizations. *Scandinavian Journal of Medicine and Science in Sports*, 27(12), 2103–  
682 2115. <https://doi.org/10.1111/sms.12833>
- 683 Lave, J., & Wenger, E. (2001). Legitimate peripheral participation in communities of practice. In  
684 *Supporting lifelong learning* (pp. 121-136). Routledge.
- 685 Lazarus, R. S. (1984). Puzzles in the study of daily hassles. *Journal of Behavioural Medicine*, 7,  
686 375-389. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00845271>
- 687 Lazarus, R. S., & DeLongis, A. (1983). Psychological stress and coping in ageing. *American*  
688 *Psychologist*, 38(3), 245-254. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066x.38.3.245>
- 689 Lazarus, R. S., & Folkman, S. (1984). *Stress, appraisal, and coping*. Springer.

- 690 Levey, A., Nicholls, A., Marchant, D., & Polman, R. (2009). Organisational stressors, coping,  
691 and coping effectiveness: A longitudinal study with an elite coach. *International Journal*  
692 *of Sports Science & Coaching*, 4(1), 831-845. <https://doi.org/10.1260/1747-9541.4.1.31>
- 693 Levitt, H. M., Motulsky, S. L., Wertz, F. J., Morrow, S. L., & Ponterotto, J. G. (2017).  
694 Recommendations for designing and reviewing qualitative research in psychology:  
695 Promoting methodological integrity. *Qualitative psychology*, 4(1), 2.
- 696 Low, J. (2019). A Pragmatic Definition of the Concept of Theoretical Saturation. *Sociological*  
697 *Focus*, 52(2), 131-139. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00380237.2018.1544514>
- 698 Markula, P., & Silk, M. L. (2011). *Qualitative research for physical culture*. Springer.
- 699 Mason, M. (2010). Sample Size and Saturation in PhD Studies Using Qualitative Interviews.  
700 *Forum Qualitative Sozialforschung Forum: Qualitative Social Research*, 11(3).
- 701 McLean, D. E., & Link, B. G. (1994). Unraveling complexity: Strategies to refine concepts,  
702 measures, and research designs in the study of life events and mental health. In W. R.  
703 Avison & I. H. Gotlib (Eds.), *Stress and mental health: Contemporary issues and*  
704 *prospects for the future* (pp. 15-42). Plenum Press. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4899-](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4899-1106-3_2)  
705 [1106-3\\_2](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4899-1106-3_2)
- 706 Motulsky, S. L. (2021). Is member checking the gold standard of quality in qualitative research?  
707 *Qualitative psychology*, 8(3), 389-406. <https://doi.org/10.1037/qup0000215>
- 708 Noddings, N. (1984). *Caring: a feminine approach to ethics and moral education*. University of  
709 California Press.
- 710 Norris, L. A., Didymus, F. F., & Kaiseler, M. (2017). Stressors, coping, and well-being among  
711 sports coaches: A systematic review. *Psychology of sport and exercise*, 33, 93-112.  
712 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychsport.2017.08.005>

- 713 Norris, L. A., Didymus, F. F., & Kaiseler, M. (2020). Understanding social networks and social  
714 support resources with sports coaches. *Psychology of Sport & Exercise*, 48, 101665.  
715 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychsport.2020.101665>
- 716 North, J. (2009). *The Coaching Workforce 2009-2016*.
- 717 Ntwanano, A., Toriola, A., & Didymus, F. (2017). Development and initial validation of an  
718 instrument to assess stressors among South African sports coaches. *Journal of Sports*  
719 *Sciences*, 36(12), 1378–1384. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02640414.2017.1385264>
- 720 O'Connor, D., & Bennie, A. (2006). The retention of youth sport coaches. *Change:*  
721 *Transformations in Education*, 9(1), 27-38.
- 722 Oliver, D. G., Serovich, J. M., & Mason, T. L. (2005). Constraints and Opportunities with  
723 Interview Transcription: Towards Reflection in Qualitative Research. *Social Forces*,  
724 84(2), 1273-1289. <https://doi.org/10.1353/sof.2006.0023>
- 725 Pankow, K., Mosewich, A. D., McHugh, T. L. F., & Holt, N. L. (2022). The role of mental  
726 health protection and promotion among flourishing Canadian university sport coaches.  
727 *Sport, Exercise, and Performance Psychology*, 11(1), 28-43.  
728 <https://doi.org/10.1037/spy0000277>
- 729 Potts, A. J., Didymus, F. F., & Kaiseler, M. (2021). Psychological stress and psychological well-  
730 being among sports coaches: a meta-synthesis of the qualitative research evidence.  
731 *International Review of Sport and Exercise Psychology*, 1-30.  
732 <https://doi.org/10.1080/1750984X.2021.1907853>
- 733 Purdy, L. (2014). Interviews. In L. Nelson, R. Groom, & P. Potrac (Eds.), *Research Methods in*  
734 *Sports Coaching* (pp. 161-170). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203797549-15>

- 735 Rhind, D. J. A., Scott, M., & Fletcher, D. (2013). Organisation stress in professional soccer  
736 coaches. *International Journal of Sport Psychology*, 44(1), 1-16.  
737 <https://doi.org/10.7352/IJSP.2013.44.001>
- 738 Riessman, C. K. (2008). Constructing Narratives for Inquiry. In C. K. Riessman (Ed.), *Narrative*  
739 *Methods for the Human Sciences* (pp. 21-51).
- 740 Roberts, R. E. (2020). Qualitative Interview Questions: Guidance for Novice Researchers.  
741 *Qualitative Report*, 25(9).
- 742 Roberts, S. J., Baker, M., Reeves, M. J., Jones, G., & Cronin, C. (2019). Lifting the veil of  
743 depression and alcoholism in sport coaching: how do we care for carers? *Qualitative*  
744 *Research in Sport, Exercise and Health*, 11(4), 510-526.  
745 <https://doi.org/10.1080/2159676X.2018.1556182>
- 746 Rubin, H. J., & Rubin, I. S. (2011). *Qualitative interviewing: The art of hearing data*. Sage.
- 747 Salla, M. (1993). There is no nonviolent future. *Social Alternatives*, 15(3), 41-43.
- 748 Schinke, R. J., Battocchio, R. C., Dube, T. V., Lidor, R., Tenenbaum, G., & Lane, A. M. (2012).  
749 Adaptation processes affective performance in elite sport. *Journal of Clinical Sport*  
750 *Psychology*, 6, 180-195. <https://doi.org/10.1123/jcsp.6.2.180>
- 751 Sherwin, I. (2017). Commentary: From mental health to mental wealth in athletes: Looking  
752 back and moving forward. . *Frontiers in Psychology*, 8, 693.  
753 <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2017.00693>
- 754 Sias, P. M. (2009). *Organizing relationships: traditional and emerging perspectives on*  
755 *workplace relationships*. Sage. <https://doi.org/10.4135/9781452204031>
- 756 Simms, M., Arnold, R., Turner, J., & Hays, K. (2020). A repeated-measures examination of  
757 organizational stressors, perceived psychological and physical health, and perceived

performance in semi-elite athletes. *Journal of Sport Sciences*, 39(1), 64-77.

<https://doi.org/10.1080/02640414.2020.1804801>

Smith, A., Greenough, K., & Lovett, E. (2021). The Politics and Policy of Community Sport Coaching. In B. A. Ives, P. Potrac, L. A. Gale, & L. Nelson (Eds.), *Community Sport Coaching: Policies and Practice* (pp. 7-24). Routledge.

Smith, A., Haycock, D., Jones, J., Greenough, K., Wilcock, R., & Braid, I. (2020). Exploring mental health and illness in the UK sports coaching workforce. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 17(24).

<https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17249332>

Smith, A., Jones, J., Houghton, L., & Duffell, T. (2016). A political spectator sport or policy priority? A review of sport, physical activity and public mental health policy.

*International Journal of Sport Policy Politics*, 8(4), 593-607.

<https://doi.org/10.1080/19406940.2016.1230554>

Smith, B. (2017). Generalizability in qualitative research: misunderstandings, opportunities and recommendations for the sport and exercise sciences. *Qualitative Research in Sport, Exercise and Health*, 10(1), 137–149. <https://doi.org/10.1080/2159676x.2017.1393221>

Smith, B., & McGannon, K. R. (2018). Developing rigor in qualitative research: problems and opportunities within sport and exercise psychology. *International Review of Sport and Exercise Psychology*, 11(1), 101-121. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1750984X.2017.1317357>

Smith, J. (1989). *The Nature of Social and Educational Enquiry: Empiricism versus Interpretation*. Albex Publishing Corporation

Smith, J. (2009). Judging research quality: from certainty to contingency. *Qualitative Research in Sport and Exercise*, 1(2), 91-100. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19398440902908928>

- 781 Smith, J., & Deemer, D. (2000). The problem of criteria in the age of relativism. In N. K. Denzin  
782 & Y. Lincoln (Eds.), *Handbook of qualitative research* (2nd ed., pp. 877-896). Sage.
- 783 Smyth, J., Zawadzki, M., & Gerin, W. (2013). Stress and disease: A structural and functional  
784 analysis. *Social and Personality Psychology Compass*, 7(4), 217-227.  
785 <https://doi.org/10.1111/spc3.12020>
- 786 Sparkes, A. C., & Smith, B. (2016). Interviews: Qualitative interviewing in the sport and  
787 exercise sciences. In B. S. a. A. C. Sparkes (Ed.), *Routledge Handbook of Qualitative*  
788 *Research in Sport and Exercise*. (pp. 103-123). Routledge.  
789 <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315762012-19>
- 790 Stebbings, J., Taylor, I. M., & Spray, C. M. (2015). The relationship between psychological  
791 well- and ill-being, and perceived autonomy supportive and controlling interpersonal  
792 styles: A longitudinal study of sport coaches. *Psychology of sport and exercise*, 19, 42-  
793 49. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychsport.2015.02.002>
- 794 Stodter, A., & Cushion, C. J. (2014). Coaches' learning and education: a case study of cultures in  
795 conflict. *Sports Coaching Review*, 3(1), 63-79.
- 796 Stodter, A., & Cushion, C. J. (2017). What works in coach learning, how, and for whom? A  
797 grounded process of soccer coaches' professional learning. *Qualitative Research in Sport,*  
798 *Exercise and Health*, 9(3), 321-338.
- 799 Tenenbaum, G., Jones, C. M., Kitsantas, A., Sacks, D. N., & Berwick, J. P. (2003). Failure  
800 adaptation: an investigation of the stress response process in sport. *International Journal*  
801 *of Sport Psychology*, 34, 27-62.

- 802 Thelwell, R. C., Weston, N. J. V., Greenlees, I. A., & Hutchings, N. V. (2008). Stressors in elite  
803 sport: a coach perspective. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 26(9), 905-918.  
804 <https://doi.org/10.1080/02640410801885933>
- 805 Thoits, P. A. (2010). Stress and health: Major findings and policy implications. *Journal of*  
806 *Health and Social Behaviour*, 51, S41-S53. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0022146510383499>
- 807 Townsend, R. C., & Cushion, C. J. (2021). 'Put that in your fucking research': reflexivity,  
808 ethnography and disability sport coaching. *Qualitative research*, 21(2), 251-267.
- 809 Trainor, L. R., & Bundon, A. (2021). Developing the craft: reflexive accounts of doing reflexive  
810 thematic analysis. *Qualitative Research in Sport, Exercise and Health*, 13(5), 705-726.  
811 <https://doi.org/10.1080/2159676X.2020.1840423>
- 812 Turner, D. W. (2010). Qualitative interview design: a practical guide for novice investigators.  
813 *The qualitative report*, 15(3), 754-760.
- 814 Umberson, D., Liu, H., & Reczek, C. (2008). Stress and health behaviour over the life course.  
815 *Advances in Life Course Research*, 13, 19-44.  
816 [https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S1040-2608\(08\)00002-6](https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S1040-2608(08)00002-6)
- 817 Vagg, P. R., & Spielberger, C. D. (1999). The job stress survey: assessing perceived severity and  
818 frequency of occurrence of generic sources of stress in the workplace. *Journal of*  
819 *Occupational Health Psychology*, 4(3), 288-292. [https://doi.org/10.1037/1076-](https://doi.org/10.1037/1076-8998.4.3.288)  
820 [8998.4.3.288](https://doi.org/10.1037/1076-8998.4.3.288)
- 821 Weinberger, M., Hiner, S. L., & Tierney, W. M. (1987). In support of hassles as a measure of  
822 stress in predicting health outcomes. *Journal Behaviour Medicine* 10, 19-30.  
823 <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00845125>



824     Wheaton, B. (1994). Sampling the Stress Universe. In W. R. Avison & I. H. Gotlib (Eds.), *Stress*  
825                *and mental health. The springer series on stress and coping*. Springer.  
826                [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4899-1106-3\\_4](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4899-1106-3_4)

827     Wright, A. G. C., Aslinger, E. N., Bellamy, B., Edershile, E. A., & Woods, W. C. (2020). Daily  
828                stress and hassles. In K. L. Harkness & E. P. Hayden (Eds.), *The Oxford handbook of*  
829                *stress and mental health* (pp. 27-44). Oxford University Press.  
830                <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780190681777.013.2>

For Peer Review

## NEOPHYTE COACHES' EXPERIENCES OF DAILY HASSLES

40

833 **Table 1**834 *Details of Participant Interviews*

	Interview Length (in minutes)										M Interview Length (in minutes) per participant	SD Interview Length (in minutes) per participant
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10		
<b>Participant</b>												
<b>Louise</b>	67.65	87.37	101.62	54.10	69.38	78.72	96.21	41.18	58.60	64.30	71.91	19.09
<b>Adam</b>	74.48	64.02	33.80	48.15	54.35	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	54.96	15.47
<b>Oliver</b>	52.62	46.17	43.75	59.17	62.35	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	52.81	8.03
<b>James</b>	30.72	68.58	65.23	23.78	68.83	34.02	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	48.53	21.13
<b>Joe</b>	64.10	54.85	58.45	36.88	76.12	34.82	46.87	N/A	N/A	N/A	53.16	14.82
<b>Peter</b>	52.05	79.77	87.50	89.85	76.50	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	77.13	15.05
<b>Chris</b>	54.97	39.93	51.90	40.00	59.10	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	49.18	8.79
<b>Beth</b>	45.02	42.23	57.42	18.07	13.42	64.65	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	40.14	20.63
<b>M</b>	55.20	60.37	62.46	46.25	60.01	53.05	71.54	41.18	58.60	64.30		
<b>Interview Length (in minutes) at each time point</b>												
<b>SD</b>	13.76	17.59	22.34	22.55	20.38	22.27	34.89	N/A	N/A	N/A		
<b>Interview Length (in minutes) at each time point</b>												

835

**Table 2**

*Developed Themes from Reflexive Thematic Analysis*

Timeline	Theme	Subtheme(s)
Entering the coaching role	Accessing facilities	Need for further training Financial barrier Seasonal challenges of coaching
	Struggling to fit in	Club set in their ways Poor communication Variation in coaching quality Misaligned goals
Established in coaching role	Feeling isolated	Disconnected from NGB Operating in a silo Questioned legitimacy as coach

839

For Peer Review

Exploring the Daily Hassles of Neophyte Cycling Coaches (Manuscript ID ISCJ.2023-0013.R1)

Responses to Reviewers Document

Dear reviewers,

Following receipt of your feedback on the manuscript, the authoring team met to review and discuss your comments. We would like to take this opportunity to thank you for your incisive and informative feedback, which has developed and improved the manuscript. The outcomes of our changes are identified and summarised in the table below, taking each comment in turn. To help the reviewers/EIC/AE evaluate the actions taken, we have provided explicit signposting and evidence of the amends in response to the reviewers’ comments.

Comment/ response number	Editor’s Comment	Authors’ Response
1	<p>The reviewer(s) note strengths in the work but have also identified areas where the manuscript can be strengthened. Therefore, I invite you to respond to the reviewer(s)' comments and revise your manuscript. Please note that resubmitting your work does not guarantee eventual acceptance, as the manuscript may go through another review process.</p> <p>When submitting your revised manuscript, you will be able to respond to the comments made by the reviewer(s) in the space provided. Please provide a detailed, point-by-point response to every point the reviewers identified. If changes were made, please note them. If you chose not to alter the manuscript in response to a particular point, please provide the rationale for the decision.</p> <p>Because we are trying to facilitate timely publication of manuscripts submitted to the International Sport Coaching Journal, your revised manuscript should be uploaded as soon as possible. If it is not possible for you to submit your revision in a reasonable</p>	<p>Thank you for considering our submission and for providing us with the opportunity to resubmit our paper following major revisions.</p> <p>As requested, we have carefully considered all the issues mentioned by the Reviewers and outlined every change that we have made in response to them (including the corresponding manuscript page and line numbers) or offered a suitable rebuttal when appropriate. We have provided an overview of these changes in our response to the Associate Editor (see, Authors’ Responses #2) and provided specific responses to each of the Reviewers’ comments below (see, Authors’ Responses #3-23). We hope that these revisions have brought the paper closer to the standard required to be accepted for publication within <i>International Sport Coaching Journal</i>.</p>

	amount of time, we may have to consider your paper as a new submission. Your revision is due on 21-Aug-2023.	
	Associate Editor's Comment	Authors' Response
2	<p>Both reviewers were pleased with the revisions you engaged in. I agree with them that the manuscript is much stronger as a result. However, there are a few other aspects that could do with some sharpening. In particular, the longitudinal aspects could be leveraged further. Please have a look at the useful comments provided by both reviewers and we look forward to receiving the next iteration.</p>	<p>Thank you for considering our submission and for facilitating the review process. We were grateful to receive both yours and the reviewers' constructive comments and also believe that our paper has been substantially strengthened through the guidance and direction. We are pleased to take this opportunity to resubmit our paper after making the revisions recommended.</p> <p>In response to Reviewer 1's comments, we have now:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Added a brief summary of the NGB this work aligned to (lines 122-126)</li> <li>• Clarified our sentence around quality and rigour (line 192)</li> <li>• Formatted headings in our results section in line with the APA format</li> <li>• Reworked lines 131-320 (now lines 330-410) to clarify our points around participants feeling disconnected from the NGB</li> <li>• Clarified our point about coaches wanting to wear a NGB uniform that endorsed their knowledge and qualification on lines 325-326</li> <li>• Formalised our writing on line 389, replacing "people power" with "resource"</li> </ul> <p>In response to Reviewer 2's comments, we have now:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Included a discussion around the different domains of coaching (lines 45-51)</li> <li>• Justified why community coaches are an important sample of coaches to focus this work (lines 93-103)</li> </ul>

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Provided examples of how stress can negatively impact physical and mental health (lines 28-29)</li><li>• Clarified hassles are dimensions of stress (lines 59-67) and reworded our definition of hassles to aid clarity (lines 68-69)</li><li>• Included the M and SD of all interviews – specifically for each time point and each participant (see Table 1)</li><li>• Reworked the results section to leverage the longitudinal design of the study. Here, we highlight how participants discussed that some hassles had stayed constant (e.g., the challenge the fitting into the club, undertaking further training), some had changed (e.g., coaches still questioned their legitimacy, but at this point it was because of inconsistent coaching activity, not a lack of confidence because of being new to the role), and some hassles were new (e.g., feeling disconnected to the NGB) with some being the result of navigating existing hassles (e.g., transitioning away from their clubs, and changing venues). Please see pages 16-21.</li></ul>
Comment/ response number	Reviewer 1’s Comment	Authors’ Response
3	1. I appreciate the author’s careful and extensive responses to reviewer comments. I feel the manuscript is generally more readable, although I do have a few specific questions were it is unclear to me.	Thank you. We appreciate the constructive tone with which you have provided your comments and pleased our responses to the first round of comments were extensive. We are pleased that our amends, as a result of your comments and feedback, now make the manuscript more readable.
4	2. I agree that the longitudinal data collection over time provides a strong in-depth and insider look at the coaching context in cycling. The method is carefully explained and well-referenced.	It’s great to read that the relevance of our longitudinal study design and the insight this offers regarding the coaching cycling context stand out. We are also pleased to read that you feel the method is carefully explained and well-referenced.
5	3. I like the focus on dimensions of stress as opposed to types which as the author states, allows the smaller more everyday stressors to be considered.	Thank you for highlighting the relevance of focusing on this aspect of stress. We feel this aspect of our work contributes to the wider stress literature.

6	<p>line 102. I think that NGB is National Governing Body – I’m American so that’s the term I am familiar with, but... you might formally write it out in terms of the specific NGB. And because you talk a lot about the NGB in your results, you might say just a bit about it.</p>	<p>Thank you for drawing our attention to this. We apologise for the confusion caused here. We had written national governing body, before the abbreviation in the last line of the introduction (now line 105) to prevent any confusion for an international readership.</p> <p>We also appreciate your comment around explaining the NGB. We have added some detail around the NGB within the participant section (please see lines 122-126). However, this is a brief description as early in the writing process, we decided to protect the NGB by maintaining their anonymity.</p>
7	<p>line 176. I was a bit confused about “The reader is asked to judge if they...” I guess not having read the transcripts, I’m not sure how I can judge that. I think as readers we just judge if it makes sense and is clear. I’m not saying you should definitely change this line, but it confused me.</p>	<p>Thank you for drawing our attention to this. This sentence was intended to refer to the description of the thematic process outlined in lines 172-187. Here, following Braun and Clarke (2021), we feel that assessment of the rigour and quality of our analysis process resides with the reader. By providing a transparent description of our analysis process, we have detailed what constituted a code (i.e., a descriptive analysis of interviews that formed the basis of repeated patterns across the data) and theme (i.e., combined codes). To clarify that this sentence refers to our description of our analysis process, we have added ‘outlined above’ (please see line 192).</p>
8	<p>Headings in results section. These headings don’t appear to be in line with requested APA format. Suggest you check this.</p>	<p>Done. We have now amended this throughout the results section to meet the APA format.</p>
9	<p>lines 311-320. This isn’t clear. 312- you say coaches disconnected from the NGB. Do you mean they finished their contract period? Or were let go? Because is line 314 you say they felt isolated. The sentence “They came to view them as...” I don’t know who they are them are – it’s a very confusing sentence and paragraph. What do you mean by “operating in a silo?” Was this their words? Why did that make them question their legitimacy. Why did their connection with the NGB “fade” and that seems like a strange word to use. And what do you mean by “came to view it as a</p>	<p>As requested, we have now re-written this paragraph. Our intention here was to highlight that coaches felt disconnected and isolated from the NGB once established in their coaching role. We have added the word ‘felt’ before disconnected (line 330) to clarify that this is how coaches felt, rather than coaches (actually) disconnecting from the NGB.</p> <p>We have added clarity about they and them – coaches came to view the NGB as a certificate provider – and reworked the discussion</p>



	transactional relationship, which enabled them to coach. I don't understand this at all, so please clarify for readers.	<p>around a transactional relationship, and hope that this is now clearer (please see lines 330-333).</p> <p>"Silo" did come from participants, and the way one of them discussed feeling isolated. However, we appreciate the confusion this word causes out of context. We have replaced "silo" with "independently" (please see line 394-396).</p> <p>We have reworked this section to highlight the impact on coaches' long-term relationship with the NGB, which we hope adds more clarity (lines 329-331).</p> <p>Lastly, coaches discussed how they felt not coaching as much as they expected questioned their legitimacy as coaches. To add clarity, we have removed this from the initial opening of this section, and moved this specific sentence further through this section (please see line 408-410).</p>
10	line 328. Why would the coach pay the NGB? I don't understand this.	Thank you for drawing our attention to this. This linked to the coach paying to complete one of the NGB coaching qualifications, which is common in the UK. We've added 'to complete the NGB coaching award' to clarify this point on line 361.
11	line 341. Do you mean a uniform that coaches would wear? This sort of came out of nowhere – why would not wearing a uniform make the feel pushed away, etc.?	Thank you for highlighting this point. Coaches discussed wanting to wear a NGB uniform that endorsed their knowledge and qualification. By not having a uniform, they did not feel that the NGB had a presence in their daily coaching environment, and therefore felt removed from them. We have moved this sentence earlier in this section, in the hope that it adds clarity (please see lines 338-340).
12	line 350. Here is the silo mentioned again. Explain what this means. I think you intended to, but make this clearer if you can.	As requested, we have added the word 'independently' here to add clarification, as outlined above. Please see line 395.
13	line 389 . "People power" is a slang term – perhaps put in quotes or formalize the writing. Also, I don't understand this point.	Done. We have replaced people power with 'resource'. We hope this formalises this sentence, as requested. Please see line 399.

14	Discussion. This point isn't required or even recommended, but rather a thought. I know the study is about stressors for coaches, but I was struck thinking "is there anything positive that they gained from this experience?" Perhaps that is not for this paper, and I'm fine – please don't add something just because I brought it up. But I think that all of us experience daily hassles in our lives, and our "why" and the positives about living as we do make the daily hassles worth it. Just wanted to throw that out there!	This is a great point, and definitely something worth exploring in future research. Literature has looked at how stress and adversity can play a positive role in athlete development (e.g., Collins et al., 2016) and how this similarly plays a role in coach development would be an interesting exploration beyond this research. Thank you for raising this.
15	Thanks again for your hard work in revising the manuscript.	Thank you for your constructive comments which have progressed and improved this manuscript towards publication in <i>International Sport Coaching Journal</i> .
Comment/ response number	Reviewer 2's Comment	Authors' Response
16	Thank you to the author(s) for their hard work on the proposed feedback/changes to make it a stronger manuscript. The manuscript focus has originality by exploring hassles, community coaches, and social support, which are currently lacking in the literature. However, there are still some areas to develop before it can be accepted for publication. In particular, the emphasis on need for research on community coaches and representation of data as longitudinal could be stronger.	<p>Thank you for your constructive feedback which has developed the manuscript and for recognising the originality of this work.</p> <p>We appreciate your constructive comments here around the importance of highlighting the emphasis on community coaches and representing the data in a stronger way to highlight the longitudinal design. Please response 17 and 23 for more detail to these specific points.</p>
17	Introduction. For me, there still needs to be more discussion about different levels of coaches to develop the argument for your study exploring community coaches specifically. For example, what does the significant number of articles on stressors with sports coaches currently say and what level of coaches do they explore? (for your arguments about doing a longitudinal study, it might be worth doing the same with research design) This is important because your study is one of the very few that explores this phenomena in "lower" level coaches.	<p>As requested, we have included reference to the complex, social, context-specific process of sport coaching, highlighting the landscape of coaching across high performance and participation domains (Collins et al., 2022; Côté &amp; Gilbert, 2009). We also discuss the role of the community sport coach, including a focus on physical activity levels/non-sport outcomes, collaborating with different organisations and professions, and delivering on the government's policy priorities – citing the work of Ives et al. (2021) and Smith et al. (2021). Please see lines 45-51.</p> <p>To further highlight the need for research on a community coaching sample, we highlight that of the 38 studies in Norris et al.'s (2017)</p>

		systematic review, only three sampled community coaches, with only one of these (see Stebbings et al., 2015) employing a longitudinal design (please see lines 93-95). As requested, we now explain how this work extends qualitative sport coaching research in community coaching (Cronin et al., 2018; Gale et al., 2023; Ives et al., 2021; Roberts et al., 2019) , and advances sport coaching research more broadly by employing a longitudinal design to explore coaches' every day contexts (Allen & Shaw, 2009, 2013; Stodter & Cushion, 2014, 2017) (please see lines 99-103). We hope that this highlights the need for research with this group of coaches and emphasises the novelty of this work by exploring stress in community coaching.
18	Page 3, lines 27 & 28. Can you provide examples of damaging impact on physical and mental health?	Done. We have provided examples of the impact on mental health (e.g., psychological distress, depression, psychiatric disorders) and physical health behaviours (e.g., substance abuse, alcohol dependence, smoking, and eating excessively) referencing the work of Thoits (2010) and Umberson et al. (2008) to support these examples (please see lines 28-29). We hope that this highlights the negative impact of stress on physical and mental health.
19	Page 4, line 62. This sentence was awkward to read.	As requested, we have reworked this sentence for clarity. Please see lines 68-69.
20	Page 4, line 64. Clarify what the difference is between 'hassles' and 'stressors' are for the reader.	Thank you for drawing attention to this. Hassles relate to the dimension of stress (Arnold & Fletcher, 2021). We explain the importance of focusing on dimension of stress, rather than type, on lines 59-67. We define hassles as the transient stressors caused by the friction of daily life (Lazarus & DeLongis, 1983; Lazarus & Folkman, 1984; Wright et al., 2020) - i.e., high in duration (Smyth et al., 2013), but low in intensity (Vagg & Spielberger, 1999) on line 68-69. We hope that the rewording of the sentence discussed in response 19 further clarifies this point (please see lines 68-69).
21	Method. Page 7, lines 124 & 125. You state that "At the start of their participation, coaches were recently qualified, and consequently in a similar situation." Why is it important that they	Thank you for raising this question. It is not important that coaches were in a similar situation. The main point here is that they were all

	are all in a similar situation?	recently qualified. As such, we have deleted this statement from the manuscript. Please see line 139.
22	You previously highlight that the 18-month time period helped you to build even better rapport with coaches. Therefore, it would be useful to also include the M and SD for length of interviews at each time point as well.	As requested, we have inserted a table to this effect (please see Table 1 on page 40). We hope this illustrates the points raised here. We have also added the M and SD for each participant to cover all bases.
23	Results. I still think the longitudinal aspect of the study could be made stronger in the results. What changed or stayed the same between/across the interview time points? Are the quotes all from the same time point or different ones? The longitudinal aspect could be developed in Table 1. Could this be broken down to show what was said at what time point? The above is important as you highlight in the discussion that "its contribution to existing research by demonstrating the evolution of hassles over time" and I am not sure that it currently does this strongly enough.	Thank you for raising this. As requested, we have addressed this point by overhauling the final theme within the Results section. Here, we have worked to highlight how participants discussed that some hassles had stayed constant (e.g., the challenge the fitting into the club, undertaking further training), some had changed (e.g., coaches still questioned their legitimacy, but at this point it was because of inconsistent coaching activity, not a lack of confidence because of being new to the role), and some hassles were new (e.g., feeling disconnected to the NGB) with some being the result of navigating existing hassles (e.g., transitioning away from their clubs, and changing venues). Please see pages 16-21.

## References

- Allen, J. B., & Shaw, S. (2009). Women Coaches' Perceptions of Their Sport Organizations' Social Environment: Supporting Coaches' Psychological Needs? *The Sport Psychologist*, 23(3), 346-366. <https://doi.org/10.1123/tsp.23.3.346>
- Allen, J. B., & Shaw, S. (2013). An Interdisciplinary Approach to Examining the Working Conditions of Women Coaches. *International Journal of Sports Science & Coaching*, 8(1), 1-17. <https://doi.org/10.1260/1747-9541.8.1.1>
- Arnold, R., & Fletcher, D. (2021). Stressors, hassles, and adversity. In R. Arnold & D. Fletcher (Eds.), *Stress, well-being, and performance in sport* (pp. 31-62). Routledge.
- Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2021). To saturate or not to saturate? Questioning data saturation as a useful concept for thematic analysis and sample-size rationales. *Qualitative Research in Sport, Exercise and Health*, 13(2), 201-216. <https://doi.org/10.1080/2159676X.2019.1704846>
- Collins, D., Taylor, J., Ashford, M., & Collins, L. (2022). It depends coaching – The most fundamental, simple and complex principle or a mere copout? *Sports Coaching Review*, 1-21. <https://doi.org/10.1080/21640629.2022.2154189>
- Collins, D. J., Macnamara, A., & McCarthy, N. (2016). Putting the Bumps in the Rocky Road: Optimizing the Pathway to Excellence [Perspective]. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 7. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2016.01482>

Côté, J., & Gilbert, W. (2009). An integrative definition of coaching effectiveness and expertise. *International Journal of Sports Science & Coaching*, 4(3), 307-323.

Cronin, C., Ryrle, A., Huntley, T., & Hayton, J. (2018). 'Sinking and swimming in disability coaching': an autoethnographic account of coaching in a new context. *Qualitative Research in Sport, Exercise and Health*, 10(3), 362-377.

Gale, L. A., Ives, B. A., Potrac, P. A., & Nelson, L. J. (2023). Repairing relationship conflict in community sport work: "Offender" perspectives. *Qualitative Research in Sport, Exercise and Health*, 15(3), 417-430. <https://doi.org/10.1080/2159676X.2022.2127861>

Ives, B. A., Gale, L. A., Potrac, P. A., & Nelson, L. J. (2021). Uncertainty, shame and consumption: negotiating occupational and non-work identities in community sports coaching. *Sport, Education and Society*, 26(1), 87-103. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13573322.2019.1699522>

Lazarus, R. S., & DeLongis, A. (1983). Psychological stress and coping in ageing. *American Psychologist*, 38(3), 245-254. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066x.38.3.245>

Lazarus, R. S., & Folkman, S. (1984). *Stress, appraisal, and coping*. Springer.

Norris, L. A., Didymus, F. F., & Kaiseler, M. (2017). Stressors, coping, and well-being among sports coaches: A systematic review. *Psychology of sport and exercise*, 33, 93-112. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychsport.2017.08.005>

Roberts, S. J., Baker, M., Reeves, M. J., Jones, G., & Cronin, C. (2019). Lifting the veil of depression and alcoholism in sport coaching: how do we care for carers? *Qualitative Research in Sport, Exercise and Health*, 11(4), 510-526. <https://doi.org/10.1080/2159676X.2018.1556182>

Smith, A., Greenough, K., & Lovett, E. (2021). The Politics and Policy of Community Sport Coaching. In B. A. Ives, P. Potrac, L. A. Gale, & L. Nelson (Eds.), *Community Sport Coaching: Policies and Practice* (pp. 7-24). Routledge.

Smyth, J., Zawadzki, M., & Gerin, W. (2013). Stress and disease: A structural and functional analysis. *Social and Personality Psychology Compass*, 7(4), 217-227. <https://doi.org/10.1111/spc3.12020>

Stebbing, J., Taylor, I. M., & Spray, C. M. (2015). The relationship between psychological well- and ill-being, and perceived autonomy supportive and controlling interpersonal styles: A longitudinal study of sport coaches. *Psychology of sport and exercise*, 19, 42-49. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychsport.2015.02.002>

Stodter, A., & Cushion, C. J. (2014). Coaches' learning and education: a case study of cultures in conflict. *Sports Coaching Review*, 3(1), 63-79.

Stodter, A., & Cushion, C. J. (2017). What works in coach learning, how, and for whom? A grounded process of soccer coaches' professional learning. *Qualitative Research in Sport, Exercise and Health*, 9(3), 321-338.

Thoits, P. A. (2010). Stress and health: Major findings and policy implications. *Journal of Health and Social Behaviour*, 51, S41-S53. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0022146510383499>

Umberson, D., Liu, H., & Reczek, C. (2008). Stress and health behaviour over the life course. *Advances in Life Course Research*, 13, 19-44. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1040-2608\(08\)00002-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1040-2608(08)00002-6)

Vagg, P. R., & Spielberger, C. D. (1999). The job stress survey: assessing perceived severity and frequency of occurrence of generic sources of stress in the workplace. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology*, 4(3), 288-292. <https://doi.org/10.1037/1076-8998.4.3.288>

Wright, A. G. C., Aslinger, E. N., Bellamy, B., Edershire, E. A., & Woods, W. C. (2020). Daily stress and hassles. In K. L. Harkness & E. P. Hayden (Eds.), *The Oxford handbook of stress and mental health* (pp. 27-44). Oxford University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780190681777.013.2>

For Peer Review