

Global lakes are warming slower than surface air temperature due to accelerated evaporation

Tong, Yan; Feng, Lian; Wang, Xinchi; Pi, Xuehui; Xu, Wang; Woolway, R. Iestyn

Nature Water

DOI:
[10.1038/s44221-023-00148-8](https://doi.org/10.1038/s44221-023-00148-8)

Published: 23/10/2023

Peer reviewed version

[Cyswllt i'r cyhoeddiad / Link to publication](#)

Dyfyniad o'r fersiwn a gyhoeddwyd / Citation for published version (APA):
Tong, Y., Feng, L., Wang, X., Pi, X., Xu, W., & Woolway, R. I. (2023). Global lakes are warming slower than surface air temperature due to accelerated evaporation. *Nature Water*, 1(11), 929-940. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s44221-023-00148-8>

Hawliau Cyffredinol / General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal ?

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

17 **Global lakes are warming slower than surface air temperature due to**
18 **accelerated evaporation**

19 Authors: Yan Tong¹, Lian Feng^{1*}, Xinchu Wang¹, Xuehui Pi¹, Wang Xu², R.

20 Iestyn Woolway³

21 Affiliations:

22 ¹ School of Environmental Science and Engineering, Southern University of Science
23 and Technology, Shenzhen, China

24 ² Shenzhen Ecological and Environmental Monitoring Center of Guangdong Province,
25 Shenzhen, China

26 ³ School of Ocean Sciences, Bangor University, Wales

27 *Correspondence to: fengl@sustech.edu.cn

28 **Abstract:** Widespread increases in lake surface water temperature (LSWT) have been
29 documented in recent decades. Yet our understanding of global lake warming is
30 mainly based on summertime measurements and includes relatively few observations
31 from high latitudes ($> 60^{\circ}\text{N}$) where half of the world's lakes are located. Here, we
32 provide temporally and spatially detailed high-resolution LSWTs for 92,245 lakes
33 (36% are located within the Arctic) based on satellite remote sensing and numerical
34 modeling. The global LSWT data suggested a mean increase of $+0.24\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C decade}^{-1}$
35 (uncertainty = $0.02\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C decade}^{-1}$) from 1981 to 2020, which is significantly ($P < 0.05$)
36 slower than the change in surface air temperature (SAT, mean rate: $+0.29\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C decade}^{-1}$)
37 during the same period. We show that climatic forces (long-wave radiation, shortwave
38 radiation, and specific humidity) other than SAT contribute more than half of the lake
39 warming, and energy loss through accelerated evaporation rate is mainly responsible
40 for the slower warming rate. Lake warming is likely to continue from 2021 to 2099
41 unless a low-greenhouse-gas-emission scenario is followed. Our dataset provides
42 important baseline information to further evaluate the physical and biological
43 responses of lakes to past and future warming.

44 Main

45 Lakes comprise only 2.2% of the global land surface area, yet they are extremely
46 important natural resources that play a vital role in global hydrological and
47 biological cycles ^{1,2}. However, lakes are highly vulnerable to climate change ^{3,4}. One
48 of the most pertinent consequences of climate change in lakes is an increase in lake
49 surface water temperature (LSWT) ⁵, which can result in a cascade of ecological and
50 environmental impacts. Notably, an increase in LSWT can alter important physical
51 (ice cover, evaporation, stratification, etc.) and biogeochemical (primary production,
52 nutrients, and oxygen concentrations, carbon cycling, etc.) processes in lakes,
53 threatening many key functions of lacustrine ecosystems ^{4,6-8}. For example, the
54 reduction of dissolved oxygen solubility in warmer waters has resulted in the
55 deoxygenation of many temperate lakes ⁶. Warming has also facilitated the increased
56 occurrence of harmful algal blooms ⁹ and contributed to an increase in reported fish
57 die-off events ¹⁰, likewise having a detrimental influence on some of the ecosystem
58 services that lakes provide to society (e.g., drinking water, fisheries, recreation).
59 Unfortunately, under continued global warming, such impacts on lakes are expected
60 to worsen in the future.

61 Global-scale datasets of LSWT have become increasingly available in recent
62 years, due to the availability of extensive *in situ* and satellite-derived observations ¹¹.
63 A notable example is the synthesis study of summer months' LSWT for 235 globally
64 distributed lakes by ref. ⁵, which suggested a higher global average warming rate in
65 lakes (0.34 °C decade⁻¹) compared to surface air temperature (0.25 °C decade⁻¹)
66 between 1985 and 2009 ⁵. Rapid lake warming was described as a consequence of,
67 among other things, shorter winter ice cover ¹² and changes in cloud cover/incoming
68 solar radiation ¹³. However, the global dataset investigated was based solely on
69 summertime observations ⁵, thus neglecting important changes occurring at other
70 times of the year ¹⁴. More recently, satellite-derived daily observations from
71 thousands of lakes have been compiled into freely-available global datasets (e.g.,
72 GloboLakes ¹⁵ and ESA CCI Lakes ¹⁶). These data, which are available from 1995 to
73 the near-present, have been used to examine various lake thermal responses to climate
74 change, including surface warming, mixing regimes alterations, and heatwave
75 enhancement ^{17,18}. However, the comparatively rare coverage of these datasets at high
76 latitudes, and their relatively short temporal coverage, challenge our current
77 understanding of global lake warming. Critically, ~50% of the lakes are located north
78 of 60°N ¹, and thus need to be resolved in global scale studies.

79 An alternative approach for investigating global lake thermal responses to
80 climate change is to analyze simulations from process-based lake models, which have
81 become increasingly available in recent years ¹⁹. Global-scale simulations have been
82 used to investigate historical and future climate change impacts on LSWTs, and to
83 quantify the anthropogenic contribution to lake temperature changes ^{20,21}. However,
84 current global-scale lake model simulations, such as those provided by the
85 Intersectoral Impact Model Intercomparison Project Lake Sector ¹⁹, are typically
86 provided on a gridded basis by assuming invariant lake morphological (i.e., depth,

87 morphology, etc.) and hydrothermal (i.e., heat flux from discharge and sediments)
88 features within a relatively large longitude-latitude grid (e.g., 0.1° , 0.25°)^{12,20}.
89 Ultimately, these simulations represent an aggregated “typical lake” for each grid cell.
90 However, as most lakes are smaller than the size of one grid cell, and lake-specific
91 features (e.g., depth) play an important role in their thermal response to climate
92 change²²⁻²⁴, these global-scale simulations can be considered uncertain²⁵.

93 To fill the above knowledge gaps, here we integrate satellite remote sensing and
94 numerical modeling to provide hourly LSWTs for 92,245 lakes, and use them to
95 quantify the warming trends of lakes from 1981 to 2099 at a truly global scale. Our
96 study represents the first comprehensive characterization of changes in global LSWT
97 and the associated surface energy redistribution based on a dataset of high
98 spatiotemporal resolution with extensive global coverage.

99 **The global lake surface water temperature (GLAST) dataset**

100 We established a global lake surface water temperature (GLAST) dataset based
101 on four decades (1981-2020) of Landsat satellite images and a physical model
102 (FLake)^{26,27} (see Methods and Extended Data Fig. 1). We initially focused on 1.41
103 million lakes, polygons for which were provided in the HydroLAKES database²,
104 while the masks for permanent water, narrow channels (to avoid mixing pixels and
105 land adjacency effects), and limited observations (< 10 cloud-free images over the
106 study period) reduced the number of target lakes to 92,245 (36% are located within
107 the Arctic) (see Methods). The total surface area of these lakes is 2,116,773.2 km²,
108 representing 72.3% of the global lake area¹. For each lake, the LSWT was retrieved
109 using Landsat thermal observations from 1981 to 2020, and validated with *in situ*
110 observations when available (see Methods). The long-term satellite-derived LSWT
111 was then used to optimize key parameters of the FLake model, which was used to
112 simulate LSWT for all studied lakes. The climate forcing parameters of the FLake
113 model are air temperature, short- and long-wave radiation, wind speed, and specific
114 humidity¹⁷. Our extensive global validation efforts showed that the optimized FLake
115 model could accurately simulate LSWT, lake surface energy fluxes, evaporation rate,
116 and ice phenology (Extended Data Fig. 2-3, Supplementary Fig. 1). Furthermore, our
117 simulated LSWTs demonstrate a much greater accuracy compared to the currently
118 available dataset from ERA5-Land (Extended Data Fig. 4). Following the validation
119 of the FLake model, we then performed historical (1981-2020) and future (2021-
120 2099) simulations, with the former simulated hourly and the latter at daily timescales,
121 in line with the temporal resolution of the respective climate forcing datasets (see
122 Methods). We conducted the future simulations under three different anthropogenic
123 greenhouse gas emission scenarios, including the Representative Concentration
124 Pathway (RCP) 2.6 (low-emissions), RCP 6.0 (medium-emissions), and RCP 8.5
125 (high-emissions)²⁸.

126 We selected the simulations over the minimum ice-free period (that is, the
127 intersection of the non-frozen period between 1981 and 2020) for lakes worldwide,
128 examined the long-term LSWT trends, and analyzed the drivers and feedbacks on the

129 distribution of lake surface energy fluxes (see Methods). The average duration of the
130 minimum ice-free period for the lakes studied was 187 ± 125 days. We also performed
131 trend analysis for different seasons, and our four seasons were defined as winter
132 (Months 1-3), spring (4-6), summer (7-9), and autumn (10-12) in the Northern
133 Hemisphere, and summer (1-3), autumn (4-6), winter (7-9), and spring (10-12) in the
134 Southern Hemisphere, following the same practice as in ref. ⁵. For the majority of
135 lakes in the southern hemisphere and the middle-to-low latitudes of the northern
136 hemisphere, the minimum ice-free period extends throughout all four seasons of the
137 year (Supplementary Fig. 2). As latitude increases, the minimum ice-free period
138 becomes shorter; for Arctic lakes, 100% of the lakes are covered with ice during
139 winter, and 95.4% and 96.6% are ice-covered during spring and autumn, respectively.

140 **Four decades of global lake warming**

141 The global LSWT dataset showed a mean warming rate of $+0.24$ °C decade⁻¹
142 (uncertainty = 0.02 °C decade⁻¹) from 1981 to 2020 (Fig. 1). The spatial patterns and
143 warming rates were similar between daytime and nighttime (Supplementary Fig. 3).
144 Of all lakes examined, 41.6% experienced a statistically significant warming trend (P
145 < 0.05). Small lakes were warming much faster than large lakes. Notably, the mean
146 warming rate for lakes with a surface area ≤ 1 km² was 1.7 times higher than lakes
147 with a surface area > 100 km² (Extended Data Fig. 5a). By contrast, cooling trends
148 were observed in only 2.8% of the lakes, mostly in those located in western Siberia,
149 associated with the stratospheric circulation anomaly near the Ural Mountains ²⁹. A
150 pronounced increase in LSWT was observed in high-latitude regions, with Arctic
151 lakes warming at a rate ($+0.48$ °C decade⁻¹, uncertainty = 0.03 °C decade⁻¹) twice that
152 of lakes situated south of the Arctic Circle ($+0.22$ °C decade⁻¹, uncertainty = 0.02 °C
153 decade⁻¹). Such amplified warming of LSWT was comparable to that calculated for
154 surface air temperature (SAT), land surface temperature, and ocean surface
155 temperature in the Arctic regions ³⁰⁻³².

156 The global LSWT trend was 17% lower than that calculated for SAT ($+0.29$ °C
157 decade⁻¹, Fig. 1b), and slower LSWT warming rates were found across all latitudes
158 except for the near-polar regions (Fig. 1c). Matched pair *t-test* also revealed
159 significant ($P < 0.05$) differences between the global trends for LSWT and SAT. As a
160 result, the mean lake-to-air temperature difference has decreased by 0.3 °C (from
161 1.8 °C to 1.5 °C) over the past four decades (85% of the lakes globally showed higher
162 LSWT than SAT, see Extended Data Fig. 6a-b). By contrast, the lake-air temperature
163 differences have increased at high latitudes (particularly in Northern Europe) due to
164 the greater LSWT warming, highlighting the differential responses of LSWT and SAT
165 to climate change.

166 Unexpectedly, we found lake warming ($+0.64$ °C decade⁻¹) and air cooling ($-$
167 0.17 °C decade⁻¹) in the Arctic during spring. This is the season when Arctic lakes
168 experienced the fastest warming rates, as compared to $+0.48$ °C decade⁻¹ in summer
169 and $+0.43$ °C decade⁻¹ in autumn, respectively (Extended Data Fig. 7). The
170 substantial increase in LSWT in spring was likely due to the prolonged ice-free

171 season during the most recent years and thus an increase in the accumulation of solar
172 radiation at the lake surface ^{33,34}, while the slight decrease in SAT was due to the
173 negative anomalies in the high latitudes of East Asia and western Europe ³⁵.
174 Moreover, our analysis suggested that the LSWT trend is not only higher in regions
175 with climatologically longer ice duration (i.e., colder regions), but also positively
176 correlates with the loss of ice-cover days (Extended Data Fig. 5b-c). These results
177 also agree with a previous study that suggested lake warming during the months of
178 ice-off was 1.4 times greater than during the open water season ¹². In all other
179 seasons, mean LSWT demonstrated slower increasing rates than SAT in both Arctic
180 and non-Arctic lakes.

181 **Drivers of the global lake warming**

182 We quantified the contributions of key external climate forcing parameters to the
183 global LSWT trends using FLake simulations (Fig. 2, Supplementary Fig. 4). This
184 was accomplished through six groups of simulations, including one reference
185 simulation with the trends of all forcing parameters retained, and five control
186 simulations with the target parameter kept the long-term trend and others were
187 detrended (see Methods). On average, the increase in SAT represents 47% (+0.112 °C
188 decade⁻¹) of lake warming globally. Substantial contributions were identified from
189 long-wave radiation (+0.061 °C decade⁻¹, or 26%) and specific humidity (+0.059 °C
190 decade⁻¹, or 25%). Although solar brightening was also responsible for 7% (+0.017 °C
191 decade⁻¹) of the global lake warming, marked decreases in solar radiation were found
192 in the Canadian and Russian Arctic, the Tibetan Plateau, and India, offsetting of the
193 warming trends (Supplementary Figs. 5g & 6d). By contrast, despite the potential
194 impacts of wind speed on evaporation and stratification ³⁶⁻³⁸, its contribution to global
195 LSWT trends was minor (-0.005 °C decade⁻¹, -2%). These results corroborate
196 previous findings that other climate variables could considerably influence lake
197 warming besides SAT ^{13,39-41}, whereas the total contribution of the variables to global
198 lake surface warming estimated here (53%) was slightly higher than that by ref. ^{13,39}
199 (~40%).

200 **Increased evaporation slows down lake warming**

201 Simulations of the lake surface energy fluxes demonstrated that the slower
202 warming of LSWT relative to SAT was primarily due to the energy loss through
203 increased evaporation. From 1981 to 2020, the increasing rate in annual latent heat
204 flux (+1.41 W/m² decade⁻¹) was almost three times the increase of incoming net
205 radiation (+0.51 W/m² decade⁻¹) (Fig. 3a, c). The rapid increases in latent heat flux
206 can be translated into a mean increase of 7% in the evaporation rate of global lakes
207 during the past four decades, which agrees with previous findings that more energy
208 would be reallocated for evaporation under higher air temperatures ³³. The critical role
209 of evaporation in reducing lake warming is also suggested by the significant negative
210 feedback ($R^2 = 0.58$, $P < 0.05$) between evaporation rate and lake-to-air warming
211 difference for permanently ice-free lakes (Extended Data Fig. 8); such effect of
212 evaporative cooling has also been identified previously in individual lakes ⁴²⁻⁴⁵. This

213 mechanism is also similar to the slower warming rate of the ocean than the land
214 surface, which was attributed primarily to the equilibrium associated with accelerated
215 evaporation over the ocean surface; in contrast, the larger heat capacity of the oceans
216 only represents a transient effect⁴⁶. Meanwhile, the positive sensible heat flux (Fig.
217 3f) is also responsible for the excessive heat loss from the lake to the air, albeit with a
218 decreasing trend ($-0.38 \text{ W/m}^2 \text{ decade}^{-1}$, Fig. 3e) and a much smaller annual mean
219 value than latent heat flux. Furthermore, heat storage change (ΔG) decreased by 0.52
220 $\text{W/m}^2 \text{ decade}^{-1}$ over the past four decades (Fig. 3g), indicating a deceleration in both
221 the accumulation of heat storage within lakes and the warming of the lake water
222 column. These changes could have additional implications for the rate of lake
223 stratification and the associated physical and biological processes⁴⁷.

224 The increase in latent heat flux in Arctic lakes during the past four decades
225 ($+1.63 \text{ W/m}^2 \text{ decade}^{-1}$) was higher than in lakes situated elsewhere ($+1.39 \text{ W/m}^2$
226 decade^{-1}), even if the non-Arctic lakes showed approximately twice the value of the
227 annual mean latent heat flux than those in the Arctic (Fig. 3d). Such disproportional
228 increases represented a net evaporation rate increase of 14% in Arctic lakes during the
229 past four decades. To compensate for the excess energy loss of evaporation as well as
230 the substantial decreases in net radiation, ΔG in Arctic lakes demonstrated a mean
231 decreasing rate of $-2.12 \text{ W/m}^2 \text{ decade}^{-1}$, which was four times the global average ($-$
232 $0.52 \text{ W/m}^2 \text{ decade}^{-1}$).

233 **Future trends and implications of global lake warming**

234 Under a medium-emissions scenario (RCP 6.0), global LSWTs are projected to
235 increase at a rate of $+0.30 \text{ }^\circ\text{C decade}^{-1}$ from 2021 to 2099 (Fig. 4), which is 25%
236 higher than those calculated during the historical period (Fig. 1). Meanwhile, the
237 warming trend would be decelerated in Arctic lakes by -21%. The increase in latent
238 heat flux would be stabilized for global lakes under RCP 6.0, albeit at a rapidly
239 decreased rate (-48%) in Arctic lakes. The sensible heat flux ($-0.33 \text{ W/m}^2 \text{ decade}^{-1}$)
240 and ΔG ($-0.25 \text{ W/m}^2 \text{ decade}^{-1}$) are projected to decrease for global lakes, as the
241 increase of net radiation ($+0.78 \text{ W/m}^2 \text{ decade}^{-1}$) would be insufficient to support the
242 energy loss through latent heat ($+1.36 \text{ W/m}^2 \text{ decade}^{-1}$). The air-to-lake temperature
243 difference is projected to decrease at a slightly slower rate of $0.038 \text{ }^\circ\text{C decade}^{-1}$
244 (Extended Data Fig. 6c). Our projection also indicates that the change in LSWT and
245 the energy fluxes under RCP 8.5 will be more pronounced than those seen in the past
246 four decades, especially for Arctic lakes (Supplementary Fig. 7). Nevertheless,
247 negligible future warming in both lakes and air can be expected under a low-
248 emissions scenario (RCP 2.6) (Extended Data Fig. 9).

249 Our GLAST dataset provides spatially and temporally detailed information on
250 global LSWT changes from 1981 to 2099 (with particularly increased coverage over
251 high latitude regions compared to the existing datasets), providing more
252 comprehensive insights into global lake warming and the associated impacts. For
253 example, our comparison between SAT and LSWT demonstrated significantly slower
254 warming of lakes, which is different from a previous global-scale study where

255 globally indistinguishable trends were found between air and lake temperatures⁵. Our
256 different finding is likely due to the substantial increase in the number of lakes in our
257 study as well as the temporal coverage. Likewise, our increased coverage in cold
258 regions has resulted in a greater projected increase in evaporation rate (27%) by the
259 end of this century compared to Wang et al. (15.7%)³³, while our projections were
260 similar to theirs in tropical and temperate regions (Extended Data Fig. 10). Such
261 detailed mapping of the changes in global lake evaporation rate could help to identify
262 the lake-warming induced increases in drought⁴⁸. In addition, our GLAST dataset can
263 help shed light on the contribution of warming as a major factor driving the observed
264 increase in harmful algal blooms in numerous lakes during recent decades^{7-9,49-51}.

265 The responses of lakes to global warming are complex. For example, rising lake
266 temperatures could change not only the solubility and consumption of oxygen and
267 nutrients (the two fundamental processes that sustain lake ecosystems^{6,7}), but it could
268 also result in a strengthening of thermal stratification³⁸. By limiting the transport of
269 oxygen from surface to bottom waters and the transport of dissolved nutrients in the
270 opposite direction, stratification can lead to further declines in oxygen concentrations,
271 with anoxic conditions at depth having the potential to result in substantial nutrient
272 leakage from the sediments⁵², as well as increased production of potent greenhouse
273 gases⁵³. Our dataset provides a vital baseline to evaluate the changes in these critical
274 ecological processes and the potential consequences of past and future lake warming.

275 **Methods**

276 **Data sources**

277 *HydroLAKES*. The HydroLAKES database (Version 1.0) provides polygons for 1.4
278 million lakes and reservoirs worldwide², along with various site-specific information,
279 such as lake surface area, mean depth, elevation, etc. These lake-specific attributes are
280 essential for our lake thermodynamic simulations using the FLake model^{26,27} (see
281 below). The HydroLAKES dataset was downloaded via
282 <https://www.hydrosheds.org/products/hydrolakes>.

283 *Global Surface Water (GSW) dataset*. We used the 30-m resolution Global Surface
284 Water Occurrence (GSWO) dataset⁵⁴ to delineate the extent of our examined global
285 lakes. The GSWO dataset provides the probability of water presence (or water
286 occurrence, ranging from 0 to 100%) for the entire globe over the past four decades,
287 based on millions of historical Landsat satellite images. We also used the monthly
288 history collection of the GSWO dataset to determine the dynamic water masks for
289 lakes with substantial seasonality (see below). The GSW dataset can be freely
290 accessed in Google Earth Engine (GEE) via [https://developers.google.com/earth-](https://developers.google.com/earth-engine/tutorials/tutorial_global_surface_water_02)
291 [engine/tutorials/tutorial_global_surface_water_02](https://developers.google.com/earth-engine/tutorials/tutorial_global_surface_water_02).

292 *In situ data*. Extensive *in situ* datasets were compiled to validate the lake thermal
293 parameters derived from satellites or simulated by model in this study. Specifically,
294 we compiled hourly recorded field measurements of lake temperature sampled near
295 the water surface (depth ≤ 1 m) through various sources (see Supplementary Table 1)

296 to evaluate the performance of the Landsat-retrieved water surface temperature (see
297 below). A total of 6,755,222 hourly records were obtained, which are distributed at
298 403 sites worldwide. We also collated observed lake surface heat flux and evaporation
299 rate data, which were available at various temporal resolutions (monthly, seasonal,
300 and annual), from the published literature to validate the model-simulated surface
301 energy budget (Supplementary Table 2). We downloaded *in situ* lake ice phenology
302 records (i.e., the Global Lake and River Ice Phenology Database (GLRIPD, version
303 1)) from the National Snow and Ice Data Center ⁵⁵ to validate the model-simulated
304 lake ice freeze-up day, break-up day, and ice duration (see below). The dataset covers
305 approximately 700 lakes in the Northern Hemisphere and is freely available at
306 <https://nsidc.org/data/G01377/versions/1>.

307 *Landsat satellite data.* We used all Collection 1 Tier 1 Landsat 4, 5, 7, and 8 images
308 from 1981-2020 to retrieve global LSWTs. The satellite-derived LSWTs were further
309 used for calibrating the FLake model (see below). The Landsat brightness temperature
310 datasets have spatial resolutions of 120 m, 60 m, and 100 m for Landsat 4/5 Thematic
311 Mapper (TM), Landsat 7 Enhanced Thematic Mapper Plus (ETM+), and Landsat 8
312 Thermal InfraRed Sensor (TIRS), respectively. The Landsat data are available at
313 <https://developers.google.com/earth-engine/datasets/catalog/landsat>.

314 *Total Column Water Vapor (TCWV) data.* We used the TCWV from NCEP/NCAR
315 Reanalysis data ⁵⁶ to estimate the atmospheric contribution, which represents a key
316 process in retrieving LSWT using Landsat satellite images. The data is available in
317 GEE at [https://developers.google.com/earth-](https://developers.google.com/earth-engine/datasets/catalog/NCEP_RE_surface_wv)
318 [engine/datasets/catalog/NCEP_RE_surface_wv](https://developers.google.com/earth-engine/datasets/catalog/NCEP_RE_surface_wv)), at a spatial resolution of 2.5° and a
319 temporal resolution of six hours (i.e., four observations provided at 00:00, 06:00,
320 12:00, and 18:00 UTC each day).

321 *ERA5-Land reanalysis dataset.* The European Centre for Medium-Range Weather
322 Forecasts (ECMWF) Re-Analysis v5-Land (ERA5-Land) dataset ⁵⁷ provides global-
323 land gridded climate forcing data from 1981 to the near present, at hourly temporal
324 resolution and 0.1°×0.1° spatial resolution. Various climate forcing variables of the
325 hourly ERA5-Land dataset were used to calibrate the lake-specific FLake model,
326 including 2-m surface air temperature (SAT, in K), 2-m dew-point temperature (in K),
327 downward surface shortwave radiation (SW_{down}, in W/m²), downward surface long-
328 wave radiation (LW_{down}, W/m²), surface pressure (Pa), and surface 10-m *u* and *v*
329 components of wind (m/s). ERA5-Land provides LSWT data based on grid cells,
330 which were also simulated using the FLake model; however, these simulations were
331 performed by assuming invariant lake morphological (depth, morphology, fetch, etc.)
332 and hydrothermal (heat flux from estuaries and bottom sediments) features within a
333 relatively large grid (i.e., 0.1°). We compared the accuracies of the LSWT between
334 ERA5-Land and our lake-specific simulations (see below). The ERA5-Land dataset
335 can be accessed in GEE at [https://developers.google.com/earth-](https://developers.google.com/earth-engine/datasets/catalog/ECMWF_ERA5_LAND_HOURLY)
336 [engine/datasets/catalog/ECMWF_ERA5_LAND_HOURLY](https://developers.google.com/earth-engine/datasets/catalog/ECMWF_ERA5_LAND_HOURLY).

337 *Global ocean surface temperature data.* We downloaded the annual anomalies of

338 global ocean surface temperature from 1981 to 2020 to compare with lake surface
339 warming (Fig. 1b). The data was provided by the NOAA National Centers for
340 Environmental Information and available at
341 <https://www.ncei.noaa.gov/cag/global/time-series/globe/ocean/ann/3/1981-2020>.

342 *The Inter-Sectoral Impact Model Intercomparison Project (ISIMIP2b) dataset*. We
343 downloaded future (i.e., 2021-2099) climate-forcing datasets to simulate the future
344 response of lakes to climate change from ISIMIP2b (<https://www.isimip.org/>)²⁸. The
345 datasets include simulations from four bias-corrected climate models (i.e., IPSL-
346 CM5A-LR, GFDL ESM2M, MIROC5, and HadGEM2-ES) under three different
347 greenhouse gas emissions scenarios (RCP 2.6, low emissions; RCP 6.0, moderate-
348 high emissions; RCP 8.5, high emissions), which are provided daily with a spatial
349 resolution of 0.5°^{28,58}. The variables we used include air temperature at 2 m, wind
350 speed at 10 m, surface solar, thermal radiation, and specific humidity.

351 **Selection of studied lakes**

352 We used the water occurrence provided by the GSWO dataset to delineate permanent
353 water surfaces within the lake boundaries defined by HydroLAKES, where only
354 pixels with the probability of water presence > 70% were considered permanent
355 water. We further determined the lake center as the point with the largest distance to
356 the shoreline of the permanent water within a lake (D). Note that, when multiple
357 permanent water polygons are available within one lake, we selected the lake center
358 with maximum D . To minimize the potential impacts of mixing pixels⁵⁹, land-
359 adjacent effects, and geometric errors⁶⁰ on the LSWT retrievals, we only selected
360 lakes with D larger than 3 pixels. For example, we excluded lakes with $D < 300$ m for
361 Landsat 8 TIRS, as the spatial resolution of TIRS is 100 m. We further excluded lakes
362 with insufficient satellite-derived LSWTs (< 10 valid satellite observations over the
363 past four decades) or without climate-forcing data from ERA5-Land (i.e., lakes
364 located near the coast). The final number of lakes selected is 92,245, with 62%
365 located at high latitudes (north of 60°N) and 36% located in the Arctic (north of
366 66.5°N). The combined area of these lakes is 2,116,773.2 km², which accounts for
367 72.3% of the global lake area. Specifically, the total areas of the studied lakes located
368 north of 60° and in the Arctic region are 437,201.18 km² and 140,763.9 km²,
369 respectively; these areas represent 62% and 54% of the global lake areas at high
370 latitudes and Arctic lakes, respectively. Moreover, the latitudinal distributions of the
371 selected lakes are similar to the patterns of all global lakes, in terms of the lake area
372 and lake number (Supplementary Fig. 8), indicating that the thermal dynamics of
373 global lakes can be well represented using our studied lakes.

374 **Satellite retrieved LSWT dataset**

375 Landsat-retrieved LSWT data from 1981-2020 were used to calibrate lake-specific
376 FLake models (see below). Based on extensive *in situ* data collected worldwide, we
377 validated three widely used LSWT retrieval algorithms, including the generalized
378 single-channel (GSC) algorithm⁶¹⁻⁶³, the practical single-channel (PSC) algorithm

379 ^{64,65}, and the statistical mono-window (SMW) algorithm ^{66,67}. We used the TCWW
380 from the NCEP/NCAR Reanalysis dataset to estimate the atmospheric contribution
381 when performing the satellite retrieval. The satellite-*in situ* match-ups used for the
382 validations were selected via the following criteria: (1) the sampling time difference
383 between *in situ* measurements and satellite overpasses was within 1 h; and (2) no
384 fewer than 50% (that is, 5) of the pixels within the 3 × 3-pixel window centered at the
385 *in situ* stations were valid, and the standard deviation was not higher than 0.5 °C
386 within the window. We considered the satellite LSWT retrieval invalid when (i) the
387 pixel was labeled as high-confidence cloud/cloud shadow or snow/ice or high aerosols
388 or radiometric saturation by the CFMask algorithm ⁶⁸, (ii) the LSWT retrieval was
389 below 0 °C, or (iii) masked as “land” by the GSW monthly water mask (with auxiliary
390 criteria of MNDWI < 0.05 for “no data”-labeled water mask, where MNDWI =
391 (Green - SWIR)/(Green + SWIR)). A total of 9,948 satellite-*in situ* match-ups were
392 obtained, and the satellite LSWT retrievals were represented by the mean LSWTs of
393 the valid pixels within a 3 × 3-pixel window centered at the *in situ* stations. Our
394 results showed that the SMW algorithm performed best (Supplementary Fig. 9)
395 among the three LSWT retrieval algorithms, with high agreements between the
396 satellite and *in situ* measurements (slope = 0.98, MAE = 0.93 °C, R² = 0.99).
397 Comparisons of the time-series of satellite retrievals and continuous buoy
398 observations also revealed that the SMW-derived satellite LSWTs could accurately
399 capture the seasonal dynamics in water surface temperature (Supplementary Fig. 10).

400 Applying the SMW algorithm to global Landsat images over the past four decades,
401 we derived long-term LSWT datasets over global lakes, where the data represent the
402 surface temperature at the time of satellite overpasses (i.e., around 10:00 am local
403 time). For each Landsat observation over a lake, a 3 × 3-pixel kernel around the
404 predefined lake center point was extracted, and the corresponding mean LSWT of the
405 valid pixels within this window was used to represent the LSWT for the lake. We
406 excluded lakes with < 10 Landsat observations, and 91.3% of our finally examined
407 lakes (i.e., 92,245) have at least 100 LSWT satellite retrievals (Supplementary Fig.
408 11). Such datasets of satellite-derived LSWT “snapshots” allow us to calibrate lake-
409 specific thermodynamic models, which can be used to produce hourly uninterrupted
410 LSWT simulations.

411 **Simulations and validations of LSWTs and heat fluxes**

412 To simulate hourly LSWT and surface heat fluxes, we adopted the one-dimensional
413 thermodynamic lake model FLake ^{26,27}. The FLake model parameterizes a two-layer
414 water vertical temperature profile, including a vertically homogeneous upper layer
415 (i.e., a mixed layer at the surface) and a lower, stably-stratified layer (i.e., thermally
416 active layer above bottom sediments) ⁶⁹. Additional layers are considered when the
417 lake is covered with ice and snow. FLake is capable of simulating temperature
418 profiles and the surface heat flux components in a lake, and the simulations can be
419 performed at hourly to annual scales. The model has been widely used in previous
420 studies to accurately reproduce LSWTs ^{70,71}, lake mixing regimes ¹⁸, and ice cover

421 phenologies^{18,71-73} at both regional and global scales.

422 The FLake model requires information on lake-specific characteristics and five
423 climate forcing variables, including SAT, wind speed, short- (solar) wave radiation,
424 long-wave radiation, and specific humidity (estimated directly using dew-point
425 temperature and surface pressure). The long-term climate variables were obtained
426 from the hourly gridded ERA5-Land product (1981-2020), and we extracted the data
427 from the grid cell located at the predetermined lake center. The lake-specific
428 parameters comprise fetch, latitude, lake depth, the light attenuation coefficient (K_d),
429 lake ice albedo (α), and the snow accumulation rate. The lake fetch was fixed as the
430 square root of the lake surface area (provided by the HydroLAKES dataset), and the
431 latitude corresponds to the location of the lake center. However, the other lake-
432 specific parameters for global lakes are either not available or suffer from large
433 uncertainties. Likewise, the wind speed provided by the ERA5-Land dataset is often
434 highly uncertain at the lake surface, as they were based on assimilated data over land
435 instead of lake surfaces⁷¹. To address this issue, we tuned the lake parameters and
436 wind speed using a total of 2,880 combinations for each lake following a similar
437 method to ref.⁷¹. We find the optimal set of parameters associated with the minimum
438 median absolute errors (MAE, Supplementary Fig. 12) between the Landsat-retrieved
439 LSWTs and the FLake simulations (i.e., mixed-layer temperature) at the Landsat
440 overpassing time. The selection of trials for the 2,880 combinations was based on
441 previous studies^{27,71,74}. For example, the initial lake depth was obtained from the
442 HydroLAKES dataset, which was based on a combination of observations and
443 interpolated DEM². We selected a set of K_d values that represent global ocean waters
444 with varying transparency as referred to ref.⁷¹, and we also provided three additional
445 higher values (up to 3 m^{-1} , a default value widely used for inland lake simulations
446^{27,74}) considering the relatively higher turbidity of many lakes. We set four
447 combinations of snow and ice albedo, as recommended by ref.⁷¹. Further information
448 on the 2,880 combinations is given in Supplementary Table 3. Note that we also used
449 a perpetual-year solution to determine the initialized prognostic variables (e.g.,
450 mixed-layer depth, mixed-layer temperature, mean temperature of the water column)
451 for the FLake model, which is achieved by repeating the forcing data from a
452 representative period (i.e., 1981-1985) and running the FLake model until the
453 simulated annual cycle is stabilized¹⁸. We examined the calibration performance of
454 the lake-specific FLake models (Supplementary Fig. 12), which showed that the
455 simulated LSWTs agreed well with the satellite retrievals, with a global MAE of
456 $1.2 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ and a median ratio of ~ 1 (a metric of assessing the extent of over- or under-
457 estimation by comparing the model simulations to Landsat observations). The MAE
458 for deep lakes (water depth $> 50 \text{ m}$) was slightly larger than shallower lakes, possibly
459 due to the limitations of the FLake model (2-layer representation of the lake)⁶⁹; while
460 only a small number of lakes have such a depth ($\sim 0.7\%$), and our further validation
461 using *in situ* observations showed high accuracy levels of the globally simulated
462 LSWTs. The satisfactory calibration performance over different types (large/small,
463 deep/shallow, cold/temperate) of lakes could also be revealed by the consistent time-
464 series between satellite retrievals and FLake simulations (Supplementary Fig. 13).

465 Using the optimized lake-specific FLake models, we simulated the historical (1981-
466 2020) and future (2021-2099) LSWTs and heat fluxes (i.e., net radiation, latent heat
467 flux, sensible heat flux, and heat storage change (ΔG)) for lakes worldwide. The
468 historical simulations were on an hourly basis, which was based on the climate
469 forcing data from the ERA5-Land dataset. In contrast, the future simulations were
470 performed on a daily timescale, using the climate data from four bias-corrected
471 climate models (i.e., IPSL-CM5A-LR, GFDL ESM2M, MIROC5, and HadGEM2-
472 ES) under three different greenhouse gas emissions scenarios (RCP 2.6, RCP 6.0, and
473 RCP 8.5). Under each scenario, we used FLake to perform simulations for each of the
474 four climate models, and the associated mean and standard deviation were estimated
475 (Fig. 4, Extended Data Fig. 9).

476 We further validated the FLake-simulated LSWT, heat flux, and evaporation rate
477 simulations using extensive independent *in situ* measurements (see Supplementary
478 Tables 1&2). LSWTs were validated at three different temporal scales (hourly, daily,
479 seasonal, and annual). We compared *in situ* LSWT records across 29 lakes and
480 concurrently (time difference < 1 h) simulated LSWT by FLake, which showed good
481 agreement at hourly, daily, seasonal, and annual scales (Extended Data Fig. 2a-d).
482 Consistent temporal changes between FLake simulated LSWTs and independent *in*
483 *situ* LSWTs over various types of lakes in Supplementary Fig. 14 clearly
484 demonstrated the validity of our simulations. Comparisons with global or regional
485 LSWT products are summarized in Supplementary Table 4. Satisfactory results were
486 also obtained for the net radiation flux, latent heat flux/evaporation rate, sensible heat
487 flux, and heat storage change (Extended Data Fig. 3), which are comparable to or
488 better than other products^{33,75,76}. Consistent seasonal dynamics between FLake
489 simulations and *in situ* evaporation rate measurements revealed in Supplementary Fig.
490 15 could further support the reliability of our simulated evaporation rate data. We also
491 compared the evaporation rate against annual mean data from existing literature
492 (Supplementary Table 5), which also demonstrated good agreements over different
493 lakes. Moreover, the high performance of our lake-specific models can be further
494 verified through their ability to reproduce the lake ice phenologies measured in the
495 GLRIPD dataset (Supplementary Fig. 1). The simulation-based ice phenologies
496 (freeze-up day, break-up day, and duration) were calculated by time-series daily
497 averaged LSWTs (see below), as described in previous studies^{19,68,75}.

498 We also compared the accuracy levels of the FLake simulated LSWT with the gridded
499 LSWT product provided by ERA5-Land; ERA5-Land simulations are based on grid
500 cells ($0.1^\circ \times 0.1^\circ$)⁷⁶, while our optimized simulations were specifically performed for
501 individual lakes. Our simulations demonstrated substantially reduced uncertainties
502 (MAE decreased by $\sim 1^\circ\text{C}$ or $\sim 50\%$) compared to the ERA5-Land LSWT (Extended
503 Data Fig. 4). Such marked improvements highlight the importance of considering
504 lake-specific characteristics with satellite observations as an ideal boundary condition
505 in simulating lake thermodynamics.

506 We acknowledge that temporal variations in water level can influence lake
507 thermodynamics, including the distribution of incoming solar radiation, heat storage

508 in deeper layers, and temperature profiles within the water column^{22,77}. However,
509 incorporating spatial variations in lake depth would introduce further complexity and
510 necessitate a three-dimensional model, which goes beyond the scope of our study.
511 Additionally, obtaining long-term time-series data on lake water levels at a global
512 scale poses a separate challenge. Furthermore, it is important to note that the FLake
513 model we employed in our study does not include water balance processes⁷⁴, which
514 prevents the incorporation of water levels when simulating lake thermal dynamics.
515 Despite these limitations, our results are based on the calibration of the lake-specific
516 FLake model using long-term remote sensing observations. This calibration helps to
517 compensate for uncertainties in the simulation of LSWT stemming from various
518 sources (including those associated with lake level dynamics), as demonstrated by the
519 high accuracies of the simulated LSWT and other thermal variables (see above).

520 It is also worth noting that our optimization process aimed to derive a lake-specific
521 FLake model by optimizing five parameters (i.e., wind speed, lake depth, α , K_d , and
522 the snow accumulation rate). However, these optimized parameters may not
523 necessarily represent the true values for a specific lake. The primary objective of our
524 optimization was to find a set of fixed parameters among the 2,880 combinations that
525 minimize the differences between the simulated LSWTs by FLake and those retrieved
526 by Landsat. However, in reality, some parameters may exhibit significant temporal
527 variations. For instance, K_d in lakes are influenced by the concentrations of
528 chlorophyll and suspended sediments in the water column⁷⁸, which can undergo
529 substantial changes over short periods (daily to weekly) due to highly dynamic
530 hydrological and biogeochemical processes within the lake^{51,79}. Indeed, to examine
531 the potential impacts of temporal variations in lake parameters on the FLake
532 simulations, we conducted comprehensive validation analyses to assess the
533 performance of our optimized parameter set. The results demonstrated that the
534 optimized FLake model not only achieved high accuracy in simulating LSWTs but
535 also effectively captured lake ice phenology, heat flux, and evaporation rate.
536 Importantly, the model exhibited good performance across different temporal scales,
537 indicating its robustness and ability to capture the dynamics of these variables under
538 varying conditions. These findings further reinforce the reliability and versatility of
539 the FLake model with the optimized parameter set, making it a valuable tool for
540 studying lake thermal dynamics.

541 **Examination of long-term changes**

542 Our analysis of long-term changes in LSWT and heat fluxes only focused on ice-free
543 periods. In this study, we defined the ice-free duration as the period in which the daily
544 mean LSWT is $> 1\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$, following the same method as previous studies^{18,71,80}. The
545 freeze-up day was determined as the date when the temperature started to drop below
546 $1\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ in the autumn/winter season, while the break-up day was identified as the date
547 when the temperature exceeded $1\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ in the following spring/summer season. The ice-
548 duration period was calculated as the time between these two dates. Our analysis
549 revealed that our lake-specific FLake model effectively reproduces lake ice

550 phenologies, as demonstrated through comparisons with global *in situ* data from the
 551 GLRIPD dataset. The slopes between the *in situ* observed and FLake-simulated
 552 freeze-up date, break-up date, and ice duration were found to be 0.90, 1.00, and 1.07,
 553 respectively. Furthermore, the MAE values for these simulations were 14.0, 6.0, and
 554 13.0 days, respectively (Supplementary Fig. 1). It is important to note that the data
 555 from different locations within the GLRIPD dataset may vary in temporal resolution
 556 due to its compilation from several individual collections, including records
 557 contributed by both citizens and scientists. In general, these matrices indicate
 558 comparable levels of accuracy in capturing lake ice phenologies as previous studies
 559 ^{25,81}. We further estimated the changes in ice duration for individual lakes by
 560 multiplying the long-term linear regression slope of ice duration by the number of
 561 examined years, and used them to explore the potential impacts of ice loss on lake
 562 warming (Extended Data Fig. 5c).

563 For each lake, the above three ice phenologies were computed for multiple years, and
 564 the minimum ice-free period (that is, the intersection of the non-frozen period
 565 between 1981 and 2020) was considered as our focal time period (i.e., FLake
 566 simulations were analyzed only within this period). The minimum ice-free period
 567 represents the time span from the latest break-up day to the earliest freeze-up day
 568 during the 40-year period. The average duration of the minimum ice-free period for
 569 the lakes studied was 187 ± 125 days. For most lakes in the southern hemisphere and
 570 the low- and mid-latitudes of the northern hemisphere, the ice-free period extends
 571 throughout all four seasons of the year (Supplementary Fig. 2). As latitude increases,
 572 the minimum ice-free period becomes shorter; for Arctic lakes, 100% of the lakes are
 573 covered with ice during winter, and 95.4% and 96.6% of the lakes remain ice-covered
 574 during spring and autumn, respectively.

575 We calculated the monthly, seasonal, and annual mean LSWT and heat fluxes based
 576 on daily simulations within the minimum ice-free period for all examined lakes. To
 577 determine the trends of LSWT and heat fluxes, we first estimated their monthly
 578 anomalies as the differences from the long-term mean values during 1981-2020, and
 579 then estimated the annual mean anomalies across the examined period (1981-2099).
 580 We used the linear slope through the annual mean anomalies within a time period (i.e.,
 581 1981-2020 or 2021-2099) to represent the trend within the period. We also performed
 582 the same trend analysis for different seasons, and our four seasons were defined as
 583 winter (Months 1-3), spring (4-6), summer (7-9), and autumn (10-12) in the Northern
 584 Hemisphere, and summer (1-3), autumn (4-6), winter (7-9), and spring (10-12) in the
 585 Southern Hemisphere, following the same practice as a previous research ⁵.

586 We further integrated the global time-series data into $1^\circ \times 1^\circ$ grid cells (see Fig. 1a,
 587 Fig. 3) and performed the above slope calculations for each grid cell. We adopted a
 588 lake area weighted method to estimate the time-series LSWT and heat fluxes within a
 589 grid cell (S_{grid}), which can be expressed as

$$590 \quad S_{grid} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^m S_{lake,i} A_{lake,i}}{\sum_{i=1}^m A_{lake,i}} \quad (1)$$

591 where $S_{lake,i}$ and $A_{lake,i}$ are the time-series anomalies and lake surface area for the i^{th}

592 lake within this grid, respectively, and m is the number of our examined lakes within
593 this grid. Then, the mean trends over global or regional (i.e., Arctic or non-Arctic)
594 scales (S_g) were also estimated using a similar area-weighted scheme, which can be
595 expressed as:

$$596 \quad S_g = \sum_{j=1}^n S_{grid,j} A_{grid,j} / \sum_{j=1}^n A_{grid,j} \quad (2)$$

597 where $S_{grid,j}$ and $A_{grid,j}$ are the time-series anomalies and grid area of the j^{th} grid cell
598 within the examined region (i.e., globe, Arctic, or non-Arctic regions), respectively,
599 and n is the number of grid cells within the target region. The daytime/nighttime lake
600 warming trends were calculated using the same method. Daytime and nighttime were
601 defined as the time periods from local 6 am to 6 pm and from local 6 pm to 6 am of
602 the next day, respectively. LSWT trends were also compared with the SATs above the
603 lakes (Fig. 1b). We adopted the same method as LSWT to calculate long-term SAT
604 changes, and only grid cells that cover the studied lakes were included.

605 We performed the following sensitivity analysis to quantify how could the uncertainty
606 of the daily LSWT simulations propagated into the long-term trends. We first generated
607 random noises with a distribution matching the uncertainty of the daily FLake
608 simulations (median absolute error or MAE = 1.16 °C) (see Supplementary Fig. 16a).
609 These noises were then added to the daily simulated LSWT time series dataset for each
610 lake. Results show that trends between the noise-added and original data are almost the
611 same for all lakes (Supplementary Fig. 16b). We further calculated the standard
612 deviation of these differences across all lakes as the uncertainty propagated by the
613 FLake simulation, and revealed a small uncertainty value (0.02 °C decade⁻¹) relative to
614 the global LSWT trend (0.24 °C decade⁻¹). The uncertainty values were also small when
615 calculated separately for Arctic (0.03 °C decade⁻¹) and non-Arctic lakes (0.02 °C
616 decade⁻¹). Furthermore, considering the limited data availability of Landsat in certain
617 seasons due to cloud cover, we performed optimization of the FLake models by
618 excluding data from one of the four seasons. Our results revealed consistent MAE and
619 trends between the models trained on three seasons and those trained on all four seasons.
620 As such, the impact of reduced data availability in certain seasons on the accuracy of
621 the FLake model is limited.

622 Attribution of historical lake warming

623 We quantified the contributions of five individual climate forcing parameters (SAT,
624 wind speed, downward short- and long-wave radiation, and specific humidity) to the
625 historical LSWT trend from 1981 to 2020. The dominant drivers could be determined
626 as the variables with the maximum contributions. In practice, we designed six groups
627 of simulations, with one reference simulation (S1) where all climate parameters
628 changed from 1981 to 2020 (i.e., the same as the above historical simulations), and
629 five control simulations (S2-S6) where one parameter kept the long-term trend and
630 others were detrended by repeating the data in the first year (i.e., 1981) across the four
631 decades. Such a method was similar to those adopted for regional studies^{36,40,82}, and

632 the detailed parameterizations for the six simulations are listed in Supplementary
 633 Table 6. In theory, the LSWT trends from the control simulations are the contributions
 634 of the corresponding changed climate parameter to the long-term trend. Indeed, our
 635 results showed that the summarized trend ($0.244\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C decade}^{-1}$) from the five control
 636 simulations was almost identical to the reference simulation ($0.236\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C decade}^{-1}$) (Fig.
 637 2), further indicating the validity of our attribution analysis.

638 **Impact of lake warming on energy budget**

639 The changes in climate-forcing variables influence various heat exchange processes at
 640 the lake-air interface. These processes encompass the absorption of incoming solar
 641 radiation (SWdown) and long-wave atmospheric radiation (LWdown), the reflection
 642 of solar radiation (SWup), the emission of long-wave lake-surface radiation (LWup),
 643 and the exchange of evaporative latent heat and conductive sensible heat ⁸³. These
 644 processes collectively determine the net radiation (Rn, Eq. (3)), which is a
 645 fundamental component in the lake surface energy budget ^{4,84}. The net radiation can
 646 be utilized for two heat loss processes: latent heat flux (LE), which serves as the
 647 primary energy source for evaporation and is proportional to the evaporation rate ⁸⁵;
 648 and sensible heat flux (H). Additionally, the net radiation also contributes to heat
 649 transfer to deeper layers through heat storage change (ΔG , see Eq. (4)).

$$650 \quad Rn = SWdown + LWdown - SWup - LWup \quad (3)$$

$$651 \quad Rn = LE + H + \Delta G \quad (4)$$

652 We investigated the potential role of increasing evaporation rate on the different
 653 warming rates between LSWT and SAT using a climate elasticity model ⁸⁶. This
 654 model has been extensively employed to quantify the responses of diverse parameters
 655 to climate change ⁸⁷⁻⁸⁹, which can be expressed as

$$656 \quad e = (dLSWT - dSAT)/dLE \quad (5)$$

657 where the elasticity (e) represents the difference of changes in the lake and air
 658 temperature ($dLSWT-dSAT$) in response to the changes in latent heat flux (dLE).

659 We utilized the four-decade time series data from 1981 to 2020, focusing on
 660 permanently ice-free lakes, to calculate changes in two consecutive years in the
 661 respective variables (i.e., $dLSWT$, $dSAT$, dLE) for the elasticity calculation. The
 662 selection of permanently ice-free lakes is because the energy fluxes of frozen lakes
 663 could be modulated substantially by the changes in ice cover over a long-term period
 664 ³³, complicating the response of the latent heat loss on the different warming rates
 665 between lakes and air temperatures. The elasticity (e) was calculated as the linear
 666 slope of the scatter plot that includes 39 pairs of matched $dLSWT-dSAT$ and dLE
 667 (Extended Data Fig. 8). We found significant negative correlations between the long-
 668 term $dLSWT - dSAT$ and dLE ($R^2 = 0.58$, $P < 0.05$), indicating the substantial
 669 impacts of evaporation rate increase on the slower rates of LSWT than SAT.

670 **Analyzing the impacts of lake warming on evaporation**

671 To examine the potential historical and future impacts of lake warming on evaporation,
672 we quantified changes in evaporation rate based on the simulated latent heat fluxes
673 (Extended Data Fig. 10). We compared our estimated evaporation rate to that of Wang
674 et al.³³, and the latter includes datasets from both ice-free and ice-covered seasons. The
675 comparisons were conducted using temporally consistent mean annual values for two
676 periods: the past (2006-2015) and the future (2090-2099, representing the end of the
677 21st century, following a similar practice as previous studies^{17,18,33}). The comparisons
678 were performed at both global and regional scales, including tropical, temperate, arid,
679 cold, and polar regions. Global and regional changes were calculated by integrating the
680 differences using a similar lake area-weighted method as in Eq. (2)⁹⁰.

681 **Data Availability:** The developed GLAST dataset can be accessed through
682 <https://zenodo.org/record/8322038>.

683 **Code Availability:** The source code for the FLake model is opening accessible at
684 <http://www.flake.igb-berlin.de/>.

685 **Acknowledgements:** We thank the USGS for providing global Landsat satellite
686 images, and thank the Google Earth Engine for providing the GSW dataset and global
687 data processing capability. We acknowledge the organizations and individuals (listed
688 in Supplementary Tables 1 & 2) who made substantial efforts to collect global in situ
689 LSWT and surface heat flux datasets. L.F. was supported by the National Natural
690 Science Foundation of China (NOs: 41971304 and 42271322), Guangdong Provincial
691 Higher Education Key Technology Innovation Project (2020ZDZX3006) and by the
692 Support Plan Program of Shenzhen Natural Science Fund under Grant
693 20200925155151006. We thank four anonymous reviewers for their constructive
694 suggestions and comments in improving our manuscript.

695 **Author Contributions:** Y.T.: methodology, data processing and analyses, and writing;
696 L.F.: conceptualization, methodology, funding acquisition, supervision, and writing.
697 X.W. and X.P.: data processing. W.X. and R.I.W. participated in interpreting the
698 results and refining the manuscript.

699 **Competing Interests:** The authors declare no competing interests.

700 **Figure Captions:**

701 **Fig. 1 | Global patterns of lake warming from 1981 to 2020. (a)** Global trends in lake
702 surface water temperature (LSWT) from 1981 to 2020. The time-series data used to
703 calculate the LSWT trend are aggregated into $1^{\circ} \times 1^{\circ}$ grid cells, and a lake area weighted
704 method was adopted to estimate the LSWT time series for each grid (see Methods).
705 Grey indicates regions without examined lakes. The bar charts within the panel show
706 the trends for LSWT and surface air temperature (SAT) for global (G), Arctic (A), and
707 non-Arctic (NA) lakes. **(b)** Comparison of the long-term anomalies (relative to 1981-
708 2020 mean) for global LSWT, ocean surface temperature, and SAT. Their trends over
709 the past decades are annotated. **(c)** Latitudinal profiles of the trends for LSWT and SAT.

711 **Fig. 2 | Attribution of global lake warming over the past four decades.** Latitudinal

712 profiles (curves) and globally averaged (bars) contributions to lake warming from five
713 different climate forcing variables, including surface air temperature (SAT), downward
714 surface long-wave radiation (LWdown), specific humidity (SH), downward surface
715 shortwave radiation (SWdown), and surface wind speed (U). The numbers outside and
716 within (if applicable) the parentheses are the absolute ($^{\circ}\text{C decade}^{-1}$) and relative
717 contributions (%) to global lake warming for each variable. The grey bar (labeled as
718 "sum") and grey curve indicate the sum of individual contributions of each variable,
719 and the black bar (labeled as "reference") and black curve show the results of the
720 reference simulation (see Methods). The reference simulation represents the FLake
721 simulation with the trends of all forcing variables retained. The contributions of five
722 variables were estimated through control simulations where the target variable kept the
723 long-term trend and others were detrended.

724

725 **Fig. 3 | Global patterns of lake surface heat fluxes and their trends.** Left panels:
726 long-term trends from 1981 to 2020 (in $\text{W/m}^2 \text{ decade}^{-1}$). Right panels: climatological
727 annual mean values (in W/m^2). (a, b) Rn: net radiation flux, (c, d) LE: latent heat flux,
728 (e, f) H: sensible heat flux, and (g, h) ΔG : heat storage change. The bar chart within
729 each panel demonstrates the average values for global (G), Arctic (A), and non-Arctic
730 (NA) lakes.

731

732 **Fig. 4 | Long-term changes in LSWT, SAT, and heat fluxes from 1981 to 2099.** (a)
733 LSWT, (b) SAT, (c) Net radiation flux (Rn), (d) Latent heat flux (LE), (e) Sensible heat
734 flux (H), and (f) Heat storage change (ΔG). The data are presented as the anomalies
735 relative to 1981-2020 mean, with the results for global, Arctic, and non-Arctic lakes
736 shown separately. Future (2021-2099) conditions were simulated under a high
737 emissions scenario (RCP 6.0). Other RCP scenarios are shown in Extended Data Fig. 9.
738 The linear slopes (units: $^{\circ}\text{C decade}^{-1}$ in a-b, $\text{W/m}^2 \text{ decade}^{-1}$ in c-f) for historical (1981-
739 2020) and future (2021-2099) periods are annotated (the font colors correspond to the
740 respective curves), and statistically significant trends are indicated by “*”. The shadings
741 associated with the future data represent the standard deviations across the four climate
742 model projections.

743

744 **References**

- 745 1. Pi, X. *et al.* Mapping global lake dynamics reveals the emerging roles of small
746 lakes. *Nature Communications* **13**, 1-12 (2022).
- 747 2. Messager, M. L., Lehner, B., Grill, G., Nedeva, I. & Schmitt, O. Estimating the
748 volume and age of water stored in global lakes using a geo-statistical approach.
749 *Nature Communications* **7**, 1-11 (2016).
- 750 3. Adrian, R. *et al.* Lakes as sentinels of climate change. *Limnology and*
751 *oceanography* **54**, 2283-2297 (2009).
- 752 4. Woolway, R. I. *et al.* Global lake responses to climate change. *Nature Reviews*
753 *Earth & Environment* **1**, 388-403 (2020).
- 754 5. O'Reilly, C. M. *et al.* Rapid and highly variable warming of lake surface waters
755 around the globe. *Geophysical Research Letters* **42**, 10,773-710,781 (2015).

- 756 6 Jane, S. F. *et al.* Widespread deoxygenation of temperate lakes. *Nature* **594**, 66-
757 70 (2021).
- 758 7 Winder, M. Lake warming mimics fertilization. *Nature Climate Change* **2**, 771-
759 772 (2012).
- 760 8 Paerl, H. W. & Huisman, J. Blooms like it hot. *Science* **320**, 57-58 (2008).
- 761 9 Posch, T., Köster, O., Salcher, M. M. & Pernthaler, J. Harmful filamentous
762 cyanobacteria favoured by reduced water turnover with lake warming. *Nature*
763 *Climate Change* **2**, 809-813 (2012).
- 764 10 Till, A., Rypel, A. L., Bray, A. & Fey, S. B. Fish die-offs are concurrent with
765 thermal extremes in north temperate lakes. *Nature Climate Change* **9**, 637-641
766 (2019).
- 767 11 Sharma, S. *et al.* A global database of lake surface temperatures collected by in
768 situ and satellite methods from 1985–2009. *Scientific data* **2**, 1-19 (2015).
- 769 12 Li, X., Peng, S., Xi, Y., Woolway, R. I. & Liu, G. Earlier ice loss accelerates
770 lake warming in the Northern Hemisphere. *Nature Communications* **13**, 1-9
771 (2022).
- 772 13 Schmid, M. & Köster, O. Excess warming of a Central European lake driven by
773 solar brightening. *Water Resources Research* **52**, 8103-8116 (2016).
- 774 14 Winslow, L. A., Read, J. S., Hansen, G. J., Rose, K. C. & Robertson, D. M.
775 Seasonality of change: Summer warming rates do not fully represent effects of
776 climate change on lake temperatures. *Limnology and Oceanography* **62**, 2168-
777 2178 (2017).
- 778 15 Carrea, L. & Merchant, C. GloboLakes: Lake Surface Water Temperature
779 (LSWT) version 4.0 (1995-2016). *Centre for Environmental Data Analysis*
780 (2019).
- 781 16 Carrea, L. C., J.-F.; Liu, X.; Wu, Y.; Bergé-Nguyen, M.; Calmettes, B.; Duguay,
782 C.; Jiang, D.; Merchant, C.J.; Mueller, D.; Selmes, N.; Simis, S.; Spyarakos, E.;
783 Stelzer, K.; Warren, M.; Yesou, H.; Zhang, D. . ESA Lakes Climate Change
784 Initiative (Lakes_cci): Lake products, Version 2.0.2. NERC EDS Centre for
785 Environmental Data Analysis, 06 July 2022.
786 doi:10.5285/a07deacaffb8453e93d57ee214676304.
787 <http://dx.doi.org/10.5285/a07deacaffb8453e93d57ee214676304>. (2022).
- 788 17 Woolway, R. I. *et al.* Lake heatwaves under climate change. *Nature* **589**, 402-
789 407 (2021).
- 790 18 Woolway, R. I. & Merchant, C. J. Worldwide alteration of lake mixing regimes
791 in response to climate change. *Nature Geoscience* **12**, 271-276 (2019).
- 792 19 Golub, M. *et al.* A framework for ensemble modelling of climate change
793 impacts on lakes worldwide: the ISIMIP Lake Sector. *Geoscientific Model*
794 *Development* **15**, 4597-4623 (2022).
- 795 20 Grant, L. *et al.* Attribution of global lake systems change to anthropogenic
796 forcing. *Nature Geoscience* **14**, 849-854 (2021).
- 797 21 Woolway, R. I., Albergel, C., Frölicher, T. L. & Perroud, M. Severe Lake
798 Heatwaves Attributable to Human-Induced Global Warming. *Geophysical*
799 *Research Letters* **49**, e2021GL097031 (2022).

- 800 22 Kraemer, B. M. *et al.* Morphometry and average temperature affect lake
801 stratification responses to climate change. *Geophysical Research Letters* **42**,
802 4981-4988 (2015).
- 803 23 Toffolon, M. *et al.* Prediction of surface temperature in lakes with different
804 morphology using air temperature. *Limnology and Oceanography* **59**, 2185-
805 2202 (2014).
- 806 24 Woolway, R. I. & Merchant, C. J. Amplified surface temperature response of
807 cold, deep lakes to inter-annual air temperature variability. *Scientific Reports* **7**,
808 1-8 (2017).
- 809 25 Wang, X. *et al.* Continuous loss of global lake ice across two centuries revealed
810 by satellite observations and numerical modeling. *Geophysical Research Letters*
811 **49**, e2022GL099022 (2022).
- 812 26 Mironov, D. V. *Parameterization of lakes in numerical weather prediction:
813 Description of a lake model.* (DWD Offenbach, Germany, 2008).
- 814 27 Mironov, D. *et al.* Implementation of the lake parameterisation scheme FLake
815 into the numerical weather prediction model COSMO. (2010).
- 816 28 Frieler, K. *et al.* Assessing the impacts of 1.5 C global warming—simulation
817 protocol of the Inter-Sectoral Impact Model Intercomparison Project
818 (ISIMIP2b). *Geoscientific Model Development* **10**, 4321-4345 (2017).
- 819 29 Zhang, P. *et al.* A stratospheric pathway linking a colder Siberia to Barents-Kara
820 Sea sea ice loss. *Science Advances* **4**, eaat6025, doi:10.1126/sciadv.aat6025.
- 821 30 Screen, J. A. & Simmonds, I. The central role of diminishing sea ice in recent
822 Arctic temperature amplification. *Nature* **464**, 1334-1337 (2010).
- 823 31 Shu, Q. *et al.* Arctic Ocean Amplification in a warming climate in CMIP6
824 models. *Science Advances* **8**, eabn9755 (2022).
- 825 32 Wang, Y.-R., Hessen, D. O., Samset, B. H. & Stordal, F. Evaluating global and
826 regional land warming trends in the past decades with both MODIS and ERA5-
827 Land land surface temperature data. *Remote Sensing of Environment* **280**,
828 113181 (2022).
- 829 33 Wang, W. *et al.* Global lake evaporation accelerated by changes in surface
830 energy allocation in a warmer climate. *Nature Geoscience* **11**, 410-414 (2018).
- 831 34 Zhong, Y., Notaro, M., Vavrus, S. J. & Foster, M. J. Recent accelerated warming
832 of the Laurentian Great Lakes: Physical drivers. *Limnology and Oceanography*
833 **61**, 1762-1786 (2016).
- 834 35 Zhang, X., Wu, B. & Ding, S. Influence of spring Arctic sea ice melt on Eurasian
835 surface air temperature. *Climate Dynamics*, doi:10.1007/s00382-022-06267-4
836 (2022).
- 837 36 Woolway, R. I. *et al.* Northern hemisphere atmospheric stilling accelerates lake
838 thermal responses to a warming world. *Geophysical Research Letters* **46**,
839 11983-11992 (2019).
- 840 37 Austin, J. A. & Colman, S. M. Lake Superior summer water temperatures are
841 increasing more rapidly than regional air temperatures: A positive ice-albedo
842 feedback. *Geophysical Research Letters* **34** (2007).
- 843 38 Bohrer, B. & Schultze, M. Stratification of lakes. *Reviews of Geophysics* **46**

(2008).

845 39 Schmid, M., Hunziker, S. & Wüest, A. Lake surface temperatures in a changing
846 climate: a global sensitivity analysis. *Climatic change* **124**, 301-315 (2014).

847 40 Li, X., Peng, S., Deng, X., Su, M. & Zeng, H. Attribution of lake warming in
848 four shallow lakes in the middle and lower Yangtze River Basin. *Environmental
849 Science & Technology* **53**, 12548-12555 (2019).

850 41 Shi, Y. *et al.* Drivers of warming in Lake Nam Co on Tibetan Plateau over the
851 past 40 years. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres* **127**,
852 e2021JD036320 (2022).

853 42 Hondzo, M. & Stefan, H. G. Regional water temperature characteristics of lakes
854 subjected to climate change. *Climatic change* **24**, 187-211 (1993).

855 43 Mohseni, O. & Stefan, H. G. Stream temperature/air temperature relationship:
856 a physical interpretation. *Journal of Hydrology* **218**, 128-141 (1999).

857 44 Peeters, F., Livingstone, D. M., Goudsmit, G.-H., Kipfer, R. & Forster, R.
858 Modeling 50 years of historical temperature profiles in a large central European
859 lake. *Limnology and Oceanography* **47**, 186-197 (2002).

860 45 Lenters, J. D., Kratz, T. K. & Bowser, C. J. Effects of climate variability on lake
861 evaporation: Results from a long-term energy budget study of Sparkling Lake,
862 northern Wisconsin (USA). *Journal of Hydrology* **308**, 168-195 (2005).

863 46 Sutton, R. T., Dong, B. & Gregory, J. M. Land/sea warming ratio in response to
864 climate change: IPCC AR4 model results and comparison with observations.
865 *Geophysical research letters* **34** (2007).

866 47 Ladwig, R. *et al.* Lake thermal structure drives interannual variability in
867 summer anoxia dynamics in a eutrophic lake over 37 years. *Hydrology and
868 Earth System Sciences* **25**, 1009-1032 (2021).

869 48 Cook, B. I. *et al.* Megadroughts in the common Era and the anthropocene.
870 *Nature Reviews Earth & Environment*, 1-17 (2022).

871 49 Hou, X. *et al.* Global mapping reveals increase in lacustrine algal blooms over
872 the past decade. *Nature Geoscience* **15**, 130-134 (2022).

873 50 Beaulieu, M., Pick, F. & Gregory-Eaves, I. Nutrients and water temperature are
874 significant predictors of cyanobacterial biomass in a 1147 lakes data set.
875 *Limnology and Oceanography* **58**, 1736-1746 (2013).

876 51 Yindong, T. *et al.* Lake warming intensifies the seasonal pattern of internal
877 nutrient cycling in the eutrophic lake and potential impacts on algal blooms.
878 *Water Research* **188**, 116570 (2021).

879 52 North, R. P., North, R. L., Livingstone, D. M., Köster, O. & Kipfer, R. Long-
880 term changes in hypoxia and soluble reactive phosphorus in the hypolimnion of
881 a large temperate lake: consequences of a climate regime shift. *Global change
882 Biology* **20**, 811-823 (2014).

883 53 Davidson, T. A. *et al.* Synergy between nutrients and warming enhances
884 methane ebullition from experimental lakes. *Nature Climate Change* **8**, 156-160
885 (2018).

886 54 Pekel, J.-F., Cottam, A., Gorelick, N. & Belward, A. S. High-resolution mapping
887 of global surface water and its long-term changes. *Nature* **540**, 418-422 (2016).

- 888 55 Benson, B. & Magnuson, J. Global lake and river ice phenology database.
889 *Digital Media* (2000).
- 890 56 Kalnay, E. *et al.* The NCEP/NCAR 40-year reanalysis project. *Bulletin of the*
891 *American Meteorological Society* **77**, 437-472 (1996).
- 892 57 Muñoz Sabater, J. ERA5-Land hourly data from 1981 to present. *Copernicus*
893 *Climate Change Service (C3S) Climate Data Store (CDS)* **10** (2019).
- 894 58 Van Vuuren, D. P. *et al.* The representative concentration pathways: an overview.
895 *Climatic Change* **109**, 5-31 (2011).
- 896 59 Attiah, G., Kheyrollah Pour, H. & Scott, K. A. Lake surface temperature
897 retrieved from Landsat satellite series (1984 to 2021) for the North Slave Region.
898 *Earth System Science Data* **15**, 1329-1355 (2023).
- 899 60 Kardoulas, N., Bird, A. & Lawan, A. Geometric correction of SPOT and
900 Landsat imagery: a comparison of map-and GPS-derived control points.
901 *Photogrammetric Engineering and Remote Sensing* **62**, 1173-1177 (1996).
- 902 61 Jiménez - Muñoz, J. C. & Sobrino, J. A. A generalized single - channel method
903 for retrieving land surface temperature from remote sensing data. *Journal of*
904 *geophysical research: atmospheres* **108** (2003).
- 905 62 Jiménez-Muñoz, J. C. *et al.* Revision of the single-channel algorithm for land
906 surface temperature retrieval from Landsat thermal-infrared data. *IEEE*
907 *Transactions on geoscience and remote sensing* **47**, 339-349 (2008).
- 908 63 Jiménez-Muñoz, J. C., Sobrino, J. A., Skoković, D., Mattar, C. & Cristobal, J.
909 Land surface temperature retrieval methods from Landsat-8 thermal infrared
910 sensor data. *IEEE Geoscience and remote sensing letters* **11**, 1840-1843 (2014).
- 911 64 Wang, M. *et al.* An efficient framework for producing Landsat-based land
912 surface temperature data using Google Earth engine. *IEEE Journal of Selected*
913 *Topics in Applied Earth Observations and Remote Sensing* **13**, 4689-4701
914 (2020).
- 915 65 Wang, M., Zhang, Z., Hu, T. & Liu, X. A practical single - channel algorithm
916 for land surface temperature retrieval: application to landsat series data. *Journal*
917 *of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres* **124**, 299-316 (2019).
- 918 66 Ermida, S. L., Soares, P., Mantas, V., Göttsche, F.-M. & Trigo, I. F. Google earth
919 engine open-source code for land surface temperature estimation from the
920 landsat series. *Remote Sensing* **12**, 1471 (2020).
- 921 67 Duguay-Tetzlaff, A. *et al.* Meteosat land surface temperature climate data record:
922 Achievable accuracy and potential uncertainties. *Remote Sensing* **7**, 13139-
923 13156 (2015).
- 924 68 Foga, S. *et al.* Cloud detection algorithm comparison and validation for
925 operational Landsat data products. *Remote Sensing of Environment* **194**, 379-
926 390 (2017).
- 927 69 Kirillin, G. Modeling the impact of global warming on water temperature and
928 seasonal mixing regimes in small temperate lakes. (2010).
- 929 70 Su, D. *et al.* Numerical study on the response of the largest lake in China to
930 climate change. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences* **23**, 2093-2109 (2019).
- 931 71 Layden, A., MacCallum, S. N. & Merchant, C. J. Determining lake surface

932 water temperatures worldwide using a tuned one-dimensional lake model
933 (FLake, v1). *Geoscientific Model Development* **9**, 2167-2189 (2016).

934 72 Kheyrollah Pour, H., Duguay, C., Martynov, A. & Brown, L. Simulation of
935 surface temperature and ice cover of large northern lakes with 1-D models: a
936 comparison with MODIS satellite data and in situ measurements. *Tellus A:
937 Dynamic Meteorology and Oceanography* **64**, 17614 (2012).

938 73 Salgado, R. & Le Moigne, P. Coupling of the FLake model to the Surfex
939 externalized surface model. (2010).

940 74 Balsamo, G. *et al.* On the contribution of lakes in predicting near-surface
941 temperature in a global weather forecasting model. *Tellus A: Dynamic
942 Meteorology and Oceanography* **64**, 15829 (2012).

943 75 Martens, B. *et al.* Evaluating the land-surface energy partitioning in ERA5.
944 *Geoscientific Model Development* **13**, 4159-4181 (2020).

945 76 Muñoz-Sabater, J. *et al.* ERA5-Land: A state-of-the-art global reanalysis dataset
946 for land applications. *Earth System Science Data* **13**, 4349-4383 (2021).

947 77 Kerimoglu, O. & Rinke, K. Stratification dynamics in a shallow reservoir under
948 different hydro - meteorological scenarios and operational strategies. *Water
949 Resources Research* **49**, 7518-7527 (2013).

950 78 Heiskanen, J. J. *et al.* Effects of water clarity on lake stratification and lake -
951 atmosphere heat exchange. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres* **120**,
952 7412-7428 (2015).

953 79 Huang, C. *et al.* Wind and rainfall regulation of the diffuse attenuation
954 coefficient in large, shallow lakes from long - term MODIS observations using
955 a semianalytical model. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres* **122**,
956 6748-6763 (2017).

957 80 Layden, A., Merchant, C. & MacCallum, S. Global climatology of surface water
958 temperatures of large lakes by remote sensing. *International Journal of
959 Climatology* **35**, 4464-4479 (2015).

960 81 Zhao, G., Li, Y., Zhou, L. & Gao, H. Evaporative water loss of 1.42 million
961 global lakes. *Nature communications* **13**, 1-10 (2022).

962 82 Schmid, M. & Köster, O. Excess warming of a Central European lake driven
963 by solar brightening. *Water Resources Research* **52**, 8103-8116 (2016).

964 83 Edinger, J. E., Duttweiler, D. W. & Geyer, J. C. The response of water
965 temperatures to meteorological conditions. *Water Resources Research* **4**, 1137-
966 1143 (1968).

967 84 Livingstone, D. M. Impact of secular climate change on the thermal structure of
968 a large temperate central European lake. *Climatic change* **57**, 205-225 (2003).

969 85 Gianniou, S. K. & Antonopoulos, V. Z. Evaporation and energy budget in Lake
970 Vegoritis, Greece. *Journal of Hydrology* **345**, 212-223 (2007).

971 86 Sankarasubramanian, A., Vogel, R. M. & Limbrunner, J. F. Climate elasticity of
972 streamflow in the United States. *Water Resources Research* **37**, 1771-1781
973 (2001).

974 87 Wagener, T., Reinecke, R. & Pianosi, F. On the evaluation of climate change
975 impact models. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Climate Change* **13**, e772

976 (2022).
977 88 Li, D. *et al.* Exceptional increases in fluvial sediment fluxes in a warmer and
978 wetter High Mountain Asia. *Science* **374**, 599-603 (2021).
979 89 Liu, Z., Han, J. & Yang, H. Assessing the ability of potential evaporation models
980 to capture the sensitivity to temperature. *Agricultural and forest meteorology*
981 **317**, 108886 (2022).
982 90 Peel, M. C., Finlayson, B. L. & McMahon, T. A. Updated world map of the
983 Köppen-Geiger climate classification. *Hydrology and earth system sciences* **11**,
984 1633-1644 (2007).
985







