Needles in haystacks: monitoring the potential escape of bioaerosolised antimicrobial resistance genes from wastewater treatment plants with air and phyllosphere sampling

George, Paul; Hillary, Luke; Leclerc, Samantha; Cooledge, Emily; Lemieux, Joanie; Duchaine, Caroline; Jones, Davey L.

Canadian Journal of Microbiology

DOI:
10.1139/cjm-2023-0226

Published: 12/04/2024

Peer reviewed version

Needles in haystacks: monitoring the potential escape of bioaerosolised antimicrobial resistance genes from wastewater treatment plants with air and phyllosphere sampling

Paul B. L. George[a,b*], Luke Hillary[c], Samantha Leclerc[a,b], Emily C. Cooledge[d], Joanie Lemieux[a,b], Caroline Duchaine[a,b], and Davey L. Jones[d,e]

[a]Département de biochimie, de microbiologie et de bio-informatique, Université Laval, Quebec City, QC, G1V 0A6, Canada

[b]Centre de recherche de l'Institut universitaire de cardiologie et de pneumologie, Quebec City, QC, G1V 4G5, Canada

[c]Department of Plant Pathology, University of California, Davis, CA 95616, USA

[d]School of Environmental and Natural Sciences, Bangor University, Bangor, Gwynedd, LL57 2UW, UK

[e]Food Futures Institute, Murdoch University, Murdoch, WA 6150, Australia

*Corresponding author: Paul B.L. George (email: paul.george@bcm.ulaval.ca)

Abstract

Wastewater treatment plants are well known point sources of emissions of antimicrobial resistance genes (ARGs) into the environment. Although most work to date has focused on ARG dispersal via effluent, aerial dispersal in bioaerosols is a poorly understood, but likely important vector for ARG dispersal. Recent evidence suggests that ARG profiles of the conifer needle phyllosphere could be used to measure bioaerosol dispersal from anthropogenic sources. Here we assessed airborne dispersal of ARGs from wastewater treatment plants in Wales, UK and Quebec, Canada,

using conifer needles as passive bioaerosol monitors. ARG profiles of wastewater were compared to those of conifer phyllosphere using high-throughput qPCR. ARG richness was significantly lower in conifer phyllosphere samples than wastewater samples, though no differences were observed across the dispersal gradients. Mean copy number of ARGs followed a similar trend. ARG profiles showed limited, but consistent patterns with increasing distance from wastewater treatment plants, but these did not align with those of wastewater samples. For example, proportional abundance of aminoglycosides decreased over the dispersal gradient in Wales, whereas mobile genetic elements showed the inverse relationship. In summary, while distinct ARG profiles exist along dispersal gradients, links to those of wastewater were not apparent.

Les usines de traitement des eaux usées sont de potentielles sources d'émission de gènes de résistance aux antibiotiques (ARGs) dans l'environnement. La majorité des travaux publiés à ce jour se concentrent principalement sur la dispersion des ARGs dans les effluents, mais la dispersion des ARGs peut également se produire dans l'air sous forme de bioaérosols. L'air comme mode de transport des ARGs est encore relativement peu étudié et mal compris. De récentes études ont démontré que la phyllosphère des aiguilles de conifères pouvait être utilisée pour détecter et identifier le profil d'ARGs retrouvé dans l'air à proximité de sources anthropiques. La présente étude se concentrait sur l'évaluation de la dispersion des ARGs près d'usines de traitement des eaux usées situées au Pays de Galles (Royaume-Uni) et au Québec (Canada), en utilisant des aiguilles de conifères comme échantillonneurs passifs de bioaérosols. La qPCR à haut débit a été utilisée pour comparer le profil d'ARGs des eaux usées avec celui de la phyllosphère des aiguilles de conifères. La richesse en ARGs était significativement plus faible dans les échantillons de phyllosphère des aiguilles que dans les échantillons d'eaux usées, bien qu'aucune différence n'ait

été observée parmi les différents gradients de dispersion. Le nombre moyen de copies d'ARGs était relativement similaire. Les profils d'ARGs sur les aiguilles de conifères tendent à changer plus la distance de la source augmente. Par exemple, la proportion de gènes de résistance aux aminoglycosides tend à diminuer en s'éloignant de l'usine du Pays de Galles tandis que les éléments génétiques mobiles (MGEs) suivaient une tendance contraire. En somme, bien que des profils d'ARGs spécifiques peuvent être observés selon les gradients de dispersion, aucun lien clair ne peut être établi entre les profils des aiguilles et ceux des eaux usées. D'autres recherches devront être menées pour évaluer à plus long terme les changements dans les profils d'ARGs et la biodiversité bactérienne pour déterminer de potentiels contaminants aéroportés.

Keywords: Conifers; Biomonitoring; Environmental AMR; High-throughput qPCR; Bioaerosols

Introduction

In 2019, an estimated 4.95 million deaths were caused by infections by antimicrobial resistant (AMR) bacteria globally (Murray et al., 2022). Unfortunately, the consequences of increasing AMR extend further than simple mortality. As of 2019, AMR is estimated to cost the European Union €1.5 billion annually in lost economic productivity and healthcare spending (Anderson et al., 2019). In Canada, the present costs of AMR are similar (1.4 billion CAD), but are expected to increase to 8 billion CAD annually by 2050 (Council of Canadian Academics, 2019). Yet despite increasing efforts to monitor AMR bacterial infections in human and animal health, there is a clear lack of monitoring capacity to track the spread of antibacterial resistance genes (ARGs) into the environment (Pruden et al., 2021). Improving environmental monitoring capacity for AMR would adhere to the One Health ethos, which calls for multidisciplinary and

intersectoral approaches to protect human, animal, and environmental health (Pokharel et al., 2020; Robinson et al., 2016). Monitoring wastewater effluent using molecular methods can effectively quantify ARG loads and track their dispersal through the environment (Pruden et al., 2021). In turn, this could provide early detection of risks associated with AMR bacteria helping to prevent outbreaks or promote prescribing-based interventions.

Indeed, the dispersal of AMR in the wider environment from anthropogenic sources has received much attention, particularly the contamination of soils and waterways (Singer et al., 2016; Wu et al., 2023). Wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs) in particular, are well-known point-sources for the discharge of ARM bacteria and associated mobile genetic elements (MGEs) carrying ARGs (Singer et al., 2016; Shi et al., 2023; de Nies et al., 2022). Several studies have demonstrated that sites near WWTPs harbour greater quantities of ARGs, thus posing a risk for primary human exposure and secondary exposure through contamination of the food chain (Czekalski et al., 2014; Marti et al., 2013; Gaviria-Figueroa et al., 2019). Further, the totality of ARGs and MGEs of wastewater effluent is often enhanced during the treatment process (Ju et al., 2019; Corno et al., 2019; Raza et al., 2022; Shi et al., 2023; Thornton, 2020). As such, resilient AMR bacteria can be recovered in the downstream water column (Amos et al., 2014; Munir et al., 2011) and can propagate ARGs in the wider environment through horizontal gene transfer (Jacquiod et al., 2017). Given the fact that the release of AMR bacteria from WWTPs can exceed 10^{10} CFU day^{-1} (Manaia et al., 2010; Novo and Manaia, 2010), it is vital that these point sources of AMR pollutants be monitored.

There is growing evidence that aerial dispersal of AMR bacteria may represent an important vector for ARGs to enter the environment (Bai et al., 2022; Gaviria-Figueroa et al., 2019). During the wastewater treatment process, microorganisms become aerosolised through

aeration, mechanical mixing, and bubble bursting (Kataki et al., 2022), with the airborne bacterial biomass ranging between 10^6-10^8 genomic units (based on 16S rRNA copy numbers) per m^3 of air (Bélanger Cayouette et al., 2022; Blais-Lecours et al., 2014; Mbareche et al., 2022). Many families of ARGs have been detected in WWTP bioaerosols using metagenomics (Gaviria-Figueroa et al., 2019; Yang et al., 2018) and quantitative PCR (qPCR) approaches (e.g. Bélanger Cayouette et al., 2022; Ouradou et al., 2023; Wang et al., 2019). Though information on long-distance bioaerosol dispersal is limited, Gaviria-Figueroa et al. (2019) used a modelling approach to estimate that over a 24 h period more than 220,000 individual ARGs could be transported across a 10 km radius surrounding an American WWTP capable of processing 15 million L of waste per day, with a further 10,000 ARG copies transported up to 120 km away. This suggests that WWTP bioaerosols have the potential impact microbial communities over an incredibly large area. More work is therefore needed to monitor the long-distance dispersal of WWTPs bioaerosols and their potential roles as environmental contaminants.

In comparison to waterborne vectors, the extent to which bioaerosols contribute to the propagation of AMR in the wider environment remains unclear. Further, new modelling approaches are needed to quantify the risk posed by airborne ARGs. Recent work has shown that vegetation can be used as passive collectors when sampling bioaerosols (Theofel et al., 2020; George et al., 2022). This mirrors the use of conifer trees as barriers around point sources of pollution to limit emissions of fine particulate matter, ammonia, and odours (Adrizal et al., 2008; Lin et al., 2006). For example, Galès et al. (2014) delineated the dispersal patterns of *Saccharopolyspora rectivirgula* emissions from a composting plant along a gradient of pine trees. Assessments of the conifer phyllosphere have also revealed different ARG profiles in agricultural and forest regions of Quebec, Canada (George et al., 2022a). Harnessing the conifer phyllosphere

as a monitoring tool could allow for long-term monitoring of ARGs in bioaerosols, contributing to the assessment of anthropogenic influences on AMR bacteria and ARG dispersal (Manibusan and Mainelis, 2022). Indeed, this method draws inspiration from the use of biofilms as environmental markers of ARG dispersal from wastewater effluent (Engemann et al., 2008; Marti et al., 2013). It is assumed that ARGs will be transferred via colonisation and plasmid exchange on conifer needles in the same way as ARGs are accumulated in biofilms as AMR bacteria settle out of the contaminated water column (Engemann et al., 2008).

Here, the ARGs profiles of wastewater, air, and the conifer phyllosphere were assessed along a dispersal gradient at two contrasting WWTPs in Quebec, Canada and Wales, UK. Our two main objectives were to: *i*) assess whether the conifer phyllosphere could delineate ARG dispersal from WWTPs; *ii*) assess differences in ARG profiles could be detected using a common panel of ARGs. We hypothesised that the ARG profile of conifer needles would resemble those of WWTPs but decrease with distance away from the WWTP. Further, it was hypothesised that our common ARG panel was diverse enough to be applicable to both sampling regions.

Materials and methods

Study sites

The study focused on two exemplar sites, one located in Quebec, Canada (Site 1) and one located in Bangor, North Wales, UK (Site 2). Both WWTPs are open air treatment systems with primary settling and secondary aeration ponds where bioaerosols can directly enter the environment.

Site 1: The WWTP in Quebec was located at Sainte-Brigitte-de-Laval (N47°00’00”, W71°12’00”). The site serves a population of 8,500 and has a daily flow of 1041.2 l day^{-1}. In

September 2022, wastewater samples were collected from one of the settling lagoons over the course of 3 h. Air samples were collected from 3 points across the WWTP simultaneously with wastewater samples in a 200 m diagonal transect within the WWTP. Conifer needles were collected from trees surrounding the WWTP in a ~150 m radius. Due to the geography of the area surrounding Sainte-Brigitte-de-Laval WWTP, it was not possible to follow the downwind dispersal of bioaerosols in this region. Air and conifer needles were also collected from an upwind control site (Lac-Beauport, QC, Canada) located ~10.5 km from the Sainte-Brigitte-de-Laval WWTP, to serve as reference samples for the environment surrounding the WWTP (Fig. 1).

Site 2: The WWTP in North Wales was located at Treborth, Gwynedd, (N53°12’34”, W04°10’59”). The WWTP serves a population of 40,000 and has a daily average flow of 285 l s^{-1}. In July 2022, air and conifer needle samples were collected from sites across a ~16 km transect in North Wales, UK. Conifer needle samples were classified as upwind (Y Felinheli) (within a 3.8 km radius), in proximity to the WWTP (within a < 1 km radius), in Bangor city centre (~4.2 km from WWTP), or distant (Beaumaris and Abergwyngregyn sites, ~7.5 km and ~11 km from WWTP site, respectively; Fig. 1). A control sample of conifer needles was taken 65 km away from the WWTP at Lake Vyrnwy, Powys, in Mid Wales (Fig. 1).

Wastewater sampling

At both WWTPs, three wastewater samples were collected in polypropylene bottles over the course of 1 h, with 100 ml of wastewater collected every 10 mins to produce one composite 700 ml sample. Samples were stored at 4 °C until analysis. Prior to DNA extraction, 100 ml of wastewater per composite sample was centrifuged for 10 min at 10,000 x g at a temperature of 4°C. Supernatants were discarded and the pellet resuspended in PowerSoil Pro lysis buffer C1. DNA extraction was performed immediately following processing, as described below.

Briefly, wastewater pH and electrical conductivity were determined using standard electrodes. Ammonium (NH_4^+) and orthophosphate (PO_4^{3-}) were determined colorimetrically as described in Mulvaney (1996) and Murphy and Riley (1962), respectively. Turbidity was measured with an Orion™ AQ4500 Turbidimeter (ThermoFisher Scientific, UK). Chemical properties of wastewater samples are presented in Table 1.

Air sampling

Simultaneous to wastewater sampling, air samples were collected over the course of 1 h using a SASS 3100 Dry Air Sampler (Research International, Monroe, WA, USA) with charged electret filters. At the WWTPs, each sample was collected from a different location across a 50 m transect, with data from all 3 grouped together to reduce within-site variation. Reference air samples were collected from upwind control sites (Y Felinheli and Lac-Beauport) and at downwind sites in Wales, again each over the course of 1 h. Field blanks were collected at upwind sites and WWTPs by exposing a clean filter to the environment but not running the sampling apparatus. Filters were stored in sealed bags at room temperature until DNA extraction.

To facilitate DNA extraction, a protocol developed by the *Institut de recherche Robert-Sauvé en santé et en sécurité du travail* was followed. Briefly, filters were immersed in 10 ml of SASS extraction buffer (0.1 M sodium phosphate, pH 7.4) and vortexed for 10 min at 2,500 rev min^{-1}. This solution was then centrifuged at 17,500 rev min^{-1} for 10 min to create a pellet for DNA extraction.

Conifer needle sampling

Conifer needles were collected following the methods of George et al. (2022) and Galès et al. (2014). Briefly, 2 branch ends from each tree ~20 cm in length were collected from 1.5–2.5 m height and stored in sterile plastic bags and kept at 4 °C until processing. A total of 15 g of conifer

needles were removed and homogenised in a 50 ml tube of 0.05 % Tween20 saline buffer with a paddle mixer for 5 min. Debris were removed from this solution by differential centrifugation at 250 rev min^{-1} for 3 min. The remaining supernatant was centrifuged at 17,500 rev min^{-1} for 30 min to create pellets from which DNA was extracted. In Wales, 38 needle samples were collected, of which 10 came from proximity of the Treborth WWTP, 11 came from Bangor city centre, 10 came from distant sites, and 7 came from the Lake Vyrnwy control site. In Quebec, 12 needles samples were collected from the perimeter of the WWTP, and 5 samples were collected at the Lac-Beauport control site. Due to geographic and access limitations, we could not replicate the dispersal transect as in Wales.

DNA extraction & qPCR analyses

DNA extraction was performed for all sample types using a DNeasy PowerSoil Pro Kits (Qiagen, Montreal, Canada and Hilden, Germany) following manufacturer's instructions. Total bacterial biomass was estimated using qPCR analyses of the 16S rRNA gene and FAM fluorescence probes following Bach et al. (2002), on a Bio-Rad CFX-384 Touch™ Real-Time PCR Detection System (Bio-Rad, Montreal, CA). The thermoprotocol was 95 °C for 3 min; then 95 °C for 20 s; and 62 °C for 1 min for 40 cycles. Results were deemed valid if accompanying standard curves had efficiency values of 90-110%. This qPCR data was used to generate 16S rRNA copy numbers per g of conifer needles or per ml of air or water using the following formulae:

$$16S\ rRNA\ copy\ number\ per\ g\ needles = \frac{\left(\frac{(Sq * 50)}{7.5}\right) * 50}{W}$$

$$16S\ rRNA\ copy\ number\ per\ ml(air\ or\ water) = \frac{(Sq * 50)}{V}$$

where Sq is the starting quantity estimate from qPCR analyses from 2 µl of DNA of a 100 µl extraction, 7.5 (ml) is the volume of supernatant used to make aliquots, 50 (ml) is the total volume of starting solution, *W* is the weight of needles (g), and *V* is the volume of air or water (ml).

The presence of crAssphage, a viral marker of human faecal contamination, was also assessed using qPCR to assess the spread of faecal matter in the environments surrounding WWTPs (Farkas et al., 2019). This was accomplished using the CPQ_056 primer pairs (Table S1) and a thermocycler protocol of 98 °C for 5 min, then 95 °C for 15 s, and finally 60 °C for 1 min for 40 cycles (Stachler et al., 2017).

A Takara SmartChip high-throughput qPCR (HT-qPCR) system (TakaraBio USA, San Jose, CA, USA) was used to assess the abundance and diversity of ARGs and MGEs. A total of 39 genes were assessed including aminoglycosides (3), beta-lactams (10), colistin (1), macrolides (4), MGEs (3), quinolones (2), sulfonamides (2), tetracyclines (10), and vancomycins (4) as explained in George et al. (2022). These genes were selected based on their frequent use in the literature (Stedfelt et al., 2018) and at the suggestion of colleagues, as in the case of mcr-1 and certain carbapenemase genes, as part of an effort to monitor AMR across sectors in Canada (George et al., 2022). Information on mode of action was retrieved from the Comprehensive Antibiotic Resistance Database (https://card.mcmaster.ca). A full list of genes and primer sequences can be found in Table S1. These primers used SYBR Green dye fluorescence except for those of the *mcr1* and *blaCTX-M-1* genes, which used a FAM probe for fluorescence. Analyses on the Takara SmartChip HT-qPCR system used a shared a thermocycler protocol of an initial step of 95 °C for 3 min; then 95 °C for 10 s; 60 °C for 30 s; and 55 °C for 31 s; with a melt curve of 55 °C for 5 s + 0.5 °C/cycle for a total of 45 cycles. Copy numbers of ARGs/MGEs were calculated following the comparative CT method (Schmittgen and Livak, 2008):

$$Relative\ gene\ copy\ number = \ 2^{-(Ct(ARG) - Ct(16S))}$$

Relative abundance of ARGs/MGEs was normalised by multiplying the ARG/MGE copy number by 16S rRNA gene copy number.

Statistical analyses

Relative copy numbers were $\log_{10}$-transformed for all subsequent statistical analyses. The presence/absence and proportional abundance of target genes is presented in Table S2. Total 16S biomass, ARG/MGE richness linear models with either sample type or location as categorical factors. Differences in the composition of ARGs/MGEs across samples were assessed by non-metric dimensional scaling (NMDS) with Bray-Curtis distances using the vegan package (Oksanen et al., 2022). Differences between groups were assessed by PERMANOVA (adonis2). All statistical analyses were performed using R v. 4.2.2 (R Core Team, 2022).

Results

Quantifiable levels of bacterial DNA were recovered from all samples, except for the Y Felinheli upwind control sample. Total bacterial biomass based on 16S rRNA gene copies followed identical trends in both areas (Fig. 2). Biomass was lower in air samples than in wastewater and needle samples in both Wales ($F_{2,47} = 108.4$; $p < 0.001$) and Quebec ($F_{2,20} = 91.19$; $p < 0.001$).

Richness of ARGs was significantly different between sample types in both sample locations (Wales: $F_{2,46} = 35.36$; $p < 0.001$; Quebec: $F_{2,20} = 26.62$; $p < 0.001$). Richness was highest in wastewater samples and lowest in air samples (Fig. 3A). Although no significant differences were observed along distance gradients radiating from WWTPs, ARG richness was higher in

wastewater samples than in phyllosphere samples in both Wales and Quebec ($F_{7,54} = 18.37$; $p < 0.001$). Richness of ARGs was however higher in wastewater than needles (Fig. 3B). CrAssphage was only quantifiable in a small number of samples besides those of wastewater, namely the Treborth WWTP air and one needles sample from Bangor itself (Fig. S1).

Quinolone genes were overwhelmingly abundant in Quebec samples (Fig. S2) and they overshadow the subtleties. When they were removed from the data file, more detailed trends became evident. Wastewater profiles in both sites were dominated by MGEs. Aminoglycosides and sulfonamides were more prevalent in Quebec whereas tetracycline and macrolide resistance genes were more present in Welsh wastewater (Fig. 4A). This translated into a greater proportion of transposases/integrases in Welsh wastewater and a greater proportion of antibiotic inactivation genes in Quebec wastewater (Fig. 4B). Quebec phyllosphere samples appear to have a more even distribution of ARGs when quinolones were removed compared to Welsh needle samples, which had high proportions of aminoglycosides (Fig. 4A). These genes were primarily associated with antibiotic inactivation (Fig. 4B). Air samples from Wales had a high proportion of tetracycline resistance genes. In Quebec, aminoglycoside and vancomycin resistance genes were important contributors (Fig. 4A).

There were significant differences ($F_{7,54} = 4.56$; $p < 0.001$) in ARG copy numbers along dispersal gradients from WWTPs based on PERMANOVA results. When $\log_{10}+1$ transformed abundances were visualised via NMDS (stress = 0.15) wastewater samples were clustered together. There was also evidence that Quebec phyllosphere samples clustered. However, phyllosphere samples collected along the dispersal gradient in Wales were so widely distributed that they overshadowed other trends (Fig. 5A). Copy numbers of ARGs decreased over distance from the WWTPs. Yet there was a high abundance of ARGs from the Lake Vyrnwy control site (Fig. 5B).

This was likely influenced by the proportion of number of beta-lactamase genes in these samples (Fig. 5C).

Proportional abundances of ARGs in needle samples were vastly different from wastewater. Welsh wastewater samples were dominated by MGEs. Oddly, their abundance was lowest in proximity to the WWTP and grew with distance. They had an inverse relationship with aminoglycoside resistance genes. Beta-lactam resistance genes were especially prevalent in the Lake Vyrnwy control site (Fig. 5C). In Quebec, there was a decline in aminoglycoside resistance genes from the wastewater to the control site. Needles collected from around the WWTP had a much different ARG profile to the wastewater. Macrolides were strongly present. The control area at Lac-Beauport had a more even distribution of ARGs (Fig. 5C).

Discussion

Monitoring ARG emissions via bioaerosols is an increasingly important aspect of addressing the AMR crisis (George et al., 2022b). Yet tracking emissions across landscapes remains challenging. Assessing changes in ARG profiles of phyllosphere communities represents a potential low-cost tool to monitor ARG pollution in bioaerosol emissions. Previous work has shown that AMR profiles within the phyllosphere can be differentiated with distance from anthropogenic ARG sources (George et al., 2022a; Huang et al., 2023; Xiang et al., 2020). In past studies, forest phyllospheres commonly exhibited fewer ARGs when compared to urban or agricultural areas (George et al., 2022a; Xiang et al., 2020). However, this phenomenon was not exhibited in the two forested sites used in the present study. Rather, ARG richness was not significantly different across phyllosphere samples.

High-throughput qPCR revealed distinct trends in the proportional abundances of ARG across the dispersal gradient. The similarity between wastewater ARG profiles between British

297 and Canadian sites reflects the clustering of Western nations observed in recent global analyses
298 (Prieto Riquelme et al., 2022). Yet these trends do not extend to the ARG profiles of phyllosphere
299 samples. In Wales, the increasing prevalence of aminoglycoside resistance genes across the
300 dispersal gradient may reflect the impacts of other anthropogenic activities. For example, high-
301 throughput qPCR data has shown aminoglycoside resistance genes are important components of
302 animal husbandry facilities (Yang et al., 2018). Indeed, aminoglycosides are the third most
303 commonly used antibiotics in British sheep farms (Davies et al., 2017). This could indicate
304 bioaerosols from agricultural sources are more important contaminants in this area. Given the
305 prevalence of sheep raising in the surrounding areas, this may indicate that animal waste and
306 management are more important sources of bioaerosols than the WWTP.

307 This work was predicated on the hypothesis that WWTP would be the most prominent
308 ARG emission source. However, the dispersal patterns of bioaerosols from point sources like
309 WWTPs are difficult to determine. Although there are some estimates for ARG dispersal over long
310 distances (Bai et al., 2022; Gaviria-Figueroa et al., 2019), so far no study has conclusively tracked
311 such emissions. Such local dispersal dynamics are likely important in Wales, where precipitation
312 was higher during the sampling period. Realised dispersal ranges are likely to be much smaller
313 than mathematical predictions due to variation in particle composition or changes in air pressure
314 or windspeed, for instance (Brunet et al, 2018). Recent work has demonstrated that ARGs are
315 present in clouds, however, how their deposition in precipitation may influence terrestrial
316 communities is unknown (Rossi et al., 2023). Indeed, soils are important reservoirs of both
317 anthropogenic and naturally occurring ARGs (Cytryn, 2013; Singer et al., 2016). Comparisons
318 with the ARG profiles of soil were not made in the present study. Shared ARG profiles between
319 the phyllosphere and soil may indicate deposition of local bioaerosols, such as those generated by

rainfall (Joung et al., 2017). It is likely that agricultural bioaerosols and run-off are important vectors for ARG transport in soils along the dispersal gradient (Singer et al., 2016).

To our knowledge the present work represents the first time phyllosphere ARG profiles have been directly compared to source material. However, more intensive studies are needed to validate the potential for monitoring airborne ARGs with conifer needles sampling. This must include longer sampling campaigns with multiple sampling events, which are beyond the scope of this study. Further, greater efforts must be made to account for confounding aerosol sources. Although complementary air samples were taken, the equipment needed is expensive and logistically difficult to run in long-term field experiments. Passive air samplers could be installed in forested areas so that the aerial component of phyllosphere samples over a set time period can be observed in isolation. This could be done using the Rutgers Electrostatic Passive Sampler (REPS) system, for example. The REPS is compact, affordable, and validated for collecting viable bacteria outdoors (Grogan and Mainelis, 2022). Further, soil samples should be periodically collected from below selected conifer trees so that confounding effects of local bioaerosol emissions can be eliminated. Incorporating these additional measures will better validate future tests of this monitoring method.

Conclusion

Mitigating ARG emissions via bioaerosols will be a vital aspect of future efforts to combat the AMR crisis. To achieve this, effective monitoring programmes must be developed. Other monitoring programmes suggest that the conifer needle phyllosphere may be an effective monitoring tool. However, our results indicate that further refinement is needed for this to be effective. Although distinct trends in ARG profiles were observed across a dispersal gradient from WWTPs, these findings were incongruous with those of wastewater. Future work should include

repeat sampling at multiple time points to account for seasonal variation in emissions and phyllosphere community composition. Further, molecular approaches such as amplicon or shotgun metagenomic sequencing should be employed to better identify bioindicators of contamination. These lessons will inform future attempts to create ARG monitoring networks.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to the city of Sainte-Brigitte-de-Laval and Dŵr Cymru Welsh Water for providing access to WWTPs. Funding for this project was provided by a joint Quebec-Wales cooperation programme through Welsh Government and Quebec's *Ministère des Relations internationals et de la Francophonie*. Additional funds were provided by an NSERC Frontiers Grant (Grant #: 539024-2019) held by Pr Caroline Duchaine. Pr Duchaine holds the Tier 1 Canada Research Chair on Bioaerosols. We thank Dr Robert W. Brown and Dr Nathalie Turgeon for their help collecting conifer needle samples in Wales and Quebec, respectively. We thank Dr Peter A. Vanrollenghem and the modelEAU group at Université Laval for chemical analyses of Quebec wastewater.

Data availability

All data are presented in the manuscript. Files can be provided upon reasonable request via the corresponding author.

Conflict of interest

The authors have no financial or personal conflicts of interest in the work presented.

Author contributions

PBLG, DLJ, and CD conceived the project which was further refined by ECC and LH. ECC, LH, PBLG, SL, and JL collected and processed samples in both Quebec and Wales. PBLG led statistical analyses with help from LH. LH and PBLG led the writing of the first draft with contributions from ECC, SL, and JL. All authors edited and revised subsequent drafts.

References

Amos, G.C.A., Hawkey, P.M., Gaze, W.H., and Wellington, E.M. 2014. Waste water effluent contributes to the dissemination of CTX-M-15 in the natural environment. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. **69(7)**: 1785–1791. doi:10.1093/jac/dku079

Anderson, M., Clift, C., Schulze, K., Sagan, A., Nahrgang, S., Ait Ouakrim, D., and Mossialos, E. 2019. Averting the AMR crisis: What are the avenues for policy action for countries in Europe? European Observatory on Health Systems and Policies, Copenhagen.

Bach, H.-J., Tomanova, J., Schloter, M., Munch, J.C. 2002. Enumeration of total bacteria and bacteria with genes for proteolytic activity in pure cultures and in environmental samples by quantitative PCR mediated amplification. J. Microbiol. Methods. **49**(3): 235–245. doi:10.1016/S0167-7012(01)00370-0

Bai, H., He, L.-Y., Wu, D.-L., Gao, F.-Z., Zhang, M., Zou, H.-Y., Yao, M.-S., et al. 2022. Spread of airborne antibiotic resistance from animal farms to the environment: Dispersal pattern and exposure risk. Environ. Int. 158, 106927. doi:10.1016/j.envint.2021.106927

Bélanger Cayouette, A., Ouradou, A., Veillette, M., Turgeon, N., George, P.B.L., Corbin, S., Boulanger, C., et al. 2022. Effect of odor-treatment biofilter on bioaerosols from an indoor wastewater treatment plant. Atmosphere. **13**(10): 1723. doi:10.3390/atmos13101723

Blais-Lecours, P., Perrott, P., Duchaine, C. 2015. Non-culturable bioaerosols in indoor settings: Impact on health and molecular approaches for detection. Atmos. Environ. **110**: 45–53. doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.03.039

Brunet, Y., Wéry, N., and Galès, A. 2018. "Short-Scale Transport of Bioaerosols" in Delort, A.-M., and Amato, P (ed.), *Microbiology of Aerosols* John Wiley & Sons Inc., Hoboken, pp.137-153.

Corno, G., Yang, Y., Eckert, E.M., Fontaneto, D., Fiorentino, A., Galafassi, S., Zhang, T., et al. 2019. Effluents of wastewater treatment plants promote the rapid stabilization of the antibiotic resistome in receiving freshwater bodies. Water Res. **158**: 72–81. doi:10.1016/j.watres.2019.04.031

Council of Canadian Academics. 2019. When Antibiotics Fail: The Expert Panel on the Potential Socio-Economic Impacts of Antimicrobial Resistance in Canada. Council of Canadian Academic, Ottawa, Canada

Cytryn, E. 2013. The soil resistome: The anthropogenic, the native, and the unknown. Soil Biol. Biochem. **63**: 18–23. doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2013.03.017

Czekalski, N., Gascón Díez, E., and Bürgmann, H. 2014. Wastewater as a point source of antibiotic-resistance genes in the sediment of a freshwater lake. ISME J. **8**(7): 1381–1390. doi:10.1038/ismej.2014.8

Davies, P., Remnant, J.G., Green, M.J., Gascoigne, E., Gibbon, N., Hyde, R., Porteous, J.R., et al. 2017. Quantitative analysis of antibiotic usage in British sheep flocks. Vet Rec. **181**(19): 511. doi: 10.1136/vr.104501

Engemann, C.A., Keen, P.L., Knapp, C.W., Hall, K.J., and Graham, D.W. 2008. Fate of tetracycline resistance genes in aquatic systems: Migration from the water column to peripheral biofilms. Environ. Sci. Technol. **42**: 5131–5136. doi:10.1021/es800238e

Farkas, K., Adriaenssens, E.M., Walker, D.I., McDonald, J.E., Malham, S.K., and Jones, D.L. 2019. Critical evaluation of crAssphage as a molecular marker for human-derived wastewater contamination in the aquatic environment. Food Environ. Virol. **11**: 113–119. doi:10.1007/s12560-019-09369-1

Galès, A., Latrille, E., Wéry, N., Steyer, J.-P., and Godon, J.-J. 2014. Needles of *Pinus halepensis* as biomonitors of bioaerosol emissions. PLoS ONE **9**: e112182. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0112182

Gaviria-Figueroa, A., Preisner, E.C., Hoque, S., Feigley, C.E., and Norman, R.S. 2019. Emission and dispersal of antibiotic resistance genes through bioaerosols generated during the treatment of municipal sewage. Sci. Total Environ. **686**: 402–412. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.05.454

George, P.B.L., Leclerc, S., Turgeon, N., Veillette, M., and Duchaine, C. 2022a. Conifer needle phyllosphere as a potential passive monitor of bioaerosolised antibiotic resistance genes. Antibiotics **11**: 907. doi:10.3390/antibiotics11070907

George, P.B.L., Rossi, F., St-Germain, M.-W., Amato, P., Badard, T., Bergeron, M.G., Boissinot, M., et al. 2022b. Antimicrobial resistance in the environment: Towards elucidating the roles of bioaerosols in transmission and detection of antibacterial resistance genes. Antibiotics **11**: 974. doi:10.3390/antibiotics11070974

Grogan, S.N.C.M., and Mainelis, G. 2022. Effect of sampling duration on culturable and viable bioaerosol determination when using Rutgers Electrostatic Passive Sampler (REPS). J. Aerosol Sci. **166**: 106066. doi:10.1016/j.jaerosci.2022.106066

Huang, X.-R., Neilson, R., Yang, L.-Y., Deng, J.-J., Zhou, S.-Y.-D., Li, H., Zhu, Y.-G., et al. 2023. Urban greenspace types influence the microbial community assembly and antibiotic resistome more in the phyllosphere than in the soil. Chemosphere **338**: 139533. doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2023.139533

Jacquiod, S., Brejnrod, A., Morberg, S.M., Abu Al-Soud, W., Sørensen, S.J., and Riber, L. 2017. Deciphering conjugative plasmid permissiveness in wastewater microbiomes. Mol. Ecol. **26**: 3556–3571. doi:10.1111/mec.14138

Joung, Y.S., Ge, Z., and Buie, C.R. 2017. Bioaerosol generation by raindrops on soil. Nat. Commun. **8**: 14668. doi:10.1038/ncomms14668

Kataki, S., Patowary, R., Chatterjee, S., Vairale, M.G., Sharma, S., Dwivedi, S.K., and Kamboj, D.V. 2022. Bioaerosolization and pathogen transmission in wastewater treatment plants: Microbial composition, emission rate, factors affecting and control measures. Chemosphere **287**: 132180. doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2021.132180

Lin, X.-J., Barrington, S., Nicell, J., Choinière, D., and Vézina, A. 2006. Influence of windbreaks on livestock odour dispersion plume in the field. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ. **116**: 263–272. doi:10.1016/j.agee.2006.02.014

Manaia, C.M., Novo, A., Coelho, B., and Nunes, O.C. 2010. Ciprofloxacin resistance in domestic wastewater treatment plants. Water Air Soil Pollut. **208**: 335–343. doi:10.1007/s11270-009-0171-0

Manibusan, S., and Mainelis, G., 2022. Passive bioaerosol samplers: A complementary tool for bioaerosol research. A review. J. Aerosol Sci. **163**: 105992. doi:10.1016/j.jaerosci.2022.105992

Marti, E., Jofre, J., and Balcazar, J.L. 2013. Prevalence of antibiotic resistance genes and bacterial community composition in a river influenced by a wastewater treatment plant. PLoS ONE **8**: e78906. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0078906

455 Mbareche, H., Dion-Dupont, V., Veillette, M., Brisebois, E., Lavoie, J., and Duchaine, C. 2022.
456 Influence of seasons and sites on bioaerosols in indoor wastewater treatment plants and
457 proposal for air quality indicators. J. Air Waste Manag. Assoc. **72**: 1000–1011.
458 doi:10.1080/10962247.2022.2066735
459 Munir, M., Wong, K., and Xagoraraki, I. 2011. Release of antibiotic resistant bacteria and genes
460 in the effluent and biosolids of five wastewater utilities in Michigan. Water Res. **45**: 681–
461 693. doi:10.1016/j.watres.2010.08.033
462 Murray, C.J., Ikuta, K.S., Sharara, F., Swetschinski, L., Robles Aguilar, G., Gray, A., Han, C., et
463 al. 2022. Global burden of bacterial antimicrobial resistance in 2019: a systematic
464 analysis. Lancet **399**: 629–655. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(21)02724-0
465 de Nies, L., Bhanu Busi, S, Kunath, B.J., May, P., Wilmes, P. 2022. Mobilome-driven
466 segrefation of the resistome in biological wastewater treatment. eLife **11**: e81196
467 doi:10.7554/eLife.81196
468 Novo, A., Manaia, and C.M. 2010. Factors influencing antibiotic resistance burden in municipal
469 wastewater treatment plants. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. **87**: 1157–1166.
470 doi:10.1007/s00253-010-2583-6
471 O'Neill, J. 2016. Tackling drug-resistant infections globally: final report and recommendations.
472 The review on antimicrobial resistance.
473 Ouradou, A., Veillette, M., Bélanger Cayouette, A., Corbin, S., Boulanger, C., Dorner, S.,
474 Duchaine, C., et al. 2023. Effect of odor treatment systems on bioaerosol microbial
475 concentration and diversity from wastewater treatment plants. Sci. Total Environ. **874**:
476 162419. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2023.162419
477 Pokharel, S., Shrestha, P., and Adhikari, B. 2020. Antimicrobial use in food animals and human
478 health: time to implement 'One Health' approach. Antimicrob. Resist Infect. Control **9**:
479 181. doi:10.1186/s13756-020-00847-x
480 Prieto Riquelme, M.V., Garner, E., Gupta, S., Metch, J., Zhu, N., Blair, M.F., Arango-Argoty,
481 G., et al. 2022. Demonstrating a comprehensive wastewater-based surveillance approach
482 that differentiates globally sourced resistomes. Environ. Sci. Technol. **56**: 14982–14993.
483 doi:10.1021/acs.est.1c08673
484 Pruden, A., Vikeslandm P.J., Davis, B.C., de Roda Husman, A.M. 2021. Seizing the moment:
485 now is the time for integrated global surveillance of antimicrobial resistance in
486 wastewater environments. Curr. Opin. Microbiol. **64**: 91-99. doi:
487 10.1016/j.mib.2021.09.013
488 Raza, S., Shin, H., Hur, H.-G., and Unno, T. 2022. Higher abundance of core antimicrobial
489 resistant genes in effluent from wastewater treatment plants. Water Res. **208**: 117882.
490 doi:10.1016/j.watres.2021.117882
491 Robinson, T.P., Bu, D.P., Carrique-Mas, J., Fèvre, E.M., Gilbert, M., Grace, D., Hay, S.I., et al.
492 2016. Antibiotic resistance is the quintessential One Health issue. Trans. R. Soc. Trop.
493 Med. Hyg. **110**: 377–380. doi:10.1093/trstmh/trw048
494 Rossi, F., Péguilhan, R., Turgeon, N., Veillette, M., Baray, J.-L., Deguillaume, L., Amato, P., et
495 al. 2023. Quantification of antibiotic resistance genes (ARGs) in clouds at a mountain site
496 (puy de Dôme, central France). Sci. Total Environ. **865**: 161264.
497 doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.161264
498 Schmittgen, T.D., and Livak, K.J. 2008. Analyzing real-time PCR data by the comparative CT
499 method. Nat. Protoc. **3**: 1101–1108. doi:10.1038/nprot.2008.73

Shi, B., Zhao, R., Su, G., Liu, B., Liu, W., Xu, J., Li, Q., et al. 2023. Metagenomic surveillance of antibiotic resistome in influent and effluent of wastewater treatment plants located on the Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau. Sci. Total Environ. **870**: 162031. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2023.162031

Singer, A.C., Shaw, H., Rhodes, V., and Hart, A. 2016. Review of antimicrobial resistance in the environment and its relevance to environmental regulators. Front. Microbiol. **7**: 1728 doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2016.01728

Stachler, E., Kelty, C., Sivaganesan, M., Li, X., Bibby, K., Shanks, O.C. 2017. Quantitative CrAssphage assays for human fecal pollution measurement. Environ. Sci. Technol. 51: 9146-9154 doi: 10.1021/acs.est.7bo2703

Stedfelt, R.D., Guo, X., Stedtfeld, T.M., Sheng, H., Williams, M.R., Hauschild, K., et al. 2018. Primer set 2.0 for highly parallel qPCR array targeting antibiotic resistance genes and mobile genetic elements. FEMS Microbiol. Ecol. **94**(9): fiy130. doi:10.1093/femsec/fiy130.

Wang, Y., Wang, C., and Song, L. 2019. Distribution of antibiotic resistance genes and bacteria from six atmospheric environments: Exposure risk to human. Sci. Total Environ. **694**: 133750. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.133750

Wu, J., Wang, J., Li, Z., Guo, S., Li, K., Xu, P., Ok, Y.S., et al. 2023. Antibiotics and antibiotic resistance genes in agricultural soils: A systematic analysis. Crit. Rev. Environ. Sci. Technol. **53**: 847–864. doi:10.1080/10643389.2022.2094693

Xiang, Q., Zhu, D., Giles, M., Neilson, R., Yang, X.-R., Qiao, M., and Chen, Q.-L. 2020. Agricultural activities affect the pattern of the resistome within the phyllosphere microbiome in peri-urban environments. J. Hazard. Mat. **382**: 121068. doi:10.1016/j.jhazmat.2019.121068

Yang, Y., Zhou, R., Chen, B., Zhang, T., Hu, L., and Zou, S. 2018. Characterization of airborne antibiotic resistance genes from typical bioaerosol emission sources in the urban environment using metagenomic approach. Chemosphere **213**: 463–471. doi: 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2018.09.066

Figure captions

Fig. 1. Sampling locations visited in Quebec and Wales. Points represent the centre of cities/villages sampled. Molecular analyses were conducted at Université Laval in Quebec City (marked in blue).

Fig. 2. Box plots of $\log_{10}$-transformed bacterial biomass across sample types (air, phyllosphere, wastewater) in Wales and Quebec. Boxes denote the first and third quartiles. Horizontal lines mark medians. Whiskers represent 1.5X the interquartile range. Black dots represent outliers. Individual data points are displayed next to boxes.

Fig. 3. Box plot of ARG richness across **A)** sample types and **B)** from conifer phyllosphere samples along distance gradients from WWTPs. Boxes denote the first and third quartiles. Horizontal lines mark medians. Whiskers represent 1.5X the interquartile range. Black dots represent outliers.

Individual data points are displayed next to boxes. SBL stands for Saint-Brigitte-de-Laval. Control sites are labelled in grey; all other sites are labelled in black.

Fig 4. Proportionate abundances of **A**) ARG type and **B**) method of action for sample types (air, phyllosphere, and wastewater) at two WWTPs located in Wales and Quebec. Note that quinolones have been removed from this figure demonstrate trends in other genes. SBL stands for Saint-Brigitte-de-Laval.

Fig. 5. ARGs profiles of wastewater and conifer phyllosphere samples. Shown are **A**) NMDS ordination of phyllosphere and wastewater samples; **B**) the mean and standard error of ARG copy numbers. Control sites are labelled in grey; all other sites are labelled in black; and **C**) proportional abundances of ARGs. SBL stands for Saint-Brigitte-de-Laval.

Table 1. Chemical properties of the three wastewater samples collected at both the Treborth and Sainte-Brigitte-de-Laval WWTPs at the time of aerosol sampling. Values represent means (± SE).

Property	**Treborth**	**Sainte-Brigitte-de-Laval**
pH	7.60 ± 0.02	6.98 ± 0.03
Electrical conductivity (µS/cm)	1018 ± 17	652 ± 2
Turbidity (NTU)	210.3 ± 12.8	145.2 ± 10.7
NH_4^+ (mg N/l)	30.3 ± 0.6	20.0 ± 0.3
PO_4^{3-} (mg P/l)	8.1 ± 0.62	19.4 ± 0.71