The amphibians and reptiles of Cusuco National Park, Northwest Honduras: updates from a long-term conservation programme

Laking, Alexandra E.; Solís, José M.; Brown, Tom; Maddock, Simon T.; Burdekin, Oliver; Taylor, Peter; Lonsdale, George; Green, Stephen E. W.; Martin, Thomas E.; Galdamez, Josue R.; Kolby, Jonathan E.; Erens, Jesse; Jocque, Merlijn

Neotropical Biology and Conservation

DOI:
10.3897/neotropical.19.e120902

Published: 28/06/2024

Peer reviewed version

Updates from a long-term conservation programme: The amphibians and reptiles of Cusuco National Park, Northwest Honduras

Alexandra E. Laking[1], José M. Solís[1,2], Tom Brown[1,3], Simon T. Maddock[1,4], Oliver Burdekin[1,5], Peter Taylor[1], George Lonsdale[1], Stephen E.W. Green[6], Thomas E. Martin[1,7], Josue R. Galdamez[1,3], Jonathan E. Kolby[1,8,9], Jesse Erens[1], Merlijn Jocque[1,10]

1 *Operation Wallacea, Wallace House, Old Bolingbroke, Lincolnshire, UK*
2 *Grupo de Investigación de Reptiles y Anfibios de Honduras (GIRAH), Tegucigalpa, Honduras*
3 *Kanahau Utila Research and Conservation Facility, Utila Island, Honduras*
4 *School of Natural and Environmental Sciences, Newcastle University, Newcastle Upon Tyne, UK*
5 *Oxford University Department for Continuing Education, University of Oxford, UK*
6 *Newquay University Centre, Cornwall College, Wildflower Lane, Trenance Gardens, Newquay, Cornwall, TR7 2LZ, UK*
7 *School of Natural Sciences, College of Environmental Sciences and Engineering, Bangor University, UK*
8 *One Health Research Group, James Cook University, Australia*
9 *Honduras Amphibian Rescue & Conservation Center, Lancetilla Botanical Garden, Tela, Honduras*
10 *Aquatic and Terrestrial Ecology (ATECO), Royal Belgian Institute of Natural Sciences (RBINS), Vautierstraat 29, 1000 Brussels, Belgium*

Abstract

Mesoamerican cloud forests support a rich and unique biodiversity but face severe threats from increasing habitat degradation and climate change. Here, we present an updated overview of the amphibians and reptiles of Cusuco National Park (CNP), an isolated cloud forest in the Sierra de Omoa, Northwest Honduras. Based on surveys conducted over a 17-year period, we report the presence of 105 confirmed species of amphibians (30) and reptiles (75) within the reserve. This includes numerous threatened and regionally endemic amphibian species as well as several reptile species previously unrecorded within the park. Given it harbours approximately 26% of all recorded Honduran herpetofauna, our study highlights CNP as the most diverse forest region in Honduras with respect to its reptile and amphibian diversity documented to date. Our findings reinforce the plea to actively protect CNP as a globally valuable biodiversity hotspot and a centre of herpetofauna endemicity. Furthermore, in the face of rapid deforestation across Mesoamerica, our findings highlight the need for expanded biodiversity studies across extant forest regions in Honduras to refine species distribution ranges and to facilitate timely and effective conservation measures.

Resumen

Los bosques nublados de Mesoamérica soportan una diversidad rica y única, pero por otro lado sufre de severas amenazas debido a la degradación del hábitat y el cambio climático. En este manuscrito presentamos un listado general de los anfibios y reptiles del parque Nacional Cusuco (CNP), un bosque nublado en la sierra de Omoa, noroccidente de Honduras. Basados en muestreos durante un periodo de 16 años reportamos la presencia de al menos 105 especies de anfibios (30) y reptiles (75) en la reserva. Dicha herpetofauna incluye numerosas especies endémicas y amenazadas de anfibios, así como algunos reptiles no registrados previamente en el área. Esto alberga el 24% de toda la herpetofauna conocida para Honduras, nuestro estudio remarca que CNP es la región forestal en Honduras con mayor diversidad de anfibios y reptiles con respecto a la diversidad documentada hasta la fecha. Nuestros encuentros refuerzan el hecho que se debe proteger activamente el CNP como un centro de alto valor global de biodiversidad y como un núcleo de endemicidad de herpetofauna. Además, en vista de la acelerada deforestación a través de los ecosistemas remanentes en Mesoamérica, nuestros datos son un llamado a realizar estudios a través de las regiones forestales existentes en Honduras, para refinar los rangos de distribución de las especies que permitan tomar las medidas efectivas de conservación.

Keywords

Biodiversity hotspot, Cloud Forest, Herpetofauna, IUCN status, Mesoamerica, Nuclear Central America, Population monitoring, Species list

Introduction

Positioned centrally in Mesoamerica, Honduras is characterised by an extensive interior highland area (the Chortis Block) that extends from western Guatemala to Nicaragua (Alvarado et al. 2007; Townsend 2014). Biodiversity in this region is shaped by a multitude of environmental gradients and includes a range of isolated mountains topped by evergreen cloud forests, which can be broadly defined as "tropical forests frequently covered in a cloud of mist" (Stadtmuller 1987). These high elevation forests exhibit highly specific bioclimatic conditions, constituting rare and unique ecosystems. Owing to their geographic isolation, Mesoamerican cloud forests form an array of 'sky islands' that provide habitat to a diverse and highly endemic fauna and flora. The diversity of the region is threatened by the rapid habitat degradation occurring throughout Mesoamerica's forests (Brooks et al. 2002; Jung et al. 2022), with high-elevation ecosystems disproportionately impacted (Bubb et al. 2004). Despite their biological importance and elevated threat status, most remaining cloud forest habitats in Mesoamerica remain scientifically under-explored, hampering insights in the distribution of biodiversity across extended geographic areas (the Wallacean shortfall; Lomolino 2004). A better understanding of species diversity, abundance, and distributions is imperative to allow timely and effective implementation of conservation measures.

Cusuco National Park (CNP), in northwestern Honduras, is an isolated, biologically diverse, yet threatened cloud forest ecosystem. CNP supports a rich herpetofauna (reptile and amphibian) community, including many threatened and nationally or regionally endemic species. The park harbours four micro-endemic amphibian and four micro-endemic reptile species that are only known to occur at this single locality (Fig. 1). The forest ecosystem of CNP first gained protection for its value in protecting the watershed of the nearby city of San Pedro Sula. It is situated within the Mesoamerican biodiversity hotspot (Myers et al. 2000) and is globally recognised by the Alliance for Zero Extinction (2018) for the critical habitat it provides to its endemic amphibian fauna. Likewise, CNP was included in a global list of the most irreplaceable protected areas on the basis of its amphibian, bird and mammal diversity (Le Saout et al. 2013) and is considered a Key Biodiversity Area by the IUCN. This illustrates the vital role of extant cloud forest systems in providing ecosystem services to nearby human communities (Bubb et al. 2004; Bruijnzeel et al. 2010).

Figure 1. The four amphibian and four reptile micro-endemic species currently known only to occur in Cusuco National Park. **A** *Bolitoglossa diaphora* **B** *Oedipina tomasi* **C** *Plectrohyla dasypus* **D** *Plectrohyla exquisita* **E** *Anolis amplisquamosus* **F** *Geophis nephodrymus* **G** *Omoadiphas aurula* **H** *Rhadinella pegosalyta* (Photographs provided by: Tom Brown A,B,E, F,G,H, Achyuthan Srikanthan C,D)

More than 400 species of reptiles and amphibians have been recorded in Honduras to date, of which around 27% are endemic to the country (Solís et al. 2014; McCranie 2015). The first overview of the herpetofauna of CNP was presented by Wilson and McCranie (2004), which provided a list of 30 identified species based on surveys conducted from the late 1970s to the early 2000s. These findings prompted the start of a long-term monitoring program to assess the herpetological diversity of the national park. As a result, the number of recorded species grew over subsequent years and an updated inventory was published by Townsend et al. (2006), which increased the number of species identified in CNP to 50. This updated inventory was comprised of five salamanders, 12 frogs, 12 lizards, and 21 snakes, which were detailed in a subsequent field guide (Townsend and Wilson 2008). Whereas in the more recent works of Solís et al. (2017) and Martin et al. (2021) we included tentative overviews of the park's reptiles and amphibians, these were different in scope and comprise incomplete species accounts. Hence, we here provide detailed results of the herpetofauna surveys carried out in CNP across the subsequent 16 years spanning the period 2007–2023 and confirm the presence of 105 species of amphibians and reptiles within the park's boundaries. In addition to providing an overview of all recorded herpetofauna, we discuss the global conservation significance of the reserve in view of continuing environmental change.

Methods

Study area - Cusuco National Park and delineation

CNP is located in the Sierra de Omoa, the northernmost extension of the Sierra del Merendón in the region of Cortés, northwestern Honduras (Fig. 2A). Ranging up to 2,243m in elevation, the park is located among the highest regions of the Sierra de Omoa, while its lower ranges extend to around 500m above sea level (m/asl) (Martin & Blackburn 2009). Consequently, its elevational gradient supports a large range of vegetation types. Tropical montane cloud forests are prevalent around 1,200–2,000m/asl, and are characterised both by broadleaved and pine vegetation, while elevations above 2,000m/asl are characterised by *bosque enano*, or elfin 'dwarf' forests. Towards lower elevations between 500–1,200m/asl, broadleaved forests are dominant, with increasing fragmented forest sections and agricultural clearances further down the mountain slopes (Hamilton, Juvik & Scatena 1995). CNP and its direct environs are covered by a variety of protected areas (*cf.* Martin et al. 2021). On the basis of the Cloud Forest Act (1987), the original delineation of CNP comprised a core zone that includes all terrain above 1,800m/asl and a buffer zone that expands 2km outwards from the 1,800m/asl boundary (Fig. 2B) (Bonta 2005). This initial definition of CNP provided the focus of most early herpetofauna inventories in the reserve (see Wilson and McCranie 2004). Following this original demarcation however, the Corporación Hondureña de Desarrollo Forestal (COHDEFOR) published an updated management plan for CNP that substantially expanded the core zone and buffer zone (Fig. 2B). This latter delineation presents the study area in which all research efforts in the period 2007–2019 were concentrated, and hence the zonation that is used throughout this report although this interpretation of the Park's borders is not universally accepted).



Figure 2. The location of Cusuco National Park (CNP) in northwestern Honduras, the different interpretations of its borders, and an overview of study camps. **A** CNP is situated in the northern ranges of the Sierra del Merendón, highlighting the isolated position of the cloud forest reserve in relation to other high-altitude regions. **B** Our study area as based on the management plan of the Corporación Hondureña de Desarrollo Forestal (in blue), shown in reference to the original delineation of CNP as based on the 87-1987 Cloud Forest Act (in green). **C** An overview of field sites surveyed during the study period 2007-2023. A dashed line indicates the delineation of the reserve's core zone. Digital elevation model from Jarvis et al. (2008); basemap from ESRI (2017).

Data collection

Annual surveys were conducted from early-June to early-August each year between 2007 and 2023 (with the exception of 2020–2021 due to the Covid-19 pandemic), as part of an ongoing long-term biodiversity monitoring programme run by Operation Wallacea, a non-governmental conservation and research organisation. These annual surveys are carried out by teams of students and volunteers under the supervision of a rotating team of experienced herpetologists. All survey activities were concentrated around seven field camps situated in the Park's core- and buffer zones (Fig. 2C). In each of these seven camps, three to four standardised transects were monitored across successive years to assess herpetofauna diversity throughout CNP. Across the research period, transects were studied by means of diurnal visual encounter surveys in the morning until midday in which the time, distance and number of participants was recorded to quantify search effort. This involved visually searching for amphibians and reptiles along the defined transects and when an individual was encountered recording the species, the distance along the transect and the perpendicular distance from the transect. Encountered species were caught when possible, and the sex, weight, snout-to-vent length (SVL), and photographs (dorsal, lateral, ventral and close up of the head) were obtained. Nocturnal opportunistic searches along portions of these transects or near water bodies were also conducted, the same information being recorded for these surveys. Within some study seasons, a single drift fence array with three pitfall traps was opportunistically set up in suitable habitat at each camp and checked daily to investigate the potential presence of small (semi-)fossorial species likely to remain undetected by active searches. As this research was conducted by people of varying levels of experience, only species occurrences with photographic evidence and or verification by an expert were included. Photographic vouchers of all amphibian and reptile species recorded in CNP are provided in Suppl. material 1: Fig. S1 and Suppl. material 2: Fig. S2.

The conservation status of all included species was assessed based on the IUCN Red List (2022), and the Environmental Vulnerability Score (EVS) following Johnson et al. (2015). While the former was used as a central representation of species conservation status, the latter provides an integrative conservation index for all Mesoamerican reptile and amphibian species, based on their extant geographic distribution, habitat occupation and reproductive mode (for amphibians) or human persecution (for reptiles). As such, the EVS also provides a valuable indication of conservation status for species that remain unassessed by the IUCN Red List.

Results

Following recurring survey efforts in the period 2007–2023, a total of 105 amphibian and reptile species have been confirmed in CNP: 30 amphibians (Table 1) and 75 reptiles (Table 2). Fig. 3 provides an overview of the cumulative number of species recorded over consecutive field seasons.



Figure 3. Species accumulation curves and logarithmic approximation of the reptile and amphibian species recorded in Cusuco National Park in the study period 2007–2023 following the earlier works of McCranie et al. (2004) and Townsend et al. (2006).

Amphibians

Since the inventory of 2006 (Townsend et al. 2006), an additional 13 amphibian species were recorded within the 17-year study period, and of which a provisional overview was provided in Solís et al. (2017). Among some of the most notable records, the secretive species *Nototriton brodiei* Campbell & Smith, 1998 and *Ecnomiohyla salvaje* (Wilson, McCranie & Williams, 1985) were both found in the high-elevation habitats of the CNP core zone. *N. brodiei* is a cryptic salamander that is typically found in dense leaf litter layers and in moss mats of intact montane forest (Kolby et al. 2009). The canopy dwelling frog species *E. salvaje*, which is endemic to the region, is a cryptic species that is likely facing significant threats. With only seven total reported adult individuals in Honduras, five of which were observed in the core zone of CNP from 2009–2018 (Solís et al. 2017; Thorp et al. 2021). The park represents a crucial stronghold for this little-known species as forests in Quebrada Grande, Copán, have disappeared, making the forests of CNP be its main refuge.

The other newly recorded amphibians were mostly observed within lower elevation habitats, largely within the buffer zone of CNP. Additions to the park's salamander diversity stemming from these lower areas include *Bolitoglossa dofleini* (Werner, 1903) and *Bolitoglossa nympha* Campbell, Smith, Streicher, Acevedo & Brodie, 2010, which are both endemic to nuclear Central America. The more widely distributed *Bolitoglossa mexicana* Duméril, Bibron & Duméril, 1854 was the final salamander species to be registered for CNP, with the first observation in 2013. Like the latter two salamanders, it seems to thrive in the areas of cattle pasture and agricultural plantations around Santo Tomas. Important anuran records include the Critically Endangered Honduran endemic *Craugastor coffeus* (McCranie & Köhler, 1999), observed around the field site of Santo Tomas (also see Kolby 2009). We furthermore highlight the presence of the endangered *Craugastor laevissimus* (Werner, 1896) (Suppl. material 1: Fig. S1, plate 3) within CNP, following evaluation of photographic and bioacoustic observations made around the field site of Santo Tomas. The toad species *Incilius campbelli* (Mendelson, 1994), endemic to nuclear Central America, was the final anuran reported for CNP, with a single individual observed close to Santo Tomas in 2013. No additional amphibian species were recorded in CNP during the subsequent decade (Fig. 3).

In addition to the four amphibian species that are endemic to CNP, four species in the park are endemic to Honduras, and 17 are endemic to nuclear Central America (Table 1). Almost half of the recorded amphibian species are presently listed as either Critically Endangered (five) or Endangered (eight), and four species are listed as Vulnerable (IUCN 2022). The threatened status of the amphibian diversity in CNP is reflected by their respective Environmental Vulnerability Scores (EVS), with a total of 18 amphibian species present in the park being attributed a high EVS (14–20), while just five species show a medium EVS (10–13), and six relatively widespread species show a relatively low EVS (3–9). The threatened status of the amphibian is also reflected in the location in which they species has been found within the park (core, buffer, or both) (Fig. 4).



Figure 4. The IUCN status of amphibian and reptile species recorded in the core and/or buffer zone of Cusuco National Park in the period 2007-2023. The delimitation of the core and buffer zone is based on the management plan of the Corporación Hondureña de Desarrollo Forestal (see Figure 1). IUCN status: Critically Endangered (CR), Endangered (EN), Vulnerable (VU), Near-Threatened (NT), Least Concern (LC), and Not Evaluated (NE).

Table 1. The amphibian fauna of Cusuco National Park (CNP). Species conservation status is based on the assessment criteria of the IUCN Red List (IUCN, 2022) and environmental vulnerability scores (EVS) (Johnson et al. 2015). Species are listed alphabetically by family. Geographic Distribution is characterised as either widespread (found outside of nuclear Central America), NCA (restricted to localities in nuclear Central America), or endemic (restricted to Honduras), while endemic taxa in bold are only known from CNP. * Indicates species which were formerly thought to be endemic to CNP. Conservation Status follows the IUCN Red List (2022): CR, Critically Endangered; EN, endangered; NT, Near Threatened; LC, Least Concern; NE, Not Evaluated. Environmental Vulnerability Score (EVS) indicate low (3–9), medium (10–13), or high (14–20) vulnerability to environmental degradation. Presence of the species reported within previous studies are indicated by an x.

Nr.	**Taxon**	**Geographic Distribution**	**Wilson & McCranie (2004)**	**Townsend *et al.* (2006)**	**CNP zonation**	**Conservation Status**	**EVS Score**
	Order Caudata (Salamanders)						
	Family Plethodontidae						
1	*Bolitoglossa conanti*	NCA	x	x	Core, Buffer	VU	16
2	*Bolitoglossa diaphora*	**Endemic**	x	x	Core	EN	18
3	*Bolitoglossa dofleini*	NCA			Core, Buffer	NT	15
4	*Bolitoglossa dunni*	NCA	x	x	Core	EN	16
5	*Bolitoglossa mexicana*	NCA			Buffer	LC	8
6	*Bolitoglossa nympha*	NCA			Buffer	LC	16
7	*Cryptotriton nasalis*	NCA *	x	x	Core	EN	18
8	*Nototriton brodiei*	NCA*			Core	EN	17
9	*Oedipina tomasi*	**Endemic**		x	Core	CR	18
	Order Anura (Frogs)						
	Family Bufonidae						
10	*Incilius campbelli*	NCA			Buffer	LC	12
11	*Incilius valliceps*	Widespread	x		Buffer	LC	6
12	*Rhinella horribilis*	Widespread			Buffer	LC	6
	Family Centrolenidae						
13	*Hyalinobatrachium fleischmanni*	Widespread			Buffer	LC	8
	Family Craugastoridae						
14	*Craugastor* cf. *chac*	Widespread			Core, Buffer	LC	16
15	*Craugastor charadra*	NCA		x	Core, Buffer	VU	15
16	*Craugastor coffeus*	Endemic			Buffer	CR	18

17	*Craugastor* sp. aff. *nefrens*				Buffer	NE	
18	*Craugastor laevissimus*	NCA			Buffer	EN	12
19	*Craugastor laticeps*	NCA			Core, Buffer	LC	12
20	*Craugastor milesi*	Endemic	x	x	Core	CR	16
21	*Craugastor rostralis*	NCA	x	x	Core, Buffer	VU	16
	Family Hylidae						
22	*Bromeliohyla bromeliacia*	NCA	x	x	Core, Buffer	LC	17
23	*Bromeliohyla melacaena*	Endemic		x	Core, Buffer	EN	20
24	*Duellmanohyla soralia*	NCA	x	x	Core, Buffer	EN	12
25	*Ecnomiohyla salvaje*	NCA			Core	EN	19
26	*Plectrohyla dasypus*	**Endemic**	x	x	Core, Buffer	CR	14
27	*Plectrohyla exquisita*	**Endemic**	x	x	Core, Buffer	CR	15
28	*Ptychohyla hypomykter*	NCA	x	x	Core, Buffer	VU	10
29	*Smilisca baudinii*	Widespread		x	Core, Buffer	LC	3
	Family Ranidae						
30	*Rana maculata*	NCA	x		Core, Buffer	LC	5

Reptiles

Since the inventory of Townsend et al. in 2006, an additional 46 reptile species were recorded across the 17-year study period: 18 lizard species and 28 snake species. These records double the reptile diversity documented in CNP up until 2007 and constitute around 26% of the 264 species known from Honduras (McCranie 2015). Novel records from within the reserve's high-elevation core zone include the snake species *Leptophis modestus* (Günther, 1872) (Suppl. material 2: Fig. S2, plate 7), a cloud forest specialist that is endemic to nuclear Central America. The majority of the newly added reptile species were recorded at relatively low altitudes, with observations centred around the field sites of Buenos Aires and Santo Tomas. These include the presence of *Amerotyphlops stadelmani* (Schmidt, 1936), a Honduran endemic blind snake that is known from just a few individual records and localities. A single individual was found dead around forest clearings near the intersection of the core- and buffer zone in the proximity of Santo Tomas. The occurrence of the Honduran endemic lizard, *Laemanctus julioi* McCranie, 2018, was confirmed from a single individual captured near Buenos Aires in 2023. Considering a recent range extension (Antúnez Fonseca et al. 2021), CNP may be the most north-westerly record for *L. julioi* in the Atlantic versant of Honduras; an important record for a species that was previously considered exclusive to the south-central Pacific versant (McCranie 2018). Among the herpetofauna recorded in CNP, the gecko species *Hemidactylus frenatus* Duméril & Bibron, 1836 thus far presents the only confirmed alien introduced species.

Besides the four reptile micro-endemic species only found in CNP, six taxa are Honduran endemics, and 19 species are restricted to nuclear Central America (Table 2). Of all presently recorded reptile species, two are listed as Critically Endangered on the IUCN Red List, four are listed as Endangered, and seven species are listed as Vulnerable (IUCN 2022). However, the conservation status of four reptile species, mostly lizards, remain unassessed. Of the species that have been indicated with a threatened category in the IUCN Red List, seven species similarly show a high EVS (14–20). Among more widespread taxa, 34 species show a medium EVS (10–13), while 28 species were attributed a relatively low EVS (3–9). The threatened status of reptiles in CNP is reflected in the location in which the species has been found within the park (core, buffer, or both) (Fig. 4).

Table 2. The reptile fauna of Cusuco National Park. Species conservation status is based on the assessment criteria of the IUCN Red List (IUCN, 2022) and environmental vulnerability scores (EVS) (Johnson et al. 2015). Species are listed alphabetically by family. Geographic Distribution is characterised as either widespread (found outside of nuclear Central America), NCA (restricted to localities in nuclear Central America), or endemic (restricted to Honduras), while endemic taxa in bold are only known from Cusuco National Park. Conservation Status follows the IUCN Red List (2022): CR, Critically Endangered; EN, endangered; NT, Near Threatened; LC, Least Concern; NE, Not Evaluated. Environmental Vulnerability Score (EVS) indicate low (3–9), medium (10–13), or high (14–20) vulnerability to environmental degradation. Presence of the species reported within previous studies are indicated by an x.

Nr.	Taxon	Geographic Distribution	Wilson & McCranie (2004)	Townsend *et al.* (2006)	CNP zonation	Conservation Status	EVS Score
	Order Squamata (Lizards)						
	Family Anguidae						
1	*Abronia moreletii*	NCA	x	x	Core, Buffer	LC	13
	Family Corytophanidae						
2	*Basiliscus vittatus*	NCA			Buffer	LC	7
3	*Corytophanes cristatus*	Widespread			Buffer	LC	11
4	*Corytophanes hernandesii*	Widespread			Buffer	LC	13
5	*Laemanctus julioi*	Endemic			Buffer	NE	NA
6	*Laemanctus longipes*	NCA			Buffer	LC	10
	Family Dactyloidae						
7	*Anolis amplisquamosus*	**Endemic**	x	x	Core, Buffer	CR	14
8	*Anolis biporcatus*	Widespread			Buffer	LC	8
9	*Anolis capito*	Widespread		x	Core, Buffer	LC	9
10	*Anolis cusuco*	Endemic	x	x	Core, Buffer	CR	12
11	*Anolis johnmeyeri*	Endemic	x	x	Core, Buffer	EN	12
12	*Anolis lemurinus*	Widespread			Buffer	LC	7
13	*Anolis mccraniei*	NCA			Core, Buffer	NE	NA
14	*Anolis ocelloscapularis*	Endemic		x	Core, Buffer	VU	11
15	*Anolis petersii*	NCA		x	Core, Buffer	NT	9
16	*Anolis rodriguezii*	NCA			Buffer	LC	8
17	*Anolis uniformis*	Widespread			Core, Buffer	LC	13
18	*Anolis unilobatus*	Widespread			Buffer	LC	NA

19	*Anolis yoroensis*	Endemic			Core	EN	11
	Family Dipoglossidae						
20	*Siderolamprus montanus*	Endemic		x	Core, Buffer	EN	13
	Family Gekkonidae						
21	*Coleonyx mitratus*	Widespread			Buffer	LC	14
22	*Hemidactylus frenatus*	Introduced			Core, Buffer	LC	NA
	Family Phrynosomatidae						
23	*Sceloporus schmidti*	NCA	x	x	Core, Buffer	LC	11
24	*Sceloporus variabilis*	Widespread		x	Core, Buffer	LC	5
	Family Sphaenomorphidae						
25	*Scincella cherriei*	Widespread	x		Core, Buffer	LC	4
26	*Scincella incerta*	NCA		x	Core, Buffer	LC	12
	Family Sphaerodactylidae						
27	*Sphaerodactylus continentalis*	NCA			Buffer	NE	6
	Family Teiidae						
28	*Holcosus festivus*	Widespread			Buffer	LC	10
	Family Xantusiidae						
29	*Lepidophyma flavimaculatum*	NCA			Core, Buffer	LC	9
	Order Squamata (Snakes)						
	Family Colubridae						
30	*Dendrophidion rufiterminorum*	Widespread			Buffer	NE	12
31	*Drymarchon melanurus*	Widespread	x		Core, Buffer	LC	9
32	*Drymobius chloroticus*	Widespread	x	x	Core, Buffer	LC	11
33	*Drymobius margaritiferus*	Widespread			Core, Buffer	LC	9
34	*Lampropeltis abnorma*	Widespread		x	Core, Buffer	LC	9
35	*Leptophis modestus*	NCA			Core	VU	14
36	*Leptophis praestans*	Widespread	x	x	Core, Buffer	LC	9
37	*Mastigodryas dorsalis*	NCA	x	x	Core, Buffer	LC	12
38	*Mastigodryas melanolomus*	Widespread			Buffer	LC	9
39	*Oxybelis koheleri*	Widespread			Buffer	LC	9
40	*Phrynonax poecilonotus*	Widespread		x	Core, Buffer	LC	11

41	*Scolecophis atrocinctus*	Widespread			Core, Buffer	LC	12
42	*Senticolis triaspis*	Widespread			Buffer	LC	7
43	*Spilotes pullatus*	Widespread			Buffer	LC	9
44	*Stenorrhina degenhardtii*	Widespread	x		Core, Buffer	LC	9
45	*Stenorrhina freminvillei*	Widespread			Buffer	LC	NA
46	*Tantilla schistosa*	Widespread	x	x	Core	LC	9
47	*Tantillita lintoni*	NCA			Buffer	LC	12
	Family Dipsadidae						
48	*Adelphicos quadrivirgatum*	Widespread		x	Core, Buffer	LC	6
49	*Amastridium sapperi*	Widespread			Buffer	LC	12
50	*Coniophanes imperialis*	Widespread			Core, Buffer	LC	10
51	*Geophis nephodrymus*	**Endemic**		x	Core	VU	15
52	*Geophis sartorii*	NCA			Core, Buffer	LC	12
53	*Hydromorphus concolor*	Widespread			Buffer	LC	12
54	*Imantodes cenchoa*	Widespread	x	x	Core, Buffer	LC	6
55	*Leptodeira septentrionalis*	Widespread			Core, Buffer	LC	9
56	*Ninia diademata*	Widespread			Core, Buffer	LC	7
57	*Ninia espinali*	NCA	x		Core	NT	11
58	*Ninia sebae*	Widespread			Core, Buffer	LC	5
59	*Omoadiphas aurula*	**Endemic**		x	Core, Buffer	VU	14
60	*Pliocercus elapoides*	Widespread			Core, Buffer	LC	9
61	*Rhadinella kinkelini*	NCA			Core, Buffer	LC	10
62	*Rhadinella montecristi*	NCA		x	Core	VU	12
63	*Rhadinella pegosalyta*	**Endemic**		x	Core	VU	15
64	*Sibon dimidiatus*	Widespread			Core	LC	10
65	*Sibon nebulatus*	Widespread			Core, Buffer	LC	5
66	*Xenodon rabdocephalus*	Widespread			Buffer	LC	11
	Family Elapidae						
67	*Micrurus apiatus*	Widespread	x	x	Core, Buffer	LC	13
68	*Micrurus nigrocinctus*	Widespread			Core, Buffer	LC	9

	Family Sibynophiidae						
69	*Scaphiodontophis annulatus*	Widespread		x	Core, Buffer	LC	10
	Family Typhlopidae						
70	*Amerotyphlops stadelmani*	Endemic			Core	VU	11
	Family Viperidae						
71	*Metlapilcoatlus mexicanus*	NCA		x	Core, Buffer	LC	11
72	*Bothriechis marchi*	Endemic	x	x	Core, Buffer	EN	15
73	*Bothriechis schlegelii*	Widespread			Buffer	LC	12
74	*Bothrops asper*	Widespread		x	Core, Buffer	LC	12
75	*Cerrophidion wilsoni*	NCA	x	x	Core, Buffer	LC	12

Taxonomic changes, notes and decisions

Since the last overview of amphibians and reptiles in CNP was published by Townsend et al. (2006), the Mesoamerican herpetofauna has been subject to numerous taxonomic discoveries and revisions. We provide here a brief outline of the taxonomic decisions made in our species list in view of recent changes in nomenclature and ongoing taxonomic debate, as well as recent molecular studies and range extensions.

For the amphibians, this includes several generic revisions, with the placement of the former *Isthmohyla melacaena* in the genus *Bromeliohyla* (Faivovich et al. 2018), as well as the revision of *Rana maculata* following paraphyletic relationships recovered in the genus *Lithobates* (Yuan et al. 2016). Among the amphibian species present in CNP, members of the genus *Craugastor* comprise significant cryptic diversity. A recent molecular assessment was therefore performed to characterise the species diversity within this genus, in which focus was put on a combined phylogenetic and morphological analyses of the *C. laticeps*-like species group (unpublished data). This indicated the presence of four disparate lineages, corresponding to earlier records of *C. rostralis, C. chac, C. laticeps* and *C. charadra*, in addition to the more readily distinguishable *C. milesi, C. laevissimus* and *C. coffeus*. However, as McCranie (2018) indicated, the presence of nominal *C. chac* in Northwest Honduras might be restricted to lower elevations, and hence we provisionally term this species lineage *C.* cf. *chac* warranting further studies on its status. An ongoing molecular assessment highlighted a disparate lineage from the *Craugastor campbelli* complex in the park, provisionally termed *C.* aff. *nefrens* and awaiting further studies on its status (M. Jocque, pers. comm.). Additionally, *Cryptotriton nasalis* was previously considered a CNP and Honduran endemic, but after having recently been discovered just across the border in Guatemala (McCranie and Rovito, 2014), is now designated as being endemic to nuclear Central America. However, similar to *Nototriton brodiei*, it likely resides in a highly confined distribution range and is therefore still of particular conservation concern and is still listed as Endangered by the IUCN.

For the reptiles, taxonomic changes include the recognition of the species *Anolis mccranie*, following its subdivision from the *Anolis tropidonotus* species complex (Köhler et al. 2016). We furthermore include *Diploglossus montanus* following paraphyletic relationships recovered with respect to the genus *Celestus* (Pyron et al. 2013) and recognize *Abronia moreletii* as synonymous with the genus *Mesaspis* (Gutiérrez-Rodríguez et al. 2020). *Sceloporus schmidti* was included in our list as a valid species in northwest Honduras in place of *S. malachiticus* following McCranie et al. (2015), while the designation of *Scincella cherriei* and *S. incerta* follow generic revisions of the genus *Sphenomorphus* (see Linkem et al. 2011). *Lampropeltis abnorma* was included in our list after its split from *L. triangulum* (Ruane et al. 2014), while *Metlapilcoatlus mexicanus* was revised after polyphyletic relationships within the genus *Atropoides* (Campbell et al. 2019). *Geophis sartorii* is included following the revision of *Tropidodipsas* (Grünwald et al. 2021). *Siderolamprus montanus* is now included following generic revisions of *Diploglossus* (Schools and Hedges 2021). In addition, *Leptophis praestans* was included following taxonomic revisions in the *L. ahaetulla* complex (Albuquerque and Fernandez 2022), and *Micrurus apiatus* was included following revisions in the *M. diastema* complex (Reyes-Velasco et al. 2020). Comparable to the amphibian genus *Craugastor* as described above, members of the reptile genus *Anolis* include various cryptic taxa and species complexes, making field identification of anoles highly challenging. We therefore first referenced our observations to the comprehensive overview of known species localities provided by McCranie and Köhler (2015), which corroborated the presence of 12 species in the reserve's core and buffer zone. Initial genetic findings in combination with field identifications also confirmed the presence of *A. uniformis* in the park (Suppl. material 2: Fig. S2, plate 4), of which the closest known localities were noted to occur around El Paraìso, northeast of CNP (McCranie and Köhler 2015). However, an ongoing DNA barcoding study has highlighted that five more species might be present in the park, including potential candidate species (O'Brien et al., unpublished). Hence, the current species list presents the most conservative estimate of anole diversity in the park. Note that here we adhere to the genus name *Anolis* as opposed to *Norops,* following recent controversy about this generic revision coined for the clade composed of "beta anoles" (see Poe 2013) and in which all species in CNP are classified.

Discussion

More than 400 species of amphibians and reptiles are currently reported to occur in Honduras (Solís et al. 2014; McCranie 2015). Within the core- and buffer zones of CNP at least 105 species have been recorded thus far, amounting to around 26% of recorded Honduran herpetofauna diversity. This highlights CNP as an exceptionally diverse national park in Honduras, and as a hotspot of Mesoamerican herpetofauna diversity and endemicity. Its location at the northern edge of the biogeographically isolated Sierra del Merendón partially explains the presence of the relatively high number of micro-endemics (four amphibians and four reptiles). These observations are echoed by inventories of other species communities in CNP where, for instance, birds (Martin et al. 2016), bats (Medina-van Berkum et al. 2020) as well as non-volant mammals (Hoskins et al. 2018) were also found to exhibit remarkable species diversity across its extensive elevational range. However, it is important to note that the park has received an exceptional amount of research effort over more than 15 years compared to other Honduran cloud forests. There are several other protected zones in Honduras that exceed CNP in both land area and habitat diversity and show a similar elevational range (CNP reaching 2,243m/asl). For instance, Pico Bonito National Park covers an area of 565 km^2 and ranges up to an elevation of 2,480m/asl. Across a limited number of surveys, already 82 species of amphibians and reptiles were recorded in Pico Bonito National Park (McCranie and Solís 2013). Another example is the protected yet highly imperilled area of Texiguat, where 39 species of amphibians and reptiles have thus far been observed across a similar elevational gradient (Townsend et al. 2010). Hence, biodiversity assessments are urgently warranted in other extant cloud forest ecosystems in order to refine species distribution patterns across Honduras and the wider Mesoamerican biodiversity hotspot.

Early herpetofauna surveys in CNP were largely focused on the eastern sections of the present-day core zone (Wilson and McCranie 2004; Townsend et al. 2006). Since then, the monitoring focus was expanded to cover both the west side of the mountain as well as lower elevations, including transects situated in the warmer and drier habitats in the designated buffer zone of CNP (see Fig. 2C). Many additions to our updated species list, and in particular many reptile species, are a result of extended monitoring efforts in these previously underexplored habitats. This is reflected by a surge in new species records in the period 2007–2008 following the start of research activities in these field sites (Fig. 3). During the course of the study period, the rate of reptile and amphibian species detections slowed down considerably (Fig. 3), and we expect the large majority of the amphibian diversity inhabiting CNP to now be recorded. However, additional reptile species continued to be observed in recent field seasons in the period 2015–2023. In addition to observations of relatively thermophilic species at lower elevations, we expect that novel records in the reserve's core zone might still arise from lesser studied microhabitats such as the forest canopy and in the form of cryptic leaf-litter dwelling or (semi) fossorial species. Further molecular studies may furthermore highlight additional taxonomic diversity among the various species groups, which is likely to increase the known species diversity within the park. Thus, although we included 105 species in our updated species list, this figure is likely a conservative estimate.

While the herpetofauna diversity in CNP is unquestionably high, several species have been sighted only once during the study period, and records of several others are sporadically dispersed across many years. This pattern is particularly evident in snake species, which compose c. 43% of the known herpetofauna in CNP. The resulting variability highlights the challenge in accurately determining seasonal species occurrence, because detection can be subject to various environmental constraints. Consequently, assessing the true abundance, loss or replacement rate of species in CNP becomes a considerable conservation challenge.

It is worth noting that while the accumulation of amphibian diversity plateaued in 2013, the known reptile diversity has continued to grow throughout the last decade. Furthermore, there remains potential additional records for several other snake species in the long-term database, such as *Oxyrhopus petolarius* (Linnaeus, 1758)*, Ninia pavimentata* (Bocourt, 1883)*,* and *Rhadinella anachoreta* (Smith & Campbell, 1994). However, for the present study, we were unable to confirm them with certainty due to lack of sufficient evidence, such as being identified by an expert, or unambiguous photographic evidence. Additionally, *Xenodon rabdocephalus* (Wied-Neuwied, 1824) is likely present in CNP, as indicated by photographs of two specimens (one roadkill) taken near the core and buffer zone in 2023 (Brown TW pers. obs), albeit captured by field guide outside the annual survey season.

As a more comprehensive picture of the amphibian and reptile diversity in CNP is starting to take shape, continued monitoring efforts are becoming essential to assess the response of its herpetofauna community to the combined effects of ongoing environmental change. With the solidification of a long-term dataset, future analyses can start shifting their focus to changes in relative species abundance and species distributions within the reserve. This is especially prudent given the notion that cloud forests are highly vulnerable to climatic change, with shifting temperature and precipitation regimes causing upslope elevational shifts in vegetation patterns and animal distribution ranges (Foster 2001; Laurance et al. 2011). As many specialised cloud forests species inhabit narrow microclimatic niches and, thus, highly specific elevational ranges, these changes have the potential to cause near-term extinctions (the "escalator to extinction", e.g., Freeman et al. 2018) and a shift in community composition. Such patterns have already started to form in CNP's bird community (Neate-Clegg et al. 2018). As ectothermic vertebrate communities include relatively more high-elevation specialists (Laurance et al. 2011), these effects might be even more pronounced among herpetofauna.

Habitat destruction has been accelerating at an alarming pace and threatens all wildlife and habitats in CNP. Being a relatively small reserve with a core zone of 7,690 ha which is largely isolated from other high-elevation habitats, this region is highly sensitive to disturbances. Despite its protected status as a national park, CNP has no permanent forest guards, and a growing population around the mountain together with a challenging economic situation over the past decade increases pressure on the remaining ecosystem. Deforestation for coffee plantations and livestock led to 7% of the park's forested area being lost between 2000 and 2017 (Hoskins 2019). This deforestation is increasingly encroaching within the reserve's core zone, posing an immediate threat to this vulnerable cloud forest ecosystem and its endemic species. As such, the combined effects of habitat degradation and climate change are likely to strongly exacerbate biodiversity loss within a short timeframe (Ponce-Reyes et al. 2012). The reserve's buffer zone accommodates limited settlement and licensed farming practices and has little primary habitat left. Nevertheless, our findings across transects in the buffer zone indicate that the existing mosaic of disturbed forest fragments and anthropogenic landscape features still supports a wide variety of reptiles and amphibians. Besides the paramount importance of safeguarding the remaining pristine cloud forest in the core zone, sustained efforts are therefore also needed to preserve extant forest patches in the buffer zone. The protection of cloud forests such as CNP thus becomes increasingly dependent on successful community-based conservation schemes that incorporate sustainable socio-economic benefits to nearby livelihoods (Hostettler 2002; Bubb et al. 2004). For instance, payment for ecosystem services (PES) programs might aid in the participatory protection of cloud forests. Nevertheless, this only becomes possible once the environmental value of cloud forests becomes adequately recognised and exceed the short-term gains of habitat conversion (Martínez et al. 2009).

The persistence of many amphibian species in CNP is particularly jeopardised. Of the 13 species in the reserve that are listed as Critically Endangered or Endangered by the IUCN Red List, 11 are stated to be in continued decline, and in the other two the population trend is unknown. In addition to other environmental stressors, the amphibian diversity in CNP is imperilled by the spread of emerging infectious disease, most notably by the amphibian chytrid fungus *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* Longcore, Pessier & Nichols, 1999 also known as *Bd*. This pathogen causes the lethal disease chytridiomycosis, which has been linked to global amphibian population declines and extinctions and has had especially devastating effects on Neotropical amphibian communities (Scheele et al. 2019). Reports of declines of Critically Endangered amphibian species in CNP prompted an investigation in 2007, revealing widespread *Bd* presence in the park with a high prevalence of infection in Endangered and Critically Endangered species, such as the endemic *Plectrohyla dasypus* McCranie & Wilson, 1981 and *Plectrohyla exquisita* McCranie & Wilson, 1998 (Kolby et al. 2010). An array of *Bd* dispersal mechanisms were identified in CNP, including detection not only in stream water and bromeliad reservoirs, but also potential aerial dispersion by rainwater, waterfall spray, and in residues on leaves resulting from contact with amphibian skin (Kolby et al. 2015 a,b). Stream-associated amphibians in CNP were found to be five times more susceptible to *Bd* infection than bromeliad-dependent species (Blooi et al, 2018). Yet, even strictly canopy dwelling species can be infected; for example, *Bd* was detected in 100% (4) of the sampled *Ecnomiohyla salvaje* (Wilson, McCranie, and Williams, 1985) during 2017–2018 (Thorp et al. 2021). Further investigation and continued disease monitoring in CNP is therefore crucial to detect long-term changes in host-pathogen dynamics. The recently established Honduras Amphibian Rescue and Conservation Centre (HARCC) is actively researching methods to protect vulnerable species within habitats where this pathogen is present. On the upside, *Craugastor milesi* (Schmidt, 1933), a species previously listed as Extinct and now listed as Critically Endangered, was rediscovered in CNP in 2008 after last being seen in the 1980s when it was still locally abundant (see Kolby and McCranie 2009), thus providing prospects for its persistence in the park. A second observation of *C. milesi* (Kolby, Brown, Solis pers.obs) was registered in 2013, however subsequent monitoring efforts have failed to detect the species again, and it is possible the species might have disappeared from CNP in recent years (Solís et al. 2017). Its continued presence in the park thus remains to be reaffirmed. If the species has indeed disappeared from CNP, this would constitute the first local extinction among all previously documented herpetofauna diversity (Wilson and McCranie 2004; Townsend et al. 2006; the present study).

Less information is available on the conservation status of reptile species present in CNP, with several remaining to be evaluated by the IUCN. However, an extended conservation assessment by García-Padilla et al. (2020) recently highlighted 11 amphibian and 12 reptile species in CNP as being among the most threatened ‘priority level’ species in Mesoamerica based on their combined endemic distribution range and high EVS scores. Their present IUCN status is therefore not an indication of lower conservation status than the amphibians, but rather indicates the absence of data sufficient to designate a particular level of concern, and thus many species urgently warrant further research and conservation attention. Furthermore, it can be expected that amphibian declines have cascading effects on reptile diversity, with reptile declines following the decline and extirpation of their amphibian prey (Zipkin et al. 2020). All the CNP endemic reptiles have been assessed by the IUCN Red List, including *Anolis amplisquamosus* (McCranie, Wilson & Williams, 1993), which is listed as Critically Endangered and the three endemic snake species *Geophis nephodrymus* Townsend & Wilson, 2006*, Omoadiphas aurula* Köhler, McCranie & Wilson, 2001, and *Rhadinella pegosalyta* (McCranie, 2006), are presently considered Vulnerable.

Much of the herpetofauna diversity in Mesoamerica likely remains undescribed, with high rates of species discoveries taking place in concert with high rates of habitat destruction (Johnson et al. 2015; García-Padilla et al. 2020). Besides the Wallacean shortfall, uncertainty about the actual species diversity existing in areas (the Linnean shortfall) is, therefore, another factor hindering conservation efforts in biodiversity hotspots (Whittaker et al. 2005). Additional field surveys are therefore not only necessary to fill crucial knowledge gaps in species occurrences, but also to gather the molecular, morphological and ecological data necessary to disentangle undescribed diversity. DNA barcoding studies based on a standardized set of loci could in this way provide an increasingly affordable and scalable means to facilitate species delimitation in understudied tropical assemblages (Vences et al. 2005; Menegon et al. 2017). In CNP, an assessment of the cryptic species diversity within *Anolis* is presently underway, and further studies are assessing the status of several candidate species. Moreover, by gathering comparative genetic data across time, it could be evaluated to what extent populations retain their genetic diversity and connectivity within and between increasingly fragmented and imperilled forest regions (e.g. Dixo et al. 2009). While the present paper is directed at providing a renewed overview of herpetofauna species richness in CNP, such complementary studies in the park, the broader Sierra de Omoa and other forest regions across Honduras would therefore strongly benefit our understanding of regional biodiversity patterns and dynamics.

Conclusion

CNP is an irreplaceable hotspot of Mesoamerican biodiversity, providing habitat to a remarkable 105 species of reptiles and amphibians, including a high number of local, national and regional endemics. Ongoing threats to CNP, in particular its high deforestation rates, place strong conservation urgency on this unique ecosystem and its biodiversity. A detailed understanding of the importance of CNP's herpetofauna community has only been possible due to our long-running monitoring program. Increased surveys in other extant forest regions are thus necessary to provide essential baseline biodiversity data and inform the timely and targeted conservation efforts necessary to safeguard the future of Mesoamerica's irreplaceable herpetofauna diversity.

Acknowledgements

This work is dedicated to Don Macrín Ramírez, a guardian of CNP for more than half a century and a personal inspiration to many who had the honour of meeting him whilst visiting his extraordinary forest home. During the course of his life, he was fortunate to marvel at much of the forest's biodiversity in person. We hope and aim that his legacy be kept alive. We would furthermore like to express our sincere gratitude to all staff members, research associates, students and park guides that contributed to the collection of amphibian and reptile observations in CNP over the years. We also thank Instituto Nacional de Conservación Forestal (ICF) for providing annual research permits for biodiversity monitoring in CNP, and Operation Wallacea and Expediciones y Servicios Ambientales Cusuco for providing logistical support for all survey work completed in the park.

References

Alliance for Zero Extinction (2018) Global AZE map: https://zeroextinction.org/

Albuquerque NR De, Fernandes DS (2022) Taxonomic revision of the parrot snake Leptophis ahaetulla (Serpentes, Colubridae). Zootaxa 5153: 001–069.

Alvarado GE, Dengo C, Martens U, Bundschuh J, Aguilar T, Bonis B (2007) Stratigraphy and geologic history. In: J. Bundschuh, G. Avarado (Eds) Central America. Taylor and Francis, Leiden, 345-394.

Antúnez Fonseca C, Zúniga L, Padilla Raudales, D, Vega, H, Funes, C (2021) Extended range and observations on the natural history of the casquehead lizard *Laemanctus julioi* from Honduras. Herpetological Bulletin 156, 18–22.

Blooi M, Laking AE, Martel A, Haesebrouck F, Jocque M, Brown T, Green S, Vences M, Bletz MC, Pasmans F (2017) Host niche may determine disease-driven extinction risk. PLOS ONE 12(7): e0181051 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0181051

Bonta M (2005) Becoming Forest, becoming local: transformations of a protected area in Honduras. Geoforum 36, 95–112. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoforum.2004.03.011

Brooks TM, Mittermeier RA, Mittermeier CG, da Fonseca GAB, Rylands AB, Konstant WR, Flick P, Pilgrim J, Oldfield S, Magin G, Hilton-Taylor C (2002) Habitat loss and extinction in the hotspots of biodiversity. Conservation Biology 16: 909-923. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1523-1739.2002.00530.x

Bubb P, May I, Miles L, Sayer J (2004) Cloud Forest Agenda, UNEP-World Conservation Monitoring Centre: Cambridge, UK.

Bruijnzeel LA, Kappelle M, Muligan M, Scatena FN (2010) Tropical montane cloud forests: state of knowledge and sustainability perspectives in a changing world. In: Bruijnzeel LA, Scatena FN, Hamilton LS (Eds) Tropical montane cloud forests: science for conservation and management. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge,

Campbell JA, Frost DR, Castoe TA (2019) New generic name for jumping pitvipers (Serpentes: viperidae). Revista Latinoamericana de Herpetología 2: 52-53. https://doi.org/10.22201/fc.25942158e.2019.2.73

Dixo M, Metzger JP, Morgante JS, Zamudio KR (2009) Habitat fragmentation reduces genetic diversity and connectivity among toad populations in the Brazilian Atlantic Coastal Forest. Biological Conservation 142: 1560-1569. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2008.11.016

Esri. "Light Gray Canvas Base" [basemap]. Scale Not Given. (2017). https://basemaps.arcgis.com/arcgis/rest/services/World_Basemap_v2/VectorTileServer. Accessed: 2023-12-19.

Faivovich J, Pereyra MO, Celeste Luna M, Hertz A, Blotto BL, Vásquez-Almazán CR, McCranie JR, Sánchez DA, Baêta D, Araujo- Vieira K, Köhler G, Kubicki B, Campbell JA, Frost DR, Wheeler WC, Haddad CFB (2018) On the Monophyly and Relationships of Several Genera of Hylini (Anura: Hylidae: Hylinae), with Comments on Recent Taxonomic Changes in Hylids. South American Journal of Herpetology 13: 1-32. https://doi.org/10.2994/SAJH-D-17-00115.1

Freeman BG, Scholer MN, Ruiz-Guitierrez V, Fitzpatrick JW (2018) Climate change causes upslope shifts and mountaintop extirpations in a tropical bird community. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 115: 11982-11987. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1804224115

García-Padilla E, DeSantis DL, Rocha A, Mata-Silva V, Johnson JD, Wilson LD (2020) Conserving the Mesoamerican herpetofauna: the most critical case of the priority level one endemic species. Amphibian & Reptile Conservation 14: 73-132.

Gilroy D, Jones S, Vulinec K, Thompson P, Hoskins H, Creedy T, Jocque M, Green S, Lonsdale G, Phipps C, Burdekin O, Brown T (2017) Operation Wallacea Cusuco National Park, Honduras 2016 & 2017: End of Season Report. Operation Wallacea: Old Bolingbroke, UK.

Grünwald CI, Toribio-Jiménez S, Montaño-Ruvalcaba C, Franz-Chávez H, Peñaloza-Montaño MA, Barrera-Nava EY, Jones JM, Rodriguez CM, Hughes IM, Strickland JL, Reyes-Velasco J (2021) Two new species of snail-eating snakes of the genus Tropidodipsas (Serpentes, Dipsadidae) from southern Mexico, with notes on related species. Herpetozoa 34: 233-257. https://doi.org/10.3897/herpetozoa.34.e69176

Gutiérrez-Rodríguez J, Zaldívar-Riverón A, Solano-Zavaleta I, Campbell JA, Meza-Lázaro RN, Flores-Villela O, Nieto-Montes de Oca A (2020). Phylogenomics of the Mesoamerican Alligator-Lizard Genera *Abronia* and *Mesaspis* (Anguidae: Gerrhonotinae) Reveals Multiple Independent Clades of Arboreal and Terrestrial Species. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 154: 106963. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2020.106963

Hamilton LS, Juvik JO, Scatena FN (Eds.) (1995) Tropical Montane Cloud Forests. Springer, New York.

Hoskins HMJ, Burdekin OJ, Dicks K, Slater KY, McCann NP, Jocque M, Castañeda F, Reid N (2018) Non-volant mammal inventory of Cusuco National Park, north-west Honduras: reporting the presence of Jaguar, Panthera onca (Linnaeus, 1758), and demonstrating the effects of zonal protection on mammalian abundance. Check List 14: 877-891. https://doi.org/10.15560/14.5.877

Hostettler S (2002) Tropical montane cloud forests: a challenge for conservation. Bois forêts Tropiques 274:19–31.

Hoskins, H.M.J. (2019). Assessing conservation status of remnant mammal populations in a Neotropical cloud forest. PhD Dissertation: Queens University Belfast

IUCN (2022) The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. https://www.iucnredlist.org

Foster P (2001) The potential negative impacts of global climate change on tropical montane cloud forests. Earth-Science Reviews 55: 73-106. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0012-8252(01)00056-3

Jadin RC, Townsend JH, Castoe TA, Campbell JA (2012) Cryptic diversity in disjunct populations of Middle American Montane Pitvipers: a systematic reassessment of *Cerrophidion godmani*. Zoologica Scripta 41: 455-470. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1463-6409.2012.00547.x

Jadin RC, Blair C, Orlofske SA, Jowers MJ, Rivas GA, Vitt LJ, Ray JM, Smith EN, Murphy JC (2020) Not withering on the evolutionary vine: systematic revision of the Brown Vine Snake (Reptilia: Squamata: *Oxybelis*) from its northern distribution. Organisms Diversity & Evolution 20: 723–746. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13127-020-00461-0

Jarvis A, Reuter HI, Nelson A, Guevara E (2008) Hole-filled seamless SRTM data V4, International Centre for Tropical Agriculture (CIAT), available from http://srtm.csi.cgiar.org. Accessed: 2023-12-19.

Johnson JD, Mata-Silva V, Wilson LD (2017) A conservation reassessment of the Central American herpetofauna based on the EVS measure. Amphibian & Reptile Conservation 9: 1-94.

Jung H, Kalter R, Untiedt, A, Villalobos-Heredia C (2022) Mesoamerica Ecological Forecasting: Assessing Land Cover Change to Inform Management Planning for the Mesoamerican Biological Corridor.

Kolby JE (2009) Geographic distribution: *Craugastor coffeus* (Coffee Rain Frog). Herpetological Review 40: 107.

Kolby JE, McCranie JR (2009) Discovery of a Surviving Population of the Montane Streamside Frog *Craugastor milesi* (Schmidt). Herpetological Review 40: 282-283.

Kolby JE, McCranie JR, Rovito SM (2009) Geographic distribution: *Nototriton brodiei*. Herpetological Review, 40: 444.

Kolby JE, Padgett-Flohr GE, Field R (2010) Amphibian chytrid fungus (Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis) in Cusuco National Park, Honduras. Diseases of Aquatic Organisms 92: 245-251. https://doi.org/10.3354/dao02055

Kolby JE, Ramirez SD, Berger, L, Griffin DW, Jocque M, Skerratt LF (2015a) Presence of amphibian chytrid fungus (*Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis*) in rainwater suggests aerial dispersal is possible. Aerobiologia 31, 411–419 (2015). https://doi.org/10.1007/s10453-015-9374-6

Kolby JE, Ramirez SD, Berger L, Richards-Hrdlicka KL, Jocque M, Skerratt LF (2015b) Terrestrial Dispersal and Potential Environmental Transmission of the Amphibian Chytrid Fungus (*Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis*). PLoS One. 2015 Apr 30;10(4):e0125386. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0125386

Köhler G, Townsend JH, Peterson CBP (2016) A taxonomic revision of the *Norops tropidonotus* complex (Squamata, Dactyloidae), with the resurrection of *N. spilorhipis* (Álvarez del Toro and Smith, 1956) and the description of two new species. Mesoamerican Herpetology 3: 8-41.

Laurance WF, Useche DC, Shoo LP, Herzog SK, Kessler M, Escobar F, Brehm G, Axmacher JC, Chen IC, Gamez LA, Hietz P, Fiedler K, Pyrcz T, Wolf J, Merkord CL, Cardelus C, Marshall AR, Ah-Peng C, Aplet GH, Arizmendi MD, Baker WJ, Barone J, Bruhl CA, Bussmann RW, Cicuzza D, Eilu G, Favila ME, Hemp A, Hemp C, Homeier J, Hurtado J, Jankowski J, Kattan G, Kluge J, Kromer T, Lees DC, Lehnert M, Longino JT, Lovett J, Martin PH, Patterson BD, Pearson RG, Peh KSH, Richardson B, Richardson M, Samways MJ, Senbeta F, Smith TB, Utteridge TMA, Watkins JE, Wilson R, Williams SE, Thomas CD (2011) Global warming, elevational ranges and the vulnerability of tropical biota. Biological Conservation 144: 548–557. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2010.10.010

Le Saout S, Hoffmann M, Shi Y, Hughes A, Bernard C, Brooks TM, Bertzky B, Butchart SHM, Stuart SN, Badman T, Rodrigues ASL (2013) Protected areas and effective biodiversity conservation. Science 342: 803-805. https:// doi.org/10.1126/science.1239268

Linkem CW, Diesmos AC, Brown RM (2011) Molecular systematics of the Philippine forest skinks (Squamata: Scincidae: *Sphenomorphus*): testing morphological hypotheses of interspecific relationships. Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society 163: 1217-1243. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1096-3642.2011.00747.x

Lomolino MV (2004) Conservation biogeography. In: Lomolino MV, Heaney LR (Eds) Frontiers of Biogeography: new directions in the geography of nature. Sinauer Associates, Sunderland, Massachusetts, 293–296.

Martin TE, Blackburn GA (2009) The effectiveness of a Mesoamerican 'paper park' in conserving cloud forest avifauna. Biodivers. Conserv., 18, 3841–3859. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10531-009-9683-6

Martin TE, Jones SEI, Creedy TJ, Hoskins HMJ, McCann NP, Batke SP, Kelly DL, Kolby JE, Downing R, Zelaya SMS, Green SEW, Lonsdale G, Brown T, Waters S, Rodríguez-Vásquez F, McCravy KW, D'Souza ML, Crace D, Nuñez-mino JM, Haelewaters D, Medina-van Berkum P, Phipps CD, Barker RJ, Castañeda F, Reid N, Jocque M (2021) A review of the ecological value of Cusuco National Park: an urgent call for conservation action in a highly threatened Mesoamerican cloud forest. Journal of Mesoamerican Biology 1: 6-50.

Martin TE, Rodríguez F, Simcox W, Dickson I, Van Dort, J, Reyes, E, Jones, SEI (2016) Notable range and altitudinal records from Cusuco National Park and environs, north-western Honduras. Cotinga 38: 32-39.

Martínez ML, Perez-Maqueo O, Vazquez G, Castillo-Campos G, Garcia-Franco J, Mehltreter K, Equihua M, Landgrave R (2009) Effects of land use change on biodiversity and ecosystem services in tropical montane cloud forests of Mexico. Forest Ecology and Management 258: 1856-1863. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2009.02.023

McCranie JR (2015) A checklist of the amphibians and reptiles of Honduras, with additions, comments on taxonomy, some recent taxonomic decisions, and areas of further studies needed. Zootaxa 3931: 352–386. https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.3931.3.2

McCranie JR (2018) A discussion of the phenetic-based *Craugastor laticeps* species group (Anura: Brachycephaloidea: Craugastoridae) from north-central Honduras, with the description of two new species. Herpetologica 74: 169-180. https://doi.org/10.1655/Herpetologica-D-17-00023.1

McCranie JR, Köhler G (2015) The anoles (Reptilia: Squamata: Dactyloidae: *Anolis*: *Norops*) of Honduras. Systematics, distribution, and conservation. Bulletin of the Museum of Comparative Zoology 161: 1-292. https://doi.org/10.3099/0027-4100-14.1.1

McCranie JR, Rovito SM (2014) New species of salamander (Caudata: Plethodontidae: *Cryptotriton*) from Quebrada Cataguana, Francisco Morazán, Honduras, with comments on the taxonomic status of *Cryptotriton wakei*. Zootaxa 3795: 61-70. https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.3795.1.6

McCranie JR, Solís JM (2014) Additions to the amphibians and reptiles of Parque Nacional Pico Bonito, Honduras, with an updated nomenclatural list. Herpetology Notes 6: 239-243.

Medina-van Berkum P, Vulinec K, Crace D, Gallego ZL, Martin TE (2020) Community Composition of Bats in Cusuco National Park, Honduras, a Mesoamerican Cloud Forest, Including New Regional and Altitudinal Records. Neotropical Naturalist 3: 1-24.

Menegon M, Cantaloni C, Rodriguez-Prieto A, Centomo C, Abdelfattah A, Rossato M, Bernardini M, Xumerle L, Loader S, Delledonne M (2017) On site DNA barcoding by nanopore sequencing. PLoS One 12: e0184741. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0184741

Myers N, Mittermeier RA, Mittermeier CG, da Fonseca GAB, Kent J (2000) Biodiversity hotspots for conservation priorities. Nature 403: 853–858. https://doi.org/10.1038/35002501

Neate-Clegg MHC, Jones SEI, Burdekin O, Jocque M, Şekercioğlu ÇH (2018) Elevational changes in the avian community of a Mesoamerican cloud forest park. Biotropica 50: 805–815. https://doi.org/10.1111/btp.12596

Poe S (2013) 1986 Redux: New genera of anoles (Squamata: Dactyloidae) are unwarranted. Zootaxa 3626: 295–299.

Ponce-Reyes R, Reynoso-Rosales V-H, Watson JEM, VanDerWal J, Fuller RA, Pressey RL, Possingham HP (2012) Vulnerability of cloud forest reserves in Mexico to climate change. Nature Climate Change 2: 448–452. https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate1453

Pyron AR, Burbrink FT, Wiens, JJ (2013) A phylogeny and revised classification of Squamata, including 4161 species of lizards and snakes. BMC evolutionary biology 13: 1-54. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2148-13-93

Reyes-Velasco J, Adams RH, Boissinot S, Parkinson CL, Campbell JA, Castoe TA, Smith EN (2020) Genome-wide SNPs clarify lineage diversity confused by coloration in coralsnakes of the *Micrurus diastema* species complex (Serpentes: Elapidae). Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 147: 106770. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2020.106770

Ruane S, Bryson Jr RW, Pyron RA, Burbrink FT (2014) Coalescent Species Delimitation in Milksnakes (Genus Lampropeltis) and Impacts on Phylogenetic Comparative Analyses. Systematic Biology 63: 231-250. https://doi.org/10.1093/sysbio/syt099

Scheele BC, Pasmans F, Skerratt LF, Berger L, Martel A, Beukema W, Acevedo AA, Burrowes PA, Carvalho T, Catenazzi A, De la Riva I, Fisher MC, Flechas SV, Foster CN, Frías-Álvarez P, Garner TWJ, Gratwicke B, Guayasamin JM, Hirschfeld M, Kolby JE, Kosch TA, La Marca E, Lindenmayer DB, Lips KR, Longo AV, Maneyro R, McDonald CA, Mendelson J, Palacios-Rodriguez P, Parra-Olea G, Richards-Zawacki CL, Rödel M-O, Rovito SM, Soto-Azat C, Toledo LF, Voyles J, Weldon C, Whitfield SM, Wilkinson M, Zamudio KR, Canessa S (2019) Amphibian fungal panzootic causes catastrophic and ongoing loss of biodiversity. Science 363: 1459-1463. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aav0379

Schools M, Hedges SB (2021). Phylogenetics, classification, and biogeography of the Neotropical Forest lizards (Squamata, Diploglossidae). Zootaxa 4974: 201–257. https://doi.org/10.11646/ZOOTAXA.4974.2.1

Solís JM, Wilson LD, Townsend JH (2014) An updated list of the amphibians and reptiles of Honduras, with comments on their nomenclature. Mesoamerican Herpetology 1: 123-144.

Solís JM, Taylor P, Lopéz-Paredes J (2017). Confirmation of the occurrence of a poorly known species, *Ecnomiohyla salvaje* (Anura: Hylidae) from El Cusuco National Park, Honduras with an updated list of its amphibian species. Alytes 34: 62-68.

Stadtmuller T (1987) Cloud Forests in the Humid Tropics. A Bibliographic Review. The United Nations University, Tokyo and Centro Agronomico Tropical de Investigacion y Ensenanza, Turrialba, Costa Rica, 81 pp.

Thorp C, Phipps CD, Lonsdale G, Arrivillaga C, Brown TW, Snyder A (2021) *Ecnomiohyla salvaje* Hylidae (Wilson, McCranie & Williams 1985) in Cusuco National Park, Honduras: morphological descriptions of adults and metamorphs with notes on ecology, natural history, and the conservation implications of amphibian chytrid fungus (Bd). Journal of Mesoamerican Biology 1: 70-88

Townsend JH (2014) Characterizing the Chortís block biogeographic province: geological, physiographic, and ecological associations and herpetofauna diversity. Mesoamerican Herpetology 1: 204–252.

Townsend JH, Butler JM, Wilson LD, Austin JD (2010) A distinctive new species of moss salamander (Caudata: Plethodontidae: *Nototriton*) from an imperiled Honduran endemism hotspot. Zootaxa 2434: 1-16.

Townsend JH, Wilson LD (2008) Guide to the Amphibians and Reptiles of Cusuco National Park, Honduras / Guía de los Anfibios y Reptiles de Parque Nacional Cusuco, Honduras. Bibliomania, Salt Lake City, UT.

Townsend JH, Wilson LD, Talley BL, Fraser DC, Plenderleith TL, Hughes SM (2006) Additions to the herpetofauna of Parque Nacional El Cusuco, Honduras. Herpetological Bulletin 96: 29-39.

Vences M, Thomas M, Bonett RM, Vieites DR (2005) Deciphering amphibian diversity through DNA barcoding: chances and challenges. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B 360: 1859–1868. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2005.1717

Whittaker RJ, Araújo MB, Jepson P, Ladle RJ, Watson JEM, Willis KJ (2005) Conservation Biogeography: assessment and prospect. Diversity and Distributions 11: 3-23. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1366-9516.2005.00143.x

Wilson LD, McCranie JR (2004) The herpetofauna of Parque Nacional El Cusuco, Honduras. Herpetological Bulletin 87: 13–24.

Yuan ZY, Zhou WW, Chen X, Poyarkov Jr NA, Chen HM, Jang-Liaw NH, Chou WH, Matzke NJ, Iizuka K, Min MS, Kuzmin SL, Zhang YP, Cannatella DC, Hillis DM, Che J (2016) Spatiotemporal Diversification of the True Frogs (Genus *Rana*): A Historical Framework for a Widely Studied Group of Model Organisms. Systematic Biology 65: 824-842. https://doi.org/10.1093/sysbio/syw055

Zipkin EF, DiRenzo GV, Ray JM, Rossman M, Lips KR (2020) Tropical snake diversity collapses after widespread amphibian loss. Science 367: 814-816. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aay5733

Supplementary material

Supplementary material 1: Fig. S1. Photographic vouchers of amphibian species recorded in Cusuco National Park during the research period 2007-2023.

Supplementary material 2: Fig. S2. Photographic vouchers of reptile species recorded in Cusuco National Park during the research period 2007-2023.