

Muscle weakness post-COVID: a practical guide for primary care

Payne, Rebecca Elizabeth; Pring, Tabitha; Hey, Molly; Payne, Gareth; Greenhalgh, Trisha

British Journal of General Practice

DOI:

10.3399/bjgp24X740229

Published: 01/12/2024

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Cyswllt i'r cyhoeddiad / Link to publication

Dyfyniad o'r fersiwn a gyhoeddwyd / Citation for published version (APA): Payne, R. E., Pring, T., Hey, M., Payne, G., & Greenhalgh, T. (2024). Muscle weakness post-COVID: a practical guide for primary care. British Journal of General Practice, 74(749), 573-575. https://doi.org/10.3399/bjgp24X740229

Hawliau Cyffredinol / General rights
Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private
 - You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
 You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal?

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



Muscle weakness post-COVID: a practical guide for primary care

Rebecca Payne, Tabitha Pring, Molly Hey, Gareth Payne and Trisha Greenhalgh

Introduction

Since the earliest stages of the pandemic, muscle weakness has been a key symptom described by patients post-COVID infection. Estimated to affect up to 60% of those with long COVID, it can have a profound effect on the ability to carry out activities of daily living. Many patients describe a fluctuating pattern to such symptoms, which can be triggered by exercise or fatigue. Most of these patients do not receive any muscle-specific investigations and many are told that they are experiencing the impacts of deconditioning or that they have a psychological or functional disorder. Such patients are often prescribed antidepressants or diverted down rehabilitation or psychological support pathways. Although some patients find this helpful, many patients experiencing muscle symptoms do not benefit from such approaches. Two case studies are given in Box 1.

As more is learned about the impact of COVID on the neuromuscular system, recent research has highlighted a variety of ways that COVID damages muscle and nerve cells,³ resulting in muscle weakness. This changing knowledge needs to lead to changing practice. It is therefore time to deploy Maya Angelou's advice, 'Do the best you can until you know better. Then when you know better, do better.'

What could be behind a patient's muscle symptoms?

Metabolic processes

COVID can damage the mitochondria and interfere with the electron transport chain supplying energy to muscles. This leads to fatigability, weakness, and reduced exercise tolerance, all of which can be exacerbated by poor sleep or nutrition, or intercurrent viral illnesses.

Motor nerve damage

During acute COVID infection, motor neurones and adjacent muscles can be directly damaged by the virus or by the immune response.⁶ Some patients will present with acute Guillain–Barré syndrome,⁷ whereas others experience prolonged muscle weakness. Regrowth of nerve fibres following such an event takes 2–3 years. These patients may be more likely to develop 'brain fog' symptoms as central nervous system tissues are also affected by COVID-related inflammation/immune responses.⁸

Myelopathy

COVID can cause damage to the anterior spinal cord, resulting in myelopathy. This can present with a purely motor syndrome, with a mixture of upper and lower motor neurone features such as muscle wasting and brisk reflexes, with motor and sensory components, or with purely sensory symptoms (changes to the sensation of temperature and pain).

Localised nerve damage

Specific plexopathies such as brachial neuritis and lumbosacral plexopathy have been reported following both COVID infection and vaccination. These disorders may be bilateral but are more commonly unilateral. Patients have discrete areas of motor and sensory axonal damage in an anatomically related pattern.

COVID-induced myasthenia gravis

COVID is known to precipitate the onset of myasthenia gravis. ¹³ Patients with this condition are likely to present with classic symptoms of ptosis and fatigability, with fluctuating muscle weakness

Non-COVID pathology

Other causes of muscle weakness are still common, including vitamin B and D deficiencies, and disorders such as polymyalgia rheumatica and polymyositis. Post-Intensive Care Unit patients are at high risk of neuropathies and myopathies.¹⁴

What should the GP look for on clinical assessment? And will they find anything?

Taking a really careful history is key to differentiating 'typical' long COVID weakness from patients with other muscle pathologies triggered by a COVID-19 infection. A typical long COVID history involves an acute COVID-19 illness, followed by complete or partial recovery before the onset of symptoms such as 'strange', 'energy-sapping', and often fluctuating muscle fatigability and weakness.¹⁵

In the primary care setting, examination of patients with post-COVID muscle weakness should be targeted towards identifying myasthenic patients and assessing specific deficits such as brachial neuritis. The majority of other patients will have a normal examination, even if they have significant mitochondrial, muscle, or nerve damage.

The GP should check for myasthenia by looking for ptosis and undertaking an upward gaze test. If a patient develops ptosis or diplopia while sustaining an upward gaze (for 30–60 seconds), there is a significant chance of underlying myasthenia gravis. ¹⁶

In patients with localised symptoms, the GP should perform a brief assessment of strength and sensation, concentrating on the affected areas. Although muscle wasting may coexist with weakness, it can be hard to identify.

Patients with a myelopathy may have muscle wasting, abnormal sensory findings, and brisk reflexes bilaterally, affecting either the lower limbs (bilaterally) or upper and lower limbs depending on the location of the affected area.

A normal physical examination does not exclude an organic cause for post-COVID muscle weakness.







Clinical Practice



Box 1. Case studies

Charlotte is a 45-year-old civil servant who caught COVID in summer 2023, approximately 18 months after her last COVID vaccination. During her initial illness she had fever, pronounced myalgia, fasciculations, and weakness, and struggled to lift her head off the pillow. As the acute illness resolved, she was left with ongoing muscle weakness, fasciculations, and brain fog. Her GP treated her with antidepressants, which were not effective, and referred her to a long COVID rehabilitation programme. She found advice about pacing and brain fog very helpful, but was unable to fully benefit from the programme because of the disparity in symptoms between herself and the other participants — while many others were focused on regaining their former fitness levels, she was struggling to sit up long enough to participate in the 2-hour sessions.

A social encounter with the authors led to an EMG 6 months after the acute infection, which showed chronic neurogenic changes with marked polyphasia and fasciculations, suggesting damage to the motor neurones and some axon terminal resprouting in keeping with an attempt at reinnervation after the injury. There were no signs of acute denervation, such as fibrillations or positive sharp waves, implying there was no ongoing nerve damage occurring. The findings were consistent with a history of motor neuronopathy at the time of her COVID infection. The brain fog was most likely another manifestation of the same acute neurological injury.

Liezel was a fit and healthy 43-year-old allied health professional when she contracted COVID in autumn 2020, prior to vaccination. She initially had symptoms of a viral URTI. During the following 2 months she noticed increased shortness of breath, tachycardia, and muscle weakness in her arms and legs, as well as severe brain fog and photophobia. She was unable to mobilise more than 2.5 m, or stand to carry out activities of daily living such as cooking. Because of a decreased ability to concentrate, she struggled with reading, socialising, and driving. During the following 4 months of graded exercises, she was able to walk twice daily a distance of 150 m at a time, but this left her with fluctuating fatigue levels. During the following 4 months her exercise tolerance continued to improve and she was able to mobilise 250 m consistently in one go, which she quantified as 40%. It was at this point that EMG was performed, 9 months post-onset, which showed normal nerve conduction study, no spontaneous activity at rest, chronic neurogenic changes on voluntary activity, and abnormal increased jitter. These findings are consistent with pathology in the nerve root or anterior cord. In light of the patient's age, history of COVID infection, and symptoms, these pointed to myelopathy. (Although increased Jitter is classically associated with a neuromuscular junction disorder such as myasthenia gravis, it can be seen with other neuromuscular diseases too.)

Shortly thereafter, she was diagnosed with thyroiditis and asthma, and with the treatment of levothyroxine and inhalers her functional level increased and plateaued at 60%. She returned to work, but struggled with muscle weakness and poor concentration. When she contracted COVID again, 18 months after the initial infection, it took 3 months for her function to stabilise. Shortly thereafter, HRT was prescribed and she rapidly progressed to 80%. She was then able to cope with employment demands, working 2 days a week. She used cycling, walking, and dancing to strengthen her leg muscles. Gradually she progressed to a 95% functional level at her 3-year recovery mark.

EMG = electromyography. $HRT = hormone\ replacement\ therapy$. $URTI = upper\ respiratory\ tract\ infection$.

Who should the GP investigate?

Initial investigations should be targeted at identifying other causes that may be responsible for, or exacerbating, symptoms. These investigations are detailed in Box 2.

Clinical judgement should guide further investigation and referral.¹⁷ Further investigations are often difficult to access in the UK setting unless a patient has evidence of a specific deficit such as in brachial neuritis or a well-recognised illness such as myasthenia gravis. Where available, electromyography (EMG), performed by Neurophysiology Departments, has a high diagnostic yield in these patients and often pinpoints the mechanism of muscle damage. However, it is not readily available across the UK. Patients may need to be referred to a neurologist in order to access EMG; a very clear history in the referral letter should be provided in order to avoid diversion to other services.

If it can be accessed, a validated questionnaire such as the C19-YRS (Covid-19 Yorkshire Rehabilitation Scale) or the (freely available) Bristol Activities of Daily Living Scale can yield useful information on the impact of symptoms on the patient's quality of life. The time taken to complete these means it is likely to be impractical to complete within a GP appointment, but,

Box 2. Initial investigations for patients with muscle symptoms post-COVID

Creatinine kinase C-reactive protein Vitamin D B12 Folate Full blood count Thyroid function tests where services have been commissioned locally, the patient can access via the ELAROS app and complete at home. Repeating 3-monthly is helpful for monitoring progress.

How should the GP manage patients with significant muscle weakness post-COVID?

Establishing a therapeutic relationship is vital, particularly as many of these patients will have experienced minimising of their symptoms or inappropriate treatment pathways. Explaining the likely mechanisms of damage is highly valued by patients, as it validates their lived experience and gives them an explanation that they can share with others such as family members and employers. Explaining to patients that nerves will take 2–3 years to recover can be helpful in setting realistic expectations and encouraging hope.

Patients suspected of myasthenia gravis should be urgently referred using local pathways. Patients with a localised neuropathy should also be referred for further investigation. Where long COVID services exist, referral should be considered, but graded exercise programmes are not recommended. 19,20 A detailed history should be taken and an examination performed in order to avoid inappropriately sending patients for rehabilitation who actually have an underlying neuromuscular disorder.

Modifiable causes of illness such as concomitant vitamin D deficiency should be identified and treated. B vitamins are essential for nerve regrowth, so, even in the absence of deficiency, supplementation should be considered.

Chronic disease of any type can lead to depression, and a sensitive conversation may be needed about mood, taking care that the patient does not end up feeling that their muscle symptoms are being dismissed, or misdiagnosed as depression or anxiety.







Patients should be supported to recognise and live within the limitations their muscle weakness places upon them — maintaining gentle levels of activity where possible, but avoiding 'boom–bust' cycles. As with any long-term condition, GP support for the patient needs to be holistic, going beyond the mere provision of information and encompassing elements such as signposting to sources of peer support, such as local long COVID groups, supporting the patient to manage their symptoms, ²¹ and coordinating care. ²² Patients may need to be signed off work for a period of time. Where additional support is needed for work, patients should be referred to occupational health services, or advised to seek support from services such as Citizens Advice. The group 'Long Covid Kids' has useful resources for young people and their families (https://www.longcovidkids.org/).

Conclusion

COVID is known to cause muscle, nerve, and metabolic damage. Many patients presenting with post-COVID weakness, exertional symptoms, or fatigue will have identifiable defects on neurophysiological examination. When managing these patients, excluding treatable conditions such as myasthenia gravis, managing concomitant problems such as vitamin D deficiency, and explaining that improvement is likely over a period of 2–3 years can support the patient while nature heals what medicine can not.

References

- Montes-Ibarra M, Oliveira CLP, Orsso CE, et al. The impact of long COVID-19 on muscle health. Clin Geriatr Med 2022; 38(3): 545–557.
- Appelman B, Charlton BT, Goulding RP, et al. Muscle abnormalities worsen after post-exertional malaise in long COVID. Nat Commun 2024; 15(1): 17.
- Abrams RMC, Zhou L, Shin SC. Persistent post-COVID-19 neuromuscular symptoms. Muscle Nerve 2023; 68(4): 350–355.
- 4 Hejbøl EK, Harbo T, Agergaard J, et al. Myopathy as a cause of fatigue in long-term post-COVID-19 symptoms: evidence of skeletal muscle histopathology. Eur J Neurol 2022; 29(9): 2832–2841.
- 5. Soares MN, Eggelbusch M, Naddaf E, et al. Skeletal muscle alterations in patients with acute Covid-19 and post-acute sequelae of Covid-19. *J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle* 2022; **13(1):** 11–22.
- Agergaard J, Khan BYA, Engell-Sørensen T, et al. Myopathy as a cause of Long COVID fatigue: evidence from quantitative and single fiber EMG and muscle histopathology. Clin Neurophysiol 2023; 148: 65–75.
- Pimentel V, Luchsinger VW, Carvalho GL, et al. Guillain–Barré syndrome associated with COVID-19: a systematic review. Brain Behav Immun Health 2023: 28: 100578.
- 8. Rahimi F, Saadat M, Hessam M, et al. Post-COVID-19 physical and cognitive impairments and associations with quality of life: a cross-sectional study. Front Sports Act Living 2024; 6: 1246585.
- Román GC, Gracia F, Torres A, et al. Acute transverse myelitis (ATM): clinical review of 43 patients with COVID-19-associated ATM and 3 post-vaccination ATM serious adverse events with the ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 vaccine (AZD1222). Front Immunol 2021; 12: 653786.
- Ikumi A, Yoshii Y, Nagashima K, et al. Anterior interosseous nerve syndrome following infection with COVID-19: a case report. J Med Case Rep 2023; 17(1): 253.
- Chua MMJ, Hayes MT, Cosgrove R. Parsonage—Turner syndrome following COVID-19 vaccination and review of the literature. Surg Neurol Int 2022; 13: 152.
- 12. Aragon Pinto C, Pinto MV, Engelstad JK, Dyck PJB. Lumbosacral radiculoplexus neuropathy after COVID-19. *Neurologist* 2023; **28(4)**: 273, 276
- Tugasworo D, Kurnianto A, Retnaningsih, et al. The relationship between myasthenia gravis and COVID-19: a systematic review. Egypt J Neurol Psychiatr Neurosurg 2022; 58(1): 83.
- 14. Zhou C, Wu L, Ni F, et al. Critical illness polyneuropathy and myopathy: a systematic review. Neural Regen Res 2014; **9(1)**: 101–110.

- Greenhalgh T, Sivan M, Perlowski A, Nikolich JŽ. Long COVID: a clinical update. Lancet 2024; 404 (10453): 707–724.
- 16. Spillane J, Higham E, Kullmann DM. Easily missed? Myasthenia gravis. *BMJ* 2012; **345:** e8497.
- National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. Long-term effects of coronavirus (long COVID). London: NICE, 2022. https://cks.nice.org.uk/ topics/long-term-effects-of-coronavirus-long-covid/ (accessed 29 Oct 2024).
- Greenhalgh T, Darbyshire JL, Lee C, et al. What is quality in long covid care? Lessons from a national quality improvement collaborative and multi-site ethnography. BMC Med 2024; 22(1): 159.
- van Rhijn-Brouwer FCC, Hellemons M, Stingl M, et al. Graded exercise therapy should not be recommended for patients with post-exertional malaise. Nat Rev Cardiol 2024; 21(6): 430–431.
- 20. Wright J, Astill SL, Sivan M. The relationship between physical activity and long COVID: a cross-sectional study. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2022; **19(9)**: 5093.
- 21. Bodenheimer T, Lorig K, Holman H, Grumbach K. Patient self-management of chronic disease in primary care. *JAMA* 2002; **288(19)**:
- 22. Starfield B. Is primary care essential? *Lancet* 1994; **344(8930)**: 1129–1133. DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(94)90634-3.

Rebecca Pavne.

(ORCID: 0000-0002-8954-7584), MBBch (hons), MSc, Clarendon-Reuben doctoral scholar, Nuffield Department of Primary Care Health Sciences, University of Oxford, Oxford; PhD student, Bangor University, Bangor.

Tabitha Pring,

(ORCID: 0000-0003-4572-3918), BA, medical student, Nuffield Department of Primary Health Care Sciences, University of Oxford, Oxford.

Molly Hey

(ORCID: 0009-0002-5211-3632), BSc, medical student, Nuffield Department of Primary Health Care Sciences, University of Oxford, Oxford.

Gareth Payne,

(ORCID: 0000-0001-5187-5323), MBChB, BMedSc, PGCertMedEd, consultant clinical neurophysiologist, Department of Clinical Neurophysiology, Betsi Cadwaldr University Health Board, Bangor, Gwynedd.

Trisha Greenhalgh,

(ORCID: 0000-0003-2369-8088), MD, FRCGP, professor of primary care health sciences, Nuffield Department of Primary Care Health Sciences, University of Oxford, Oxford.

Provenance

Freely submitted; externally peer reviewed.

Competing interests

The authors have declared no competing interests.

Patient consent

The patients gave consent for publication of this article.

Open access

This article is Open Access: CC BY 4.0 licence (http://creativecommons.org/licences/by/4.0/).

DOI: https://doi.org/10.3399/bjgp24X740229

CORRESPONDENCE

Rebecca Payne

Nuffield Department of Primary Care, Radcliffe Observatory Quarter, Woodstock Rd, Oxford OX2 6GG, UK.

Email: rebecca.payne@phc.ox.ac.uk





